BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC Form 10-Q May 14, 2008 Table of Contents

## U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

**WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549** 

# **FORM 10-Q**

	QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF TH ACT OF 1934	HE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
For the	e quarterly period ended March 31, 2008	
• [	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF TH	HE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
A	ACT OF 1934	
For the	e transition period from to	
i or the	- Hambleton Period II om to	

# BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

Commission File Number: 000-53197

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

## Edgar Filing: BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Georgia (State or other jurisdiction of

58-2265980 (IRS Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

415 East Paces Ferry Road

Atlanta, Georgia 30305

(Address of principal executive offices)

(404) 504-2557

(Issuer s telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Check whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company x

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common equity, as of May 9, 2008: Common Stock, \$0.01 par value 6,313,813 shares outstanding.

## BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC.

## AND SUBSIDIARY

## **INDEX**

Part I.	FINANC	IAL INFORMATION	Page
r art r.	Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
		Consolidated Balance Sheets Consolidated Statements of Operations Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) Consolidated Statement of Shareholders	3 4 5 6 7 8
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	17
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	34
	Item 4T.	Controls and Procedures	36
Part II.	OTHER I	<u>INFORMATION</u>	
	Item 1. Item 1A. Item 2. Item 3. Item 4. Item 5. Item 6.	Legal Proceedings Risk factors. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds Defaults Upon Senior Securities Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Other Information Exhibits	37 37 37 37 37 37 37
Signatu	<u>ires</u>		38

2

## **PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands) ASSETS	March 31 2008 (Unaudited)		2007		March 31 2007 (Inaudited)
Cash and due from banks	\$	8,511	\$	19,157	\$ 7,242
Interest-bearing deposits in other banks		317		2,264	389
Federal funds sold		32,774		23,428	50,364
Securities available for sale, at fair value		146,496		109,647	98,698
Restricted equity securities, at cost		2,508		1,761	1,419
1. 3		,		,	, -
Total investment securities		149,004		111,408	100,117
Total investment securities		11,,001		111,100	100,117
Loans held for sale		3,406		1,232	4,663
Loans, net of unearned income		689,922		676,119	403,251
Allowance for loan losses		(11,167)		(9,787)	(5,021)
This wanted for foun losses		(11,107)		(>,101)	(3,021)
Net loans		678,755		666,332	398,230
Tet loans		070,755		000,332	370,230
Bank premises and equipment		10,809		10,949	6,251
Accrued interest receivable		4,696		4,696	3,232
Goodwill		32,660		32,655	3,232
Other intangible assets		2,297		2,367	
Other real estate owned		14,051		13,437	3,624
Other assets		7,202		11,020	4,038
Other assets		7,202		11,020	4,036
Total assets	\$	944,482	\$	898,945	\$ 578,150
LIABILITIES					
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$	77,346	\$	46,496	\$ 33,284
Interest-bearing deposits		713,052		709,252	473,435
Total deposits		790,398		755,748	506,719
Short-term borrowings		25,159		19,570	10,690
Long-term debt		19,000			
Junior subordinated debt		15,465		15,465	15,465
Accrued interest payable		3,760		3,885	2,788
Accrued expenses and other liabilities		1,470		14,805	1,002
Total liabilities		855,252		809,473	536,664

## SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

Special stock, no par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; none issued

Edgar Filing: BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Common stock, par value \$0.01; 10,000,000 shares authorized; 6,313,813, 6,315,813 and			
4,571,166 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	63	63	46
Capital surplus	72,536	72,584	27,404
Retained earnings	16,366	17,285	14,596
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)	393	(279)	(522)
Deferred compensation	(128)	(181)	(38)
Total shareholders equity	89,230	89,472	41,486
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 944,482	\$ 898,945	\$ 578,150

 $\label{thm:companying} \textit{ notes are an integral part of these financial statements}.$ 

## BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Three Months Ended March 31		nded	
(Dollars in thousands, except share and per share data)(Unaudited)		2008		2007
INTEREST INCOME				
Loans, including fees	\$	12,673	\$	9,417
Securities:				
Taxable		1,048		998
Tax-exempt		235		223
Federal funds sold and short-term investments		284		585
Dividends		30		20
Total interest income		14,270		11,243
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits		8,246		5,577
Short-term borrowings		207		94
Junior subordinated debt		250		288
Long-term debt		28		
Total interest expense		8,731		5,959
NET INTEREST INCOME		5,539		5,284
Provision for loan losses		2,122		475
NET INTERPECT INCOME A CTED BROWICION FOR LOAN LOCGEC		2.415		4.000
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES		3,417		4,809
NONINTEREST INCOME				
(Loss) gain on sale of loans held for sale		(34)		336
Gain (loss) on sale of securities available for sale		1		
Other noninterest income		248		154
Total noninterest income		215		490
NONINTEREST EXPENSE				
Salaries and employee benefits		2,899		2,056
Occupancy expenses		583		423
Advertising and marketing		156		133
Legal and other professional services		228		117
Other operating expenses		1,353		522
Total noninterest expense		5,219		3,251
(LOSS) INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES		(1,587)		2.048
Income tax (benefit)/expense		(668)		2,048 709
meome an toenem/expense		(000)		109
NET (LOSS) INCOME	\$	(919)	\$	1,339
(LOSS) EARNINGS PER SHARE				
Basic	\$	(0.15)	\$	0.29

## Edgar Filing: BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted	\$	(0.14)	\$	0.29
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING				
Basic	6,	305,751	4,5	64,344
Diluted	6,	421,209	4,6	08,537
CASH DIVIDENDS PER SHARE	\$		\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

		nths Ended ch 31
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2008	2007
Net (loss) income	\$ (919)	\$ 1,339
Other comprehensive income (loss):		
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period on securities available for sale, net of tax (benefits) of \$351, and		
\$216, respectively	673	352
Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses realized in net income, net of tax (benefits) of \$0 and \$0, respectively	(1)	
Other comprehensive income	672	352
Comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (247)	\$ 1.691

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

	Commor	Stoc	k				umulated Other prehensive				Total
				Capital	Retained	I	ncome	De	eferred		reholders
(Dollars in thousands, except share data)	Shares	Par	Value	Surplus	Earnings		(Loss)	Com	pensation	]	Equity
Balance, December 31, 2006	4,567,344	\$	46	\$ 27,403	\$ 13,257	\$	(874)	\$	(41)	\$	39,791
Net income					1,339						1,339
Stock-based compensation				1					3		4
Other comprehensive income (loss)							352				352
Balance, March 31, 2007 (Unaudited)	4,567,344	\$	46	\$ 27,404	\$ 14,596	\$	(522)	\$	(38)	\$	41,486
Balance, December 31, 2007	6,315,813	\$	63	\$ 72,584	\$ 17,285	\$	(279)	\$	(181)		89,472
Net loss					(919)						(919)
Restricted stock forfeiture	(2,000)			(50)					50		
Stock-based compensation				2					3		5
Other comprehensive income (loss)							672				672
Balance, March 31, 2008 (Unaudited)	6,313,813	\$	63	\$ 72,536	\$ 16,366	\$	393	\$	(128)	\$	89,230

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Three Mon Marc	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2008	2007
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net (loss) income	\$ (919)	\$ 1,339
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, amortization and accretion	266	161
Amortization of intangible assets	70	
Provision for loan losses	2,122	475
Provision for foreclosed property	248	
Net gains on sales of securities	(1)	
Losses (gains) on sales of loans	34	(336)
Losses on sales of other real estate	2	
Stock-based compensation	5	4
Increase in loans held for sale	(2,208)	(1,089)
Decrease in interest receivable		3
(Decrease) increase in interest payable	(125)	439
Net other operating activities	(1,329)	32
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(1,835)	1,028
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Net decrease (increase) in interest-bearing deposits in banks	1,947	(2)
Purchases of securities available for sale	(53,060)	(1,473)
Proceeds from calls and maturities of securities available for sale	17,214	1,108
Net purchases of restricted equity securities	(747)	(172)
Net increase in federal funds sold	(9,346)	(9,779)
Net increase in loans	(19,691)	(26,482)
Proceeds from sale of other real estate	4,431	
Purchases of bank premises and equipment	(98)	(140)
Cash used for business combination	(13,375)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(72,725)	(36,940)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net increase in deposits	34,650	34,630
Net change in short-term borrowings	5,589	655
Net proceeds from long-term debt	19,000	
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	4,675	
Net cash provided by financing activities	63,914	35,285
Change in cash and due from banks	(10,646)	(627)
Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	19,157	7,869
Cash and due from banks at end of period	\$ 8,511	\$ 7,242
Supplemental Disclosures:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 8,856	\$ 5,520

## Edgar Filing: BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Income taxes	\$	\$
Non-cash investing activities:		
Transfer of loans to other real estate owned	\$ 5,146	\$ 1,921

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

## Note 1 Accounting Policies and Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. (Buckhead or the Company) and its subsidiary, The Buckhead Community Bank (the Bank) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim information and with the instructions to Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, these financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for the full year or any other interim periods.

