NEW JERSEY MINING CO Form 10-K March 28, 2012

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended **December 31, 2011** 

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number **000-28837** 

## **NEW JERSEY MINING COMPANY**

(Name of small business issuer in its charter)

#### **Idaho**

#### 82-0490295

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. employer identification No.)

89 Appleberg Road, Kellogg, Idaho 83837

(Address of principal executive offices) (zip code)

#### (208) 783-3331

Registrant s telephone number, including area code

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:

None None

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, No par value per share

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}$  No  $\begin{bmatrix} X \end{bmatrix}$ 

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

TEST I NOTA	Yes	[ ]	No	$\mathbf{X}$	1
-------------	-----	-----	----	--------------	---

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes [X] No [ ]
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  Yes[X] No [ ]
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [X]
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and small reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.
Large Accelerated Filer [ ] Accelerated Filer [ ]  Non-Accelerated Filer [ ] Smaller reporting company [X]  Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act

The aggregate market value of all common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the average of the bid and ask prices on June 30, 2011 was \$8,020,000.

Yes [ ] No [X]

On March 1, 2012 there were 45,305,862 shares of the registrant s Common Stock outstanding.

1

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Glossary o	f Significant Mining Terms	<u>3</u>
	<u>PART I</u>	
Item 1. Item 2. Item 3 Item 4.	Description of the Business  Description of Properties  Legal Proceedings  Mine Safety Disclosures	4 7 12 12
	<u>PART II</u>	
Item 5. Item 6. Item 7. Item 7A. Item 8. Item 9 Item 9A Item 9B	Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters  Selected Financial Data  Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations  Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk  Consolidated Financial Statements  Changes In and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure  Controls and Procedures  Other Information	13 13 14 17 18 36 36 37
	PART III	
Item 10. Item 11. Item 12. Item 13. Item 14.	Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance  Executive Compensation  Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder  Matters  Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence  Principal Accountant Fees and Services	37 38 39 40 41
	PART IV	
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits	<u>41</u>
Signatures	S.	<u>42</u>
Certificati	<u>ions</u> 2	<u>43</u>

#### GLOSSARY OF SIGNIFICANT MINING TERMS

Ag-Silver.

Au-Gold.

Alluvial-Adjectivally used to identify minerals deposited over time by moving water.

Argillites-Metamorphic rock containing clay minerals.

Arsenopyrite-An iron-arsenic sulfide. Common constituent of gold mineralization.

Ball Mill-A large rotating cylinder usually filled to about 45% of its total volume with steel grinding balls. The mill rotates and crushed rock is fed into one end and discharged through the other. The rock is pulverized into small particles by the cascading and grinding action of the balls.

Bedrock-Solid rock underlying overburden.

Cu-Copper.

CIL-A standard gold recovery process involving the leaching with cyanide in agitated tanks with activated carbon. CIL means "carbon-in-leach."

Crosscut-A nominally horizontal tunnel, generally driven at right angles to the strike of a vein.

Dip-Angle made by an inclined surface with the horizontal, measured perpendicular to strike.

Deposit-A mineral deposit is a mineralized body which has been intersected by sufficient closely-spaced drill holes or underground sampling to support sufficient tonnage and average grade(s) of metal(s) to warrant further exploration or development activities.

Development Stage-As defined by the SEC-includes all issuers engaged in the preparation of an established commercially mineable deposit (reserves) for its extraction which are not in the production stage.

Drift-A horizontal mine opening driven on the vein. Driving is a term used to describe the excavation of a tunnel.

Exploration Stage-As defined by the SEC-includes all issuers engaged in the search for mineral deposits (reserves) which are not in either the development or production stage.

Fault-A fracture in the earth's crust accompanied by a displacement of one side of the fracture with respect to the other and in a direction parallel to the fracture.

Flotation-A physiochemical process for the separation of finely divided solids from one another. Separation of these (dissimilar) discrete solids from each other is affected by the selective attachment of the particle surface to gas bubbles.

GPT-grams per metric tonne.

Galena-A lead sulfide mineral. The most important lead mineral in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District.

Grade-A term used to assign the concentration of metals per unit weight of ore. An example-ounces of gold per ton of ore (opt). One troy ounce per short ton is 34.28 parts per million or 34.28 grams per metric tonne.

Mill-A general term used to denote a mineral processing plant.

Mineralization-The presence of minerals in a specific area or geologic formation.

Net Smelter Royalty-A royalty, usually paid to a mineral claim owner, that is a percentage of the proceeds from the sale of metal-bearing concentrate or metal to a smelter or refinery. Also known as an NSR, the cost of smelting, refining, and transport to the smelter is deducted before the royalty is applied. However, the cost of mining and milling is not deducted. Typical net smelter royalty rates are from 1% to 5%.

Ore-A mineral or aggregate of minerals which can be mined and treated at a profit. A large quantity of ore which is surrounded by waste or sub-ore material is called an orebody.

Patented Claim-A mineral claim where the title has been obtained from the U.S. federal government through the patent process of the 1872 Mining Law. The owner of the patented claim is granted title to the surface and mineral rights.

Production Stage-As defined by the SEC-includes all issuers engaged in the exploitation of a mineral deposit (reserve).

Pyrite-An iron sulfide. A common mineral associated with gold mineralization.

Quartz-Crystalline silica (SiO<sup>2</sup>). An important rock-forming and gangue material in gold veins.

Quartzites-Metamorphic rock containing quartz.

Raise-An underground opening driven upward, generally on the vein.

Ramp-An underground opening usually driven downward, but not always, to provide access to an orebody for rubber-tired equipment such as loaders and trucks. Typically ramps are inclined at about a 15% grade.

Reserves-That part of a mineral deposit which could be economically and legally extracted or produced at the time of the reserve determination. Reserves are subcategorized as either proven (measured) reserves, for which (a) quantity is computed from dimensions revealed in outcrops, trenches, workings, or drill holes, and grade and/or quality are computed from the results of detailed sampling, and (b) the sites for inspection, sampling, and measurement are spaced so closely and geologic character is so well defined that size, shape, depth, and mineral content are well-established; or probable (indicated) reserves, for which quantity and grade and/or quality are computed from information similar to that used for proven (measured) reserves, yet the sites for inspection, sampling and measurement are farther apart.

Stope-An underground void created by the mining of ore.

Strike-The bearing or azimuth of the line created by the intersection of a horizontal plane with an inclined rock strata, vein or body.

Tellurium-Relatively rare chemical element found with gold and silver which can form minerals known as tellurides.

Tetrahedrite-Sulfosalt mineral containing copper, antimony, and silver.

Vein-A zone or body of mineralized rock lying within boundaries separating it from neighboring wallrock. A mineralized zone having a more or less regular development in length, width and depth to give it a tabular form and commonly inclined at a considerable angle to the horizontal.

Unpatented Claim-A mineral claim staked on United States Public Domain (USPD) that is open for mineral entry. Unpatented lode claims can be no more than 1,500 feet long by 600 feet wide. The claimant owns the mineral rights, but does not own the surface which is USPD. Any exploration or mining on the claim must first be submitted in a plan of operations (POO) for approval to the appropriate federal land management entity.

Wallrock-Usually barren rock surrounding a vein.

#### **PART I**

#### ITEM 1.

#### **DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS**

#### **BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**

With the exception of historical matters, the matters discussed in this report are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projections or estimates contained herein. Such forward-looking statements include statements regarding planned levels of exploration and other expenditures, anticipated mine lives, timing of production and schedules for development and permitting. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially include, among others, metals price volatility, permitting delays, and the Company s ability to secure funding. Most of these factors are beyond the Company s ability to predict or control. The Company disclaims any obligation to update

any forward-looking statement made herein. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

#### Form and Year of Organization

New Jersey Mining Company (the Company or NJMC) is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Idaho on July 18, 1996. The Company was dormant until December 31, 1996, when all of the assets and liabilities of the New Jersey Joint Venture (a partnership) were transferred to the Company in exchange for 10,000,000 shares of common stock. The New Jersey Joint Venture, a partnership, was formed in 1994 to develop the New Jersey mine.

#### Any Bankruptcy, Receivership or Similar Proceedings

There have been no bankruptcy, receivership, or similar proceedings.

# Any Material Reclassification, Merger, Consolidation, or Purchase or Sale of a Significant Amount of Assets Not in the Ordinary Course of Business.

There have been no material reclassifications, mergers, consolidations, purchases, or sales not in the ordinary course of business for the past three years.

4

#### **BUSINESS OF THE COMPANY**

#### **General Description of the Business**

The Company is involved in exploring for and developing gold, silver, and base metal ore resources in the Pacific Northwest of the USA. The Company has a portfolio of mineral properties including: the Golden Chest mine, the Niagara copper silver-deposit, the New Jersey mine and mill, the Toboggan exploration project, and several other exploration prospects. The New Jersey Mill Joint Venture is a consolidated subsidiary.

The Company is executing a strategy of mineral exploration that is focused on the Belt Basin area of northern Idaho and western Montana. See Location Map. The exploration focus for the Company is primarily gold with silver and base metals of secondary emphasis. In addition to mineral exploration, the Company is also the manager of the New Jersey Mill Joint Venture which will process both silver and gold ores through an expanded 350 tonnes per day flotation plant. The Company also provides contract drilling and engineering services to its joint venture partners which provides another source of revenue. The Company modified its strategy in 2010. The former strategy was to conduct exploration for gold, silver and base metal deposits in the greater Coeur d Alene Mining District of northern Idaho while concurrently conducting mining and mineral processing operations on ore reserves it has located on its exploration properties. The new strategy now de-emphasizes the generation of cash by mining and milling and emphasizes the exploration of its properties in order to increase the amount of reserves before making a production decision. The new financial strategy involves forming joint ventures with partners who contribute cash to earn their interest. The strategy includes finding and developing ore reserves of significant quality and quantity to justify investment in mining and mineral processing facilities.

The new strategy is less reliant on private placements of common stock with qualified investors, though the Company s exploration and development progress is still dependant on securing financing in one form or another.

## **Competitive Business Conditions**

The Company competes on several different fronts within the minerals exploration industry. The Company competes with other junior mining companies for the capital necessary to sustain its exploration and development programs. Recently, the Company has been successful in completing two joint ventures, one at the Golden Chest mine and the other to expand the throughput capacity of its New Jersey mill.

We also compete with other mining companies for exploration properties especially for gold properties in the Coeur d Alene mining district.

The Company also competes for skilled labor within the mining industry.

We are also subject to the risks inherent to the mineral industry. The primary risk of mineral exploration is the low probability of finding a major deposit of ore. We attempt to mitigate this risk by focusing our efforts in an area already known to host deposits, and also by acquiring properties we believe have the geologic and technical merits to host economic mineralization. Another significant risk is the price of metals such as gold and silver. If the prices of these metals were to fall substantially, it would, most likely, lead to a loss of investor interest in the mineral exploration sector which would make it more difficult to raise the capital necessary to move our exploration and development plans forward.

#### Effect of Existing or Probable Governmental Regulations on the Business

Our business is subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations governing development, production, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, the use of toxic substances, environmental regulations, mine safety and other matters. The Company is subject to potential risks and liabilities occurring as a result of mineral exploration and production. Insurance against environmental risk (including potential liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production) is not generally available to the Company (or to other companies in the minerals industry) at a reasonable price. To the extent that the

Company becomes subject to environmental liabilities, the satisfaction of any such liabilities would reduce funds otherwise available to the Company and could have a material adverse effect on the Company. Laws and regulations intended to ensure the protection of the environment are constantly changing, and are generally becoming more restrictive.

All operating and exploration plans have been made in consideration of existing governmental regulations. Regulations that most affect operations are related to surface water quality and access to public lands. An approved plan of operations (POO) and a financial bond are usually required before exploration or mining activities can be conducted on public land that is administered by the United States Bureau of Land Management (BLM) or United States Forest Service (USFS).

The New Jersey mine and the Golden Chest properties are part of the expanded Bunker Hill Superfund Site. Current plans for expanded cleanup do not include any of our mines. There is no known evidence that previous operations at the New Jersey mine prior to 1910 caused any groundwater or stream pollution or discharged any tailings into the South Fork of the Coeur d'Alene River; however, such evidence could be uncovered. The nature of the risk would probably be to clean up or cover old mine tailings that may have washed downstream from upstream mining operations. There are no mineral processing tailings deposits at the Golden Chest mine. However, at least two old adits have small water discharges. The Company could conceivably be required to conduct cleanup operations at its own expense, however, the Environmental Protection Agency s (EPA) Record of Decision for the Bunker Hill Mining and Metallurgical Complex Operating Unit 3 does not include any cleanup activities at the Company s mines. Recently, the EPA has proposed a new cleanup plan that greatly increases the number of historic mine sites to be reclaimed, however, the plan has not been approved. NJMC has not received any notifications that it could be liable for any environmental cleanup.

#### Estimate of the Amount Spent on Exploration for the Last Two Years

During the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, we spent \$10,850 and \$158,223, respectively, on exploration activities.

#### Costs and Effects of Compliance with Environmental Laws (Federal, State and Local)

No major Federal permits are required for the Golden Chest and New Jersey mines because the operations are on private land and there are no process discharges to surface waters. However, any exploration program conducted by the Company on unpatented mining claims, usually administered by the BLM or USFS, requires a POO to be submitted. Our exploration programs on public land can be delayed for significant periods of time (one to two years) because of the slow permitting process applied by the USFS. We believe the USFS permitting delays are caused by insufficient manpower, complicated regulations, misplaced priorities, and sympathy for environmental groups who oppose all mining projects.

The Company is also subject to the rules of the U.S. Department of Labor, Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) for the New Jersey and Golden Chest operations. When an underground mine or mill is operating, MSHA performs a series of regular quarterly inspections to verify compliance with mine safety laws, and can assess financial penalties for violations of MSHA regulations. A typical mine citation order for a violation that is not significant or substantial is about \$200.

The New Jersey mine has two important State of Idaho permits. The first is an Idaho Cyanidation Permit and the second is a reclamation plan for surface mining operations. No permit is required for the current flotation process as there is no discharge of water to surface waters and the tailings impoundments are less than 30 feet high from toe to crest. An Idaho cyanidation permit was granted October 10, 1995 [No. CN-000027]. Construction of the Concentrate Leach Plant (CLP) at the New Jersey mine was completed in November of 2007. The Idaho Cyanidation permit requires monthly surface water and quarterly groundwater monitoring during the operation of the CLP. It is estimated that water monitoring cost associated with operating the CLP is approximately \$6,000 per year.

A surface mining reclamation plan for the New Jersey mine was approved by the Idaho State Department of Lands in 1993. The plan calls for grading of steep fill slopes and planting of vegetation on the area disturbed by the open pit mine. An annual reclamation fee of \$133 is paid to the Idaho Department of Lands for surface disturbance associated with the New Jersey mine open pit. The Company has estimated its costs to reclaim the New Jersey mine site to be \$21,000.

