

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP
Form 10-Q
August 08, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-15185

First Horizon National Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---|---|
| TN (State or other jurisdiction incorporation of organization) | 62-0803242 (IRS Employer Identification No.) |
| 165 MADISON AVENUE MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE (Address of principal executive office) | 38103 (Zip Code) |
| (Registrant's telephone number, including area code) (901) 523-4444 | |

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | |
|--|--|
| Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/> (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/> |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO CORPORATE ISSUERS:

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

| Class | Outstanding on June 30, 2012 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Common Stock, \$.625 par value | 248,810,099 |

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION

INDEX

| | |
|--|-----|
| Part I. Financial Information | |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 2 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 74 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 123 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 123 |
| Part II. Other Information | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and use of Proceeds</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 124 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 125 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 127 |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 10.3</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 10.4</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31(a)</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 31(b)</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 32(a)</u> | |
| <u>Exhibit 32(b)</u> | |

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

PART I.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition</u> | 3 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income</u> | 4 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | 5 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity</u> | 6 |
| <u>The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 7 |
| <u>The Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u> | |
| <u>Note 1 Financial Information</u> | 8 |
| <u>Note 2 Acquisition and Divestitures</u> | 10 |
| <u>Note 3 Investment Securities</u> | 11 |
| <u>Note 4 Loans</u> | 13 |
| <u>Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights</u> | 24 |
| <u>Note 6 Intangible Assets</u> | 25 |
| <u>Note 7 Other Income and Other Expense</u> | 27 |
| <u>Note 8 Earnings Per Share</u> | 28 |
| <u>Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures</u> | 30 |
| <u>Note 10 Pensions, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits</u> | 40 |
| <u>Note 11 Business Segment Information</u> | 42 |
| <u>Note 12 Loan Sales and Securitizations</u> | 44 |
| <u>Note 13 Variable Interest Entities</u> | 47 |
| <u>Note 14 Derivatives</u> | 53 |
| <u>Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities</u> | 58 |
| <u>Note 16 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Initiatives</u> | 72 |

This financial information reflects all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the financial condition and results of operations for the interim periods presented.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CONDITION**

| | First Horizon National Corporation | | |
|--|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2012 | June 30 2011 | December 31 2011 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands, except restricted and share amounts)(Unaudited)</i> | | | |
| Assets: | | | |
| Cash and due from banks (Restricted - \$ - on June 30, 2012; \$1.8 million on June 30, 2011; and \$4.9 million on December 31, 2011) | \$ 330,931 | \$ 313,416 | \$ 384,667 |
| Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell | 525,504 | 598,000 | 443,588 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents (Restricted - \$ - million on June 30, 2012; \$1.8 million on June 30, 2011; and \$4.9 million on December 31, 2011) | 856,435 | 911,416 | 828,255 |
| Interest-bearing cash | 484,430 | 263,441 | 452,856 |
| Trading securities | 1,361,717 | 1,196,380 | 988,217 |
| Loans held-for-sale | 424,051 | 397,931 | 413,897 |
| Securities available-for-sale (Note 3) | 3,264,866 | 3,230,477 | 3,066,272 |
| Loans, net of unearned income (Restricted - \$.1 billion on June 30, 2012; \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011; and \$.6 billion on December 31, 2011) (Note 4) | 16,185,763 | 16,061,646 | 16,397,127 |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses (Restricted - \$6.0 million on June 30, 2012; \$33.0 million on June 30, 2011; and \$31.8 million on December 31, 2011) (Note 4) | 321,051 | 524,091 | 384,351 |
| Total net loans (Restricted - \$.1 billion on June 30, 2012; \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011; and \$.6 billion on December 31, 2011) | 15,864,712 | 15,537,555 | 16,012,776 |
| Mortgage servicing rights (Note 5) | 129,291 | 186,958 | 144,069 |
| Goodwill (Note 6) | 134,242 | 135,683 | 133,659 |
| Other intangible assets, net (Note 6) | 24,659 | 28,384 | 26,243 |
| Capital markets receivables | 377,496 | 625,243 | 164,987 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 311,753 | 330,392 | 321,253 |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure | 69,603 | 92,662 | 85,244 |
| Other assets (Restricted - \$2.6 million on June 30, 2012; \$13.9 million on June 30, 2011; and \$13.4 million on December 31, 2011) | 2,189,700 | 2,117,544 | 2,151,656 |
| Total assets (Restricted - \$.1 billion on June 30, 2012; \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011; and \$.6 billion on December 31, 2011) | \$ 25,492,955 | \$ 25,054,066 | \$ 24,789,384 |
| Liabilities and equity: | | | |
| Deposits: | | | |
| Savings | \$ 5,979,874 | \$ 6,382,963 | \$ 6,624,405 |
| Time deposits | 1,109,163 | 1,277,905 | 1,173,375 |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 3,565,873 | 2,784,787 | 3,193,697 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | 628,539 | 513,269 | 608,518 |
| Interest-bearing | 11,283,449 | 10,958,924 | 11,599,995 |
| Noninterest-bearing (Restricted - \$.9 million on June 30, 2011; and \$ - on June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011) | 4,833,994 | 4,937,103 | 4,613,014 |
| Total deposits (Restricted - \$.9 million on June 30, 2011; and \$ - on June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011) | 16,117,443 | 15,896,027 | 16,213,009 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,780,990 | 2,005,999 | 1,887,052 |
| Trading liabilities | 470,631 | 498,915 | 347,285 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 1,094,179 | 187,902 | 172,550 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Term borrowings (Restricted - \$.1 billion on June 30, 2012; \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011; and \$.6 billion on December 31, 2011) | 2,294,224 | 2,502,517 | 2,481,660 |
| Capital markets payables | 203,548 | 464,993 | 164,708 |
| Other liabilities (Restricted - \$ - on June 30, 2012 and 2011; and \$.1 million on December 31, 2011) | 1,017,534 | 816,331 | 838,483 |
| Total liabilities (Restricted - \$.1 billion on June 30, 2012; \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011; and \$.6 billion on December 31, 2011) | 22,978,549 | 22,372,684 | 22,104,747 |
| Equity: | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders Equity: | | | |
| Common stock - \$.625 par value (shares authorized - 400,000,000; shares issued - 248,810,099 on June 30, 2012; 263,698,516 on June 30, 2011; and 257,468,092 on December 31, 2011) | 155,506 | 164,812 | 160,918 |
| Capital surplus | 1,528,161 | 1,638,423 | 1,601,346 |
| Undivided profits | 658,157 | 691,490 | 757,364 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net | (122,583) | (108,508) | (130,156) |
| Total First Horizon National Corporation Shareholders Equity | 2,219,241 | 2,386,217 | 2,389,472 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 295,165 | 295,165 | 295,165 |
| Total equity | 2,514,406 | 2,681,382 | 2,684,637 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$ 25,492,955 | \$ 25,054,066 | \$ 24,789,384 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| | First Horizon National Corporation | | | |
|---|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30 | 2011 | June 30 | 2011 |
| <i>(Dollars and shares in thousands except per share data)(Unaudited)</i> | | | | |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 161,117 | \$ 160,928 | \$ 322,694 | \$ 324,431 |
| Interest on investment securities | 25,971 | 31,250 | 52,277 | 60,442 |
| Interest on loans held-for-sale | 3,628 | 3,267 | 7,366 | 6,924 |
| Interest on trading securities | 9,622 | 11,224 | 19,058 | 22,068 |
| Interest on other earning assets | 397 | 88 | 843 | 497 |
| Total interest income | 200,735 | 206,757 | 402,238 | 414,362 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Interest on deposits: | | | | |
| Savings | 4,744 | 7,019 | 10,363 | 14,269 |
| Time deposits | 5,541 | 7,783 | 11,457 | 15,815 |
| Other interest-bearing deposits | 1,655 | 1,638 | 3,173 | 3,190 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | 2,305 | 2,613 | 4,611 | 5,322 |
| Interest on trading liabilities | 2,843 | 4,102 | 5,358 | 7,893 |
| Interest on short-term borrowings | 1,150 | 1,468 | 2,515 | 3,009 |
| Interest on term borrowings | 9,822 | 9,274 | 20,157 | 19,249 |
| Total interest expense | 28,060 | 33,897 | 57,634 | 68,747 |
| Net interest income | 172,675 | 172,860 | 344,604 | 345,615 |
| Provision for loan losses | 15,000 | 1,000 | 23,000 | 2,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 157,675 | 171,860 | 321,604 | 343,615 |
| Noninterest income: | | | | |
| Capital markets | 74,913 | 77,921 | 181,656 | 167,978 |
| Mortgage banking | 9,889 | 32,101 | 33,230 | 59,827 |
| Deposit transactions and cash management | 30,123 | 34,726 | 58,864 | 67,005 |
| Trust services and investment management | 6,477 | 6,684 | 12,285 | 13,044 |
| Brokerage management fees and commissions | 8,759 | 7,662 | 17,255 | 15,817 |
| Insurance commissions | 830 | 764 | 1,398 | 1,453 |
| Debt securities gains/(losses), net | | 1 | 328 | 772 |
| Equity securities gains/(losses), net | 5,065 | | 5,065 | 27 |
| Gain on divestiture | | | 200 | |
| All other income and commissions (Note 7) | 22,851 | 27,734 | 51,067 | 58,006 |
| Total noninterest income | 158,907 | 187,593 | 361,348 | 383,929 |
| Adjusted gross income after provision for loan losses | 316,582 | 359,453 | 682,952 | 727,544 |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | |
| Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits | 149,616 | 151,160 | 325,074 | 307,672 |
| Repurchase and foreclosure provision | 250,000 | 24,563 | 299,256 | 61,767 |
| Legal and professional fees | 8,417 | 20,451 | 14,484 | 38,803 |
| Contract employment and outsourcing | 10,844 | 8,142 | 21,959 | 15,030 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Occupancy | 11,486 | 13,061 | 23,605 | 27,922 |
| Operations services | 9,477 | 13,907 | 18,604 | 27,768 |
| Equipment rentals, depreciation, and maintenance | 7,789 | 8,481 | 15,405 | 16,371 |
| Computer software | 9,960 | 8,375 | 19,425 | 16,460 |
| FDIC premium expense | 6,801 | 8,839 | 13,137 | 16,894 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 1,908 | 5,803 | 6,078 | 12,592 |
| Communications and courier | 4,484 | 5,069 | 8,983 | 10,288 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 979 | 1,006 | 1,952 | 2,012 |
| Miscellaneous loan costs | 1,298 | 859 | 2,625 | 2,351 |
| All other expense (Note 7) | 54,118 | 74,739 | 78,584 | 102,322 |
| Total noninterest expense | 527,177 | 344,455 | 849,171 | 658,252 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | (210,595) | 14,998 | (166,219) | 69,292 |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (88,178) | (4,167) | (77,608) | 7,995 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | (122,417) | 19,165 | (88,611) | 61,297 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax (a) | 487 | 3,671 | 52 | 4,541 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (121,930) | \$ 22,836 | \$ (88,559) | \$ 65,838 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 5,688 |
| Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders | \$ (124,774) | \$ 19,992 | \$ (94,247) | \$ 60,150 |
| Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 8) | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.06 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.21 |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations (Note 8) | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.06 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.21 |
| Basic earnings/(loss) per share available to common shareholders (Note 8) | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.23 |
| Diluted earnings/(loss) per share available to common shareholders (Note 8) | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.23 |
| Weighted average common shares (Note 8) | 249,104 | 261,289 | 251,317 | 261,233 |
| Diluted average common shares (Note 8) | 249,104 | 262,756 | 251,317 | 263,690 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Due to the nature of the subsidiary preferred stock issued by First Horizon Preferred Funding, LLC, First Horizon Preferred Funding II, LLC, and FTBNA, all components of Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax have been attributed solely to FHN as the controlling interest holder.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)(Unaudited)</i> | First Horizon National Corporation | | | |
|--|---|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (121,930) | \$ 22,836 | \$ (88,559) | \$ 65,838 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax: | | | | |
| Unrealized fair value adjustments: | | | | |
| Securities available for sale | (3,397) | 18,730 | (3,390) | 12,702 |
| Recognized pension and other employee benefit plans net periodic benefit costs | 5,428 | 3,140 | 10,964 | 6,336 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss) | 2,031 | 21,870 | 7,574 | 19,038 |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | (119,899) | 44,706 | (80,985) | 84,876 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 5,688 |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to controlling interest | \$ (122,743) | \$ 41,862 | \$ (86,673) | \$ 79,188 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands except per share data)(Unaudited)</i> | First Horizon National Corporation | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
| | Controlling Interest | Noncontrolling Interest | Total | Controlling Interest | Noncontrolling Interest | Total |
| Balance, January 1 | \$ 2,389,472 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 2,684,637 | \$ 2,382,840 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 2,678,005 |
| Net income/(loss) | (94,247) | 5,688 | (88,559) | 60,150 | 5,688 | 65,838 |
| Other comprehensive income/(loss) (a) | 7,574 | | 7,574 | 19,038 | | 19,038 |
| Comprehensive income/(loss) | (86,673) | 5,688 | (80,985) | 79,188 | 5,688 | 84,876 |
| Common stock warrant repurchased CPP | | | | (79,700) | | (79,700) |
| Common stock repurchased (b) | (83,946) | | (83,946) | (887) | | (887) |
| Cash dividends declared (\$.01/share) | (4,961) | | (4,961) | (5,151) | | (5,151) |
| Common stock issued for: | | | | | | |
| Stock options and restricted stock - equity awards | 8 | | 8 | | | |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 8,084 | | 8,084 | 5,147 | | 5,147 |
| Dividends declared noncontrolling interest of subsidiary preferred stock | | (5,688) | (5,688) | | (5,688) | (5,688) |
| Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans | (2,743) | | (2,743) | | | |
| Other changes in equity | | | | 4,780 | | 4,780 |
| Balance, June 30 | \$ 2,219,241 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 2,514,406 | \$ 2,386,217 | \$ 295,165 | \$ 2,681,382 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

- (a) Due to the nature of the subsidiary preferred stock issued by First Horizon Preferred Funding, LLC, First Horizon Preferred Funding II, LLC, and FTBNA, all components of Other comprehensive income/(loss) have been attributed solely to FHN as the controlling interest holder.
- (b) 2012 includes \$81.4 million repurchased under the share repurchase program launched in fourth quarter 2011.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands) (Unaudited)</i> | First Horizon National Corporation | |
|---|---|------------------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating Activities | | |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (88,559) | \$ 65,838 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided/(used) by operating activities: | | |
| Provision for loan losses | 23,000 | 2,000 |
| Provision/(benefit) for deferred income tax | (78,906) | 27,290 |
| Depreciation and amortization of premises and equipment | 17,395 | 17,166 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 1,952 | 2,368 |
| Net other amortization and accretion | 39,213 | 25,021 |
| Net (increase)/decrease in derivatives | (5,504) | (126) |
| Market value adjustment on mortgage servicing rights | 2,443 | 7,359 |
| Repurchase and foreclosure provision | 299,256 | 61,767 |
| Fair value adjustment to foreclosed real estate | 9,392 | 9,651 |
| Goodwill impairment | | 10,100 |
| Loss accruals from litigation and regulatory matters | 22,253 | 40,585 |
| (Gains)/losses on divestitures (a) | (485) | (753) |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 8,084 | 5,147 |
| Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans | 2,743 | |
| Equity securities (gains)/losses, net | (5,065) | (27) |
| Debt securities gains, net | (328) | (772) |
| Gains on extinguishment of debt | | (5,761) |
| Net (gain)/losses on sale/disposal of fixed assets | (1,466) | 152 |
| Net (increase)/decrease in: | | |
| Trading securities | (378,719) | (430,692) |
| Loans held-for-sale | (10,154) | (22,642) |
| Capital markets receivables | (212,509) | (479,152) |
| Interest receivable | 2,828 | 3,305 |
| Other assets | 9,216 | (42,243) |
| Net increase/(decrease) in: | | |
| Capital markets payables | 38,840 | 399,487 |
| Interest payable | (572) | (4,712) |
| Other liabilities | (128,945) | (124,797) |
| Trading liabilities | 123,346 | 136,995 |
| Total adjustments | (222,692) | (363,284) |
| Net cash provided/(used) by operating activities | (311,251) | (297,446) |
| Investing Activities | | |
| Available-for-sale securities: | | |
| Sales | 47,493 | 458,414 |
| Maturities | 466,773 | 410,961 |
| Purchases | (718,178) | (1,049,026) |
| Premises and equipment: | | |
| Purchases | (8,565) | (25,543) |
| Net (increase)/decrease in: | | |
| Loans | 127,933 | 596,708 |
| Interests retained from securitizations classified as trading securities | 5,219 | 4,062 |
| Interest-bearing cash | (31,574) | 254,298 |
| Cash receipts related to divestitures | 2,278 | 16,368 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Net cash provided/(used) by investing activities | (108,621) | 666,242 |
| Financing Activities | | |
| Common stock: | | |
| Cash dividends paid | (5,137) | (2,712) |
| Repurchase of shares (b) | (83,946) | (887) |
| Repurchase of common stock warrant - CPP | | (79,700) |
| Tax benefit reversals stock-based compensation plans | (2,743) | |
| Stock option exercised | 8 | |
| Cash dividends paid - preferred stock - noncontrolling interest | (5,688) | (5,556) |
| Term borrowings: | | |
| Payments/maturities | (176,948) | (625,203) |
| Increases in restricted term borrowings | 2,505 | 1,850 |
| Net cash paid for extinguishment of debt | | (100,000) |
| Net increase/(decrease) in: | | |
| Deposits | (95,566) | 687,796 |
| Short-term borrowings | 815,567 | (101,742) |
| Net cash provided/(used) by financing activities | 448,052 | (226,154) |
| Net increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 28,180 | 142,642 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 828,255 | 768,774 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 856,435 | \$ 911,416 |
| Supplemental Disclosures | | |
| Total interest paid | \$ 57,915 | \$ 73,144 |
| Total taxes paid | 34,330 | 12,493 |
| Total taxes refunded | 637 | 52 |
| Transfer from loans to other real estate owned | 18,336 | 33,118 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Net of tax, gains on divestitures are \$4.2 million for 2011.
- (b) 2012 includes \$81.4 million repurchased under the share repurchase program launched in fourth quarter 2011.

Table of Contents**Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements****Note 1 Financial Information**

Basis of Accounting. The unaudited interim consolidated condensed financial statements of First Horizon National Corporation (FHN), including its subsidiaries, have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. This preparation requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates and assumptions are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements and could differ from actual results. In the opinion of management, all necessary adjustments have been made for a fair presentation of financial position and results of operations for the periods presented. These adjustments are of a normal recurring nature unless otherwise disclosed in this filing. The operating results for the interim 2012 periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected going forward. For further information, refer to the audited consolidated financial statements in the 2011 Annual Report to shareholders.

Summary of Accounting Changes. Effective January 1, 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment (ASU 2011-08). ASU 2011-08 provides that an entity may first perform a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, including goodwill, when determining whether it is necessary to perform the current two-step goodwill impairment test discussed in FASB Accounting Standards Codification 350, Intangibles Goodwill and Other (ASC 350). Thus, if an entity concludes from its qualitative assessment that it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, it must perform the two-step test. ASU 2011-08 provides examples of events and circumstances that should be considered in an evaluation of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of an entity's reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. The new qualitative indicators replace the guidance previously provided in ASC 350 which is used to determine whether an interim goodwill impairment test is required, and is applicable for assessing whether to perform Step 2 of the goodwill impairment test for reporting units with zero or negative carrying amounts. Under the provisions of ASU 2011-08, entities will be allowed, on the basis of their discretion, to bypass the qualitative assessment for any reporting unit in any period and proceed directly to performing the first step of the two-step goodwill impairment test, and will be able to resume performing the qualitative assessment in any subsequent period. ASU 2011-08 removes the current alternative in ASC 350 which allows for the carryforward of the detailed calculation of the fair value of a reporting unit from one year to the next if certain conditions are met. The provisions of ASU 2011-08 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of the provisions of ASU 2011-08 had no effect on FHN's statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Effective January 1, 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income (ASU 2011-05). ASU 2011-05 requires that net income and other comprehensive income be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. ASU 2011-05 also provides that regardless of the method used to present comprehensive income, presentation is required on the face of the financial statements of reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income. ASU 2011-05 does not change the current option for entities to present components of other comprehensive income gross or net of the effect of income taxes, provided that such tax effects are presented in the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. The provisions of ASU 2011-05 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application to all periods presented in the financial statements required. No transition disclosures are required upon adoption. For interim reporting periods, filers are only required to present total comprehensive income in a single continuous statement or in two consecutive statements. On December 23, 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, which indefinitely defers the provisions of ASU 2011-05 that require entities to present reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component in both the statement in which net income is presented and the statement in which other comprehensive income is presented (for both interim and annual financial statements). Upon adoption of the provisions of ASU 2011-05 and ASU 2011-12 on January 1, 2012, FHN revised its financial statements and disclosures accordingly.

Effective January 1, 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-04, Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs (ASU 2011-04). ASU 2011-04 provides that the highest-and-best use and valuation-premise concepts included in ASC 820 are only relevant when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets, thereby prohibiting the grouping of financial instruments for purposes of determining their fair values when the unit of account is specified in other guidance. However, under ASU 2011-04 an exception is permitted which allows an entity to measure the fair value of financial instruments that are managed on the basis of the entity's net exposure to a particular market risk, or to the credit risk of a particular counterparty, on a net basis when certain criteria are met. Such criteria include that there is evidence that the entity manages its financial instruments in that way, the entity applies such accounting policy election consistently from period to period, and the entity is required or has elected to measure those financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value in the statement of financial position at the end of each reporting period. Additionally, to qualify for the exception to the valuation premise, market risks that are being offset must be substantially the same. ASU 2011-04 also extends ASC 820's prohibition on the use of blockage factors in fair value measurements to all three levels of the fair value hierarchy except for fair value measurements of Level 2 and 3 measurements when market participants would incorporate the premium or discount into the measurement at the

level of the unit of

Table of Contents**Note 1 Financial Information (Continued)**

account specified in other guidance. ASU 2011-04 also provides that an entity should measure the fair value of its own equity instruments from the perspective of a market participant that holds the instruments as assets. Under ASC 820, as amended, expanded disclosures are required including disclosure of quantitative information about significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements, a description of the valuation processes used by the entity, and a qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of recurring Level 3 measurements. Additional disclosures required under ASU 2011-04 include disclosure of fair value by level for each class of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value but for which fair value is disclosed, and disclosure of any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy and the reasons for those transfers. The provisions of ASU 2011-04 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with disclosure of the change, if any, in valuation technique and related inputs resulting from application of the amendments to ASC 820 required upon adoption, along with quantification of the total effect of the change, if practicable. Upon adoption of the provisions of ASU 2011-04, FHN revised its disclosures accordingly. Adoption of ASU 2011-04 had no effect on FHN's statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Effective January 1, 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-03, *Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements* (ASU 2011-03). For entities that enter into agreements to transfer financial assets that both entitle and obligate the transferor to repurchase or redeem the financial assets before their maturity, ASU 2011-03 removes from the assessment of effective control under ASC 860, *Transfers and Servicing*, the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee, as well as the collateral maintenance implementation guidance related to that criterion. Under ASC 860-10, as amended, the remaining criteria related to whether effective control over transferred financial assets has been maintained would still need to be evaluated, including whether the financial assets to be repurchased or redeemed are the same or substantially the same as those transferred, the agreement is to repurchase or redeem them before maturity at a fixed or determinable price, and whether the agreement is entered into contemporaneously with, or in contemplation of, the transfer. The provisions of ASU 2011-03 are effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with prospective application to transactions or modifications of existing transactions that occur on or after the effective date. Since FHN accounts for all of its repurchase agreements as secured borrowings, adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-03 did not have an effect on FHN's statement of condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Accounting Changes Issued but Not Currently Effective. In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-11, *Balance Sheet: Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities* (ASU 2011-11). ASU 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity's rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. ASU 2011-11 requires entities to disclose both gross and net information about both instruments/transactions eligible for offset in the balance sheet and instruments/transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The scope of ASU 2011-11 includes derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements/reverse sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements. The provisions of ASU 2011-11 are effective for periods beginning after January 1, 2013, with retrospective application to all periods presented in the financial statements required. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-11.

Table of Contents

Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures

In 2011, FHN sold First Horizon Insurance, Inc. (FHI), the former subsidiary of First Tennessee Bank, a property and casualty insurance agency that served customers in over 40 states, Highland Capital Management Corporation (Highland), the former subsidiary of First Horizon National Corporation which provided asset management services, and First Horizon Msaver, Inc. (Msaver), the former subsidiary of First Tennessee Bank which provided administrative services for health savings accounts. FHN recognized \$4.2 million combined after-tax gains on the sales of FHI and Highland and a \$5.7 million after-tax gain related to the sale of Msaver. Additionally, in connection with the agreement to sell FHI, FHN incurred a pre-tax goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million which was more than offset by \$11.1 million of tax benefits recognized in first quarter 2011 related to the sale. The sales of FHI and Highland closed in second quarter 2011 and the sale of Msaver closed in third quarter 2011. The financial results of these businesses, the goodwill impairment, the gains on sales, and associated tax effects are reflected in the Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented.

In addition to the divestitures mentioned above, FHN acquires or divests assets from time to time in transactions that are considered business combinations or divestitures but are not material to FHN individually or in the aggregate.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Investment Securities**

The following tables summarize FHN's available for sale (AFS) securities on June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Amortized Cost | On June 30, 2012 | | Fair Value |
|---|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ 39,993 | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ 39,996 |
| Government agency issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS) | 1,306,114 | 77,610 | | 1,383,724 |
| Government agency issued collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO) | 1,563,120 | 27,623 | (1,367) | 1,589,376 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | 12,815 | 338 | | 13,153 |
| States and municipalities | 17,970 | | | 17,970 |
| Equity (a) | 220,124 | 2 | | 220,126 |
| Other | 510 | 11 | | 521 |
| Total securities available for sale (b) | \$ 3,160,646 | \$ 105,587 | \$ (1,367) | \$ 3,264,866 |

- (a) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$125.5 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments.
- (b) Includes \$3.0 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes. Of this amount, \$.5 billion was pledged as collateral for securities sold under repurchase agreements.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Amortized Cost | June 30, 2011 | | Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| | | Gross Unrealized Gains | Gross Unrealized Losses | |
| Securities available for sale: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ 46,073 | \$ 177 | \$ | \$ 46,250 |
| Government agency issued MBS | 1,445,021 | 56,746 | | 1,501,767 |
| Government agency issued CMO | 1,386,962 | 36,932 | | 1,423,894 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | 18,791 | 1,136 | | 19,927 |
| States and municipalities | 19,365 | | | 19,365 |
| Equity (a) | 218,715 | 19 | | 218,734 |
| Other | 511 | 29 | | 540 |
| Total securities available for sale (b) | \$ 3,135,438 | \$ 95,039 | \$ | \$ 3,230,477 |

- (a) Includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$125.5 million and FRB stock of \$66.1 million. The remainder is money market, venture capital, and cost method investments.
- (b) Includes \$2.9 billion of securities pledged to secure public deposits, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, and for other purposes. Of this amount, \$1.1 billion was pledged as collateral for securities sold under repurchase agreements.

National banks chartered by the federal government are, by law, members of the Federal Reserve System. Each member bank is required to own stock in its regional Federal Reserve Bank (FRB). Given this requirement, FRB stock may not be sold, traded, or pledged as collateral for loans. Membership in the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) network requires ownership of capital stock. Member banks are entitled to borrow funds from the FHLB and are required to pledge mortgage loans as collateral. Investments in the FHLB are non-transferable and, generally, membership is maintained primarily to provide a source of liquidity as needed.

Table of Contents**Note 3 Investment Securities (Continued)**

The amortized cost and fair value by contractual maturity for the available for sale securities portfolio on June 30, 2012 are provided below:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Available for Sale | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
| Within 1 year | \$ 48,467 | \$ 48,488 |
| After 1 year; within 5 years | 5,841 | 6,161 |
| After 5 years; within 10 years | | |
| After 10 years | 16,470 | 16,470 |
| Subtotal | 70,778 | 71,119 |
| Government agency issued MBS and CMO | 2,869,234 | 2,973,100 |
| Equity and other securities | 220,634 | 220,647 |
| Total | \$ 3,160,646 | \$ 3,264,866 |

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The table below provides information on gross realized gains and gross realized losses from investment securities for the three and six months ended June 30:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross gains on sales of securities | \$ 5,105 | \$ 1 | \$ 5,433 | \$ 9,422 |
| Gross (losses) on sales of securities | | | | (8,623) |
| Net gain/(loss) on sales of securities (a) | \$ 5,105 | \$ 1 | \$ 5,433 | \$ 799 |
| Venture capital investments (b) | | | | |
| Net other than temporary impairment (OTTI) recorded | (40) | | (40) | |
| Total securities gain/(loss), net | \$ 5,065 | \$ 1 | \$ 5,393 | \$ 799 |

(a) Proceeds from sales for the three months ended June 30, 2012 were \$8.4 million, and were \$47.5 million and \$458.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Proceeds from sales during second quarter 2011 were immaterial.

(b) Generally includes write-offs and/or unrealized fair value adjustments related to venture capital investments.

There were no unrealized losses within the available for sale portfolio on June 30, 2011. The following table provides information on investments within the available for sale portfolio that had unrealized losses on June 30, 2012:

| | On June 30, 2012 | | Total |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | Less than 12 months | 12 months or longer | |
| | | | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

(Dollars in thousands)

| | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Government agency issued CMO | \$ 235,282 | \$ (1,367) | \$ | \$ | \$ 235,282 | \$ (1,367) |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 235,282 | \$ (1,367) | \$ | \$ | \$ 235,282 | \$ (1,367) |

FHN has reviewed investment securities that were in unrealized loss positions in accordance with its accounting policy for OTTI and does not consider them other-than-temporarily impaired. For debt securities with unrealized losses, FHN does not intend to sell them and it is more-likely-than-not that FHN will not be required to sell them prior to recovery. The decline in value is primarily attributable to interest rates and not credit losses. For equity securities, FHN has both the ability and intent to hold these securities for the time necessary to recover the amortized cost.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans**

The following table provides the balance of loans by portfolio segment as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 2012 | June 30 2011 | December 31 2011 |
|---|----------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Commercial: | | | |
| Commercial, financial, and industrial | \$ 7,981,365 | \$ 7,180,261 | \$ 8,014,927 |
| Commercial real estate | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,224,944 | 1,310,779 | 1,257,497 |
| Residential CRE | 89,225 | 182,857 | 120,913 |
| Retail: | | | |
| Consumer real estate | 5,408,105 | 5,383,223 | 5,291,364 |
| Permanent mortgage | 738,766 | 1,015,122 | 787,597 |
| Credit card & other | 278,958 | 295,373 | 284,051 |
| Restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings (a) | 464,400 | 694,031 | 640,778 |
| Loans, net of unearned income | \$ 16,185,763 | \$ 16,061,646 | \$ 16,397,127 |
| Allowance for loan losses | 321,051 | 524,091 | 384,351 |
| Total net loans | \$ 15,864,712 | \$ 15,537,555 | \$ 16,012,776 |

(a) Balances as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011, include \$447.5 million, \$649.2 million, and \$600.2 million of consumer real estate loans and \$16.9 million, \$44.9 million, and \$40.6 million of permanent mortgage loans, respectively.

Components of the Loan Portfolio

For purposes of this disclosure, the loan portfolio was disaggregated into segments and then further disaggregated into classes for certain disclosures. A portfolio segment is defined as the level at which an entity develops and documents a systematic method for determining its allowance for credit losses. A class is generally determined based on the initial measurement attribute (i.e., amortized cost or purchased credit impaired), risk characteristics of the loan, and an entity's method for monitoring and assessing credit risk. Commercial loan portfolio segments include commercial, financial, and industrial (C&I) and commercial real estate (CRE). Commercial classes within C&I include general C&I, loans to mortgage companies, and the trust preferred loans (TRUPs) (i.e., loans to bank and insurance-related businesses) portfolio. Loans to mortgage companies includes commercial lines of credit to qualified mortgage companies exclusively for the temporary warehousing of eligible mortgage loans prior to the borrower's sale of those mortgage loans to third party investors. Commercial classes within commercial real estate include income CRE and residential CRE. Retail loan portfolio segments include consumer real estate, permanent mortgage, and the credit card and other portfolio. Retail classes include home equity lines of credit (HELOC) and real estate (R/E) installment loans within the consumer real estate segment, permanent mortgage (which is both a segment and a class), and credit card and other. Restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings include residential real estate loans in both consolidated and nonconsolidated variable interest entities. Restricted real estate loans relate to consolidated securitization trusts and are discussed in Note 13 Variable Interest Entities. Other real estate loans secure borrowings related to nonconsolidated VIEs and remain on FHN's balance sheet as the securitizations do not qualify for sale treatment.

Concentrations

FHN has a concentration of loans secured by residential real estate (41 percent of total loans), the majority of which is in the consumer real estate portfolio (33 percent of total loans). Additionally, on June 30, 2012, FHN had a sizeable portfolio of bank-related loans (including TRUPs) totaling \$.6 billion (7 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 4 percent of total loans). Additionally, FHN had loans to mortgage companies totaling \$1.3 billion (16 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 8 percent of total loans) as of June 30, 2012.

Allowance for Loan Losses

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

The allowance for loan losses (ALLL) includes the following components: reserves for commercial loans evaluated based on pools of credit graded loans and reserves for pools of smaller-balance homogeneous retail loans, both determined in accordance with the ASC Topic related to Contingencies (ASC 450-20-50). The reserve factors applied to these pools are an estimate of probable incurred losses based on management's evaluation of historical net losses from loans with similar characteristics and are subject to adjustment by management to reflect current events, trends, and conditions (including economic considerations and trends). The slow economic recovery, weak housing market, elevated unemployment levels, and both positive and negative portfolio segment-specific trends, are examples of additional factors considered by management in determining the allowance for loan losses. Also included are reserves, determined in accordance with the Receivables Topic (ASC 310-10-45), for loans determined by management to be individually impaired.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)****Commercial**

For commercial loans, reserves are established using historical net loss factors by grade level, loan product, and business segment. An assessment of the quality of individual commercial loans is made utilizing credit grades assigned internally based on a dual grading system which estimates both the probability of default (PD) and loss severity in the event of default. PD grades range from 1-16 while estimated loss severities, or loss given default (LGD) grades, range from 1-12. This credit grading system is intended to identify and measure the credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the migration of loans between grading categories. It is also integral to the estimation methodology utilized in determining the allowance for loan losses since an allowance is established for pools of commercial loans based on the credit grade assigned. The appropriate relationship team performs the process of categorizing commercial loans into the appropriate credit grades, initially as a component of the approval of the loan, and subsequently throughout the life of the loan as part of the servicing regimen. The proper loan grade for larger exposures is confirmed by a senior credit officer in the approval process. To determine the most appropriate credit grade for each loan, the credit risk grading system employs scorecards for particular categories of loans that consist of a number of objective and subjective measures that are weighted in a manner that produces a rank ordering of risk within pass-graded credits. Loan grading discipline is regularly reviewed by Credit Risk Assurance to determine if the process continues to result in accurate loan grading across the portfolio.

FHN may utilize availability of guarantors/sponsors to support lending decisions during the credit underwriting process and when determining the assignment of internal loan grades. Where guarantor contributions are determined to be a source of repayment, an assessment of the guarantee is made. This guarantee assessment would include but not be limited to factors such as type and feature of the guarantee, consideration for the guarantee, key provisions of the guarantee agreement, and ability of the guarantor to be a viable secondary source of repayment. Reliance on the guarantee as a viable secondary source of repayment is a function of an analysis proving capability to pay, factoring in, among other things, liquidity and direct/indirect debt cash flows. Therefore, a proper evaluation of each guarantor is critical. FHN establishes a guarantor's ability (financial wherewithal) to support a credit based on an analysis of recent information on the guarantor's financial condition. This would generally include income and asset information from sources such as recent tax returns, credit reports, and personal financial statements. In analyzing this information FHN seeks to assess a combination of liquidity, global cash flow, cash burn rate, and contingent liabilities to demonstrate the guarantor's capacity to sustain support for the credit and fulfill the obligation. FHN also considers the volume and amount of guarantees provided for all global indebtedness and the likelihood of realization. Guarantor financial information is periodically updated throughout the life of the loan. FHN presumes a guarantor's willingness to perform until financial support becomes necessary or if there is any current or prior indication or future expectation that the guarantor may not willingly and voluntarily perform under the terms of the guarantee. In FHN's risk grading approach, it is deemed that financial support becomes necessary generally at a point when the loan would otherwise be graded substandard, reflecting a well-defined weakness. At that point, provided willingness and capacity to support are appropriately demonstrated, a strong, legally enforceable guarantee can mitigate the risk of default or loss, justify a less severe rating, and consequently reduce the level of allowance or charge-off that might otherwise be deemed appropriate. FHN establishes guarantor willingness to support the credit through documented evidence of previous and ongoing support of the credit. Previous performance under a guarantor's obligation to pay is not considered if the performance was involuntary.

Retail

The ALLL for smaller-balance homogenous retail loans is determined based on pools of similar loan types that have similar credit risk characteristics. FHN manages retail loan credit risk on a class basis. Reserves by portfolio are determined using segmented roll-rate models that incorporate various factors including historical delinquency trends, experienced loss frequencies, and experienced loss severities. Generally, reserves for retail loans reflect inherent losses in the portfolio that are expected to be recognized over the following twelve months.

Individually Impaired

Generally, classified nonaccrual commercial loans over \$1 million and all commercial and consumer loans classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) are deemed to be impaired and are individually assessed for impairment measurement in accordance with ASC 310-10. For all commercial portfolio segments, commercial TDRs and other individually impaired commercial loans are measured based on the present value of expected future payments discounted at the loan's effective interest rate (the DCF method), observable market prices, or for loans that are solely dependent on the collateral for repayment, the estimated fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to sell (net realizable value). For loans measured using the DCF method or by observable market prices, if the recorded investment in the impaired loan exceeds this amount, a specific allowance is established as a component of the ALLL until such time as a loss is expected and recognized; however, for impaired collateral-dependent loans, FHN will charge off the full difference between the book value and the best estimate of net realizable value. Beginning in first quarter 2012, the allowance for TDRs in all consumer portfolio segments was determined by estimating the expected

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

future cash flows using the modified interest rate (if an interest rate concession), incorporating payoff and net charge-off rates specific to the TDRs within the portfolio segment

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

being assessed, and discounted using the pre-modification interest rate. Generally, the discount rates of variable rate TDRs are adjusted to reflect changes in the interest rate index in which the rates are tied. The discounted cash flows are then compared to the outstanding principal balance in order to determine required reserves.

