

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC  
Form 10KSB  
April 17, 2006

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005

Commission file number 0-27445

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
-----

(Name of Small Business Issuer in its Charter)

Idaho  
-----

83-0266517  
-----

(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

821 NW 57th Place, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309  
-----

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(954) 958-9968  
-----

(Issuer's Telephone Number)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class -----	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered -----
None	

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$.001 par value  
-----

(Title of Class)

Check whether issuer is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act. [ ]

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [ ]

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB. [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [ ] No[X]

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

State issuer's revenues for its most recent fiscal year. \$128,070

State the aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the stock was sold, or the average

bid and asked prices of such stock, as of a specified date within the past 60 days (\$.68 as of April 12, 2006). \$6,808,886.92

### APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE REGISTRANTS

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: December 31, 2005: 19,459,735 Shares of Common Stock.

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

- None -

Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format (Check One) Yes [ ] No [X]

### Table of Contents

	Page
	-----
PART I	
-----	
Item 1. Description of Business	1
Item 2. Description of Property	8
Item 3. Legal Proceedings	8
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	8
PART II	
-----	
Item 5. Market for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	9
Item 6. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Plan of Operations	11
Item 7. Financial Statements	18
Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	18
Item 8A. Controls and Procedures	18
Item 8B. Other Information	18
PART III	
-----	
Item 9. Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	19
Item 10. Executive Compensation	21

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Item 11.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	23
Item 12.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	24
Item 13.	Exhibits	24
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	25

### PART I

#### Item 1. Description of Business

##### Our History

Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc. (the "Company") was incorporated in Idaho on October 19, 1964, under the name Idaho Silver, Inc. In May of 1996, we entered into an agreement and plan of reorganization with Florida Precision Aerospace, Inc., a privately held Florida corporation ("FPA"), and its shareholders. FPA was incorporated on February 26, 1993.

##### General

We believe we are emerging as a potential leader in the rapidly growing environmental and industrial separation industries. The Company has developed and patented the Voraxial(R) Separator ("Voraxial(R) Separator" or "Voraxial(R)"); a proprietary technology that efficiently separates large volumes of liquid/liquid, liquid/solids or liquid/liquid/solids with distinct specific gravities. Management believes this superior separation quality is achieved in real-time, and in much greater volumes, with a more compact, cost efficient and energy efficient machine than any comparable product on the market today. The Voraxial(R) Separator operates in-line and is scaleable. It is capable of processing volumes as low as 3 gallons per minute as well as volumes over 10,000 gallons per minute with only one moving part. The Company believes that the Voraxial(R) technology can help protect the environment and its natural resources while simultaneously making numerous industries more productive and cost effective.

The size and efficiency advantages provided by the Voraxial(R) Separator to the end-user have provided us with a variety of market opportunities. We have generated limited revenues to date partially because of insufficient funds to adequately market our product; however, we have received inquiries from parties in various industries, including the oil exploration & production, sewage, mining, pulp and paper, food processing, and marine/oil-spill industries. The Company believes the Voraxial(R) Separator will enable companies in these industries to develop greater advantages or differentiators, by substantially increasing their efficiency.

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

The Company is presently researching and developing Voraxial(R) solutions for various applications and markets including oil-water separation, oil exploration and production, oil refineries, marine/oil-spill clean up and manufacturing waste treatment pre-treatment (headworks/entrance to municipal wastewater plant) of wastewater, grit/sand separation.

We have shipped units of the Voraxial(R) Separator on a trial and rental basis to a number of different companies that include a wide range of industrial applications, including produced water applications for the oil industry (both offshore oil rigs and onland production facilities), liquid/liquid and liquid/solid applications for the food processing industry and the uranium industry, to name a few. We have installed several Voraxial(R) Separators to date including units to the Alaska Department of Environmental Conservation, the US Navy and to a leading uranium producing company in Canada for oil/water separation at a flow rate of approximately 400 gallons per minute.

In February 2005, Shell Technology Ventures ("STV"), a registered company of Royal Dutch/Shell Group invited EVTN to showcase its Voraxial(R)

1

Separators in their trade booth at the 22nd SPE/IADC Drilling Conference and Exhibition which was held in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Shell Technology Ventures objective in attending the conference is to increase awareness and strengthen relationships between STV and members of the SPE/IADC while providing Enviro Voraxial(R) Technology with the exposure and, hence, the business opportunities with potential customers for EVTN's commercially available line of Voraxial(R) Separators.

The specific applications addressed at the SPE/IADC Drilling Conference was the treatment of produced water and the separation of oil and water at the various steps in the oil production process; namely, extraction, transportation and initial refining of crude oil.

In 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with an oil company to manufacture, ship and deploy a Voraxial(R) Separator Skid (two Voraxial(R) Separators affixed to a steel platform) on an offshore oil production platform off the coast of California for a produced water (oil/water separation) trial. A Voraxial(R) 4-2 Duplex Separator (a Voraxial(R) 4000 and connected to a Voraxial(R) 2000) was shipped and installed. The project successfully demonstrated the Voraxial(R) Separator's efficiency in separating oil from produced water at high flow rates. On the platform, the skid was installed to receive produced water from the water draw of the sour production separator. The discharge from the skid, including clean and oily water, was directed to the sour coalescer. The samples collected in the bottles were sent to Capco Analytical Services, Inc. in Ventura, California for analysis. The clean water analysis showed that the Voraxial Separator did a very good job cleaning the produced water flow. The clean water measured less than 20 ppm oil.

In 2005, the Company entered into an agreement with China Offshore Oil Bohai Corporation, a wholly-owned subsidiary of CNOOC (NYSE: CEO), China's third largest oil company. We shipped and commenced testing of our Voraxial(R) 2000 Separator on an offshore oil rig in China. Additional testing for produced water separation is scheduled for 2006.

In the fourth quarter of 2005, the Company entered into an agreement to deploy a unit to ConocoPhillips on the North Slope of Alaska for a produced water trial. The Voraxial Separator performed good produced water separation during the trials conducted in January 2006. The Voraxial was able to extract a high percentage of the oil in the produced water stream. Further, the Voraxial was capable of separating the sand from the produced water stream.

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Due to the exposure from the various petroleum industry related trade shows and the successful produced water demonstrations conducted over the past year, the Company is now in discussions with various oil companies to conduct additional trials and for purchase of units.

We finalized the Voraxial(R) Grit Separator for the specific use in the municipal wastewater industry. The Voraxial(R) generates a centrifugal that provides for efficient separation of sand/grit and is configured for operation at the headworks of a municipal wastewater treatment plant (WWTP). A single Voraxial(R) Grit Separator is designed to provide for the continuous removal of grit from screened wastewater at rates up to eight thousand (8000) gallons per minute (11.5 mgd). We currently have designs for two models of Voraxial(R) Grit Separators. The Voraxial(R) 4000 Grit Separator has an operating range of three-tenths to one and three-tenths (0.3 to 1.3) million gallons per day (mgd),

2

powered by a ten (10) HP TEFC motor. The Voraxial(R) 8000 Grit Separator has an operating range of three to eleven and five-tenths (3.0 to 11.5) mgd, powered by a fifty (50) HP TEFC motor.

During 2003 and 2004 we tested the Voraxial Grit Separator at a wastewater treatment facility in Tampa, Florida. The testing demonstrated the Voraxial(R) Grit Separator's ability to achieve a very high separation efficiency of sand/grit and sugar sand from wastewater. The overall removal efficiency obtained and verified by a 3rd party varied from 81% to 89%. This means that 81% to 89%, by weight, of the entire range of grit particle sizes in the influent wastewater was removed by the Voraxial(R) 4000 Grit Separator. Management believes that this performance is superior to the present state-of-the-art grit removal equipment. This installation initiated the sales and marketing campaign for the Voraxial(R) Grit Separator into the multi-billion dollar municipal wastewater treatment market place. The Company received a very positive response at the WEFTEC 2004 Tradeshow after they demonstrated the Voraxial(R) Separator and disseminated the results from the Hillsborough demonstration project. The Company is now pursuing the opportunities that resulted from the dissemination of the test results. Based on the test data from the Hillsborough demonstration, the Company is now in discussions with a global heavy industrial manufacturing firm interested in obtaining the marketing rights of the Voraxial(R) Grit Separator for a specific nation.

We have formed an Advisory Committee to assist the Company with the implementation of its corporate objectives. The members include individuals with high-level experience in the wastewater and oil industry and in sales and marketing.

### Subsequent Events

In March 2006, we sold a Voraxial(R) 4000 Separator to ConocoPhillips for produced water separation. The Voraxial will be used to enhance the handling of large volumes of produced water and water injection at the production facility. The sale of the Voraxial(R) 4000 Separator was predicated upon the successful testing of EVTN's smaller Voraxial(R) 2000 Separator in January 2006. The unit will process and separate approximately 300 gallons per minute (gpm) of produced water, a small percentage of the full volume of the produced water flow stream of 9000 gpm. This sale is potentially a part of a larger order to handle the full 9000 gallons per minute produced water stream.

