

RAYTHEON CO/
Form 10-Q
April 28, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 3, 2011

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-13699

RAYTHEON COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

95-1778500
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

870 Winter Street, Waltham, Massachusetts 02451
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(781) 522-3000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Number of shares of common stock outstanding as of April 13, 2011 was 356,180,000

Table of Contents

RAYTHEON COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I | |
| <u>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at April 3, 2011 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010</u> | 5 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010</u> | 6 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 8 |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 21 |
| Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 22 |
| Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 39 |
| Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 40 |
| PART II | |
| <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 40 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 42 |
| Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 42 |
| Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u> | 42 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 44 |

Table of Contents

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws, including information regarding our financial outlook, future plans, objectives, business prospects, trends and anticipated financial performance including with respect to our liquidity and capital resources, our pension expense and funding, the impact of new accounting pronouncements, our unrecognized tax benefits and the outcome of legal and administrative proceedings, claims, investigations, commitments and contingencies, as well as information regarding domestic and international defense spending and budgets. You can identify these statements by the fact that they include words such as will, believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, intend, plan, or variations of these words or similar expressions. These forward-looking statements are not statements of historical facts and represent only our current expectations regarding such matters. These statements inherently involve a wide range of known and unknown uncertainties. Our actual actions and results could differ materially from what is expressed or implied by these statements. Specific factors that could cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, those set forth under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and other important factors disclosed previously and from time to time in our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Given these factors, as well as other variables that may affect our operating results, you should not rely on forward-looking statements, assume that past financial performance will be a reliable indicator of future performance nor use historical trends to anticipate results or trends in future periods. We expressly disclaim any obligation or intention to provide updates to the forward-looking statements and the estimates and assumptions associated with them.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
RAYTHEON COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | (Unaudited) April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
|--|------------------------------|---------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,660 | \$ 3,638 |
| Contracts in process, net | 4,933 | 4,414 |
| Inventories | 422 | 363 |
| Deferred taxes | 287 | 266 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 242 | 141 |
| Total current assets | 8,544 | 8,822 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 1,997 | 2,003 |
| Deferred taxes | 130 | 106 |
| Goodwill | 12,435 | 12,045 |
| Other assets, net | 1,468 | 1,446 |
| Total assets | \$ 24,574 | \$ 24,422 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Advance payments and billings in excess of costs incurred | \$ 2,104 | \$ 2,201 |
| Accounts payable | 1,322 | 1,538 |
| Accrued employee compensation | 829 | 901 |
| Other accrued expenses | 1,505 | 1,320 |
| Total current liabilities | 5,760 | 5,960 |
| Accrued retiree benefits and other long-term liabilities | 4,892 | 4,815 |
| Deferred taxes | 258 | 147 |
| Long-term debt | 3,611 | 3,610 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 8) | | |
| Equity | | |
| Raytheon Company stockholders' equity | | |
| Common stock, par value, \$0.01 per share, 1,450 shares authorized, 355 and 359 shares outstanding at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, after deducting 141 and 136 treasury shares at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. | 4 | 4 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 11,448 | 11,406 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (4,965) | (5,146) |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (7,195) | (6,900) |
| Retained earnings | 10,620 | 10,390 |
| Total Raytheon Company stockholders' equity | 9,912 | 9,754 |
| Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | 141 | 136 |
| Total equity | 10,053 | 9,890 |

| | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 24,574 | \$ 24,422 |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RAYTHEON COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Net sales | | |
| Products | \$ 5,048 | \$ 5,203 |
| Services | 1,014 | 850 |
| Total net sales | 6,062 | 6,053 |
| Operating expenses | | |
| Cost of sales - products | 4,065 | 4,062 |
| Cost of sales - services | 839 | 722 |
| Administrative and selling expenses | 428 | 408 |
| Research and development expenses | 139 | 152 |
| Total operating expenses | 5,471 | 5,344 |
| Operating income | 591 | 709 |
| Interest expense | 43 | 32 |
| Interest income | (5) | (3) |
| Other (income) expense | | (1) |
| Non-operating (income) expense, net | 38 | 28 |
| Income from continuing operations before taxes | 553 | 681 |
| Federal and foreign income taxes | 165 | 220 |
| Income from continuing operations | 388 | 461 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 1 | (8) |
| Net income | 389 | 453 |
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | 5 | 8 |
| Net income attributable to Raytheon Company | \$ 384 | \$ 445 |
| Basic earnings (loss) per share attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.07 | \$ 1.20 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (0.02) |
| Net income | 1.07 | 1.18 |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.18 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | | (0.02) |
| Net income | 1.06 | 1.16 |
| Amounts attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders: | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 383 | \$ 453 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 1 | (8) |

| | | |
|------------|--------|--------|
| Net income | \$ 384 | \$ 445 |
|------------|--------|--------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RAYTHEON COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

| Three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010 (In millions) | Common stock | Additional paid-in capital | Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) | Treasury stock | Retained earnings | Total Raytheon Company stockholders equity | Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | Total equity |
|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------|----------------------|--|--|-----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 4 | \$ 10,991 | \$ (4,824) | \$ (5,446) | \$ 9,102 | \$ 9,827 | \$ 112 | \$ 9,939 |
| Net income | | | | | 445 | 445 | 8 | 453 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of unfunded projected benefit obligation | | | 90 | | | 90 | | 90 |
| Foreign exchange translation | | | (27) | | | (27) | | (27) |
| Cash flow hedges | | | (5) | | | (5) | | (5) |
| Other, net | | | (2) | | | (2) | | (2) |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | | | | | | 501 | 8 | 509 |
| Dividends declared | | | | | (141) | (141) | | (141) |
| Common stock plans activity | | 32 | | | | 32 | | 32 |
| Warrants exercised | | 163 | | | | 163 | | 163 |
| Treasury stock activity | | | | (266) | | (266) | | (266) |
| Balance at March 28, 2010 | \$ 4 | \$ 11,186 | \$ (4,768) | \$ (5,712) | \$ 9,406 | \$ 10,116 | \$ 120 | \$ 10,236 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 4 | \$ 11,406 | \$ (5,146) | \$ (6,900) | \$ 10,390 | \$ 9,754 | \$ 136 | \$ 9,890 |
| Net income | | | | | 384 | 384 | 5 | 389 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of unfunded projected benefit obligation | | | 135 | | | 135 | | 135 |
| Foreign exchange translation | | | 41 | | | 41 | | 41 |
| Cash flow hedges | | | 5 | | | 5 | | 5 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | | | | | | 565 | 5 | 570 |
| Dividends declared | | | | | (154) | (154) | | (154) |
| Common stock plans activity | | 29 | | | | 29 | | 29 |
| Warrants exercised | | 13 | | | | 13 | | 13 |
| Treasury stock activity | | | | (295) | | (295) | | (295) |
| Balance at April 3, 2011 | \$ 4 | \$ 11,448 | \$ (4,965) | \$ (7,195) | \$ 10,620 | \$ 9,912 | \$ 141 | \$ 10,053 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RAYTHEON COMPANY

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 389 | \$ 453 |
| (Income) loss from discontinued operations, net of tax | (1) | 8 |
| Income from continuing operations | 388 | 461 |
| Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations, net of the effect of acquisitions and divestitures | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 106 | 103 |
| Stock-based compensation | 31 | 29 |
| Deferred income taxes | (9) | 24 |
| Collection of financing receivables | 4 | 16 |
| Tax benefit from stock-based awards | | (12) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities | | |
| Contracts in process, net and advance payments and billings in excess of costs incurred | (560) | (402) |
| Inventories | (50) | 17 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (96) | |
| Accounts payable | (222) | (89) |
| Income taxes receivable / payable | 186 | 138 |
| Accrued employee compensation | (87) | (214) |
| Other accrued expenses | 31 | 11 |
| Pension and other, net | 347 | 175 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from continuing operations | 69 | 257 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities from discontinued operations | (54) | 2 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 15 | 259 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | (50) | (45) |
| Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment | | 2 |
| Additions to capitalized internal use software | (26) | (14) |
| Payments for purchases of acquired companies, net of cash acquired | (500) | (12) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (576) | (69) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Dividends paid | (135) | (117) |
| Repurchases of common stock | (312) | (300) |
| Proceeds from warrants exercised | 13 | 163 |
| Activity under common stock plans | 17 | 23 |
| Tax benefit from stock-based awards | | 12 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (417) | (219) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (978) | (29) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year | 3,638 | 2,642 |

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|-----------------|----|-------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 2,660 | \$ | 2,613 |
|--|-----------------|----|-------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

RAYTHEON COMPANY

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1: Basis of Presentation

We prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Raytheon Company and all wholly-owned and majority-owned domestic and otherwise controlled foreign subsidiaries on the same basis as our annual audited financial statements.

In the opinion of management, our financial statements reflect all adjustments, which are of a normal recurring nature, necessary for presentation of financial statements for interim periods in accordance with U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q in Article 10 of Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) Regulation S-X. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions about future events that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of our financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and any such differences may be material to our financial statements. In addition, we reclassified certain prior year amounts to conform with our current year presentation. As used in this report, the terms we, us, our, Raytheon and the Company mean Raytheon Company and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates another meaning.

We condensed or omitted certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in our annual audited financial statements, which we prepared in accordance with GAAP. Our quarterly financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

We have evaluated subsequent events through the time of filing our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q with the SEC.

2: Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following at:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Materials and purchased parts | \$ 64 | \$ 63 |
| Work in process | 336 | 278 |
| Finished goods | 22 | 22 |
| Total | \$ 422 | \$ 363 |

We capitalize costs incurred in advance of contract award or funding in inventories if we determine contract award or funding is probable. To the extent these are pre-contract costs, start-up costs have been excluded. We included capitalized pre-contract and other deferred costs of \$154 million and \$116 million in inventories as work in process at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

3: Accounting Standards

New pronouncements issued but not effective until after April 3, 2011 are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

4: Acquisitions

In pursuing our business strategies, we acquire and make investments in certain businesses that meet strategic and financial criteria.

On January 31, 2011, we acquired Applied Signal Technology, Inc., subsequently renamed Raytheon Applied Signal Technology, Inc. (RAST) for \$500 million in cash, net of \$25 million of cash and cash equivalents acquired, and exclusive of retention and management incentive payments. RAST provides advanced intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) solutions to enhance global security. The acquisition is part of our strategy to extend and enhance our Space and Airborne Systems (SAS) offerings related to certain classified and Department of Defense markets. Pro forma financial information has not been provided for this acquisition since it is not material.

Table of Contents

We allocated the purchase price for this acquisition as follows:

| (In millions) | Purchase Price Allocation |
|--|---------------------------|
| Current assets | \$ 72 |
| Other non-current assets | 3 |
| Property and equipment | 15 |
| Goodwill | 387 |
| Intangible assets | 89 |
| Current liabilities | (29) |
| Other long-term liabilities | (37) |
| Fair value of net assets acquired | \$ 500 |

In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$387 million of goodwill, all of which was allocated to the Company's SAS segment, primarily related to expected synergies from combining operations and the value of RAST's assembled workforce, and \$89 million in intangible assets, primarily related to contractual relationships, license agreements and trade names with a weighted average life of 7 years.

In January 2010, we acquired substantially all of the assets of an Australian company, Compucat Research Pty. Ltd., at Intelligence and Information Systems (IIS) for \$12 million in cash, which enhances our information security and cybersecurity capabilities. In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$4 million of goodwill and \$2 million of intangible assets.

A rollforward of our goodwill by segment was as follows:

| (In millions) | Integrated Defense Systems | Intelligence and Information Systems | Missile Systems | Network Centric Systems | Space and Airborne Systems | Technical Services | Total |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ 765 | \$ 1,698 | \$ 3,432 | \$ 2,616 | \$ 2,663 | \$ 871 | \$ 12,045 |
| Adjustment for acquisitions | | | | | 387 | | 387 |
| Effect of foreign exchange rates and other | 2 | 2 | (1) | | | | 3 |
| Balance at April 3, 2011 | \$ 767 | \$ 1,700 | \$ 3,431 | \$ 2,616 | \$ 3,050 | \$ 871 | \$ 12,435 |

5: Discontinued Operations

In pursuing our business strategies, we have divested certain non-core businesses, investments and assets when appropriate. All residual activity relating to our previously disposed businesses appears in discontinued operations.

We retained certain assets and liabilities of our previously disposed businesses. At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$38 million and \$41 million, respectively, of assets primarily related to our retained interest in general aviation finance receivables previously sold by Raytheon Aircraft Company (Raytheon Aircraft). At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$53 million and \$113 million, respectively, of liabilities primarily related to certain environmental and product liabilities, non-income tax obligations, various contract obligations and aircraft lease obligations. We also retained certain U.K. pension assets and obligations for a limited number of U.K. pension plan participants as part of the Raytheon Aircraft sale, which we include in our pension disclosures.