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 161 *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133.* SFAS No. 161 requires entities to provide qualitative disclosures about the objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative data about the fair value of gains and losses on derivative contracts, and details of credit risk related contingent features in their hedged positions. The statement also requires entities to explain how hedges affect their financial position, financial performance and cash flows. This statement is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The impact of this standard is not expected to be material to the Company s financial position or results of operations.

#### Note 2 Acquisitions

On December 4, 2007, the Company acquired 100 percent of the outstanding common shares of Allied Bancshares, Inc. (Allied) and its subsidiary, First National Bank of Forsyth County. The merger enhanced the Company s geographic position in counties with high growth potential. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting with the results of operations for Allied included in our consolidated financial results beginning December 4, 2007. Under the purchase method of accounting the assets and liabilities of Allied were recorded at their respective fair values as of December 4, 2007.

The consideration for the acquisition was a combination of cash and stock with a purchase price of approximately \$53.8 million. The total consideration consisted of approximately \$13.4 million in cash and approximately 1.5 million Buckhead Community Bancorp shares.

The calculation of the purchase price is as follows:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	
Total Buckhead Community Bancorp common stock issued	1,534,553
Purchase price per Buckhead Community Bancorp common share	\$ 25.00
Value of Buckhead Community Bancorp stock issued	38,364
Cash payable to shareholders	13,375
Estimated fair value of employee stock options assumed	2,108
Total purchase price	\$ 53,847

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

The following unaudited condensed income statement discloses the pro forma results of the Company as though the Allied acquisition had occurred at the beginning of the period presented.

Three months ended March 31, 2007 (Unaudited) Buckhead Community Allied Pro Forma Pro Forma Adjustments 3 (Dollars in thousands, except share data) Bancorp, Inc. 1 Bancshares, Inc. 2 Combined 11.243 Interest and dividend income 3,996 (168)15.071 Interest expense 5,959 2.033 (46)7,946 Net interest income 5,284 1.963 (122)7,125 Provision for loan losses 475 115 590 1,848 Net interest income after provision for loan losses 4,809 (122)6,535 Noninterest income 490 76 566 Noninterest expense 3,251 1,092 78 4,421 Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes 2,048 832 (200)2,680 Provision for income taxes 709 303 942 (70)\$ 1,339 \$ 529 \$ (130)Income from continuing operations 1,738 Average shares: 4.564.344  $(150.507)^4$ 5,918,904 Basic 1,505,067 Diluted 4,608,537 1,722,672  $(172,267)^4$ 6,158,942 Income from continuing operations per average common share: Basic 0.29 0.35 0.29 Diluted 0.29 0.31 0.28

Represents results of Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. from January 1, 2007 through March 31, 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Represents results of Allied Bancshares, Inc. from January 1, 2007 through March 31, 2007.

Pro forma adjustments include the following items: loss of interest on federal funds sold used to fund the acquisition of \$105 thousand; amortization of core deposit intangible of \$71 thousand; amortization of loan purchase accounting adjustment of \$38 thousand; amortization of investment securities purchase accounting adjustment of \$25 thousand; depreciation of building purchase accounting adjustment of \$7 thousand; and accretion of deposit purchase accounting adjustment of \$46 thousand; net tax effect of all pro-forma adjustments at 35%.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Assumes 75% stock merger consideration at an exchange ratio of 1.20.

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

#### Note 3 Stock-based Compensation

The Company has a stock incentive plan under which we may grant stock options or other stock awards to our employees, directors and other key persons to purchase shares of common stock. Awards are granted at prices equal to the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant and are exercisable as determined by the plan s administrative committee. Stock options expire ten years from the date of grant. The general terms of the plan also include a vesting period which is usually three to four years for awards granted since January of 2007. Options granted prior to that date generally vested immediately. Through March 31, 2008, incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options and restricted stock awards and units had been granted under the plan.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised), *Share Based Payment* (SFAS No. 123(R)) utilizing the modified prospective method of accounting. Grant date fair value is measured on the date of grant using an option pricing model with market assumptions. The grant date fair value is amortized into expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Option pricing models require the use of highly subjective assumptions, including but not limited to, historical stock price volatility, forfeiture rates, term, annual dividends and interest rates, which if changed could materially affect our fair value estimates. Accordingly, the model does not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of our stock options.

#### Stock Options

The following is a summary of the Company s weighted average assumptions used to estimate the weighted average per share fair value of options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2008, and 2007, on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. No stock options were granted during the first quarter of 2008.

	Three Mo	nths Ended
	Mar	ch 31
	2008	2007
Expected volatility	N/A	18%
Expected dividend yield	N/A	2%
Expected life (in years)	N/A	6.5
Risk-free rate	N/A	4.6%
Annual forfeiture rate	N/A	3%
Weighted average fair value of options	N/A	\$ 5.04

The Company expensed approximately \$2 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2008 and \$1 thousand during the first quarter of 2007, related to stock options. The total compensation cost related to nonvested awards not yet recognized was approximately \$11 thousand as of March 31, 2008 and the weighted average period over which it is to be recognized is 1.75 years.

The following table presents a summary of stock option activity:

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

			Three months	ended March 31, 2007 Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual	Aggregate Intrinsic
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)(Unaudited)	Shares	8	rise Price	Life (years)	Value
Options outstanding, January 1, 2007	65,197	\$	5.95		
Granted	3,779		22.50		
Options outstanding, March 31, 2007	68,976	\$	6.86	2.9	\$ 1,251
Exercisable, March 31, 2007	65,197	\$	5.95	2.5	\$ 1,242

				Weighted-Average	Aggregate
		Weight	ed-Average	Remaining Contractual	Intrinsic
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)(Unaudited)	Shares	Exercise Price		Life (years)	Value
Options outstanding, January 1, 2008	185,736	\$	8.55		
Forfeited	(3,717)		12.16		
Options outstanding, March 31, 2008	182,019	\$	8.48	6.1	\$ 3,007
Exercisable, March 31, 2008	180.018	\$	8.42	6.1	\$ 2,985
Exercisable, March 31, 2006	100,010	Φ	0.42	0.1	\$ 2,903

Total grant-date fair value of options vested during the period was \$5 thousand and zero for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

#### Restricted Stock

On April 1, 2006, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer was awarded 3,000 shares of restricted common stock, with an aggregate fair market value of \$16.67 per share. The restricted stock will vest in four equal increments on April 1, 2007, 2008, 2009, and 2010. The total expense associated with this grant of approximately \$49,000 will be recognized over the four year period on a straight-line basis.

On March 27, 2007 and April 25, 2007, the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer and two employees were awarded 3,822 and 4,000 shares of restricted common stock, respectively, with an aggregate per share fair market values of \$22.50 and \$25.00, respectively. The restricted stock will vest annually in four equal increments, beginning on the first anniversary of its grant date. The total expense associated with these grants was originally approximately \$186,000 and scheduled to be recognized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. In the first quarter of 2008, 2,000 shares of the April 25, 2007 grant were forfeited, lowering the total expense associated with these grants to approximately \$136,000.

The unamortized balance of the fair value of the restricted stock grant has been recorded as deferred compensation in the equity section of the consolidated balance sheets. As of March 31, 2008, there was approximately \$128 thousand of unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to restricted stock, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.7 years.