### Voraxial(R) Separator

The Voraxial(R) Separator is a continuous flow turbo machine that

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

generates a strong centrifugal force, a vortex, capable of separating light and heavy liquids, such as oil and water, or any other combination of liquids and solids at extremely high flow rates. As the fluid passes through the machine, the Voraxial(R) Separator accomplishes this separation through the creation of a vortex. In liquid/liquid and liquid/solid mixtures, this vortex causes the heavier compounds to gravitate to the outside of the flow and the lighter elements to move to the center where an inner core is formed. The liquid stream processed by the machine is divided into separate streams of heavier and lighter liquids and solids. As a result of this process, separation is achieved.

3

The Voraxial(R) Separator is a self-contained, non-clogging device that can be powered by an electric motor, diesel engine or by hydraulic power generation. Further, the Voraxial(R) Separator's scalability allows it to be utilized in a variety of industries and to process various amounts of liquid. The following are the various sizes and the corresponding capacity range:

### Product and Capacity Range

Model Number -----	Diameter Size -----	Capacity Range Gallons Per Minute -----
Voraxial(R)1000	1 inch	3 - 5
Voraxial(R)2000	2 inches	25 - 80
Voraxial(R)4000	4 inches	250 - 600
Voraxial(R)8000	8 inches	2,000 - 6,000

The Voraxial(R) Separator can transfer various liquids in either direction by reversing the machine's rotation. We currently maintain an inventory of various models of the Voraxial(R) Separator. During fiscal year 2005, we have furthered tested, demonstrated and delivered on a trial and rental basis the Voraxial(R) Separator units to companies within various industries including energy production, wastewater, manufacturing and mining. During 2005 the Company provided Voraxial(R) Separators to several firms and is engaged in discussions to deliver additional Voraxial(R) Separators on an income-producing basis.

Management believes that our Voraxial(R) Separator offers substantial applications on a cost-effective basis, including: oil exploration & production, oil remediation services, municipal wastewater treatment, bilge water purification, food processing waste treatment and numerous other industrial production and environmental remediation processes. We also believe that the quality of the water separated from the contaminant is good enough to recycle back into the process stream (back into the plant) or discharge to the environment. As clean water becomes less available to the ever-increasing world population, this technology may become more valuable.

The Voraxial(R) Separator is currently manufactured and assembled at our Fort Lauderdale, Florida facilities.

Voraxial(R) Separator, The Market

The need for effective and cost efficient wastewater treatment and separation technology is global in scale. Moreover, virtually every industry requires some type of separation process either during the manufacturing process, prior to treatment or discharge of wastewater into the environment, for general clean up, or emergency response capability. Separation processes, however, are largely unknown to the average consumer. These processes are deeply

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

integrated in almost all industrial processes from oil to wastewater to manufacturing. Management believes that the Voraxial(R) technology has applications in most, if not all major separation industries. The unique characteristics of the Voraxial(R) allow it to be utilized either as a stand-alone unit or within an existing system to provide a more efficient and cost effective way to handle the separation needs of the customer. We believe the Voraxial(R) Separator can result in a cost savings and other benefits to the customer. These benefits result in and include:

4

- o A reduction in water and energy usage,
- o Requires no pressure drop to perform separation.
- o Less space needed to implement the Voraxial(R) Separator; the Voraxial(R) Separator weighs less than existing systems,
- o A reduction in time to process and separate the fluids, allowing the customer to be more efficient,
- o Creation of a more efficient and faster process to treat water to increase the overall productivity of the end-user,
- o A reduction in the amount of disposable liquids,
- o Fewer employees needed to operate the system, and
- o Reduction of ongoing maintenance and servicing costs.

We believe that we are the only front-end solution for the separation industry that can offer increased productivity while reducing the physical space and energy required to operate the unit. These advantages translate into the potential for substantial operating cost efficiencies that would increase the profitability of the solution's end user.

If, as we expect, environmental regulations, both domestically and internationally, become more stringent, companies will be required to more effectively treat their wastewater prior to discharge. We believe this offers a great opportunity for the Company as the Voraxial(R) Separator can be utilized in most separation applications to significantly increase the efficiency of the separation processes while simultaneously reduce the cost to the end-user.

Further, management has developed a comprehensive sales and marketing program to stimulate awareness of the Voraxial(R) Separator. Management will only pursue the industries whereby the customer will either see a decrease in cost or an increase in revenues. As the Voraxial(R) Separator can provide an efficient means to separate contaminants from water, it also enables the customer to conduct such operations while utilizing less energy and a smaller footprint than conventional equipment in the market today.

Management believes that the oil industry, and more specifically the produced water market within this industry, represents a great opportunity for significant sales growth for the Voraxial Separator. The produced water market is worldwide and the need for effective produced water (oil/water) separation is a major issue for both offshore and land-based oil production facilities. The ability to efficiently separate produced water waste streams (oil and water) has enormous economical and environmental consequences for the oil production industry. Produced water comprises over 98% of the total waste volume generated by the oil and gas industry, making it the largest volume waste stream associated with oil and gas production.

Oil reservoirs frequently contain large volumes of water and as oil wells mature (the oil field becomes depleted), the amount of produced water increases. In the continental US, it is estimated that 7-10 barrels of water is produced for each barrel of recovered oil. According to American Petroleum Institute (API), about 18 billion barrels of produced water was generated by US

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

onshore operations in 1995. Worldwide, the total amount of produced water generated in 1999, according to Khatib and Verbeek, was approximately 77 billion barrels. Produced water volumes will continue to increase as oil wells mature.

5

The necessity to process and efficiently separate high volumes of liquids coupled with the more stringent environmental regulations worldwide is increasing the demand for the Voraxial(R) Separator. The Voraxial(R) Separator provides a cost effective way to separate large volumes of produced or re-injection water for both on-land and offshore production facilities. The Voraxial(R) provides superior separation while decreasing the amount of space, energy and weight to conduct the separation. In addition to oil separation, the Voraxial can also perform solid (sand and grit) extraction which prevents production damage by increasing the life of the well.

The municipal wastewater industry is another market with substantial growth opportunity that the Company is pursuing. With over 16,000 publicly owned wastewater treatment facilities in the United States serving approximately 190 million people, Management believes that the municipal wastewater industry can potentially be profitable for the Company. We believe that the savings from the eliminated construction alone will more than justify the cost to install a Voraxial Separator system.

The Company also expects market opportunities to present themselves because of increased governmental regulation and standards enforcement by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), and the European Union Commission on the Environment. Additionally, emerging markets worldwide are opening as growing nations recognize the need and benefit of addressing the environmental issues faced by population growth and industrialization, such as China, Mexico, and South America.

### Inventory

Other than our Voraxial(R) Separators, we maintain no inventory of finished parts until we receive a customer order. We currently have various models of the Voraxial(R) Separator in inventory, which includes certain models located at third party facilities on a trial basis.

### Competition

We are subject to competition from a number of companies who have greater experience, research abilities, engineering capability and financial resources than we have. Although we believe our Voraxial(R) Separator offers applications which accomplish better or similar results on a more cost-effective basis than existing products, other products have, in some instances, attained greater market and regulatory acceptance. These competitors include, but are not limited to Westfalia and AlfaLaval.

### Marketing

The Company's products and services are marketed through our existing staff and consultants. To assist the Company in developing and penetrating the oil and municipal wastewater industry, the Company has formed an Advisory Committee consisting of John Combs, a consultant of the Company and principal of Combs & Associates, a law firm with offices in Colorado and California; Barry Gafner, former vice president of Atlantic Area Sales & Marketing for Cisco Systems, Inc., where he was responsible for over \$1.4 billion in direct sales



## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

and marketing channels in the Eastern United States and Europe; Kevin Mulshine,

6

partner and managing director at Prager, Sealy & Co., LLC, a prominent investment banking firm, with water and wastewater investment expertise; and Henry Schlesinger, former president of Marshall Petroleum. To assist the Company in entering the oil industry, in October, 2004, the Company added S. Randy Miller, the former President of Serck Baker, a pre-eminent produced water firm, to the Company's Advisory Committee.

We have presented the Voraxial(R) Separator at several prominent trade shows in the past fiscal year. In February 2005, we demonstrated our Voraxial(R) Separator in Shell Technology Ventures (STV) trade booth, a division of Royal Dutch/Shell Group (NYSE:RD), at the 22nd SPE/IADC Drilling Conference and Exhibition in Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Our objective in attending the conference was to increase awareness and strengthen relationships between STV and members of the SPE/IADC while providing us with the exposure and, hence, the business opportunities with potential customers.

The specific applications addressed with our separation technology at the SPE/IADC Drilling Conference were the treatment of produced water and the separation of oil and water at the various steps in the oil production process; namely, extraction, transportation and initial refining of crude oil.

The Company believes it has received a great response from potential clients and manufacturers representatives from the above mentioned tradeshow and is still pursuing some of these opportunities. We anticipate presenting the Voraxial(R) Separator at additional tradeshow in 2006.

### Sources and availability of raw materials

The materials needed to manufacture our Voraxial(R) Separator have been provided by Baldor Electric Co., Hughes Supply Inc. and SKF USA Inc., among other suppliers. We have no written agreements with suppliers. We do not anticipate any shortage of component parts.

