

Clean Coal Technologies Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended:

March 31, 2010

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission file number: **000-50053**

CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

NEVADA

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

26-1079442

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

12518 W Atlantic Blvd, Coral Springs, FL

(Address of principal executive offices)

33071

(Zip Code)

(954) 344-2727

(Issuer's telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), Yes and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of Registrant's Common Stock as of August 6, 2010: 461,047,134

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and, therefore, do not include all information and footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of our financial position, results of operations, cash flows, and stockholders' equity in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results of operations and financial position have been included and all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature.

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.
(A Development Stage Company)
Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

	June 30 2010	December 31 2009
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash	\$ 1,486	\$ 9,860
Total Current Assets	1,486	9,860
Property, plant and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$382 and \$280, respectively	637	739
Total Assets	\$ 2,123	\$ 10,599
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 348,467	\$ 384,521
Accounts payable from related parties	708,637	1,328,084
Accrued liabilities	132,963	171,908
Short-term debt	131,503	129,500
Debt owed to related parties	823,462	1,596,039
Total Current Liabilities	2,145,032	3,610,052
Long-term debt owed to related parties	2,336,338	-
Long-term debt	61,833	-
Total Liabilities	4,543,203	3,610,052
Common stock, \$0.00001 par value; 600,000,000 shares authorized, 461,047,134 and 440,355,468 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	4,611	4,404
Additional paid-in capital	184,583,993	177,740,279
Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(189,129,684)	(181,344,136)
Total Stockholders' Deficit	(4,541,080)	(3,599,453)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficit	\$ 2,123	\$ 10,599

The accompanying notes are integral part of these unaudited financial statements.

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.
(A Development Stage Enterprise)
Statements of Expenses
(Unaudited)

	Three Month Ended		Six Month Ended		October 20, 1997
	June 30,		June 30,		(Inception)
	2010	2009	2010	2009	Through
					June 30,
					2010
Operating Expenses:					
General and administrative	\$ 589,179	\$ 101,458	\$ 698,180	\$ 248,038	\$ 2,512,782
Research and development	-	-	-	-	374,912
Consulting services	1,985,687	4,823,651	7,010,156	10,799,600	165,380,005
Loss from Operations	(2,574,866)	(4,925,109)	(7,708,336)	(11,047,638)	(168,267,699)
Other Income (Expenses):					
Interest Expense	(51,907)	(24,512)	(78,202)	(49,455)	(406,041)
Other income	-	-	990	-	4,590
Other expenses	-	-	-	-	(18,985)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-	-	-	-	(41,357)
Gain on change in derivative liability	-	-	-	7,598,481	7,598,481
Total Other Income (Expenses)	(51,907)	(24,512)	(77,212)	7,549,026	7,136,688
Net loss	\$ (2,626,773)	\$ (4,949,621)	\$ (7,785,548)	\$ (3,498,612)	\$ (161,131,011)
Net loss per share - basic and diluted	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.01)	
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	460,808,535	439,970,618	454,645,440	437,331,811	

The accompanying notes are integral part of these unaudited financial statements.

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.
(A Development Stage Company)
Statement of Stockholders' Deficit
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock		Additional	Deficit	
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In	Accumulated	Stockholders'
			Capital	During the	Deficit
				Development	
				Stage	
Balances at December 31, 2009	440,355,468	\$ 4,404	\$ 177,740,279	\$ (181,344,136)	\$ (3,599,453)
Common stock issued for services	20,366,666	204	3,045,563	-	3,045,767
Accrued stock-based compensation	-	-	3,762,654	-	3,762,654
Common stock issued for debt	300,000	3	32,997	-	33,000
Common stock issued for settlement	25,000	-	2,500	-	2,500
Net loss	-	-	-	(7,785,548)	(7,785,548)
Balances at June 30, 2010	461,047,134	\$ 4,611	\$ 184,583,993	\$ (189,123,684)	\$ (4,541,080)

The accompanying notes are integral part of these unaudited financial statements.

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.
(A Development Stage Enterprise)
Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		October 20, 1997 (Inception) Through June 30, 2010
	2010	2009	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
	\$	\$	\$
Net Loss	(7,785,548)	(3,498,612)	(161,131,011)
Adjustment to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used in operating activities:			
Depreciation expense	102	102	5,702
Shares issued for services	6,808,421	10,438,216	146,202,487
Shares issued for settlement	2,500	-	2,500
Warrant expense	-	-	16,490,517
Loss on extinguishment of debt	-	-	41,357
Loss on shares issued for debt	16,500	-	16,500
Interest expense paid in shares	-	-	45,384
Interest converted to debt	7,996	-	117,392
Write-off of asset	-	-	16,015
Gain on write-off of accounts payable	-	-	(87,404)
Gain on derivative liability	-	(7,598,481)	(7,598,481)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	-	-	(11,015)
Accounts payable	(7,721)	78,091	464,204
Accounts payable - related party	698,025	505,862	3,209,301
Accrued expenses	49,351	52,053	136,301
Cash Provided by (Used In) Operating Activities	(210,374)	(22,769)	(2,080,251)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchase of fixed assets	-	-	(6,339)
Investment in joint venture	-	-	(5,000)
Cash Used in Investing Activities	-	-	(11,339)

CASH FLOWS FROM
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Advances from related parties	-	7,000	316,000
Borrowings on related party debt	170,000	-	1,706,576
Payments on related party debt	(3,000)	-	(3,000)
Borrowings on debt	35,000	15,000	73,500
Cash Provided by Financing Activities	202,000	22,000	2,093,076

NET CHANGE IN CASH
AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

	(8,374)	(769)	1,486
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - beginning of period	9,860	1,598	-
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - end of period	\$ 1,486	\$ 829	\$ 1,486

SUPPLEMENTAL
DISCLOSURES:

	\$	\$	\$
Cash paid for interest	2,489	-	2,489
Cash paid for income taxes	-	-	-

NON-CASH INVESTING
AND FINANCING
ACTIVITIES:

	\$	\$	\$
Forgiveness of related party debt and accrued interest	-	69,553	69,553
Derivative liability removed due to warrants exercised	-	36,890,709	36,890,709
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	-	44,489,190	44,489,190
Preferred stock issued for related party debt	-	-	380,837
Preferred stock converted to common stock	-	-	481
Accrued interest converted to debt	86,796	-	-
Common stock issued for debt and accrued interest	16,500	-	939,277
Payables converted to debt	28,333	-	28,333
Related party payables and advances converted to debt	1,317,472	-	2,816,664

The accompanying notes are integral part of these unaudited financial statements.