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

The following tables present restricted stock activity:

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)(Unaudited)	Shares	 ted-Average ate Fair Value
Outstanding, January 1, 2007	3,000	\$ 16.67
Granted	3,822	22.50
Outstanding, March 31, 2007	6,822	\$ 19.94
	Shares	 ted-Average ate Fair Value
Outstanding January 1 2008	10.072	\$ 22 19

	Shares	Grant Da	ate Fair Value
Outstanding, January 1, 2008	10,072	\$	22.19
Forfeited	(2,000)		25.00
Vested	(956)		22.50
Outstanding, March 31, 2008	7,116	\$	21.36

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense:

	Three Montl March	
(Dollars in Thousands)(Unaudited)	2008	2007
Stock-based compensation expense		
Stock options	\$ 2	\$ 1
Restricted stock	3	3
	\$ 5	\$ 4

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

## Note 4 Earnings Per Share (EPS)

The following table reflects the reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of the basic EPS computation to the diluted EPS computation for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007.

	Three Mor	
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)(Unaudited)	2008	2007
Basic		
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	(919)	1,339
Average basic common shares	6,306	4,564
(Loss) earnings per average common share - basic	\$ (0.15)	\$ 0.29
Diluted		
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	<b>\$</b> (919)	\$ 1,339
Average basic common shares	6,306	4,564
Effect of dilutive securities:		
Stock options, warrants and restricted stock	115	45
Average diluted common shares	6,421	4,609
	-,	,
(Loss) earnings per average common share - diluted	\$ (0.14)	\$ 0.29

## Note 5 Fair Value

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies to reported balances that are required or permitted to be measured at fair value under existing accounting pronouncements; accordingly, the standard does not require any new fair value measurements of reported balances. On February 12, 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Staff Position 157-2 which defers the effective date of Statement 157 for certain nonfinancial assets and liabilities to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. All other provisions of Statement 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years.

As defined in SFAS No. 157, fair value is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In determining fair value, the Company uses various methods including market, income and cost approaches. Based on these approaches, the Company often utilizes certain assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and or the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated, or generally unobservable inputs. The Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. Based on the observability of the inputs used in the valuation techniques the Company is required to provide the following information according to the fair value hierarchy. The fair value hierarchy ranks the quality

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

and reliability of the information used to determine fair values. Financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value will be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in active exchange markets, such as the New York Stock Exchange. Level 1 also includes U.S. Treasury and federal agency securities and federal agency mortgage-backed securities, which are traded by dealers or brokers in active markets. Valuations are obtained from readily available pricing sources for market transactions involving identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 Valuations for assets and liabilities traded in less active dealer or broker markets. Valuations are obtained from third party pricing services for identical or similar assets or liabilities.

**Level 3** Valuations for assets and liabilities that are derived from other valuation methodologies, including option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar techniques, and not based on market exchange, dealer, or broker traded transactions. Level 3 valuations incorporate certain assumptions and projections in determining the fair value assigned to such assets or liabilities.

## Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

## Available-for-Sale Securities

Where quoted market prices are available in an active market, securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. Level 1 securities would include highly liquid government bonds, mortgage products and exchange traded equities. If quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated by using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics or discounted cash flows. Level 2 securities include U.S. agency securities, mortgage-backed agency securities, obligations of states and political subdivisions and certain corporate, asset backed and other securities. In certain cases where Level 1 or Level 2 inputs are not available, securities are classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy. Currently, all of the Company s available-for-sale securities are considered to be Level 2 securities.

The following table presents financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2008, Using Quoted Prices in Active

Markets

Assets/Liabilities Measured at Fair **Identical Assets** Significant Other Significant Value (Level **Observable Inputs Unobservable Inputs** (Level 2) (Level 3) (Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited) March 31, 2008 1) Securities available for sale 146,496 146,496

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

## Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and recognized in the accompanying balance sheet, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

#### **Impaired Loans**

Loan impairment is reported when full payment under the loan terms is not expected. Impaired loans are carried at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan is existing rate, or the fair value of collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A portion of the allowance for loan losses is allocated to impaired loans if the value of such loans is deemed to be less than the unpaid balance. If these allocations cause the allowance for loan losses to require increase, such increase is reported as a component of the provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan is confirmed. During the first quarter of 2008, certain impaired loans were partially charged-off or re-evaluated for impairment resulting in a remaining balance for these loans, net of specific allowances, of \$18.7 million as of March 31, 2008. This valuation would be considered Level 3, consisting of appraisals of underlying collateral and discounted cash flow analysis.

## Other Real Estate Owned

Other real estate assets acquired through or in lieu of foreclosure are held for sale and are initially recorded at fair value. Any write-downs to fair value at the time of transfer to foreclosed assets are charged to the allowance for loan losses. Subsequent to foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. This valuation would be considered Level 3, consisting of appraisals of real estate collateral.

The following table presents financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, for which impairment was recognized in the current period.

# Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2008, Using Quoted Prices in Active Markets for

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Va	arrying due as of ch 31, 2008	(Level	s Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Unobse	ignificant ervable Inputs Level 3)	Allow	aluation vances as of ch 31, 2008
Impaired loans	\$	18,719	\$	\$	\$	18,719	\$	(3,150)
Other real estate owned		14,051				14,051		(495)

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary**

## **Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)**

In February, 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115.* SFAS 159 allows companies to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The changes in fair value are recognized in earnings and the assets and liabilities measured under this methodology are required to be displayed separately in the balance sheet. While SFAS 159 is effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2008, the Company has not elected the fair value option that is offered by this statement.

## ITEM 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations General

The following is management s discussion and analysis of certain significant factors which have affected the financial position and operating results of the Company and the Bank, during the period included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The purpose of this discussion is to focus on information about our financial condition and results of operations that are not otherwise apparent from our consolidated financial statements. Reference should be made to those statements and the selected financial data presented elsewhere in this report for an understanding of the following discussion and analysis.

Throughout this Item, the terms we, us and our refer to the Company and the Bank together on a consolidated basis.

The Company is a corporation which was organized under the laws of the state of Georgia to be a holding company for the Bank. Like most community bank holding companies, the Company derives substantially all of its income from the earnings of its subsidiary Bank. The Bank is a bank chartered under the laws of the State of Georgia that opened for business on February 16, 1998. The Bank is a full service commercial bank located in Atlanta, Georgia, with a primary service area consisting of the community of Atlanta and the surrounding areas within Fulton, Cobb, Forsyth and Hall Counties. The principal business of the Bank is to accept deposits from the public and to make loans and other investments.

## **Forward Looking Statements**

Some of the statements in this Report, including, without limitation, matters discussed under the caption Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation, of Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc. are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements about the competitiveness of the banking industry, potential regulatory obligations, our entrance and expansion into other markets, integration of recently acquired banks, pending or proposed acquisitions, our other business strategies, our expectations with respect to our allowance for loan losses and impaired loans, and other statements that are not historical facts. When we use words like anticipate, believe, intend, expect, estimate, could, should, will, and similar expressions, y consider them as identifying forward-looking statements, although we may use other phrasing. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on our beliefs and assumptions, and on the information available to us at the time that these disclosures were prepared. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements include, among others, the following possibilities: (1) competitive pressures among depository and other financial institutions may increase significantly; (2) changes in the interest rate environment may reduce margins; (3) general economic conditions may be less favorable than expected, resulting in, among other things, a deterioration in credit quality and/or a reduction in demand for credit; (4) legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in accounting standards may adversely affect the businesses in which we are engaged; (5) costs or difficulties related to the integration of our businesses, may be greater than expected; (6) deposit attrition, customer loss or revenue loss following acquisitions may be greater than expected; (7) competitors may have greater financial resources and develop products that enable such competitors to compete more successfully than us; and (8) adverse changes may occur in the equity markets.

Many of such factors are beyond our ability to control or predict, and readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. We disclaim any obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements contained in this Report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

17

## **Critical Accounting Estimates**

We have adopted various accounting policies that govern the application of accounting principles generally accepted in the United States in the preparation of our financial statements. Certain accounting policies involve significant judgments and assumptions by us that have a material impact on the carrying value of certain assets and liabilities. We consider these accounting judgments and assumptions to be our critical accounting estimates. The judgments and assumptions we use are based on historical experience and other factors, which we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Because of the nature of the judgments and assumptions we make, actual results could differ from these judgments and estimates which could have a material impact on our carrying values of assets and liabilities and our results of operations.

## Allowance for Loan Losses

We believe the allowance for loan losses is a critical accounting estimate that requires the most significant judgments and assumptions used in preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Because the allowance for loan losses is replenished through a provision for loan losses that is charged against earnings, our subjective determinations regarding the allowance affect our earnings directly. Refer to the portion of this discussion that addresses our allowance for loan losses for a description of our processes and methodology for determining our allowance for loan losses.