### Intellectual property

We currently hold several patents pertaining to the Voraxial(R) Separator and are continually working on developing other patents. The Company owns United States Patent #6,248,231, #5,904,840 and #5,084,189. The latest patent, Patent #6,248,231 was registered in 2001 for Apparatus with Voraxial(R) Separator and Analyzer. Patent #5,904,840 is for Apparatus for Accurate Centrifugal Separation of Miscible and Immiscible Media, which is for technology invented by our president and sole director, Alberto DiBella, and registered in 1999. The other is for the Method and Apparatus for Separating Fluids having Different Specific Gravities. This is for technology invented by Harvey Richter and registered in 1992 to Richter Systems, Inc. In 1996, we acquired assets, including this patent from Richter Systems, Inc. The method and apparatus for each of these is applied in our Voraxial(R) Separator. The Company has filed for additional patents pertaining to the Voraxial(R) Separator. These patents are still pending.

In addition, on December 16, 2003, we received trademark protection for the word "Voraxial".

Product liability

Our business exposes us to possible claims of personal injury, death or property damage, which may result from the failure, or malfunction of any component or subassembly manufactured or assembled by us. We have product liability insurance. However, any product liability claim made against us may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations in light of our poor financial condition, losses and limited revenues.

We obtained directors and officers, and general insurance coverage in 2004. We obtained product liability insurance in 2005.

Research and development

In our past two fiscal years, we have spent approximately \$1,246,000 on product research and development. The Company has finalized the development of the Voraxial(R) Separator. Although we will continually work on advancing the technology and applications whereby the technology can be used, we do not anticipate devoting a significant portion of any future funds to this area of the business.

Employees

All of our employees work full-time. None of our employees are members of a union. We believe that our relationship with our employees is favorable. We intend to add additional employees in the upcoming year, including managers, sales representatives and engineers.

Item 2. Description of Property

During September 2004, the Company entered into a three (3) year lease for an office and manufacturing facility located at 821 NW 57th Place, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309. The lease is approximately \$5,640 per month for the initial two years of the lease and approximately \$5,700 per month for the third year of the lease. The Company has the option to renew the lease at the end of the three-year term.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

## PART II

## Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") under the symbol EVTN. The bid quotations below, as provided by Interactive Data, have been reported for the period ending March 31, 2004 through the period ending December 31, 2005. On April 12, 2006, the closing price for our common stock was \$0.68. The quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission, and may not represent actual transactions.

## Bid Quotations

Quarter Ended -----	High ----	Low ---
March 31, 2004	\$1.21	\$0.80
June 30, 2004	\$1.23	\$0.79
September 30, 2004	\$1.15	\$0.65
December 31, 2004	\$0.99	\$0.60
March 31, 2005	\$0.85	\$0.42
June 30, 2005	\$0.60	\$0.38
September 30, 2005	\$0.85	\$0.41
December 31, 2005	\$0.70	\$0.45

We have been advised that seven member firms of the NASD are currently acting as market makers for our common stock. There is no assurance that an active trading market will develop which will provide liquidity for our existing shareholders or for persons who may acquire common stock through the exercise of warrants.

## Holders

As of December 31, 2005, there were over 775 holders of record of our common stock outstanding. Our transfer agent is Jersey Transfer & Trust Company, Inc., Post Office Box 36, Verona, New Jersey 07044.

No prediction can be made as to the effect, if any, that future sales of shares of common stock or the availability of common stock for future sale will have on the market price of the common stock prevailing from time-to-time. Sales of substantial amounts of common stock on the public market could adversely affect the prevailing market price of the common stock.

## Dividends

We have not paid a cash dividend on the common stock since current management joined our company in 1996. The payment of dividends may be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend upon, among other things, our operations, our capital requirements and our overall financial

condition. As of the date of this report, we have no intention to declare dividends.

#### Other Stockholders Matters

In January 2005, the Company entered into a one-year consulting agreement with its former Chief Operating Officer for engineering design, marketing and sales of Company products and services. Pursuant to this agreement, the Company granted 50,000 options to this individual exercisable at \$1.00 per share. These options vest equally in 12 tranches over a period of one year commencing in January, 2005 and expire in January 2008. The Company estimated the fair value of the options at the grant date by using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield for all the years; expected volatility of 133%; risk-free interest rate of 3% and an expected life of 3 years, resulting in a fair value of approximately \$21,000. The options were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The consultant received information concerning the Company and had the opportunity to ask questions concerning the Company. The options issued contain a legend restricting transferability absent registration or applicable exemption.

In February 2005, we extended the exercisable life of certain warrants to purchase an aggregate of 243,200 shares of common stock issued in 2000 for a period of one year. The options initially expired in February 2006, but were extended on January 18, 2006 and now expire in February 2007. In January 2006, we also extended the exercisable life of certain warrants to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of common stock issued in 2001. The warrants now expire in April 2007.

In May 2005, the Company issued 75,000 shares of common stock to a consultant valued at \$57,000 based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the agreement. In addition, the Company paid \$40,000 in cash to this consultant. In November 2005, this consultant received another 225,000 shares of common stock valued at \$85,500 based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the agreement. The shares were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The consultant received information concerning the Company and had the opportunity to ask questions concerning the Company. The shares issued contain a legend restricting transferability absent registration or applicable exemption.

On July 1, 2005, the Company entered into a consulting agreement and agreed to issue 15,000 shares for services performed by a consultant, which were valued at \$7,650. The shares were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The service provider received information concerning the Company and had the opportunity to ask questions concerning the Company. The shares issued contain a legend restricting transferability absent registration or applicable exemption.

During fiscal year 2005, the Company received capital from ten accredited investors to purchase an aggregate of 1,468,333 shares of the Company's restricted common stock at \$0.40 per share for gross proceeds of \$587,333. The issuances were exempt from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. Commissions paid to registered brokers and other expenses related to the Offering were approximately \$50,000. The investors received information concerning the Company and has the opportunity to ask questions concerning the viability of the Company. The shares contain legends restricting their transferability absent registration or applicable exemption.

On February 18, 2004, we issued options to purchase an aggregate of 30,000 shares of our common stock exercisable at \$0.71 per share to three individuals as consideration for joining our advisory committee. The options initially expired on February 18, 2006, but on January 18, 2006 were extended. The options are now exercisable until February 18, 2007. The options were issued pursuant to the exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act. The advisors received information concerning our Company and had the opportunity to ask questions concerning the viability of our Company. The options contain legends restricting their transferability absent registration or applicable exemption.

#### Small Business Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities

None.

#### Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Plan of Operations

##### General

Management's discussion and analysis contains various forward-looking statements. These statements consist of any statement other than a recitation of historical fact and can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate" or "continue" or use of negative or other variations or comparable terminology.

We caution that these statements are further qualified by important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the forward-looking statements, that these forward-looking statements are necessarily speculative, and there are certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those referred to in such forward-looking statements.

Year ended December 31, 2005 compared to year ended December 31, 2004

##### Revenue

We continued to focus our efforts and resources to the manufacturing, assembling, marketing and selling of the Voraxial(R) Separator. Revenues increased 572% to \$128,070 for year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$19,220 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The increase is a result of a sale of the Voraxial Separator and in-house testing and rental shipments to customers interested in utilizing the Voraxial Separator. Management believes the interest for the Voraxial Separator for liquid/liquid, liquid/solid and

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

liquid/liquid/solid separation is increasing from a variety of industries. We believe we have increased the exposure and awareness of the Voraxial Separator through our marketing programs and expect to increase revenues from the sale and lease of the Voraxial Separator in 2006.

### Costs and expenses

Costs and expenses decreased by 32% or \$561,257 to \$1,186,743 for the year ended December 31, 2005 as compared to \$1,748,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The decrease is due to a consolidation of activities resulting in decreases in general and administrative expenses and increases in research and development during the year ended December 31, 2005. Increase in research and development was primarily due to produced water trials.

### General and administrative expenses

General and Administrative expenses decreased by 59% or \$655,001 to \$455,999 for the year ended December 31, 2005 from \$1,111,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. The decrease is principally due to a non-cash equity transaction in 2004 for services. The expense is related to the marketing of the Voraxial(R) Separator.

### Research and development expenses

Research and Development expenses increased 15% to \$730,774 for the year ended December 31, 2005 from \$637,000 for the year ended December 31, 2004. This increase was due to our continuing efforts to enter into the produced water segment of the oil industry.

### Liquidity and capital resources

At December 31, 2005, we had working capital deficit \$221,978 and cash of \$76,691. At December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$5,882,005. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we had a net loss of \$1,091,005. Operating at a loss for the year negatively impacted our cash position; however, funds received from the private placements completed during 2005 improved our working capital position.

During the year ended December 31, 2005, we issued 1,468,333 shares of the Company's restricted common stock to 10 investors at \$0.40 per share for gross proceeds of \$587,333.

We believe that including our current cash resources and anticipated revenue to be generated by our Voraxial(R) Separators, we will have sufficient resources to continue business operations for the next twelve months. To the extent that these resources are not sufficient to sustain current operating activities, we may need to seek additional capital, or adjust our operating plan accordingly.

During 2005 one customer, Cameco Corporation, accounted for approximately 80% of the Company's revenues.