When the Company plans an exploration drilling program on public lands, it must submit a POO to either the BLM or USFS. Compilation of the plan can take several days of professional time and a reclamation bond is usually required to start drilling once the plan is approved. Bond costs vary directly with surface disturbance area, but a small, single set-up drilling program usually requires a bond amount of about \$5,000. If a plan requires road building, the bond amount can increase significantly. Upon completion of site reclamation and approval by the managing agency, the bond amount is returned to the Company.

The Company complies with local building codes and ordinances as required by law.

#### Number of Total Employees and Number of Full Time Employees

The Company's total number of employees is 18 including President Fred Brackebusch and Vice President Grant Brackebusch.

#### REPORTS TO SECURITY HOLDERS

The Company is not required to deliver an annual report to shareholders, however, it plans to deliver an annual report to shareholders in 2012. The annual report will contain audited financial statements. The Company may also rely on the Internet to deliver annual reports to shareholders.

The Company filed a Form 10-SB with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2000. The filing became effective on January 27, 2000. The Company has filed the required annual 10K reports, quarterly 10-Q reports, and 8-K reports since that time.

The public may read a copy of any materials the Company files with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20549, on official business days during the hours of 10 a.m. to 3 p.m. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site (<a href="http://www.sec.gov">http://www.sec.gov</a>) that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the Commission and SEC.

The Company maintains a website where recent press releases and other information can be found. A link to the Company s filings with the SEC is provided on the Company s website-www.newjerseymining.com.

6

## **DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES**

#### Figure 1 - Project Location Map

#### **NEW JERSEY MINE**

#### Location

The New Jersey mine is an underground mine and mill complex located four kilometers east of Kellogg, Idaho, in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District. The property includes the gold bearing Coleman vein system, a base metal Sullivan-type prospect known as the Enterprise, and another gold prospect called the Scotch Thistle. The mine is adjacent to U.S. Interstate 90 and is easily accessed by local roads throughout the entire year. Three phase electrical power is supplied to the New Jersey mill by Avista Utilities. The area is underlain by argillites and quartzites of the Prichard Formation [member of Belt Supergroup] which commonly hosts gold mineralization.

#### **Mill Joint Venture Agreement**

On January 7, 2011, the Company signed a joint venture agreement with United Mine Services (UMS), a wholly-owned subsidiary of United Silver Corporation, to increase the capacity of the New Jersey mill. UMS is funding the expansion of the mill to process 350 tonnes per day and will receive a 33% interest in joint venture assets plus the right to process 7,000 tonnes of their ore per month. NJMC is the manager of the joint venture and will retain a 67% interest in the joint venture assets plus the right to process 3,000 tonnes per month of its own ores. The property covered by the joint venture agreement includes the crushing circuit, grinding circuit, flotation circuit, concentrate leach plant, patented and unpatented claims (excluding mineral rights), and buildings.

#### **Mineral Property**

The Company owns 62 acres of patented mining claims, mineral rights to 108 acres of fee land, and approximately 130 acres of unpatented mining claims. The unpatented claims are on federal land administered by the BLM. The Coleman pit and the current underground workings are located on the patented mining claims wholly owned by the Company.

#### **Mineral Leases**

A mineral lease, known as the Grenfel lease, with Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) covers the mineral rights to 68 acres located north of the New Jersey mine area. The lease has a fifteen year term and thereafter so long as mining operations are deemed continuous. The lessor may terminate the lease upon the Company's failure to perform under the terms of the lease. A 3% net smelter return (NSR) royalty will be paid to the lessor if production is achieved. However, the NSR royalty shall not exceed 10% of the net proceeds, except the NSR royalty shall not be less than 1%. No advance royalties or other advance payments are required by this lease.

#### <u>History</u>

There are at least 14 gold prospects in or near the New Jersey mine area. In the late 1800 s and early 1900 s more than 2,500 feet of development workings including drifts, crosscuts, shafts, and raises, were driven by the New Jersey Mining and Milling Company (an unrelated company) to develop the Coleman vein and the northwest branch of the Coleman vein. A 10 stamp gravity mill was built and operated for a short period.

#### **Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property**

The construction of an expanded mill capable of processing 350 tonnes per day of sulfide ore to produce a single flotation concentrate was started in 2011. The mill expansion is budgeted to cost approximately \$2.5 million which is funded completely by UMS. The expansion project includes the installation of a new cone crusher, a new fine ore bin, new conveyors, a new 2.4m by 4.0m ball mill, additional flotation cells, a new paste thickener, associated pumps, and a new building. The Concentrate Leach Plant (CLP) has not been renovated.

Since 2001, the Company has drilled 14 holes for a total of 1,765 meters to explore the Coleman vein and associated zones of gold mineralization. The drilling confirmed the continuity of the Coleman vein system and discovered a broad zone of low grade (0.70 gpt gold) gold mineralization known as the Grenfel zone. The best intercept was in DDH02-02 which assayed 2.76 gpt gold over 12.5 meters including 2.5 meters of 6.80 gpt gold. In 2008, about 400 meters of drilling was completed at the Scotch Thistle gold prospect revealing areas of silica enrichment and alteration, but no economic intervals of gold mineralization.

In 2008, the Company completed an underground exploration program of drifting on the Coleman vein on the 740 level. A total of 84 meters of drifting were completed with 20 meters of that on the vein before it was displaced by a fault. No exploration or development work was completed by the Company in 2009. In 2010, a raise was driven upward on the 740 level to explore a narrow high-grade vein that crosscut the main Coleman vein at nearly a right angle. This raise was driven about 12 meters vertically and produced 367 dry tonnes for the New Jersey mill that assayed 2.68 gpt gold. No exploration work was completed on the Coleman vein or other prospects at the New Jersey mine in 2011.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had a net capital cost of \$3,377,947 associated with the mineral processing plant and a capitalized development plus investment cost of \$604,792 associated with the mine.

#### **Exploration Plans**

There are currently no plans for exploration or mining at the New Jersey mine property.

#### **Geology and Reserves**

The description of the geology of the New Jersey mine and the calculation of mineral resources have been completed by the Company. The description of the geology of the area can be verified from third party published reports by the

U.S. Geological Survey and unpublished reports by Oscar Hershey, former Coeur d'Alene District geologist. The Company is solely responsible for the reserve calculations.

#### **Geology**

The Prichard Formation, which is 25,000 feet in thickness, underlies the New Jersey mine area which is adjacent to and north of the major Osburn fault. The Prichard Formation is divided into nine rock units of alternating argillites, siltites, and quartzites, and the units exposed in the New Jersey mine area appear to belong to the lower members. Gold mineralization is associated with sulfide-bearing quartz veins which cut the bedding in Prichard argillite and quartzite. Associated sulfides are pyrite, arsenopyrite, chalcopyrite, low-silver tennatite, galena, and sphalerite.

#### **Reserves**

The reserves at the New Jersey mine, as of this date, are those contained in an underground mine plan. The designed stope block extends from the surface to the Keyhole Tunnel level. Grade estimation for the block is based upon calculated head grades from production from the Coleman vein during the 2008 and 2010 exploration campaigns.

Underground Mine (Proven & Probable)

Ore Block	Ore Block Metric Tonnes		Ounces (gold)	
Total	51,604	3.20	5,310	

The reserve tonnages are diluted. That is, the expected dilution from underground mining is accounted for in the grade and tonnage of the reserve block. The ounces stated in the above table are contained ounces. The cutoff grade used was 1.5 grams/tonne gold. The cutoff grade is based on historical costs of underground mining on the Coleman vein with a flotation processing plant recovering 85% of the gold. Gold prices used are based upon a three year average or \$40.39/gram (\$1,256.13/troy ounce). Proven and probable reserves are combined as they cannot be readily separated.

#### **GOLDEN CHEST**

#### Location

The Golden Chest mine is an underground mine located in Reeder Gulch about 2.4 kilometers east of Murray, Idaho along Forest Highway 9. The property consists of 24 patented mining claims and 70 unpatented claims covering approximately 515 hectares (1,270 acres). The site is accessible by an improved dirt road. A 30 ft by 20 ft steel-clad pole building is present near the ramp portal and is used as a shop and a dry. A second pole building 36 ft by 70 ft was erected on the site in 2011 and is used for core logging and office space. Single phase electrical power supplied by Avista Utilities has been installed to the portal site in Reeder Gulch and the new core building.

#### **Property Ownership**

Beginning in December 2010, the Golden Chest is owned by Golden Chest LLC (GC), which is owned 50% by the Company (NJMC) and 50% by Marathon Gold Corporation through its wholly-owned US subsidiary, Marathon Gold USA Corp. (MUSA). GC purchased the mine from Metaline Contact Mines and J.W. Beasley Interests for \$3,750,000 and paid \$500,000 on the closing date of December 16, 2010, made a second payment of \$500,000 in December 2011, and has agreed to pay the sellers \$500,000 each year for the next five years with a final payment of \$250,000 on the seventh anniversary. The sellers have a first mortgage on the mine as security for future payment owing. There are no production royalties underlying the Golden Chest property.

#### **Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property**

The Company started work on the property in 2004. A ramp 440 meters in length connecting the surface to the historic No. 3 level, known as the North Ramp, was completed in the fourth quarter of 2008.

For each year from 2004 through 2008, the Company completed an exploration core drilling program on the Golden Chest property. A total of 3,415 meters of core drilling has been completed from the surface. The majority of these holes were targeted to extend the Idaho vein below the No. 3 and were successful. In 2011, the joint venture partners completed the most aggressive exploration project in the history of the mine which included 11,300 meters of surface core drilling. A total of 102 NQ-2 size drill holes were completed. Other surface work completed included the construction of a new core shed, construction of new roads, surface geologic work, surface and underground surveying, and the reestablishment of patented claim corners. Work completed underground included the rehabilitation of No. 3 level and an exploration crosscut on the Intermediate level. The exploration work culminated in the completion of a Canadian National Instrument 43-101 resource estimate report by an independent, third party consultant. The exploration program as well as the property payments were funded by Marathon Gold Corporation s initial contribution of \$4 million.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had a capitalized development plus investment cost of \$553,205 associated with the Golden Chest mine.

#### **Exploration and Development Plans**

Approximately 20,000 meters of core drilling, both surface and underground, is planned for 2012. A reconnaissance surface exploration program and the underground rehabilitation of the No. 3 drift are also planned for 2012.

#### **Geology and Reserves**

Company geologists have completed the description of the geology of the Golden Chest mine. Reserve calculations were completed by the Company s geologist and engineer. Verification of the area s geology can be found from third party published reports by Philip J. Shenon (Idaho Bureau of Mines Pamphlet No. 47) and unpublished reports by Newmont Mining Corporation.

#### **Geology**

Gold mineralization occurs in veins associated with a thrust fault that has exploited the top of a quartzite unit on the east limb of a north-trending synclinal fold. The mineralization occurs in two types of quartz veins which are

generally conformable to bedding of the Prichard Formation of Proterozoic age. Thin banded veins, occurring in argillite, contain visible gold, pyrite, arsenopyrite, galena, and sphalerite. Thicker, massive veins occur in quartzite and contain pyrite, sphalerite, galena, chalcopyrite, scheelite and rare visible gold. Gold mineralization is of Mesozoic age and related to granitic intrusive rocks.

#### **Reserves**

Ore grades and dimensions of the reserve block are based on ten diamond drillholes through the Idaho vein with an average spacing of 40 meters and 30 drift samples. Reserves were calculated by the Company s geologist and chief mine engineer using a polygonal method with a cutoff grade of 2.0 gpt gold, and a minimum mining width of 2 meters. The reserves stated herein did not use the drilling information gathered in 2011.

The reserves were calculated using estimated costs and operating parameters of a 100 tonne-per-day underground mining and mineral processing operation. The estimated costs are based on the Company s actual costs of mining and processing ore from the Golden Chest. Overall metallurgical recovery of gold is expected to be 92% based on the Company s experience at the New Jersey mill and CLP. Gold prices used are based upon a three year average or \$40.39/gram (\$1,256.13/troy ounce).

Classification Metric Tonnes		Gold Grade (grams per tonne)	Ounces of Gold	
Proven & Probable	242,058	5.10	39,694	

The reserve tonnages are diluted. That is, the expected dilution from underground mining is accounted for in the grade and tonnage of the reserve blocks. Proven and probable reserves are combined as they cannot be readily separated.

#### **NIAGARA PROJECT**

#### Location

The Niagara copper-silver deposit is located near the forks of Eagle Creek about seven kilometers northwest of the Company's Golden Chest operation. The property is without known ore reserves, and consists of 33 unpatented claims that cover about 650 acres. Access to the site is maintained through the use of a USFS road which is closed to the general public. No electrical energy is present at the site.

#### **Mineral Agreement**

In October of 2011, the Company signed an exploration agreement and option to purchase mining claims with Desert Copper USA Corporation (DUSA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Desert Copper Corporation, a Canadian corporation. The agreement covers the Niagara project and the Copper Camp project. The agreement gives DUSA the exclusive right to purchase the properties from the Company at any time for a term of five years for the sum of \$250,000 plus 3,500,000 shares of Desert Copper Corporation. At closing, DUSA made an initial payment of \$42,800 to NJMC and the agreement calls for an annual payment of \$20,000 on each anniversary of the agreement during the option period. A minimum work expenditure of \$250,000 is also called for by the agreement. DUSA is also required to maintain, in good standing, our underlying mining agreement with Revett Metals Associates (RMA).

#### **History**

An exploration program completed by Earth Resources Company on the Niagara property in the 1970's identified a large volume of copper-silver mineralization within the Revett formation. Their exploration program included eight drill holes and six trenches on the outcrop of the mineralized strata. Earth Resources also completed metallurgical testwork that indicated conventional flotation will achieve recoveries of 94% for copper and 90% for silver. Earth Resources also completed preliminary economic studies on the deposit. Kennecott owned the claims that cover the Niagara deposit for a period of time after Earth Resources. RMA re-staked the property in 2004 after Kennecott dropped the claims.

#### **Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property**

During 2008, the Company completed five holes of core drilling for a total of 1,062 meters at the Niagara project. Three of the holes were targeted to intercept the copper-silver deposit in the Revett formation and were successful. The drilling increased the area of copper-silver mineralization of the Niagara deposit. As an example, drillhole 08-9 drilled through 19.4 meters grading 0.51% copper, 25 gpt silver and 0.029 gpt gold. A preliminary engineering study assessing the economic potential of open pit mining at the Niagara was completed. Two holes for a cumulative total of 413 meters were drilled in the hanging wall of the Murray Peak fault in the Prichard formation to investigate a gold-in-soil anomaly and magnetic high. Low level, anomalous gold and tellurium mineralization were found by this drilling. No work was completed at the Niagara in 2011.

#### **Exploration and Development Plans**

Desert Copper is planning to complete the environmental permitting necessary for a core drilling program with an eventual goal of completing a Canadian National Instrument 43-101 report.

As of December 31, 2011, the Company had an investment cost of \$42,500 associated with the Niagara project.