A loan is considered impaired, based on current information and events, if it is probable that we will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Uncollateralized loans are measured for impairment based on the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate, while all collateral-dependent loans are measured for impairment based on the fair value of the collateral.

We use several factors in determining if a loan is impaired. The internal asset classification procedures include a thorough review of significant loans and lending relationships and include the accumulation of related data. This data includes loan payment status, borrowers financial data, and borrowers operating factors such as cash flows, operating income or loss, etc.

The allowance for loan losses is established through charges to earnings in the form of a provision for loan losses. Increases and decreases in the allowance due to changes in the measurement of the impaired loans are included in the provision for loan losses. Loans continue to be classified as impaired unless they are brought fully current and the collection of scheduled interest and principal is considered probable. When a loan or portion of a loan is determined to be uncollectible, the portion deemed uncollectible is charged against the allowance and subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

Management s periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance also considers impaired loans and takes into consideration our past loan loss experience, known and inherent risks in the portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrowers ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and current economic conditions. While management believes that it has established the allowance in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and has taken into account the views of its regulators and the current economic environment, there can be no assurance that in the future our regulators or the economic environment will not require further increases in the allowance.

## Estimates of Fair Value

The estimation of fair value is significant to a number of the Company s assets, including, but not limited to, investment securities, impaired loans, other real estate owned, goodwill and other intangible assets. Investment securities are recorded at fair value while other real estate owned, goodwill and other intangible assets are recorded at either cost or fair value, whichever is lower. Fair values for investment

18

## Edgar Filing: BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

## **Table of Contents**

securities are based on quoted market prices, and if not available, quoted prices on similar instruments. The fair values of other real estate owned are typically determined based on third-party appraisals less estimated costs to sell. Goodwill and other intangible assets are periodically evaluated to determine if any impairment might exist. The estimation of fair value and subsequent changes of fair value of investment securities, other real estate owned, goodwill and other intangible assets can have a significant impact on the value of the Company, as well as have an impact on the recorded values and subsequently reported net income.

#### Income taxes

The determination of our overall income tax provision is complex and requires careful analysis. As part of the overall business strategy, we may enter into business transactions that require management to consider tax laws and regulations that apply to the specific facts and circumstances under consideration. This analysis includes evaluating the amount and timing of the realization of income tax liabilities or benefits. Management continually monitors tax developments as they affect our overall tax position. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are reflected at currently enacted income tax rates applicable to the period in which the deferred tax assets or liabilities are expected to be realized or settled. As changes in tax laws or rates are enacted, deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted through the provision for income taxes.

#### **Balance Sheet Review**

At March 31, 2008, we had total assets of \$944.5 million as compared to \$898.9 million as of December 31, 2007. For the same periods, total liabilities increased to \$855.3 million from \$809.5 million. The increase in assets and liabilities was primarily due to an approximately \$34 million customer deposit that was received within a few days of quarter-end and invested in securities available for sale. The deposit was redeemed shortly after quarter-end and the related investments matured. Shareholder s equity totaled \$89.2 million at March 31, 2008, a decrease of \$0.3 million, or 0.3% when compared to December 31, 2007. The decrease was primarily driven by a net loss for the first quarter of 2008. On December 4, 2007, we completed the acquisition of Allied, which added approximately \$273.8 in total assets to our balance sheet. This transaction is described more fully in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1.

## Investment Portfolio

The fair value of the investment securities portfolio as of March 31, 2008 was \$146.5 million compared to \$109.6 million as of December 31, 2007. The growth of the investment portfolio was primarily related to the approximately \$34 million purchase of treasury securities near quarter-end, due to the aforementioned customer deposit. Our investment portfolio is managed with primary consideration of collateral requirements for our growing overnight sweep product and public deposit balances.

19

	March 3	31, 2008	December	r 31, 2007	March 31, 2007	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury government sponsored securities, agencies and						
corporations	\$ 85,830	\$ 86,655	\$ 62,106	\$ 62,250	\$ 59,091	\$ 58,451
Trust Preferred Securities	450	444	1,450	1,457	1,450	1,450
Corporate bonds	250	251	250	251	250	250
State and municipal securities	24,540	24,204	24,561	24,242	23,899	24,110
Mortgage-backed securities	34,826	34,942	21,704	21,447	14,801	14,437
Total securities available for sale	\$ 145,896	\$ 146,496	\$ 110,071	\$ 109,647	\$ 99,491	\$ 98,698

The carrying value of investment securities at March 31, 2008, by contractual maturity, is shown below. All of our securities are classified as available-for-sale, which means that we carry them at estimated fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of stockholders equity until realized. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations without call or prepayment penalties.

## Maturity Distribution and Weighted Average Yield on Investments

	One Yea		After One Through 5		After 5 Y Through 1		After 10	Years	Total	ls
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield	Amount	Yield
Carrrying value:										
March 31, 2008										
U.S. Government sponsored securities,										
agencies and corporations	\$ 39,040	3.96%	2,689	4.59%	21,295	4.96%	23,631	5.58%	86,655	4.67%
Trust preferred securities							444	7.23%	444	7.23%
Corporate bonds							251	7.23%	251	7.23%
State and municipal securities <sup>1</sup>			486	5.29%	3,355	5.25%	20,363	5.73%	24,204	5.65%
Mortgage-backed securities			7,585	4.55%	1,625	4.26%	25,732	5.59%	34,942	5.30%
Total securities	\$ 39,040		10,760		26,275		70,421		146,496	

Yields are on a tax-equivalent basis

## Loan Portfolio

Our intent is to derive a substantial percentage of our earnings from loans. The Company s loan portfolio increased \$13.7 million, or 2.0% from December 31, 2007 to March 31, 2008. The following table presents various categories of loans contained in the loan portfolio of the Company as of March 31, 2008, December 31, 2007, and March 31, 2007:

		March 31 2008		December 31 2007		n 31 7
		% of		% of		% of
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans	Amount	Loans
Breakdown of loan receivables:						
Commercial	\$ 74,075	10.73%	\$ 77,837	11.50%	\$ 71,235	17.63%
Real estate - mortgage	229,677	33.26%	213,248	31.50%	99,460	24.62%
Real estate - construction	373,997	54.15%	371,506	54.88%	230,122	56.95%
Consumer	12,861	1.86%	14,358	2.12%	3,230	0.80%
Total loans	690,610	100.00%	676,949	100.00%	404,047	100.00%
Less: Allowance for loan losses	11,167		9,787		5,021	
Less: Unearned loan fees	688		830		796	
Net loans	\$ 678,755		\$ 666,332		\$ 398,230	

Ratio of the allowance for loan losses to total loans

1.62%

1.45%

1.24%

The major components of the loan portfolio at March 31, 2008 were real estate construction and mortgage and represented 87.4% of the loan portfolio. In the context of this discussion, we define a real estate mortgage loan and a real estate construction loan as any loan, secured by real estate, regardless of the purpose of the loan. We follow the common practice of financial institutions in our market area of obtaining a security interest in real estate whenever possible, in addition to any other available collateral. We take this collateral to reinforce the likelihood of the ultimate repayment of the loan; however, this tends to increase the magnitude of our real estate loan portfolio component. Generally, we target our loan-to-value ratio to be consistent with the supervisory loan to value limit guidelines provided by the banking regulators. In order to reduce collateral risk, we attempt to maintain a relatively diversified portfolio.