### Continuing losses

We may be unable to continue as a going concern, given our limited

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

operations and revenues and our significant losses to date. Consequently, our working capital may not be sufficient and our operating costs may exceed those experienced in our prior years. In light of these recent developments, we may be unable to continue as a going concern.

The Company has experienced net losses, has a working capital deficit and sustained cash outflows from operating activities and had to raise capital to sustain operations. There is no assurance that the Company's developmental and marketing efforts will be successful, that the Company will ever have commercially accepted products, or that the Company will achieve significant revenues. If the Company is unable to successfully commercialize its Voraxial Separator, it is unlikely that the Company could continue its business. The Company will continue to require the infusion of capital until operations become profitable. During 2006, the Company anticipates seeking additional capital, increasing sales of the Voraxial Separator and continuing to restrict expenses. However, substantial doubt exists about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern.

### Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, "Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities." The Company's adoption of SFAS No. 146 on January 1, 2003 did not have any material effect on the financial statements of the Company.

In December 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46R, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" in an effort to expand upon and strengthen existing accounting guidance that addresses when a company should include in its financial statements the assets, liabilities and activities of variable interest entities, including special-purpose entities or off-balance sheet structures. The consolidation requirements of FIN No. 46R have a variety of implementation dates. The Company believes the impact of FIN No. 46R on its financial position and results of operations will not be material, but the Company will continue to evaluate the impact of FIN No. 46R during the first quarter of 2004.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." This statement affects the issuer's accounting for three types of freestanding financial statements: mandatorily redeemable shares, put and forward purchase contracts that require the issuer to buy back some of its shares in exchange for cash or other assets, and certain obligations that can be settled in shares. This statement is effective for all financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The impact of adopting FASB No. 150 was not material to the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In December 2003, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), published Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104, "Revenue Recognition." This SAB updates portions of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) staff's interpretive guidance provided in SAB 101 and included in Topic 13 of the Codification of Staff Accounting Bulletins. SAB 104 deletes interpretative

material no longer necessary, and conforms the interpretive material retained, because of pronouncements issued by the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) on various revenue recognition topics, including EITF 00-21, "Revenue

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables." SAB No. 104 also incorporates into the SAB Codification certain sections of the SEC staff's "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements - Frequently Asked Questions and Answers." SAB No. 104 does not have a material impact on the Company's financial position and results of operations since the Company's revenue recognition practices previously conformed to the interpretations codified by SAB No. 104.

Management does not expect these statements to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

### Risk Factors

Our independent auditors have raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Although we operated as a precision machine shop for a number of years, we have only recently completed the development of the Voraxial Separator, and we have not yet generated significant revenues from that product. As a result, we have limited operating history in our planned business upon which you may evaluate our business and prospects. The revenues and income potential of our business and the markets of our separation technology are unproven. Our business plan must be considered in light of risks, expenses, delays, problems, and difficulties frequently encountered by development stage companies.

We have incurred operating losses since our inception, and we will continue to incur net losses until we can produce sufficient revenues to cover our costs. At December 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$5,882,005, including a net loss of \$1,091,005 for the year ended December 31, 2005. Even if we achieve profitability, we may not be able to sustain or increase our profitability on a quarterly or annual basis.

Our ability to generate future revenues will depend on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. These factors include the rate of market acceptance of our products, competitive efforts, and general economic trends. Due to these factors, we cannot anticipate with any degree of certainty what our revenues will be in future periods. You have limited historical financial data and operating results with which to evaluate our business and our prospects. As a result, you should consider our prospects in light of the early stage of our business in a new and rapidly evolving market.

Our independent auditors have included in their audit report an explanatory paragraph that states that our continuing losses from operations raises substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have been limited by insufficient capital, and we may continue to be so limited.

In the past, we have lacked the required capital to market the Voraxial Separator. Our inability to raise the funding or to otherwise finance our

capital needs could adversely affect our financial condition and our results of operations, and could prevent us from implementing our business plan.

We may seek to raise capital through public and private equity offerings, debt financing or collaboration, and strategic alliances. Such financing may not be available when we need it or may not be available on terms that are favorable to us. If we raise additional capital through the sale of our



## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

equity securities, your ownership interest will be diluted and the terms of the financing may adversely affect your holdings or rights as a stockholder.

Our business model is unproven.

Our business model is currently unproven and in the early stages of development and we have not yet undertaken any substantial marketing activities. The technological, marketing, and other aspects of our business will require substantial resources and will undergo constant developmental change. Our ability to develop a successful business model will be dependent upon the relative success or failure of these respective aspects of our operations and how effectively they work in concert with one another. If we expend significant financial and management resources attempting to market the Voraxial Separator to a specific industry segment, and we subsequently are unsuccessful in generating sales from that segment, we may not have enough resources to market to other industry segments. There are no assurances that we will successfully develop our business model from the standpoint of successfully implementing an efficient and effective marketing plan.

If our products do not achieve and maintain market acceptance, our business will not be successful.

Even though our product is successfully developed, our success and growth will depend upon its acceptance by various potential users of our product. Acceptance will be a function of our product being more cost effective as compared to currently existing or future technologies. If our product does not achieve market acceptance, our business will not be successful. In addition, even if our product achieves market acceptance, we may not be able to maintain that market acceptance over time if new products or technologies are introduced that are more favorably received than our product or render our products obsolete.

If we do not develop sales and marketing capabilities or arrangements successfully, we will not be able to commercialize our product successfully.

We have limited sales and marketing experience. We may market and sell our product through a direct sales force or through other arrangements with third parties, including co-promotion arrangements. Since we may market and sell any product we successfully develop through a direct sales force, we will need to hire and train qualified sales personnel.

Our market is subject to intense competition. If we are unable to compete effectively, our product may be rendered non-competitive or obsolete.

We are engaged in a segment of the water filtration industry that is highly competitive and rapidly changing. Many large companies, academic institutions, governmental agencies, and other public and private research organizations are pursuing the development of technology that can be used for

the same purposes as our product. We face, and expect to continue to face, intense and increasing competition, as new products enter the market and advanced technologies become available. We believe that a significant number of products are currently under development and will become available in the future that may address the water filtration segment of the market. If other products are successfully developed, it may be marketed before our product.

Our competitors' products may be more effective, or more effectively

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

marketed and sold, than any of our products. Many of our competitors have:

- o significantly greater financial, technical and human resources than we have and may be better equipped to discover, develop, manufacture and commercialize products; and
- o more extensive experience in marketing water treatment products.

Competitive products may render our products obsolete or noncompetitive before we can recover the expenses of developing and commercializing our product. Furthermore, the development of new technologies and products could render our product noncompetitive, obsolete, or uneconomical.

As we evolve from a company primarily involved in design and development to one also involved in commercialization, we may encounter difficulties in managing our growth and expanding our operations successfully.

We may experience a period of rapid and substantial growth that may place a strain on our administrative and operational infrastructure, and we anticipate that continued growth could have a similar impact. As our product continues to enter and advance in the market, we will need to expand our development, regulatory, manufacturing, marketing and sales capabilities or contract with third parties to provide these capabilities for us. As our operations expand, we expect that we will need to manage additional relationships with various collaborative partners, suppliers, and other third parties.

If we are unable to adequately protect our technology, or if we infringe the rights of others, we may not be able to defend our markets or to sell our product.

Our success may depend in part on our ability to continue and expand our patent protection both in the United States and in other countries for our product. Due to evolving legal standards relating to the patentability, validity, and enforceability of patents covering our product and the scope of claims made under these patents, our ability to obtain and enforce patents is uncertain and involves complex legal and factual questions. Accordingly, rights under any issued patents may not provide us with sufficient protection for our product or provide sufficient protection to afford us a commercial advantage against competitive products or processes.

Our success may also depend in part on our ability to operate without infringing the proprietary rights of third parties. The manufacture, use, or sale of our product may infringe on the patent rights of others. Likewise, third parties may challenge or infringe upon our existing or future patents. Proceedings involving our patents or patent applications or those of others could result in adverse decisions regarding:

16

- o the patentability of our inventions relating to our product; and/or
- o the enforceability, validity, or scope of protection offered by our patents relating to our product.

Litigation may be necessary to enforce the patents we own and have applied for (if they are awarded), copyrights, or other intellectual property rights, to protect our trade secrets, to determine the validity and scope of the

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

proprietary rights of others, or to defend against claims of infringement. This type of litigation could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources and could result in injunctions preventing us from distributing certain products. Such claims could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We are dependent on key personnel.

We are dependent upon the availability and the continued performance of the services of key personnel. The loss of the services of any such personnel could have a material adverse effect on us. In addition, the availability of skilled personnel is extremely important to our growth strategy and our failure to attract and retain such personnel could have a material, adverse effect on us. We do not currently maintain any key man life insurance covering these persons.

Our operations are subject to governmental approvals and regulations and environmental compliance.

Our operations are subject to extensive and frequently changing federal, state, and local laws and substantial regulation by government agencies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the United States Occupational Safety and Health administration (OSHA) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). Among other matters, these agencies regulate the operation, handling, transportation and disposal of hazardous materials used by us during the normal course of our operations, govern the health and safety of our employees and certain standards and licensing requirements for our aerospace components that we contract manufacture. We are subject to significant compliance burden from this extensive regulatory framework, which may substantially increase our operational costs.