We also have certain income tax obligations relating to these disposed businesses. In the divestiture of Flight Options LLC (Flight Options), Raytheon agreed to indemnify Flight Options in the event Flight Options was assessed and paid excise taxes. In the fourth quarter of 2010, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) appeals proceedings failed to resolve the federal excise tax dispute, and as a result, the IRS assessed Flight Options for excise taxes. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2010 we recorded a \$39 million charge, net of federal tax benefit, in discontinued operations. In the first quarter of 2011, Flight Options paid the assessment. On behalf of Flight Options, we intend to vigorously contest the

matter through litigation and, if successful, we would be entitled to recover substantially all of the amounts paid.

Table of Contents**6: Fair Value Measurements**

The estimated fair value of certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, approximates the carrying value due to their short maturities. The estimated fair value of notes receivable approximates the carrying value based principally on their underlying interest rates and terms, maturities, collateral and credit status of the receivables. The carrying value of long-term debt of \$3,611 million and \$3,610 million at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, was recorded at amortized cost. The estimated fair value of long-term debt of \$3,769 million and \$3,783 million at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, was based on quoted market prices.

We did not have any significant nonfinancial assets or nonfinancial liabilities that would be recognized or disclosed at fair value on a recurring basis as of April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010. We did not have any transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels of the fair value hierarchy during the three months ended April 3, 2011 or the year ended December 31, 2010.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of the following at:

| (In millions) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Balances at April 3, 2011 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Marketable securities | \$ 363 | \$ | \$ | \$ 363 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 48 | | | 48 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | 227 | | | 227 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 29 | | | 29 |

| (In millions) | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Balances at Dec. 31, 2010 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Marketable securities | \$ 344 | \$ | \$ | \$ 344 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 45 | | | 45 |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Deferred compensation | 215 | | | 215 |
| Foreign currency forward contracts | 41 | | | 41 |

7: Derivative Financial Instruments

Our primary market exposures are to interest rates and foreign exchange rates and we use certain derivative financial instruments to help manage these exposures. We execute these instruments with financial institutions we judge to be credit-worthy, and the majority of our foreign currency forward contracts are denominated in currencies of major industrial countries. We do not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

The fair value amounts of asset derivatives included in other assets, net and liability derivatives included in other accrued expenses in our consolidated balance sheets related to foreign currency forward contracts were as follows:

| (In millions) | Asset Derivatives | | Liability Derivatives | |
|---|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments | \$ 31 | \$ 32 | \$ 18 | \$ 28 |
| Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments | 17 | 13 | 11 | 13 |
| Total | \$ 48 | \$ 45 | \$ 29 | \$ 41 |

Table of Contents

We recognized the following pretax gains (losses) related to foreign currency forward contracts designated as cash flow hedges:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Effective Portion | | |
| Gain (loss) recognized in accumulated other comprehensive loss (AOCL) | \$ 12 | \$ 10 |
| Gain (loss) reclassified from AOCL to net sales | | |
| Gain (loss) reclassified from AOCL to cost of sales | 3 | 18 |
| Amount excluded from effectiveness assessment and ineffective portion | | |
| Gain (loss) recognized in cost of sales | \$ | \$ |

We recognized the following pretax gains related to foreign currency forward contracts not designated as cash flow hedges:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Gain recognized in cost of sales | \$ 7 | \$ 8 |

There were no interest rate swaps outstanding at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

The notional amounts of outstanding foreign currency forward contracts consisted of the following at:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | | Dec. 31, 2010 | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Buy | Sell | Buy | Sell |
| British Pounds | \$ 386 | \$ 300 | \$ 370 | \$ 296 |
| Canadian Dollars | 230 | 66 | 220 | 51 |
| Euros | 180 | 33 | 165 | 32 |
| All other | 82 | 26 | 85 | 39 |
| Total | \$ 878 | \$ 425 | \$ 840 | \$ 418 |

Buy amounts represent the U.S. Dollar equivalent of commitments to purchase foreign currencies and sell amounts represent the U.S. Dollar equivalent of commitments to sell foreign currencies. Foreign currency forward contracts that do not involve U.S. Dollars have been converted to U.S. Dollars for disclosure purposes.

Our foreign currency forward contracts contain off-set, or netting provisions, to mitigate credit risk in the event of counterparty default, including payment default and cross default. At April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, these netting provisions effectively reduced our exposure to approximately \$16 million and \$26 million, respectively, which are spread across numerous highly rated counterparties.

8: Commitments and Contingencies

Environmental Matters - We are involved in various stages of investigation and cleanup related to remediation of various environmental sites. Our total estimated liability for environmental remediation costs includes the use of a discount rate and considers that a portion of these costs is eligible for future recovery through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. Government. We consider such recovery probable based on government contracting regulations and our long history of receiving reimbursement for such costs and accordingly have recorded the estimated future recovery of these costs from the U.S. Government within contracts in process. Our estimates of total remediation costs - undiscounted, weighted average risk-free rate, total remediation costs - discounted and recoverable portion were as follows:

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total remediation costs undiscounted | \$ 225 | \$ 225 |

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------|---------------|-----------|------------|
| Weighted average risk-free rate | | 5.6% | | 5.6% |
| Total remediation costs | discounted | \$ 160 | \$ | 152 |
| Recoverable portion | | 113 | | 107 |

Table of Contents

We also lease certain government-owned properties and are generally not liable for remediation of preexisting environmental contamination at these sites; as a result, we generally do not reflect the provision for these costs in our financial statements.

Due to the complexity of environmental laws and regulations, the varying costs and effectiveness of alternative cleanup methods and technologies, the uncertainty of insurance coverage and the unresolved extent of our responsibility, it is difficult to determine the ultimate outcome of these matters; however, we do not expect any additional liability to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Financing Arrangements and Other - We issue guarantees and banks and surety companies issue, on our behalf, letters of credit and surety bonds to meet various bid, performance, warranty, retention and advance payment obligations of us or our affiliates. These instruments expire on various dates through 2021. Additional guarantees of project performance for which there is no stated value also remain outstanding. The stated values outstanding at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Guarantees | \$ 258 | \$ 281 |
| Letters of Credit | 1,152 | 1,067 |
| Surety Bonds | 219 | 213 |

Included in guarantees and letters of credit were \$111 million and \$265 million, respectively, at April 3, 2011, and \$134 million and \$256 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010, related to our joint venture in Thales-Raytheon Systems Co. Ltd. (TRS). We provide these guarantees and letters of credit to TRS and other affiliates to assist these entities in obtaining financing on more favorable terms, making bids on contracts and performing their contractual obligations. While we expect these entities to satisfy their loans, project performance and other contractual obligations, their failure to do so may result in a future obligation to us. We periodically evaluate the risk of TRS and other affiliates failing to satisfy their loans, project performance and meet other contractual obligations described above. At April 3, 2011, we believe the risk that TRS and other affiliates will not be able to perform or meet their obligations is minimal for the foreseeable future based on their current financial condition. All obligations were current at April 3, 2011. At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had an estimated liability of \$8 million and \$9 million, respectively, related to guarantees and letters of credit.

Included in letters of credit at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was approximately \$80 million provided by Raytheon Systems Limited (RSL) to the UK Border Agency (UKBA) in connection with a UKBA program. On April 6, 2011, the UKBA drew approximately \$80 million on the letters of credit as described below.

Our residual turbo-prop commuter aircraft portfolio has exposure to outstanding financing arrangements with the aircraft serving as collateral. We have sold and leased commuter aircraft globally to thinly capitalized companies, whose financial condition could be significantly affected by a number of factors, including fuel and other costs, industry consolidation, declining commercial aviation market conditions and the U.S. Government budget for the Essential Air Service program. Based on recent economic trends, including tightening credit markets and volatile fuel costs, these companies may increasingly experience difficulties meeting their financial commitments. At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our exposure on commuter aircraft assets held as inventory, collateral on notes or as leased assets, was approximately \$64 million relating to 74 aircraft and approximately \$68 million relating to 77 aircraft, respectively. The carrying value of our commuter aircraft portfolio assumes an orderly disposition of these assets, consistent with our historical experience and strategy. The tightening of credit markets and economic conditions has reduced the number of potential buyers who are able to obtain financing and has negatively impacted the ability of existing customers to refinance their aircraft through a third party. If the long-term market prospects for these aircraft were to significantly erode or cease, our valuation of these assets would likely be less than the carrying value. We periodically evaluate potential alternative strategies for the disposal of these assets. If we were to dispose of these assets in an other than orderly manner or sell the portfolio in its entirety, the value realized would likely be less than the carrying value.

In 1997, we provided a first loss guarantee of \$133 million on \$1.3 billion of U.S. Export-Import Bank loans (maturing in 2015) to the Brazilian Government related to Network Centric Systems System for the Vigilance of the Amazon program. Loan repayments by the Brazilian Government were current at April 3, 2011.

Table of Contents

We have entered into industrial cooperation agreements, sometimes referred to as offset agreements, as a condition to obtaining orders for our products and services from certain customers in foreign countries. These agreements are designed to enhance the social and economic environment of the foreign country by requiring the contractor to promote investment in the country. Offset agreements may be satisfied through activities that do not require a cash payment, including transferring technology, providing manufacturing and other consulting support to in-country projects, and the purchase by third parties (*e.g.*, our vendors) of supplies from in-country vendors. These agreements may also be satisfied through our use of cash for activities such as purchasing supplies from in-country vendors, providing financial support for in-country projects, building or leasing facilities for in-country operations and making investments in local ventures. We typically do not commit to offset agreements until orders for our products or services are definitive. The amounts ultimately applied against our offset agreements are based on negotiations with the customers and typically require cash outlays that represent only a fraction of the original amounts in the offset agreements. Offset programs usually extend over several years and may provide for penalties in the event we fail to perform in accordance with offset requirements. We have historically not been required to pay any such penalties.

Government contractors are subject to many levels of audit and investigation. Agencies that oversee contract performance include: the Defense Contract Audit Agency, the Defense Contract Management Agency, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense and other departments and agencies, the Government Accountability Office, the Department of Justice and Congressional Committees. The Department of Justice has, from time to time, convened grand juries to investigate possible irregularities by us. We also provide products and services to customers outside of the U.S. and those sales are subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices. Our compliance with such local government regulations or any applicable U.S. Government regulations (*e.g.*, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations) may also be investigated or audited. We do not expect these audits and investigations to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity, either individually or in the aggregate.

We are currently conducting a self-initiated internal review of certain of our international operations, focusing on compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. In the course of the review, we have identified several possible areas of concern relating to payments made in connection with certain international operations related to a jurisdiction where we do business. We have voluntarily contacted the SEC and the Department of Justice to advise both agencies that an internal review is underway. Because the internal review is ongoing, we cannot predict the ultimate consequences of the review. Based on the information available to date, we do not believe that the results of this review will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In May 2006, international arbitration hearings commenced against us as the successor to the Hughes Electronics defense business, in connection with certain claims brought in 2004 by MBDA relating to an alleged 1995 Workshare Agreement. The asserted claims involve breach of contract, intellectual property (IP) infringement and other related matters. The arbitration panel stayed further proceedings, including the issuance of the liability decision on the non-IP claims presented during the May 2006 hearing, while the parties engaged in settlement efforts. The parties were unable to conclude an enforceable settlement, and in August 2009, the panel released its liability decision, rejecting some of MBDA's non-IP claims, while finding Raytheon liable for some other non-IP claims. We did not record any significant additional financial liability as a result of our estimate of the impact of the decision. The proceedings will now resume to determine liability for the asserted IP claims and to assess overall damages, if any. At this point, we are unable to estimate a range of potential loss, if any, because the IP claims are vague, discovery is in process, and any potential damages involve complex technical matters subject to interpretation by the arbitration panel. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the asserted IP claims and intend to continue to contest them vigorously; however, an adverse resolution of this matter could have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On July 22, 2010, RSL was notified by the UKBA that it had been terminated for cause on a program. The termination notice included allegations that RSL had failed to perform on certain key milestones and other matters in addition to claiming entitlement to recovery of certain losses incurred and previous payments made to RSL. We believe that RSL performed well and delivered substantial capabilities to the UKBA under the program, which has been operating successfully and providing actionable information since live operations began in May 2009. On July 29, 2010, RSL filed a dispute notice on the grounds that the termination by the UKBA was not valid. On August 18, 2010, the UKBA initiated arbitration proceedings on this issue. On March 22, 2011, the UKBA gave notice that it had presented a demand to draw on the approximately \$80 million of letters of credit provided by RSL upon the signing of the contract with the UKBA in 2007. On March 23, 2011, the UKBA submitted a detailed claim in the arbitration of approximately \$500 million for damages and clawback of previous payments, plus interest and arbitration costs. The UKBA also asserted that additional amounts may be detailed in the claim in the future if estimates of its damages change, and for continuing post-termination

Table of Contents

losses and any re-procurement costs, which have not been quantified. RSL will submit substantial claims against the UKBA for damages in the second quarter of 2011. RSL intends to pursue vigorously the collection of all receivables for the program and damages in connection with the wrongful termination and will mount a strong defense to the UKBA's alleged claims for losses and previous payments. RSL has also settled substantially all subcontractor claims, novated all key subcontracts to the UKBA and agreed with the UKBA that RSL's exit obligations to operate the previously delivered capability would end in April 2011. Effective April 15, 2011, the UKBA took over responsibility for operating the previously delivered capability.

