GREATER BAY BANCORP Form 10-K February 01, 2001

> _____ SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-K (Mark one) [X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (Fee Required) For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000 [_] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 (No Fee Required) For the transition period from to . Commission File No. 0-25034 GREATER BAY BANCORP (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter) California 77-0387041 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.) Incorporation or organization) 2860 West Bayshore Road, Palo Alto, California 94303 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (650) 813-8200 Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: None Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(q) of the Act: Common Stock, no par value 9.75% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities of GBB Capital I Guarantee of Greater Bay Bancorp with respect to the 9.75% Cumulative Trust Preferred Securities of GBB Capital I Preferred Share Purchase Rights (Title of classes) Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [_]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [_]

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates, based upon the closing sale price of the Common Stock on January 23, 2001, as reported on the Nasdaq National Market System, was approximately \$1,500,550,000. Shares of Common Stock held by each officer, director and holder of 5% or more of the outstanding Common Stock have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. Such determination of affiliate status is not necessarily a conclusive determination for other purposes.

As of January 23, 2001, 42,204,295 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock were outstanding.

Document Incorporated By Reference: Part of Form 10K Into Which Incorporated:

Definitive Proxy Statement for Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed within 120 days of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000

Part III

_____ _____

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

PART I

Discussions of certain matters contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and as such, may involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, expectations of the business environment in which Greater Bay Bancorp (referred to as the "Company" or "we" when such reference includes Greater Bay Bancorp and its subsidiaries, collectively, "Greater Bay" when referring only to the parent company and "the Banks" when referring only to Greater Bay's banking subsidiaries, Bay Area Bank, Bank of Petaluma, Bank of Santa Clara, Bay Bank of Commerce, Coast Commercial Bank, Cupertino National Bank, Golden Gate Bank, Mid-Peninsula Bank, Mt. Diablo National Bank and Peninsula Bank of Commerce) operates, projections of future performance, perceived opportunities in the market and statements regarding the Company's mission and vision. The Company's actual results, performance and achievements may differ materially from the results, performance and achievements expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. For a discussion of some of the factors that might cause such a difference, see "Item 1. Business--Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations".

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

Greater Bay Bancorp

Greater Bay is a bank holding company with 10 bank subsidiaries: Bank of Petaluma, Bank of Santa Clara, Bay Area Bank, Bay Bank of Commerce, Coast

Commercial Bank, Cupertino National Bank, Golden Gate Bank, Mid-Peninsula Bank, Mt. Diablo National Bank and Peninsula Bank of Commerce.

GBB Capital I, GBB Capital II, GBB Capital III and GBB Capital IV, which are Delaware statutory business trusts formed for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling trust preferred securities, are also subsidiaries of the Company.

The Company also owns Matsco Lease Finance, Inc. II and Matsco Lease Finance, Inc. III, which are special purpose corporations formed for the exclusive purpose of securitizing leases and issuing lease-backed notes.

The Company also operates through the following divisions: Greater Bay Bank Contra Costa Region, Greater Bay Bank Fremont Region, Greater Bay Bank Santa Clara Valley Commercial Banking Group, Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending Group, Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group, Greater Bay International Banking Division, Greater Bay Trust Company, Matsco, Pacific Business Funding and the Venture Banking Group.

The Company provides a wide range of commercial banking services to small and medium-sized businesses, real estate developers, property managers, business executives, professionals and other individuals. The Company operates throughout the San Francisco Bay Area including Silicon Valley, San Francisco and the San Francisco Peninsula, the East Bay, Santa Cruz and Sonoma County, with 37 full service banking offices located in Aptos, Blackhawk, Capitola, Cupertino, Danville, Fremont, Hayward, Lafayette, Millbrae, Milpitas, Palo Alto, Petaluma, Pleasanton, Point Reyes Station, Redwood City, San Francisco, San Jose, San Leandro, San Mateo, San Ramon, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley, Sunnyvale, Valley Ford, Walnut Creek and Watsonville.

At December 31, 2000, the Company had total assets of \$5.1 billion, total loans, net, of \$3.5 billion and total deposits of \$4.2 billion.

1

History

Greater Bay became a multi-bank holding company as the result of the November 1996 merger of Cupertino National Bancorp and Mid-Peninsula Bancorp. Mid-Peninsula Bancorp was incorporated in 1984 under the name San Mateo County Bancorp as the bank holding company of WestCal National Bank. In 1994, WestCal National Bank was merged with Mid-Peninsula Bank, which commenced operations in October 1987. Concurrently San Mateo County Bancorp changed its name to Mid-Peninsula Bancorp. The name was then changed to Greater Bay Bancorp as a result of the 1996 merger. On consummation of the November 1996 merger between Cupertino National Bancorp and Mid-Peninsula Bancorp, we changed our name to Greater Bay Bancorp and Cupertino National Bank became a wholly-owned subsidiary. Cupertino National Bank commenced operations in May 1985.

Greater Bay has continued to expand its presence within its market area by affiliating with other quality banking organizations, and select niche financial services companies. In addition the Company has been successful in opening key regional bank locations to respond to market and client demands, while also selectively opening key new businesses that expand the Company's product offerings.

The following provides a chronological listing of mergers that the Company has completed since November 27, 1996:

Date of merger	Entity	Former bank holding company	commenced operations
December 23, 1997	Peninsula Bank of Commerce	none	1981
May 8, 1998	Golden Gate Bank	Pacific Rim Bancorporation	1976
August 31, 1998	Pacific Business Funding Corporation(1)	n/a	1995
May 21, 1999	Bay Area Bank	Bay Area Bancshares	1979
October 15, 1999	Bay Bank of Commerce	Bay Commercial Services	1981
January 31, 2000	Mt. Diablo National Bank	Mt. Diablo Bancshares	1993
May 18, 2000	Coast Commercial Bank	Coast Bancorp	1982
July 21, 2000	Bank of Santa Clara	none	1973
October 13, 2000	Bank of Petaluma	none	1987
November 30, 2000	The Matsco Companies, Inc.(2)	n/a	1983

- (1) Operates as a division of Cupertino National Bank and conducts business under the name Pacific Business Funding.
- (2) Operates as a division of Cupertino National Bank and conducts business under the name Matsco.

With the exception of the merger with The Matsco Companies, Inc., all of these mergers were accounted for as a pooling-of-interests and, accordingly, all of the financial information of the Company for the periods prior to the mergers has been restated as if the mergers had occurred at the beginning of the earliest reporting period presented. The merger with The Matsco Companies, Inc. was accounted for using the purchase accounting method and accordingly The Matsco Companies, Inc.'s results of operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition.

Company Goals

The Company strives to achieve seven primary goals. These goals include:

- . Developing a greater banking presence throughout the San Francisco Bay Area and other selected markets, primarily in Northern California, by increasing the number of banking offices available to clients;
- . Reaching a critical mass in the Company's market areas to meet the competitive challenges of the banking and financial services industries;
- . Maximizing the utilization of capital by increasing the float and marketability of its common stock and, by virtue of its larger size, obtaining access to lower cost capital;

2

- . Realizing operating efficiencies through the acquisition of banking and financial services companies under a holding company umbrella;
- . Generating increased loan and fee income as a result of the higher lending limits available to the combined entity;
- . Leveraging marketing expense to improve the return on the combined entity's marketing investment; and
- . Enabling banking and financial services subsidiaries to cross-sell services.

Super Community Banking Philosophy

In order to meet the demands of the increasingly competitive banking and financial services industries, we have adopted a business philosophy referred to as the "Super Community Banking Philosophy". Our Super Community Banking Philosophy is based on our belief that banking clients value doing business with locally managed institutions that can provide a full service commercial banking relationship through an understanding of the clients' financial needs and the flexibility to deliver customized solutions through our menu of products and services. We also believe that banks who affiliate with Greater Bay and implement our Super Community Banking Philosophy are better able to build successful client relationships as the holding company provides cost effective administrative support services while promoting bank autonomy and flexibility in serving client needs.

To implement this philosophy, we operate each of our banking subsidiaries by retaining their independent names and separate Boards of Directors. Our banking subsidiaries have established strong reputations and client followings in their market areas through attention to client service and an understanding of client needs.

In an effort to capitalize on the identities and reputations of the Banks, the Company currently intends to continue to market its services under each Bank's name, primarily through each Bank's relationship managers. The primary focus for the Banks' relationship managers is to cultivate and nurture their client relationships. Relationship managers are assigned to each borrowing client to provide continuity in the relationship. This emphasis on personalized relationships requires that all of the relationship managers maintain close ties to the communities in which they serve, so they are able to capitalize on their efforts through expanded business opportunities for the Banks.

While client service decisions and day-to-day operations are maintained at the Banks, Greater Bay offers the advantages of affiliation with a multi-bank holding company by providing expanded client support services, such as increased client lending capacity, business cash management and international trade finance services. In addition, Greater Bay provides centralized administrative functions, including support in credit policy formulation and review, investment management, data processing, accounting, loan servicing and other specialized support functions. All of these centralized services are designed to enhance the ability of the relationship manager to expand their client relationship base.

Corporate Growth Strategy

The Company's primary goal is to become the preeminent independent financial services company in Northern California. The Company's primary business strategy is to focus on increasing its market share within the communities it serves through continued internal growth. The Company also pursues opportunities to expand its market share through select acquisitions that management believes complement the Company's businesses. Management pursues acquisition opportunities in contiguous and infill market areas. Consistent with the Company's operating philosophy and growth strategy, Greater Bay regularly evaluates opportunities to acquire banks and other financial services companies that complement the Company's existing business, expand its market coverage and share and enhance its client product offerings.

3

Greater Bay Bancorp's Family of Companies

The following provides a summary of all of the affiliated banks and operating divisions of the Company.

Banks

Bank of Petaluma

Bank of Petaluma presently has four full service regional offices. At December 31, 2000, Bank of Petaluma had total assets of \$214.9 million, total net loans of \$122.7 million and total deposits of \$180.2 million.

```
Bank of Santa Clara
```

Bank of Santa Clara presently has eight full service regional offices. At December 31, 2000, Bank of Santa Clara had total assets of \$416.9 million, total net loans of \$258.2 million and total deposits of \$376.8 million.

Bay Area Bank

Bay Area Bank presently has one full service regional office. At December 31, 2000, Bay Area Bank had total assets of \$207.4 million, total net loans of \$154.0 million and total deposits of \$166.8 million.

Bay Bank of Commerce

Bay Bank of Commerce presently has three full service regional offices. At December 31, 2000, Bay Bank of Commerce had total assets of \$163.8 million, total net loans of \$123.2 million and total deposits of \$133.7 million.

Coast Commercial Bank

Coast Commercial Bank presently has six full service regional offices. At December 31, 2000, Coast Commercial Bank had total assets of \$440.3 million, total net loans of \$217.2 million and total deposits of \$315.9 million.

Cupertino National Bank

Cupertino National Bank presently has seven locations, including five full service regional offices. At December 31, 2000, Cupertino National Bank had total assets of \$1.7 billion, total net loans of \$1.3 billion and total deposits of \$1.3 billion.

Golden Gate Bank

Golden Gate Bank presently has one full service regional office. On December 31, 2000, Golden Gate Bank had total assets of \$272.6 million, total net loans of \$173.4 million and total deposits of \$225.4 million.

Mid-Peninsula Bank

Mid-Peninsula Bank presently has four full service regional offices. On December 31, 2000, Mid-Peninsula Bank had total assets of \$1.1 billion, total net loans of \$748.1 million and total deposits of \$914.5 million.

Mt. Diablo National Bank

Mt. Diablo National Bank presently has four full service regional offices. At December 31, 2000, Mt. Diablo National Bank had total assets of \$333.4 million, total net loans of \$182.1 million and total deposits of \$273.0 million.

Peninsula Bank of Commerce

Peninsula Bank of Commerce presently has one full service regional office. On December 31, 2000, Peninsula Bank of Commerce had total assets of \$296.3 million, total net loans of \$210.3 million and total deposits of \$259.8 million.

Operating Divisions

Greater Bay Bank Contra Costa Region and Greater Bay Bank Fremont Region

The Company believes that the East Bay has a tremendous potential for growth. In order to establish a presence in the East Bay market, the Company formed the Contra Costa and Fremont regional offices. Each of these offices offers a full line of business banking services.

Greater Bay Bank Santa Clara Valley Commercial Banking Group

Greater Bay Bank Santa Clara Valley Commercial Banking Group offers a full line of business banking services, catering to the needs of small to mediumsized businesses, professional firms and the executives who own and operate their business. The services include a full range of deposit accounts, cash management and credit facilities custom-tailored to meet the specific needs of its clients.

Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending Group

The Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending Group provides loans to smaller businesses on which the Small Business Administration ("SBA") generally provides guarantees between 65% to 80% of the principal loan amount. The SBA has named both Coast Commercial Bank and Cupertino National Bank as Preferred Lenders. The SBA awards Preferred Lender status to lenders who have demonstrated superior ability to generate, underwrite and service loans that the SBA guarantees. This status results in more rapid turnaround of loan applications submitted to the SBA for approval. The group is able to utilize this status to provide this same level of service to clients of all of the Banks.

Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group

Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group primarily focuses on originating loans to companies that have revenues in excess of \$20 million and financing requirements in the range of \$5 million to \$250 million. Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group primarily participates in direct sourced transactions as the lead agent. Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group has also participated in syndicated loan transactions.

Greater Bay Trust Company

Greater Bay Trust Company provides trust services to support the trust needs of the Banks' business and personal clients. These services include, but are not limited to, custodial, investment management, estate planning resources and employee benefit plan services.

International Banking Division

International Banking Division provides a wide range of financial services to support the international banking needs of the Banks' clients, including identifying certain risks of conducting business abroad and providing international letters of credit, documentary collections and other trade finance services. In 2000, the Export-Import Bank of the United States increased International Banking Division's delegated authority status from the "Medium" level to the "High" level to provide foreign receivable financing to

local exporters. The Export-Import Bank allows "High" level delegated authority lenders to approve working capital loans up to \$5.0 million per exporter, and to approve an aggregate total of up to \$75 million in loans.

5

Matsco

Matsco is engaged in providing financial services, primarily loans and leases, to the dental and veterinary health professions. At December 31, 2000 approximately 87% of Matsco's outstanding loans and leases was to dental businesses, while the remaining was to veterinarians.

Pacific Business Funding

Pacific Business Funding is an asset-based lending and factoring division that provides alternative funding and support programs designed to enhance the Company's small business banking services.

Venture Banking Group

Venture Banking Group serves the needs of companies in their start-up and development phase, allowing them to access a banking relationship early in their development. The loans to this target group of clients are generally secured by the accounts receivable, inventory and equipment of the companies. The financial strength of these companies also tends to be bolstered by the presence of venture capital investors among their shareholders.

Banking Services

The Company provides a wide range of commercial banking and financial services to small and medium-sized businesses, real estate developers and property managers, business executives, professionals and other individuals.

The Banks offer a wide range of deposit products, including the normal range of personal and business checking and savings accounts, time deposits and individual retirement accounts. The Banks also offer a wide range of specialized services designed to attract and service the needs of clients and include cash management and international trade finance services for business clients, traveler's checks, safe deposit and MasterCard and Visa merchant deposit services.

The Banks also engage in the full complement of lending activities, including commercial, real estate and consumer loans. The Banks provide commercial loans for working capital and business expansion to small and medium-sized businesses with annual revenues generally in the range of \$1.0 million to \$100.0 million with a primary focus on business clients with borrowing needs between \$2.0 million and \$10.0 million. The Banks' commercial clients are drawn from a wide variety of manufacturing, technology, real estate, wholesale and service businesses. The Banks provide interim real estate construction loans primarily in the Banks' service areas for singlefamily residences, which typically range between approximately \$500,000 and \$1.0 million, multi-unit projects, which typically range between approximately \$1.5 million and \$4.0 million and commercial real estate, primarily owneroccupied, which typically range between \$1.5 million to \$7.5 million. The Banks also provide medium term commercial real estate loans or credits, typically ranging between \$1.0 million and \$10.0 million for the financing of commercial or industrial buildings where the owners either use the properties for business purposes or derive income from tenants.

The Banks concentrate on marketing their services to small and medium-sized businesses, professionals and individuals in Alameda, Contra Costa, Santa Clara, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Cruz, and Sonoma Counties.

- . Bank of Petaluma's primary base of operations is in Petaluma, California and extends through Sonoma County. Sonoma Country has a population of approximately 450,000.
- . Bank of Santa Clara's primary base of operations is in Santa Clara, California, which is located in the geographic area referred to as "Silicon Valley". Bank of Santa Clara's operation extends throughout Santa Clara County. Santa Clara County has a population of approximately 1,737,000.

6

- . Bay Area Bank's primary base of operations is in Redwood City, California and includes central San Mateo County. San Mateo county has a population of approximately 730,000.
- . Bay Bank of Commerce's primary base of operations is San Leandro, California and extends through Alameda and Southern Contra Costa counties. Alameda County and Contra Costa County have populations of approximately 1,454,000 and 930,000, respectively.
- . Coast Commercial Bank's primary base of operations is in Santa Cruz, California and extends through Santa Cruz County. Santa Cruz County has a population of approximately 255,000.
- . Cupertino National Bank's primary base of operations is in Cupertino, California, which is in the center of the geographic area referred to as "Silicon Valley". Cupertino National Bank's operations extend throughout Santa Clara County.
- . Golden Gate Bank's primary base of operations is centered in the City and County of San Francisco. San Francisco County has a population of approximately 801,000.
- . Mt. Diablo National Bank's primary base of operations is Danville, California and extends through Contra Costa and northern Alameda Counties.
- . Mid-Peninsula Bank's primary base of operations is centered in Palo Alto, California and extends north through San Mateo County. Mid-Peninsula Bank has formed operating divisions located in Alameda and Contra Costa Counties.
- . Peninsula Bank of Commerce's primary base of operations is centered in Millbrae, California, and includes northern San Mateo County and extends into San Francisco County.

The commercial base of Alameda, Contra Costa, Santa Cruz, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, San Francisco, San Mateo and Sonoma Counties is diverse and includes computer and semiconductor manufacturing, professional services, biotechnology, printing and publishing, aerospace, defense and real estate construction, as well as wholesale and retail trade. As a result of its geographic concentration, the Company's results depend largely upon economic conditions in these areas. While the economy in the Company's market areas has exhibited positive economic and employment trends, there is no assurance that such trends will continue. A deterioration in economic conditions could have a

material adverse impact on the quality of the Company's loan portfolio and the demand for its products and services, and accordingly its results of operations. See "Item 1. Business--Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations."

Matsco markets its dental and veterinarian financing services nationally through its main office in Emeryville, California and marketing representatives located in ten states. At December 31, 2000, approximately \$180 million in outstanding loans and leases originated by Matsco are with borrowers located outside of the State of California. Those loans and leases are distributed throughout the United States, with the largest volume having been originated in Florida, where Matsco has outstanding balances totaling approximately \$14 million.

Similarly, the Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group participates in loan transactions which are originated nationally. At December 31, 2000, approximately \$70 million in outstanding loans in which Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group has participated are with borrowers located outside of the State of California.

Our other operating divisions primarily conduct business in the San Francisco Bay Area.

7

Lending Activities

Underwriting and Credit Administration

The lending activities of each of the Banks is guided by the basic lending policies established by its Board of Directors. Each loan must meet minimum underwriting criteria established in the Bank's lending policy. Lending authority is granted to officers of each Bank on a limited basis. Loan requests which exceed individual officer approval limits are approved on a pooled-authority basis up to a maximum limit for each Bank. Loan requests exceeding these limits are submitted to the Company's Officers' Loan Committee, which consists of the President and Chief Executive Officer of Greater Bay, the Executive Vice President and Chief Lending Officer of Greater Bay, the Executive Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of Mid-Peninsula Bank and the Senior Vice President and Chief Credit Officer of Greater Bay. All members of the Officers' Loan Committee are also officers of the individual Banks. Loan requests which exceed the limits of the Company's Officers' Loan Committee are submitted to the Directors' Loan Committee. The Directors' Loan Committee consists of at least one outside director of each of the Banks. Each of these committees meets on a regular basis in order to provide timely responses to the Banks' clients.

The Company's credit administration function includes an internal review and the regular use of an outside loan review firm. In addition, the Company's Officers' Loan Committee, Chief Administrative Officer/Chief Financial Officer and Controller review information at least once a month related to delinquencies, nonperforming assets, classified assets and other pertinent information to evaluate credit risk within each Bank's loan portfolio and to review the Company's allowance for loan losses.

Loan Portfolio

The composition of the Company's gross loan portfolio at December 31, 2000 was as follows:

. approximately 43.2% were commercial loans, including 26.8% which were

commercial real estate term loans;

- approximately 19.1% were in real estate construction and land loans, which are split evenly between commercial properties and residential projects;
- . approximately 4.9% were other real estate term loans, primarily secured by residential real estate; and
- . the balance of the portfolio consists of leases and consumer loans.

The interest rates the Banks charge vary with the degree of risk, size and maturity of the loans. In addition, competition from other financial services companies and analyses of the client's deposit relationship with the Bank and the Bank's cost of funds impact the interest rate charged on loans.

Commercial Loans. In their commercial loan portfolios, the Banks provide personalized financial services to the diverse commercial and professional businesses in their market areas. Commercial loans, including those made by the Venture Banking Group, consist primarily of short-term loans (normally with a maturity of under one year) to support business operations. The Banks focus on businesses with annual revenues generally between \$1.0 million and \$100.0 million with borrowing needs generally between \$2.0 million and \$10.0 million. The Banks' commercial clients are drawn from a wide variety of manufacturing, technology, real estate, wholesale and service businesses. Commercial loans also include those loans made by the Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group.

Commercial loans typically include revolving lines of credit collateralized by inventory, accounts receivable and equipment. Emphasis is placed on the borrower's earnings history, capitalization, secondary sources of repayment, and in some instances, third party guarantees or highly liquid collateral (such as time deposits and investment securities). Commercial loan pricing is generally at a rate tied to the prime rate, as quoted in the Wall Street Journal, or the Banks' reference rates.

8

The Venture Banking Group provides innovative lending products and other financial services, tailored to the needs of start-up and development-stage companies. The Venture Banking Group's typical clients include technology companies, ranging from multimedia, software and telecommunications providers to bio-technology and medical device firms. Borrowings are generally secured by minimum cash balances, accounts receivable, intellectual property rights, inventory and equipment of the companies. Because these companies are in the start-up or development phase, many of them will not generate any revenues for several years. The Company often receives warrants from these companies as part of the compensation for its services. As of December 31, 2000, the Venture Banking Group had loans outstanding to start-up and development phase companies of approximately \$95.5 million.

The Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group specializes in providing commercial loans to small and medium sized, non-investment grade middle market companies. Credit facilities are designed to supplement the borrower's ongoing working capital needs, assist with the purchase of fixed assets or aid in the financing of strategic acquisitions. Loan facilities are typically collateralized by a first priority security interest in all of the borrower's assets and are generally structured based on the value of the borrower's assets or the company's historical cash flow. The Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group primarily sources its own relationships and to a lesser extend participates in syndicated loan transaction lead by other financial

institutions serving the Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group's identified market niche.

The Company participates in many SBA programs and, through the Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending Group, is a "preferred lender". Preferred lender status is granted to a lender which has made a certain number of SBA loans and which, in the opinion of the SBA, has staff who are qualified and experienced in this area. As a preferred lender, the Company has the authority to authorize, on behalf of the SBA, the SBA guaranty on loans under the 7A program. This can represent a substantial savings to the customer. The Company utilizes both the 504 program, which is focused toward longer-term financing of buildings, and other long-term assets, and the 7A program which is primarily used for financing of the equipment, inventory and working capital needs of eligible businesses generally over a three- to seven-year term. The Company's collateral position in the SBA loans is enhanced by the SBA guaranty in the case of 7A loans, and by lower loan-to-value ratios under the 504 program. The Company generally sells the guaranteed portion of its SBA loans in the secondary market.

Real Estate Construction and Land Loans. The Banks' real estate construction loan activity focuses on providing short-term (generally less than one year maturity) loans to individuals and developers with whom the Banks have established relationships for the construction primarily of single family residences in the Banks' market areas. Real estate construction loans for single family residences typically range between approximately \$500,000 and \$1.0 million, and for multi-unit projects typically range between approximately \$1.5 million and \$5.0 million.

Residential real estate construction loans are typically secured by first deeds of trust and require guarantees of the borrower. The economic viability of the project and the borrower's credit-worthiness are primary considerations in the loan underwriting decision. Generally, these loans provide an attractive yield, but may carry a higher than normal risk of loss or delinquency, particularly if general real estate values decline. The Banks utilize approved independent local appraisers and loan-to-value ratios which generally do not exceed 65% to 75% of the appraised value of the property. The Banks monitor projects during the construction phase through regular construction inspections and a disbursement program tied to the percentage of completion of each project.

The Banks also occasionally make land loans to borrowers who intend to construct a single family residence on the lot generally within twelve months. In addition, the Banks also make commercial real estate construction loans to high net worth clients with adequate liquidity for construction of office and warehouse properties. Such loans are typically secured by first deeds of trust and require guarantees of the borrower.

9

Real Estate Term Loans. The Banks provide medium-term commercial real estate loans secured by commercial or industrial buildings where the owner either uses the property for business purposes or derives income from tenants. The Company's loan policies require the principal balance of the loan, generally between \$400,000 and \$15.0 million, to be no more than 70% of the stabilized appraised value of the underlying real estate collateral. The loans, which are typically secured by first deeds of trust only, generally have terms of no more than seven to ten years and are amortized over 20-25 years. Most of these loans have rates tied to the prime rate, with many adjusting whenever the prime rate changes; the remaining loans adjust every two or three years depending on the term of the loan.

Consumer and Other Loans. The Banks' consumer and other loan portfolio is divided between installment loans secured by automobiles and aircraft, and home improvement loans and lines of credit which are often secured by residential real estate. Installment loans tend to be fixed rate and longerterm (one-to-five year maturity), while the equity lines of credit and home improvement loans are generally floating rate and are reviewed for renewal on an annual basis. The Banks also have a minimal portfolio of credit card loans, issued as an additional service to its clients.

Deposits

The Banks obtain deposits primarily from small and medium-sized businesses, business executives, professionals and other individuals. Each of the Banks offers the usual and customary range of depository products that commercial banks provide to customers. The Banks' deposits are not received from a single depositor or group of affiliated depositors, the loss of any one of which would have a material adverse effect on the business of the Company or any of the Banks. Rates paid on deposits vary among the categories of deposits due to different terms, the size of the individual deposit, and rates paid by competitors on similar deposits.

Cupertino National Bank has two business units that provide significant support to its deposit base. The Greater Bay Trust Company has approximately 7.2% of its trust assets under management in liquid funds that are retained in Cupertino National Bank money market demand accounts. At December 31, 2000, these funds totaled \$55.5 million. The Venture Banking Group is another source of deposits as most of the start-up phase companies have significant liquidity that is deposited in Cupertino National Bank as part of the banking relationship. At December 31, 2000, clients of the Venture Banking Group had \$482.3 million in deposits at Cupertino National Bank. See "Item 1. Business--Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations" for additional discussion regarding business risks related to the Company's deposits.