We believe that we have been and are in compliance with environmental requirements and believe that we have no liabilities under environmental requirements. Further, we have not spent any funds specifically on compliance with environmental laws. However, some risk of environmental liability is inherent in the nature of our business, and we might incur substantial costs to meet current or more stringent compliance, cleanup, or other obligations pursuant to environmental requirements in the future. This could result in a material adverse effect to our results of operations and financial condition.

Our business has a substantial risk of product liability claims. If we are unable to obtain appropriate levels of insurance, a product liability claim against us could adversely affect our business.

Our business exposes us to possible claims of personal injury, death, or property damage, which may result from the failure, or malfunction of any component or subassembly manufactured or assembled by us. While we have product

liability insurance, any product liability claim made against us may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations in light of our poor financial condition, losses and limited revenues.

### Item 7. Financial Statements

The financial statements required by this report are included, commencing on F-1.

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Item 8. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 8A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14. This evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer. Based upon that evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in gathering, analyzing and disclosing information needed to satisfy our disclosure obligations under the Exchange Act.

Changes in internal controls

There were no significant changes in our internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect those controls since the most recent evaluation of such controls.

Item 8A. Other Information

None.

18

PART III

Item 9. Directors and Executive Officers

Directors and executive officers

The following sets forth the names and ages of our officers and directors. Our directors are elected annually by our shareholders, and the officers are appointed annually by our board of directors.

Name	Age	Position
----	---	-----
Alberto DiBella	73	President and Director
John A. DiBella	34	Executive Vice President of Business Development

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Alberto DiBella is a graduate of the Florence Technical Institute, Italy, where he obtained a degree in mechanical engineering in 1952. After immigrating to the United States in 1962, Mr. DiBella worked in New Jersey for a major tool manufacturer. From 1988 to 1993, he was the President of E.T.P., Inc, a machining business, where he was responsible for day to day operations of the company. In 1993, he relocated to Florida and founded FPA, our wholly owned subsidiary. Since our inception he has worked in the day to day operations of FPA. He has been our president and chairman since June 1996 and president and chairman of our subsidiary, FPA, since its organization in February 1993.

John A. DiBella has served as an employee of our Company since January 2002. From 2000 through January 2002 Mr. DiBella provided consulting services to our Company. Mr. DiBella currently serves as the Company's Vice President of Business Development. Mr. DiBella co-founded and served as President of PBCM, a financial management company located in New Jersey from 1997 to 1999. While at PBCM, Mr. DiBella was involved in various consulting services regarding the development of publicly traded companies, including establishing a management team, negotiating partnerships, licensing agreements and investigating merger and acquisition opportunities. Prior to co-founding PBCM, Mr. DiBella served as a Securities Analyst in the Equities and Derivatives Department for Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette, a NYSE member firm. Mr. DiBella holds a Bachelor of Science Degree in Finance and Economics from Rutgers University. Mr. DiBella is the nephew of Alberto DiBella.

### Board of Directors and Committees

During the year ended December 31, 2005, our board of directors held 4 meetings.

To date, we have not established an audit committee. Due to our financial position, we have been unable to attract qualified independent directors to serve on our board. Our board of directors, solely consisting of Alberto DiBella, reviews the professional services provided by our independent auditors, the independence of our auditors from our management, our annual financial statements and our system of internal accounting controls. Mr. DiBella is not considered a "financial expert."

19

We have not established a compensation committee nor nominating committee.

### Key Consultants

Frank J. DeMicco was employed by our Company from January 2003 through December 2004. Since January 2005, he has served as a consultant to our Company. He previously served as President of United Water New Jersey and Senior Vice-President of Operations for United Water Resources from 1996 to 2000. DeMicco, a licensed professional engineer in New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia and Puerto Rico, has over 35 years of senior executive management experience in the fields of heavy construction, consulting engineering and utility design, construction, and management. As the former senior technical and operations executive within United Water executive management, DeMicco assisted that company in increasing its market capitalization from \$400 Million in 1991 to \$1.8 Billion in 2000. In July 2000, United Water was acquired by the French utility giant, Suez Lyonnaise des Eaux (NYSE: SZE), the world's largest provider of water and wastewater services. Prior to his tenure at United Water, DeMicco

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

was the President and Chief Technical Officer of Buck, Seifert & Jost, Consulting Engineers. DeMicco's responsibilities have included responsible charge of design and/or construction inspection for water and sewage treatment plants, pumping stations, gravity dams, water and sewage pipelines, filter plant expansions, computerized process control systems and control systems software development for the water treatment industry.

### Advisory Committee

As disclosed under Description of Business, we have established an Advisory Committee. The purpose of the Advisory Committee is to provide business advice and recommendations to management of the Company. The Advisory Committee consists of J. John Combs, Barry Gafner, Kevin Mulshine and Henry Schlesinger. These individuals serve for a 2 year term.

On February 18, 2004, we issued options to purchase an aggregate of 30,000 shares of our common stock exercisable at \$0.71 per share to three of the individuals as consideration for joining our advisory committee. The options are exercisable until February 18, 2007.

### Code of Ethics

During the year ended December 31, 2003 we adopted a code of ethics. The code applies to our officers and directors. The code provides written standards that are designed to deter wrong-doing and promote: (i) honest and ethical conduct; (ii) full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure; (iii) compliance with applicable laws and regulations; (iv) promote reporting of internal violations of the code; and (v) accountability for the adherence to the code.

### Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than ten percent of our outstanding common stock to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock. These persons are required by SEC regulation to furnish us with copies of these reports they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of reports furnished to us, Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to our officers,

20

directors and greater than ten percent beneficial owners were not complied with on a timely basis for the period which this report relates. See "Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions".

### Item 10. Executive compensation

The table below sets forth compensation for the past three years awarded to, earned by or paid to our chief executive officer and each executive officer whose compensation exceeded \$100,000 for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Position	Year	Annual Compensation		Long Term Compensat
		Salary	Bonus	----- Shares of Common Stock Underlying Options

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

-----	----	-----	-----	-----
Alberto DiBella, CEO	2005	\$165,000 (1)	---	---
	2004	\$165,000 (1)	---	216,666 (3)
	2003	\$150,000 (2) (3)	---	---
Frank J. DeMicco, Former COO	2004	\$128,000	---	---
	2003	\$150,000	---	200,000 (5)
John A. DiBella, EVP	2005	\$150,000 (6)	---	---
	2004	\$150,000 (6)	---	1,033,333 (7)
	2003	\$150,000 (6) (7)	---	---

- (1) Of these amounts, only \$43,000 and \$25,000 have been paid out for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Unpaid balance has been included in accrued expenses.
- (2) Salary was deferred and subsequently paid during 2004.
- (3) In an effort to save the Company money for operating expenses, Mr. DiBella accrued a significant percentage of his salary. Mr. DiBella agreed to convert a portion of the accrued salary into options: 110,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$0.60 per share and 110,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$1.00 per share.
- (4) For services rendered during 1997, Mr. DiBella was paid cash compensation of \$50,000 together with 1,000,000 voting convertible, non-cumulative 8% preferred shares, \$0.001 par value. In 1997 Mr. DiBella also exchanged 5,000,000 shares of common stock for 5,000,000 shares of voting convertible, non-cumulative 8% preferred shares, \$.001 par value. Effective December 31, 2003, pursuant to its terms, the preferred stock converted into shares of common stock on a one for one basis. Mr. DiBella had 6,000,000 shares of preferred stock at the time of conversion.
- (5) Pursuant to Mr. DeMicco's employment agreement, Mr. DeMicco received warrants to purchase 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock exercisable at \$1.00 per share, subject to certain vesting provisions. 150,000 warrants vested prior to the Company's separation agreement with Mr. DeMicco. The remaining warrants were terminated. In 2005, Mr. DeMicco earned 50,000 options as a consultant. Effective December 31, 2005 Mr. DeMicco no longer serves as an executive officer of the Company.
- (6) \$145,000, \$76,000 and \$133,000 have been deferred in 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively.
- (7) In an effort to save the Company money for operating expenses, Mr. DiBella has accrued a significant percentage of his salary. Mr. DiBella agreed to convert a portion of the accrued salary from 2001-2003 into options: 516,666 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$.60 per share and 516,666 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$1.00 per share.

21

Aggregated Fiscal Year-End Option Value Table

The following table sets forth certain information concerning unexercised stock options as of December 31, 2005. No options were exercised during the year ended December 31, 2005.

Number Of Unexercised Options Held at 12/31/05(#)	Value Of Unexercised In-the-Money Options at 12/31/05 (1)
-----	-----

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Name ----	Shares Exercisable -----	Shares Unexercisable -----	Exercisable -----	Unexercisable -----
Alberto DiBella	216,666	0	\$ 0	\$0
John DiBella	3,033,333	0	\$840,000	\$0

- (1) The closing sale price of the Common Stock on December 31, 2005 as reported by OTCBB was \$0.57 per share. Value is calculated by multiplying (a) the difference between \$0.57 and the option exercisable price by (b) the number of shares of Common Stock underlying the options.