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc.

(A Development Stage Company)

Notes to Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements of Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto contained in Clean Coal's Annual Report on Form 10K filed with the SEC. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position and the results of operations for the interim period presented herein. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year or for any future period. Notes to the financial statements which would substantially duplicate the disclosure contained in the audited financial statements for fiscal 2009 as reported in the Form 10K have been omitted.

RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Clean Coal values its warrants and non cash common shares transactions under FASB ASC 820 which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The provisions of ASC 820 were effective January 1, 2008.

As defined in ASC 820, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). Clean Coal utilizes market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable. Clean Coal classifies fair value balances based on the observability of those inputs. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The hierarchy

gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (level 1 measurement) and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs (level 3 measurement).

The three levels of the fair value hierarchy defined by ASC 820 are as follows:

Level 1 Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis. Level 1 primarily consists of financial instruments such as exchange-traded derivatives, marketable securities and listed equities.

Level 2 Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets included in level 1, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. Level 2 includes those financial instruments that are valued using models or other valuation methodologies. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors, and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Substantially all of these assumptions are observable in the marketplace throughout the full term of the instrument, can be derived from observable data or are supported by observable levels at which transactions are executed in the marketplace. Instruments in this category generally include non-exchange-traded derivatives such as commodity swaps, interest rate swaps, options and collars.

Level 3 Pricing inputs include significant inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management's best estimate of fair value.

Clean Coal uses Level 2 to value its warrant instruments and Level 1 to value its non cash common share transactions.

NOTE 2: GOING CONCERN

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis of accounting which contemplates continuity of operations, realization of assets, liabilities, and commitments in the normal course of business. The accompanying financial statements do not reflect any adjustments that might result if the Clean Coal is unable to continue as a going concern. Clean Coal has an accumulated deficit and a working capital deficit as of June 30, 2010 with no significant revenues anticipated for the near term. Management believes Clean Coal will need to raise capital in order to operate over the next 12 months. As shown in the accompanying financial statements, Clean Coal has also incurred significant losses since inception. Clean Coal's continuation as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to generate sufficient cash flow to meet its obligations on a timely basis and ultimately to attain profitability. Clean Coal has limited capital with which to pursue its business plan. There can be no assurance that Clean Coal's future operations will be significant and profitable, or that Clean Coal will have sufficient resources to meet its objectives. These conditions raise substantial doubt as to Clean Coal's ability to continue as a going concern. Management may pursue either debt or equity financing or a combination of both, in order to raise sufficient capital to meet Clean Coal's financial requirements over the next twelve months and to fund its business plan. There is no assurance that management will be successful in raising additional funds.

NOTE 3: RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Short-term Debt from Related Parties

A summary of the short-term debt from related parties outstanding as of June 30, 2010 is as follows (all of which is unsecured):

<u>Date of Note</u>	<u>To Whom</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Principal Balance</u>
April 15, 2008	Larry Hunt	April 15, 2010	10%	\$ 104,959
June 30, 2008	Larry Hunt	June 30, 2010	10%	247,305
June 30, 2008	ERC	June 30, 2010	10%	252,641
September 30, 2009	EFMI	On Demand	0%	98,591
September 30, 2009	Larry Hunt	On Demand	0%	91,466
October 31, 2009	EFMI	On Demand	0%	3,000
November 30, 2009	EFMI	On Demand	0%	500
March 8, 2010	EFMI	On Demand	0%	25,000
				\$ 823,462

Long-term Debt from Related Parties

A summary of the long-term debt from related parties outstanding as of June 30, 2010 is as follows (all of which is unsecured):

Date of Note	To Whom	Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Principal Balance
June 30, 2010	C J Douglas	June 30, 2012	10%	\$ 1,376,849
June 30, 2010	Douglas Hague	June 30, 2012	10%	765,405
June 30, 2010	Edward Jennings	June 30, 2012	10%	47,584
June 30, 2010	Mitchell Shapiro	June 30, 2012	10%	45,917
June 30, 2010	Stewart Ashton	June 30, 2012	10%	48,166
June 30, 2010	Richard Young	June 30, 2012	10%	52,417
				\$ 2,336,338

On June 30, 2010, Clean Coal converted \$1,317,472 of related party payables and advances, \$79,290 of accrued interest and \$939,576 of previously issued related party debt into the above long-term related party notes. The modified notes are unsecured, mature on June 30, 2012 and bear interest at 10% per annum. The notes become convertible on December 31, 2010, contingent upon the notes not being repaid prior to December 31, 2010, into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a price of \$0.045 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the modification under FASB ASC 470-50 and determined it qualified as a debt extinguishment due to a substantive conversion option being added. There was no gain or loss as a result of the extinguishment. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined they qualify as beneficial conversion features. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion features on June 30, 2010 totaled \$623,023. Due to the conversion options being contingent, the beneficial conversion features will not be recognized until the contingency is resolved.

Accounts payable from related parties

At June 30, 2010, unpaid services provided by related parties totaled \$708,637, which was included in accounts payable from related parties.

NOTE 4: NOTES PAYABLE

Between June 2001 and November 2009, Clean Coal borrowed an aggregate of \$91,000 from unrelated third parties which loans are unsecured, due on demand and bear interest between 0% and 10% per annum.

Between May and November 2009, Clean Coal borrowed an aggregate of \$23,500 from an unrelated third party which loans are unsecured, bear interest at 10% per annum and mature 1 year from the date of the loans. Upon maturity, the notes become convertible into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at 50% of the market price of Clean Coal's

common stock on the conversion date. On May 13, 2010, \$15,000 of these loans matured and was immediately converted, along with \$1,500 of accrued interest, into 300,000 shares of Clean Coal's common stock. The fair value of the shares issued was \$33,000. Clean Coal recognized additional interest expense of \$16,500 as a result of the conversion.