At RSL's request, on March 29, 2011, the Arbitration Tribunal issued an interim order restraining the UKBA from drawing down on the letters of credit pending a hearing on the issue. Following the hearing, the Tribunal lifted the restraint on the basis that, at this early stage of the proceedings, the Tribunal had not heard the evidence needed to decide the merits of whether the contractual conditions for a drawdown had been established. The Tribunal also concluded that any decision on the UKBA's right to call on the letters of credit is inextricably intertwined with the ultimate decision on the merits in the arbitration. The Tribunal also preserved RSL's right to claim damages should RSL later establish that the drawdown was not valid. As a result, on April 6, 2011, the UKBA drew the \$80 million on the letters of credit.

As a result of the Tribunal's decision that the letters of credit are inextricably intertwined with the ultimate decision on the merits in the arbitration, we were no longer able to evaluate, independently from the overall claim, the probability of recovery of any amounts drawn on the letters of credit. We therefore recorded \$80 million of costs related to the UKBA drawdown, which is included in IIS' operating expenses in the first quarter of 2011. We had approximately \$70 million of receivables and other assets remaining under the program for technology and services delivered as of April 3, 2011, which we believe are probable of recovery in litigation or arbitration. We currently do not believe it is probable that RSL is liable for losses, previous payments (which includes the \$80 million related to the drawdown on the letters of credit), clawback or other claims asserted by the UKBA. Due to the inherent uncertainties in litigation and arbitration and the complexity and technical nature of actual and potential claims and counterclaims, it is reasonably possible that the ultimate amount of any resolution of the termination could be less or greater than the amounts we have recorded. For the same reasons, at this time, we are unable to estimate a range of the possible loss or recovery, if any. If we fail to collect the receivable balances or are required to make payments against claims or other losses asserted by the UKBA in excess of the amounts we have recorded, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Arbitration hearings are scheduled to commence in late 2012 and we expect to have a decision in 2013.

In addition, various other claims and legal proceedings generally incidental to the normal course of business are pending or threatened against us. We do not expect any additional liability from these proceedings to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Product Warranty We provide product warranties in conjunction with certain product sales for which we recognize revenue upon delivery.

Activity related to our product warranty accruals was as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 43 | \$ 39 |
| Provisions for warranties | 2 | 1 |
| Warranty services provided | (3) | (3) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 42 | \$ 37 |

We account for warranty provision costs incurred under our long-term contracts using the cost-to-cost measure of progress as contracts costs, as the estimation of these costs is integral in determining the price of the related long-term contracts. The table above excludes these costs.

9: Stockholders' Equity

Repurchases of our common stock under our share repurchase programs were as follows:

Three Months Ended

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Amount of stock repurchased | \$ 312 | \$ 300 |
| Shares of stock repurchased | 6.1 | 5.5 |

Table of Contents

In March 2010, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 billion of our outstanding common stock. At April 3, 2011, we had approximately \$1.1 billion available under this repurchase program. Share repurchases will take place from time to time at management's discretion depending on market conditions.

In March 2011, our Board of Directors authorized a 15% increase to our annual dividend payout rate from \$1.50 to \$1.72 per share. Our Board of Directors also declared a dividend of \$0.43 per share during the three months ended April 3, 2011, compared to a dividend of \$0.375 per share during the three months ended March 28, 2010. Dividends are subject to quarterly approval by our Board of Directors.

The changes in shares of our common stock outstanding for the three months ended April 3, 2011 were as follows:

| (In millions) | Shares |
|---------------------------------|--------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 359.4 |
| Warrants exercised | 0.4 |
| Stock plan activity | 1.1 |
| Treasury stock activity | (6.3) |
| Balance at April 3, 2011 | 354.6 |

Earnings Per Share (EPS)

We compute basic and diluted EPS using actual income from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders, income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders, net income attributable to Raytheon Company, and our actual weighted-average shares and participating securities outstanding rather than the numbers presented within our consolidated financial statements, which are rounded to the nearest million. As a result, it may not be possible to recalculate EPS as presented in our unaudited consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, it may not be possible to recalculate EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders by adjusting EPS from continuing operations by EPS from discontinued operations.

EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders and unvested share-based payment awards was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Basic EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders: | | |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.38 |
| Undistributed earnings | 0.64 | 0.82 |
| Total | \$ 1.07 | \$ 1.20 |
| Diluted EPS attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders: | | |
| Distributed earnings | \$ 0.43 | \$ 0.37 |
| Undistributed earnings | 0.63 | 0.81 |
| Total | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.18 |

Basic EPS from discontinued operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders and unvested share-based payment awards was income of less than \$0.01 and a loss of \$0.02 for the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively. Diluted EPS from discontinued operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders and unvested share-based payment awards was income of less than \$0.01 and a loss of \$0.02 for the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

The amount of income from continuing operations attributable to participating securities was \$6 million and \$7 million for the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively. The amount of income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to participating securities was income of less than \$1 million and a loss of less than \$1 million for the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively. The amount of net income attributable to participating securities was \$6 million and \$7 million for the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively.

The weighted-average shares outstanding for basic and diluted EPS were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Shares for basic EPS (including 5.5 and 5.8 participating securities for the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively.) | 357.4 | 377.6 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and LTTP | 2.0 | 3.2 |
| Dilutive effect of warrants | 1.4 | 3.6 |
| Shares for diluted EPS | 360.8 | 384.4 |

There were no stock options with exercise prices greater than the average market price that were excluded from our calculations of EPS at April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010. The following stock options with exercise prices less than the average market price, included in our calculations of EPS were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Stock options included in calculations of EPS | 5.7 | 7.3 |
| Warrants to purchase 5.0 million and 7.7 million shares of our common stock that expire in June 2011, with an exercise price of \$37.50 per share, were outstanding and included in our calculations of diluted EPS at April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively. | | |

Stock-based compensation plans

Restricted stock activity for the three months ended April 3, 2011 was as follows:

| (In millions) | Shares |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 5.4 |
| Forfeited | (0.1) |
| Outstanding at April 3, 2011 | 5.3 |

During the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, we issued 0.5 million and 0.8 million shares, respectively, of our common stock in connection with the vesting of our 2008-2010 and 2007-2009 Long-Term Performance Plan (LTTP) awards through treasury shares. During the same periods, we also granted our 2011-2013 and 2010-2012 LTTP awards with an aggregate target award of 0.5 million and 0.4 million units, respectively.

The performance goals for the 2011-2013 LTTP award are independent of each other and based on three metrics, as defined in the award agreements: return on invested capital, weighted at 50%; total shareholder return relative to a peer group, weighted at 25%; and cumulative free cash flow, weighted at 25%. The ultimate award, which is determined at the end of the three-year cycle, can range from zero to 200% of the target award and includes dividend equivalents, which are not included in the aggregate target award numbers.

10: Pension and Other Employee Benefits

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

We have pension plans covering the majority of our employees, including certain employees in foreign countries. We also provide certain health care and life insurance benefits to retired employees through other postretirement benefit plans.

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic pension expense were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Service cost | \$ 123 | \$ 109 |
| Interest cost | 266 | 262 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (319) | (305) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 4 | 3 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 199 | 145 |
| Net periodic pension expense | \$ 273 | \$ 214 |

Our net periodic pension expense included expense from foreign benefit plans of \$4 million and \$5 million in the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively.

The components of net periodic cost (income) related to our other postretirement benefit plans were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Service cost | \$ 2 | \$ 3 |
| Interest cost | 10 | 12 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (8) | (7) |
| Amortization of transition asset | 1 | 1 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | (2) | (11) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | 1 | 1 |
| Net periodic cost (income) | \$ 4 | \$ (1) |

Long-term pension and other postretirement benefit plan liabilities were \$4,188 million and \$340 million, respectively, at April 3, 2011, and \$4,093 million and \$339 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

We make both required and discretionary contributions to our pension plans. Required contributions are primarily determined under ERISA rules and are affected by the actual return on plan assets and plan funded status. Effective January 1, 2011, we are subject to the funding requirements under the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA), which amended ERISA. Under the PPA, we are required to fully fund our pension plans over a rolling seven-year period as determined annually based upon the funded status at the beginning of the year. We made required contributions of \$20 million and \$23 million during the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, respectively, to our pension and other postretirement benefit plans. We did not make any discretionary contributions to our pension plans during the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, however, we periodically evaluate whether to make discretionary contributions.

11: Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and numerous foreign jurisdictions. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) examinations of our tax returns have been completed through 2005 and the IRS is currently examining the 2006-2008 tax years. We expect the IRS to complete the current examination in the three months ended July 3, 2011 and also open new examinations for some or all subsequent periods. Additionally, we are under audit by multiple state and foreign tax authorities.

We believe that our income tax reserves are adequate; however, amounts asserted by taxing authorities could be greater or less than amounts accrued and reflected in our consolidated balance sheets. Accordingly, we could record adjustments to the amounts for federal, foreign and state tax-related liabilities in the future as we revise estimates or as we settle or otherwise resolve the underlying matters.

Table of Contents

There were no significant changes in the balance of our unrecognized tax benefits during the first quarters of 2011 or 2010. The balance of our unrecognized tax benefits, exclusive of interest, was \$195 million at April 3, 2011 and \$188 million at December 31, 2010. If recognized, the majority of our unrecognized tax benefits will affect our earnings. In the ordinary course of business, we may take new positions that could increase or decrease our unrecognized tax benefits in future periods.

12: Business Segment Reporting

Our reportable segments, organized based on capabilities and technologies, are: Integrated Defense Systems, Intelligence and Information Systems, Missile Systems, Network Centric Systems, Space and Airborne Systems and Technical Services. Segment net sales and operating income generally include intersegment sales and profit recorded at cost plus a specified fee, which may differ from what the selling entity would be able to obtain on sales to external customers. Corporate and Eliminations includes corporate expenses and intersegment sales and profit eliminations. Corporate expenses represent unallocated costs and certain other corporate costs not considered part of management's evaluation of reportable segment operating performance, including the net costs associated with our residual turbo-prop commuter aircraft portfolio.

We had presented the difference between our pension expense or income under Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) in accordance with GAAP and our pension expense under U.S. Government cost accounting standards (CAS), the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment, as a separate line in our segment results. As previously reported in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, beginning in 2011, in order to more clearly show each business' underlying operational performance, we began treating for management reporting purposes the difference between our postretirement benefits (PRB) expense or income under FAS and our PRB expense under CAS, the FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment, consistent with the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment, as more fully described below. Accordingly, we changed our segment presentation to exclude from each business the amounts related to the FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment, and present the FAS/CAS Adjustment, which represents the combination of the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment and FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment, as a separate line in our segment results. Prior period segment results were revised to reflect this change.

Revised segment operating income for the fiscal quarters and year ended 2010 were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | | | | Year Ended |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| | March 28, 2010 | June 27, 2010 | Sept. 26, 2010 | Dec. 31, 2010 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 208 | \$ 218 | \$ 206 | \$ 238 | \$ 870 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | 48 | (330) | 58 | 67 | (157) |
| Missile Systems | 157 | 162 | 161 | 170 | 650 |
| Network Centric Systems | 163 | 164 | 169 | 196 | 692 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 156 | 169 | 188 | 163 | 676 |
| Technical Services | 67 | 71 | 77 | 82 | 297 |
| FAS/CAS Adjustment | (42) | (44) | (52) | (49) | (187) |
| Corporate and Eliminations | (48) | (65) | (58) | (63) | (234) |
| Total | \$ 709 | \$ 345 | \$ 749 | \$ 804 | \$ 2,607 |

Segment financial results were as follows:

| Total Net Sales (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 1,219 | \$ 1,336 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | 750 | 730 |
| Missile Systems | 1,329 | 1,361 |
| Network Centric Systems | 1,121 | 1,176 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 1,265 | 1,095 |
| Technical Services | 799 | 801 |
| Corporate and Eliminations | (421) | (446) |
| Total | \$ 6,062 | \$ 6,053 |

Table of Contents

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Intersegment Sales (In millions) | | |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 17 | \$ 24 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | 3 | 5 |
| Missile Systems | 15 | 17 |
| Network Centric Systems | 124 | 107 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 121 | 133 |
| Technical Services | 151 | 162 |
| Total | \$ 431 | \$ 448 |

| | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Operating Income (In millions) | | |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 193 | \$ 208 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | (28) | 48 |
| Missile Systems | 155 | 157 |
| Network Centric Systems | 160 | 163 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 156 | 156 |
| Technical Services | 81 | 67 |
| FAS/CAS Adjustment | (89) | (42) |
| Corporate and Eliminations | (37) | (48) |
| Total | \$ 591 | \$ 709 |

As described above, the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment and FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment represent the difference between our pension and PRB expense or income under FAS and our pension and PRB expense under CAS, respectively. GAAP outlines the methodology used to determine pension and PRB expense or income for financial reporting purposes, which is not necessarily indicative of the funding requirements for pension plans that we determine by other factors. CAS prescribes the allocation to and recovery of pension and PRB costs on U.S. Government contracts. The results of each segment only include pension and PRB expense as determined under CAS that we generally recover through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. Government.