The following table provides a rollforward of the allowance for loan losses by portfolio segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | C&I | Commercial Real Estate | Consumer Real Estate | Permanent Mortgage | Credit Card and Other | Total |
|---|------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Balance as of April 1, 2011 | \$ 220,600 | \$ 123,480 | \$ 179,394 | \$ 55,640 | \$ 10,014 | \$ 589,128 |
| Charge-offs | (12,793) | (9,426) | (44,526) | (11,367) | (5,232) | (83,344) |
| Recoveries | 6,881 | 1,752 | 4,654 | 2,984 | 1,036 | 17,307 |
| Provision | (8,410) | (16,339) | 23,133 | (655) | 3,271 | 1,000 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2011 (a) (b) | 206,278 | 99,467 | 162,655 | 46,602 | 9,089 | 524,091 |
| Balance as of January 1, 2011 | 239,469 | 155,085 | 192,350 | 65,009 | 12,886 | 664,799 |
| Charge-offs | (24,852) | (23,711) | (91,764) | (20,784) | (9,584) | (170,695) |
| Recoveries | 8,837 | 5,066 | 8,436 | 3,534 | 2,114 | 27,987 |
| Provision | (17,176) | (36,973) | 53,633 | (1,157) | 3,673 | 2,000 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2011 (a) (b) | 206,278 | 99,467 | 162,655 | 46,602 | 9,089 | 524,091 |
| Allowance individually evaluated for impairment | 50,673 | 9,797 | 23,656 | 14,586 | 752 | 99,464 |
| Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment | 155,605 | 89,670 | 138,999 | 32,016 | 8,337 | 424,627 |
| Loans, net of unearned as of June 30, 2011: | | | | | | |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 215,904 | 174,379 | 88,088 | 115,008 | 1,186 | 594,565 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 6,964,357 | 1,319,257 | 5,944,313 | 944,967 | 294,187 | 15,467,081 |
| Total loans, net of unearned (a) (b) | 7,180,261 | 1,493,636 | 6,032,401 | 1,059,975 | 295,373 | 16,061,646 |
| Balance as of April 1, 2012 | 119,578 | 46,049 | 141,647 | 32,572 | 6,170 | 346,016 |
| Charge-offs | (10,159) | (4,002) | (29,136) | (3,071) | (3,360) | (49,728) |
| Recoveries | 2,162 | 1,043 | 5,175 | 648 | 735 | 9,763 |
| Provision | (936) | (1,544) | 15,735 | (1,037) | 2,782 | 15,000 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 (a) (b) | 110,645 | 41,546 | 133,421 | 29,112 | 6,327 | 321,051 |
| Balance as of January 1, 2012 | 130,413 | 55,586 | 165,077 | 26,194 | 7,081 | 384,351 |
| Charge-offs | (16,233) | (13,621) | (63,269) | (7,709) | (5,979) | (106,811) |
| Recoveries | 6,676 | 1,539 | 9,314 | 1,171 | 1,811 | 20,511 |
| Provision | (10,211) | (1,958) | 22,299 | 9,456 | 3,414 | 23,000 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 (a) (b) | 110,645 | 41,546 | 133,421 | 29,112 | 6,327 | 321,051 |
| Allowance individually evaluated for impairment | 31,458 | 7,707 | 32,688 | 24,131 | 221 | 96,205 |
| Allowance collectively evaluated for impairment | 79,187 | 33,839 | 100,733 | 4,981 | 6,106 | 224,846 |
| Loans, net of unearned as of June 30, 2012: | | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 155,863 | 92,645 | 125,040 | 119,537 | 933 | 494,018 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 7,825,502 | 1,221,524 | 5,730,524 | 636,170 | 278,025 | 15,691,745 |
| Total loans, net of unearned (a) (b) | \$ 7,981,365 | \$ 1,314,169 | \$ 5,855,564 | \$ 755,707 | \$ 278,958 | \$ 16,185,763 |

- (a) Balances as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 include \$19.0 million and \$29.9 million of reserves, respectively, and \$447.5 million and \$649.2 million of balances in restricted consumer real estate loans and secured borrowings, respectively.
- (b) Balances as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 include \$1.3 million and \$3.1 million of reserves, respectively, and \$16.9 million and \$44.9 million of balances in restricted permanent mortgage loans and secured borrowings, respectively.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)****Impaired Loans**

The following tables provide information by class related to individually impaired loans. Recorded investment is defined as the amount of the investment in a loan, before valuation allowance but which does not reflect any direct write-down of the investment. For purposes of this disclosure, LOCOM has been excluded.

| | June 30, 2012 | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 62,122 | \$ 81,423 | \$ | \$ 62,858 | \$ 204 | \$ 68,551 | \$ 407 |
| TRUPs | 47,000 | 47,000 | | 47,000 | | 47,000 | |
| Income CRE | 51,375 | 92,153 | | 57,783 | 76 | 59,514 | 153 |
| Residential CRE | 22,341 | 39,993 | | 23,276 | 62 | 23,316 | 134 |
| Total | \$ 182,838 | \$ 260,569 | \$ | \$ 190,917 | \$ 342 | \$ 198,381 | \$ 694 |
| Impaired loans with related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 18,948 | \$ 18,948 | \$ 7,629 | \$ 15,889 | \$ 33 | \$ 16,696 | \$ 67 |
| TRUPs | 33,700 | 33,700 | 23,829 | 33,700 | | 33,700 | |
| Income CRE | 1,529 | 1,577 | 189 | 1,869 | 14 | 1,876 | 29 |
| Residential CRE | 17,400 | 17,400 | 7,518 | 18,457 | | 19,276 | |
| Total | \$ 71,577 | \$ 71,625 | \$ 39,165 | \$ 69,915 | \$ 47 | \$ 71,548 | \$ 96 |
| Retail: | | | | | | | |
| HELOC | \$ 57,175 | \$ 57,175 | \$ 13,903 | \$ 54,793 | \$ 411 | \$ 53,547 | \$ 784 |
| R/E installment loans | 67,865 | 67,865 | 18,785 | 66,505 | 276 | 69,036 | 541 |
| Permanent mortgage | 119,537 | 119,537 | 24,131 | 110,785 | 734 | 96,300 | 1,390 |
| Credit card & other | 933 | 933 | 221 | 980 | 10 | 1,025 | 21 |
| Total | \$ 245,510 | \$ 245,510 | \$ 57,040 | \$ 233,063 | \$ 1,431 | \$ 219,908 | \$ 2,736 |
| Total commercial | \$ 254,415 | \$ 332,194 | \$ 39,165 | \$ 260,832 | \$ 389 | \$ 269,929 | \$ 790 |
| Total retail | \$ 245,510 | \$ 245,510 | \$ 57,040 | \$ 233,063 | \$ 1,431 | \$ 219,908 | \$ 2,736 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 499,925 | \$ 577,704 | \$ 96,205 | \$ 493,895 | \$ 1,820 | \$ 489,837 | \$ 3,526 |

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

| | June 30, 2011 | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Recorded Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized | Average Recorded Investment | Interest Income Recognized |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | | | |
| Impaired loans with no related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 91,940 | \$ 117,491 | \$ | \$ 91,082 | \$ 232 | \$ 66,902 | \$ 489 |
| TRUPs | 57,000 | 57,000 | | 47,500 | | 42,500 | |
| Income CRE | 96,935 | 167,950 | | 110,102 | 392 | 102,411 | 531 |
| Residential CRE | 42,920 | 86,214 | | 53,571 | 269 | 51,161 | 344 |
| Total | \$ 288,795 | \$ 428,655 | \$ | \$ 302,255 | \$ 893 | \$ 262,974 | \$ 1,364 |
| Impaired loans with related allowance recorded: | | | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 43,956 | \$ 53,258 | \$ 23,980 | \$ 49,949 | \$ 81 | \$ 78,748 | \$ 140 |
| TRUPs | 30,000 | 30,000 | 26,693 | 30,000 | | 30,000 | |
| Income CRE | 12,129 | 12,314 | 3,550 | 11,866 | 11 | 23,335 | 11 |
| Residential CRE | 22,395 | 22,631 | 6,247 | 22,351 | | 31,354 | |
| Total | \$ 108,480 | \$ 118,203 | \$ 60,470 | \$ 114,166 | \$ 92 | \$ 163,437 | \$ 151 |
| Retail: | | | | | | | |
| HELOC | \$ 36,617 | \$ 36,617 | \$ 11,819 | \$ 33,507 | \$ 180 | \$ 30,996 | \$ 321 |
| R/E installment loans | 51,471 | 51,471 | 11,837 | 49,822 | 182 | 47,935 | 344 |
| Permanent mortgage | 115,008 | 115,008 | 14,586 | 109,449 | 434 | 105,886 | 914 |
| Credit card & other | 1,186 | 1,186 | 752 | 1,250 | 12 | 975 | 24 |
| Total | \$ 204,282 | \$ 204,282 | \$ 38,994 | \$ 194,028 | \$ 808 | \$ 185,792 | \$ 1,603 |
| Total commercial | \$ 397,275 | \$ 546,858 | \$ 60,470 | \$ 416,421 | \$ 985 | \$ 426,411 | \$ 1,515 |
| Total retail | \$ 204,282 | \$ 204,282 | \$ 38,994 | \$ 194,028 | \$ 808 | \$ 185,792 | \$ 1,603 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 601,557 | \$ 751,140 | \$ 99,464 | \$ 610,449 | \$ 1,793 | \$ 612,203 | \$ 3,118 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Asset Quality Indicators

As previously discussed, FHN employs a dual grade commercial risk grading methodology to assign an estimate for PD and the LGD for each commercial loan, factors specific to various industry, portfolio, or product segments that result in a rank ordering of risk and the assignment of grades PD 1 to PD 16. Each PD grade corresponds to an estimated one-year default probability percentage; a PD 1 has the lowest expected default probability, and probabilities increase as grades progress down the scale. PD 1 through PD 12 are pass grades. Prior to second quarter 2011, all loans with an assigned PD grade of 12 which is the lowest pass grade were included on the Watch List. In second quarter 2011, FHN implemented an enhanced process for determining which loans warrant additional oversight and monitoring. The identification of Watch List loans is now determined by the appropriate relationship team and is generally driven by specific events that may impact borrowers, rather than being driven solely by the assigned PD grade. This process enhancement did not have a material impact on the allowance for loan losses. PD grades 13-16 correspond to the regulatory-defined categories of special mention (13), substandard (14), doubtful (15), and loss (16). Pass loan

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

grades are required to be reassessed no less frequently than annually or whenever there has been a material change in the financial condition of the borrower or risk characteristics of the relationship. All commercial loans over \$1 million and certain commercial loans over \$500,000 that are graded 13 or worse are reassessed on a quarterly basis. LGD grades are assigned based on a scale of 1-12 and represent FHN's expected recovery based on collateral type in the event a loan defaults.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

The following tables provide the balances of commercial loan portfolio classes with associated allowance, disaggregated by PD grade as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2012 | | | | | | | Allowance for Loan Losses |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | General C&I | Loans to Mortgage Companies | TRUPS (a) | Income CRE | Residential CRE | Total | Percent of Total | |
| PD Grade: | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | \$ 203,161 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 203,161 | 2% | \$ 47 |
| 2 | 168,993 | | | 2,574 | | 171,567 | 2 | 83 |
| 3 | 137,717 | | | 12,153 | | 149,870 | 2 | 83 |
| 4 | 248,077 | | | 4,238 | 92 | 252,407 | 3 | 216 |
| 5 | 535,981 | | | 34,141 | 288 | 570,410 | 6 | 1,172 |
| 6 | 817,360 | 130,089 | | 170,330 | 5,182 | 1,122,961 | 12 | 3,531 |
| 7 | 1,003,718 | 541,878 | | 143,177 | 4,847 | 1,693,620 | 18 | 8,688 |
| 8 | 887,169 | 344,980 | | 177,960 | 1,464 | 1,411,573 | 15 | 10,968 |
| 9 | 645,263 | 227,737 | | 168,392 | 1,383 | 1,042,775 | 11 | 10,298 |
| 10 | 524,040 | 29,317 | | 86,247 | 977 | 640,581 | 7 | 7,839 |
| 11 | 455,296 | | | 126,599 | 2,094 | 583,989 | 6 | 11,065 |
| 12 | 154,564 | | | 13,081 | 2,098 | 169,743 | 2 | 2,959 |
| 13 | 156,823 | | 338,180 | 62,625 | 4,380 | 562,008 | 6 | 8,942 |
| 14,15,16 | 275,159 | | | 170,523 | 26,679 | 472,361 | 5 | 47,135 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 6,213,321 | 1,274,001 | 338,180 | 1,172,040 | 49,484 | 9,047,026 | 97 | 113,026 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 81,070 | | 74,793 | 52,904 | 39,741 | 248,508 | 3 | 39,165 |
| Total commercial loans | \$ 6,294,391 | \$ 1,274,001 | \$ 412,973 | \$ 1,224,944 | \$ 89,225 | \$ 9,295,534 | 100% | \$ 152,191 |

| (Dollars in thousands) | June 30, 2011 | | | | | | | Allowance for Loan Losses |
|------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------|------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | General C&I | Loans to Mortgage Companies | TRUPS (a) | Income CRE | Residential CRE | Total | Percent of Total | |
| PD Grade: | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | \$ 111,827 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 111,827 | 1% | \$ 11 |
| 2 | 127,485 | | | 2,666 | | 130,151 | 2 | 36 |
| 3 | 172,584 | | | 13,427 | | 186,011 | 2 | 121 |
| 4 | 188,023 | | | 7,348 | 148 | 195,519 | 2 | 608 |
| 5 | 305,426 | | | 17,254 | 129 | 322,809 | 4 | 1,095 |
| 6 | 814,788 | 62,472 | | 69,419 | 917 | 947,596 | 11 | 6,318 |
| 7 | 767,160 | 179,029 | | 111,342 | 2,588 | 1,060,119 | 12 | 11,301 |
| 8 | 1,155,176 | 255,790 | | 173,455 | 6,464 | 1,590,885 | 18 | 18,549 |
| 9 | 553,646 | 84,316 | | 119,095 | 3,012 | 760,069 | 9 | 18,316 |
| 10 | 443,673 | 264 | | 146,320 | 2,276 | 592,533 | 7 | 13,067 |
| 11 | 540,792 | | | 111,022 | 1,237 | 653,051 | 9 | 19,109 |
| 12 | 101,010 | | | 17,069 | 5,187 | 123,266 | 1 | 4,387 |
| 13 | 333,245 | | 329,473 | 125,393 | 10,136 | 798,247 | 9 | 42,673 |
| 14,15,16 | 417,476 | 656 | 20,046 | 287,906 | 85,447 | 811,531 | 9 | 109,684 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|--------------|------|------------|
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 6,032,311 | 582,527 | 349,519 | 1,201,716 | 117,541 | 8,283,614 | 96 | 245,275 |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | 135,896 | | 80,008 | 109,063 | 65,316 | 390,283 | 4 | 60,470 |
| Total commercial loans | \$ 6,168,207 | \$ 582,527 | \$ 429,527 | \$ 1,310,779 | \$ 182,857 | \$ 8,673,897 | 100% | \$ 305,745 |

(a) Balances as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, presented net of \$34.2 million and \$35.6 million, respectively, LOCOM. Based on the underlying structure of the notes, the highest possible internal grade is 13 .

The retail portfolio is comprised primarily of smaller-balance loans which are very similar in nature in that most are standard products and are backed by residential real estate. Because of the similarities of retail loan-types, FHN is able to utilize the Fair Isaac Corporation (FICO) score, among other attributes, to assess the quality of consumer borrowers. FICO scores are refreshed on a quarterly basis in an attempt to reflect the recent risk profile of the borrowers. Accruing delinquency amounts are indicators of asset quality within the credit card and other retail portfolio.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

The following tables reflect period-end balances and average FICO scores by origination vintage for the HELOC, real estate installment, and permanent mortgage classes of loans as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| HELOC <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | | June 30, 2011 | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | Period End Balance (a) | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO | Period End Balance (a) | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO |
| | | Origination Vintage | | | | |
| pre-2003 | \$ 153,428 | 721 | 715 | \$ 205,846 | 723 | 720 |
| 2003 | 249,536 | 733 | 724 | 297,529 | 733 | 726 |
| 2004 | 546,681 | 728 | 719 | 636,798 | 728 | 720 |
| 2005 | 679,687 | 734 | 720 | 789,493 | 734 | 721 |
| 2006 | 500,840 | 741 | 726 | 592,715 | 742 | 726 |
| 2007 | 521,706 | 746 | 731 | 599,372 | 746 | 732 |
| 2008 | 279,200 | 755 | 749 | 306,902 | 755 | 749 |
| 2009 | 163,683 | 753 | 750 | 191,666 | 755 | 755 |
| 2010 | 159,522 | 754 | 753 | 192,126 | 756 | 758 |
| 2011 | 155,018 | 759 | 757 | 72,312 | 756 | 758 |
| 2012 | 79,029 | 761 | 760 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total | \$ 3,488,330 | 740 | 730 | \$ 3,884,759 | 740 | 730 |

(a) Balances as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 include \$447.5 million and \$649.2 million of restricted loan and secured borrowing balances.

| R/E Installment Loans <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | | June 30, 2011 | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | Period End Balance | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO | Period End Balance | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO |
| | | Origination Vintage | | | | |
| pre-2003 | \$ 46,628 | 688 | 686 | \$ 68,535 | 693 | 689 |
| 2003 | 134,820 | 721 | 731 | 191,650 | 723 | 732 |
| 2004 | 84,741 | 708 | 705 | 112,979 | 712 | 712 |
| 2005 | 239,744 | 719 | 714 | 304,444 | 721 | 716 |
| 2006 | 261,208 | 720 | 706 | 333,664 | 722 | 707 |
| 2007 | 364,488 | 728 | 712 | 464,712 | 731 | 715 |
| 2008 | 131,770 | 729 | 725 | 182,390 | 737 | 733 |
| 2009 | 77,922 | 750 | 749 | 117,535 | 752 | 754 |
| 2010 | 173,949 | 746 | 754 | 211,984 | 748 | 749 |
| 2011 | 449,788 | 761 | 759 | 159,749 | 757 | 755 |
| 2012 | 402,176 | 765 | 763 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total | \$ 2,367,234 | 739 | 733 | \$ 2,147,642 | 731 | 724 |

| Permanent Mortgage <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | | June 30, 2011 | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | Period End Balance | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO | Period End Balance | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| Origination Vintage | Period End Balance (a) | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO | Period End Balance (a) | Avg orig FICO | Avg Refreshed FICO |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| pre-2004 | \$ 140,986 | 724 | 731 | \$ 196,488 | 726 | 732 |
| 2004 | 10,896 | 716 | 692 | 13,822 | 724 | 700 |
| 2005 | 55,985 | 740 | 715 | 70,767 | 740 | 720 |
| 2006 | 102,491 | 735 | 707 | 142,381 | 732 | 686 |
| 2007 | 308,801 | 732 | 703 | 407,145 | 731 | 678 |
| 2008 | 136,548 | 742 | 713 | 229,372 | 736 | 679 |
| Total | \$ 755,707 | 733 | 712 | \$ 1,059,975 | 732 | 691 |

(a) Balances as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 include \$16.9 million and \$44.9 million of restricted loan and secured borrowing balances.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

The following table reflects accruing delinquency amounts for the credit card and other portfolio classes.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Credit Card | | Other | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Accruing delinquent balances: | | | | |
| 30-89 days past due | \$ 1,569 | \$ 1,480 | \$ 369 | \$ 908 |
| 90+ days past due | 1,286 | 1,141 | 339 | 10 |
| Total | \$ 2,855 | \$ 2,621 | \$ 708 | \$ 918 |

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans

For all portfolio segments and classes, loans are placed on nonaccrual status if it becomes evident that full collection of principal and interest is at risk, impairment has been recognized as a partial charge-off of principal balance, or on a case-by-case basis if FHN continues to receive payments, but there are atypical loan structures or other borrower-specific issues. FHN does have a meaningful portion of loans that are classified as nonaccrual but where it continues to receive payments.

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on June 30, 2012:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Current | Accruing | | | Current | Non-Accruing | | | Total Loans |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90+ Days Past Due | Total Accruing | | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90+ Days Past Due | Total Non-Accruing | |
| Commercial (C&I) | | | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 6,189,015 | \$ 21,152 | \$ 1,735 | \$ 6,211,902 | \$ 43,834 | \$ 7,752 | \$ 30,903 | \$ 82,489 | \$ 6,294,391 |
| Loans to mortgage companies | 1,274,001 | | | 1,274,001 | | | | | 1,274,001 |
| TRUPs (a) | 338,180 | | | 338,180 | | | 74,793 | 74,793 | 412,973 |
| Total C&I | 7,801,196 | 21,152 | 1,735 | 7,824,083 | 43,834 | 7,752 | 105,696 | 157,282 | 7,981,365 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,161,209 | 6,508 | | 1,167,717 | 17,449 | 930 | 38,848 | 57,227 | 1,224,944 |
| Residential CRE | 44,413 | 5,973 | | 50,386 | 19,505 | 921 | 18,413 | 38,839 | 89,225 |
| Total commercial real estate | 1,205,622 | 12,481 | | 1,218,103 | 36,954 | 1,851 | 57,261 | 96,066 | 1,314,169 |
| Consumer real estate: | | | | | | | | | |
| HELOC (b) | 3,410,676 | 31,603 | 20,401 | 3,462,680 | 13,852 | 1,906 | 9,892 | 25,650 | 3,488,330 |
| R/E installment loans | 2,322,870 | 18,593 | 10,588 | 2,352,051 | 8,297 | 1,421 | 5,465 | 15,183 | 2,367,234 |
| | 5,733,546 | 50,196 | 30,989 | 5,814,731 | 22,149 | 3,327 | 15,357 | 40,833 | 5,855,564 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Total consumer real estate | | | | | | | | | |
| Permanent mortgage (b) | 711,111 | 6,775 | 5,650 | 723,536 | 13,054 | 2,299 | 16,818 | 32,171 | 755,707 |
| Credit card & other | | | | | | | | | |
| Credit card | 181,792 | 1,569 | 1,286 | 184,647 | | | | | 184,647 |
| Other | 91,535 | 369 | 339 | 92,243 | 268 | | 1,800 | 2,068 | 94,311 |
| Total credit card & other | 273,327 | 1,938 | 1,625 | 276,890 | 268 | | 1,800 | 2,068 | 278,958 |
| Total loans, net of unearned | \$ 15,724,802 | \$ 92,542 | \$ 39,999 | \$ 15,857,343 | \$ 116,259 | \$ 15,229 | \$ 196,932 | \$ 328,420 | \$ 16,185,763 |

- (a) Includes LOCOM valuation allowance \$34.2 million.
(b) Includes restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings.

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

The following table reflects accruing and non-accruing loans by class on June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Accruing | | | Total Accruing | Non-Accruing | | | Total Loans | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| | Current | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 + Days Past Due | | Current | 30-89 Days Past Due | 90 + Days Past Due | | Total Non- Accruing |
| Commercial (C&I) | | | | | | | | | |
| General C&I | \$ 5,999,416 | \$ 36,859 | \$ 398 | \$ 6,036,673 | \$ 70,532 | \$ 8,229 | \$ 52,773 | \$ 131,534 | \$ 6,168,207 |
| Loans to mortgage companies | 581,871 | | | 581,871 | | | 656 | 656 | 582,527 |
| TRUPs (a) | 349,519 | | | 349,519 | | | 80,008 | 80,008 | 429,527 |
| Total C&I | 6,930,806 | 36,859 | 398 | 6,968,063 | 70,532 | 8,229 | 133,437 | 212,198 | 7,180,261 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,184,361 | 14,322 | 181 | 1,198,864 | 35,221 | 6,552 | 70,142 | 111,915 | 1,310,779 |
| Residential CRE | 103,254 | 9,392 | | 112,646 | 30,430 | 1,105 | 38,676 | 70,211 | 182,857 |
| Total commercial real estate | 1,287,615 | 23,714 | 181 | 1,311,510 | 65,651 | 7,657 | 108,818 | 182,126 | 1,493,636 |
| Consumer real estate: | | | | | | | | | |
| HELOC (b) | 3,794,849 | 41,577 | 25,909 | 3,862,335 | 13,332 | 1,550 | 7,542 | 22,424 | 3,884,759 |
| R/E installment loans | 2,099,708 | 22,627 | 10,656 | 2,132,991 | 10,429 | 1,168 | 3,054 | 14,651 | 2,147,642 |
| Total consumer real estate | 5,894,557 | 64,204 | 36,565 | 5,995,326 | 23,761 | 2,718 | 10,596 | 37,075 | 6,032,401 |
| Permanent mortgage (b) | 877,922 | 20,865 | 20,674 | 919,461 | 27,813 | 2,537 | 110,164 | 140,514 | 1,059,975 |
| Credit card & other | | | | | | | | | |
| Credit card | 189,180 | 1,480 | 1,141 | 191,801 | | | | | 191,801 |
| Other | 93,173 | 908 | 10 | 94,091 | 6 | | 9,475 | 9,481 | 103,572 |
| Total credit card & other | 282,353 | 2,388 | 1,151 | 285,892 | 6 | | 9,475 | 9,481 | 295,373 |
| Total loans, net of unearned | \$ 15,273,253 | \$ 148,030 | \$ 58,969 | \$ 15,480,252 | \$ 187,763 | \$ 21,141 | \$ 372,490 | \$ 581,394 | \$ 16,061,646 |

(a) Includes LOCOM valuation allowance \$35.6 million.

(b) Includes restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings.

Troubled Debt Restructurings

As part of FHN's ongoing risk management practices, FHN attempts to work with borrowers when necessary to extend or modify loan terms to better align with their current ability to repay. Extensions and modifications to loans are made in accordance with internal policies and guidelines which conform to regulatory guidance. Each occurrence is unique to the borrower and is evaluated separately. FHN considers regulatory guidelines when restructuring loans to ensure that prudent lending practices are followed. As such, qualification criteria and payment terms consider the borrower's current and prospective ability to comply with the modified terms of the loan.

A modification is classified as a TDR if the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty and it is determined that FHN has granted a concession to the borrower. FHN may determine that a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty if the borrower is currently in default on any of its debt, or if it is probable that a borrower may default in the foreseeable future. Many aspects of a borrower's financial situation are assessed when determining whether they are experiencing financial difficulty, particularly as it relates to commercial borrowers due to the complex nature of loan structures, business/industry risk and borrower/guarantor structures. Concessions could include reductions of interest rates, extension of the maturity date at a rate lower than current market rate for a new loan with similar risk, reduction of accrued interest, or principal forgiveness. When evaluating whether a concession has been granted, FHN also considers whether the borrower has provided additional collateral or guarantors and whether such additions adequately compensate FHN for the restructured terms. The assessments of whether a borrower is experiencing (or is likely to experience) financial difficulty and whether a concession has been granted is subjective in nature and management's judgment is required when determining whether a modification is classified as a TDR.

For all classes within the commercial portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified through forbearance agreements (generally 3 to 6 months). Forbearance agreements could include reduced interest rates, reduced payments, release of guarantor, or entering into short sale agreements. FHN's proprietary modification programs for consumer loans are generally structured using parameters of U.S. government-sponsored programs such as Home Affordable Modification Programs (HAMP). Within the HELOC, R/E installment loans, and permanent mortgage classes of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified by reducing the interest rate (in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 1 percent for up to 5 years) and a possible maturity date extension to reach an affordable housing debt ratio. Contractual maturities may be extended up to 40 years on permanent mortgages and up to 30 years for consumer real estate loans. Within the credit card class of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified through either a short-term credit card hardship program or a longer-term credit card workout program. In the credit card hardship program, borrowers may be granted rate and payment reductions for 6 months to 1 year. In the credit card workout program, customers are granted a rate reduction to 0 percent and term extensions for up to 5 years to pay off the remaining balance.

On June 30, 2012 and 2011, FHN had \$338.8 million and \$306.2 million portfolio loans classified as TDRs, respectively. For TDRs in the loan portfolio, FHN had loan loss reserves of \$64.4 million and \$45.2 million, or 19 percent and 15 percent of TDR balances,

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additionally, FHN had restructured \$139.3 million and \$70.1 million of loans held for sale as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The rise in TDRs from 2011 resulted from increased consumer loan modifications of troubled borrowers in an attempt to prevent foreclosure and to mitigate losses to FHN.

The following table reflects modifications of portfolio loans occurring during the three and six month ended June 30, 2012, that have been classified as TDRs:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Number | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | Number | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | |
|---|------------|--|---|------------|--|---|
| | | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | | Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |
| Commercial (C&I): | | | | | | |
| General C&I | 7 | \$ 17,538 | \$ 17,444 | 11 | \$ 18,121 | \$ 18,020 |
| Total commercial (C&I) | 7 | 17,538 | 17,444 | 11 | 18,121 | 18,020 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 3 | 546 | 529 | 6 | 8,507 | 8,358 |
| Residential CRE | 1 | 38 | 37 | 2 | 88 | 87 |
| Total commercial real estate | 4 | 584 | 566 | 8 | 8,595 | 8,445 |
| Consumer real estate: | | | | | | |
| HELOC | 60 | 6,562 | 6,522 | 94 | 10,643 | 10,595 |
| R/E installment loans | 51 | 5,635 | 4,654 | 110 | 13,178 | 12,265 |
| Total consumer real estate | 111 | 12,197 | 11,176 | 204 | 23,821 | 22,860 |
| Permanent mortgage | 24 | 19,774 | 19,998 | 62 | 49,667 | 50,062 |
| Credit card & other: | | | | | | |
| Credit card | 140 | 871 | 841 | 162 | 962 | 928 |
| Total credit card & other | 140 | 871 | 841 | 162 | 962 | 928 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | 286 | \$ 50,964 | \$ 50,025 | 447 | \$ 101,166 | \$ 100,315 |

Table of Contents**Note 4 Loans (Continued)**

The following table reflects receivables that became classified as TDRs within the previous 12 months for which there was a payment default during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Financing receivables that became classified as TDRs within the previous 12 months and for which there was a payment default during the period are calculated by first identifying TDRs that defaulted during the period and then determining whether they were modified within the 12 months prior to the default. For purposes of this disclosure, FHN defines payment default as generally 30 plus days past due.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Number | Recorded Investment | Number | Recorded Investment |
| Commercial (C&I): | | | | |
| General C&I | 11 | \$ 9,069 | 18 | \$ 13,059 |
| Loans to Mortgage Companies TRUPs | | | | |
| Total commercial (C&I) | 11 | 9,069 | 18 | 13,059 |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | |
| Income CRE | 5 | 6,086 | 10 | 8,444 |
| Residential CRE | 1 | 136 | 2 | 186 |
| Total commercial real estate | 6 | 6,222 | 12 | 8,630 |
| Consumer real estate: | | | | |
| HELOC | 6 | 420 | 16 | 1,630 |
| R/E installment loans | 11 | 1,476 | 29 | 3,182 |
| Total consumer real estate | 17 | 1,896 | 45 | 4,812 |
| Permanent mortgage | 3 | 772 | 3 | 772 |
| Credit card & other: | | | | |
| Credit card | 4 | 16 | 15 | 52 |
| Other | | | | |
| Total credit card & other | 4 | 16 | 15 | 52 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | 41 | \$ 17,975 | 93 | \$ 27,325 |

The determination of whether a TDR is placed on nonaccrual status generally follows the same internal policies and procedures as other portfolio loans. However, FHN will typically place a consumer real estate loan on nonaccrual status if it is 30 or more days delinquent upon modification into a TDR. For commercial loans, nonaccrual TDRs that are reasonably assured of repayment according to their modified terms may be returned to accrual status by FHN upon a detailed credit evaluation of the borrower's financial condition and prospects for repayment under the revised terms. For consumer loans, FHN's evaluation supporting the decision to return a modified loan to accrual status includes consideration of the borrower's sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable period prior to the date on which the loan is returned to accrual status, which is generally a minimum of six months. FHN may also consider a borrower's sustained historical repayment performance for a reasonable time prior to the restructuring in assessing whether the borrower can meet the restructured terms, as it may indicate that the borrower is capable of servicing the level of debt under the modified terms. Otherwise, FHN will continue to classify restructured loans as nonaccrual. Consistent with regulatory guidance, upon sustained performance and classification as a TDR over FHN's year-end, the loan will be removed from TDR status as long as the modified terms were market-based at the time of modification.

Table of Contents**Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights**

FHN recognizes all classes of mortgage servicing rights (MSR) at fair value. Classes of MSR are established based on market inputs used to determine the fair value of the servicing asset and FHN's risk management practices. See Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities, the Determination of Fair Value section for a discussion of FHN's MSR valuation methodology and Note 14 Derivatives for a discussion of how FHN hedges the fair value of MSR. The balance of MSR included on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition represents the rights to service approximately \$21 billion and \$26 billion of mortgage loans on June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, for which a servicing right has been capitalized.

Following is a summary of changes in capitalized MSR as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | First Liens | Second Liens | HELOC | Total |
|---|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Fair value on January 1, 2011 | \$ 203,812 | \$ 262 | \$ 3,245 | \$ 207,319 |
| Reductions due to loan payments | (12,685) | (21) | (101) | (12,807) |
| Reductions due to exercise of cleanup calls | (195) | | | (195) |
| Changes in fair value due to: | | | | |
| Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions | (7,418) | | | (7,418) |
| Other changes in fair value | 16 | 10 | 33 | 59 |
| Fair value on June 30, 2011 | \$ 183,530 | \$ 251 | \$ 3,177 | \$ 186,958 |
| Fair value on January 1, 2012 | 140,724 | 231 | 3,114 | 144,069 |
| Reductions due to loan payments | (12,164) | (16) | (155) | (12,335) |
| Changes in fair value due to: | | | | |
| Changes in valuation model inputs or assumptions | (2,396) | | | (2,396) |
| Other changes in fair value | (79) | | 32 | (47) |
| Fair value on June 30, 2012 | \$ 126,085 | \$ 215 | \$ 2,991 | \$ 129,291 |

Servicing, late, and other ancillary fees recognized within mortgage banking income were \$15.0 million and \$19.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$32.2 million and \$40.1 million for six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. FHN services a portfolio of mortgage loans related to transfers by other parties utilizing securitization trusts. The servicing assets represent FHN's sole interest in these transactions. The total MSR recognized by FHN related to these transactions was \$1.9 million and \$3.6 million at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The aggregate principal balance serviced by FHN for these transactions was \$4 billion and \$5 billion at June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. FHN has no obligation to provide financial support and has not provided any form of support to the related trusts. The MSR recognized by FHN has been included in the first lien mortgage loans column within the rollforward of MSR.

In prior periods, FHN transferred MSR to third parties in transactions that did not qualify for sales treatment due to certain recourse provisions that were included within the sale agreements. On June 30, 2012 and 2011, FHN had \$12.4 million and \$23.6 million, respectively, of MSR related to these transactions. These MSR are included within the first liens mortgage loans column within the rollforward of MSR. The proceeds from these transfers have been recognized within Other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Table of Contents**Note 6 Intangible Assets**

The following is a summary of intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization, included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Goodwill | Other Intangible Assets (a) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| December 31, 2010 | \$ 162,180 | \$ 32,881 |
| Amortization expense (b) | | (2,368) |
| Impairment (c) (d) | (10,100) | |
| Divestitures (d) | (16,397) | (2,129) |
| June 30, 2011 | \$ 135,683 | \$ 28,384 |
| December 31, 2011 | \$ 133,659 | \$ 26,243 |
| Amortization expense | | (1,952) |
| Additions | 583 | 368 |
| June 30, 2012 | \$ 134,242 | \$ 24,659 |

(a) Represents customer lists, acquired contracts, premium on purchased deposits, and covenants not to compete.

(b) Amortization expense of \$.3 million related to FHI and Msaver is included in Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

(c) See Note 16 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency for further details related to goodwill impairments.

(d) See Note 2 Acquisitions and Divestitures for further details regarding goodwill related to divestitures.

The gross carrying amount of other intangible assets subject to amortization is \$104.9 million on June 30, 2012, net of \$80.2 million of accumulated amortization. Estimated aggregate amortization expense is expected to be \$2.0 million for the remainder of 2012, and \$3.7 million, \$3.5 million, \$3.4 million, \$3.2 million, and \$3.0 million for the twelve-month periods of 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively.

The agreement to sell FHI resulted in a pre-tax goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million in first quarter 2011. In second quarter 2011, the remaining \$16.4 million of goodwill was removed in conjunction with the divestiture. The sale of Msaver during third quarter 2011 resulted in the removal of \$2.0 million of goodwill. During 2011, FHN also recognized \$2.2 million and \$.1 million of other intangible asset write-offs related to the FHI and Msaver divestitures, respectively.

Table of Contents**Note 6 Intangible Assets (Continued)**

The following is a summary of gross goodwill and accumulated impairment losses and write-offs detailed by reportable segments included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition through June 30, 2012. Gross goodwill, accumulated impairments, and accumulated divestiture related write-offs were determined beginning on January 1, 2002, when a change in accounting requirements resulted in goodwill being assessed for impairment rather than being amortized.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Non-Strategic | Regional Banking | Capital Markets | Total |
|--|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|------------|
| Gross goodwill | \$ 199,995 | \$ 36,238 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 333,654 |
| Accumulated impairments | (104,023) | | | (104,023) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (67,451) | | | (67,451) |
| December 31, 2010 | \$ 28,521 | \$ 36,238 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 162,180 |
| Additions | | | | |
| Impairments | (10,100) | | | (10,100) |
| Divestitures | (16,397) | | | (16,397) |
| Net change in goodwill during 2011 | (26,497) | | | (26,497) |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 199,995 | \$ 36,238 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 333,654 |
| Accumulated impairments | (114,123) | | | (114,123) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (83,848) | | | (83,848) |
| June 30, 2011 | \$ 2,024 | \$ 36,238 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 135,683 |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 199,995 | \$ 36,238 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 333,654 |
| Accumulated impairments | (114,123) | | | (114,123) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (85,872) | | | (85,872) |
| December 31, 2011 | \$ | \$ 36,238 | \$ 97,421 | \$ 133,659 |
| Additions | | | 583 | 583 |
| Impairments | | | | |
| Divestitures | | | | |
| Net change in goodwill during 2012 | | | \$ 583 | \$ 583 |
| Gross goodwill | \$ 199,995 | \$ 36,238 | \$ 98,004 | \$ 334,237 |
| Accumulated impairments | (114,123) | | | (114,123) |
| Accumulated divestiture related write-offs | (85,872) | | | (85,872) |
| June 30, 2012 | \$ | \$ 36,238 | \$ 98,004 | \$ 134,242 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Table of Contents**Note 7 Other Income and Other Expense**

Following is detail of All other income and commissions and All other expense as presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| All other income and commissions: | | | | |
| Bankcard income | \$ 5,705 | \$ 5,151 | \$ 11,320 | \$ 9,871 |
| Bank-owned life insurance | 4,659 | 4,920 | 9,431 | 9,735 |
| Other service charges | 3,212 | 2,819 | 6,505 | 5,672 |
| ATM interchange fees | 2,669 | 3,791 | 5,225 | 7,326 |
| Electronic banking fees | 1,632 | 1,536 | 3,338 | 3,070 |
| Letter of credit fees | 1,560 | 1,869 | 2,894 | 3,645 |
| Deferred compensation (a) | (1,020) | 221 | 2,099 | 1,200 |
| Gains on extinguishment of debt | | | | 5,761 |
| Other | 4,434 | 7,427 | 10,255 | 11,726 |
| Total | \$ 22,851 | \$ 27,734 | \$ 51,067 | \$ 58,006 |
| All other expense: | | | | |
| Losses from litigation and regulatory matters | \$ 22,100 | \$ 38,260 | \$ 22,253 | \$ 40,585 |
| Low income housing expense | 4,214 | 4,973 | 8,822 | 9,670 |
| Advertising and public relations | 3,153 | 3,558 | 7,403 | 7,348 |
| Other insurance and taxes | 3,130 | 3,507 | 6,329 | 6,974 |
| Travel and entertainment | 2,435 | 2,137 | 4,299 | 3,907 |
| Employee training and dues | 1,230 | 1,342 | 2,322 | 2,589 |
| Customer relations | 1,348 | 1,152 | 2,203 | 2,422 |
| Supplies | 817 | 792 | 1,850 | 1,755 |
| Bank examinations costs | 800 | 1,117 | 1,599 | 2,235 |
| Loan insurance expense | 636 | 706 | 1,225 | 1,487 |
| Federal service fees | 328 | 291 | 649 | 755 |
| Other | 13,927 | 16,904 | 19,630 | 22,595 |
| Total | \$ 54,118 | \$ 74,739 | \$ 78,584 | \$ 102,322 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

- (a) Deferred compensation market value adjustments are mirrored by adjustments to employee compensation, incentives, and benefits expense.