On February 23, 2010, Clean Coal borrowed \$15,000 from an unrelated third party. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 10% per annum and matures August 23, 2010. As part of the borrowing, the terms of \$15,000 previously borrowed from the party were modified whereby the maturity date was extended from June 30, 2010 to August 23, 2010. In addition, as part of the modification, a contingent conversion option was added whereby the loan becomes convertible upon maturity, contingent upon the note not being repaid prior to maturity, into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a rate of \$0.038 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the modification under FASB ASC 470-50 and determined it qualified as a debt extinguishment due to a substantive conversion option being added. There was no gain or loss as a result of the extinguishment. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it qualified as a beneficial conversion feature. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature on February 23, 2010 was \$30,000. Due to the conversion option being contingent, the beneficial conversion feature will not be recognized until the contingency is resolved.

On May 5, 2010, Clean Coal borrowed \$20,000 from an unrelated third party. The note is unsecured, bears interest at 10% per annum and matures November 5, 2010. The note becomes convertible upon maturity, contingent upon the note not being repaid prior to maturity, into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a rate of \$0.05 per share upon maturity. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion option under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined it qualified as a beneficial conversion feature. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature on May 5, 2010 was \$20,000. Due to the conversion option being contingent, the beneficial conversion feature will not be recognized until the contingency is resolved.

On June 30, 2010, Clean Coal modified the terms of previously issued third party notes. As part of the modification, \$33,500 of previously issued debt and \$28,333 of accounts payable was converted into three new notes with a total principal amount of \$61,833. The new notes are unsecured, bear interest at 10% per annum and mature June 30, 2012. In addition, as part of the modification, contingent conversion options were added whereby the loans become convertible upon maturity, contingent upon the loans not being repaid prior to maturity, into shares of Clean Coal's common stock at a rate of \$0.045 per share. Clean Coal evaluated the modification under FASB ASC 470-50 and determined it qualified as a debt extinguishment due to substantive conversion options being added. There was no

gain or loss as a result of the extinguishment. Clean Coal evaluated the conversion options under FASB ASC 470-20 and determined they qualified as a beneficial conversion features. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion features on June 30, 2010 totaled \$8,933. Due to the conversion options being contingent, the beneficial conversion features will not be recognized until the contingency is resolved.

As of June 30, 2010, the aggregate unpaid principal on third party debt totaled \$193,336 of which \$131,503 is classified as short-term.

NOTE 5: EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

On November 1, 2007, Clean Coal entered into an employment agreement with its President and Chief Executive Officer whereby the President and Chief Executive Officer is entitled to a stock bonus of 15,404,549 common shares. The stock is to be awarded as follows: 33% on December 31, 2008, 33% on December 31, 2009 and 33% on December 31, 2010 contingent upon employment with Clean Coal on each date. Clean Coal calculated the value of the award based upon the closing stock price on the reporting date December 31, 2007 and is expensing the award over the award periods. A total of 16,666 common shares were issued and \$3,764,321 was recognized as share-based compensation under this award for the six months ended June 30, 2010. \$3,762,654 is reflected as accrued stock-based compensation and \$1,667 is reflected as common stock issued for services in the Statement of Stockholders Deficit.

As of June 30, 2010, a total of 10,303,032 shares have been issued under the agreement and are shown as outstanding in the Statement of Stockholders Deficit.

In addition to the shares listed above, between January and June 2010, Clean Coal issued a total of 20,350,000 common shares for services valued at \$3,044,100, 25,000 common shares for a settlement valued at \$2,500 and 300,000 common shares for debt valued at \$33,000.

NOTE 6: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On July 29, 2010, 25,000,000 common shares that were previously issued in 2007 were cancelled by Clean Coal and reissued to a related party, Larry Hunt, to repay debt, accrued interest and payables due to Larry Hunt totaling \$1,179,750.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS AND FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties, as well as assumptions that, if they do not materialize or prove correct, could cause our results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, statements concerning: our plans, strategies and objectives for future operations; new products or developments; future economic conditions, performance or outlook; the outcome of contingencies; expected cash flows or capital expenditures; our beliefs or expectations; activities, events or developments that we intend, expect, project, believe or anticipate will or may occur in the future; and assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Forward-looking statements may be identified by their use of forward-looking terminology, such as believes, expects, may, should, would, will, intends, plans, estimates, anticipates, projects and similar words or expressions. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect our management's opinions only as of the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and are not guarantees of future performance or actual results. Forward-looking statements are made in reliance upon the safe harbor provisions of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act).

Overview

Clean Coal Technologies, Inc. (We, Company or Clean Coal) owns a patented technology that we believe will provide clean energy at low costs through the use of the world's most abundant fossil fuel, coal. Our technology is designed to utilize controlled heat to extract and capture pollutants and moisture from low-rank coal, transforming it into a clean-burning, more energy-efficient fuel, prior to combustion. Our proprietary coal cleaning process is designed to ensure that the carbon in coal maintains its structural integrity during the heating process while the volatile matter (polluting material) within the coal turns into a gaseous state and is removed from the coal.

Despite having a fully executed contract that was signed in Washington D.C. in December, 2008, our technology has not yet been installed in an operating commercial facility and we anticipate it will be a minimum of 12 to 18 months until the first operational plant to be constructed with the Sino-Mongolia International Railroad Systems, Co. Ltd. of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, PRC (SMIRSC) our Chinese joint venture partner, is completed. We are currently awaiting the project's final approval by the Chinese Government which we had anticipated would take place during the second quarter, but due to circumstances outside our control, should now take place during the third quarter, 2010. Once this approval is obtained we will be able to complete the final design and commence construction, which we hope will begin by the third quarter, 2010. This time frame represents a delay in our original estimate for the beginning of the initial construction of the facility due primarily to delays in the completion and acceptance of the myriad of environmental impact, health and safety, land use, and human resources studies required by the Chinese government.

In the longer term, we have been exploring joint venture arrangements and other strategic partnerships with various parties to facilitate the deployment of our technology in the US and abroad.

