

ACNB CORP  
Form 10-K  
March 11, 2011

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

**FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the fiscal year-ended December 31, 2010**

**OR**

**TRANSACTION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_  
Commission file number 0-11783**

**ACNB CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Pennsylvania**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**23-2233457**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**16 Lincoln Square, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**17325**  
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(717) 334-3161**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

**Title of each class**

Common Stock, \$2.50 par value per share

**Name of each exchange on which registered**

The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by nonaffiliates of the registrant at June 30, 2010, was approximately \$81,358,000.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding on March 4, 2011, was 5,928,343.

### Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the registrant's 2011 definitive Proxy Statement are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report.

---

Table of Contents

## ACNB CORPORATION

## Table of Contents

|  | <b>Page</b> |
|--|-------------|
| <b><u>Part I</u></b>   |             |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u>   | <u>3</u>    |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>  | <u>14</u>   |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>   | <u>23</u>   |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u>   | <u>23</u>   |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | <u>23</u>   |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u>   | <u>23</u>   |
| <b><u>Part II</u></b>  |             |
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>24</u>   |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | <u>27</u>   |
| <u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                            | <u>28</u>   |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>  | <u>49</u>   |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | <u>52</u>   |
| <u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                             | <u>99</u>   |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | <u>100</u>  |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information</u>   | <u>103</u>  |
| <b><u>Part III</u></b>   |             |
| <u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>   | <u>104</u>  |
| <u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u>  | <u>104</u>  |
| <u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>                  | <u>104</u>  |
| <u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>  | <u>104</u>  |
| <u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>  | <u>104</u>  |
| <b><u>Part IV</u></b>  |             |
| <u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>  | <u>104</u>  |
| <u>Signatures</u>  | <u>107</u>  |

Table of Contents

**PART I**

**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

In addition to historical information, this Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements. Examples of forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, (a) projections or statements regarding future earnings, expenses, net interest income, other income, earnings or loss per share, asset mix and quality, growth prospects, capital structure, and other financial terms, (b) statements of plans and objectives of management or the Board of Directors, and (c) statements of assumptions, such as economic conditions in the Corporation's market areas. Such forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes", "expects", "may", "intends", "will", "should", "anticipates", or the negative of any of the foregoing or other variations thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussion of strategy. Forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties such as local economic conditions, competitive factors, and regulatory limitations. Actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results and experience to differ from those projected include, but are not limited to, the following: the effects of new laws and regulations, specifically the impact of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act; ineffectiveness of the business strategy due to changes in current or future market conditions; the effects and unanticipated expenses related to the charter conversion of our banking subsidiary from a federal to a state charter; the effects of economic deterioration on current customers, specifically the effect of the economy on loan customers' ability to repay loans; the effects of competition, and of changes in laws and regulations on competition, including industry consolidation and development of competing financial products and services; interest rate movements; the inability to achieve merger-related synergies; difficulties in integrating distinct business operations, including information technology difficulties; disruption from the transaction making it more difficult to maintain relationships with customers and employees, and challenges in establishing and maintaining operations in new markets; volatilities in the securities markets; and, deteriorating economic conditions. We caution readers not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. They only reflect management's analysis as of this date. The Corporation does not revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect events or changed circumstances. Please carefully review the risk factors described in other documents the Corporation files from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and any Current Reports on Form 8-K.

**ITEM 1 BUSINESS**

**ACNB CORPORATION**

ACNB Corporation (the Corporation or ACNB) is a \$969 million financial holding company headquartered in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania. Through its banking and nonbanking subsidiaries, ACNB provides a full range of banking and financial services to individuals and businesses, including commercial and retail banking, trust and investment management, and insurance. ACNB's banking operations are conducted through its primary operating subsidiary, ACNB Bank, with 19 retail banking offices in Adams, Cumberland and York Counties, as well as two loan production offices in York and Franklin Counties, Pennsylvania, as of December 31, 2010. The loan production office in Hanover, York County, opened in February 2009. The Corporation was formed in 1982, then became the holding company for Adams County National Bank (now ACNB Bank) in 1983.

On January 5, 2005, ACNB Corporation completed the acquisition of Russell Insurance Group, Inc. (RIG) and RIG began to operate as a separate subsidiary of ACNB Corporation. In accordance with the terms of the acquisition, there was contingent consideration associated with this transaction of up to \$3,000,000, payable in 2008 subject to performance criteria for the three-year period subsequent to the acquisition. Due to performance at a higher level than the performance

Table of Contents

criteria, the liability for this consideration was recorded at December 31, 2006, with a related increase in goodwill. Payment was made in the second quarter of 2008 after it was ascertained that the performance criteria had been met for the full three-year period; after which, the total aggregate purchase price was \$8,663,000. In addition, on January 13, 2011, the Corporation entered into another three-year employment agreement with Frank C. Russell, Jr., President & Chief Executive Officer of RIG, effective as of January 1, 2011.

In 2007, RIG acquired two additional books of business with an aggregate purchase price of \$637,000. In 2008, RIG acquired an additional book of business with an aggregate purchase price of \$1,165,000, all of which was classified as an intangible asset. Also, on December 31, 2008, RIG acquired Marks Insurance & Associates, Inc. with an aggregate purchase price of \$1,853,000, of which \$1,300,000 was recorded as an intangible asset and \$553,000 was recorded as goodwill. The intangible assets (excluding goodwill) are being amortized over ten years on a straight line basis. The contingent consideration for both 2008 purchases is payable three years after closing, based on multiples of sellers' commissions, with a maximum payment of \$1,800,000. Although it is probable that some liability for further contingent consideration will be incurred, it is considered remote that the maximum aggregate liability of \$1,800,000 will be incurred on the measurement dates. The amount of the ultimate liability is not estimable at December 31, 2010 because of the uncertainties in retaining books of business in the current economic cycle.

ACNB's major source of operating funds is dividends that it receives from its subsidiary bank. ACNB's expenses consist principally of losses from low-income housing investments and interest paid on a term loan used to purchase RIG. Dividends that ACNB pays to stockholders consist of dividends declared and paid to ACNB by the subsidiary bank.

ACNB and its subsidiaries are not dependent upon a single customer or a small number of customers, the loss of which would have a material adverse effect on the Corporation. ACNB does not depend on foreign sources of funds, nor does it make foreign loans.

The common stock of ACNB is listed on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol ACNB.

RIG is managed separately from the banking and related financial services that the Corporation offers and is reported as a separate segment. Financial information on this segment is included in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Note S "Segment and Related Information".

**BANKING SUBSIDIARY**

*ACNB Bank*

On October 4, 2010, the banking subsidiary, Adams County National Bank, completed the process of converting from a national banking association to a Pennsylvania state-chartered bank and trust company with the filing and effectiveness of its Articles of Conversion with the Pennsylvania Department of State. Accordingly, Adams County National Bank became ACNB Bank (Bank). Reasons for the conversion included the Corporation's belief that a state bank charter serves the needs of a community bank more effectively. The Pennsylvania Department of Banking focuses solely on Pennsylvania financial institutions, so there was an anticipation of a better understanding of the Bank and the environment in which it operates, as well as an enhanced level of communication. In addition, the Bank serves customers in four counties Adams, Cumberland, York and Franklin and the name of Adams County National Bank no longer served the organization well in expansion beyond Adams County.

ACNB Bank is a full-service commercial bank operating under charter from the Pennsylvania Department of Banking. The Bank's principal market area is Adams County, Pennsylvania, which is located in southcentral Pennsylvania. Adams County depends on agriculture, industry and tourism to provide employment for its residents. No single sector dominates the county's economy. At

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010, ACNB Bank had total assets of \$953 million, total net loans of \$654 million, and total deposits of \$748 million.

The main office of the Bank is located at 16 Lincoln Square, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania. In addition to its main office, as of December 31, 2010, the Bank had fourteen branches in Adams County, three branches in York County, and two branches in Cumberland County, as well as a loan production office in both York County and Franklin County, Pennsylvania. ACNB Bank's service delivery channels for its customers also include the ATM network, Customer Contact Center, and Online and Telephone Banking. The Bank is subject to regulation and periodic examination by the Pennsylvania Department of Banking and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The FDIC, as provided by law, insures the Bank's deposits.

Commercial lending includes commercial mortgages, real estate development and construction, accounts receivable and inventory financing, and agricultural loans. Consumer lending programs include home equity loans and lines of credit, automobile and recreational vehicle loans, manufactured housing loans, and personal lines of credit. Mortgage lending programs include personal residential mortgages, residential construction loans, and investment mortgage loans.

A trust is a legal fiduciary agreement whereby the ACNB Bank Trust Department is named as trustee of financial assets. As trustee, the Trust Department invests, protects, manages and distributes financial assets as defined in the agreement. Estate settlement governed by the last will and testament of an individual constitutes another part of the Trust Department business. One purpose of having a will is to name an executor to settle an estate. ACNB Bank has the knowledge and expertise to act as executor. Other services include, but are not limited to, services under testamentary trusts, life insurance trusts, charitable remainder trusts, guardianships, and powers of attorney.

## **NONBANKING SUBSIDIARIES**

### *Russell Insurance Group, Inc.*

In January 2005, ACNB Corporation acquired Russell Insurance Group, Inc. (RIG), a full-service insurance agency that offers a broad range of property and casualty, life, and health insurance to both commercial and individual clients. Based in Westminster, Maryland, RIG has served the needs of its clients since its founding as an independent insurance agency by Frank C. Russell, Jr. in 1978. With the acquisition of Marks Insurance & Associates, Inc. as of December 31, 2008, RIG operates a second office location in Germantown, Maryland. Total assets of RIG as of December 31, 2010, totaled \$12,005,000.

### *BankersRe Insurance Group, SPC*

BankersRe Insurance Group, SPC (formerly Pennbanks Insurance Co., SPC) was organized in 2000 and holds an unrestricted Class "B" Insurer's License under Cayman Islands Insurance Law. The segregated portfolio is engaged in the business of reinsuring credit life and credit accident and disability risks. Total assets of the segregated portfolio as of December 31, 2010, totaled \$220,000.

## **COMPETITION**

The financial services industry in ACNB's market area is highly competitive, including competition for similar products and services from commercial banks, credit unions, finance and mortgage companies, and other nonbank providers of financial services. Several of ACNB's competitors have legal lending limits that exceed those of ACNB's subsidiary bank, as well as funding sources on the capital markets that exceed ACNB's availability. The increased competition has resulted from a changing legal and regulatory environment, as well as from the economic climate, customer expectations, and service alternatives via the Internet.

Table of Contents

**SUPERVISION AND REGULATION**

*Bank Holding Company Regulation*

BANK HOLDING COMPANY ACT OF 1956 ACNB is a financial holding company and is subject to the regulations of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. Bank holding companies are required to file periodic reports with and are subject to examination by the Federal Reserve. The Federal Reserve has issued regulations under the Bank Holding Company Act that require a financial holding company to serve as a source of financial and managerial strength to its subsidiary bank. As a result, the Federal Reserve may require ACNB to stand ready to use its resources to provide adequate capital funds to the Bank during periods of financial stress or adversity.

In addition, the Federal Reserve may require a financial holding company to end a nonbanking business if the nonbanking business constitutes a serious risk to the financial soundness and stability of any banking subsidiary of the financial holding company.

The Bank Holding Company Act prohibits ACNB from acquiring direct or indirect control of more than 5% of the outstanding voting stock of any bank, or substantially all of the assets of any bank, or merging with another bank holding company, without the prior approval of the Federal Reserve. The Bank Holding Company Act allows interstate bank acquisitions and interstate branching by acquisition and consolidation in those states that had not elected to opt out by the required deadline. The Pennsylvania Department of Banking also must approve any similar consolidation. Pennsylvania law permits Pennsylvania financial holding companies to control an unlimited number of banks.

In addition, the Bank Holding Company Act restricts ACNB's nonbanking activities to those that are determined by the Federal Reserve Board to be financial in nature, incidental to such financial activity, or complementary to a financial activity. The Bank Holding Company Act does not place territorial restrictions on the activities of nonbanking subsidiaries of financial holding companies.

GRAMM-LEACH-BLILEY ACT OF 1999 (GLBA) The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 eliminated many of the restrictions placed on the activities of bank holding companies that become financial holding companies. Among other things, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act repealed certain Glass-Steagall Act restrictions on affiliations between banks and securities firms, and amended the Bank Holding Company Act to permit bank holding companies that are financial holding companies to engage in activities, and acquire companies engaged in activities, that are: financial in nature (including insurance underwriting, insurance company portfolio investment, financial advisory, securities underwriting, dealing and market-making, and merchant banking activities); incidental to financial activities; or, complementary to financial activities if the Federal Reserve determines that they pose no substantial risk to the safety or soundness of depository institutions or the financial system in general.

REGULATION W Transactions between a bank and its "affiliates" are quantitatively and qualitatively restricted under the Federal Reserve Act. The Federal Deposit Insurance Act applies Sections 23A and 23B to insured nonmember banks in the same manner and to the same extent as if they were members of the Federal Reserve System. The Federal Reserve has also issued Regulation W, which codifies prior regulations under Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, and interpretative guidance with respect to affiliate transactions. Regulation W incorporates the exemption from the affiliate transaction rules, but expands the exemption to cover the purchase of any type of loan or extension of credit from an affiliate. Affiliates of a bank include, among other entities, the bank's holding company and companies that are under common control with the bank. ACNB Corporation and Russell Insurance Group, Inc. are considered to be affiliates of ACNB Bank.

USA PATRIOT ACT OF 2001 In October 2001, the USA Patriot Act of 2001 was enacted in response to the terrorist attacks in New York, Pennsylvania and Washington, D.C., which occurred on September 11, 2001. The Patriot Act is intended to strengthen U.S. law enforcement's and the

Table of Contents

intelligence communities' abilities to work cohesively to combat terrorism on a variety of fronts. The potential impact of the Patriot Act on financial institutions of all kinds is significant and wide ranging. The Patriot Act contains sweeping anti-money laundering and financial transparency laws and imposes various regulations, including standards for verifying client identification at account opening, and rules to promote cooperation among financial institutions, regulators and law enforcement entities in identifying parties that may be involved in terrorism or money laundering.

**SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002 (SOA)** On July 30, 2002, President Bush signed into law the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The stated goals of the SOA are to increase corporate responsibility, to provide for enhanced penalties for accounting and auditing improprieties at publicly traded companies, and to protect investors by improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures pursuant to the securities law.

The SOA is the most far-reaching U.S. securities legislation enacted in some time. The SOA generally applies to all companies, both U.S. and non-U.S., that file or are required to file periodic reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act.

The SOA includes very specific additional disclosure requirements and corporate governance rules; requires the SEC and securities exchanges to adopt extensive additional disclosure, corporate governance, and other related rules. The SOA represents significant federal involvement in matters traditionally left to state regulatory systems, such as the regulation of the accounting profession, and to state corporate law, such as the relationship between a board of directors and management and between a board of directors and its committees.

The SOA addresses, among other matters:

Audit committees for all reporting companies;

Certification of financial statements by the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer;

The forfeiture of bonuses or other incentive-based compensation and profits from the sale of an issuer's securities by directors and senior officers in the twelve-month period following initial publication of any financial statements that later require restatement;

A prohibition on insider trading during pension plan black out periods;

Disclosure of off-balance sheet transactions;

A prohibition on personal loans to directors and officers;

Expedited filing requirements for Forms 4;

Disclosure of a code of ethics and filing a Form 8-K for a change or waiver of such code;

"Real time" filing of periodic reports;

Formation of a public accounting oversight board;



Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Auditor independence; and,

Increased criminal penalties for violations of securities laws.

The SEC has been delegated the task of enacting rules to implement various provisions with respect to, among other matters, disclosure in periodic filings pursuant to the Exchange Act.

Table of Contents

**AMERICAN JOBS CREATION ACT OF 2004** In 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act was enacted as the first major corporate tax act in years. The act addresses a number of areas of corporate taxation including executive deferred compensation restrictions. The impact of the act on ACNB is not material.

**EMERGENCY ECONOMIC STABILIZATION ACT OF 2008 AND AMERICAN RECOVERY AND REINVESTMENT ACT OF 2009 (EESA)** In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system and financial markets and going concern threats to investment banks and other financial institutions, on October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (EESA) was signed into law and subsequently amended by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 on February 17, 2009. Under the authority of the EESA, as amended, the United States Department of the Treasury (Treasury) created the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) Capital Purchase Program and through this program invested in financial institutions by purchasing preferred stock and warrants to purchase either common stock or additional shares of preferred stock. As of December 31, 2009, the Treasury will not make additional investments under the TARP Capital Purchase Program, but is considering continuing a similar program for banks under \$10 billion in assets under a different program. For additional information, please refer to the "Capital" section of the Management's Discussion and Analysis.

*Dividends*

ACNB is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiary bank. ACNB's revenues, on a parent company only basis, result almost entirely from dividends paid to the Corporation by its subsidiary bank. Federal and state laws regulate the payment of dividends by ACNB's subsidiary bank. Please refer to "Regulation of Bank" below.

*Regulation of Bank*

The operations of the subsidiary bank are subject to statutes applicable to banks chartered under the banking laws of Pennsylvania, to state non-member banks, and to banks whose deposits are insured by the FDIC. The subsidiary bank's operations are also subject to regulations of the Pennsylvania Department of Banking, Federal Reserve, and FDIC.

The Pennsylvania Department of Banking, which has primary supervisory authority over banks chartered in Pennsylvania, regularly examines banks in such areas as reserves, loans, investments, management practices, and other aspects of operations. These examinations are designed for the protection of the subsidiary bank's depositors rather than ACNB's stockholders. The subsidiary bank must file quarterly and annual reports to the Federal Financial Institutions Examinations Council, or FFIEC.

**DODD-FRANK WALL STREET REFORM AND CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT** On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank) was signed into law. Dodd-Frank is intended to effect a fundamental restructuring of federal banking regulation. Among other things, Dodd-Frank creates a new Financial Stability Oversight Council to identify systemic risks in the financial system and gives federal regulators new authority to take control of and liquidate financial firms. Dodd-Frank additionally creates a new independent federal regulator to administer federal consumer protection laws. Dodd-Frank is expected to have a significant impact on ACNB's business operations as its provisions take effect. It is difficult to predict at this time what specific impact Dodd-Frank, and the yet to be written implementing rules and regulations, will have on community banks. However, it is expected that, at a minimum, they will increase ACNB's operating and

Table of Contents

compliance costs and could increase the Bank's interest expense. Among the provisions that are likely to affect ACNB are the following:

*Holding Company Capital Requirements*

Dodd-Frank requires the Federal Reserve to apply consolidated capital requirements to bank holding companies that are no less stringent than those currently applied to depository institutions. Under these standards, trust preferred securities will be excluded from Tier 1 capital unless such securities were issued prior to May 19, 2010, by a bank holding company with less than \$15 billion in assets. Dodd-Frank additionally requires that bank regulators issue countercyclical capital requirements so that the required amount of capital increases in times of economic expansion and decreases in times of economic contraction, consistent with safety and soundness.

*Deposit Insurance*

Dodd-Frank permanently increases the maximum deposit insurance amount for banks, savings institutions and credit unions to \$250,000 per depositor, and extends unlimited deposit insurance to non-interest bearing transaction accounts through December 31, 2012. Dodd-Frank also broadens the base for FDIC insurance assessments. Assessments will now be based on the average consolidated total assets less tangible equity capital of a financial institution. Dodd-Frank requires the FDIC to increase the reserve ratio of the Deposit Insurance Fund from 1.15% to 1.35% of insured deposits by 2020 and eliminates the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to insured depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. Effective one year from the date of enactment, Dodd-Frank eliminates the federal statutory prohibition against the payment of interest on business checking accounts.

*Corporate Governance*

Dodd-Frank requires publicly-traded companies to give stockholders a non-binding vote on executive compensation at least every three years, a non-binding vote regarding the frequency of the vote on executive compensation at least every six years, and a non-binding vote on "golden parachute" payments in connection with approvals of mergers and acquisitions unless previously voted on by stockholders. The SEC has finalized the rules implementing these requirements which took effect on January 21, 2011. Additionally, Dodd-Frank directs the federal banking regulators to promulgate rules prohibiting excessive compensation paid to executives of depository institutions and their holding companies with assets in excess of \$1.0 billion, regardless of whether the company is publicly traded. Dodd-Frank also gives the SEC authority to prohibit broker discretionary voting on elections of directors and executive compensation matters.

*Prohibition Against Charter Conversions of Troubled Institutions*

Effective one year after enactment, Dodd-Frank prohibits a depository institution from converting from a state to a federal charter, or vice versa, while it is the subject of a cease and desist order or other formal enforcement action or a memorandum of understanding with respect to a significant supervisory matter unless the appropriate federal banking agency gives notice of the conversion to the federal or state authority that issued the enforcement action and that agency does not object within 30 days. The notice must include a plan to address the significant supervisory matter. The converting institution must also file a copy of the conversion application with its current federal regulator, which must notify the resulting federal regulator of any ongoing supervisory or investigative proceedings that are likely to result in an enforcement action and provide access to all supervisory and investigative information relating thereto.

Table of Contents

*Interstate Branching*

Dodd-Frank authorizes national and state banks to establish branches in other states to the same extent as a bank chartered by that state would be permitted. Previously, banks could only establish branches in other states if the host state expressly permitted out-of-state banks to establish branches in that state. Accordingly, banks will be able to enter new markets more freely.

*Limits on Interstate Acquisitions and Mergers*

Dodd-Frank precludes a bank holding company from engaging in an interstate acquisition the acquisition of a bank outside its home state unless the bank holding company is both well capitalized and well managed. Furthermore, a bank may not engage in an interstate merger with another bank headquartered in another state unless the surviving institution will be well capitalized and well managed. The previous standard in both cases was adequately capitalized and adequately managed.

*Limits on Interchange Fees*

Dodd-Frank amends the Electronic Fund Transfer Act to, among other things, give the Federal Reserve the authority to establish rules regarding interchange fees charged for electronic debit transactions by payment card issuers having assets over \$10 billion and to enforce a new statutory requirement that such fees be reasonable and proportional to the actual cost of a transaction to the issuer.

*Consumer Financial Protection Bureau*

Dodd-Frank creates a new, independent federal agency called the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB), which is granted broad rulemaking, supervisory and enforcement powers under various federal consumer financial protection laws, including the Equal Credit Opportunity Act, Truth in Lending Act, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, Fair Credit Reporting Act, Fair Debt Collection Act, the Consumer Financial Privacy provisions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, and certain other statutes. The CFPB will have examination and primary enforcement authority with respect to depository institutions with \$10 billion or more in assets. Smaller institutions will be subject to rules promulgated by the CFPB, but will continue to be examined and supervised by federal banking regulators for consumer compliance purposes. The CFPB will have authority to prevent unfair, deceptive or abusive practices in connection with the offering of consumer financial products. Dodd-Frank authorizes the CFPB to establish certain minimum standards for the origination of residential mortgages including a determination of the borrower's ability to repay. In addition, Dodd-Frank will allow borrowers to raise certain defenses to foreclosure if they receive any loan other than a "qualified mortgage" as defined by the CFPB. Dodd-Frank permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and standards that are more stringent than those adopted at the federal level and, in certain circumstances, permits state attorneys general to enforce compliance with both the state and federal laws and regulations.

**SMALL BUSINESS JOBS ACT** The Small Business Jobs Act was signed into law on September 27, 2010, which creates a \$30 billion Small Business Lending Fund (Fund) to provide community banks with capital to increase small business lending. Generally, bank holding companies with assets equal to or less than \$10 billion are eligible to apply for and receive a capital investment from the Fund in an amount equal to 3-5% of its risk-weighted assets.

The capital investment will take the form of preferred stock carrying a 5% dividend, which has the potential to decrease to as low as 1% if the participant sufficiently increases its small business lending within the first two and one-half years. If the participant does not increase its small business lending by at least 2.5% in the first two and one-half years, the dividend rate will increase to 7%. After four and one-half years, the dividend will increase to 9% regardless of the participant's small business lending.

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

The deadline to apply to receive capital under the Fund is March 31, 2011. Whether the Fund will help spur the economy by increasing small business lending or strengthening the capital position of community banks is uncertain. ACNB has elected not to apply to receive capital under the Fund due to low loan demand and the uncertainties of a government program.

**FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION ACT OF 1991** Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Act of 1991, any depository institution, including the subsidiary bank, is prohibited from paying any dividends, making other distributions or paying any management fees if, after such payment, it would fail to satisfy the minimum capital requirement.

**FEDERAL RESERVE ACT** A subsidiary bank of a bank holding company is subject to certain restrictions and reporting requirements imposed by the Federal Reserve Act, including:

Extensions of credit to the bank holding company, its subsidiaries, or principal shareholders;

Investments in the stock or other securities of the bank holding company or its subsidiaries; and,

Taking such stock or securities as collateral for loans.

**COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT ACT OF 1977 (CRA)** Under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977, the FDIC is required to assess the record of all financial institutions regulated by it to determine if these institutions are meeting the credit needs of the community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods, which they serve and to take this record into account in its evaluation of any application made by any of such institutions for, among other things, approval of a branch or other deposit facility, office relocation, merger, or acquisition of bank shares. The Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act of 1989 amended the CRA to require, among other things, that the FDIC make publicly available the evaluation of a bank's record of meeting the credit needs of its entire community, including low and moderate income neighborhoods. This evaluation includes a descriptive rating like "outstanding," "satisfactory," "needs to improve" or "substantial noncompliance" and a statement describing the basis for the rating. These ratings are publicly disclosed.

**FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 1991 (FDICIA)** The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act requires that institutions be classified, based on their risk-based capital ratios into one of five defined categories, as illustrated below: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized, and critically undercapitalized.

| <b>Capital Category</b>        | <b>Total Risk-<br/>Based<br/>Ratio</b> | <b>Tier 1<br/>Risk-<br/>Based<br/>Ratio</b> | <b>Tier 1<br/>Leverage<br/>Ratio</b> | <b>Under a<br/>Capital<br/>Order or<br/>Directive</b> |
|--------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|
| Well capitalized               | ≥10.0%                                 | ≥6.0%                                       | ≥5.0%                                | NO  |
| Adequately capitalized         | ≥8.0%                                  | ≥4.0%                                       | ≥4.0%*                               |   |
| Undercapitalized               | <8.0%                                  | <4.0%                                       | <4.0%*                               |   |
| Significantly undercapitalized | <6.0%                                  | <3.0%                                       | <3.0%                                |   |
| Critically undercapitalized    |  |   | <2.0%                                |   |

\*

3.0% for those banks having the highest available regulatory rating.

In the event an institution's capital deteriorates to the undercapitalized category or below, FDICIA prescribes an increasing amount of regulatory intervention, including the institution of a capital restoration plan and a guarantee of the plan by a parent institution and the placement of a hold on increases in assets, number of branches, or lines of business. If capital reaches the significantly or critically undercapitalized levels, further material restrictions can be imposed, including restrictions on interest payable on accounts, dismissal of management, and, in critically undercapitalized situations,



Table of Contents

appointment of a receiver. For well capitalized institutions, FDICIA provides authority for regulatory intervention where the institution is deemed to be engaging in unsafe or unsound practices or receives a less than satisfactory examination report rating for asset quality, management, earnings or liquidity. All but well capitalized institutions are prohibited from accepting brokered deposits without prior regulatory approval. Under FDICIA, financial institutions are subject to increased regulatory scrutiny and must comply with certain operational, managerial and compensation standards developed by Federal Reserve Board regulations.

**BANK SECRECY ACT** Under the Bank Secrecy Act, banks and other financial institutions are required to report to the Internal Revenue Service currency transactions of more than \$10,000 or multiple transactions of which a bank is aware in any one day that aggregate in excess of \$10,000 and to report suspicious transactions under specified criteria. Civil and criminal penalties are provided under the Bank Secrecy Act for failure to file a required report, for failure to supply information required by the Bank Secrecy Act, or for filing a false or fraudulent report.

**FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION (FDIC) INSURANCE ASSESSMENTS** The subsidiary bank is subject to deposit insurance assessments by the FDIC. The assessments are based on the risk classification of the depository institution. The subsidiary bank was required to pay regular FDIC insurance assessments in 2009 of \$1,743,000 and a special assessment on September 30, 2009, of \$437,000. Furthermore, on December 31, 2009, all insured institutions were required to prepay 3.25 years of regular quarterly premiums. Each institution recorded the entire amount of its prepaid assessment as a prepaid expense (an asset). ACNB recorded its prepaid assessment in the amount of \$3,596,000 as a prepaid expense included in other assets as of December 30, 2009. As of December 31, 2009, and each quarter thereafter, each institution records an expense, as a charge to earnings, for its regular quarterly assessment for the quarter and an offsetting credit to the prepaid assessment until the asset is exhausted. Once the asset is exhausted, the institution records an accrued expense payable each quarter for the assessment payment, which is paid in arrears to the FDIC at the end of the following quarter. If the prepaid assessment is not exhausted by December 30, 2014, any remaining amount will be returned to the depository institution. The FDIC also adopted a uniform three basis point increase in assessment rates effective January 1, 2011.

**FASB PROPOSALS** On May 26, 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an exposure draft that proposes to dramatically overhaul financial instrument accounting. The changes would affect how banks account for a wide range of financial instruments, including investments in debt and equity securities, loans, deposits and borrowings. The proposed requirements affect the classification and measurement of financial instruments and the recognition and measurement of impairment losses on financial assets. Financial asset categories would be reduced to two categories: (1) fair value through net income and (2) fair value through other comprehensive income (OCI). Financial liabilities would be reduced to four categories: (1) fair value through net income, (2) fair value through OCI, (3) amortized cost and (4) remeasurement value (for core deposit liabilities). Principally all financial assets and most financial liabilities would be measured at fair value on the balance sheet. Further, some financial assets and liabilities would display both amortized cost and fair value amounts on the face of the balance sheet. Loans held to collect contractual cash flows are an example. A separate companion exposure draft proposes to require companies to display net income and OCI on one single statement of comprehensive income. As a result, the income statement would become the statement of comprehensive income (SCI). Comprehensive income is defined as net income plus OCI. Currently, OCI items bypass net income and are recorded as a separate component of equity in the balance sheet. The effective date for this proposal has not been set. The comment period for the exposure draft ended on September 30, 2010.

At the December 21, 2010 meeting, FASB decided that both the characteristics of the financial asset and an entity's business strategy should be used as criteria in determining the classification and

Table of Contents

measurement of financial assets. At this meeting, the FASB also tentatively decided to consider three categories for financial assets:

- (1) Fair Value Net Income (FV-NI), fair value measurement with all changes in fair value recognized in net income;
- (2) Fair Value Other Comprehensive Income (FV-OCI), fair value measurement with qualifying changes in fair value recognized in other comprehensive income, and,
- (3) Amortized cost.

Further, FASB discussed the business strategy criterion to determine which financial assets would be measured at amortized cost. FASB decided that a business activity approach should be used and that financial assets that an entity manages for the collection of contractual cash flows through a lending or customer financing activity should be measured at amortized cost.

FASB also decided that for all other business activities, financial assets should be measured at fair value. FASB decided that financial assets for which an entity's business activity is trading or holding for sale should be classified in the FV-NI category and that financial assets for which an entity's business activity is investing with a focus on managing risk exposures and maximizing total return should be classified in the FV-OCI category.

ACNB believes that the proposal does not reflect the business cycle of a community bank, it would be implemented inconsistently, and, most importantly, it would negatively affect the ability to serve community bank customers. Loans held to collect contractual cash flows is the primary earning asset of a community bank. These loans are underwritten to the specific attributes of the local market and the specific local customers, which generally cannot be valued efficiently such as is the case with equity and debt securities and more homogeneous loans such as residential mortgages underwritten to be sold into a secondary market. Loans that cannot be valued easily generally will reflect a discount in such measurements. The expected result of the proposal could be a combination of fewer loans written to support local businesses, higher interest rates charged, and shorter fixed-rate terms offered.

*Monetary and Fiscal Policy*

ACNB and its subsidiary bank are affected by the monetary and fiscal policies of government agencies, including the Federal Reserve and FDIC. Through open market securities transactions and changes in its discount rate and reserve requirements, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve exerts considerable influence over the cost and availability of funds for lending and investment. The nature of monetary and fiscal policies on future business and earnings of ACNB cannot be predicted at this time. From time to time, various federal and state legislation is proposed that could result in additional regulation of, and restrictions on, the business of ACNB and the subsidiary bank, or otherwise change the business environment. Management cannot predict whether any of this legislation will have a material effect on the business of ACNB.

**ACCOUNTING POLICY DISCLOSURE**

Disclosure of the Corporation's significant accounting policies is included in Note A to the consolidated financial statements. Some of these policies are particularly sensitive requiring significant judgments, estimates and assumptions to be made by management. Additional information is contained in Management's Discussion and Analysis for the most sensitive of these issues, including the provision and allowance for loan losses which is located in Note D to the consolidated financial statements.