The components of our FAS/CAS Adjustment were as follows:

| (in millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment | \$ (90) | \$ (53) |
| FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment | 1 | 11 |
| FAS/CAS Adjustment | \$ (89) | \$ (42) |

The components of operating income related to Corporate and Eliminations were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Intersegment profit eliminations | \$ (38) | \$ (39) |
| Corporate | 1 | (9) |
| Total | \$ (37) | \$ (48) |

Table of Contents

| Intersegment Operating Income (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 1 | \$ 2 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | | |
| Missile Systems | 2 | 3 |
| Network Centric Systems | 12 | 8 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 12 | 11 |
| Technical Services | 11 | 15 |
| Total | \$ 38 | \$ 39 |

| Identifiable Assets (In millions) | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 1,862 | \$ 1,849 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | 2,405 | 2,374 |
| Missile Systems | 5,076 | 4,921 |
| Network Centric Systems | 4,371 | 4,409 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 4,851 | 4,232 |
| Technical Services | 1,543 | 1,376 |
| Corporate | 4,466 | 5,261 |
| Total | \$ 24,574 | \$ 24,422 |

Table of Contents

With respect to the unaudited consolidated financial information of Raytheon Company for the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (PricewaterhouseCoopers) reported that it has applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. Its report dated April 28, 2011, appearing below, states that the firm did not audit and does not express an opinion on that unaudited consolidated financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on its report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied. PricewaterhouseCoopers is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Act) for its report on the unaudited consolidated financial information because that report is not a report or a part of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Raytheon Company:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Raytheon Company and its subsidiaries as of April 3, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cashflows, and equity for the three month periods ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010. This interim financial information is the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial information for it to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 23, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet information as of December 31, 2010, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

April 28, 2011

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Overview

We develop technologically advanced, integrated products, services and solutions in four core defense markets: sensing; effects; command, control, communications and intelligence (C3I) and mission support, as well as the cybersecurity and homeland security markets. We serve both domestic and international customers, as both a prime and subcontractor on a broad portfolio of defense and related programs for government customers.

We operate in six segments: Integrated Defense Systems (IDS), Intelligence and Information Systems (IIS), Missile Systems (MS), Network Centric Systems (NCS), Space and Airborne Systems (SAS) and Technical Services (TS). For a more detailed description of our segments, see Business Segments within Item 1 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Consolidated Results of Operations

As described in our Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements on page 3 of this Form 10-Q, our interim period results of operations and period-to-period comparisons of such results, particularly at a segment level, may not be indicative of our future operating results. Additionally, we use a fiscal calendar, which may cause the number of work days in the current and comparable prior interim period to differ and could affect period-to-period comparisons. For example, there were 64 workdays in the first quarter of 2011 compared to 60 workdays in the first quarter of 2010, an increase of approximately 7%. The following discussions of comparative results among periods, including the discussion of segment results, should be viewed in this context.

As described in Note 1: Basis of Presentation within Item 1 of this Form 10-Q, we prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Raytheon Company on the same basis as our annual audited consolidated financial statements.

Selected consolidated results were as follows:

| (In millions, except percentages and per share data) | Three Months Ended | | % of Total Net Sales Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Net sales | | | | |
| Products | \$ 5,048 | \$ 5,203 | 83.3% | 86.0% |
| Services | 1,014 | 850 | 16.7% | 14.0% |
| Total net sales | 6,062 | 6,053 | 100.0% | 100.0% |
| Operating expenses | | | | |
| Cost of Sales | | | | |
| Cost of sales-products | 4,065 | 4,062 | 67.1% | 67.1% |
| Cost of sales-services | 839 | 722 | 13.8% | 11.9% |
| Total Cost of Sales | 4,904 | 4,784 | 80.9% | 79.0% |
| Administrative and selling expenses | 428 | 408 | 7.1% | 6.7% |
| Research and development expenses | 139 | 152 | 2.3% | 2.5% |
| Total operating expenses | 5,471 | 5,344 | 90.3% | 88.3% |
| Operating income | 591 | 709 | 9.7% | 11.7% |
| Non-operating (income) expense | | | | |
| Interest expense | 43 | 32 | 0.7% | 0.5% |
| Interest income | (5) | (3) | -0.1% | % |
| Other (income) expense | | (1) | % | % |
| Non-operating (income) expense, net | 38 | 28 | 0.6% | 0.5% |
| Federal and foreign income taxes | 165 | 220 | 2.7% | 3.6% |
| Income from continuing operations | 388 | 461 | 6.4% | 7.6% |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 1 | (8) | % | -0.1% |
| Net income | 389 | 453 | 6.4% | 7.5% |

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|---------|-------------|------|
| Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries | 5 | 8 | 0.1% | 0.1% |
| Net income attributable to Raytheon Company | \$ 384 | \$ 445 | 6.3% | 7.4% |
| Diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.18 | | |
| Diluted earnings per share attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders | 1.06 | 1.16 | | |

Table of Contents**Total Net Sales**

Total net sales in the first quarter of 2011 remained relatively consistent with the first quarter of 2010. Included in total net sales were higher external net sales of \$182 million at SAS, offset by lower external net sales of \$110 million at IDS and \$72 million at NCS. The increase in external net sales at SAS was primarily due to higher volume, approximately half of which was due to increased work on certain classified business awarded in 2009 and approximately half of which was from Raytheon Applied Signal Technology (RAST) programs, and higher net sales from higher volume, as planned, as production work increased on an international airborne tactical radar program awarded in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in external net sales at IDS was primarily due to lower net sales from the scheduled completion of certain design and production phases on a U.S. Navy combat systems program and the deferment of certain work due to the U.S. Navy's extension of the program schedule. The decrease in external net sales at NCS was primarily due to lower net sales on a U.S. Army sensor program due to a planned decline in production. The decrease in product net sales of \$155 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to lower external product net sales of \$108 million at IDS, \$97 million at NCS and \$61 million at IIS, partially offset by higher net external product sales of \$156 million at SAS. The increase in service net sales of \$164 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to higher external service net sales of \$83 million at IIS, \$29 million at TS, \$27 million at SAS and \$25 million at NCS.

Sales to the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) were 83% and 86% of total net sales in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Sales to the U.S. Government were 87% and 89% of total net sales in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Included in both DoD and U.S. Government sales were foreign military sales through the U.S. Government of \$756 million and \$794 million in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Total international sales, including foreign military sales, were \$1,488 million or 24.5% of total net sales in the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$1,386 million or 22.9% of total net sales in the first quarter of 2010.

Cost of Sales

The increase in cost of sales of \$120 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the adjustment related to the drawdown by the UK Border Agency (UKBA) from the previously disclosed letters of credit provided by Raytheon Systems Limited (RSL), which had an impact of \$80 million (UKBA LOC Adjustment), and higher expense of \$47 million related to the FAS/CAS Adjustment described below. The UKBA LOC Adjustment is further described in Commitments and Contingencies on page 36.

Administrative and Selling Expenses

Administrative and selling expenses in the first quarter of 2011 remained relatively consistent as a percentage of net sales compared to the first quarter of 2010. Included in administrative and selling expenses for the first quarter of 2011 was \$13 million of costs related to the acquisition of Applied Signal Technology, Inc.

Research and Development Expenses

Research and development expenses in the first quarter of 2011 remained relatively consistent as a percentage of net sales compared to the first quarter of 2010.

Total Operating Expenses

The increase in total operating expenses of \$127 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the UKBA LOC Adjustment, as described in Commitments and Contingencies on page 36, which had an impact of \$80 million, and higher expense of \$47 million related to the FAS/CAS Adjustment described below.

Table of Contents**Operating Income**

The decrease in operating income of \$118 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared with the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the UKBA LOC Adjustment, as described in Commitments and Contingencies on page 36, which had an impact of \$80 million, and higher expense of \$47 million related to the FAS/CAS Adjustment described below. Included in operating income for the first quarter of 2011 was \$13 million of costs related to the acquisition of Applied Signal Technology, Inc.

Non-Operating Expense, Net

The increase in non-operating expense, net of \$10 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to \$11 million of higher interest expense, principally due to the issuance of \$2.0 billion fixed rate long-term debt in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Federal and Foreign Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2011 was 29.8% compared to 32.3% in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the reinstatement in December 2010 of the U.S. research and development tax credit under the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, Job Creation Act of 2010, and an interest recalculation refund claim filed in the first quarter of 2011. The research and development tax credit and the interest refund claim each reduced the tax rate by approximately 1% in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010. Our effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2011 differed from the statutory federal tax rate primarily due to manufacturing deductions, which had an impact of approximately 2%, research and development credits, which had an impact of approximately 1%, and the interest refund claim, which also had an impact of approximately 1%. Our effective tax rate in the first quarter of 2010 differed from the statutory federal rate primarily due to manufacturing deductions, which had an impact of approximately 2%.

Diluted Earnings per Share from Continuing Operations Attributable to Raytheon Company Common Stockholders

The decrease in diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders of \$0.12 in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the UKBA LOC Adjustment, as described in Commitments and Contingencies on page 36, which had an impact of \$0.16 and the FAS/CAS Adjustment, which had an impact of \$0.09, partially offset by a decrease in average diluted shares outstanding, which had an impact of \$0.08. The decrease in average dilutive shares outstanding was primarily due to the repurchase of 29.6 million shares in the twelve months ended April 3, 2011.

Diluted Earnings per Share Attributable to Raytheon Company Common Stockholders

The decrease in diluted earnings per share attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders of \$0.10 in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the decrease in diluted earnings per share from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders described above.

Adjusted EPS

Adjusted EPS is diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common stockholders excluding the earnings per share impact of the FAS/CAS Adjustment tax effected at the federal statutory rate of 35% and from time to time, certain other items. In addition to the FAS/CAS Adjustment, first quarter 2011 Adjusted EPS also excludes the earnings per share impact of the UKBA LOC Adjustment tax effected at the blended global tax rate of approximately 30%, as described in Commitments and Contingencies on page 36. We are providing Adjusted EPS because management uses it for the purpose of evaluating and forecasting the Company's financial performance and believes that it provides additional insights into the Company's underlying business performance. We also believe it allows investors to benefit from being able to assess our operating performance in the context of how our principal customer, the U.S. Government, allows us to recover pension and postretirement benefit costs and to better compare our operating performance to others in the industry on that same basis. Adjusted EPS is not a measure of financial performance under GAAP and should be considered supplemental to, and not a substitute for, financial performance in accordance with GAAP. Adjusted EPS may not be defined and calculated by other companies in the same manner and the amounts presented may not recalculate directly due to rounding. Adjusted EPS was as follows:

Three Months Ended

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Diluted EPS from continuing operations attributable to Raytheon Company common shareholders | \$ 1.06 | \$ 1.18 |
| Earnings per share impact of the FAS/CAS Adjustment | 0.16 | 0.07 |
| Earnings per share impact of the UKBA LOC Adjustment | 0.16 | |
| Adjusted EPS | \$ 1.38 | \$ 1.25 |

Table of Contents

Segment Results

We report our results in the following segments: Integrated Defense Systems (IDS), Intelligence and Information Systems (IIS), Missile Systems (MS), Network Centric Systems (NCS), Space and Airborne Systems (SAS) and Technical Services (TS). The following provides some context for viewing our segment performance through the eyes of management.

Given the nature of our business, bookings, net sales and operating income (including operating margin percentage), which we disclose and discuss at the segment level, are most relevant to an understanding of management's view of our segment performance, and often these measures have significant interrelated effects, as described below. In addition, we disclose and discuss backlog, which represents future sales that we expect to recognize over the contract period, which is generally the next several years.

We had presented the difference between our pension expense or income under Financial Accounting Standards (FAS) in accordance with GAAP and our pension expense under U.S. Government cost accounting standards (CAS), the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment, as a separate line in our segment results. As previously reported in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, beginning in 2011, in order to more clearly show each business' underlying operational performance, we began treating for management reporting purposes the difference between our postretirement benefits (PRB) expense or income under FAS and our PRB expense under CAS, the FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment, consistent with the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment. Accordingly, we changed our segment presentation to exclude from each business the amounts related to the FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment, and present the FAS/CAS Adjustment, which represents the combination of the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment and FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment, as a separate line in our segment results. The results of each segment only include pension and PRB expense as determined under CAS that we generally recover through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. Government. The FAS/CAS Adjustment was \$89 million of expense in the first quarter of 2011 compared to \$42 million of expense in the first quarter of 2010. Prior period segment results were revised to reflect this change.

Bookings: We disclose the amount of bookings for each segment and notable contract awards. Bookings generally represent the dollar value of new contracts awarded to us during the reporting period and include firm orders for which funding has not been appropriated. We believe bookings are an important measure of future performance and are an indicator of potential future changes in net sales, since we cannot record revenues under a new contract without first having a booking in the current or preceding period (i.e., a contract award).