On December 18, 2009, the Company signed a final revision of an exclusive Technology Licensing Agreement (TLA) with INK Global Consulting for the deployment of CCTI's technology in India. The TLA provided for the funding and construction of clean coal facilities for an increasing production capacity of 100 million tons annually, over a ten year period. CCTI would receive an initial licensing fee of \$1.0 million, and thereafter would receive a royalty of \$2.00 per ton processed, plus an additional one-time license fee of \$1.0 million for each 1.0 million tons of clean coal capacity added. Whereas the initial license fee was payable as of the end of January 2010, the parties have determined that the business model originally contemplated between CCTI and INK Global Consulting under an exclusive Technology Licensing Agreement was inappropriate, and the parties are currently redefining their India strategy

On February 11, 2010, the company signed a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) (Framework Agreement) under which Tianjin Tianning Coal Traders, Co., Ltd., (TTCT) would finance and construct an initial 1.5 million ton clean coal facility in Inner Mongolia under a Technology Licensing Agreement (TLA).

The MOU provides for CCTI to receive the initial TLA fee of \$1.0 million dollars in three tranches, i.e. 30% payable on the delivery of the government mandated Feasibility Study, a further 30% payable six months after the delivery of the Feasibility Study, and the final 40% within 3 months of the commencement of the initial clean coal production. Additionally, CCTI would receive a royalty of \$2.00 per ton of all finished product produced, excluding that produced for testing and qualification. At this time we are continuing TLA contractual negotiations in conjunction with Benham (our engineering and construction sub-contractor), however, final approval of the agreement is predicated on CCTI receiving the final project approval for its Inner Mongolia joint venture agreement, which as outlined above, has not yet been approved.

Factors Affecting Results of Operations

Our operating expenses include the following:

·
Consulting expenses, which consist primarily of amounts paid for technology development and design and engineering services;

·
General and administrative expenses, which consist primarily of salaries, commissions and related benefits paid to our employees, as well as office and travel expenses;

·
Research and development expenses, which consist primarily of equipment and materials used in the development and testing of our technology; and

·
Legal and professional expenses, which consist primarily of amounts paid for audit, disclosure and reporting services.

Results of Operations

The following information should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes appearing elsewhere in this Report. We are a development stage company and have had no revenues from inception to date. We anticipate that we may not receive any significant revenues from operations until we begin to receive some revenues from our partnership share in our Chinese joint venture which we estimate will be approximately twenty-four months. We are presently in preliminary discussions with US and India interests to license our technology, which, if successful, would realize short term revenue opportunities. However, no agreements have been negotiated or executed and we cannot predict when or if any agreements will be completed.

For the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

Revenues

We have generated no revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and the same period in 2009.

Operating Expenses

Our operating expenses for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$2,574,866, and \$7,708,336 respectively, compared to \$4,925,109 and \$11,047,638 respectively, for the same periods in the prior year. The primary component of the operating expenses in both periods was for shares issued for services. The significant decrease in compensation expense for the 2010 fiscal periods is due to the differences in shares prices and number of shares and warrants issued in each year.

We recorded stock-based compensation to our CEO and president of \$1,891,721 and \$3,764,321 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$4,656,361 and \$9,261,553 for the same periods in the prior year. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2010, we issued 20,000,000 shares to Procyon Group, LLC, an unrelated third party consultant, for public relations services valued at \$3,000,000, issued 350,000 shares to Randall Business Development, our unrelated business consultant valued at \$44,100 and issued 25,000 shares in settlement of a lawsuit valued at \$2,500 .

Effective April 24, 2008, we entered into an exclusive agreement for Cappello Capital to assist us with

financial advisory services, specifically the raising of capital through various potential transactions, including, but not limited to, private placements, strategic alliances, sale or merger, divestitures, recapitalization, or strategic acquisition. The agreement provided for us to compensate Cappello Capital through warrants with an exercise price of \$0.05 per share to purchase up to 5% of the Company on a fully diluted basis, with 2% of the warrants being due at the time of the signing of the agreement. Additionally we would be required to pay Cappello Capital a percentage of any financial transaction closed during the term of the agreement. We exercised our cancellation option on September 24, 2009 with Cappello Capital without any transaction being completed. However, under the terms of the agreement, Cappello exercised their signing warrants for a total of 8,814,483 common shares. The shares were issued February 19, 2009, and the issuance caused us to record a gain of \$7,598,481 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The derivative value at each measurement date and the resulting gain or loss from the change in value is determined using the Black Scholes option pricing model. When our stock price goes up, our derivative value tends to increase and when our stock price goes down our derivative value tends to decrease. Our stock price went down between January 1, 2009 and February 19, 2009 which caused the liability to decrease resulting in the gain. There were no derivatives outstanding at June 30, 2010.

Other than the expense recorded for stock compensation during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, operating expenses consisted of \$589,179 and \$698,180 in general and administrative expenses. In the same periods in 2009, our operating expenses included \$101,458 and \$248,038 in general and administrative expenses.

In 2008, we recorded compensation to the Chairman and Board of Directors consisting of a one-time award of 170,000 restricted shares each, plus \$1,000, or \$3,000 per meeting, respectively, for either telephone or in-person attendance at monthly meetings. The value of the stock award was determined based on the market price of our stock as of the date of award. All Board of Directors cash fees have been accrued as of this date. Our CEO and President, Doug Hague is not compensated for his participation on our Board, however, he has an employment agreement that includes an irrevocable stock option equal to 5% of the total issued shares as of January 1, 2008, which are awarded over a three year period, based on continued employment and performance.

Employees

At June 30, 2010, we had one full-time officer, our CEO and President Douglas Hague, and one full-time employee. Mr. Hague has a written employment agreement and our other employee is at-will.

Until September 30, 2009, we had an oral consulting agreement with Larry Hunt, a major shareholder, to provide technology consulting, international and domestic business development services to us on a month-to-month basis, at \$17,500 per month. Mr. Hunt now provides consulting services on an as-needed basis.

We have an oral consulting agreement with CJ Douglas, a shareholder who provides services that support our administrative, investor relations, and accounting functions on a month-to-month basis, at \$15,000 per month.

The terms of the agreements described above were negotiated by and between the individuals and our board of directors based on the qualifications and requirements of each individual and the needs of the company, however, the negotiations may not be deemed to have been at arms length.