## Maturities and sensitivity of loans to changes in interest rates

The information in the following table is based on the contractual maturities of individual loans, including loans that may be subject to renewal at their contractual maturity. Renewal of such loans is subject to review and credit approval, as well as modification of terms upon their maturity. Actual repayments of loans may differ from maturities reflected below because borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

The following table summarizes major classifications of portfolio loans by maturities as of March 31, 2008:

	One Year	After One, but within Five	After Five	m . 1
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	or Less	Years	Years	Total
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 50,274	\$ 15,743	\$ 8,058	\$ 74,075
Real estate - mortgage	139,437	77,922	12,318	229,677
Real estate - construction	342,265	31,732		373,997
Consumer	9,377	3,365	119	12,861
Total	\$ 541,353	\$ 128,762	\$ 20,495	\$ 690,610

The following table represents the rate structure for loans as of March 31, 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Variable Rate	Fixed Rate
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 53,936	\$ 20,139
Real estate - mortgage	96,996	132,681
Real estate - construction	314,070	59,927
Consumer	2,984	9,877
Total	\$ 467,986	\$ 222,624

## Provision and Allowance for Loan Losses

We have developed policies and procedures for evaluating the overall quality of the credit portfolio and the timely identification of potential credit problems. Additions to the allowance for loan losses are made to maintain the allowance at an appropriate level based on our analysis of the potential risk in the loan portfolio. Our judgment about the adequacy of the allowance is based upon a number of assumptions about future events which we believe to be reasonable, but which may or may not be accurate. Because of the inherent uncertainty of assumptions made during the evaluation process, there can be no assurance that loan losses in future periods will not exceed the allowance for loan losses or that additional allocations will not be required. Our actual losses will undoubtedly vary from our estimates to some degree, and there is a possibility that charge-offs in future periods will exceed the allowance for loan losses as estimated at any point in time.

As of March 31, 2008 the allowance for loan losses was \$11.2 million or 1.62% of outstanding loans, as compared to \$9.8 million or 1.45% at December 31, 2007. The Company s current economic environment is turbulent, and the real estate values of our loan collateral are rapidly shifting. We continually monitor the adequacy of our allowance and we have employed independent external loan review consultants to complement management s evaluation of the allowance. The Company is committed to following generally accepted accounting principles, including the December 2006 Interagency Policy Statement on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses, as it determines the level of the allowance. As of March 31, 2008, management believes the allowance for loan losses is adequate.

Our judgment in determining the adequacy of the allowance is based on evaluations of the collectability of loans. These evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, current economic conditions that may affect the borrower s ability to pay, overall portfolio quality, and review of specific problem loans. In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we use a loan grading system that rates loans in different categories. Certain grades representing criticized or classified loans are assigned allocations of loss based on management s estimate of potential loss that is generally based on historical losses and/or collateral deficiencies. Other loans are graded by type and allocated loss ranges based on management s perceived inherent loss for the loan type. The combination of these results is compared monthly to the recorded allowance for loan losses and material differences are adjusted by increasing or decreasing the provision for loan losses.

The following table shows an analysis of allowance for loan loss, including charge-off activity, for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007:

## **Summary of Loan Loss Experience**

	Three Mon Marc	ch 31
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2008	2007
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 9,787	\$ 4,518
Loans charged off:		
Commercial	326	
Real estate - mortgage		
Real estate - construction	416	
Consumer		
Total loans charged off	742	
Recoveries of losses previously charged off:		
Commercial		28
Real estate - mortgage		
Real estate - construction		
Consumer		
Total recoveries		28
Net loans charged off	742	(28)
Provision for loan losses	2,122	475
Allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$ 11,167	\$ 5,021
Net loans charged off (recovered), as a percent of average loans outstanding (annualized)	0.44%	-0.01%

Our provision for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$2.1 million, which was \$1.4 million higher than net charge-offs of \$0.7 million. The comparable provision and net charge-off

amounts for 2007 were \$0.5 million and \$(28) thousand, respectively. The loan loss provision increased from 2007 to 2008, primarily due to increases in non-performing loans and net charge-offs. Net charge-offs for the three months ended March 31, 2008 represented 0.44% of average loans, compared to a net recovery of 0.01% of average loans for the first quarter of 2007.

The following tables show the allocation of the allowance and the percentage of the allowance allocated to each category of total loans:

	March 31 2008		December 31 2007		Marc 20	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$ 1,561	13.98%	\$ 1,231	12.58%	\$ 875	17.43%
Real estate - mortgage	3,540	31.70%	3,234	33.04%	1,222	24.34%
Real estate - construction	5,930	53.10%	5,181	52.94%	2,884	57.44%
Consumer	136	1.22%	141	1.44%	40	0.79%
Total	\$ 11,167	100.00%	\$ 9,787	100.00%	\$ 5,021	100.00%

## Non-performing Assets

It is our policy to classify loans as non-accrual generally when they are past due in principal or interest payments for more than 90 days or if it is otherwise not reasonable to expect collection of principal and interest under the original terms. Exceptions are allowed for 90 days past due loans when such loans are secured by real estate or negotiable collateral and in the process of collection. Generally, payments received on non-accrual loans are applied directly to principal.

We have adopted the principles of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) SFAS No. 114 and No. 118 relating to accounting for impaired loans and as of March 31, 2008, our impaired loans, consisting solely of loans on nonaccrual status, totaled \$21.9 million and had associated reserves of approximately \$3.2 million. This is compared to impaired loans and associated reserves of \$8.3 million and approximately \$760 thousand, respectively, as of December 31, 2007. A loan is considered impaired when it is probable, based on current information and events, the Company will be unable to collect all principal and interest payments due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are measured by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, the loan s obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The amount of impairment, if any, and any subsequent changes are included in the allowance for loan losses. Interest on accruing impaired loans is recognized as long as such loans do not meet the criteria for nonaccrual status.

Non-performing assets, comprised of non-accrual loans, other real estate owned, other repossessed assets and loans for which payments are more than 90 days past due totaled \$42.6 million at March 31, 2008, compared to \$25.2 million at December 31, 2007. Non-accrual loans were \$21.9 million at March 31, 2008, an increase of \$13.6 million from non-accrual loans of \$8.3 million at December 31, 2007. As of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$3.7 million and \$1.8 million of these loans were guaranteed by the Small Business Administration. The Company had loans ninety days past due and still accruing at March 31, 2008 of \$6.6 million as compared to \$3.4 million as of December 31, 2007. As mentioned above, our policy allows for us to continue accruing interest on loans that are 90 days past due loans when such loans are secured by real estate or negotiable collateral and in the process of collection. Many of the loans classified as 90 days past due and still accruing are SBA loans, where we are contractually obligated to apply payments on such loans to interest first, rather than principal. Net other real estate owned totaled \$14.1 million as of March 31, 2008, compared to \$13.4 million at December 31, 2007.

The current credit deterioration has been driven by a real estate slowdown that accelerated in mid to late 2007 and has continued into 2008. This slowdown has had a greater impact on community banks in the Company s trade area than previous downturns in the economic cycle.

At March 31, 2008, we had non-performing loans, defined as non-accrual and accruing loans past due more than 90 days, of \$28.5 million or 4.13% of total loans. Non-performing loans for December 31, 2007 were \$11.7 million or 1.74% of total loans. Interest that would have been recorded on non-accrual loans had they performed in accordance with their original terms, amounted to approximately \$399.9 thousand and \$128.9 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Interest income on non-accrual loans included in the results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, totaled approximately \$104 thousand and \$13 thousand, respectively.

A summary of nonperforming assets as of March 31, 2008, December 31, 2007 and March 31, 2007 is presented below:

## **Non-performing Assets**

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	March 31 2008	December 31 2007	March 31 2007
Non-accrual loans	\$ 21,869	\$ 8,310	\$ 3,488
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	6,632	3,439	27
Total non-performing loans	28,501	11,749	3,515
All other real estate owned, net	14,051	13,437	3,624
All other repossessed assets	40		
Total non-performing assets	\$ 42,592	\$ 25,186	\$ 7,139
As a percent of total loans at end of period:			
Non-accrual loans	3.17%	1.23%	0.87%
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing	0.96%	0.51%	0.01%
Total non-performing assets	6.17%	3.72%	1.77%
The increase to our non-accrual loans during the first quarter of 2008 is the net result of the followed	lowing changes:		

#### **Nonaccrual Loans**

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	March 31 2008
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 8,310
Loans reclassified to non-accrual status in 2008	16,139
Payments received on non-accrual loans during 2008	(30)
Non-accrual loans charged-off during 2008	(488)
Non-accrual loans reclassified to other real estate	(2,022)
Non-accrual loans reclassified to repossessed collateral	(40)
Balance at March 31, 2008	\$ 21,869

During 2008, additions to loans on nonaccrual status consisted of 21 real estate secured loans totaling \$15.2 million and 2 commercial SBA loans totaling \$955.4 thousand. At March 31, 2008, non-accrual loans consisted of 32 construction loans, 3 commercial and industrial loans, and 5 SBA real estate loans, totaling approximately \$14.8 million, \$1.5 million, and \$5.6 million, respectively.