#### **Geology**

The Niagara deposit occurs in a section of mineralized upper Revett Formation near the axis of a north-south striking syncline. The western limb of the syncline has been truncated by the north-south striking Murray Peak fault, a steep, west dipping reverse fault. Other faults offset the mineralized zone slightly. In the Niagara deposit, the mineralization occurs in the upper Revett Formation, which here is a light gray, massive quartzite with thin siltite interbeds. The mineralized horizon crops out along the East Fork Eagle Creek and is approximately 30 meters below the contact with the overlying St. Regis Formation. Copper minerals include bornite, chalcopyrite, chalcocite, native copper, and some copper oxide minerals. Silver minerals include stomeyerite and jalpaite. Pyrite and galena also occur in trace amounts

#### **TOBOGGAN PROJECT**

#### Location

The Toboggan project is an exploration property without known ore reserves. The project consists of 284 unpatented lode claims covering an area of approximately 5,275 acres in and near the East Fork of Eagle Creek drainage. The Toboggan project consists of the following prospects: Gold Butte, Mineral Ridge, Golden Reward, Progress, Snowslide, CA, Lost Eagle, and Independence. The claims can be accessed from May through November using a USFS dirt road. No electrical energy is available at the site.

#### **Mineral Agreement**

The Toboggan project is comprised of 284 unpatented mining claims wholly owned by the Company.

#### **History**

Historic workings are present at the Gold Butte prospect and consist of seven adits connected by a system of narrow roads. Most of the underground work appears to have been completed by 1941. Two holes were drilled on the Gold Butte prospect in the 1980 s. Prior geophysical exploration work by Cominco-American in the Toboggan Creek area in the mid 1980 s found a large CSAMT geophysical anomaly, roughly two square kilometers in area. In 1987, Cominco American drilled a hole 500 meters in depth that was located on the eastern edge of the anomaly. It appears that the hole was located too far to the east, and that it was not drilled deep enough to investigate the large geophysical anomaly. Nord-Pacific completed a gold exploration program in the Mineral Ridge area including a soil sampling program and a reverse-circulation drilling program in 1992. Nord-Pacific identified several anomalous gold zones with their soil sampling and completed nine holes totaling 850 meters in their drilling program. All of the drillholes intercepted anomalous gold mineralization including a 1.5 meter intercept of 18.9 gpt gold. Historic workings at the Mineral Ridge prospect, which were completed before Nord-Pacific s work, include six adits as well as numerous pits and trenches. The Independence area was originally staked in 1906 and was active intermittently through the 1900 s. Work completed included four adits, and numerous pits and trenches.

For the period from March 2008 through March 2011, the Toboggan project was an exploration joint venture between Newmont Mining Corporation and the Company. Newmont completed three seasons of exploration work spending approximately \$2,000,000, and then dropped the project. Newmont quitclaimed all the mining claims back to the Company, and also returned the data generated from three seasons of exploration.

## **Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property**

During 2008, Newmont completed a comprehensive early-stage exploration program. Work completed included the staking of additional claims significantly increasing the area of the joint venture, soil sampling, rock sampling, geologic mapping, a ground-based geophysical survey at the Gold Butte, and an airborne geophysical survey over the entire joint venture area. During 2009, Newmont completed a core drilling program that consisted of six holes for a total of 1,359 meters. Two holes were drilled at each of the following prospects: Mineral Ridge, Golden Reward and Gold Butte. The best gold intercept drilled was at the Gold Butte where a pyritic quartz vein was found at 24.0 meters below the surface that assayed 2.5 gpt gold over 4.0 meters including a higher grade section that assayed 7.15 gpt gold over 1.0 meter. Thick intercepts of anomalous, but low-grade gold mineralization were drilled at the Mineral Ridge and the Golden Reward prospects. Newmont also completed geologic mapping, surface rock sampling, soil sampling, and additional claim staking. During 2010, Newmont completed both core and reverse-circulation (RC) drilling at the Toboggan project. A total of eight core holes totaling 914.2 meters and seven RC holes totaling 941 meters were drilled. Six of the core holes were drilled at Gold Butte and intercepted a fault with anomalous gold mineralization. The remaining two core holes were drilled at Mineral Ridge and both holes were terminated before hitting the target due to difficult ground conditions. The seven RC holes were drilled at various prospects near Toboggan Creek and RC-7 was the most promising with 100 meters of 100 ppb gold at the Golden Reward prospect. Newmont obtained the USFS permit necessary to drill their best targets after the conclusion of the 2010 exploration season. NJMC successfully obtained the extension of this permit until April 2012 at which time a reclamation bond of \$82,000 is due to be posted. No exploration work was completed on the project in 2011.

#### **Exploration and Development Plans**

The Company intends to ask the USFS for an extension of one year for the required bonding of Newmont s drill permit. Joint venture partners will be sought to advance the project.

#### **Geology**

Gold mineralization tends to occur in structurally controlled zones within the Prichard Formation which are associated with large potential feeder structures such as the Murray Peak fault, the Bloom Peak fault, and the Niagara fault. The gold mineralization can occur either as discrete, high-grade quartz veins or within wide zones of brecciation. Geochemical analysis of soils and rocks has led to the discovery of very high levels of tellurium associated with zones of higher grade gold mineralization. Electron microprobe analysis has shown the presence of gold-silver electrum and the telluride mineral petzite. The presence of telluride minerals along with the presence of alkaline intrusive rocks and areas of potassic alteration has led the Company to believe the gold mineralization is associated with a deeply buried alkaline intrusion. Alkaline rocks are a type of igneous intrusive rock characterized by high potassium and sodium and frequently associated with gold mineralization.

#### **GIANT LEDGE**

The Giant Ledge prospect is an exploration project without known ore reserves. It lies about six kilometers southeast of Murray, Idaho, in the Granite Creek drainage and is accessed by an historic road that has been washed out in areas. No electrical power is present at the site. The Company s land position consists of 29 wholly owned unpatented lode claims covering an area of 586 acres. The property hosts polymetallic lead, copper and gold mineralization in and along the contact of an igneous intrusive.

#### **History**

The Giant Ledge prospect was active in the 1920 s when a 122 meter deep shaft was sunk and about 450 meters of drift development was completed. A flotation mill was erected and a minor amount of production was achieved.

Bunker Hill Mining Company examined and mapped the mine workings in the 1950 s. Sunshine Mining Company conducted exploration at the Giant Ledge in the mid-1980 s and drilled two core holes.

#### **Present Condition and Work Completed on the Property**

NJMC was able to procure the core from Sunshine s drilling program, and the core was re-logged and assayed. The best of the mineralization showed 4.6 meters of 0.908 gpt gold and 0.24% combined copper and lead. An extensive soil sampling program was completed in conjunction with a VLF and magnetometer survey. Results of the soil sampling show a 600 meter diameter gold anomaly and the magnetometer survey shows a magnetic low coincident with the gold anomaly. No work was completed at the Giant Ledge property in 2011.

#### **Exploration and Development Plans**

If sufficient funds are available, the Company will perform a ground-based geophysical survey utilizing induced polarization (IP).

#### **COPPER CAMP**

#### **Summary**

The Copper Camp is an exploration project without known ore reserves. Copper Camp lies about eight kilometers northwest of Murray, Idaho and is accessed by the Lost Creek USFS road. Electrical power is located adjacent to the site. The project is comprised of 9 unpatented mining claims covering about 180 acres. In October of 2011, the Company signed an exploration agreement and option to purchase mining claims with Desert Copper USA Corporation (DUSA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Desert Copper Corporation, a Canadian corporation. The agreement covers the Niagara project and the Copper Camp project. The agreement gives DUSA the exclusive right to purchase the properties from the Company at any time for a term of five years for the sum of \$250,000 plus 3,500,000 shares of Desert Copper Corporation. At closing, DUSA made an initial payment of \$42,800 to NJMC and the agreement calls for an annual payment of \$20,000 on each anniversary of the agreement during the option period. A minimum work expenditure of \$250,000 is also called for by the agreement. DUSA is also required to maintain, in good standing, our underlying exploration agreement with Revett Metals Associates (RMA) The Copper Camp showing is an early-stage copper and silver exploration project, having been explored with limited drilling by previous operators which include Kennecott, Cominco, and U.S. Borax. Previous operators drilled core holes down dip from the outcrop and three holes penetrated the favorable Revett Formation beds showing low-grade copper-silver mineralization. At least three intercepts were made averaging 10 meters in thickness and grading 0.10% to 0.20% copper and 1.7 to 3.3 grams per tonne (gpt) silver. One short 0.18 meter interval at 173.2 meters of depth had structurally controlled bornite mineralization grading 4.45% copper and 84.0 gpt silver. The Company has submitted a POO to the USFS for a core drilling program at Copper Camp. Approval of the POO is expected in 2011. The timing of drilling will be dependent on the Company s ability to secure adequate funding. An additional 13 unpatented lode claims were also staked increasing the property area to about 440 acres. No work was completed on the Copper Camp prospect in 2011.

#### WISCONSIN-TEDDY PROSPECT

#### **Summary**

The Wisconsin-Teddy is an exploration project without known ore reserves. The project area lies north of the New Jersey mine and is accessed by a local frontage road. Electrical power is available adjacent to the site. The Company's claims cover 83 acres. The claims are unpatented and are on public land administered by the BLM. The project is a base metal exploration project in the Prichard Formation. Several tunnels with an aggregate length of 2,000 feet were driven on the property prior to 1930. This development was related to two veins systems: a copper-gold vein and a zinc-lead-silver vein. Work completed by the Company included the opening of the Teddy underground workings, sampling on the surface and underground, and geologic mapping. Two exploration holes were drilled in the summer of 2003 and anomalous base metal mineralization was found. No exploration work has been completed since 2003 and there are no plans for additional exploration work in 2012.

#### SILVER BUTTON/ROUGHWATER PROSPECT

#### **Summary**

The Silver Button is an exploration project without known ore reserves, covers an area of 20 acres, and is located in the Clark Fork mining district of northern Idaho. Clark Fork is about 96 kilometers north of Kellogg, Idaho. The property was staked by the Company in 2004 and is located in the Lightning Creek drainage. Float collected from over a 100 m length of a vein subcrop on a talus slope contained silver minerals as identified by microscopic and chemical analyses. Access to the site is via foot trail and no electrical power is available at the site. Only preliminary field sampling and claim staking have taken place at the prospect. No additional exploration is planned at this time. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had an investment cost of \$25,500 associated with this property.

#### ITEM 3.

#### **LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

The Company is currently a plaintiff along with Shoshone County, Idaho, and George E. Stephenson in a complaint against the USA, Secretary of the Department of Agriculture, Chief of the Forest Service, etc., for Declaratory Judgment and Quiet Title regarding a public right-of-way for the East Fork of Eagle Creek Road near Murray, Idaho. The complaint was filed on October 5, 2009 in the United States District Court, District of Idaho. The plaintiffs are bringing the action to adjudicate/declare under the Quiet Title Act, and under the Declaratory Judgment Act that the East Fork Eagle Creek Road is a public road as it crosses the lands owned by the USA in accordance with R.S. 2477. A hearing for Partial Summary Judgment was held by the federal court in Coeur d Alene, Idaho in September of 2011. The hearing also included a walking tour of the East Fork of Eagle Creek right-of-way. The Company is currently waiting for the judge s decision regarding the Partial Summary Judgment.

#### ITEM 4.

#### MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Pursuant to Section 1503(a) of the recently enacted Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act ), issuers that are operators, or that have a subsidiary that is an operator, of a coal or other mine in the United States are required to disclose in their periodic reports filed with the SEC information regarding specified health and safety violations, orders and citations, related assessments and legal actions, and mining-related fatalities. During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, the Company had three violations of mandatory health or safety standards that could significantly and substantially (S&S citations) contribute to the cause and effect a mine safety or health hazard under section 104 of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977. These three S&S citations resulted in \$1,146 of penalties being remitted to the Mine Safety and Health Administration. There were no legal actions, mining-related fatalities, or similar events in relation to the Company s United States operations requiring

disclosure pursuant to Section 1503(a) of the Dodd-Frank Act.

#### **PART II**

#### ITEM 5.

#### MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

#### **Market Information**

The Company's Common Stock currently trades on the OTCQB tier of the OTC Market under the symbol "NJMC". The following table sets forth the range of high and low bid prices as reported by the OTCQB for the periods indicated. These quotations represent inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, markdown or commission and may not represent actual transactions.

Year Ending December 31, 2011	High Bid	Low Bid
First Quarter	\$0.32	\$0.24
Second Quarter	\$0.32	\$0.19
Third Quarter	\$0.23	\$0.18
Fourth Quarter	\$0.23	\$0.16
Year Ending December 31, 2010	High Bid	Low Bid
First Quarter	\$0. 29	\$0. 19
Second Quarter	\$0. 28	\$0. 20
Third Quarter	\$0. 26	\$0.18
Fourth Quarter	\$0.35	\$0.18

#### **Shareholders**

As of March 10, 2012 there were approximately 1,200 shareholders of record of the Company's Common Stock.

#### **Dividend Policy**

The Company has not declared or paid cash dividends or made distributions in the past and the Company does not anticipate that it will pay cash dividends or make distributions in the foreseeable future. The Company currently intends to retain and reinvest future earnings, if any, to finance its operations.

#### **Transfer Agent**

The transfer agent for the Company's Common Stock is Columbia Stock Transfer Company, 601 E. Seltice Way Suite 202. Post Falls, Idaho 83854.

#### Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The Company has not adopted an equity compensation plan for the award of options, warrants or rights to employees or non-employees. The Company has in the past issued 2,000 shares as a safety award to each employee, excluding management and directors, three times per year after one year of service has been achieved. At a Board of Directors meeting on November 9, 2009, the Directors approved a compensation plan for the Board of Directors under which each Director receives 25,000 shares of unregistered Common Stock. In 2010 and 2011 these shares were valued at \$5,000, annually. No additional fees are paid for attendance at Board of Directors meetings, committee membership or committee chairmanship Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Categor	Number of securities to be	Weighted-average	Number of securities remaining available for
	issued upon exercise of	exercise price of	future issuance under equity compensation plans
	outstanding options,	outstanding options,	(excluding securities reflected in column (a))

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10-K

	warrants and rights	warrants and rights	
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0	0	0
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0

Occasionally, we pay for goods and services with restricted common stock. Our policy is to determine the fair value of the goods or services, and then issue the number of corresponding shares using the bid price for our common stock as quoted by the OTC Market.

#### **Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company issued 288,000 shares of restricted common stock for cash management and director s fees, equipment, services, exploration, accounts payable, and mining lease payments. A value of \$58,040 (for an average value of \$0.20 per share) was assigned to these fees, services, and equipment. See the statement of shareholders' equity (Item 8 Financial Statements) for a detailed list. The transactions were strictly limited to persons in the United States who met certain minimum financial (accredited investors) or sophistication requirements. In management s opinion, the securities were issued pursuant to exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

#### ITEM 6.

#### SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

#### **ITEM 7**

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

When we use the terms "New Jersey Mining Company," the "Company," "NJMC," "we," "us," or "our," we are referring to New Jersey Mining Company (the "Company") and its subsidiaries, unless the context otherwise requires.