Table of Contents**Note 8 Earnings Per Share**

The following tables provide a reconciliation of the numerators used in calculating earnings/(loss) per share attributable to common shareholders:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ (122,417) | \$ 19,165 | \$ (88,611) | \$ 61,297 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 487 | 3,671 | 52 | 4,541 |
| Net income/(loss) | (121,930) | 22,836 | (88,559) | 65,838 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 5,688 |
| Net income/(loss) available to common shareholders | \$ (124,774) | \$ 19,992 | \$ (94,247) | \$ 60,150 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | \$ (122,417) | \$ 19,165 | \$ (88,611) | \$ 61,297 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 2,844 | 2,844 | 5,688 | 5,688 |
| Net income/(loss) from continuing operations available to common shareholders | \$ (125,261) | \$ 16,321 | \$ (94,299) | \$ 55,609 |

The component of Income/(loss) from continuing operations attributable to FHN as the controlling interest holder of the subsidiary preferred stock issued by First Horizon Preferred Funding, LLC, First Horizon Preferred Funding II, LLC, and FTBNA was \$(125.3) million and \$16.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$(94.3) million and \$55.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The following table provides a reconciliation of weighted average common shares to diluted average common shares:

| <i>(Shares in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding basic | 249,104 | 261,289 | 251,317 | 261,233 |
| Effect of dilutive securities | | 1,467 | | 2,457 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted | 249,104 | 262,756 | 251,317 | 263,690 |

The following tables provide a reconciliation of earnings/(loss) per common and diluted share:

| Earnings/(loss) per common share: | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Income/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common shareholders | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.06 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.21 |
| Income/(loss) per share from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.02 | | 0.02 |
| Net income/(loss) per share available to common shareholders | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.23 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Diluted earnings/(loss) per common share:

| | | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Diluted income/(loss) per share from continuing operations available to common shareholders | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.06 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.21 |
| Diluted income/(loss) per share from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.02 | | 0.02 |
| Diluted income/(loss) per share available to common shareholders | \$ (0.50) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.38) | \$ 0.23 |

Table of Contents

Note 8 Earnings Per Share (Continued)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, all potential common shares were antidilutive due to the net loss attributable to common shareholders for these periods. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, the dilutive effect for all potential common shares was 1.5 million and 2.5 million, respectively. 10.5 million and 10.8 million stock options, with weighted average exercise prices of \$21.54 and \$24.44 per share for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares because including such shares would be antidilutive. 10.6 million and 10.2 million stock options, with weighted average exercise prices of \$22.26 and \$26.12 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were also excluded from diluted shares. Other equity awards of 3.4 million and .4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares while other equity awards of 3.5 million and .2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, were excluded from diluted shares because including such shares would have been antidilutive. The CPP common stock warrant, which was dilutive for the six-month period ended June 30, 2011, was repurchased in 2011 and is not included in diluted shares in 2012.

Table of Contents

Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures

Contingencies

General

Contingent liabilities arise in the ordinary course of business, including those related to lawsuits, arbitration, mediation, and other forms of litigation. Various litigation matters are threatened or pending against FHN and its subsidiaries. Also, FHN at times receives requests for information, subpoenas, or other inquiries from federal, state, and local regulators and from other government authorities concerning various matters relating to FHN's current or former lines of business. Certain matters of that sort are pending at this time, and FHN is cooperating with the authorities involved. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of these matters, particularly where the claimants seek very large or indeterminate damages, or where the cases present novel legal theories or involve a large number of parties, or where claims or other actions are possible but have not been brought, FHN cannot reasonably determine what the eventual outcome of the pending matters will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters may be, or what the eventual loss or impact related to each matter may be. FHN establishes loss contingency liabilities for litigation matters when loss is both probable and reasonably estimable as prescribed by applicable financial accounting guidance. A liability generally is not established when a loss contingency either is not probable or its amount is not reasonably estimable. If loss for a matter is probable and a range of possible loss outcomes is the best estimate available, accounting guidance generally requires a liability to be established at the low end of the range.

In addition, disclosure of litigation matters is provided when there is more than a slight chance of a material loss outcome for FHN in excess of currently established loss liabilities. Based on current knowledge, and after consultation with counsel, management is of the opinion that loss contingencies related to such pending litigation matters should not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial condition of FHN, but may be material to FHN's operating results for any particular reporting period depending, in part, on the results from that period.

Litigation Gain Contingency

The Chapter 11 Liquidation Trustee (the "Trustee") of Sentinel Management Group, Inc. ("Sentinel") filed complaints against two subsidiaries, First Tennessee Bank National Association ("FTBNA") and FTN Financial Securities Corp. ("FTN"), and two former FTN employees. The Trustee's claims related to Sentinel's purchases of Preferred Term Securities Limited ("PreTSL") products and other securities from FTN and/or the FTN Financial Capital Markets division of FTBNA from March 2005 to August 2007. In July 2011, the parties reached an agreement to settle the dispute. Under the terms of the settlement the Trustee received a total of \$38.5 million dollars. After considering the terms of the settlement, FHN recognized a pre-tax expense of \$36.7 million during second quarter 2011 related to the settlement. FHN believes that certain insurance policies provide coverage for these losses and related litigation costs, subject to policy limits and applicable deductibles. The insurers have denied coverage. FHN has brought suit against the insurers to enforce the policies under Tennessee law. The case is in U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee styled as *First Horizon National Corporation, et al. v. Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's Syndicate Nos. 2987, et al.*, No. 2:11-cv-02608. In connection with this matter the previously recognized expense may be recouped in whole or in part. As to this matter FHN has determined, under applicable financial accounting guidance, that although material gain is not probable there is more than a slight chance of a material gain outcome for FHN. FHN cannot determine a probable outcome that may result from this matter because of the uncertainty of the potential outcomes of the legal proceedings and also due to significant uncertainties regarding: legal interpretation of the relevant contracts; potential remedies that might be available or awarded; and the incomplete status of the discovery process.

Litigation Loss Contingencies

Set forth below are discussions of certain pending or threatened litigation matters. These material loss contingency matters generally fall into the following categories: (i) FHN has determined material loss to be probable and has established a material loss liability in accordance with applicable financial accounting guidance, other than matters reported previously as having been substantially settled or otherwise substantially resolved; (ii) FHN has determined material loss to be probable but is unable to determine an amount of material loss liability; or (iii) FHN has determined that material loss is not probable but there is more than a slight chance of a material loss outcome for FHN. In the litigation matters falling into categories (i) and (ii), except as indicated, FHN has determined that there is more than a slight chance of a material loss outcome for FHN in excess of any currently established loss liabilities. In all litigation matters discussed, except as indicated, FHN has estimated a range of reasonably possible loss outcomes. In all litigation matters discussed FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to pursue those defenses vigorously.

FHN reassesses the liability for litigation matters each quarter as the matters progress. At June 30, 2012, the aggregate amount of liabilities established for the litigation loss contingency matters discussed below was \$22 million. In each potential loss contingency matter discussed below, except as otherwise noted, there is a more than slight chance that each of the following outcomes will occur: the plaintiff will

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

substantially prevail; the defense will substantially prevail; the plaintiff will prevail in part; or the matter will be settled by the parties. At June 30, 2012, FHN estimates that, for those litigation loss contingency matters discussed below as to which reasonably possible loss is estimable, reasonably possible losses in future periods in excess of currently established liabilities could aggregate in a range from zero to approximately \$93 million.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)***Branch Sale Litigation Matter*

Manufacturers & Traders Trust Company (M&T) is pursuing an arbitration claim against FTBNA arising out of FTBNA's sale of certain branch assets to M&T in 2007. M&T claims that FTBNA violated its obligations to repurchase home equity lines of credit (HELOCs) that it sold to M&T as part of the asset sale agreement. M&T alleges that the loans either are not in conformity with FTBNA's representations about them or are insured and sold due to mutual mistake or both. At this time, as a result of rulings to date, the claim has become a demand that FTBNA repurchase certain HELOCs having an original principal balance of \$45.5 million. At June 30, 2012, the HELOCs at issue included loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$23.6 million and also included charged-off loans of \$11.8 million. These HELOCs are not included in the mortgage repurchase pipeline discussed below as potential repurchase obligations, but instead are evaluated separately as part of this pending litigation matter based on specific facts and circumstances related to this loan sale. FHN's estimate of reasonably possible loss for this matter, which is included in the aggregate range discussed above, is subject to significant uncertainties regarding: the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the identity and value of assets FHN may be required to repurchase; and the unpredictable nature of the arbitration process. FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to pursue those defenses vigorously.

Debit Transaction Sequencing Litigation Matter

FTBNA became a defendant in 2011 in a putative class action lawsuit concerning overdraft fees charged in connection with debit card transactions. A key claim is that the method used to order or sequence the transactions posted each day was improper. The case is styled as *Hawkins v. First Tennessee Bank National Association*, before the Circuit Court for Shelby County, Tennessee, Case No. CT-004085-11. The plaintiff seeks actual damages of at least \$5 million, unspecified restitution of fees charged, and unspecified punitive damages, among other things. FHN's estimate of reasonably possible loss for this matter, which is included in the aggregate range discussed above, is subject to significant uncertainties regarding: whether a class will be certified and, if so, the definition of the class; claims as to which no dollar amount is specified; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the outcome of potentially dispositive early-stage motions such as motions to dismiss; and the lack of discovery. FHN is aware that claims which appear to be somewhat similar have been brought against other financial institutions. Although this suit is in an early stage, FHN intends to defend itself vigorously.

VA Loan Guarantee Litigation Matter

In October 2011, FTBNA was served as a defendant in a qui tam action brought privately on behalf of the federal government against thirteen mortgage lenders. The case is styled as *United States ex rel Bibby and Donnelly v. Wells Fargo Bank N.A. et al*, before the U.S. District Court for the N.D. of Georgia, Case No. 1:06-CV-0547-MHS. The plaintiffs allege that defendants improperly disclosed and improperly charged certain fees related to certain VA guaranteed interest rate reduction refinancing loans. They assert that the alleged acts violated the regulations governing loans of that type as well as the federal False Claims Act. The plaintiffs seek unspecified restitution of loan guarantee benefits improperly claimed and unspecified treble damages, among other things. FHN's estimate of reasonably possible loss for this matter, which is included in the aggregate range discussed above, is subject to significant uncertainties regarding: the specific loans involved; the dollar amounts claimed; the outcome of potentially dispositive early-stage motions such as motions to dismiss; the availability of certain remedies; the impact of pre-litigation mitigating actions by defendants; the outcome of any settlement discussions; possible intervention by the federal government; and the lack of discovery. Certain defendants to this action have settled with the plaintiffs. Although this suit is in an early stage, FHN intends to defend itself vigorously.

Mortgage Securitization Litigation Matters

Prior to September 2008 FHN originated and sold home loan products through various channels and conducted its servicing business under the First Horizon Home Loans and First Tennessee Mortgage Servicing brands. Those sales channels included the securitization of loans into pools held by trustees and the sale of the resulting securities, sometimes called certificates, to investors. These activities are discussed in more detail below under the heading Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing.

At the time this report is filed, FHN is one of multiple defendants in four lawsuits brought by investors which claim that the offering documents under which certificates were sold to them were materially deficient. The plaintiffs and venues of these suits are: (1) the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), as conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, in U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (Case No. 11-cv-6193 (PGG)); (2) Charles Schwab Corp. in the Superior Court of San Francisco, California (Case No. 10-501610); (3) Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Chicago in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (Case No. 10 CH 45033); and (4) Western & Southern Life

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Insurance Co, among others in the Court of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio (Case No. A1105352). Two of those suits were brought in the second half of 2010, and the other two were brought in the third quarter of 2011. The plaintiffs in the pending suits claim to have purchased a total of \$1.1 billion of certificates in twelve separate securitizations related to FHN and demand that FHN repurchase their investments, or answer in damages or rescission, among other remedies sought. If FHN were to repurchase certificates, it would recognize as a loss the difference between the amount paid (adjusted for any related litigation liability previously established) and the fair value of the certificates at that time.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)**

In some of these suits, underwriters are co-defendants and have demanded, under provisions in the applicable underwriting agreements, that FHN indemnify them for their expenses and any losses they may incur. In addition, FHN has received indemnity demands from underwriters in two other suits as to which investors claim to have purchased senior certificates in FHN securitizations having purchase prices totaling \$201.3 million. The plaintiffs and venues of these suits are: FHLB of San Francisco in the Superior Court of San Francisco County, California (Case No. CGC-10-497840), \$100.1 million total original purchase price; and Metropolitan Life Insurance Co. in the Supreme Court of New York County, New York (Index No. 651360-2012), \$101.2 million total original purchase price. FHN has not been named a defendant in these additional suits.

Details concerning the original purchase amounts of the investments at issue in these six suits, as to which FHN is a named defendant or as to which FHN has an agreement to indemnify an underwriter defendant, are set forth below. Senior and Junior refer to the ranking of the investments in broad terms; in most cases the securitization provided for sub-classifications within the Senior or Junior groups.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Alt-A | | Jumbo | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Senior | Junior | Senior | Junior |
| Vintage | | | | |
| 2005 (a) | \$ 743,868 | \$ | \$ 60,000 | \$ |
| 2006 (a) | 230,020 | | 84,659 | 9,793 |
| 2007 | 106,298 | | 50,000 | 7,084 |
| Total | \$ 1,080,186 | \$ | \$ 194,659 | \$ 16,877 |

- (a) The amounts shown in the table which are the subject of the FHFA litigations include all of the Senior Alt-A loans from 2006 and \$643,751 of the Senior Alt-A from 2005.

The original purchase amounts reported in this table do not reflect the cumulative amounts of principal and interest distributions received by investors over the past five to seven years. Such receipts generally would diminish any recovery a plaintiff might obtain.

The indemnity request for the Metropolitan Life matter was received in July 2012; performance information has not been obtained or analyzed at this time. Information on the performance of the securitizations related to the other five suits is available in monthly reports published by the trustee for the securitization trusts. Based on these reports, the ending certificate balance of the investments which are the subject of the remaining five lawsuits was \$518.4 million as of the June 25, 2012 trust statements. Within that total, the ending certificate balance of the investments which are the subject of the FHFA lawsuit was \$355.4 million, with approximately 80 percent of the remaining balances performing. Ending certificate balances reflect the remaining principal balance on the certificates, after the monthly principal and interest distributions and after reduction for applicable cumulative losses. Cumulative losses on the FHFA investments which are the subject of the lawsuit as reported on the June 25, 2012 trust statements represent approximately 6 percent of the original principal amount underlying the certificates purchased. Recognized cumulative losses do not take into account outstanding principal and interest amounts advanced by the servicer due to nonpayment by the borrowers; those advances may increase cumulative losses. Any such losses could be part of any recovery from FHN. Losses are often reported based on all of the certificates within a pool or group, which limits FHN's ability to ascertain losses at the level of an investor's specific certificate.

Although these six suits are in early stages, FHN intends to defend itself vigorously. For the FHLB of Chicago suit, FHN's estimate of reasonably possible loss, which is included in the aggregate range discussed above, is subject to significant uncertainties regarding: the dollar amount claimed; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the outcome of any settlement discussions; the outcome of potentially dispositive early stage motions such as motions to dismiss; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase to the extent asset repurchase is sought; the incomplete status of the discovery process; and the lack of precedent claims. For the other five suits, FHN is unable to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss due to significant uncertainties regarding: claims as to which the claimant specifies no dollar amount; the potential remedies that might be available or awarded; the availability of significantly dispositive defenses such as statutes of limitations or repose; the outcome of potentially dispositive early-stage motions such as motions to dismiss; the identity and value of assets that FHN may be required to repurchase for those claims seeking asset repurchase; the incomplete status of the discovery process; the lack of a

precise statement of damages; and lack of precedent claims.

As discussed under Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing, similar claims may be pursued by other investors, and loan repurchase, make-whole, or indemnity claims may be pursued by securitization trustees or other parties to transactions seeking indemnity. At June 30, 2012, FHN had not recognized a liability for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from the foregoing or other potential claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient, nor for exposure for repurchase of loans arising from potential claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made in securitizations at closing.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)***Legal Claim Settlement Process – Mortgage Repurchase Pipeline*

For several years FHN has received claims from government sponsored enterprises (GSEs), other government agencies, mortgage insurers, and others that FHN breached certain representations and warranties made in connection with whole-loan sales prior to September 2008. Generally such claims request or otherwise demand that FHN repurchase the loans or otherwise make the purchaser whole. FHN analyzes these claims using a pipeline approach. FHN reviews each claim in the pipeline and either offers to satisfy the claim or rejects the claim by asking the claimant to rescind it. FHN has established a material loss liability for probable incurred losses related to repurchase obligations for breaches of representations and warranties. As of June 30, 2012 none of these claims had become active litigation. These matters and the associated reserving methodologies are discussed under Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing.

Other Litigation Matters

A shareholder, Cranston Reid, filed a putative derivative lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Tennessee, Western Division (Case No. 2:10cv02413-STA-cgc) against various former and current officers and directors of FHN. FHN is named as a nominal defendant, though no relief is sought against it. The complaint alleges the following causes of action: breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and unjust enrichment. The claimed breach of fiduciary duty and other causes of action stem from a number of alleged events, including: certain litigation matters, both pending and previously disposed, unrelated to this plaintiff; certain matters that allegedly could become litigation matters, unrelated to this plaintiff; a matter that previously had been investigated and concluded, unrelated to this plaintiff; and an alleged general use of allegedly unlawful and high-risk banking practices. In March 2011 the court dismissed all claims. The plaintiff has appealed the dismissal. FHN continues to believe the defendants have meritorious defenses to this complaint including that the complaint fails to state any legally cognizable claim and intends to advance those defenses vigorously on appeal.

*Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing**Overview*

Prior to September 2008, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. Some government-insured and government-guaranteed loans were originated with credit recourse retained by FHN and some other mortgages were originated to be held, but predominantly mortgage loans were intended to be sold without recourse for credit default. Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole loan sales or through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. Conventional conforming single-family residential mortgage loans were sold predominately to two GSEs the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae, Fannie, or FNMA) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac, Freddie, or FHLMC). Federally insured or guaranteed whole loans were pooled, and payments to investors were guaranteed through the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae, Ginnie, or GNMA). Many mortgage loan originations, especially those nonconforming mortgage loans that did not meet criteria for whole loan sales to the GSEs, or insurance through Ginnie (collectively, the Agencies), were sold to investors, or certificate-holders, predominantly through proprietary securitizations but also, to a lesser extent, through whole loan sales to private non-Agency purchasers. In addition, FHN originated with the intent to sell and sold HELOCs and second lien mortgages through whole loan sales to private purchasers and, to a lesser extent, through proprietary securitizations.

Regarding these past loan sale activities, FHN has exposure to potential loss primarily through two avenues. First, purchasers of these mortgage loans may request that FHN repurchase loans or make the purchaser whole for economic losses incurred if it is determined that FHN violated certain contractual representations and warranties made at the time of these sales. Contractual representations and warranties differ based on deal structure and counterparty. For whole-loan sales, a claimant generally would be the purchaser. For securitizations, a repurchase claimant generally would be a trustee. Second, investors in securitizations may attempt to achieve rescission of their investments or damages through litigation by claiming that the applicable offering documents were materially deficient. In addition, overlaying these avenues: some of the loans that were sold or securitized were insured and the insurance carrier may seek repurchase or make-whole remedies by claiming that FHN violated certain contractual representations and warranties made in connection with the insurance contract; and, some of the loans sold to non-Agency whole-loan purchasers were included in securitizations of the purchasers, and the purchasers may seek repurchase or indemnification for losses and expenses caused by such a violation by FHN. In some cases FHN retains the servicing of the loans sold or securitized and so has substantial visibility into the status of the loans; in many cases FHN does not retain servicing and has had very limited or no such direct visibility.

Table of Contents

Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)

From 2005 through 2008, FHN originated and sold \$69.5 billion of mortgage loans without recourse which includes \$57.6 billion of loans sold to GSEs and \$11.9 billion of loans guaranteed by Ginnie Mae. Although additional GSE sales occurred in earlier years, a

substantial majority of GSE repurchase requests have come from that period. In addition, from 2000 through 2007, FHN securitized \$47.0 billion of mortgage loans without recourse in First Horizon branded proprietary transactions. Of the amount originally securitized, \$36.7 billion relates to securitization trusts that are still active; approximately 30 securitization trusts have been terminated due to clean-up calls exercised by FHN. A clean-up call is allowed when the unpaid principal balance falls to a low level. The exercise of a clean-up call results in termination of the pooling and servicing agreement and reacquisition by FHN of the related outstanding mortgage loans.

On August 31, 2008 FHN sold its national mortgage and servicing platforms along with a portion of its servicing assets and obligations. This is sometimes referred to as the 2008 sale, the 2008 divestiture, the platform sale, or other similar words. FHN contracted with the purchaser to have its remaining servicing obligations sub-serviced by the purchaser through August 2011.

Loans Sold With Full or Limited Recourse

Although not a substantial part of FHN's former business, FHN sold certain Agency mortgage loans with full recourse under agreements to repurchase the loans upon default. Loans sold with full recourse generally include mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market which are uninsurable under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs due to issues associated with underwriting activities, documentation, or other concerns. For mortgage insured single-family residential loans, in the event of borrower nonperformance, FHN would assume losses to the extent they exceed the value of the collateral and private mortgage insurance, the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) insurance, or the Veteran's Administration (VA) guaranty. On June 30, 2012 and 2011, the current unpaid principal balance (UPB) of single-family residential loans that were sold on a full recourse basis with servicing retained was \$39.8 million and \$52.3 million, respectively.

Loans sold with limited recourse include loans sold under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs including the FHA and VA. FHN may absorb losses due to uncollected interest and foreclosure costs but has limited risk of credit losses in the event of foreclosure of the mortgage loan sold. Generally, the amount of recourse liability in the event of foreclosure is determined based upon the respective government program and/or the sale or disposal of the foreclosed property collateralizing the mortgage loan. Another instance of limited recourse is the VA/No bid. In this case, the VA guarantee is limited and FHN may be required to fund any deficiency in excess of the VA guarantee if the loan goes to foreclosure.

FHN also has potential loss exposure from claims that FHN violated FHA or VA requirements related to the origination of the loans and guarantee claims filed related to the loans. FHN is one of several defendants in a civil lawsuit, mentioned above, claiming that such violations occurred with respect to certain VA-guaranteed refinancing loans. In addition, FHN is cooperating with the U.S. Department of Justice and the Office of the Inspector General for the Department of Housing and Urban Development in a civil investigation regarding compliance with requirements relating to certain FHA-guaranteed loans.

Unless otherwise noted, the remaining discussion under this section, Legacy Home Loan Sales and Servicing, excludes information concerning full or limited recourse loan sales.

Agency Whole Loan Sales

Substantially all of the conventional, conforming mortgage loans originated by FHN were sold to the GSEs. Each agency has specific guidelines and criteria for originators and servicers of loans backing their respective securities, and the risk of credit loss with regard to the principal amount of the loans sold was generally transferred to the GSEs upon sale, or resides with the insuring government agency if the loans were guaranteed through Ginnie.

Generally these loans were sold without recourse for credit loss. However, if it is determined that the loans sold were in breach of representations or warranties required by the Agency and made by FHN at the time of sale, FHN has obligations to either repurchase the loan for the UPB or make the purchaser whole for the economic loss incurred by the purchaser of such loan. Such representations and warranties required by the Agencies typically include those made regarding the existence and sufficiency of file documentation and the absence of fraud by borrowers or other third parties such as appraisers in connection with obtaining the loan.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

At the time of sale, FHN retained servicing rights for a majority of these mortgage loans sold. However, FHN has since sold (through the 2008 divestiture and bulk sales) servicing rights on a significant amount of the loans that were sold to or insured by Agencies. As of June 30, 2012, the original and current UPB of agency loans originated from 2005 and 2008 that FHN continues to service was \$5.8 billion and \$5.2 billion, respectively. A substantial amount of FHN's existing repurchase obligations from outstanding requests relate to conforming conventional mortgage loans that were sold to the GSEs. Since the divestiture of the national mortgage banking business in third quarter 2008 through June 30, 2012, Agencies have accounted for the vast majority of repurchase/make-whole claims received.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)***First Horizon Proprietary Mortgage Securitizations*

FHN originated and sold certain non-agency, nonconforming mortgage loans, Jumbo and Alternative-A (Alt A) first lien mortgage loans, to private investors through over 140 proprietary securitization trusts under the First Horizon (FH) name. Over 110 of these FH proprietary securitization trusts were active as of June 30, 2012. Securitized loans generally were sold indirectly to investors as interests, commonly known as certificates, in trusts or other vehicles. The certificates were sold to a variety of investors, including GSEs in some cases, through securities offerings under a prospectus or other offering documents. In most cases, the certificates were tiered into different risk classes, with junior classes exposed to trust losses first and senior classes exposed only after junior classes were exhausted. Unlike servicing on loans sold to GSEs, FHN still services substantially all of the remaining loans sold through FH proprietary securitizations. As of June 30, 2012, the remaining UPB in active FH proprietary securitizations was \$11.0 billion consisting of \$6.7 billion Alt-A mortgage loans and \$4.2 billion Jumbo mortgage loans. Representations and warranties were made to the trustee for the benefit of investors. As such, FHN has exposure for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made at closing, and exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient. As of June 30, 2012, the repurchase request pipeline contained no repurchase requests related to FH first lien proprietary mortgage securitizations based on representations and warranties.

Unlike loans sold to GSEs, contractual representations and warranties for FH proprietary first lien mortgage securitizations do not include specific representations regarding the absence of third party fraud or negligence in the underwriting or origination of the mortgage loans. Securitization documents typically provide the investors with a right to request that the trustee investigate and initiate repurchase of a mortgage loan if FHN breached certain representations and warranties made at the time the securitization closed and such breach materially and adversely affects the interests of the investors in such mortgage loan. The securitization documents do not require the trustee to make an investigation into the facts or matters stated in any investor request or notice unless requested in writing to do so by the holders of certificates evidencing not less than 25 percent of the voting rights allocated to each class of certificates. The certificate holders may also be required to indemnify the trustee for its costs related to investigations made in connection with repurchase actions. FHN has no knowledge of any investor requests to the trustee of an FH proprietary mortgage securitization to investigate mortgage loans for possible breach of representations and warranties. GSEs were among the purchasers of certificates in FH securitizations. As such, they are entitled to the benefits of the same representations and warranties as other investors. However, the GSEs, acting through their conservator under federal law, are permitted to undertake, independently of other investors, reviews of FHN's mortgage loan origination and servicing files. Such reviews are commenced using a subpoena process. If, because of such reviews, the GSEs determine there has been a breach of a representation or warranty that has had a material and adverse affect on the interests of the investors in any mortgage loan, the GSEs may seek enforcement of a repurchase obligation against FHN. Certain other government entities have asserted a similar right of review not generally available to other investors. As discussed in more detail below, FHN has received several such subpoenas.

In addition, an FH securitization trustee generally may initiate a loan review, without prior official action by investors, for the purpose of determining compliance with applicable representations and warranties. If non-compliance is discovered, the trustee may seek repurchase or other relief.

Also unlike loans sold to the GSEs through nonrecourse whole loan sales, interests in securitized loans were sold as securities under prospectuses or other offering documents subject to the disclosure requirements of applicable federal and state securities laws. As an alternative to pursuing a claim for breach of representations and warranties through the trustee as mentioned above, investors could pursue (and in certain cases mentioned below, are pursuing) a claim alleging that the prospectus or other disclosure documents were deficient by containing materially false or misleading information or by omitting material information. Claims for such disclosure deficiencies typically could be brought under applicable federal or state securities statutes, and the statutory remedies typically could include rescission of the investment or monetary damages measured in relation to the original investment made. Any such statutory claim would be subject to applicable limitation periods and other statutory defenses. If a plaintiff properly made and proved its allegations, the plaintiff might attempt to claim that damages could include loss of market value on the investment even if there were little or no credit loss in the underlying loans. Claims based on alleged disclosure deficiencies also could be brought as traditional fraud or negligence claims with a wider scope of damages possible. Each investor could bring such a claim individually, without acting through the trustee to pursue a claim for breach of representations and warranties, and investors could attempt joint claims or attempt to pursue claims on a class-action basis. Claims of this sort are likely to be resolved in a litigation context in most cases, unlike most of the GSE repurchase requests. The analysis of loss content and establishment of appropriate liabilities in those cases would follow principles and practices associated with litigation matters, including an analysis of available procedural and substantive defenses in each particular case, a determination whether material loss is probable, and (if so) an estimation of the amount of ultimate loss, if any can be estimated. FHN expects most litigation claims to take much longer to resolve than repurchase requests typically have taken.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)**

Monoline insurance is a form of credit enhancement provided to a securitization by a third party insurer. Subject to the terms and conditions of the policy, the insurer guarantees payments of accrued interest and principal due to the investors. None of the FH proprietary first lien securitizations involved the use of monoline insurance for the benefit of all classes of security holders. In certain limited situations, insurance was provided for a specific senior retail class of holders within individual securitizations. The aggregate insured certificates totaled \$128.4 million of original certificate balance. FHN's exercise of clean-up calls contained some of these insured certificates; therefore, the original certificate balance of insured certificates related to active securitization trusts was \$103.4 million as of June 30, 2012. The trustee statement dated June 25, 2012 reported to FHN that the remaining outstanding certificate balance for these classes was \$97.1 million. FHN understands that some monoline insurers have commenced lawsuits against others in the industry seeking to rescind policies of this sort due to alleged misrepresentations as to the quality of the loan portfolio insured. FHN has not received notice of a lawsuit from the monoline insurers of the senior retail level classes.

Four government entities acting on behalf of several purchasers of FH and other securitizations have subpoenaed information from FHN and others. In 2009 FHN was subpoenaed by the federal regulator of credit unions, the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), related to securitization investments by two federal credit unions. There has been little communication with FHN associated with this matter for a period of two years. FHN has been subpoenaed by the FHFA acting as conservator for Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac related to securitization investments by those institutions. In addition, the FHLB of San Francisco has subpoenaed FHN for purposes of a loan origination review related to certain of its securitization investments. Collectively, the NCUA, FHFA and FHLB of San Francisco subpoenas seek information concerning thirteen FH first lien securitizations and one FH HELOC securitization during the years 2005 and 2006. In addition, the FDIC acting on behalf of certain failed banks has also subpoenaed FHN related to FH securitization investments by those institutions. The FDIC and FHLB San Francisco subpoenas also concern loans sold by FHN to non-Agency purchasers on a whole-loan basis which were included by those purchasers in non-FH securitizations. The FDIC subpoena fails to identify the specific investments made by the failed banks. FHN therefore is unable to identify the loans under review or the dollar amounts involved in the FDIC and FHLB San Francisco subpoenas. The FHFA subpoena overlaps substantially with the ongoing litigation matter mentioned above under *Litigation Loss Contingencies* but does cover an additional securitization.

These subpoenas relate to ongoing reviews which ultimately could result in claims against FHN. The original and current (as of the June 25, 2012 trust statements) combined first lien certificate balances of the related FH securitizations in which the two credit unions invested, were \$321.6 million and \$145.9 million, respectively. The original and current (as of the June 25, 2012 trust statements) HELOC certificate balances of the related FH securitization in which one of the two credit unions invested was \$299.8 million and \$108.0 million. The original and current certificate balances of the FH securitizations in which the FHLB SF invested are \$601.1 million and \$201.3 million respectively. There are limitations as to FHN's knowledge of the amount of investments made that are subject to the FDIC subpoena. As mentioned above, FHN does not know which pools or what portions of those pools the institutions represented by the FDIC purchased. Since the reviews at this time are neither repurchase claims nor litigation, the associated loans are not considered part of the repurchase pipeline.

Other First Horizon Proprietary Securitizations

FHN also originated and sold home equity lines and second lien loans through seven FH proprietary securitization trusts, six of which related to HELOC loans. As of June 30, 2012, only three of the HELOC securitizations remain active as a result of clean-up calls exercised by FHN in first quarter 2012, and the second lien securitization was called by FHN in second quarter 2012. Each trust issued notes backed by these loans and publicly offered the asset-backed notes to investors pursuant to a prospectus. The Trustee statements dated June 25, 2012, reported that the cumulative original and current outstanding note balances of the three active HELOC securitizations were \$1.0 billion and \$.4 billion, respectively.

The loans in the remaining active FH HELOC securitization trusts are included on FHN's balance sheet in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles either as consolidated variable interest entities (VIEs) or because the securitization did not qualify for sale treatment. These loans and the associated credit risk are reflected in FHN's consolidated financial statements. As of June 30, 2012, the loans related to the consolidated VIEs and the associated ALLL are reflected as *restricted* on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

The asset-backed notes issued in the FH HELOC securitizations were *wrapped* by monoline insurers. FHN understands that some monoline insurers have commenced lawsuits against other originators of asset-backed securities seeking to cancel policies of this sort due to alleged misrepresentations as to the quality of the loan portfolio insured. FHN has not received notice from a monoline insurer of any such lawsuit. The monoline insurers also have certain contractual rights to pursue repurchase and indemnification. In response to unreimbursed insurance draws resulting from insufficient remittances to investors, the monoline insurer of two of FHN's HELOC securitizations exercised its rights to review

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

the performance of these HELOC securitizations and, with respect to charged off loans, to review loan origination and servicing files, underwriting guidelines, and payment histories. During third and fourth quarters of 2011, the insurer began requesting indemnification and repurchase of specific loans, based on the review. As a result of an agreement FHN transferred servicing of some of the HELOC loans to a servicer that specializes in collections and recoveries. During the first quarter

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)**

of 2012, a second monoline insurer of a third HELOC securitization provided notice to FHN of its intent to conduct a loan file review in response to unreimbursed insurance draws. Because the underlying loans and their associated loss content are recorded on FHN's balance sheet, FHN reviews the portfolio each quarter for inherent loss and has established reserves for loss content. For that reason, FHN does not include these requests in the repurchase pipeline reported for first lien mortgages, and FHN believes that any ultimate cash payouts related to these loans are unlikely to have any material impact upon FHN's financial results as such payouts would be reflected as reductions to the existing balance of restricted or secured term borrowings. Additionally, advances made by monoline insurers for the benefit of security holders have been recognized within restricted or secured term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition (as the insurers have a higher priority to cash flows from the securitization trusts than FHN).

Other Whole Loan Sales

FHN has sold first lien mortgages without recourse through whole loan sales to non-Agency purchasers. FHN made contractual representations and warranties to the purchasers generally similar to those made to Agency purchasers. As of June 30, 2012, the amount of repurchase/make-whole claims related to private whole loan sales was negligible. These claims are included in FHN's liability methodology and the assessment of the adequacy of the repurchase and foreclosure liability.

Many of these loans were included by the purchasers in non-FH securitizations. FHN's contractual representations and warranties to these loan purchasers included indemnity covenants for losses and expenses applicable to the securitization caused by FHN's breach. FHN has received indemnification requests from UBS Securities LLC and Citigroup (CGMI, CGMRC and CMLTI). In addition, a trustee for one of these securitizations has commenced a legal proceeding against FHN for repurchase of loans. The trustee is basing its claim, in part, on an assignment of contractual rights FHN gave to FHN's loan purchaser. These indemnification requests and the repurchase proceeding are not included in the repurchase pipeline.

Private Mortgage Insurance

Private mortgage insurance (MI) was required by GSE rules for certain of the loans sold to GSEs and was also provided for certain of the loans that were securitized. MI generally was provided for the first lien loans sold or securitized having a loan-to-value ratio at origination of greater than 80 percent. Although unresolved MI cancellation notices are not formal repurchase requests, FHN includes these in the active repurchase request pipeline. FHN tracks and monitors MI cancellation notices received and considers the amount of loans sold to GSEs where MI coverage has ultimately been lost when assessing the overall adequacy of FHN's repurchase liability. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, \$393.7 million and \$208.9 million, respectively, of loans sold or securitized have lost MI coverage.

Established Repurchase Liability

In second quarter 2012, significant new information was received from Fannie Mae, including information relating to loans previously transferred and no longer serviced by FHN. As a result, FHN revised its estimate of losses associated with repurchase obligations for loans sold to Fannie and Freddie. Such new information includes: Trends and status of loans currently selected for review and potential repurchase demand; information about loans, by vintage year, concerning portfolio attrition, delinquency, default, payoff, and unpaid balance; and information supporting anticipated future selections (for review and potential repurchase demand) from liquidated loans and seriously delinquent loan pools. Based on this new information and experience to date, FHN has evaluated its loan repurchase exposure as mentioned above and has accrued for losses of \$360.5 million and \$171.9 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. A vast majority of this liability relates to obligations associated with the sale of first lien mortgages to GSEs through the legacy mortgage banking business. Accrued liabilities for FHN's estimate of these obligations are reflected in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Charges to increase the liability are included within Repurchase and foreclosure provision on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. The estimate is based upon currently available information and fact patterns that exist as of the balance sheet date and could be subject to future changes. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of FHN's liability.

Servicing and Foreclosure Practices

FHN services a predominately first lien mortgage loan portfolio with an unpaid principal balance of approximately \$21 billion as of June 30, 2012. A substantial portion of the first lien portfolio is serviced through a servicer. The first lien portfolio is held primarily by private security holders and GSEs, with less significant portions held by other private investors. In connection with its servicing activities, FHN collects

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

and remits the principal and interest payments on the underlying loans for the account of the appropriate investor. In the event of delinquency or non-payment on a loan in a private or agency securitization: (1) the terms of the private securities agreements generally require FHN, as servicer, to continue to make monthly advances of principal and interest (P&I) to the trustee for the benefit of the investors; and (2) the terms of the majority of the agency agreements may require the servicer to make advances of P&I, or in certain circumstances to repurchase the loan out of the trust pool. In the event payments are ultimately made by FHN to satisfy this obligation, P&I advances and servicer advances are recoverable from: (1) the liquidation proceeds of the property securing the loan, in the case of private securitizations and (2) the proceeds of the foreclosure sale by the

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)**

government agency, in the case of government agency-owned loans. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, FHN has recognized servicing and P&I advances of \$318.9 million and \$281.3 million, respectively. Servicing and P&I advances are included in Other assets on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

FHN is subject to losses in its loan servicing portfolio due to loan foreclosures. Foreclosure exposure arises from certain government agency agreements which limit the agency's repayment guarantees on foreclosed loans, resulting in losses to the servicer. Foreclosure exposure also includes real estate costs, marketing costs, and costs to maintain properties, especially during protracted resale periods in geographic areas of the country negatively impacted by declining home values.

For several years governmental officials and agencies have scrutinized industry foreclosure practices, particularly in judicial foreclosure states. The initial focus on judicial foreclosure practices of financial institutions nationwide expanded to include non-judicial foreclosure and loss mitigation practices including the effective coordination by servicers of foreclosure and loss mitigation activities. All of the changes to servicing practices arising out of this activity including those described below could impact FHN through increased operational and legal costs. FHN continues to review, monitor, and revise, as appropriate, its foreclosure processes and coordinated loss mitigation practices with the goal of conforming them to evolving servicing requirements.

FHN's national mortgage and servicing platforms were sold in August 2008 and the related servicing activities, including foreclosure and loss mitigation practices, of the still-owned portion of FHN's mortgage servicing portfolio was outsourced through a three year subservicing arrangement (the 2008 subservicing agreement) with the platform buyer (the 2008 subservicer). The 2008 subservicing agreement expired in August 2011. In 2011, FHN entered into a replacement agreement with a new subservicer (the 2011 subservicer).

In 2011 regulators entered into consent decrees with several institutions requiring comprehensive revision of loan modification and foreclosure processes, including the remediation of borrowers that have experienced financial harm. The 2008 subservicer is subject to a consent decree and its parent company recently agreed to pay related monetary sanctions. The 2008 subservicer has advised FHN that it has implemented or was in the process of implementing the new standards as the subservicing relationship terminated in August 2011. In accordance with the terms of the consent decree, the 2008 subservicer had commenced an independent third party assessment of its foreclosure processes and is participating in a regulator-prescribed foreclosure claims review process which is scheduled for completion on December 31, 2012. As a result of the above examinations, in June 2011 the OCC issued Supervisory Guidance relating to Foreclosure Management setting forth the OCC's expectations for the oversight and management of mortgage foreclosure activities for national banks, and requiring self-assessments of foreclosure management practices including compliance with legal requirements, testing and file review. FHN has reviewed the Supervisory Guidance and utilized a third party consultant to assist in its self-assessment of its foreclosure management process relating to all its mortgage servicing, including that conducted by the 2011 subservicer. The assessment of FHN and the 2011 subservicer was completed in the fourth quarter of 2011; FHN is implementing changes to strengthen its processes including additional oversight and review processes. Also in connection with the 2008 subservicer's third party assessment process, FHN and its 2011 subservicer will cooperate with the 2008 subservicer to identify and correct any servicing deficiencies in foreclosure or loss mitigation that might impact FHN's subserviced loans including claims identified in the consent decree claim review process.