Net Income/Loss

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 we incurred net losses of \$2,626,773 and \$7,785,548 compared to net losses of \$4,949,621 and \$3,498,612 for the same periods for the prior year. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, we had losses from operations of \$2,574,866 and \$7,708,336, respectively, as outlined above, and interest expense of \$51,907 and \$78,202, respectively. For the same periods in the prior year, we had interest expense of \$24,512 and \$49,455, respectively.

We anticipate losses from operations will increase during the next twelve months due to anticipated increased payroll expenses as we add necessary staff and increases in legal and accounting expenses associated with becoming a reporting company. We expect that we will continue to have net losses from operations for several years until revenues from operating facilities become sufficient to offset operating expenses, unless we are successful in the sale of licenses for our technology.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We have generated no revenues since inception, neither has the Company generated any funding through any form of private or public offering. We have obtained cash for research and development activities and operating expenses solely through advances and/or loans from affiliates and stockholders. Despite having a fully executed contract that was signed in Washington D.C on December, 2008, our technology has not yet been installed in an operating commercial facility and we anticipate it will be a minimum of 12 to 18 months until the first operational plant to be constructed with the Sino-Mongolia International Railroad Systems, Co. Ltd. of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, PRC (SMIRSC) our Chinese joint venture partner, is completed. We are currently awaiting the project's final approval by the Chinese Government which we had anticipated would take place during the second quarter, but due to circumstances outside our control, should now take place during the third quarter, 2010. Once this approval is obtained we will be able to complete the final design and commence construction, which we hope will begin by the third quarter, 2010. This time frame represents a delay in our original estimate for the beginning of the initial construction of the facility due primarily to delays in the completion and acceptance of the myriad of environmental impact, health and safety, land use, and human resources studies required by the Chinese government.

The Feasibility Study was completed by the Shanghai Chemical Engineering Incorporation (SCEI) in April, 2009, and the Chinese Government's Certificate of Approval was issued on June 5, 2009. Once this approval is obtained we will be able to complete the final design and commence construction, which we hope will begin by year end. Once the initial phase of the plant is completed and fully operational, we should begin to receive our 25% partnership share of some revenues from plant operations but we cannot predict exactly when those revenues will start.

Net Cash Used in Operating Activities. Our primary sources of operating cash during the six months ended June 30, 2010 was loans from related and unrelated parties. Loans are in the form of notes which bear a 0% to a 10% interest rate and 12-month maturity and/or On Demand. Our primary uses of funds in operations were payments made to our consultants and employees, as well as travel and office expenses.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$210,374 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to net cash used of \$22,769 for the same period in 2009. The increase in cash used in operating activities in 2010 was due primarily to the increased net loss discussed above. Non-cash items included shares issued for services valued at \$6,808,421, depreciation expense of \$102, loss on shares issued for debt of \$16,500, shares issued for settlement of \$2,500 and interest expense converted to debt of \$7,996 during the six months ended June 30, 2010. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we experienced a decrease in accounts payable of \$7,721, an increase in related party payables of \$698,025 and an increase in accrued liabilities of \$49,351. Net cash used in operating activities from inception through June 30, 2010 totaled \$2,080,251.

Net Cash Used In Investing Activities. We did not engage in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 or 2009. From inception through June 30, 2010, net cash used in investing activities totaled \$11,339 for the purchase of fixed assets and investment in joint venture.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities during the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$202,000, consisting of loans from third parties, loans from related parties and payments made on related party debt. Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled \$22,000 consisting of advances from related parties and loans from third parties. From inception through June 30, 2010, net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$2,093,076 due to loans and advances from related and third parties.

Cash Position and Outstanding Indebtedness

Our total indebtedness at June 30, 2010 was \$4,543,203, consisting of current liabilities of \$2,145,032. Current liabilities consists primarily of accounts payable, accounts payable from related parties, debt owed to related parties, short-term debt and accrued liabilities. At June 30, 2010, we had current assets of \$1,486 in cash. We had property, plant and equipment (net of accumulated depreciation) of \$637 as at June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, we had a working capital deficit of \$2,143,546.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

The following table summarizes our contractual cash obligations and other commercial commitments at June 30, 2010.

	Total	Payments due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	After 5 years
Facility lease ⁽¹⁾	\$ 19,860	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
SMIRSC contract ⁽²⁾	8,333,000				
Total contractual cash obligations	\$ 8,352,860	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

(1) Our lease term runs until December 31, 2010, at a minimum monthly rate of \$3,310 per month for approximately 1,450 square feet.

(2) In December 2008, we signed a JV agreement with SMIRSC that requires us to make an initial payment of \$1,670,000 for our initial registered capital contribution within 90 days after the final approvals, with a balance of \$6,660,000 payable within 24 months. We do not yet have a date certain for the final approvals.

Based on our current operational costs, we will need approximately \$5,000,000 to fund our operations for the next 12 months, and a similar additional amount to continue operations for the following twelve months, or until the initial plant is up and running. We are contractually required to provide approximately \$1,670,000, representing the first 20% of our partnership contribution, within ninety days the Chinese government issuing their final approval of our project. The pre-requisite feasibility study was completed in April, 2009, and our Certificate of Approval (Operating License) was issued by the Inner Mongolia government on June 5, 2009. We are currently awaiting the project's final approval by the Chinese Government which we had anticipated would take place during the second quarter, but due to circumstances outside our control, should now take place during the third quarter, 2010. Once this approval is obtained we will be able to complete the final design and commence construction, which we hope will begin by the third quarter, 2010. This time frame represents a delay in our original estimate for the beginning of the initial construction of the facility due primarily to delays in the completion and acceptance of the myriad of environmental impact, health and safety, land use, and human resources studies required by the Chinese government.

The remaining portion of our funding for the joint venture will be due within twenty-four months of our receipt of the final government approval. Accordingly, we estimate we need to raise \$10,500,000 for the balance of 2010, and \$13,700,000 for 2011 in order to meet our funding commitments and continue operations.