Trust Services

The Greater Bay Trust Company, which is a division of Cupertino National Bank, offers a full range of fee-based trust services directly to its clients and administers several types of retirement plans, including corporate pension plans, 401(k) plans and individual retirement plans, with an emphasis on the investment management, custodianship and trusteeship of such plans. In addition, the Greater Bay Trust Company acts as executor, administrator, guardian and/or trustee in the administration of the estates of individuals. Investment and custodial services are provided for corporations, individuals and nonprofit organizations. Total assets under management by the Greater Bay Trust Company were \$773.8 million at December 31, 2000, compared to \$697.4 million at December 31, 1999 and \$649.3 million at December 31, 1998.

10

Competition

The banking and financial services industry in California generally, and in the Banks' market areas specifically, is highly competitive. The increasingly competitive environment is a result primarily of changes in regulation, changes in technology and product delivery systems, and the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial services providers. The Banks compete for loans, deposits, and customers with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, securities and brokerage companies, mortgage companies, insurance companies, finance companies, money market funds, credit unions, and other nonbank financial service providers. Many of these competitors are much larger in total assets and capitalization, have greater access to capital

markets and offer a broader range of financial services than the Banks. In addition, recent federal legislation may have the effect of further increasing the pace of consolidation within the financial services industry. See "Item 1. Business--Supervision and Regulation--Financial Services Modernization Legislation."

In order to compete with the other financial services providers, the Banks principally rely upon local promotional activities, personal relationships established by officers, directors, and employees with their customers, and specialized services tailored to meet the needs of the communities served. In those instances where the Banks are unable to accommodate a customer's needs, the Banks may arrange for those services to be provided by their correspondents. The Banks have 37 offices located in Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz and Sonoma counties in California.

As of June 30, 1999, the latest date for which the FDIC branch data is available, the deposits of the Banks represented 4.4% of the deposits for all financial service companies in San Mateo County, 4.8% of all deposits in Santa Clara County, 11.0% of all deposits in Santa Cruz County and 2.2% of all deposits in Sonoma County. The deposits of the Banks represent less than 1% of the deposits for all financial service companies in Alameda, Contra Costa and San Francisco Counties. The total deposits of the Banks represents 2.0% of the deposits for all financial service companies in the San Francisco Bay Area, which includes Marin, Napa and Solano Counties in addition to the above seven counties.

Economic Conditions, Government Policies, Legislation, and Regulation

The Company's profitability, like most financial institutions, is primarily dependent on interest rate differentials. In general, the difference between the interest rates paid by the Banks on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and other borrowings, and the interest rates received by the Banks on their interest-earning assets, such as loans extended to their clients and securities held in their investment portfolios, comprise the major portion of the Company's earnings. These rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond the control of Greater Bay and the Banks, such as inflation, recession and unemployment, and the impact which future changes in domestic and foreign economic conditions might have on Greater Bay and the Banks cannot be predicted.

The Company's business is also influenced by the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government and the policies of regulatory agencies, particularly the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the "Federal Reserve"). The Federal Reserve implements national monetary policies (with objectives such as curbing inflation and combating recession) through its open-market operations in U.S. Government securities by adjusting the required level of reserves for depository institutions subject to its reserve requirements, and by varying the target federal funds and discount rates applicable to borrowings by depository institutions. The actions of the Federal Reserve in these areas influence the growth of bank loans, investments, and deposits and also affect interest rates earned on interest-earning assets and paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The nature and impact on Greater Bay and the Banks of any future changes in monetary and fiscal policies cannot be fully predicted.

From time to time, legislative acts, as well as regulations, are enacted which have the effect of increasing the cost of doing business, limiting or expanding permissible activities, or affecting the competitive balance between banks and other financial services providers. Proposals to change the laws and regulations governing the operations and taxation of banks, bank holding companies, and other financial institutions and financial services providers

are frequently made in the U.S. Congress, in the state legislatures, and before various regulatory agencies. See "Item 1. Business--Supervision and Regulation."

11

Supervision and Regulation

General

Bank holding companies and banks are extensively regulated under both federal and state law. This regulation is intended primarily for the protection of depositors and the deposit insurance fund and not for the benefit of shareholders of Greater Bay. Set forth below is a summary description of the material laws and regulations which relate to the operations of Greater Bay and the Banks. The description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the applicable laws and regulations.

Greater Bay

Greater Bay, as a registered bank holding company, is subject to regulation under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (the "BHCA"). Greater Bay is required to file with the Federal Reserve periodic reports and such additional information as the Federal Reserve may require pursuant to the BHCA. The Federal Reserve may conduct examinations of Greater Bay and its subsidiaries.

The Federal Reserve may require that Greater Bay terminate an activity or terminate control of or liquidate or divest certain subsidiaries or affiliates when the Federal Reserve believes the activity or the control of the subsidiary or affiliate constitutes a significant risk to the financial safety, soundness or stability of any of its banking subsidiaries. The Federal Reserve also has the authority to regulate provisions of certain bank holding company debt, including authority to impose interest ceilings and reserve requirements on such debt. Under certain circumstances, Greater Bay must file written notice and obtain approval from the Federal Reserve prior to purchasing or redeeming its equity securities.

Further, Greater Bay is required by the Federal Reserve to maintain certain levels of capital. See "--Capital Standards."

Greater Bay is required to obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve for the acquisition of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class of voting securities or substantially all of the assets of any bank or bank holding company. Prior approval of the Federal Reserve is also required for the merger or consolidation of Greater Bay and another bank holding company.

Greater Bay is prohibited by the BHCA, except in certain statutorily prescribed instances, from acquiring direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the outstanding voting shares of any company that is not a bank or bank holding company and from engaging directly or indirectly in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or furnishing services to its subsidiaries. However, Greater Bay, subject to the prior approval of the Federal Reserve, may engage in any, or acquire shares of companies engaged in, activities that are deemed by the Federal Reserve to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

Under Federal Reserve regulations, a bank holding company is required to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary banks and may not conduct its operations in an unsafe or unsound manner. In

addition, it is the Federal Reserve's policy that in serving as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks, a bank holding company should stand ready to use available resources to provide adequate capital funds to its subsidiary banks during periods of financial stress or adversity and should maintain the financial flexibility and capital-raising capacity to obtain additional resources for assisting its subsidiary banks. A bank holding company's failure to meet its obligations to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary banks will generally be considered by the Federal Reserve to be an unsafe and unsound banking practice or a violation of the Federal Reserve's regulations or both.

Greater Bay is also a bank holding company within the meaning of Section 3700 of the California Financial Code. As such, Greater Bay and its subsidiaries are subject to examination by, and may be required to file reports with, the California Department of Financial Institutions.

12

Greater Bay's securities are registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). As such, Greater Bay is subject to the information, proxy solicitation, insider trading, and other requirements and restrictions of the Exchange Act.

The Banks

The Company has two national banking subsidiaries and eight bank subsidiaries which are California chartered banks and members of the Federal Reserve. The national banks are subject to primary supervision, examination, and regulation by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "Comptroller") and are also subject to regulations of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Federal Reserve. The state chartered banks are subject to primary supervision, periodic examination, and regulation by the California Commissioner of Financial Institutions (the "Commissioner") and the Federal Reserve and are also subject to regulations of the FDIC.

If, as a result of an examination of a bank, the Comptroller or the Federal Reserve should determine that the financial condition, capital resources, asset quality, earnings prospects, management, liquidity, or other aspects of the bank's operations are unsatisfactory or that the bank or its management is violating or has violated any law or regulation, various remedies are available to these regulatory agencies. Such remedies include the power to enjoin "unsafe or unsound" practices, to require affirmative action to correct any conditions resulting from any violation or practice, to issue an administrative order that can be judicially enforced, to direct an increase in capital, to restrict the growth of the bank, to assess civil monetary penalties, to remove officers and directors, and ultimately to terminate the bank's deposit insurance, which for a California chartered bank would result in a revocation of the bank's charter. The Commissioner has many of the same remedial powers.

Various requirements and restrictions under the laws of California and the United States affect the operations of the Banks. State and federal statutes and regulations relate to many aspects of the Banks' operations, including reserves against deposits, ownership of deposit accounts, interest rates payable on deposits, loans, investments, mergers and acquisitions, borrowings, dividends, locations of branch offices, capital requirements, and disclosure of obligations to depositors and borrows. Further, the Banks are required to maintain certain levels of capital. See "--Capital Standards."

Financial Services Modernization Legislation

General. On November 12, 1999, President Clinton signed into law the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the "Financial Services Modernization Act"). The Financial Services Modernization Act repeals the two affiliation provisions of the Glass-Steagall Act: Section 20, which restricted the affiliation of Federal Reserve Member Banks with firms "engaged principally" in specified securities activities; and Section 32, which restricts officer, director, or employee interlocks between a member bank and any company or person "primarily engaged" in specified securities activities. In addition, the Financial Services Modernization Act also contains provisions that expressly preempt any state law restricting the establishment of financial affiliations, primarily related to insurance. The general effect of the law is to establish a comprehensive framework to permit affiliations among commercial banks, insurance companies, securities firms, and other financial service providers by revising and expanding the BHCA framework to permit a holding company system to engage in a full range of financial activities through a new entity known as a Financial Holding Company

The law also:

- broadens the activities that may be conducted by national banks, banking subsidiaries of bank holding companies, and their financial subsidiaries;
- . provides an enhanced framework for protecting the privacy of consumer information;
- . adopts a number of provisions related to the capitalization, membership, corporate governance, and other measures designed to modernize the Federal Home Loan Bank system;

13

- . modifies the laws governing the implementation of the Community Reinvestment Act; and
- addresses a variety of other legal and regulatory issues affecting both day-to-day operations and long-term activities of financial institutions.

Greater Bay and the Banks do not believe that the Financial Services Modernization Act will have a material adverse effect on our operations in the near-term. However, to the extent that it permits banks, securities firms, and insurance companies to affiliate, the financial services industry may experience further consolidation. The Financial Services Modernization Act is intended to grant to community banks certain powers as a matter of right that larger institutions have accumulated on an ad hoc basis. Nevertheless, this act may have the result of increasing the amount of competition that Greater Bay and the Banks face from larger institutions and other types of companies offering financial products, many of which may have substantially more financial resources than Greater Bay and the Banks.

Financial Holding Companies. Bank holding companies that elect to become a financial holding company may affiliate with securities firms and insurance companies and engage in other activities that are financial in nature or are incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature. Greater Bay has not yet elected to become a financial holding company.

"Financial in nature" activities include:

. securities underwriting;

- . dealing and market making;
- . sponsoring mutual funds and investment companies;
- . insurance underwriting and agency;
- . merchant banking; and
- . activities that the Federal Reserve, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, determines from time to time to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

A bank holding company must meet three requirements before becoming a financial holding company:

- . all of the bank holding company's depository institution subsidiaries must be well capitalized, well managed and, except in limited circumstances, in compliance with the Community Reinvestment Act; and
- . the bank holding company must file with the Federal Reserve a declaration of its election to become a financial holding company, including a certification that its depository institution subsidiaries meet the prior two criteria.

Failure to comply with the financial holding company requirements could lead to divestiture of subsidiary banks or require all activities of the company to conform to those permissible for a bank holding company. No Federal Reserve approval is required for a financial holding company to acquire a company (other than a bank holding company, bank or savings association) engaged in activities that are financial in nature or incidental to activities that are financial in nature, as determined by the Federal Reserve. In December 2000, the Federal Reserve approved an interim rule defining the three categories of activities financial in nature or incidental to a financial activity:

- . lending, exchanging, transferring, investing for others, or safeguarding financial assets other than money or securities;
- . providing any devise or other instrumentality for transferring money or other financial assets; or
- . arranging, effecting or facilitating financial transactions for the account of third parties.

14

The interim rule also establishes a mechanism through which financial holding companies or other interested parties may request that the Federal Reserve find that a particular activity falls within one of these three categories. For example, the Federal Reserve has recently issued a proposed rule that would grant financial holding companies the right to act as real estate brokers and managers.

A bank holding company that is not also a financial holding company is limited to engaging in banking and such other activities as determined by the Federal Reserve to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be a proper incident thereto.

Privacy. Under the Financial Services Modernization Act, federal banking regulators are required to adopt rules that will limit the ability of banks

and other financial institutions to disclose non-public information about consumers to nonaffiliated third parties. These limitations will require disclosure of privacy policies to consumers and, in some circumstances, will allow consumers to prevent disclosure of certain personal information to a nonaffiliated third party. Federal banking regulators issued final rules on May 10, 2000. Pursuant to the rules, financial institutions must provide:

- . initial notices to customers about their privacy policies, describing the conditions under which they may disclose nonpublic personal information to nonaffiliated third parties and affiliates;
- . annual notices of their privacy policies to current customers; and
- . a reasonable method for customers to "opt out" of disclosures to nonaffiliated third parties.

The rules were effective November 13, 2000, but compliance is optional until July 1, 2001. These privacy provisions will affect how consumer information is transmitted through diversified financial companies and conveyed to outside vendors. It is not possible at this time to assess the impact of the privacy provisions on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Consumer Protection Rules--Sale of Insurance. In December 2000 pursuant to the requirements of the Financial Services Modernization Act, the federal bank and thrift regulatory agencies adopted consumer protection rules for the sale of insurance products by depository institutions. The rule is effective on April 1, 2001. The final rule applies to any depository institution or any person selling, soliciting, advertising, or offering insurance products or annuities to a consumer at an office of the institution or on behalf of the institution. The regulation requires oral and written disclosure before the completion of the sale of an insurance product or annuity that such product:

- . is not a deposit or other obligation of, or guaranteed by, the depository institution or its affiliate;
- . is not insured by the FDIC or any other agency of the United States, the depository institution or its affiliates; and
- . has certain risks of investment, including the possible loss of value.

The depository institution may not condition an extension of credit on the consumer's purchase of an insurance product or annuity from the depository institution or from any of its affiliates, or on the consumer's agreement not to obtain, or a prohibition on the consumer from obtaining, an insurance product or annuity from an unaffiliated entity. Furthermore, to the extent practicable, a depository institution must keep insurance and annuity sales activities physically segregated from the areas where retail deposits are routinely accepted from the general public. Finally, the rule addresses cross marketing and referral fees.

15

Safeguarding Confidential Customer Information. In January 2000, the banking agencies adopted guidelines requiring financial institutions to establish an information security program to:

- . identify and assess the risks that may threaten customer information;
- . develop a written plan containing policies and procedures to manage and control these risks;

- . implement and test the plan; and
- . adjust the plan on a continuing basis to account for changes in technology, the sensitivity of customer information and internal or external threats to information security.

Each institution may implement a security program appropriate to its size and complexity and the nature and scope of its operations. The guidelines are effective July 1, 2001.

Dividends and Other Transfers of Funds

Dividends from the Banks constitute the principal source of income to Greater Bay. Greater Bay is a legal entity separate and distinct from the Banks. The Banks are subject to various statutory and regulatory restrictions on their ability to pay dividends to Greater Bay. Under such restrictions, the amount available for payment of dividends to Greater Bay by the Banks totaled \$96.0 million at December 31, 2000. In addition, the California Department of Financial Institutions and the Federal Reserve have the authority to prohibit the Banks from paying dividends, depending upon the Banks' financial condition, if such payment is deemed to constitute an unsafe or unsound practice.

The bank regulatory agencies also have authority to prohibit the Banks from engaging in activities that, in the opinion of the applicable bank regulatory authority, constitute unsafe or unsound practices in conducting its business. It is possible, depending upon the financial condition of the bank in question and other factors, that the applicable bank regulatory authority could assert that the payment of dividends or other payments might, under some circumstances, be such an unsafe or unsound practice. Further, the FDIC, the Comptroller and the Federal Reserve have established guidelines with respect to the maintenance of appropriate levels of capital by banks or bank holding companies under their jurisdiction. Compliance with the standards set forth in such guidelines and the restrictions that are or may be imposed under the prompt corrective action provisions of federal law could limit the amount of dividends which the Banks or Greater Bay may pay. An insured depository institution is prohibited from paying management fees to any controlling persons or, with certain limited exceptions, making capital distributions if after such transaction the institution would be undercapitalized. See "--Prompt Corrective Regulatory Action and Other Enforcement Mechanisms" and "--Capital Standards" for a discussion of these additional restrictions on capital distributions.

The Banks are subject to certain restrictions imposed by federal law on any extensions of credit to, or the issuance of a guarantee or letter of credit on behalf of, Greater Bay or other affiliates, the purchase of, or investments in, stock or other securities thereof, the taking of such securities as collateral for loans, and the purchase of assets of Greater Bay or other affiliates. Such restrictions prevent Greater Bay and such other affiliates from borrowing from the Banks unless the loans are secured by marketable obligations of designated amounts. Further, such secured loans and investments by the Banks to or in Greater Bay or to or in any other affiliate are limited, individually, to 10.0% of the respective bank's capital and surplus (as defined by federal regulations), and such secured loans and investments are limited, in the aggregate, to 20% of the respective bank's capital and surplus (as defined by federal regulations). California law also imposes certain restrictions with respect to transactions involving Greater Bay and other controlling persons of the Banks. Additional restrictions on transactions with affiliates may be imposed on the Banks under the prompt corrective action provisions of federal law. See "--Prompt Corrective Action and Other Enforcement Mechanisms."

16

Capital Standards

The federal banking agencies have adopted risk-based minimum capital guidelines intended to provide a measure of capital that reflects the degree of risk associated with a banking organization's operations for both transactions reported on the balance sheet as assets and transactions, such as letters of credit and recourse arrangements, which are recorded as off balance sheet items. Under these guidelines, nominal dollar amounts of assets and credit equivalent amounts of off balance sheet items are multiplied by one of several risk adjustment percentages, which range from 0% for assets with low credit risk, such as certain U.S. Treasury securities, to 100% for assets with relatively high credit risk, such as commercial loans.

The guidelines require a minimum ratio of qualifying total capital to riskadjusted assets of 8% and a minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-adjusted assets of 4%. In addition to the risk-based guidelines, federal banking regulators require banking organizations to maintain a minimum amount of Tier 1 capital to total assets, referred to as the leverage ratio. For a banking organization rated in the highest of the five categories used by regulators to rate banking organizations, the minimum leverage ratio of Tier 1 capital to total assets must be 3%. In addition to these uniform risk-based capital guidelines and leverage ratios that apply across the industry, the regulators have the discretion to set individual minimum capital requirements for specific institutions at rates significantly above the minimum guidelines and ratios.

The federal banking regulators may set capital requirements higher than the minimums described above for holding companies whose circumstances warrant it. For example, a financial institution experiencing or anticipating significant growth may be expected to maintain capital positions substantially above the minimum supervisory levels without significant reliance on intangible assets. The Federal Reserve has also indicated that it will consider a "tangible Tier 1 capital leverage ratio" (deducting all intangibles) and other indications of capital strength in evaluating proposals for expansion or new activities.

Prompt Corrective Action and Other Enforcement Mechanisms

Federal banking agencies possess broad powers to take corrective and other supervisory action to resolve the problems of insured depository institutions, including but not limited to those institutions that fall below one or more prescribed minimum capital ratios. Each federal banking agency has promulgated regulations defining the following five categories in which an insured depository institution will be placed, based on its capital ratios: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized. At December 31, 2000, each of the Banks and the Company exceeded the required ratios for classification as well capitalized.

An institution that, based upon its capital levels, is classified as well capitalized, adequately capitalized, or undercapitalized may be treated as though it were in the next lower capital category if the appropriate federal banking agency, after notice and opportunity for hearing, determines that an unsafe or unsound condition or an unsafe or unsound practice warrants such treatment. At each successive lower capital category, an insured depository institution is subject to more restrictions. The federal banking agencies, however, may not treat a significantly undercapitalized institution as critically undercapitalized unless its capital ratio actually warrants such treatment.

In addition to measures taken under the prompt corrective action provisions, commercial banking organizations may be subject to potential enforcement actions by the federal regulators for unsafe or unsound practices in conducting their businesses or for violations of any law, rule, regulation, or any condition imposed in writing by the agency or any written agreement with the agency.

17

Safety and Soundness Standards

The federal banking agencies have adopted guidelines designed to assist the federal banking agencies in identifying and addressing potential safety and soundness concerns before capital becomes impaired. The guidelines set forth operational and managerial standards relating to:

- . internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems;
- . loan documentation;
- . credit underwriting;
- . asset growth;
- . earnings; and
- . compensation, fees and benefits.

In addition, the federal banking agencies have also adopted safety and soundness guidelines with respect to asset quality and earnings standards. These guidelines provide six standards for establishing and maintaining a system to identify problem assets and prevent those assets from deteriorating. Under these standards, an insured depository institution should:

- . conduct periodic asset quality reviews to identify problem assets;
- . estimate the inherent losses in problem assets and establish reserves that are sufficient to absorb estimated losses;
- . compare problem asset totals to capital;
- . take appropriate corrective action to resolve problem assets;
- . consider the size and potential risks of material asset concentrations; and
- provide periodic asset quality reports with adequate information for management and the board of directors to assess the level of asset risk.

These guidelines also set forth standards for evaluating and monitoring earnings and for ensuring that earnings are sufficient for the maintenance of adequate capital and reserves.

Premiums for Deposit Insurance

Through the Bank Insurance Fund ("BIF"), the FDIC insures the deposits of the Banks up to prescribed limits for each depositor. The amount of FDIC assessments paid by each BIF member institution is based on its relative risk of default as measured by regulatory capital ratios and other factors. Specifically, the assessment rate is based on the institution's capitalization

risk category and supervisory subgroup category. An institution's capitalization risk category is based on the FDIC's determination of whether the institution is well capitalized, adequately capitalized or less than adequately capitalized. An institution's supervisory subgroup category is based on the FDIC's assessment of the financial condition of the institution and the probability that FDIC intervention or other corrective action will be required.

The assessment rate currently ranges from zero to 27 cents per \$100 of domestic deposits. The FDIC may increase or decrease the assessment rate schedule on a semi-annual basis. An increase in the assessment rate could have a material adverse effect on the Company's earnings, depending on the amount of the increase. The FDIC is authorized to terminate a depository institution's deposit insurance upon a finding by the FDIC that the institution's financial condition is unsafe or unsound or that the institution has engaged in unsafe or unsound practices or has violated any applicable rule, regulation, order or condition enacted or imposed by the institution's regulatory agency. The termination of deposit insurance for one or more of the Banks could have a material adverse effect on the Company's earnings, depending on the collective size of the particular institutions involved.

18

All FDIC-insured depository institutions must pay an annual assessment to provide funds for the payment of interest on bonds issued by the Financing Corporation, a federal corporation chartered under the authority of the Federal Housing Finance Board. The bonds, commonly referred to as FICO bonds, were issued to capitalize the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation. The FDIC established the FICO assessment rates effective for the third quarter of 2000 at approximately \$.021 per \$100 annually for assessable deposits. The FICO assessments are adjusted quarterly to reflect changes in the assessment bases of the FDIC's insurance funds and do not vary depending on a depository institution's capitalization or supervisory evaluations.

Interstate Banking and Branching

The BHCA permits bank holding companies from any state to acquire banks and bank holding companies located in any other state, subject to certain conditions, including certain nationwide- and state-imposed concentration limits. The Banks have the ability, subject to certain restrictions, to acquire by acquisition or merger branches outside their home state. The establishment of new interstate branches is also possible in those states with laws that expressly permit it. Interstate branches are subject to certain laws of the states in which they are located. Competition may increase further as banks branch across state lines and enter new markets.

Community Reinvestment Act and Fair Lending Developments

The Banks are subject to certain fair lending requirements and reporting obligations involving home mortgage lending operations and Community Reinvestment Act activities. The Community Reinvestment Act generally requires the federal banking agencies to evaluate the record of a financial institution in meeting the credit needs of its local communities, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. A bank may be subject to substantial penalties and corrective measures for a violation of certain fair lending laws. The federal banking agencies may take compliance with such laws and Community Reinvestment Act obligations into account when regulating and supervising other activities. In December 2000, the federal banking agencies established annual reporting and public disclosure requirements for certain written agreements that are entered into between insured depository institutions or their affiliates and nongovernmental entities or persons that are made

pursuant to, or in connection with, the fulfillment of the Community Reinvestment Act.

A bank's compliance with its Community Reinvestment Act obligations is based on a performance-based evaluation system which bases Community Reinvestment Act ratings on an institution's lending service and investment performance. When a bank holding company applies for approval to acquire a bank or other bank holding company, the Federal Reserve will review the assessment of each subsidiary bank of the applicant bank holding company, and such records may be the basis for denying the application. In connection with its assessment of Community Reinvestment Act performance, the appropriate bank regulatory agency assigns a rating of "outstanding", "satisfactory", "needs to improve" or "substantial noncompliance. The results of the most recent exam for each of the Banks is as follows.

	Date of most recent	
Bank	examination	CRA rating
Bay Area Bank	November 1999	Satisfactory
-		-
Bay Bank of Commerce	October 1997	Satisfactory
Bank of Petaluma	September 1998	Outstanding
Bank of Santa Clara	December 2000	Satisfactory
Coast Commercial Bank	May 1999	Outstanding
Cupertino National Bank	October 1999	Outstanding
Golden Gate Bank	November 1999	Satisfactory
Mt. Diablo National Bank	February 1999	Satisfactory
Mid-Peninsula Bank	November 1999	Outstanding
Peninsula Bank of Commerce	November 1999	Satisfactory

19

Employees

At December 31, 2000, the Company had 1,054 full-time employees. None of the employees are covered by a collective bargaining agreement. The Company considers its employee relations to be satisfactory.

Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations

In addition to the other information contained in this report, the following risks may affect the Company. If any of these risks occurs, our business, financial condition or operating results could be adversely affected.

Failure to successfully execute our growth strategy or to integrate recently acquired subsidiaries could adversely affect our performance.

Our financial performance and profitability will depend on our ability to execute our corporate growth strategy and manage our recent and possible future growth. Although management believes that it has substantially integrated the business and operations of recently acquired subsidiaries, there can be no assurance that unforeseen issues relating to the assimilation of these subsidiaries will not adversely affect us. In addition, any future acquisitions and our continued growth may present operating and other problems that could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our financial performance will also depend on our ability to maintain profitable operations through implementation of our Super

Community Banking Philosophy, which is described earlier. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that we will be able to execute our growth strategy or maintain the level of profitability that we have recently experienced.

Changes in market interest rates may adversely affect our performance.

Our earnings are impacted by changing interest rates. Changes in interest rates impact the demand for new loans, the credit profile of existing loans, the rates received on loans and securities and rates paid on deposits and borrowings. The relationship between the rates received on loans and securities and the rates paid on deposits and borrowings is known as interest rate spread. Given our current volume and mix of interest-bearing liabilities and interest-earning assets, our interest rate spread could be expected to increase during times of rising interest rates and, conversely, to decline during times of falling interest rates. Based on modeling performed as part of out interest rate risk analysis, we believe that the 50 basis point decrease in the target Fed Funds rate by the Federal Reserve announced January 3, 2001 and the additional 50 basis point decrease announced January 31, 2001 will likely result in a 6 to 12 basis point drop in the Company's interest rate spread. With any further declines in interest rates, our ability to proportionately decrease the rates on our deposit sources, particularly MMDA and NOW accounts, may not be possible due to competitive pressures. This may result in a larger decrease in our interest rate spread. Although we believe our current level of interest rate sensitivity is reasonable, significant fluctuations in interest rates may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Bay Area business focus and economic conditions in the Bay Area could adversely affect our operations.