Management, in determining the allowance for loan losses, makes significant estimates. Consideration is given to a variety of factors in establishing this estimate. In estimating the allowance for loan losses, management considers current economic conditions, diversification of the loan portfolio,



Table of Contents

delinquency statistics, results of internal loan review, financial and managerial strengths of borrowers, adequacy of collateral if collateral dependent or present value of future cash flows, and other relevant factors.

**STATISTICAL DISCLOSURES**

The following statistical disclosures are included in Management's Discussion and Analysis, Item 7 hereof, and are incorporated by reference in this Item 1:

Interest Rate Sensitivity Analysis

Interest Income and Expense, Volume and Rate Analysis

Investment Portfolio

Loan Maturity and Interest Rate Sensitivity

Loan Portfolio

Allocation of Allowance for Loan Losses

Deposits

Short-Term Borrowings

**AVAILABLE INFORMATION**

The Corporation's reports, proxy statements, and other information are available for inspection and copying at the SEC Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, DC, 20549, at prescribed rates. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Corporation is an electronic filer with the Commission. The Commission maintains a website that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding registrants that file electronically with the Commission. The address of the Commission's website is <http://www.sec.gov>.

Upon a shareholder's written request, a copy of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, and Current Reports on Form 8-K, as required to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-1, may be obtained, without charge, from Lynda L. Glass, Executive Vice President & Secretary, ACNB Corporation, 16 Lincoln Square, P.O. Box 3129, Gettysburg, PA 17325, or visit our website at <http://www.acnb.com> and click on "ACNB Corporation Investor Relations".

**EMPLOYEES**

As of December 31, 2010, ACNB had 281 full-time equivalent employees. None of these employees are represented by a collective bargaining agreement, and ACNB believes it enjoys good relations with its personnel.

**ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS**

**ACNB IS SUBJECT TO INTEREST RATE RISK.**

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

ACNB's earnings and cash flows are largely dependent upon its net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income earned on interest-earning assets such as loans and securities and interest expense paid on interest-bearing liabilities such as deposits and borrowed funds. Interest rates are highly sensitive to many factors that are beyond ACNB's control, including general economic conditions and policies of various governmental and regulatory agencies and, in particular, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. Changes in monetary policy, including changes

Table of Contents

in interest rates, could influence not only the amount of interest ACNB receives on loans and securities and the amount of interest it pays on deposits and borrowings, but such changes could also affect (i) ACNB's ability to originate loans and obtain deposits, (ii) the fair value of ACNB's financial assets and liabilities, and (iii) the average duration of ACNB's mortgage-backed securities portfolio. If the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings increase at a faster rate than the interest rates received on loans and other investments, ACNB's net interest income, and therefore earnings, could be adversely affected. Earnings could also be adversely affected if the interest rates received on loans and other investments fall more quickly than the interest rates paid on deposits and other borrowings.

Although management believes it has implemented effective asset and liability management strategies to reduce the potential effects of changes in interest rates on ACNB's results of operations, any substantial, unexpected, prolonged change in market interest rates could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**ACNB IS SUBJECT TO CREDIT RISK.**

As of December 31, 2010, approximately 46% of ACNB's loan portfolio consisted of commercial and industrial, construction, and commercial real estate loans. These types of loans are generally viewed as having more risk of default than residential real estate loans or consumer loans. These types of loans are also typically larger than residential real estate loans and consumer loans. Because ACNB's loan portfolio contains a significant number of commercial and industrial, construction, and commercial real estate loans with relatively large balances, the deterioration of one or a few of these loans could cause a significant increase in non-performing loans. An increase in non-performing loans could result in a net loss of earnings from these loans, an increase in the provision for loan losses, and an increase in loan charge-offs, all of which could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**ACNB'S ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES MAY BE INSUFFICIENT.**

ACNB maintains an allowance for loan losses, which is a reserve established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense, that represents management's best estimate of probable losses that have been incurred within the existing portfolio of loans. The allowance, in the judgment of management, is necessary to reserve for estimated loan losses and risks inherent in the loan portfolio. The level of the allowance reflects management's continuing evaluation of industry concentrations; specific credit risks; loan loss experience; current loan portfolio quality; present economic, political and regulatory conditions; and, unidentified losses inherent in the current loan portfolio. The determination of the appropriate level of the allowance for loan losses inherently involves a high degree of subjectivity and requires ACNB to make significant estimates of current credit risks and future trends, all of which may undergo material changes. Changes in economic conditions affecting borrowers, new information regarding existing loans, identification of additional problem loans, and other factors, both within and outside of ACNB's control, may require an increase in the allowance for loan losses. In addition, bank regulatory agencies periodically review ACNB's allowance for loan losses and may require an increase in the provision for loan losses or the recognition of further loan charge-offs, based on judgments different than those of management. Further, if charge-offs in future periods exceed the allowance for loan losses, ACNB will need additional provisions to increase the allowance for loan losses. Any increases in the allowance for loan losses will result in a decrease in net income and, possibly, capital, and may have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**COMPETITION FROM OTHER FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT ACNB'S PROFITABILITY.**

ACNB's banking subsidiary faces substantial competition in originating both commercial and consumer loans. This competition comes principally from other banks, credit unions, mortgage banking

Table of Contents

companies, and other lenders. Many of its competitors enjoy advantages, including greater financial resources with higher lending limits, wider geographic presence, more branch office locations, the ability to offer a wider array of services or more favorable pricing alternatives, and lower origination and operating costs. This competition could reduce the Corporation's net income by decreasing the number and size of loans that its banking subsidiary originates and the interest rates it may charge on these loans.

In attracting business and consumer deposits, its banking subsidiary faces substantial competition from other insured depository institutions such as banks, savings institutions and credit unions, as well as institutions offering uninsured investment alternatives, including money market funds. Many of ACNB's competitors enjoy advantages, including greater financial resources, wider geographic presence, more aggressive marketing campaigns, better brand recognition, more branch office locations and the ability to offer a wider array of services or more favorable pricing alternatives, and lower origination and operating costs. These competitors may offer higher interest rates than ACNB, which could decrease the deposits that it attracts or require it to increase its rates to retain existing deposits or attract new deposits. Increased deposit competition could adversely affect the subsidiary's ability to generate the funds necessary for lending operations. As a result, it may need to seek other sources of funds that may be more expensive to obtain and could increase its cost of funds.

ACNB's banking subsidiary also competes with nonbank providers of financial services, such as brokerage firms, consumer finance companies, credit unions, insurance agencies, and governmental organizations which may offer more favorable terms. Some of its nonbank competitors are not subject to the same extensive regulations that govern ACNB's banking operations. As a result, such nonbank competitors may have advantages over ACNB's banking subsidiary in providing certain products and services. This competition may reduce or limit its margins on banking services, reduce its market share, and adversely affect its earnings and financial condition.

**ACNB'S CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES MAY FAIL OR BE CIRCUMVENTED.**

Management regularly reviews and updates ACNB's internal controls, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of ACNB's controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**ACNB'S ABILITY TO PAY DIVIDENDS DEPENDS PRIMARILY ON DIVIDENDS FROM ITS BANKING SUBSIDIARY, WHICH IS SUBJECT TO REGULATORY LIMITS AND THE BANK'S PERFORMANCE.**

ACNB is a financial holding company and its operations are conducted by its subsidiaries. Its ability to pay dividends depends on its receipt of dividends from its subsidiaries. Dividend payments from its banking subsidiary are subject to legal and regulatory limitations, generally based on net profits and retained earnings, imposed by the various banking regulatory agencies. The ability of its subsidiaries to pay dividends is also subject to their profitability, financial condition, capital expenditures, and other cash flow requirements. There is no assurance that its subsidiaries will be able to pay dividends in the future or that ACNB will generate adequate cash flow to pay dividends in the future. ACNB's failure to pay dividends on its common stock could have a material adverse effect on the market price of its common stock.

Table of Contents

**ACNB'S PROFITABILITY DEPENDS SIGNIFICANTLY ON ECONOMIC CONDITIONS IN THE COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA AND THE STATE OF MARYLAND.**

ACNB's success depends primarily on the general economic conditions of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of Maryland, and the specific local markets in which ACNB operates. Unlike larger national or other regional banks that are more geographically diversified, ACNB provides banking and financial services to customers primarily in the southcentral Pennsylvania and northern Maryland region of the country. The local economic conditions in these areas have a significant impact on the demand for ACNB's products and services, as well as the ability of ACNB's customers to repay loans, the value of the collateral securing loans, and the stability of ACNB's deposit funding sources. A significant decline in general economic conditions caused by inflation, recession, acts of terrorism, outbreak of hostilities or other international or domestic occurrences, unemployment, changes in securities markets, or other factors could impact these local economic conditions and, in turn, have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**NEW LINES OF BUSINESS OR NEW PRODUCTS AND SERVICES MAY SUBJECT ACNB TO ADDITIONAL RISKS.**

From time to time, ACNB may implement new lines of business or offer new products and services within existing lines of business. There are substantial risks and uncertainties associated with these efforts, particularly in instances where the markets are not fully developed. In developing and marketing new lines of business and/or new products and services, ACNB may invest significant time and resources. Initial timetables for the introduction and development of new lines of business and/or new products or services may not be achieved and price and profitability targets may not prove feasible. External factors, such as compliance with regulations, competitive alternatives, and shifting market preferences, may also impact the successful implementation of a new line of business and/or a new product or service. Furthermore, any new line of business and/or new product or service could have a significant impact on the effectiveness of ACNB's system of internal controls. Failure to successfully manage these risks in the development and implementation of new lines of business and new products or services could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's business, financial condition and results of operations.

**ACNB MAY NOT BE ABLE TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN SKILLED PEOPLE.**

ACNB's success depends, in large part, on its ability to attract and retain key people. Competition for the best people in most activities engaged in by ACNB can be intense, and ACNB may not be able to hire people or to retain them. The unexpected loss of services of one or more of ACNB's key personnel could have a material adverse impact on ACNB's business because of their skills, knowledge of ACNB's market, years of industry experience, and the difficulty of promptly finding qualified replacement personnel. ACNB currently has employment agreements, including covenants not to compete, with the following named executive officers: its President & Chief Executive Officer; Executive Vice President & Secretary; Executive Vice President, Treasurer & Chief Financial Officer; and, the President & Chief Executive Officer of RIG.

**ACNB IS SUBJECT TO CLAIMS AND LITIGATION PERTAINING TO FIDUCIARY RESPONSIBILITY.**

From time to time, customers make claims and take legal action pertaining to ACNB's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities. Whether customer claims and legal action related to ACNB's performance of its fiduciary responsibilities are founded or unfounded, if such claims and legal actions are not resolved in a manner favorable to ACNB they may result in significant financial liability and/or adversely affect the market perception of ACNB and its products and services, as well as impact customer demand for those products and services. Any financial liability or reputation damage could

Table of Contents

have a material adverse effect on ACNB's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**THE TRADING VOLUME IN ACNB'S COMMON STOCK IS LESS THAN THAT OF OTHER LARGER FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANIES.**

ACNB's common stock trades on NASDAQ, and the trading volume in its common stock is less than that of other larger financial services companies. A public trading market having the desired characteristics of depth, liquidity and orderliness depends on the presence in the marketplace of willing buyers and sellers of ACNB's common stock at any given time. This presence depends on the individual decisions of investors and general economic and market conditions over which ACNB has no control. Given the lower trading volume of ACNB's common stock, significant sales of ACNB's common stock, or the expectation of these sales, could cause ACNB's stock price to fall.

**ACNB OPERATES IN A HIGHLY REGULATED ENVIRONMENT AND MAY BE ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY CHANGES IN FEDERAL, STATE AND LOCAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS.**

ACNB, primarily through its banking subsidiary, is subject to extensive regulation, supervision and/or examination by federal and state banking authorities. Any change in applicable regulations or federal, state or local legislation could have a substantial impact on ACNB and its operations. Additional legislation and regulations that could significantly affect ACNB's powers, authority and operations may be enacted or adopted in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on its financial condition and results of operations. Further, regulators have significant discretion and authority to prevent or remedy unsafe or unsound practices or violations of laws by banks and bank and financial holding companies in the performance of their supervisory and enforcement duties. The exercise of regulatory authority may have a negative impact on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

Like other financial holding companies and financial institutions, ACNB must comply with significant anti-money laundering and anti-terrorism laws. Under these laws, ACNB is required, among other things, to enforce a customer identification program and file currency transaction and suspicious activity reports with the federal government. Government agencies have substantial discretion to impose significant monetary penalties on institutions which fail to comply with these laws or make required reports.

**THE SOUNDNESS OF OTHER FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT ACNB.**

Financial services institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. ACNB has exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including commercial banks, brokers and dealers, investment banks, and other institutional clients. Many of these transactions expose ACNB to credit risk in the event of a default by a counterparty or client. In addition, ACNB's credit risk may be exacerbated when the collateral held by ACNB cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the credit or derivative exposure due to ACNB. Any such losses could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**MARKET VOLATILITY MAY HAVE MATERIALLY ADVERSE EFFECTS ON ACNB'S LIQUIDITY AND FINANCIAL CONDITION.**

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption. Over the last several years, in some cases, the markets have exerted downward pressure on stock prices, security prices, and credit capacity for certain issuers without regard to those issuers' underlying financial strength. If the market disruption and volatility returns, there can be no assurance that ACNB will not experience adverse effects, which may be material, on its liquidity, financial condition, and profitability.

Table of Contents

**ACNB MAY NEED OR BE COMPELLED TO RAISE ADDITIONAL CAPITAL IN THE FUTURE WHICH COULD DILUTE SHAREHOLDERS OR BE UNAVAILABLE WHEN NEEDED OR AT UNFAVORABLE TERMS.**

ACNB's regulators or market conditions may require it to increase its capital levels. If ACNB raises capital through the issuance of additional shares of its common stock or other securities, it would likely dilute the ownership interests of current investors and would likely dilute the per share book value and earnings per share of its common stock. Furthermore, it may have an adverse impact on ACNB's stock price. New investors may also have rights, preferences and privileges senior to ACNB's current shareholders, which may adversely impact its current shareholders. ACNB's ability to raise additional capital will depend on conditions in the capital markets at that time, which are outside its control, and on its financial performance. Accordingly, ACNB cannot be assured of its ability to raise additional capital on terms and time frames acceptable to it or to raise additional capital at all. If ACNB cannot raise additional capital in sufficient amounts when needed, its ability to comply with regulatory capital requirements could be materially impaired. Additionally, the inability to raise capital in sufficient amounts may adversely affect ACNB's operations, financial condition, and results of operations.

**ACNB'S FUTURE ACQUISITIONS COULD DILUTE SHAREHOLDER OWNERSHIP AND MAY CAUSE IT TO BECOME MORE SUSCEPTIBLE TO ADVERSE ECONOMIC EVENTS.**

ACNB may use its common stock to acquire other companies or make investments in banks and other complementary businesses in the future. ACNB may issue additional shares of common stock to pay for future acquisitions, which would dilute current investors' ownership interest in ACNB. Future business acquisitions could be material to ACNB, and the degree of success achieved in acquiring and integrating these businesses into ACNB could have a material effect on the value of ACNB's common stock. In addition, any acquisition could require it to use substantial cash or other liquid assets or to incur debt. In those events, ACNB could become more susceptible to economic downturns and competitive pressures.

**IF ACNB CONCLUDES THAT THE DECLINE IN VALUE OF ANY OF ITS INVESTMENT SECURITIES IS AN OTHER-THAN-TEMPORARY IMPAIRMENT, ACNB IS REQUIRED TO WRITE DOWN THE VALUE OF THAT SECURITY THROUGH A CHARGE TO EARNINGS.**

ACNB reviews its investment securities portfolio at each quarter-end to determine whether the fair value is below the current carrying value. When the fair value of any of its investment securities has declined below its carrying value, ACNB is required to assess whether the decline is an other-than-temporary impairment. If ACNB determines that the decline is an other-than-temporary impairment, it is required to write down the value of that security through a charge to earnings. Non-credit related reductions in the value of a security do not require a write down of the value through earnings. Changes in the expected cash flows related to the credit related piece of the investment of a security in ACNB's investment portfolio or a prolonged price decline may result in ACNB's conclusion in future periods that an impairment is other-than-temporary, which would require a charge to earnings to write down the security to fair value. Due to the complexity of the calculations and assumptions used in determining whether an asset has an impairment that is other-than-temporary, the impairment disclosed may not accurately reflect the actual impairment in the future.

**ACNB IS SUBJECT TO POTENTIAL IMPAIRMENT OF GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLES.**

RIG has certain long-lived assets, including purchased intangible assets subject to amortization such as insurance books of business and associated goodwill assets, which are reviewed for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated

Table of Contents

by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of would be separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated. Goodwill, that has an indefinite useful life, and insurance books of business intangible assets, that are amortized over ten years, are evaluated for impairment annually and are evaluated for impairment more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. That annual assessment date is December 31. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the asset's fair value. The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first step, used to identify potential impairment, involves comparing each reporting unit's estimated fair value to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the carrying value exceeds estimated fair value, there is an indication of potential impairment and the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment. If required, the second step involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for the reporting unit for which the first step indicated potential impairment. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to the amount of goodwill calculated in a business combination, by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to a group of likely buyers whose cash flow estimates could differ from those of the reporting entity, as determined in the first step, over the aggregate estimated fair values of the individual assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess. Subsequent reversal of goodwill impairment losses is not permitted. ACNB performs an annual evaluation to determine if there is goodwill impairment.

**ACNB IS SUBJECT TO ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITY RISK ASSOCIATED WITH LENDING ACTIVITIES.**

A significant portion of ACNB's banking subsidiary loan portfolio is secured by real property. During the ordinary course of business, ACNB may foreclose on and take title to properties securing certain loans. In doing so, there is a risk that hazardous or toxic substances could be found on these properties. If hazardous or toxic substances are found, ACNB may be liable for remediation costs, as well as for personal injury and property damage. Environmental laws may require ACNB to incur substantial expense and may materially reduce the affected property's value or limit ACNB's ability to use or sell the affected property. In addition, future laws or more stringent interpretations or enforcement policies with respect to existing laws may increase ACNB's exposure to environmental liability. Although ACNB has policies and procedures to perform an environmental review before initiating any foreclosure action on real property, these reviews may not be sufficient to detect all potential environmental hazards. The remediation costs and any other financial liabilities associated with an environmental hazard could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**THE SEVERITY AND DURATION OF THE CURRENT RECESSION AND THE COMPOSITION OF THE BANKING SUBSIDIARY'S LOAN PORTFOLIO COULD IMPACT THE LEVEL OF LOAN CHARGE-OFFS AND THE PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES AND MAY AFFECT ACNB'S NET INCOME OR LOSS.**

Lending money is a substantial part of ACNB's business through its banking subsidiary. However, every loan ACNB makes carries a certain risk of non-payment. ACNB cannot assure that its allowance for loan losses will be sufficient to absorb actual loan losses. ACNB also cannot assure that it will not



Table of Contents

experience significant losses in its loan portfolios that may require significant increases to the allowance for loan losses in the future.

Although ACNB evaluates every loan that it makes against its underwriting criteria, ACNB may experience losses by reasons of factors beyond its control. Some of these factors include changes in market conditions affecting the value of real estate and unexpected problems affecting the creditworthiness of ACNB's borrowers.

ACNB determines the adequacy of its allowance for loan losses by considering various factors, including:

An analysis of the risk characteristics of various classifications of loans;

Previous loan loss experience;

Specific loans that would have loan loss potential;

Delinquency trends;

Estimated fair value of the underlying collateral;

Current economic conditions;

The views of ACNB's regulators;

Reports of internal auditors;

Reports of external auditors;

Reports of loan reviews conducted by independent organizations; and,

Geographic and industry loan concentration.

Local economic conditions could impact the loan portfolios of ACNB. For example, an increase in unemployment, a decrease in real estate values, or increases in interest rates, as well as other factors, could further weaken the economies of the communities ACNB serves. Weakness in the market areas served by ACNB could depress the Company's earnings and consequently its financial condition because:

Borrowers may not be able to repay their loans;

The value of the collateral securing ACNB's loans to borrowers may decline; and,

The quality of ACNB's loan portfolio may decline.

Although, based on the aforementioned procedures implemented by ACNB, management believes the current allowance for loan losses is adequate, ACNB may have to increase its provision for loan losses should local economic conditions deteriorate which could negatively impact its financial condition and results of operations.

**CHANGES IN REAL ESTATE VALUES MAY ADVERSELY IMPACT ACNB'S BANKING SUBSIDIARY LOANS THAT ARE SECURED BY REAL ESTATE.**

A significant portion of ACNB's banking subsidiary loan portfolio consists of residential and commercial mortgages secured by real estate. These properties are concentrated in Adams County, Pennsylvania. Real estate values and real estate markets generally are affected by, among other things, changes in national, regional or local economic conditions, fluctuations in interest rates and the availability of loans to potential purchasers, changes in the tax laws and other government statutes, regulations and policies, and acts of nature. If real estate prices decline, particularly in ACNB's market area, the value of the real estate collateral securing ACNB's loans could be reduced. This reduction in the value of the collateral could increase the number of non-performing loans and could have a material adverse impact on ACNB's financial performance.

Table of Contents

**ACNB'S INFORMATION SYSTEMS MAY EXPERIENCE AN INTERRUPTION OR BREACH IN SECURITY.**

ACNB relies heavily on communications and information systems to conduct its business. Any failure, interruption or breach in security of these systems could result in failures or disruptions in ACNB's customer relationship management, general ledger, deposit, loan and other systems. While ACNB has policies and procedures designed to prevent or limit the effect of the failure, interruption or security breach of its information systems, there can be no assurance that any such failures, interruptions or security breaches will not occur or, if they do occur, that they will be adequately addressed. The occurrence of any failures, interruptions or security breaches of ACNB's information systems could damage ACNB's reputation, result in a loss of customer business, subject ACNB to additional regulatory scrutiny, or expose ACNB to civil litigation and possible financial liability, any of which could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**ACNB CONTINUALLY ENCOUNTERS TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE.**

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introductions of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. ACNB's future success depends, in part, upon its ability to address the needs of its customers by using technology to provide products and services that will satisfy customer demands, as well as to create additional efficiencies in ACNB's operations. Many of ACNB's competitors have substantially greater resources to invest in technological improvements. ACNB may not be able to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services or be successful in marketing these products and services to its customers. Failure to successfully keep pace with technological change affecting the financial services industry could have a material adverse impact on ACNB's business and, in turn, ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**FINANCIAL SERVICES COMPANIES DEPEND ON THE ACCURACY AND COMPLETENESS OF INFORMATION ABOUT CUSTOMERS AND COUNTERPARTIES.**

In deciding whether to extend credit or enter into other transactions, ACNB may rely on information furnished by or on behalf of customers and counterparties, including financial statements, credit reports and other financial information. ACNB may also rely on representations of those customers, counterparties or other third parties, such as independent auditors, as to the accuracy and completeness of that information. Reliance on inaccurate or misleading financial statements, credit reports or other financial information could have a material adverse impact on ACNB's business and, in turn, ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**CONSUMERS MAY DECIDE NOT TO USE BANKS TO COMPLETE THEIR FINANCIAL TRANSACTIONS.**

Technology and other changes are allowing parties to complete financial transactions that historically have involved banks through alternative methods. For example, consumers can now maintain funds that would have historically been held as bank deposits in brokerage accounts or mutual funds. Consumers can also complete transactions such as paying bills and/or transferring funds directly without the assistance of banks. The process of eliminating banks as intermediaries, known as "disintermediation", could result in the loss of fee income, as well as the loss of customer deposits and the related income generated from those deposits. The loss of these revenue streams and the lower cost deposits as a source of funds could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

**THE CURRENT ECONOMIC DOWNTURN MAY CONTINUE TO ADVERSELY AFFECT SECONDARY SOURCES OF LIQUIDITY.**

In addition to primary sources of liquidity in the form of deposits and principal and interest payments on outstanding loans and investments, ACNB maintains secondary sources that provide it with additional liquidity. These secondary sources include secured and unsecured borrowings from sources such as the Federal Reserve Bank, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh, and third-party commercial banks. However, market liquidity conditions have been negatively impacted by the recent disruptions in the capital markets and could, in the future, have a negative impact on ACNB's secondary sources of liquidity.

**SEVERE WEATHER, NATURAL DISASTERS, ACTS OF WAR OR TERRORISM, AND OTHER EXTERNAL EVENTS COULD SIGNIFICANTLY IMPACT ACNB'S BUSINESS.**

Severe weather, natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, and other adverse external events could have a significant impact on ACNB's ability to conduct business. Such events could affect the stability of ACNB's deposit base, impair the ability of borrowers to repay outstanding loans, impair the value of collateral securing loans, cause significant property damage, result in loss of revenue and/or cause ACNB to incur additional expenses. Severe weather or natural disasters, acts of war or terrorism, or other adverse external events may occur in the future. Although management has established disaster recovery policies and procedures, the occurrence of any such event could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's business, which, in turn, could have a material adverse effect on ACNB's financial condition and results of operations.

**ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**ITEM 2 PROPERTIES**

ACNB Bank, in addition to its main office in Gettysburg, Adams County, Pennsylvania, had a retail banking office network of eighteen offices at December 31, 2010. All offices are located in Adams County with the exception of two offices located in Cumberland County and three offices located in York County. There are also loan production offices situated in Franklin and York Counties, Pennsylvania. Offices at fifteen locations are owned, while six are leased. All real estate owned by the subsidiary bank is free and clear of encumbrances. RIG has two leased offices located in Carroll County and Montgomery County, Maryland.

**ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

As of December 31, 2010, there were no material pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the business, to which ACNB or its subsidiaries are a party or by which any of their property is the subject. In addition, no material proceedings are pending or are known to be threatened or contemplated against the Corporation or its subsidiaries by governmental authorities.

**ITEM 4 (REMOVED AND RESERVED)**

Table of Contents**PART II****ITEM 5 MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

ACNB Corporation's common stock began trading on NASDAQ under the symbol ACNB on December 20, 2010. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were 20,000,000 shares of common stock authorized, 5,990,943 shares issued, and 5,928,343 shares outstanding. As of December 31, 2010, ACNB had approximately 2,527 stockholders of record. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, there were 62,600 shares of treasury stock purchased by the Corporation through the common stock repurchase program approved in October 2008. There have been no shares purchased during the most recent quarter and 57,400 shares can still be purchased under the program. ACNB is restricted as to the amount of dividends that it can pay to stockholders by virtue of the restrictions on the banking subsidiary's ability to pay dividends to ACNB under the Pennsylvania Banking Code, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and the regulations of the FDIC. Please refer to Notes J and N of the consolidated financial statements.

On May 5, 2009, stockholders approved and ratified the ACNB Corporation 2009 Restricted Stock Plan, effective as of February 24, 2009, which awards shall not exceed, in the aggregate, 200,000 shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2010, there were no shares of common stock granted as restricted stock awards to either employees or directors.

On May 5, 2009, stockholders approved and adopted the amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of ACNB Corporation to authorize up to 20,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$2.50 per share. As of December 31, 2010, there were no issued or outstanding shares of preferred stock.

On January 24, 2011, the ACNB Corporation Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase plan was introduced for stockholders of record. This plan provides registered holders of ACNB Corporation common stock with a convenient way to purchase additional shares of common stock by permitting participants in the plan to automatically reinvest cash dividends on all or a portion of the shares owned and to make quarterly voluntary cash payments under the terms of the plan. Participation in the plan is voluntary, and there are eligibility requirements to participate in the plan.

There have been no unregistered sales of stock in 2010, 2009 or 2008.

The following table reflects the quarterly high and low prices of ACNB's common stock for the periods indicated and the cash dividends on the common stock for the periods indicated.

|                | Price Range Per Share |          | Per Share Dividend |
|----------------|-----------------------|----------|--------------------|
|                | High                  | Low      |                    |
| <b>2010:</b>   |                       |          |                    |
| First Quarter  | \$ 13.30              | \$ 11.85 | \$ 0.19            |
| Second Quarter | 14.70                 | 12.80    | 0.19               |
| Third Quarter  | 15.10                 | 13.60    | 0.19               |
| Fourth Quarter | 16.00                 | 14.01    | 0.19               |
| <b>2009:</b>   |                       |          |                    |
| First Quarter  | \$ 12.48              | \$ 7.75  | \$ 0.19            |
| Second Quarter | 12.10                 | 9.25     | 0.19               |
| Third Quarter  | 13.60                 | 11.40    | 0.19               |
| Fourth Quarter | 13.70                 | 12.40    | 0.19               |

Table of Contents**Total Return Performance**

| Index                           | Period Ending |          |          |          |          |          |
|---------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                 | 12/31/05      | 12/31/06 | 12/31/07 | 12/31/08 | 12/31/09 | 12/31/10 |
| ACNB Corporation                | 100.00        | 109.63   | 91.70    | 78.80    | 87.80    | 112.21   |
| NASDAQ Composite                | 100.00        | 110.39   | 122.15   | 73.32    | 106.57   | 125.91   |
| Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group* | 100.00        | 102.72   | 96.00    | 76.83    | 71.93    | 79.07    |

\*

*Mid-Atlantic Custom Peer Group consists of Mid-Atlantic commercial banks with assets less than \$1B as of January 20, 2011, and indicated below. Source: SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA*

| Company                               | City             | State | Company                                   | City          | State |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-------|---|---------------|-------|
| 1st Colonial Bancorp, Inc.            | Collingswood     | NJ    | CB Financial Services, Inc.               | Carmichaels   | PA    |
| 1st Constitution Bancorp              | Cranbury         | NJ    | CBT Financial Corporation                 | Clearfield    | PA    |
| 1st Summit Bancorp of Johnstown, Inc. | Johnstown        | PA    | CCFNB Bancorp, Inc.                       | Bloomsburg    | PA    |
| Absecon Bancorp                       | Absecon          | NJ    | Cecil Bancorp, Inc.                       | Elkton        | MD    |
| ACNB Corporation                      | Gettysburg       | PA    | Chemung Financial Corporation             | Elmira        | NY    |
| Adirondack Trust Company              | Saratoga Springs | NY    | Chesapeake Bancorp                        | Chestertown   | MD    |
| Allegheny Valley Bancorp, Inc.        | Pittsburgh       | PA    | Citizens Financial Services, Inc.         | Mansfield     | PA    |
| American Bank Incorporated            | Allentown        | PA    | Citizens National Bank of Meyersdale      | Meyersdale    | PA    |
| AmeriServ Financial, Inc.             | Johnstown        | PA    | Clarion County Community Bank             | Clarion       | PA    |
| Annapolis Bancorp, Inc.               | Annapolis        | MD    | Codorus Valley Bancorp, Inc.              | York          | PA    |
| Apollo Bancorp, Inc.                  | Apollo           | PA    | CommerceFirst Bancorp, Inc.               | Annapolis     | MD    |
| Ballston Spa Bancorp, Inc.            | Ballston Spa     | NY    | Commercial National Financial Corporation | Latrobe       | PA    |
| Bancorp of New Jersey, Inc.           | Fort Lee         | NJ    | Community Bank of Bergen County           | Maywood       | NJ    |
| Bank of Akron                         | Akron            | NY    | Community Bankers' Corporation            | Marion Center | PA    |
| Bank of Utica                         | Utica            | NY    | Community First Bancorp, Inc.             | Reynoldsville | PA    |
| Berkshire Bancorp Inc.                | New York         | NY    | Community First Bank                      | Somerset      | NJ    |
| Brunswick Bancorp                     | New Brunswick    | NJ    | Community National Bank                   | Great Neck    | NY    |
| Calvin B. Taylor Bankshares, Inc.     | Berlin           | MD    | Community Partners Bancorp                | Middletown    | NJ    |
| Carrollton Bancorp                    | Columbia         | MD    | Cornerstone Financial Corp.               | Mount Laurel  | NJ    |

Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

CB Financial Corp

Rehoboth Beach

DE

Country Bank Holding Company, Inc.