### Employment agreements

Neither of our executive officers has a written employment agreement with the Company. However the Company intends to enter into an employment agreement with John A. DiBella during 2006.

### Consulting Agreements

Frank DeMicco. On January 1, 2003, we entered into a five year employment agreement with Mr. DeMicco to serve as operating officer of our Company. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. DeMicco receives an annual base salary of \$150,000 per year, payable in monthly installments. In addition, Mr. DeMicco shall receive an annual increase in base salary equal to a minimum of ten percent of his prior base salary. Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. DeMicco also has received warrants to purchase 150,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$1.00 per share. In an effort to reduce the Company's general and administrative expenses, as of December 31, 2005 the Company and Frank J. DeMicco mutually agreed to terminate his employment agreement with the Company. Mr. DeMicco will remain with the Company as a consultant to continue the sales and marketing of the Voraxial(R) Grit Separator into the municipal wastewater industry. The Company is receiving request for quotes and inquiries for projects by municipal wastewater facilities and Mr. DeMicco's expertise will be utilized to respond to these opportunities. The Company believes that the change in operations will reduce general and administrative expenses by approximately \$125,000 per year. Mr. DeMicco had served as the Company's principal operating officer since January 1, 2003. In January 2005 the Company entered into a one year consulting agreement with Mr. DeMicco. Under the agreement, we issued Mr. DeMicco warrants to purchase 50,000 shares of our common stock exercisable at \$1.00 per share.

### Director Compensation

Directors are not compensated by our Company.

## Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

### Beneficial Ownership

The table below sets forth information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our securities as of April 13, 2006 by:

- 1) each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of five percent or more of our outstanding securities, and



## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

2) executive officers and directors, individually and as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, we believe that the beneficial owner has sole voting and investment power over such shares.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner -----	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned -----	Percentage of Ownership -----
Alberto DiBella 3500 Bayview Drive Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308	3,266,666(1)	16.6%
John DiBella 821 N.W. 57th Place Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309	4,033,333(2)	17.9%
Robert Weinberg 11338 Clover Leaf Circle Boca Raton, FL 33428	2,400,000(3)	12.3%
Peter Chiappetta 2299 NW 62nd Drive Boca Raton, FL 33487	3,000,000(4)	15.4%
All officers and directors as a group (2 persons)	7,299,999	34.5%

- (1) Alberto DiBella's beneficial share ownership includes 10,000 shares of common stock owned by his wife. Also includes 110,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$.60 per share and 110,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$1.00 per share.
- (2) Includes 2,000,000 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$.15 per share, 516,666 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$.60 per share and 516,666 shares of common stock underlying options exercisable at \$1.00 per share. Excludes shares which Mr. DiBella holds voting control, but does not hold any power to dispose of such shares. See footnote 3 and 4.
- (3) Voting rights of 2,000,000 shares are currently held by John DiBella.
- (4) Voting rights of shares are currently held by John Dibella.

23

### Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The table below provides information pertaining to all compensation plans under which equity securities of our company are authorized for issuance as of the end of the most recent fiscal year.

Number of securities to be issued upon	Weighted-average	Number remaini future
---	------------------	-----------------------------

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

	exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights -----	exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights -----	equity plans (ex reflect -----
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	0		N/A
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	3,729,666		\$0.52
Total	3,729,666		

### Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

During the fourth quarter of 2005, Alberto DiBella transferred 1,000,000 shares of common stock to John A. DiBella.

During the fourth quarter of 2005 Alberto DiBella entered into agreements with Robert Weinberg and Peter Chiappetta related to personal advances made by Mr. Weinberg and Mr. Chiappetta to Mr. DiBella. Such advances were not related to the Company. In full satisfaction of the advances, Mr. DiBella transferred an aggregate of 5,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Mr. Weinberg and Mr. Chiappetta.

### Item 13. Exhibits

(a) Exhibit No. -----	Description of Exhibit -----
2	Plan of Merger*
3(i)	Articles of Incorporation*
3(ii)	Bylaws*
4	Share Certificate*
14	Code of Ethics (1)
21	Subsidiaries*
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-4(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-4(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

24

\*Previously filed

(1) Previously filed on Form 10-KSB annual report for the year ended December 31, 2003.

### Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Year ended December 31, 2005

**Audit Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by our current principal accountant in connection with the audit of our consolidated

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

financial statements for the most recent fiscal year and for the review of our financial information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005 was \$12,000. Our current principal accountant did not review our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Our current principal accountant also billed our company an aggregate fee of \$5000 (including expenses) in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, as such audit is included in this Annual Report on Form 10-KSB. The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by our former principal accountant in connection with the review of our financial information included in our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2005 was \$15,000.

**Audit Related Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by our principal accountant for services reasonably related to the audit for the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$-0-.

**Tax Fees:** The aggregate fees, billed by our principal accountant for services reasonably related to tax services during the year ended December 31, 2005 were \$0.

**All Other Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed for all other services rendered to us by our principal accountant during year 2005 was \$-0-.

Year ended December 31, 2004

**Audit Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by our former principal accountant in connection with the audit of our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004 and for the review of our financial information included in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004; and our quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2004 was \$13,587.

**Audit Related Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by our former principal accountant for services reasonably related to the audit for the year ended December 31, 2004 were \$-0-.

**Tax Fees:** The aggregate fees, billed by our former principal accountant for services reasonably related to tax services during the year ended December 31, 2004 were \$0.

**All Other Fees:** The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed for all other services rendered to us by our former principal accountant during year 2004 was \$-0-.

The Company's Board of Directors acts as an audit committee. The Board of Directors has considered whether the provisions of the services covered above under the captions is compatible with maintaining the auditor's independence.

25

### SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned and duly authorized on April 12, 2006.

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.

By: /s/ Alberto DiBella

-----  
Alberto DiBella  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer and  
Principal Financial Officer)

26

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
AND SUBSIDIARY

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2005 and 2004

Table of Contents

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.....	F -
Balance Sheet .....	F -
Statements of Operations .....	F -
Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Deficiency.....	F -
Statements of Cash Flows .....	F -
Notes to Financial Statements.....	F -

### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To The Shareholders and Board of Directors of  
Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' deficiency and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provided a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2005 and 2004, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc and Subsidiary will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note B to the financial statements, Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc and Subsidiary has suffered recurring losses from operations, which raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding those matters also are described in Note B. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Jewett, Schwartz, & Associates

Hollywood, Florida  
April 11, 2006

F-2

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

ASSETS

CURRENT ASSETS:

Cash and cash equivalents  
Inventory

-----  
\$  
-----

Total current assets

FIXED ASSETS, NET

OTHER ASSETS

Total assets

-----  
\$  
=====

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY

CURRENT LIABILITIES:

Accounts payable and accrued expenses

-----  
\$  
-----

Total current liabilities

Total liabilities

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY:

Common stock, \$.001 par value, 42,750,000 shares authorized  
19,459,735 shares issued and outstanding

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Additional paid-in capital  
 Deferred compensation  
 Accumulated deficit

Total shareholders' deficiency

Total liabilities and shareholders' deficiency

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

F-3

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
 CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years Ended Decem	
	----- 2005 -----	
Revenues, net	\$ 128,070	\$
Cost of goods sold	34,444	
Gross Profit	93,626	
Costs and expenses:		
General and administrative	455,999	
Research and development	730,774	
Total costs and expenses	1,186,773	
Loss from operations	(1,093,147)	
Other expenses (income):		
Interest expense	-	
Gain on sale of asset	(2,142)	
Total other expense	(2,142)	

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

NET LOSS	\$	(1,091,005)	\$
	=====		=====
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding-basic & diluted		18,257,808	
	=====		=====
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$	(0.06)	\$
	=====		=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

F-4

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY

	Common Stock		Additional	Deferred
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Compensatio
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at December 31, 2003	15,502,636	\$ 15,000	\$ 2,725,000	\$ (21,000)
Issuance of units consisting of common stock and warrants net of issuance costs	1,935,000	2,000	1,283,000	
Common stock and warrants issued in private placement	61,666	-	37,000	
Issuance of options for accrued compensation			747,000	
Issuance of options for services			18,000	
Amortization of deferred compensation				148,000
Common stock issued for service	177,100	1,000	143,000	(138,000)
Net Loss	-	-	-	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at December 31, 2004	17,676,402	\$ 18,000	\$ 4,953,000	\$ (11,000)



Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Issuance of common stock for consulting services	300,000	300	141,519	(56,875)
Issuance of options for services	-	-	21,000	-
Issuance of restricted common stock at \$.40 per share	1,468,333	1,144	586,189	-
Issuance of common stock for consulting services	15,000	15	7,635	-
Amortization of deferred compensation	-	-	-	14,438
Net Loss	-	-	-	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance - December 31, 2005	19,459,735	\$ 19,459	\$ 5,709,343	\$ (53,437)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