#### **Cautionary Statement about Forward-Looking Statements**

This Report on Form 10-K includes certain statements that may be deemed to be "forward-looking statements." All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K that address activities, events or developments that our management expects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements include discussion of such matters as:

- The amount and nature of future capital, development and exploration expenditures;
- The timing of exploration activities; and
- Business strategies and development of our business plan.

Forward-looking statements also typically include words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "potential," "could" or similar words suggesting future outcomes. These statements are based on certain assumptions and analyses made by us in light of our experience and our perception of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors we believe are appropriate in the circumstances. Such statements are subject to a number of assumptions, risks and uncertainties, including such factors as the volatility and level of metal prices, currency exchange rate fluctuations, uncertainties in cash flow, expected acquisition benefits, exploration mining and operating risks, competition, litigation, environmental matters, the potential impact of government regulations, and other matters related to the mining industry, many of which are beyond our control. Readers are cautioned that forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and that actual results or developments may differ materially from those expressed or implied in the forward-looking statements.

The Company is under no duty to update any of these forward-looking statements after the date of this report. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

#### **Plan of Operation**

The Company is conducting gold exploration in the Gold Belt of the Coeur d Alene Mining District of northern Idaho and it operates a mineral processing plant near Kellogg, Idaho. The financial strategy involves forming joint ventures with partners who contribute cash to earn their equity interest. The strategy includes finding and developing ore reserves of significant quality and quantity to justify investment in mining and mineral processing facilities. The Company s primarily focus is on gold with silver and base metals of secondary emphasis. The Company receives revenue for providing core drilling and engineering services from its joint venture partners, as well as management fees.

All exploration is now being done at the Golden Chest mine. Other exploration properties include the Toboggan, Niagara/Copper Camp, the Coleman, and the Giant Ledge.

Exploration activities at the Golden Chest during 2011 increased substantially compared to prior periods. Exploration activity increased because of the venture agreement with Marathon Gold USA (MUSA) that was signed in December 2010. MUSA contributed \$4,000,000 by the end of 2011 for a 50% ownership of the venture. MUSA has the right to contribute an additional \$3.5 million by November 30, 2012 to take its ownership interest to 60% of the venture. MUSA also has the option to accelerate any of these contributions. During 2011, 11,500 meters of drilling was completed. The Company conducted core drilling operations at the Golden Chest for the venture under a JV with Marathon Gold. In the first quarter of 2012, a 43-101 resource estimate was completed by an independent engineering

firm.

The Toboggan Project is a group of prospects in the Murray, Idaho District that contain gold and silver telluride minerals. The Toboggan Project was being explored by Newmont North America Exploration Limited under a joint venture agreement. Newmont did not complete their earn-in by March 20, 2011 and the joint venture agreement was terminated. Newmont returned all the unpatented claims held by the venture to the Company. The Company is now actively searching for a new joint venture partner to continue exploration of the favorable gold prospects examined by Newmont.

The Niagara copper-silver deposit, also located in the Murray, Idaho area, in the Revett formation was drilled in the 1970 s, and the Company drilled five holes since which expanded the resource. Results of the recent drilling also indicate that gold would be a significant byproduct. Preliminary open pit mining studies have been completed. Early in the fourth quarter of 2011, an option agreement was signed with Desert Copper USA Corp. relating to the Niagara and Copper Camp properties. The terms of the agreement with Desert Copper include an option to purchase the properties from NJMC for \$250,000 and 3.5 million shares of Desert Copper Corporation (the parent of Desert Copper USA Corp.). The option period is five years and Desert Copper is required to make annual payments of \$20,000 to the Company as well as pay all costs associated with the properties. As a result of the option agreement with Desert Copper, the Company exercised its option with Revett Metals Associates to enter a mining agreement for the Niagara property.

The Silver Strand mine was sold in the fourth quarter of 2011 to Shoshone Silver/Gold Mines, Inc. for \$1 million with \$120,000 paid at closing and the remainder to be paid from a 20% share of net profits when the property is put into production.

At the Coleman underground mine, future plans are to conduct further drilling to locate higher grade reserves.

The New Jersey mineral processing plant is being expanded in order to process ore from the nearby Crescent silver mine. A letter of intent to form a joint venture with United Mine Services, Inc. (now United Silver Corp. who owns the Crescent silver mine) was signed in September 2010 and a definitive venture agreement was reached in January 2011. The plant is being expanded from a processing rate of 4 tonnes/hr to 15 tonnes/hr. USC is paying the expansion cost estimated to be \$2.7 million. After completion of the expansion, the Company will own 2/3 of the venture and USC will own 1/3. The Company is the operator of the venture. USC will have a minimum quota of ore of 7,000 tonnes per month and the Company will have 3,000 tonnes per month. Each party will pay its processing costs and the Company will charge a management fee of \$2.50/tonne. Currently, the plant construction is about 90% complete and commissioning will start in the first quarter of 2012 and be completed in the second quarter of 2012.

#### **Changes in Financial Condition**

The Company maintains an adequate cash balance by increasing or decreasing its exploration expenditures as limited by availability of cash from operations or from financing activities. The cash balance at the end of 2011 was \$612,989, and Figure 1 shows the corresponding balances for previous accounting periods.

The cash balance increased during the year, from \$357,317 to \$612,989, primarily due to income from core drilling operations.

#### **Results of Operations**

Income Earned during the development stage (revenue) for 2011 was \$1,453,176 as compared to \$204,737 for 2010. Revenue was higher in 2011 due to drilling and exploration contracting services. Figure 2 depicts net income (loss)

attributable to the Company by quarter which adds to \$77,310 for 2011 compared to a loss of \$540,828 for 2010. The net income for 2011 was higher than 2010 because of higher revenue. 15

There was no gold production in 2011 compared to only 26 ounces in 2010. There are no plans for gold production in 2012 because only exploration activities are planned for the Golden Chest mine.

Plans at the Golden Chest mine include only exploration in 2012 in order to increase resources and reserves before making a production decision.

The New Jersey mineral processing plant will be commissioned in 2012. Development ore from the Crescent mine, owned by United Silver Corp., will be processed during the year.

The amount of money to be spent on exploration at the Company s mines and prospects depends primarily on contributions of our joint venture partners, particularly at the Golden Chest. If new joint venture partners are engaged at the Toboggan Project, exploration activities would increase. Also, Desert Copper may conduct a drilling program under its option agreement at the Niagara and Copper Camp projects.

The Company provides surface drilling services at the Golden Chest and receives payment from Golden Chest LLC. Currently, Golden Chest LLC is funded 50% by Marathon Gold and 50% by the Company. The Company also receives a management fee as Manager of the venture. The Company receives payment for engineering services from United Mine Services for the mill expansion project. After completion of the mill expansion the Company will receive a management fee for processing ore for United Silver Corp. Additional financing activities may be necessary in 2012 if Marathon Gold does not exercise its option to increase its ownership of Golden Chest LLC to 60% by paying \$3.5 million.

#### **Changes in Joint Venture Receivables**

Joint Venture receivables increased in 2011 compared to 2010 as a result of increased activity with the Company's joint ventures.

#### **Changes in Other Current Assets**

Other current assets increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of an increase in prepaid claim fees related to the Niagara property; previously claim fees were paid by Newmont Exploration as part of an exploration agreement that is now expired.

#### **Deposits**

Deposits increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of a deposit on land for the mill that was recorded at the end of 2011.

## Changes in Property, Plant, and Equipment, net of accumulated depreciation

Property, Plant and Equipment increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of increased investment in the New Jersey Mill Joint Venture by our joint venture partner.

#### **Reclamation Bonds**

Reclamation bonds decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 because the Silver Strand property they were associated with was sold by the Company.

#### **Accounts Payable and Accounts Payable United Mine Services**

Accounts payable increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of activity related to the New Jersey Mill Joint Venture expansion.

#### **Accrued Payroll and Related Payroll Expenses**

Accrued payroll and related payroll expenses increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of increased activity related to the GC LLC and the Golden Chest Mine.

#### **Notes Payable**

Notes payable increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of new notes that were incurred by the company in 2011; most notably was a note for \$204,000 for a new core drilling machine that is being utilized on a contract basis by the Company at the Golden Chest Mine to the GC LLC.

#### **Asset Retirement Obligations**

Asset retirement obligations decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of the Silver Strand sale and relief from its related obligation.

#### Noncontrolling interest in New Jersey Mill Joint Venture

Noncontrolling interest in New Jersey Mill Joint Venture increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of investment in the New Jersey Mill Joint Venture by the Company's joint venture partner United Mine Services.

#### **Drilling and Exploration Income**

Drilling and exploration income increased for 2011 compared to 2010 because of drilling activity that was conducted at the Golden Chest under the recently formed Joint Venture with Marathon Gold.

## **Joint Venture Management Fees**

Joint venture management fees are an income item related to the operation of the recently formed Golden Chest joint venture.

#### **Engineering Services Income**

Engineering services income is received from UMS for engineering services provided to them for the expansion of the mill.

#### **Changes in Direct Production Costs**

Direct production costs decreased for 2011 compared to 2010 because efforts have been redirected towards exploration.

#### **Drilling and Exploration Contract Expense**

Drilling and exploration contract expense has increased for 2011 compared to 2010 because of increased drilling activity at the Golden Chest.

#### **Changes in Management Costs**

Management expenses decreased for 2011 compared to 2010 because some of the costs have been absorbed by the joint ventures.

#### **Changes in Exploration Costs**

Exploration expenses decreased for 2011 compared to 2010 because most employees have been redirected to the Golden Chest or drilling activities.

## (Gain) Loss on Sale of Equipment

Gain on sale of equipment decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of the sale of several pieces of equipment in the third guarter of 2010.

#### **Gain on Sale of Mineral Property**

Gain on sale of mineral property decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 because in 2010 the default on a sale of property by a proposed joint venture partner was recorded as a gain and in 2011 the sale of the Silver Strand resulted in a current net loss on that particular transaction. If the buyers follow through with production gains will be recognized as they are earned.

#### **Depreciation**

Depreciation increased in 2011 compared to 2010 most notably because of the new core drill which was placed in service in June 2011.

#### **General and Administrative**

General and administrative expenses have increased in 2011 compared to 2010 because of increased activities involving two new joint ventures.

#### **Interest**

Interest expense has decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 because 2011's interest expense was capitalized as part of the mill expansion.

#### Sales of Common Stock and Exercise of Stock Purchase Warrants

Sales of common stock and exercise of stock purchase warrants have decreased in 2011 compared to 2010 because funding for operations and exploration has been received from drilling and joint venture sources in 2011.

#### ITEM 7A.

#### QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

## **ITEM 8.**

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## **New Jersey Mining Company**

(A Development Stage Company)

## **Table of Contents**

	Page
Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2011 and 2010	<u>20</u>
Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and from inception on July 18, 1996 through December 31, 2011	<u>21</u>
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and for the period from inception on July 18, 1996 through December 31, 2011	<u>22-25</u>
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 and from inception on July 18, 1996 through December 31, 2011	<u>26</u>
Notes to Financial Statements  19	<u>27-35</u>

## **New Jersey Mining Company**

(A Development Stage Company)

## **Consolidated Balance Sheets**

December 31, 2011 and 2010

#### **ASSETS**

ASSETS			
		<u>2011</u>	<u>2010</u>
Current assets:			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	612,989	\$ 357,317
Investment in marketable equity security at market (cost-\$3,868)		19,344	19,344
Joint venture receivables		131,718	11,913
Other current assets		55,442	15,392
Deposits		44,280	
Inventory		18,410	16,381
Total current assets		882,183	420,347
		,	,
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation		3,967,467	1,323,330
Mineral properties, net of accumulated amortization		699,575	871,374
Investment in Golden Chest LLC		553,205	553,205
Reclamation bonds		,	121,133
Total assets	\$	6,102,430	\$ 3,289,389
	7	2,232,123	 2,22,22
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS	EOUI	TY	
Current liabilities:			
Accounts payable	\$	122,060	\$ 42,958
Accrued payroll and related payroll expenses	·	54,367	15,986
Account payable related party		1,500	- ,
Obligations under capital lease, current		30,153	13,246
Notes payable, current		102,151	54,661
Total current liabilities		310,231	126,851
		2 - 2 , _ 2	,
Asset retirement obligation		8,645	29,385
Obligations under capital lease-non-current		58,376	1,403
Notes payable, non-current		308,362	64,720
Total non-current liabilities		375,383	95,508
		2,2,232	20,000
Total liabilities		685,614	222,359
		, -	,
Commitments (Note 5 and 7)			
, ,			
Stockholders equity:			
Preferred stock, no par value, 1,000,000 shares			
authorized; no shares issued or outstanding			
Common stock, no par value, 2011-200,000,000			
2010-50,000,000 shares authorized; 2011-45,305,862 and			
2010-45,017,862 shares issued and outstanding		10,423,469	10,365,429
Deficit accumulated during the development stage		(7,233,754)	(7,313,874)
Accumulated other comprehensive income:		(,,===,,==1)	(.,===,=,:)
Unrealized gain on marketable equity security		15,475	15,475
		,	-2,

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10-K

Total New Jersey Mining Company stockholders equity	y	3,205,190		3,067,030				
Non-controlling interest in New Jersey Mill Joint Venture		2,211,626						
Total stockholders' equity		5,416,816		3,067,030				
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	6,102,430	\$	3,289,389				
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.								