At March 31, 2008, the Company s Other Real Estate Owned (OREO) consisted of 20 properties totaling \$14.1 million, compared to 15 properties totaling \$13.4 million as of December 31, 2007. The increase in OREO during the first three months of 2008 was the result of the foreclosure of 9 construction loan properties. Four properties were sold during the first quarter of 2008.

At March 31, 2008, the Company s OREO consisted of the following:

#### Other Real Estate

	March 31
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2008
Construction and land development	\$ 11,116
1-4 family residential properties	1,500
Commercial properties	1,435
Total other real estate owned	\$ 14,051

The following is a summary of OREO activity for the first quarter of 2008:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 13,437
Transfers into OREO	5,146
Sales of OREO	(4,431)
Loss on sale of OREO	(2)
Capitalized improvements net of charge-offs	(101)
Change in valuation allowance	2
Ending Balance - March 31, 2008	\$ 14,051

Our OREO procedures currently determine disposition value, the valuation used to place the property into OREO, based upon the most recent appraisal of the property that we have at the time, less estimated costs to sell the property. Any difference between the disposition value and the loan balance is recommended for charge off. Once the property is in OREO, the property is listed with a realtor to begin sales efforts.

Management continually monitors the loan portfolio to ensure that all loans potentially having a material adverse impact on future operating results, liquidity or capital resources have been classified as non-performing. Should economic conditions deteriorate, the inability of distressed customers to service their existing debt could cause higher levels of non-performing loans.

It is our general policy to stop accruing interest income and place the recognition of interest on a cash basis when a loan is placed on non-accrual status and any interest previously accrued but not collected is reversed against current income. Generally, a loan is placed on non-accrual status when it is over 90 days past due and there is reasonable doubt that all principal will be collected.

In addition to loans formally classified as non-accrual or non-performing, management maintains a list of monitored loans which may eventually become so classified. Individual loan officers are responsible for assessing business and credit risk for each loan in their respective portfolios. The monitored loan list

exists as a vehicle measuring and monitoring identified assets with heightened risk characteristics. Heightened risk characteristics would include a history of poor payment performance, poor financial performance, as well as the potential for adverse earnings impact from deteriorating collateral values. Management meets monthly to re-assess the ongoing status of credits on this list. As of March 31, 2008, there were loans totaling \$70.1 million on the monitored loan list and \$21.9 million on nonaccrual status.

## **Deposits**

Core deposits, which exclude time deposits of \$100,000 or more, CDARs deposits, and brokered time deposits, provide a relatively stable funding source for our loan portfolio and other earning assets. Core deposits were approximately \$371.9 million at March 31, 2008 compared to \$345.1 million at December 31, 2007. Although we view CDARs as core customers, CDARs balances are not considered to be core deposits by banking regulation. CDARs balances were \$71.7 million at March 31, 2008, compared to \$70.6 million as of December 31, 2007.

The maturity distribution of time deposits of \$100,000 or more as of March 31, 2008 was as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	
Three months or less	\$ 94,329
Over three through six months	58,474
Over six through twelve months	75,359
Over twelve months	47,964
Total	\$ 276,126

## **Borrowed Funds**

## **Short-term:**

We have access to various short-term borrowings, including the purchase of federal funds and borrowing arrangements from other financial institutions. The Company had no federal funds purchased as of March 31, 2008 and \$1.5 million as of December 31, 2007.

Overnight customer sweep agreements totaled \$25.2 million at March 31, 2008 compared to \$15.1 million as of December 31, 2007. These short-term borrowings are collateralized by securities and generally mature within one day from the transaction date. The agreements are reflected at the amount of cash received in connection with the transaction. The Company may be required to provide additional collateral based on the fair value of the underlying securities.

As of March 31, 2008, other borrowings included in short-term borrowings totaled zero compared to \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2007.

## Long-term:

We had \$10.0 million in FHLB borrowings as of March 31, 2008, compared to zero at December 31, 2007. These fixed rate advances mature in August of 2009.

As of March 31, 2008, long-term debt also consisted of \$9.0 million in subordinated capital notes. This borrowing has a variable rate and matures on March 31, 2020. For regulatory capital purposes, these notes are included in Tier 2 capital. The December 31, 2007 balance of long-term debt was zero.

Junior Subordinated Debt

## Buckhead Community Bancorp Statutory Trust I

In August, 2004 we formed a wholly-owned grantor trust to issue cumulative trust preferred securities. The grantor trust has invested the proceeds of the trust preferred securities in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The junior subordinated debentures can be redeemed prior to maturity at the option of the Company on or after August 11, 2009. The sole assets of the guarantor trust are the junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company (the first series of debentures) held by the grantor trust. The first series of debentures have the same interest rate (three month LIBOR plus 2.90%, floating) as the trust preferred securities. We have the right to defer interest payments on the first series of debentures at any time or from time to time for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters provided that no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the related first series of debentures. During any such extension period, distributions on the trust preferred certificates would also be deferred.

Payment of periodic cash distributions and payment upon liquidation or redemption with respect to the trust preferred securities are guaranteed by the Company to the extent of funds held by the grantor trust (the Preferred Securities Guarantee I ). The Preferred Securities Guarantee I, when taken together with the Company s other obligations under the first series of debentures, constitute a full and unconditional guarantee, on a subordinated basis, by the Company of payments due on the trust preferred securities.

The trust preferred securities and the related first series of debentures were issued on August 11, 2004. Distributions on the trust preferred securities are paid quarterly on March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31 of each year, beginning September 30, 2004. Interest on the first series of debentures is paid on the corresponding dates. The aggregate principal amount of the first series of debentures outstanding at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$5,155,000. Certain issue costs have been deferred and recorded in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The issue costs are being amortized over the life of the first series of debentures, and the outstanding balance of the unamortized issue costs at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was approximately \$91 and \$92 thousand, respectively.

## **Buckhead Community Bancorp Statutory Trust II**

In May 2006, we formed a second wholly-owned grantor trust to issue cumulative trust preferred securities. The grantor trust has invested the proceeds of the trust preferred securities in junior subordinated debentures of the Company. The junior subordinated debentures can be redeemed prior to maturity at the option of the Company on or after July 7, 2011. The sole assets of the guarantor trust are the junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures of the Company (the second series of debentures) held by the grantor trust. The second series of debentures have the same interest rate (LIBOR plus 1.55%, floating) as these trust preferred securities. We have the right to defer interest payments on the second series of debentures at any time or from time to time for a period not exceeding 20 consecutive quarters provided that no extension period may extend beyond the stated maturity of the related second series of debentures. During any such extension period, distributions on the trust preferred certificates would also be deferred.

Payment of periodic cash distributions and payment upon liquidation or redemption with respect to the trust preferred securities are guaranteed by the Company to the extent of funds held by the grantor trust (the Preferred Securities Guarantee II ). The Preferred Securities Guarantee II, when taken together with our other obligations under the second series of debentures, constitutes a full and unconditional guarantee, on a subordinated basis, by the Company of payments due on the trust preferred securities.

28

The trust preferred securities and the related second series of debentures were issued on May 24, 2006. Distributions on the trust preferred securities are paid quarterly on April 7, July 7, October 7 and January 7 of each year, beginning July 7, 2006. Interest on the second series of debentures is paid on the corresponding dates. The aggregate principal amount of the second series of debentures outstanding at March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$10,310,000. There were no issue costs associated with the issuance of the second series of debentures.

## **Income Statement Review**

We reported a net loss of \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, a decrease in earnings of \$2.3 million compared to the same period of the prior year. Basic and diluted loss per share amounted to \$(0.15) and \$(0.14), respectively, compared to \$0.29 basic and \$0.29 diluted earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Margin compression and increasing costs to carry nonperforming assets, including provisions to associated valuation allowances, had a negative impact on earnings for the first quarter of 2008.

On December 4, 2007, the Company acquired Allied Bancshares, Inc. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting with the results of operations for Allied included in our consolidated financial results beginning December 4, 2007. This transaction is more fully described in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Item 1.

## Net Interest Income/Margin

Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2008 was \$5.5 million, an increase of \$255 thousand or 4.8% over the same period of 2007. The increase in net interest income was primarily driven by loan growth.

Average loans for the first quarter of 2008 were \$684.0 million, compared to \$391.1 million for the same period in 2007. The average yield on loans decreased from 9.77% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 7.45% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. Interest reversals related to nonperforming loans contributed to the decline, along with interest rate cuts from the Federal Reserve. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, average securities available for sale were \$108.7 million compared to \$99.1 million for the same period of 2007.