At this filing date, we do not have commitments for funding but intend to seek sufficient debt or equity funding to meet both our capital contribution deadlines and funding sufficient for our operations. We are actively pursuing technology license and royalty agreements in order to begin construction of other facilities without incurring the capital costs associated with the construction of future plants. Our engineering consultant, Benham Companies, LLC

(Benham) has tentatively estimated construction costs for each one million short ton coal cleaning facility of approximately \$150 million (excluding land costs). We are in discussions with several interested parties who may fund some or all of the estimated costs but have no definitive agreements in place.

Under the terms of our consulting agreement with Benham, we are obligated to pay to Benham a fee representing five percent of all gross revenues received by us from the sale of our technology, the operation of franchised plants utilizing the technology or revenue received on any other basis that is related to the technology. This fee will remain in effect for a period of 15 years, commencing from the date that we receive our initial revenue stream from the Chinese operations. All intellectual property rights associated with new art developed by Benham remain the property of CCTI, however Benham would have a right to use the intellectual property provided they are deployed in non-competitive projects.

SMIRSC previously provided us with documentation supporting its financial viability through an \$8 billion line of credit with the state-owned China Development Bank. However, the economic situation in China has deteriorated with the general decline in the world marketplace. While we believe this project is of particular importance to both SMIRSC and the Chinese government, any change in SMIRSC's ability to meet its funding

obligations would place the whole project in serious jeopardy.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not and do not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of establishing off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. Therefore, we do not believe we are exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We are exposed to changes in prevailing market interest rates affecting the return on our investments but do not consider this interest rate market risk exposure to be material to our financial condition or results of operations. We invest primarily in United States Treasury instruments with short-term (less than one year) maturities. The carrying amount of these investments approximates fair value due to the short-term maturities. Under our current policies, we do not use derivative financial instruments, derivative commodity instruments or other financial instruments to manage our exposure to changes in interest rates or commodity prices.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of June 30, 2010, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer (the same person), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our financial disclosure controls and procedures were not effective due to our limited internal resources and lack of ability to have multiple levels of transaction review. We presently have only one officer and one employee. Inasmuch as there is no segregation of duties within the Company, there is no management oversight, no control documentation being produced, and no one to review control documentation if it was being produced.

There were no changes in disclosure controls and procedures that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially effect, our disclosure controls and procedures. We do not expect to implement any changes to our disclosure controls and procedures until there is a significant change in our operations or capital resources.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Clean Coal was named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed on December 15, 2008 in the Circuit Court of the 17th Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida, case number 0860922. The suit was predicated on a dispute regarding a private transaction or transactions possibly involving stockholders of the Company. Although this litigation pertained to a private transaction that did not involve the Company, Clean Coal issued 25,000 of its Rule 144 restricted shares as part of the Settlement Agreement without prejudice on May 21, 2010.

Clean Coal was named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed on or about June 30, 2009 in the Second Judicial Circuit of the State of Nevada in and for Washoe County, case number 09-cv-02026. The suit is a dispute regarding the removal of a legend on restricted stock. Clean Coal did not believe the legend removal was proper and elected to contest the action vigorously until one year had elapsed since Clean Coal's filing of a registration statement on Form 10 with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, filed January 14, 2009, and thereafter to trial since plaintiff was seeking recovery for alleged damages caused by the refusal to remove the legend. Clean Coal was successful in having this lawsuit removed from the District Court of Nevada to Florida. Counsels for the respective parties elected to submit a Stipulation of Discontinuance with Prejudice resulting in the case being withdrawn.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We have no operating revenues yet and we have made no provision for any contingency, unexpected expenses or increases in costs that may arise.

We are a development stage company and have no revenues from operations, neither has the Company generated any funding through any form of private or public offering to use for operating expenses or research and development.

Since inception, we have been able to cover our operating losses from debt and equity financing. These sources of funds may not be available to cover future operating losses. If we are not able to obtain adequate sources of funds to operate our business we may not be able to continue as a going concern.

Our business strategy and plans could be adversely affected in the event we need additional financing and are unable to obtain such funding when needed. It is possible that our available funds may not be sufficient to meet our operating expenses, development plans, and capital expenditures for the next twelve months. Insufficient funds may prevent us from implementing our business strategy or may require us to delay, scale back or eliminate certain opportunities for the commercialization of our technology. If we cannot obtain necessary funding, then we may be forced to cease operations.

We may experience delays in resolving unexpected technical issues arising in completing development of new technology that will increase development costs and postpone anticipated sales and revenues.

As we develop, refine and implement our technology, we may have to solve technical, manufacturing and/or equipment-related issues. Some of these issues are ones that we cannot anticipate because the technology we are developing is new. If we must revise existing manufacturing processes or order specialized equipment to address a particular issue, we may not meet our projected timetable for bringing commercial operations on line. Such delays may interfere with our projected operating schedules, delay our receipt of licensing and royalty revenues from operations and decrease royalties from operations.

Because we have limited experience, we may be unable to successfully manage planned growth as we complete the transition from a technology development company to a licensing company.

We have limited experience in the commercial marketing arena, limited sales and marketing experience, and limited staff and support systems, especially compared to competitors in the energy industry. In order to become profitable through the commercialization of our technology, it must be cost-effective and economical to implement on a commercial scale. Furthermore, if our technology does not achieve, or if it is unable to maintain, market acceptance or regulatory approval, we may not be profitable.

Our success depends, in part, on our ability to license and market our technology effectively. We have limited marketing and sales capabilities. Although we may hire consultants to assist us in this transition period, we may not correctly ascertain or assess any and all risks inherent in the industry. We may not be successful in entering into new licensing arrangements, engaging independent sales representatives or partners, or recruiting, training and retaining an internal marketing staff and sales force, if necessary. If we are unable to meet the challenges posed by our planned licensing and sales growth, our business may fail.

The market in which we are attempting to sell our technology is highly competitive.

The market for our technology is highly competitive on a global basis, with a number of competitors having significantly greater resources and more established market penetration than us. Because of greater resources and more widely accepted brand names, many of our competitors may be able to adapt more quickly to changes in the markets we have targeted or devote greater resources to the development and sale of new technology products. Our ability to compete is dependent on our emerging technology which may take some time to develop market acceptance. To improve our competitive position, we may need to make significant ongoing investments in service and support, marketing, sales, research and development and intellectual property protection. We may not have sufficient resources to continue to make such investments or to secure a competitive position within the market we target.