New York

NY

25

---

Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| <b>Company</b>                            | <b>City</b>    | <b>State</b> | <b>Company</b>                         | <b>City</b>    | <b>State</b> |
|---|----------------|--------------|--|----------------|--------------|
| County First Bank                         | La Plata       | MD           | Lyons Bancorp, Inc.                    | Lyons          | NY           |
| Damascus Community Bank                   | Damascus       | MD           | Madison National Bancorp Inc.          | Hauppauge      | NY           |
| Delaware Bancshares, Inc.                 | Walton         | NY           | Mainline Bancorp, Inc.                 | Ebensburg      | PA           |
| Delhi Bank Corp.                          | Delhi          | NY           | Manor Bank                             | Manor          | PA           |
| Delmar Bancorp                            | Salisbury      | MD           | Mars National Bank                     | Mars           | PA           |
| Dimeco, Inc.                              | Honesdale      | PA           | Maryland Bankcorp, Inc.                | Lexington Park | MD           |
| DNB Financial Corporation                 | Downingtown    | PA           | Mauch Chunk Trust Financial Corp.      | Jim Thorpe     | PA           |
| Eagle National Bancorp, Inc.              | Upper Darby    | PA           | Mercersburg Financial Corporation      | Mercersburg    | PA           |
| Easton Bancorp, Inc.                      | Easton         | MD           | Mid Penn Bancorp, Inc.                 | Millersburg    | PA           |
| Elmer Bancorp, Inc.                       | Elmer          | NJ           | Mifflinburg Bank & Trust Company       | Mifflinburg    | PA           |
| Embassy Bancorp, Inc.                     | Bethlehem      | PA           | MNB Corporation                        | Bangor         | PA           |
| Emclair Financial Corp.                   | Emlenton       | PA           | Muncy Bank Financial, Inc.             | Muncy          | PA           |
| Empire National Bank                      | Islandia       | NY           | National Bank of Coxsackie             | Coxsackie      | NY           |
| ENB Financial Corp                        | Ephrata        | PA           | National Capital Bank of Washington    | Washington     | DC           |
| Enterprise Financial Services Group, Inc  | Allison Park   | PA           | Neffs Bancorp, Inc.                    | Neffs          | PA           |
| Enterprise National Bank N.J.             | Kenilworth     | NJ           | New Jersey Community Bank              | Freehold       | NJ           |
| ES Bancshares, Inc.                       | Newburgh       | NY           | New Millennium Bank                    | New Brunswick  | NJ           |
| Evans Bancorp, Inc.                       | Hamburg        | NY           | New Tripoli Bancorp, Inc.              | New Tripoli    | PA           |
| Farmers and Merchants Bank                | Upperco        | MD           | New Windsor Bancorp, Inc.              | New Windsor    | MD           |
| Fidelity D & D Bancorp, Inc.              | Dunmore        | PA           | Northumberland Bancorp                 | Northumberland | PA           |
| First Bank                                | Williamstown   | NJ           | Norwood Financial Corp.                | Honesdale      | PA           |
| First Bank of Delaware                    | Wilmington     | DE           | Old Line Bancshares, Inc.              | Bowie          | MD           |
| First Community Financial Corporation     | Mifflintown    | PA           | Orange County Bancorp, Inc.            | Middletown     | NY           |
| First Keystone Corporation                | Berwick        | PA           | Parke Bancorp, Inc.                    | Sewell         | NJ           |
| First National Bank of Groton             | Groton         | NY           | Pascack Bancorp, Inc.                  | Westwood       | NJ           |
| First Resource Bank                       | Exton          | PA           | Patapsco Bancorp, Inc.                 | Dundalk        | MD           |
| First State Bank                          | Cranford       | NJ           | Penn Bancshares, Inc.                  | Pennsville     | NJ           |
| Fleetwood Bank Corporation                | Fleetwood      | PA           | Penns Woods Bancorp, Inc.              | Williamsport   | PA           |
| FNB Bancorp, Inc.                         | Newtown        | PA           | Penseco Financial Services Corporation | Scranton       | PA           |
| FNBM Financial Corporation                | Minersville    | PA           | Peoples Bancorp, Inc.                  | Chestertown    | MD           |
| FNBPB Bancorp, Inc.                       | Port Allegany  | PA           | Peoples Financial Services Corp.       | Hallstead      | PA           |
| Fort Orange Financial Corp.               | Albany         | NY           | Peoples Limited                        | Wyalusing      | PA           |
| Franklin Financial Services Corporation   | Chambersburg   | PA           | PSB Holding Corporation                | Preston        | MD           |
| Frederick County Bancorp, Inc.            | Frederick      | MD           | Putnam County National Bank of Carmel  | Carmel         | NY           |
| Glen Burnie Bancorp                       | Glen Burnie    | MD           | QNB Corp.                              | Quakertown     | PA           |
| Glenville Bank Holding Company, Inc.      | Scotia         | NY           | Regal Bancorp, Inc.                    | Owings Mills   | MD           |
| GNB Financial Services, Inc.              | Gratz          | PA           | Republic First Bancorp, Inc.           | Philadelphia   | PA           |
| Gotham Bank of New York                   | New York       | NY           | Rising Sun Bancorp                     | Rising Sun     | MD           |
| Greater Hudson Bank, National Association | Middletown     | NY           | Riverview Financial Corporation        | Halifax        | PA           |
| Hamlin Bank and Trust Company             | Smethport      | PA           | Rumson-Fair Haven Bank & Trust Co.     | Rumson         | NJ           |
| Harbor Bankshares Corporation             | Baltimore      | MD           | Scottdale Bank & Trust Company         | Scottdale      | PA           |
| Harford Bank                              | Aberdeen       | MD           | Shore Community Bank                   | Toms River     | NJ           |
| Harvest Community Bank                    | Pennsville     | NJ           | Solvay Bank Corporation                | Solvay         | NY           |
| Herald National Bank                      | New York       | NY           | Somerset Hills Bancorp                 | Bernardsville  | NJ           |
| Highlands Bancorp, Inc.                   | Vernon         | NJ           | Somerset Trust Holding Company         | Somerset       | PA           |
| Hilltop Community Bancorp, Inc.           | Summit         | NJ           | Steuben Trust Corporation              | Hornell        | NY           |
| Honat Bancorp, Inc.                       | Honesdale      | PA           | Stewardship Financial Corporation      | Midland Park   | NJ           |
| Hopewell Valley Community Bank            | Pennington     | NJ           | Sussex Bancorp                         | Franklin       | NJ           |
| Howard Bancorp, Inc.                      | Ellicott City  | MD           | Tri-County Financial Corporation       | Waldorf        | MD           |
| IBW Financial Corporation                 | Washington     | DC           | Turbotville National Bancorp, Inc.     | Turbotville    | PA           |
| Jeffersonville Bancorp                    | Jeffersonville | NY           | UNB Corporation                        | Mount Carmel   | PA           |
| Jonestown Bank and Trust Co.              | Jonestown      | PA           | Union Bancorp, Inc.                    | Pottsville     | PA           |
| JTNB Bancorp, Inc.                        | Jim Thorpe     | PA           | Union National Financial Corporation   | Lancaster      | PA           |
| Juniata Valley Financial Corp.            | Mifflintown    | PA           | Unity Bancorp, Inc.                    | Clinton        | NJ           |
| Kinderhook Bank Corporation               | Kinderhook     | NY           | VSF Bancorp, Inc.                      | Staten Island  | NY           |
| Kish Bancorp, Inc.                        | Reedsville     | PA           | West Milton Bancorp, Inc.              | West Milton    | PA           |
| Landmark Bancorp, Inc.                    | Pittston       | PA           | Wilber Corporation                     | Oneonta        | NY           |
| Liberty Bell Bank                         | Marlton        | NJ           | Woodlands Financial Service Company    | Williamsport   | PA           |
| Luzerne National Bank Corporation         | Luzerne        | PA           |  |                |              |



Table of Contents**ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

| Dollars in thousands, except per share data         | For the Year Ended December 31, |            |            |            |            |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
|   | 2010                            | 2009       | 2008       | 2007       | 2006       |
| <b>INCOME STATEMENT DATA</b>                        |                                 |            |            |            |            |
| Interest income                                     | \$ 44,640                       | \$ 45,812  | \$ 47,921  | \$ 51,581  | \$ 48,287  |
| Interest expense                                    | 9,623                           | 13,560     | 18,897     | 26,561     | 23,448     |
| Net interest income                                 | 35,017                          | 32,252     | 29,024     | 25,020     | 24,839     |
| Provision for loan losses                           | 6,410                           | 4,750      | 5,570      | 500        | 870        |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 28,607                          | 27,502     | 23,454     | 24,520     | 23,969     |
| Other income  | 12,172                          | 11,703     | 10,438     | 10,364     | 9,912      |
| Other expenses                                      | 30,303                          | 30,629     | 26,071     | 25,030     | 24,666     |
| Income before income taxes                          | 10,476                          | 8,576      | 7,821      | 9,854      | 9,215      |
| Applicable income taxes                             | 2,057                           | 1,357      | 1,077      | 1,917      | 1,925      |
| Net income  | \$ 8,419                        | \$ 7,219   | \$ 6,744   | \$ 7,937   | \$ 7,290   |
| <b>BALANCE SHEET DATA (AT YEAR-END)</b>             |                                 |            |            |            |            |
| Assets  | \$ 968,667                      | \$ 961,904 | \$ 976,679 | \$ 926,665 | \$ 964,757 |
| Securities  | 200,774                         | 219,929    | 252,536    | 290,496    | 352,797    |
| Loans, net  | 650,039                         | 632,706    | 630,330    | 542,354    | 518,843    |
| Deposits  | 746,526                         | 728,523    | 690,297    | 670,640    | 669,705    |
| Borrowings  | 120,585                         | 135,585    | 190,404    | 161,012    | 205,503    |
| Stockholders' equity                                | 93,754                          | 88,303     | 84,439     | 85,130     | 77,304     |
| <b>COMMON SHARE DATA*</b>                           |                                 |            |            |            |            |
| Earnings per share basic                            | \$ 1.42                         | \$ 1.22    | \$ 1.13    | \$ 1.32    | \$ 1.22    |
| Cash dividends paid                                 | 0.76                            | 0.76       | 0.76       | 0.76       | 0.76       |
| Book value per share                                | 15.81                           | 14.90      | 14.18      | 14.21      | 12.90      |
| Weighted average number of common shares            | 5,928,343                       | 5,936,001  | 5,988,525  | 5,990,943  | 5,990,943  |
| Dividend payout ratio                               | 53.52%                          | 62.50%     | 67.47%     | 57.52%     | 62.63%     |
| <b>PROFITABILITY RATIOS AND CONDITION</b>           |                                 |            |            |            |            |
| Return on average assets                            | 0.86%                           | 0.75%      | 0.72%      | 0.81%      | 0.76%      |
| Return on average equity                            | 9.15%                           | 8.34%      | 7.96%      | 9.83%      | 9.72%      |
| Average stockholders' equity to average assets      | 9.40%                           | 8.99%      | 9.10%      | 8.23%      | 7.82%      |
| <b>SELECTED ASSET QUALITY RATIOS</b>                |                                 |            |            |            |            |
| Non-performing loans to total loans                 | 2.35%                           | 2.39%      | 1.52%      | 0.41%      | 0.79%      |
| Net charge-offs to average loans outstanding        | 0.47%                           | 0.03%      | 0.68%      | 0.00%      | 0.00%      |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans            | 2.29%                           | 1.86%      | 1.16%      | 1.07%      | 1.03%      |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans   | 97.43%                          | 77.72%     | 76.33%     | 258.99%    | 130.42%    |

\*

All amounts restated for the 5% common stock dividends distributed in December 2006 and 2007.

Table of Contents

**ITEM 7 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**INTRODUCTION**

The following is management's discussion and analysis of the significant changes in the financial condition, results of operations, capital resources, and liquidity presented in its accompanying consolidated financial statements for ACNB Corporation (the Corporation or ACNB), a financial holding company. Please read this discussion in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and disclosures included herein. Current performance does not guarantee, assure or indicate similar performance in the future.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The accounting policies that the Corporation's management deems to be most important to the portrayal of its financial condition and results of operations, and that require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgment, often result in the need to make estimates about the effect of such matters which are inherently uncertain. The following policies are deemed to be critical accounting policies by management:

The allowance for loan losses represents management's estimate of probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. Management makes numerous assumptions, estimates and adjustments in determining an adequate allowance. The Corporation assesses the level of potential loss associated with its loan portfolio and provides for that exposure through an allowance for loan losses. The allowance is established through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. The allowance is an estimate of the losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the end of each reporting period. The Corporation assesses the adequacy of its allowance on a quarterly basis. The specific methodologies applied on a consistent basis are discussed in greater detail under the caption, Allowance for Loan Losses, in a subsequent section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The evaluation of securities for other-than-temporary impairment requires a significant amount of judgment. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses, management considers various factors including the length of time the fair value has been below cost, the financial condition of the issuer, and the Corporation's intent to sell, or requirement to sell, the securities before recovery of its value. Declines in fair value that are determined to be other than temporary are charged against earnings.

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*, requires that goodwill is not amortized to expense, but rather that it be tested for impairment at least annually. Impairment write-downs are charged to results of operations in the period in which the impairment is determined. The Corporation did not identify any impairment on its outstanding goodwill from its most recent testing, which was performed as of December 31, 2010. If certain events occur which might indicate goodwill has been impaired, the goodwill is tested when such events occur. Other acquired intangible assets with finite lives, such as customer lists, are required to be amortized over the estimated lives. These intangibles are generally amortized using the straight line method over estimated useful lives of ten years.

**EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

The primary source of the Corporation's revenues is net interest income derived from interest earned on loans and investments, less deposit and borrowing funding costs. Revenues are influenced by general economic factors, including market interest rates, the economy of the markets served, stock market conditions, as well as competitive forces within the markets.

Table of Contents

The Corporation's overall strategy is to increase loan growth in local markets, while maintaining a reasonable funding base by offering competitive deposit products and services. The year 2010 continued to be challenging for financial institutions with high levels of problem assets, recession in the housing markets, lingering high unemployment, and slow uneven growth. ACNB continued to be profitable and well capitalized despite continued expense elevated from the need to bolster the allowance for loan losses. Improved net interest income resulted in increased net income to \$8,419,000, or \$1.42 per share, in 2010, compared to \$7,219,000, or \$1.22 per share, in 2009 and \$6,744,000, or \$1.13 per share, in 2008. Returns on average equity were 9.15%, 8.34% and 7.96% in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

By actively managing funding costs, the Corporation's net interest margin increased on average to 3.92% in 2010, compared to 3.64% and 3.37% in 2009 and 2008, respectively. Net interest income was \$35,017,000 in 2010, as compared to \$32,252,000 in 2009 and \$29,024,000 in 2008.

Other income was \$12,172,000, \$11,703,000 and \$10,438,000 in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The largest source of other income is commissions from insurance sales from Russell Insurance Group, Inc. (RIG), which decreased by 10% in 2010 with the effects of a lower premium insurance, lower contingency commissions, and reduced commercial insurance volume due to economic contractions. In 2010, a \$72,000 net gain was recognized on investments compared to net gains of \$17,000 in 2009 and \$159,000 in 2008. In 2009, the Corporation took an impairment charge of \$522,000 on two equity securities that were determined to be other-than-temporarily impaired; no impairment charges occurred in either 2008 or 2010. Income from fiduciary activities totaled \$1,303,000 for 2010, as compared to \$1,057,000 for 2009 and \$1,021,000 for 2008. Trust fiduciary income benefited from continued organic growth in average assets under administration and market value-derived increases. Service charges on deposit accounts increased 0.5% to \$2,415,000 and revenue from ATM and debit card transactions increased 12% to \$1,124,000 due to higher volume.

Other expenses decreased to \$30,303,000, or by 1%, in 2010, as compared to \$30,629,000 in 2009. Other expenses totaled \$26,071,000 in 2008. The largest component of other expenses is salaries and employee benefits, which decreased 2% to \$17,318,000 in 2010 compared to \$17,680,000 in 2009, in part due to a reduced benefit formula for the defined benefit pension plan effective January 1, 2010. Compared to 2009, occupancy expense decreased 4% in 2010 due to proactive maintenance and property tax refunds, while equipment expense increased 15% from increased depreciation and maintenance on technology assets. Professional services expenses increased 30% due to problem loan resolution, strategic initiatives, and a subsidiary bank charter conversion during 2010. FDIC and other regulatory expenses decreased by 27% in 2010. The significantly higher expense in 2009 was the result of a requirement of all FDIC-insured banks to restore the deposit insurance fund due to the cost of protecting depositors' accounts at failed banks during the severe recession. A more thorough discussion of the Corporation's results of operations is included in the following pages.

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS***Net Interest Income*

The primary source of ACNB's traditional banking revenue is net interest income, which represents the difference between interest income on earning assets and interest expense on liabilities used to fund those assets. Earning assets include loans, securities, and federal funds sold. Interest bearing liabilities include deposits and borrowings.

Net interest income is affected by changes in interest rates, volume of interest bearing assets and liabilities, and the composition of those assets and liabilities. The "interest rate spread" and "net interest margin" are two common statistics related to changes in net interest income. The interest rate spread represents the difference between the yields earned on interest earning assets and the rates paid for interest bearing liabilities. The net interest margin is defined as the percentage of net interest income to average earning assets, which also considers the Corporation's net non-interest bearing funding sources, the largest of which are non-interest bearing demand deposits and stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

The following table includes average balances, rates, interest income and expense, interest rate spread, and net interest margin:

**Table 1 Average Balances, Rates and Interest Income and Expense**

| Dollars in thousands                              | 2010              |                  |              | 2009              |                  |              | 2008              |                  |              |
|---|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------|
|   | Average Balance   | Interest         | Yield/Rate   | Average Balance   | Interest         | Yield/Rate   | Average Balance   | Interest         | Yield/Rate   |
| <b>INTEREST EARNING ASSETS</b>                    |                   |                  |              |                   |                  |              |                   |                  |              |
| Loans   | \$ 663,642        | \$ 36,043        | 5.43%        | \$ 646,819        | \$ 35,626        | 5.51%        | \$ 594,678        | \$ 35,561        | 5.98%        |
| Taxable securities                                | 176,758           | 7,181            | 4.06%        | 186,403           | 8,620            | 4.62%        | 215,714           | 10,286           | 4.77%        |
| Tax-exempt securities                             | 34,470            | 1,308            | 3.79%        | 39,061            | 1,488            | 3.81%        | 47,814            | 1,790            | 3.74%        |
| <b>Total Securities</b>                           | <b>211,228</b>    | <b>8,489</b>     | <b>4.02%</b> | <b>225,464</b>    | <b>10,108</b>    | <b>4.48%</b> | <b>263,528</b>    | <b>12,076</b>    | <b>4.58%</b> |
| Other   | 17,902            | 108              | 0.60%        | 13,829            | 78               | 0.56%        | 2,282             | 284              | 12.45%       |
| <b>Total Interest Earning Assets</b>              | <b>892,772</b>    | <b>44,640</b>    | <b>5.00%</b> | <b>886,112</b>    | <b>45,812</b>    | <b>5.17%</b> | <b>860,488</b>    | <b>47,921</b>    | <b>5.57%</b> |
| Cash and due from banks                           | 14,721            |                  |              | 14,771            |                  |              | 15,088            |                  |              |
| Premises and equipment                            | 14,324            |                  |              | 14,156            |                  |              | 14,295            |                  |              |
| Other assets                                      | 71,180            |                  |              | 57,393            |                  |              | 48,265            |                  |              |
| Allowance for loan losses                         | (14,068)          |                  |              | (9,669)           |                  |              | (7,062)           |                  |              |
| <b>Total Assets</b>                               | <b>\$ 978,929</b> |                  |              | <b>\$ 962,763</b> |                  |              | <b>\$ 931,074</b> |                  |              |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>       |                   |                  |              |                   |                  |              |                   |                  |              |
| <b>INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES</b>               |                   |                  |              |                   |                  |              |                   |                  |              |
| Interest bearing demand deposits                  | \$ 128,835        | \$ 125           | 0.10%        | \$ 114,979        | \$ 139           | 0.12%        | \$ 109,478        | \$ 242           | 0.22%        |
| Savings deposits                                  | 214,812           | 445              | 0.21%        | 205,899           | 885              | 0.43%        | 197,019           | 2,238            | 1.14%        |
| Time deposits                                     | 304,891           | 5,653            | 1.85%        | 307,893           | 8,283            | 2.69%        | 296,511           | 10,707           | 3.61%        |
| <b>Total Interest Bearing Deposits</b>            | <b>648,538</b>    | <b>6,223</b>     | <b>0.96%</b> | <b>628,771</b>    | <b>9,307</b>     | <b>1.48%</b> | <b>603,008</b>    | <b>13,187</b>    | <b>2.19%</b> |
| Short-term borrowings                             | 42,849            | 119              | 0.28%        | 46,885            | 331              | 0.71%        | 44,401            | 714              | 1.61%        |
| Long-term borrowings                              | 85,385            | 3,281            | 3.84%        | 101,260           | 3,922            | 3.87%        | 109,559           | 4,996            | 4.56%        |
| <b>Total Interest Bearing Liabilities</b>         | <b>776,772</b>    | <b>9,623</b>     | <b>1.24%</b> | <b>776,916</b>    | <b>13,560</b>    | <b>1.75%</b> | <b>756,968</b>    | <b>18,897</b>    | <b>2.50%</b> |
| Non-interest bearing demand deposits              | 98,862            |                  |              | 87,503            |                  |              | 81,250            |                  |              |
| Other liabilities                                 | 11,321            |                  |              | 11,814            |                  |              | 8,174             |                  |              |
| Stockholders' equity                              | 91,974            |                  |              | 86,530            |                  |              | 84,682            |                  |              |
| <b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b> | <b>\$ 978,929</b> |                  |              | <b>\$ 962,763</b> |                  |              | <b>\$ 931,074</b> |                  |              |
| <b>NET INTEREST INCOME</b>                        |                   | <b>\$ 35,017</b> |              |                   | <b>\$ 32,252</b> |              |                   | <b>\$ 29,024</b> |              |
| <b>INTEREST RATE SPREAD</b>                       |                   |                  | <b>3.76%</b> |                   |                  | <b>3.42%</b> |                   |                  | <b>3.07%</b> |
| <b>NET INTEREST MARGIN</b>                        |                   |                  | <b>3.92%</b> |                   |                  | <b>3.64%</b> |                   |                  | <b>3.37%</b> |

For yield calculation purposes, nonaccruing loans are included in average loan balances. Loan fees of \$52,000, \$90,000 and \$98,000 as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, are included in interest income. Yields on tax-exempt securities are not tax effected.

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Table 1 presents balance sheet items on a daily average basis, net interest income, interest rate spread, and net interest margin for the years ending December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008. Table 2 analyzes the relative impact on net interest income for changes in the volume of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities and changes in rates earned and paid by the Corporation on such assets and liabilities.

Net interest income totaled \$35,017,000 in 2010, as compared to \$32,252,000 in 2009 and \$29,024,000 in 2008. During 2010, net interest income increased as a result of lower funding costs exceeding lower interest income due to maturing time deposits repricing into the continued record low rate environment and changes in the mix of various funding sources. The increase in net interest

Table of Contents

income in 2009 was primarily related to a better mix of earning assets and market-driven lower rates on funding. In addition, a low-spread on investment securities funded by higher rate wholesale borrowings was reduced.

The net interest margin during 2010 was 3.92% compared to 3.64% during 2009. The margin increased due to continued decreasing funding costs from lower rates on new or renewed time deposits and lower market rates on savings products. The Federal Open Market Committee repeatedly decreased the federal funds rate from September 2007 to December 2008 and maintained it at 0% to 0.25% since that time. In addition, during 2010 the Federal Reserve Bank embarked on a program referred to as Quantitative Easing which, in effect, kept rates lower on longer term portions of the yield curve. These decreases allowed interest rate reductions on lower-cost transactional deposit products and higher-cost certificates of deposit; the result was a 0.51% decrease in funding costs. Reducing the benefit of a lower cost of funds in 2010 was earning asset yield declines in the investment portfolio as new purchases were at lower rates, and declines in the loan portfolio from existing adjustable rate loans resetting at lower rates based on declines in index rates. The decreased earning asset yields were partially offset by interest income of \$605,000 on full payoff of a loan that was in nonaccrual status in prior years. This one-time recovery increased earning asset yield and net interest margin by seven basis points (.007%) for the year ended December 31, 2010. Maintaining the net interest margin going forward will be challenged by the fact that substantial amounts of deposits are at practical rate floors, while loans and investment securities will most likely continue to decrease in yields. The cost and availability of wholesale funding could also be affected by a variety of internal and external factors resulting from lingering effects of the widespread credit market turmoil in recent years. The net interest margin during 2009 was 3.64% compared to 3.37% during 2008. In 2009, the margin increased due to decreasing funding costs from market rate declines and the public's preference for lower cost liquid, FDIC insured deposits in a period of widespread market turmoil.

Average earning assets were \$892,772,000 in 2010, an increase of 1.0% from the balance of \$886,112,000 in 2009, which was an increase from \$860,488,000 in 2008. Loan growth was responsible for the increase in average assets in 2010 offsetting continued declines in the investment portfolio due to constraint in investing in the record-low rate environment in face of likely much higher rates in the future. Loan growth in the first half of 2009 was responsible for the average increase in 2009, whereas the second half of the year experienced slower growth as borrowers continued to contract operations. Average interest bearing liabilities were \$776,772,000 in 2010, down from \$776,916,000 in 2009 after an increase from \$756,968,000 in 2008. Average non-interest bearing demand deposits increased 13.0%, continuing the trend upward for 2009 and 2008; the increase was attributed to new relationships and the value placed on FDIC insurance by depositors. On average, deposits (including non-interest bearing) were up 4.4%, while borrowings decreased by 13.4% from proceeds of the securities called in 2010. Lower-cost transaction and savings deposits grew faster than time deposits in 2010, continuing a trend started in 2008. This trend is attributed to depositors favoring liquidity in a generally low rate environment.

The rate/volume analysis detailed in Table 2 shows that the increase in net interest income in 2010 was due to funding cost rate decreases exceeding earning assets rate decreases. The decrease in interest income was 30% less than the decrease in interest expense. Interest expense decreased due to less borrowed fund volume and rate decreases in all interest bearing liability categories. Likewise, favorable rate changes resulting in less interest expense in 2009, as compared to 2008, were only partially offset by decreased volume in securities assets and loan rate decreases from loans resetting or originated at lower rates in 2009.

Table of Contents

The following table shows changes in net interest income attributed to changes in rates and changes in average balances of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities:

**Table 2 Rate/Volume Analysis**

| In thousands                         | 2010 versus 2009  |                   |                   | 2009 versus 2008  |                   |                   |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|                                      | Due to Changes in |                   |                   | Due to Changes in |                   |                   |
|                                      | Volume            | Rate              | Total             | Volume            | Rate              | Total             |
| <b>INTEREST EARNING ASSETS</b>       |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Loans                                | \$ 918            | \$ (501)          | \$ 417            | \$ 2,988          | \$ (2,923)        | \$ 65             |
| Taxable securities                   | (430)             | (1,009)           | (1,439)           | (1,363)           | (303)             | (1,666)           |
| Tax-exempt securities                | (174)             | (6)               | (180)             | (333)             | 31                | (302)             |
| Total Securities                     | (604)             | (1,015)           | (1,619)           | (1,696)           | (272)             | (1,968)           |
| Other                                | 24                | 6                 | 30                | 283               | (489)             | (206)             |
| <b>Total</b>                         | <b>\$ 338</b>     | <b>\$ (1,510)</b> | <b>\$ (1,172)</b> | <b>\$ 1,575</b>   | <b>\$ (3,684)</b> | <b>\$ (2,109)</b> |
| <br>                                 |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| In thousands                         | 2010 versus 2009  |                   |                   | 2009 versus 2008  |                   |                   |
|                                      | Due to Changes in |                   |                   | Due to Changes in |                   |                   |
|                                      | Volume            | Rate              | Total             | Volume            | Rate              | Total             |
| <b>INTEREST BEARING LIABILITIES</b>  |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Interest bearing demand deposits     | \$ 15             | \$ (29)           | \$ (14)           | \$ 12             | \$ (115)          | \$ (103)          |
| Savings deposits                     | 37                | (477)             | (440)             | 97                | (1,450)           | (1,353)           |
| Time deposits                        | (80)              | (2,550)           | (2,630)           | 397               | (2,821)           | (2,424)           |
| Short-term borrowings                | (26)              | (186)             | (212)             | 38                | (421)             | (383)             |
| Long-term borrowings                 | (610)             | (31)              | (641)             | (359)             | (715)             | (1,074)           |
| <b>Total</b>                         | <b>(664)</b>      | <b>(3,273)</b>    | <b>(3,937)</b>    | <b>185</b>        | <b>(5,522)</b>    | <b>(5,337)</b>    |
| <b>Change in Net Interest Income</b> | <b>\$ 1,002</b>   | <b>\$ 1,763</b>   | <b>\$ 2,765</b>   | <b>\$ 1,390</b>   | <b>\$ 1,838</b>   | <b>\$ 3,228</b>   |

The net change attributable to the combination of rate and volume has been allocated on a consistent basis between volume and rate based on the absolute value of each. For yield calculation purposes, nonaccruing loans are included in average balances.

*Provision for Loan Losses*

The provision for loan losses charged against earnings was \$6,410,000 in 2010, as compared to \$4,750,000 in 2009 and \$5,570,000 in 2008. ACNB adjusts the provision for loan losses periodically as necessary to maintain the allowance at a level deemed to meet the risk characteristics of the loan portfolio.

For additional discussion of the provision and the loans associated therewith, please refer to the "Asset Quality" section of this Management's Discussion and Analysis.

*Other Income*

Other income was \$12,172,000 for the year-ended December 31, 2010, a \$469,000, or 4%, increase from 2009. The largest source of other income is commissions from insurance sales from RIG, which decreased 10% to \$4,949,000. The decrease was due to lost customers in the economic downturn, increased competition, a soft (low premium) market, and lower amounts of "contingent" commissions. The "contingent" or

extra commission payments from insurance carriers are mostly received in the first quarter of each year, and the amount is at the discretion of various insurance carriers in accordance



Table of Contents

with applicable insurance regulations. All of the negative factors are expected to continue in this business line.

In 2010, investment gains of \$72,000 were recognized compared to gains of \$17,000 in 2009 and \$159,000 in 2008. The varying gains in 2010 and 2009 were to adjust the interest rate and credit risk composition of the portfolio by selling selected municipal securities. The higher 2008 gains were on sales of securities likely to be called in order to provide loan funding. The Corporation holds equity investments in the common stock of two bank holding companies headquartered and operating in Pennsylvania. Decreased market prices for these stocks below the acquisition prices resulted in the Corporation taking an impairment charge of \$522,000 on these two equity securities during the third quarter of 2009. Based on management's evaluation of the portfolio, impairment charges were not deemed necessary in 2010 or 2008.

Income from fiduciary activities, which includes fees from both institutional and personal trust and asset management services and estate settlement services, totaled \$1,303,000 for the year-ended December 31, 2010, as compared to \$1,057,000 for 2009 and \$1,021,000 for 2008. At December 31, 2010, ACNB had total assets under administration of approximately \$152,000,000, up 10% from \$138,000,000 at the end of 2009 and \$115,000,000 at the end of 2008. The increase in income was the result of higher average assets under administration and 21% higher estate settlement income in 2010 which varies with specific activity.

Service charges on deposit accounts increased less than 1% to \$2,415,000 on varying customer actions. Further, certain government regulations effective in 2010 limited service charge increases and make future revenue levels uncertain. Revenue from ATM and debit card transactions increased 12% to \$1,124,000 due to higher volume. The increase resulted from consumer desire to use more electronic delivery channels; however, pending government regulations could result in price controls on this activity in 2011 and future years, the effect of which cannot be currently quantified. Income connected with selling mortgages decreased by \$166,000, or 27%, due to lower volume in a slow housing market.

Total other income was \$11,703,000 for the year-ended December 31, 2009, an increase of \$1,265,000 compared to income of \$10,438,000 during 2008. This increase was broad over a variety of sources including deposit service charges, fiduciary income, mortgage origination-related revenues, and insurance revenues.

*Impairment Testing*

RIG has certain long-lived assets, including purchased intangible assets subject to amortization such as insurance books of business and associated goodwill assets, which are reviewed for impairment annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of would be separately presented in the balance sheet and reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and are no longer depreciated. Goodwill, that has an indefinite useful life, and insurance books of business intangible assets, that are amortized over ten years, are evaluated for impairment annually and are evaluated for impairment more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired. That annual assessment date is December 31. An impairment loss is recognized to the extent that the carrying amount exceeds the asset's fair value. The goodwill impairment analysis is a two-step test. The first step, used to identify potential impairment, involves comparing each reporting unit's estimated fair value to its carrying value, including goodwill. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is considered not to be impaired. If the carrying value exceeds estimated fair

Table of Contents

value, there is an indication of potential impairment and the second step is performed to measure the amount of impairment. If required, the second step involves calculating an implied fair value of goodwill for the reporting unit for which the first step indicated potential impairment. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to the amount of goodwill calculated in a business combination, by measuring the excess of the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to a group of likely buyers whose cash flow estimates could differ from those of the reporting entity, as determined in the first step, over the aggregate estimated fair values of the individual assets, liabilities and identifiable intangibles as if the reporting unit was being acquired in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded for the excess. Subsequent reversal of goodwill impairment losses is not permitted. ACNB performs an annual evaluation to determine if there is goodwill impairment. As noted above, commissions from insurance sales decreased 10% and, as some agency expenses are fixed, RIG's stand alone net income decreased by 39% in 2010 compared to 2009. Since the testing for potential impairment involves methods that include current and projected income amounts, the fair value declined at December 31, 2010, as compared to previous years' impairment testing results.