(A Development Stage Company)

**Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss)** 

For the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010,

And from Inception (July 18, 1996) through December 31, 2011

	Decer	mber 3	From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2011	
	2011		2010	(Unaudited)
Income earned during the development stage:				
Sales of gold	\$	\$	11,410	\$ 437,122
Sales of concentrate				601,168
Drilling and exploration contract income	1,242,345		161,305	1,602,260
Joint venture management fee income	79,031			79,031
Engineering services income	131,800		32,022	163,823
Total income earned during the development	1,453,176		204,737	2,883,404
stage				
Costs and expenses:				
Direct production costs	14,237		51,770	1,333,208
Drilling and exploration contract expense	642,478		118,381	849,545
Engineering services expense	39,000		13,090	52,091
Management	86,912		133,596	1,916,539
Exploration	10,850		158,223	2,419,081
Net loss (gain) on sale of or default on mineral	128,602		(50,000)	(281,398)
	120,002		(30,000)	(201,390)
Property  Net gain on sale of equipment	(12,895)		(35,098)	(47,993)
Depreciation and amortization	93,934		59,687	823,714
General and administrative expenses	391,293		302,165	3,085,016
-	1,394,411		751,814	10,149,803
Total operating expenses	1,394,411		731,014	10,149,003
Other (income) expense:				
Timber sales				(54,699)
Timber expense				14,554
Royalties and other income	(17,624)		(15,745)	(105,445)
Royalties expense				44,089
Gain on sale of marketable equity security				(92,269)
Interest income	(921)		(887)	(48,902)
Interest expense			10,383	91,887
Write-off of Goodwill and investment				120,950
Total other (income) expense	(18,545)		(6,249)	(29,835)
Not income (loss)	77 210		(540, 929)	(7.226.564)
Net income (loss)	77,310		(540,828)	(7,236,564)
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest	2,810			2,810
Net income (loss) attributable to The Company	80,120		(540,828)	(7,233,754)
Income tax (provision) benefit				

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10-K

		(2,321)	15,475
\$ 80,120	\$	(543,149) \$	(7,218,279)
\$ Nil	\$	(0.01) \$	(0.31)
45,039,830		42,434,985	23,315,216
\$	\$ Nil	\$ Nil \$	\$ 80,120 \$ (543,149) \$ \$ Nil \$ (0.01) \$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(A Development Stage Company)

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity

For the Years Ended December 31, 2011, and 2010 (audited), and for the Period From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2011 (unaudited)

	Commo Shares	on Stock Amount	Accumulated Deficit	Accum. Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
Issuance of common stock for:						1 0
Assets and liabilities of New Jersey Joint						
Venture Acquisition of	10,000,000	\$ 207,968	\$	\$	\$	\$ 207,968
Plainview Mining						
Company	1,487,748	148,000				148,000
Cash from sales	228,816	110,115				110,115
Services Net loss	14,000		(44,174)			(44,174)
Balance,			(++,17+)			(44,174)
December 31, 1997	11,730,564	466,083	(44,174)			421,909
Issuance of common stock for:	, ,	Í				,
Acquisition of Plainview Mining						
Company	1,512,252	152,000				152,000
Cash from sales Services	117,218 18,000	29,753				29,753
Treasury stock acquired with Plainview acquisition					(136,300)	(136,300)
Net loss			(30,705)		(130,300)	(30,705)
Balance, December 31,			, , ,			
1998	13,378,034	647,836	(74,879)		(136,300)	436,657
Issuance of common stock						
for services	79,300	-				
Net loss			(23,738)			(23,738)
Balance, December 31,	12 457 224	617 926	(09 617)		(126 200)	412.010
Issuance of common stock for:	13,457,334	647,836	(98,617)		(136,300)	412,919

Cilvan Stuand					
Silver Strand	50,000	69.750			69.750
property	50,000	68,750			68,750
Services	62,100	4,313	(20, 402)		4,313
Net loss			(20,492)		(20,492)
Balance,					
December 31,	12.560.424	<b>72</b> 0 000	(110.100)	(126.200)	465,400
2000	13,569,434	720,899	(119,109)	(136,300)	465,490
Issuance of					
common stock					
for:					400.000
Grenfel lease	1,000,000	100,000			100,000
Lost Eagle					
property	50,000	5,000			5,000
Roughwater					
property	255,000	25,500			25,500
Services	68,400	6,840			6,840
Net loss			(6,448)		(6,448)
Balance,					
December 31,					
2001	14,942,834	858,239	(125,557)	(136,300)	596,382
Issuance of					
common stock					
for:					
Cash	1,700,000	255,000			255,000
Services	9,835	1,475			1,475
Directors fees	15,000	2,250			2,250
Acquisition of					
Gold Run Gulch					
Mining Company	1,916,250	273,954			273,954
Net loss, as					
previously					
reported			(51,307)		(51,307)
Balance,					
December 31,					
2002, as					
previously					
reported	18,583,919	1,390,918	(176,864)	(136,300)	1,077,754
Change in					
accounting for					
exploration costs			(9,883)		(9,883)
Correction of					
error in					
accounting for					
stock issuance					
costs		(25,500)	25,500		
Balance,		,			
December 31,					
2002, restated	18,583,919	1,365,418	(161,247)	(136,300)	1,067,871
TI.				1.1 , 10.	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(A Development Stage Company)

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity, continued: For the Years Ended December 31, 2011, and 2010 (audited), and for the Period From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2011 (unaudited)

				Accum. Other		Total
	Common		Accumulated	Comprehensive	Treasury	Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Deficit	Income	Stock	Equity
Balance, December	10.502.010	1 265 410	(161.047)		(126.200)	1 067 071
31, 2002	18,583,919	1,365,418	(161,247)		(136,300)	1,067,871
Issuance of						
common stock for:						
Exercise of stock						
purchase warrants	810,000	200,750				200,750
Cash, net of						
issuance costs	795,000	318,000				318,000
Management and						
directors fees	381,200	144,326				144,326
Equipment	5,000	3,000				3,000
Services	21,915	7,262				7,262
Exploration lease	20,000	8,000				8,000
Treasury stock						
cancelled	(1,947,144)	(136,300)			136,300	
Net loss			(379,274)			(379,274)
Balance, December						
31, 2003	18,669,890	1,910,456	(540,521)		0	1,369,935
Issuance of						
common stock for:						
Exercise of stock						
purchase warrants	1,437,500	398,750				398,750
Cash	1,184,550	511,440				511,440
Management and	, ,	,				,
directors fees	153,460	102,273				102,273
Equipment	28,650	16,476				16,476
Services	26,750	14,550				14,550
Exploration lease	20,000	12,000				12,000
Net loss	20,000	12,000	(922,555)			(922,555)
Balance, December			()22,555)			()22,333)
31, 2004	21,520,800	2,965,945	(1,463,076)		0	1,502,869
Issuance of	21,320,000	2,703,713	(1,103,070)		Ŭ.	1,502,009
common stock for:						
Cash	309,100	125,000				125,000
Exercise of stock	307,100	123,000				123,000
purchase warrants	195,250	78,100				78,100
Management and	173,230	76,100				76,100
directors fees	334,275	132,725				132,725
Services	82,170	37,826				37,826
	04,170	37,820				31,020
Exploration and	140 400	74 221				74,321
lease	149,400	74,321 4,700				
Equipment	11,500	4,700				4,700

Value of shares						
issued in prior						
years		24,050				24,050
Net loss			(590,485)			(590,485)
Balance, December	er					
31, 2005	22,602,495	3,442,667	(2,053,561)		0	1,389,106
Issuance of						
common stock for	:					
Cash	4,521,250	1,368,500				1,368,500
Management and	d					
directors fees	236,480	127,063				127,063
Services	162,860	56,137				56,137
Exploration	10,000	5,750				5,750
Lease	30,000	15,000				15,000
Equipment	23,400	12,200				12,200
Unrealized gain in	l					
marketable equity						
security				911,250		911,250
Net loss			(991,602)			(991,602)
Balance, December	er					
31, 2006	27,586,485	5,027,317	(3,045,163)	911,250	0	2,893,404
Th	e accompanying	notes are an i	ntegral part of these	consolidated financial	l statements.	

(A Development Stage Company)

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity, continued: For the Years Ended December 31, 2011, and 2010 (audited), and for the Period From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2011 (unaudited)

				Accum. Other	Total		
	Common Stock		Accumulated	Comprehensive	Stockholders'		
	Shares	Amount	Deficit	Income	<b>Equity</b>		
Balance, December 31,							
2006	27,586,485	\$ 5,027,317	\$ (3,045,163)	\$ 911,250	\$ 2,893,404		
Issuance of common stock							
for:							
Cash	4,014,761	1,533,319			1,533,319		
Exercise of warrants	200,000	120,000			120,000		
Management and							
directors fees	274,386	142,500			142,500		
Services	52,104	27,157			27,157		
Exploration	52,200	32,560			32,560		
Mineral property							
agreement	60,000	30,000			30,000		
Property, plant and							
equipment	20,756	10,239			10,239		
Accounts payable	30,500	12,205			12,205		
Unrealized gain (loss) in							
marketable equity security				(525,909)	(525,909)		
Net loss			(1,453,268)		(1,453,268)		
Balance, December 31,							
2007	32,291,192	6,935,297	(4,498,431)	385,341	2,822,207		
Issuance of common stock							
for:							
Cash	2,400	950			950		
Exercise of warrants	4,350,000	1,740,000			1,740,000		
Management and							
directors fees	318,700	108,000			108,000		
Services	74,000	32,000			32,000		
Exploration	35,100	15,390			15,390		
Mineral property							
agreement	75,000	21,000			21,000		
Property, plant and							
equipment	14,000	5,600			5,600		
Unrealized gain (loss) in							
marketable equity security				(375,544)	(375,544)		
Net loss			(1,423,829)		(1,423,829)		
Balance, December 31,							
2008	37,160,392	8,858,237	(5,922,260)	9,797	2,945,774		
Issuance of common stock							
for:							
Cash	138,000	34,500			34,500		

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10-K

Management and					
directors fees	1,139,320	334,298			334,298
Services	125,520	29,098			29,098
Exploration	50,000	11,250			11,250
Mineral property					
agreement	72,000	18,000			18,000
Unrealized gain (loss) in					
marketable equity security				7,999	7,999
Net loss			(850,786)		(850,786)
Balance, December 31,					
2009	38,685,232	\$ 9,285,383	\$ (6,773,046) \$	17,796 \$	2,530,133

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

#### **New Jersey Mining Company**

(A Development Stage Company)

Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity, continued: For the Years Ended December 31, 2011, and 2010 (audited), and for the Period From Inception (July 18, 1996) Through December 31, 2011 (unaudited)

	C	G <sub>4</sub> 1		Accum. Other	N C 4 III
	Common Shares	Amount	Accumulated Deficit	Comprehensive Income	Non-Controlling Interest
Balance, December 31, 2009	38,685,232 \$				
Issuance of common stock for:	30,003,232 φ	<b>γ,203,303</b> φ	(0,775,010)φ	11,170 4	,
Cash	5,820,530	980,160			
Exercise of warrants	206,500	33,936			
Management and director's fees	150,000	30,000			
Services	81,000	17,425			
Mineral property agreement	72,000	18,000			
Accounts payable	2,600	525			
Unrealized gain (loss) in marketable					
equity security				(2,321)	
Net loss			(540,828)		
Balance, December 31, 2010	45,017,862	10,365,429	(7,313,874)	15,475	
Contributions from non-controlling equity					
interest in Mill Joint Venture					2,214,436
Issuance of common stock for:					
Cash	22,800	5,000			
Management and director's fees	150,000	30,000			
Services	80,200	16,040			
Exploration	5,000	1,000			
Accounts payable	30,000	6,000			
Net loss attributable to non-controlling					
interest					(2,810)
Net income attributable to The Company			80,120		
Balance, December 31, 2011	45,305,862 \$	10,423,469 \$	(7,233,754)\$	5 15,475 \$	\$ 2,211,626

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

(A Development Stage Company)

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows** 

For the Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010,

And from Inception (July 18, 1996) through December 31, 2011

			From
	<b>.</b>		Inception
	Years En	ided	(July 18,
	Decembe	r 21	1996) through
	Decembe	·	December 31,
			2011
	2011	2010	(Unaudited)
Cash flows from operating activities:	<u>2011</u>	<u> 2010</u>	(Chaaanea)
Net income (loss) \$	77,310 \$	(540,828) \$	(7,236,564)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided (used)			
by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	93,934	59,687	823,714
(Gain) loss on sale of equipment	(12,895)	(35,098)	(36,722)
Write-off of goodwill and investment			120,950
Loss (gain) on sale of mineral property	128,666	(50,000)	(281,334)
Gain on sale of marketable equity security			(92,269)
Accretion of asset retirement obligation	2,892	3,472	7,807
Common stock issued for:			
Management and directors fees	30,000	30,000	1,169,335
Services and other	16,040	17,426	255,874
Exploration	1,000		96,521
Mineral property agreement			15,000
Change in:			
Deposits	(44,280)		(44,280)
Inventory	(2,029)	(14,549)	(18,411)
Joint venture receivables	(119,803)	(11,913)	(131,716)
Other current assets	(40,050)	4,410	(55,442)
Other assets			(778)
Accounts payable	85,084	(19,376)	137,806
Accrued payroll and related payroll expense	38,381	8,827	54,367
Accrued reclamation costs			(1,443)
Net cash provided (used) by operating activities	254,250	(547,942)	(5,217,585)
Cash flows from investing activities:		(2.1.0.12)	( )
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(2,247,252)	(21,043)	(3,352,543)
Purchase (sales) of mineral property	20,000	(3,000)	(3,904)
Proceeds from sale of mineral property	120,000		240,000
Deposit received on sale of mineral property			320,000
Proceeds from sale of equipment	12,676	36,498	49,174
Redemption (purchase) of reclamation bonds	633	(45)	(120,500)
Purchase of marketable equity security			(7,500)
Proceeds from sales of marketable equity securities			95,901
Cash of acquired companies			38,269
Deferral of development costs	(2.002.042)	10.410	(759,209)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	(2,093,943)	12,410	(3,500,312)

Edgar Filing: NEW JERSEY MINING CO - Form 10-K

Cash flows from financing activities:				
Exercise of stock purchase warrants			33,936	2,571,536
Sales of common stock, net of issuance costs		5,000	980,160	5,246,236
Principal payments on capital lease		(17,745)	(11,268)	(212,510)
Principal payments on notes payable		(107,825)	(71,959)	(490,311)
Note and interest payable, related party, net		1,500	(72,107)	1,500
Contributions from noncontrolling equity interest in Mill J	V	2,214,435		2,214,435
Net cash provided by financing activities		2,095,365	858,762	9,330,886
Net change in cash and cash equivalents		255,672	323,230	612,989
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		357,317	34,087	0
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	612,989	\$ 357,317	\$ 612,989
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information				
Interest paid in cash, net of amount capitalized	\$		\$ 10,383	\$ 79,867
Non-cash investing and financing activities:				
Common stock issued for:				
Property, plant and equipment				\$ 50,365
Mineral properties agreement			\$ 18,000	\$ 351,600
Payment of accounts payable	\$	6,000	\$ 525	\$ 18,730
Acquisitions of companies, excluding cash				\$ 743,653
Capital lease obligation incurred for equipment acquired	\$	91,625	\$ 5,625	\$ 275,838
Notes payable for property and equipment acquired	\$	401,763		\$ 884,397
Mineral property transferred to Golden Chest LLC			\$ 553,205	\$ 553,205
Debt relieved from sale of truck	\$	2,785		\$ 2,785

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

New Jersey Mining Company (A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements** 

# 1. Description of Business

New Jersey Mining Company (the Company) was incorporated as an Idaho corporation on July 18, 1996. The Company's primary business is exploring for and developing gold, silver, and base metal mineral resources in the Greater Coeur d Alene Mining District of North Idaho and extending into Western Montana.

The Company has started minor production from high grade reserves located near the surface with the strategy to generate cash to be used for additional exploration to discover major mineral resources on its properties. The Company has not yet developed sufficient reserves to justify investment in a major mine, thus it remains in the development stage.