Our Bay Area business focus and economic conditions in the Bay Area could adversely affect our operations. Our operations are located in Northern California and concentrated primarily in Alameda, Contra Costa, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Cruz, Santa Clara and Sonoma counties, which includes the area known as the "Silicon Valley." As a result of this geographic concentration, our results depend largely upon economic and business conditions in these areas. A deterioration in economic and business conditions in our market areas, particularly in the technology and real estate industries on which these areas depend, could have a material adverse impact on the quality of our loan portfolio, the demand for our products and services, which in turn may have a material adverse effect on our results of operations.

20

Many publicly and privately held technology firms have experienced a decline in their stock prices and valuations. At the same time, firms in the technology industry have experienced greater difficulty than in the past in obtaining capital and funding. The inability of such firms to obtain necessary capital and funding would not only adversely affect existing business, but it could also result in a significant slowdown in the growth of the technology industry. Furthermore, the financial weakness of California's three primary energy providers, and shortages in electrical generation capacities may further weaken the California economy and business operations in California and in particular the economy of and businesses operating in the Bay Area. A downturn in the national economy might further exacerbate local economic conditions. The extent of the future impact of these events on economic and business conditions cannot be predicted.

Our future warrant income can not be predicted.

We have historically obtained rights to acquire stock, in the form of

warrants, in certain clients as part of negotiated credit facilities. We may not be able to realize gains from these equity instruments in future periods due to fluctuations in the market prices of the underlying common stock of these companies. The timing and amount of income, if any, from the disposition of client warrants typically depend upon factors beyond our control, including the general condition of the public equity markets, levels of mergers and acquisitions activity, and legal and contractual restrictions on our ability to sell the underlying securities. Therefore, future gains cannot be predicted with any degree of accuracy and are likely to vary materially from period to period. In addition, a significant portion of the income we realize from the disposition of client warrants may be offset by expenses related to our efforts to build an infrastructure sufficient to support our present and future business activities, as well as expenses incurred in evaluating and pursuing new business opportunities.

We are subject to government regulation that could limit or restrict our activities, which in turn could adversely impact our operations.

The financial services industry is regulated extensively. Federal and state regulation is designed primarily to protect the deposit insurance funds and consumers, and not to benefit our shareholders. These regulations can sometimes impose significant limitations on our operations. In addition, these regulations are constantly evolving and may change significantly over time. Significant new laws or changes in existing laws or repeal of existing laws may cause our results to differ materially. Further, federal monetary policy, particularly as implemented through the Federal Reserve System, significantly affects credit conditions for us.

Competition may adversely affect our performance.

The financial services business in our market areas is highly competitive. It is becoming increasingly competitive due to changes in regulation, technological advances, and the accelerating pace of consolidation among financial services providers. We face competition both in attracting deposits and in making loans. We compete for loans principally through the interest rates and loan fees we charge and the efficiency and quality of services we provide. Increasing levels of competition in the banking and financial services businesses may reduce our market share or cause the prices we charge for our services to fall. Our results may differ in future periods depending upon the nature or level of competition.

If a significant number of borrowers, guarantors and related parties fail to perform as required by the terms of their loans, we will sustain losses.

A significant source of risk arises from the possibility that losses will be sustained if a significant number of our borrowers, guarantors and related parties fail to perform in accordance with the terms of their loans. We have adopted underwriting and credit monitoring procedures and credit policies, including the establishment and review of the allowance for credit losses, that management believes are appropriate to minimize this risk by assessing the likelihood of nonperformance, tracking loan performance and diversifying our credit portfolio. These policies and procedures, however, may not prevent unexpected losses that could materially adversely affect our results of operations.

21

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

The Company occupies its administrative offices under a lease which, including options to renew, expires in 2007. The Company owns four of its full

service banking offices and leases 48 additional offices throughout the San Francisco Bay Area. Those leases expire under various dates, including options to renew, through August 2023.

The Company believes its present facilities are adequate for its present needs but anticipates the need for additional facilities as it continues to grow. The Company believes that, if necessary, it could secure suitable alternative facilities on similar terms without adversely affecting operations.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

From time to time, the Company is involved in certain legal proceedings arising in the normal course of its business. Management believes that the outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

There were no submission of matters to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the year ended December 31, 2000.

22

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The Company's stock is traded on the Nasdaq National Market System ("Nasdaq") under the symbol "GBBK". The table below reflects the high and low closing sales prices for the Company's common stock as reported by Nasdaq and cash dividends declared for each reported period. The following information has been restated to reflect the 2-for-1 stock split which became effective on October 4, 2000.

For the period indicated	High	Low	Cash dividends declared
2000 Fourth quarter Third quarter Second quarter First quarter	\$43.31 34.72 24.75 21.13	22.38	\$0.10 0.10 0.075 0.075
1999 Fourth quarter Third quarter Second quarter First quarter	\$21.61 18.00 16.63 15.50	\$16.91 15.94 14.13 13.88	\$0.06 0.06 0.06 0.06

The Company estimates that there were approximately 2,475 shareholders of record at December 31, 2000.

During the year ended December 31, 2000, the Company was added to the S & P MidCap 400 Index and the Nasdaq Financial--100 Index.

ITEM 6. SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA.

Information regarding Selected Consolidated Financial Data appears on pages A-1 through A-2 under the caption "Financial Highlights" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Information regarding Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appears on pages A-3 through A-22 under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Information regarding Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk appears on page A-19 through A-22 under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk" and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Information regarding Financial Statements and Supplementary Data appears A-23 through A-63 under the caption "Consolidated Balance Sheets", "Consolidated Statements of Operations", "Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income", "Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity", "Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows" and "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" and is incorporated herein by reference.

23

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

Not applicable.

24

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT.

The Company intends to file a definitive proxy statement for the 2001 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the "Proxy Statement") with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days of December 31, 2000. Information regarding directors of Greater Bay will appear under the caption "Discussion of the Proposals Recommended by the Board--Proposal 1: "Election of Directors' " in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference. Information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and executive officers will appear under the captions "INFORMATION ABOUT DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS--Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance by Directors and Executive Officers" and "-- Executive Officers" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

Information regarding executive compensation will appear under the captions

"INFORMATION ABOUT DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS--How We Compensate Executive Officers", "--How We Compensate Directors", "--Employment Contracts, Termination of Employment and Change of Control Arrangements", "--Executive Committee's Report on Executive Compensation", "--Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" and "--Performance Graph" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT.

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management will appear under the caption "INFORMATION ABOUT GREATER BAY STOCK OWNERSHIP" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS.

Information regarding certain relationships and related transactions will appear under the caption "INFORMATION ABOUT DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS--Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" in the Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

25

PART IV

ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES, AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

(a) 1. Financial Statements

The following documents are filed as part of this report:

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2000 and 1999	A-23
Consolidated Statements of Operations for each of the years in the	
three-year period ended December 31, 2000	A-24
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for each of the years	
in the three-year period ended December 31, 2000	A-25
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for each of	
years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2000	A-26
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for each of the years in the	
three-year period ended December 31, 2000	A-27
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	A-28
Report of Independent Accountants	A-64

2. Financial Statement Schedules

All financial statement schedules are omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required to be provided or because the required information is included in the financial statements listed above and/or related notes.

3. Exhibits

See Item 14(c) below.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

During the fourth quarter of 2000, the Company filed the following Current Reports on Form 8-K: (1) October 12, 2000 (reporting under Item 5 third quarter 2000 financial results); (2) October 16, 2000 (reporting under Item 5

completion of the Company's merger with Bank of Petaluma); (3) October 17, 2000 (reporting under Item 5 supplemental consolidated financial information relating to the Company's merger with Bank of Petaluma); (4) November 28, 2000 (reporting under Items 5 and 9 mid-fourth quarter financial results and 2001 performance goals); (5) December 1, 2000 (reporting under Item 5 completion of the Company's acquisition of The Matsco Companies Inc.); and (6) December 21, 2000 (reporting under Item 5 declaration of the Company's fourth quarter cash dividend).

(c) Exhibits Required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K

Reference is made to the Exhibit Index on page 29 for exhibits filed as part of this report.

(d) Additional Financial Statements

Not applicable.

26

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 30th day of January, 2001.

Greater Bay Bancorp

/s/ David L. Kalkbrenner

By: _____

David L. Kalkbrenner President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ David L. Kalkbrenner David L. Kalkbrenner	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	January 30, 2001
/s/ Steven C. Smith Steven C. Smith	Executive Vice President, Chief Administrative Officer and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	January 30, 2001
/s/ John M. Gatto	Director	January 30, 2001

John M. Gatto

Director	January 30, 2001
-	
Director	January 30, 2001
Director	January 30, 2001
Director	January 30, 2001
Director	January 30, 2001
	Director Director Director

Rex D. Lindsay

27

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ George M. Marcus	Director	January 30, 2001
George M. Marcus		
/s/ Duncan L. Matteson	Director	January 30, 2001
Duncan L. Matteson		
/s/ Glen McLaughlin	Director	January 30, 2001
Glen McLaughlin		
/s/ Rebecca Q. Morgan	Director	January 30, 2001
Rebecca Q. Morgan		
/s/ Dick J. Randall	Director	January 30, 2001
Dick J. Randall		
/s/ Donald H. Seiler	Director	January 30, 2001
Donald H. Seiler		
/s/ Warren R. Thoits	Director	January 30, 2001
Warren R. Thoits		

/s/ James C.	Thompson	Director	January	30,	2001
James C.	Thompson				
/s/ Thaddeus J.	Whalen, Jr.	Director	January	30,	2001
Thaddeus J.	Whalen, Jr.				

28

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.

Exhibit

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation of Greater Bay Bancorp, as amended and restated
- 3.2 Bylaws of Greater Bay Bancorp, as amended and restated
- 4.1 Rights Agreement (1)
- 4.2 Junior Subordinated Indenture dated as of March 31, 1997 between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee (2)
- 4.3 Officers' Certificate and Company Order, dated March 31, 1997 (2)
- 4.4 Certificate of Trust of GBB Capital I (3)
- 4.5 Trust Agreement of GBB Capital I dated as of February 28, 1997 (3)
- 4.6.1 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of GBB Capital I, among Greater Bay Bancorp, Wilmington Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein dated as of March 31, 1997 (2)
- 4.6.2 Successor Administrative Trustee and First Amendment to Amended and Restated Trust Agreement (4)
- 4.7 Trust Preferred Certificate of GBB Capital I (2)
- 4.8 Common Securities Certificate of GBB Capital I (2)
- 4.9 Guarantee Agreement between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, dated as of March 31, 1997 (2)
- 4.10 Agreement as to Expenses and Liabilities, dated as of March 31, 1997 (2)
- 4.11 Indenture between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Debenture Trustee, dated as of August 12, 1998 (5)
- 4.12 Form of Exchange Junior Subordinated Debentures (filed as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.11 hereto)
- 4.13 Certificate of Trust of GBB Capital II, dated as of May 18, 1998
 (5)

- 4.14 Amended and Restated Trust Agreement of GBB Capital II, among Greater Bay Bancorp, Wilmington Trust Company and the Administrative Trustees named therein dated as of August 12, 1998 (5)
- 4.15 Form of Exchange Capital Security Certificate (filed as Exhibit A-1 to Exhibit 4.11 hereto)
- 4.16 Common Securities Guarantee Agreement of Greater Bay Bancorp, dated as of August 12, 1998 (5)
- 4.17 Series B Capital Securities Guarantee Agreement of Greater Bay Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company dated as of November 27, 1998 (4)
- 4.18 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of December 21, 1999, between Greater Bay Bancorp and the investors identified therein (6)
- 4.19 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of December 22, 1999, between Greater Bay Bancorp and the investors identified therein (6)
- 4.20 Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 22, 2000, by and between Greater Bay Bancorp and the investors identified therein (7)
- 4.21 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2000, by and between Greater Bay Bancorp and the investors identified therein (7)
- 4.22 Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of GBB Capital III, dated as of March 23, 2000 (8)
- 4.23 Indenture, dated as of March 23, 2000, between Greater Bay Bancorp and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (8)
- 4.24 Guarantee Agreement, dated as of March 23, 2000, by and between Greater Bay Bancorp and The Bank of New York, as Trustee (8)

29

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
4.25	Amended and Restated Declaration of Trust of GBB Capital IV, dated as of May 19, 2000 (9)
4.26	Indenture, dated as of May 19, 2000, between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee (9)
4.27	Common Securities Guarantee Agreement, dated as of May 19, 2000 between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company, as Trustee (9)
4.28	Capital Securities Guarantee Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2000, between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wilmington Trust Company,

as Trustee

- 4.29 Junior Subordinated Debenture Certificate, dated November 20, 2000
- 4.30 Capital Security Certificate, Series B, dated November 20, 2000
- 10.1.1 Employment Agreement with David L. Kalkbrenner, dated as of January 1, 1999 (10)(11)
- 10.1.2 Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement with David L. Kalkbrenner, dated as of December 11, 2000 (10)
- 10.2 Employee Supplemental Compensation Benefits Agreement, dated as of January 1, 1998, between Greater Bay Bancorp and David L. Kalkbrenner (10)(11)
- 10.3 Employee Supplemental Compensation Benefits Agreement, dated as of January 1, 1998, between Mid-Peninsula Bank and Susan K. Black (10) (11)
- 10.4 Employee Supplemental Compensation Benefits Agreement, dated as of January 1, 1998, between Cupertino National Bank and David R. Hood (10) (11)
- 10.5 Employee Supplemental Compensation Benefits Agreement, dated as of April 6, 1998, between Greater Bay Bancorp and Gregg A. Johnson (10) (11)
- 10.6 Employee Supplemental Compensation Benefits Agreement, dated as of January 1, 1998, between Greater Bay Bancorp and Steven C. Smith (10) (11)
- 10.7 Greater Bay Bancorp 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (10) (12)
- 10.8 Greater Bay Bancorp Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (10)
- 10.9 Greater Bay Bancorp Change in Control Pay Plan I (10) (12)
- 10.10.1 Greater Bay Bancorp Change in Control Pay Plan II (10) (12)
- 10.10.2 Amendment No. 1 to Greater Bay Bancorp Change in Control Pay Plan II (10)(13)
- 10.11 Greater Bay Bancorp Termination and Layoff Pay Plan I (10)(12)
- 10.12.1 Greater Bay Bancorp Termination and Layoff Pay Plan II (10)(12)
- 10.12.2 Amendment No. 1 to Greater Bay Bancorp Termination and Layoff Pay Plan II (10)(13)
- 10.13 Greater Bay Bancorp 1997 Elective Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended (10)
- 10.14 Greater Bay Bancorp 1996 Stock Option Plan, as amended (10)
- 10.15 Form of Indemnification Agreement between Greater Bay Bancorp and with directors and certain executive officers (2)
- 10.16.1 Agreement, dated November 4, 1999, between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association (13)
- 10.16.2 Letter Amendment and Revolving Line of Credit Note, effective

October 19, 2000, between Greater Bay Bancorp and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association

- 10.17 Line of Credit Agreement and Note, dated as of November 1, 2000, by and between Greater Bay Bancorp and Union Bank of California, N.A.
- 12.1 Statement re Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- 21 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1 Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

30

- Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Form 8-A12G filed with the SEC on November 25, 1998.
- (2) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 5, 1997.
- (3) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-22783) filed with the SEC on March 5, 1997.
- (4) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's 1998 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 17, 1999.
- (5) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 28, 1998.
- (6) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on December 28, 1999.
- (7) Incorporated herein by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on March 24, 2000.
- (8) Incorporated herein by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 12, 2000.
- (9) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on August 1, 2000.
- (10) Represents executive compensation plans and arrangements of Greater Bay Bancorp.
- (11) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on January 31, 2000.
- (12) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 1998.
- (13) Incorporated by reference from Greater Bay Bancorp's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on May 4, 1999.

31

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following table represents the selected consolidated financial information at and for the five years ended December 31, 2000:

	2000)		1999*		1998*		1997*		1996*
	(Dol	Llars	in	thousand	s,	except pe	r s	hare amou	nts)
Statement of Operations Data Interest income	\$ 368,	363	\$	255,377	\$	205,189	\$	165,783	Ş	129,559
Interest expense		400		90,817				56,847		43,044
Net interest income Provision for loan	231,	963		164,560		131,271		108,936		86,515
losses	28,	.096		14,039		8,279		9,131		5,095
Net interest income after provision for										
loan losses Other income		.867 .539		150,521 28,471		122,992 20,996		99,805 18,179		81,420 16,972
Nonrecurringwarrant income				14,508		945		1,162		92
Total other income	45,	525		42,979 105,114		21,941		19,341		17,064
Operating expenses Other expenses	122,	612				89,029		77,727		67,948
nonrecurring				12,160		1,341		(1,700)		
Total operating expenses	122 ,	612		117,274		90 , 370		76 , 027		67 , 948
Income before income tax expense & merger and other related										
nonrecurring costs Income tax expense		780 537		76,226 25,468		54,563 19,105		43,119 15,643		30,536 10,963
-										
Income before merger and other related nonrecurring costs and	70	0.4.0						07.476		10 530
extraordinary items Merger and other related nonrecurring costs, net	/8,	,243		50 , 758		35,458		27,476		19,573
of tax	(19,	,703)		(6,486)		(1,674)		(2,282)		(1,991)
Net income before extraordinary items	58,	.540		44,272		33,784		25,194		17,582
Extraordinary items				(88)						
Net income						33,784				17,582
Per Share Data(1) Income per share (before merger, nonrecurring and extraordinary items)										
Basic Diluted		L.71 L.62				0.95 0.89		0.73 0.68	\$	0.57 0.53
Net income per share Basic		L.42							Ş	0.55

Diluted	1.35	1.10	0.85	0.66	0.48
Cash dividends per share(2)	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.11
Book value per common share	7.69	6.38	5.37	4.78	4.30
Shares outstanding at	7.09	0.30	5.57	4.70	4.30
year end	41,929,173	39,635,048	37,342,950	35,886,162	33,865,656
Average common shares	41 000 000	20 245 000	27 040 000		24 624 000
outstanding Average common and	41,229,000	38,245,000	37,049,000	35,835,000	34,634,000
common equivalent shares outstanding	43,505,000	40,304,000	39,639,000	38,198,000	36,599,000

- * Restated on a historical basis to reflect the mergers described in Notes 1 and 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements on a pooling-ofinterest basis.
- Restated to reflect 2-for-1 stock splits effective on April 30, 1998 and October 4, 2000.
- (2) Includes only those dividends declared by Greater Bay, and excludes those dividends paid by Greater Bay's subsidiaries prior to the completion of their mergers with Greater Bay.

A-1

	2000	1999*	1998*	1997*	1996*
	(Dollars	in thousand	s, except pe	r share amou	nts)
Performance Ratios					
Return on average assets (before merger, nonrecurring and					
extraordinary items) Return on average common shareholders' equity (before merger	1.61%	1.39%	1.37%	1.33%	1.26%
nonrecurring and					
extraordinary items)	24.08%	21.08%	19.02%	16.24%	14.13%
Return on average assets	1.34%	1.33%	1.32%	1.29%	1.13%
Return on average common					
shareholders' equity	19.95%	20.16%	18.29%	15.75%	12.69%
Net interest margin	5.72%	5.39%	5.56%	5.96%	6.11%
Balance Sheet DataAt Period End					
Assets	\$5,130,378	\$3,736,729	\$2,857,246	\$2,235,907	\$1,791,754
Loans, net	3,517,408	2,416,423	1,740,158	1,358,514	1,089,477
Investment securities	962,277	750,516	667,531	464,703	345,107
Deposits	4,165,061	3,262,888	2,463,484	1,935,405	1,570,087
Subordinated debt			3,000	3,000	3,000
Trust Preferred					
Securities	99 , 500	49,000	49,000	20,000	
Common shareholders'					
equity	322,365	252,895	200,697	171,465	145,722
Asset Quality Ratios					

Nonperforming assets**					
to total loans and					
other real estate owned	0.35%	0.28%	0.33%	0.54%	1.01%
Nonperforming assets**					
to total assets	0.25%	0.18%	0.20%	0.34%	0.63%
Allowance for loan					
losses to total loans	2.32%	1.94%	1.85%	1.91%	1.66%
Allowance for loan					
losses to non-					
performing assets	493.59%	690.23%	550.02%	341.80%	133.91%
Net charge-offs to					
average loans	0.38%	0.09%	0.13%	0.20%	0.14%
Regulatory Capital					
Ratios					
Leverage Ratio	8.77%	8.24%	8.13%	8.82%	8.42%
Tier 1 Capital	9.40%	9.75%	10.70%	11.31%	10.89%
Total Capital	10.70%	11.07%	12.59%	12.67%	12.24%

* Restated on a historical basis to reflect the mergers described in Notes 1 and 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements on a pooling-ofinterest basis.

** Excludes accruing loans past due 90 days or more.

A-2

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

Greater Bay Bancorp ("Greater Bay", on a parent-only basis, and the "Company" or "we", on a consolidated basis) is a bank holding company with 10 bank subsidiaries (the "Banks"): Bank of Petaluma, Bank of Santa Clara, Bay Area Bank, Bay Bank of Commerce, Coast Commercial Bank, Cupertino National Bank, Golden Gate Bank, Mid-Peninsula Bank, Mt. Diablo National Bank and Peninsula Bank of Commerce.

GBB Capital I, GBB Capital II, GBB Capital III and GBB Capital IV, which are Delaware statutory business trusts formed for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling trust preferred securities, are also subsidiaries of the Company.

The Company also owns Matsco Lease Finance, Inc. II and Matsco Lease Finance, Inc. III, which are special purpose corporations formed for the exclusive purpose of securitizing leases and issuing lease-backed notes.

The Company also operates through the following divisions: Greater Bay Bank Contra Costa Region, Greater Bay Bank Fremont Region, Greater Bay Bank Santa Clara Valley Commercial Banking Group, Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending Group, Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group, Greater Bay International Banking Division, Greater Bay Trust Company, Matsco, Pacific Business Funding and the Venture Banking Group.

The Company provides a wide range of commercial banking services to small and medium-sized businesses, real estate developers, property managers, business executives, professionals and other individuals. The Company operates throughout the San Francisco Bay Area including Silicon Valley, San Francisco and the San Francisco Peninsula, the East Bay, Santa Cruz and Sonoma County, with 37 full service banking offices located in Aptos, Blackhawk, Capitola,

Cupertino, Danville, Fremont, Hayward, Lafayette, Millbrae, Milpitas, Palo Alto, Petaluma, Pleasanton, Point Reyes Station, Redwood City, San Francisco, San Jose, San Leandro, San Mateo, San Ramon, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley, Sunnyvale, Valley Ford, Walnut Creek and Watsonville.

At December 31, 2000, the Company had total assets of \$5.1 billion, total loans, net, of \$3.5 billion and total deposits of \$4.2 billion.

The Company has participated in nine mergers during the three-year period ended December 31, 2000, as described in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. With the exception of the merger with The Matsco Companies, Inc., all of these mergers were accounted for as a pooling-ofinterests and, accordingly, all of the financial information of the Company for the periods prior to the mergers has been restated as if the mergers had occurred at the beginning of the earliest period presented. The merger with The Matsco Companies, Inc. was accounted for using the purchase accounting method and accordingly The Matsco Companies, Inc.'s results of operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition.

All outstanding and weighted average share amounts presented in this report have been restated to reflect the 2-for-1 stock splits effective on April 30, 1998 and October 4, 2000.

The following discussion and analysis is intended to provide greater details of the results of operations and financial condition of the Company. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the information under "Selected Financial Information" and the Company's consolidated financial data included elsewhere in this document. Certain statements under this caption constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, which involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in such forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include but are not limited to economic conditions, competition in the geographic and business areas in which the Company conducts its operations, fluctuation in interest rates, credit quality and government regulation and other factors discussed in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 under "Item 1. Business--Factors That May Affect Future Results of Operations."

A-3

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes income, income per share and key financial ratios for the periods indicated using three different measurements:

	per share amounts)				
Income	\$70 , 6	667 \$4	46,195	\$3!	5,141
Income per share:					
Basic	\$ 1.	.71 \$	1.21	\$	0.95
Diluted	\$ 1.	.62 \$	1.15	\$	0.89
Return on average assets	1.	.61%	1.39%		1.37%
Return on average shareholders'					
equity	24.	.08%	21.08%		19.02%

	Income (including nonrecurring warrant income) before merger and other related nonrecurring costs and extraordinary items						
	December 31,	Year ended December 31, 1999	December 31,				
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)						
Income Income per share:	\$78,243	\$50 , 758	\$35,458				
Basic	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.96				
Diluted	\$ 1.80	\$ 1.26	\$ 0.89				
Return on average assets	1.79%	1.53%	1.38%				
Return on average shareholders' equity	26.66%	23.16%	19.19%				

	warrant i nonrecurring	(including no ncome, merger costs, other nd extraordin	and other nonrecurring			
	December 31,	Year ended December 31, 1999	December 31,			
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)					
Income Income per share:	\$58,540	\$44,184	\$33,784			
Basic	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.91			
Diluted		\$ 1.10				
Return on average assets	1.34%	1.33%	1.32%			
Return on average shareholders' equity	19.95%	20.16%	18.29%			

Net income for 2000 increased 32.5% to \$58.5 million, or \$1.35 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$44.2 million, or \$1.10 per diluted share, for 1999. 2000 results included nonrecurring warrant income of \$13.0 million (\$7.6 million, net of taxes) compared to \$14.5 million during 1999. In addition, 2000 results included merger and other related nonrecurring costs of

\$30.1 million (\$19.7 million, net of taxes) compared to \$10.3 million (\$6.5 million, net of taxes) in 1999.

Income, including nonrecurring warrant income and before merger and other related nonrecurring costs and extraordinary items, increased 54.1% to \$78.2 million, or \$1.80 per diluted share, in 2000, compared to \$50.8 million, or \$1.26 per diluted share, in 1999.

A-4

The Company's core earnings (income before nonrecurring warrant income, merger and other related nonrecurring costs, other nonrecurring expenses and extraordinary items) for 2000 increased 53.0% to \$70.7 million, or \$1.62 per diluted share, compared to \$46.2 million, or \$1.15 per diluted share for 1999. Based on its core earnings for 2000, the Company's return on average shareholders' equity was 24.08% and its return on average assets was 1.61%. During 1999, the Company's core earnings resulted in a return on average shareholders' equity of 21.08% and a return on average assets of 1.39%.

The 53.0% increase in core earnings during 2000 as compared to 1999 was the result of significant growth in loans and investments. For 2000, net interest income increased 41.0% as compared to 1999. This increase was primarily due to a 32.7% increase in average interest-earning assets for 2000 as compared to 1999. The increases in loans, trust assets and deposits also contributed to the 33.0% increase in loan and international banking fees, service charges and other fees, and trust fees. Increases in operating expenses were required to service and support the Company's growth. As a result, increases in revenue were partially offset for 2000 by a 16.6% increase in recurring operating expenses, as compared to 1999.

Net income for 1999 increased 30.8% to \$44.2 million, or \$1.10 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$33.8 million, or \$0.85 per diluted share, for 1998. 1999 results included nonrecurring warrant income of \$14.5 million (\$8.4 million, net of taxes) compared to \$945,000 during 1998. In addition, 1999 results included merger and other related nonrecurring costs of \$10.3 million (\$6.5 million, net of taxes) compared to \$2.7 million (\$1.7 million, net of taxes) in 1998.

Income, including nonrecurring warrant income and before merger and other related nonrecurring costs and extraordinary items, increased 43.1% to \$50.8 million, or \$1.26 per diluted share, in 1999, compared to \$35.5 million, or \$0.89 per diluted share, in 1998.