Our business depends on the protection of our patents and other intellectual property and may suffer if we are unable to adequately protect such intellectual property.

Our success and ability to compete are substantially dependent upon our intellectual property. We rely on patent laws, trade secret protection and confidentiality or license agreements with our employees, consultants, strategic partners and others to protect our intellectual property rights. However, the steps we take to protect our intellectual property rights may be inadequate. There are events that are outside of our control that pose a threat to our intellectual property rights as well as to our products and services. For example, effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which we license our technology. Also, the efforts we have taken to protect our proprietary rights may not be sufficient or effective. Any impairment of our intellectual property rights could harm our business and our ability to compete. Also, protecting our intellectual property rights is costly and time consuming. Any increase in the unauthorized use of our intellectual property could make it more expensive to do business and harm our operating results. In addition, other parties may independently develop similar or competing technologies designed around any patents that may be issued to us.

We have been granted one U.S. patent and have several U.S. patent applications pending relating to certain aspects of our technology and we may seek additional patents on future innovations. Our ability to license our technology is substantially dependent on the validity and enforcement of these patents and patents pending. We cannot assure you that our patents will not be invalidated, circumvented or challenged, that patents will be issued for our patents pending, that the rights granted under the patents will provide us competitive advantages or that our current and future patent applications will be granted.

Third parties may invalidate our patents

Third parties may seek to challenge, invalidate, circumvent or render unenforceable any patents or proprietary rights owned by or licensed to us based on, among other things:

.

subsequently discovered prior art;

.

lack of entitlement to the priority of an earlier, related application; or

.

failure to comply with the written description, best mode, enablement or other applicable requirements.

United States patent law requires that a patent must disclose the best mode of creating and using the invention covered by a patent. If the inventor of a patent knows of a better way, or best mode, to create the invention and fails to disclose it, that failure could result in the loss of patent rights. Our decision to protect certain elements of our proprietary technologies as trade secrets and to not disclose such technologies in patent applications, may serve as a basis for third parties to challenge and ultimately invalidate certain of our related patents based on a failure to disclose the best mode of creating and using the invention claimed in the applicable patent. If a third party is successful in challenging the validity of our patents, our inability to enforce our intellectual property rights could seriously harm our business.

We may be liable for infringing the intellectual property rights of others.

Our technology may be the subject of claims of intellectual property infringement in the future. Our technology may not be able to withstand any third-party claims or rights against their use. Any intellectual property claims, with or without merit, could be time-consuming, expensive to litigate or settle, could divert resources and attention and could require us to obtain a license to use the intellectual property of third parties. We may be unable to obtain licenses from these third parties on favorable terms, if at all. Even if a license is available, we may have to pay substantial royalties to obtain it. If we cannot defend such claims or obtain necessary licenses on reasonable terms, we may be precluded from offering most or all of technology and our business and results of operations will be adversely affected.

Our ability to execute our business plan would be harmed if we are unable to retain or attract key personnel.

Our technology is being marketed by a small number of the members of our management. Our technology is being developed and refined by a small number of technical consultants. Our future success depends, to a

significant extent, upon our ability to retain and attract the services of these and other key personnel. The loss of the services of one or more members of our management team or our technical consultants could hinder our ability to effectively manage our business and implement our growth strategies. Finding suitable replacements could be difficult, and competition for such personnel of similar experience is intense. We do not carry key person insurance on our sole officer.

Overseas development of our business is subject to international risks, which could adversely affect our ability to license profitable overseas plants.

We believe a significant portion of the growth opportunity for our business lies outside the United States. Doing business in foreign countries may expose us to many risks that are not present domestically. We lack significant experience in dealing with such risks, including political, military, privatization, technology piracy, currency exchange and repatriation risks, and higher credit risks associated with customers. In addition, it may be more difficult for us to enforce legal obligations in foreign countries, and we may be at a disadvantage in any legal proceeding within the local jurisdiction. Local laws may also limit our ability to hold a majority interest in the projects that we develop. The Company has yet to establish any representation offices outside the United States.

We do not know if coal processed using our technology is commercially viable.

We do not yet know whether coal processed using our technology can be produced and sold on a commercial basis in a cost effective manner after taking into account the cost of the feedstock, processing costs, license and royalty fees and the costs of transportation. Because we have not experienced any full scale commercial operations, we have not yet developed a guaranteed efficient cost structure. We are currently using the estimates for anticipated pricing and costs, as well as the qualities of the coal processed in the laboratory setting to make such estimates. We may experience technical problems that could make the processed coal more expensive than anticipated. Failure to address both known and unforeseen technical challenges may materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

We have experienced large net losses, have little liquidity and need to obtain funds for operations or we may not be able to continue.

We have incurred net losses of \$161,131,011 since inception. The net losses to date include large non-cash expenses recorded for share-based compensation for consultants and officer compensation. However, in addition to the non-cash expenses, we had other operating expenses, all funded through loans from existing shareholders. In order to meet our current operating budget and anticipated contractual obligations, we estimate that we will need an additional \$10,500,000 for the balance of 2010, and \$13,740,000 for 2011. At June 30, 2010, we had total liabilities of \$4,543,203 and cash of only \$1,486. If we cannot obtain adequate financing from new funding sources, we will be unable to continue operations or meet our contractual obligations.

Our use of equity as an alternative to cash compensation may cause excessive dilution for our current shareholders.

Due to shortage of operating funds and low liquidity, we have issued shares and warrants as compensation for services, including board and officer compensation as well as compensation for outside consultants. This form of compensation has enabled us to obtain services that would not otherwise have been available to us but it has resulted in dilution to our shareholders. Unless we are able to obtain adequate financing in the immediate future, we may be forced to continue to obtain services through the issuance of shares and warrants, resulting in additional dilution to shareholders and potentially adversely affecting any return on investment

We need immediate financing to meet our current obligations for facilities construction.