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### **Development Stage Enterprise**

The Company's financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting guidance for development stage entities as it devotes substantially all of its efforts to acquiring and developing mining interests that will eventually provide sufficient net profits to sustain the Company s existence. Until such interests are engaged in major commercial production, the Company will continue to prepare its financial statements and related disclosures in accordance with entities in the development stage.

In conjunction with development stage disclosure requirements, inception to date figures are included in the financial statements. These figures while labeled unaudited have all been audited by various accounting firms in their respective years. However, they have not as a whole been audited by the current independent registered auditing firm resulting in the unaudited classification.

#### **Accounting for Investments in Joint Ventures**

For joint ventures where the Company holds more than 50% of the voting interest and has significant influence, the joint venture is consolidated with the presentation of noncontrolling interest. In determining whether significant influence exists, the Company considers its participation in policy-making decisions and its representation on the venture s management committee.

For joint ventures in which the Company does not have joint control or significant influence, the cost method is used. Under the cost method, these investments are carried at the lower of cost or fair value. For those joint ventures in which there is joint control between the parties, the equity method is utilized whereby the Company s share of the ventures earnings and losses is included in the statement of operations as earnings in joint ventures and its investments therein are adjusted by a similar amount.

At December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company s percentage ownership and method of accounting for each joint venture is as follows:

	D	ecember 31, 201	11	December 31, 2010			
Joint Venture	% Ownership	Significant Influence?	Accounting Method	% Ownership	Significant Influence?	Accounting Method	
New Jersey Mill Joint Venture	71%	Yes	Consolidated				

Golden Chest LLC	50%	No	Cost	88%	No	Cost
---------------------	-----	----	------	-----	----	------

#### **Principles of Consolidation**

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the company and its partially-owned Mill Joint Venture after elimination of the intercompany accounts and transactions. The minority interest in the joint venture held by United Mine Services is represented as non-controlling interest.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes for items such as depreciation lives and methods, potential impairment of long-lived assets, estimation of asset retirement obligations and reclamation liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

As a development stage company, the Company's revenue from operations is referred to as income earned during the development stage. Revenue is recognized when title and risk of ownership of metals or metal bearing concentrate have passed and collection is reasonably assured. Revenue from the sale of metals may be subject to adjustment upon final settlement of estimated metal prices, weights and assays, and are recorded as adjustments to revenue in the period of final settlement of prices, weights and assays; such adjustments are typically not material in relation to the initial invoice amounts.

#### **Inventory**

Dore' and process inventories are stated at the lower of average cost incurred or net realizable value.

#### **New Jersey Mining Company**

(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements** 

#### 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

#### **Timber Sales**

Revenue from harvest of raw timber is recognized when a contract has been established, the timber has been shipped, and payment is deemed probable. These sales of timber found on the Company s mineral properties are not a part of normal operations.

#### **Drilling and Exploration Contract Income**

Revenue received from drilling and exploration contracts with third parties is recognized when the contract has been established, the services are rendered and collection of payment is deemed probable. These services are not a part of normal operations.

#### Joint Venture Management Fee Income

Income received as the operator of the Company's joint ventures is recognized in the months during which those operations occur.

#### **Engineering Services Income**

Revenue received from engineering services provided is recognized when services are rendered and collection of payment is deemed probable. These services are not a part of normal operations.

#### **Income Taxes**

Income taxes are accounted for under the liability method. Under this method deferred income tax liabilities or assets at the end of each period are determined using the tax rate expected to be in effect when the taxes are expected to be paid or recovered. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the deferred tax assets, if there is uncertainty regarding their realization.

#### **Fair Values of Financial Instruments**

The table below sets forth our financial assets that were accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and their respective hierarchy level. Hierarchy level is determined by segregating fair value measurements using quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1), significant other observable inputs (Level 2), and significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). We had no other assets or liabilities accounted for at fair value at December 31, 2011 or 2010.

	Balance at December 31, 2011	Balance at December 31, 2010	Hierarchy Level
Investments in marketable equity securities	\$19,344	\$19,344	Level 1

The carrying amounts of financial instruments including cash and cash equivalents, reclamation bonds, note payable to related party, obligations under capital lease and notes payable approximate their fair values.

#### **Investment in Marketable Equity Securities**

Marketable equity securities are classified as available for sale and are valued at the market price. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities are recognized on a specific identification basis. Unrealized gains and losses are included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), unless an other than temporary impairment in value has occurred, which would then be charged to current period net income (loss).

#### Net Income (Loss) Per Share

Net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net amount by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year. Diluted net income (loss) per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur from common shares issuable through stock options, warrants, and other convertible securities. For the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the effect of the Company s potential issuance of shares from the exercise of the remaining 6,099,550 warrants would have been anti-dilutive. Accordingly, only basic net loss per share has been presented. Outstanding warrants are discussed in detail in Note 9 of the financial statements.

#### **Reclassifications**

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2011 financial statement presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on net loss or stockholders' equity as previously reported.

#### **Cash and Cash Equivalents**

The Company considers cash in banks and other deposits with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash and cash equivalents.

28

#### **New Jersey Mining Company**

(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements** 

# 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, continued:

#### **Property, Plant and Equipment**

Property, plant and equipment are stated at the lower of cost or estimated net realizable value. Depreciation and amortization are based on the estimated useful lives of the assets and are computed using straight-line or units-of-production methods. The expected useful life of most of the Company s buildings is up to 50 years and equipment life expectancy ranges between 2 and 10 years. When assets are retired or sold, the costs and related allowances for depreciation and amortization are eliminated from the accounts and any resulting gain or loss is reflected in operations.

#### **Mineral Properties**

Significant payments related to the acquisition of mineral properties, mineral rights, and mineral leases are capitalized.

If a commercially mineable ore body is discovered, such costs are amortized when production begins using the units-of-production method based on proven and probable reserves. If no commercially mineable ore body is discovered, or such rights are otherwise determined to have no value, such costs are expensed in the period in which it is determined the property has no future economic value.

#### **Mine Exploration and Development Costs**

The Company expenses exploration costs as such in the period they occur. Mine development costs are capitalized as deferred development costs after proven and probable reserves have been identified. Interest costs incurred during a mine's development stage are capitalized. Amortization is calculated using the units-of-production method over the expected life of the operation based on the estimated recoverable mineral ounces.

#### **Claim Fees**

Unpatented claim fees paid at time of staking are expensed when incurred. Recurring renewal fees which are paid annually are recorded as prepaid and expensed over the course of the year.

#### **Property Evaluations**

The Company evaluates the carrying amounts of its mineral properties, including deferred development costs, for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable from the estimated future cash flows expected to result from its use and eventual disposition. Estimated undiscounted future net cash flows from each mineral property are calculated using estimated future production, three year average metals prices, operating capital and costs, and reclamations costs. An impairment loss is recognized when the estimated future cash flows (undiscounted and without interest) expected to result from the use of an asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset. The Company s estimates of future cash flows are subject to risks and uncertainties. It is reasonably possible that changes in estimates could occur which may affect the expected recoverability of the Company s investments in mineral properties.

#### **Asset Retirement Obligations and Remediation Costs**

Mineral properties are subject to standards for mine reclamation that have been established by various governmental agencies. Asset retirement obligations are related to the retirement of the mine, if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be determined. These obligations are initially measured at fair value with the resulting cost capitalized at the present value of estimated reclamation costs. An asset and a related liability are recorded for the present value of these costs. The liability is accreted and the asset amortized over the life of the related asset. Adjustments are made for changes resulting from either the timing or amount of the original present value estimate underlying the obligation. If there is an impairment to an asset s carrying value and a decision is made to permanently close the property, changes to

the liability are recognized and charged to the provision for closed operations and environmental matters.

#### **Reclamation Bonds**

Various laws and permits require that financial assurances be in place for certain environmental and reclamation obligations and other potential liabilities. The reclamation bond balance at December 31, 2010, represents an investment in U.S. government agency bonds. The bonds are restricted to ensure that reclamation is performed at certain properties where the Company is conducting mining and exploration activities. In the fourth quarter of 2011 the reclamation bond was transferred to Shoshone Silver in conjunction with the Silver Strand sale.

#### **Share Based Compensation or Payments**

All transactions in which goods or services are received for the issuance of shares of the Company s common stock are accounted for based on the fair value of the consideration received or the fair value of the common stock issued, whichever is more reliably measurable.

(A Development Stage Company)

#### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

# 3. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment at December 31, 2011 and 2010, consisted of the following:

	2011	2010
Mill land	\$ 225,289	
Mill building	430,118 \$	128,566
Milling equipment	2,802,925	1,095,330
	3,458,332	1,223,896
Less accumulated depreciation	(80,385)	(80,385)
Total mill	3,377,947	1,143,511
Building and equipment at cost	771,419	479,720
Less accumulated depreciation	(441,308)	(379,038)
Total building and equipment	330,111	100,682
Land	259,409	79,137
Total	\$ 3,967,467 \$	1,323,330

During the year 2011 \$21,792 in interest was capitalized in conjunction with the mill expansion project. See note 7

For years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, milling and other equipment include assets under capital lease amounting to \$97,250 and \$49,763, respectively. The leases are being amortized over the terms of the respective lease. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$8,721 and \$33,740, respectively. One new lease was obtained in 2011 and was personally guaranteed by President Fred Brackebusch and Vice president Grant Brackebusch. At December 31, 2011, the estimated future minimum lease payments under capital leases were as follows:

Year ending December 31,	
2012	\$ 38,242
2013	36,753
2014	27,566
Total	102,561
Less: Amounts representing interest costs	(14,032)
Net present values	88,529
Less: Capital lease obligations-current portion	(30,153)
Long-term capital lease obligations	\$ 58,376

#### 4. Notes Payable

A	at December 31, 2011 and 2010, notes payable are as follows:	2011		2010
	2006 Dodge pickup 60 month note payable, 0.00% interest rate; collateralized by pickup, monthly payments of \$557	\$	\$	2,780
	2011 Dodge pickup 36 month note payable, 0.00% interest rate, collateralized by pickup, monthly payments of \$740	19,220	)	
	2005 Dodge Pickup 48 month note payable, 8.04% interest rate payable monthly, collateralized by pickup, monthly payments of \$420	15,602	2	
	Hagby Diamond Drill 48 month note payable, 8.00% interest rate payable monthly, collateralized by drill, monthly payments of \$4,093			35,642
	Hagby Diamond Drill 36 month note payable, 6.9% interest rate payable monthly, collateralized by drill and guaranteed by President Fred Brackebusch and Vice President Grant Brackebusch,	167,560	)	

		_
monthly payments of \$6,290		
Caterpillar 305 Excavator 48 month note payable, 7.81% interest rate payable monthly, collateralized by excavator, monthly payments of \$956		4,685
Property with shop 36 month note payable, 4.91% interest rate payable monthly, remaining principal of note due in one payment at end of term, monthly payments of \$474	56,025	58,875
Property 39 month note payable, 5.0% interest rate payable monthly, collateralized by property, monthly payments of \$1,000	28,221	
Property 120 month note payable, 11.0% interest rate payable monthly, remaining principal of note due in one payment at end of term, collateralized by property, monthly payments of \$1,122	115,189	
Bobcat 50 month note payable, 0.00% interest rate collateralized by bobcat, monthly payments of \$725	8,696	17,393
	410.510	110.20
Total notes payable	410,513	119,38
Due within one year	102,151	54,66
Due after one year	\$ 308,362 \$	64,72
30		

(A Development Stage Company)

# **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

# 4. Notes Payable, continued

Maturities of debt outstanding at December 31, 2011 are as follows:

2012	\$102,151
2013	149,151
2014	44.049
2015	3,957
2016	1.300
Thereafter	<u>109,905</u>
	<u>\$410,513</u>

# 5. Mineral Properties

Mineral properties and deferred development costs are as follows:

D 1	2.1	201	1
December	3 I	201	ı

			Deferred	
	Properties	]	Development	Total
New Jersey Mine				
Grenfel/Coleman	\$ 365,000	\$	239,792	\$ 604,792
Roughwater	25,500			25,500
Lost Eagle	5,000			5,000
Revett Niagara	42,500			42,500
Copper Camp	42,500			42,500
Less Accumulated				
Amortization	(11,362)		(9,355)	(20,717)
Total	\$ 469,138	\$	230,437	\$ 699,575

Decem	ber	31,	20	)1(	U	
-------	-----	-----	----	-----	---	--

	Deferred					
	Properties	I	Development		Total	
New Jersey Mine						
Grenfel/Coleman	\$ 365,000	\$	239,792	\$	604,792	
Silver Strand	74,704		77,872		152,576	
Roughwater	25,500				25,500	
Lost Eagle	5,000				5,000	
Revett Niagara	52,500				52,500	
Copper Camp	52,500				52,500	
Less Accumulated						
Amortization	(11,743)		(9,751)		(21,494)	
Total	\$ 563,461	\$	307,913	\$	871,374	

#### **Grenfel/Coleman**

The Company's Grenfel property is a leasehold interest covering the mineral rights of 68 acres located at the New

Jersey Mine area of interest. The lease was acquired from Mine Systems Design (MSD) in 2001 in exchange for 1,000,000 shares of the Company s common stock. The 1,000,000 shares were valued at \$0.10 per share, which approximated the market price for the restricted common stock on the date of the lease. MSD is also a major shareholder of the Company and is owned by Fred Brackebusch and Grant Brackebusch, officers and directors of the Company. The lease has a fifteen year term, and includes a 3% net smelter return (NSR) royalty that will be paid to MSD on any production achieved from the property.

The Coleman property is located at the New Jersey Mine area of interest and consists of 62 acres of patented mining claims, mineral rights to 108 acres of fee land, and approximately 130 acres of unpatented mining claims. The Coleman property was acquired in October 2002, with the acquisition of Gold Run Gulch Mining Company. At December 31, 2011 and 2010 deferred development includes asset retirement costs of \$6,341.

#### **Silver Strand**

The Silver Strand mine was sold in the fourth quarter of 2011 to Shoshone Silver/Gold Mines, Inc. for \$120,000 cash paid at closing and \$880,000 to be paid as a 20% share of net profits if and when they put the property in production. The Company recognized a loss on sale of this property of \$128,666 for the year ended December 31, 2011. The reclamation bond held by the company for that property has been transferred to the purchaser.

(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements** 

# 5. Mineral Properties, continued:

#### Roughwater

The Silver Button claim is the remaining property of the ten claims acquired from Roughwater Mining Company. During 2005, the other nine Roughwater unpatented claims were dropped. In 2001, the Company purchased the property through the issuance of 255,000 shares of its common stock to Roughwater Mining Company. The shares were valued at \$0.10 per share, for a total acquisition cost of \$25,500.

#### **Lost Eagle**

Lost Eagle is a gold and silver exploration project consisting of five claims covering 100 acres of federal land administered by the U.S. Forest Service. In 2001, the Company issued 50,000 shares of stock to an individual to acquire the property. The shares were valued at \$0.10 per share for a total acquisition cost of \$5,000.