The Company's core earnings for 1999 increased 31.5% to \$46.2 million, or \$1.15 per diluted share, compared to \$35.1 million, or \$0.89 per diluted share for 1998. Based on its core earnings for 1999, the Company's return on average shareholders' equity was 21.08% and its return on average assets was 1.39%. During 1998, the Company's core earnings resulted in a return on average shareholders' equity of 19.02% and a return on average assets of 1.37%.

The 31.5% increase in core earnings during 1999 as compared to 1998 was the result of significant growth in loans and investments. For 1999, net interest income increased 25.3% as compared to 1998. This increase was primarily due to a 29.3% increase in average interest-earning assets for 1999 as compared to 1998. The increases in loans, trust assets and deposits also contributed to the 25.3% increase in loan and international banking fees, service charges and other fees, and trust fees. Increases in operating expenses were required to service and support the Company's growth. As a result, increases in revenue were partially offset for 1999 by a 18.0% increase in recurring operating expenses, as compared to 1998.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income increased 41.0% to \$232.0 million in 2000 from \$164.6 million in 1999. This increase was primarily due to the \$1.0 billion, or 32.7%, increase in average interest-earning assets and a 33 basis point increase in the Company's net yield on interest-earning assets. Net interest income increased 25.3% in 1999 from \$131.3 million in 1998. This increase was primarily due to the \$692.9 million, or 29.3%, increase in average interest-earning assets, which was partially offset by the 17 basis point decrease in the Company's net yield on interest-earning assets.

A-5

The following table presents, for the years indicated, condensed average balance sheet information for the Company, together with interest income and yields earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities. Average balances are average daily balances.

				Years ende	d Decembe	r 31,		
		2000			1999			19
				Average			Average	
				(Dollars	in thousa	nds)		_
INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS:								
Fed funds sold Other short term	\$ 196,073	\$ 11,954	6.10%	\$ 203,554	\$ 10,518	5.17%	\$ 156,649	\$
securities Investment securities:				70,847			97,229	
Taxable				559 , 077				
Tax-exempt(2)	167,884							
Loans(3)				2,092,024			1,515,965	i 1
Total interest-earning assets	4,055,745			3,055,473			2,362,590) 2
Noninterest-earning assets	320,950			258,584			203,022	
Total assets		368,363			255,377			
INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES:								
Deposits: MMDA, NOW and Savings Time deposits, over	\$2,112,564	82,918	3.92%	\$1,650,227	55 , 292	3.35%	\$1,223,414	
\$100,000	649,667	35 , 611	5.48%	461,085	21,677	4.70%	326,242	
Other time deposits	158,896		5.22%	166,446			168,606	
Total interest-bearing								
deposits Other borrowings	2,921,127	126,817	4.34%	2,277,758	84,842	3.72%	1,718,262	
Other borrowings	159,696		6.00%	109,872	5,907	5.38%	114,063	3
Subordinated debt			0.00%	607	68	11.20%	3,000)
Total interest-bearing								

Total interest-bearing

liabilities Noninterest-bearing	3,080,823	136,400	4.43%	2,388,237	90,817	3.80%	1,835,325	5
deposits Other noninterest-bearing	848,897			620,555			489,520)
liabilities Trust Preferred	71,618			37,090			24,729)
Securities	81,913			49,000			31,293	3
Shareholders' equity	293,444			219,175			184,745	5
Total shareholders' equity and								
liabilities	\$4,376,695			\$3,314,057			\$2,565,612	2
Net interest income		\$231,963			\$164,560			\$1 ==
Interest rate spread Contribution of interest			4.66%			4.56%		
free funds			1.06%			0.83%		
Net yield on interest- earnings assets(4)			5.72%			5.39%		

(1) Nonaccrual loans are excluded from the average balance and only collected interest on nonaccrual loans is included in the interest column.

- (2) Tax equivalent yields earned on the tax exempt securities are 7.55%, 7.42% and 7.58% for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively, using the federal statutory rate of 34%.
- (3) Loan fees totaling \$8.1 million, \$7.5 million and \$6.8 million are included in loan interest income for 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively.
- (4) Net yield on interest-earning assets during the period equals (a) the difference between interest income on interest-earning assets and the interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities, divided by (b) average interest-earning assets for the period.

A-6

The most significant impact on the Company's net interest income between periods is derived from the interaction of changes in the volume of and rate earned or paid on interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The volume of interest-earning asset dollars in loans and investments, compared to the volume of interest-bearing liabilities represented by deposits and borrowings, combined with the spread, produces the changes in the net interest income between periods. The table below sets forth, for the years indicated, a summary of the changes in net interest income due to changes in average asset and liability balances (volume) and changes in average interest rates (rate). Changes in interest income and expense which are not attributable specifically to either volume or rate are allocated proportionately between both variances. Nonaccrual loans are excluded in average loans.

> Year ended December 31, 2000 compared with December 31, 1999 favorable / (unfavorable) Year ended December 31, 1999 compared with December 31, 1998 favorable / (unfavorable)

	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
		(Dol	lars in th	ousands)		
INTEREST EARNED ON INTEREST-EARNING ASSETS						
Federal funds sold	\$ (398)	\$ 1,834	\$ 1,436	\$ 2,432	\$ (281)	\$ 2,151
Other short term						
investments	(2,924)	757	(2,167)	(1,434)	(216)	(1,650)
Investment securities:						
Taxable	14,642	3,593	18,235	4,219	2,072	6,291
Tax-exempt	1,970	235	2,205	1,577	(118)	1,459
Loans	80,483	12,794	93,277	55,200	(13,263)	41,937
Total interest income	93,772	19,214	112,986	61,995	(11,807)	50,188
INTEREST EXPENSE ON INTEREST-BEARING LIABILITIES Deposits:						
MMDA, NOW and savings Time deposits over	(17,138)	(10,488)	(27,626)	(14,322)	1,010	(13,312)
\$100,000	(9,912)	(4,022)	(13,934)	(6,403)	1,162	(5,241)
Other time deposits	368	(783)	(415)	106	363	469
Total interest-						
bearing deposits	(26,683)	(15,292)	(41,975)	(20,619)	2,535	(18,084)
Other borrowings	(2,926)	(750)	(3,676)	244	664	908
Subordinated debt	34	34	68	268	9	277
Total interest						
expense	(29,575)	(16,008)	(45,583)	(20,107)	3,208	(16,899)
Net increase (decrease) in net						
interest income	\$ 64,198			\$ 41,888		

Interest income in 2000 increased 44.2% to \$368.4 million from \$255.4 million in 1999. This was primarily due to the significant increase in loans, the Company's highest yielding interest-earning asset, and investment securities. Loan volume increases were the result of the continuing economic improvement in the Company's market areas, as well as the addition of experienced relationship managers and significant business development efforts by the Company's relationship managers. The increase was enhanced by an increase in the yield earned on average interest-earning assets. Average interest-earning assets increased \$1.0 billion, or 32.7%, to \$4.1 billion in 2000, compared to \$3.1 billion in 1999. Average loans increased \$809.6 million, or 38.7%, to \$2.9 billion in 2000 from \$2.1 billion in 1999. Average investment securities, Federal funds sold and other short-term securities, increased 19.8% to \$1.2 billion in 2000 from \$963.4 million in 1999.

The average yield on interest-earning assets increased 72 basis points to 9.08% in 2000 from 8.36% in 1999 primarily due to an increase in the average yield on loans. Loans represented approximately 71.5% of total interest-earning assets in 2000 compared to 68.5% in 1999. The average yield on loans increased 58 basis points to 10.02% in 2000 from 9.44% in 1999.

Interest expense in 2000 increased 50.2% to \$136.4 million from \$90.8 million in 1999. This increase was due to greater volumes of interest-bearing liabilities and higher interest rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Average interest-bearing liabilities increased 29.0% to \$3.1 billion in 2000 from \$2.4 billion in 1999. The increase in volume was due primarily to the efforts of the Banks' relationship managers in generating core deposits from their client relationships and the deposits derived from the activities of the Greater Bay Trust Company and the Venture Banking Group. Interest rates increased primarily as a result of increases in market interest rates and as a result of competitive pressures.

During 2000, average noninterest-bearing deposits increased to \$848.9 million from \$620.6 million in 1999.

As a result of the foregoing, the Company's interest rate spread increased to 4.66% in 2000 from 4.56% in 1999, and the net yield on interest-earning assets increased in 2000 to 5.72% from 5.39% in 1999.

Interest income increased 24.4% to \$255.4 million in 1999 from \$205.2 million in 1998, as a result of the increase in average interest-earning assets offset by a decline in the yields earned. Average interest-earning assets increased 29.3% to \$3.1 billion in 1999 from \$2.4 billion in 1998 principally as a result of increase in loans. The yield on the higher volume of average interest-earning assets declined 32 basis points to 8.36% in 1999 from 8.68% in 1998, primarily as a result of increased competition for loans.

Interest expense in 1999 increased 22.9% to \$90.8 million from \$73.9 million in 1998 primarily as a result of the increase in the volume of interestbearing liabilities offset in part by a decline in the rates paid on interestbearing liabilities. Corresponding to the growth in average interest-earning assets, average interest-bearing liabilities increased 30.1% to \$2.4 billion in 1999 from \$1.8 billion in 1998.

As a result of the foregoing, the Company's interest rate spread declined to 4.56% in 1999 from 4.66% in 1998 and the net yield on interest-earning assets declined to 5.39% in 1999 from 5.56% in 1998.

Based on modeling performed as part of out interest rate risk analysis, we believe that the 50 basis point decrease in the target Fed Funds rate by the Federal Reserve announced January 3, 2001 and the additional 50 basis point decrease announced January 31, 2001 will likely result in a 6 to 12 basis point drop in the Company's interest rate spread. With any further declines in interest rates, our ability to proportionately decrease the rates on our deposit sources, particularly MMDA and NOW accounts, may not be possible due to competitive pressures. This may result in a larger decrease in our interest rate spread. Although we believe our current level of interest rate sensitivity is reasonable, significant fluctuations in interest rates may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company incurred certain client service expenses with respect to its noninterest-bearing liabilities. These expenses include courier and armored car services, check supplies and other related items that are included in operating expenses. If these expenses had been included in interest expense, the Company's net yield on interest-earning assets would have been as follows for each of the years presented.

Years ended December 31,

	2000	1999	1998		
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Average noninterest bearing demand deposits Client service expenses Client service expenses, as a percentage of average noninterest bearing demand deposits	2,081	\$620,555 3,226 0.52 %	2,520		
Impact on net yield of interest-earning assets: Net yield on interest-earning assets Impact of client service expense		5.39 % (0.11)%			
Adjusted net yield on interest-earning assets	5.67 %	5.28 %	5.45 %		

The impact on the net yield on interest-earning assets is determined by offsetting net interest income by the cost of client service expense, which reduces the yield on interest-earning assets. The cost for client service expense reflects the Company's efforts to manage its interest expense.

A-8

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses represents the current period credit cost associated with maintaining an appropriate allowance for credit losses. The loan loss provision for each period is dependent upon many factors, including loan growth, net charge-offs, changes in the composition of the loan portfolio, delinquencies, management's assessment of the quality of the loan portfolio, the value of the underlying collateral on problem loans and the general economic conditions in the Company's market area. Periodic fluctuations in the provision for loan losses result from management's assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses; however, actual loan losses may vary from current estimates.

Refer to the section "Financial Condition--Allowance for Loan Losses" for a description of the systematic methodology employed by the Company in determining an adequate allowance for loan losses.

The provision for loan losses in 2000 was \$28.1 million, compared to \$14.0 million in 1999 and \$8.3 million in 1998. In addition, in connection with the mergers described in Note 2 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company made an additional provision for loan losses of \$8.1 million, \$2.7 million and \$183,000 in 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively, to conform to the Company's allowance methodology.

For further information on nonperforming and classified loans and the allowance for loan losses, see "Financial Condition--Nonperforming and Classified Assets."

Other Income

Total other income increased to \$45.5 million in 2000, compared to \$43.0 million in 1999 and \$21.9 million in 1998. The following table sets forth information by category of other income for the years indicated.

	Years ended December 31,		
	2000	1999	1998
	(Dollar:	s in tho	usands)
Service charges and other fees Loan and international banking fees Trust fees ATM network revenue Gain on sale of SBA loans Gain on investments, net Other income	8,162 3,450 2,891 2,190 81	\$ 7,931 4,275 2,990 2,682 2,058 87 8,448	2,752 2,473 2,440 3,490 473
Total, recurring Warrant income		28,471 14,508	•
Total	\$45,525	\$42,979 =====	\$21,941

The increase in other income in 2000 as compared to 1999 was a result of \$3.9 million increase in loan and international banking fees, a \$663,000 increase in service charges and other fees, and a \$460,000 increase in trust fees. These increases were a result of significant growth in total loans, total deposits and trust assets. Other income in 2000 and 1999 includes \$2.1 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, in appreciation recognized on the conversion of equity securities received in the settlement of a loan into a publicly traded equity security. As discussed further below, the warrant income resulted from the sale of stock acquired from clients in connection with financing activities.

The increase in other income in 1999 as compared to 1998 was a result of \$1.5 million increase in loan and international banking fees, a \$1.0 million increase in service charges and other fees, and a \$517,000 increase in trust fees. These increases were a result of significant growth in total loans, total deposits and trust assets.

A-9

Other income in 2000, 1999 and 1998 included warrant income of \$13.0 million, \$14.5 million and \$945,000 net of related employee incentives of \$4.5 million, \$7.3 million and \$396,000, respectively. At December 31, 2000, the Company held approximately 145 warrant positions. The Company occasionally receives warrants to acquire common stock from companies that are in the start-up or development phase. The timing and amount of income derived from the exercise and sale of client warrants typically depend upon factors beyond the control of the Company, and cannot be predicted with any degree of accuracy and are likely to vary materially from period to period.

Operating Expenses

The following table sets forth the major components of operating expenses for the years indicated.

	Years ended December 31,			
		1999		
		in thousa		
Compensation and benefits Occupancy and equipment Dividends paid on TPS Client service expenses Legal and other professional fees FDIC insurance and regulatory assessments Expenses on other real estate owned	21,688 7,842 2,081 4,704	\$ 56,488 17,545 4,201 3,226 3,371 622 13	13,386 2,824 2,520 3,416 553	
Other		19,648		
Total operating expenses excluding nonrecurring costs Contribution to the Greater Bay Bancorp Foundation and related expenses Mergers and other related nonrecurring costs		105,114 12,160 10,331	1,341 2,661	
Total operating expenses	\$152 , 714	\$127 , 605	\$93 , 031	
Efficiency ratio Efficiency ratio (before merger, nonrecurring and		======= 61.48%		
extraordinary items) Total operating expenses to average assets Total operating expenses to average assets		54.45% 3.85%		
(before merger, nonrecurring and extraordinary items)	2.80%	3.17%	3.47%	

Operating expenses totaled \$152.7 million for 2000, compared to \$127.6 million for 1999 and \$93.0 million for 1998. The ratio of operating expenses to average assets was 3.49% in 2000, 3.85% in 1999, and 3.63% in 1998. Total operating expenses include merger and other related nonrecurring costs and contributions to the Foundation and related expenses.

The efficiency ratio is computed by dividing total operating expenses by net interest income and other income. An increase in the efficiency ratio indicates that more resources are being utilized to generate the same (or greater) volume of income while a decrease would indicate a more efficient allocation of resources. The Company's efficiency ratio before merger, nonrecurring and extraordinary items for 2000 was 46.36%, compared to 54.45% in 1999 and 58.47% in 1998.

During 1998, Greater Bay established the Greater Bay Bancorp Foundation ("the Foundation"). The Foundation was formed to provide a vehicle through which the Company, its officers and directors can provide support to the communities in which the Company does business. The Foundation focuses its support on initiatives related to education, health and economic growth. To support the Foundation, the Company contributed appreciated securities which had an unrealized gain of \$7.8 million in 1999 and \$1.3 million in 1998. In 1999, the Company incurred \$4.4 million in compensation and other expenses in connection with these appreciated securities. The Company recorded expenses of \$12.2 million in 1999 and \$1.3 million in 1998 in connection with its Foundation which is included in operating expenses.

A-10

As indicated by the improvements in the efficiency ratio, the Company has

been able to achieve increasing economies of scale. In 2000, average assets increased 32.1% from 1999, while operating expenses, excluding merger, and other nonrecurring items, increased only 16.6%. From 1998 to 1999, average assets increased 29.2%, while operating expenses, excluding merger and nonrecurring costs increased only 18.1%.

Compensation and benefits expenses increased in 2000 to \$64.3 million, compared to \$56.5 million in 1999 and \$47.6 million in 1998. The increase in compensation and benefits is due primarily to the additions in personnel made in 2000 and 1999 to accommodate the growth of the Company.

The increase in occupancy and equipment, legal and other professional fees, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insurance and regulatory assessments and other operating expenses was related to the growth in the Company's loans, deposits and trust assets. The increase in the dividends paid on Trust Preferred Securities was a result of the \$50.5 million in Trust Preferred Securities issued in 2000.

Income Taxes

The Company's effective income tax rate for 2000 was 39.4%, compared to 32.8% in 1999 and 34.9% in 1998. The effective rates were lower than the statutory rate of 42% due to the donation of appreciated securities to the Foundation, state enterprise zone tax credits and tax-exempt income on municipal securities. The reductions were partially offset by the impact of nondeductible merger and other related nonrecurring costs. In 1998, the Company was able to further reduce its effective tax rate through the recognition of certain net operating losses acquired in its merger with Pacific Rim Bancorporation.

Financial Condition

Total assets increased 37.3% to \$5.1 billion at December 31, 2000, compared to \$3.7 billion at December 31, 1999. Total assets increased 30.8% in 1999 from \$2.9 billion at December 31, 1998. The increases in 2000 and 1999 were primarily due to increases in the Company's loan portfolio funded by growth in deposits.

Loans

Total gross loans increased 45.9% to \$3.6 billion at December 31, 2000, compared to \$2.5 billion at December 31, 1999. Total gross loans increased 38.8% in 1999 from \$1.8 billion at year-end 1998. The increases in loan volumes in 2000 and 1999 were primarily due to a strong economy in the Company's market areas coupled with the business development efforts by the Company's relationship managers.

The Company's loan portfolio is concentrated in commercial (primarily manufacturing, service and technology) and real estate lending, with the balance in leases and consumer loans. While no specific industry concentration is considered significant, the Company's lending operations are located in a market area that is dependent on the technology and real estate industries and supporting service companies. Thus, a downturn in these sectors of the economy could adversely impact the Company's borrowers. This could, in turn, reduce the demand for loans and adversely impact the borrowers' abilities to repay their loans, while also decreasing the Company's net interest margin.

A-11

The following table presents the composition of the Company's loan portfolio at the dates indicated.

	As of December 31,										
	2000		1999		1998		1997				
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	Amount %					
				(Dollars	in thousand	s)					
Commercial Term Real Estate	\$1,562,712	44.4 %	\$ 926,075	38.3 %	\$ 657,300	37.8 %	\$ 547,083				
Commercial	967,428	27.5	764,034	31.6	562,388	32.3	412,412				
Total Commercial Real estate	2,530,140	71.9	1,690,109	69.9	1,219,688	70.1	959 , 495				
construction and land	691,912	19.7	479,163	19.8	301,641	17.3	206,448				
Real estate other	176 , 568	5.0	140,852	5.8	114,553	6.6	83,404				
Consumer and other	216,459	6.2	167,257	6.9	149,287	8.6	146,930				
Total loans, gross Deferred fees and	3,615,079	102.8	2,477,381	102.4	1,785,169	102.6	1,396,277	1			
discounts, net	(13,657)	(0.4)	(12,911)	(0.5)	(11,916)	(0.7)	(11,157)				
Total loans, net of deferred fees Allowance for loan	3,601,422	102.4	2,464,470	101.9	1,773,253	101.9	1,385,120	1			
losses	(84,014)	(2.4)	(48,047)	(1.9)	(33,095)	(1.9)	(26,606)				
Total loans, net	\$3,517,408	100.0 %	\$2,416,423	 100.0 % =====	\$1,740,158	100.0 %	\$1,358,514	- 1 =			

The following table presents the maturity distribution of the Company's commercial, real estate construction and land, term real estate--commercial and real estate other portfolios and the sensitivity of such loans to changes in interest rates at December 31, 2000.

	Comr	nercial	es	rm real state nmercial	const	ruction	e	Real state ther
			(Dol	llars in	thous	sands)		
Loans maturing in: One year or less:								
Fixed rate	\$ 2	271,756	\$	23,859	\$ 4	48,155	\$	1,547
Variable rate	5	525 , 118		57,944	58	34,196		26,686
One to five years:								
Fixed rate	1	L33,063	1	17,496		1,929		6,257
Variable rate	2	259,718	1	13,348		38 , 170		28,163
After five years:								
Fixed rate	2	237,592	3	356 , 687		3,639		11,767
Variable rate	1	L35,465	2	298,094	1	L5,823	1	.02,148
Total	\$1,5	562 , 712	\$ 9	967 , 428	\$69	91 , 912	\$1	76,568
	====		==		===		==	

As of December 31,

Nonperforming and Classified Assets

Management generally places loans on nonaccrual status when they become 90 days past due, unless they are well secured and in the process of collection. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, any interest previously accrued and not collected is generally reversed from income. Loans are charged off when management determines that collection has become unlikely. Restructured loans are those where the Banks have granted a concession on the interest paid or original repayment terms due to financial difficulties of the borrower. Other real estate owned ("OREO") consists of real property acquired through foreclosure on the related collateral underlying defaulted loans.

A-12

The following table sets forth information regarding nonperforming assets at the dates indicated.

	As of December 31,							
	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996			
	(Dollars	in thous	ands)				
Nonperforming loans: Nonaccrual loans Restructured loans	\$12,593 		796	1,533	1,828			
Total nonperforming loans OREO		6,551 271	4,807 966	5,970 1,541	9,014 2,224			
Total nonperforming assets	\$12,593	\$6,822	\$5 , 773	\$7 , 511	\$11 , 238			
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 4,428			\$ 273 ======				
Nonperforming assets to total loans and OREO Nonperforming assets to total	0.35%	0.28%	0.33%	0.54%	1.01%			
assets Nonperforming assets and accruing	0.25%	0.18%	0.20%	0.34%	0.63%			
loans past due 90 days or more to total loans and OREO Nonperforming assets and accruing	0.47%	0.28%	0.34%	0.56%	1.25%			
loans past due 90 days or more to total assets	0.33%	0.19%	0.21%	0.35%	0.78%			

At December 31, 2000 and 1999, the Company had \$12.6 million and \$5.7 million in nonaccrual loans, respectively. At December 31, 2000, accruing loans past due 90 days or more included three loans totaling \$3.7 million which were brought current or paid in full in the first two weeks of 2001. All three of these loans had matured in 2000 and were awaiting either payoff or renewal. Excluding these three loans, the Company's ratio of nonperforming assets and accruing loans past due 90 days or more to total loans and OREO was 0.37%.

The Company has three classifications for problem loans: "substandard", "doubtful" and "loss". Substandard loans have one or more defined weakness and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Banks will sustain some

loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. Doubtful loans have the weaknesses of substandard loans with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values questionable; and there is a high possibility of loss of some portion of the principal balance. A loan classified as "loss" is considered uncollectable and its continuance as an asset is not warranted.

Of the \$46 million in classified loans at December 31, 2000, approximately \$13 million in loans are secured by real estate, \$29 million in loans are secured by accounts receivable, inventory and equipment and \$4 million in other loans are secured by personal guarantees and related assets. The classified loans include a variety of borrower types and are not concentrated in any particular industry or niche business. Based on recent appraisals, the average loan to value ratio of the real estate secured loans is 60% and management does not believe that any material losses will be recognized in these classified loans. With respect to the secured commercial loans and the other secured loans, management believes that the related commercial business assets, personal assets securing these loans and the personal guarantees, combined with the allowance for loan losses are adequate to absorb any possible loan losses.

A-13

The following table sets forth the classified loans and other real estate owned at the dates indicated.

	As of December 31,					
	2000	1999	1998			
	(Dollar:	s in thous	ands)			
Substandard Doubtful Loss OREO		\$ 30,725 1,850 2 271	1,376			
Classified loans and OREO	\$ 45,953	\$ 32,848	\$ 21,176			
Classified to total loans and OREO	1.28%	1.33%	1.19%			
Allowance for loan losses to total classified loans and OREO	182.83%	146.27%	156.29%			

With the exception of these classified loans, management was not aware of any loans outstanding as of December 31, 2000 where the known credit problems of the borrower would cause management to have doubts as to the ability of such borrowers to comply with their present loan repayment terms and which would result in such loans being included in nonperforming or classified asset tables at some future date. Management cannot, however, predict the extent to which economic conditions in the Company's market areas may worsen or the full impact that such an environment may have on the Company's loan portfolio. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that other loans will not become 90 days or more past due, be placed on nonaccrual, become restructured loans, or other real estate owned in the future.

Allowance For Loan Losses

The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan

losses based on management's evaluation of risk inherent in the Company's loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by provisions charged against current earnings and reduced by net charge-offs. Loans are charged off when they are deemed to be uncollectible; recoveries are generally recorded only when cash payments are received.

The Company employs a systematic methodology for determining its allowance for loan losses, which includes a monthly review process and monthly adjustment of the allowance. The Company's process includes a periodic loan by loan review for loans that are individually evaluated for impairment as well as detailed reviews of other loans (either individually or in pools). This includes an assessment of known problem loans, potential problem loans, and other loans that exhibit indicators of deterioration.

The Company's methodology incorporates a variety of risk considerations, both quantitative and qualitative, in establishing an allowance for loan losses that management believes is appropriate at each reporting date. Quantitative factors include the Company's historical loss experience, delinquency and charge-off trends, collateral values, changes in non-performing loans, and other factors. Quantitative factors also incorporate known information about individual loans including borrowers' sensitivity to interest rate movements and borrowers' sensitivity to quantifiable external factors including commodity and finished goods prices as well as acts of nature (earthquakes, fires, etc.) that occur in a particular period.

Qualitative factors include the general economic environment in the Company's marketplace, and in particular, the state of the technology industries based in the Silicon Valley and other key industries in the San Francisco Bay Area. Size and complexity of individual credits in relation to lending officers' background and experience levels, loan structure, extent and nature of waivers of existing loan policies and pace of portfolio growth are other qualitative factors that are considered in the Company's methodology.

The Company's methodology is, and has been, consistently followed. However, as the Company adds new products, increases in complexity, and expands its geographic coverage, the Company will enhance its methodology to keep pace with the size and complexity of the loan portfolio. In this regard, the Company has periodically engaged outside firms to independently assess the Company's methodology, and on an ongoing basis the Company engages outside firms to perform independent credit reviews of its loan portfolio. Management believes that the Company's systematic methodology continues to be appropriate given the Company's size and level of complexity.

A-14

While this methodology utilizes historical and other objective information, the establishment of the allowance for loan losses and the classification of loans, is to some extent, based on the judgment and experience of management. In general, management feels that the allowance for loan losses is adequate as of December 31, 2000. However, future changes in circumstances, economic conditions or other factors could cause management to increase or decrease the allowance for loan losses as necessary.

The following table sets forth information concerning the Company's allowance for loan losses at the dates and for the years indicated.