The results of the annual evaluations determined that there was no impairment of goodwill, including the testing at December 31, 2010. However, future declines in RIG's net income or changes in external market factors, including likely buyers that are assumed in impairment testing, may require an impairment charge to goodwill. A liability incurred for contingent consideration owed on previous purchases of additional insurance books of business could also unfavorably impact the fair value of RIG. Although it is probable that some liability for further contingent consideration will be incurred, it is considered remote that the maximum aggregate liability of \$1,800,000 will be incurred on the measurement dates. The amount of the ultimate liability is not estimable at December 31, 2010, because of the uncertainties in retaining books of business in the current economic cycle. Should it be determined in a future period that the goodwill has been impaired, then a charge to earnings will be recorded in the period such determination is made.

*Other Expenses*

Other expenses decreased 1% to \$30,303,000 for the year-ended December 31, 2010. The largest component of other expenses is salaries and employee benefits, which fell 2% to \$17,318,000 compared to \$17,680,000 in 2009. The reasons for the decrease in salaries and employee benefits expenses include the following:

decreased defined benefit pension expense due to plan investment performance and the reduced benefit formula implemented by the Corporation on January 1, 2010, and

lower production-based commissions and incentives, offset by

increases from higher employee usage of 401(k) plan benefits (affecting the employer match), unused paid time off accruals, and payroll taxes;

normal merit increases to employees;

an increase in the number of full-time equivalent employees; and,

increased benefit plan costs, particularly medical insurance.

Table of Contents

Salaries and employee benefits increased \$3,279,000 or 23% from 2008 to 2009. The increased expense was due primarily to a significantly higher defined benefit pension expense of \$1,128,000 from the decreased fair value of plan assets in 2008. The decline in the fair value of plan assets resulted from investment performance related to severe downturns in the broad financial markets. Also increasing were benefit costs and salaries expense from the addition of three new commercial lenders, two new Senior Vice Presidents and a new Executive Vice President in late 2008 and early 2009, along with normal merit, promotion and production-based incentive compensation earned by employees.

Net occupancy expense was \$2,197,000 in 2010, \$2,281,000 in 2009, and \$2,186,000 in 2008. Equipment expense totaled \$2,499,000 during 2010, as compared to \$2,175,000 during 2009 and \$1,984,000 during 2008. Occupancy was lower in 2010 due to lower repair and real estate tax expenditures; equipment expenses increased in 2010 due to new technology purchases and the related maintenance. Equipment expenses are subject to ever increasing technology demands and the need for systems reliability in a digital age. The majority of the expense increase in 2009 from 2008 was a result of leasing an additional loan production office and technology upgrades.

Professional services expense totaled \$1,116,000 for 2010, as compared to \$860,000 for 2009 and \$944,000 for 2008. Increased expense in 2010 included legal expense associated with problem loans and a series of strategic initiatives that included converting the subsidiary bank to a state charter, listing ACNB Corporation on the NASDAQ Stock Market, and commencing work on the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan. Renegotiated contracts lowered costs in 2009 as compared to 2008. Other tax expenses were lower in 2009 than 2010 due to refunds received in 2009 of sales taxes paid in prior years. Supplies expenses increased in 2010 due to expenses of rebranding the subsidiary bank for the required name change as a result of the state charter conversion.

Marketing expenses increased 7% during 2010 due to expenses related to the subsidiary bank's name change. In 2009, marketing expenses were lower than in 2008 due to the execution of general budgeted reductions in such expenditures in the midst of the broad national financial crisis.

FDIC expense for 2010 was \$1,215,000, a decrease of \$528,000 from \$1,743,000 in 2009. FDIC expense in 2008 was \$96,000. Despite the decrease in 2010, FDIC expense for both years was much higher than periods before the general financial crisis. The much higher expense was required of all FDIC-insured banks to restore the deposit insurance fund due to the cost of protecting depositors' accounts at failed banks during the severe recession. At the end of the third quarter of 2009, the FDIC announced a plan in which most banks prepaid 3.25 years of regular quarterly premiums at year-end 2009, as opposed to a special assessment similar to which was levied in the second quarter of 2009. The prepaid assessment did not immediately affect bank earnings. Each institution recorded its prepaid assessment as a prepaid expense (an asset) as of December 30, 2009, the date the payment was made. At December 31, 2009, and each quarter thereafter, each institution records an expense for its regular quarterly assessment and an offsetting credit to the prepaid expense until the asset is exhausted. Once the asset is exhausted, the institution will record an accrued expense payable each quarter for the assessment payment, which will be made to the FDIC at the end of the following quarter. Even though an estimated premium is prepaid under this current plan, the actual expense will vary based on several factors including quarter-end deposit levels and risk ratings.

Other operating expenses decreased 4% in 2010 as a result of cost savings in discretionary expenditures and improvement in technology-related expenses. This decrease was despite higher expenses related to foreclosed real estate that totaled \$292,000 in 2010 compared to \$18,000 in 2009. Such expenses generally are comprised of property taxes in arrears and cost of preparing the property for resale. ACNB estimates that foreclosed real estate expenses could increase in 2011 based on information derived from the estimation process of the allowance for loan losses. Other operating expenses decreased 2% in 2009 from 2008 mainly as a result of cost savings in discretionary expenditures.

Table of Contents

*Income Tax Expense*

ACNB recognized income taxes of \$2,057,000, or 19.6% of pretax income, during 2010, as compared to \$1,357,000, or 15.8%, during 2009 and \$1,077,000, or 13.8%, during 2008. The variances from the federal statutory rate are generally due to tax-exempt income (from investments in and loans to state and local units of government at a below-market rate, an indirect form of taxation) and investments in low-income housing partnerships (which qualify for federal tax credits).

The increasing effective tax rate during 2010 and 2009 compared to 2008 was a result of increasing pretax income in relationship to stable tax-exempt income. Pretax income increased in 2010 and 2009 due to revenue and expense elements described above, particularly higher net interest income revenue.

At December 31, 2010, net deferred tax assets amounted to \$1,913,000. Deferred tax assets are realizable primarily through future reversal of existing taxable temporary differences. Management currently anticipates future earnings and capital gains will be adequate to utilize the net deferred tax assets.

**FINANCIAL CONDITION**

Average earning assets increased in 2010 to \$892,772,000, or by 1%, from \$886,112,000 in 2009 following \$860,488,000 in 2008. ACNB's investment portfolio decreased in all periods as a result of the planned objective to fund in-market loans and reduce average borrowings. Besides funds provided by investment pay-downs, growth in loans was funded by increased customer deposits. Average deposits increased in 2010 to \$747,400,000 from \$716,274,000 in 2009 and \$684,258,000 in 2008. Average borrowings decreased in 2010 to \$128,234,000 from \$148,145,000 in 2009 and \$153,960,000 in 2008.

*Investment Securities*

ACNB uses investment securities to generate interest and dividend income, manage interest rate risk, provide collateral for certain funding products, and provide liquidity. The contraction in the securities portfolio in 2010 was mainly because of the lack of available investments that combined the desired combination of yield, liquidity and manageable interest rate risk sensitivity. Part of this lack of suitable investments was due to the Federal Reserve Bank's program commonly called Quantitative Easing in which, by their open market purchases, the yields are maintained at a lower level than would otherwise be the case. The contraction in the securities portfolio during 2010 and 2009 was designed to fund increased lending in the earning asset mix, but was also a result of relatively low yields available on investments within the credit quality and interest rate sensitivity targets of ACNB. The investment portfolio is comprised of U.S. Government agency, municipal, and corporate securities. These securities provide the appropriate characteristics with respect to credit quality, yield and maturity relative to the management of the overall balance sheet.

At December 31, 2010, the securities balance included a net unrealized gain on available for sale securities of \$3,923,000, net of taxes, on amortized cost of \$184,787,000 versus a net unrealized gain of \$4,206,000, net of taxes, on amortized cost of \$203,499,000 at December 31, 2009. The decrease in fair value of available for sale securities during 2010 was a result of a lower amount of investments in the portfolio and changes in the U.S. Treasury yield curve and the spread from this yield curve required by investors on the types of investment securities that ACNB owns. Actions by the Federal Reserve to lower rates on the yield curve most conducive to stimulating the housing market and separate concerns about European sovereign debt were counterbalanced by the bonds markets concern about the level of U.S. debt and inflation, leading to volatility in the yield curve and therefore fair values on any given day in 2010. The Corporation does not own investments consisting of pools of Alt A or subprime mortgages, private label mortgage-backed securities, or trust preferred investments. The fair values of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1) or by matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively

Table of Contents

on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices. The Corporation uses an independent service provider to provide matrix pricing and uses the valuation of another provider to compare the pricing for reasonableness. The Corporation holds equity investments in the common stock of two bank holding companies headquartered and operating in Pennsylvania. Both holding companies continue to pay cash dividends, which was one of the driving forces in the investment decision. However, current market prices for these stocks are below the prices paid at the time of acquisition. A review of the factors that may be contributing to these price declines led to a conclusion that the prices on these securities were not likely to recover in the near term and that they were other-than-temporarily impaired. A charge against current earnings of \$522,000 was taken in the third quarter of 2009 to write-down the value of these securities. Please refer to Note C "Securities" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the security portfolio and Note L "Fair Value of Financial Instruments" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about fair value.

The following tables set forth the composition of the securities portfolio and the securities maturity schedule, including weighted average yield, as of the end of the years indicated:

**Table 3 Investment Securities**

| In thousands   | 2010              | 2009              | 2008              |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| <b>AVAILABLE FOR SALE SECURITIES AT FAIR VALUE</b>   |                   |                   |                   |
| U.S. Government and agencies                         | \$ 28,260         | \$ 24,328         | \$ 49,025         |
| Mortgage-backed securities                           | 114,359           | 133,497           | 158,002           |
| State and municipal                                  | 34,676            | 41,271            | 41,975            |
| Corporate bonds                                      | 11,659            | 10,174            | 2,655             |
| CRA mutual fund                                      | 1,030             |                   |                   |
| Stock in other banks                                 | 746               | 602               | 879               |
|  | <b>190,730</b>    | <b>209,872</b>    | <b>252,536</b>    |
| <b>HELD TO MATURITY SECURITIES AT AMORTIZED COST</b> |                   |                   |                   |
| U.S. Government and agencies                         | 10,044            | 10,057            |                   |
|  | <b>10,044</b>     | <b>10,057</b>     |                   |
| <b>TOTAL</b>   | <b>\$ 200,774</b> | <b>\$ 219,929</b> | <b>\$ 252,536</b> |

Table 4 discloses investment securities at the scheduled maturity date at December 31, 2010. Many securities have call features that make them liable for redemption before the stated maturity date.

**Table 4 Securities Maturity Schedule**

| Dollars in thousands         | 1 Year or Less |       | Over 1-5 Years |       | Over 5-10 Years |       | Over 10 Years or No Maturity |       | Total     |       |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|-----------|-------|
|                              | Amount         | Yield | Amount         | Yield | Amount          | Yield | Amount                       | Yield | Amount    | Yield |
| U.S. Government and agencies | \$             |       | 28,419         | 3.25% | \$ 7,820        | 1.89% | \$ 2,030                     | 5.50% | \$ 38,269 | 3.09% |
| Mortgage-backed securities   |                |       |                |       | 49,324          | 4.67  | 60,062                       | 4.22  | 109,386   | 4.42  |
| State and municipal          |                |       | 3,239          | 3.49  | 21,370          | 3.95  | 9,605                        | 4.18  | 34,214    | 3.97  |
| Corporate bonds              |                |       | 11,303         | 5.48  |                 |       |                              |       | 11,303    | 5.48  |

Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

|                      |        |       |        |       |        |       |         |       |  |
|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|-------|---------|-------|--|
| CRA mutual fund      |        |       |        |       |        |       |         |       |  |
|                      |        |       |        |       |        |       |         |       |  |
| Stock in other banks |        |       |        |       |        |       |         |       |  |
|                      |        |       |        |       |        |       |         |       |  |
|                      | \$     | %     | \$     | %     | \$     | %     | \$      | %     |  |
|                      | 42,961 | 4.34% | 78,514 | 4.20% | 73,356 | 4.15% | 194,831 | 4.11% |  |

Securities are at amortized cost. Mortgage-backed securities are allocated based upon scheduled maturities.

Table of Contents*Loans*

Loans outstanding increased by \$20,604,000, or 3%, in 2010, as compared to 1% growth experienced in 2009, demonstrating the determined efforts to lend to creditworthy borrowers despite the continued difficult economic conditions. The higher growth was centered in increased commercial purpose loans (both real estate secured and non real estate secured) and in local market residential mortgages, in part offset by continued declines in commercial construction loans. The commercial purpose segments increased \$23,600,000 or 9%, during 2010; spread among diverse categories that include farmland secured, loans to local government units, and other types of commercial real estate secured lending. Residential real estate mortgage lending, which include smaller commercial purpose loans secured by the owner's home, increased by \$8,500,000 or 3%, to local borrowers who preferred loan types that would not be sold into the secondary mortgage market. Of the \$8,500,000 increase, \$1,400,000 was residential mortgage loans secured by junior liens. Home equity loans, which are also in many cases junior liens, decreased by \$1,800,000 because of refinancing into other ACNB lending products, competition from other financial institutions, and customers paying off debt in the uncertain job market and slow real estate market. Junior liens inherently have more credit risk by virtue of the fact that another financial institution may have a higher security position in the case of foreclosure liquidation of collateral to extinguish the debt. Generally, foreclosure actions could become more prevalent in a continued weak real estate market. Real estate construction loans continued to decline in 2010, down \$11,300,000 or 30%, both as a result of the weak demand in the residential real estate market and because of stricter underwriting on this type due to the category's credit attributes.

The commercial loan increase was lower in 2009 compared to 2008 as the result of reduced business activity in the market area that hindered new originations, as well as management's decision to not renew certain commercial loans, primarily participation credits in conjunction with other financial institutions, due to perceived potential credit risk

**Table 5 Loan Portfolio**

Loans at December 31 were as follows:

| In thousands                           | 2010              | 2009              | 2008              | 2007              | 2006              |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 52,676         | \$ 45,947         | \$ 59,861         | \$ 62,844         | \$ 41,770         |
| Real estate:                           |                   |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| Commercial                             | 225,950           | 209,054           | 173,926           | 127,465           | 116,347           |
| Construction                           | 26,635            | 37,966            | 48,958            | 38,370            | 41,675            |
| Residential                            | 345,854           | 337,342           | 341,916           | 310,459           | 313,424           |
| Consumer                               | 14,176            | 14,378            | 13,062            | 9,064             | 11,002            |
| <b>Total Loans</b>                     | <b>\$ 665,291</b> | <b>\$ 644,687</b> | <b>\$ 637,723</b> | <b>\$ 548,202</b> | <b>\$ 524,218</b> |

Table of Contents

The repricing range of the commercial-related loan portfolio and the amounts of loans with predetermined and fixed rates are presented in the table below:

**Table 6 Loan Sensitivities****LOANS MATURING**

| In thousands                                 | Less than<br>1 Year | 1-5 Years  | Over<br>5 Years | Total      |
|--|---------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| Commercial,<br>financial and<br>agricultural | \$ 14,536           | \$ 31,395  | \$ 6,745        | \$ 52,676  |
| Real estate:                                 |                     |            |                 |            |
| Commercial                                   | 49,911              | 135,880    | 40,159          | 225,950    |
| Construction                                 | 17,571              | 6,432      | 2,632           | 26,635     |
| Residential                                  | 65,876              | 95,723     | 184,255         | 345,854    |
| <b>Total</b>                                 | \$ 147,894          | \$ 269,430 | \$ 233,791      | \$ 651,115 |

**LOANS BY REPRICING OPPORTUNITY**

| In thousands                                 | Less than<br>1 Year | 1-5 Years  | Over<br>5 Years | Total      |
|--|---------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| Commercial,<br>financial and<br>agricultural | \$ 8,708            | \$ 29,907  | \$ 14,061       | \$ 52,676  |
| Real estate:                                 |                     |            |                 |            |
| Commercial                                   | 13,036              | 121,608    | 91,306          | 225,950    |
| Construction                                 | 16,889              | 5,470      | 4,276           | 26,635     |
| Residential                                  | 42,113              | 74,942     | 228,799         | 345,854    |
| <b>Total</b>                                 | \$ 80,746           | \$ 231,927 | \$ 338,442      | \$ 651,115 |
| Loans with a fixed<br>interest rate          | \$ 41,778           | \$ 27,681  | \$ 202,808      | \$ 272,267 |
| Loans with a<br>variable interest rate       | 38,968              | 204,246    | 135,634         | 378,848    |
| <b>Total</b>                                 | \$ 80,746           | \$ 231,927 | \$ 338,442      | \$ 651,115 |

Most of the Corporation's lending activities are with customers located within the southcentral Pennsylvania and in the northern Maryland area that is contiguous to its Pennsylvania retail banking offices. This region currently and historically has lower unemployment than the U.S. as a whole. Included in commercial real estate loans are loans made to lessors of non-residential dwellings that total \$88,225,000, or 13% of total loans, at December 31, 2010. These borrowers are geographically dispersed throughout ACNB's marketplace and are leasing commercial properties to a varied group of tenants including medical offices, retail space, and recreational facilities. Because of the varied nature of the tenants, in aggregate, management believes that these loans do not present any greater risk than commercial loans in general. ACNB does not originate or hold subprime mortgages in its loan portfolio.

*Asset Quality*

The ACNB loan portfolio is subject to varying degrees of credit risk. Credit risk is mitigated through prudent underwriting standards, ongoing credit review, and monitoring and reporting asset quality measures. Additionally, loan portfolio diversification, limiting exposure to a single industry or borrower, and requiring collateral also reduces ACNB's credit risk.

ACNB's commercial, consumer and residential mortgage loans are principally to borrowers in southcentral Pennsylvania and northern Maryland. As the majority of ACNB's loans are located in this





Table of Contents

area, a substantial portion of the debtor's ability to honor the obligation may be affected by the level of economic activity in the market area.

Although materially elevated from several years back, the unemployment rate in ACNB's market area remained below the state and national average during 2010. Additionally, low interest rates, a less volatile local economy, and minimal inflation continued to provide some support to the economic conditions in the area. During 2010, continued contraction in new residential real estate development/construction and general lower economic activity lingered from the recent major recession, challenging the Corporation's marketplace commercial activity. Slower growth areas such as ACNB's marketplace generally do not retract in economic recessions as quickly and as low as other areas of the country, however the recovery from low economic cycles are also generally slower.

Non-performing assets include nonaccrual and restructured loans (troubled debt restructures or TDRs), accruing loans past due 90 days or more, and other foreclosed assets. The accrual of interest on residential mortgage and commercial loans (consisting of commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, and commercial real estate construction loan classes) is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days past due unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Consumer loans (consisting of home equity lines of credit and consumer loan classes) are typically charged off no later than 120 days past due. Past due status is based on contractual terms of the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful. ACNB occasionally returns nonaccrual loans to performing status when the borrower brings the loan current and performs in accordance with contractual terms for a reasonable period of time. ACNB categorizes a loan as a TDR if it changes the terms of the loan, such as interest rate, repayment schedule or both, to terms that it otherwise would not have granted to a borrower, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties. For all periods presented, TDRs were also nonaccrual loans.

The following table sets forth the Corporation's non-performing assets as of the end of the years indicated:

**Table 7 Non-Performing Assets**

| Dollars in thousands               | 2010             | 2009             | 2008             | 2007            | 2006            |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Nonaccrual loans, including TDRs   | \$ 14,657        | \$ 13,308        | \$ 7,723         | \$ 854          | \$ 3,900        |
| Accruing loans 90 days past due    | 997              | 2,107            | 1,963            | 1,404           | 220             |
| <b>Total Non-Performing Loans</b>  | <b>15,654</b>    | <b>15,415</b>    | <b>9,686</b>     | <b>2,258</b>    | <b>4,120</b>    |
| Foreclosed real estate             | 7,859            | 6,046            | 625              | 136             |                 |
| <b>Total Non-Performing Assets</b> | <b>\$ 23,513</b> | <b>\$ 21,461</b> | <b>\$ 10,311</b> | <b>\$ 2,394</b> | <b>\$ 4,120</b> |

## Ratios:

|   |        |        |        |         |         |
|---|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| Non-performing loans to total loans               | 2.35%  | 2.39%  | 1.52%  | 0.41%   | 0.79%   |
| Non-performing assets to total assets             | 2.43%  | 2.23%  | 1.06%  | 0.26%   | 0.43%   |
| Allowance for loan losses to non-performing loans | 97.43% | 77.72% | 76.33% | 258.99% | 130.46% |

If interest due on all nonaccrual loans had been accrued at original contract rates, it is estimated that income before income taxes would have been greater by \$464,000 in 2010, \$643,000 in 2009, and \$246,000 in 2008. The increase in nonaccrual loans is discussed further below.

Impaired loans at December 31, 2010 and 2009, totaled \$14,657,000 and \$13,308,000, respectively. At December 31, 2010, \$2,143,000 of the impaired loans were troubled debt restructured loans, which were also classified as nonaccrual. The related allowance for loan losses on impaired loans totaled \$2,059,000 and \$3,947,000, respectively. The increase in impaired loans was mainly related to a \$4,100,000 commercial real estate loan and a group of smaller commercial real estate loans that

Table of Contents

developed financial stress or became delinquent during 2010, which was offset by loan amounts that were charged off or were eliminated by the Corporation taking legal actions to repossess the collateral and then book the fair value of the collateral, less the cost to sell, as foreclosed assets held for resale. Potential problem loans are defined as performing loans that have characteristics that cause management to have doubts as to the ability of the borrower to perform under present loan repayment terms and which may result in the reporting of these loans as non-performing loans in the future. Total additional potential problem loans approximated \$15,560,000 at December 31, 2010, compared to \$12,071,000 at December 31, 2009.

Foreclosed real estate consists of the fair value of real estate acquired through foreclosure on real estate loan collateral or the acceptance of ownership of real estate in lieu of the foreclosure process. Fair values are based on appraisals that consider the sales prices of similar properties in the proximate vicinity less estimated selling costs. The fair value of \$7,859,000 at December 31, 2010, included one economic development real estate project and five commercial use real estate properties totaling \$7,055,000. One of these commercial use properties had a fair value of \$5,180,000 and was collateral on a commercial loan in which the Corporation took a participation interest from another southcentral Pennsylvania bank. In the fourth quarter of 2009, the loan was accorded nonaccrual status when the borrower became delinquent and communicated that no further scheduled payments would be made. Subsequently, before the end of the quarter, the borrower executed a deed in lieu of foreclosure to the creditors. In addition to a current appraisal based on best use, a sales agreement, in which signatures have not been obtained, is in place and awaiting funding arrangements to be executed. A second property was collateral on a loan originated in 2007 as a \$2,200,000 loan to a local development corporation with subsequent payment that reduced the carrying balance to \$1,848,000. Foreclosure processes commenced in the fourth quarter of 2009 and in 2010 ACNB purchased the real estate at sheriff sale and subsequently wrote the value down to \$1,246,000. Four other commercial use real estate properties were brought into foreclosed real estate in 2010 with an aggregate fair value of \$629,000. In addition, the fair value of \$804,000 for foreclosed real estate at December 31, 2010, represented five residential real estate single homes, four of which were taken into foreclosed real estate in 2010. All properties are being actively marketed to targeted buyers by external and internal resources. The fair value of \$6,046,000 at December 31, 2009, represented the commercial real participation loan described above and four residential real estate single homes of which three were sold in 2010. The fair value of \$625,000 for foreclosed real estate at December 31, 2008, represented one residence and one commercial use property.

*Allowance for Loan Losses*

ACNB maintains the allowance for loan losses at a level believed adequate by management to absorb potential losses in the loan portfolio, and it is funded through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. On a quarterly basis, ACNB utilizes a defined methodology in determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, which considers specific credit reviews, past loan losses, historical experience, and qualitative factors. This methodology results in an allowance that is considered appropriate in light of the high degree of judgment required and that is prudent and conservative, but not excessive.

Management assigns internal risk ratings for each commercial lending relationship. Utilizing historical loss experience, adjusted for changes in trends, conditions and other relevant factors, management derives estimated losses for non-rated and non-classified loans. When management identifies impaired loans with uncertain collectability of principal and interest, it evaluates a specific reserve on a quarterly basis in order to estimate potential losses. Management's analysis considers:

adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay;

Table of Contents

the estimated value of underlying collateral; and,

prevailing market conditions.

If management determines a loan is not impaired, a specific reserve allocation is not required. Management then places the loan in a pool of loans with similar risk factors and assigns the general loss factor to determine the reserve. For homogeneous loan types, such as consumer and residential mortgage loans, management bases specific allocations on the average loss ratio for the previous three years for each specific loan pool. Additionally, management adjusts projected loss ratios for other factors, including the following:

trends in delinquency levels;

trends in non-performing and potential problem loans;

trends in composition, volume and terms of loans;

effects of changes in lending policies or underwriting procedures;

experience, ability and depth of management;

national and local economic conditions;

concentrations in lending activities; and,

other factors that management may deem appropriate.

Management determines the unallocated portion, which represents the difference between the reported allowance for loan losses and the calculated allowance for loan losses, of the allowance for loan losses based on the following criteria:

risk of imprecision in the specific and general reserve allocations;

the perceived level of consumer and small business loans with demonstrated weaknesses for which it is not practicable to develop specific allocations;

other potential exposure in the loan portfolio;

variances in management's assessment of national and local economic conditions; and,

other internal or external factors that management believes appropriate at that time.

Management believes the above methodology accurately reflects losses inherent in the portfolio. Management charges actual loan losses to the allowance for loan losses. Management periodically updates the methodology and the assumptions discussed above.

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Management bases the provision for loan losses, or lack of provision, on the overall analysis taking into account the methodology discussed above.

Federal regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require the Corporation to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management's comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth information on the analysis of the allowance for loan losses and the allocation of the allowance for loan losses as of the dates indicated:

**Table 8 Analysis of Allowance for Loan Losses**

| Dollars in thousands                     | Years Ended December 31, |            |              |           |           |
|--|--------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2010                     | 2009       | 2008         | 2007      | 2006      |
| Beginning balance                        | \$ 11,981                | \$ 7,393   | \$ 5,848     | \$ 5,375  | \$ 4,456  |
| Provision for loan losses                | 6,410                    | 4,750      | 5,570        | 500       | 870       |
| Loans charged-off:                       |                          |            |              |           |           |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural   | 204                      | 221        | 1,169        | 6         | 26        |
| Real estate                              | 2,049                    | 64         | 2,815        |           |           |
| Consumer                                 | 928                      | 63         | 68           | 39        | 11        |
| <b>Total Loans Charged-Off</b>           | <b>3,181</b>             | <b>348</b> | <b>4,052</b> | <b>45</b> | <b>37</b> |
| Recoveries:                              |                          |            |              |           |           |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural   | 2                        | 172        | 7            | 14        | 46        |
| Real estate                              |                          |            |              |           |           |
| Consumer                                 | 40                       | 14         | 20           | 4         | 40        |
| <b>Total Recoveries</b>                  | <b>42</b>                | <b>186</b> | <b>27</b>    | <b>18</b> | <b>86</b> |
| Net charge-offs (recoveries)             | 3,139                    | 162        | 4,025        | 27        | (49)      |
| Ending balance                           | \$ 15,252                | \$ 11,981  | \$ 7,393     | \$ 5,848  | \$ 5,375  |
| Ratios:                                  |                          |            |              |           |           |
| Net charge-offs to average loans         | 0.47%                    | 0.03%      | 0.68%        | %         | %         |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans | 2.29%                    | 1.86%      | 1.16%        | 1.07%     | 1.03%     |

**Table 9 Allocation of the Allowance for Loan Losses**

| Dollars in thousands                   | 2010             |                                     | 2009             |                                     | 2008            |                                     | 2007            |                                     | 2006            |                                     |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
|  | Amount           | Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans | Amount           | Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans | Amount          | Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans | Amount          | Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans | Amount          | Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans |
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 2,074         | 7.9%                                | \$ 1,539         | 7.1%                                | \$ 1,383        | 9.4%                                | \$ 1,204        | 11.5%                               | \$ 457          | 8.0%                                |
| Real estate:                           |                  |                                     |                  |                                     |                 |                                     |                 |                                     |                 |                                     |
| Commercial                             | 6,346            | 34.0                                | 4,250            | 28.1                                | 2,034           | 27.3                                | 1,226           | 23.3                                | 1,275           | 22.2                                |
| Construction                           | 1,154            | 4.0                                 | 3,086            | 5.9                                 | 1,970           | 7.7                                 | 2,494           | 7.0                                 | 2,323           | 7.9                                 |
| Residential                            | 3,108            | 44.6                                | 1,693            | 56.7                                | 1,051           | 53.6                                | 605             | 56.6                                | 583             | 59.8                                |
| Consumer                               | 861              | 9.5                                 | 403              | 2.2                                 | 325             | 2.0                                 | 207             | 1.6                                 | 228             | 2.1                                 |
| Unallocated                            | 1,709            | N/A                                 | 1,010            | N/A                                 | 630             | N/A                                 | 112             | N/A                                 | 509             | N/A                                 |
| <b>Total</b>                           | <b>\$ 15,252</b> | <b>100.0%</b>                       | <b>\$ 11,981</b> | <b>100.0%</b>                       | <b>\$ 7,393</b> | <b>100.0%</b>                       | <b>\$ 5,848</b> | <b>100.0%</b>                       | <b>\$ 5,375</b> | <b>100.0%</b>                       |

The allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2010, was \$15,252,000, or 2.29% of loans, as compared to \$11,981,000, or 1.86% of loans, at December 31, 2009. The ratio of non-performing loans plus foreclosed assets to total assets was 2.43% at December 31, 2010, as compared to 2.23% at December 31, 2009.

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Loans past due 90 days and still accruing were \$997,000 and nonaccrual loans were \$14,657,000 as of December 31, 2010. Loans past due 90 days and still accruing were \$2,107,000 at December 31, 2009, while nonaccruals were \$13,308,000.

Table of Contents

Additional information on nonaccrual loans at December 31, 2010 and 2009, follows:

| Dollars in thousand                      | Number of Credit Relationships | Balance          | Current Specific Loss Allocations | Current Year Charge-Offs | Location  | Originated |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|------------|
| <b>December 31, 2010</b>                 |                                |                  |                                   |                          |           |            |
| Residential real estate developments     | 4                              | \$ 4,388         | \$ 730                            | \$ 1,000                 | In market | 2006       |
| Economic development project*            |                                |                  |                                   | 601                      | In market | 2007       |
| Owner occupied commercial real estate    | 14                             | 8,291            | 719                               |                          | In market | 1995 2008  |
| Investment/rental commercial real estate | 4                              | 1,004            | 61                                | 503                      | In market | 2003 2007  |
| Commercial & industrial                  | 1                              | 974              | 549                               | 30                       | In market | 2007       |
| <b>Total</b>                             | <b>23</b>                      | <b>\$ 14,657</b> | <b>\$ 2,059</b>                   | <b>\$ 2,134</b>          |           |            |
| <b>December 31, 2009</b>                 |                                |                  |                                   |                          |           |            |
| Residential real estate developments     | 2                              | \$ 5,419         | \$ 1,375                          |                          | In market | 2006       |
| Economic development project             | 1                              | 1,848            | 997                               |                          | In market | 2007       |
| Owner occupied commercial real estate    | 7                              | 3,267            | 43                                |                          | In market | 1998 2008  |
| Investment/rental commercial real estate | 3                              | 1,584            | 857                               |                          | In market | 2004 2007  |
| Commercial & industrial                  | 2                              | 1,190            | 675                               |                          | In market | 2007       |
| <b>Total</b>                             | <b>15</b>                      | <b>\$ 13,308</b> | <b>\$ 3,947</b>                   |                          |           |            |

\*

Transferred to other real estate owned in the second quarter of 2010.

All nonaccrual impaired loans are to borrowers located within the market area served by of the Corporation in southcentral Pennsylvania and nearby areas of northern Maryland. All nonaccrual impaired loans were originated by ACNB's banking subsidiary between 1995 and 2008 for purposes listed in the classification in the table above.

The Corporation has two unrelated impaired loans totaling \$4,000,000 to finance residential real estate development projects in the Corporation's primary trading area of southcentral Pennsylvania, both of which are in nonaccrual of interest status. The loans have standard terms and conditions including repayment from the sales of the respective properties. Both loans were originated during the first half of 2006. One loan matured with the inability of the borrower to fund necessary infrastructure improvements, the Corporation charged down the loan by \$2.5 million in 2008 and entered into a forbearance agreement on which the borrower performed until 2010 and then filed bankruptcy. ACNB further wrote down the loan by \$1,000,000 in 2010 reflecting alternative uses for the property. It is expected that various real estate collateral on this loan will be protected by a fair value bid at sheriff sale in 2011 after which ACNB will market the property to the appropriate buyers. On the other larger residential real estate loan, foreclosure has been held in abeyance while allowing the pursuit of a workout plan including providing additional collateral and more targeted marketing of the property. The total specific valuation allowance on these two unrelated loans is \$693,000 (which is net of charge-offs of \$2,765,000 and \$1,000,000 taken in 2008 and 2010, respectively). The respective allowances were



## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

derived by estimating the cash flow from the sale of the property given the respective stage of completion and/or the zoning without required infrastructure. In 2010, two smaller residential real estate development loans were added to nonaccrual impaired loans. Both are in the Bank's market area with standard terms and conditions, but have suffered from the downturn in the real estate market. These loans total \$388,000 with a specific valuation allowance of \$37,000. It is expected that one loan will be settled by a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure transaction and the real estate subsequently marketed to likely buyers. The other loan is continuing to produce sales and partial paydowns despite the borrower's bankruptcy filing.