Under FHN's 2008 subservicing agreement, the 2008 subservicer had the contractual right to follow FHN's prior servicing practices as they existed 180 days prior to August 2008 until the 2008 subservicer became aware that such practices did not comply with applicable servicing requirements, subject to subservicer's obligation to follow accepted servicing practices, applicable law, and new requirements, including evolving interpretations of such practices, law and requirements. FHN cannot predict the amount of additional operating costs related to foreclosure delays, including required process changes, increased default services, extended periods of servicing advances and the recoverability of such advances, legal expenses, or other costs that may be incurred as a result of the internal reviews or external actions. In the event of a dispute such as that described below between FHN and 2008 subservicer over any liabilities for subservicer's servicing and management of foreclosure or loss mitigation processes, FHN cannot predict the costs that may be incurred.

FHN's 2008 subservicer has presented invoices and made demands under the 2008 subservicing agreement that FHN pay certain costs related to tax service contracts in connection with FHN's transfer of subservicing to its 2011 subservicer in the amount of \$5.8 million. The 2008 subservicer also is seeking reimbursement for expenditures the 2008 subservicer has incurred or anticipates it will incur under the consent decree and Supervisory Guidance relating to foreclosure review (collectively, foreclosure review), alleging that FHN has either an implied agreement to participate in and share in such cost or was obligated to do so under the subservicing agreement. The foreclosure review expenditures for which the 2008 subservicer presently seeks reimbursement are approximately \$7.4 million. The 2008 subservicer has indicated that additional reimbursement requests will be made as the foreclosure review continues. FHN disputes that it has any responsibility or liability for either

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

demand under the 2008 subservicing agreement or otherwise. In the event that the 2008 subservicer pursues its position through litigation, FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to defend itself vigorously.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures (Continued)*****Other Disclosures Visa Matters***

FHN is a member of the Visa USA network. On October 3, 2007, the Visa organization of affiliated entities completed a series of global restructuring transactions to combine its affiliated operating companies, including Visa USA, under a single holding company, Visa Inc. (Visa). Upon completion of the reorganization, the members of the Visa USA network remained contingently liable for certain Visa litigation matters. Based on its proportionate membership share of Visa USA, FHN recognized a contingent liability in fourth quarter 2007 related to this contingent obligation. In March 2008, Visa completed its initial public offering (IPO) and funded an escrow account from its IPO proceeds to be used to make payments related to the Visa litigation matters. FHN received approximately 2.4 million Class B shares in conjunction with Visa s IPO.

FHN s Visa shares are included in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at their historical cost of \$0. Conversion of these shares into class A shares of Visa and, with limited exceptions, transfer of these shares is restricted until the final resolution of the covered litigation. As a result of Visa s escrow funding in December 2011, the conversion ratio was reduced to 43 percent in February 2012, effective as of December 29, 2011. On July 13, 2012, Visa and MasterCard announced a joint settlement related to outstanding litigation matters. Based on the amount of the settlement attributable to Visa, and an assessment of FHN s contingent liability accrued for Visa litigation matters, the settlement did not have material impact on FHN. Visa funded an additional \$150 million into the escrow account in July 2012, which resulted in a decline in the conversion rate to 42 percent. Future funding of the escrow will dilute this exchange rate by an amount that is yet to be determined.

In conjunction with the prior sales of Visa class B shares in December 2010 and September 2011, FHN and the purchasers entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa class B shares into Visa class A shares is adjusted. In March 2011, Visa deposited an additional \$400 million into the escrow account. Accordingly, FHN reduced its contingent liability by \$3.3 million to \$1.4 million through a credit to noninterest expense in first quarter 2011. This escrow funding also resulted in a cash payment to the derivative counterparty of \$.7 million in second quarter 2011. In July 2012, FHN made a payment to the counterparty as a result of Visa s escrow funding.

As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the derivative liabilities were \$3.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively.

FHN now holds approximately 1.1 million Visa Class B shares.

Other Disclosures Indemnification Agreements and Guarantees

In the ordinary course of business, FHN enters into indemnification agreements for legal proceedings against its directors and officers and standard representations and warranties for underwriting agreements, merger and acquisition agreements, loan sales, contractual commitments, and various other business transactions or arrangements. The extent of FHN s obligations under these agreements depends upon the occurrence of future events; therefore, it is not possible to estimate a maximum potential amount of payouts that could be required with such agreements.

Table of Contents**Note 10 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits**

Pension plan. FHN sponsors a noncontributory, qualified defined benefit pension plan to employees hired or re-hired on or before September 1, 2007. Pension benefits are based on years of service, average compensation near retirement or other termination, and estimated social security benefits at age 65. The contributions are based upon actuarially determined amounts necessary to fund the total benefit obligation. FHN did not make any contributions to the qualified pension plan in 2011. Future decisions will be based upon pension funding requirements under the Pension Protection Act, the maximum deductible under the Internal Revenue Code, and the actual performance of plan assets. Management has assessed the need for future fund contributions, and does not currently anticipate that FHN will make a contribution to the qualified pension plan in 2012.

FHN also maintains non-qualified plans including a supplemental retirement plan that covers certain employees whose benefits under the pension plan have been limited. These other non-qualified pension plans are unfunded, and contributions to these plans cover all benefits paid under the non-qualified plans. Contributions to non-qualified plans were \$3.8 million for 2011 and FHN anticipates making a \$9 million contribution in 2012.

In 2009, FHN's Board of Directors determined that the accrual of benefits under the qualified pension plan and the supplemental retirement plan would cease as of December 31, 2012. After that date, employees currently in the pension plan, and those currently in the Employee Non-voluntary Elective Contribution (ENEC) program, will be able to participate in the FHN savings plan with a profit sharing feature and an increased company match rate. After that time, pension status will not affect a person's ability to participate in any savings plan feature.

Savings plan. The Employee Non-voluntary Elective Contribution (ENEC) program was added under the FHN savings plan and is provided only to employees who are not eligible for the pension plan. With the ENEC program, FHN will generally make contributions to eligible employees' savings plan accounts based upon company performance. Contribution amounts will be a percentage of each employee's base salary (as defined in the savings plan) earned the prior year. FHN contributed \$1.3 million for the plan in 2011 related to the 2010 plan year, and FHN contributed \$1.5 million for the plan in 2012 related to the 2011 plan year. All contributions made to eligible employees' savings plan accounts in relation to the ENEC program are invested in company stock.

Other employee benefits. FHN provides postretirement life insurance benefits to certain employees and also provides postretirement medical insurance to retirement-eligible employees. The postretirement medical plan is contributory with retiree contributions adjusted annually and is based on criteria that are a combination of the employee's age and years of service. For any employee retiring on or after January 1, 1995, FHN contributes a fixed amount based on years of service and age at the time of retirement. FHN's postretirement benefits include prescription drug benefits. The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 (the Act) introduced a prescription drug benefit under Medicare Part D as well as a federal subsidy to sponsors of retiree health care that provide a benefit that is actuarially equivalent to Medicare Part D. FHN currently anticipates receiving a prescription drug subsidy under the Act through 2015.

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the three months ended June 30 are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Pension Benefits | | Other Benefits | |
|--|------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 4,351 | \$ 4,301 | \$ 124 | \$ 144 |
| Interest cost | 8,295 | 8,147 | 556 | 552 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (9,947) | (11,724) | (230) | (297) |
| Amortization of unrecognized: | | | | |
| Transition (asset)/obligation | | | 184 | 246 |
| Prior service cost/(credit) | 100 | 104 | (2) | (2) |
| Actuarial (gain)/loss | 8,824 | 5,027 | (153) | (260) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 11,623 | \$ 5,855 | \$ 479 | \$ 383 |

Table of Contents**Note 10 Pension, Savings, and Other Employee Benefits (Continued)**

The components of net periodic benefit cost for the six months ended June 30 are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Pension Benefits | | Other Benefits | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost | | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 8,703 | \$ 8,602 | \$ 248 | \$ 288 |
| Interest cost | 16,589 | 16,294 | 1,112 | 1,104 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (19,893) | (23,446) | (461) | (596) |
| Amortization of unrecognized: | | | | |
| Transition (asset)/obligation | | | 368 | 492 |
| Prior service cost/(credit) | 199 | 208 | (4) | (4) |
| Actuarial (gain)/loss | 17,648 | 10,054 | (306) | (520) |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 23,246 | \$ 11,712 | \$ 957 | \$ 764 |

Table of Contents**Note 11 Business Segment Information**

Periodically, FHN adapts its segments to reflect managerial or strategic changes. FHN may also modify its methodology of allocating expenses among segments which could change historical segment results. In 2011, FHN sold First Horizon Insurance, Inc. (FHI), the former subsidiary of First Tennessee Bank which provided property and casualty insurance to customers in over 40 states, Highland Capital Management Corporation (Highland), the former subsidiary of First Horizon National Corporation which provided asset management services, and First Horizon Msaver, Inc. (Msaver), the former subsidiary of First Tennessee Bank which provided administrative services for health savings accounts. The results of operations for these divested businesses have been included in the Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented.

FHN has four business segments: regional banking, capital markets, corporate, and non-strategic. The regional banking segment offers financial products and services, including traditional lending and deposit taking, to retail and commercial customers in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Regional banking provides investments, financial planning, trust services and asset management, credit card, cash management, and first lien mortgage originations within the Tennessee footprint. Additionally, the regional banking segment includes correspondent banking which provides credit, depository, and other banking related services to other financial institutions. The capital markets segment consists of fixed income sales, trading, and strategies for institutional clients in the U.S. and abroad, as well as loan sales, portfolio advisory, and derivative sales. The corporate segment consists of gains on the extinguishment of debt, unallocated corporate expenses, expense on subordinated debt issuances, bank-owned life insurance, unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, low income housing investment activities, and various charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency. The non-strategic segment consists of the wind-down national consumer lending activities, legacy mortgage banking elements including servicing fees, and the associated ancillary revenues and expenses related to these businesses. Non-strategic also includes the wind-down trust preferred loan portfolio and exited businesses along with the associated restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency charges.

Total revenue, expense, and asset levels reflect those which are specifically identifiable or which are allocated based on internal allocation method. Because the allocations are based on internally developed assignments and allocations, they are to an extent subjective. This assignment and allocation has been consistently applied for all periods presented. The following table reflects the amounts of consolidated revenue, expense, tax, and assets for each segment for the three and six months ended June 30:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Consolidated | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 172,675 | \$ 172,860 | \$ 344,604 | \$ 345,615 |
| Provision for loan losses | 15,000 | 1,000 | 23,000 | 2,000 |
| Noninterest income | 158,907 | 187,593 | 361,348 | 383,929 |
| Noninterest expense | 527,177 | 344,455 | 849,171 | 658,252 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | (210,595) | 14,998 | (166,219) | 69,292 |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (88,178) | (4,167) | (77,608) | 7,995 |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | (122,417) | 19,165 | (88,611) | 61,297 |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 487 | 3,671 | 52 | 4,541 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (121,930) | \$ 22,836 | \$ (88,559) | \$ 65,838 |
| Average assets | \$ 25,014,635 | \$ 24,518,015 | \$ 25,107,504 | \$ 24,543,948 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Table of Contents**Note 11 Business Segment Information (Continued)**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | June 30 2011 | 2012 | June 30 2011 |
| Regional Banking | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 147,204 | \$ 135,583 | \$ 293,277 | \$ 269,942 |
| Provision/(provision credit) for loan losses | 4,828 | (13,748) | (2,598) | (26,152) |
| Noninterest income | 64,838 | 67,853 | 124,739 | 134,174 |
| Noninterest expense | 142,428 | 143,346 | 281,787 | 291,140 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | 64,786 | 73,838 | 138,827 | 139,128 |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | 23,362 | 27,075 | 50,375 | 50,968 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 41,424 | \$ 46,763 | \$ 88,452 | \$ 88,160 |
| Average assets | \$ 12,354,917 | \$ 11,113,268 | \$ 12,292,457 | \$ 11,095,675 |
| Capital Markets | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 5,613 | \$ 5,509 | \$ 11,297 | \$ 11,012 |
| Noninterest income | 74,964 | 77,925 | 181,739 | 168,005 |
| Noninterest expense | 60,886 | 103,383 | 141,188 | 176,946 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | 19,691 | (19,949) | 51,848 | 2,071 |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | 7,421 | (7,756) | 19,661 | 650 |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ 12,270 | \$ (12,193) | \$ 32,187 | \$ 1,421 |
| Average assets | \$ 2,384,449 | \$ 2,259,309 | \$ 2,367,635 | \$ 2,160,843 |
| Corporate | | | | |
| Net interest income/(expense) | \$ (4,110) | \$ 412 | \$ (8,837) | \$ 81 |
| Noninterest income | 3,838 | 8,850 | 13,104 | 21,558 |
| Noninterest expense | 19,374 | 36,287 | 41,895 | 56,959 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | (19,646) | (27,025) | (37,628) | (35,320) |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (12,922) | (18,917) | (24,727) | (29,537) |
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (6,724) | \$ (8,108) | \$ (12,901) | \$ (5,783) |
| Average assets | \$ 5,295,278 | \$ 5,089,016 | \$ 5,362,173 | \$ 5,099,088 |
| Non-Strategic | | | | |
| Net interest income | \$ 23,968 | \$ 31,356 | \$ 48,867 | \$ 64,580 |
| Provision for loan losses | 10,172 | 14,748 | 25,598 | 28,152 |
| Noninterest income | 15,267 | 32,965 | 41,766 | 60,192 |
| Noninterest expense | 304,489 | 61,439 | 384,301 | 133,207 |
| Income/(loss) before income taxes | (275,426) | (11,866) | (319,266) | (36,587) |
| Provision/(benefit) for income taxes | (106,039) | (4,569) | (122,917) | (14,086) |
| Income/(loss) from continuing operations | (169,387) | (7,297) | (196,349) | (22,501) |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax | 487 | 3,671 | 52 | 4,541 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net income/(loss) | \$ (168,900) | \$ (3,626) | \$ (196,297) | \$ (17,960) |
| Average assets | \$ 4,979,991 | \$ 6,056,422 | \$ 5,085,239 | \$ 6,188,342 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Table of Contents**Note 12 Loan Sales and Securitizations**

Prior to 2009, FHN utilized loan sales and securitizations as a significant source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. Since that time FHN has focused the originations of mortgages within its regional banking footprint. FHN no longer retains financial interests in loans it transfers to third parties. During second quarter 2012, FHN transferred \$45.4 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$1.1 million of net pre-tax gains. During second quarter 2011, FHN transferred \$54.4 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$.9 million of net pre-tax gains. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, FHN transferred \$98.8 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$2.0 million of net pre-tax gains. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, FHN transferred \$192.6 million of single-family residential mortgage loans in whole loan sales resulting in \$3.5 million of net pre-tax gains.

Retained Interests

Interests retained from prior loan sales, including GSE securitizations, typically included MSR, excess interest, and principal-only strips. Excess interest represents rights to receive interest from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified rates. Principal-only strips are principal cash flow tranches. MSR were initially valued at fair value and the remaining retained interests were initially valued by allocating the remaining cost basis of the loan between the security or loan sold and the remaining retained interests based on their relative fair values at the time of sale or securitization.

In certain cases, FHN continues to service and receive servicing fees related to the transferred loans. During second quarter 2012 and 2011, FHN received annual servicing fees approximating .29 percent of the outstanding balance of underlying single-family residential mortgage loans and .34 percent inclusive of income related to excess interest. In second quarter 2012 and 2011, FHN received annual servicing fees approximating .50 percent of the outstanding balance of underlying loans for HELOC and home equity loans transferred. MSR related to loans transferred and serviced by FHN, as well as MSR related to loans serviced by FHN and transferred by others, are discussed further in Note 5 Mortgage Servicing Rights.

The sensitivity of the fair value of all retained or purchased MSR to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

| | June 30, 2012 | | | June 30, 2011 | | |
|---|---------------|--------------|----------|---------------|--------------|----------|
| | First Liens | Second Liens | HELOC | First Liens | Second Liens | HELOC |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands except for annual cost to service)</i> | | | | | | |
| Fair value of retained interests | \$ 126,085 | \$ 215 | \$ 2,991 | \$ 183,530 | \$ 251 | \$ 3,177 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 3.9 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 4.3 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
| Annual prepayment rate | 21.4% | 26.0% | 26.6% | 20.0% | 26.0% | 29.4% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (6,698) | \$ (14) | \$ (179) | \$ (9,109) | \$ (16) | \$ (229) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (12,792) | (26) | (344) | (17,448) | (31) | (439) |
| Annual discount rate on servicing cash flows | 11.8% | 14.0% | 18.0% | 11.6% | 14.0% | 18.0% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (3,486) | \$ (6) | \$ (93) | \$ (5,304) | \$ (7) | \$ (96) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (6,765) | (11) | (181) | (10,273) | (14) | (187) |
| Annual cost to service (per loan) (a) | \$ 116 | \$ 50 | \$ 50 | \$ 118 | \$ 50 | \$ 50 |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | (3,014) | (5) | (46) | (3,830) | (6) | (51) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (6,007) | (10) | (91) | (7,633) | (11) | (101) |
| Annual earnings on escrow | 1.4% | | | 1.4% | | |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (824) | | | \$ (1,727) | | |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (1,648) | | | (3,454) | | |

(a) Amounts represent market participant based assumptions.

Table of Contents**Note 12 Loan Sales and Securitizations (Continued)**

The sensitivity of the fair value of other retained interests to immediate 10 percent and 20 percent adverse changes in assumptions on June 30, 2012 and 2011, are as follows:

| | June 30, 2012 | | June 30, 2011 | |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | Excess Interest IO | Certificated PO | Excess Interest IO | Certificated PO |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Fair value of retained interests | \$ 14,669 | \$ 5,667 | \$ 22,643 | \$ 8,775 |
| Weighted average life (in years) | 3.9 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| Annual prepayment rate | 19.2% | 32.0% | 17.1% | 25.6% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (678) | \$ (321) | \$ (978) | \$ (303) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (1,304) | (673) | (1,893) | (594) |
| Annual discount rate on residual cash flows | 13.3% | 82.2% | 13.1% | 18.7% |
| Impact on fair value of 10% adverse change | \$ (548) | \$ (253) | \$ (926) | \$ (439) |
| Impact on fair value of 20% adverse change | (1,053) | (482) | (1,773) | (872) |

These sensitivities are hypothetical and should not be considered predictive of future performance. As the figures indicate, changes in fair value based on a 10 percent variation in assumptions cannot necessarily be extrapolated because the relationship between the change in assumption and the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect on the fair value of the retained interest caused by a particular assumption variation is calculated independently from all other assumption changes. In reality, changes in one factor may result in changes in another, which might magnify or mitigate the sensitivities. Furthermore, the estimated fair values, as disclosed, should not be considered indicative of future earnings on these assets.

Prepayment rates and credit spreads (part of the discount rate) are significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of FHN's MSR and excess interest IO. Cost to service and earnings on escrow are additional unobservable inputs included in the valuation of MSR. Increases in prepayment rates, credit spreads and costs to service in isolation would result in significantly lower fair value measurements for the associated assets. Conversely, decreases in prepayment rates, credit spreads and costs to service in isolation would result in significantly higher fair value measurements for the associated assets. An increase/(decrease) in earnings on escrow in isolation would be accompanied by an increase/(decrease) in the value of the related MSR. Generally, when market interest rates decline and other factors favorable to prepayments occur, there is a corresponding increase in prepayment rates as customers are expected to refinance existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. Generally, changes in discount rates directionally mirror the changes in market interest rates.

The MSR Hedging Committee reviews the overall assessment of the estimated fair value of MSR and excess interests monthly and is responsible for approving the critical assumptions used by management to determine the estimated fair value of FHN's retained interests. In addition, this committee reviews the source of significant changes to the carrying values each quarter and is responsible for current hedges and approving hedging strategies.

FHN also engages in a process referred to as price discovery on a quarterly basis to assess the reasonableness of the estimated fair value of retained interests. Price discovery is conducted through a process of obtaining the following information: (1) quarterly informal (and an annual formal) valuation of the servicing portfolio by prominent independent mortgage-servicing brokers and (2) a collection of surveys and benchmarking data made available by independent third parties that include peer participants in the mortgage banking business. Although there is no single source of market information that can be relied upon to assess the fair value of MSR or excess interests, FHN reviews all information obtained during price discovery to determine whether the estimated fair value of MSR is reasonable when compared to market information. FHN determined that the MSR and excess interests valuations and assumptions in second quarter 2012 and 2011 were reasonable based on the price discovery process.

Table of Contents**Note 12 Loan Sales and Securitizations (Continued)**

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, cash flows received and paid related to loan sales and securitizations were as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Proceeds from initial sales | \$ 46,452 | \$ 54,621 | \$ 100,748 | \$ 193,823 |
| Servicing fees retained (a) | 15,494 | 19,843 | 33,226 | 41,335 |
| Purchases of GNMA guaranteed mortgages | 27,684 | 15,704 | 62,715 | 37,911 |
| Purchases of previously transferred financial assets (b) | 75,118 | 88,444 | 141,918 | 141,636 |
| Other cash flows received on retained interests | 3,554 | 1,859 | 5,219 | 4,062 |

- (a) Includes servicing fees on MSR associated with loan sales and purchased MSR.
 (b) Includes repurchases of both delinquent and performing loans, foreclosed assets, and make-whole payments for economic losses incurred by purchaser. Also includes buyouts from GSEs in order to facilitate foreclosures.

The principal amount of loans transferred through loan sales and securitizations and other loans managed with them, the principal amount delinquent loans, and the net credit losses during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Principal Amount of Residential Real Estate Loans (a) (b) (c) On June 30 | | Net Credit Losses (c) | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------|----------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Total loans managed or transferred | \$ 16,616,012 | \$ 19,703,040 | \$ 112,398 | \$ 127,649 | \$ 228,884 | \$ 255,998 |

- (a) Amounts represent real estate residential loans in FHN's portfolio, held-for-sale, and loans that have been transferred in proprietary securitizations and whole loan sales in which FHN has a retained interest other than servicing rights. Also includes \$6.9 billion and \$8.9 billion of loans transferred to GSEs with any type of retained interest on June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
 (b) On June 30, 2012 and 2011, includes \$.7 billion and \$.9 billion, respectively, where the principal amount is 90 days or more past due or nonaccrual. Included in these amounts are \$38.8 million and \$39.9 million of GNMA guaranteed mortgages on June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
 (c) No delinquency or net credit loss data is provided for the loans transferred to FNMA or FHLMC because these agencies retain credit risk. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for discussion related to repurchase obligations for loans transferred to GSEs or private investors.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Variable Interest Entities**

ASC 810 defines a VIE as an entity where the equity investors, as a group, lack either (1) the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities of an entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, (2) the obligation to absorb the expected losses of the entity, (3) the right to receive the expected residual returns of the entity, or (4) when the equity investors, as a group, do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities by itself. A variable interest is a contractual ownership, or other interest, that fluctuates with changes in the fair value of the VIE's net assets exclusive of variable interests. Under ASC 810, as amended, a primary beneficiary is required to consolidate a VIE when it has a variable interest in a VIE that provides it with a controlling financial interest. For such purposes, the determination of whether a controlling financial interest exists is based on whether a single party has both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking and consumer lending operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. In situations where the retention of MSR and other retained interests, including residual interests and subordinated bonds, results in FHN potentially absorbing losses or receiving benefits that are significant to the trusts, FHN is considered the primary beneficiary, as it is also assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIEs. Consolidation of the trusts results in the recognition of the trusts' proceeds as restricted borrowings since the cash flows on the securitized loans can only be used to settle the obligations due to the holders of the trusts' securities.

Included in the June 30, 2012 balance of consolidated proprietary residential mortgage securitizations is one HELOC securitization trust that has entered a rapid amortization period and for which FHN is obligated to provide subordinated funding. During this period, cash payments from borrowers are accumulated to repay outstanding debt securities while FHN continues to make advances to borrowers when they draw on their lines of credit. FHN then transfers the newly generated receivables into the securitization trust and is reimbursed only after other parties in the securitization have received all of the cash flows to which they are entitled. If loan losses requiring draws on the related monoline insurers' policies, which protect bondholders in the securitization, exceed a certain level, FHN may not receive reimbursement for all of the funds advanced to borrowers, as the senior bondholders and the monoline insurers have priority for repayment. This securitization trust is currently consolidated by FHN due to its status as the Master Servicer for the securitization and the retention of a significant residual interest. Consistent with the consolidated nature of this trust, amounts funded from monoline insurance policies are considered as additional restricted term borrowings in FHN's Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

In first quarter 2012, FHN agreed with the monoline insurers for two of FHN's proprietary consumer loan securitizations to relinquish its status as Master Servicer. Accordingly, these trusts were de-consolidated prospectively from the time of the agreement.

In March 2012, FHN completed cleanup calls on three previously consolidated on-balance sheet consumer loan securitizations and the associated trusts were extinguished. One additional cleanup call was completed in April 2012.

FHN has established certain rabbi trusts related to deferred compensation plans offered to its employees. FHN contributes employee cash compensation deferrals to the trusts and directs the underlying investments made by the trusts. The assets of these trusts are available to FHN's creditors only in the event that FHN becomes insolvent. These trusts are considered VIEs as there is no equity at risk in the trusts because FHN provided the equity interest to its employees in exchange for services rendered. FHN is considered the primary beneficiary of the rabbi trusts as it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the rabbi trusts through its ability to direct the underlying investments made by the trusts. Additionally, FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts due to its right to receive any asset values in excess of liability payoffs and its obligation to fund any liabilities to employees that are in excess of a rabbi trust's assets.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)**

The following table summarizes VIEs consolidated by FHN as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitizations Carrying Value | Rabbi Trusts Used for Deferred Compensation Plans Carrying Value | On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitizations Carrying Value | Rabbi Trusts Used for Deferred Compensation Plans Carrying Value |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | N/A | \$ 1,805 | N/A |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 129,319 | N/A | 694,031 | N/A |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | 6,046 | N/A | 33,030 | N/A |
| Total net loans | 123,273 | N/A | 661,001 | N/A |
| Other assets | 2,606 | 59,650 | 13,983 | 62,207 |
| Total assets | \$ 125,879 | \$ 59,650 | \$ 676,789 | \$ 62,207 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ | \$ | \$ 903 | N/A |
| Term borrowings | 120,553 | N/A | 682,764 | N/A |
| Other liabilities | 21 | 48,991 | 49 | 55,387 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 120,574 | \$ 48,991 | \$ 683,716 | \$ 55,387 |

Nonconsolidated Variable Interest Entities

Low Income Housing Partnerships. First Tennessee Housing Corporation (FTHC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FTBNA, makes equity investments as a limited partner in various partnerships that sponsor affordable housing projects utilizing the Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) pursuant to Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support FHN 's community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the limited partnerships include the identification, development, and operation of multi-family housing that is leased to qualifying residential tenants generally within FHN 's primary geographic region. LIHTC partnerships are considered VIEs because FTHC, as the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the performance of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. FTHC could absorb losses that are significant to the LIHTC partnerships as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions and funding commitments to each partnership. The general partners are considered the primary beneficiaries because managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the partnerships ' economic performance and the general partners are exposed to all losses beyond FTHC 's initial capital contributions and funding commitments.

New Market Tax Credit LLCs. First Tennessee New Markets Corporation (FTNMC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of FTBNA, makes equity investments through wholly-owned subsidiaries as a limited member in various limited liability companies (LLCs) that sponsor community development projects utilizing the New Market Tax Credit (NMTC) pursuant to Section 45 of the Internal Revenue Code. The purpose of these investments is to achieve a satisfactory return on capital and to support FHN 's community reinvestment initiatives. The activities of the LLCs include serving or providing investment capital for low-income communities within FHN 's primary geographic region. A portion of the funding of FTNMC 's investment in an NMTC LLC is obtained via a loan from an unrelated third-party that is typically a community development enterprise. The NMTC LLCs are considered VIEs because FTNMC, as the holder of the equity investment at risk, does not have the ability to direct the activities that most significantly affect the performance of the entity through voting rights or similar rights. While FTNMC could absorb losses that are significant to the NMTC LLCs as it has a risk of loss for its initial capital contributions, the managing members are

considered the primary beneficiaries because managerial functions give them the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the NMTC LLCs' economic performance and the managing members are exposed to all losses beyond FTNMC's initial capital contributions.

Small Issuer Trust Preferred Holdings. FTBNA holds variable interests in trusts which have issued mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities (trust preferreds) for smaller banking and insurance enterprises. FTBNA has no voting rights for the trusts' activities. The trusts' only assets are junior subordinated debentures of the issuing enterprises. The creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FTBNA. These trusts meet the definition of a VIE because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. Based on the nature of the trusts' activities and the size of FTBNA's holdings, FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts regardless of whether a majority of a trust's securities are held by FTBNA. However, since FTBNA is solely a holder of the trusts' securities, it has no rights which would give it the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance and thus it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)**

On-Balance Sheet Trust Preferred Securitization. In 2007, FTBNA executed a securitization of certain small issuer trust preferreds for which the underlying trust meets the definition of a VIE because the holders of the equity investment at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. FTBNA could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trust based on the size and priority of the interests it retained in the securities issued by the trust. However, since FTBNA did not retain servicing or other decision making rights, FTBNA is not the primary beneficiary as it does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trust's economic performance. Accordingly, FTBNA has accounted for the funds received through the securitization as a term borrowing in its Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. FTBNA has no contractual requirement to provide financial support to the trust.

Proprietary Trust Preferred Issuances. FHN has previously issued junior subordinated debt totaling \$206.2 million to First Tennessee Capital II (Capital II). Capital II is considered a VIE because FHN's capital contributions to this trust are not considered at risk in evaluating whether the holders of the equity investments at risk in the trust have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. FHN is not the trust's primary beneficiary because FHN's capital contributions to the trust are not considered variable interests as they are not at risk. Consequently, Capital II is not consolidated by FHN.

Proprietary & Agency Residential Mortgage Securitizations. FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its mortgage banking operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. While FHN is assumed to have the power as servicer to most significantly impact the activities of such VIEs, in situations where FHN does not have the ability to participate in significant portions of a securitization trust's cash flows, it is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trust. Therefore, these trusts are not consolidated by FHN.

Prior to third quarter 2008, FHN transferred first lien mortgages that were included in Agency-sponsored securitizations and retained MSR and in certain situations various other interests. Except for recourse due to breaches of standard representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Additionally, FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts. The Agencies or designated third parties status as Master Servicer and the rights they hold consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued provide them with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. Thus, such trusts are not consolidated by FHN as it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts.

In relation to certain agency securitizations, FHN purchased the servicing rights on the securitized loans from the loan originator and holds other retained interests. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. As the agencies serve as Master Servicer for the securitized loans and hold rights consistent with their guarantees on the securities issued, they have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance. Thus, FHN is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where it could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

On-Balance Sheet Consumer Loan Securitizations. FHN holds variable interests in proprietary residential mortgage securitization trusts it established prior to 2008 as a source of liquidity for its consumer lending operations. Except for recourse due to breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN in connection with the sale of the loans to the trusts, the creditors of the trusts hold no recourse to the assets of FHN. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts are considered VIEs as the holders of equity at risk do not have the power through voting rights or similar rights to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance.

The non-consolidated proprietary residential mortgage securitizations as of June 30, 2012 consist of two HELOC securitization trusts that have entered a rapid amortization period and for which FHN is obligated to provide subordinated funding. During this period, cash payments from borrowers are accumulated to repay outstanding debt securities while FHN continues to make advances to borrowers when they draw on their lines of credit. FHN then transfers the newly generated receivables into securitization trusts and is reimbursed only after other parties in the securitization have received all of the cash flows to which they are entitled. If loan losses requiring draws on the related monoline insurers policies, which protect bondholders in the securitization, exceed a certain level, FHN may not receive reimbursement for all of the funds advanced to borrowers, as the senior bondholders and the monoline insurers have priority for repayment. These securitization trusts are not

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

consolidated by FHN because it is not the Master Servicer for the securitizations. FHN's holding of a unilateral call right to reclaim specific assets in the trusts precludes sale accounting for the related securitization transactions. Thus, even though FHN is not the Master Servicer, the related transactions are accounted for as secured borrowings, with the associated loans and secured debt remaining within FHN's Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)**

Holdings & Short Positions in Agency Mortgage-Backed Securities. FHN holds securities issued by various agency securitization trusts. Based on their restrictive nature, the trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power through voting rights, or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. FHN could potentially receive benefits or absorb losses that are significant to the trusts based on the nature of the trusts' activities and the size of FHN's holdings. However, FHN is solely a holder of the trusts' securities and does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts' economic performance, and is not considered the primary beneficiary of the trusts. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

Commercial Loan Troubled Debt Restructurings. For certain troubled commercial loans, FTBNA restructures the terms of the borrower's debt in an effort to increase the probability of receipt of amounts contractually due. Following a troubled debt restructuring, the borrower entity typically meets the definition of a VIE as the initial determination of whether an entity is a VIE must be reconsidered and economic events have proven that the entity's equity is not sufficient to permit it to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support or a restructuring of the terms of its financing. As FTBNA does not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact such troubled commercial borrowers' operations, it is not considered the primary beneficiary even in situations where, based on the size of the financing provided, FTBNA is exposed to potentially significant benefits and losses of the borrowing entity. FTBNA has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the borrowing entities beyond certain funding commitments established upon restructuring of the terms of the debt that allows for preparation of the underlying collateral for sale.

Managed Discretionary Trusts. FHN serves as manager over certain discretionary trusts, for which it makes investment decisions on behalf of the trusts' beneficiaries in return for a reasonable management fee. The trusts meet the definition of a VIE since the holders of the equity investments at risk do not have the power, through voting rights or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entities' economic performance. The management fees FHN receives are not considered variable interests in the trusts as all of the requirements related to permitted levels of decision maker fees are met. Therefore, the VIEs are not consolidated by FHN because it is not the trusts' primary beneficiary. FHN has no contractual requirements to provide financial support to the trusts.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)**

The following table summarizes FHN's nonconsolidated VIEs as of June 30, 2012:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Maximum Loss Exposure | Liability Recognized | Classification |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Type | | | |
| Low income housing partnerships (a) (b) | \$ 62,224 | \$ | Other assets |
| New market tax credit LLCs (b) (c) | 20,519 | | Other assets |
| Small issuer trust preferred holdings (d) | 447,156 | | Loans, net of unearned income |
| On-Balance sheet trust preferred securitization | 61,455 | 52,718 | (e) |
| Proprietary trust preferred issuances (f) | N/A | 206,186 | Term borrowings |
| Proprietary & agency residential mortgage securitizations | 453,238 | | (g) |
| On-Balance sheet consumer loan securitizations | 10,337 | 324,745 | (h) |
| Holdings of agency mortgage-backed securities (d) | 3,568,309 | | (i) |
| Short positions in agency mortgage-backed securities (f) | N/A | 473 | Trading liabilities |
| Commercial loan troubled debt restructurings (j) (k) | 94,969 | | Loans, net of unearned income |
| Managed discretionary trusts (f) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

- (a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$61.8 million of current investments and \$.5 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other assets.
- (b) A liability is not recognized because investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.
- (c) Maximum loss exposure represents current investment balance. Of the initial investment, \$15.3 million was funded through loans from community development enterprises.
- (d) Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities.
- (e) Includes \$112.5 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$52.7 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (f) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN's involvement.
- (g) Includes \$75.2 million and \$38.5 million classified as MSR and \$8.7 million and \$11.9 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively. Aggregate servicing advances of \$318.9 million are classified as Other assets.
- (h) Includes \$335.1 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income which are offset by \$324.7 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (i) Includes \$595.2 million classified as Trading securities and \$3.0 billion classified as Securities available for sale.
- (j) Maximum loss exposure represents \$93.3 million of current receivables and \$1.7 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.
- (k) A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers' operations.

Table of Contents**Note 13 Variable Interest Entities (Continued)**

The following table summarizes FHN's nonconsolidated VIEs as of June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Maximum Loss Exposure | Liability Recognized | Classification |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Type | | | |
| Low income housing partnerships (a) (b) | \$ 79,613 | \$ | Other assets |
| Small issuer trust preferred holdings (c) | 465,157 | | Loans, net of unearned income |
| On-Balance sheet trust preferred securitization | 62,411 | 51,750 | (d) |
| Proprietary trust preferred issuances (e) | N/A | 206,186 | Term borrowings |
| Proprietary & agency residential mortgage securitizations | 375,669 | | (f) |
| Holdings of agency mortgage-backed securities (c) | 3,420,924 | | (g) |
| Short positions in agency mortgage-backed securities (e) | N/A | 360 | Trading liabilities |
| Commercial loan troubled debt restructurings (h) (i) | 105,482 | | Loans, net of unearned income |
| Managed discretionary trusts (e) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

- (a) Maximum loss exposure represents \$79.1 million of current investments and \$.6 million of contractual funding commitments. Only the current investment amount is included in Other Assets.
- (b) A liability is not recognized because investments are written down over the life of the related tax credit.
- (c) Maximum loss exposure represents the value of current investments. A liability is not recognized as FHN is solely a holder of the trusts securities.
- (d) Includes \$112.5 million classified as Loans, net of unearned income, and \$1.7 million classified as Trading securities which are offset by \$51.8 million classified as Term borrowings.
- (e) No exposure to loss due to the nature of FHN's involvement
- (f) Includes \$94.1 million and \$65.6 million classified as MSR and \$12.8 million and \$18.8 million classified as Trading securities related to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitizations, respectively. Aggregate servicing advances of \$281.3 million are classified as Other assets and is offset by aggregate custodial balances of \$97.0 million classified as Noninterest-bearing deposits.
- (g) Includes \$495.3 million classified as Trading securities and \$2.9 billion classified as Securities available for sale.
- (h) Maximum loss exposure represents \$101.9 million of current receivables and \$3.6 million of contractual funding commitments on loans related to commercial borrowers involved in a troubled debt restructuring.
- (i) A liability is not recognized as the loans are the only variable interests held in the troubled commercial borrowers' operations. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for information regarding FHN's repurchase exposure for claims that FHN breached its standard representations and warranties made in connection with the sale of loans to proprietary and agency residential mortgage securitization trusts.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Derivatives**

In the normal course of business, FHN utilizes various financial instruments (including derivative contracts and credit-related agreements) through its legacy mortgage servicing operations, capital markets, and risk management operations, as part of its risk management strategy and as a means to meet customers' needs. These instruments are subject to credit and market risks in excess of the amount recorded on the balance sheet as required by GAAP. The contractual or notional amounts of these financial instruments do not necessarily represent credit or market risk. However, they can be used to measure the extent of involvement in various types of financial instruments. Controls and monitoring procedures for these instruments have been established and are routinely re-evaluated. The Asset/Liability Committee (ALCO) monitors the usage and effectiveness of these financial instruments.

Credit risk represents the potential loss that may occur if a party to a transaction fails to perform according to the terms of the contract. The measure of credit exposure is the replacement cost of contracts with a positive fair value. FHN manages credit risk by entering into financial instrument transactions through national exchanges, primary dealers or approved counterparties, and using mutual margining and master netting agreements whenever possible to limit potential exposure. FHN also maintains collateral posting requirements with its counterparties to limit credit risk. With exchange-traded contracts, the credit risk is limited to the clearinghouse used. For non-exchange traded instruments, credit risk may occur when there is a gain in the fair value of the financial instrument and the counterparty fails to perform according to the terms of the contract and/or when the collateral proves to be of insufficient value. Market risk represents the potential loss due to the decrease in the value of a financial instrument caused primarily by changes in interest rates, mortgage loan prepayment speeds, or the prices of debt instruments. FHN manages market risk by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken. FHN continually measures this risk through the use of models that measure value-at-risk and earnings-at-risk.

Derivative Instruments. FHN enters into various derivative contracts both in a dealer capacity, to facilitate customer transactions, and also as a risk management tool. Where contracts have been created for customers, FHN enters into transactions with dealers to offset its risk exposure. Derivatives are also used as a risk management tool to hedge FHN's exposure to changes in interest rates or other defined market risks. FHN has elected to present its derivative assets and liabilities gross within Other assets and Other liabilities, respectively. Amounts of collateral posted or received have not been netted with the related derivatives.

Derivative instruments are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as Other assets or Other liabilities measured at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell a derivative asset or paid to transfer a derivative liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the transaction date. Fair value is determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. For a fair value hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument and changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability are recognized currently in earnings. For a cash flow hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument, to the extent that it is effective, are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and subsequently reclassified to earnings as the hedged transaction impacts net income. Any ineffective portion of a cash flow hedge is recognized currently in earnings. For freestanding derivative instruments, changes in fair value are recognized currently in earnings. Cash flows from derivative contracts are reported as Operating activities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows.