2000 1999 1998 1997 1996 ------ (Dollars in thousands)

Period end loans outstanding	\$3 615 079	¢2 177 381	\$1 785 169	\$1,396,277	\$1 118 616
Average loans	ψ 3, 013 , 073	ΥΖ , Η / /, JOI	φ1 , 703 , 109	Ψ Ι, 390, 277	ŶI, IIO, OIO
outstanding	2,909,204	2,097,090	1,519,643	1,237,733	886,275
Allowance for loan					
losses:					
Balance at beginning					
of period	48,047	33,095	26,606	18,599	13,905
Allowance of entities acquired through					
mergers accounted for					
under purchase					
accounting method	10,927				
Charge-offs:					
Commercial	(11,729)	(2,880)	(2,155)	(2,136)	(1,483)
Term real estate commercial		(12)	(51)	(= 0)	(85)
Commercial		(12)	(51)	(59)	(65)
Total commercial	(11,729)	(2,892)	(2,206)	(2,195)	(1,568)
Real estate					
construction and land			(7)	(243)	(127)
Real estate other					
Consumer and other	(354)	(501)	(397)	(428)	(540)
Total charge-offs	(12,083)	(3,393)	(2,610)	(2,866)	(2,235)
Recoveries:					
Commercial	798	1,182	540	278	523
Term real estate commercial		1	11	C	27
Commercial		1	11 	6	۲ ک
Total commercial	798	1,183	551	284	550
Real estate					
construction and land		7		6	328
Real estate other		7			
Consumer and other	151	364	86	101	156
Total recoveries	949	1,561	637	391	1,034
Net charge-offs	(11,134)	(1,832)	(1,973)	(2,475)	(1,201)
Provision charged to					
income(1)	36,174	16,784	8,462	10,482	5,895
Balance at end of period	\$ 84,014	\$ 48,047	\$ 33,095	\$ 26,606	\$ 18,599
Saranco ao ona or portoa	=========				
Net charge-offs to					
average loans					
outstanding during the	0 200	0.000	0 1 0 0	0.000	0 1 4 9
period Allowance as a	0.38%	0.09%	0.13%	0.20%	0.14%
percentage of average					
loans outstanding	2.89%	2.29%	2.18%	2.15%	2.10%
Allowance as a					
percentage of period					
end loans outstanding	2.32%	1.94%	1.85%	1.91%	1.66%
Allowance as a percentage of					
non-performing loans	493.59%	718.19%	655.22%	426.17%	159.44%
1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				, .	32.2.20

(1) Includes \$8.1 million, \$2.7 million, \$183,000, \$1.4 million and \$800,000

in 2000, 1999, 1998, 1997 and 1996, respectively, to conform to the Company's allowance methodologies which are included in mergers and other related nonrecurring costs.

A-15

The following table provides a summary of the allocation of the allowance for loan losses for specific loan categories at the dates indicated. The allocation presented should not be interpreted as an indication that charges to the allowance for loan losses will be incurred in these amounts or proportions, or that the portion of the allowance allocated to each loan category represents the total amounts available for charge-offs that may occur within these categories. The unallocated portion of the allowance for loan losses and the total allowance is applicable to the entire loan portfolio.

	As of December 31,										
	20	000	1	1999 1998				1997			
	Amount	Category		% of Category to Gross Loans		Category to Gross		Category to Gross			
				(D	(Dollars in thousands)						
Commercial Term real estate	\$32,812	43.23%	\$16,419	37.38%	\$12 , 341	36.82%	\$ 9,422	39.18%	\$ 7		
	14,511	26.76%	7,850	30.84%	3,763	31.50%	2,939	29.54%	2		
Total commercial Real estate construction	47,323	69.99%	24,269	68.22%	16 , 104	68.32%	12,361	68.72%	10		
and land	10,379	19.14%	4,620	19.34%	3,477	16.90%	2,239	14.79%	2		
Real estate term	1,766	4.88%	2,166	5.69%	1,574	6.42%	1,284	5.97%			
		5.99%									
Total allocated	64,880		35,024		23,972		17,890		15		
Unallocated	19,134		13,023		•		8,716		3		
Total	\$84,014	100.00%				100.00%	\$26,606	100.00%	\$18		

No of December 21

At December 31, 2000, the allowance for loan losses was \$84.0 million, consisting of a \$64.9 million allocated allowance and a \$19.1 million unallocated allowance. The unallocated allowance recognizes the model and estimation risk associated with the allocated allowances, and management's evaluation of various conditions, the effects of which are not directly measured in determining the allocated allowance. The evaluation of the inherent loss regarding these conditions involves a higher degree of uncertainty because they are not identified with specific problem credits or portfolio segments. The conditions evaluated in connection with the unallocated allowance include the following at the balance sheet date:

. the strength and duration of the current business cycle and existing general economic and business conditions affecting our key lending areas; economic and business conditions affecting our key lending portfolios;

- . seasoning of the loan portfolio, growth in loan volumes and changes in loan terms; and
- . the results of bank regulatory examinations.

Investment Securities

The Company's investment portfolio is managed to meet the Company's liquidity needs through proceeds from scheduled maturities and is utilized for pledging requirements for deposits of state and local subdivisions, securities sold under repurchase agreements, and Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances. The portfolio is comprised of U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. government agency securities, mortgage-backed securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions, corporate debt instruments and a modest amount of equity securities, including Federal Reserve Bank stock and FHLB stock. The Company does not include Federal Funds sold and certain other short-term securities as investment securities. These other investments are included in cash and cash equivalents. Investment securities classified as available for sale are recorded at fair value, while investment securities classified as held to maturity are recorded at cost. Unrealized gains or losses on available for sale securities, net of the deferred tax effect, are reported as increases or decreases in shareholders' equity.

For the amortized cost and estimated fair value of the investment securities, the maturity of investment securities by security type and additional information concerning the investments portfolio, see Note 3 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

A-16

Deposits

The Company emphasizes developing total client relationships with its customers in order to increase its core deposit base. Deposits reached \$4.2 billion at December 31, 2000, an increase of 27.6% compared to deposits of \$3.3 billion at December 31, 1999. In 1999, deposits increased 32.5% from \$2.5 billion at December 31, 1998. The increase in deposits was primarily due to the continued marketing efforts directed at commercial business clients in the Company's market areas.

The Company's noninterest-bearing demand deposit accounts increased 38.0% to \$1.0 billion at December 31, 2000, compared to \$727.6 million a year earlier.

Money market deposit accounts ("MMDA"), negotiable order of withdrawal accounts ("NOW") and savings accounts reached \$2.1 billion at year-end 2000, an increase of 13.3% from \$1.8 billion at December 31, 1999. MMDA, NOW and savings accounts were 50.0% of total deposits at December 31, 2000, as compared to 56.4% at December 31, 1999.

Time certificates of deposit totaled \$1.1 billion, or 25.9% of total deposits, at December 31, 2000, compared to \$696.4 million, or 21.3% of total deposits, at December 31, 1999.

As of December 31, 2000 and 1999, the Company had \$136.8 million and \$19.3 million, respectively in brokered deposits outstanding.

Other Borrowings

At December 31, 2000 other borrowings consisted of securities sold under agreements to repurchase, FHLB advances, advances under credit lines, and other notes payable. Note 10 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

provides the amounts outstanding, the short and long term classification, other borrowings outstanding during the year and the general terms of these borrowings.

Liquidity and Cash Flow

The objective of the Company's liquidity management is to maintain each Bank's ability to meet the day-to-day cash flow requirements of its clients who either wish to withdraw funds or require funds to meet their credit needs. The Company must manage its liquidity position to allow the Banks to meet the needs of their clients while maintaining an appropriate balance between assets and liabilities to meet the return on investment expectations of its shareholders. The Company monitors the sources and uses of funds on a daily basis to maintain an acceptable liquidity position. In addition to liquidity from core deposits and repayments and maturities of loans and investments, the Banks can utilize brokered deposit lines, sell securities under agreements to repurchase, FHLB advances or purchase overnight Federal Funds.

Greater Bay is a company separate and apart from the Banks. It must provide for its own liquidity. Substantially all of Greater Bay's revenues are obtained from management fees, interest received on its investments and dividends declared and paid by the Banks. There are statutory and regulatory provisions that could limit the ability of the Banks to pay dividends to Greater Bay. At December 31, 2000, the Banks had approximately \$96.0 million in the aggregate available to be paid as dividends to Greater Bay. Management of Greater Bay believes that such restrictions will not have an impact on the ability of Greater Bay to meet its ongoing cash obligations. As of December 31, 2000, Greater Bay did not have any material commitments for capital expenditures.

Net cash provided by operating activities, consisting primarily of net income, totaled \$115.2 million for 2000, \$58.7 million for 1999 and \$38.8 million for 1998. Cash used for investing activities totaled \$1.4 billion in 2000, \$812.6 million in 1999 and \$626.9 million in 1998. The funds used for investing activities primarily represent increases in loans and investment securities for each year reported.

A-17

For the year ended December 31, 2000, net cash provided by financing activities was \$1.3 billion, compared to \$821.8 million in 1999 and \$579.5 million in 1998. Historically, the primary financing activity of the Company has been through deposits. In 2000, 1999 and 1998, deposit gathering activities generated cash of \$902.2 million, \$799.4 million and \$528.1 million, respectively. This represents a total of 70.7%, 97.3% and 91.1% of the financing cash flows for 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively. The 2000 increase in financing activities other than deposits are a result of proceeds from issuance of Trust Preferred Securities of \$50.5 million and the proceeds from the sale of stock of \$30.4 million, as compared to \$26.8 million in 1999. In 1998 the Company entering into \$70.0 million in long-term low cost repurchase agreements and issued Trust Preferred Securities of \$30.0 million which were issued principally to provide capital to the Company (see "--Capital Resources", below).

Capital Resources

Shareholders' equity at December 31, 2000 increased to \$322.4 million from \$252.9 million at December 31, 1999 and from \$200.7 million at December 31, 1998. Greater Bay paid dividends of \$0.35, \$0.24 and \$0.19 per share for the year December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively, excluding dividends paid by subsidiaries prior to the completion of their mergers.

On March 23, 2000, Greater Bay completed a private offering of 648,648 shares of restricted common stock to institutional investors. Proceeds from the offering were \$12,000,000 less placement agent's fees of \$514,000. On December 22, 1999, Greater Bay completed a private offering of 1,070,000 shares of restricted common stock to institutional investors. Proceeds from the offering were \$19,795,000 less placement agent's fees of \$834,000. Greater Bay intends to use the net proceeds from both offerings for general corporate purposes.

In 2000, the Company completed two offerings of Trust Preferred Securities in an aggregate amount of \$50.5 million to enhance its regulatory capital base, while also providing added liquidity. In 1998, the Company issued \$30.0 million in additional Trust Preferred Securities. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, the Trust Preferred Securities qualifies as Tier I capital up to a maximum of 25% of Tier I capital. Any additional portion of Trust Preferred Securities would qualify as Tier 2 capital. As of December 31, 2000, all outstanding Trust Preferred Securities qualified as Tier I capital. As the Company's shareholders' equity increases, the amount of Tier I capital that can be comprised of Trust Preferred Securities will increase.

A banking organization's total qualifying capital includes two components: core capital (Tier 1 capital) and supplementary capital (Tier 2 capital). Core capital, which must comprise at least half of total capital, includes common shareholders' equity, qualifying perpetual preferred stock, trust preferred securities and minority interests, less goodwill. Supplementary capital includes the allowance for loan losses (subject to certain limitations), other perpetual preferred stock, trust preferred securities, certain other capital instruments and term subordinated debt. The Company's major capital components are shareholders' equity and Trust Preferred Securities in core capital, and the allowance for loan losses in supplementary capital.

At December 31, 2000, the minimum risk-based capital requirements to be considered adequately capitalized were 4.0% for core capital and 8.0% for total capital. Federal banking regulators have also adopted leverage capital guidelines to supplement risk-based measures. The leverage ratio is determined by dividing Tier 1 capital as defined under the risk-based guidelines by average total assets (not risk-adjusted) for the preceding quarter. The minimum leverage ratio is 3.0%, although certain banking organizations are expected to exceed that amount by 1.0% or more, depending on their circumstances.

A-18

Pursuant to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991, the Federal Reserve, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the FDIC have adopted regulations setting forth a five-tier system for measuring the capital adequacy of the financial institutions they supervise. The capital levels of the Company at December 31, 2000 and the two highest levels recognized under these regulations are as follows:

		Tier 1	Total
	Leverage	risk- based	risk- based
	ratio	capital ratio	capital ratio
Company	8.77%	9.40%	10.70%
Well-capitalized	5.00%	6.00%	10.00%
Adequately capitalized	4.00%	4.00%	8.00%

The Company's leverage ratio was 8.77% at December 31, 2000, compared to 8.24% at December 31, 1999. At December 31, 2000, the Company's risk-based capital ratios were 9.40% for Tier 1 risk-based capital and 10.70% for total risk-based capital, compared to 9.75% and 11.07%, respectively, as of December 31, 1999.

In addition, at December 31, 2000, each of the Banks, had levels of capital that exceeded the well-capitalized guidelines. For additional information on the capital levels and capital ratios of the Company and each of the Banks, see Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company's financial performance is impacted by, among other factors, interest rate risk and credit risk. The Company utilizes no derivatives to mitigate its credit risk, relying instead on an extensive loan review process and its allowance for loan losses. See "--Allowance for Loan Losses" herein.

Interest rate risk is the change in value due to changes in interest rates. This risk is addressed by the Company's Asset & Liability Management Committee "ALCO", which includes senior management representatives. The ALCO monitors interest rate risk by analyzing the potential impact to the net portfolio of equity value and net interest income from potential changes to interest rates and considers the impact of alternative strategies or changes in balance sheet structure. The ALCO manages the Company's balance sheet in part to maintain the potential impact on net portfolio value and net interest income within acceptable ranges despite changes in interest rates.

The Company's exposure to interest rate risk is reviewed on at least a quarterly basis by the Board of Directors and the ALCO. Interest rate risk exposure is measured using interest rate sensitivity analysis to determine the Company's change in net portfolio value in the event of hypothetical changes in interest rates. If potential changes to net portfolio value and net interest income resulting from hypothetical interest rate changes are not within the limits established by the Board, the Board may direct management to adjust its asset and liability mix to bring interest rate risk within Board-approved limits.

In order to reduce the exposure to interest rate fluctuations, the Company has implemented strategies to more closely match its balance sheet. The Company has generally focused its investment activities on securities with terms or average lives between five and eight years to lengthen the average duration of its assets. The Company has utilized short-term borrowings and deposit marketing programs to shorten the effective duration of its liabilities. In addition, the Company has utilized an interest rate swap to manage the interest rate risk of the Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities, Series B issued August 12, 1998. This interest rate swap is not an "ineffective hedge" and is accounted for under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" as amended by SFAS No. 138, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("SFAS No. 133 and 138").

A-19

Market Value of Portfolio Equity

Interest rate sensitivity is computed by estimating the changes in net portfolio of equity value, or market value over a range of potential changes in interest rates. The market value of equity is the market value of the

Company's assets minus the market value of its liabilities plus the market value of any off-balance sheet items. The market value of each asset, liability, and off-balance sheet item is its net present value of expected cash flows discounted at market rates after adjustment for rate changes. The Company measures the impact on market value for an immediate and sustained 100 basis point increase and decrease (shock) in interest rates. The following table shows the Company's projected change in net portfolio value for this set of rate shocks as of December 31, 2000.

		Projected change
Change in interest rates	Net portfolio value	Dollars Percentage
	(Dollars	in thousands)
100 basis point increase Base scenario 100 basis point decrease	\$896,779 903,804 899,801	\$(7,025) -0.78% (4,003) -0.44%

The preceding table indicates that as of December 31, 2000 an immediate and sustained 100 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates would decrease the Company's net portfolio value by less than 1%. The foregoing analysis attributes significant value to the Company's non-interest-bearing deposit balances.

The market value of portfolio equity is based on the net present values of each product in the portfolio, which in turn is based on cash flows factoring in recent market prepayment estimates from public sources. The discount rates are based on recently observed spread relationships and adjusted for the assumed interest rate changes. Some valuations are provided directly from independent broker quotations.

Net Interest Income Simulation

The impact of interest rate changes on net interest income and net income are measured using income simulation. The various products in the Company's balance sheet are modeled to simulate their income (and cash flow) behavior in relation to interest rates. Income for the next 12 months is calculated for current interest rates and for immediate and sustained rate shocks.

The income simulation model includes various assumptions regarding the repricing relationships for each product. Many of the Company's assets are floating rate loans, which are assumed to reprice immediately, and to the same extent as the change in market rates according to their contracted index. The Company's non-term deposit products reprice more slowly, usually changing less than the change in market rates and at the discretion of the Company. As of December 31, 2000, the analysis indicates that the Company's net interest income for the next 12 months would increase 6.14% if rates increased 200 basis points, and decrease by 5.39% if rates decreased 200 basis points.

This analysis indicates the impact of change in net interest income for the given set of rate changes and assumptions. It assumes the balance sheet grows modestly, but that its structure is to remain similar to the structure at year-end. It does not account for all the factors that impact this analysis including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or secondary impacts such as changes to the Company's credit risk profile as interest rates change. Furthermore loan prepayment rate estimates and spread relationships change regularly. Interest rate changes create changes in actual loan prepayment rates that will differ from the market

estimates incorporated in the analysis. In addition, the proportion of adjustable-rate loans in the Company's portfolio could decrease in future periods if market interest rates remain at or decrease below current levels. Changes that vary significantly from the assumptions may have significant effects on the Company's net interest income.

The results of this sensitivity analysis should not be relied upon as indicative of actual future results.

A-20

Gap Analysis

In addition to the above analysis, the Company also performs a Gap analysis as part of the overall interest rate risk management process. This analysis is focused on the maturity structure of assets and liabilities and their repricing characteristics over future periods. An effective interest rate risk management strategy seeks to match the volume of assets and liabilities maturing or repricing during each period. Gap sensitivity is measured as the difference between the volume of assets and liabilities in the Company's current portfolio that is subject to repricing at various time horizons. The main focus is usually for the one-year cumulative gap. The difference is known as interest sensitivity gaps.

The following table shows interest sensitivity gaps for different intervals as of December 31, 2000:

	-		-	-	ore than Total 5 years sensi
			(Dollars)	
÷ 0.000	*	~	~		<u>^</u>
\$ 3,296	Ş	Ş	Ş ——	Ş Ş	\$
120 000					1 0
138,000					13
22 588	25 395	25 859	163 775	117 957	615,585 97
•		•	•	•	
1,994,000	0/1,000	129,010	540,954	291,110	T19,910 3,01
					 795,495 \$4,72
				=======	
\$2,081,230	\$ 890 , 739	\$ 143,818	\$ 40,718	\$ 3,402 \$	1,325 \$3,16
	429,228		2,000		43
					99,500 9
\$2,081,230	\$1,319,967	\$ 143,818	\$ 42,718	\$ 3,402 \$	100,825 \$3,69
	or one day \$ 3,296 138,000 22,588 1,994,600 \$2,158,484 	or one day 6 months 	or one day 6 months 12 months \$ 3,296 \$ 138,000 22,588 25,395 25,859 1,994,600 671,065 129,676 \$2,158,484 \$ 696,460 \$ 155,536 \$2,081,230 \$ 890,739 \$ 143,818 \$ 890,739 \$ 143,818 <	or one day 6 months 12 months 3 years (Dollars \$ 3,296 \$ \$ 138,000 22,588 25,395 25,859 163,775 1,994,600 671,065 129,676 346,934 \$2,588 25,395 155,536 \$510,708 \$2,158,484 \$ 696,460 \$ 155,536 \$510,708 \$2,081,230 \$ 890,739 \$ 143,818 \$ 40,718 \$2,000	or one day 6 months 12 months 3 years 5 years

	===							
Gap	\$	77,253	\$ (623,507)	\$ 11 , 717	\$467 , 991	\$405 , 673	\$ 694,669	\$1,03
Cumulative Gap	\$	77 , 253	\$ (546,253)	\$(534,536)	\$(66,545)	\$339 , 127	\$1,033,796	\$1 , 03
Cumulative								
Gap/total assets		1.51%	-10.65%	-10.42%	-1.30%	6.61%	20.15%	

The foregoing table indicates that the Company had a one year negative gap of \$(534.5) million, or (10.4)% of total assets, at December 31, 2000. In theory, this would indicate that at December 31, 2000, \$534.5 million more in liabilities than assets would reprice if there were a change in interest rates over the next 365 days. Thus, if interest rates were to increase, the gap would tend to result in a lower net interest margin. However, changes in the mix of earning assets or supporting liabilities can either increase or decrease the net interest margin without affecting interest rate sensitivity. In addition, the interest rate spread between an asset and its supporting liability can vary significantly while the timing of repricing of both the asset and its supporting liability can remain the same, thus impacting net interest income. This characteristic is referred to as a basis risk and, generally, relates to the repricing characteristics of short-term funding sources such as certificates of deposit.

Gap analysis has certain limitations. Measuring the volume of repricing or maturing assets and liabilities does not always measure the full impact on the portfolio value of equity or net interest income. Gap analysis does not account for rate caps on products; dynamic changes such as increasing prepay speeds as interest rates decrease, basis risk, or the benefit of non-rate funding sources. The relation between product rate repricing and market rate changes (basis risk) is not the same for all products. The majority of the Company's loan portfolio reprices quickly and completely following changes in market rates, while non-term deposit rates in general move more slowly and usually incorporate only a fraction of the change in rates. Products categorized as non-rate sensitive, such as its noninterest-bearing demand deposits, in the Gap analysis behave like long term fixed rate funding sources. Both of these factors tend to make the Company's actual behavior more asset sensitive than is indicated in the Gap analysis. In fact the Company experiences higher net interest income when rates rise, opposite what is indicated by the Gap analysis. Therefore management uses income simulation, net interest income rate shocks and market value of portfolio equity as its primary interest rate risk management tools.

A-21

Recent Accounting Developments

New Accounting Pronouncement

In September 2000, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 140, "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS No. 140"). SFAS No. 140 replaces SFAS No. 125 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities" ("SFAS No. 125"), issued in June 1996. It revises the standards for accounting for securitizations and other transfers of financial assets and collateral and requires certain disclosures, but it carries over most of SFAS No. 125's provisions without reconsideration.

SFAS No. 140 is effective for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishments of liabilities occurring after March 31, 2001. SFAS No. 140 is effective for recognition and reclassification of collateral and for disclosures relating to securitization transactions and collateral for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2000. Disclosures about securitizations and

collateral accepted need not be reported for periods ending on or before December 15, 2000, for which financial statements are presented for comparative purposes. SFAS No. 140 is to be applied prospectively with certain exceptions.

Implementation of SFAS No. 140 is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Business Combinations

In September 1999, the FASB issued an Exposure Draft of a proposed SFAS, "Business Combinations and Intangible Assets". In December 2000, the Board tentatively concluded that upon the effective date of the final statement on business combinations and intangible assets, goodwill would no longer be amortized. This conclusion includes existing goodwill as well as goodwill arising subsequent to the effective date of the final statement. Goodwill must be reviewed for impairment upon the occurrence of certain triggering events. The FASB has also reached tentative conclusions on the future of the poolingof-interest method of accounting for business combinations. These tentative decisions include the decision that the pooling-of-interests method of accounting will no longer be an acceptable method to account for business combinations between independent parties and that there should be a single method of accounting for all business combinations, and that method is the purchase method. The FASB agreed that the purchase method should be applied prospectively to business combination transactions that are initiated after the final standard is issued. The FASB is currently redeliberating its position as to retaining the pooling method. The FASB is currently anticipating issuing a final statement during the second quarter of 2001.

A portion of the Company's business strategy is to pursue acquisition opportunities so as to expand its market presence and maintain growth levels. A change in accounting for business combinations could have a negative impact on the Company's ability to realize those business strategies.

A-22

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	A	As of December 31,			
		2000		1999*	
		(Dollars in thousands)			
ASSETS					
Cash and due from banks Federal funds sold Other short term securities	\$			147,222 219,600 29,507	
Cash and cash equivalents Investment securities:		408,830		396,329	
Available for sale, at fair value Held to maturity, at amortized cost (fair value		578,172		489,448	
2000: \$364,787 1999: \$228,754) Other securities		354,454 29,651		236,468 24,600	

Investment securities Total loans: Commercial Term real estatecommercial	962 , 277	750,516
Commercial		
	1 5 () 7 1 0	000 075
	967 128	926,075 764,034
Total commercial	2,530,140	1,690,109
Real estate construction and land	691 , 912	479,163
Real estate other		140,852
Consumer and other	216,459	
Deferred loan fees and discounts	(13,657)	
Total loans, net of deferred fees	3 601 422	2,464,470
Allowance for loan losses		(48,047)
Total loans, net		2,416,423
Property, premises and equipment, net	33,860	37,597
Interest receivable and other assets		135,864
	\$5,130,378	
		=======
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Total deposits	\$4,165,061	\$3,262,888
Other borrowings	431,228	117,052
Other liabilities	112,224	54,894
Total liabilities	4,708,513	3,434,834
Company obligated mandatorily redeemable cumulative trust preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding solely junior subordinated debentures	99,500	49,000
Commitments and contingencies		
<pre>Shareholders' Equity: Preferred stock, no par value: 4,000,000 shares authorized; none issued Common stock, no par value **: 80,000,000 shares authorized; 41,929,173 and 39,635,048</pre>		
shares issued and outstanding as of December 31,		
2000 and 1999, respectively	173,276	
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(6,552)	
Retained earnings	155,641	113,442
Total shareholders' equity	322,365	252 , 895
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$5,130,378	\$3,736,729

*Restated on a historical basis to reflect the mergers described in notes 1 and 2 on a pooling of interests basis.

**Restated to reflect 2-for-1 stock split effective on October 4, 2000.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years ended December 31,		
		1999*	
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share amounts)		
Interest Income Interest on loans	\$290 , 757	\$197,480	\$155 , 543
Interest on investment securities: Taxable	55 , 235	37,000	30,709
Taxexempt		6,549	5,090
Total interest on investment securities Other interest income	63,989 13,617	43,549	35,799 13,847
Total interest income	368,363		205,189
Interest Expense Interest on deposits Interest on long term borrowings Interest on other borrowings	126,817 1,203 8,380	84,842 4,463	66,758 3,902 3,258
Total interest expense	136,400	90,817	73,918
Net interest income Provision for loan losses	231,963 28,096	164,560 14,039	131,271 8,279
Net interest income after provision for loan losses			122,992
Other Income Service charges and other fees Loan and international banking fees Trust fees ATM network revenue Gain on sale of SBA loans Gain on sale of investments, net Warrant income, net Other income	3,450 2,891 2,190 81 12,986	2,990 2,682 2,058 87 14,508	6,906 2,752 2,473 2,440 3,490 473 945 2,462
Total	45,525	42,979	21,941
Operating Expenses Compensation and benefits Occupancy and equipment Dividends paid on Trust Preferred Securities Merger and other related nonrecurring costs Contribution to the Foundation and related expenses, net Other expenses		17,545 4,201 10,331 12,160	13,386 2,824
Total operating expenses	152 , 714	127 , 605	93,031
Net income before provision for income taxes			

65

Edgar Filing:	GREATER BAY	BANCORP	- Form ⁻	10-K

and extraordinary items	96 , 678	65 , 895	51,902
Provision for income taxes	38,138	21,623	18,118
Net income before extraordinary items	58,540	44,272	33,784
Extraordinary itemdebt redemption premiums		(88)	
Net income	\$ 58,540	\$ 44,184	\$ 33,784
	========		
Net income per sharebasic**	\$ 1.42	\$ 1.16	\$ 0.91
Net income per sharediluted**	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.85

*Restated on a historical basis to reflect the mergers described in notes 1 and 2 on a pooling of interests basis.