#### **Revett Niagara/Copper Camp**

The Company has a mining agreement for Revett Niagara and an exploration agreement with the option to convert to a mining agreement for Copper Camp with Revett Metals Associates (RMA) for 18 unpatented claims. In October of 2011, the Company signed an exploration agreement and option to purchase mining claims with Desert Copper USA Corporation (DUSA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Desert Copper Corporation, a Canadian corporation. The agreement covers the Niagara project and the Copper Camp project. The agreement gives DUSA the exclusive right to purchase from NJMC the rights held by the Company to the properties at any time for a term of five years for the sum of \$250,000 plus 3,500,000 shares of Desert Copper Corporation. At closing DUSA, made an initial payment of \$42,800 and the agreement calls for an annual payment of \$20,000 on each anniversary of the agreement during the option period. A minimum work expenditure of \$250,000 is also called for by the agreement. DUSA is also required to maintain, in good standing, the underlying mining agreement with Revett Metals Associates (RMA).

#### **Wisconsin Teddy**

The Wisconsin Teddy is an exploration project that lies north of the New Jersey Mine and covers 83 acres of unpatented claims on federal land administered by the U.S. BLM. The project has no carrying value.

#### 6. Asset Retirement Obligation

The Company has established asset retirement obligations associated with the ultimate closing of its properties. Below is a reconciliation as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 of the Company s asset retirement obligations. The estimated reclamation costs were discounted using a credit adjusted, risk-free interest rate of 5.6%.

	2011	2010
Balances at January 1	\$ 29,385	\$ 25,913
Changes in obligations due to sale of Silver Strand	(23,632)	
Accretion expense	2,892	3,472
Balances at December 31	\$ 8,645	\$ 29,385

#### 7. Mining and Milling Venture Agreements

#### Golden Chest LLC ("GC")

In December of 2010, a limited liability company (LLC) was formed between the Company and Marathon Gold USA (MUSA). MUSA's contribution to GC is \$4,000,000 paid in installments ending on November 30, 2011. The Company's contribution to GC with a carrying value of \$553,205 includes all of the its interests in the Golden Chest mine, including unpatented claims and some mining equipment. In connection with this transaction, the 2005 mining

leases with Metaline Contact Mines, J.W. Beasley Interests, LLC, and Prichard Creek Resource Partners, LLC (see Note 5) were cancelled in December 2010. GC purchased the patented mining claims from Metaline Contact Mines, J.W. Beasley Interests, LLC, and Prichard Creek Resource Partners, LLC for \$3.75 million with \$500,000 paid at closing in December 2010 and the remainder due under a Promissory Note and Mortgage at the rate of \$500,000 per year with the \$250,000 balance due in the seventh and final year. The sellers have a first mortgage on the mine as security for future payments owing. Marathon may exercise its option to increase ownership to 60% by paying an additional 3.5 million before November 30, 2012. Funding for the exploration and drilling activities in 2011 was paid by Marathon's buy-in funds and future funding for the venture will be paid by each partner at a percentage equal to their ownership.

#### **Newmont Venture Agreement**

In April of 2011 Newmont North American Exploration (Newmont) withdrew from the Toboggan joint venture it held with the Company on claims held in the Murray, Idaho District. Newmont quitclaimed the entire land package and transferred to the Company all exploration data obtained during the three years of work that was conducted on the project.

#### **New Jersey Mill Venture Agreement**

The Company has one subsidiary. In January 2011, the New Jersey Mill Venture agreement was signed by the Company and United Mine Services, Inc. (UMS) relating to the New Jersey mineral processing plant. To earn a one third interest in the venture, UMS provided funding to expand the processing plant to 15 tonnes/hr which is estimated to cost \$2.5 million. The proposed expansion budget included purchasing land held by the Company, known as the Zanetti Mining Lease, which was cancelled by the purchase of the land. The Company is the operator of the venture and will charge operating costs to UMS for milling its ore up to 7,000 tonnes/month, retain a milling capacity of 3,000 tonnes/month, and as the operator of the venture receive a fee of \$2.50/tonne milled. UMS has contributed \$2,214,436 as of December 31, 2011.

(A Development Stage Company)

#### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

#### 8. Income Taxes

The Company did not recognize a provision (benefit) for income taxes for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

At December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company had deferred tax assets principally arising from the net operating loss carry forwards for income tax purposes multiplied by an expected rate of 40%. As management of the Company cannot determine that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefit of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance equal to the deferred tax asset has be established at December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The significant components of the deferred tax asset at December 31, 2011 and 2010 were as follows:

	D	ecember 31, 2011	De	ecember 31, 2010
Deferred tax asset				
Net operating loss carry forward	\$	2,985,000	\$	3,041,000
Exploration costs		107,000		99,000
Total deferred tax assets		3,092,000		3,140,000
Valuation allowance		(2,661,000)		(2,742,000)
Net		431,000		398,000
Deferred tax liabilities				
Development		(29,000)		(20,000)
Property, plant, and equipment		(402,000)		(378,000)
Total deferred tax liabilities		(431,000)		(398,000)
Net deferred tax asset	\$		\$	

At December 31, 2011 and 2010 the Company had net operating loss carry forwards of approximately \$7,462,000 and \$7,602,000 respectively for both federal and the state of Idaho, which expire in the years 2016 through 2030.

The income tax benefit shown in the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 differs from the statutory rate as follows:

	December Dec		December
		31,	31,
		2011	2010
Provision (benefit) at statutory rate	\$	26,000	\$ (184,000)
State taxes, net of federal taxes		6,000	(41,000)
Permanent differences		49,000	-
Increase (decrease) in valuation allowance		(81,000)	225,000
Total provision (benefit)	\$	0 3	\$ 0

We have determined that we are open to examination of our income tax filings in the United States and state jurisdictions for the 2008 through 2010 tax years. In the event that the Company is assessed penalties and or interest, penalties will be charged to other operating expense and interest will be charged to interest expense. We have recognized that certain tax positions taken in the 2008 through 2010 tax years could result in minor adjustments to our exploration and development costs for tax purposes. However, these adjustments would not result in a tax provision, only revision to the net operating loss carry forward balance.

#### **New Jersey Mining Company**

(A Development Stage Company)

#### **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements**

# 9. Equity

The Company has authorized 200,000,000 and 50,000,000 shares of no par common stock at December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively. In addition, the Company has authorized 1,000,000 shares of no par preferred stock, none of which had been issued at December 31, 2011 or 2010.

#### **Private Placements**

During 2011, 22,800 shares of common stock were sold at \$0.22 per share for \$5,000 in cash. The following private placements occurred in 2010

In March of 2010 the Company completed a private placement which was initiated in September of 2009, each unit selling for \$0.17 and consisting of one share of restricted common stock and one common stock purchase warrant, whereby each warrant may purchase one share of the Company s unregistered common stock at \$0.30 until January 31, 2013. A total of 3,658,530 units were sold in the private placement and resulted in net proceeds of \$563,670. Of those units, 138,000 were issued in 2009 for net proceeds of \$34,500.

In August of 2010, the Company sold 300,000 units at \$0.17 per unit, providing net proceeds of \$51,000 to the Company. Each unit consists of one share of restricted common stock and one common stock purchase warrant, whereby each warrant may purchase one share of the Company s unregistered common stock at \$0.30 until January 31, 2013.

In December of 2010, the Company sold 2,000,000 units at \$0.20 per unit, providing net proceeds of \$399,990 to the Company. Each unit consists of one share of restricted common stock and one common stock purchase warrant, whereby each warrant may purchase one share of the Company s unregistered common stock at \$0.30 until January 31, 2013.

#### **Exercise of Stock Purchase Warrants**

No common stock purchase warrants were exercised in 2011. During 2010 common stock purchase warrants were exercised by warrant holders that had purchased units of common stock and common stock purchase warrants during the Company's previous private placement offerings. During 2010, the Company issued 206,500 shares of its restricted common stock at \$0.17 per share, generating net proceeds of \$33,936 pursuant to the exercise of these warrants.

#### **Stock Purchase Warrants Outstanding**

No common stock purchase warrant activity occurred in 2011. Transactions in common stock purchase warrants for the year ended December 31, 2010, are as follows:

	Number of	Exercise
	Warrants	Prices
Balance, December 31, 2009	363,250 \$	0.40-0.60
Issued in connection with private placement	5,961,550	0.30
Exercised	(206,500)	.17
Expired	(18,750)	0.60
Balance, December 31, 2010 and 2011	6,099,550	0.30-0.40

These warrants expire as follows:

Shares	<b>Exercise Price</b>	<b>Expiration Date</b>
138,000	\$0.40	October 19, 2012
5,961,550	\$0.30	January 31, 2013
6,099,550		·

#### **Common Stock issued For Cash**

During 2011, the Company issued 22,800 shares of its restricted common stock for \$5,000 in cash.

#### Common Stock Issued for Property, Plant and Equipment

During 2010, the Company issued 72,000 shares of its restricted common stock for mineral properties. The Company recorded \$18,000 based upon the value of the shares issued.

#### Common Stock Issued for Services, and Exploration

During 2011 and 2010, the Company issued 85,200 and 81,000 shares, respectively, of its restricted common stock for exploration, and other services rendered the Company. The Company recorded \$17,040 and \$17,425, respectively, based upon the value of the services rendered and the shares issued.

#### **Common Stock Issued in Exchange for Accounts Payable**

During 2011 and 2010, the Company issued 30,000 and 2,600 shares of its restricted common stock in satisfaction of accounts payable. The Company recorded \$6,000 and \$525, respectively, based upon the fair value of the accounts payable and the shares issued.

**New Jersey Mining Company** 

(A Development Stage Company)

**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements** 

# 10. Related Party Transactions

Fred Brackebusch is President, Treasurer, and a Director of the Company. Grant Brackebusch, Fred Brackebusch's son, is the Vice-President and a Director of the Company. Grant Brackebusch's wife, Tina Brackebusch, is the Company's Corporate Secretary. Fred Brackebusch and Grant Brackebusch own 89.6% and 10.4%, respectively of Mine Systems Design, Inc. ("MSD"), a firm that has various related party transactions with the Company.

In addition to the related party transactions described in Notes 5 and 7, the Company had the following transactions with related parties:

- During 2011 and 2010, the Company issued 25,000 shares of its restricted stock valued at \$5,000 each year, to Tina Brackebusch for services as the Corporate Secretary.
- During 2011 and 2010, the Company issued 125,000 shares, of its restricted common stock to members of the Board of Directors for their services as directors. These stock awards were recorded as directors' fees of \$25,000 each year, based upon the estimated value of the shares issued and services rendered. Fred and Grant Brackebusch each received 25,000 shares in 2011 and 2010, all valued at \$0.20 per share for \$5,000 each per year as Directors of the Company.
- During 2011 and 2010, the Company paid \$6,000 to MSD for office rent.

The Company jointly owns GC with Marathon Gold USA (MUSA) and acts as the operator of the property. Accounts receivable are a part of normal operations which include operating costs, payroll, drilling costs, and drilling income. As of December 31, 2011, a related party account receivable existed with MUSA and GC for \$97,794. In addition, income and expense items for the twelve month period ended December 31, 2011 related to MUSA and GC were as follows:

Drilling and exploration contract	\$ ]	1,242,345
income		
Joint Venture Management fees	\$	79,031
income		
Drilling and exploration contract	\$	642,478
expense		

Engineering services income includes engineering services provided to UMS. UMS holds the noncontrolling interest in the Company's New Jersey Mill Joint Venture. Engineering services to UMS in the twelve month period ending December 31, 2011 were \$131,800 and are included in consolidated revenues after the effects of consolidation of noncontrolling interest. As of December 31, 2011, a related party account receivable existed with the Mill Joint Venture and UMS for \$33,924.

#### 11. Investment in Marketable Security

In 2006, the Company purchased 1,875,000 common shares of Gold Crest Mines Inc for \$7,500. No shares were sold in 2011 or 2010.

At December 31, 2011, the Company held 967,180 of these shares with a market value of \$0.02 per share, for a total market value of \$19,344. At December 31, 2011, the excess market value of \$15,475 over the \$3,868 remaining cost basis of the shares was recognized as accumulated other comprehensive income in the equity section of the Company s balance sheet.

#### ITEM 9

# CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

#### ITEM 9A.

#### CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

At December 31, 2011, our President who also serves as our Chief Accounting Officer evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), which disclosure controls and procedures are designed to insure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within required time periods specified by the Securities & Exchange Commission rules and forms.

Based upon that evaluation, it was concluded that our disclosure controls were effective as of December 31, 2011, to ensure timely reporting with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Specifically, the Company s corporate governance and disclosure controls and procedures provided reasonable assurance that required reports were timely and accurately reported in our periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

#### Management s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The management of New Jersey Mining Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. This internal control system has been designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company s management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of the Company s published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

The management of New Jersey Mining Company has assessed the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011. To make this assessment, we used the criteria for effective internal control over financial reporting described in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework*, issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our assessment, we believe that, as of December 31, 2011, the Company s internal control over financial reporting is effective.

Fred Brackebusch, President, CEO and CFO New Jersey Mining Company

Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by

collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and any design may not succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

This Annual Report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by our registered public accounting firm subject to Section 404(c) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, as amended, which permit us as an issuer that is neither a large accelerated filer or an accelerated filer to provide only management's report in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitation of a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

#### Changes in internal control over financial reporting

The President and Principal Accounting Officer conducted evaluations of our internal controls over financial reporting to determine whether any changes occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting. There was no material change in internal control over financial reporting in the quarter ended December 31, 2011.

#### ITEM 9B

#### OTHER INFORMATION

None.

#### **PART III**

#### **ITEM 10.**

#### DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE;

Name & Address	Age	Position	Date First Elected
Fred W. Brackebusch P.O. Box 1019 Kellogg, Idaho 83837	67	President, Director & Treasurer	7/18/1996
Grant A. Brackebusch P.O. Box 131 Silverton, ID 83867	42	Vice President & Director	7/18/1996
Ivan R. Linscott 7150 Burke Road Wallace, ID 83873	69	Director	9/21/2004
William C. Rust <sup>(1)</sup> P.O. Box 648 Wallace, ID 83873	65	Director	9/21/2004
M. Kathleen Sims <sup>(1)</sup> 2745 Seltice Way Coeur d Alene, ID 83814	67	Director	9/25/2003
Tina C. Brackebusch P.O. Box 131 Silverton, ID 83867	42	Secretary	1/1/1997

<sup>(1)</sup> Member of the Audit Committee

Directors are elected by shareholders at each annual shareholders meeting to hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders or until their respective successors are elected and qualified.

**Fred W. Brackebusch, P.E.** has served as Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and Treasurer of the Company since 1996. He has a B.S. and an M.S. in Geological Engineering both from the University of Idaho. He is a consulting engineer with extensive experience in mine development, mine backfill, mine management, permitting, process control, and mine feasibility studies. He has over 40 years of experience in the Coeur d'Alene Mining District, about half of which was with Hecla Mining Co. He has been the principal owner of Mine Systems Design, Inc., a mining consulting business which is a large shareholder in the Company, since 1987.