Forward contracts are over-the-counter contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Futures contracts are exchange-traded contracts where two parties agree to purchase and sell a specific quantity of a financial instrument at a specified price, with delivery or settlement at a specified date. Interest rate option contracts give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to buy or sell a specified quantity of a financial instrument, at a specified price, during a specified period of time. Caps and floors are options that are linked to a notional principal amount and an underlying indexed interest rate. Interest rate swaps involve the exchange of interest payments at specified intervals between two parties without the exchange of any underlying principal. Swaptions are options on interest rate swaps that give the purchaser the right, but not the obligation, to enter into an interest rate swap agreement during a specified period of time.

On June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, FHN had \$201.5 million and \$163.0 million of cash receivables and \$148.4 million and \$156.8 million of cash payables related to collateral posting under master netting arrangements, inclusive of collateral posted related to contracts with adjustable collateral posting thresholds, with derivative counterparties. Certain of FHN's agreements with derivative counterparties contain provisions which require that FTBNA's debt maintain minimum credit ratings from specified credit rating agencies. If FTBNA's debt were to fall below these minimums, these provisions would be triggered, and the counterparties could terminate the agreements and request immediate settlement of all derivative contracts under the agreements. The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent accelerated termination provisions was \$245.7 million of assets and \$41.0 million of liabilities on June 30, 2012, and \$198.1 million of assets and \$38.3 million of liabilities on June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, FHN had received collateral of \$279.5 million and \$247.5 million and posted collateral of \$44.1 million and \$41.4 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these contracts.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Derivatives (Continued)**

Additionally, certain of FHN's derivative agreements contain provisions whereby the collateral posting thresholds under the agreements adjust based on the credit ratings of both counterparties. If the credit rating of FHN and/or FTBNA is lowered, FHN would be required to post additional collateral with the counterparties. The net fair value, determined by individual counterparty, of all derivative instruments with adjustable collateral posting thresholds was \$247.2 million of assets and \$191.5 million of liabilities on June 30, 2012, and \$200.2 million of assets and \$158.1 million of liabilities on June 30, 2011. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, FHN had received collateral of \$279.5 million and \$247.5 million and posted collateral of \$190.5 million and \$158.8 million, respectively, in the normal course of business related to these agreements.

Legacy Mortgage Servicing Operations*Retained Interests*

FHN revalues MSR to current fair value each month with changes in fair value included in servicing income in Mortgage banking noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. FHN hedges the MSR to minimize the effects of loss in value of MSR associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results from declining interest rates. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of the MSR generally will increase while the value of the hedge instruments will decline. FHN enters into interest rate contracts (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to hedge against the effects of changes in fair value of its MSR. Substantially all capitalized MSR are hedged for economic purposes.

FHN utilizes derivatives as an economic hedge (potentially including swaps, swaptions, and mortgage forward purchase contracts) to protect the value of its interest-only securities that change in value inversely to the movement of interest rates. Interest-only securities are included in Trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives and the hedged interest-only securities are recognized currently in earnings in Mortgage banking noninterest income as a component of servicing income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

The following table summarizes FHN's derivatives associated with legacy mortgage servicing activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | June 30, 2012 | | Gains/(Losses) | Gains/(Losses) |
|--|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Assets | Liabilities | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
| Retained Interests Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Forwards and Futures (a)(b) | \$ 1,961,000 | \$ 18,712 | \$ 1,091 | \$ 10,943 | \$ 9,632 |
| Interest Rate Swaps and Swaptions (a)(b) | \$ 2,136,600 | \$ 4,859 | \$ 18,330 | \$ (3,466) | \$ 918 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights (b)(c) | N/A | \$ 126,164 | N/A | \$ (4,131) | \$ 900 |
| Other Retained Interests (b)(d) | N/A | \$ 20,567 | N/A | \$ (1,513) | \$ (553) |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | June 30, 2011 | | Gains/(Losses) | Gains/(Losses) |
|--|--------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Assets | Liabilities | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
| Retained Interests Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Forwards and Futures (a)(b) | \$ 2,925,000 | \$ 5,654 | \$ 6,311 | \$ 10,391 | \$ 6,348 |
| Interest Rate Swaps and Swaptions (a)(b) | \$ 6,221,000 | \$ 39,785 | \$ 26,934 | \$ 14,885 | \$ 22,224 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Mortgage Servicing Rights (b)(c) | N/A | \$ 183,364 | N/A | \$ (10,457) | \$ (3,325) |
| Other Retained Interests (b)(d) | N/A | \$ 31,623 | N/A | \$ 597 | \$ 2,641 |

- (a) Assets included in the Other assets section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition. Liabilities included in the Other liabilities section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.
- (b) Gains/losses included in the Mortgage banking income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (c) Assets included in the Mortgage servicing rights section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.
- (d) Assets included in the Trading securities section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Capital Markets

Capital markets trades U.S. Treasury, U.S. Agency, mortgage-backed, corporate and municipal fixed income securities, and other securities principally for distribution to customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Capital markets also enters into interest rate contracts, including caps, swaps, and floors, for its customers. In addition, capital markets enters into futures and option contracts to economically hedge interest rate risk associated with a portion of its

Table of Contents**Note 14 Derivatives (Continued)**

securities inventory. These transactions are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized currently in Capital markets noninterest income. Related assets and liabilities are recorded on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as Other assets and Other liabilities. The FTN Financial Risk Committee and the Credit Risk Management Committee collaborate to mitigate credit risk related to these transactions. Credit risk is controlled through credit approvals, risk control limits, and ongoing monitoring procedures. Total trading revenues were \$68.2 million and \$71.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and \$166.7 million and \$154.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total revenues are inclusive of both derivative and non-derivative financial instruments, and are included in Capital markets noninterest income.

The following table summarizes FHN's derivatives associated with capital markets trading activities as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Notional | Assets | Liabilities |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts | \$ 1,502,164 | \$ 130,705 | \$ 1,498 |
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts | 1,502,164 | 1,498 | 130,705 |
| Forwards and Futures Purchased | 3,085,323 | 1,001 | 2,553 |
| Forwards and Futures Sold | 3,444,049 | 2,810 | 3,786 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2011 | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| | Notional | Assets | Liabilities |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts | \$ 1,458,306 | \$ 72,576 | \$ 1,537 |
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts | 1,458,306 | 1,537 | 72,576 |
| Forwards and Futures Purchased | 3,397,605 | 5,318 | 1,785 |
| Forwards and Futures Sold | 3,771,659 | 1,228 | 8,413 |

Interest Rate Risk Management

FHN's ALCO focuses on managing market risk by controlling and limiting earnings volatility attributable to changes in interest rates. Interest rate risk exists to the extent that interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities have different maturity or repricing characteristics. FHN uses derivatives, including swaps, caps, options, and collars, that are designed to moderate the impact on earnings as interest rates change. FHN's interest rate risk management policy is to use derivatives to hedge interest rate risk or market value of assets or liabilities, not to speculate. In addition, FHN has entered into certain interest rate swaps and caps as a part of a product offering to commercial customers that includes customer derivatives paired with offsetting market instruments that, when completed, are designed to mitigate interest rate risk. These contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting and are measured at fair value with gains or losses included in current earnings in Noninterest expense on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

FHN has entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain term borrowings totaling \$904.0 million on both June 30, 2012 and 2011. These swaps have been accounted for as fair value hedges under the shortcut method. The balance sheet impact of these swaps was \$86.9 million and \$101.7 million in Other assets on June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk is being managed.

FHN has designated a derivative transaction in a hedging strategy to manage interest rate risk on its \$500 million noncallable senior debt maturing in December 2015. This derivative qualifies for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN entered into a pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swap to hedge the interest rate risk on this debt. The balance sheet impact of this swap was \$27.9 million and \$11.4 million in Other assets as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There was no ineffectiveness related to this hedge. Interest paid or received for this swap was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liability whose risk is being managed.

FHN designates derivative transactions in hedging strategies to manage interest rate risk on subordinated debt related to its trust preferred securities. These qualify for hedge accounting under ASC 815-20 using the long-haul method. FHN entered into pay floating, receive fixed interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk of certain subordinated debt totaling \$200 million on both June 30, 2012 and 2011. The balance sheet impact of these swaps was \$1.1 million and \$12.0 million in Other liabilities on June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There was no

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

ineffectiveness related to these hedges. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest expense of the liabilities whose risk is being managed. In April 2012, the counterparty called the swap associated with the \$200 million of subordinated debt. FHN discontinued hedge accounting and the cumulative basis adjustments to the associated subordinated debt are being amortized as an adjustment to interest expense over its remaining term. FHN entered into a new interest rate swap to hedge the interest rate risk associated with this debt. The swap qualifies for hedge accounting using the long-haul method.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Derivatives (Continued)**

The following tables summarize FHN's derivatives associated with interest rate risk management activities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | June 30, 2012 Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Gains/(Losses) Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments and Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$ 994,512 | \$ 65,982 | \$ 389 | \$ 2,206 | \$ (3,583) |
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$ 994,512 | \$ 389 | \$ 67,482 | \$ (2,006) | \$ 4,183 |
| Debt Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps (b) | \$ 1,604,000 | \$ 114,854 | \$ 1,104 | \$ (2,931) | \$ (13,161) |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Term Borrowings (b) | N/A | N/A | \$ 1,604,000(c) | \$ 2,931(d) | \$ 13,161(d) |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Notional | June 30, 2011 Assets | Liabilities | Gains/(Losses) Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Gains/(Losses) Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
|---|--------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments and Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Customer Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$ 980,417 | \$ 65,074 | \$ 414 | \$ 3,996 | \$ (6,894) |
| Offsetting Upstream Interest Rate Contracts (a) | \$ 980,417 | \$ 414 | \$ 67,774 | \$ (4,596) | \$ 6,994 |
| Debt Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps (b) | \$ 1,604,000 | \$ 113,090 | \$ 12,023 | \$ 22,789 | \$ 3,362 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Term Borrowings (b) | N/A | N/A | \$ 1,604,000(c) | \$ (22,789)(d) | \$ (3,362)(d) |

- (a) Gains/losses included in the Other expense section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
(b) Gains/losses included in the All other income and commissions section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
(c) Represents par value of term borrowings being hedged.
(d) Represents gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

FHN hedges held-to-maturity trust preferred loans with a principal balance of \$166.8 million and \$201.6 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which have an initial fixed rate term of five years before conversion to a floating rate. FHN has entered into pay fixed, receive floating interest rate swaps to hedge the interest rate risk associated with this initial five-year term. These hedge relationships qualify as fair value hedges under ASC 815-20. The impact of these swaps was \$5.0 million and \$13.2 million in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Interest paid or received for these swaps was recognized as an adjustment of the interest income of the assets whose risk is being hedged. Gains or losses are included in Other income and commissions on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

Table of Contents**Note 14 Derivatives (Continued)**

The following tables summarize FHN's derivative activities associated with held-to-maturity trust preferred loans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

| | Notional | June 30, 2012 | | Gains/(Losses) | |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Assets | Liabilities | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Loan Portfolio Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ 166,750 | N/A | \$ 5,036 | \$ 1,980 | \$ 3,772 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Trust Preferred Loans (a) | N/A | \$ 166,750(b) | N/A | \$ (1,965)(c) | \$ (3,755)(c) |

| | Notional | June 30, 2011 | | Gains/(Losses) | |
|-------------------------------|------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Assets | Liabilities | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | |
| Loan Portfolio Hedging | | | | | |
| <i>Hedging Instruments:</i> | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps | \$ 201,583 | N/A | \$ 13,246 | \$ 856 | \$ 3,952 |
| <i>Hedged Items:</i> | | | | | |
| Trust Preferred Loans (a) | N/A | \$ 201,583(b) | N/A | \$ (842)(c) | \$ (3,943)(c) |

(a) Assets included in the Loans, net of unearned income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

(b) Represents principal balance being hedged.

(c) Represents gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value due to interest rate risk as designated in ASC 815-20 hedging relationships.

Other Derivatives

In conjunction with the sales of a portion of its Visa Class B shares, FHN and the purchaser entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. As of June 30, 2012, the derivative liabilities associated with the sales of Visa Class B shares were \$3.5 million compared to \$1.3 million as of June 30, 2011. See the Visa Matters section of Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for more information regarding FHN's Visa shares.

In 2011, FHN began using cross currency swaps and cross currency interest rate swaps to economically hedge its exposure to foreign currency risk and interest rate risk associated with \$.7 million of non-U.S dollar denominated loans. As of June 30, 2012, the balance sheet impact and the gains/losses associated with these derivatives were not material.

Table of Contents

Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities

FHN groups its assets and liabilities measured at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. This hierarchy requires FHN to maximize the use of observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. Each fair value measurement is placed into the proper level based on the lowest level of significant input. These levels are:

Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.

Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use significant assumptions not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include use of option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques.

Transfers between fair value levels are recognized at the end of the fiscal quarter in which the associated change in inputs occurs.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)****Recurring Fair Value Measurements**

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | | Total |
|--|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Trading securities - capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 115,210 | \$ | \$ 115,210 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 469,805 | | 469,805 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 125,404 | | 125,404 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 275,756 | | 275,756 |
| States and municipalities | | 46,596 | | 46,596 |
| Corporate and other debt | | 295,051 | 5 | 295,056 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | | 9,732 | | 9,732 |
| Total trading securities - capital markets | | 1,337,554 | 5 | 1,337,559 |
| Trading securities - mortgage banking | | | | |
| Principal only | | 5,667 | | 5,667 |
| Interest only | | | 14,900 | 14,900 |
| Total trading securities - mortgage banking | | 5,667 | 14,900 | 20,567 |
| Loans held-for-sale | | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | 8,923 | 214,560 | 223,483 |
| U.S. treasuries | | 39,996 | | 39,996 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 1,383,724 | | 1,383,724 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 1,589,376 | | 1,589,376 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 8,492 | 4,661 | 13,153 |
| States and municipalities | | 16,470 | 1,500 | 17,970 |
| Corporate and other debt | 521 | | | 521 |
| Venture capital | | | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | 13,263 | | | 13,263 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | 13,784 | 3,038,058 | 15,161 | 3,067,003 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | | |
| | | | 129,291 | 129,291 |
| Other assets: | | | | |
| Deferred compensation assets | 22,645 | | | 22,645 |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 22,523 | | | 22,523 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | | 318,287 | | 318,287 |
| Total other assets | 45,168 | 318,287 | | 363,455 |
| Total assets | \$ 58,952 | \$ 4,708,489 | \$ 373,917 | \$ 5,141,358 |
| Trading liabilities - capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 260,547 | \$ | \$ 260,547 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 473 | | 473 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Other U.S. government agencies | 27,152 | | | 27,152 |
| Corporate and other debt | 182,209 | | | 182,209 |
| Other | 250 | | | 250 |
| Total trading liabilities - capital markets | 470,631 | | | 470,631 |
| Other short-term borrowings | | | 12,439 | 12,439 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 7,430 | | | 7,430 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | 224,546 | | | 224,546 |
| Derivatives, other | 9 | 3,505 | | 3,514 |
| Total other liabilities | 7,430 | 224,555 | 3,505 | 235,490 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 7,430 | \$ 695,186 | \$ 15,944 | \$ 718,560 |

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

The following table presents the balance of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2011 | | | Total |
|--|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | |
| Trading securities - capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 218,302 | \$ | \$ 218,302 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 361,421 | | 361,421 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 133,841 | | 133,841 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 192,643 | | 192,643 |
| States and municipalities | | 19,093 | | 19,093 |
| Corporate and other debt | | 239,205 | 5 | 239,210 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | | 247 | | 247 |
| Total trading securities - capital markets | | 1,164,752 | 5 | 1,164,757 |
| Trading securities - mortgage banking | | | | |
| Principal only | | 8,775 | | 8,775 |
| Interest only | | | 22,848 | 22,848 |
| Total trading securities - mortgage banking | | 8,775 | 22,848 | 31,623 |
| Loans held-for-sale | | | | |
| Securities available for sale: | | 9,372 | 215,870 | 225,242 |
| U.S. treasuries | | 46,250 | | 46,250 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 1,501,767 | | 1,501,767 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 1,423,894 | | 1,423,894 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 13,246 | 6,681 | 19,927 |
| States and municipalities | | 17,865 | 1,500 | 19,365 |
| Corporate and other debt | 540 | | | 540 |
| Venture capital | | | 13,179 | 13,179 |
| Equity, mutual funds, and other | 7,279 | | | 7,279 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | 7,819 | 3,003,022 | 21,360 | 3,032,201 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | | | | |
| | | | 186,958 | 186,958 |
| Other assets: | | | | |
| Deferred compensation assets | 25,356 | | | 25,356 |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 12,200 | | | 12,200 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | | 292,476 | | 292,476 |
| Total other assets | 37,556 | 292,476 | | 330,032 |
| Total assets | \$ 45,375 | \$ 4,478,397 | \$ 447,041 | \$ 4,970,813 |
| Trading liabilities - capital markets: | | | | |
| U.S. treasuries | \$ | \$ 331,864 | \$ | \$ 331,864 |
| Government agency issued MBS | | 238 | | 238 |
| Government agency issued CMO | | 122 | | 122 |
| Other U.S. government agencies | | 6,026 | | 6,026 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Corporate and other debt | | 160,665 | | 160,665 |
| Total trading liabilities - capital markets | | 498,915 | | 498,915 |
| Other short-term borrowings | | | 23,645 | 23,645 |
| Other liabilities: | | | | |
| Derivatives, forwards and futures | 16,509 | | | 16,509 |
| Derivatives, interest rate contracts | | 194,504 | | 194,504 |
| Derivatives, other | | 6 | 1,270 | 1,276 |
| Total other liabilities | 16,509 | 194,510 | 1,270 | 212,289 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 16,509 | \$ 693,425 | \$ 24,915 | \$ 734,849 |

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)****Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements**

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Trading securities | Loans held-for-sale | Investment portfolio | Venture Capital | Mortgage servicing rights, net | Net derivative liabilities | Other short-term borrowings |
| Balance on April 1, 2012 | \$ 17,386 | \$ 214,603 | \$ 6,594 | \$ 12,179 | \$ 142,956 | \$ (2,960) | \$ (15,073) |
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | (99) | 1,078 | | 5,071 | (6,914) | (869) | 2,634 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | (4) | | | | |
| Purchases | | 7,740 | | | | | |
| Issuances | | | | | | | |
| Sales | | | | (8,250) | | | |
| Settlements | (2,382) | (8,108) | (428) | | (6,751) | 324 | |
| Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3 | | (753)(c) | | | | | |
| Balance on June 30, 2012 | \$ 14,905 | \$ 214,560 | \$ 6,162 | \$ 9,000 | \$ 129,291 | \$ (3,505) | \$ (12,439) |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ (518)(a) | \$ 1,078(a) | \$ | \$ | (b) \$ (6,831)(a) | \$ (869)(d) | \$ 2,634(a) |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
| | Trading securities | Loans held-for-sale | Investment portfolio | Venture Capital | Mortgage servicing rights, net | Net derivative liabilities | Other short-term borrowings |
| Balance on April 1, 2011 | \$ 25,370 | \$ 209,863 | \$ 8,428 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 207,748 | \$ (2,100) | \$ (27,991) |
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | 733 | (4,069) | | | (15,006) | (32) | 4,346 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | 58 | | | | |
| Purchases | | 23,079 | | | | | |
| Issuances | | | | | | | |
| Sales | (132) | | | | | | |
| Settlements | (3,118) | (10,519) | (305) | | (5,784) | 862 | |
| Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3 | | (2,484)(c) | | | | | |
| Balance on June 30, 2011 | \$ 22,853 | \$ 215,870 | \$ 8,181 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 186,958 | \$ (1,270) | \$ (23,645) |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ 286(a) | \$ (4,069)(a) | \$ | \$ | (b) \$ (14,802)(a) | \$ (32)(d) | \$ 4,346(a) |

(a) Primarily included in mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

(b)

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities available-for-sale that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.

- (c) Transfers out of recurring level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held-for-sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).
- (d) Included in Other expense.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)****Changes in Recurring Level 3 Fair Value Measurements**

The changes in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, on a recurring basis are summarized as follows:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Trading securities | Loans held-for-sale | Investment portfolio | Venture Capital | Mortgage servicing rights, net | Net derivative liabilities | Other short-term borrowings |
| Balance on January 1, 2012 | \$ 18,059 | \$ 210,487 | \$ 7,262 | \$ 12,179 | \$ 144,069 | \$ (11,820) | \$ (14,833) |
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | 1,779 | 1,950 | | 5,071 | (2,443) | (1,611) | 2,394 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | (170) | | | | |
| Purchases | | 19,182 | | | | | |
| Issuances | | | | | | | |
| Sales | | | | (8,250) | | | |
| Settlements | (4,933) | (16,160) | (930) | | (12,335) | 9,926 | |
| Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3 | | (899)(c) | | | | | |
| Balance on June 30, 2012 | \$ 14,905 | \$ 214,560 | \$ 6,162 | \$ 9,000 | \$ 129,291 | \$ (3,505) | \$ (12,439) |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ 888(a) | \$ 1,950(a) | \$ | \$ | (b) \$ (1,618)(a) | \$ (1,611)(d) | \$ 2,394(a) |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Trading securities | Loans held-for-sale | Investment portfolio | Venture Capital | Mortgage servicing rights, net | Net derivative liabilities | Other short-term borrowings |
| Balance on January 1, 2011 | \$ 26,478 | \$ 207,632 | \$ 39,391 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 207,319 | \$ (1,000) | \$ (27,309) |
| Total net gains/(losses) included in: | | | | | | | |
| Net income | 2,933 | (8,194) | | | (7,359) | (1,132) | 3,664 |
| Other comprehensive income | | | (1,688) | | | | |
| Purchases | | 39,120 | | | | | |
| Issuances | | | | | | | |
| Sales | (132) | | (29,217) | | | | |
| Settlements | (6,426) | (19,869) | (305) | | (13,002) | 862 | |
| Net transfers into/(out of) Level 3 | | (2,819)(c) | | | | | |
| Balance on June 30, 2011 | \$ 22,853 | \$ 215,870 | \$ 8,181 | \$ 13,179 | \$ 186,958 | \$ (1,270) | \$ (23,645) |
| Net unrealized gains/(losses) included in net income | \$ 2,059(a) | \$ (8,194)(a) | \$ | \$ | (b) \$ (7,048)(a) | \$ (667)(d) | \$ 3,664(a) |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- (a) Primarily included in mortgage banking income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.
- (b) Represents recognized gains and losses attributable to venture capital investments classified within securities available-for-sale that are included in securities gains/(losses) in noninterest income.
- (c) Transfers out of recurring level 3 balances reflect movements out of loans held-for-sale and into real estate acquired by foreclosure (level 3 nonrecurring).
- (d) Included in Other expense.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)****Nonrecurring Fair Value Measurements**

From time to time, FHN may be required to measure certain other financial assets at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. These adjustments to fair value usually result from the application of LOCOM accounting or write-downs of individual assets. For assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis which were still held on the balance sheet at June 30, 2012, and 2011, respectively, the following tables provide the level of valuation assumptions used to determine each adjustment, the related carrying value, and the fair value adjustments recorded during the respective periods.

| | Carrying value at June 30, 2012 | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Net gains/(losses) | Net gains/(losses) |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale SBAs | \$ | \$ 53,807 | \$ | \$ 53,807 | \$ 12 | \$ 16 |
| Loans held-for-sale first mortgages | | | 14,691 | 14,691 | (452) | 316 |
| Loans, net of unearned income (a) | | | 106,864 | 106,864 | (5,963) | (15,013) |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure (b) | | | 48,916 | 48,916 | (4,167) | (9,392) |
| Other assets (c) | | | 82,287 | 82,287 | (1,219) | (3,204) |
| | | | | | \$ (11,789) | \$ (27,277) |

| | Carrying value at June 30, 2011 | | | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total | Net gains/(losses) | Net gains/(losses) |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale SBAs | \$ | \$ 49,641 | \$ | \$ 49,641 | \$ (2) | \$ (2) |
| Loans held-for-sale first mortgages | | | 14,569 | 14,569 | (3,458) | (4,618) |
| Loans, net of unearned income (a) | | | 169,448 | 169,448 | (5,257) | (17,759) |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure (b) | | | 78,792 | 78,792 | (4,612) | (9,651) |
| Other assets (c) | | | 79,053 | 79,053 | (1,809) | (4,355) |
| | | | | | \$ (15,138) | \$ (36,385) |

- (a) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.
- (b) Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.
- (c) Represents low income housing investments. 2012 also includes new market tax credit investments.

In first quarter 2011, FHN recognized goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million related to the contracted sale of FHI. In accordance with accounting requirements, FHN allocated a portion of the goodwill from the applicable reporting unit to the asset group held-for-sale in determining the carrying value of the disposal group. In determining the amount of impairment, FHN compared the carrying value of the disposal group to the estimated value of the contracted sale price, which primarily included observable inputs in the form of financial asset values but which also included certain non-observable inputs related to the estimated values of post-closing events and contingencies. Thus, this measurement was

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

considered a Level 3 valuation. Impairment of goodwill was recognized for the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the disposal group.

In second quarter 2011, FHN exercised a clean-up call on a first lien mortgage proprietary securitization trust. In accordance with accounting requirements, FHN initially recognized the associated loans at fair value. Fair value was primarily determined through reference to observable inputs, including current market prices for similar loans. Since these loans were from the 2003 vintage, adjustments were made for the higher yields and lower credit risk associated with the loans in comparison to more currently originated loans being sold. This resulted in recognition of an immaterial premium for the called loans.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)****Level 3 Measurements**

The following table provides information regarding the unobservable inputs utilized in determining the fair value of level 3 recurring and non-recurring measurements as of June 30, 2012:

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Level 3 Class | Fair Value at June 30, 2012 | Valuation Techniques | Unobservable Input | Values Utilized |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Interest only trading securities | \$ 14,900 | Discounted cash flow | (a) | (a) |
| Loans held-for-sale mortgages | 229,251 | Discounted cash flow | Prepayment speeds Credit spreads Delinquency adjustment factor Loss severity trends | 6% - 10% 2% - 4% 15% - 25% added to credit spread 50% - 60% of UPB |
| Venture capital investments | 9,000 | Recent purchase offers Recent capitalization transactions | Adjustment for preferences in equity tranches Adjustment for preferences in equity tranches | 0% - 10% discount 0% - 10% discount |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 129,291 | Discounted cash flow | (a) | (a) |
| Other short-term borrowings | 12,439 | Discounted cash flow | (b) | (b) |
| Derivative liabilities, other | 3,505 | Discounted cash flow | Visa covered litigation resolution amount Probability of resolution scenarios Time until resolution | \$4.3 billion - \$5.1 billion 10%-30% 3 - 12 months |
| Loans, net of unearned income (c) | 106,864 | Appraisals from comparable properties Other collateral valuations | Adjustment for value changes since appraisal Borrowing base certificates Financial Statements/Auction Values | 5% - 15% of appraisal 20% - 50% of gross value 0% - 25% of reported value |
| Real estate acquired by foreclosure (d) | 48,916 | Appraisals from comparable properties | Adjustment for value changes since appraisal | 0% - 10% of appraisal |
| Other assets (e) | 82,287 | Discounted cash flow Appraisals from comparable properties | Adjustments to current sales yields for specific properties Marketability adjustments for specific properties | 0% - 15% adjustment to yield 0% - 25% of appraisal |

(a) The unobservable inputs for Interest-only trading securities and MSR are discussed in Note 12 – Loan Sales and Securitizations.

(b) The inputs and associated ranges for Other short-term borrowings mirror those of the related MSR.

(c) Represents carrying value of loans for which adjustments are based on the appraised value of the collateral. Write-downs on these loans are recognized as part of provision.

(d) Represents the fair value and related losses of foreclosed properties that were measured subsequent to their initial classification as foreclosed assets. Balance excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.

(e) Represents low income housing investments and new market tax credit investments.

Loans held for sale. Prepayment rates, credit spreads and delinquency penalty adjustments are significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of FHN's Loans held-for-sale. Loss severity trends are also assessed to evaluate the reasonableness of fair value estimates resulting from discounted cash flows methodologies as well as to estimate fair value for newly repurchased loans and loans that are near foreclosure. Significant increases (decreases) in any of these inputs in isolation would result in significantly lower (higher) fair value measurements. All observable and unobservable inputs are re-assessed monthly. Fair value measurements are reviewed at least monthly by FHN's Asset Liability Committee.

Venture capital investments. The unobservable inputs used in the estimation of fair value for Venture capital investments are adjustments to recent purchase offers and adjustments to recently observed capitalization transactions. For both inputs, the adjustments are made to reflect the nature of equity tranches held by FHN in relation to the overall valuation as well as for changes in economic events occurring since the time of the offer or transaction. Given the status of FHN's priority in the equity tranches of its venture capital investments, adjustments are made to decrease the estimated fair value of the investments in relation to the observed offer or transaction. The valuation of venture capital investments is reviewed at least quarterly by FHN's Equity Investment Review Committee. Changes in valuation are discussed with respect to the appropriateness of the adjustments in relation to the associated triggering events.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

Derivative liabilities. The determination of fair value for FHN's derivative liabilities associated with its prior sales of Visa Class B shares include estimation of both the resolution amount for Visa's Covered Litigation matters as well as the length of time until the resolution occurs. Significant increases (decreases) in either of these inputs in isolation would result in significantly higher (lower) fair value measurements for the derivative liabilities. Additionally, FHN performs a probability weighted multiple resolution scenario to calculate the estimated fair value of these derivative liabilities. Assignment of higher (lower) probabilities to the larger potential resolution scenarios would result in an increase (decrease) in the estimated fair value of the derivative liabilities. The valuation inputs and process are discussed with senior and executive management when significant events affecting the estimate of fair value occur. Inputs are compared to information obtained from the public issuances and filings of Visa, Inc. as well as public information released by other participants in the applicable litigation matters.

Loans, net of unearned income and Real estate acquired by foreclosure. Collateral-dependent loans and Real estate acquired by foreclosure are primarily valued using appraisals based on sales of comparable properties in the same or similar markets. Multiple appraisal firms are utilized to ensure that estimated values are consistent between firms. This process occurs within FHN's Credit Risk Management function and the Credit Risk Management Committee reviews valuation and loss information for reasonableness. Back testing is performed during the year through comparison to ultimate disposition values and is reviewed quarterly within the Credit Risk Management function. Other collateral (receivables, inventory, equipment, etc.) is valued through borrowing base certificates, financial statements and/or auction valuations. These valuations are discounted based on the quality of reporting, knowledge of the marketability/collectability of the collateral and historical disposition rates.

Other assets – tax credit investments. The estimated fair value of investments in low income housing partnerships and new market tax credit LLCs is generally determined in relation to the expected yield (i.e., future tax credits to be received) an acquirer of these investments would expect in relation to the yields experienced on current new issue and/or secondary market transactions. Thus, as tax credits are recognized, the future yield to a market participant is reduced, resulting in consistent impairment of the individual investments. Individual investments are reviewed for impairment quarterly, which may include the consideration of additional marketability discounts related to specific investments. Unusual valuation adjustments, and the associated triggering events, are discussed with senior and executive management, when appropriate. A portfolio review is conducted annually, with the assistance of a third party, to assess the reasonableness of current valuations.

Fair Value Option

FHN elected the fair value option on a prospective basis for almost all types of mortgage loans originated for sale purposes under the Financial Instruments Topic (ASC 825). FHN determined that the election reduced certain timing differences and better matched changes in the value of such loans with changes in the value of derivatives used as economic hedges for these assets at the time of election. After the 2008 divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and the significant decline of mortgage loans originated for sale, FHN discontinued hedging the mortgage warehouse.

Repurchased loans are recognized within loans held-for-sale at fair value at the time of repurchase, which includes consideration of the credit status of the loans and the estimated liquidation value. FHN has elected to continue recognition of these loans at fair value in periods subsequent to reacquisition. Due to the credit-distressed nature of the vast majority of repurchased loans and the related loss severities experienced upon repurchase, FHN believes that the fair value election provides a more timely recognition of changes in value for these loans that occur subsequent to repurchase. Absent the fair value election, these loans would be subject to valuation at the Locom value, which would prevent subsequent values from exceeding the initial fair value, determined at the time of repurchase but would require recognition of subsequent declines in value. Thus, the fair value election provides for a more timely recognition of any potential future recoveries in asset values while not affecting the requirement to recognize subsequent declines in value.

Prior to 2010, FHN transferred certain servicing assets in transactions that did not qualify for sale treatment due to certain recourse provisions. The associated proceeds are recognized within other short-term borrowings in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition for all periods presented. Since the servicing assets are recognized at fair value and changes in the fair value of the related financing liabilities will exactly mirror the change in fair value of the associated servicing assets, management elected to account for the financing liabilities at fair value. Since the servicing assets have already been delivered to the buyer, the fair value of the financing liabilities associated with the transaction does not reflect any instrument-specific credit risk.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

The following table reflects the differences between the fair value carrying amount of mortgages held-for-sale measured at fair value in accordance with management's election and the aggregate unpaid principal amount FHN is contractually entitled to receive at maturity.

| | June 30, 2012 | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | Fair value carrying amount | Aggregate unpaid principal | Fair value carrying amount less aggregate unpaid principal |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale reported at fair value: | | | |
| Total loans | \$ 223,483 | \$ 311,724 | \$ (88,241) |
| Nonaccrual loans | 40,114 | 87,022 | (46,908) |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing | 10,350 | 22,460 | (12,110) |

| | June 30, 2011 | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| | Fair value carrying amount | Aggregate unpaid principal | Fair value carrying amount less aggregate unpaid principal |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale reported at fair value: | | | |
| Total loans | \$ 225,242 | \$ 298,681 | \$ (73,439) |
| Nonaccrual loans | 40,927 | 83,372 | (42,445) |
| Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing | 10,866 | 21,587 | (10,721) |

Assets and liabilities accounted for under the fair value election are initially measured at fair value with subsequent changes in fair value recognized in earnings. Such changes in the fair value of assets and liabilities for which FHN elected the fair value option are included in current period earnings with classification in the income statement line item reflected in the following table:

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Changes in fair value included in net income: | | | | |
| Mortgage banking noninterest income | | | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | \$ 1,078 | \$ (4,069) | \$ 1,950 | \$ (8,194) |
| Other short-term borrowings | 2,634 | 4,346 | 2,394 | 3,664 |

For the three months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011, the amounts for loans held-for-sale include losses of \$0.7 million, and \$2.8 million, respectively, included in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011, the amounts for loans held-for-sale include gains of \$0.4 million and losses of \$5.3 million, respectively, included in pretax earnings that are attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit risk. The portion of the fair value adjustments related to credit risk was determined based on both a quality adjustment for delinquencies and the full credit spread on the non-conforming loans. Interest income on mortgage loans held-for-sale measured at fair value is calculated based on the note rate of the loan and is recorded in the interest income section of the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income as interest on loans held-for-sale.

Determination of Fair Value

In accordance with ASC 820-10-35, fair values are based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The following describes the assumptions and methodologies used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and MSR recorded at fair value in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition and for estimating the fair value of financial instruments for which fair value is disclosed under ASC 825-10-50.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Short-term financial assets. Federal funds sold, securities purchased under agreements to resell, and interest bearing deposits with other financial institutions and the Federal Reserve are carried at historical cost. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Trading securities and trading liabilities. Trading securities and trading liabilities are recognized at fair value through current earnings. Trading inventory held for broker-dealer operations is included in trading securities and trading liabilities. Broker-dealer long positions are valued at bid price in the bid-ask spread. Short positions are valued at the ask price. Inventory positions are valued using observable inputs including current market transactions, LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, credit spreads, and consensus prepayment speeds.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

Trading securities also include retained interests in prior securitizations that qualify as financial assets, which primarily include excess interest (structured as interest-only strips) and principal-only strips. Excess interest represents rights to receive interest from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified rates and principal-only strips are principal cash flow tranches. All financial assets retained from a securitization are recognized on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition in trading securities at fair value with realized and unrealized gains and losses included in current earnings as a component of noninterest income on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income.

The fair value of excess interest is determined using prices from closely comparable assets such as MSR that are tested against prices determined using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future cash flows. Inputs utilized in valuing excess interest are consistent with those used to value the related MSR. The fair value of excess interest typically changes based on changes in the discount rate and differences between modeled prepayment speeds and credit losses and actual experience. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience. FHN uses observable inputs such as trades of similar instruments, yield curves, credit spreads, and consensus prepayment speeds to determine the fair value of principal-only strips.

Securities available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale includes the investment portfolio accounted for as available-for-sale under ASC 320-10-25, federal bank stock holdings, short-term investments in mutual funds, and venture capital investments. Valuations of available-for-sale securities are performed using observable inputs obtained from market transactions in similar securities. Typical inputs include LIBOR and U.S. treasury curves, consensus prepayment estimates, and credit spreads. When available, broker quotes are used to support these valuations. Certain government agency debt obligations with limited trading activity are valued using a discounted cash flow model that incorporates a combination of observable and unobservable inputs. Primary observable inputs include contractual cash flows and the treasury curve. Significant unobservable inputs include estimated trading spreads and estimated prepayment speeds.

Stock held in the Federal Reserve Bank and Federal Home Loan Banks are recognized at historical cost in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition which is considered to approximate fair value. Short-term investments in mutual funds are measured at the funds reported closing net asset values. Venture capital investments are typically measured using significant internally generated inputs including adjustments to recent capitalization transactions, recent purchase offers, and discounted cash flows analysis.

Loans held-for-sale. FHN determines the fair value of certain loans within the mortgage warehouse using a discounted cash flow model using observable inputs, including current mortgage rates for similar products, with adjustments for differences in loan characteristics reflected in the model's discount rates. For all other loans held in the warehouse, the fair value of loans whose principal market is the securitization market is based on recent security trade prices for similar products with a similar delivery date, with necessary pricing adjustments to convert the security price to a loan price. Loans whose principal market is the whole loan market are priced based on recent observable whole loan trade prices or published third party bid prices for similar product, with necessary pricing adjustments to reflect differences in loan characteristics. Typical adjustments to security prices for whole loan prices include adding the value of MSR to the security price or to the whole loan price if FHN's mortgage loan is servicing retained, adjusting for interest in excess of (or less than) the required coupon or note rate, adjustments to reflect differences in the characteristics of the loans being valued as compared to the collateral of the security or the loan characteristics in the benchmark whole loan trade, adding interest carry, reflecting the recourse obligation that will remain after sale, and adjusting for changes in market liquidity or interest rates if the benchmark security or loan price is not current. Additionally, loans that are delinquent or otherwise significantly aged are discounted to reflect the less marketable nature of these loans.

Loans held-for-sale also includes loans made by the Small Business Administration (SBA). The fair value of SBA loans is determined using an expected cash flow model that utilizes observable inputs such as the spread between LIBOR and prime rates, consensus prepayment speeds, and the treasury curve. The fair value of other non-mortgage loans held-for-sale is approximated by their carrying values based on current transaction values.

Loans, net of unearned income. Loans, net of unearned income are recognized at the amount of funds advanced, less charge-offs and an estimation of credit risk represented by the allowance for loan losses. The fair value estimates for disclosure purposes differentiate loans based on their financial characteristics, such as product classification, loan category, pricing features, and remaining maturity.

The fair value of floating rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is considered to approximate book value due to the monthly repricing for commercial and consumer loans, with the exception of floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage loans which reprice annually and will lag movements in market rates. The fair value for floating rate 1-4 family mortgage loans is calculated by

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the floating rate 1-4 family residential mortgage portfolio.

The fair value of fixed rate loans is estimated through comparison to recent market activity in loans of similar product types, with adjustments made for differences in loan characteristics. In situations where market pricing inputs are not available, fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted to their present value by using the current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same time period. Prepayment assumptions based on historical prepayment speeds and industry speeds for similar loans have been applied to the fixed rate mortgage and installment loan portfolios.

For all loan portfolio classes, adjustments are made to reflect liquidity or illiquidity of the market. Such adjustments reflect discounts that FHN believes are consistent with what a market participant would consider in determining fair value given current market conditions.