** Restated to reflect 2-for-1 stock splits effective on April 30, 1998 and October 4, 2000.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

A-24

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Years ended December 31,		
	2000	1999*	1998*
		s in thous	ands)
Net income	\$58,540	\$ 44,184	\$33,784
Other comprehensive income: Unrealized gains on securities: Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period (net of taxes of \$2,864, \$(8,364) and \$282 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively) Less: reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income (net of taxes of \$34, \$36 and \$195 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998,	3,915	(12,064)	(152)
respectively)		51	
Net change Cash flow hedge:		(12,013)	
Cumulative transition effect of adopting SFAS No. 133 (net of taxes of \$(744)) as of October 1, 1998 Change in market value of hedge during the period (net of taxes of \$1,034, \$1,092 and \$294 for the years ended December 31, 2000,			(1,063)
1999 and 1998, respectively) Less: reclassification adjustment for swap settlements in net income (net of taxes of	(1,413)	2,325	418

\$41, \$(60) and \$(23) for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998,			
respectively)	57	(144)	(32)
Net change Other comprehensive income (loss)	. , ,	2,181 (9,832)	(677) (551)
Comprehensive income	\$61,146	\$ 34,352	\$33,233

* Restated on a historical basis to reflect the mergers described in notes 1 and 2 on a pooling of interests basis.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

A-25

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

For the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998

	Accumulat Common stock other comprehens			Retained	Total	
			income/(loss)			
	(Dollar	s in thous	ands, except p	er share a	mounts)	
Greater Bay Bancorp, prior to pooling Shares issued to, and retained earnings of, acquired entities: Pacific Rim	16,112,364	\$ 44,218	\$ 338	\$ 22,040	\$ 66,596	
Bancorporation Pacific Business	1,901,496	8,000	(115)	1,776	9,661	
Funding Corporation Bay Area Bancorp Bay Commercial Services Mt. Diablo Bancshares Coast Bancorp Bank of Santa Clara Bank of Petaluma	596,000 2,709,943 1,474,179 2,315,633 5,586,699 3,658,332 1,531,516	5,376 3,671 8,592 11,011 13,697	 (2) (7) 3 693 315	311	11,988 10,173 8,906 27,764 24,881	
Balance, December 31, 1997, restated to reflect pooling Net income	35,886,162	102,470	1,225	•	171,465 33,787	
Other comprehensive loss, net of taxes Stock options exercised, including related tax			(551)		(551)	
benefit Stock issued in Employee Stock Purchase Plan		5,169 656		(32)	5,137 656	

401(k) employee stock purchase Stock repurchase by Bay Area Bancshares, Bay	72 , 966	1,060			1,060
Commercial Services and Coast Bancorp Pacific Business Funding	(169,968)	(604)		(2,190)	(2,794)
Corporation distribution Stock dividend by Coast				(1,163)	(1,163)
Bancorp and Bank of Santa Clara Stock issued in Dividend	773,975	12,822		(12,822)	
Reinvestment Plan Cash dividend \$0.19 per	5,322	73			73
share***				(6,973)	(6,973)
Balance, December 31, 1998* Net income	37,342,950 	121,646 	674	78,377 44,184	200,697 44,184
Other comprehensive loss, net of taxes Stock options exercised,			(9,832)		(9,832)
including related tax benefit	1,036,118	5,385		(59)	5,326
Stock issued in Employee Stock Purchase Plan 401(k) employee stock	83,302	1,031			1,031
purchase Stock issued in Dividend	76,010	1,205			1,205
Reinvestment Plan Pacific Business Funding	26,668	383			383
Corporation distribution				(40)	(40)
Stock issued through private placement Cash dividend \$0.24 per	1,070,000	18,961			18,961
share***				(9,020)	(9,020)
Balance, December 31, 1999* Net income	39,635,048 	148,611	(9,158)	113,442 58,540	252,895 58,540
Other comprehensive loss, net of taxes Stock options exercised,			2,606		2,606
including related tax benefit	1,451,314	9,233			9,233
Stock issued in Employee Stock Purchase Plan 401(k) employee stock	93,356	1,538			1,538
purchase Stock issued in Dividend	82,015	1,982			1,982
Reinvestment Plan Stock issued through	18,792	465			465
private placement Cash paid in lieu of	648,648	11,476			11,476
<pre>fractional shares Cash dividend \$0.40 per share***</pre>		(29)			(29)
SHUTG				(16,341)	(16,341)
Balance, December 31, 2000	41,929,173	\$173 , 276	\$(6 , 552)	\$155 , 641	\$322 , 365

* Restated on a historical basis to reflect the mergers described in notes 1 and 2 on a pooling of interests basis.

- ** Restated to reflect 2-for-1 stock splits effective on April 30, 1998 and October 4, 2000.
- *** Excluding dividends paid by Greater Bay's subsidiaries prior to the completion of their mergers with Greater Bay, Greater Bay paid dividends of \$0.35, \$0.24 and \$0.19 per share for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

A-26

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years ended December 31,				
	2000 1999* 3			1998*	
	(Dollars in thousands))
Cash flowsoperating activities					
Net income	\$ 58,	,540 \$	\$ 44,184	\$	33,785
Reconcilement of net income to net cash from operations:					
Provision for loan losses	47	101	16,784		8 462
Depreciation and amortization			6,726		
Deferred income taxes			(7,022)		
Gain on sale of investments, net			(87)		
Gain on sale of bank premises			(535)		
Changes in:			(,		
Accrued interest receivable and other					
assets	(49)	,424)	(31,297)		(15,257)
Accrued interest payable and other					
liabilities	59,	634	25,452		8,378
Deferred loan fees and discounts, net		746	4,505		2,551
Operating cash flows, provided by		,158	58,710		38,739
Cash flowsinvesting activities					
Maturities and partial paydowns on					
investment securities:					
Held to maturity	121	676	96,842		43,260
Available for sale	49	246	96,753		107,855
Purchase of investment securities:					
Held to maturity	(246)	,076)	(126,506)		(37,232)
Available for sale	(184,	,248)	(201,272)	()	277,145)
Other securities	(5,	,051)	(13,664)		(207)
Proceeds from sale of available for sale					
securities			53 , 471		
Loans, net			(702,985)	(714,748)
Loans acquired from business acquisition	(274,	,292)			

Payment for business acquisition, net of	2 000		
cash acquired Purchase of property, premises and	3,998		
equipment	(11, 250)	(8,687)	(10.000)
Sale of banking premises	5,502	2,637	(10,000)
Investment in other real estate owned			(500)
Sale of other real estate owned	224		
Purchase of insurance policies	(12,749)	(9,206)	
Investing cash flows, used in	(1,377,830)		
Cash flowsfinancing activities			
Net increase in deposits	902,173	799,403	528,078
Net change in other borrowings-short term			(41,951)
Proceeds from other borrowings-long term	126,309	2,015	70,000
Principal repayment-long term borrowings	(10,000)	(3,775)	(2,265)
Proceeds from company obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred securities of subsidiary trusts holding			
solely junior subordinated debentures	50,500		30.000
Proceeds from sale of common stock	24,665	 26,759	5,305
Repurchase of common stock			
Cash dividends	(16,341)	(9,019)	. , ,
Financing cash flows, provided by	1,275,173	821 , 772	579 , 543
Net change in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of		67,865	
period	396,329	328,464	337,087
Cash and cash equivalents at end of			
period	\$ 408,830		
Cash flowssupplemental disclosures Cash paid during the period for:			
Interest	\$ 141,325	\$ 100,944	
Income taxes	\$ 20,552	\$ 19,146	\$ 20,194
Non-cash transactions:			
Non-cash transactions: Additions to other real estate owned	\$	Ś –	\$ 150
Additions to other real estate owned	Ş —— =========		•
Transfer of appreciated securities to the			
Greater Bay Bancorp Foundation	\$ 7,200	\$ 560	\$ 1,341
- 4		=======	

 \star Restated on a historical basis to reflect the mergers described in notes 1 and 2 on a pooling of interests basis.

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

A-27

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Years Ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998

NOTE 1--SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Organization and Nature of Operations

Greater Bay Bancorp ("Greater Bay", on a parent-only basis, and the "Company" or "we", on a consolidated basis) is a bank holding company with 10 bank subsidiaries (the "Banks"): Bank of Petaluma, Bank of Santa Clara, Bay Area Bank, Bay Bank of Commerce, Coast Commercial Bank, Cupertino National Bank, Golden Gate Bank, Mid-Peninsula Bank, Mt. Diablo National Bank and Peninsula Bank of Commerce.

GBB Capital I, GBB Capital II, GBB Capital III and GBB Capital IV, which are Delaware statutory business trusts formed for the exclusive purpose of issuing and selling trust preferred securities, are also subsidiaries of the Company.

The Company also owns Matsco Lease Finance, Inc. II and Matsco Lease Finance, Inc. III, which are special purpose corporations formed for the exclusive purpose of securitizing leases and issuing lease-backed notes.

The Company also operates through the following divisions: Greater Bay Bank Contra Costa Region, Greater Bay Bank Fremont Region, Greater Bay Bank Santa Clara Valley Commercial Banking Group, Greater Bay Bank SBA Lending Group, Greater Bay Corporate Finance Group, Greater Bay International Banking Division, Greater Bay Trust Company, Matsco, Pacific Business Funding and the Venture Banking Group.

The Company provides a wide range of commercial banking services to small and medium-sized businesses, real estate developers, property managers, business executives, professionals and other individuals. The Company operates throughout the San Francisco Bay Area including Silicon Valley, San Francisco and the San Francisco Peninsula, the East Bay, Santa Cruz and Sonoma County, with 37 full service banking offices located in Aptos, Blackhawk, Capitola, Cupertino, Danville, Fremont, Hayward, Lafayette, Millbrae, Milpitas, Palo Alto, Petaluma, Pleasanton, Point Reyes Station, Redwood City, San Francisco, San Jose, San Leandro, San Mateo, San Ramon, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley, Sunnyvale, Valley Ford, Walnut Creek and Watsonville.

At December 31, 2000, the Company had total assets of \$5.1 billion, total loans, net, of \$3.5 billion and total deposits of \$4.2 billion.

The Company has participated in nine mergers during the three-year period ended December 31, 2000, as described in Note 2. With the exception of the merger with The Matsco Companies, Inc., all of these mergers were accounted for as a pooling-of-interests and, accordingly, all of the financial information of the Company for the periods prior to the mergers has been restated as if the mergers had occurred at the beginning of the earliest period presented. The merger with The Matsco Companies, Inc. was accounted for using the purchase accounting method and accordingly The Matsco Companies, Inc.'s results of operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition.

Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Greater Bay, its subsidiaries and operating divisions. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years' consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2000 presentation. The accounting and reporting policies of the Company conform to generally accepted accounting principles and the prevailing practices within the banking industry.

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of certain assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of certain revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

For purposes of reporting cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, amounts due from banks, Federal Funds sold and agency securities with original maturities of less than ninety days. Generally, Federal Funds are sold for one-day periods. The Banks are required by the Federal Reserve System to maintain noninterest-earning cash reserves against certain of their deposit accounts. At December 31, 2000, the required combined reserves totaled approximately \$18.0 million.

Investment Securities

The Company classifies its investment securities in accordance with SFAS No. 115, "Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities." Investment securities classified as held to maturity are reported at amortized cost; available for sale securities are reported at fair value with net unrealized gains and losses reported, net of taxes, as a component of shareholders' equity. The Company does not have any trading securities.

A decline in the fair value of any available for sale or held to maturity security below cost that is deemed other than temporary, results in a charge to earnings and the corresponding establishment of a new cost basis for the security.

Premiums and discounts are amortized or accreted over the life of the related security as an adjustment to yield using the effective interest method. Dividend and interest income is recognized when earned. Realized gains and losses for securities classified as available for sale and held to maturity are included in earnings and are derived using the specific identification method for determining the cost of securities sold.

Required investments in Federal Reserve Bank and FHLB stocks for the Banks and investments in venture funds are classified as other securities and are recorded at market value.

Loans

Loans held for investment are carried at amortized cost. The Company's loan portfolio consists primarily of commercial and real estate loans generally collateralized by first and second deeds of trust on real estate as well as business assets and personal property.

Interest income is accrued on the outstanding loan balances using the simple interest method. Loans are generally placed on nonaccrual status when the borrowers are past due 90 days or when full payment of principal or interest is not expected. At the time a loan is placed on nonaccrual status, any interest income previously accrued but not collected is generally reversed and amortization of deferred loan fees is discontinued. Interest accruals are

resumed on such loans only when they are brought fully current with respect to interest and principal and when, in the judgment of management, the loans are estimated to be fully collectible as to both principal and interest.

The Company charges loan origination and commitment fees. Net loan origination fees and costs are deferred and amortized to interest income over the life of the loan, using the effective interest method. Loan commitment fees are amortized to interest income over the commitment period.

A-29

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

When a loan is sold, unamortized fees and capitalized direct costs are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. Other loan fees and charges representing service costs for the repayment of loans, for delinquent payments or for miscellaneous loan services are recognized when earned.

Sale and Servicing of Small Business Administration Loans

The Company originates loans to customers under Small Business Administration ("SBA") programs that generally provide for SBA guarantees of 70% to 90% of each loan. The Company generally sells the guaranteed portion of the majority of the loans to an investor and retains the unguaranteed portion and servicing rights in its own portfolio. Funding for the SBA programs depend on annual appropriations by the U.S. Congress.

Gains on these sales are earned through the sale of the guaranteed portion of the loan for an amount in excess of the adjusted carrying value of the portion of the loan sold. The Company allocates the carrying value of such loans between the portion sold, the portion retained and a value assigned to the right to service the loan. The difference between the adjusted carrying value of the portion retained and the face amount of the portion retained is amortized to interest income over the life of the related loan using a method which approximates the interest method.

Accounting for Direct Financing Leases

Lease contracts are categorized as direct financing leases for financial reporting purposes if they conform to the definition of direct financing leases set out in statement of SFAS No. 13 "Accounting for Leases". At the time a leasing transaction is executed, the Company records on their balance sheet the gross lease receivable, estimated residual value of leased equipment, and unearned lease income. Unearned lease income represents the excess of the gross lease receivable plus the estimated residual value over the cost of the equipment leased. Unearned lease income is recognized as leasing income over the term of the lease so as to reflect an approximate constant periodic rate of return on the net investment in the lease.

Allowance for Loan Losses

In accordance with SFAS No. 114, "Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan," as amended by SFAS No. 118 ("SFAS No. 114 and No. 118"), a loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Under these standards, any allowance on impaired loans is generally based on one of three methods. It requires that impaired loans be measured at either, 1) the present value of expected cash flows at the loan's effective

interest rate, 2) the loan's observable market price, or 3) the fair value of the collateral of the loan. In general, these statements are not applicable to large groups of smaller-balance loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment such as credit cards, residential mortgage, consumer installment loans and certain small business loans. Income recognition on impaired loans conforms to the method the Company uses for income recognition on nonaccrual loans.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level deemed appropriate by management to adequately provide for known losses in the loan portfolio. The allowance is based upon a number of factors, including prevailing and anticipated economic trends, industry experience, estimated collateral values, management's assessment of credit risk inherent in the portfolio, delinquency trends, historical loss experience, specific problem loans and other relevant factors.

Additions to the allowance, in the form of provisions, are reflected in current operating results, while charge-to the allowance are made when a loss is determined to have occurred. Because the allowance for loan losses is based on estimates, ultimate losses may vary from the current estimates.

A-30

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

Other Real Estate Owned

OREO consists of properties acquired through foreclosure and is stated at the lower of carrying value or fair value less estimated costs to sell. Development and improvement costs relating to the OREO are capitalized. Estimated losses that result from the ongoing periodic valuation of these properties are charged to current earnings with a provision for losses on foreclosed property in the period in which they are identified. The resulting allowance for OREO losses is decreased when the property is sold. Operating expenses of such properties, net of related income, are included in other expenses. Gains and losses on the disposition of OREO are included in other income.

Property, Premises and Equipment

Property, premises and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which is determined by asset classification, as follows:

Buildings	40	years
Building improvements	10	years
Furniture and fixtures	7	years
Automobiles	5	years
Computer equipment	2-5	years
Other equipment	2-7	years

Amortization of leasehold improvements is computed on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful lives of the asset, which is generally 10 years.

Income Taxes

Deferred incomes taxes reflect the estimated future tax effects of temporary differences between the amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and such amounts as measured by tax laws and regulations.

Derivatives and Hedging Activities

The Company adopted SFAS No. 133 and 138, effective October 1, 1998. In accordance with the transition provisions of SFAS No. 133 and 138, the Company recorded a net-of-tax cumulative-effect-type adjustment of \$1.1 million in accumulated other comprehensive income to recognize at fair value all derivatives that are designated as cash-flow hedging instruments. There were no net gains or losses on derivatives that had been previously deferred or gains and losses on derivatives that were previously deferred as adjustments to the carrying amount of hedged items.

Derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair value. On the date the derivative contract is entered into, the Company designates the derivative as a hedge of a forecasted transaction or a hedge of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability ("cash flow" hedge). Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective as, and that is designated and qualifies as, a cash-flow hedge are recorded in other comprehensive income, until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows (e.g., when periodic settlements on a variable-rate asset or liability are recorded in earnings).

A-31

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as cash-flow hedges to specific liabilities on the balance sheet. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge's inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in cash flows of hedged items.

When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or that it has ceased to be a highly effective hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively when (1) it is determined that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of a hedged item; (2) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated, or exercised; or (3) management determines that designation of the derivative as a hedge instrument is no longer appropriate. In these situations where hedge accounting is discontinued, the derivative will be carried at its fair value on the balance sheet, with changes in its fair value recognized in current-period earnings. All gains or losses that were accumulated in other comprehensive income will be recognized immediately in earnings upon the discontinuance of hedge accounting.

Earnings Per Share and Share Amounts

Basic net earnings per common share is computed by dividing net earnings applicable to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net earnings per common share is determined using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding

during the period, adjusted for the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents, consisting of shares that might be issued upon exercise of common stock options.

All outstanding and weighted average share amounts presented in this report have been restated to reflect the 2-for-1 stock splits effective on April 30, 1998 and October 4, 2000.

Comprehensive Income

In accordance with SFAS No. 130, "Reporting Comprehensive Income", the Company classifies items of other comprehensive income by their nature in the financial statements and display the accumulated other comprehensive income separately from retained earnings in the equity section of the balance sheet. The changes to the balances of accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows:

			ACCUMIC	iraceu
Unrealized			oth	ner
gains/(losses)	Cash	flow	compreh	nensive
on securities	hedg	ges	income	(loss)

Accumulatod

(Dollars in thousands)

BalanceDecember 31,		\$ 1,351	\$ (677)	\$ 674
Other comprehensive		(12,013)	2,181	(9,832)
BalanceDecember 31,		(10,662)	1,504	(9,158)
Other comprehensive		3,962	(1,356)	2,606
BalanceDecember 31,	2000	\$ (6,700)	\$ 148 ======	\$(6,552)

Segment Information

In accordance with SFAS No. 131 "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information" ("SFAS No. 131") the Company uses the "management approach" for reporting business segment information. The management approach designates the internal organization that is used by management for making operating decisions and assessing performance as the source of the Company's reportable segments. SFAS No. 131 also requires disclosures about products and services, geographic areas, and major customers.

A-32

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 2--BUSINESS COMBINATIONS

Pooling-of-Interests Accounting Transactions

On October 13, 2000, Bank of Petaluma merged with and into DKSS Corp., as a result of which, Bank of Petaluma became a wholly owned subsidiary of Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Bank of Petaluma were converted into an aggregate of approximately 1,667,000 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The transaction was accounted for as a pooling-of-

interests. The financial information presented herein has been restated to reflect the merger with Bank of Petaluma on a pooling-of-interests basis.

On July 21, 2000, Bank of Santa Clara merged with and into GBB Merger Corp., as a result of which, Bank of Santa Clara became a wholly owned subsidiary of Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Bank of Santa Clara were converted into an aggregate of 4,002,000 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The transaction was accounted for as a pooling-of-interests. The financial information presented herein has been restated to reflect the merger with Bank of Santa Clara on a pooling-of-interests basis.

On May 18, 2000, Coast Bancorp, the former holding company of Coast Commercial Bank, was merged with and into Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Coast Bancorp were converted into an aggregate of approximately 6,140,000 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The transaction was accounted for as a pooling-of-interests. The financial information presented herein has been restated to reflect the merger with Coast Bancorp on a pooling-of-interests basis.

On January 31, 2000, Mt. Diablo Bancshares, the former holding company of Mt. Diablo National Bank, merged with and into Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Mt. Diablo Bancshares were converted into an aggregate of 2,790,998 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The transaction was accounted for as a pooling-of-interests. The financial information presented herein has been restated to reflect the merger with Mt. Diablo Bancshares on a pooling-of-interests basis.

On October 15, 1999, Bay Commercial Services, the former holding company of Bay Bank of Commerce, merged with and into Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Bay Commercial Services were converted into an aggregate of 1,814,480 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The stock was issued to former Bay Commercial Services shareholders, in a tax-free exchange accounted for as a pooling-of-interests.

On May 21, 1999, Bay Area Bancshares, the former holding company of Bay Area Bank, merged with and into Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Bay Area Bank were converted into an aggregate of 2,798,642 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The stock was issued to former Bay Area Bancshares shareholders, in a tax-free exchange accounted for as a pooling-of-interests.

On August 31, 1998, Pacific Business Funding Corporation, an asset-based specialty finance company, merged with a subsidiary of Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Pacific Business Funding Corporation were converted into an aggregate of 596,000 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The stock was issued to former Pacific Business Funding Corporation shareholders, in a tax-free exchange accounted for as a pooling-of-interests.

On May 8, 1998, Pacific Rim Bancorporation, the former holding company of Golden Gate Bank, merged with and into a subsidiary of Greater Bay. Upon consummation of the merger, the outstanding shares of Pacific Rim Bancorporation were converted into an aggregate of 1,901,496 shares of Greater Bay's stock. The stock was issued to former Pacific Rim Bancorporation's sole shareholder in a tax-free exchange accounted for as a pooling-of-interests.

A-33

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

In all mergers, certain reclassifications were made to conform to the Company's financial presentation. The results of operations previously reported by the separate enterprises for the periods before the merger was consummated and that are included in the current combined amounts presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are summarized below.

		Bank of Santa Clara six months ended June 30, 2000	
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Net interest income:			
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$154,013	\$89,047	\$36,378
Acquired entity	7,101	10,195	5,538
Combined	\$161,114	\$99,242	\$41,916
		======	======
Net income:			
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$ 38,608	\$23,850	\$13,473
Acquired entity	1,982	2,613	2,035
Combined	\$ 40,590	\$26,463	\$15 , 508

	Mt. Diablo Bancshares twelve months ended December 31, 1999		three months ended
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Net interest income:			
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$103,732	\$68,498	\$18,360
Acquired entity	10,009	2,007	2,180
Combined	\$113,741	\$70 , 505	\$20,540
		======	
Net income:			
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$ 27 , 711	\$17,033	\$ 5,058
Acquired entity	2,827	486	644
Combined	\$ 30,538	\$17,519	\$ 5,702
	=======	======	======

	Pacific Business Funding six months ended June 30, 1998	Corp Pacific Rim Bancorporation three months ended March 31, 1998
	(Dollar	s in thousands)
Net interest income:		
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$30,077	\$13,366
Acquired entity	1,154	1,285
Combined	\$31,231	\$14,651

	======	=======
Net income:		
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$ 6,628	\$ 3,646
Acquired entity	344	60
Combined	\$ 6,972	\$ 3,706
	======	======

A-34

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

The following table sets forth the separate results of operations for Greater Bay, Bay Area Bancshares, Bay Commercial Services, Mt. Diablo Bancshares, Coast Bancorp, Bank of Santa Clara and Bank of Petaluma for the periods indicated:

	Net interest income	Net income
	(Dollars in)	thousands)
Year ended December 31, 1999:		
Greater Bay	\$103 , 732	\$27 , 711
Mt. Diablo Bancshares	10,009	2,827
Coast Bancorp	20,028	6,939
Bank of Santa Clara	17,962	4,403
Bank of Petaluma	8,628	2,304
Combined	\$160 , 359	\$44,184
	=======	
Year ended December 31, 1998:		
Greater Bay	\$ 65,448	•
Bay Area Bancshares	8,170	2,365
Bay Commercial Sevices	6,107	1,215
Subtotal	79,725	20,158
Mt. Diablo Bancshares	7,363	1,396
Coast Bancorp	17,363	6,161
Bank of Santa Clara	16,189	3,954
Bank of Petaluma	7,807	2,115
Combined	\$128,447	

There were no significant transactions between the Company and any of the acquired entities prior to the mergers. All intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Purchase Accounting Transaction

On November 30, 2000, the Company acquired The Matsco Companies, Inc. for a purchase price of \$6.5 million in cash. As a result of post-closing mergers, the business of The Matsco Companies, Inc. now operates as a division of Cupertino National Bank, under the name Matsco. The Company may also be

required to pay contingent cash payments over a 5 year period of up to \$6.0 million based on the performance of Matsco subsequent to the acquisition. The acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting and, accordingly, Matsco's results of operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. The source of funds for the acquisition was the Company's available cash.

The purchase price has been allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the estimated fair values at the date of acquisition. The excess of purchase price, totaling \$15.9 million, over the estimated fair values of the net assets acquired has been recorded as goodwill and will be amortized on the straight-line method over twenty years.

A-35

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

NOTE 3--INVESTMENT SECURITIES

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities is summarized below:

As of December 31, 2000	cost	Gross unrealized gains	unrealized losses	value
		(Dollars in		
Available For Sale Securities:				
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 11,063	\$ 140	\$ (2)	\$ 11,201
U.S. agency notes	101,804	148	(422)	101,530
Mortgage-backed securities	245,334	2,734	(943)	247,125
Tax-exempt securities	83 , 522	1,034	(259)	84,297
Taxable municipal securities	5,980	18	(42)	5,956
Corporate securities	146,416	62	(18,415)	128,063
Total securities available for sale	594,119	4,136	(20,083)	578 , 172
Held To Maturity Securities:				
U.S. agency notes	•	13		
		7,251		
Tax-exempt securities		3,496		
Taxable municipal securities	2,846	189		3,035
Total securities held to maturity	354,454	10,949	(616)	
Other securities	•	4,935	(261)	
Total investment securities	\$973 , 550	\$20 , 020	\$(20 , 960)	\$972 , 610

		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized	unrealized	unrealized	Fair
As of December 31, 1999	cost	gains	losses	value
		(Dollars in	thousands)	

Available For Sale Securities: U.S. Treasury obligations U.S. agency notes Mortgage-backed securities Tax-exempt securities Taxable municipal securities Corporate securities	\$ 19,240 58,540 249,038 65,646 3,754 119,481	10 95 85 1	(8,130) (3,947) (122)	56,742 241,003 61,784
Total securities available for sale	515,699	191	(26,442)	489,448
Held To Maturity Securities: U.S. Treasury obligations U.S. agency notes Mortgage-backed securities Tax-exempt securities Corporate securities	1,498 58,489 61,004 77,869 37,608		(1,750) (2,048) (3,173) (1,233)	58,984 75,134
Total securities held to maturity	236,468	490	(8,204)	228,754
Other securities	16,457	8,143		24,600
Total investment securities	\$768,624 =====	\$ 8,824	\$(34,646) ======	\$742,802

A-36

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

The following table shows amortized cost and estimated fair value of the Company's investment securities by year of maturity as of December 31, 2000.