Table of Contents

In the second quarter of 2009, a \$2,200,000 loan to a local development corporation was added to nonaccrual status when the loan matured with various sales agreements and grants still pending prior to any further development activity. Subsequent payment has reduced the carrying balance to \$1,848,000. Foreclosure processes commenced in the fourth quarter of 2009, and sheriff sale in the first quarter of 2010 resulted in ACNB protecting its interest with a fair value bid. The property was charged down by \$601,000 based on an updated appraisal and transferred into foreclosed assets held for resale. It is currently being marketed to appropriate buyers.

Included in impaired commercial and industrial loans are related term loans and a fully-disbursed line of credit, all originated in the second quarter of 2007 for a start-up enterprise in the food industry in southcentral Pennsylvania, that total \$974,000 at December 31, 2010 with a specific valuation allowance of \$549,000, which is net of a \$1,000,000 charge-off taken in 2008. These loans, with standard terms and conditions including repayment from conversion of trade assets, are in default and in nonaccrual status. The valuation allowance on this set of loans was derived by estimating the cash flow from the liquidation of personal and business assets pledged as collateral.

Owner occupied commercial real estate includes 14 loan relationships, 13 of which have balances less than \$1,000,000 each in which real estate is collateral and is used in connection with a business enterprise that is suffering economic stress or is out of business. These loans were originated between 1995 and 2008. The two largest loans are both under \$1,000,000 and total \$1,740,000 to unrelated business enterprises and each has collateral fair values in excess of the loan amount. In general, these businesses have been affected by specific factors other than the current economic conditions and these factors were not known before the fourth quarter of 2009 when these loans became delinquent and announced that further payments would not be made. The plan to foreclose through the sheriff sale process in 2010 was stayed by borrower's legal action in one case and borrower payment in the other. Pursuit of sheriff sale will continue if the loans are not brought current. The other smaller loans in this category are business loans impacted by the general economic downturn. Collection efforts will continue until it is deemed in the best interest to initiate foreclosure procedures. The largest loan in this category had a balance \$4,108,000 at December 31, 2010 and was considered impaired after the borrower stated intentions of not making further payments in the fourth quarter of 2010. A specific allowance of \$719,000 was established based on a current appraisal, which reflects decreased values in the current economy.

Investment/rental commercial real estate includes four loan relationships totaling less than \$1,000,000 each in outstanding balance in which the real estate is collateral and is used for speculation, rental, or other non owner occupied uses. These loans were originated between 2003 and 2007. These loans were affected by the lack of borrower cash flow to continue to service the debt and in some cases by increased real estate taxes levied by local government units. The plan is to foreclose and subsequently market the real estate if ongoing workout efforts are not successful. One loan in this category has a specific allocation totaling \$61,000 based on the fair value of the related collateral.

The Corporation utilizes a systematic review of its loan portfolio on a quarterly basis in order to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. In addition, ACNB engages the services of an outside loan review function and sets the timing and coverage of loan reviews during the year. The results of this independent loan review are included in the systematic review of the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses consists of a component for individual loan impairment, primarily based on the loan's collateral fair value and expected cash flow. A watch list of loans is identified for evaluation based on internal and external loan grading and reviews. Loans other than those determined to be impaired are grouped into pools of loans with similar credit risk characteristics. These loans are evaluated as groups with allocations made to the allowance based on historical loss experience adjusted for current trends in delinquencies, trends in underwriting and oversight, concentrations of credit, and general economic conditions within the Corporation's trading area. The 2010 increase in the provision expense was based on the loans discussed above as well as current trends in the watch list and the local

Table of Contents

economy as a whole. The charge-offs discussed elsewhere in this management discussion and analysis creates an increase in recent loss history experience and in the qualitative adjustment which in turns affects the calculation of losses inherent in the portfolio. The decrease in the provision for loan losses for 2009 compared to 2008 was a result of the measurement of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses at each period-end. Reasons that the 2008 provision was higher include charge-offs, changes in allocations for specific loans, deteriorating local economic conditions, and continued growth in the loan portfolio during 2008 which caused the amounts assigned to homogeneous pools to increase.

*Other Assets*

Other assets increased \$5,111,000, or 37%, in 2010 compared to 2009, primarily because of the increase in the funded status of the banking subsidiary's defined benefit pension plan.

*Deposits*

ACNB relies on deposits as the primary source of funds for lending activities. Average deposits increased 4.1%, or \$31,126,000, during 2010, as compared to 4.7% during 2009. ACNB's deposit pricing function employs a disciplined pricing approach based upon alternative funding rates, but also strives to price deposits to be competitive with relevant local competition, including credit unions and larger regional banks. The 2010 deposit growth mix experienced a shift to transaction accounts as customers put more value in liquidity and FDIC insurance. Products, such as money market accounts and interest-bearing transaction accounts, that had suffered declines in recent years regained balances. With continued low market interest rates in a recession economy, ACNB's ability to maintain and add to its deposit base may be impacted by the reluctance of consumers to accept low rates and by competition willing to pay above market rates to attract market share. Alternatively, if rates rise rapidly and the equity markets continue to improve, funds could leave the Corporation or be priced higher to maintain.

**Table 10 Time Deposits**

Maturities of time deposits of \$100,000 or more outstanding at December 31, 2010, are summarized as follows:

**In thousands**

|                                |                  |
|--------------------------------|------------------|
| Three months or less           | <b>\$ 18,491</b> |
| Over three through six months  | <b>6,309</b>     |
| Over six through twelve months | <b>21,832</b>    |
| Over twelve months             | <b>26,842</b>    |
| <b>Total</b>                   | <b>\$ 73,474</b> |

*Borrowings*

Short-term borrowings are comprised primarily of securities sold under agreements to repurchase and demand notes to the U.S. Treasury. As of December 31, 2010, short-term borrowings were \$39,086,000, a decrease of \$16,205,000, or 29.3%, from the December 31, 2009, balance of \$55,291,000. Agreements to repurchase accounts are with the commercial customer base and have attributes similar to core deposits. Investment securities are pledged in sufficient amounts to collateralize these agreements. Compared to year-end 2009, repurchase agreement balances were down \$12,241,000, or 24.7%, due to seasonal fluctuations in the business activities of ACNB's commercial customer base and higher rates in other product types. At December 31, 2010, there were no short-term FHLB borrowings, a decline of \$5,150,000 due to sufficient deposit funding. Long-term borrowings consist primarily of longer-term advances from the FHLB; in addition, it includes a loan from a commercial bank to fund the purchase of RIG. Long-term borrowings totaled \$ 81,499,000 at December 31, 2010,

Table of Contents

versus \$80,294,000 at December 31, 2009. The Corporation increased long-term borrowings by taking longer-term FHLB advances to balance its balance sheet interest rate risk sensitivity.

| In thousands                                | 2010             | 2009             | 2008             |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Amounts outstanding at end of year:         |                  |                  |                  |
| FHLB overnight advance                      | \$               | \$ 5,150         | \$ 50,168        |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements | <b>37,263</b>    | 49,504           | 32,285           |
| Treasury tax and loan note                  | <b>1,823</b>     | 637              | 1,000            |
| <b>Total</b>                                | <b>\$ 39,086</b> | <b>\$ 55,291</b> | <b>\$ 83,453</b> |

| Dollars in thousands                        | 2010             | 2009      | 2008      |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Average interest rate at year-end           | <b>0.23%</b>     | 0.45%     | 0.90%     |
| Maximum amount outstanding at any month-end | \$ <b>52,577</b> | \$ 55,379 | \$ 83,462 |
| Average amount outstanding                  | \$ <b>42,849</b> | \$ 46,885 | \$ 44,401 |
| Weighted average interest rate              | <b>0.28%</b>     | 0.71%     | 1.61%     |

*Capital*

ACNB's capital management strategies have been developed to provide an appropriate rate of return to stockholders, while maintaining its "well capitalized" position. Total stockholders' equity was \$93,754,000 at December 31, 2010, compared to \$88,303,000 at December 31, 2009. Stockholders' equity increased during 2010 partially due to earnings retained in capital during 2010 and a change in accumulated other comprehensive loss to accumulated other comprehensive income resulting from the increase in value of the assets in the available for sale investment portfolio and the pension plan.

The primary source of additional capital to ACNB is earnings retention, which represents net income less dividends declared. During 2010, ACNB retained \$3,913,000, or 46%, of its net income, as compared to \$2,707,000, or 37%, in 2009 and \$2,194,000, or 33%, in 2008. As a result of implementing ASC Topic 715, *Compensation Retirement Benefits*, a direct charge to retained earnings of \$717,000 was made in 2008.

ACNB is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on ACNB. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, ACNB must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and reclassifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require ACNB to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier 1 capital to average assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, that ACNB's banking subsidiary met all minimum capital adequacy requirements to which it is subject and is categorized as "well capitalized". There are no conditions or events since the notification that management believes have changed the banking subsidiary's category.

Table of Contents**Table 11 Risk-Based Capital**

The banking subsidiary's capital ratios are as follows:

|   | 2010   | 2009   | To be Well<br>Capitalized under<br>Prompt Corrective<br>Action Regulations |
|---|--------|--------|--|
| Tier 1 leverage ratio (to average assets)                 | 8.24%  | 8.05%  | 5.00%  |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) | 11.99% | 11.85% | 6.00%  |
| Total risk-based capital ratio                            | 13.25% | 13.11% | 10.00%   |

For further information on the actual and required capital amounts and ratios, please refer to Note N of the consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the U.S. Department of Treasury announced a voluntary Capital Purchase Program under the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP), as authorized by the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008. After evaluating the merits of participating in the TARP Capital Purchase Program, ACNB decided against applying for and participating in this voluntary program. This decision was based principally upon the fact that the banking subsidiary was well capitalized, as well as the uncertainty of the potential requirements of the program.

*Liquidity*

Effective liquidity management ensures the cash flow requirements of depositors and borrowers, as well as the operating cash needs of ACNB, are met.

ACNB's funds are available from a variety of sources, including assets that are readily convertible such as cash and federal funds sold, maturities and repayments from the securities portfolio, scheduled repayments of loans receivable, the core deposit base, and the ability to borrow from the FHLB. At December 31, 2010, ACNB could borrow approximately \$293,263,000 from the FHLB of which \$214,263,000 was available. Because of various restrictions and requirements on utilizing the available balance, ACNB considers \$68,000,000 to be the practicable additional borrowing capacity, which is considered to be sufficient for operational needs. The FHLB system is self-capitalizing, member-owned, and its member banks' stock is not publicly traded. ACNB creates its borrowing capacity with the FHLB by granting a security interest in certain loan assets with requisite credit quality. ACNB has reviewed information on the FHLB system and the FHLB of Pittsburgh, and has concluded that they have the capacity and intent to continue to provide both operational and contingency liquidity. The FHLB of Pittsburgh instituted a requirement that a member's investment securities must be moved into a safekeeping account under FHLB control to be considered in the calculation of maximum borrowing capacity. The Corporation currently has securities in safekeeping at the FHLB of Pittsburgh; however, the safekeeping account is under the Corporation's control. As better contingent liquidity is maintained by keeping the securities under the Corporation's control, the Corporation has not moved the securities which, in effect, lowered the Corporation's maximum borrowing capacity. However, there is no practical reduction in borrowing capacity as the securities can be moved into the FHLB-controlled account on any day they are needed for borrowing purposes.

Another source of liquidity is securities sold under repurchase agreement to customers of ACNB's banking subsidiary totaling \$37,263,000 and \$49,504,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The liquidity of the parent company also represents an important aspect of liquidity management. The parent company's cash outflows consist principally of dividends to stockholders and corporate expenses. The main source of funding for the parent company is the dividends it receives from its banking subsidiary. Federal and state banking regulations place certain legal restrictions and other practicable safety and soundness restrictions on dividends paid to the parent company from the

Table of Contents

subsidiary bank. For a discussion of ACNB's dividend restrictions, please refer to Item 1 "Business" and Note J to the consolidated financial statements.

ACNB manages liquidity by monitoring projected cash inflows and outflows on a daily basis, and believes it has sufficient funding sources to maintain sufficient liquidity under varying degrees of business conditions.

*Aggregate Contractual Obligations*

The following table represents the Corporation's on- and off-balance sheet aggregate contractual obligations to make future payments as of December 31, 2010:

| In thousands                 | Less than<br>1 Year | 1 - 3<br>Years    | 4 - 5<br>Years   | Over 5<br>Years  | Total             |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Time deposits                | \$ 181,886          | \$ 103,371        | \$ 7,050         | \$               | \$ 292,307        |
| Short term borrowings        | 39,086              |                   |                  |                  | 39,086            |
| Long-term debt               | 10,481              | 36,061            | 23,957           | 11,000           | 81,499            |
| Operating leases             | 326                 | 581               | 555              | 245              | 1,707             |
| Payments under benefit plans | 107                 | 266               | 200              | 3,271            | 3,844             |
| <b>Total</b>                 | <b>\$ 231,886</b>   | <b>\$ 140,279</b> | <b>\$ 31,762</b> | <b>\$ 14,516</b> | <b>\$ 418,443</b> |

In addition, the Corporation in the conduct of business operations routinely enters into contracts for services and equipment. These contracts may require payment to be provided in the future, and may also contain penalty clauses for the early termination of the contracts. Major expenditures are controlled by various approval authorities. Management is not aware of any other commitments or contingent liabilities which may have a material adverse impact on the liquidity or capital resources of the Corporation.

*Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements*

The Corporation is party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and, to a lesser extent, standby letters of credit. At December 31, 2010, the Corporation had unfunded outstanding commitments to extend credit of \$120,179,000 and outstanding standby letters of credit of \$5,897,000. Because these commitments generally have fixed expiration dates and many will expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment level does not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Please refer to Note O of the consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the nature, business purpose, and importance of the Corporation's off-balance sheet arrangements.

*New Accounting Pronouncements*

During 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued new accounting pronouncements that may impact the Corporation's financial condition or results of operations when adopted. See Note A in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of these new accounting pronouncements not yet adopted.

**ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Financial institutions can be exposed to several market risks that may impact the value or future earnings capacity of the organization. These risks involve interest rate risk, foreign currency exchange risk, commodity price risk, and equity market price risk. ACNB's primary market risk is interest rate risk. Interest rate risk is inherent because, as a financial institution, ACNB derives a significant amount

Table of Contents

of its operating revenue from "purchasing" funds (customer deposits and wholesale borrowings) at various terms and rates. These funds are then invested into earning assets (primarily loans and investments) at various terms and rates. This risk is further discussed below.

ACNB does not have any exposure to foreign currency exchange risk, commodity price risk, or equity market risk.

*Interest Rate Risk*

Interest rate risk is the exposure to fluctuations in the Corporation's future earnings (earnings at risk) and value (value at risk) resulting from changes in interest rates. This exposure results from differences between the amounts of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities that reprice within a specified time period as a result of scheduled maturities and repayment and contractual interest rate changes.

The primary objective of the Corporation's asset/liability management process is to maximize current and future net interest income within acceptable levels of interest rate risk while satisfying liquidity and capital requirements. Management recognizes that a certain amount of interest rate risk is inherent, appropriate and necessary to ensure the Corporation's profitability. Thus, the goal of interest rate risk management is to maintain a balance between risk and reward such that net interest income is maximized while risk is maintained at a tolerable level.

Management endeavors to control the exposure to changes in interest rates by understanding, reviewing and making decisions based on its risk position. The banking subsidiary's asset/liability committee is responsible for these decisions. The Corporation primarily uses the securities portfolio and FHLB advances to manage its interest rate risk position. Additionally, pricing, promotion and product development activities are directed in an effort to emphasize the loan and deposit term or repricing characteristics that best meet current interest rate risk objectives. At present, there is no use of hedging instruments.

The asset/liability committee operates under management policies defining guidelines and limits on the level of risk. These policies are approved by the Board of Directors.

The Corporation uses simulation analysis to assess earnings at risk and net present value analysis to assess value at risk. These methods allow management to regularly monitor both the direction and magnitude of the Corporation's interest rate risk exposure. These modeling techniques involve assumptions and estimates that inherently cannot be measured with complete precision. Key assumptions in the analyses include maturity and repricing characteristics of both assets and liabilities, prepayments on amortizing assets, non-maturity deposit sensitivity, and loan and deposit pricing. These assumptions are inherently uncertain due to the timing, magnitude and frequency of rate changes and changes in market conditions and management strategies, among other factors. However, the analyses are useful in quantifying risk and provide a relative gauge of the Corporation's interest rate risk position over time.

*Earnings at Risk*

Simulation analysis evaluates the effect of upward and downward changes in market interest rates on future net interest income. The analysis involves changing the interest rates used in determining net interest income over the next twelve months. The resulting percentage change in net interest income in various rate scenarios is an indication of the Corporation's short-term interest rate risk. The analysis utilizes a "static" balance sheet approach. The measurement date balance sheet composition (or mix) is maintained over the simulation time period, with maturing and repayment dollars being rolled back into like instruments for new terms at current market rates. Additional assumptions are applied to

Table of Contents

modify volumes and pricing under the various rate scenarios. These include prepayment assumptions on mortgage assets, sensitivity of non-maturity deposit rates, and other factors deemed significant.

The simulation analysis results are presented in Table 13a. These results, as of December 31, 2010, indicate that the Corporation would expect net interest income to decrease over the next twelve months by 0.35% assuming an upward ramp in market interest rates of 3.00%, and to decrease by 4.40% if rates ramped downward 3.00%. This profile reflects an acceptable short-term interest rate risk position. A decrease of 3.00% would create an environment in which deposit rates could not practically decline further, thus decreasing net interest income.

Earnings at risk simulations for December 31, 2009, exhibited lower liability sensitivity due to the increased mix of asset liquidity (which reprices overnight) in the depths of the financial crisis, differing assumptions on prepayments, and sensitivity on non-maturity deposit products, as well as an interest rate environment in which larger rate declines could be accommodated.

*Value at Risk*

The net present value analysis provides information on the risk inherent in the balance sheet that might not be taken into account in the simulation analysis due to the shorter time horizon used in that analysis. The net present value of the balance sheet is defined as the discounted present value of expected asset cash flows minus the discounted present value of the expected liability cash flows. The analysis involves changing the interest rates used in determining the expected cash flows and in discounting the cash flows. The resulting percentage change in net present value in various rate scenarios is an indication of the longer term repricing risk and options embedded in the balance sheet.

The net present value analysis results are presented in Table 13b. These results, as of December 31, 2010, indicate that the net present value would decrease 2.15% assuming an upward shift in market interest rates of 3.00% and increase 0.98% if rates shifted 1.00% in the same manner.

| December 31, 2010<br>Table 13a<br>Net Interest Income Projections |          | December 31, 2010<br>Table 13b<br>Present Value of Equity |          |
|---|----------|---|----------|
| Changes in<br>Basis Points  | % Change | Changes in<br>Basis Points                                | % Change |
| (300)   | (4.40)%  | (300)   | (5.10)%  |
| (100)   | (0.56)%  | (100)   | (3.18)%  |
|   | %        |   | %        |
| 100   | (0.35)%  | 100   | 0.98%    |
| 300   | (0.35)%  | 300   | (2.15)%  |

| December 31, 2009<br>Table 13a Net<br>Interest Income Projections |          | December 31, 2009<br>Table 13b<br>Present Value of Equity |          |
|---|----------|---|----------|
| Changes in<br>Basis Points  | % Change | Changes in<br>Basis Points                                | % Change |
| (300)   | (3.26)%  | (300)   | (2.58)%  |
| (100)   | (0.41)%  | (100)   | (1.19)%  |
|   | %        |   | %        |
| 100   | (0.39)%  | 100   | (0.35)%  |
| 300   | 0.43%    | 300   | (4.00)%  |



Table of Contents

**ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

- (a) The following audited consolidated financial statements and related documents are set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K on the following pages:

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>    | <u>53</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Condition</u>                       | <u>54</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income</u>                          | <u>55</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</u> | <u>56</u>   |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>                      | <u>57</u>   |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>                 | <u>58</u>   |

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and  
Stockholders of ACNB Corporation  
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of condition of ACNB Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. ACNB Corporation's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of ACNB Corporation and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), ACNB Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 11, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion.

ParenteBeard LLC  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania  
March 11, 2011

Table of Contents

**ACNB CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION**

| Dollars in thousands,<br>except per share data  | December 31,      |                   |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
|   | 2010              | 2009              |
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |                   |                   |
| Cash and due from banks   | \$ 14,091         | \$ 17,875         |
| Interest bearing deposits with banks  | 10,082            | 6,263             |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents   | 24,173            | 24,138            |
| Securities available for sale   | 190,730           | 209,872           |
| Securities held to maturity, fair value 2010 \$10,671;<br>2009 \$10,334   | 10,044            | 10,057            |
| Loans held for sale   | 3,068             | 145               |
| Loans, net of allowance for loan losses 2010 \$15,252;<br>2009 \$11,981   | 650,039           | 632,706           |
| Premises and equipment  | 14,119            | 14,760            |
| Restricted investment in bank stocks  | 8,420             | 9,170             |
| Investment in bank-owned life insurance   | 27,443            | 26,408            |
| Investments in low-income housing partnerships  | 4,124             | 4,391             |
| Goodwill  | 5,972             | 5,972             |
| Intangible assets   | 3,688             | 4,362             |
| Foreclosed assets held for resale   | 7,859             | 6,046             |
| Other assets  | 18,988            | 13,877            |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| <b>Total Assets</b>   | <b>\$ 968,667</b> | <b>\$ 961,904</b> |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>   |                   |                   |
| <b>LIABILITIES</b>  |                   |                   |
| Deposits:   |                   |                   |
| Non-interest bearing  | \$ 103,464        | \$ 93,829         |
| Interest bearing  | 643,062           | 634,694           |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| <b>Total Deposits</b>   | <b>746,526</b>    | <b>728,523</b>    |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| Short-term borrowings   | 39,086            | 55,291            |
| Long-term borrowings  | 81,499            | 80,294            |
| Other liabilities   | 7,802             | 9,493             |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| <b>Total Liabilities</b>  | <b>874,913</b>    | <b>873,601</b>    |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| <b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>   |                   |                   |
| Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 20,000,000 shares<br>authorized; 5,990,943 shares issued; 5,928,343 shares<br>outstanding | 14,977            | 14,977            |
| Treasury stock, at cost (62,600 shares)   | (728)             | (728)             |
| Additional paid-in capital  | 8,787             | 8,787             |
| Retained earnings   | 69,536            | 65,623            |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)   | 1,182             | (356)             |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| <b>Total Stockholders' Equity</b>   | <b>93,754</b>     | <b>88,303</b>     |
| <br>  |                   |                   |
| <b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>   | <b>\$ 968,667</b> | <b>\$ 961,904</b> |

Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**ACNB CORPORATION**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| Dollars in thousands,<br>except per share data             | Years Ended December 31, |           |           |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2010                     | 2009      | 2008      |
| <b>INTEREST INCOME</b>                                     |                          |           |           |
| Loans, including fees                                      | \$ 36,043                | \$ 35,626 | \$ 35,561 |
| Securities:  |                          |           |           |
| Taxable  | 7,181                    | 8,620     | 10,286    |
| Tax-exempt   | 1,308                    | 1,488     | 1,790     |
| Dividends  | 26                       | 38        | 203       |
| Other  | 82                       | 40        | 81        |
| <b>Total Interest Income</b>                               | <b>44,640</b>            | 45,812    | 47,921    |
| <b>INTEREST EXPENSE</b>                                    |                          |           |           |
| Deposits   | 6,223                    | 9,307     | 13,187    |
| Short-term borrowings                                      | 119                      | 331       | 714       |
| Long-term borrowings                                       | 3,281                    | 3,922     | 4,996     |
| <b>Total Interest Expense</b>                              | <b>9,623</b>             | 13,560    | 18,897    |
| <b>Net Interest Income</b>                                 | <b>35,017</b>            | 32,252    | 29,024    |
| <b>PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES</b>                           | <b>6,410</b>             | 4,750     | 5,570     |
| <b>Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses</b> | <b>28,607</b>            | 27,502    | 23,454    |
| <b>OTHER INCOME</b>  |                          |           |           |
| Service charges on deposit accounts                        | 2,415                    | 2,402     | 2,284     |
| Income from fiduciary activities                           | 1,303                    | 1,057     | 1,021     |
| Earnings on investment in bank-owned life insurance        | 1,002                    | 1,011     | 1,035     |
| Gain on life insurance proceeds                            | 78                       |           |           |
| Gains on sales of securities                               | 72                       | 17        | 159       |
| Impairment charges on equity securities                    |                          | (522)     |           |
| Service charges on ATM and debit card transactions         | 1,124                    | 1,002     | 950       |
| Commissions from insurance sales                           | 4,949                    | 5,484     | 4,077     |
| Other  | 1,229                    | 1,252     | 912       |
| <b>Total Other Income</b>                                  | <b>12,172</b>            | 11,703    | 10,438    |
| <b>OTHER EXPENSES</b>                                      |                          |           |           |
| Salaries and employee benefits                             | 17,318                   | 17,680    | 14,401    |
| Net occupancy  | 2,197                    | 2,281     | 2,186     |
| Equipment  | 2,499                    | 2,175     | 1,984     |
| Professional services                                      | 1,116                    | 860       | 944       |
| Other tax  | 791                      | 665       | 774       |
| Supplies and postage                                       | 717                      | 688       | 800       |
| Marketing  | 458                      | 430       | 933       |
| FDIC and regulatory  | 1,434                    | 1,958     | 303       |
| Intangible assets amortization                             | 641                      | 638       | 430       |
| Other operating  | 3,132                    | 3,254     | 3,316     |
| <b>Total Other Expenses</b>                                | <b>30,303</b>            | 30,629    | 26,071    |

Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

|                                   |                 |          |          |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| <b>Income before Income Taxes</b> | <b>10,476</b>   | 8,576    | 7,821    |
| <b>PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES</b> | <b>2,057</b>    | 1,357    | 1,077    |
| <b>Net Income</b>                 | <b>\$ 8,419</b> | \$ 7,219 | \$ 6,744 |
| <b>PER SHARE DATA</b>             |                 |          |          |
| Basic earnings                    | <b>\$ 1.42</b>  | \$ 1.22  | \$ 1.13  |
| Cash dividends declared           | <b>\$ 0.76</b>  | \$ 0.76  | \$ 0.76  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## ACNB CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Years Ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008

| Dollars in thousands                           | Common<br>Stock | Treasury<br>Stock | Additional<br>Paid-in<br>Capital | Retained<br>Earnings | Accumulated<br>Other<br>Comprehensive<br>Income<br>(Loss) | Total<br>Stockholders'<br>Equity |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| <b>BALANCE JANUARY 1, 2008</b>                 | \$ 14,977       | \$                | \$ 8,787                         | \$ 61,439            | \$ (73)   | \$ 85,130                        |
| Adjustment to initially apply ASC<br>Topic 715 |                 |                   |                                  | (717)                |   | (717)                            |
| Comprehensive income:                          |                 |                   |                                  |                      |   |                                  |
| Net income                                     |                 |                   |                                  | 6,744                |   | 6,744                            |
| Other comprehensive loss, net of<br>taxes      |                 |                   |                                  |                      | (1,726)   | (1,726)                          |
| <b>Total Comprehensive Income</b>              |                 |                   |                                  |                      |   | 5,018                            |
| Treasury stock purchased<br>(35,000 shares)    |                 | (442)             |                                  |                      |   | (442)                            |
| Cash dividends declared                        |                 |                   |                                  | (4,550)              |   | (4,550)                          |
| <b>BALANCE DECEMBER 31,<br/>2008</b>           | 14,977          | (442)             | 8,787                            | 62,916               | (1,799)   | 84,439                           |
| Comprehensive income:                          |                 |                   |                                  |                      |   |                                  |
| Net income                                     |                 |                   |                                  | 7,219                |   | 7,219                            |
| Other comprehensive income, net<br>of taxes    |                 |                   |                                  |                      | 1,443   | 1,443                            |
| <b>Total Comprehensive Income</b>              |                 |                   |                                  |                      |   | 8,662                            |
| Treasury stock purchased<br>(27,600 shares)    |                 | (286)             |                                  |                      |   | (286)                            |
| Cash dividends declared                        |                 |                   |                                  | (4,512)              |   | (4,512)                          |
| <b>BALANCE DECEMBER 31,<br/>2009</b>           | 14,977          | (728)             | 8,787                            | 65,623               | (356)   | 88,303                           |
| Comprehensive income:                          |                 |                   |                                  |                      |   |                                  |
| Net income                                     |                 |                   |                                  | 8,419                |   | 8,419                            |
| Other comprehensive income, net<br>of taxes    |                 |                   |                                  |                      | 1,538   | 1,538                            |
| <b>Total Comprehensive Income</b>              |                 |                   |                                  |                      |   | 9,957                            |
| Cash dividends declared                        |                 |                   |                                  | (4,506)              |   | (4,506)                          |
| <b>BALANCE DECEMBER 31,<br/>2010</b>           | \$ 14,977       | \$ (728)          | \$ 8,787                         | \$ 69,536            | \$ 1,182  | \$ 93,754                        |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## ACNB CORPORATION

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| In thousands  | Years Ended December 31, |                 |                 |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|   | 2010                     | 2009            | 2008            |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>                                       |                          |                 |                 |
| Net income  | \$ 8,419                 | \$ 7,219        | \$ 6,744        |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                          |                 |                 |
| Gain on sales of loans, property and foreclosed real estate                       | (275)                    | (502)           | (203)           |
| Earnings on investment in bank-owned life insurance                               | (1,002)                  | (1,011)         | (1,035)         |
| Impairment charges on equity securities   |                          | 522             |                 |
| Gain on sales of securities   | (72)                     | (17)            | (159)           |
| Gain on life insurance proceeds   | (78)                     |                 |                 |
| Depreciation and amortization   | 2,342                    | 2,281           | 1,877           |
| Provision for loan losses   | 6,410                    | 4,750           | 5,570           |
| Net amortization (accretion) of investment securities premiums (discounts)        | 150                      | (173)           | (51)            |
| Decrease in interest receivable   | 241                      | 565             | 786             |
| Decrease in interest payable  | (455)                    | (924)           | (1,285)         |
| Mortgage loans originated for sale  | (38,877)                 | (51,562)        | (18,182)        |
| Proceeds from loans sold to others  | 36,394                   | 52,952          | 18,598          |
| (Increase) decrease in other assets   | (5,872)                  | (3,845)         | 1,716           |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities  | 1,583                    | 158             | (1,943)         |
| <b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>                                  | <b>8,908</b>             | <b>10,413</b>   | <b>12,433</b>   |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>                                       |                          |                 |                 |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held to maturity                |                          |                 | 4,137           |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale              | 67,442                   | 71,407          | 93,714          |
| Proceeds from sales of investment securities available for sale                   | 6,561                    | 2,956           | 26,936          |
| Purchase of investment securities available for sale                              | (55,356)                 | (31,403)        | (82,001)        |
| Purchase of investments held to maturity  |                          | (10,064)        |                 |
| Net sale (purchase) of restricted investment in bank stocks                       | 750                      |                 | (125)           |
| Net increase in loans   | (26,620)                 | (12,762)        | (94,171)        |
| Purchase of bank-owned life insurance   | (250)                    | (100)           |                 |
| Final purchase consideration insurance subsidiary                                 |                          |                 | (3,000)         |
| Cash paid for insurance agency acquisitions, net of cash acquired                 | (31)                     | (43)            | (3,022)         |
| Proceeds from life insurance death benefits                                       | 295                      |                 |                 |
| Capital expenditures  | (1,089)                  | (1,951)         | (1,382)         |
| Proceeds from sale of property and foreclosed real estate                         | 928                      | 151             | 137             |
| <b>Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities</b>                        | <b>(7,370)</b>           | <b>18,191</b>   | <b>(58,777)</b> |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>                                       |                          |                 |                 |
| Net increase in demand deposits   | 9,635                    | 11,343          | 5,294           |
| Net increase in time certificates of deposits and interest bearing deposits       | 8,368                    | 26,883          | 14,363          |
| Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings                                  | (16,205)                 | (28,162)        | 52,685          |
| Proceeds from long-term borrowings  | 22,000                   | 14,000          | 47,000          |
| Repayments on long-term borrowings  | (20,795)                 | (40,657)        | (70,293)        |
| Dividends paid  | (4,506)                  | (4,512)         | (4,550)         |
| Purchase of treasury stock  |                          | (286)           | (442)           |
| <b>Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities</b>                        | <b>(1,503)</b>           | <b>(21,391)</b> | <b>44,057</b>   |



Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

|   |                  |           |           |
|---|------------------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents</b> | <b>35</b>        | 7,213     | (2,287)   |
| <b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS BEGINNING</b>                  | <b>24,138</b>    | 16,925    | 19,212    |
| <b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS ENDING</b>                     | <b>\$ 24,173</b> | \$ 24,138 | \$ 16,925 |
| Interest paid   | \$ 10,078        | \$ 14,484 | \$ 20,182 |
| Income taxes paid   | \$ 2,300         | \$ 2,700  | \$ 2,225  |
| Loans transferred to foreclosed real estate                 | \$ 2,877         | \$ 5,636  | \$ 625    |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

**ACNB CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

**Nature of Operations**

ACNB Corporation, headquartered in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, provides banking, insurance, and financial services to businesses and consumers through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, ACNB Bank and Russell Insurance Group, Inc. (RIG). The Bank engages in full-service commercial and consumer banking and trust services through its nineteen retail banking locations in Adams, Cumberland and York Counties, Pennsylvania. There are also two loan production offices situated in York and Franklin Counties, Pennsylvania.