Individually impaired loans are measured using either a discounted cash flow methodology or the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell, if the loan is considered collateral-dependent. In accordance with accounting standards, the discounted cash flow analysis utilizes the loan's effective interest rate for discounting expected cash flow amounts. Thus, this analysis is not considered a fair value measurement in accordance with ASC 820. However, the results of this methodology are considered to approximate fair value for the applicable loans. Expected cash flows are derived from internally-developed inputs primarily reflecting expected default rates on contractual cash flows. For loans measured using the estimated fair value of collateral less costs to sell, fair value is estimated using appraisals of the collateral. Collateral values are monitored and additional write-downs are recognized if it is determined that the estimated collateral values have declined further. Estimated costs to sell are based on current amounts of disposal costs for similar assets. Carrying value is considered to reflect fair value for these loans.

Mortgage servicing rights. FHN recognizes all classes of MSR at fair value. Since sales of MSR tend to occur in private transactions and the precise terms and conditions of the sales are typically not readily available, there is a limited market to refer to in determining the fair value of MSR. As such, FHN primarily relies on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of its MSR. This model calculates estimated fair value of the MSR using predominant risk characteristics of MSR such as interest rates, type of product (fixed vs. variable), age (new, seasoned, or moderate), agency type and other factors. FHN uses assumptions in the model that it believes are comparable to those used by brokers and other service providers. FHN also periodically compares its estimates of fair value and assumptions with brokers, service providers, recent market activity, and against its own experience.

Derivative assets and liabilities. The fair value for forwards and futures contracts used to hedge the value of servicing assets is based on current transactions involving identical securities. These contracts are exchange-traded and thus have no credit risk factor assigned as the risk of non-performance is limited to the clearinghouse used.

Valuations of other derivatives (primarily interest rate related swaps, swaptions, caps, and collars) are based on inputs observed in active markets for similar instruments. Typical inputs include the LIBOR curve, option volatility, and option skew. In measuring the fair value of these derivative assets and liabilities, FHN has elected to consider credit risk based on the net exposure to individual counter parties. Credit risk is mitigated for these instruments through the use of mutual margining and master netting agreements as well as collateral posting requirements. Any remaining credit risk related to interest rate derivatives is considered in determining fair value through evaluation of additional factors such as customer loan grades and debt ratings. Foreign currency related derivatives also utilize observable exchange rates in the determination of fair value.

In conjunction with the sales of a portion of its Visa Class B shares, FHN and the purchasers entered into derivative transactions whereby FHN will make, or receive, cash payments whenever the conversion ratio of the Visa Class B shares into Visa Class A shares is adjusted. The fair value of these derivatives has been determined using a discounted cash flow methodology for estimated future cash flows determined through use of probability weighted scenarios for multiple estimates of Visa's aggregate exposure to covered litigation matters, which include consideration of amounts funded by Visa into its escrow account for the covered litigation matters. Since this estimation process required application of judgment in developing significant unobservable inputs used to determine the possible outcomes and the probability weighting assigned to each scenario, these derivatives have been classified within Level 3 in fair value measurements disclosures.

Real estate acquired by foreclosure. Real estate acquired by foreclosure primarily consists of properties that have been acquired in satisfaction of debt. These properties are carried at the lower of the outstanding loan amount or estimated fair value less estimated costs to sell the real estate.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Estimated fair value is determined using appraised values with subsequent adjustments for deterioration in values that are not reflected in the most recent appraisal. Real estate acquired by foreclosure also includes properties acquired in compliance with HUD servicing guidelines which are carried at the estimated amount of the underlying government assurance or guarantee.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

Nonearning assets. For disclosure purposes, nonearning assets include cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables. Due to the short-term nature of cash and due from banks, accrued interest receivable, and capital markets receivables, the fair value is approximated by the book value.

Other assets. For disclosure purposes, other assets consist of investments in low income housing partnerships, new market tax credit LLCs and deferred compensation assets that are considered financial assets. Investments in low income housing partnerships and new market tax credit LLCs are written down to estimated fair value quarterly based on the estimated value of the associated tax credits. Deferred compensation assets are recognized at fair value, which is based on quoted prices in active markets.

Defined maturity deposits. The fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows to their present value. Future cash flows are discounted by using the current market rates of similar instruments applicable to the remaining maturity. For disclosure purposes, defined maturity deposits include all certificates of deposit and other time deposits.

Undefined maturity deposits. In accordance with ASC 825, the fair value is approximated by the book value. For the purpose of this disclosure, undefined maturity deposits include demand deposits, checking interest accounts, savings accounts, and money market accounts.

Short-term financial liabilities. The fair value of federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings are approximated by the book value. The carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between the origination of the instrument and its expected realization. Other short-term borrowings include a liability associated with transfers of mortgage servicing rights that did not qualify for sale accounting. This liability is accounted for at elected fair value, which is measured consistent with the related MSR, as previously described.

Term borrowings. The fair value is based on quoted market prices or dealer quotes for the identical liability when traded as an asset. When pricing information for the identical liability is not available, relevant prices for similar debt instruments are used with adjustments being made to the prices obtained for differences in characteristics of the debt instruments. If no relevant pricing information is available, the fair value is approximated by the present value of the contractual cash flows discounted by the investor's yield which considers FHN's and FTBNA's debt ratings.

Other noninterest-bearing liabilities. For disclosure purposes, other noninterest-bearing liabilities include accrued interest payable and capital markets payables. Due to the short-term nature of these liabilities, the book value is considered to approximate fair value.

Loan commitments. Fair values are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

Other commitments. Fair values are based on fees charged to enter into similar agreements.

The following fair value estimates are determined as of a specific point in time utilizing various assumptions and estimates. The use of assumptions and various valuation techniques, as well as the absence of secondary markets for certain financial instruments, will likely reduce the comparability of fair value disclosures between financial institutions. Due to market illiquidity, the fair values for loans, net of unearned income, loans held-for-sale, and term borrowings as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, involve the use of significant internally-developed pricing assumptions for certain components of these line items. These assumptions are considered to reflect inputs that market participants would use in transactions involving these instruments as of the measurement date. Assets and liabilities that are not financial instruments (including MSR) have not been included in the following table such as the value of long-term relationships with deposit and trust customers, premises and equipment, goodwill and other intangibles, deferred taxes, and certain other assets and other liabilities. Accordingly, the total of the fair value amounts does not represent, and should not be construed to represent, the underlying value of the company.

The following table summarizes the book value and estimated fair value of financial instruments recorded in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition as well as unfunded commitments as of June 30, 2012 and 2011. As of June 30, 2012, the table includes disclosure of fair value by level for each class of asset and liability not recorded at fair value.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Book Value | June 30, 2012 | | | Total |
|--|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Fair Value Level 3 | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses | | | | | |
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Commercial, financial and industrial | \$ 7,870,720 | | | \$ 7,649,105 | \$ 7,649,105 |
| Commercial real estate | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,195,608 | | | 1,129,205 | 1,129,205 |
| Residential CRE | 77,013 | | | 69,380 | 69,380 |
| Retail: | | | | | |
| Consumer real estate (c) | 5,722,144 | | | 5,230,876 | 5,230,876 |
| Permanent mortgage (c) | 726,595 | | | 626,914 | 626,914 |
| Credit card & other | 272,632 | | | 273,276 | 273,276 |
| Total loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses | 15,864,712 | | | 14,978,756 | 14,978,756 |
| Short-term financial assets | | | | | |
| Total interest-bearing cash | 484,430 | 484,430 | | | 484,430 |
| Total federal funds sold & securities purchased under agreements to resell | 525,504 | | 525,504 | | 525,504 |
| Total short-term financial assets | 1,009,934 | 484,430 | 525,504 | | 1,009,934 |
| Trading securities (a) (d) | 1,361,717 | | 1,343,221 | 18,496 | 1,361,717 |
| Loans held-for-sale (a) | 424,051 | | 62,730 | 361,321 | 424,051 |
| Securities available-for-sale (a) (b) | 3,264,866 | 13,784 | 3,038,058 | 213,024 | 3,264,866 |
| Derivative assets (a) | 340,810 | 22,523 | 318,287 | | 340,810 |
| Other assets | | | | | |
| Low income housing and new market tax credit investments | 82,287 | | | 82,287 | 82,287 |
| Deferred compensation assets | 22,645 | 22,645 | | | 22,645 |
| Total other assets | 104,932 | 22,645 | | 82,287 | 104,932 |
| Nonearning assets | | | | | |
| Cash & due from banks | 330,931 | 330,931 | | | 330,931 |
| Capital markets receivables | 377,496 | | 377,496 | | 377,496 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 75,958 | | 75,958 | | 75,958 |
| Total nonearning assets | 784,385 | 330,931 | 453,454 | | 784,385 |
| Total assets | \$ 23,155,407 | \$ 874,313 | \$ 5,741,254 | \$ 15,653,884 | \$ 22,269,451 |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | | |
| Defined maturity | \$ 1,737,702 | \$ | \$ 1,776,607 | \$ | \$ 1,776,607 |
| Undefined maturity | 14,379,741 | | 14,379,741 | | 14,379,741 |
| Total deposits | 16,117,443 | | 16,156,348 | | 16,156,348 |
| Trading liabilities (a) | 470,631 | | 470,631 | | 470,631 |
| Short-term financial liabilities | | | | | |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------|---------------|------------|---------------|
| Total federal funds purchased & securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 1,780,990 | | 1,780,990 | | 1,780,990 |
| Total other borrowings | 1,094,179 | | 1,081,740 | 12,439 | 1,094,179 |
| Total short-term financial liabilities | 2,875,169 | | 2,862,730 | 12,439 | 2,875,169 |
| Term borrowings | | | | | |
| Real estate investment trust-preferred | 45,726 | | | 39,950 | 39,950 |
| Term borrowings - new market tax credit investment | 15,301 | | | 16,253 | 16,253 |
| Borrowings secured by residential real estate | 445,298 | | | 356,238 | 356,238 |
| Other long term borrowings | 1,787,899 | | 1,673,360 | | 1,673,360 |
| Total term borrowings | 2,294,224 | | 1,673,360 | 412,441 | 2,085,801 |
| Derivative liabilities (a) | 235,490 | 7,430 | 224,555 | 3,505 | 235,490 |
| Other noninterest-bearing liabilities | | | | | |
| Capital markets payables | 203,548 | | 203,548 | | 203,548 |
| Accrued interest payable | 29,928 | | 29,928 | | 29,928 |
| Total other noninterest-bearing liabilities | 233,476 | | 233,476 | | 233,476 |
| Total liabilities | \$ 22,226,433 | \$ 7,430 | \$ 21,621,100 | \$ 428,385 | \$ 22,056,915 |

- (a) Classes are detailed in the recurring and nonrecurring measurement tables.
- (b) Level 3 includes restricted investments in FHLB-Cincinnati stock of \$125.5 million and FRB stock of \$66.0 million.
- (c) Includes restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings.
- (d) Level 3 includes \$3.6 million of trading loans not recorded at fair value.

Table of Contents**Note 15 Fair Value of Assets & Liabilities (Continued)**

| | June 30, 2011 | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | Book Value | Fair Value | | |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Loans, net of unearned income and allowance for loan losses | \$ 15,537,555 | \$ 14,667,125 | | |
| Short-term financial assets | 861,441 | 861,441 | | |
| Trading securities | 1,196,380 | 1,196,380 | | |
| Loans held-for-sale | 397,931 | 397,931 | | |
| Securities available-for-sale | 3,230,477 | 3,230,477 | | |
| Derivative assets | 304,676 | 304,676 | | |
| Other assets | 104,409 | 104,409 | | |
| Nonearning assets | 1,019,589 | 1,019,589 | | |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Deposits: | | | | |
| Defined maturity | \$ 1,791,174 | \$ 1,840,353 | | |
| Undefined maturity | 14,104,853 | 14,104,853 | | |
| Total deposits | 15,896,027 | 15,945,206 | | |
| Trading liabilities | 498,915 | 498,915 | | |
| Short-term financial liabilities | 2,193,901 | 2,193,901 | | |
| Term borrowings | 2,502,517 | 2,301,311 | | |
| Derivative liabilities | 212,289 | 212,289 | | |
| Other noninterest-bearing liabilities | 498,726 | 498,726 | | |
| Unfunded Commitments: | | | | |
| | Contractual Amount | | Fair Value | |
| | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 |
| Loan commitments | \$ 7,868,558 | \$ 7,938,091 | \$ 1,597 | \$ 1,234 |
| Standby and other commitments | 376,450 | 391,539 | 5,433 | 6,534 |

Table of Contents

Note 16 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency

Beginning in 2007, FHN conducted a company-wide review of business practices with the goal of improving its overall profitability and productivity. Such reviews continue throughout the organization. Since 2007, in order to redeploy capital to higher-return businesses, FHN exited or sold non-strategic businesses, eliminated layers of management, and consolidated functional areas.

Generally, restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency charges related to exited businesses are included in the non-strategic segment while charges related to corporate-driven actions are included in the corporate segment. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, FHN recognized a net cost of \$3.6 million related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities. Of this amount, \$2.0 million represent exit costs that were accounted for in accordance with the Exit of Disposal Cost Obligations Topic of the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC 420). Significant expenses recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2012 resulted from the following actions:

Severance and other employee costs of \$2.0 million primarily related to efficiency initiatives within corporate and bank services functions which are classified as Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits within noninterest expense.

Expense of \$2.3 million related to prior servicing sales which is reflected in Mortgage banking income. Net costs recognized by FHN during the six months ended June 30, 2011 related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities were \$29.7 million. Of this amount, \$11.2 million represented exit costs that were accounted for in accordance with ASC 420. Significant expenses recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2011 resulted from the following actions:

Severance and other employee costs of \$10.2 million primarily related to efficiency initiatives within corporate and bank services functions which are classified as Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits within noninterest expense.

Goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million related to the contracted sale of FHI which is reflected in Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax.

Loss of \$9.0 million related to cancellation of a technology services contract which is reflected in All other expense. Settlement of the obligations arising from current initiatives will be funded from operating cash flows. The effect of suspending depreciation on assets held-for-sale was immaterial to FHN's results of operations for all periods. Due to the broad nature of the actions being taken, substantially all components of expense have benefitted from past efficiency initiatives and are expected to benefit from the current efficiency initiatives.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency (Continued)**

Activity in the restructuring and repositioning liability for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is presented in the following table, along with other restructuring and repositioning expenses recognized.

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | | | | Six Months Ended June 30 | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Expense | Liability | Expense | Liability | Expense | Liability | Expense | Liability |
| Beginning balance | \$ | \$ 8,388 | \$ | \$ 8,643 | \$ | \$ 12,026 | \$ | \$ 9,108 |
| Severance and other employee related costs | 2,191 | 2,191 | 7,740 | 7,740 | 2,039 | 2,039 | 10,236 | 10,236 |
| Facility consolidation costs | (219) | (219) | 44 | 44 | (175) | (175) | 839 | 839 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees, and other | | | 84 | 84 | 111 | 111 | 84 | 84 |
| Total accrued | 1,972 | 10,360 | 7,868 | 16,511 | 1,975 | 14,001 | 11,159 | 20,267 |
| Payments related to: | | | | | | | | |
| Severance and other employee related costs | | 925 | | 476 | | 2,962 | | 3,430 |
| Facility consolidation costs | | 285 | | 586 | | 877 | | 1,276 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees, and other | | | | 87 | | 15 | | 87 |
| Accrual reversals | | 203 | | | | 1,200 | | 112 |
| Restructuring and repositioning reserve balance | | \$ 8,947 | | \$ 15,362 | | \$ 8,947 | | \$ 15,362 |
| Other restructuring and repositioning expense: | | | | | | | | |
| Mortgage banking expense on servicing sales | 2,287 | | | | 2,287 | | | |
| (Gains)/losses on divestitures | (485) | | (753) | | (685) | | (753) | |
| Impairment of premises and equipment | | | | | 5 | | 184 | |
| Impairment of intangible assets | | | | | | | 10,100 | |
| Impairment of other assets | 12 | | | | 12 | | | |
| Other | | | 9,040 | | | | 9,040 | |
| Total other restructuring and repositioning expense | 1,814 | | 8,287 | | 1,619 | | 18,571 | |
| Total restructuring and repositioning charges | \$ 3,786 | | \$ 16,155 | | \$ 3,594 | | \$ 29,730 | |

FHN began initiatives related to restructuring in second quarter 2007. Consequently, the following table presents cumulative amounts incurred to date through June 30, 2012 for costs associated with FHN's restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Total Expense |
|--|---------------|
| Severance and other employee related costs | \$ 79,835 |
| Facility consolidation costs | 40,475 |
| Other exit costs, professional fees, and other | 19,165 |
| Other restructuring and repositioning expense: | |
| Loan portfolio divestiture | 7,672 |
| Mortgage banking expense on servicing sales | 23,462 |
| Net loss on divestitures | 462 |
| Impairment of premises and equipment | 22,380 |
| Impairment of intangible assets | 48,231 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | |
|--|------------|
| Impairment of other assets | 40,504 |
| Other | 7,574 |
| Total restructuring and repositioning charges incurred to date as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 289,760 |

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

| | |
|--|-----|
| <u>General Information</u> | 75 |
| <u>Forward-Looking Statements</u> | 76 |
| <u>Financial Summary (Comparison of Second Quarter 2012 to Second Quarter 2011)</u> | 76 |
| <u>Statement of Condition Review (Comparison of Second Quarter 2012 to Second Quarter 2011)</u> | 83 |
| <u>Financial Summary (Comparison of first six months of 2012 to first six months of 2011)</u> | 85 |
| <u>Capital</u> | 88 |
| <u>Asset Quality</u> | 90 |
| <u>Risk Management</u> | 101 |
| <u>Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual Obligations</u> | 105 |
| <u>Market Uncertainties and Prospective Trends</u> | 114 |
| <u>Critical Accounting Policies</u> | 116 |
| <u>Non-GAAP Information</u> | 122 |

Table of Contents

**MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL
CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

GENERAL INFORMATION

First Horizon National Corporation (FHN) began as a community bank chartered in 1864 and as of June 30, 2012, was one of the 30 largest publicly traded banking organizations in the United States in terms of asset size.

The corporation's two major brands First Tennessee and FTN Financial provide customers with a broad range of products and services. First Tennessee provides retail and commercial banking services throughout Tennessee and is the largest bank headquartered in the state. FTN Financial (FTNF) is an industry leader in fixed income sales, trading, and strategies for institutional clients in the U.S. and abroad.

FHN is composed of the following operating segments:

Regional banking offers financial products and services including traditional lending and deposit-taking to retail and commercial customers in Tennessee and surrounding markets. Additionally, regional banking provides investments, financial planning, trust services and asset management, credit cards, cash management, check clearing services, and correspondent banking services.

Capital markets provides financial services for depository and non-depository institutions through the sale and distribution of fixed income securities, loan sales, portfolio advisory services, and derivative sales.

Corporate consists of unallocated corporate income/expenses including gains and losses from the extinguishment of debt, expense on subordinated debt issuances, bank-owned life insurance (BOLI), unallocated interest income associated with excess equity, net impact of raising incremental capital, revenue and expense associated with deferred compensation plans, funds management, tax credit investment activities, and certain charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives.

Non-strategic includes exited businesses (including Msaver, FHI and Highland) and loan portfolios, other discontinued products and service lines, and certain charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives.

For the purpose of this management's discussion and analysis (MD&A), earning assets have been expressed as averages, unless otherwise noted, and loans have been disclosed net of unearned income. The following is a discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of FHN for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. To assist the reader in obtaining a better understanding of FHN and its performance, the following discussion should be read with the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements and Notes in this report. Additional information including the 2011 financial statements, notes, and MD&A is provided in the 2011 Annual Report.

Non-GAAP Measures

Certain ratios are included in the narrative and tables in MD&A that are non-GAAP, meaning they are not presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the U.S. FHN's management believes such measures are relevant to understanding the capital position and results of the company. The non-GAAP ratios presented in this filing are the net interest margin using net interest income adjusted for fully taxable equivalent (FTE) and the tier 1 common capital ratio. These measures are reported to FHN's management and board of directors through various internal reports. Additionally, disclosure of the non-GAAP capital ratio provides a meaningful base for comparability to other financial institutions as this ratio has become an important measure of the capital strength of banks as demonstrated by its use by banking regulators in reviewing capital adequacy of financial institutions. Non-GAAP measures are not formally defined by GAAP or codified in the federal banking regulations, and other entities may use calculation methods that differ from those used by FHN. Tier 1 Capital is a regulatory term and is generally defined as the sum of core capital (including common equity and instruments that cannot be redeemed at the option of the holder) adjusted for certain items under risk-based capital regulations. Risk-weighted assets is a regulatory term which includes total assets adjusted for credit risk and is used to determine regulatory capital ratios. Refer to Table 20 for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP measures and presentation of the most comparable GAAP items.

Table of Contents**FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

This MD&A contains forward-looking statements with respect to FHN's beliefs, plans, goals, expectations, and estimates. Forward-looking statements are statements that are not a representation of historical information but rather are related to future operations, strategies, financial results, or other developments. The words believe, expect, anticipate, intend, estimate, should, is likely, will, going forward, and expressions that indicate future events and trends identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon estimates and assumptions that are inherently subject to significant business, operational, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond a company's control, and many of which, with respect to future business decisions and actions (including acquisitions and divestitures), are subject to change. Examples of uncertainties and contingencies include, among other important factors, global, general and local economic and business conditions, including economic recession or depression; the level and length of deterioration in the residential housing and commercial real estate markets; potential requirements for FHN to repurchase previously sold or securitized mortgages or securities based on such mortgages; potential claims relating to the foreclosure process; expectations of and actual timing and amount of interest rate movements, including the slope of the yield curve, which can have a significant impact on a financial services institution; market and monetary fluctuations, including fluctuations in mortgage markets; inflation or deflation; customer, investor, regulatory, and legislative responses to any or all of these conditions; the financial condition of borrowers and other counterparties; competition within and outside the financial services industry; geopolitical developments including possible terrorist activity; natural disasters; effectiveness and cost-efficiency of FHN's hedging practices; technological changes; fraud, theft, or other incursions through conventional, electronic, or other means; demand for FHN's product offerings; new products and services in the industries in which FHN operates; and critical accounting estimates. Other factors are those inherent in originating, selling, servicing, and holding loans and loan-based assets, including prepayment risks, pricing concessions, fluctuation in U.S. housing and other real estate prices, fluctuation of collateral values, and changes in customer profiles. Additionally, the actions of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA), the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Bureau), the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council), and other regulators and agencies; regulatory, administrative, and judicial proceedings and changes in laws and regulations applicable to FHN; and FHN's success in executing its business plans and strategies and managing the risks involved in the foregoing, could cause actual results to differ, perhaps materially, from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. FHN assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statements that are made from time to time. Actual results could differ, possibly materially, because of several factors, including those presented in this Forward-Looking Statements section, in other sections of this MD&A, in other parts of and exhibits to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012, and in documents incorporated into this Quarterly report.

FINANCIAL SUMMARY (Comparison of second quarter 2012 to second quarter 2011)

During second quarter 2012, FHN reported a net loss available to common shareholders of \$124.8 million or \$.50 loss per diluted share compared to net income of \$20.0 million or \$.08 earnings per diluted share in 2011. The decline in 2012 results was driven by an increase in expenses and provision for loan losses coupled with lower revenue.

Revenue decreased \$28.9 million to \$331.6 million in second quarter 2012 primarily due to a decline in mortgage banking income. Mortgage banking income was \$9.9 million in 2012 compared to \$32.1 million in 2011 due in large part to a reduction in positive net hedging results and a decline in servicing fees. Capital markets income fell 4 percent from a year ago reflecting slightly less favorable market conditions in 2012. Additionally, deposit fee income and ATM interchange fees were lower in 2012 largely driven by the negative impact of the Durbin Amendment on interchange fee income which became effective in fourth quarter 2011. Second quarter 2012 noninterest income includes a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of a venture capital investment. Net interest income was relatively flat compared to the prior year.

Operating expenses increased 53 percent to \$527.2 million in 2012 primarily driven by an increase in the repurchase and foreclosure provision reflecting a change in estimate of FHN's repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to mortgage loans sold to the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae, Fannie, or FNMA) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac, Freddie, or FHLMC). Mortgage subservicing costs and computer software were also elevated during second quarter 2012, due to higher subservicing costs associated with the transition to a new subservicer in third quarter 2011 and FHN's continued focus on technology improvements, respectively. Expenses were favorably affected by a decline in losses from litigation and regulatory matters and legal and professional fees largely driven by litigation matters in the prior year. Costs associated with restructuring activities also declined in 2012 relative to 2011. Generally, all other expense categories declined given FHN's continued focus on cost reductions and efficiency throughout the organization.

The provision for loan losses was \$15.0 million in 2012 compared to \$1.0 million in 2011. Both periods experienced overall improvement in the loan portfolio which resulted in a 39 percent decline in both the allowance for loan losses and net charge-offs. Average loans increased slightly to \$16.0 billion and average core deposits increased 5 percent to \$15.6 billion in 2012.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

The divestitures of FHI and Highland in second quarter 2011 resulted in \$4.2 million in after-tax gains. These gains, along with the operating results of Msaver, FHI, and Highland are reflected in Discontinued operations, net of tax on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented. Income from discontinued operations was \$.5 million in 2012.

Table of Contents

Return on average common equity and return on average assets for second quarter 2012 were negative 21.06 percent and negative 1.96 percent, respectively, compared to positive 3.36 percent and .37 percent in 2011. Tier 1 capital ratio was 13.12 percent as of June 30, 2012, compared to 14.39 percent on June 30, 2011. Total period-end assets increased to \$25.5 billion on June 30, 2012 from \$25.1 billion on June 30, 2011. Shareholders' equity decreased to \$2.5 billion on June 30, 2012, from \$2.7 billion on June 30, 2011.

BUSINESS LINE REVIEW (Comparison of second quarter 2012 to second quarter 2011)

Regional Banking

Pre-tax income within the regional banking segment was \$64.8 million during 2012, compared to \$73.8 million in 2011. The decline in pre-tax income was driven by an increase in loan loss provision and lower fee income, which more than offset an increase in net interest income (NII) and lower expenses.

Total revenue in 2012 was \$212.0 million compared to \$203.4 million in 2011. NII increased from \$135.6 million in 2011 to \$147.2 million in 2012. The increase in NII was largely attributable to an increase in commercial balances, primarily loans to mortgage companies and an increase in consumer real estate installment loans from a year ago. Improved deposit pricing favorably affected funding costs and NII relative to 2011. Regional banking recognized \$4.8 million in provision expense in second quarter 2012 compared to a provision credit of \$13.7 million in 2011. Though the pace of improvement slowed in 2012, both periods reflect continued stabilization in the performance of the loan portfolios which was largely driven by improved economic conditions and by commercial borrowers adapting to the operating environment.

Noninterest income declined 4 percent or \$3.0 million to \$64.8 million in 2012. Total service charges on deposits declined 13 percent to \$30.0 million from 2011 primarily driven by lower interchange income due to the Durbin Amendment which became effective in fourth quarter 2011. Second quarter 2012 includes a \$1.8 million gain related to the sale of a branch.

Noninterest expense was \$142.4 million in 2012 down 1 percent from the prior year. Personnel-related costs increased from a year ago in large part associated with higher pension-related costs, but were partially mitigated by headcount reductions compared to 2011. Nearly all other categories of expenses declined from a year ago because of FHN's continued focus on cost reductions throughout the organization.

Capital Markets

Pre-tax income in the capital markets segment was \$19.7 million in 2012 compared to a pre-tax loss of \$19.9 million in 2011. The increase in pre-tax income is related to a decrease in expenses in 2012 relative to the prior year, as 2011 included a \$36.7 million loss accrual associated with a litigation settlement, as well as higher legal and professional fees. Total revenue decreased to \$80.6 million in 2012 from \$83.4 million in 2011 due to a 4 percent decrease in fixed income sales revenue. Other product revenue was \$6.8 million in both 2012 and 2011. Noninterest expense was \$60.9 million compared to \$103.4 million in 2011, primarily related to the litigation matters previously mentioned.

Corporate

The pre-tax loss for the corporate segment decreased to \$19.7 million in 2012 compared to \$27.0 million in 2011. Net interest income declined \$4.6 million in 2012 primarily due to a lower-yielding securities portfolio.

Noninterest income declined \$5.0 million to \$3.8 million in 2012. The decline in noninterest income was primarily due to \$3.4 million of interest income associated with a tax refund received in the prior year and a decrease of \$1.2 million in deferred compensation income from a year ago as result of a decline in market conditions in 2012. Changes in deferred compensation income are mirrored by changes in deferred compensation expense which is included in personnel expense. Noninterest expense decreased 47 percent to \$19.4 million in 2012 primarily due to costs associated with restructuring activities in 2011. Personnel costs declined reflecting lower severance related costs and deferred compensation expense. Legal and professional fees decreased as 2011 included elevated professional fees associated with consulting projects throughout the organization. Noninterest expense in 2011 included a \$9.0 million charge related to the termination of a technology related services contract.

Non-Strategic

The non-strategic segment's pre-tax loss was \$275.4 million in 2012 compared to \$11.9 million in 2011 due to an increase in expenses combined with a decline in revenue. Total revenue in 2012 was \$39.2 million compared to \$64.3 million in 2011 with net interest income declining to \$24.0 million in 2012 from \$31.4 million in the prior year. The decline in net interest income is primarily due to a 19 percent reduction in average loans from 2011. The provision for loan losses decreased \$4.6 million to \$10.2 million in 2012 due to continued stabilization and runoff of the non-strategic portfolios.

Table of Contents

Noninterest income (including securities gains/losses) declined to \$15.3 million in 2012 from \$33.0 million in 2011 primarily due to a decline in mortgage banking income. The change in mortgage banking income was attributable to a \$13.6 million decline in net hedging results and a \$4.3 million decrease in servicing fees. Servicing fees continue to decline consistent with the reduction in the size of the mortgage servicing portfolio while the decrease in net hedging results is attributable to more narrow spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2012 relative to 2011. Runoff of the servicing portfolio, which results in a decline in MSR valuation, as well as a \$2.3 million adjustment associated with prior servicing sales combined for a \$4.5 million decrease in mortgage banking income. Total noninterest income in 2012 includes a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of a venture capital investment.

Noninterest expense increased to \$304.5 million in 2012 from \$61.4 million in 2011, due to a significant increase in the repurchase and foreclosure provision and a \$22.0 million loss accrual for legal matters. The repurchase and foreclosure provision increased to \$250.0 million in second quarter 2012 due to a change in estimate of FHN's repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to mortgage loans sold to Fannie and Freddie. This change in estimate reflects significant new information from Fannie including loans currently selected for review, information supporting anticipated future selections (primarily from seriously delinquent and liquidated loan pools), and asset quality statistics for loans sold to Fannie (including loans no longer serviced by FHN). This data was utilized to extrapolate probable incurred losses for loans sold to Freddie. Ginnie loans were not included in the extrapolation. For additional information refer to the discussion of FHN's repurchase obligations within the Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual obligations section of MD&A and Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures. Contract employment and outsourcing costs also increased \$3.5 million to \$8.4 million in 2012 as FHN recognized elevated subservicing fees since the transfer to a new loan servicer in third quarter 2011. Generally, most other expense categories continued to decline given the continued wind-down of the legacy businesses.

INCOME STATEMENT REVIEW (Comparison of second quarter 2012 to second quarter 2011)

Total consolidated revenue decreased to \$331.6 million in 2012 from \$360.5 million in 2011 due primarily to a decline in mortgage banking income. Total expenses increased from \$344.5 million in 2011 to \$527.2 million in 2012 primarily due to a significant increase in the repurchase and foreclosure provision related to a change in estimate of FHN's obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to mortgage loans sold to Fannie and Freddie.

NET INTEREST INCOME

NII declined slightly to \$172.7 million in 2012 from \$172.9 million in 2011. Average earning assets increased 2 percent to \$22.2 billion and average interest-bearing liabilities increased 2 percent to \$16.8 billion in 2012. The decrease in NII is primarily attributable to lower reinvestment rates of both the loan and securities portfolios partially offset by higher loan balances and improved funding costs.

For purposes of computing yields and the net interest margin, FHN adjusts net interest income to reflect tax-exempt income on an equivalent pre-tax basis which provides comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources. Table 1 details annualized yields and rates and the computation of the net interest margin for FHN. The consolidated net interest margin decreased to 3.16 percent in 2012 from 3.20 percent in 2011. The net interest spread was 3.00 percent in both 2012 and 2011 and the impact of free funding decreased to 16 basis points from 20 basis points. The decrease in net interest margin is primarily due to declining yields on fixed rate portfolios as a result of lower reinvestment rates. A portion of the decline in margin was offset by lower deposit costs.

Table of Contents**Table 1 - Net Interest Margin**

| | Three Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Consolidated yields and rates: | | |
| Loans, net of unearned income | 4.09% | 4.09% |
| Loans held-for-sale | 3.41 | 3.57 |
| Investment securities | 3.18 | 3.86 |
| Capital markets securities inventory | 2.77 | 3.39 |
| Mortgage banking trading securities | 10.12 | 10.17 |
| Other earning assets | 0.14 | 0.03 |
| | | |
| Yields on earning assets | 3.67 | 3.83 |
| | | |
| Interest-bearing core deposits | 0.44 | 0.64 |
| Certificates of deposit \$100,000 and more | 1.37 | 1.91 |
| Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 0.24 | 0.23 |
| Capital markets trading liabilities | 1.90 | 2.65 |
| Other short-term borrowings | 0.04 | 0.27 |
| Term borrowings | 1.70 | 1.49 |
| | | |
| Rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities | 0.67 | 0.83 |
| | | |
| Net interest spread | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Effect of interest-free sources | 0.16 | 0.20 |
| | | |
| Net interest margin (a) | 3.16% | 3.20% |

(a) Calculated using total net interest income adjusted for FTE. Refer to the Non-GAAP to GAAP reconciliation Table 20. FHN's net interest margin is expected to remain flat or decline slightly from the current level in the second half of 2012 as FHN expects interest rates to remain at historically low levels which will result in continued pressure on reinvestment yields in the loan and securities portfolios.

NONINTEREST INCOME

Noninterest income was 48 percent of total revenue in 2012 compared to 52 percent in 2011 as total noninterest income decreased to \$158.9 million in 2012 from \$187.6 million in 2011. The decrease primarily resulted from a decline in mortgage banking, capital markets, and deposit fee income, partially mitigated by a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of a venture capital investment.

Capital Markets Noninterest Income

Capital markets noninterest income decreased to \$74.9 million in 2012 from \$77.9 million in 2011. Revenue from fixed income sales declined to \$68.2 million in 2012 from \$71.2 million as fixed income production levels reflected slightly less favorable market conditions in 2012. Revenue from other products was \$6.7 million in 2012 compared to \$6.8 million 2011.

Table 2 - Capital Markets Noninterest Income

Three Months Ended
June 30

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 2012 | 2011 | Percent Change | Six Months Ended June 30 | | Percent Change |
|--|------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Noninterest income: | | | | | | |
| Fixed income | \$ 68,168 | \$ 71,164 | (4.2)% | \$ 166,721 | \$ 154,358 | 8.0 % |
| Other product revenue | 6,745 | 6,757 | (0.2)% | 14,935 | 13,620 | 9.7 % |
| Total capital markets noninterest income | \$ 74,913 | \$ 77,921 | (3.9)% | \$ 181,656 | \$ 167,978 | 8.1 % |

Mortgage Banking Noninterest Income

Mortgage banking income decreased to \$9.9 million in 2012 from \$32.1 million in 2011. Mortgage banking income is comprised of servicing income related to legacy mortgage banking operations, fees from mortgage origination activity in the regional banking footprint, and fair value adjustments to the mortgage warehouse.

Table of Contents

Servicing income, which includes fees for servicing mortgage loans, changes due to the value of servicing assets, results of hedging servicing assets, and the negative impact of runoff on the value of MSR, is the largest component of mortgage banking income. Total servicing income was \$10.2 million in 2012 compared to \$29.1 million in 2011. Positive net hedging results decreased to \$1.8 million in 2012 from \$15.4 million in 2011 reflecting more narrow spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2012. Service fees were \$15.0 million in 2012 a \$4.3 million decrease from 2011 and consistent with the portfolio decline. The negative impact attributable to runoff was \$6.7 million in 2012 compared to \$5.5 million in 2011, which was primarily the result of a smaller servicing portfolio in 2012 driven by natural runoff.

The mortgage warehouse valuation included \$.6 million of net positive fair value adjustments in 2012 compared to \$1.8 million in 2011. In 2012, other mortgage banking includes a \$2.3 million adjustment related to prior servicing sales.

Table 3 - Mortgage Banking Noninterest Income

| | Three Months Ended | | Percent Change | Six Months Ended | | Percent Change |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | June 30 | | | June 30 | | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | | 2012 | 2011 | |
| Noninterest income (thousands): | | | | | | |
| Origination income | \$ 1,227 | \$ 960 | 27.8% | \$ 2,128 | \$ 3,757 | (43.4)% |
| Mortgage warehouse valuation | 626 | 1,821 | (65.6)% | 2,266 | 505 | NM |
| Servicing income/(expense): | | | | | | |
| Service fees | 14,984 | 19,248 | (22.2)% | 32,186 | 40,075 | (19.7)% |
| Change in MSR value - runoff | (6,665) | (5,525) | (20.6)% | (12,163) | (12,689) | 4.1% |
| Net hedging results | 1,832 | 15,416 | (88.1)% | 10,897 | 27,888 | (60.9)% |
| Total servicing income | 10,151 | 29,139 | (65.2)% | 30,920 | 55,274 | (44.1)% |
| Other | (2,115) | 181 | NM | (2,084) | 291 | NM |
| Total mortgage banking noninterest income | \$ 9,889 | \$ 32,101 | (69.2)% | \$ 33,230 | \$ 59,827 | (44.5)% |
| Mortgage banking statistics (millions): | | | | | | |
| Refinance originations | \$ 35 | \$ 15 | NM | \$ 79 | \$ 134 | (40.9)% |
| Home-purchase originations | 11 | 36 | (70.2)% | 18 | 28 | (35.7)% |
| Mortgage loan originations | \$ 46 | \$ 51 | (10.4)% | \$ 97 | \$ 162 | (40.0)% |
| Servicing portfolio owned (first lien mortgage loans) (a) | \$ 18,779 | \$ 23,352 | (19.6)% | \$ 18,779 | \$ 23,352 | (19.6)% |

NM not meaningful

(a) Excludes foreclosed assets.

Deposit Fee Income

Deposit transactions and cash management income declined \$4.6 million to \$30.1 million in 2012. The decline in deposit fee income is primarily due to the negative impact on interchange income from the Durbin Amendment which became effective in fourth quarter 2011.

Other Noninterest Income

All other income and commissions decreased to \$22.9 million in 2012 from \$27.7 million in 2011. This decrease primarily reflects \$3.4 million of interest associated with a tax refund in 2011. ATM interchange fees and deferred compensation income also declined in 2012 primarily due to the implementation of the Durbin amendment in fourth quarter 2011, and less favorable market conditions relative to 2011, respectively. The following table provides detail regarding FHN's other income.

Table of Contents**Table 4 - Other Income**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Other income: | | | | |
| Bankcard income | \$ 5,705 | \$ 5,151 | \$ 11,320 | \$ 9,871 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 4,659 | 4,920 | 9,431 | 9,735 |
| Other service charges | 3,212 | 2,819 | 6,505 | 5,672 |
| ATM interchange fees | 2,669 | 3,791 | 5,225 | 7,326 |
| Electronic banking fees | 1,632 | 1,536 | 3,338 | 3,070 |
| Letter of credit fees | 1,560 | 1,869 | 2,894 | 3,645 |
| Gains on extinguishment of debt | | | | 5,761 |
| Deferred compensation | (1,020) | 221 | 2,099 | 1,200 |
| Other | 4,434 | 7,427 | 10,255 | 11,726 |
| Total | \$ 22,851 | \$ 27,734 | \$ 51,067 | \$ 58,006 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

NONINTEREST EXPENSE

Total noninterest expense in 2012 increased to \$527.2 million from \$344.5 million in 2011 primarily due to a significant increase in the repurchase provision.