	2001	-	through	2011 and thereafter	
		(Dollar	s in thou	sands)	
Available For Sale Securities:					
U.S. Treasury obligations	\$ 2,475	\$ 8,588	\$	\$	\$ 11,063
U.S. agency notes(1)					
Mortgage-backed					
				236,498	
Tax-exempt securities	3,788	13,285	15,211	51,238	83,522
Taxable municipal securities					
Corporate securities	7,712	18 , 729	6,150	113 , 825	146,416
Total securities available	28 260	106 554		401 561	E04 110
for sale		106,554		401,581	
Fair value				\$386,060	\$578 , 172
Held To Maturity Securities: U.S. agency notes Mortgage-backed securities				\$ 224,954	

Edgar Filing:	GREATER BAY	' BANCORP -	Form 10-K

Tax-exempt securities Taxable municipal securities	1,041	2,390	19,795 2,847	65,161 	88,387 2,847
Total securities held to maturity	8,039	21,197	35,103	290,115	354,454
Fair value	8,015	21,141	35,977	299,654	364,787
Combined Investment Securities Portfolio:					
Total investment securities	\$36,399 	\$127 , 751	\$92 , 747	\$691,676	\$948 , 573
Total fair value	\$36,393	\$127,559	\$93,293	\$685 , 714	\$942,959
Weighted average yield-total portfolio	6.25%	6.36%	7.26%	7.32%	7.15%

- Certain notes issued by U.S. agencies may be called, without penalty, at the discretion of the issuer. This may cause the actual maturities to differ significantly from the contractual maturity dates.
- (2) Mortgage-backed securities are shown at contractual maturity; however, the average life of these mortgage-backed securities may differ due to principal prepayments.

Investment securities with a carrying value of \$564.2 million and \$339.4 million were pledged to secure deposits, borrowings and for other purposes as required by law or contract at December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Other securities includes unsold shares received through the exercise of warrants received from clients, equity securities received in settlement of loans, investments in funds managed by outside venture capital funds and investments in the Federal Reserve Bank and the FHLB as required to maintain membership and support activity levels.

A-37

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

Proceeds and realized losses and gains on sales of investment securities for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 are presented below:

	2000	1999	1998
	(Dollar	s in tho	usands)
Proceeds from sale of available for sale	¢40 720	ČE 2 471	¢0.01 010
securities(1) Available for sale securities-gains(2)	•	\$ 53 , 471 \$ 87	\$261,812 \$ 473
Available for sale securities-losses	\$ (422)	\$	\$

^{(1) 1999} proceeds from the sale of available for sale securities excludes \$15.3 million related to the sale of equity securities classified as available for sale which were acquired through the execution of a warrant received from clients.

(2) 1999 warrant income includes additional gains of \$21.2 million related to equity securities classified as available for sale which were acquired through the execution of warrants received from clients.

NOTE 4--LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The following summarizes the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998:

	2000	1999	1998
	(Dollars	in thous	ands)
Balance, January 1 Allowance of entities acquired through mergers	\$ 48,047	\$33,095	\$26,606
accounted for under purchase accounting method	10,927		
Provision for loan losses(1)	36,174	16 , 784	8,462
Loan charge-offs	(12,083)	(3,393)	(2,610)
Recoveries	949	1,561	637
Balance, December 31	\$ 84,014	\$48,047	\$33,095

(1) Includes \$8.1 million, \$2.7 million and \$183,000 of charges in 2000, 1999 and 1998 respectively, to conform the practices of acquired entities to the Company's allowance methodologies, which are included in mergers and other related nonrecurring costs.

The following table sets forth nonperforming loans as of December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998. Nonperforming loans are defined as loans which are on nonaccrual status and loans which have been restructured. Interest income foregone on nonperforming loans totaled \$1.2 million, \$535,000 and \$254,000 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively. Interest income recognized on the nonperforming loans approximated \$0, \$537,000 and \$407,000 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively.

	2000	1999	1998
		ollars : ousands;	
Nonaccrual loans Restructured loans	\$12,593 	\$5,744 807	
Total nonperforming loans	\$12,593	\$6,551	\$4,807
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	\$ 4,428	\$ 139 ======	\$244 ======

A-38

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

83

At December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, the recorded investment in loans, for which impairment has been recognized in accordance with SFAS No. 114 and No. 118, was approximately \$13.3 million, \$8.0 million and \$5.1 million, respectively, with corresponding valuation allowances of \$3.7 million, \$1.2 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, the average recorded investment in impaired loans was approximately \$9.9 million, \$2.3 million and 4.2 million, respectively. The Company did not recognize interest income on impaired loans during the twelve months ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998.

The Company had \$0 and \$807,000 of restructured loans as of December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively. There were no principal reduction concessions allowed on restructured loans during 1999 and 1998. Interest income from restructured loans totaled \$0, \$45,000 and \$16,000 for the year's ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998. Foregone interest income, which totaled \$0, \$0 and \$11,000 for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 would have been recorded as interest income if the loans had accrued interest in accordance with their original terms prior to the restructurings.

NOTE 5--OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED

At December 31, 2000 and 1999, OREO consisted of properties acquired through foreclosure with a carrying value of \$0 and \$271,000, respectively. These balances are included in interest receivable and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. There was no allowance for estimated losses.

The following summarizes OREO operations, which are included in operating expenses, for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998.

	20	000	19	99	1	998
	(Do	ollar	s in	thou	san	ds)
Real estate operations, net (Gain) loss on sale of OREO	\$	51 5	\$	50 (37)		24 133
Provision for estimated losses						
Net loss from other real estate operations	\$ ===	56	\$ ===	13	\$	157

NOTE 6--PROPERTY, PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT

Property, premises and equipment at December 31, 2000 and 1999 are composed of the following:

	2000	1999
	(Dolla: thousa:	
Land	\$ 2,523	\$ 3,508
Buildings and premises	8,734	12,047
Furniture and equipment	34,616	33,353
Leasehold improvements	16,584	15,751
Automobiles	853	740

Total Accumulated depreciation and amortization		65,399 (27,802)
Premises and equipment, net	\$ 33,860	\$ 37,597

Depreciation and amortization amounted to \$7.7 million, \$6.1 million and \$4.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 respectively, and have been included in occupancy and equipment expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

A-39

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

During 2000, the Company sold bank premises with a carrying value of \$4.8 million for \$5.4 million in a sale-lease back transaction. No gain was recognized on the transaction. Gains of \$535,000 have been deferred and will be recognized over the term of the Company's lease. During 1999, the Company sold bank premises with a carrying value of \$2,637,000 for \$4,978,000 in a sale-lease back transaction. The Company recognized a pre-tax gain of \$535,000 on the transaction. Gains of \$1,806,000 have been deferred and will be recognized over the 10 year and 5 year terms of the Company's leases. During 2000 the company recognized \$303,000 of the deferred gain.

NOTE 7--DEPOSITS

Deposits as of December 31, 2000 and 1999 are as follows:

	2000	1999
	(Dolla thousa	ars in ands)
Demand, noninterest-bearing MMDA, NOW and Savings Time certificates, \$100,000 and over Other time certificates	\$1,003,828 2,082,708 784,118 294,407	1,838,868
Total deposits	\$4,165,061	\$3,262,888

The following table sets forth the maturity distribution of time certificates of deposit at December 31, 2000.

December 31, 2000								
	Four to	Seven to twelve	070	± 0	Mama	ther		
months	SIX	tweive	One	LO	More	Lnan		
or less	months	months	three	years	three	years	Total	
(Dollars in thousands)								

Time deposits, \$100,000						
and over	\$406 , 963	\$280 , 768	\$ 80,982	\$12 , 068	\$3 , 337	\$ 784 , 118
Other time deposits	205,985	38,945	36,001	12,015	1,461	294,407
Total	\$612 , 948	\$319 , 713	\$116,983	\$24,083	\$4,798	\$1,078,525

NOTE 8--COMPANY OBLIGATED MANDATORILY REDEEMABLE CUMULATIVE TRUST PREFERRED SECURITIES OF SUBSIDIARY TRUSTS HOLDING SOLELY JUNIOR SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES

GBB Capital I, GBB Capital II, GBB Capital III and GBB Capital IV (the "Trusts") are Delaware business trusts which were formed for the purpose of issuing Company Obligated Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Securities of Subsidiary Trusts Holding Solely Junior Subordinated Debentures ("Trust Preferred Securities"). The Trust Preferred Securities are individually described below. Interest on the Trust Preferred Securities is payable quarterly and is deferrable, at the option of the Company, for up to five years. Following the issuance of each Trust Preferred Securities, the Trusts used the proceeds from the Trust Preferred Securities offerings to purchase a like amount of Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (the "Debentures") of Greater Bay. The Debentures bear the same terms and interest rates as the related Trust Preferred Securities. The Debentures are the sole assets of the Trusts and are eliminated, along with the related income statement effects, in the consolidated financial statements. Greater Bay has fully and unconditionally guaranteed all of the obligations of the Trusts. Under applicable regulatory guidelines, a portion of the Trust Preferred Securities will qualify as Tier I capital, and the remaining portion will qualify as Tier II capital.

A-40

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

The following Trust Preferred Securities were outstanding at December 31, 2000.

Security title		Issuer		Amount outstanding		of issue	Stated maturity	Optional redemption date
9.75% Cumulative Trust								
Preferred Securities	GBB	Capital	I	\$20,000,000	March 30,	1997	April 1, 2027	April 1, 2002
Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities,								
Series B 10 7/8% Fixed Rate	GBB	Capital	II	29,000,000	August 12	2, 1998	Sept. 15, 2028	Sept. 15, 2008
Capital Trust Pass-								
Through Securities 10.75% Capital	GBB	Capital	III	9,500,000	March 23,	2000	March 8, 2030	March 8, 2010
Securities, Series B	GBB	Capital	IV	41,000,000	May 18, 2	001	June 1, 2010	June 1, 2030
Total TPS outstanding				\$99,500,000				

The Trust Preferred Securities are mandatorily redeemable, in whole or in part, upon repayment of their underlying Debentures at their respective stated maturities or their earlier redemption. The Debentures are redeemable prior to maturity at the option of the Company on or after their respective optional redemption dates.

The Trust Preferred Securities issued by GBB Capital I, GBB Capital III and GBB Capital IV accrue interest at an annual rate of 9.75%, 10 7/8% and 10.75%, receptively. The Floating Rate Trust Preferred Securities, Series B ("TPS II") accrue interest at a variable rate of interest, initially at 7.1875%, on the outstanding securities. The interest rate resets quarterly and is equal to 3-month LIBOR plus 150 basis points. As part of this transaction, the Company concurrently entered into an interest rate swap to fix the cost of the offering at 7.55% for 10 years (see note 11 for additional disclosures regarding the interest rate swap).

On the date of original issue, GBB Capital II and GBB Capital IV completed issuance of series A securities. The series A securities issued in the offering were sold in private transactions pursuant to an applicable exemption from registration under the Securities Act. The Company, through GBB Capital II and GBB Capital IV, completed an offer to exchange the series A securities for a like amount of its registered series B securities. The exchange offerings were completed in November 1998 and November 2000, respectively. The exchange offerings were conducted in accordance with the terms of the initial issuance of the series A securities.

GBB Capital II originally issued \$30,000,000 in TPS II. In 1998, Coast Commercial Bank purchased \$1,000,000 of TPS II. The TPS II were included in Coast Commercial Bank's investment securities at the time of its merger with Greater Bay and subsequently transferred to Greater Bay. The \$1,000,000 in TPS II issued by the Company and Coast Commercial Bank's corresponding investment have been eliminated in consolidation.

The total amount of Trust Preferred Securities outstanding at December 31, 2000 and 1999 was \$99.5 million and \$49.0 million, respectively. The dividends paid on Trust Preferred Securities were \$7.8 million, \$4.2 million and \$2.8 million in 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively. The expense for these dividends is included in operating expenses.

A-41

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 9--LEASE SECURITIZATIONS

During 1997, Matsco Lease Finance III a special purpose corporation whollyowned by The Matsco Companies, Inc. issued the following lease and loan backed certificates; \$55 million Series 1997-1 Class A certificates, \$45 million Series 1997-2 Class A certificates, \$1.6 million Series 1997-1 Class B certificates and \$4.5 million 1997-2 Class B certificates. All Class B certificates, which were subordinate to the Class A certificates, were paidoff as of December 31, 2000. As of December 31, 2000, the outstanding balances on the Series 1997-1 and Series 1997-2 Class A certificates were approximately \$28 million and \$30 million, respectively. As of December 31, 1999, the outstanding balances for Series 1997-1 and Series 1997-2 were approximately \$35 million and \$38 million, respectively. All of the lease and loan contracts placed in the 1997-2 Series were treated as a sale in accordance with SFAS No. 125 "Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and

Extinguishment of Liabilities". The weighted average rate on the combined series of 1997 certificates was 5.92% at December 31, 2000. The underlying lease and loan contracts have a carrying value of \$28 million and \$30 million for Series 1997-1 and Series 1997-2, respectively, at December 31, 2000. At December 31, 2000, 0.35% of those leases and loans were 90 days or more past due. The Class A certificates are rated "AAA" by Standards and Poor's Rating Services and "Aaa" by Moody's Investors Service and are fully insured by MBIA Insurance Corporation pursuant to the terms of a certificate guarantee policy.

During 1996, Matsco Lease Finance II, a special purpose corporation whollyowned by The Matsco Companies, Inc., issued \$40 million in lease-backed notes, Series 1996-A. As of December 31, 2000 and 1999, the note balance was \$5.4 million and \$23.5 million, respectively, with a weighted average interest rate of 6.7% at December 31, 2000. The underlying leases have a carrying value of \$7.1 million at December 31, 2000. At December 31, 2000, 1.11% of those leases were 90 days or more past due. The notes are unconditionally guaranteed by MBIA Insurance Corporation pursuant to the terms of a note guarantee policy. The note is rated "AAA" by Standard and Poors and "Aaa" by Moody's.

Cupertino National Bank, as servicer of the underlying leases, remits funds collected on behalf of Matsco Lease Finance II and Matsco Lease Finance III to the trustee on a weekly basis. The servicer receives a flat servicing fee. If a lease or loan is delinquent or the terms are adversely modified, the servicer has the option to repurchase the transaction or to substitute with a similar account up to an aggregate limit of 10%. If a lease or loan contract is found to have violated the eligibility criteria, the Company has the obligation to repurchase it.

As a result of the Company's acquisition of The Matsco Companies, Inc., Matsco Lease Finance II and Matsco Lease Finance III became wholly-owned subsidiaries of Greater Bay.

The lease and loan contracts were transferred to Matsco Lease Finance II and Matsco Lease Finance III in transactions intended to qualify as true sales for bankruptcy purposes and are not available to pay creditors of the Company.

A-42

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 10--BORROWINGS

Other borrowings are detailed as follows:

	2000	1999
	(Dolla thousa	
Other borrowings: Short term borrowings:		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase Other short term notes payable FHLB advances	15,419	
Advances under credit lines	183,000 15,000	3,000 7,000
Total short term borrowings	276,419	78,552

_____ ___

Long term borrowings: Securities sold under agreements to repurchase Other long term notes payable FHLB advances	 51,809 103,000	10,000 1,500 27,000
Total other long term borrowings	154,809	38,500
Total other borrowings	\$431,228	\$117,052

During the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, the average balance of securities sold under short term agreements to repurchase was \$76.8 million and \$32.8 million, respectively, and the average interest rates during those periods were 6.05% and 4.73%, respectively. Securities sold under short term agreements to repurchase generally mature within 90 days of dates of purchase.

During the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, the average balance of federal funds purchased was \$105.3 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, and the average interest rates during those periods were 6.49% and 5.25%, respectively. There was no such balance outstanding at December 31, 2000 and 1999.

The highest month end balance for short term borrowings during 2000 was \$305.3 million. At December 31, 2000, the weighted average interest rate on short term borrowings was 6.50%.

The FHLB advances are collateralized by loans and securities pledged to the FHLB. The following is a breakdown of rates and maturities at December 31, 2000:

Short Term Long Term (Dollars in thousands)

Amount\$183,000\$103,000Maturity20012002-2003Average Rates6.4%-6.5%5.08%-6.03%

The Company as of December 31, 2000 had short-term, unsecured credit facilities from two financial institutions totaling \$65.0 million. At December 31, 2000 and 1999 the Company had advances outstanding of \$15.0 million and \$7.0 million under these facilities. The average rate paid on these advances was approximately LIBOR + 0.50%. In addition, the Company was in compliance with all related financial covenants for these credit facilities.

On March 15, 1999 the Company redeemed the \$3.0 million in subordinated debt issued in 1995. The Company paid a premium of \$150,000 (\$88,000 net of tax) on the pay off of the debt. The premium was recorded, net of taxes, as an extraordinary item in March 1999.

A-43

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 11--DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company currently uses a single interest-rate swap to convert its floating-rate debt (the TPS II) to fixed rates. This swap was entered into concurrently with the issuance of the debt being hedged. This swap is accounted for as a cash flow hedge under SFAS No. 133 and 138. This swap possesses a term equal to the non-callable term of the debt, with a fixed pay rate and a receive rate indexed to rates paid on the debt and a notional amount equal to the amount of the debt being hedged. As the specific terms and notional amount of the swap exactly match those of the debt being hedged the Company meets the "no ineffectiveness" criteria of SFAS No. 133 and 138. As such the swap is assumed to be 100% effective and all changes in the fair value of the hedge are recorded in other comprehensive income with no impact on the income statement for any ineffective portion. As of December 31, 2000, the unrealized gain on the cash flow hedge was \$148,000, net of income taxes, which was included in the balance of accumulated other comprehensive income. The floating rate TPS II combined with the cash flow hedge created a synthetic fixed rate debt instrument. The unrealized gain on the cash flow hedge approximated the unrealized gain the Company would have incurred if it had issued a fixed rate debt instrument. Under current accounting practices, as required by SFAS No. 133 and 138, the Company was required to record the unrealized gain on the synthetic fixed rate debt instrument, but it would not have been required to record an unrealized gain if it had issued fixed rate debt.

The notional amount of the swap is \$30.0 million with a term of 10 years expiring on September 15, 2008. The Company intends to use the swap as a hedge of the related debt for 10 years. The periodic settlement date of the swap results in the reclassifying as earnings the gains or losses that are reported in accumulated comprehensive income

The Company minimizes the credit (or repayment) risk in derivative instruments by entering into transactions with high-quality counterparties that are reviewed periodically by the Company's credit committee.

NOTE 12--INCOME TAXES

Income tax expense was comprised of the following for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998:

		2000	1999	1998
		(Dollars	in thous	ands)
Current: Federal State		\$ 40,677 14,391	\$22,399 7,513	\$15,571 5,606
Total	current	55,068	29,912	21 , 177
Deferred: Federal State		(12,566) (4,364)	(6,515) (1,774)	(2,346) (713)
Total	deferred	(16,930)	(8,289)	(3,059)
Total	expense	\$ 38,138	\$21,623	\$18,118

A-44

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amount used for income tax purposes. The tax effects of temporary differences that gave rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2000 and 1999 are as follows:

	Years ended December 31,			
	2000		1	L999
	(Do	llars in	thou	isands)
Allowance for loan losses State income taxes Deferred compensation Unrealized (gains) losses on securities Accumulated depreciation Net operating losses Purchase allocation adjustments Other	Ş	23,658 9,615 4,341 10,732 1,252 14 351 1,996		4,458 2,438
Net deferred tax asset	 \$ ===	51,959	\$ ====	33,748

Management believes that the Company will fully realize its total deferred income tax assets as of December 31, 2000 based upon the Company's recoverable taxes from prior carryback years, and its current level of operating income.

At December 31, 2000, the Company had a federal tax net operating loss carryforward of approximately \$40,000 expiring in the beginning of the year 2010.

Under provisions of the United States income tax laws these loss carryovers are subject to limitation due to the acquisition of Pacific Rim Bancorporation in 1998. Management does not believe that these limitations will prevent the realization of the benefit of the loss carryovers during the carryover periods.

A reconciliation from the statutory income tax rate to the consolidated effective income tax rate follows, for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998:

Years ended December 31, 2000 1999 1998 (Dollars in thousands)

Statutory federal tax rate California franchise tax expense, net of	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
federal income tax benefit	6.7%	5.6%	6.2%
	41.7%	40.6%	41.2%
Tax exempt income	-2.8%	-2.8%	-3.0%
Contribution of appreciated securities		-4.3%	-1.0%
Nondeductible merger costs	1.5%	0.2%	0.6%
Other, net	-1.0%	-0.9%	-2.9%
Effective income tax rate	39.4%	32.8%	34.9%
	=		

A-45

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 13--OTHER INCOME AND OPERATING EXPENSES

Other income in 2000, 1999 and 1998 included warrant income of \$13.0 million, \$14.5 million and \$945,000 net of related employee incentives of \$4.5 million, \$7.3 million and \$396,000, respectively. The Company occasionally receives warrants to acquire common stock from companies that are in the start-up or development phase. The timing and amount of income derived from the exercise and sale of client warrants typically depend upon factors beyond the control of the Company, and cannot be predicted with any degree of accuracy and are likely to vary materially from period to period.

To support the Foundation, the Company contributed appreciated securities, which had an unrealized gain of \$7.8 million in 1999 and \$1.3 million in 1998. In 1999, the Company incurred \$4.4 million in compensation and other expenses in connection with these appreciated securities. The Company recorded \$12.2 million in 1999 and \$1.3 million in 1998 of expense for the contribution to the Foundation, which is included in operating expenses.

Merger and other related nonrecurring costs for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 were comprised of the following:

	2000	1999	1998
	(Dollars	s in thou	isands)
Financial advisory and professional fees Charges to conform accounting practices Other costs	,	\$ 1,627 2,745 5,959	183
Total	\$30,102	\$10,331	\$2,661

Other costs include severance and other compensation expenses, charges for the write-off of assets retired as a result of the merger, and other expenses including printing costs and filing fees.

Other expenses for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 were

comprised of the following:

	2000	1999	1998
	(Dollar	s in tho	usands)
Legal and other professional fees Telephone, postage and supplies Marketing and promotion Data processing Client services FDIC insurance and regulatory assessments Directors fees Insurance Other real estate owned Other	4,657 4,185 2,132 2,081 1,236 1,098 462 56 8,147	3,226 622 1,226 952	3,992 3,279 1,959 2,520 553 1,432 889 157 7,005
			=======

Occupancy costs for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 were \$12.7 million, \$10.7 million and \$9.0 million, respectively.

A-46

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 14--EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Stock Option Plans

At December 31, 2000, the total authorized shares issuable under the Greater Bay Bancorp 1996 Stock Option Plan, as amended (the "Bancorp Plan") was approximately 9,831,560 shares and the number of shares available for future grants was approximately 2,750,000 shares.

Options issued under the Bancorp Plan may be granted to employees and nonemployee directors and may be either incentive or nonqualified stock options as defined under current tax laws. The exercise price of each option must equal the market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant. The term of an option may not exceed 10 years and generally vests over a five-year period.

On November 19, 1997, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment of the Bancorp Plan to increase by 1,825,304 the number of shares of Greater Bay stock issuable under the Bancorp Plan. On May 17, 2000, the Company's shareholders approved an additional amendment of the Bancorp Plan to increase by 5,000,000 the number of shares issuable under the Bancorp Plan. These amendments were done to accommodate the increased number of eligible employees as a result of the mergers.

Under the terms of the respective merger agreements, the stock option plans of Bank of Petaluma, Coast Bancorp, Bank of Santa Clara, Bay Area Bancshares and Bay Commercial Services were terminated at the time of merger and substitute options were issued under the Bancorp Plan. Option holders under the Bank of Petaluma, Coast Bancorp, Bank of Santa Clara, Bay Area Bancshares

and Bay Commercial Services plans received substitute options to purchase 239,880 shares, 379,596 shares, 471,840 shares, 59,668 shares and 216,636 shares of Greater Bay stock, respectively.

During 2000, the Company assumed the Mt. Diablo Bancshares 1992 Stock Option Plan, as amended. Options outstanding under that plan were converted to options to purchase 145,428 shares of Greater Bay stock. At December 31, 2000 the total number of authorized shares issuable under that plan was 686,304 shares, and the number of shares available for future grant was 51,695 shares.

A summary of the Company's stock options as of December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998 and changes during the years ended on those dates is presented below:

	20	00	19	99	19	98
		2	Shares		Shares	average exercise
Outstanding at beginning						
of year	6,122	\$11.65	5,360	\$ 9.04	4,379	\$ 5.71
Granted	1,433	35.00	1,582	17.81	1,697	15.65
Exercised	(1,446)	6.28	(667)	5.06	(648)	3.85
Forfeited	(220)	13.51		12.59		8.41
Outstanding at end of year						9.04
Ontiona oversisship at						
Options exercisable at year-end	2,518	10.63	2,671	7.12	2,534	4.71
Weighted average fair value of options granted						
during the year		\$15.34		\$ 5.94		\$ 5.42

A-47

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at December 31, 2000.

	Options	s outstand:	ing	Options exer	rcisable
	Number	Weighted average remaining	Weighted	Number	Weighted average
Exercise	outstanding	life	2	exercisable	2
price range	(000's)	(years)	price	(000's)	price
\$0.77-\$9.87	1,330	4.76	\$ 5.17	1,242	\$ 5.15

\$10.56-\$19.25	3,025	7.79	16.49	1,156	15.35
\$20.56-\$29.50	270	8.47	21.86	121	21.83
\$30.25-\$37.13	1,263	9.96	36.94		

Stock-Based Compensation

In October 1995, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 123 "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"). Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123, the Company is encouraged, but not required, to measure compensation costs related to its employee stock compensation plans under the fair value method. If the Company elects not to recognize compensation expense under this method, it is required to disclose the pro forma net income and net income per share effects based on the SFAS No. 123 fair value methodology. The Company implemented the requirements of SFAS No. 123 in 1997 and has elected to adopt the disclosure provisions of this statement.

The Company applies Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in its accounting for stock options. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for its stock option plan. Had compensation for the Company's stock option plan been determined consistent with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net income per share would have been reduced to the pro forma amounts indicated below:

	December 31,			
	2000 1999 1998			
	(Dollars in thousand: except per share amounts)			
Net income: As reported Pro forma	•	\$44,184 \$33,7 \$40,835 \$31,3		
Basic net income per share: As reported Pro forma		\$ 1.16 \$ 0. \$ 1.07 \$ 0.		
Diluted net income per share: As reported Pro forma		\$ 1.10 \$ 0. \$ 1.01 \$ 0.		

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions for grants in 2000, 1999, and 1998, respectively; dividend yield of 1.5%, 1.5% and 1.75%, expected volatility of 48.96%, 29.69% and 39.84%; risk free rates of 4.89%, 6.29% and 4.54%. The weighted average expected life is 5 years. No adjustments have been made for forfeitures. The actual value, if any, that the option holder will realize from these options will depend solely on the increase in the stock price over the option price when the options are exercised.