Total Net Sales and Total Operating Expenses: We generally express changes in net sales in terms of volume. Volume generally refers to increases or decreases in revenues related to varying amounts of total operating expenses, which are comprised of cost of sales, administrative and selling expenses and research and development expenses, incurred on individual contracts (i.e., from performance against contractual commitments on our bookings related to engineering, production or service activity). Therefore, we discuss volume changes attributable principally to individual programs unless there is a discrete event (e.g., a major contract termination, natural disaster or major labor strike, etc.), or some other unusual item that has a material effect on changes in a segment's volume for a reported period. Due to the nature of our contracts, the amount of costs incurred and related revenues will naturally fluctuate over the lives of the contracts. As a result, in any reporting period, the changes in volume on numerous contracts are likely to be due to normal fluctuations in our production activity or service levels.

Operating Income (and the related operating margin percentage): We generally express changes in segment operating income in terms of volume, changes in program performance or changes in contract mix. Changes in volume described in net sales typically drive corresponding changes in our operating income based on the profit rate for a particular contract. Changes in program performance typically relate to profit recognition associated with revisions to total estimated costs at completion that reflect improved or deteriorated operating performance or award fee rates. Changes in contract mix refer to changes in operating margin due to a change in the relative volume of contracts with higher or lower fee rates such that

Table of Contents

the overall average margin rate for the segment changes. Because each segment has thousands of contracts, in any reporting period, changes in operating income and margin are likely to be due to normal changes in volume, program performance and mix on many contracts with no single change or series of related changes materially driving a segment's change in operating income or operating margin percentage.

Backlog: We disclose period ending backlog for each segment. Backlog represents the dollar value of contracts awarded for which work has not been performed. Backlog generally increases with bookings and generally converts into sales as we incur costs under the related contractual commitments. Therefore, we discuss changes in backlog, including any significant cancellations, for each of our segments, as we believe such discussion provides an understanding of the awarded but not executed portions of our contracts.

Segment financial results were as follows:

| Total Net Sales (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 1,219 | \$ 1,336 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | 750 | 730 |
| Missile Systems | 1,329 | 1,361 |
| Network Centric Systems | 1,121 | 1,176 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 1,265 | 1,095 |
| Technical Services | 799 | 801 |
| Corporate and Eliminations | (421) | (446) |
| Total | \$ 6,062 | \$ 6,053 |

| Operating Income (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 193 | \$ 208 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | (28) | 48 |
| Missile Systems | 155 | 157 |
| Network Centric Systems | 160 | 163 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 156 | 156 |
| Technical Services | 81 | 67 |
| FAS/CAS Adjustment | (89) | (42) |
| Corporate and Eliminations | (37) | (48) |
| Total | \$ 591 | \$ 709 |

| Bookings (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 636 | \$ 735 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | 828 | 1,721 |
| Missile Systems | 894 | 1,718 |
| Network Centric Systems | 860 | 964 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 1,350 | 810 |
| Technical Services | 535 | 578 |
| Total | \$ 5,103 | \$ 6,526 |

Included in bookings were international bookings of \$1,438 million and \$853 million in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively, which included foreign military bookings through the U.S. Government. International bookings amounted to 28% and 13% of total bookings in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively. Classified bookings amounted to 11% and 20% of total bookings in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Table of Contents

We record bookings for not-to-exceed contract awards based on reasonable estimates of expected contract definitization, which will generally not be less than 75% of the awards. We subsequently adjust bookings to reflect the actual amounts definitized, or, if prior to definitization, when facts and circumstances indicate our previous estimates are no longer reasonable. The timing of awards that may cover multiple fiscal years influences bookings in each year. Bookings exclude unexercised contract options and potential orders under ordering-type contracts (i.e., indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity (IDIQ) type contracts), and are reduced for contract cancellations and terminations of bookings recognized in the current year. We reflect contract cancellations and terminations from prior year bookings, as well as the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates, directly as an adjustment to backlog in the period in which the cancellation or termination occurs and the impact is determinable.

| (In millions) | Funded Backlog | | Total Backlog | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
| Integrated Defense Systems | \$ 6,259 | \$ 6,433 | \$ 7,993 | \$ 8,473 |
| Intelligence and Information Systems | 789 | 725 | 4,389 | 4,319 |
| Missile Systems | 5,964 | 6,385 | 7,717 | 8,212 |
| Network Centric Systems | 3,650 | 3,740 | 4,729 | 4,912 |
| Space and Airborne Systems | 3,332 | 3,266 | 6,322 | 5,981 |
| Technical Services | 1,749 | 2,083 | 2,555 | 2,654 |
| Total | \$ 21,743 | \$ 22,632 | \$ 33,705 | \$ 34,551 |

Total backlog includes both funded backlog (unfilled orders for which funding is authorized, appropriated and contractually obligated by the customer) and unfunded backlog (firm orders for which funding has not been appropriated and/or contractually obligated by the customer). Backlog excludes unexercised contract options and potential orders under ordering-type contracts (e.g., IDIQ). Both funded and unfunded backlog are affected by changes in foreign exchange rates.

Integrated Defense Systems

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Three Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------|
| | | March 28, 2010 | % Change |
| Total Net Sales | \$ 1,219 | \$ 1,336 | -8.8% |
| Total Operating Expenses | 1,026 | 1,128 | -9.0% |
| Operating Income | 193 | 208 | -7.2% |
| Operating Margin | 15.8% | 15.6% | |
| Bookings | \$ 636 | \$ 735 | -13.5% |

Total Net Sales and Total Operating Expenses. The decrease in net sales of \$117 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to \$103 million of lower net sales from the scheduled completion of certain design and production phases on a U.S. Navy combat systems program and the deferment of certain work due to the U.S. Navy's extension of the program schedule. The decrease in operating expenses of \$102 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was driven primarily by the activity in the program described above.

Operating Income and Margin. The decrease in operating income of \$15 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to decreased volume, which had an \$11 million impact on operating income. Operating margin in the first quarter of 2011 remained relatively consistent with the first quarter of 2010.

Backlog and Bookings. Backlog was \$7,993 million at April 3, 2011 compared to \$8,473 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in backlog of \$480 million or 5.7% at April 3, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010 was primarily due to sales in excess of bookings in the first quarter of 2011, principally within our Patriot product line and our U.S. Navy combat systems program. The decrease in bookings of \$99 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to bookings on the Zumwalt-class destroyer program in the first quarter of 2010 described below. In the first quarter of 2011, IDS booked \$131 million to provide engineering services support for a Patriot air and missile defense program for U.S. and international customers. IDS also booked \$107 million for

Table of Contents

development on the competitively awarded Space Fence program for the U.S. Air Force. In the first quarter of 2010, IDS booked \$162 million to provide engineering services support for a Patriot air and missile defense program for U.S. and international customers. Also in the first quarter of 2010, IDS booked \$131 million on the Zumwalt-class destroyer program for the U.S. Navy, which included initial funding for the third ship.

Intelligence and Information Systems

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Three Months Ended March 28, 2010 | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Total Net Sales | \$ 750 | \$ 730 | 2.7% |
| Total Operating Expenses | 778 | 682 | 14.1% |
| Operating Income | (28) | 48 | -158.3% |
| Operating Margin | -3.7% | 6.6% | |
| Bookings | \$ 828 | \$ 1,721 | -51.9% |

Total Net Sales and Total Operating Expenses. The increase in net sales of \$20 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to \$48 million of higher net sales on Global Positioning System Advanced Control Segment (GPS-OCX), a GPS command, control and mission capabilities program awarded in the first quarter of 2010, as a result of scheduled design and build efforts. The remaining change in net sales was spread across numerous programs, with no individual or common significant driver. The increase in operating expenses of \$96 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the \$80 million UKBA LOC Adjustment, as described in Commitments and Contingencies on page 36.

Operating Income and Margin. The decrease in operating income of \$76 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the UKBA LOC Adjustment, which had an \$80 million impact on operating income, as described in Commitments and Contingencies on page 36. The decrease in operating margin in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the UKBA LOC Adjustment. IIS operating income was reduced by approximately \$5 million in the first quarters of 2011 and 2010 by certain cybersecurity-related acquisition costs and investments.

Backlog and Bookings. Backlog was \$4,389 million at April 3, 2011 compared to \$4,319 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in bookings of \$893 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the bookings on GPS-OCX in the first quarter of 2010 described below. In the first quarter of 2011, IIS booked \$347 million on the Joint Polar Satellite System (JPSS) program for the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) as well as \$326 million on a number of classified contracts. In the first quarter of 2010, IIS booked \$886 million on a contract to develop the next-generation GPS-OCX for the U.S. Air Force and \$624 million on a number of classified contracts, including \$340 million on a major classified program.

Missile Systems

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Three Months Ended March 28, 2010 | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Total Net Sales | \$ 1,329 | \$ 1,361 | -2.4% |
| Total Operating Expenses | 1,174 | 1,204 | -2.5% |
| Operating Income | 155 | 157 | -1.3% |
| Operating Margin | 11.7% | 11.5% | |
| Bookings | \$ 894 | \$ 1,718 | -48.0% |

Total Net Sales and Total Operating Expenses. The decrease in net sales of \$32 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to \$44 million of lower net sales on the Standard Missile-2 (SM-2) program, principally from lower volume driven by scheduled lower production build rates. The decrease in operating expenses of \$30 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was driven primarily by the activity in the program described above.

Table of Contents

Operating Income and Margin. Operating income and margin in the first quarter of 2011 remained relatively consistent with the first quarter of 2010.

Backlog and Bookings. Backlog was \$7,717 million at April 3, 2011 compared to \$8,212 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in backlog of \$495 million or 6.0% was primarily due to lower bookings in the first quarter of 2011. The decrease in bookings of \$824 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the changes in bookings described below. In the first quarter of 2011, MS booked \$375 million for the development and production of Standard Missile-3 (SM-3) for the Missile Defense Agency. MS also booked \$177 million for the production of Excalibur for the U.S. Army and an international customer. In the first quarter of 2010, MS booked \$535 million on a classified program, \$212 million for the development of SM-3 and \$111 million for development work on the Exoatmospheric Kill Vehicle (EKV) program for the Missile Defense Agency. In addition, MS also booked \$207 million for the production of SM-2 for an international customer and the U.S. Navy, \$203 million for the production of Tomahawk missiles for the U.S. Navy, \$102 million for the production of the Joint Stand-off Weapon (JSOW) for the U.S. Navy and \$95 million for the production of Tube Launched, Optically Tracked, Wireless missiles for international customers and the U.S. Army.

Network Centric Systems

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Three Months Ended March 28, 2010 | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Total Net Sales | \$ 1,121 | \$ 1,176 | -4.7% |
| Total Operating Expenses | 961 | 1,013 | -5.1% |
| Operating Income | 160 | 163 | -1.8% |
| Operating Margin | 14.3% | 13.9% | |
| Bookings | \$ 860 | \$ 964 | -10.8% |

Total Net Sales and Total Operating Expenses. The decrease in net sales of \$55 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to \$41 million of lower net sales on a U.S. Army sensor program due to a planned decline in production. The decrease in operating expenses of \$52 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was driven primarily by the activity in the program described above.

Operating Income and Margin. Operating income and margin in the first quarter of 2011 remained relatively consistent with the first quarter of 2010.

Backlog and Bookings. Backlog was \$4,729 million at April 3, 2011 compared to \$4,912 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in bookings of \$104 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to a 2010 award of \$138 million for the Long Range Advanced Scout Surveillance Systems (LRAS3) program for the U.S. Army.

Space and Airborne Systems

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Three Months Ended March 28, 2010 | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Total Net Sales | \$ 1,265 | \$ 1,095 | 15.5% |
| Total Operating Expenses | 1,109 | 939 | 18.1% |
| Operating Income | 156 | 156 | % |
| Operating Margin | 12.3% | 14.2% | |
| Bookings | \$ 1,350 | \$ 810 | 66.7% |

Total Net Sales and Total Operating Expenses. The increase in net sales of \$170 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to \$77 million of higher net sales from higher volume, approximately half of which was due to increased work on certain classified business awarded in 2009 and approximately half of which was from RAST programs and \$44 million of higher net sales from higher volume, as planned, as production work increased on an international airborne tactical radar program awarded in the first quarter of 2010. The increase in operating expenses of \$170 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was driven primarily by the activity described above.

Table of Contents

Operating Income and Margin. Operating income in the first quarter of 2011 remained consistent with the first quarter of 2010. Included in operating income for the first quarter of 2011 was a \$20 million impact from increased volume offset by \$13 million of acquisition-related costs for RAST and \$9 million of program performance, principally due to higher profit adjustments in 2010 from productivity initiatives and other cost reduction efforts. The decrease in operating margin in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to acquisition costs and program performance described above.

Backlog and Bookings. Backlog was \$6,322 million at April 3, 2011 compared to \$5,981 million at December 31, 2010. The increase in bookings of \$540 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to a \$782 million international program award in the first quarter of 2011. Also in the first quarter of 2011, SAS booked \$150 million on a number of classified programs. In the first quarter of 2010, SAS booked \$90 million for the production of Advanced Countermeasures Electronic System (ACES) for an international customer.