**Grant A. Brackebusch, P.E.** has served as the Vice President and a Director of the Company since 1996. He holds a B.S. in Mining Engineering from the University of Idaho. He worked for Newmont Gold Co. on the Carlin Trend in open pit mine planning and pit supervision for three years. He also has worked with Mine Systems Design, Inc. performing various engineering and geotechnical tasks. He has worked for New Jersey Mining Company since 1996.

Currently he supervises the daily operations of the exploration program at the Golden Chest, but also has experience with NJMC in mill operations, engineering, and environmental permitting.

**Ivan R. Linscott, PhD** has served as a Director of the Company since 2004. He is a physicist at Stanford University. He is a Senior Research Associate for radioscience spacecraft instrument development and is Co-Investigator and Science Team Member for the New Horizons Mission to encounter the planet Pluto. Dr. Linscott has a strong interest in doing research on exploration techniques in the Coeur d Alene Mining District. He has made significant contributions to the Company s exploration program by performing geophysical surveys on the Company s properties with the innovative use of experimental geophysical techniques.

William C. Rust has served as a Director of the Company since 2004. He is a metallurgical engineer with extensive experience in the Silver Valley. He worked for Asarco as Chief Metallurgist. Later he worked for CoCa mines at the Grouse Creek mine in Central Idaho and for McCulley, Frick, and Gilman, an environmental consulting firm. He was with Getchell Gold Inc. in Nevada where he was Mill Manager and Senior Metallurgist for a 3,200 ton/day gold plant. Currently, Mr. Rust is self-employed as a metallurgical engineering consultant. Mr. Rust is a member of the Audit Committee.

M. Kathleen Sims has served as a Director of the Company since 2003. She is a successful businesswoman who is majority owner of a Honda car dealership in Coeur d Alene, Idaho. She is currently a Congresswoman and formerly a State Senator in the Idaho Legislature. She is a former member of the State of Idaho Human Rights Commission and is active in the Idaho Republican Party. She has extensive experience in starting a business with all the necessary experience in financing, business plans and management. Ms. Sims is the chairperson of the Audit Committee.

**Tina C. Brackebusch** has served as Secretary of the Company since 1996. She has served as Office Manager for the Company since 1996. She holds a B.S. in Secondary Education from the University of Idaho and teaches English at Wallace High School.

#### **Family Relationships**

Fred W. Brackebusch is the father of Grant A. Brackebusch. Tina C. Brackebusch is the wife of Grant A. Brackebusch.

#### **Legal Proceedings**

No Director or Officer has been involved in any legal action involving the Company for the past five years.

#### Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Under Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and the regulations thereunder, the Company s Directors, Executive Officers and beneficial owners of more than 10% of any registered class of the Company s equity securities are required to file reports of their ownership of the Company s securities and any changes in that ownership with the SEC.

Based solely on our review of the copies of such forms received by us, or written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that during fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors and greater than 10% percent beneficial owners were complied with, except for the following:

NAME & NATURE OF AFFILIATION	LATE REPORTS	REPORTS NOT FILED
Fred W. Brackebusch, Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer & Treasurer	Form 4 (one)	N/A
Grant A. Brackebusch, Vice-President & Director	Form 4 (one)	N/A
Ivan R. Linscott, Director	Form 4 (one)	N/A
William C. Rust, Director	Form 4 (one)	N/A
M. Kathleen Sims, Director	Form 4 (one)	N/A

#### **Code of Ethics**

The Company adopted a Code of Ethics at a Board of Directors meeting on December 9, 2003, that applies to the Company's executive officers. It can be found at the Company s website <a href="https://www.newjerseymining.com">www.newjerseymining.com</a>. The Company also adopted a Code of Ethics for all employees at the Board of Directors meeting on February 18, 2008.

# **Board Committee**

At a Board of Directors meeting on September 21, 2004, the Directors approved an audit committee comprised of William C. Rust and M. Kathleen Sims. Each member of the audit committee is deemed to be an independent director as that term is defined in Rule 4200(a)(14) of the NASD s listing standards. M. Kathleen Sims is the Audit Committee Financial Expert as defined by Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The Board adopted an audit committee pre-approval policy. The audit committee is required to pre-approve the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor in order to assure that the provision of such services do not impair the auditor s independence.

#### **Board Nomination Procedures**

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the registrant's board of directors.

#### **ITEM 11.**

#### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

# **Compensation of Officers**

A summary of cash and other compensation for Fred Brackebusch, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer, and Grant Brackebusch, the Company s Vice President, (the Named Executive Officers ), for the two most recent years is as follows:

# **Executive Officer Summary Compensation Table**

Name & Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards <sup>1</sup> (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Nonequity Incentive Plan Compensa- tion (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensa- tion (\$)	Total (\$)
Fred Brackebusch President	2011 2010	65,000 30,000	0	5,000 5,000	0	0	0	0	70,000 35,000
Grant Brackebusch Vice Pres.	2011 2010	114,800 67,708	0	5,000 5,000	0	0	0	0	119,800 72,708

<sup>(1)</sup> Stock Awards include fees earned as Directors. The Company has valued all Stock Awards granted at fair value as computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718

The compensation of the Named Executive Officers has been set by disinterested members of the Board of Directors to a level competitive with other mining companies of similar size with similar types of operations. The executive stock compensation is for services as directors. The Company does not have a retirement plan for its executive officers and there is no agreement, plan or arrangement that provides for payments to executive officers in connection with resignation, retirement, termination or a change in control of the Company.

#### **Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end**

The Company does not currently award the Named Executive Officers options to purchase the Company s shares, and there were no outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2011.

#### **Director Compensation**

A summary of compensation for the Company s non-employee Directors, including Ivan R. Linscott, William C. Rust and M. Kathleen Sims for the two most recent years is as follows:

#### **Director Compensation Table**

Name <sup>1</sup>	Year	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards 2 (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Nonequity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensa- tion (\$)	Total (\$)
Ivan R.	2011	0	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
Linscott	2010	0	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
William	2011	0	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
C. Rust	2010	0	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
M.	2011	0	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000
Kathleen Sims	2010	0	5,000	0	0	0	0	5,000

- (1) Directors Fred W. Brackebusch and Grant A. Brackebusch are executive officers of the Company, therefore, disclosure regarding their compensation as Directors is included in the Executive Officer Compensative Table above.
- (2) As discussed below, the Directors are each awarded 25,000 common shares of restricted stock as annual compensation. The Company valued the awards granted at fair value as computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718.

At a Board of Directors meeting on November 9, 2009, the Directors approved a compensation plan for the Board of Directors under which each Director receives 25,000 shares of unregistered Common Stock. In 2010 and 2011 these shares were valued at \$5,000, annually. No additional fees are paid for attendance at Board of Directors meetings, committee membership or committee chairmanship. On occasion, Directors are retained for consulting services unrelated to their duties as Directors. These consulting services are either paid in cash or with unregistered Common Stock according to the Company s policy for share-based payment of services.

The Company does not have a retirement plan for its Directors and there is no agreement, plan or arrangement that provides for payments to Directors in connection with resignation, retirement, termination or a change in control of the Company.

# SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth information as of March 1, 2012 regarding the shares of Company Common Stock beneficially owned by: (i) each person known by the Company to own beneficially more than 5% of the Company s Common Stock; (ii) each Director of the Company; (iii) the CEO and CFO of the Company (the Named Executive Officers ); and (iv) all Directors and the Named Executive Officers of the Company as a group. Except as noted below, each holder has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares of the Company Common Stock listed as owned by that person.

#### **Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

Title of Class	Name and Address Of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Owner	Percent of Class <sup>(1)</sup>
Common	Fred W. Brackebusch P.O. Box 1019 Kellogg, Idaho 83837	7,900,077 indirect (a) 1,832,861 direct	21.48%
Common	Constance Meisel 105 East Atlantic Avenue Delray Beach, FL 33444	3,158,607	6.97%
Common	William Ritger 750 Ocean Royale Way Juno Beach, FL 33408	3,161,425	6.98%

#### **Security Ownership of Management**

Title of Class	Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Owner	Percent of Class <sup>1</sup>
Common	Fred W. Brackebusch 89 Appleberg Road Kellogg, Idaho 83837	7,900,077 indirect (a) 1,832,861 direct	21.48%
Common	Grant A. Brackebusch 89 Appleberg Road Kellogg, Idaho 83837	916,973 indirect (b) 750,920 direct	3.68%
Common	Ivan R. Linscott, Director 7150 Burke Road Wallace, Idaho 83873	185,500	0.41%
Common	William C. Rust, Director P.O. Box 648 Wallace, Idaho 83873	145,000	0.32%
Common	M. Kathleen Sims, Director 2745 Seltice Way Coeur d Alene, Idaho 83814	158,000	0.35%
Common	All Directors and Executive Officers as a group (5 individuals)	11,889,331	26.24%

<sup>(1)</sup> Based upon 45,305,862 outstanding shares of common stock at March 1, 2012.

- (a) Fred Brackebusch owns 89.6% of Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) which is an S corporation that owns 8,817,050 common shares of the Company. Neither MSD nor Fred Brackebusch has the right to acquire any securities pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.
- (b) Grant Brackebusch owns 10.4% of Mine Systems Design, Inc. (MSD) which is an S corporation that owns 8,817,050 common shares of the Company. Neither MSD nor Grant Brackebusch has the right to acquire any securities pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.

None of the Directors or Officers has the right to acquire any securities pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights. No shares are pledged as security.

#### **Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Plans**

The Company does not have an equity compensation plan for issuance of warrants, options or rights. The Company occasionally pays for goods or services with unregistered Common Stock and uses the average bid price of the stock, as quoted on the OTCQB, at the time to determine the number of shares to be issued.

#### **Changes in Control**

None.

#### **ITEM 13.**

#### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

#### **Certain Relationships and Related Transactions**

During each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, the Company issued 150,000 shares of its unregistered common stock to members of the Board of Directors and Officers for their services. These stock awards were recorded as directors' fees of \$\$25,000, annually, for directors and \$5,000, annually, for management based upon the estimated value of the shares issued and services rendered. Fred, Grant, and Tina Brackebusch each received 25,000 shares in 2011 and 2010 as Directors or Officers in each respective year.

#### **Director Independence**

The Board of Directors has determined that each of the following Directors is an independent director as such term is defined by the rules of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), and the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC): Ivan R. Linscott, William C. Rust, and M. Kathleen Sims. These three Directors comprise a majority of the Board of Directors. The rules of FINRA and the SEC generally provide that an independent director is a person other than an officer or employee of the Company or any individual having a relationship that, in the opinion of the Board of Directors, would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a Director. The FINRA rules also provide specific criteria that, if met, disqualify a director from being independent.

The Board of Directors does not have separately designated nominating or compensation committees. The entire Board performs these functions. At a Board of Directors meeting on September 21, 2004, the Directors approved an audit committee comprised of William C. Rust and M. Kathleen Sims. Each member of the audit committee is deemed to be an independent director as that term is defined in Rule 4200(a)(14) of the NASD s listing standards. M. Kathleen Sims is the chairperson of the Audit Committee and the Audit Committee Financial Expert as defined by Section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

#### **ITEM 14.**

#### PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

#### **Audit Fees**

The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the Company s principal accountant for the audit of the annual financial statements included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 and the review for the financial statements included in the Company s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q during those fiscal years, were \$32,114 and \$23,948 respectively.

#### **Audit Related Fees**

The Company incurred no fees during the last two fiscal years for assurance and related services by the Company s principal accountant that were reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the Company s financial statements, and not reported under Audit Fees above.

#### **Tax Fees**

The Company incurred no fees during the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by the Company s principal accountant for tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

#### **All Other Fees**

The Company incurred no other fees during the last two fiscal years for products and services rendered by the Company s principal accountant.

# **Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies**

The Board of Directors has adopted an audit committee pre-approval policy. The audit committee is required to pre-approve the audit and non-audit services performed by the independent auditor in order to assure that the provision of such services do not impair the auditor s independence.

#### **PART IV**

#### **ITEM 15.**

#### **EXHIBITS**

(3)(i)	Articles of Incorporation-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein.
(3)(ii)	Bylaws-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein.
(10)(1)	Lease Agreement with William Zanetti-Filed as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein.
(10)(2)	Articles of Merger For Plainview Mining Company Inc. and New Jersey Mining CoFiled as an exhibit to the registrant's registration statement on Form 10-SB (Commission File No. 000-28837) and incorporated by reference herein.
(10)(3)	Lease Agreement with Mine Systems Design, IncFiled as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2001 and incorporated by reference herein.
(10)(4)	Articles of Merger for Gold Run Gulch Mining Company and New Jersey Mining CoFiled as an exhibit to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002 and incorporated by reference herein.

(10)(5)	Exploration Agreement and Option to Lease between Paymaster Resources, Inc. and New Jersey Mining Company with the approval of J.W. Beasley Interests LLCFiled as an exhibit to the registrant s annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated by reference herein.
(10)(6)	Exploration Agreement and Option to Lease between Prichard Creek Resource Partners LLC and New Jersey Mining CompanyFiled as an exhibit to the registrant s annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated by reference herein.
(10)(7)	Exploration Agreement and Option to Convert to Mining Agreement between RMA and New Jersey Mining Company. Filed as an exhibit to the registrant s annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006 and incorporated by reference herein.
(10)(8)	Exploration Agreement and Option to Convert to Mining Agreement between RMA and New Jersey Mining Company. Filed as an exhibit to the registrant s annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2007.
(14)	Code of EthicsFiled as an exhibit to the registrant s annual report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003, and incorporated by reference herein.
(16)	Letter on Change in Certifying AccountantFiled as an 8-K report on December 10, 2003 and later filed as an 8-K/A on February 2, 2004, and incorporated by reference herein.
(31)	Rule 13a-15(e)/15d-15(e) Certifications
(31)(i)	Certification of Fred W. Brackebusch
(32)	Section 1350 and Rule 13a-15(d) Certifications
(32)(i)	Certification of Fred W. Brackebusch
(99)(i)	Audit Committee Pre-Approval PoliciesFiled as an exhibit to the registrant s annual report on Forn 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 and incorporated by reference herein.

#### **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

New Jersey Mining Company

Date: March 26, 2012 By /s/ FRED W. BRACKEBUSCH

Fred W. Brackebusch, President, Treasurer & Director

Date: March 26, 2012 By /s/ GRANT A. BRACKEBUSCH

Grant A. Brackebusch, Vice President & Director

Date: March 26, 2012 By /s/ IVAN R. LINSCOTT

Ivan R. Linscott, Director

Date: March 26, 2012 By /s/ WILLIAM C. RUST

William C. Rust, Director

Date: March 26, 2012 By /s/ M. KATHLEEN SIMS

M. Kathleen Sims, Director

42