RIG is a full-service insurance agency, based in Westminster, Maryland. The agency offers a broad range of property and casualty, life, and health insurance to both commercial and individual clients. In 2008, due to an agency acquisition, a second location of RIG was established in Germantown, Maryland.

The Corporation, along with seven other banks, entered into a joint venture to form BankersRe Insurance Group, SPC (formerly Pennbanks Insurance Co., SPC), an offshore reinsurance company. Each participating entity owns an insurance cell through which its premiums and losses from credit life, health and accident insurance are funded. Each entity is responsible for the activity in its respective cell. The financial activity for the insurance cell has been reported in the consolidated financial statements and is not material to the consolidated financial statements.

The Corporation's primary source of revenue is interest income on loans and investment securities and fee income on its products and services. Expenses consist of interest expense on deposits and borrowed funds, provisions for loan losses, and other operating expenses.

**Basis of Financial Statements**

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) and include the accounts of the Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

Financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP require management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingencies at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of deferred tax assets, the liability and expense for employee benefit obligations, the determination of other than temporary impairment on securities, and the potential impairment of goodwill and restricted stock.

Assets held by the Trust Department in an agency or fiduciary capacity for its customers are excluded from the consolidated financial statements since they do not constitute assets of the Corporation. Assets held by the Trust Department amounted to \$152,000,000 and \$138,000,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Income from fiduciary activities is recognized on the cash method, which approximates the accrual method.

Certain amounts previously reported have been reclassified, when necessary, to conform to the financial statement presentation for 2010. The reclassification had no effect on net income.

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

The Corporation has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the balance sheet date of December 31, 2010, for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in the consolidated financial statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued.

**Significant Group Concentrations of Credit Risk**

Most of the Corporation's activities are with customers located within southcentral Pennsylvania and northern Maryland. Note C discusses the types of securities in which the Corporation invests. Note D discusses the types of lending in which the Corporation engages. Included in commercial real estate loans are loans made to lessors of non-residential dwellings that total \$88,200,000, or 13%, of total loans at December 31, 2010. These borrowers are geographically disbursed throughout ACNB's market place and are leasing commercial properties to a varied group of tenants including medical offices, retail space and recreational facilities. Because of the varied nature of the tenants in aggregate, management believes that these loans do not present any greater risk than commercial loans in general.

**Cash and Cash Equivalents**

For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash on hand, balances due from banks, and federal funds sold, all of which mature within ninety days.

**Securities**

Debt securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as "held to maturity" and recorded at amortized cost. Securities not classified as held to maturity or trading, including equity securities with readily determinable fair values, are classified as "available for sale" and recorded at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported, net of tax, in other comprehensive income.

Purchase premiums and discounts are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the terms of the securities. Declines in the fair value of held to maturity and available for sale securities below their cost that are deemed to be other than temporary are reflected in earnings as realized losses. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses on debt securities, management considers (1) whether management intends to sell the securities, or (2) if it is more likely than not that management will be required to sell the security before recovery, or (3) management does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis. In assessing potential other-than-temporary impairment for equity securities, consideration is given to management's intention and ability to hold the securities until recovery of unrealized losses. Gains and losses on the sale of securities are recorded on the trade date and are determined using the specific identification method.

**Restricted Investment in Bank Stocks**

Restricted investment in bank stocks, which represents required investments in the common stock of correspondent banks, is carried at cost as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and consists of common stock in the Federal Reserve Bank (2009 only), Atlantic Central Bankers Bank, and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). In December 2008, the FHLB of Pittsburgh notified member banks that it was suspending dividend payments and the repurchase of capital stock. However, in 2010, the FHLB of Pittsburgh partially repurchased excess capital stock held by member banks of the FHLB.

Management evaluates the restricted investment in bank stocks for impairment in accordance with Accounting Standard Codification (ASC) Topic 942, *Financial Services Depository and Lending*.

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

Management's determination of whether these investments are impaired is based on their assessment of the ultimate recoverability of their cost rather than by recognizing temporary declines in value. The determination of whether a decline affects the ultimate recoverability of their cost is influenced by criteria such as (1) the significance of the decline in net assets of the correspondent bank as compared to the capital stock amount for the correspondent bank and the length of time this situation has persisted; (2) commitments by the correspondent bank to make payments required by law or regulation and the level of such payments in relation to the operating performance of the correspondent bank, and (3) the impact of legislative and regulatory changes on institutions and, accordingly, on the customer base of the correspondent bank.

Management believes no impairment charge was necessary related to the restricted investment in bank stocks during 2010, 2009 or 2008. However, security impairment analysis is completed quarterly and the determination that no impairment has occurred during those years is no assurance that impairment may not occur in future periods.

**Loans Held for Sale**

Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at the lower of aggregate cost or fair value, as determined by aggregate outstanding commitments from investors or current investor yield requirements. Net unrealized losses are recognized through a valuation allowance by charges to income.

Mortgage loans held for sale are sold with the mortgage servicing rights released to another financial institution through a correspondent relationship. The correspondent financial institution absorbs all of the risk related to rate lock commitments. Gains or losses on sales of mortgage loans are recognized based on the difference between the selling price and the carrying value of the related mortgage loans sold.

**Loans**

The Corporation grants mortgage, commercial and consumer loans to customers. A substantial portion of the loan portfolio is represented by mortgage loans throughout southcentral Pennsylvania and northern Maryland. The ability of the Corporation's debtors to honor their contracts is dependent upon the real estate and general economic conditions in this area.

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off generally are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances adjusted for charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, and any deferred fees or costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance. Loan origination fees, net of certain direct origination costs, are deferred and recognized as an adjustment of the related loan yield using the interest method.

The loans receivable portfolio is segmented into commercial, residential mortgage, home equity lines of credit, and consumer loans. Commercial loans consist of the following classes: commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, and commercial real estate construction.

The accrual of interest on residential mortgage and commercial loans (consisting of commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, and commercial real estate construction loan classes) is discontinued at the time the loan is 90 days past due unless the credit is well-secured and in process of collection. Consumer loans (consisting of home equity lines of credit and consumer loan classes) are typically charged off no later than 120 days past due. Past due status is based on contractual terms of

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

the loan. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

All interest accrued, but not collected, for loans that are placed on nonaccrual or charged off is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

**Allowance for Loan Losses**

The allowance for loan losses consists of the allowance for loan losses and the reserve for unfunded lending commitments. The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectibility of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance. The reserve for unfunded lending commitments represents management's estimate of losses inherent in its unfunded loan commitments and is recorded in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific, general and unallocated components. The specific components relate to loans that are classified as either doubtful, substandard or special mention. For such loans that are also classified as impaired, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loan is lower than the carrying value of that loan. The general component covers pools of loans by loan class including commercial loans not considered impaired, as well as smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential real estate, home equity, and other consumer loans. These pools of loans are evaluated for loss exposure based upon historical loss rates for each of these categories of loans, adjusted for qualitative factors. These qualitative risk factors include:

lending policies and procedures, including underwriting standards and collection, charge-off, and recovery practices;

national, regional and local economic and business conditions, as well as the condition of various market segments, including the value of underlying collateral for collateral dependent loans.

nature and volume of the portfolio and terms of loans;

experience, ability and depth of lending management and staff;

volume and severity of past due, classified and nonaccrual loans, as well as other loan modifications; and,

existence and effect of any concentrations of credit and changes in the level of such concentrations.

Each factor is assigned a value to reflect improving, stable or declining conditions based on management's best judgment using relevant information available at the time of the evaluation.

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

Adjustments to the factors are supported through documentation of changes in conditions in a narrative accompanying the allowance for loan loss calculation.

**Commercial and Industrial Lending** The Corporation originates commercial and industrial loans primarily to businesses located in its primary market area and surrounding areas. These loans are used for various business purposes which include short-term loans and lines of credit to finance machinery and equipment purchases, inventory and accounts receivable. Generally, the maximum term for loans extended on machinery and equipment is based on the projected useful life of such machinery and equipment. Most business lines of credit are written on demand and may be renewed annually.

Commercial and industrial loans are generally secured with short-term assets however, in many cases; additional collateral such as real estate is provided as additional security for the loan. Loan-to-value maximum values have been established by the Corporation and are specific to the type of collateral. Collateral values may be determined using invoices, inventory reports, accounts receivable aging reports, collateral appraisals, etc.

In underwriting commercial and industrial loans, an analysis of the borrower's character, capacity to repay the loan, the adequacy of the borrower's capital and collateral as well as an evaluation of conditions affecting the borrower is performed. Analysis of the borrower's past, present and future cash flows is also an important aspect of the Corporation's analysis.

Commercial loans generally present a higher level of risk than other types of loans due primarily to the effect of general economic conditions.

**Commercial Real Estate Lending** The Corporation engages in commercial real estate lending in its primary market area and surrounding areas. The Corporation's commercial loan portfolio is secured primarily by commercial retail space, office buildings, and hotels. Generally, commercial real estate loans have terms that do not exceed 20 years, have loan-to-value ratios of up to 80% of the appraised value of the property, and are typically secured by personal guarantees of the borrowers.

In underwriting these loans, the Corporation performs a thorough analysis of the financial condition of the borrower, the borrower's credit history, and the reliability and predictability of the cash flow generated by the property securing the loan. Appraisals on properties securing commercial real estate loans originated by the Corporation are performed by independent appraisers.

Commercial real estate loans generally present a higher level of risk than other types of loans due primarily to the effect of general economic conditions.

**Commercial Real Estate Construction Lending** The Corporation engages in commercial real estate construction lending in its primary market area and surrounding areas. The Corporation's commercial real estate construction lending consists of commercial and residential site development loans as well as commercial building construction and residential housing construction loans.

The Corporation's commercial real estate construction loans are generally secured with the subject property. Terms of construction loans depend on the specifics of the project such as, estimated absorption rates, estimated time to complete, etc.

In underwriting commercial real estate construction loans, the Corporation performs a thorough analysis of the financial condition of the borrower, the borrower's credit history, the reliability and predictability of the cash flow generated by the project using feasibility studies, market data, etc. Appraisals on properties securing commercial real estate loans originated by the Corporation are performed by independent appraisers.

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

Commercial real estate loans generally present a higher level of risk than other types of loans due primarily to the effect of general economic conditions.

**Residential Mortgage Lending** One-to-four family residential mortgage loan originations are generated by the Corporation's marketing efforts, its present customers, walk-in customers, and referrals. These loans originate primarily within the Corporation's market area or with customers primarily from the market area.

The Corporation offers fixed-rate and adjustable-rate mortgage loans with terms up to a maximum of 30 years for both permanent structures and those under construction. The Corporation's one-to-four family residential mortgage originations are secured primarily by properties located in its primary market area and surrounding areas. The majority of the Corporation's residential mortgage loans originate with a loan-to-value of 80% or less. Loans in excess of 80% are required to have private mortgage insurance.

In underwriting one-to-four family residential real estate loans, the Corporation evaluates both the borrower's ability to make monthly payments and the value of the property securing the loan. Properties securing real estate loans made by the Corporation are appraised by independent fee appraisers. The Corporation generally requires borrowers to obtain an attorney's title opinion or title insurance, as well as fire and property insurance (including flood insurance, if necessary) in an amount not less than the amount of the loan. The Corporation has not engaged in subprime residential mortgage originations.

**Home Equity Lines of Credit Lending** The Corporation originates home equity lines of credit primarily within the Corporation's market area or with customers primarily from the market area.

Home equity lines of credit are secured by the borrower's primary residence with a maximum loan-to-value of 90% and a maximum term of 20 years.

In underwriting home equity lines of credit, a thorough analysis of the borrower's willingness and financial ability to repay the loan as agreed is performed. The ability to repay shall be determined by the borrower's employment history, current financial conditions, and credit background. The analysis is based primarily on the customer's ability to repay and secondarily on the collateral or security.

Home equity lines of credit generally present a lower level of risk than other types of consumer loans because they are secured by the borrower's primary residence.

**Consumer Lending** The Corporation offers a variety of secured and unsecured consumer loans, including vehicle, mobile homes and loans secured by savings deposits as well as other types of consumer loans.

Consumer loan terms vary according to the type and value of collateral and creditworthiness of the borrower. In underwriting consumer loans, a thorough analysis of the borrower's willingness and financial ability to repay the loan as agreed is performed. The ability to repay shall be determined by the borrower's employment history, current financial conditions, and credit background.

Consumer loans may entail greater credit risk than residential mortgage loans, particularly in the case of consumer loans which are unsecured or are secured by rapidly depreciable assets, such as automobiles or recreational equipment. In such cases, any repossessed collateral for a defaulted consumer loan may not provide an adequate source of repayment of the outstanding loan balance as a result of the greater likelihood of damage, loss or depreciation. In addition, consumer loan collections are dependent on the borrower's continuing financial stability, and thus are more likely to be affected

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

by adverse personal circumstances. Furthermore, the application of various federal and state laws, including bankruptcy and insolvency laws, may limit the amount which can be recovered on such loans.

An unallocated component is maintained to cover uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable losses. The unallocated component of the allowance reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Corporation will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial and construction loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent.

A specific allocation within the allowance for loan losses is established for an impaired loan if its carrying value exceeds its estimated fair value. The estimated fair values of substantially all of the Corporation's impaired loans are measured based on the estimated fair value of the loan's collateral.

For commercial loans secured by real estate, estimated fair values of collateral are determined primarily through third-party appraisals. When a real estate secured loan becomes impaired, a decision is made regarding whether an updated certified appraisal of the real estate is necessary. This decision is based on various considerations, including the age of the most recent appraisal, the loan-to-value ratio based on the original appraisal and the condition of the property. Appraised values are discounted to arrive at the estimated selling price of the collateral, which is considered to be the estimated fair value. The discounts also include estimated costs to sell the property.

For commercial and industrial loans secured by non-real estate collateral, such as accounts receivable, inventory and equipment, estimated fair values are determined based on the borrower's financial statements, inventory reports, accounts receivable aging reports, equipment appraisals or invoices. Indications of value from these sources are generally discounted based on the age of the financial information or the quality of the assets.

Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Corporation does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures, unless such loans are the subject of a restructuring agreement.

Loans whose terms are modified are classified as troubled debt restructurings if the Corporation grants such borrowers concessions that it would not otherwise consider and it is deemed that those borrowers are experiencing financial difficulty. Concessions granted under a troubled debt restructuring generally involve a temporary reduction in interest rate continuance of a below market interest rate or an extension of a loan's stated maturity date. Non-accrual troubled debt restructurings are restored to accrual status if principal and interest payments, under the modified terms, are current for six consecutive months after modification. Loans classified as troubled debt restructurings are generally designated as impaired.



Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

The allowance calculation methodology includes further segregation of loan classes into credit quality rating categories. The borrower's overall financial condition, repayment sources, guarantors and value of collateral, if appropriate, are generally evaluated annually for commercial loans or when credit deficiencies arise, such as delinquent loan payments.

Credit quality risk ratings include regulatory classifications of special mention, substandard, doubtful and loss. Loans classified special mention have potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If uncorrected, the potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects. Loans classified substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They include loans that are inadequately protected by the current sound net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in loans classified substandard with the added characteristic that collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of current conditions and facts, is highly improbable. Loans classified as a loss are considered uncollectible and are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Loans not classified are rated pass.

In addition, federal regulatory agencies, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Corporation's allowance for loan losses and may require the Corporation to recognize additions to the allowance based on their judgments about information available to them at the time of their examination, which may not be currently available to management. Based on management's comprehensive analysis of the loan portfolio, management believes the current level of the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

**Off-Balance Sheet Credit Related Financial Instruments**

In the ordinary course of business, the Corporation has entered into commitments to extend credit, including commitments under commercial lines of credit, and standby letters of credit. Such financial instruments are recorded when they are funded.

**Foreclosed Assets**

Assets acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value, less costs to sell at the date of foreclosure, establishing a new cost basis. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are adjusted to the fair value, less costs to sell as necessary. Revenue and expenses from operations and changes in the valuation allowance are included in net expenses from foreclosed assets.

**Premises and Equipment**

Land is carried at cost. Buildings furniture, fixtures, equipment and leasehold improvements are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed principally by the straight-line method over the assets' estimated useful lives. Maintenance and normal repairs are charged to expense when incurred while major additions and improvements are capitalized. Gains and losses on disposals are reflected in current operations.

**Investments in Low-Income Housing Partnerships**

The Corporation's investments in low-income housing partnerships are accounted for using the "equity method" prescribed by ASC Topic 323. In accordance with ASC Topic 740, tax credits are recognized as they become available. Any residual loss is amortized as the tax credits are received.

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

**Bank-Owned Life Insurance**

The Corporation's banking subsidiary maintains nonqualified compensation plans for selected senior officers. To fund the benefits under these plans, the Bank is the owner of single premium life insurance policies on participants in the nonqualified retirement plans. Investment in bank-owned life insurance policies was used to finance the nonqualified compensation plans and provide tax-exempt income to the Corporation.

ASC Topic 715, *Compensation Retirement Benefits*, requires a liability to be recorded during the service period when a split-dollar life insurance agreement continues after participants' employment or retirement. The required accrued liability is based on either the post-employment benefit cost for continuing life insurance or based on the future death benefit depending on the contractual terms of the underlying agreement. The Corporation's liability is based on the post-employment benefit cost for continuing life insurance. The Corporation adopted this guidance on January 1, 2008, and recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$717,000 as a reduction of retained earnings effective January 1, 2008. The Corporation incurred approximately \$48,000 and \$45,000 of expense in 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to this new accounting pronouncement.

**Transfers of Financial Assets**

Transfers of financial assets are accounted for as sales when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Corporation, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Corporation does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity.

**Income Taxes**

The Corporation accounts for Income Taxes in accordance with income tax accounting guidance ASC Topic 740, *Income Taxes*. On January 1, 2007, the Corporation adopted the recent accounting guidance related to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, which sets out a consistent framework to determine the appropriate level of tax reserves to maintain for uncertain tax positions.

The income tax accounting guidance results in two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense reflects taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period by applying the provisions of the enacted tax law to the taxable income or excess of deductions over revenues. The Corporation determines deferred income taxes using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and enacted changes in tax rates and laws are recognized in the period in which they occur.

Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of the evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

The Corporation accounts for uncertain tax positions if it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the tax position will be realized or sustained upon examination. The term more likely than not means a likelihood of more than 50 percent; the terms examined and upon examination also include resolution of the related appeals or litigation processes, if any. A tax position that meets the more-than-likely-than-not recognition threshold is initially and subsequently measured as the largest

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The determination of whether or not a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold considers the facts, circumstances, and information available at the reporting date and is subject to management's judgment.

The Corporation recognizes interest and penalties on income taxes as a component of income tax expense.

**Retirement Plan**

The compensation cost of an employee's pension benefit is recognized on the projected unit credit method over the employee's approximate service period. The aggregate cost method is utilized for funding purposes.

**Net Income per Share**

The Corporation has a simple capital structure. Basic earnings per share of common stock is computed based on 5,928,343, 5,936,001 and 5,988,525 weighted average shares of common stock outstanding for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**Advertising Costs**

Costs of advertising, which is included in marketing expenses, are expensed when incurred.

**Intangible Assets**

The Corporation accounts for its acquisitions using the purchase accounting method required by ASC Topic 805, *Business Combinations*. Purchase accounting requires the total purchase price to be allocated to the estimated fair values of assets and liabilities acquired, including certain intangible assets that must be recognized. Generally, this results in a residual amount in excess of the net fair values, which is recorded as goodwill.

ASC Topic 350, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*, requires that goodwill is not amortized to expense, but rather that it be tested for impairment at least annually. Impairment write-downs are charged to results of operations in the period in which the impairment is determined. The Corporation did not identify any impairment on its outstanding goodwill from its most recent testing, which was performed as of December 31, 2010. If certain events occur which might indicate goodwill has been impaired, the goodwill is tested when such events occur. Other acquired intangible assets with finite lives, such as customer lists, are required to be amortized over the estimated lives. These intangibles are generally amortized using the straight line method over estimated useful lives of ten years.

**Comprehensive Income**

Accounting principles generally require that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net income. Changes in certain assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale and the pension liability, are reported as a separate component of the stockholders' equity section of the statement of condition. Such items, along with net income, are components of comprehensive income.

Table of Contents**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) and the related tax effects are as follows:

| In thousands  | Years Ended December 31, |                 |                   |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|   | 2010                     | 2009            | 2008              |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during the period           | \$ (358)                 | \$ 116          | \$ 4,775          |
| Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses realized in net income | (72)                     | 505             | (159)             |
| Net unrealized gains (losses)   | (430)                    | 621             | 4,616             |
| Tax effect  | 147                      | (211)           | (1,569)           |
|   | (283)                    | 410             | 3,047             |
| Change in pension liability   | 2,760                    | 1,565           | (7,232)           |
| Tax effect  | (939)                    | (532)           | 2,459             |
|   | 1,821                    | 1,033           | (4,773)           |
| <b>Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)</b>                              | <b>\$ 1,538</b>          | <b>\$ 1,443</b> | <b>\$ (1,726)</b> |

The components of the accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes, are as follows:

| In thousands                     | Unrealized Gains on Securities | Pension Liability | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|---|
|                                  |                                |                   |   |
| BALANCE DECEMBER 31, 2009        | \$ 4,206                       | \$ (4,562)        | \$ (356)                                      |
| <b>Balance December 31, 2010</b> | <b>\$ 3,923</b>                | <b>\$ (2,741)</b> | <b>\$ 1,182</b>                               |

**Segment Reporting**

The Bank acts as an independent community financial services provider, which offers traditional banking and related financial services to individual business and government customers. Through its branch and automated teller machine networks, the Bank offers a full array of commercial and retail financial services, including the taking of time, savings, and demand deposits; the making of commercial, consumer, and mortgage loans; and the providing of other financial services. Management does not separately allocate expenses, including the cost of funding loan demand, between the commercial, retail and mortgage banking operations of the Bank. As such, discrete financial information is not available and segment reporting would not be meaningful. See Note S for a discussion of insurance operations.

**New Accounting Pronouncements****ASU 2009-05**

In August 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-05, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Measuring Liabilities at Fair Value*. The amendments within ASU 2009-05 clarify that in circumstances in which a quoted price

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

in an active market for the identical liability is not available, a reporting entity is required to measure fair value using one or more of the following techniques:

A valuation technique that uses:

- a. The quoted price of the identical liability when traded as an asset.
- b. Quoted prices for similar liabilities or similar liabilities when traded as assets.

Another valuation technique that is consistent with the principles of Topic 820.

Two examples would be an income approach, such as a present value technique, or a market approach, such as a technique that is based on the amount at the measurement date that the reporting entity would pay to transfer the identical liability or would receive to enter into the identical liability.

When estimating the fair value of a liability, a reporting entity is not required to include a separate input or adjustment to other inputs relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of the liability.

Both a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability at the measurement date and the quoted price for the identical liability when traded as an asset in an active market when no adjustments to the quoted price of the asset are required are Level 1 fair value measurements.

This guidance became effective January 1, 2010, and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial condition or results of operations.

**ASU 2009-16**

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-16, *Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets*. This ASU amends the Codification for the issuance of FASB Statement No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140*.

The amendments in this ASU improve financial reporting by eliminating the exceptions for qualifying special-purpose entities from the consolidation guidance and the exception that permitted sale accounting for certain mortgage securitizations when a transferor has not surrendered control over the transferred financial assets. In addition, the amendments require enhanced disclosures about the risks that a transferor continues to be exposed to because of its continuing involvement in transferred financial assets. Comparability and consistency in accounting for transferred financial assets are also improved through clarifications of the requirements for isolation and limitations on portions of financial assets that are eligible for sale accounting.

This guidance became effective January 1, 2010, and did not have a significant impact on the Corporation's financial condition or results of operations.

**ASU 2010-06**

The FASB issued ASU 2010-06, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements*. This ASU requires some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

820-10. The FASB's objective is to improve these disclosures and, thus, increase the transparency in financial reporting. Specifically, ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to now require:

A reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and,

In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements.

In addition, ASU 2010-06 clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures:

For purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and,

A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements.

ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2010, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the rollforward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. The Corporation adopted the required provisions of ASU 2010-06, with no significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

**ASU 2010-09**

The FASB issued ASU 2010-09, *Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*. The amendments in the ASU remove the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. Revised financial statements include financial statements revised as a result of either correction of an error or retrospective application of U.S. GAAP. The FASB also clarified that if the financial statements have been revised, then an entity that is not an SEC filer should disclose both the date that the financial statements were issued or available to be issued and the date the revised financial statements were issued or available to be issued. The FASB believes these amendments remove potential conflicts with the SEC's literature.

In addition, the amendments in the ASU require an entity that is a conduit bond obligor for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market to evaluate subsequent events through the date of issuance of its financial statements and must disclose such date.

All of the amendments in the ASU were effective upon issuance on February 24, 2010, except for the use of the issued date for conduit debt obligors. That amendment was effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2010. The Corporation adopted the required provisions of ASU 2010-09, with no significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

**ASU 2010-18**

ASU 2010-18, *Receivables (Topic 310): Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan Is Part of a Pool That Is Accounted for as a Single Asset*, codifies the consensus reached in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 09-1, *Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan Is Part of a Pool That Is Accounted for as a Single Asset*. The amendments to the Codification provide that modifications of

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

loans that are accounted for within a pool under Subtopic 310-30 do not result in the removal of those loans from the pool even if the modification of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. An entity will continue to be required to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change. ASU 2010-18 does not affect the accounting for loans under the scope of Subtopic 310-30 that are not accounted for within pools. Loans accounted for individually under Subtopic 310-30 continue to be subject to the troubled debt restructuring accounting provisions within Subtopic 310-40.

ASU 2010-18 is effective prospectively for modifications of loans accounted for within pools under Subtopic 310-30 occurring in the first interim or annual period ending on or after July 15, 2010. Early application is permitted. Upon initial adoption of ASU 2010-18, an entity may make a one-time election to terminate accounting for loans as a pool under Subtopic 310-30. This election may be applied on a pool-by-pool basis and does not preclude an entity from applying pool accounting to subsequent acquisitions of loans with credit deterioration.

The Corporation adopted the required provisions of ASU 2010-18, with no significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

**ASU 2010-20**

ASU 2010-20, *Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*, will help investors assess the credit risk of a company's receivables portfolio and the adequacy of its allowance for credit losses held against the portfolio by expanding credit risk disclosures.

This ASU requires more information about the credit quality of financing receivables in the disclosures to financial statements, such as aging information and credit quality indicators. Both new and existing disclosures must be disaggregated by portfolio segment or class. The disaggregation of information is based on how a company develops its allowance for credit losses and how it manages its credit exposure.

The amendments in this ASU apply to all public and nonpublic entities with financing receivables. Financing receivables include loans and trade accounts receivable. However, short-term trade accounts receivable, receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value, and debt securities are exempt from these disclosure amendments.

The effective date of ASU 2010-20 differs for public and nonpublic companies. For public companies, the amendments that require disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The amendments that require disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. For nonpublic companies, the amendments are effective for annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2011.

The Corporation adopted the required provisions of ASU 2010-20, with no significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

**ASU 2011-01**

The amendments in this ASU temporarily delay the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in ASU 2010-20 for public entities. Under the existing effective date in ASU 2010-20, public-entity creditors would have provided disclosures about troubled debt restructurings for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The delay is intended to allow the Board time to

Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

complete its deliberations on what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The effective date of the new disclosures about troubled debt restructurings for public entities and the guidance for determining what constitutes a troubled debt restructuring will then be coordinated. Currently, that guidance is anticipated to be effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2011.

The deferral in this amendment is effective upon issuance. The Corporation does not expect that the adoption of this standard will have a significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations.

**ASU 2010-29**

The objective of this ASU is to address diversity in practice about the interpretation of the pro forma revenue and earnings disclosure requirements for business combinations.

Paragraph 805-10-50-2(h) requires a public entity to disclose pro forma information for business combinations that occurred in the current reporting period. The disclosures include pro forma revenue and earnings of the combined entity for the current reporting period as though the acquisition date for all business combinations that occurred during the year had been as of the beginning of the annual reporting period. If comparative financial statements are presented, the pro forma revenue and earnings of the combined entity for the comparable prior reporting period should be reported as though the acquisition date for all business combinations that occurred during the current year had been as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period.

In practice, some preparers have presented the pro forma information in their comparative financial statements as if the business combination that occurred in the current reporting period had occurred as of the beginning of each of the current and prior annual reporting periods. Other preparers have disclosed the pro forma information as if the business combination occurred at the beginning of the prior annual reporting period only, and carried forward the related adjustments, if applicable, through the current reporting period.

The amendments in this ASU specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only.

The amendments in this ASU also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures under ASC Topic 805 to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings.

The amendments in this ASU are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The Corporation does not expect the adoption of this standard will have a significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations

**ASU 2010-28**

The amendments in this ASU modify Step 1 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. For those reporting units, an entity is required to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test if it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists. In determining whether it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, an entity should consider whether there are any adverse qualitative factors indicating that an impairment may exist. The



Table of Contents

**NOTE A SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

qualitative factors are consistent with the existing guidance and examples in paragraph 350-20-35-30, which requires that goodwill of a reporting unit be tested for impairment between annual tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount.

These amendments eliminate an entity's ability to assert that a reporting unit is not required to perform Step 2 because the carrying amount of the reporting unit is zero or negative despite the existence of qualitative factors that indicate the goodwill is more likely than not impaired. As a result, goodwill impairments may be reported sooner than under current practice.

For public entities, the amendments in this ASU are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is not permitted. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. Nonpublic entities may early adopt the amendments using the effective date for public entities.

Upon adoption of the amendments, an entity with reporting units that have carrying amounts that are zero or negative is required to assess whether it is more likely than not that the reporting units' goodwill is impaired. If the entity determines that it is more likely than not that the goodwill of one or more of its reporting units is impaired, the entity should perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test for those reporting unit(s). Any resulting goodwill impairment should be recorded as a cumulative-effect adjustment to beginning retained earnings in the period of adoption. Any goodwill impairments occurring after the initial adoption of the amendments should be included in earnings as required by Section 350-20-35.