Technical Services

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Three Months Ended March 28, 2010 | % Change |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------------|----------|
| Total Net Sales | \$ 799 | \$ 801 | -0.2% |
| Total Operating Expenses | 718 | 734 | -2.2% |
| Operating Income | 81 | 67 | 20.9% |
| Operating Margin | 10.1% | 8.4% | |
| Bookings | \$ 535 | \$ 578 | -7.4% |

Total Net Sales and Total Operating Expenses. Net sales and operating expenses in the first quarter of 2011 remained relatively consistent with the first quarter of 2010.

Operating Income and Margin. The increase in operating income of \$14 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to improved program performance, which had a \$11 million impact on operating income. The improved program performance was primarily driven by cost efficiencies associated with various training programs, which had a \$4 million impact on operating income, the majority of which was on programs nearing completion, and improvements driven by a contract modification and a contract extension, which had a \$4 million impact on operating income. Also included in operating income in the first quarter of 2011 was a \$2 million favorable impact related to a legal settlement. The increase in operating margin in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to the improved program performance described above.

Backlog and Bookings. Backlog was \$2,555 million at April 3, 2011 compared to \$2,654 million at December 31, 2010. The decrease in backlog of \$99 million was primarily due to lower bookings in the first quarter of 2011 as described below. The decrease in bookings of \$43 million in the first quarter of 2011 compared to the first quarter of 2010 was primarily due to changes in the bookings described below. In the first quarter of 2011, TS booked \$87 million on domestic training programs and \$63 million on foreign training programs in support of the Warfighter FOCUS activities and \$150 million to provide operational and logistics support to the National Science Foundation (NSF) Office of Polar Programs. In the first quarter of 2010, TS booked \$155 million on domestic training programs and \$25 million on foreign training programs in support of the Warfighter FOCUS activities. Also in the first quarter of 2010, TS booked \$88 million on the Security Equipment Integration Services contract for the Transportation Security Administration and \$78 million to provide operational and logistics support to the NSF Office of Polar Programs.

FAS/CAS Adjustment

The FAS/CAS Adjustment represents the difference between our pension and postretirement benefit expense or income under FAS in accordance with GAAP and our pension and postretirement benefit expense under CAS. The results of each segment only include pension and postretirement benefit expense under CAS that we generally recover through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. Government.

Table of Contents

The components of the FAS/CAS Adjustment were as follows:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
|----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment | \$ (90) | \$ (53) |
| FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment | 1 | 11 |
| FAS/CAS Adjustment | \$ (89) | \$ (42) |

The components of the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment were as follows:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------|
| FAS expense | \$ (273) | \$ (214) |
| CAS expense | 183 | 161 |
| FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment | \$ (90) | \$ (53) |

Of the \$37 million increase in our FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment, approximately \$35 million was driven by the amortization differences between FAS and CAS, of the net unrecognized liability, which was principally attributable to the negative 2008 asset returns. A key driver of the difference between FAS and CAS pension expense (and consequently, the FAS/CAS Pension Adjustment) is the pattern of earnings and expense recognition for gains and losses that arise when our asset and liability experience differs from our assumptions under each set of requirements. Generally, such gains or losses are amortized under FAS over the average future working lifetime of the eligible employee population of approximately 11 years, and are amortized under CAS over a 15-year period. In accordance with both FAS and CAS, a market-related value of our pension plan assets is used to calculate the amount of deferred asset gains or losses to be amortized. The market-related value of assets is determined using actual asset gains or losses over a certain prior period (three years for FAS and five years for CAS, subject to certain limitations under CAS on the difference between the market-related value and actual market value of assets). Because of this difference in the number of years over which actual asset gains or losses are recognized and subsequently amortized, FAS expense generally tends to reflect the recent gains or losses faster than CAS. Another driver of CAS expense (but not FAS expense) is the funded status of our pension plans under CAS. As noted above, CAS expense is only recognized for plans that are not fully funded; consequently, if plans become or cease to be fully funded under CAS due to our asset or liability experience, our CAS expense will change accordingly.

Our FAS/CAS PRB Adjustment was \$1 million of income in the first quarter of 2011 versus \$11 million of income in the first quarter of 2010. The decrease of \$10 million was primarily due to the expiration of historical amortization under FAS of previous benefit modifications.

Corporate and Eliminations

Corporate and Eliminations includes corporate expenses and intersegment sales and profit eliminations. Corporate expenses represent unallocated costs and certain other corporate costs not considered part of management's evaluation of reportable segment operating performance, including the net costs associated with our residual commuter aircraft portfolio.

The components of net sales related to Corporate and Eliminations were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Intersegment sales eliminations | \$ (431) | \$ (448) |
| Corporate | 10 | 2 |
| Total | \$ (421) | \$ (446) |

The components of operating income related to Corporate and Eliminations were as follows:

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Intersegment profit eliminations | \$ (38) | \$ (39) |
| Corporate | 1 | (9) |
| Total | \$ (37) | \$ (48) |

Table of Contents**Discontinued Operations**

In pursuing our business strategies, we have divested certain non-core businesses, investments and assets when appropriate. All residual activity relating to our previously disposed businesses appears in discontinued operations.

We retained certain assets and liabilities of our previously disposed businesses. At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$38 million and \$41 million, respectively, of assets primarily related to our retained interest in general aviation finance receivables previously sold by Raytheon Aircraft Company (Raytheon Aircraft). At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had \$53 million and \$113 million, respectively, of liabilities primarily related to certain environmental and product liabilities, non-income tax obligations, various contract obligations and aircraft lease obligations. We also retained certain U.K. pension assets and obligations for a limited number of U.K. pension plan participants as part of the Raytheon Aircraft sale, which we include in our pension disclosures.

We also have certain income tax obligations relating to these disposed businesses. In the divestiture of Flight Options LLC (Flight Options), Raytheon agreed to indemnify Flight Options in the event Flight Options was assessed and paid excise taxes. In the fourth quarter of 2010, Internal Revenue Service (IRS) appeals proceedings failed to resolve the federal excise tax dispute, and as a result, the IRS assessed Flight Options for excise taxes. As a result, in the fourth quarter of 2010 we recorded a \$39 million charge, net of federal tax benefit, in discontinued operations. In the first quarter of 2011, Flight Options paid the assessment. On behalf of Flight Options, we intend to vigorously contest the matter through litigation and, if successful, we would be entitled to recover substantially all of the amounts paid.

Financial Condition and Liquidity**Overview**

We pursue a capital deployment strategy that balances funding for growing our business, including capital expenditures, acquisitions and research and development; managing our balance sheet, including debt repayments and pension contributions; and returning cash to our stockholders, including dividend payments and share repurchases, as outlined below. Our need for, cost of and access to funds are dependent on future operating results, as well as other external conditions. We currently expect that our cash and cash equivalents, cash flow from operations and other available financing resources will be sufficient to meet our anticipated operating, capital expenditure, investment, debt service and other financing requirements during the next twelve months and for the foreseeable future.

In addition, the following table highlights selected measures of our liquidity and capital resources at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,660 | \$ 3,638 |
| Working capital | 2,784 | 2,862 |
| Amount available under credit facilities | 1,497 | 1,497 |

The decrease of \$978 million in cash and cash equivalents at April 3, 2011 compared to December 31, 2010 was primarily due to the acquisition of Applied Signal Technology, Inc., and the repurchases of common stock, both as described below.

Operating Activities

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | Three Months Ended March 28, 2010 |
|--|---------------|--------------------------------------|
| Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations | \$ 69 | \$ 257 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 15 | 259 |

Table of Contents

The decrease of \$244 million in net cash provided by operating activities in the first three months of 2011 compared to the first three months of 2010 was primarily due to a \$158 million decrease in contracts in process and advanced payments and billings in excess of costs incurred driven by a financial systems implementation at TS, which impacted the timing of customer billings, as well as the tax payment related to the excise tax assessment for Flight Options, described above.

Tax Payments In the first three months of 2011, we made \$17 million in federal and net foreign tax payments and less than \$1 million in net state tax payments. In the first three months of 2010, we made \$59 million in federal and net foreign tax payments and \$6 million in net state tax payments. We expect full year federal and foreign tax payments to be approximately \$700 million in 2011 compared to \$337 million in 2010. The increase in the expected full year federal and foreign tax payments in 2011 is primarily due to the level of pension contribution tax deductions in 2010 compared to those anticipated in 2011.

Pension Plan Contributions We make both required and discretionary contributions to our pension plans. Required contributions are primarily determined under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA) rules and are affected by the actual return on plan assets and plan funded status. We made the following required contributions to our pension plans during the first three months of 2011 and 2010:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Required contributions | \$ 16 | \$ 20 |

We expect to make required contributions to our pension plans of approximately \$1.1 billion in 2011. We did not make any discretionary contributions to our pension plans during the three months ended April 3, 2011 and March 28, 2010, however we periodically evaluate whether to make discretionary contributions. Effective January 1, 2011, we are subject to the funding requirements under the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (PPA), which amended ERISA. Under the PPA, we are required to fully fund our pension plans over a rolling seven-year period, as determined annually based upon the funded status at the beginning of each year. Additionally, the recognition of pension costs for government contractors under the CAS rules is required to be harmonized with the PPA. On May 10, 2010, the CAS Pension Harmonization Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the Federal Register with a 60 day comment period. The NPRM is the third step of a four step statutory process to implement a final CAS standard (Harmonization Rule) related to the recognition of pension costs for government contractors. Based upon the feedback received during the comment period, the CAS Board will either issue the final rule or alternatively reissue the NPRM. We expect that the final rule would increase our future CAS recovery amount and decrease the FAS/CAS Adjustment.

Other postretirement benefit plan payments were \$4 million and \$3 million in the first three months of 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We made interest payments of \$33 million and \$22 million in the first three months of 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in interest payments in the first three months of 2011 compared to the first three months of 2010 was primarily due to interest payments made in 2011 on our 4.40% Notes due in 2020 entered into in the fourth quarter of 2009. Interest payments on these Notes commenced in Q2 2010.

Investing Activities

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | \$ 576 | \$ 69 |

The increase of \$507 million in net cash used in investing activities in the first three months of 2011 compared to the first three months of 2010 was primarily due to the acquisition of Applied Signal Technology, Inc., as described below.

Additions to property, plant and equipment and capitalized internal use software were as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Additions to property, plant and equipment | \$ 50 | \$ 45 |
| Additions to capitalized internal use software | 26 | 14 |

Table of Contents

We expect our property, plant and equipment and internal use software expenditures to be approximately \$400 million and \$115 million, respectively, in 2011, consistent with the anticipated growth of our business and for specific investments including program capital assets and facility improvements.

In pursuing our business strategies, we acquire and make investments in certain businesses that meet strategic and financial criteria, and divest of certain non-core businesses, investments and assets when appropriate.

On January 31, 2011, we acquired Applied Signal Technology, Inc., subsequently renamed Raytheon Applied Signal Technology, Inc. (RAST) for \$500 million in cash, net of \$25 million of cash and cash equivalents acquired, and exclusive of retention and management incentive payments. RAST provides advanced intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) solutions to enhance global security. The acquisition is part of our strategy to extend and enhance our Space and Airborne Systems (SAS) offerings related to certain classified and Department of Defense markets. In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$387 million of goodwill, all of which was allocated to the Company's SAS segment, primarily related to expected synergies from combining operations and the value of RAST's assembled workforce, and \$89 million in intangible assets, primarily related to contractual relationships, license agreements and trade names with a weighted average life of 7 years.

In January 2010, we acquired substantially all of the assets of an Australian company, Compucat Research Pty. Ltd., at Intelligence and Information Systems for \$12 million in cash, which enhances our information security and cybersecurity capabilities. In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$4 million of goodwill and \$2 million of intangible assets.

Financing Activities

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | \$ 417 | \$ 219 |

We have used cash provided by operating activities as our primary source for the payment of dividends and the repurchase of our common stock. The increase of \$198 million in net cash used in financing activities in the first three months of 2011 compared to the first three months of 2010 was primarily due to \$163 million of proceeds from warrants exercised in the first three months of 2010 compared to \$13 million of proceeds from warrants exercised in the first three months of 2011.

Stock Repurchases - Information on repurchases of our common stock under our share repurchase programs was as follows:

| (In millions) | Three Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Amount of stock repurchased | \$ 312 | \$ 300 |
| Shares of stock repurchased | 6.1 | 5.5 |

In March 2010, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 billion of our outstanding common stock. At April 3, 2011 we had approximately \$1.1 billion available under this repurchase program. Share repurchases will take place from time to time at management's discretion depending on market conditions.

Cash Dividends - Our Board of Directors authorized the following cash dividends:

| (In millions, except per share amounts) | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | April 3, 2011 | March 28, 2010 |
| Cash dividends per share | \$ 0.430 | \$ 0.375 |
| Total dividends paid | 135 | 117 |

Table of Contents

In March 2011, our Board of Directors authorized a 15% increase to our annual dividend payout rate from \$1.50 to \$1.72 per share. Dividends are subject to quarterly approval by our Board of Directors.

Capital Resources

Total debt was \$3.6 billion at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Our outstanding debt bears contractual interest at fixed interest rates ranging from 1.625% to 7.2% and matures at various dates through 2040.

Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and cash equivalents were \$2.7 billion and \$3.6 billion at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. We invest cash in U.S. Treasuries; commercial paper of financial institutions and corporations with a minimum long-term debt rating of AA- or Aa3 and minimum short-term debt rating of A-1 and P-1; AAA/Aaa rated U.S. Treasury money market funds; and bank certificates of deposit and time deposits with a minimum long-term debt rating of AA- or Aa3. Cash balances held at our foreign subsidiaries were approximately 25% and 15% of our total cash balance at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively, and are deemed to be indefinitely reinvested.

Credit Facilities We have a \$500 million revolving credit facility maturing in November 2012. Under the facility, we can borrow and backstop commercial paper.

We have a \$1.0 billion revolving credit facility maturing in November 2012, \$150 million of which is available to Raytheon United Kingdom Limited, our U.K. subsidiary. Under the facility, we can borrow, issue letters of credit and backstop commercial paper.

Borrowings under these facilities bear interest at various rate options, including LIBOR plus a margin based on our credit default swap spread, with minimum and maximum margins that are adjusted for our credit ratings. Based on our credit ratings at April 3, 2011, borrowings under the \$1.0 billion and \$500 million facilities would bear interest at LIBOR plus 100 basis points and 75 basis points, respectively, the minimum margins. The credit facilities are comprised of commitments from approximately 25 separate highly rated lenders, each committing no more than 10% of the aggregate of the facilities. At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no borrowings outstanding under these credit facilities. However, we had \$3 million of outstanding letters of credit at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, which effectively reduced our borrowing capacity under these credit facilities.

Under these credit facilities, we must comply with certain covenants, including a ratio of total debt to total capitalization of no more than 50% and a ratio of consolidated earnings attributable to Raytheon Company before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization to consolidated net interest expense, for any period of four consecutive fiscal quarters, of no less than 3 to 1. We were in compliance with these covenants during the first quarter of 2011 and the full-year 2010. Our ratio of total debt to total capitalization, as defined in the credit facilities, was 26.4% and 26.7% at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. We are disclosing these ratios, which are financial covenants under our credit facilities, as these metrics are used by our lenders to monitor the Company's leverage and debt service capacity and are also thresholds that limit our ability to utilize these two facilities.

Certain of our foreign subsidiaries maintain revolving bank lines of credit to provide them with a limited amount of short-term liquidity, including the \$150 million Raytheon United Kingdom Limited facility described above. In addition, other uncommitted bank lines totaled approximately \$2 million at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010. There were no amounts outstanding under these lines of credit at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010. Compensating balance arrangements are not material.

Credit Ratings Three major corporate debt rating organizations, Fitch Ratings (Fitch), Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) and Standard & Poor's (S&P), assign ratings to our short and long-term debt. The following chart reflects the current ratings assigned by each of these agencies as of April 3, 2011 to our short-term debt and long-term senior unsecured debt:

| Rating Agency | Short-Term Debt | Long-Term Senior Debt Rating | Outlook | Date of Last Action |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| Fitch | F2 | A - | Stable | September 2008 |
| Moody's | P-2 | Baa1 | Stable | March 2007 |
| S&P | A-2 | A - | Stable | September 2008 |

Table of Contents

Shelf Registrations We have an effective shelf registration statement, filed in October 2010, that covers the registration of debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, and warrants.

Commitments and Contingencies

Environmental Matters - We are involved in various stages of investigation and cleanup related to remediation of various environmental sites. Our total estimated liability for environmental remediation costs includes the use of a discount rate and considers that a portion of these costs is eligible for future recovery through the pricing of our products and services to the U.S. Government. We consider such recovery probable based on government contracting regulations and our long history of receiving reimbursement for such costs and accordingly have recorded the estimated future recovery of these costs from the U.S. Government within contracts in process. Our estimates of total remediation costs - undiscounted, weighted average risk-free rate, total remediation costs - discounted and recoverable portion were as follows:

| (In millions, except percentages) | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Total remediation costs undiscounted | \$ 225 | \$ 225 |
| Weighted average risk-free rate | 5.6% | 5.6% |
| Total remediation costs discounted | \$ 160 | \$ 152 |
| Recoverable portion | 113 | 107 |

We also lease certain government-owned properties and are generally not liable for remediation of preexisting environmental contamination at these sites; as a result, we generally do not reflect the provision for these costs in our financial statements. Due to the complexity of environmental laws and regulations, the varying costs and effectiveness of alternative cleanup methods and technologies, the uncertainty of insurance coverage and the unresolved extent of our responsibility, it is difficult to determine the ultimate outcome of these matters; however, we do not expect any additional liability to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Financing Arrangements and Other - We issue guarantees and banks and surety companies issue, on our behalf, letters of credit and surety bonds to meet various bid, performance, warranty, retention and advance payment obligations of us or our affiliates. These instruments expire on various dates through 2021. Additional guarantees of project performance for which there is no stated value also remain outstanding. The stated values outstanding at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Guarantees | \$ 258 | \$ 281 |
| Letters of Credit | 1,152 | 1,067 |
| Surety Bonds | 219 | 213 |

Included in guarantees and letters of credit were \$111 million and \$265 million, respectively, at April 3, 2011, and \$134 million and \$256 million, respectively, at December 31, 2010, related to our joint venture in Thales-Raytheon Systems Co. Ltd. (TRS). We provide these guarantees and letters of credit to TRS and other affiliates to assist these entities in obtaining financing on more favorable terms, making bids on contracts and performing their contractual obligations. While we expect these entities to satisfy their loans, project performance and other contractual obligations, their failure to do so may result in a future obligation to us. We periodically evaluate the risk of TRS and other affiliates failing to satisfy their loans, project performance and meet other contractual obligations described above. At April 3, 2011, we believe the risk that TRS and other affiliates will not be able to perform or meet their obligations is minimal for the foreseeable future based on their current financial condition. All obligations were current at April 3, 2011. At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, we had an estimated liability of \$8 million and \$9 million, respectively, related to guarantees and letters of credit.

Included in letters of credit at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was approximately \$80 million provided by Raytheon Systems Limited (RSL) to the UK Border Agency (UKBA) in connection with a UKBA program. On April 6, 2011, the UKBA drew approximately \$80 million on the letters of credit as described below.

Our residual turbo-prop commuter aircraft portfolio has exposure to outstanding financing arrangements with the aircraft serving as collateral. We have sold and leased commuter aircraft globally to thinly capitalized companies, whose financial condition could be significantly affected by a number of factors, including fuel and other costs, industry consolidation,

Table of Contents

declining commercial aviation market conditions and the U.S. Government budget for the Essential Air Service program. Based on recent economic trends, including tightening credit markets and volatile fuel costs, these companies may increasingly experience difficulties meeting their financial commitments. At April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, our exposure on commuter aircraft assets held as inventory, collateral on notes or as leased assets, was approximately \$64 million relating to 74 aircraft and approximately \$68 million relating to 77 aircraft, respectively. The valuation of used aircraft is also considered in assessing the realizable value of certain commuter aircraft related to assets which serve as collateral for the underlying financial arrangements. As part of the assessment of realizable value, we evaluate many factors, including sales transaction history, current market conditions, anticipated future market conditions and age and condition of the aircraft. The carrying value of our commuter aircraft portfolio assumes an orderly disposition of these assets, consistent with our historical experience and strategy. The tightening of credit markets and economic conditions has reduced the number of potential buyers who are able to obtain financing and has negatively impacted the ability of existing customers to refinance their aircraft through a third party. If the long-term market prospects for these aircraft were to significantly erode or cease, our valuation of these assets would likely be less than the carrying value. We periodically evaluate potential alternative strategies for the disposal of these assets. If we were to dispose of these assets in an other than orderly manner or sell the portfolio in its entirety, the value realized would likely be less than the carrying value.

In 1997, we provided a first loss guarantee of \$133 million on \$1.3 billion of U.S. Export-Import Bank loans (maturing in 2015) to the Brazilian Government related to Network Centric Systems System for the Vigilance of the Amazon program. Loan repayments by the Brazilian Government were current at April 3, 2011.

We have entered into industrial cooperation agreements, sometimes referred to as offset agreements, as a condition to obtaining orders for our products and services from certain customers in foreign countries. These agreements are designed to enhance the social and economic environment of the foreign country by requiring the contractor to promote investment in the country. Offset agreements may be satisfied through activities that do not require a cash payment, including transferring technology, providing manufacturing and other consulting support to in-country projects, and the purchase by third parties (*e.g.*, our vendors) of supplies from in-country vendors. These agreements may also be satisfied through our use of cash for activities such as purchasing supplies from in-country vendors, providing financial support for in-country projects, building or leasing facilities for in-country operations and making investments in local ventures. We typically do not commit to offset agreements until orders for our products or services are definitive. The amounts ultimately applied against our offset agreements are based on negotiations with the customers and typically require cash outlays that represent only a fraction of the original amounts in the offset agreements. Offset programs usually extend over several years and may provide for penalties in the event we fail to perform in accordance with offset requirements. We have historically not been required to pay any such penalties.

Government contractors are subject to many levels of audit and investigation. Agencies that oversee contract performance include: the Defense Contract Audit Agency, the Defense Contract Management Agency, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense and other departments and agencies, the Government Accountability Office, the Department of Justice and Congressional Committees. The Department of Justice has, from time to time, convened grand juries to investigate possible irregularities by us. We also provide products and services to customers outside of the U.S. and those sales are subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices. Our compliance with such local government regulations or any applicable U.S. Government regulations (*e.g.*, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations) may also be investigated or audited. We do not expect these audits and investigations to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity, either individually or in the aggregate.

We are currently conducting a self-initiated internal review of certain of our international operations, focusing on compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. In the course of the review, we have identified several possible areas of concern relating to payments made in connection with certain international operations related to a jurisdiction where we do business. We have voluntarily contacted the SEC and the Department of Justice to advise both agencies that an internal review is underway. Because the internal review is ongoing, we cannot predict the ultimate consequences of the review. Based on the information available to date, we do not believe that the results of this review will have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

In May 2006, international arbitration hearings commenced against us as the successor to the Hughes Electronics defense business, in connection with certain claims brought in 2004 by MBDA relating to an alleged 1995 Workshare Agreement. The asserted claims involve breach of contract, intellectual property (IP) infringement and other related matters. The arbitration panel stayed further proceedings, including the issuance of the liability decision on the non-IP

Table of Contents

claims presented during the May 2006 hearing, while the parties engaged in settlement efforts. The parties were unable to conclude an enforceable settlement, and in August 2009, the panel released its liability decision, rejecting some of MBDA's non-IP claims, while finding Raytheon liable for some other non-IP claims. We did not record any significant additional financial liability as a result of our estimate of the impact of the decision. The proceedings will now resume to determine liability for the asserted IP claims and to assess overall damages, if any. At this point, we are unable to estimate a range of potential loss, if any, because the IP claims are vague, discovery is in process, and any potential damages involve complex technical matters subject to interpretation by the arbitration panel. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the asserted IP claims and intend to continue to contest them vigorously; however, an adverse resolution of this matter could have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On July 22, 2010, RSL was notified by the UKBA that it had been terminated for cause on a program. The termination notice included allegations that RSL had failed to perform on certain key milestones and other matters in addition to claiming entitlement to recovery of certain losses incurred and previous payments made to RSL. We believe that RSL performed well and delivered substantial capabilities to the UKBA under the program, which has been operating successfully and providing actionable information since live operations began in May 2009. On July 29, 2010, RSL filed a dispute notice on the grounds that the termination by the UKBA was not valid. On August 18, 2010, the UKBA initiated arbitration proceedings on this issue. On March 22, 2011, the UKBA gave notice that it had presented a demand to draw on the approximately \$80 million of letters of credit provided by RSL upon the signing of the contract with the UKBA in 2007. On March 23, 2011, the UKBA submitted a detailed claim in the arbitration of approximately \$500 million for damages and clawback of previous payments, plus interest and arbitration costs. The UKBA also asserted that additional amounts may be detailed in the claim in the future if estimates of its damages change, and for continuing post-termination losses and any re-procurement costs, which have not been quantified. RSL will submit substantial claims against the UKBA for damages in the second quarter of 2011. RSL intends to pursue vigorously the collection of all receivables for the program and damages in connection with the wrongful termination and will mount a strong defense to the UKBA's alleged claims for losses and previous payments. RSL has also settled substantially all subcontractor claims, novated all key subcontracts to the UKBA and agreed with the UKBA that RSL's exit obligations to operate the previously delivered capability would end in April 2011. Effective April 15, 2011, the UKBA took over responsibility for operating the previously delivered capability.

At RSL's request, on March 29, 2011, the Arbitration Tribunal issued an interim order restraining the UKBA from drawing down on the letters of credit pending a hearing on the issue. Following the hearing, the Tribunal lifted the restraint on the basis that, at this early stage of the proceedings, the Tribunal had not heard the evidence needed to decide the merits of whether the contractual conditions for a drawdown had been established. The Tribunal also concluded that any decision on the UKBA's right to call on the letters of credit is inextricably intertwined with the ultimate decision on the merits in the arbitration. The Tribunal also preserved RSL's right to claim damages should RSL later establish that the drawdown was not valid. As a result, on April 6, 2011, the UKBA drew the \$80 million on the letters of credit.