The average cost of funds decreased 40 basis points to 4.65% for the three months ended March 31, 2008 compared to the same period in 2007, primarily due to decreases in the interest rates paid on certificates of deposits. Average time deposits for the first quarter of 2008 were \$577.6 million, compared to \$352.3 million for the same period of 2007, an increase of 64.0%. The average rate paid on time deposits decreased from 5.39% for the three months ended March 31, 2007 to 5.08% for the same period of 2008. While the Federal Reserve reduced the federal funds target rate beginning late 2007, average rates paid on these deposits typically lag these rate reductions due to the longer term of the accounts.

The banking industry uses two ratios to measure relative profitability of net interest income. The net interest rate spread measures the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities. The interest rate spread eliminates the impact of non-interest-bearing deposits and gives a direct perspective on the effect of market interest rate movements. The net interest margin is defined as net interest income as a percent of average total interest-earning assets and takes into account the positive impact of investing non interest-bearing deposits.

For the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, the net interest spread was 2.28% and 3.50%, respectively, while the net interest margin was 2.69% and 4.02%, respectively. A number of factors contributed to the net interest spread and net interest margin compression from a year ago. Competition for deposits, particularly time deposits, increased. Additionally, the higher level of nonperforming assets

29

lowered loan yields. Finally, as interest rates have declined, we have experienced margin compression, due to our loan rates dropping more immediately than our deposits have repriced to the lower rates.

The following tables show the relationship between interest income and expense and the average balances of interest earning assets and interest bearing liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2008 March 31, 2007						
			*	X71 11/		ch 31, 2007	X70 11/
(Dollows in thousands)(Unaudited)	Average		ome/	Yield/	Average	Income/	Yield/
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited) ASSETS:	Balance	Exp	ense	Rate	Balance	Expense	Rate
Federal funds sold	¢ 22.277	\$	261	3.15%	¢ 42.614	\$ 581	5.53%
	\$ 33,277	Þ			\$ 42,614		
Interest bearing deposits	2,174		23	4.26%	381	4	4.26%
Investment securities available for sale	04.04		0=0	= 0.0 c/	77.046	1.010	5 41 C
Taxable	84,917	I	,078	5.02%	75,246	1,018	5.41%
Nontaxable <sup>1</sup>	23,785		235	6.01%	23,894	223	5.74%
Loans	683,958	12	2,673	7.45%	391,067	9,417	9.77%
Total interest earning assets	828,111	14	,270	6.93%	533,202	11,243	8.55%
All other assets	76,621				24,914		
	·						
Total assets	\$ 904,732				\$ 558,116		
Total assets	φ 204,732				φ 330,110		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY:							
Interest bearing demand deposits and savings	\$ 133,155		952	2.88%	\$ 100,893	896	3.60%
Time	577,586	7	,294	5.08%	352,258	4,681	5.39%
Junior subordinated debt		,	250		,	,	
	15,465			6.50%	15,465	286	7.50%
Short-term borrowings	24,748		207	3.36%	10,169	96	3.83%
Long-term borrowings	3,946		28	2.85%			
Total interest bearing liabilities	754,900	8	3,731	4.65%	478,785	5,959	5.05%
Noninterest-bearing deposits	45,002				33,196		
Other liabilities	13,146				5,592		
Shareholders equity	91,684				40,543		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 904,732				\$ 558,116		
Total Internation and Similarion Sequely	φ > 0 1,7.02				φ 200,110		
Net interest spread				2.28%			3.50%
Net interest spread  Net interest margin on average earning assets				2.69%			4.02%
The mercer margin on a viago carming assess				2.02 /0			1.0270
Net interest income		\$ 5	5.539			\$ 5.284	

Nonaccrual loans and the interest income which was recorded on these loans, if any, are included in the yield calculation for loans in all periods reported. Loan fees totaled \$628.7 thousand and \$539.0 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and are included with interest income on loans.

Yield is on a tax-equivalent basis

## Other Income and Other Expense

For the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, total noninterest income totaled \$215 thousand and \$490 thousand, respectively. There was a \$370 thousand decrease in gains on the sale of SBA loans, while other noninterest income increased \$94 thousand, or 61.0% compared to the first quarter of 2007. Other noninterest income was comprised primarily of service charge and fee income.

Total noninterest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2008 increased \$2.0 million, or 60.5% from 2007. The primary component of total noninterest expense was salary and employee benefits, which totaled \$2.9 million and \$2.1 million for the first quarter of 2008 and 2007, respectively. The \$0.8 million, or 41.0% increase in salary and employee benefits primarily resulted from additional employees due to the acquisition of Allied. We had 120 full time equivalent employees at March 31, 2008, compared to 90 full time equivalent employees at March 31, 2007. Legal and other professional services totaled \$228 thousand and \$117 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The increase was primarily due to additional professional fees associated with our public registration in connection with the Allied acquisition. Other operating expenses increased \$831 thousand, or 159.2% from the three months ended March 31, 2007, primarily due to a \$357 thousand increase in provision for OREO losses and OREO expenses, as well as a \$154 thousand increase in FDIC premiums. Data processing and other expenses also increased in general, due to the acquisition of Allied.

The following table shows the components of noninterest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Three months ended	
	March 31	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	2008	2007
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 2,899	\$ 2,056
Occupancy expenses	583	423
Advertising and marketing	156	133
Legal and other professional services	228	117
FDIC premiums	168	14
Provision for OREO losses	248	
OREO expenses	136	27
Amortization of intangibles	71	
Data processing and technology	343	189
Other expenses	387	292
•		
Total noninterest expense	\$ 5,219	\$ 3,251

#### Income Taxes

We had a tax benefit of \$668 thousand for the first quarter of 2008, compared to a provision for income taxes of \$709 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2007. Effective tax rates for the periods were (42.1)% and 34.6%, respectively.

## Liquidity

We must maintain, on a daily basis, sufficient funds to cover the withdrawals from depositors accounts and to supply new borrowers with funds. To meet these obligations, we keep cash on hand, maintain account balances with our correspondent banks, and purchase and sell federal funds and other short-term investments. Asset and liability maturities are monitored in an attempt to match these to meet liquidity needs. We seek to monitor our liquidity to meet regulatory requirements and local funding requirements. We believe the current level of liquidity is adequate to meet current needs.

Our primary sources of liquidity are a stable base of deposits, scheduled repayments on loans, and interest and maturities of investments. All securities have been classified as available for sale, which means they are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses excluded from earnings and reported as a separate component of other comprehensive income. If necessary, we have the ability to sell a portion of our investment securities to manage interest sensitivity gap or liquidity. Cash and due from banks and federal funds sold may be utilized to meet liquidity needs.

At March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had arrangements with a correspondent and commercial banks for short term unsecured advances up to \$38.0 million.

Our cash flows are composed of three classifications: cash flows from operating activities, cash flows from investing activities, and cash flows from financing activities. Cash and due from banks decreased \$10.6 million from December 31, 2007, to a total of \$8.5 million at March 31, 2008. Cash used in investing activities totaled \$72.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, primarily due to purchases of securities available for sale as well as cash used for the purchase of Allied. Cash provided by financing activities totaled \$63.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008, primarily due to proceeds from long-term debt and deposits.

The table below contains a summary of our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2008:

	1 year			After	
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	or less	1-3 years	3-5 years	5 years	Total
Deposits having no stated maturity	\$ 219,693	\$	\$	\$	\$ 219,693
Junior subordinated debt		5,155	10,310		15,465
Certificates of deposit	485,866	68,131	13,621	3,087	570,705
Short-term borrowings	25,159				25,159
Long-term debt		10,000		9,000	19,000
Leases	858	1,496	1,384	1,291	5,029
	\$ 731,576	\$ 84,782	\$ 25,315	\$ 13,378	\$ 855,051

## **Capital Resources**

We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by our respective federal banking regulators. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary-actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, both the Company and the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company s and the Bank s respective capital amounts and

## Edgar Filing: BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

## **Table of Contents**

classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weighting, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulations to ensure capital adequacy require the Company and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of total and Tier I capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier I capital to average assets, as such terms are defined in the regulations. Management believes, as of March 31, 2008, that the Company and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which each is subject.