The Corporation does not expect the adoption of this standard will have a significant impact on its financial condition or results of operations

**NOTE B RESTRICTIONS ON CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS**

In return for services obtained through correspondent banks, the Corporation is required to maintain non-interest bearing cash balances in those correspondent banks. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, compensating balances approximated \$2,564,000 and \$2,287,000, respectively. During 2010 and 2009, average required balances approximated \$2,559,000 and \$2,880,000, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE C SECURITIES**

Amortized cost and fair value at December 31, 2010 and 2009, were as follows:

| In thousands                         | Amortized<br>Cost | Gross<br>Unrealized<br>Gains | Gross<br>Unrealized<br>Losses | Fair Value |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| <b>SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE</b> |                   |                              |                               |            |
| <b>December 31, 2010</b>             |                   |                              |                               |            |
| U.S. Government and agencies         | \$ 28,225         | \$ 297                       | \$ 262                        | \$ 28,260  |
| Mortgage-backed securities           | 109,386           | 5,292                        | 319                           | 114,359    |
| State and municipal                  | 34,214            | 643                          | 181                           | 34,676     |
| Corporate bonds                      | 11,303            | 367                          | 11                            | 11,659     |
| CRA mutual fund                      | 1,032             |                              | 2                             | 1,030      |
| Stock in other banks                 | 627               | 119                          |                               | 746        |
|                                      | \$ 184,787        | \$ 6,718                     | \$ 775                        | \$ 190,730 |

|                              |            |          |        |            |
|------------------------------|------------|----------|--------|------------|
| <b>December 31, 2009</b>     |            |          |        |            |
| U.S. Government and agencies | \$ 24,117  | \$ 316   | \$ 105 | \$ 24,328  |
| Mortgage-backed securities   | 128,073    | 5,489    | 65     | 133,497    |
| State and municipal          | 40,723     | 631      | 83     | 41,271     |
| Corporate bonds              | 9,959      | 215      |        | 10,174     |
| Stock in other banks         | 627        |          | 25     | 602        |
|                              | \$ 203,499 | \$ 6,651 | \$ 278 | \$ 209,872 |

**SECURITIES HELD TO MATURITY**

|                              |           |        |    |           |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------|----|-----------|
| <b>December 31, 2010</b>     |           |        |    |           |
| U.S. Government and agencies | \$ 10,044 | \$ 627 | \$ | \$ 10,671 |

|                              |           |        |    |           |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------|----|-----------|
| <b>December 31, 2009</b>     |           |        |    |           |
| U.S. Government and agencies | \$ 10,057 | \$ 277 | \$ | \$ 10,334 |

Table of Contents**NOTE C SECURITIES (Continued)**

The following table shows the Corporation's investments' gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| In thousands                         | Less than 12 Months |                   | 12 Months or More |                   | Total      |                   |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
|                                      | Fair Value          | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value        | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
| <b>SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE</b> |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| <b>December 31, 2010</b>             |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| U.S. Government and agencies         | \$ 10,585           | \$ 262            | \$                | \$                | \$ 10,585  | \$ 262            |
| Mortgage-backed securities           | 21,071              | 319               |                   |                   | 21,071     | 319               |
| State and municipal                  | 11,680              | 181               |                   |                   | 11,680     | 181               |
| Corporate Bonds                      | 989                 | 11                |                   |                   | 989        | 11                |
| CRA mutual fund                      | 1,030               | 2                 |                   |                   | 1,030      | 2                 |
|                                      | \$ 45,355           | \$ 775            | \$                | \$                | \$ 45,355  | \$ 775            |
| <b>December 31, 2009</b>             |                     |                   |                   |                   |            |                   |
| U.S. Government and agencies         | \$ 7,953            | \$ 105            | \$                | \$                | \$ 7,953   | \$ 105            |
| Mortgage-backed securities           | 16,426              | 62                | 482               | 3                 | 16,908     | 65                |
| State and municipal                  | 7,757               | 83                |                   |                   | 7,757      | 83                |
| Stock in other banks                 | 602                 | 25                |                   |                   | 602        | 25                |
|                                      | \$ 32,738           | \$ 275            | \$ 482            | \$ 3              | \$ 33,220  | \$ 278            |

All mortgage-backed security investments are government sponsored enterprise (GSE) pass through instruments issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) or Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC), which guarantee the timely payment of principal on these investments.

At December 31, 2010, eight U.S. Government and agencies had unrealized losses, and none of the securities had been in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. These unrealized losses relate principally to changes in interest rates subsequent to the acquisition of specific securities. None of the securities in this category had an unrealized loss that exceeded 6% of amortized cost.

At December 31, 2010, eight mortgage-backed securities had unrealized losses, and none of the securities had been in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. These unrealized losses relate principally to changes in interest rates subsequent to the acquisition of specific securities. None of the securities in this category had an unrealized loss that exceeded 3% of amortized cost.

At December 31, 2010, 23 state and municipal securities had unrealized losses, and none of the municipal securities had been in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. In analyzing the issuer's financial condition, management considers industry analysts' reports, financial performance and projected target prices of investment analysts within a one-year time frame. None of the securities in this category had an unrealized loss that exceeded 6% of amortized cost and a majority had unrealized losses totaling less than 1% of amortized cost.

At December 31, 2010, one corporate bond security had an unrealized loss. This security has not been in a continuous loss position for 12 months or more. This unrealized loss relates principally to changes in interest rates subsequent to the acquisition of the specific security. This security had an unrealized loss of less than 2% of amortized cost.

Table of Contents**NOTE C SECURITIES (Continued)**

Management routinely sells securities from its available for sale portfolio in an effort to manage and allocate the portfolio. At December 31, 2010, management had not identified any securities with an unrealized loss that it intends to sell. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment losses on debt securities, management considers (1) whether management intends to sell the securities, or (2) if it is more likely than not that management will be required to sell the security before recovery, or (3) management does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis. In assessing potential other-than-temporary impairment for equity securities, consideration is given to management's intention and ability to hold the securities until recovery of unrealized losses.

The Corporation holds equity investments in the common stock of two bank holding companies headquartered and operating in Pennsylvania. Both holding companies continue to pay cash dividends, which was one of the driving forces in the investment decision. However, current market prices for these stocks are below the prices paid at the time of acquisition. A review of the factors contributing to these price declines led to a conclusion that the prices on these securities were not likely to recover in the near term and that they were other-than-temporarily impaired. A charge against current earnings of \$522,000 was taken in the third quarter of 2009 to write down the value of these securities, to their fair values.

Amortized cost and fair value at December 31, 2010, by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay with or without penalties.

| In thousands                  | Available for Sale |               | Held to Maturity  |               |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
|                               | Amortized<br>Cost  | Fair<br>Value | Amortized<br>Cost | Fair<br>Value |
| 1 year or less                | \$                 | \$            | \$                | \$            |
| Over 1 year through 5 years   | 32,917             | 33,637        | 10,044            | 10,671        |
| Over 5 years through 10 years | 29,189             | 29,360        |                   |               |
| Over 10 years                 | 11,636             | 11,598        |                   |               |
| Mortgage-backed securities    | 109,386            | 114,359       |                   |               |
| CRA mutual fund               | 1,032              | 1,030         |                   |               |
| Stock in other banks          | 627                | 746           |                   |               |
|                               | \$ 184,787         | \$ 190,730    | \$ 10,044         | \$ 10,671     |

The Corporation realized gross gains of \$128,000 during 2010, \$75,000 during 2009, and \$172,000 during 2008 and gross losses of \$56,000 during 2010, \$58,000 during 2009 and \$13,000 during 2008 on sales of securities available for sale. State and municipal securities were sold at a loss in order to adjust the Corporation's interest rate sensitivity, reduce exposure to geographic locations, balance the mix with other investment types and to reduce risks related to insurance coverage.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, securities with a carrying value of \$99,197,000 and \$96,927,000, respectively, were pledged as collateral as required by law on public and trust deposits, repurchase agreements and for other purposes.

Table of Contents**NOTE D LOANS**

Loans at December 31, 2010 and 2009, were as follows:

| In thousands                           | 2010              | 2009              |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|
| Commercial, financial and agricultural | \$ 53,183         | \$ 46,382         |
| Real estate:                           |                   |                   |
| Commercial                             | 194,296           | 181,055           |
| Construction                           | 29,190            | 37,965            |
| Residential                            | 374,667           | 365,110           |
| Consumer                               | 14,176            | 14,378            |
| <b>Total Loans</b>                     | <b>665,512</b>    | <b>644,890</b>    |
| Deferred loan fees and costs, net      | (221)             | (203)             |
| Allowance for loan losses              | (15,252)          | (11,981)          |
| <b>Net Loans</b>                       | <b>\$ 650,039</b> | <b>\$ 632,706</b> |

The Bank grants commercial, residential and consumer loans to customers primarily within southcentral Pennsylvania and northern Maryland and the surrounding area. A large portion of the loan portfolio is secured by real estate. Although the Bank has a diversified loan portfolio, its debtors' ability to honor their contracts is influenced by the region's economy.

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate pass rating and the classified ratings of special mention, substandard and doubtful within the Corporation's internal risk rating system as of December 31, 2010:

| In thousands                        | Pass              | Special<br>Mention | Substandard      | Doubtful  | Total             |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Commercial and industrial           | \$ 43,448         | \$ 5,041           | \$ 4,187         | \$        | \$ 52,676         |
| Commercial real estate              | 193,731           | 14,530             | 17,689           |           | 225,950           |
| Commercial real estate construction | 11,009            | 10,963             | 4,663            |           | 26,635            |
| Residential mortgage                | 289,833           | 2,882              | 4,282            |           | 296,997           |
| Home equity lines of credit         | 46,383            | 2,081              | 393              |           | 48,857            |
| Consumer                            | 14,176            |                    |                  |           | 14,176            |
| <b>Total</b>                        | <b>\$ 598,580</b> | <b>\$ 35,497</b>   | <b>\$ 31,214</b> | <b>\$</b> | <b>\$ 665,291</b> |

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by performing and nonperforming within the Corporation's internal risk rating system as of December 31, 2010:

| In thousands  | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate Construction | Residential Mortgage | Home Equity Lines of Credit | Consumer         | Total             |
|---------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Performing    | \$ 51,739                 | \$ 217,669             | \$ 22,247                           | \$ 294,962           | \$ 48,844                   | \$ 14,176        | \$ 649,637        |
| NonPerforming | 937                       | 8,281                  | 4,388                               | 2,035                | 13                          |                  | 15,654            |
| <b>Total</b>  | <b>\$ 52,676</b>          | <b>\$ 225,950</b>      | <b>\$ 26,635</b>                    | <b>\$ 296,997</b>    | <b>\$ 48,857</b>            | <b>\$ 14,176</b> | <b>\$ 665,291</b> |

Table of Contents**NOTE D LOANS (Continued)**

The following table summarizes information in regards to impaired loans by loan portfolio class as of December 31, 2010:

| In thousands                        | Recorded<br>Investment | Unpaid<br>Principal<br>Balance | Related<br>Allowance | Average<br>Recorded<br>Investment | Interest<br>Income<br>Recognized |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| <b>WITH NO RELATED ALLOWANCE</b>    |                        |                                |                      |                                   |                                  |
| <b>RECORDED</b>                     |                        |                                |                      |                                   |                                  |
| Commercial and industrial           | \$ 68                  | \$ 68                          | \$                   | \$ 66                             | \$                               |
| Commercial real estate              | 3,955                  | 4,184                          |                      | 3,661                             | 29                               |
| Commercial real estate construction | 172                    | 232                            |                      | 562                               |                                  |
| Residential mortgage                | 954                    | 1,312                          |                      | 1,339                             | 4                                |
| <b>WITH AN ALLOWANCE</b>            |                        |                                |                      |                                   |                                  |
| <b>RECORDED</b>                     |                        |                                |                      |                                   |                                  |
| Commercial and industrial           | \$ 869                 | \$ 1,869                       | \$ 547               | \$ 904                            | \$                               |
| Commercial real estate              | 4,326                  | 4,326                          | 726                  | 1,166                             | 6                                |
| Commercial real estate construction | 4,216                  | 7,716                          | 729                  | 4,882                             | 61                               |
| Residential mortgage                | 97                     | 97                             | 57                   | 24                                |                                  |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                        |                        |                                |                      |                                   |                                  |
| Commercial and industrial           | \$ 937                 | \$ 1,937                       | \$ 547               | \$ 970                            | \$                               |
| Commercial real estate              | 8,281                  | 8,510                          | 726                  | 4,827                             | 35                               |
| Commercial real estate construction | 4,388                  | 7,948                          | 729                  | 5,444                             | 61                               |
| Residential mortgage                | 1,051                  | 1,409                          | 57                   | 1,363                             | 4                                |

The following table presents nonaccrual loans by classes of the loan portfolio as of December 31, 2010:

| In thousands                        |                  |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|
| Commercial and industrial           | \$ 937           |
| Commercial real estate              | 8,281            |
| Commercial real estate construction | 4,388            |
| Residential mortgage                | 1,051            |
| <b>Total</b>                        | <b>\$ 14,657</b> |

The following table summarizes information in regards to troubled debt restructurings at December 31, 2010:

| Dollars In thousands                | Pre-Modification<br>Outstanding Recorded<br>Investments | Post-Modification<br>Outstanding Recorded<br>Investments |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b> |   |  |
| Commercial and industrial           | \$ 490  | \$ 439   |
| Commercial real estate              | \$ 371  | \$ 168   |
| Commercial real estate construction | \$ 1,548  | \$ 1,536   |

The performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio is also monitored by analyzing the age of the loans receivable as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due.

Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

**NOTE D LOANS (Continued)**

The following table presents the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the past due status as of December 31, 2010:

| In thousands                        | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | Greater Than 90 Days | Total Past Due   | Current           | Total Loans Receivable | Loans Receivable >90 Days and Accruing |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------------|--|
| Commercial and industrial           | \$ 105              | \$                  | \$ 937               | \$ 1,042         | \$ 51,634         | \$ 52,676              | \$                                     |
| Commercial real estate              | 1,903               | 744                 | 8,231                | 10,878           | 215,072           | 225,950                |  |
| Commercial real estate construction |                     |                     | 4,388                | 4,388            | 22,247            | 26,635                 |  |
| Residential mortgage                | 3,182               | 492                 | 2,034                | 5,708            | 291,289           | 296,997                | 984                                    |
| Home equity lines of credit         | 115                 | 13                  | 64                   | 192              | 48,665            | 48,857                 | 13                                     |
| Consumer                            | 16                  |                     |                      | 16               | 14,160            | 14,176                 |  |
| <b>Total</b>                        | <b>\$ 5,321</b>     | <b>\$ 1,249</b>     | <b>\$ 15,654</b>     | <b>\$ 22,224</b> | <b>\$ 643,067</b> | <b>\$ 665,291</b>      | <b>\$ 997</b>                          |

Allowance for loan losses and recorded investment in financing receivables for the year ended December 31, 2010:

| In thousands  | Commercial and Industrial | Commercial Real Estate | Commercial Real Estate Construction | Residential Mortgage | Home Equity Lines of Credit | Consumer  | Unallocated | Total      |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| <b>Allowance for loan losses</b>                      |                           |                        |                                     |                      |                             |           |             |            |
| Ending balance  | \$ 2,074                  | \$ 6,346               | \$ 1,154                            | \$ 3,108             | \$ 341                      | \$ 520    | \$ 1,709    | \$ 15,252  |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 547                    | \$ 726                 | \$ 729                              | \$ 57                | \$                          | \$        | \$          | \$ 2,059   |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 1,527                  | \$ 5,621               | \$ 424                              | \$ 3,051             | \$ 341                      | \$ 520    | \$ 1,709    | \$ 13,193  |
| <b>Loans receivables</b>                              |                           |                        |                                     |                      |                             |           |             |            |
| Ending balance  | \$ 52,676                 | \$ 225,950             | \$ 26,635                           | \$ 296,997           | \$ 48,857                   | \$ 14,176 | \$          | \$ 665,291 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 937                    | \$ 8,281               | \$ 4,388                            | \$ 1,051             | \$                          | \$        | \$          | \$ 14,657  |
| Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ 51,739                 | \$ 217,669             | \$ 22,247                           | \$ 295,946           | \$ 48,857                   | \$ 14,176 | \$          | \$ 650,634 |

Changes in the allowance for loan losses were as follows:

| In thousands                    | Years Ended December 31, |           |          |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
|                                 | 2010                     | 2009      | 2008     |
| Balance, beginning              | \$ 11,981                | \$ 7,393  | \$ 5,848 |
| Provision charged to operations | 6,410                    | 4,750     | 5,570    |
| Recoveries on charged-off loans | 42                       | 186       | 27       |
| Loans charged-off               | (3,181)                  | (348)     | (4,052)  |
| Balance, ending                 | \$ 15,252                | \$ 11,981 | \$ 7,393 |

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

Nonaccrual loans totaled \$14,657,000 and \$13,308,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. \$2,143,000 of the 2010 nonaccrual balance and \$2,360,000 of the 2009 nonaccrual balance are troubled debt restructured loans. Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing totaled \$997,000 and \$2,107,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. If interest on all nonaccrual loans had been accrued at original contract rates, it is estimated interest income would have been higher by \$464,000 in 2010, \$643,000 in 2009, and \$246,000 in 2008.



Table of Contents**NOTE D LOANS (Continued)**

The following is a summary of information pertaining to impaired loans at December 31:

| <b>In thousands</b>                           | <b>2010</b> | <b>2009</b> |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Impaired loans with a valuation allowance     | \$ 9,508    | \$ 8,394    |
| Impaired loans without a valuation allowance  | \$ 5,149    | \$ 4,914    |
| Valuation allowance related to impaired loans | \$ 2,059    | \$ 3,947    |

| <b>In thousands</b>                          | <b>Years Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2010</b>                     | <b>2009</b> | <b>2008</b> |
| Average investment in impaired loans         | \$ 12,604                       | \$ 11,245   | \$ 13,271   |
| Interest income recognized on impaired loans | \$ 100                          | \$ 218      | \$ 607      |

No additional funds are committed to be advanced in connection with impaired loans.

**NOTE E PREMISES AND EQUIPMENT**

Premises and equipment at December 31 were as follows:

| <b>In thousands</b>        | <b>2010</b> | <b>2009</b> |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Land                       | \$ 1,323    | \$ 1,323    |
| Buildings and improvements | 16,014      | 15,680      |
| Furniture and equipment    | 11,410      | 10,761      |
| Fixed assets in process    | 149         | 257         |
|                            | 28,896      | 28,021      |
| Accumulated depreciation   | (14,777)    | (13,261)    |
|                            | \$ 14,119   | \$ 14,760   |

Depreciation expense was \$1,701,000, \$1,644,000 and \$1,447,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**NOTE F INVESTMENTS IN LOW-INCOME HOUSING PARTNERSHIPS**

ACNB Corporation is a limited partner in five partnerships, whose purpose is to develop, manage and operate residential low-income properties. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the carrying value of these investments was approximately \$4,124,000 and \$4,391,000, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE G DEPOSITS**

Deposits were comprised of the following as of December 31:

| <b>In thousands</b>                                 | <b>2010</b> | <b>2009</b> |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Non-interest bearing demand                         | \$ 103,464  | \$ 93,829   |
| Interest bearing demand                             | 108,851     | 107,516     |
| Savings   | 241,904     | 228,959     |
| Time certificates of deposit less than \$100,000    | 218,833     | 229,122     |
| Time certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000 | 73,474      | 69,097      |
|   | \$ 746,526  | \$ 728,523  |

Scheduled maturities of time certificates of deposit at December 31, 2010, were as follows:

| <b>Years Ending</b> | <b>In thousands</b> |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2011                | \$ 181,886          |
| 2012                | 62,634              |
| 2013                | 40,737              |
| 2014                | 3,529               |
| 2015                | 3,521               |
|                     | \$ 292,307          |

**NOTE H LEASE COMMITMENTS**

Certain branch offices and equipment are leased under agreements which expire at varying dates through 2016. Most leases contain renewal provisions at the Corporation's option. The total rental expense for all operating leases was \$462,000, \$489,000 and \$478,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The following is a schedule by year of future minimum rental payments required under operating leases that have initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year as of December 31:

| <b>Years Ending</b> | <b>In thousands</b> |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| 2011                | \$ 326              |
| 2012                | 289                 |
| 2013                | 292                 |
| 2014                | 294                 |
| 2015                | 261                 |
| Later years         | 245                 |
|                     | \$ 1,707            |

ACNB leases space at several of its owned offices to other unrelated organizations under agreements that expire at varying dates from 2011 to 2014. Most leases contain renewal provisions at the option of the lessees. Total rental income for these properties was \$123,000, \$122,000 and \$118,000 for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE I BORROWINGS**

Short-term borrowings and weighted-average interest rates at December 31 are as follows:

| Dollars in thousands                            | 2010      |       | 2009      |       |
|---|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
|   | Amount    | Rate  | Amount    | Rate  |
| Treasury tax and loan note                      | \$ 1,823  | 0.00% | \$ 637    | 0.00% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) overnight advance |           | 0.00% | 5,150     | 0.62% |
| Securities sold under repurchase agreements     | 37,263    | 0.24% | 49,504    | 0.44% |
|   | \$ 39,086 | 0.23% | \$ 55,291 | 0.45% |

Under an agreement with the FHLB, the Bank has a short-term borrowing capacity included in the maximum borrowing capacity. All FHLB advances are collateralized by a security agreement covering qualifying loans and unpledged U.S. Treasury, agency and mortgage-backed securities. In addition, all FHLB advances are secured by the FHLB capital stock owned by the Bank having a par value of \$8,408,000 at December 31, 2010. The Corporation also has lines of credit that total \$13,000,000 with correspondent banks for overnight federal funds borrowings.

The Corporation offers a short-term investment program for corporate customers for secured investing. This program consists of overnight and short-term repurchase agreements that are secured by designated investment securities owned by the Corporation. The investment securities are under the control of the Corporation.

A summary of long-term debt as of December 31 is as follows:

| Dollars in thousands                   | 2010      |       | 2009      |       |
|--|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|
|  | Amount    | Rate  | Amount    | Rate  |
| FHLB fixed-rate advances maturing:     |           |       |           |       |
| 2010                                   | \$        | %     | 20,000    | 3.15% |
| 2011                                   | 10,000    | 2.92% | 10,000    | 4.26% |
| 2012                                   | 11,000    | 4.14% | 10,000    | 4.41% |
| 2013                                   | 14,000    | 2.55% | 4,000     | 4.23% |
| 2014                                   | 11,000    | 3.31% | 4,000     | 4.51% |
| 2015                                   | 12,000    | 3.87% | 9,000     | 4.13% |
| 2016                                   | 5,000     | 4.52% | 4,000     | 4.78% |
| 2017                                   | 4,000     | 4.86% | 4,000     | 4.86% |
| 2018                                   | 2,000     | 5.11% | 2,000     | 5.11% |
| FHLB convertible advance maturing 2012 | 10,000    | 4.27% | 10,000    | 4.27% |
| Loan payable to local bank             | 2,499     | 6.50% | 3,294     | 6.50% |
|  | \$ 81,499 | 3.74% | \$ 80,294 | 4.17% |

The FHLB advances are collateralized by the security agreement and FHLB capital stock described previously. The Corporation can borrow a maximum of \$293,263,000 from the FHLB, of which \$214,263,000 was available at December 31, 2010. The FHLB has the option to convert the \$10,000,000 convertible advance, but not before three-month LIBOR reaches 8%. Upon the FHLB's conversion, the Bank has the option to repay the respective advance in full.

The loan payable to a local bank is payable in monthly installments of \$52,569 and matures in January 2020. The loan is unsecured.

Table of Contents**NOTE J REGULATORY RESTRICTIONS ON DIVIDENDS**

Dividend payments by the Bank to the Corporation are subject to the Pennsylvania Banking Code, the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and the regulations of the FDIC. Under the Banking Code, no dividends may be paid except from "accumulated net earnings" (generally, retained earnings). The Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC have formal and informal policies which provide that insured banks and bank holding companies should generally pay dividends only out of current operating earnings, with some exceptions. As of December 31, 2010, \$6,608,000 of undistributed earnings of the Bank, included in consolidated retained earnings, was available for distribution to the Corporation as dividends without prior regulatory approval. Additionally, dividends paid by the Bank to the Corporation would be prohibited if the effect thereof would cause the Bank's capital to be reduced below applicable minimum capital requirements.

**NOTE K INCOME TAXES**

The components of income tax expense (benefit) for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, are as follows:

| In thousands | 2010     | 2009     | 2008     |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Federal:     |          |          |          |
| Current      | \$ 722   | \$ 2,942 | \$ 885   |
| Deferred     | 1,296    | (1,647)  | 144      |
|              | 2,018    | 1,295    | 1,029    |
| State:       |          |          |          |
| Current      | 39       | 62       | 48       |
|              | \$ 2,057 | \$ 1,357 | \$ 1,077 |

Reconciliations of the statutory federal income tax at a rate of 34% to the income tax expense reported in the consolidated statements of income for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, are as follows:

|   | Percentage of Income<br>before Income Taxes |        |        |
|---|---|--------|--------|
|   | 2010  | 2009   | 2008   |
| Federal income tax at statutory rate          | 34.0%                                       | 34.0%  | 34.0%  |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit    | 0.4%  | 0.5%   | 0.4%   |
| Tax-exempt income                             | (5.8)%                                      | (6.9)% | (8.0)% |
| Earnings on investment in life insurance      | (3.5)%                                      | (4.0)% | (4.5)% |
| Rehabilitation and low-income housing credits | (5.5)%                                      | (7.9)% | (8.8)% |
| Other   | 0.1%  | 0.1%   | 0.7%   |
|   | 19.7%                                       | 15.8%  | 13.8%  |

The provision for federal income taxes includes \$24,000, \$6,000 and \$54,000 of income taxes related to net gains on sales of securities in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Rehabilitation and low-income housing income tax credits were \$578,000, \$679,000, and \$689,000 during 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Projected credits are \$556,000 in 2011 and 2012, and \$777,000 thereafter.

Table of Contents**NOTE K INCOME TAXES (Continued)**

Components of deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31 were as follows:

| <b>In thousands</b>                        | <b>2010</b>     | <b>2009</b>     |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|
| <b>Deferred tax assets:</b>                |                 |                 |
| Allowance for loan losses                  | \$ 5,152        | \$ 4,073        |
| Accrued deferred compensation              | 672             | 587             |
| Pension                                    | 1,412           | 2,350           |
| Deferred loan fees                         | 70              | 69              |
| Other than temporary impairment            | 178             | 178             |
| Low income housing tax credit carryforward | 385             | 80              |
| Other                                      | 617             | 661             |
|  | <b>8,486</b>    | <b>7,998</b>    |
| <b>Deferred tax liabilities:</b>           |                 |                 |
| Available for sale securities              | 2,021           | 2,167           |
| Prepaid pension benefit cost               | 3,398           | 1,444           |
| Prepaid expenses                           | 316             | 273             |
| Accumulated depreciation                   | 574             | 305             |
| Goodwill/intangibles                       | 723             | 267             |
|  | <b>7,032</b>    | <b>4,456</b>    |
| <b>Net Deferred Tax Assets</b>             | <b>\$ 1,454</b> | <b>\$ 3,542</b> |

The Corporation has a federal tax credit carryforward related to low income housing credits that expires in 2030.

The Corporation did not have any uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2010 and 2009. Our policy is to recognize interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

Years that remain open for potential review by the Internal Revenue Service are 2007 through 2009.

**NOTE L FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Corporation's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Corporation could have realized in a sales transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of their respective period and have not been reevaluated or updated for purposes of these consolidated financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. As such, the estimated fair values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported at each period end.

ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, is effective for financial assets and liabilities in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years, and nonfinancial assets and liabilities in fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years.

Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell the asset or transfer the liability in an orderly transaction (that is, not a forced

Table of Contents

**NOTE L FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)**

liquidation or distressed sale) between market participants at the measurement date under current market conditions. Additional guidance is provided on determining when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability has significantly decreased. The standard also includes guidance on identifying circumstances when a transaction may not be considered orderly.

Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance provides a list of factors that a reporting entity should evaluate to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability in relation to normal market activity for the asset or liability. When the reporting entity concludes there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, further analysis of the information from that market is needed and significant adjustments to the related prices may be necessary to estimate fair value in accordance with fair value measurement and disclosure guidance.

This guidance further clarifies that when there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability, some transactions may not be orderly. In those situations, the entity must evaluate the weight of the evidence to determine whether the transaction is orderly. The guidance provides a list of circumstances that may indicate that a transaction is not orderly. A transaction price that is not associated with an orderly transaction is given little, if any, weight when estimating fair value.

Fair value measurement and disclosure guidance establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Quoted prices in markets that are not active, or inputs that are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable (i.e., supported with little or no market activity).

An asset or liability's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Table of Contents**NOTE L FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)**

For assets measured at fair value, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy, and the basis on measurement used at December 31, 2010 and 2009, are as follows:

| Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 |               |            |         |            |         |
|--|---------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| In thousands                                 | Basis         | Total      | Level 1 | Level 2    | Level 3 |
| U.S. Government and agencies                 |               | \$ 28,260  | \$      | \$ 28,260  | \$      |
| Mortgage-backed securities                   |               | 114,359    |         | 114,359    |         |
| State and municipal                          |               | 34,676     |         | 34,676     |         |
| Corporate bonds                              |               | 11,659     |         | 11,659     |         |
| CRA mutual fund                              |               | 1,030      |         | 1,030      |         |
| Stock in other banks                         |               | 746        | 746     |            |         |
| Total securities available for sale          | Recurring     | \$ 190,730 | \$ 746  | \$ 189,984 | \$      |
| Impaired loans                               | Non-recurring | 7,449      |         |            | 7,449   |
| Foreclosed assets held for resale            | Non-recurring | 7,859      |         |            | 7,859   |

| Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2009 |               |            |         |            |         |
|--|---------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| In thousands                                 | Basis         | Total      | Level 1 | Level 2    | Level 3 |
| U.S. Government and agencies                 |               | \$ 24,328  | \$      | \$ 24,328  | \$      |
| Mortgage-backed securities                   |               | 133,497    |         | 133,497    |         |
| State and municipal                          |               | 41,271     |         | 41,271     |         |
| Corporate bonds                              |               | 10,174     |         | 10,174     |         |
| Stock in other banks                         |               | 602        | 602     |            |         |
| Total securities available for sale          | Recurring     | \$ 209,872 | \$ 602  | \$ 209,270 | \$      |
| Impaired loans                               | Non-recurring | 4,447      |         |            | 4,447   |
| Foreclosed assets held for resale            | Non-recurring | 6,046      |         |            | 6,046   |

The following table presents a reconciliation of impaired loans and foreclosed real estate measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the year ended December 31:

| In thousands                                      | Impaired Loans | Foreclosed Assets Held for Resale |
|---|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Balance January 1, 2010                           | \$ 4,447       | \$ 6,046                          |
| Write-down to fair value                          |                | (206)                             |
| Settled or otherwise removed from impaired status | 1,846          | (858)                             |
| Additions to impaired status                      | 4,534          |                                   |
| Charge-offs                                       | (1,000)        |                                   |
| Payments made                                     | (490)          |                                   |
| Increase in valuation allowance                   | (1,888)        |                                   |
| Loans transferred to foreclosed real estate       |                | 2,877                             |
| Balance December 31, 2010                         | \$ 7,449       | \$ 7,859                          |

Table of Contents**NOTE L FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)**

| <b>In thousands</b>                               | <b>Impaired<br/>Loans</b> | <b>Foreclosed<br/>Assets Held<br/>for Resale</b> |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| Balance January 1, 2009                           | \$ 2,966                  | \$ 625   |
| Write-down to fair value                          |                           | (73)   |
| Settled or otherwise removed from impaired status | (855)                     | (142)  |
| Additions to impaired status                      | 4,336                     |  |
| Payments made                                     | (135)                     |  |
| Increase in valuation allowance                   | (1,865)                   |  |
| Loans transferred to foreclosed real estate       |                           | 5,636  |
| Balance December 31, 2009                         | \$ 4,447                  | \$ 6,046   |

The following information should not be interpreted as an estimate of the fair value of the entire Corporation since a fair value calculation is only provided for a limited portion of the Corporation's assets and liabilities. Due to a wide range of valuation techniques and the degree of subjectivity used in making the estimates, comparisons between the Corporation's disclosures and those of other companies may not be meaningful. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair values of the Corporation's financial instruments at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

**Cash and Cash Equivalents (Carried at Cost)**

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash and short-term instruments approximate those assets' fair value.

**Securities**

The fair values of securities available for sale (carried at fair value) and held to maturity (carried at amortized cost) are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1), or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the security's relationship to other benchmark quoted prices. The Corporation uses an independent service provider to provide matrix pricing and uses the valuation of another provider to compare for reasonableness.

**Loans Held for Sale (Carried at Lower of Cost or Fair Value)**

The fair values of mortgage loans held for sale are determined as the par amounts to be received at settlement by establishing the respective buyer and rate in advance.

**Loans (Carried at Cost)**

The fair values of loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using market rates at the balance sheet date that reflect the credit and interest rate risk inherent in the loans. Projected future cash flows are calculated based upon contractual maturity or call dates, projected repayments, and prepayments of principal. Generally, for variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values.



Table of Contents

**NOTE L FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)**

**Impaired Loans (Generally Carried at Fair Value)**

Loans for which the Corporation has measured impairment are generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties, or discounted cash flows based upon the expected proceeds. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurements. The fair value consists of the loan balances less the valuation allowance.

**Foreclosed Assets Held for Resale**

Fair value of real estate acquired through foreclosure is based on independent third-party appraisals of the properties. These assets are included as Level 3 fair values, based on appraisals that consider the sales prices of similar properties in the proximate vicinity.

**Restricted Investment in Bank Stock (Carried at Cost)**

The carrying amount of required and restricted investment in correspondent bank stock approximates fair value, and considers the limited marketability of such securities.

**Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable (Carried at Cost)**

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and accrued interest payable approximates its fair value.

**Deposits (Carried at Cost)**

The fair values disclosed for demand deposits (e.g., interest and non-interest checking, savings, and money market accounts) are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (e.g., their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies market interest rates currently being offered in the market on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits.

**Short-Term Borrowings (Carried at Cost)**

The carrying amounts of short-term borrowings approximate their fair values.