As a result of the Tribunal's decision that the letters of credit are inextricably intertwined with the ultimate decision on the merits in the arbitration, we were no longer able to evaluate, independently from the overall claim, the probability of recovery of any amounts drawn on the letters of credit. We therefore recorded \$80 million of costs related to the UKBA drawdown, which is included in IIS' operating expenses in the first quarter of 2011. We had approximately \$70 million of receivables and other assets remaining under the program for technology and services delivered as of April 3, 2011, which we believe are probable of recovery in litigation or arbitration. We currently do not believe it is probable that RSL is liable for losses, previous payments (which includes the \$80 million related to the drawdown on the letters of credit), clawback or other claims asserted by the UKBA. Due to the inherent uncertainties in litigation and arbitration and the complexity and technical nature of actual and potential claims and counterclaims, it is reasonably possible that the ultimate amount of any resolution of the termination could be less or greater than the amounts we have recorded. For the same reasons, at this time, we are unable to estimate a range of the possible loss or recovery, if any. If we fail to collect the receivable balances or are required to make payments against claims or other losses asserted by the UKBA in excess of the amounts we have recorded, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Arbitration hearings are scheduled to commence in late 2012 and we expect to have a decision in 2013.

In addition, various other claims and legal proceedings generally incidental to the normal course of business are pending or threatened against us. We do not expect any additional liability from these proceedings to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Accounting Standards

New pronouncements issued but not effective until after April 3, 2011 are not expected to have a material impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our primary market exposures are to interest rates and foreign exchange rates.

We supplement our working capital with a combination of variable-rate short-term and fixed-rate long-term financing. We periodically enter into interest rate swap agreements with commercial and investment banks to manage interest rates associated with our financing arrangements. We also enter into foreign currency forward contracts with commercial banks to fix the foreign currency exchange rates on specific commitments and payments to vendors and customer receipts. The market-risk sensitive instruments we use for hedging are entered into with commercial and investment banks and are directly related to a particular asset, liability or transaction for which a firm commitment is in place.

The following tables provide information at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010 about our market risk exposure associated with changing interest and exchange rates. For long-term debt obligations, the table presents principal cash flows by maturity date and average interest rates related to outstanding obligations.

As of April 3, 2011

Principal Payments and Interest Rate Detail by Contractual Maturity Dates

(In millions, except percentages)

| Long-Term Debt | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fixed-rate debt | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 400 | \$ 3,258 | \$ 3,658 | \$ 3,769 |
| Average interest rate | % | % | % | % | 1.625% | 4.962% | 4.60% | |

As of December 31, 2010

Principal Payments and Interest Rate Detail by Contractual Maturity Dates

(In millions, except percentages)

| Long-Term Debt | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value |
|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Fixed-rate debt | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 400 | \$ 3,258 | \$ 3,658 | \$ 3,783 |
| Average interest rate | % | % | % | % | 1.625% | 4.962% | 4.60% | |

The notional amounts of outstanding foreign currency forward contracts consisted of the following at:

| (In millions) | April 3, 2011 | | Dec. 31, 2010 | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------|
| | Buy | Sell | Buy | Sell |
| British Pounds | \$ 386 | \$ 300 | \$ 370 | \$ 296 |
| Canadian Dollars | 230 | 66 | 220 | 51 |
| Euros | 180 | 33 | 165 | 32 |
| All other | 82 | 26 | 85 | 39 |
| Total | \$ 878 | \$ 425 | \$ 840 | \$ 418 |

Unrealized gains of \$48 million and \$45 million were included in other assets, net, and unrealized losses of \$29 million and \$41 million were included in other accrued expenses at April 3, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. For foreign currency forward contracts designated and qualified for hedge accounting, we record the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax and reclassify it into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged revenue or cost of sales transaction affects earnings. Realized gains and losses resulting from these cash flow hedges offset the foreign currency exchange gains and losses on the underlying assets or liabilities being hedged. We believe our exposure due to changes in foreign currency rates is not material due to our hedging policy.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Management conducted an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of April 3, 2011.

Conclusion of Evaluation

Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of April 3, 2011 were effective.

Inherent Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls and Procedures

In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Due to the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that misstatements due to error or fraud will not occur or that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the first quarter of 2011 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting other than the migration of our Technical Services segment to the Company's common Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We primarily engage in providing products and services under contracts with the U.S. Government and, to a lesser degree, under direct foreign sales contracts, some of which the U.S. Government funds. These contracts are subject to extensive legal and regulatory requirements and, from time to time, agencies of the U.S. Government investigate whether our operations are being conducted in accordance with these requirements. U.S. Government investigations of us, whether relating to these contracts or conducted for other reasons, could result in administrative, civil or criminal liabilities, including repayments, fines or penalties being imposed upon us, the suspension of government export licenses or the suspension or debarment from future U.S. Government contracting. U.S. Government investigations often take years to complete and many result in no adverse action against us. Government contractors are also subject to many levels of audit and investigation. Agencies that oversee contract performance include: the Defense Contract Audit Agency, the Inspector General of the Department of Defense and other departments and agencies, the Government Accountability Office, the Department of Justice (DoJ) and Congressional Committees. The DoJ, from time to time, has convened grand juries to investigate possible irregularities by us. We also provide products and services to customers outside of the U.S. and those sales are subject to local government laws, regulations and procurement policies and practices. Our compliance with such local government regulation or any applicable U.S. Government regulation (e.g., the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and the International Traffic in Arms Regulations) may also be investigated or audited.

We are currently conducting a self-initiated internal review of certain of our international operations, focusing on compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. In the course of the review, we have identified several possible areas of concern relating to payments made in connection with certain international operations related to a jurisdiction where we do business. We have voluntarily contacted the Securities and Exchange Commission and the DoJ to advise both agencies that an internal review is underway. Because the internal review is ongoing, we cannot predict the ultimate consequences of the review. Based on the information available to date, we do not believe that the results of this review will have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

We are involved in various stages of investigation and cleanup related to remediation of various environmental sites. We accrued all appropriate costs we expect to incur in connection therewith. Due to the complexity of environmental laws and regulations, the varying costs and effectiveness of alternative cleanup methods and technologies, the uncertainty of

Table of Contents

insurance coverage and the unresolved extent of our responsibility, it is difficult to determine the ultimate outcome of these matters. However, in the opinion of management, we do not expect any additional liability to have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Additional information regarding the effect of compliance with environmental protection requirements and the resolution of environmental claims against us and our operations can be found in Item 1. Business, in Environmental Regulation, Item 1A. Risk Factors, Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in Commitments and Contingencies and Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, in Note 11: Commitments and Contingencies of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

In May 2006, international arbitration hearings commenced against us as the successor to the Hughes Electronics defense business, in connection with certain claims brought in 2004 by MBDA relating to an alleged 1995 Workshare Agreement. The asserted claims involve breach of contract, intellectual property (IP) infringement and other related matters. The arbitration panel stayed further proceedings, including the issuance of the liability decision on the non-IP claims presented during the May 2006 hearing, while the parties engaged in settlement efforts. The parties were unable to conclude an enforceable settlement, and in August 2009, the panel released its liability decision, rejecting some of MBDA's non-IP claims, while finding Raytheon liable for some other non-IP claims. We did not record any significant additional financial liability as a result of our estimate of the impact of the decision. The proceedings will now resume to determine liability for the asserted IP claims and to assess overall damages, if any. At this point, we are unable to estimate a range of potential loss, if any, because the IP claims are vague, discovery is in process, and any potential damages involve complex, technical matters subject to interpretation by the arbitration panel. We believe that we have meritorious defenses to the asserted IP claims and intend to continue to contest them vigorously; however, an adverse resolution of this matter could have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

On July 22, 2010, Raytheon Systems Limited (RSL) was notified by the UK Border Agency (UKBA) that it had been terminated for cause on a program. The termination notice included allegations that RSL had failed to perform on certain key milestones and other matters in addition to claiming entitlement to recovery of certain losses incurred and previous payments made to RSL. We believe that RSL performed well and delivered substantial capabilities to the UKBA under the program, which has been operating successfully and providing actionable information since live operations began in May 2009. On July 29, 2010, RSL filed a dispute notice on the grounds that the termination by the UKBA was not valid. On August 18, 2010, the UKBA initiated arbitration proceedings on this issue. On March 22, 2011, the UKBA gave notice that it had presented a demand to draw on the approximately \$80 million of letters of credit provided by RSL upon the signing of the contract with the UKBA in 2007. On March 23, 2011, the UKBA submitted a detailed claim in the arbitration of approximately \$500 million for damages and clawback of previous payments, plus interest and arbitration costs. The UKBA also asserted that additional amounts may be detailed in the claim in the future if estimates of its damages change, and for continuing post-termination losses and any re-procurement costs, which have not been quantified. RSL will submit substantial claims against the UKBA for damages in the second quarter of 2011. RSL intends to pursue vigorously the collection of all receivables for the program and damages in connection with the wrongful termination and will mount a strong defense to the UKBA's alleged claims for losses and previous payments. RSL has also settled substantially all subcontractor claims, novated all key subcontracts to the UKBA and agreed with the UKBA that RSL's exit obligations to operate the previously delivered capability would end in April 2011. Effective April 15, 2011, the UKBA took over responsibility for operating the previously delivered capability.

At RSL's request, on March 29, 2011, the Arbitration Tribunal issued an interim order restraining the UKBA from drawing down on the letters of credit pending a hearing on the issue. Following the hearing, the Tribunal lifted the restraint on the basis that, at this early stage of the proceedings, the Tribunal had not heard the evidence needed to decide the merits of whether the contractual conditions for a drawdown had been established. The Tribunal also concluded that any decision on the UKBA's right to call on the letters of credit is inextricably intertwined with the ultimate decision on the merits in the arbitration. The Tribunal also preserved RSL's right to claim damages should RSL later establish that the drawdown was not valid. As a result, on April 6, 2011, the UKBA drew the \$80 million on the letters of credit.

As a result of the Tribunal's decision that the letters of credit are inextricably intertwined with the ultimate decision on the merits in the arbitration, we were no longer able to evaluate, independently from the overall claim, the probability of recovery of any amounts drawn on the letters of credit. We therefore recorded \$80 million of costs related to the UKBA drawdown, which is included in IIS operating expenses in the first quarter of 2011. We had approximately \$70 million of receivables and other assets remaining under the program for technology and services delivered as of April 3, 2011, which we believe are probable of recovery in litigation or arbitration. We currently do not believe it is probable that RSL is liable for losses, previous payments (which includes the \$80 million related to the drawdown on the letters of credit), clawback or other claims asserted by the UKBA. Due to the inherent uncertainties in litigation and arbitration and the complexity and technical nature of actual and potential claims and counterclaims, it is reasonably possible that the

Table of Contents

ultimate amount of any resolution of the termination could be less or greater than the amounts we have recorded. For the same reasons, at this time, we are unable to estimate a range of the possible loss or recovery, if any. If we fail to collect the receivable balances or are required to make payments against claims or other losses asserted by the UKBA in excess of the amounts we have recorded, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Arbitration hearings are scheduled to commence in late 2012 and we expect to have a decision in 2013.

In addition, various other claims and legal proceedings generally incidental to the normal course of business are pending or threatened against us. While we cannot predict the outcome of these matters, in the opinion of management, any liability arising from them will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

You should carefully review and consider the information regarding certain factors which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results set forth under Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. There have been no material changes from the factors disclosed in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, although we may disclose changes to such factors or disclose additional factors from time to time in our future filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ⁽¹⁾ | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plan | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan ⁽²⁾ |
|---|---|------------------------------|---|--|
| January (January 1 - January 30, 2011) | 1,311 | \$ 51.06 | | \$ 1.4 billion |
| February (January 31 - February 27, 2011) | 5,084,093 | \$ 51.05 | 5,082,731 | \$ 1.2 billion |
| March (February 28 - April 3, 2011) | 1,040,529 | \$ 51.19 | 1,035,988 | \$ 1.1 billion |
| Total | 6,125,933 | \$ 51.07 | 6,118,719 | |

- (1) Includes shares purchased related to treasury activity under our stock plans. Such activity during the first quarter of 2011 includes the surrender by employees of 7,214 shares to satisfy income tax withholding obligations in connection with the vesting of restricted stock previously issued to employees.
- (2) In March 2010, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$2.0 billion of our outstanding common stock. Share repurchases will take place from time to time at management's discretion depending on market conditions.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following list of exhibits includes exhibits submitted with this Form 10-Q as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and those incorporated by reference to other filings.

15 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Awareness Letter.*

31.1 Certification of William H. Swanson pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

Edgar Filing: RAYTHEON CO/ - Form 10-Q

- 31.2 Certification of David C. Wajsgras pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*
- 32.1 Certification of William H. Swanson pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**
- 32.2 Certification of David C. Wajsgras pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.**

Table of Contents

101 The following materials from Raytheon Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 3, 2011, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Consolidated Statements of Equity, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.**

* filed electronically herewith

** furnished and not filed herewith

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RAYTHEON COMPANY

By: /s/ Michael J. Wood
Michael J. Wood

Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer

Principal Accounting Officer

April 28, 2011