As of March 31, 2008, the most recent regulatory notification categorized the Bank as well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as well capitalized the Bank must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier I risk-based, and Tier I leverage ratios. There are no conditions or events since that notification that management believes have changed the Bank s category.

At March 31, 2008, our capital ratios were adequate based on regulatory minimum capital requirements. The minimum capital requirements and the actual capital ratios on a consolidated and bank-only basis are as follows:

(Unaudited)	Tier 1 Leverage	Tier 1 Risk-based	Total Risk-based
Minimum required	4.00%	4.00%	8.00%
Minimum required to be well capitalized	5.00%	6.00%	10.00%
Actual ratios at March 31, 2008			
The Buckhead Community Bank	7.92%	8.79%	11.20%
Consolidated	7.92%	8.87%	11.29%

## **Off-Balance-Sheet Items**

Our financial statements do not reflect various commitments and contingent liabilities that arise in the normal course of business. These off-balance-sheet financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. These financial instruments are included in the financial statements when funds are distributed or the instruments become payable. We use the same credit policies in making commitments as we do for on-balance sheet instruments. Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instruments for commitments to extend credit, standby letters of credit and credit card commitments is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments.

The table below contains a summary of our commitments as of March 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007.

(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	March 31 2008	De	cember 31 2007
Commitments to extend credit	\$ 136,620	\$	158,997
Standby letters of credit	13,633		7,681
	\$ 150,253	\$	166,678

# ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK Interest Rate Sensitivity Management

The absolute level and volatility of interest rates can have a significant impact on the Company s profitability. The objective of interest rate risk management is to identify and manage the sensitivity of net interest income to changing interest rates, in order to achieve the Company s overall financial goals. Based on economic conditions, asset quality and various other considerations, management establishes tolerance ranges for interest rate sensitivity and manages within these ranges.

The Company s net interest income and the fair value of its financial instruments are influenced by changes in the level of interest rates. We manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates through policies established by the asset/liability committee of the board of directors. The asset/liability committee meets periodically and has responsibility for approving asset/liability management policies, formulating and implementing strategies to improve balance sheet positioning and/or earnings and reviewing the Company s interest rate sensitivity.

One of the tools management utilizes to estimate the sensitivity of net interest revenue to changes in interest rates is an interest rate simulation model. Such estimates are based upon a number of assumptions for each scenario, including the level of balance sheet growth, deposit repricing characteristics and the rate of prepayments. The simulation model measures the potential change in net interest revenue over a twelve-month period under six interest rate scenarios. The first scenario assumes rates remain flat over the next twelve months and is the scenario that all others are compared to in order to measure the change in net interest income. The second scenario is a most likely scenario that projects the most likely change in rates over the next twelve months based on the slope of the yield curve. The Company models ramp scenarios that assume gradual increases and decreases of 300 basis points each over the next twelve months. At March 31, 2008, our simulation model indicated that a 300 basis point increase in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 15.7% increase in net interest income and a 300 basis point decrease in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 13.8% decrease in net interest income. At December 31, 2007, our simulation model indicated that a 300 basis point increase in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 16.43% increase in net interest income and a 300 basis point decrease in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 12.63% decrease in net interest income and a 300 basis point decrease in rates over the next twelve months would cause an approximate 12.63% decrease in net interest income.

Interest rate sensitivity is a function of the repricing characteristics of the portfolio of assets and liabilities. These repricing characteristics are the time frames within which the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities are subject to change in interest rates either at replacement, repricing or maturity during the life of the instruments. Interest rate sensitivity management focuses on the maturity structure of assets and liabilities and their repricing characteristics during periods of changes in market interest rates. Effective interest rate sensitivity management seeks to ensure that both assets and liabilities respond to changes in interest rates within an acceptable timeframe, thereby minimizing the impact of interest rate changes on net interest revenue. Interest rate sensitivity is measured as the difference between the volumes of assets and liabilities in the Company's current portfolio that are subject to repricing at various time horizons: immediate; one to three months; four to twelve months; one to five years; over five years, and on a cumulative basis. The differences are known as interest sensitivity gaps.

34

The following table shows interest sensitivity gaps for these different intervals.

		Interest Sensitivity by Month As of March 31, 2008 Over				
(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)	Immediate	1 to 3	4 to 12	13 to 60	60	Total
Interest-earning assets:						
Federal funds sold	32,774					32,774
Interest Bearing due from accounts	317					317
Investment securities available for sale		60,009	13,403	37,179	38,413	149,004
Loans		568,605	48,999	46,059	26,259	689,922
Total earning assets	33,091	628,614	62,402	83,238	64,672	872,017
Interest-bearing liabilities		2.410	5 055	20.504	20.07	55.246
Non-interest bearing deposits		2,418	7,257	38,704	28,967	77,346
Interest bearing deposits (NOW)		1,653	4,959	26,390		33,002
Savings deposits (includes MMkt)		104,475	768	4,102	_	109,345
Time deposits		179,148	306,278	85,277	2	570,705
Short-term borrowings	25,159					25,159
Long-term debt				10,000	9,000	19,000
Junior subordinated debt				15,465		15,465
Interest-bearing liabilities	25,159	287,694	319,262	179,938	37,969	850,022
Interest sensitivity gap	7,932	340,920	(256,860)	(96,700)	26,703	
Cumulative interest-sensitivity gap	7,932	348,852	91,992	(4,708)	21,995	
Ratio of cumulative interest-sensitivity gap to total earning assets	0.91%	40.01%	10.55%	-0.54%	2.52%	
radio of camalative interest-sensitivity gap to total calling assets	0.71/0	TO.01 /0	10.55/0	-0.5 <del>+</del> /0	2.52/0	

As demonstrated in the preceding table, 74.4% of interest-bearing liabilities will reprice within twelve months compared with 83.0% of interest-earning assets, however such changes may not be proportionate with changes in market rates within each balance sheet category. In addition, the Company may have some discretion in the extent and timing of deposit repricing depending upon the competitive pressures in the markets in which it operates. Changes in the mix of earning assets or supporting liabilities can either increase or decrease the net interest margin without affecting interest rate sensitivity. The interest rate spread between an asset and its supporting liability can vary significantly even when the timing of repricing for both the asset and the liability remains the same, due to the two instruments repricing according to different indices.

Varying interest rate environments can create unexpected changes in prepayment levels of assets and liabilities that are not reflected in the interest rate sensitivity gap analysis. These prepayments may have significant impact on the net interest margin. Because of these limitations, an interest sensitivity gap analysis alone generally does not provide an accurate assessment of exposure to changes in interest rates.

## Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The effect of relative purchasing power over time due to inflation has not been taken into effect in our financial statements. Rather, the statements have been prepared on a historical cost basis in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

## Edgar Filing: BUCKHEAD COMMUNITY BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

## **Table of Contents**

Since most of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution are monetary in nature, the effect of changes in interest rates will have a more significant impact on our performance than will the effect of changing prices and inflation in general. Interest rates may generally increase as the rate of inflation increases, although not necessarily in the same magnitude.

The table below summarizes the return on average assets, return on average equity, and average equity to average assets for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and 2007:

	Three Mont	Three Months Ended		
	Marcl	March 31		
(Unaudited)	2008	2007		
Return on average assets	-0.41%	0.97%		
Return on average equity	-4.03%	13.39%		
Average equity to average assets	10.13%	7.26%		

#### ITEM 4T. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (Disclosure Controls). Disclosure Controls, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), are procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Quarterly Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Our management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Based upon their controls evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that our Disclosure Controls are effective at a reasonable assurance level.

There have been no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during our first fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

36

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company and its subsidiaries are subject to claims and suits arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of these pending claims and legal proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing our Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

## ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The Company made no repurchases of its common stock during the quarter ended March 31, 2008.

## ITEM 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

None.

## ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

## ITEM 5. Other Information

None.

## ITEM 6. Exhibits

- Exhibit No. 31.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- Exhibit No. 31.2 Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
- Exhibit No. 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- Exhibit No. 32.2 <u>Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002</u>

37

## **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Buckhead Community Bancorp, Inc.

(Registrant)

DATE: May 14, 2008 BY: /s/ Marvin Cosgray

Marvin Cosgray

President and Chief Executive Officer

DATE: May 14, 2008 BY: /s/ Dawn Kinard

Dawn Kinard

Chief Financial Officer

38