**Long-Term Borrowings (Carried at Cost)**

Fair values of Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis, based on quoted prices for new FHLB advances with similar credit risk characteristics, terms and remaining maturity. These prices obtained from this active market represent a market value that is deemed to represent the transfer price if the liability were assumed by a third party.

Table of Contents**NOTE L FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (Continued)****Off-Balance Sheet Credit-Related Instruments**

Fair values for the Corporation's off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments and letters of credit) are based on fees currently charged in the market to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

Estimated fair values of financial instruments at December 31 were as follows:

| In thousands                            | 2010            |            | 2009            |            |
|---|-----------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
|   | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| <b>Financial assets:</b>                |                 |            |                 |            |
| Cash and due from banks                 | \$ 14,091       | \$ 14,091  | \$ 17,875       | \$ 17,875  |
| Interest bearing deposits in banks      | 10,082          | 10,082     | 6,263           | 6,263      |
| Investment securities                   |                 |            |                 |            |
| Available for sale                      | 190,730         | 190,730    | 209,872         | 209,872    |
| Held to maturity                        | 10,044          | 10,671     | 10,057          | 10,334     |
| Loans held for sale                     | 3,068           | 3,068      | 145             | 145        |
| Loans, less allowance for loan losses   | 650,039         | 665,253    | 632,706         | 648,508    |
| Accrued interest receivable             | 3,417           | 3,417      | 3,658           | 3,658      |
| Restricted investment in bank stocks    | 8,420           | 8,420      | 9,170           | 9,170      |
| <b>Financial liabilities:</b>           |                 |            |                 |            |
| Deposits                                | 746,526         | 750,068    | 728,523         | 732,089    |
| Short-term borrowings                   | 39,086          | 39,086     | 55,291          | 55,291     |
| Long-term borrowings                    | 81,499          | 85,772     | 80,294          | 83,305     |
| Accrued interest payable                | 1,667           | 1,667      | 2,122           | 2,122      |
| Off-balance sheet financial instruments |                 |            |                 |            |

Table of Contents**NOTE M RETIREMENT PLANS**

The Corporation's banking subsidiary has a non-contributory, defined benefit pension plan. Retirement benefits are a function of both years of service and compensation. The funding policy is to contribute annually the amount that is sufficient to meet the minimum funding requirements set forth in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act.

A measurement date of December 31 has been used for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010 and 2009.

| <b>In thousands</b>  | <b>2010</b>     | <b>2009</b>       |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|
| <b>Change in benefit obligation:</b>                                   |                 |                   |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year                                | \$ 18,283       | \$ 16,823         |
| Service cost   | 458             | 561               |
| Interest cost  | 1,074           | 989               |
| Actuarial (gain) loss  | (1,153)         | 612               |
| Benefits paid  | (743)           | (702)             |
| <b>Benefit obligation at end of year</b>                               | <b>17,919</b>   | <b>18,283</b>     |
| <b>Change in plan assets:</b>  |                 |                   |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year                         | 15,618          | 12,560            |
| Actual return on plan assets   | 2,335           | 2,510             |
| Employer contribution  | 6,550           | 1,250             |
| Benefits paid  | (743)           | (702)             |
| <b>Fair value of plan assets at end of year</b>                        | <b>23,760</b>   | <b>15,618</b>     |
| <b>Funded Status, included in other assets (liabilities)</b>           | <b>\$ 5,841</b> | <b>\$ (2,665)</b> |
| <b>Amounts recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss:</b>     |                 |                   |
| Total net actuarial loss   | \$ 3,947        | \$ 6,655          |
| Transition obligation  | 22              | 34                |
| Prior service cost   | 184             | 224               |
| <b>Total included in accumulated other comprehensive loss (pretax)</b> | <b>\$ 4,153</b> | <b>\$ 6,913</b>   |

The estimated costs that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension cost during the next fiscal year are as follows:

| <b>In thousands</b>  |        |
|----------------------|--------|
| Net loss             | \$ 140 |
| Prior service cost   | 40     |
| Net transition asset | 12     |
|                      | \$ 192 |

The accumulated benefit obligation totaled \$17,755,000 and \$15,960,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Table of Contents**NOTE M RETIREMENT PLANS (Continued)**

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| In thousands                             | 2010          | 2009            | 2008         |
|--|---------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Components of net periodic benefit cost: |               |                 |              |
| Service cost                             | \$ 458        | \$ 561          | \$ 590       |
| Interest cost                            | 1,074         | 989             | 1,035        |
| Expected return on plan assets           | (1,216)       | (964)           | (1,597)      |
| Recognized net actuarial loss            | 436           | 579             |              |
| Amortization of transition asset         | 12            | 12              | 14           |
| Amortization of prior service cost       | 39            | 39              | 46           |
| <b>Net Periodic Benefit Cost</b>         | <b>\$ 803</b> | <b>\$ 1,216</b> | <b>\$ 88</b> |

For the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, the assumptions used to determine the net periodic benefit cost are as follows:

|  | 2010  | 2009  | 2008  |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate                                    | 6.00% | 6.00% | 5.78% |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 7.75% | 7.75% | 8.00% |
| Rate of compensation increase                    | 3.55% | 3.58% | 3.62% |

The Corporation's pension plan weighted-average assets' allocations at December 31, 2010 and 2009, are as follows:

|                         | 2010        | 2009        |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Equity securities       | 43%         | 53%         |
| Debt securities         | 35%         | 42%         |
| Short-term fixed income | 18%         | 1%          |
| Real estate             | 4%          | 4%          |
|                         | <b>100%</b> | <b>100%</b> |

The Corporation's overall investment strategy is to achieve a mix of investments to meet the long-term rate of return assumption and near-term pension obligations with a diversification of assets types, fund strategies and fund managers. The mix of investments is adjusted periodically by retaining an advisory firm to recommend appropriate allocations after reviewing the Corporation's risk tolerance on contribution levels, funded status and plan expense, and any applicable regulatory requirements. The weighted-average assets' allocation in the above table represents the Corporation's conclusion on the appropriate mix of investments. The specific investment vehicles are institutional separate accounts from a variety of fund managers which are regularly reviewed by the Corporation for acceptable performance.

Equity securities included Corporation common stock in amounts of \$849,000, 4% of total plan assets, and \$664,000, 4% of total plan assets, at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Fair value measurements at December 31, 2010, are as follows:

| In thousands      | Total     | Level 1 | Level 2   | Level 3 |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
| Equity securities | \$ 14,565 | \$ 849  | \$ 13,716 | \$      |
| Debt securities   | 8,217     |         | 8,217     |         |
| Real estate       | 978       |         | 447       | 531     |

Table of Contents**NOTE M RETIREMENT PLANS (Continued)**

Fair value measurements at December 31, 2009, are as follows:

| In thousands      | Total    | Level 1 | Level 2  | Level 3 |
|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| Equity securities | \$ 8,234 | \$ 664  | \$ 7,570 | \$      |
| Debt securities   | 6,698    |         | 6,698    |         |
| Real estate       | 686      |         | 228      | 458     |

The following table summarizes the effect the Level 3 investments had on the plan's current year financial activity:

| In thousands                      | Real Estate |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Balance January 1, 2009           | \$ 671      |
| Total losses                      | (213)       |
| Interest credited                 |             |
| Purchases, issuances, settlements |             |
| Balance December 31, 2009         | \$ 458      |
| Balance January 1, 2010           | \$ 458      |
| Total gains                       | 73          |
| Interest credited                 |             |
| Purchases, issuances, settlements |             |
| Balance December 31, 2010         | \$ 531      |

It has not yet been determined the amount the Bank plans on contributing to the Plan in 2011. The Corporation reduced the benefit formula for the defined benefit pension plan effective January 1, 2010, in order to manage total benefit costs. The new formula is the earned benefit as of December 31, 2009, plus 0.75% of a participant's average monthly pay multiplied by years of benefit service earned on and after January 1, 2010, but not more than 25 years. The benefit percentage factor and maximum years of service eligible were both reduced.

Based on current data and assumptions, the following benefit payments, which reflect expected future service, as appropriate, are:

| Years Ending | In thousands |
|--------------|--------------|
| 2011         | \$ 860       |
| 2012         | 880          |
| 2013         | 900          |
| 2014         | 970          |
| 2015         | 1,080        |
| 2016-2020    | 5,980        |

The Corporation's banking subsidiary maintains a 401(k) plan for the benefit of eligible employees. Employees may contribute up to 100% of their compensation subject to certain limits based on federal tax laws. The Bank makes matching contributions up to 100% of the first 4% of an employee's compensation contributed to the plan. Matching contributions vest immediately to the employee. Bank contributions to and expenses for the plan were \$432,000, \$435,000 and \$382,000 for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Corporation's banking subsidiary maintains non-qualified compensation plans for selected senior officers. The estimated present value of future benefits is accrued over the period from the

Table of Contents

**NOTE M RETIREMENT PLANS (Continued)**

effective date of the agreements until the expected retirement dates of the individuals. The balance accrued for these plans included in other liabilities as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$1,326,000 and \$1,261,000, respectively. The annual expense included in salaries and benefits expense totaled \$152,000, \$146,000 and \$166,000 during the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. To fund the benefits under these plans, the Bank is the owner of single premium life insurance policies on participants in the non-qualified retirement plans. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the cash surrender value of these policies was \$4,226,000 and \$4,074,000, respectively.

**NOTE N REGULATORY MATTERS**

The Corporation and the Bank are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Failure to meet the minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Corporation's consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Corporation and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth below) of Tier 1 capital to average assets and of Tier 1 and total capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk weighted assets. Management believes, as of December 31, 2010, that the Corporation and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

As of December 31, 2010, the most recent notification from the regulators categorized the Bank as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank's category.

On May 5, 2009, stockholders approved and ratified the ACNB Corporation 2009 Restricted Stock Plan, which awards shall not exceed, in the aggregate, 200,000 shares of Common Stock. As of March 11, 2011, no shares have been issued under the plan. In January 2011, the Corporation offered stockholders the opportunity to participate in the ACNB Corporation Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan. The plan allows registered stockholders who have a minimal number of shares to participate and also provides for voluntary cash purchases of ACNB Corporation common stock.

Table of Contents**NOTE N REGULATORY MATTERS (Continued)**

The actual and required capital amounts and ratios were as follows:

| Dollars in thousands                                      | Actual    |       | For Capital Adequacy Purposes |       | To be Well Capitalized under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions |       |
|---|-----------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|--|-------|
|   | Amount    | Ratio | Amount                        | Ratio | Amount   | Ratio |
| <b>CORPORATION</b>  |           |       |                               |       |  |       |
| <b>As of December 31, 2010</b>                            |           |       |                               |       |  |       |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio (to average assets)                 | \$ 82,991 | 8.54% | ≥38,851                       | ≥4.0% | N/A  | N/A   |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) | 82,991    | 12.44 | ≥26,678                       | ≥4.0  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Total risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets)  | 91,450    | 13.71 | ≥53,356                       | ≥8.0  | N/A  | N/A   |
| <b>As of December 31, 2009</b>                            |           |       |                               |       |  |       |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio (to average assets)                 | \$ 78,308 | 8.20% | ≥38,185                       | ≥4.0% | N/A  | N/A   |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) | 78,308    | 12.04 | ≥26,005                       | ≥4.0  | N/A  | N/A   |
| Total risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets)  | 86,482    | 13.30 | ≥52,011                       | ≥8.0  | N/A  | N/A   |
| <b>BANK</b>   |           |       |                               |       |  |       |
| <b>As of December 31, 2010</b>                            |           |       |                               |       |  |       |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio (to average assets)                 | \$ 79,579 | 8.24% | ≥38,629                       | ≥4.0% | ≥48,286  | ≥5.0% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) | 79,579    | 11.99 | ≥26,557                       | ≥4.0  | ≥39,835  | ≥6.0  |
| Total risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets)  | 87,965    | 13.25 | ≥53,114                       | ≥8.0  | ≥66,392  | ≥10.0 |
| <b>As of December 31, 2009</b>                            |           |       |                               |       |  |       |
| Tier 1 leverage ratio (to average assets)                 | \$ 76,426 | 8.05% | ≥37,999                       | ≥4.0% | ≥47,498  | ≥5.0% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets) | 76,426    | 11.85 | ≥25,800                       | ≥4.0  | ≥38,699  | ≥6.0  |
| Total risk-based capital ratio (to risk-weighted assets)  | 84,537    | 13.11 | ≥51,599                       | ≥8.0  | ≥64,499  | ≥10.0 |

**NOTE O FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK**

The Corporation is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments consist primarily of commitments to extend credit (typically mortgages and commercial loans) and, to a lesser extent, standby letters of credit. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents**NOTE O FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS WITH OFF-BALANCE SHEET RISK (Continued)**

The Corporation's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Corporation uses the same credit policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as it does for on balance sheet instruments. The Corporation does not anticipate any material losses from these commitments.

Commitments to extend credit, including commitments to grant loans and unfunded commitments under lines of credit, are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Corporation evaluates each customer's creditworthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Corporation upon extensions of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. Collateral held varies but may include accounts receivable, inventory, property and equipment and income-producing commercial properties. On loans secured by real estate, the Corporation generally requires loan to value ratios of no greater than 80%.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Corporation to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements and similar transactions. The terms of the letters of credit vary and may have renewal features. The credit risk involved in using letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers. The Corporation holds collateral supporting those commitments for which collateral is deemed necessary. Management believes that the proceeds obtained through a liquidation of such collateral would be sufficient to cover the maximum potential amount of future payments required under the corresponding guarantees. The current amount of the liability as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, for guarantees under standby letters of credit issued is not material.

The Corporation has not been required to perform on any financial guarantees, and has not incurred any losses on its commitments, during the past two years.

A summary of the Corporation's commitments at December 31 were as follows:

| <b>In thousands</b>          | <b>2010</b> | <b>2009</b> |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Commitments to extend credit | \$ 120,179  | \$ 137,642  |
| Standby letters of credit    | 5,897       | 6,197       |

**NOTE P CONTINGENCIES**

The Corporation is subject to claims and lawsuits which arise primarily in the ordinary course of business. Based on information presently available and advice received from legal counsel representing the Corporation in connection with any such claims and lawsuits, it is the opinion of management that the disposition or ultimate determination of any such claims and lawsuits will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position, consolidated results of operations or liquidity of the Corporation.



Table of Contents**NOTE Q ACNB CORPORATION (PARENT COMPANY ONLY) FINANCIAL INFORMATION****STATEMENTS OF CONDITION**

| In thousands                                      | December 31,     |                  |
|---|------------------|------------------|
|   | 2010             | 2009             |
| <b>ASSETS</b>                                     |                  |                  |
| Cash  | \$ 183           | \$ 224           |
| Investment in banking subsidiary                  | 80,682           | 76,086           |
| Investment in other subsidiaries                  | 9,566            | 9,281            |
| Investments in low-income housing partnerships    | 4,124            | 4,391            |
| Securities and other assets                       | 1,324            | 1,220            |
| Receivable from banking subsidiary                | 374              | 395              |
| <b>Total Assets</b>                               | <b>\$ 96,253</b> | <b>\$ 91,597</b> |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>       |                  |                  |
| Long-term debt                                    | \$ 2,499         | \$ 3,294         |
| Stockholders' equity                              | 93,754           | 88,303           |
| <b>Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b> | <b>\$ 96,253</b> | <b>\$ 91,597</b> |

**STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| In thousands                                     | Years Ended December 31, |                 |                 |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|  | 2010                     | 2009            | 2008            |
| Dividends from banking subsidiary                | \$ 4,506                 | \$ 4,512        | \$ 4,550        |
| Other income                                     | 288                      | 293             | 323             |
|  | 4,794                    | 4,805           | 4,873           |
| Impairment charges on equity securities          |                          | 522             |                 |
| Expenses   | 445                      | 111             | 637             |
|  | 4,349                    | 4,172           | 4,236           |
| Income tax benefit                               | 633                      | 966             | 800             |
|  | 4,982                    | 5,138           | 5,036           |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries | 3,437                    | 2,081           | 1,708           |
| <b>Net Income</b>                                | <b>\$ 8,419</b>          | <b>\$ 7,219</b> | <b>\$ 6,744</b> |

Table of Contents**NOTE Q ACNB CORPORATION (PARENT COMPANY ONLY) FINANCIAL INFORMATION (Continued)****STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| In thousands  | Years Ended December 31, |                |                |
|---|--------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|   | 2010                     | 2009           | 2008           |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>                 |                          |                |                |
| Net income  | \$ 8,419                 | \$ 7,219       | \$ 6,744       |
| Equity in undistributed earnings of subsidiaries            | (3,437)                  | (2,081)        | (1,708)        |
| Decrease in receivable from banking subsidiary              | 21                       | 294            | 1,966          |
| Impairment charges on equity securities                     |                          | 522            |                |
| Other   | 257                      | 92             | 386            |
| <b>Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities</b>            | <b>5,260</b>             | <b>6,046</b>   | <b>7,388</b>   |
| <b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>                 |                          |                |                |
| Investment in insurance agency subsidiary                   |                          |                | (1,200)        |
| Purchase of securities                                      |                          |                | (445)          |
| <b>Net Cash Used in Investing Activities</b>                |                          |                | <b>(1,645)</b> |
| <b>CASH FLOWS USED IN FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>              |                          |                |                |
| Repayments on long-term debt                                | (795)                    | (1,657)        | (293)          |
| Purchase of treasury stock                                  |                          | (286)          | (442)          |
| Dividends paid  | (4,506)                  | (4,512)        | (4,550)        |
| <b>Net Cash Used in Financing Activities</b>                | <b>(5,301)</b>           | <b>(6,455)</b> | <b>(5,285)</b> |
| <b>Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents</b> | <b>(41)</b>              | <b>(409)</b>   | <b>458</b>     |
| <b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS BEGINNING</b>                  | <b>224</b>               | <b>633</b>     | <b>175</b>     |
| <b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS ENDING</b>                     | <b>\$ 183</b>            | <b>\$ 224</b>  | <b>\$ 633</b>  |

**Note R ACQUISITIONS**

In 2008, RIG acquired a book of business with an aggregate purchase price of \$1,165,000, of which, all was classified as an intangible asset. Also, on December 31, 2008, RIG acquired Marks Insurance & Associates, Inc. with an aggregate purchase price of \$1,853,000, of which, \$1,300,000 was recorded as an intangible asset and \$553,000 recorded as goodwill. The contingent consideration for both 2008 purchases is payable three years after closing, based on multiples of sellers' commissions, with a maximum payment of \$1,800,000. Although it is probable that some liability for further contingent consideration will be incurred, it is considered remote that the maximum aggregate liability of \$1,800,000 will be incurred on the measurement dates. The amount of the ultimate liability is not estimable at December 31, 2010 because of the uncertainties in retaining books of business in the current economic cycle.

Intangible assets, representing customer lists, are being amortized over 10 years on a straight line basis. Goodwill is not amortized, but rather is analyzed annually for impairment. Amortization of goodwill and the intangible assets will be deductible for tax purposes.

In 2010, RIG acquired an additional book of business with an aggregate purchase price of \$31,276, of which, all was classified as an intangible asset.

Table of Contents**Note R ACQUISITIONS (Continued)**

The carrying value and accumulated amortization of the intangible assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, are as follows:

| In thousands                | 2010                  |                          | 2009                  |                          |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
|                             | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization |
| Amortized intangible assets | \$ 6,415              | \$ 2,727                 | \$ 6,448              | \$ 2,086                 |

Amortization of the intangible asset for the five years subsequent to December 31, 2010, is expected to be as follows:

| Years Ending | In thousands |
|--------------|--------------|
| 2011         | \$ 641       |
| 2012         | 641          |
| 2013         | 641          |
| 2014         | 641          |
| 2015         | 316          |

There was no change in the carrying amount of goodwill for the year ended December 31, 2010, compared to 2009.

**NOTE S SEGMENT AND RELATED INFORMATION**

RIG is managed separately from the banking segment, which includes the Bank and related financial services that the Corporation offers. RIG offers a broad range of property and casualty, life and health insurance to both commercial and individual clients.

Segment information for 2010, 2009 and 2008 is as follows:

| In thousands   | Banking   | Insurance | Total     |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| <b>2010</b>  |           |           |           |
| Net interest income and other income from external customers | \$ 42,503 | \$ 4,686  | \$ 47,189 |
| Income before income taxes                                   | 10,005    | 471       | 10,476    |
| Total assets   | 956,662   | 12,005    | 968,667   |
| Capital expenditures   | 1,068     | 21        | 1,089     |
| <b>2009</b>  |           |           |           |
| Net interest income and other income from external customers | \$ 38,607 | \$ 5,348  | \$ 43,955 |
| Income before income taxes                                   | 7,801     | 775       | 8,576     |
| Total assets   | 949,130   | 12,774    | 961,904   |
| Capital expenditures   | 1,902     | 49        | 1,951     |
| <b>2008</b>  |           |           |           |
| Net interest income and other income from external customers | \$ 35,554 | \$ 3,908  | \$ 39,462 |
| Income before income taxes                                   | 7,223     | 598       | 7,821     |
| Total assets   | 964,158   | 12,521    | 976,679   |
| Capital expenditures   | 1,352     | 30        | 1,382     |

Table of Contents**QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Selected quarterly information for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, is as follows:

| <b>In thousands, except per share data</b>          | <b>First<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Second<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Third<br/>Quarter</b> | <b>Fourth<br/>Quarter</b> |
|---|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|
| <b>2010</b>   |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Interest income                                     | \$ 11,125                | \$ 11,690                 | \$ 11,100                | \$ 10,725                 |
| Interest expense                                    | 2,555                    | 2,482                     | 2,388                    | 2,198                     |
| Net interest income                                 | 8,570                    | 9,208                     | 8,712                    | 8,527                     |
| Provision for loan losses                           | 859                      | 2,351                     | 1,400                    | 1,800                     |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 7,711                    | 6,857                     | 7,312                    | 6,727                     |
| Net gains (losses) on sales of securities           | 26                       | (1)                       | 47                       |                           |
| Other income  | 2,842                    | 3,161                     | 2,869                    | 3,228                     |
| Other expenses and provision for income taxes       | 8,157                    | 7,952                     | 7,924                    | 8,327                     |
| Net income  | \$ 2,422                 | \$ 2,065                  | \$ 2,304                 | \$ 1,628                  |
| Basic earnings per share                            | \$ 0.41                  | \$ 0.35                   | \$ 0.39                  | \$ 0.27                   |
| Dividends per share                                 | \$ 0.19                  | \$ 0.19                   | \$ 0.19                  | \$ 0.19                   |
| <b>2009</b>   |                          |                           |                          |                           |
| Interest income                                     | \$ 11,775                | \$ 11,420                 | \$ 11,485                | \$ 11,132                 |
| Interest expense                                    | 3,877                    | 3,479                     | 3,286                    | 2,918                     |
| Net interest income                                 | 7,898                    | 7,941                     | 8,199                    | 8,214                     |
| Provision for loan losses                           | 1,125                    | 1,225                     | 1,200                    | 1,200                     |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 6,773                    | 6,716                     | 6,999                    | 7,014                     |
| Net gains (losses) on sales of securities           | 9                        | (6)                       | 14                       |                           |
| Impairment charges on equity securities             |                          |                           | (522)                    |                           |
| Other income  | 3,118                    | 3,090                     | 3,147                    | 2,853                     |
| Other expenses and provision for income taxes       | 7,784                    | 8,367                     | 7,741                    | 8,094                     |
| Net income  | \$ 2,116                 | \$ 1,433                  | \$ 1,897                 | \$ 1,773                  |
| Basic earnings per share                            | \$ 0.36                  | \$ 0.24                   | \$ 0.32                  | \$ 0.30                   |
| Dividends per share                                 | \$ 0.19                  | \$ 0.19                   | \$ 0.19                  | \$ 0.19                   |

**ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

Table of Contents

**ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

The Corporation carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Corporation (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in our periodic SEC filings.

Based on our evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010. The Corporation believes that the accompanying consolidated financial statements fairly present the financial condition and results of operations for the fiscal years presented in this report on Form 10-K.

**CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

We have made no changes in the Corporation's internal controls over financial reporting in connection with our fourth quarter evaluation that would materially affect, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

ACNB Corporation (ACNB) is responsible for the preparation, integrity, and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report. The consolidated financial statements and notes included in this annual report have been prepared in conformity with United States generally accepted accounting principles, and as such, include some amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments.

ACNB's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. The system of internal control over financial reporting, as it relates to the consolidated financial statements, is evaluated for effectiveness by management and tested for reliability through a program of internal audits and management testing and review. Actions are taken to correct potential deficiencies as they are identified. Any system of internal control, no matter how well designed, has inherent limitations, including the possibility that a control can be circumvented or overridden and misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Also, because of changes in conditions, internal control effectiveness may vary over time. Accordingly, even an effective system of internal control will provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation.

The Board of Directors of ACNB, through its Audit Committee, meets regularly with management, internal auditors, and the independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee provides oversight to ACNB by reviewing audit plans and results, and evaluates management's actions for internal control, accounting and financial reporting matters. The internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm have direct and confidential access to the Audit Committee to discuss the results of their examinations.

Management assessed the effectiveness of ACNB's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*. Based on our assessment, management concluded that as of December 31, 2010, ACNB's internal control over financial reporting is effective and meets the criteria of the *Internal Control Integrated Framework*.

ACNB's independent registered public accounting firm, ParenteBeard LLC, has issued an attestation report on ACNB's internal control over financial reporting. This report appears on pages 102 and 103.

/s/ THOMAS A. RITTER

/s/ DAVID W. CATHELL

---

Thomas A. Ritter  
President & Chief Executive Officer

---

David W. Cathell  
Executive Vice President, Treasurer &  
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

To the Board of Directors and  
Stockholders of ACNB Corporation  
Gettysburg, Pennsylvania

We have audited ACNB Corporation and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). ACNB Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A corporation's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A corporation's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the corporation; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the corporation are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the corporation; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the corporation's assets that could have a material effect on the consolidated financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, ACNB Corporation and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Table of Contents

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statements of condition and the related statements of income, changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows of ACNB Corporation, and our report dated March 11, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion.

ParenteBeard LLC  
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania  
March 11, 2011

**ITEM 9B OTHER INFORMATION**

None.



Table of Contents

**PART III**

**ITEM 10 DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

The information required by this Item 10, relating to directors, executive officers, and control persons, is set forth in sections "Information as to Nominees and Directors", "Executive Officers of ACNB Corporation", "Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors", "Audit Committee Report" and "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" of ACNB Corporation's definitive Proxy Statement to be used in connection with the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which pages are incorporated herein by reference.

The Corporation has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to directors, officers and employees of the Corporation and its subsidiaries. A copy of the Code of Ethics is included as an exhibit to the Form 8-K filed by the Corporation on March 19, 2010. A request for the Corporation's Code of Ethics can be made either in writing to Lynda L. Glass, Executive Vice President & Secretary, ACNB Corporation, 16 Lincoln Square, P.O. Box 3129, Gettysburg, Pennsylvania 17325 or by telephone to 717-334-3161.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which stockholders may recommend nominees to the Corporation's Board of Directors.

**ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Incorporated by reference in response to this Item 11 is the information appearing under the headings "Compensation and Plan Information", "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change In Control", "Compensation Committee Report" and "Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" in ACNB Corporation's 2011 definitive Proxy Statement.

**ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Incorporated by reference in response to this Item 12 is the information appearing under the heading "Share Ownership" in ACNB Corporation's 2011 definitive Proxy Statement.

**ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

Incorporated by reference in response to this Item 13 is the information appearing under the headings "Transactions with Directors and Executive Officers" and "Governance of the Corporation" in ACNB Corporation's 2011 definitive Proxy Statement.

**ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

Incorporated by reference in response to this Item 14 is the information appearing under the heading "Independent Auditors" in ACNB Corporation's 2011 definitive Proxy Statement.

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15 EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a)

**1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following financial statements are filed as part of this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Statements of Condition



Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Income

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

2.

**FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

Financial statement schedules are omitted because the required information is either not applicable, not required, or is shown in the respective consolidated financial statements or in the notes thereto.

(b)

**EXHIBITS**

The following exhibits are included in this report:

- Exhibit 3(i) Articles of Incorporation of ACNB Corporation, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on June 2, 2009.)
- Exhibit 3(ii) Bylaws of ACNB Corporation, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 22, 2010.)
- Exhibit 10.1 ACNB Corporation, ACNB Acquisition Subsidiary LLC, and Russell Insurance Group, Inc. Stock Purchase Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed with the Commission on March 15, 2005.)
- Exhibit 10.2 Salary Continuation Agreement Applicable to Ronald L. Hankey, Thomas A. Ritter and Lynda L. Glass. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed with the Commission on March 13, 2009.)
- Exhibit 10.3 Executive Supplemental Life Insurance Plan Applicable to Ronald L. Hankey, Thomas A. Ritter, David W. Cathell and Lynda L. Glass. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2008, filed with the Commission on November 7, 2008.)
- Exhibit 10.4 Director Supplemental Life Insurance Plan Applicable to Frank Elsner III, James J. Lott, Robert W. Miller, Daniel W. Potts, Marian B. Schultz, David L. Sites, Alan J. Stock, Jennifer L. Weaver, Harry L. Wheeler and James E. Williams. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed with the Commission on March 15, 2005.)
- Exhibit 10.5 Director Deferred Fee Plan Applicable to Frank Elsner III, James J. Lott, Robert W. Miller, Marian B. Schultz, Alan J. Stock, Jennifer L. Weaver, Harry L. Wheeler and James E. Williams. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on November 27, 2007.)
- Exhibit 10.6 ACNB Bank Salary Savings Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the Commission on March 12, 2010.)
- Exhibit 10.7 Group Pension Plan for Employees of ACNB Bank. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed with the Commission on March 12, 2010.)

## Edgar Filing: ACNB CORP - Form 10-K

### Table of Contents

|               |   |
|---------------|---|
| Exhibit 10.8  | Complete Settlement Agreement and General Release made among ACNB Corporation, Adams County National Bank and John W. Krichen effective June 13, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on June 15, 2006.)              |
| Exhibit 10.9  | Employment Agreement between ACNB Corporation, Adams County National Bank and Thomas A. Ritter dated as of December 31, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed with the Commission on March 13, 2009.) |
| Exhibit 10.10 | Employment Agreement between ACNB Corporation, Adams County National Bank and Lynda L. Glass dated as of December 31, 2008. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed with the Commission on March 13, 2009.)  |
| Exhibit 10.11 | Employment Agreement between ACNB Corporation, Russell Insurance Group, Inc. and Frank C. Russell, Jr. dated as of January 13, 2011. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on January 19, 2011.)                             |
| Exhibit 10.12 | Employment Agreement between ACNB Corporation, Adams County National Bank and David W. Cathell dated as of April 17, 2009. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on April 23, 2009.)   |
| Exhibit 10.13 | 2009 Restricted Stock Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Appendix C of the Registrant's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed with the Commission on March 25, 2009.)   |
| Exhibit 11    | Statement re Computation of Earnings. (Incorporated by reference to page 67 of this Form 10-K.)   |
| Exhibit 14    | Code of Ethics. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14 of the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 19, 2010.)  |
| Exhibit 21    | Subsidiaries of the Registrant.   |
| Exhibit 31.1  | Chief Executive Officer Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K.  |
| Exhibit 31.2  | Chief Financial Officer Certification of Annual Report on Form 10-K.  |
| Exhibit 32.1  | Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.   |
| Exhibit 32.2  | Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.   |

Table of Contents

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ACNB CORPORATION (Registrant)

March 11, 2011

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

By: /s/ THOMAS A. RITTER

\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas A. Ritter  
President & Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed on March 11, 2011, by the following persons in the capacities indicated.

/s/ DAVID W. CATHELL

\_\_\_\_\_  
David W. Cathell  
Executive Vice President, Treasurer &  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ THOMAS A. RITTER

\_\_\_\_\_  
Thomas A. Ritter  
Director and President & Chief Executive Officer

/s/ FRANK ELSNER, III

\_\_\_\_\_  
Frank Elsner, III  
Director and Vice Chairman of the Board

/s/ MARIAN B. SCHULTZ

\_\_\_\_\_  
Marian B. Schultz  
Director

/s/ RONALD L. HANKEY

\_\_\_\_\_  
Ronald L. Hankey  
Director and Chairman of the Board

/s/ DAVID L. SITES

\_\_\_\_\_  
David L. Sites  
Director

/s/ JAMES J. LOTT

\_\_\_\_\_  
James J. Lott  
Director

/s/ ALAN J. STOCK

\_\_\_\_\_  
Alan J. Stock  
Director

/s/ ROBERT W. MILLER

\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert W. Miller  
Director

/s/ JENNIFER L. WEAVER

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jennifer L. Weaver  
Director

/s/ DANIEL W. POTTS

\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel W. Potts  
Director

/s/ HARRY L. WHEELER

\_\_\_\_\_  
Harry L. Wheeler  
Director

/s/ JAMES E. WILLIAMS

\_\_\_\_\_  
James E. Williams  
Director