F-5

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Years Ended December 31	
	2005	2004
	-----	-----
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:		
Net loss	\$ (1,091,005)	\$ (1,742,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used by operating activities:		
Depreciation	2,720	11,000
Additional compensation for options issued in excess of accrued compensation	-	377,000
Common stock issued for services	149,469	6,000
Amortization of deferred compensation	-	148,000
Options issued for consulting services	-	18,000
Deferred compensation	(42,437)	
Gain on sale of equipment	(2,142)	
Issuance of warrants for services	21,000	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	-	10,000
Inventory	(47,034)	(9,000)
Prepaid insurance	3,000	(3,000)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	255,617	(62,000)
Deposits from customers	(10,000)	10,000

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Net cash used in operating activities	(760,812)	(1,236,000)
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:		
Purchase of equipment	(5,830)	
Sale of equipment	35,000	
Net cash provided by investing activities	29,170	
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:		
Proceeds from sales of common stock	587,333	1,322,000
Repayments of obligation under capital leases	-	(15,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	587,333	1,307,000
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(144,309)	71,000
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	221,000	150,000
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 76,691	\$ 221,000
Supplemental Disclosures		
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$ -	\$ 13,000
Cash paid during the year for taxes	\$ -	\$ -
Stock options issued to settle accrued compensation	\$ -	\$ 370,000
Common stock issued for deferred consulting	\$ 53,437	\$ 10,000
Common stock issued for conversion of convertible notes payable	\$ -	\$ 250,000
Common stock issued for consulting services	\$ 146,469	\$ 6,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements

F-6

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

NOTE A - ORGANIZATION AND OPERATIONS

Organization

-----  
Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc. (the "Company") is a provider of environmental and industrial separation technology. The Company has developed and patented the

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Voraxial(R) Separator, which is a technology that efficiently separates solids and liquids with distinct specific gravities. Potential commercial applications and markets include oil exploration and production, pre-treatment of wastewater at municipal wastewater (headworks) facilities, oil and water separation, and environmental cleanup.

Since 1999, the Company has been focusing its efforts on developing and marketing the Voraxial(R) Separator. The Company currently operates within two segments: the sales and marketing of the Voraxial(R) Separator and the manufacture of the Voraxial(R) Separator.

Florida Precision Aerospace, Inc. (FPA) is the wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company and is used to do contract work with the aerospace, automotive and defense contracting activity.

### NOTE B - GOING CONCERN

The Company has experienced net losses, has negative cash flows from operating activities, and has to raise capital to sustain operations. There is no assurance that the Company's developmental and marketing efforts will be successful, that the Company will ever have commercially accepted products, or that the Company will achieve a level of revenue sufficient to provide cash inflows to sustain operations. The Company will continue to require the infusion of capital until operations become profitable. During 2005, the Company anticipates seeking additional capital, increasing sales of the Voraxial(R) Separator and continuing to restrict expenditures. As a result of the above, the accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

### NOTE C - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Principles of Consolidation

-----  
The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the parent company, Enviro Voraxial Technology, Inc., and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Florida Precision Aerospace, Inc. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

#### Estimates

-----  
The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and

F-7

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results may differ.

#### Revenue Recognition

-----  
The Company presents revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 104 "Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements". Under SAB 104, revenue is realized when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

occurred, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured.

In accordance with the above, the Company recognizes revenue from rental of equipment, based on the terms of the agreement. Revenues from contracts are recognized upon customer acceptance of shipment.

### Fair Value of Instruments

-----

The carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, inventory, accounts payable and accrued expenses at December 31, 2005, approximate their fair value because of their relatively short-term nature.

### Cash and Cash Equivalents

-----

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash balances with various financial institutions. Balances at these institutions may at times exceed the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporate limits.

### Inventory

-----

Inventory consists of components for the Voraxial(R) Separator and is priced at lower of first-in, first-out cost or market. Inventory includes components held by third parties in connection with pilot programs as part of the continuing evaluation by such third parties as to the effectiveness and usefulness of the service to be incorporated into their respective operations.

### Fixed Assets

-----

Fixed assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. The cost of maintenance and repairs is expensed to operations as incurred. Depreciation is computed by the straight-line method over the estimated economic useful life of the assets (5-10 years). Gains and losses recognized from the sales or disposal of assets is the difference between the sales price and the recorded cost less accumulated depreciation less costs of disposal.

### Net Loss Per Share

-----

Basic and diluted loss per share has been computed by dividing the net loss available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The warrants and stock options have been excluded from the calculation since they would be anti-dilutive.

F-8

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

Such equity instruments may have a dilutive effect in the future and include the following potential common shares:

Warrants	5,589,367
Stock options	3,729,666
	-----
	9,319,033
	=====

Income Taxes

-----  
Deferred income taxes are recognized for the tax consequences in future years of differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their financial reporting amounts based on enacted tax laws and statutory tax rates applicable to the periods in which the differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Research and Development Expenses  
-----

Research and development costs, which consist of travel expenses, consulting fees, subcontractors and salaries are expensed as incurred.

Advertising Costs  
-----

Advertising costs are expensed as incurred and are included in general and administrative expenses. Amounts incurred for advertising as of December 31, 2005 and 2004 were \$10,121 and \$15,000, respectively.

Stock-Based Compensation  
-----

The Company accounts for stock-based employee compensation under Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related interpretations. The Company has adopted the disclosure-only provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure," which was released in December 2002 as an amendment of SFAS No. 123. The Company currently accounts for stock-based compensation under the fair value method using the Black-Scholes option pricing model as indicated in Note G.

Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets  
-----

The long-lived assets held and used by the Company are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable. It is reasonably possible that these assets could become impaired as a result of technology or other industry changes. Determination of recoverability of assets to be held and used is by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to future net undiscounted cash flows to be generated by the assets. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying

F-9

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

amount of assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. There were no impairments of long-lived assets in 2005 and 2004.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements  
-----

Share-Based Payment

In December 2004, the FASB issued a revision of SFAS 123 (SFAS 123(R)) that requires compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions to be recognized in the statement of operations. With limited exceptions, the amount

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

of compensation cost will be measured based on the grant-date fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. In addition, liability awards will be re-measured each reporting period. Compensation cost will be recognized over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award. SFAS 123(R) replaces SFAS 123 and is effective as of February 1, 2006. Based on zero shares and awards outstanding as of January 31, 2006, the adoption of SFAS 123(R) would have no impact on earnings for the fiscal year.

In March 2005, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, released Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 107, "Share-Based Payments". The interpretations in SAB 107 express views of the SEC staff, or staff, regarding the interaction between SFAS 123R and certain SEC rules and regulations, and provide the staff's views regarding the valuation of share-based payment arrangements for public companies. In particular, SAB 107 provides guidance related to share-based payment transactions with non-employees, the transition from nonpublic to public entity status, valuation methods (including assumptions such as expected volatility and expected term), the accounting for certain redeemable financial instruments issued under share-based payment arrangements, the classification of compensation expense, non-GAAP financial measures, first-time adoption of SFAS 123R in an interim period, capitalization of compensation cost related to share-based payment arrangements, the accounting for income tax effects of share-based payment arrangements upon adoption of SFAS 123R, the modification of employee share options prior to adoption of SFAS 123R and disclosures in Management's Discussion and Analysis subsequent to adoption of SFAS 123R. SAB 107 requires stock-based compensation be classified in the same expense lines as cash compensation is reported for the same employees. The Company and management is reviewing SAB 107 in conjunction with its review of SFAS 123R.

### Non-monetary Exchange

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, "Exchanges of Non-monetary Assets--An Amendment of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 29, Accounting for Non-monetary Transactions" ("SFAS 153"). SFAS 153 eliminates the exception from fair measurement for non-monetary exchanges of similar productive assets in paragraph 21(b) of APB Opinion No. 29, "Accounting for Non-monetary Transactions," and replaces it with an exception for exchanges that do not have

F-10

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

commercial substance. SFAS 153 specifies that a non-monetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. SFAS 153 is effective for fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS 153 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's current financial condition or results of operations.

### Conditional Asset Retirement

In March 2005, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) No. 47 - "Accounting for Conditional Asset Retirement Obligations - an Interpretation of SFAS 143 (FIN No. 47)". FIN No. 47 clarifies the timing of liability recognition for legal obligations associated with the retirement of a tangible long-lived asset when the timing and/or method of settlement are conditional on a future event. FIN No. 47 is effective no later than December 31, 2005. FIN No. 47 did not impact the Company for the year ended January 31, 2006.

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

### Accounting Changes and Error Corrections

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, a Replacement of APB No. 20 and FASB 3". SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle unless it is impracticable. APB Opinion No. 20 "Accounting Changes," previously required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle.

### NOTE D - CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

One customer accounted for approximately 80% of revenue for the years end December 31, 2005 and 2004. There were no outstanding receivables from this customer for either year.

### NOTE E - FIXED ASSETS

Fixed assets as of December 31, 2005 consists of:

	2005
Machinery and equipment	\$ 278,929
Furniture and fixtures	14,498
	293,427
Total	293,427
Less: accumulated depreciation	(288,089)
	5,338
Fixed Assets, net	\$ 5,338

F-11

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

Depreciation expense for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 amounted to \$2,720 and \$11,000 respectively.

### NOTE F - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

For the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company incurred consulting expenses from the chief executive officer and majority stockholder of the Company of \$165,000 each year. Of these amounts, approximately \$43,000 and \$25,000 have been paid out for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The unpaid balance has been included in accrued expenses.