Edgar Filing: Clean Coal Technologies Inc. - Form 10-Q

On December 2, 2008, we entered into a Cooperative Joint Venture agreement with the Sino-Mongolian International Railroad Systems Co., Ltd. The joint venture agreement will provide for the deployment of our technology into Inner Mongolia to form the foundation for a coal-to-fuel project that will eventually scale to 80 million short tons per year. On June 5, 2009, the joint venture company was issued a Certificate of Approval

(Operating License) under a new name of Inner Mongolian Nuomenhan Clean Coal Company, Ltd, which better reflects the nature of the joint venture's business. A required feasibility study was completed by the Shanghai Chemical Engineering Incorporation (SCEI) in April 2009. The award of the Chinese Government's Certificate of Approval was issued on June 5, 2009. Despite having a fully executed contract that was signed in Washington D.C on December, 2008, we are currently awaiting the project's final approval by the Chinese Government which we had anticipated would take place during the second quarter, but due to circumstances outside our control, should now take place during the third quarter, 2010. Once this approval is obtained we will be able to complete the final design and commence construction, which we hope will begin by the third quarter, 2010. This time frame represents a delay in our original estimate for the beginning of the initial construction of the facility due primarily to delays in the completion and acceptance of the myriad of environmental impact, health and safety, land use, and human resources studies required by the Chinese government. To meet our obligations under the JV agreement, once final approval is received we are required to obtain substantial amounts of financing (\$1,670,000 for our initial registered capital contribution within 90-days, with a balance of \$6,630,000 payable after 24 months from the completion of the feasibility study). We do not have such financing in place or available to us. Inability to construct the facility or to finance the construction thereof on acceptable terms, will adversely affect our financial condition.

Construction of future facilities will require substantial lead time and significant additional financing.

We do not have any definitive contracts to construct future facilities. To the extent that we have secured joint venture or partnership agreements and identified appropriate sites for future facilities or agreed with utilities or other businesses to construct such facilities, we are required to begin a lengthy permitting and construction process. We estimate that it could take at least six months or longer to obtain necessary permits and approvals and that, depending on local circumstances, the required time could be much longer. Thereafter, construction of a facility with a final capacity of approximately 80 million tons of processed coal per year will take an estimated period of 10 years. For plant construction, we could expect to incur construction expenses well in advance of any revenues and would be required to secure relatively long term financing for such construction, which financing may not be available to us.

Any negative results from the continuing evaluation of our technology or processed coal produced at future facility sites could have a material adverse effect on the marketability of our technology and future prospects.

We are continuing to evaluate the attributes of coal processed using our technology on a laboratory scale. We do not know if these evaluations will result in positive findings concerning the moisture content, heat value, emission-levels, burn qualities or other aspects of our processed coal. Furthermore, even if current evaluations indicate that our processed coal performs to design specifications, we do not know if later tests or larger scale processing will confirm these current results or that the processed coal will be readily accepted by the market. The process of introducing our technology into the market may be further delayed if these test results are negative or if potential licensees conduct their own tests of the processed coal to determine whether it meets their individual requirements and the results are not acceptable. We have conducted numerous tests of our technology using a variety of feed stocks in our laboratories. The ability to use feed stocks from other locations in the United States or overseas will depend on the results of future tests on different types of coal. If these tests limit the range of viable low-grade coal feed stocks for use in our process, site locations for future plants may be limited and the commercial appeal of the process may be less than anticipated. If this continuing process of evaluation and market introduction results in negative findings concerning our process, it could have a material adverse effect on the marketability of our technology and on our financial condition, results of operations and future prospects.

Due to the uncertain commercial acceptance of coal processed using our technology we may not be able to realize significant licensing revenues.

While we believe that a commercial market is developing both domestically and internationally for cleaner coal products such as coal processed using our technology, we may face the following risks due to the developing market for cleaner coal technology:

- limited pricing information;
- changes in the price differential between low- and high-Btu coal;
- unknown costs and methods of transportation to bring processed coal to market;

-
alternative fuel supplies available at a lower price;

-
the cost and availability of emissions-reducing equipment or competing technologies; and

-
a decline in energy prices which could make processed coal less price competitive.

If we are unable to develop markets for our processed coal, our ability to generate revenues and profits will be negatively impacted.

If we are unable to successfully construct and commercialize production plants, our ability to generate profits from our technology will be impaired.

Our future success depends on our ability to secure partners to locate, develop and construct future commercial production plants and operate them at a profit. A number of different variables, risks and uncertainties affect such commercialization including:

-
the complex, lengthy and costly regulatory permit and approval process;

-
local opposition to development of projects, which can increase cost and delay timelines;

-
increases in construction costs such as for contractors, workers and raw materials;

-
transportation costs and availability of transportation;

-
the inability to acquire adequate amounts of low rank feedstock coal at forecasted prices to meet projected goals;

-
engineering, operational and technical difficulties; and

-
possible price fluctuations of low-Btu coal which could impact profitability.

If we are unable to successfully address these risks, our results from operations, financial condition and cash flows may be adversely affected.

Future changes in the law may adversely affect our ability to sell our products and services.

A significant factor in expanding the potential U.S. market for coal processed using our technology is the numerous federal, state and local environmental regulations, which provide various air emission requirements for power generating facilities and industrial coal users. We believe that the use of clean-burning fuel technologies such as ours will help utility companies comply with the air emission regulations and limitations. However, we are unable to predict future regulatory changes and their impact on the demand for our technology. While more stringent laws and regulations, including mercury emission standards, limits on sulfur dioxide emissions and nitrogen oxide emissions, may increase demand for our technology, such regulations may result in reduced coal use and increased reliance on alternative fuel sources. Similarly, amendments to the numerous federal and state environmental regulations that relax emission limitations would have a material adverse effect on our prospects.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we issued 300,000 common shares for debt and 25,000 common shares for the settlement of a lawsuit.

The above shares were issued in reliance on the exemption from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder. The transactions were issuances for services performed and settlement of debt, respectively, the transactions were all privately negotiated and none involved any kind of public solicitation.

.ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. RESERVED

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

Directors Richard Young and Stewart Ashton have resigned effective July 26, 2010. Mr. Young also resigned as vice-president and secretary. Both Mr. Young and Mr. Ashton resigned for personal reasons and to pursue other opportunities.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit 31 - CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE AND FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.

Exhibit 32 - CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE AND FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: August 6, 2010

/s/ Douglas Hague
Douglas Hague
President, CEO, and Acting Chief Financial Officer