A-48

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

401(k) Savings Plan

The Company has a 401(k) tax deferred savings plan under which eligible employees may elect to defer a portion of their salary (up to 15%) as a contribution to the plan. The Company matches the employees' contributions at a rate set by the Board of Directors (currently 62.5% of the first 8% of deferral of an individual's total compensation). The matching contribution vests ratably over the first four years of employment.

For the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, the Company contributed 1.5 million, 1.3 million and 1.1 million, respectively to the 401(k) plan.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company has established an Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended, under section 423(b) of the Internal Revenue Code which allows eligible employees to set aside up to 15% of their compensation toward the purchase of the Company's stock for an aggregate total of 729,272 shares. Under the plan the purchase price is 85% of the lower of the fair value at the beginning or end of each three month offering period. During 2000, 1999 and 1998, employees purchased 93,356, 83,302 and 59,340 shares of common stock, respectively. There were 456,190 shares remaining in the plan available for purchase by employees at December 31, 2000.

Supplemental Employee Compensation Benefits Agreements

The Company has entered into supplemental employee compensation benefits agreements with certain executive and senior officers. Under these agreements, the Company is generally obligated to provide for each such employee or their beneficiaries, during their life for a period of up to 15 to 20 years after the employee's disability or retirement, benefits as defined in each specific agreement. The agreement also provides for a death benefit for the employee. The estimated present value of future benefits to be paid is being accrued over the vesting period of the participants. The related accumulated accrued liability at December 31, 2000 and 1999 is approximately \$5.4 million and \$3.5 million, respectively. The actuarial assumptions used for determining the present value of the projected benefit obligation include a 7% discount rate. Expenses accrued for this plan for the years December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 totaled \$1.5 million, \$896,000 and \$602,000, respectively. Depending on the agreement, the Company and the employees are beneficiaries of life insurance policies that have been purchased as a method of financing the benefits under the agreements. At December 31, 2000 and 1999, the Company's cash surrender value of these policies was approximately \$64.9 million and \$45.4 million, respectively and is included in other assets. The income recognized on these polices was \$2.2 million, \$1.5 million and \$953,000 in 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively, and is included in other income. A portion of the invested assets have been placed into secular trusts established in 1999 in behalf of the executive officers of the Company.

Deferred Compensation Plan

Effective November 19, 1997, the Company adopted the Greater Bay Bancorp 1997 Elective Deferral Compensation Plan (the "Deferred Plan") that allows eligible officers and directors of the Company to defer a portion of their bonuses, director fees and other compensation. The deferred compensation will earn interest calculated annually based on a short-term interest reference rate. All participants are fully vested at all times in their contributions to the Deferred Plan. At December 31, 2000 and 1999, \$3.1 million and \$1.9

million, respectively, of deferred compensation under this plan is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Additionally, under deferred compensation agreements that were established at Bank of Petaluma, Coast Commercial Bank and Peninsula Bank of Commerce prior to their mergers with the Company, there was approximately \$2.1 million and \$1.1 million of deferred compensation which is included in other liabilities at December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

A-49

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

Change in Control

In the event of a change in control, the supplemental employee compensation benefits agreements with certain executive and senior officers may require the Company to make certain payments under those agreements. The Company also has plans in place, which would require certain payments be made to any employee whose employment is terminated pursuant to a change in control. These potential liabilities are currently not recognized in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 15--RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company has, and expects to have in the future, banking transactions in the ordinary course of business with directors, executive officers and their affiliates. These transactions are entered into under terms and conditions equal to those entered into in arms length transactions and are made subject to approval by the Directors' Loan Committee and the Board of Directors of the Bank extending the credit. An analysis of total loans to related parties for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 is shown below:

	2000	1999	1998
	(Dollars	in thousa	nds)
Balance, January 1 Additions Repayments	51,818	\$ 46,866 27,883 (48,639)	45,019
Balance, December 31	\$ 50 , 750	\$ 26,110	\$46,866
Undisbursed commitments, at year end	\$ 39,544 ======	\$ 11,113 ======	\$ 8,430

NOTE 16--COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Lease Commitments

The Company leases certain facilities at which it conducts its operations. Future minimum lease commitments under all non-cancelable operating leases as of December 31, 2000 are below:

	(Dollars
	in
	thousands)
Years ended December 31,	
2001	\$ 7,474
2002	6,191
2003	5,579
2004	4,943
2005	4,739
Thereafter	34,012
Total	\$62,938
	======

The Company subleases that portion of the available space that is not utilized. Sublease rental income for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998 was \$1.3 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. Gross rental expense for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999, and 1998 was \$7.9 million, \$6.9 million, and \$5.4 million, respectively.

A-50

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

Other Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, various commitments and contingent liabilities are outstanding, such as guarantees and commitments to extend credit, that are not reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Commitments to fund loans were \$1.2 billion and \$948.8 million and letters of credit were \$116.4 million and \$58.4 million, at December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The Company's exposure to credit loss is limited to amounts funded or drawn; however, at December 31, 2000, no losses are anticipated as a result of these commitments.

Loan commitments which have fixed expiration dates and require the payment of a fee are typically contingent upon the borrower meeting certain financial and other covenants. Approximately \$498.3 million of these commitments relate to real estate construction and land loans and are expected to fund within the next 12 months. However, the remainder relates primarily to revolving lines of credit or other commercial loans, and many of these commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, therefore the total commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Banks evaluate each potential borrower and the necessary collateral on an individual basis. Collateral varies, but may include real property, bank deposits, debt or equity securities, or business assets.

Stand-by letters of credit are conditional commitments written by the Banks to guarantee the performance of a client to a third party. These guarantees are issued primarily related to purchases of inventory by the Banks' commercial clients, and are typically short-term in nature. Credit risk is similar to that involved in extending loan commitments to clients, and the Banks accordingly use evaluation and collateral requirements similar to those for loan commitments.

In the ordinary course of business there are various assertions, claims and legal proceedings pending against the Company. Management is of the opinion

that the ultimate resolution of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

NOTE 17--SHAREHOLDERS' RIGHTS PLAN

In 1998 Greater Bay adopted a shareholder rights plan designed to maximize the long-term value of the Company and to protect the Company's shareholders from improper takeover tactics and takeover bids that are not fair to all shareholders.

In accordance with the plan, preferred share purchase rights were distributed as a dividend at the rate of one right for each common share held of record as of the close of business on November 28, 1998. The rights, which are not immediately exercisable, entitle the holders to purchase one onehundredth of a share of Series A Preferred Stock at a price of \$145.00, subject to adjustment, upon the occurrence of certain triggering events. In the event of an acquisition not approved by the Board, each right enables its holder (other than the acquirer) to purchase the Preferred Stock at 50% of the market price. Further, in the event the Company is acquired in an unwanted merger or business combination, each right enables the holder to purchase shares of the acquiring entity at a similar discount. Under certain circumstances, the rights may be exchanged for common shares of the Company. The Board may, in its sole discretion, redeem the rights at any time prior to any of the triggering events.

The rights can be exercised and separate rights certificates distributed only if any of the following events occur: acquisition by a person of 10% or more of the Company's common share; a tender offer for 10% or more of the Company's common shares; or ownership of 10% or more of the Company's common shares by a shareholder whose actions are likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company or shareholder interests. The rights will initially trade automatically with the common shares. The rights are not deemed by the Board of Directors to be presently exercisable.

A-51

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 18--REGULATORY MATTERS

The Company and the Banks are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Banks must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Banks' assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Banks' capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Banks to maintain minimum capital amounts and ratios (as defined in the regulations). At December 31, 2000 and 1999 the Company and the Banks met all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject. Under the FDICIA prompt corrective action provisions applicable to banks, the most

recent notification from the FDIC or OCC categorized each of the Banks as well-capitalized. To be categorized as well-capitalized, the institution must maintain a total risk-based capital ratio as set forth in the following table and not be subject to a capital directive order. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the riskbased capital category of any of the Banks.

A-52

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

The Company and the Banks' actual 2000 and 1999 capital amounts and ratios are as follows:

	Actua		For cap adequ purpo	acy ses	To be capital under p correc acti provis	ized rompt tive on ions
As of December 31, 2000			Amount	Ratio		Ratio
		(Doll	lars in t			
Total Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets):						
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$475 , 891	10.70%	\$355 , 806	8.00%	\$444,609	N/A
Bank of Petaluma	19 , 054	12.05	12,650	8.00	15 , 811	10.00%
Bank of Santa Clara	36,956	11.13	26,563	8.00	33,203	10.00
Bay Area Bank	18,664	10.49	14,234	8.00	17,790	10.00
Bay Bank of Commerce	14,111	10.55	10,700	8.00	13,380	10.00
Coast Commercial Bank	42,724	15.16	22,546	8.00	28,176	
Cupertino National Bank	150,395	10.14			148,276	
Golden Gate Bank	20,541	10.13	16,222	8.00	20,280	10.00
Mid-Peninsula Bank	91 , 401	10.19	71,757	8.00	89,670	10.00
Mt. Diablo National Bank	26,493	11.30	18,756	8.00	23,449	10.00
Peninsula Bank of Commerce	27,228	10.89	20,002	8.00	25,003	10.00
Tier 1 Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets):						
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$417 , 847	9.40%	\$177 , 807	4.00%	\$266 , 765	N/A
Bank of Petaluma			6,324	4.00	9,487	6.00%
Bank of Santa Clara	32,779		13,284	4.00	19,922	6.00
Bay Area Bank	16,419	9.23	7,115	4.00	10,674	6.00
Bay Bank of Commerce	12,422	9.28	5,354		8,028	6.00
Coast Commercial Bank	39 , 181	13.91	11,267	4.00	16 , 905	6.00
Cupertino National Bank	131,684	8.88	59 , 317	4.00	88,966	6.00
Golden Gate Bank	17,993	8.87	8,114	4.00	12,168	6.00
Mid-Peninsula Bank	80,155	8.94	35 , 864	4.00	53 , 802	6.00
Mt. Diablo National Bank	23,539	10.04	9,378	4.00	14,070	6.00
Peninsula Bank of Commerce	24,081	9.63	10,002	4.00	15,002	6.00
Tier 1 Capital Leverage (To Average Assets):						
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$417,847	8.77%	\$190,580	4.00%	\$238,331	N/A
Bank of Petaluma	17,058	8.23	8,291	4.00	10,363	5.00%

Bank of Santa Clara Bay Area Bank	32,779 16,419		16,029 4.00 8,029 4.00	20,035 10,041	5.00 5.00
Bay Bank of Commerce		7.55	6,581 4.00	8,230	5.00
Coast Commercial Bank	12,422 39,181		17,185 4.00	0,230 21,488	5.00
	131,684		58,139 4.00	21,400 72,693	5.00
Cupertino National Bank	17,993		11,352 4.00	,	5.00
Golden Gate Bank Mid-Peninsula Bank	,		31,392 3.00	14,188 52,295	5.00
		7.66	11,553 4.00	,	5.00
Mt. Diablo National Bank	23,539		•	14,443	
Peninsula Bank of Commerce	24,081	1.99	12,056 4.00	15 , 067	5.00

A-53

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

	Actu		-	acy ses	To be capital under pr correct action provis	lized rompt tive on ions
As of December 31, 1999			Amount	Ratio	Amount	
		(Dolla	ars in the			
Total Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets):						
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$343,091	11.07%	\$247,943	8.00%		N/A
Bank of Petaluma	17 , 537	11.70	11,991	8.00	\$17 , 537	10.00%
Bank of Santa Clara		11.91		8.00	28,272	10.00
Bay Area Bank	15,104	10.50	11 , 511	8.00	14,398	10.00
Bay Bank of Commerce	12,004	10.12	9,484	8.00	11,856	10.00
Coast Commercial Bank	37,426	13.80	21,771	8.00	27,213	10.00
Cupertino National Bank	97,081	11.03	70 , 398	8.00	87,997	10.00
Golden Gate Bank	14,645	10.19	11,494	8.00	14,368	10.00
Mid-Peninsula Bank	65 , 923	10.02	52 , 656	8.00	65,820	10.00
Mt. Diablo National Bank	15,192			8.00	18,529	
Peninsula Bank of Commerce	22,458	10.86	16,544	8.00	20,680	10.00
Tier 1 Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets):						
Greater Bay Bancorp						N/A
Bank of Petaluma			5,993	4.00	\$15 , 941	
Bank of Santa Clara	31,368			4.00	16,971	
Bay Area Bank	13,285		5,756	4.00	8,634	
Bay Bank of Commerce	10,507	8.86	4,742	4.00	7,113	
Coast Commercial Bank		12.50			16,328	
Cupertino National Bank	82,337				52,798	
Golden Gate Bank	12,846	8.94	5,747		8,621	
Mid-Peninsula Bank	57 , 692	8.77	26,328		39,492	
Mt. Diablo National Bank	12,875	6.95	7,411		11,117	
Peninsula Bank of Commerce	19,859	9.60	8,272	4.00	12,408	6.00
Tier 1 Capital Leverage (To Average Assets):						
Greater Bay Bancorp	\$302,073	8.24%	\$146,637	4.00%		N/A
Bank of Petaluma	15,941	8.29	7,692	4.00	\$15,941	5.00%

Bank of Santa Clara	31,368	9.29	12,782	4.00	15 , 977	5.00
Bay Area Bank	13,285	7.80	6,815	4.00	8,519	5.00
Bay Bank of Commerce	10,507	7.12	5,900	4.00	7,375	5.00
Coast Commercial Bank	34,020	9.40	14,538	4.00	18,172	5.00
Cupertino National Bank	82 , 337	8.05	40,896	4.00	51,120	5.00
Golden Gate Bank	12,846	6.55	7,844	4.00	9,805	5.00
Mid-Peninsula Bank	57 , 692	7.47	30,883	3.00	38,604	5.00
Mt. Diablo National Bank	12,875	7.76	7,828	4.00	9,785	5.00
Peninsula Bank of Commerce	19,859	7.32	10,847	4.00	13,559	5.00

A-54

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 19--EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares plus common equivalent shares outstanding including dilutive stock options. The following table provides a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted net income per share computations for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998.

	For the year ended December 31, 2000				
		Shares (denominator)			
		in thousands, share amounts	-		
Net income Basic net income per share:	\$58 , 540				
Income available to common shareholders Effect of dilutive securities:	58,540	41,229,000	\$1.42		
Stock options		2,276,000			
Diluted net income per share: Income available to common					
shareholders and assumed conversions	\$58,540 =====	43,505,000 ======	\$1.35 =====		
	-	ear ended Dece 1999	mber 31,		
		Shares (denominator)			
		in thousands, share amounts	-		

Net income	\$44,184		
Basic net income per share:			
Income available to common			
shareholders	44,184	38,245,000	\$1.16
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options		2,059,000	
Diluted net income per share:			
Income available to common			
shareholders and assumed conversions	\$44,184	40,304,000	\$1.10

	For the year ended December 31 1998				
		Shares (denominator)			
		in thousands, share amounts	-		
Net income Basic net income per share: Income available to common	\$33 , 784				
shareholders Effect of dilutive securities:	33,784	37,049,000	\$0.91		
Stock options		2,590,000			
Diluted net income per share: Income available to common					
shareholders and assumed conversions	\$33,784	39,639,000	\$0.85		
			=====		

A-55

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

There were options to purchase 66,000, 1,037,000 shares and 304,000 shares that were considered anti-dilutive whereby the options' exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common shares, during the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998, respectively.

All years presented have been restated to reflect the 2-for-1 stock splits effective on April 30, 1998 and October 4, 2000.

Weighted average shares outstanding and all per share amounts included in the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto are based upon the increased number of shares giving retroactive effect to the 2000 mergers with Bank of Petaluma at a 0.5731 conversion ratio, Bank of Santa Clara at a 0.8499 conversion ratio, Coast Bancorp at a 0.6338 conversion ratio and Mt. Diablo Bancshares at a 0.9532 conversion ratio, 1999 mergers with Bay Commercial Services at a 0.6833 conversion ratio and Bay Area Bancshares at a 1.38682 conversion ratio, the 1998 mergers with Pacific Rim Bancorporation and Pacific Business Funding Corporation at a total of 1,901,496 and 596,000 shares, respectively, and the 1997 merger with PBC at a 0.96550 conversion ratio.

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS-- (Continued)

NOTE 20--PARENT COMPANY ONLY--CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements of Greater Bay Bancorp (parent company only) are presented below:

PARENT COMPANY ONLY--BALANCE SHEETS

Decembe	er 31,
2000	1999
(Dolla thousa	ars in ands)

ASSETS

Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 19 , 067	\$ 2 , 837
Investment in subsidiaries	412,566	282,079
Other investments	25,634	16,143
Other assets	25,172	18,773
Total assets	\$482,439	\$319 , 832

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

Subordinated debt Other borrowings Other liabilities	\$103,609 15,000 41,465	\$ 58,547 7,000 1,390
Total liabilities Shareholders' equity:	160,074	66,937
Common stock	173 , 276	148,611
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(6,552)	(9,158)
Retained earnings	155 , 641	113,442
Total shareholders' equity	322,365	252,895
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$482,439	\$319,832

A-57

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued) PARENT COMPANY ONLY--STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years ended December 31,			
		1999		
		s in thous		
Income: Interest income Cash dividend from subsidiaries Other income		\$ 521 1,580 	3,015	
Total	15,573	2,101	4,159	
Expenses: Interest expense Salaries Occupancy and equipment Merger expenses Other expenses Less: rentals and fees received from Banks Total	22,280 6,416 12,479 7,470 (41,480) 15,701	4,382 17,138 3,821 3,283 5,804 (27,653) 6,775	8,952 2,031 1,877 3,596 (15,866) 3,785	
Income (loss) before taxes and equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries Income tax benefit	(128) (3,447)	(4,674) (2,685)		
Income (loss) before equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	3,319	(1,989)	2,042	
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries	55,221	46,173		
Net income		\$ 44,184	\$ 33,784	

A-58

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued) PARENT COMPANY ONLY--STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years ended December 31,					
	2000	1998				
	(Dollar	s in thous	ands)			
Cash flows-operating activities Net income Reconciliation of net income to net cash from operations:	\$ 58,540	\$ 44,184	\$ 33,784			
Equity in undistributed net income of subsidiaries Net change in other assets	. , ,	(46,173) (9,791)				

Edgar Filing: GREATER B	AY BANCORP - Form 10-K
-------------------------	------------------------

Net change in other liabilities		4,420	
Operating cash flow, net		(7,360)	
Cash flows-investing activities			
Purchases of available for sale securities Proceeds from sale and maturities of available	(51,517)	(20,825)	(84,130)
for sale securities	3,000	20,980	71 , 939
Proceeds from sale of OREO	224		407
Dividends from subsidiaries	10,560	4,166	3,449
Capital contribution to the subsidiaries	(46,800)	(27,218)	(17,500)
Investing cash flows, net	(84,533)	(22,897)	(25,835)
Cash flows-financing activities			
Net change in other borrowings	2,562	7,000	
Repurchase of common stock			(2,651)
Proceeds from sale of common stock	23,704	26,013	5,661
Payment of cash dividends	(14,206)	(7,622)	(7,193)
Financing cash flows, net	62,560	25,391	
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		(4,866)	
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the year	2,837	7,703	8,111
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year			

NOTE 21--RESTRICTIONS ON SUBSIDIARY TRANSACTIONS

Total dividends which may be declared by the Banks without receiving prior approval from regulatory authorities are limited to the lesser of the Banks' retained earnings or the net income of the Banks for the latest three fiscal years, less dividends previously declared during that period.

The Banks are subject to certain restrictions under the Federal Reserve Act, including restrictions on the extension of credit to affiliates. In particular, the Banks are prohibited from lending to Greater Bay unless the loans are secured by specified types of collateral. Such secured loans and other advances from the Banks are limited to 10% of the Banks' shareholders' equity, or a maximum of \$40.1 million at December 31, 2000. No such advances were made during 2000 or exist as of December 31, 2000.

A-59

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 22--FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Fair value estimates, methods and assumptions are set forth below for the Company's financial instruments. The estimated fair value of financial instruments of the Company as of December 31, 2000 and 1999 are as follows:

2000

1999

	1 2		Carrying Amount	
	(I	Dollars in	thousands)	
Financial assets:				
Cash and due from banks	\$ 270 , 774	\$ 270,774	\$ 147 , 222	\$ 147,222
Short term investments and Fed				
Funds Sold	138,056	\$ 138,056	249,107	249,107
Investment securities	962 , 277	972,610	750 , 516	722,262
Loans, net	3,517,408	3,545,022	2,416,423	2,396,640
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits:				
Demand, noninterest-bearing	1,003,828	1,003,828	727 , 613	756 , 604
MMDA, NOW and Savings	2,082,708	2,082,708	1,838,868	1,809,877
Time certificates, \$100,000 and				
over	784,118	784,334	534,662	528 , 735
Other time certificates	294,407	294,201	161,745	162,963
Other borrowings	431,228	431,572	117 , 052	116,242
Subordinated debt				
Company obligated mandatory				
redeemable preferred securities of				
subsidiary trust holding solely				
junior subordinated debentures	99,500	92,365	49,000	48,468

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The carrying value reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Investment Securities

The carrying amounts for short-term investments approximate fair value because they mature in 90 days or less and do not present unanticipated credit concerns. The fair value of longer term investments, except certain state and municipal securities, is estimated based on quoted market prices or bid quotations from securities dealers.

Loans

Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. The fair value of performing fixed rate loans is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loan. The fair value of performing variable rate loans is judged to approximate book value for those loans whose rates reprice in less than 90 days. Rate floors and rate ceilings are not considered for fair value purposes as the number of loans with such limitations is not significant.

Fair value for significant nonperforming loans is based on recent external appraisals. If appraisals are not available, estimated cash flows are discounted using a rate commensurate with the risk associated with the estimated cash flows. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows, and discount rates are judgmentally determined using available market information and specific borrower information.

A-60

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

Deposit Liabilities and Borrowings

The fair value for all deposits without fixed maturities and short term borrowings is considered to be equal to the carrying value. The fair value for fixed rate time deposits are estimated by discounting future cash flows using interest rates currently offered on time deposits with similar remaining maturities. The fair value of core deposits does not reflect the market core deposits premium of approximately 10%--12%. Additionally, the fair value of deposits does not include the benefit that results from the low cost of funding provided by the Company's deposits as compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market.

Commitments to Extend Credit and Standby Letters of Credit

The majority of the Company's commitments to extend credit carry current market interest rates if converted to loans. Because these commitments are generally unassignable by either the Company or the borrower, they only have value to the Company and the borrower. The estimated fair value approximates the recorded deferred fee amounts and is excluded from the above table.

Limitations

These fair value disclosures represent management's best estimates, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instruments. Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale, at one time, the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic condition, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates.

Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have significant effect on fair value estimates and have been considered in many of the estimates.

NOTE 23--ACTIVITY OF BUSINESS SEGMENTS

The accounting policies of the segments are described in the "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." Segment data includes intersegment revenue, as well as charges allocating all corporate-headquarters costs to each of its operating segments. Intersegment revenue is recorded at prevailing market terms and rates and is not significant to the results of the segments. This revenue is eliminated in consolidation. The Company evaluates the performances of its segments and allocates resources to them based on net interest income, other income, net income before income taxes, total assets and deposits.

The Company is organized primarily along community banking and trust

divisions. Ten of the divisions have been aggregated into the "community banking" segment. Community banking provides a range of commercial banking services to small and medium-sized businesses, real estate developers, property managers, business executives, professional and other individuals. The GBB Trust division has been shown as the "trust operations" segment. The Company's business is conducted principally in the U.S.; foreign operations are not material.

A-61

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

The following table shows each segments key operating results and financial position for the years ended or as of December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998:

	2000			1999					1998				
	Community banking		-		Community banking		Trust operations		Community banking			rust erations	
					(Do	llars in	tho	usands)					
Net interest income	\$	236,315	\$	551	\$	156,097	\$	369	\$	128,945	\$	859	
Other income		28,283		3,753		39,972		3,007		19,453		2,488	
Operating expenses		83,737		2,703		149,042		2,863		104,085		2,429	
Net income before income													
taxes(1)		152 , 765		1,601		98 , 167		121		68,648		918	
Total assets	4	,647,939			3	,702,369			3	,820,326			
Deposits	4	,107,898		57 , 163	3	,205,057		57 , 831	2	,395,945		67,539	
Assets under management			7	73 , 791			6	97 , 435			6	549 , 336	

(1) Includes intercompany earnings allocation charge which is eliminated in consolidation.

A reconciliation of total segment net interest income and other income combined, net income before income taxes, and total assets to the consolidated numbers in each of these categories for the years ended December 31, 2000, 1999 and 1998 is presented below.

	As of and for year ended December 31,								
		1998							
		(Dolla	rs	in thousa	nds)			
Net interest income and other income Total segment net interest income and other income Parent company net interest income and	\$	268,902	Ş	207,231	\$	151,745			
other income		(4,400)		(3,893)		(1,357)			
Consolidated net interest income and other income	\$	264,502	\$	203,338	\$	150,388			

	==		===		==:	
Net income before taxes						
Total segment net income before income						
taxes	\$	154,366	\$	98,288	\$	69 , 566
Parent company net income before income						
taxes		(40,572)		(32,393)		(17,664)
Consolidated net income before income						
taxes	\$	113,794	\$	65,895	\$	51 , 902
	==		===		==:	
Total assets						
Total segment assets	\$4	,647,939	\$3 ,	702,369	\$2	,820,326
Parent company segment assets		482,439		34,360		36,920
Consolidated total assets	\$5	,130,378	\$3,	736,729	\$2	,857,246
	==				===	

A-62

GREATER BAY BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS--(Continued)

NOTE 24--QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following table presents the summary results for the stated eight quarters:

	For the quarter ended								
	December 3 2000	June Dtember 30, 30, March 31, 2000 2000 2000							
	(Dollars	in thousands, data)	except per share						
Interest income	\$104 , 376	\$96,612	\$87,538 \$79,837						
Net interest income	65 , 478	59 , 760	56,521 50,204						
Provision for loan losses	6,316	7,844	8,312 5,624						
Other income	8 , 790	10,842	8,657 17,236						
Other expenses	33,408	30,515	29,454 29,235						
Income before taxes	31,011	25,206	20,668 30,192						
Net income	17 , 950	12,869	10,425 17,296						
Net income per share:									
Basic	\$ 0.43	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.25 \$ 0.43						
Diluted	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.24 \$ 0.42						

For the quarter	ended
December 31, September 30, 1999 1999	June 30, March 31, 1999 1999
(Dollars in thousands, e: data)	xcept per share

Interest income	\$	73,124	\$6	6,160	\$6	0,934	\$5	5,159
Net interest income		46,546	4	2,713	3	, 9,306	3	, 5,997
Provision for loan losses		6,383		3,977		2,216		1,463
Other income		23,725		7,705		5,780		5,769
Other expenses		46,326	2	6,744	2	9,833	2	4,705
Income before taxes	17,563		1	9,697	13,037		15,598	
Net income	14,240		1	2,337	8,124		9,483	
Net income per share:								
Basic	\$	0.36	\$	0.32	\$	0.21	\$	0.25
Diluted	\$	0.34	\$	0.31	\$	0.20	\$	0.24

A-63

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Greater Bay Bancorp:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 14(a)(1) on page 26 present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Greater Bay Bancorp and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2000 and 1999, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2000 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

San Francisco, California January 30, 2001

A-64