### NOTE G - CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS

Common stock  
 -----

On January 15, 2004, the Company issued options to purchase an aggregate of 1,394,666 shares of common stock to the Company's chief executive officer, one employee and one consultant, in consideration for such individuals accrued compensation in the aggregate amount of \$370,000. Options to purchase 697,333 shares of our common stock are exercisable at \$0.60 and options to purchase

## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

697,333 shares of our common stock are exercisable at \$1.00. The options are exercisable for a period of five years commencing January 15, 2004. The Company estimated the fair value of the stock options at the grant date by using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield for all years, expected volatility of 80%, risk-free interest rate of 4% and an expected life of 5 years, resulting in a fair value of \$747,000, and additional compensation expense of \$370,000.

In January 2004, the Company issued 170,000 shares of common stock to a consultant valued at \$138,000 based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the agreement. This amount is being amortized over the one year life of the consulting agreement, resulting in consulting expense of \$127,000 in 2004. The remaining unamortized balance of \$11,000 has been expensed in 2005.

In January 2004, the Company closed a private placement which commenced in 2003. Under the private placement the Company sold an aggregate of 61,666 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.60 per share plus warrants to purchase 61,666 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$1.00 per share to four investors for proceeds of \$37,000 during the three months ended June 30, 2004. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of closing.

On February 18, 2004, the Company issued options to purchase an aggregate of 30,000 shares of common stock exercisable at \$0.71 per share to three individuals as consideration for joining the Company's advisory committee. The options were initially exercisable until February 18, 2006, but have been extended to February 18, 2007. The Company calculated estimate of the fair value

F-12

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

of the stock options at the grant date by using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, with the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield for all years, expected volatility of 80%, risk-free interest rate of 4% and an expected life of 3 years, resulting in a fair value of \$18,000. This amount was expensed in 2004.

In February 2004, the Company extended the exercisable life of certain warrants issued to investors to purchase an aggregate of 243,200 shares of common stock issued in 2000 for a period of one year. The warrants now expire in February 2006.

In February 2004, the Company extended the exercisable life of certain warrants issued to investors to purchase an aggregate of 200,000 shares of common stock issued in 2001 for a period of one year. The warrants now expire in April 2006.

During January and February 2004, the Company issued convertible notes to three individuals in the aggregate amount of \$250,000 through a convertible note agreement whereby the notes automatically convert into securities of the Company pursuant to the terms of a private placement initiated in March 2004. Effective May 5, 2004, the notes converted into 250,000 shares.

From May 2004 through August 2004, the Company sold an aggregate of 1,935,000 units of securities to 41 investors for gross proceeds of \$1,451,000 under the private placement. The Company has paid a placement agent a commission of 10% of



## Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

the gross proceeds and a non-accountable expense allowance of 3% of the gross proceeds and issued the placement agent warrants to purchase six shares of common stock (three shares at \$0.75 and three shares at \$1.00) for each 20 units sold in the offering. Each unit consisted of one share of restricted common stock at \$0.75 per share and one warrant to purchase one share of common stock at \$1.00 per share. The warrants are exercisable for a period of five years from the date of closing.

On June 30, 2004, the Company issued 7,100 shares of our common stock to an individual in consideration for services rendered. The number of shares issued was based on the fair value of the consulting services. The Company has expensed \$6,000 in 2004.

In July 2004, the Company issued 100,000 shares of common stock, pertaining to a 2001 offering that were recorded as stock to be issued at December 31, 2003.

In January 2005, the Company entered into a one-year consulting agreement with its former Chief Operating Officer for engineering design, marketing and sales of Company products and services. Pursuant to this agreement, the Company granted 50,000 warrants to this individual exercisable at \$1.00 per share. These warrants vest equally in 12 tranches over a period of one year commencing in January, 2005 and expire in January 2008. The Company calculated the fair value of the warrants at the grant date by using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted average assumptions: no dividend yield for all the years; expected volatility of 133%; risk-free interest rate of 3% and an expected life of 3 years, resulting in a fair value of approximately \$21,000.

F-13

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

In May 2005, the Company issued 75,000 shares of common stock to a consultant, valued at \$57,000, which is based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the agreement. In addition, the Company paid \$40,000 in cash to the consultant, which has been amortized over the life of the consulting agreement of four months. During November 2005, the Company issued an additional 225,000 shares per the terms of the agreement. These shares were valued at \$85,500, which is based on the closing market price of the Company's common stock on the date of the agreement.

During 2005, the Company issued 1,468,333 shares of restricted common stock at \$.40 per share, with total proceeds of \$587,333 being received.

In July 2005, the Company entered into a consulting agreement. The terms of agreement included issuance of 15,000 shares of common stock for services rendered. The number of shares issued was based on the fair value of the consulting services of \$7,650.

### Options

-----

Information with respect to employee stock options outstanding and employee stock options exercisable at December 31, 2005 is as follows:

Options Outstanding	Vested Shares	Exercise Price Per Common Share
-----	-----	-----

We  
Ex  
Op  
--

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Balance, December 31, 2002	2,245,000	1,115,000	\$0.15-\$0.77
Granted/vested during the year	10,000	1,120,000	\$1.00
Balance, December 31, 2002	2,245,000	2,235,000	\$0.15-\$1.00
Granted/vested during the year	1,424,666	1,424,666	\$0.15-\$1.00
Balance, December 31, 2004	3,679,666	3,659,666	\$0.15-\$1.00
Granted/vested during the year	50,000	50,000	\$1.00
Balance, December 31, 2005	3,729,666	3,709,666	\$0.15-\$1.00

The following table summarizes information about the stock options outstanding at December 31, 2005

Exercise Price	Number Outstanding at December 31, 2005	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable at December 31, 2005
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
0.30	45,000	0.87	0.30	45,000
0.77	200,000	1.13	0.77	200,000
0.15	2,000,000	1.55	0.15	2,000,000
1.00	10,000	1.00	1.00	10,000
0.60	697,333	3.13	0.60	697,333
1.00	697,333	3.13	1.00	697,333
1.00	50,000	3.00	1.00	50,000
0.71	30,000	1.17	0.71	30,000
	-----			-----
	3,729,666			3,729,666

F-14

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
 DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

Warrants

-----

	Number Outstanding	Range of Exercise Price
	-----	-----
Balance - December 31, 2002	1,477,200	\$1.00 - \$9.00
Issued	1,585,002	\$1.00
	-----	
Balance, December 31, 2003	3,062,202	\$1.00 - \$9.00
Issued	2,527,165	\$0.75 - \$1.00
	-----	
Balance, December 31, 2004	5,589,367	\$0.75 - \$9.00
Issued		
	-----	
Balance, December 31, 2005	5,589,367	\$0.75 - \$9.00
	-----	

# Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

## NOTE H - INCOME TAXES

Deferred income taxes arise from timing differences resulting from income and expense items reported for financial accounting and tax purposes in different periods. A deferred tax asset valuation allowance is recorded when it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets will not be realized. There are no deferred taxes for the year ended December 31, 2005.

There was no income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2005 due to the Company's net losses. Due to an estimated net operating loss carry-forward of approximately \$5,885,000 available to offset future taxable income through 2019 and recoverability is not certain, there is no expected tax benefit calculated.

## NOTE I - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

### Employment Agreements

The Company entered into an employment agreement dated January 17, 2002 with an individual to serve as the Vice President and Director of Business Development. The agreement provides for a contingent bonus to be paid to this employee in the amount of \$300,000 to improve the financial condition of the Company. Such bonus is payable upon the Company obtaining a total of \$3 million of financing or when revenue exceeds \$1 million. In 2002, this individual was granted stock options to purchase 2 million shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$0.15 per share. The market price at the date of grant was \$0.12 per share.

The Company hired two employees under employment agreements that commenced in January 2003. The combined salaries for 2003 are \$215,000 subject to annual increases beginning in 2004. Both agreements have a term of 5 years. One agreement provided for the granting of up to 300,000 cashless exercise warrants to purchase common stock at \$1 per share which may result in a significant charge to operations in the future. This agreement was terminated by mutual agreement on December 31, 2004, and only 150,000 warrants were vested and are

F-15

ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY, INC.  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
DECEMBER 31, 2005 AND 2004

exercisable. The other agreement provides for the granting of 10,000 stock options to purchase common stock at \$1 per share exercisable ratably over two years from the date of grant.

### Operating Lease

The Company leases office and warehouse space in Ft. Lauderdale, Florida under a business lease agreement for a three-year term ending in August 2007. Minimum future lease payments for the next two years are as follows:

Years ending December 31,

2006	\$ 63,700
2007	42,467
	-----
	106,167
	-----

Edgar Filing: ENVIRO VORAXIAL TECHNOLOGY INC - Form 10KSB

Rent expense charged to operations amounted to \$62,000 and \$60,000 in 2005 and 2004, respectively.

NOTE J - SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

In March 2006, a Voraxial(R) 4000 Separator was sold to ConocoPhillips for produced water separation. The machine will be used to enhance the handling of large volumes of produced water and water injection on at a production facility.