The repurchase and foreclosure provision increased to \$250.0 million from \$24.6 million in 2011 reflecting a change in estimate of FHN's repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to mortgage loans sold to Fannie and Freddie. See the discussion of FHN's repurchase obligations within the Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual Obligations section of MD&A and Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for additional details. Nearly all expense categories declined in 2012 except for Contract employment and Computer software. Contract employment and outsourcing costs increased \$2.7 million in 2012 due to elevated subservicing costs since the transfer of servicing to a new mortgage servicer in third quarter 2011. Computer software expense increased \$1.6 million in 2012 due to continued focus on technology.

Legal and professional fees decreased \$12.0 million to \$8.4 million in 2012 primarily driven by a reduction in costs related to litigation matters and professional fees associated with consulting projects. Expenses associated with foreclosed assets declined \$3.9 million to \$1.9 million in 2012 due to the decline in negative valuation adjustments and lower property preservation costs consistent with the decline in balance of foreclosed real estate. Expenses related to operations services, occupancy, and communications and courier declined in 2012 as a result of continued cost reductions throughout the organization and wind-down of non-strategic businesses.

Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits (personnel expense), generally the largest component of noninterest expense, decreased 1 percent, or \$1.5 million to \$149.6 million in 2012. The decrease in personnel expense is primarily the result of a \$5.3 million decrease in severance-related costs associated with restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives and a \$1.7 million decrease in deferred compensation expense, which were largely offset by a \$6.0 million increase in pension-related costs. It is expected that in 2013, pension costs should decline relative to 2012 due to the pending freeze of the pension plan as the amortization term for actuarial gains and losses will change from the estimated average remaining service period of active employees to the estimated average remaining life expectancy of the remaining participants effective January 1, 2013.

All other expenses decreased from \$74.7 million in 2011 to \$54.1 million in 2012 primarily due to a \$16.2 million reduction in losses from litigation and regulatory matters. Second quarter 2011 contained a \$36.7 million loss accrual related to a litigation settlement and second quarter 2012 contains a \$22.0 million loss accrual related to pending legal matters. In 2012, ancillary expenses associated with legacy mortgage wind-down activities increased and FHN recognized a charge of \$2.8 million related to unrecoverable servicing advances. In 2011, FHN recognized a \$9.0 million charge to cancel a technology services contract. The following table provides detail regarding FHN's other expense.

Table of Contents**Table 5 - Other Expense**

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30 | | June 30 | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Other expense: | | | | |
| Losses from litigation and regulatory matters | \$ 22,100 | \$ 38,260 | \$ 22,253 | \$ 40,585 |
| Low income housing expense | 4,214 | 4,973 | 8,822 | 9,670 |
| Advertising and public relations | 3,153 | 3,558 | 7,403 | 7,348 |
| Other insurance and taxes | 3,130 | 3,507 | 6,329 | 6,974 |
| Travel and entertainment | 2,435 | 2,137 | 4,299 | 3,907 |
| Employee training and dues | 1,230 | 1,342 | 2,322 | 2,589 |
| Customer relations | 1,348 | 1,152 | 2,203 | 2,422 |
| Supplies | 817 | 792 | 1,850 | 1,755 |
| Bank examination costs | 800 | 1,117 | 1,599 | 2,235 |
| Loan insurance expense | 636 | 706 | 1,225 | 1,487 |
| Federal services fees | 328 | 291 | 649 | 755 |
| Other | 13,927 | 16,904 | 19,630 | 22,595 |
| Total | \$ 54,118 | \$ 74,739 | \$ 78,584 | \$ 102,322 |

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

INCOME TAXES

The effective tax rate for second quarter 2012 was 42 percent. The effective tax rate for 2012 cannot be compared to the tax rate in 2011 given the large difference in pre-tax income between both periods. Since pre-tax income is the most important component in determining the effective tax rate, the comparison of the tax rate from period to period, by itself, will not provide meaningful information unless pre-tax income is fairly consistent. In 2012 and 2011, there were several items which positively affected the tax expense. Tax credits reduced tax expense by \$4.7 million and \$5.4 million and non-taxable gains resulting from the increase in the cash surrender value of life insurance reduced tax expense by \$2.2 million and \$1.9 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

A deferred tax asset (DTA) or deferred tax liability (DTL) is recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of existing assets and liabilities. The tax consequence is calculated by applying enacted statutory tax rates, applicable to future years, to these temporary differences. As of June 30, 2012, FHN's net DTA was approximately \$255 million compared with \$176 million at June 30, 2011.

In order to support the recognition of the DTA, FHN's management must conclude that the realization of the DTA is more likely than not. FHN evaluates the likelihood of realization of the remaining net DTA based on both positive and negative evidence available at the time, including (as appropriate) scheduled reversals of DTLs, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies, and recent financial operations. Realization is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income prior to the expiration of the carryforwards attributable to the DTA. In projecting future taxable income, FHN incorporates assumptions including the amount of future state and federal pretax operating income, the reversal of temporary differences, and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require significant judgment about the forecasts of future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates used to manage the underlying business.

As of June 30, 2012, FHN has federal income tax credit carryforwards and state income tax NOL carryforwards, which will expire in varying amounts between 2029 and 2032. FHN believes that it is more likely than not that a portion of the benefit of certain state NOL carryforwards will not be realized. In recognition of this risk, a valuation allowance of \$6.7 million has been provided on the gross DTAs relating to these state NOL carryforwards. FHN forecasts substantially more taxable income in the carryforward period than needed to support the temporary differences and credits included in the remaining net deferred tax asset, which includes a benefit of \$78.6 million in federal income tax credit carryforwards and \$14.8 million in state income tax loss carryforwards. FHN believes that it will realize the benefit from the temporary differences and federal credit carryforward in the remaining DTA within a significantly shorter period of time than the twenty-year carryforward period allowed under the tax rules. Based on current analysis, FHN believes that its ability to realize the approximate \$255 million net DTA, less the valuation allowance established for state NOLs, is more likely than not. In the event FHN is able to determine that the deferred income tax

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

assets are realizable in the future in excess of their net recorded amount, FHN would make an adjustment to the valuation allowance, which would reduce the provision for income taxes.

Table of Contents**DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS**

The results of operations, net of tax, for Msaver, FHI, and Highland are classified as discontinued operations on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented. Income from discontinued operations was \$.5 million in 2012 compared to \$3.7 million in 2011. Income from discontinued operations in 2011 primarily consisted of after-tax gains on the divestitures of FHI and Highland.

STATEMENT OF CONDITION REVIEW (Comparison of second quarter 2012 to second quarter 2011)

Total period-end assets were \$25.5 billion on June 30, 2012, compared to \$25.1 billion on June 30, 2011. Average assets increased to \$25.0 billion in 2012 from \$24.5 billion in 2011. These changes in average balances reflect an increase in other earning assets, capital markets securities, and average loan balances coupled with a reduction in the allowance for loan losses.

EARNING ASSETS

Earning assets consist of loans, loans held-for-sale (HFS), investment securities, and other earning assets. Earning assets averaged \$22.2 billion and \$21.8 billion in 2012 and 2011, respectively. A more detailed discussion of the major line items follows.

Loans

Period-end loans increased 1 percent in 2012 from 2011 as an increase in commercial loans, primarily loans to mortgage companies, outpaced the continued run-off of the non-strategic portfolios. Average loans were relatively flat as of June 30, 2012 at \$16.0 billion.

Table 6 - Average Loans

| <i>(Dollars in millions)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | 2012 | Percent of Total | Percent Change | 2011 | Percent of Total |
| Commercial: | | | | | |
| Commercial, financial, and industrial | \$ 7,712.6 | 48 % | 12.3 + | \$ 6,867.9 | 43 % |
| Commercial real estate: | | | | | |
| Income CRE | 1,236.0 | 8 | 9.3 - | 1,362.5 | 9 |
| Residential CRE | 94.5 | 1 | 53.6 - | 203.7 | 1 |
| Total commercial | 9,043.1 | 57 | 7.2 + | 8,434.1 | 53 |
| Retail: | | | | | |
| Consumer real estate | 5,406.4 | 33 | 0.6 - | 5,436.4 | 34 |
| Permanent mortgage | 755.4 | 5 | 25.2 - | 1,009.8 | 6 |
| Credit card, OTC and other | 276.0 | 2 | 8.0 - | 299.9 | 2 |
| Restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings (a) | 479.3 | 3 | 32.4 - | 708.9 | 5 |
| Total retail | 6,917.1 | 43 | 7.2 - | 7,455.0 | 47 |
| Total loans, net of unearned | \$ 15,960.2 | 100 % | 0.4 + | \$ 15,889.1 | 100 % |

(a) 2012 includes \$458.3 million of Consumer Real Estate loans and \$21.0 million of Permanent Mortgage loans. C&I loans are the largest component of the commercial portfolio comprising 85 percent of total commercial loans in 2012 compared to 81 percent in 2011. The increase in average C&I loans is primarily driven by growth in loans to mortgage companies and asset-based lending. Commercial real estate loans declined \$.2 billion in 2012 to \$1.3 billion as the commercial real estate market remains soft and the non-strategic components continue to wind-down.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Total retail loans declined 7 percent, or \$537.9 million, to \$6.9 billion in 2012. The permanent mortgage portfolio declined \$254.4 million to \$755.4 million in 2012 as the effect of the NPL loan sale in third quarter 2011 and runoff were partially mitigated by loans added to this portfolio due to the exercise of clean-up calls related to securitization trusts in second quarter 2011. The consumer real estate portfolio (home equity lines and installment loans) declined \$30.0 million, to \$5.4 billion due to the continued wind-down of portfolios within the non-strategic segment, partially offset by growth in real estate installment loans due to new originations within the regional banking footprint and the reclassification of previously restricted loans as result of cleanup calls related to securitization trusts in first quarter 2012. Restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings include loans consolidated due to the adoption of amendments to ASC 810 and securitized loans that did not qualify for sale treatment. This portfolio segment declined \$229.6 million since second quarter 2011 due to natural runoff and cleanup calls related to 3 of the home equity lines (HELOC) securitization trusts resulting in deconsolidation of the trusts in late first quarter 2012. The loans were then reclassified from the restricted line item to the consumer real estate line.

Table of Contents

Investment Securities

FHN's investment portfolio consists principally of debt securities including government agency issued mortgage-backed securities (MBS) and government agency issued collateralized mortgage obligations (CMO), all of which are classified as available-for-sale (AFS). FHN utilizes the securities portfolio as a source of income, liquidity and collateral for repurchase agreements for public funds, and as a tool for managing risk of interest rate movements. Average investment securities increased \$19.5 million and averaged \$3.3 billion in 2012, representing 15 percent of earning assets. Investment securities averaged \$3.2 billion in 2011 and represented 15 percent of earning assets. Average investment securities increased as a result of investment securities purchases since second quarter 2011 which more than offset maturities and sales. The decision to purchase AFS securities was largely driven by excess liquidity combined with continued low loan demand. See Note 3 Investment Securities for additional detail.

Loans Held-for-Sale (HFS)

Loans HFS consists of the mortgage warehouse (including repurchased loans), student, small business, and home equity loans. The average balance of loans HFS increased \$58.6 million from 2011 and averaged \$425.2 million in 2012. The increase in average loans is primarily attributable to higher balances of small business loans and a larger mortgage warehouse. The mortgage warehouse, which consists of mortgage loans remaining from the legacy mortgage banking business, loans originated within the regional banking footprint awaiting transfer to the secondary market, and mortgage loans repurchased pursuant to requests from investors (primarily GSEs), averaged \$338.5 million in 2012 compared to \$309.5 million in 2011, and comprised 80 percent of loans HFS in 2012.

Other Earning Assets

All other earning assets include trading securities, securities purchased under agreements to resell, federal funds sold (FFS), and interest-bearing deposits with the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) and other financial institutions. All other earning assets averaged \$2.5 billion in 2012 compared to \$2.3 billion in 2011. The increase is primarily attributable to a \$136.5 million increase in interest-bearing cash as result of a large inflow of customer deposits in 2012. Additionally, capital markets trading inventory increased \$92.0 million to \$1.3 billion in 2012.

Core Deposits

Average core deposits increased 5 percent, or \$.7 billion from 2011, to \$15.6 billion in 2012. The increase in core deposits reflects growth due to the sustained low interest rate environment as deposit holders were unable to obtain higher rates on other comparable investment products and an increase in insured network deposits. Some of this growth was diminished as a result of the transfer of escrow balances in conjunction with the transition of the mortgage servicing portfolio to the new servicer in third quarter 2011. In fourth quarter 2011, FHN transferred \$174.3 million in certain deposits associated with the sale of Msaver which were included in Non-interest bearing deposits on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Short-Term Funds

Short-term funds (certificates of deposit greater than \$100,000, federal funds purchased (FFP), securities sold under agreements to repurchase, trading liabilities, and other short-term borrowings) averaged \$3.5 billion during 2012, a decline of 3 percent from \$3.6 billion in 2011. Average FFP, which currently is entirely composed of funds from correspondent banks and securities sold under repurchase agreements was \$1.5 billion in 2012 and 2011. FFP fluctuates depending on the amount of excess funding of correspondents and also the impact of FHN's excess Fed deposits. The average balance of securities sold under agreements to repurchase declined \$.2 billion to \$.4 billion in 2012 given the low rates currently paid on these products combined with the ability to receive FDIC insurance coverage on business checking accounts. Certificates of deposit (CD s) greater than \$100,000 increased \$128.4 million to \$675.7 million in 2012 primarily due to new bookings of jumbo public fund CDs. Other short-term borrowings averaged \$.4 billion in second quarter 2012 compared to \$.3 billion in second quarter 2011. Period-end Other short-term borrowings were \$1.1 billion on June 30, 2012 compared to \$.2 billion on June 30, 2011 due to increased Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings as result of deposit fluctuations and an increase in loans to mortgage companies near the end of the quarter. On average, short-term purchased funds accounted for 16 percent of FHN's funding (core deposits plus short-term purchased funds and term borrowings) in 2012 compared to 17 percent in 2011.

Term Borrowings

Term borrowings include senior and subordinated borrowings and advances with original maturities greater than one year. Average term borrowings decreased 7 percent, or \$.2 billion, and averaged \$2.3 billion in 2012. The decrease in average term-borrowings primarily relates to a decline in borrowings secured by residential real estate loans from runoff and the exercise of cleanup calls resulting in the collapse of

securitization trusts and payoff of the associated term borrowings.

Table of Contents**FINANCIAL SUMMARY (Comparison of first six months of 2012 to first six months of 2011)**

During the first six months of 2012, FHN reported net loss available to common shareholders of \$94.2 million or \$.38 loss per diluted share compared to net income of \$60.2 million or \$.23 earnings per diluted share in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, return on average common equity was negative 7.95 percent and return on average assets was negative .71 percent. Return on average common equity and return on average assets were 5.08 percent and .54 percent, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decline in 2012 results was driven by an increase in expenses and provision for loan losses coupled with a decline in revenue.

Revenue decreased \$23.6 million to \$706.0 million during the first six months of 2012. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a decline in mortgage banking income and deposit fee income, which more than offset an increase in capital markets income. Net interest income decreased \$1.0 million from 2011 to \$344.6 million in 2012. An increase in NII attributable to higher commercial loan balances was more than offset by the impact of runoff of the non-strategic portfolios. Additionally, a lower-yielding investment securities portfolio negatively affected NII, but was partially offset by lower deposit costs. The provision for loan losses was \$23.0 million in 2012 compared to \$2.0 million in 2011. Both periods reflect overall improvement in the loan portfolio which resulted in a 39 percent decline in the allowance for loan losses and a 40 percent decline in net charge-offs. Average loan levels remained consistent with 2011 levels at \$16.0 billion and average core deposits increased 6 percent to \$15.7 billion in 2012.

Noninterest income in 2012 was \$361.3 million compared to \$383.9 million in 2011. The decline in noninterest income was primarily driven by a decrease in mortgage banking and deposit fee income, partially mitigated by an increase in capital markets trading income. Capital markets noninterest income increased 8 percent from \$168.0 million in 2011 to \$181.7 million in 2012, driven by more favorable market conditions in first quarter 2012 resulting in elevated trading volume from both depository and nondepository customers.

Mortgage banking income was \$33.2 million and \$59.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Servicing fees declined \$7.9 million to \$32.2 million in 2012 consistent with the decline in the servicing portfolio. Positive net hedge results were \$10.9 million in 2012 compared to \$27.9 million in 2011, reflecting more narrow spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2012. The negative impact attributable to runoff was \$12.2 million and \$12.7 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively, and is primarily the result of a smaller servicing portfolio. Origination income in 2012 was \$2.1 million compared to \$3.8 million in 2011, due to a decline in both refinance and home-purchase originations from a year ago correlated with a reduction in sales force. Unhedged fair value adjustments of the remaining mortgage warehouse were positive \$2.3 million and \$.5 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Deposit transactions and cash management fee income decreased 12 percent in 2012 to \$58.9 million primarily driven by reduced interchange income due to the Durbin Amendment which became effective in fourth quarter 2011. Noninterest income in 2012 includes a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of a venture capital investment. All other noninterest income and commissions was \$51.1 million in 2012 compared to \$58.0 million in 2011. The decline in other noninterest income and commissions is primarily associated with a \$5.8 million gain related to the extinguishment of debt in 2011.

Total noninterest expense increased \$190.9 million in 2012 to \$849.2 million due in large part to a significant increase in the repurchase and foreclosure provision. The repurchase and foreclosure provision was \$299.3 million in 2012 compared to \$61.8 million in 2011. The increase in the expense reflects a change in estimate of FHN's repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to mortgage loans sold to Fannie and Freddie. For additional information refer to the discussion of FHN's repurchase obligations within the Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual obligations section of MD&A and Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures.

Personnel costs increased to \$325.1 million in 2012 from \$307.7 million in 2011, primarily due to an increase in variable compensation expense consistent with the increase in capital markets fixed income sales revenue, partially offset by a reduction in headcount. Pension related costs also increased \$12.1 million in 2012, but were partially offset by a \$7.7 million decrease in severance related expenses associated with restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives. Contract employment and outsourcing expenses increased \$6.9 million to \$22.0 million as result of elevated subservicing costs since the transfer of servicing to a new mortgage servicer in third quarter 2011. Additionally, computer software increased \$3.0 million in 2012 as the company continues to focus on technology related improvement projects.

Noninterest expense was favorably impacted by a \$24.3 million reduction in legal and professional fees in 2012 largely driven by legal fees associated with litigation matters and consulting projects in the prior year. Expenses associated with foreclosed assets were \$6.1 million in 2012, down \$6.5 million from 2011 due to the decline in negative valuation adjustments and lower property preservation costs consistent with the decline in the balance of foreclosed real estate. FDIC premium expense decreased \$3.8 million due in part to the FDIC's change in premium expense calculation in second quarter 2011. Expenses associated with operations services, occupancy, and communications and courier declined in 2012 as a result of continued cost reductions throughout the organization and wind-down of non-strategic businesses.

Table of Contents

Other noninterest expense declined \$23.7 million in 2012 to \$78.6 million. The decline was primarily due to an \$18.3 million decrease in losses from litigation and regulatory matters. 2012 expense includes higher ancillary expenses associated with legacy mortgage wind-down activities and \$2.8 million related to the write-off of unrecoverable servicing advances. 2011 expense included a \$9.0 million charge related to the cancelation of a technology services contract. Generally, all other expense categories were relatively flat or declined in 2012 given FHN's continued focus on cost reductions and efficiency throughout the organization.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

The results of operations, net of tax, for Msaver, FHI, and Highland are classified as discontinued operations on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income for all periods presented. In 2012, Income from discontinued operations was \$.1 million compared to \$4.5 million in 2011. The favorable impact on results from discontinued operations in 2011 was primarily the result of \$4.2 million net after-tax gains on the sales of FHI and Highland. Discontinued operations in 2011 also included a \$10.1 million goodwill impairment associated with FHI which was more than offset by \$11.1 million of associated tax benefits.

BUSINESS LINE REVIEW (Comparison of first six months of 2012 to first six months of 2011)**Regional Banking**

Pre-tax income within the regional banking segment was \$138.8 million during 2012, compared to \$139.1 million in 2011. The slight decline in pre-tax income was driven by a smaller loan loss provision credit and a decline in fee income, partially offset by an increase in net interest income (NII) and lower expenses.

Total revenue in 2012 was \$418.0 million compared to \$404.1 million in 2011. NII increased from \$269.9 million in 2011 to \$293.3 million in 2012. The increase in NII was largely attributable to an increase in commercial balances, primarily loans to mortgage companies and an increase in consumer real estate installment loans from a year ago. Improved deposit pricing favorably affected funding costs and NII relative to 2011. Regional banking recognized a provision credit of \$2.6 million in 2012 compared to \$26.2 million in 2011. Both periods reflect continued stabilization in the loan portfolios which was largely driven by improved economic conditions from a year ago and by commercial borrowers adapting to the operating environment.

Noninterest income declined 7 percent or \$9.4 million to \$124.7 million in 2012. Total service charges on deposits declined 12 percent to \$58.7 million and ATM interchange fees declined 29 percent to \$5.2 million from 2011 primarily driven by lower interchange income due to the Durbin Amendment which became effective in fourth quarter 2011. 2012 includes a \$1.8 million gain on the sale of a branch.

Noninterest expense was \$281.8 million in 2012 compared to \$291.1 million in 2011. Personnel costs were negatively affected in 2012 by higher pension-related costs, but was nearly offset by impact of headcount reductions from a year ago. Nearly all other categories of expenses declined from a year ago because of FHN's continued focus on cost reductions throughout the organization.

Capital Markets

Pre-tax income in the capital markets segment increased from \$2.1 million in 2011 to \$51.8 million in 2012, due to a reduction in expenses combined with an increase in fixed income sales revenue. Total revenue in 2012 increased to \$193.0 million from \$179.0 million in 2011 as fixed income sales revenue was \$166.7 million in 2012 compared to \$154.4 million in 2011. Fixed income average daily revenue improved to \$1.3 million during 2012 compared to \$1.2 million in 2011 reflecting more favorable market conditions in early 2012 resulting in elevated trading volume from both depository and nondepository customers. Other product revenue increased to \$15.0 million from \$13.6 million in 2011. Noninterest expense was \$141.2 million compared to \$176.9 million in 2011. A \$14.9 million increase in personnel costs is primarily due to higher variable compensation costs associated with the increase in fixed income sales revenues in 2012 relative to 2011. Legal and professional fees decreased \$12.3 million compared to 2011 as the prior year included costs associated with a litigation matter that was settled in third quarter 2011. 2011 also included a \$36.7 million loss accrual related to the litigation settlement.

Corporate

The pre-tax loss for the corporate segment increased to \$37.6 million in 2012 from \$35.3 million in 2011. Net interest income declined in 2012 primarily due to a lower-yielding securities portfolio.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Noninterest income declined 39 percent to \$13.1 million in 2012. The decline in noninterest income was primarily due to gains of \$5.8 million associated with the extinguishment of debt and \$3.4 million of interest income associated with a tax refund recognized in the prior year. Noninterest expense decreased to \$41.9 million in 2012 from \$57.0 million in 2011. Personnel costs in 2012 were favorably affected by lower severance costs related to restructuring activities relative to 2011. Legal and professional fees decreased as 2011 included elevated legal and professional fees associated with consulting projects throughout the organization. Expenses in 2011 included a \$9.0 million charge related to the termination of a technology related services contract, as well as a \$3.3 million reversal of the contingent liability previously established for certain Visa legal matters.

Table of Contents**Non-Strategic**

The non-strategic segment's pre-tax loss was \$319.3 million in 2012 compared to \$36.6 million in 2011 due to an increase in expenses combined with a decline in revenue. Total revenue in 2012 was \$90.6 million compared to \$124.8 million in 2011 with net interest income declining to \$48.9 million in 2012 from \$64.6 million in the prior year. The decline in net interest income is primarily due to a 19 percent reduction in average loans from 2011. The provision for loan losses decreased \$2.6 million in 2012 to \$25.6 million due to stabilization and runoff of the non-strategic portfolios.

Noninterest income (including securities gains/losses) declined 31 percent to \$41.8 million in 2012 as lower mortgage banking income more than offset a \$5.1 million gain on the sale of a venture capital investment and a \$2.3 million gain associated with the settlement of a legal matter. The change in mortgage banking income was attributable to a \$17.0 million decrease in net hedging results and a \$7.9 million decline in servicing fees which were partially mitigated by increased favorable valuation adjustments to the remaining mortgage warehouse in 2012. The decrease in net hedging results is attributable to more narrow spreads between mortgage and swap rates in 2012 relative to 2011 and servicing fees continue to decline consistent with the reduction in the size of the mortgage servicing portfolio. In 2012, positive valuation adjustments to the mortgage warehouse were \$2.3 million compared to \$.5 million in the prior year reflecting lower mortgage rates in 2012 compared to the prior year.

Noninterest expense was \$384.3 million in 2012 compared to \$133.2 million in 2011. Noninterest expense increased primarily due to a \$237.5 million increase in the repurchase and foreclosure provision due to a change in estimate of FHN's repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to mortgage loans sold to Fannie and Freddie. For additional information refer to the discussion of FHN's repurchase obligations within the Repurchase Obligations, Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and Other Contractual obligations section of MD&A and Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures. 2012 includes a \$22.0 million loss accrual related to legal matters and a \$2.8 million charge related to unrecoverable servicing advances. Additionally, contract employment and outsourcing costs increased \$8.3 million to \$17.8 million in 2012 as FHN recognized elevated subservicing fees since the transfer to a new loan subservicer in third quarter 2011. Generally, most other expense categories continued to decline given the continued wind-down of the legacy businesses.

RESTRUCTURING, REPOSITIONING, AND EFFICIENCY INITIATIVES

FHN continues to refine its business mix in order to focus on higher-return core businesses and explore opportunities to reduce operating costs. The net charge from restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency activities was \$3.8 million in second quarter 2012 compared to \$16.2 million in 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the net charges were \$3.6 million and \$29.7 million, respectively. Significant charges recognized during 2012 include a \$2.3 million adjustment related to prior servicing sales recorded in second quarter and \$2.0 million of severance related costs for the six months ended June 30, 2012 related to efficiency initiatives throughout the organization. Significant charges recognized during 2011 were primarily associated with the sale of FHI which resulted in a \$.8 million pre-tax gain on sale in second quarter 2011 and a \$10.1 million goodwill impairment in first quarter 2011. Severance related costs were \$9.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011 and primarily relate to efficiency initiatives throughout the organization. Additionally in second quarter 2011, FHN recognized a \$9.0 million charge related to the termination of a technology services contract.

Table of Contents

Charges related to restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are presented in the following table based on the income statement line item affected:

Table 7 - Restructuring, Repositioning, and Efficiency Initiatives

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Noninterest income: | | | | |
| Mortgage banking | \$ (2,287) | \$ | \$ (2,287) | \$ |
| Gain on divestiture | | | 200 | |
| Total noninterest income | (2,287) | | (2,087) | |
| Noninterest expense: | | | | |
| Employee compensation, incentives, and benefits | 2,191 | 7,511 | 2,039 | 9,764 |
| Occupancy | (219) | 59 | (175) | 854 |
| Legal and professional fees | | | 15 | |
| All other expense | 12 | 9,026 | 17 | 9,039 |
| Total noninterest expense | 1,984 | 16,596 | 1,896 | 19,657 |
| Total gain/(loss) before income taxes | (4,271) | (16,596) | (3,983) | (19,657) |
| Income/(loss) from discontinued operations | 485 | 441 | 389 | (10,073) |
| Net impact resulting from restructuring, repositioning, and efficiency initiatives | \$ (3,786) | \$ (16,155) | \$ (3,594) | \$ (29,730) |

CAPITAL

Management's objectives are to provide capital sufficient to cover the risks inherent in FHN's businesses, to maintain excess capital to well-capitalized standards, and to assure ready access to the capital markets. Average equity was \$2.7 billion in 2012 and 2011. In fourth quarter 2011, FHN launched a share repurchase program which enables FHN to repurchase up to \$100 million of its common stock in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions, subject to market conditions. In second quarter 2012 this program was expanded to \$200 million. Since the program's inception in fourth quarter 2011, FHN has repurchased \$125.5 million of common shares under the share repurchase program. Average equity remained consistent between the two periods as net income in 2011 and first quarter 2012 generally offset the decline in capital surplus which was largely driven by the repurchase of shares under the share repurchase program. The net loss in second quarter 2012 did not have a significant impact on average equity; however, the cumulative effect of the loss combined with the decline in capital surplus associated with the share repurchases caused a decline in total period-end equity. Period end equity was \$2.5 billion on June 30, 2012 and \$2.7 billion on June 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a reconciliation of Shareholder's equity from the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition to Tier 1 and Total Regulatory Capital and certain selected capital ratios:

Table 8 - Regulatory Capital and Ratios

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|---------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Shareholder's equity | \$ 2,219,241 | \$ 2,386,217 | \$ 2,389,472 |
| Regulatory adjustments: | | | |
| Goodwill and other intangibles | (133,541) | (144,029) | (138,507) |
| Net unrealized (gains)/losses on AFS securities | (63,679) | (58,068) | (67,069) |
| Minimum pension liability | 186,262 | 166,576 | 197,225 |
| Noncontrolling interest - FTBNA preferred stock | 294,816 | 294,816 | 294,816 |
| Trust preferred | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| Disallowed servicing assets | (8,872) | (14,295) | (9,854) |
| Disallowed deferred tax assets | (67,075) | (12,200) | (15,168) |
| Other | (466) | (482) | (463) |
| Tier 1 capital | \$ 2,626,686 | \$ 2,818,535 | \$ 2,850,452 |
| Tier 2 capital | 570,159 | 748,225 | 751,819 |
| Total regulatory capital | \$ 3,196,845 | \$ 3,566,760 | \$ 3,602,271 |

| | June 30, 2012 | | June 30, 2011 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|---|---------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount |
| Tier 1 | | | | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation | 13.12% | \$ 2,626,686 | 14.39% | \$ 2,818,535 | 14.23% | \$ 2,850,452 |
| First Tennessee Bank National Association (a) | 15.32 | 3,044,184 | 16.75 | 3,250,279 | 16.37 | 3,247,268 |
| Total | | | | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation | 15.97 | 3,196,845 | 18.21 | 3,566,760 | 17.99 | 3,602,271 |
| First Tennessee Bank National Association (a) | 18.18 | 3,612,440 | 20.50 | 3,976,137 | 20.05 | 3,976,672 |
| Tier 1 Common (b) | | | | | | |
| First Horizon National Corporation | 10.65 | 2,131,870 | 11.86 | 2,323,719 | 11.76 | 2,355,636 |

(a) Excluding financial subsidiaries, FTBNA's Tier 1 and Total Capital ratios were 14.53 percent and 16.51 percent, respectively, at June 30, 2012.

(b) Refer to the Non-GAAP to GAAP Reconciliation Table 20.

Banking regulators define minimum capital ratios for bank holding companies and their bank subsidiaries. Based on the capital rules and definitions prescribed by the banking regulators, should any depository institution's capital ratios decline below predetermined levels, it would become subject to a series of increasingly restrictive regulatory actions. The system categorizes a depository institution's capital position into one of five categories ranging from well-capitalized to critically under-capitalized. For an institution to qualify as well-capitalized, Tier 1 Capital, Total Capital, and Leverage capital ratios must be at least 6 percent, 10 percent, and 5 percent, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, FHN and FTBNA had sufficient capital to qualify as well-capitalized institutions. Throughout 2012, capital ratios are expected to remain strong and significantly above current well-capitalized standards despite the expectation of a difficult operating environment. Refer to the discussion of proposed rules that will impact capital ratios for the industry in the Market Uncertainties and Prospective Trends section of MD&A.

Table of Contents

Pursuant to board authority, FHN may repurchase shares from time to time and will evaluate the level of capital and take action designed to generate or use capital, as appropriate, for the interests of the shareholders, subject to legal and regulatory restrictions. The following tables provide information related to securities repurchased by FHN during second quarter 2012:

Table 9 - Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Compensation Plan-Related Repurchase Authority:

| <i>(Volume in thousands)</i> | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced programs | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the programs |
|------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 2012 | | | | |
| April 1 to April 30 | 33 | \$ 9.05 | 33 | 34,078 |
| May 1 to May 31 | 12 | 9.27 | 12 | 34,066 |
| June 1 to June 30 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 34,066 |
| Total | 45 | \$ 9.11 | 45 | |

N/A Not applicable

Compensation Plan Programs:

A consolidated compensation plan share purchase program was announced on August 6, 2004. This plan consolidated into a single share purchase program all of the previously authorized compensation plan share programs as well as the renewal of the authorization to purchase shares for use in connection with two compensation plans for which the share purchase authority had expired. The total amount authorized under this consolidated compensation plan share purchase program, inclusive of a program amendment announced on April 24, 2006, is 29.6 million shares calculated before adjusting for stock dividends distributed through January 1, 2011. The shares may be purchased over the option exercise period of the various compensation plans on or before December 31, 2023. On June 30, 2012, the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the program was 34.1 million shares. Purchases may be made in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions and are subject to market conditions, accumulation of excess equity, prudent capital management, and legal and regulatory restrictions. Management currently does not anticipate purchasing a material number of shares under this authority for the remainder of 2012.

Other Repurchase Authority:

| <i>(Dollar values and volume in thousands, except per share data)</i> | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share (a) | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced programs | Maximum approximate dollar value that may yet be purchased under the programs |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| 2012 | | | | |
| April 1 to April 30 | 950 | \$ 9.15 | 950 | \$ 102,682 |
| May 1 to May 31 | 1,977 | 9.14 | 1,977 | \$ 84,622 |
| June 1 to June 30 | 1,297 | 7.83 | 1,297 | \$ 74,465 |
| Total | 4,224 | \$ 8.74 | 4,224 | |

(a) Represents total costs including commissions paid. Average price paid per share for the quarter was \$8.71 excluding commissions.
Other Programs:

On October 17, 2011, FHN announced a \$100 million share purchase authority that would expire on August 31, 2012. In April 2012, FHN announced that this share purchase authority has been increased from \$100 million to \$200 million and the expiration has been extended to January 31, 2013. As of June 30, 2012, \$125.5 million in purchases had been made under this authority at an average price per share of \$8.31; \$8.28 excluding commissions. Purchases may be made in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions and will be subject to market conditions, accumulation of excess equity, prudent capital management, and legal and regulatory restrictions.

ASSET QUALITY (Trend Analysis of second quarter 2012 compared to second quarter 2011)

Loan Portfolio Composition

FHN groups its loans into portfolio segments based on internal classifications reflecting the manner in which the ALLL is established and how credit risk is measured, monitored, and reported. From time to time, and if conditions are such that certain subsegments are uniquely affected by economic or market conditions or are experiencing greater deterioration than other components of the loan portfolio, management may determine the ALLL at a more granular level. Commercial loans are composed of commercial, financial, and industrial (C&I) and commercial real estate. Retail loans are composed of consumer real estate; permanent mortgage; credit card and other; and restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings. Key asset quality metrics for each of these portfolios can be found in Table 11 Asset Quality by Portfolio.

As economic and real estate conditions develop, enhancements to underwriting and credit policies and guidelines may be necessary or desirable. Credit underwriting guidelines are outlined in FHN s 2011 Annual Report in the Loan Portfolio Composition discussion in the Asset Quality Section beginning on page 28 and continuing to page 34. There were no material changes to FHN s credit underwriting guidelines or significant changes or additions to FHN s product offerings in second quarter 2012.

Table of Contents

The following is a description of each portfolio:

COMMERCIAL LOAN PORTFOLIOS**C&I**

The C&I portfolio was \$8.0 billion on June 30, 2012, and is comprised of loans used for general business purposes, diversified by industry type, and primarily composed of relationship customers in Tennessee and certain neighboring states that are managed within the regional bank. Typical products include working capital lines of credit, term loan financing of owner-occupied real estate and fixed assets, and trade credit enhancement through letters of credit. The following table provides the composition of the C&I portfolio by industry as of June 30, 2012 and 2011. For purposes of this disclosure, industries are determined based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) industry codes used by Federal statistical agencies in classifying business establishments for the collection, analysis, and publication of statistical data related to the U.S. business economy.

Table 10 - C&I Loan Portfolio by Industry

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | June 30, 2011 | |
|---|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| | Amount | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| Industry: | | | | |
| Finance & insurance | \$ 1,628,489 | 20% | \$ 1,446,251 | 20% |
| Loans to mortgage companies | 1,274,001 | 16% | 582,527 | 8% |
| Wholesale trade | 641,054 | 8% | 720,095 | 10% |
| Manufacturing | 633,707 | 8% | 585,802 | 8% |
| Healthcare | 608,873 | 8% | 579,889 | 8% |
| Retail trade | 463,643 | 6% | 409,059 | 6% |
| Real estate rental & leasing (a) | 433,433 | 5% | 440,996 | 6% |
| Other (transportation, education, arts, entertainment, etc) (b) | 2,298,165 | 29% | 2,415,642 | 34% |
| Total C&I loan portfolio | \$ 7,981,365 | 100% | \$ 7,180,261 | 100% |

(a) Leasing, rental of real estate, equipment, and goods.

(b) Industries in this category each comprise less than 4 percent.

Significant loan concentrations are considered to exist for a financial institution when there are loans to numerous borrowers engaged in similar activities that would cause them to be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions. Refer to Table 10 for detail of the C&I loan portfolio by industry. The largest component is finance and insurance which represents 20 percent of the C&I portfolio. The finance and insurance component includes approximately \$585.2 million of asset-based lending to consumer financing companies, and trust preferred and bank-related loans which are discussed below. As of June 30, 2012, balances of loans to mortgage companies were 16 percent of the C&I portfolio. This portfolio class, which generally fluctuates with mortgage rates, includes commercial lines of credit to qualified mortgage companies exclusively for the temporary warehousing of eligible mortgage loans prior to the borrower's sale of those mortgage loans to third party investors. Generally, lending to mortgage lenders increases when there is a decline in mortgage rates resulting in increased borrower finance volumes.

Trust Preferred & Bank-Related Loans

The finance and insurance component of the C&I portfolio, which includes bank-related loans and TRUPs (i.e., long term unsecured loans to bank and insurance-related businesses), has been stressed but has seen the stronger borrowers stabilize, while the weaker financial institutions remain under stress due to limited availability of market liquidity and capital, and the impact from economic conditions on the asset quality of these borrowers.

TRUPs lending was originally extended as a form of bridge financing to participants in the pooled trust preferred securitization program offered primarily to smaller banking (generally less than \$15 billion in total assets) and insurance institutions through FHN's capital markets business. Origination of TRUPs lending ceased in early 2008. Individual TRUPs are re-graded at least quarterly as part of FHN's commercial loan review

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

process. Typically, the terms of these loans include a prepayment option after a 5 year initial term (with possible triggers of early activation), have a scheduled 30 year balloon payoff, and include an option to defer interest for up to 20 consecutive quarters. As of June 30, 2012, 10 TRUPs relationships have elected interest deferral. The risk of individual trust preferred loan default is somewhat mitigated by diversification within the trust preferred loan portfolio. The average size of a trust preferred loan is approximately \$9 million.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2012, the UPB of trust preferred loans totaled \$447.2 million (\$290.9 million of bank TRUPs and \$156.3 million of insurance TRUPs) with the UPB of other bank-related loans totaling approximately \$143.8 million. Inclusive of a remaining valuation allowance on TRUPs of \$34.2 million, total reserves (ALLL plus the valuation allowance) for TRUPs and other bank-related loans were \$62.6 million or 11 percent of outstanding UPB.

C&I Asset Quality Trends

In second quarter 2012, performance of the C&I portfolio continued to improve as upgrades more than offset downgrades in 2012 and commercial borrowers continue to adapt to the current operating environment. The ALLL declined \$95.6 million to \$110.6 million as of June 30, 2012. The allowance as a percentage of period-end loans declined to 1.39 percent in 2012 from 2.87 percent in 2011. Annualized net charge-offs as a percentage of average loans increased to 0.42 percent from 0.35 percent. Nonperforming C&I loans, which peaked in third quarter 2010, decreased \$54.9 million to \$157.3 million on June 30, 2012. The nonperforming loan (NPL) ratio decreased to 1.97 percent in 2012 from 2.96 percent in 2011.

Commercial Real Estate

The commercial real estate portfolio includes both financings for commercial construction and nonconstruction loans. This portfolio is segregated between income commercial real estate (CRE) loans which contain loans, lines, and letters of credit to commercial real estate developers for the construction and mini-permanent financing of income-producing real estate, and residential CRE loans. The residential CRE portfolio includes loans to residential builders and developers for the purpose of constructing single-family detached homes, condominiums, and town homes.

Income CRE

The income CRE portfolio was \$1.2 billion on June 30, 2012. Subcategories of income CRE consist of retail (25 percent), office (19 percent), apartments (19 percent), industrial (13 percent), hospitality (9 percent), land/land development (7 percent), and other (8 percent). A substantial portion of the income CRE portfolio was originated through and continues to be managed by the regional bank. The income CRE portfolio showed improvement as property stabilization and strong sponsors have positively affected performance. However, the weak market conditions have affected this portfolio through increased vacancies, slower stabilization rates, decreased rental rates, and lack of readily available financing in the industry. FHN does not capitalize interest or fund interest on distressed properties.

Income CRE Asset Quality Trends

Performance of income CRE loans improved in second quarter 2012 as market conditions improved and sponsors and guarantors provided additional financial support to borrowers as needed. Allowance as a percentage of loans decreased to 2.39 percent in 2012 from 6.04 percent in 2011. Outstanding balances declined 7 percent from second quarter 2012 and the level of allowance declined \$49.8 million from 2011 to \$29.3 million in second quarter 2012. Net charge-offs declined to \$1.6 million in 2012 from \$3.5 million in 2011. The level of nonperforming loans decreased 49 percent to \$57.2 million as of June 30, 2012, but remained elevated at 4.67 percent of total income CRE loans. The decline in nonperforming loans is primarily attributable to runoff of the income CRE portfolio as a whole.

Residential CRE

The residential CRE portfolio was \$89.2 million on June 30, 2012. Originations through national construction lending ceased in early 2008 and balances have steadily decreased since that time. Active lending in the regional banking footprint is minimal with nearly all new originations limited to tactical advances to facilitate workout strategies with existing clients and selected new transactions with strategic clients. FHN considers a strategic residential CRE borrower as a homebuilder within the regional banking footprint who remained profitable during the down cycle.

The wind-down of the non-strategic portion of this portfolio combined with the limited amount of new originations within the regional banking footprint directly impacts the amount of net charge-offs and nonperforming loans and the level of the allowance. Balances of residential CRE loans declined 51 percent from a year ago. The ALLL declined \$8.1 million to \$12.2 million as of June 30, 2012 and nonperforming loans decreased \$31.4 million to \$38.8 million as of June 30, 2012 from June 30, 2011. The ALLL to loans ratio and the nonperforming loans ratio remained elevated at 13.69 percent and 43.53 percent, respectively. These metrics will remain skewed until the portfolio entirely winds down or until FHN actively originates this product and balances noticeably increase.

Table of Contents**RETAIL LOAN PORTFOLIOS****Consumer Real Estate**

The consumer real estate portfolio was \$5.4 billion on June 30, 2012, and is primarily composed of home equity lines and installment loans. Runoff within the non-strategic segment was more than offset by new originations within the regional bank. Including restricted and secured balances (loans consolidated at adoption of ASC 810 and on-balance sheet securitizations) the largest geographical concentrations of balances as of June 30, 2012, are in Tennessee (46 percent) and California (12 percent) with no other state representing greater than 4 percent of the portfolio. At origination, approximately 41 percent of the consumer real estate and restricted and secured balances were in a first lien position. At origination, the weighted average FICO score of this portfolio was 740 and refreshed FICO scores averaged 731 as of June 30, 2012. Generally, performance of this portfolio is affected by home prices and the level of unemployment.

Low or Reduced Documentation Origination

In the past, FHN originated real estate secured consumer loans with low or reduced documentation. FHN generally defines low or reduced documentation loans, sometimes called stated income or stated loans, as any loan originated with anything less than pay stubs, personal financial statements, and tax returns from potential borrowers. Beginning in 2012, FHN no longer originates stated income, or low or reduced documentation real estate secured loans except on an exception basis when mitigating factors are present.

As of June 30, 2012, \$1.4 billion, or 24 percent, of the consumer real estate portfolio consisted of home equity lines and installment loans originated using stated-income compared to \$1.6 billion, or 27 percent, as of June 30, 2011. These stated-income lines and loans were 9 percent and 10 percent of the total loan portfolio as of June 30, 2012, and 2011, respectively. As of June 30, 2012, nearly three-fourths of the stated-income consumer real estate in the portfolio were originated through legacy businesses that have been exited and these loan balances will continue to decline. Stated-income loans/lines accounted for nearly 32 percent of the net charge-offs for this portfolio during 2012 compared with 33 percent in 2011. Net charge-offs of stated-income home equity lines and installment loans were \$17.0 million in second quarter 2012, and \$13.6 million in second quarter 2011. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, less than 1 percent of the stated income loans/lines were nonperforming and less than 3 percent were more than 30 days delinquent, respectively.

Consumer Real Estate Asset Quality Trends

Performance of the consumer real estate portfolio improved in second quarter 2012 when compared with 2011. The ALLL declined \$18.4 million to \$114.4 million in 2012 driven by runoff of the non-strategic component of the portfolio. The allowance as a percentage of loans decreased 35 basis points to 2.12 percent of loans. The nonperforming loan balance was \$34.9 million and \$34.7 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In second quarter 2012, balances of nonperforming loans include a significant majority of the \$7.6 million of second liens classified as nonaccrual as a result of regulatory guidance issued in first quarter 2012. Delinquency rates and the net charge-offs ratio improved in 2012 when compared with 2011. Loans delinquent 30 or more days and still accruing were 1.29 percent in second quarter 2012 compared to 1.52 percent in 2011 primarily due to enhanced collections practices, loss mitigation activities, and improved overall performance. The annualized net charge-offs ratio decreased 85 basis points to 1.49 percent of average loans in 2012. The improvement in performance is attributable to the strong borrower characteristics previously discussed, aging of the portfolio as well as modest improvement in the economy from a year ago as performance of this portfolio is highly correlated with the economic conditions and unemployment.

Permanent Mortgage

The permanent mortgage portfolio was \$.7 billion on June 30, 2012. This portfolio is primarily composed of jumbo mortgages and OTC completed construction loans that were originated through legacy businesses. 24 percent of loan balances are in California, but the remainder of the portfolio is somewhat geographically diverse. Overall, recent performance has been affected by strong servicing and successful modification programs.

The ALLL declined \$15.6 million from 2011 to \$27.8 million as of June 30, 2012, primarily due to lower balances and improvement in delinquencies. Troubled debt restructuring (TDR) reserves comprise a significant majority of the ALLL for the permanent mortgage portfolio. The delinquencies declined \$29.6 million to \$11.0 million in 2012 and net charge-offs decreased \$5.5 million to \$2.5 million during second quarter 2012. NPLs declined \$105.2 million to \$32.2 million in 2012. Year-over-year NPLs and balances were impacted by a bulk sale of NPLs executed in third quarter 2011. The sale was approximately \$188 million in UPB (\$126 million after consideration for partial charge-offs and associated lower of cost or market (LOCOM) valuation allowance). Additionally, in second quarter 2011, FHN exercised cleanup calls related to proprietary securitizations resulting in the addition of first lien jumbo mortgage loans to this portfolio, substantially all of which were performing upon exercise. The NPL sale and natural runoff combined with the exercise of cleanup calls resulted in a net decline in portfolio

balances of \$276.4 million from 2011.

Table of Contents

Credit Card and Other

The credit card and other portfolios were \$.3 billion on June 30, 2012, and primarily include credit card receivables, automobile loans, and to a lesser extent OTC construction loans and other consumer-related credits. FHN may originate other non-real estate secured consumer loans under a stated-income program for certain smaller size and lower risk transactions. As of June 30, 2012, only \$1.8 million of OTC loans remained in the portfolio with all classified as nonperforming. In 2012, FHN charged-off \$2.6 million other consumer loans compared with \$4.2 million during 2011. The allowance declined \$2.8 million to \$6.3 million in 2012. Loans 30 days or more delinquent increased from 1.20 percent in 2011 to 1.28 percent in 2012.

Restricted Real Estate Loans and Secured Borrowings

Restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings (\$.5 billion on June 30, 2012) includes residential real estate loans in both consolidated and nonconsolidated variable interest entities. Restricted real estate loans relate to consolidated securitization trusts and are discussed in Note 13 Variable Interest Entities. Other real estate loans secure borrowings related to nonconsolidated VIEs and remain on FHN's balance sheet as the securitizations do not qualify for sale treatment. In late first quarter and early second quarter 2012, FHN exercised cleanup calls related to 3 of the home equity lines (HELOC) securitization trusts and 1 of the permanent mortgage securitization trust, respectively, resulting in deconsolidation of the trusts. The loans were then reclassified from the restricted line item to the consumer real estate line.

Loan Portfolio Concentrations

FHN has a concentration of loans secured by residential real estate (41 percent of total loans), the majority of which is in the consumer real estate portfolio (33 percent of total loans). Additionally, on June 30, 2012, FHN had a sizeable portfolio of bank-related loans, including TRUPs totaling \$590.9 million (7 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 4 percent of total loans). While the stronger borrowers in this portfolio class have stabilized, the weaker financial institutions remain under stress due to limited availability of market liquidity and capital, and the impact from economic conditions on these borrowers. Additionally, FHN had loans to mortgage companies totaling \$1.3 billion (16 percent of the C&I portfolio, or 8 percent of total loans) as of June 30, 2012.

On June 30, 2012, FHN did not have any concentrations of C&I loans in any single industry of 10 percent or more of total loans.

Table of Contents

The following table provides additional asset quality data by loan portfolio:

Table 11 Asset Quality by Portfolio

| | June 30 | |
|--|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Key Portfolio Details | | |
| C&I | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 7,982 | \$ 7,180 |
| 30+ Delinq. % (a) | 0.29% | 0.52% |
| NPL % | 1.97 | 2.96 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 0.42 | 0.35 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 1.39% | 2.87% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 3.44x | 8.70x |
| Income CRE | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 1,225 | \$ 1,311 |
| 30+ Delinq. % (a) | 0.53% | 1.11% |
| NPL % | 4.67 | 8.54 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 0.52 | 1.03 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 2.39% | 6.04% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 4.53x | 5.62x |
| Residential CRE | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 89 | \$ 183 |
| 30+ Delinq. % (a) | 6.69% | 5.14% |
| NPL % | 43.53 | 38.40 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 5.74 | 8.19 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 13.69% | 11.10% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 2.25x | 1.22x |
| Consumer Real Estate | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 5,408 | \$ 5,383 |
| 30+ Delinq. % (a) | 1.29% | 1.52% |
| NPL % | 0.65 | 0.64 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 1.49 | 2.34 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 2.12% | 2.47% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 1.42x | 1.04x |
| Permanent Mortgage | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 739 | \$ 1,015 |
| 30+ Delinq. % (a) | 1.49% | 4.00% |
| NPL % (b) | 4.35 | 13.53 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 1.36 | 3.21 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 3.77% | 4.28% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 2.71x | 1.34x |
| Credit Card and Other | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) | \$ 279 | \$ 295 |
| 30+ Delinq. % (a) | 1.28% | 1.20% |
| NPL % | 0.74 | 3.21 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 3.82 | 5.61 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 2.27% | 3.08% |
| Allowance / Charge-offs | 0.60x | 0.54x |
| Restricted real estate and secured borrowings | | |
| Period-end loans (\$ millions) (c) | \$ 464 | \$ 694 |
| 30+ Delinq. % (a) | 2.74% | 2.85% |
| NPL % | 1.27 | 0.80 |
| Charge-offs % (qtr. annualized) | 3.15 | 4.76 |
| Allowance / Loans % | 4.37% | 4.76% |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Allowance / Charge-offs

1.34x

0.98x

Certain previously reported amounts have been reclassified to agree with current presentation.

Loans are expressed net of unearned income.

- (a) 30+ Delinquency % includes all accounts delinquent more than one month and still accruing interest.
- (b) 2Q12 includes the impact of sale of \$126 million in book value of nonperforming permanent mortgages in third quarter 2011.
- (c) Includes \$447.5 million of consumer real estate loans and \$16.9 million of permanent mortgage loans.

Table of Contents**Allowance for Loan Losses**

Management's policy is to maintain the ALLL at a level sufficient to absorb estimated probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The total allowance for loan losses decreased 39 percent to \$321.1 million on June 30, 2012, from \$524.1 million on June 30, 2011. Continued aggregate improvement in borrowers' financial conditions in 2012 contributed to the decline in the ALLL from a year ago. Overall the portfolio composition has changed as more than \$906.4 million of non-strategic balances have been reduced while the regional bank had growth year over year driven by loans to mortgage companies, asset-based lending, and consumer real estate installment loans. As loans with higher levels of probable incurred loss content have been removed from the portfolio, the allowance estimate results in lower required reserves. Additionally, the remaining portfolio has improved as commercial problem loan borrowers continue to adapt to the operating environment, FHN has been proactively identifying and working with problem borrowers, and there has been modest improvement in economic conditions.

The ratio of allowance for loan losses to total loans, net of unearned income, decreased to 1.98 percent on June 30, 2012, from 3.26 percent on June 30, 2011. The allowance attributable to individually impaired loans was \$96.2 million compared to \$99.5 million on June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The provision for loan losses is the charge to earnings necessary to maintain the ALLL at a sufficient level reflecting management's estimate of probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. The provision for loan losses increased \$21.0 million to \$23.0 million in 2012 from \$2.0 million in 2011.

Though the rate of improvement is likely to slow, overall asset quality trends are expected to improve during the remainder of 2012 assuming the economic trends remain stable or improve. The C&I portfolio is expected to continue to show positive trends but the rate of improvement may continue to slow in the second half of 2012. There has been aggregate improvement in the risk profile of commercial borrowers which has resulted in upward grade migration which began in late 2010 and continued through second quarter of 2012; however, some volatility is possible in the short term. The income CRE portfolio remains under stress; however, FHN has observed signs of improvement as property values stabilize and guarantors have been willing to support borrowers. The remaining non-strategic consumer real estate and permanent mortgage portfolios should continue to wind down and will have less of an impact on the overall credit metrics in the future in comparison to prior periods. Continued improvement in performance of the consumer real estate portfolio assumes an ongoing economic recovery as consumer delinquency and loss rates are highly correlated with unemployment trends.

Consolidated Net Charge-offs

Net charge-offs were \$40.0 million in 2012 compared with \$66.0 million in 2011. The ALLL was 2.00 times the annualized net charge-offs for 2012 compared with 1.98 times net charge-offs for 2011. The annualized net charge-offs to average loans ratio decreased from 1.67 percent in 2011 to 1.01 percent in 2012 due to a 39 percent decline in net charge-offs. All portfolios, except the C&I loan portfolio, recognized a decline in net charge offs with decreases in 2012 primarily attributable to improved performance of the loan portfolio and continued reduction of the non-strategic portfolios.

Improvement of the retail portfolios combined with runoff within non-strategic contributed to a \$23.4 million decline in consolidated net charge-offs. Net charge-offs of consumer real estate loans declined \$11.7 million to \$20.1 million in 2012 with most of the decline attributable to the non-strategic segment. Net charge-offs of permanent mortgage portfolio decreased \$5.5 million to \$2.5 million in 2012 from 2011. The net charge-offs of restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings decreased \$4.7 million to \$3.8 million in 2012 from 2011. Commercial net charge-offs decreased \$2.6 million led by the CRE portfolios.

Table of Contents

The following table provides consolidated asset quality information for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Table 12 - Asset Quality Information

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three months ended June 30 | |
|---|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Allowance for loan losses: | | |
| Beginning balance on March 31 | \$ 346,016 | \$ 589,128 |
| Provision for loan losses | 15,000 | 1,000 |
| Charge-offs | (49,728) | (83,344) |
| Recoveries | 9,763 | 17,307 |
| Ending balance on June 30 (Restricted - \$6.0 million on June 30, 2012 and \$33.0 million on June 30, 2011) | \$ 321,051 | \$ 524,091 |
| Reserve for remaining unfunded commitments | 4,434 | 12,522 |
| Total allowance for loan losses and reserve for unfunded commitments | \$ 325,485 | \$ 536,613 |
| | | |
| As of June 30 | | |
| Nonperforming Assets by Segment | 2012 | 2011 |
| Regional Banking: | | |
| Nonperforming loans | \$ 178,650 | \$ 283,754 |
| Foreclosed real estate (a) | 17,334 | 28,121 |
| Total Regional Banking | 195,984 | 311,875 |
| Non-Strategic: | | |
| Nonperforming loans (b) | 239,099 | 384,174 |
| Foreclosed real estate (a) | 31,583 | 50,671 |
| Total Non-Strategic | 270,682 | 434,845 |
| Corporate: | | |
| Nonperforming loans | 207 | 1,140 |
| Total Corporate | 207 | 1,140 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ 466,873 | \$ 747,860 |
| Loans and commitments: | | |
| Total period-end loans, net of unearned income (Restricted - \$.1 billion on June 30, 2012 and \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011) | \$ 16,185,763 | \$ 16,061,646 |
| Less: Insured retail residential and construction loans (c) | (63,113) | (137,073) |
| Loans excluding insured loans | \$ 16,122,650 | \$ 15,924,573 |
| Foreclosed real estate from government insured mortgages | 20,687 | 13,870 |
| Potential problem assets (d) | 596,783 | 960,544 |
| Loans 30 to 89 days past due | 92,542 | 148,030 |
| Loans 30 to 89 days past due - guaranteed portion (e) | 16 | 43 |
| Loans 90 days past due | 39,999 | 58,969 |
| Loans 90 days past due - guaranteed portion (e) | 135 | 535 |
| Loans held-for-sale 30 to 89 days past due | 13,463 | 13,958 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------|
| Loans held-for-sale 30 to 89 days past due - guaranteed portion (e) | 8,862 | 8,451 |
| Loans held-for-sale 90 days past due | 49,214 | 49,953 |
| Loans held-for-sale 90 days past due - guaranteed portion (e) | 38,623 | 39,078 |
| Remaining unfunded commitments (millions) | 7,869 | 7,938 |
| Average loans, net of unearned (Restricted - \$.1 billion on June 30, 2012 and \$.7 billion on June 30, 2011) | \$ 15,960,268 | \$ 15,889,105 |

Allowance and net charge-off ratios

| | | |
|--|--------------|-------|
| Allowance to total loans | 1.98% | 3.26% |
| Allowance to nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio | 0.98x | 0.90x |
| Allowance to loans excluding insured loans | 1.99% | 3.29% |
| Allowance to annualized net charge-offs | 2.00x | 1.98x |
| Nonperforming assets to loans and foreclosed real estate (f) | 2.32% | 4.09% |
| Nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio to total loans, net of unearned income | 2.03% | 3.62% |
| Total annualized net charge-offs to average loans (g) | 1.01% | 1.67% |

- (a) Excludes foreclosed real estate from government-insured mortgages.
- (b) Includes \$89.5 million and \$87.7 million of loans held-for-sale reported amounts before \$46.9 million and 42.9 million of negative fair value adjustments, as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (c) Whole-loan insurance is obtained on certain retail residential and construction loans.
- (d) Includes loans 90 days past due and still accruing.
- (e) Guaranteed loans include FHA, VA, student, and GNMA loans repurchased through the GNMA repurchase program.
- (f) Ratio is non-performing assets related to the loan portfolio to total loans plus foreclosed real estate and other assets.
- (g) Net charge-off ratio is annualized net charge-offs divided by quarterly average loans, net of unearned income.

Table of Contents**Nonperforming Assets**

Nonperforming loans are loans placed on nonaccrual status if it becomes evident that full collection of principal and interest is at risk, impairment has been recognized as a partial charge-off of principal balance, or on a case-by-case basis if FHN continues to receive payments but there are atypical loan structures or other borrower-specific issues. FHN does have a meaningful portion of loans that are classified as nonaccrual but where loan payments are received. These, along with foreclosed real estate, excluding foreclosed real estate from government insured mortgages, represent nonperforming assets (NPA's). Foreclosed assets are recognized at fair value less estimated costs of disposal at foreclosure.

Total nonperforming assets (including NPLs HFS) decreased to \$466.9 million on June 30, 2012, from \$747.9 million on June 30, 2011. The nonperforming assets ratio decreased to 2.32 percent in 2012 from 4.09 percent in 2011 due to a 38 percent decline in nonperforming assets. Nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio declined \$253.0 million to \$328.4 million on June 30, 2012, as NPLs within nearly all loan portfolios declined from a year ago due to the sale of permanent mortgages in third quarter 2011 and improved portfolio performance.

Nonperforming C&I loans decreased to \$157.3 million in 2012 from \$212.2 million in 2011. Commercial real estate NPLs decreased \$86.1 million to \$96.1 million in 2012. Nonperforming permanent mortgages decreased \$105.2 million from 2011 to \$32.2 million which was largely driven by the sale of nonperforming mortgages in third quarter 2011. Consumer real estate nonperforming loans increased slightly to \$34.9 million primarily due to the classification of \$7.3 million of second liens as nonaccrual as a result of regulatory guidance issued in first quarter 2012. Credit card and other nonperforming loans decreased \$7.4 million from 2011 to \$2.1 million all due to the reduction of OTC loans. Nonperforming loans classified as HFS increased \$1.9 million to \$89.5 million before negative fair value adjustment of \$46.9 million on June 30, 2012, which was primarily driven by elevated repurchases of loans, a significant portion of which are delinquent, and modifications classified as TDRs. Loans in HFS are recorded at elected fair value or lower of cost or market and do not carry reserves.

The ratio of ALLL to NPLs in the loan portfolio improved to .98 times in 2012 compared to .90 times in 2011 driven by lower NPL balances. Because individually impaired collateral dependent commercial loans are charged down, this ratio becomes skewed as these loans are included in nonperforming loans but reserves for these loans are typically not carried in the ALLL as impairment is charged off. The individually impaired collateral dependent commercial loans that do not carry reserves were \$106.9 million on June 30, 2012, compared with \$169.4 million on June 30, 2011. Consequently, NPLs in the loan portfolio for which reserves are actually carried were \$221.6 million as of June 30, 2012. Charged-down individually impaired collateral dependent commercial loans represented 33 percent of nonperforming loans in the loan portfolio as of June 30, 2012.

The balance of foreclosed real estate, exclusive of inventory from government insured mortgages, decreased to \$48.9 million as of June 30, 2012 from \$78.8 million as of June 30, 2011. Table 13 provides an activity rollforward of foreclosed real estate balances for the periods June 30, 2012 and 2011. Both inflows of assets into foreclosure status and the amount disposed declined in 2012 when compared with 2011. The decline in inflow is primarily due to an overall slowdown in foreclosure proceedings nationwide given additional scrutiny from regulators of the foreclosure practices of financial institutions and mortgage companies and also due to FHN's continued efforts to prevent foreclosures by restructuring loans and working with borrowers. Adjustments to the fair value of foreclosed assets decreased \$4 million between the periods. See the discussion of Foreclosure Practices in the Market Uncertainties and Prospective Trends section of MD&A for information regarding the impact on FHN.

Table 13 - Rollforward of Foreclosed Real Estate

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30 | | Six Months Ended June 30 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Beginning balance (a) | \$ 59,132 | \$ 94,416 | \$ 68,884 | \$ 110,536 |
| Valuation adjustments | (4,167) | (4,612) | (9,392) | (9,651) |
| New foreclosed property | 8,129 | 17,013 | 18,336 | 33,118 |
| Capitalized expenses | 175 | 952 | 408 | 1,543 |
| Disposals: | | | | |
| Single transactions | (12,615) | (24,712) | (26,276) | (52,059) |
| Bulk sales | (1,738) | (4,265) | (3,044) | (4,265) |
| Auctions | | | | (430) |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Ending balance, June 30 (a) | \$ 48,916 | \$ 78,792 | \$ 48,916 | \$ 78,792 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

(a) Excludes foreclosed real estate related to government insured mortgages.

Table of Contents**Past Due Loans and Potential Problem Assets**

Past due loans are loans contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest or principal payments, but which have not yet been put on nonaccrual status. Loans in the portfolio that are 90 days or more past due decreased to \$40.0 million on June 30, 2012, from \$59.0 million on June 30, 2011. Loans 30 to 89 days past due decreased \$55.5 million to \$92.5 million on June 30, 2012. The decrease of past due loan balances are mainly due to overall improvement in performance of the retail portfolios combined with run-off of the non-strategic components.

Potential problem assets represent those assets where information about possible credit problems of borrowers has caused management to have serious doubts about the borrower's ability to comply with present repayment terms. This definition is believed to be substantially consistent with the standards established by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) for loans classified substandard. Potential problem assets in the loan portfolio, which includes loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing but excludes nonperforming assets, decreased to \$.6 billion on June 30, 2012, from \$1.0 billion on June 30, 2011. The current expectation of losses from potential problem assets has been included in management's analysis for assessing the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses.

Troubled Debt Restructuring and Loan Modifications

As part of FHN's ongoing risk management practices, FHN attempts to work with borrowers when appropriate, to extend or modify loan terms to better align with their current ability to repay. Extensions and modifications to loans are made in accordance with internal policies and guidelines which conform to regulatory guidance. Each occurrence is unique to the borrower and is evaluated separately. In a situation where an economic concession has been granted to a borrower that is experiencing financial difficulty, FHN identifies and reports that loan as a Troubled Debt Restructuring (TDR). FHN considers regulatory guidelines when restructuring loans to ensure that prudent lending practices are followed. As such, qualification criteria and payment terms consider the borrower's current and prospective ability to comply with the modified terms of the loan. Additionally, FHN structures loan modifications to amortize the debt within a reasonable period of time. See Note 4 Loans for further discussion regarding TDRs.

Commercial Loan Modifications

As part of FHN's credit risk management governance processes, the Loan Rehab and Recovery Department (LRRD) is responsible for managing most commercial relationships with borrowers whose financial condition has deteriorated to such an extent that the credits are being considered for impairment, classified as substandard or worse, placed on nonaccrual status, foreclosed or in process of foreclosure, or in active or contemplated litigation. LRRD has the authority and responsibility to enter into workout and/or rehabilitation agreements with troubled commercial borrowers in order to mitigate and/or minimize the amount of credit losses recognized from these problem assets. The range of commercial workout strategies utilized by LRRD to mitigate the likelihood of loan losses is commensurate with the degree of commercial credit quality deterioration. While every circumstance is different, LRRD will generally use forbearance agreements (generally 3-6 months) as an element of commercial loan workouts, which include reduced interest rates, reduced payments, release of guarantor, or entering into short sale agreements. Senior credit management tracks classified loans and performs periodic reviews of such assets to understand FHN's interest in the borrower, the most recent financial results of the borrower, and the associated loss mitigation approaches and/or exit plans that have been developed for those relationships. After initial identification, relationship managers prepare regular updates for review and discussion by more senior business line and credit officers.

The ultimate effectiveness of rehab and workout efforts is reflected by the collection of all outstanding principal and interest amounts contractually due. Also, proper upgrading of a credit's internal inherent risk rating over time could also be reflective of success of loss mitigation efforts.

The individual impairment assessments completed on commercial loans in accordance with the Accounting Standards Codification Topic related to Troubled Debt Restructurings (ASC 310-40) include loans classified as TDRs as well as loans that may have been modified yet not classified as TDRs by management. For example, a modification of loan terms that management would generally not consider to be a TDR could be a temporary extension of maturity to allow a borrower to complete an asset sale whereby the proceeds of such transaction are to be paid to satisfy the outstanding debt. Additionally, a modification that extends the term of a loan, but does not involve reduction of principal or accrued interest, in which the interest rate is adjusted to reflect current market rates for similarly situated borrowers is not considered a TDR. Nevertheless, each assessment will take into account any modified terms and will be comprehensive to ensure appropriate impairment assessment. If individual impairment is identified, management will either hold specific reserves on the amount of impairment, or if the loan is collateral dependent, write down the carrying amount of the asset to the net realizable value of the collateral.

Table of Contents**Consumer Loan Modifications**

Although FHN does not currently participate in any of the loan modification programs sponsored by the U.S. government, FHN does modify consumer loans using parameters of Home Affordable Modification Programs (HAMP). Generally, a majority of loans modified under any such proprietary programs are classified as TDRs.

Within the HELOC, R/E installment loans, and permanent mortgage classes of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified by reducing the interest rate (in increments of 25 basis points to a minimum of 1 percent for up to 5 years) and a possible maturity date extension to reach an affordable housing debt ratio. Contractual maturities may be extended up to 40 years on permanent mortgages and up to 20 years for consumer real estate loans. Within the credit card class of the consumer portfolio segment, TDRs are typically modified through either a short-term credit card hardship program or a longer-term credit card workout program. In the credit card hardship program, borrowers may be granted rate and payment reductions for 6 months to 1 year. In the credit card workout program, customers are granted a rate reduction to 0 percent and term extensions for up to 5 years to pay off the remaining balance.

Following a TDR, modified loans within the consumer portfolio which were previously evaluated for impairment on a collective basis determined by their smaller balances and homogenous nature become subject to the impairment guidance in ASC 310-10-35 which requires individual evaluation of the debt for impairment. However, as applicable accounting guidance allows, FHN may aggregate certain smaller-balance homogeneous TDRs and use historical statistics, such as aggregated charge-off amounts and average amounts recovered, along with a composite effective interest rate to measure impairment when such impaired loans have risk characteristics in common.

On June 30, 2012 and 2011, FHN had \$338.8 million and \$306.2 million portfolio loans classified as TDRs, respectively. For TDRs in the loan portfolio, FHN had loan loss reserves of \$64.4 million and \$45.2 million, or 19 percent and 15 percent of TDR balances, as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Additionally, FHN had restructured \$139.3 million and \$70.1 million of loans HFS as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The rise in TDRs from 2011 resulted from increased consumer loan modifications of troubled borrowers in an attempt to prevent foreclosure and to mitigate losses to FHN.

The following table provides a summary of TDRs for the periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Table 14 - Troubled Debt Restructurings

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | June 30, 2012 | | June 30, 2011 | |
|-------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Held to maturity: | | | | |
| Permanent mortgage: | | | | |
| Current | 162 | \$ 93,504 | 78 | \$ 47,367 |
| Delinquent | 5 | 1,548 | 9 | 3,384 |
| Non-accrual | 45 | 24,485 | 98 | 64,257 |
| Total permanent mortgage | 212 | 119,537 | 185 | 115,008 |
| Consumer real estate: | | | | |
| Current | 925 | 106,769 | 600 | 68,462 |
| Delinquent | 35 | 3,741 | 15 | 1,350 |
| Non-accrual | 145 | 14,530 | 168 | 18,276 |
| Total consumer real estate | 1,105 | 125,040 | 783 | 88,088 |
| Credit card and other: | | | | |
| Current | 345 | 869 | 391 | 1,121 |
| Delinquent | 17 | 64 | 18 | 65 |
| Non-accrual | | | | |
| Total credit card and other | 362 | 933 | 409 | 1,186 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|------------|
| Commercial loans: | | | | |
| Current | 38 | 28,385 | 49 | 36,511 |
| Delinquent | 6 | 8,122 | 7 | 15,283 |
| Non-accrual | 58 | 56,785 | 51 | 50,099 |
| Total commercial loans | 102 | 93,292 | 107 | 101,893 |
| Total held to maturity | 1,781 | 338,802 | 1,484 | 306,175 |
| Held-for-sale: | | | | |
| Current | 359 | 78,241 | 179 | 33,470 |
| Delinquent | 141 | 25,854 | 122 | 18,990 |
| Non-accrual | 98 | 35,212 | 42 | 17,660 |
| Total held-for-sale | 598 | 139,307 | 343 | 70,120 |
| Total troubled debt restructurings | 2,379 | \$ 478,109 | 1,827 | \$ 376,295 |

Table of Contents**RISK MANAGEMENT**

FHN derives revenue from providing services and, in many cases, assuming and managing risk for profit which exposes the Company to business strategy and reputational, interest rate, liquidity, market, capital adequacy, operational, compliance, and credit risks that require ongoing oversight and management. FHN has an enterprise-wide approach to risk governance, measurement, management, and reporting including an economic capital allocation process that is tied to risk profiles used to measure risk-adjusted returns. Through an enterprise-wide risk governance structure and a statement of risk tolerance approved by the Board, management continually evaluates the balance of risk/return and earnings volatility with shareholder value.

FHN's enterprise-wide risk governance structure begins with the Board. The Board, working with the Executive & Risk Committee of the Board, establishes the Company's risk tolerance by approving policies and limits that provide standards for the nature and the level of risk the Company is willing to assume. The Board regularly receives reports on management's performance against the Company's risk tolerance primarily through the Board's Executive & Risk and Audit Committees.

To further support the risk governance provided by the Board, FHN has established accountabilities, control processes, procedures, and a management governance structure designed to align risk management with risk-taking throughout the Company. The control procedures are aligned with FHN's four components of risk governance: (1) Specific Risk Committees; (2) the Risk Management Organization; (3) Business Unit Risk Management; and (4) Independent Assurance Functions.

1. **Specific Risk Committees:** The Board has delegated authority to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to manage Business Strategy and Reputation Risk, and the general business affairs of the Company under the Board's oversight. The CEO utilizes the executive management team and the Executive Risk Management Committee to carry out these duties and to analyze existing and emerging strategic and reputation risks and determines the appropriate course of action. The Executive Risk Management Committee is comprised of the CEO and certain officers designated by the CEO. The Executive Risk Management Committee is supported by a set of specific risk committees focused on unique risk types (e.g. liquidity, credit, operational, etc). These risk committees provide a mechanism that assembles the necessary expertise and perspectives of the management team to discuss emerging risk issues, monitor the Company's risk-taking activities, and evaluate specific transactions and exposures. These committees also monitor the direction and trend of risks relative to business strategies and market conditions and direct management to respond to risk issues.
2. **The Risk Management Organization:** The Company's risk management organization, led by the Chief Risk Officer and Chief Credit Officer, provides objective oversight of risk-taking activities. The risk management organization translates FHN's overall risk tolerance into approved limits and formal policies and is supported by corporate staff functions, including the Corporate Secretary, Legal, Finance, Human Resources, and Technology. Risk management also works with business units and functional experts to establish appropriate operating standards and monitor business practices in relation to those standards. Additionally, risk management proactively works with business units and senior management to focus management on key risks in the Company and emerging trends that may change FHN's risk profile. The Chief Risk Officer has overall responsibility and accountability for enterprise risk management and aggregate risk reporting.
3. **Business Unit Risk Management:** The Company's business units are responsible for identifying, acknowledging, quantifying, mitigating, and managing all risks arising within their respective units. They determine and execute their business strategies, which puts them closest to the changing nature of risks and they are best able to take the needed actions to manage and mitigate those risks. The business units are supported by the risk management organization that helps identify and consider risks when making business decisions. Management processes, structure, and policies are designed to help ensure compliance with laws and regulations as well as provide organizational clarity for authority, decision-making, and accountability. The risk governance structure supports and promotes the escalation of material items to executive management and the Board.
4. **Independent Assurance Functions:** Internal Audit, Credit Risk Assurance, and Model Validation provide an independent and objective assessment of the design and execution of the Company's internal control system, including management systems, risk governance, and policies and procedures. These groups' activities are designed to provide reasonable assurance that risks are appropriately identified and communicated; resources are safeguarded; significant financial, managerial, and operating information is complete, accurate, and reliable; and employee actions are in compliance with

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

the Company's policies and applicable laws and regulations. Internal Audit and Model Validation report to the Audit Committee of the Board while Credit Risk Assurance reports to the Executive & Risk Committee of the Board.

MARKET RISK MANAGEMENT

Capital markets buys and sells various types of securities for its customers. When these securities settle on a delayed basis, they are considered forward contracts. Securities inventory positions are generally procured for distribution to customers by the sales staff, and the Asset Liability Committee (ALCO) policies and guidelines have been established with the objective of limiting the risk in managing this inventory.

Table of Contents

CAPITAL MANAGEMENT AND ADEQUACY

The capital management objectives of FHN are to provide capital sufficient to cover the risks inherent in FHN's businesses, to maintain excess capital to well-capitalized standards, and to assure ready access to the capital markets. The Capital Management Committee, chaired by the Vice President of Treasury and Funds Management reports to ALCO and is responsible for capital management oversight and provides a forum for addressing management issues related to capital adequacy. This committee reviews sources and uses of capital, key capital ratios, segment economic capital allocation methodologies, and other factors in monitoring and managing current capital levels, as well as potential future sources and uses of capital. The Capital Management Committee also recommends capital management policies, which are submitted for approval to ALCO and the Executive & Risk Committee and the Board as necessary.

OPERATIONAL RISK MANAGEMENT

Operational risk is the risk of loss from inadequate or failed internal processes, people, and systems or from external events. This risk is inherent in all businesses. Operational risk is divided into the following risk areas, which have been established at the corporate level to address these risks across the entire organization:

Business Continuity Planning/Records Management

Compliance/Legal

Program Governance

Fiduciary

Security/Internal and External Fraud

Financial (including disclosure)

Information Technology

Vendor

Management, measurement, and reporting of operational risk are overseen by the Operational Risk, Fiduciary, and Financial Governance Committees. Key representatives from the business segments, operating units, and supporting units are represented on these committees as appropriate. These governance committees manage the individual operational risk types across the company by setting standards, monitoring activity, initiating actions, and reporting exposures and results. Summary reports of these Committees' activities and decisions are provided to the Executive Risk Management Committee. Emphasis is dedicated to refinement of processes and tools to aid in measuring and managing material operational risks and providing for a culture of awareness and accountability.

COMPLIANCE RISK MANAGEMENT

Compliance risk is the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions, material financial loss, or loss to reputation as a result of failure to comply with laws, regulations, rules, related self-regulatory organization standards, and codes of conduct applicable to FHN's activities. Management, measurement, and reporting of compliance risk are overseen by the Compliance Risk Committee. Key executives from the business segments, legal, risk management, and service functions are represented on the Committee. Summary reports of Committee activities and decisions are provided to the appropriate governance committees. Reports include the status of regulatory activities, internal compliance program initiatives,

and evaluation of emerging compliance risk areas.

CREDIT RISK MANAGEMENT

Credit risk is the risk of loss due to adverse changes in a borrower's or counterparty's ability to meet its financial obligations under agreed upon terms. FHN is subject to credit risk in lending, trading, investing, liquidity/funding, and asset management activities. The nature and amount of credit risk depends on the types of transactions, the structure of those transactions and the parties involved. In general, credit risk is incidental to trading, liquidity/funding, and asset management activities, while it is central to the profit strategy in lending. As a result, the majority of credit risk is associated with lending activities.

FHN assesses and manages credit risk through a series of policies, processes, measurement systems, and controls. The Credit Risk Management Committee (CRMC) is responsible for overseeing the management of existing and emerging credit risks in the company within the broad risk tolerances established by the Board. The Credit Risk Management function, led by the Chief Credit Officer, provides strategic and tactical credit leadership by maintaining policies, overseeing credit approval and servicing, and managing portfolio composition and performance.

Table of Contents

The CRMC reviews on a periodic basis various reports issued by assurance functions which give it independent assessment of adequacy of loan servicing, grading and other key functions. Additionally, CRMC is presented and discusses various portfolios, lending activity and lending related projects. The Credit Risk Management function assesses the portfolio trends and the results of these processes and utilizes this information to inform management regarding the current state of credit quality and as a factor of the estimation process for determining the allowance for loan losses.

All of the above activities are subject to independent review by FHN's Credit Risk Assurance Group. The Executive Vice President of Credit Risk Assurance is appointed by and reports to the Executive & Risk Committee of the Board. Credit Risk Assurance is charged with providing the Board and executive management with independent, objective, and timely assessments of FHN's portfolio quality, credit policies, and credit risk management processes.

Management strives to identify potential problem loans and nonperforming loans early enough to correct the deficiencies and prevent further credit deterioration. It is management's objective that both charge-offs and asset write-downs are recorded promptly based on management's assessments of the borrower's ability to repay and current collateral values.

INTEREST RATE RISK MANAGEMENT

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in interest rates will adversely affect assets, liabilities, capital, income, and/or expense at different times or in different amounts. ALCO, a committee consisting of senior management that meets regularly, is responsible for coordinating the financial management of interest rate risk. FHN primarily manages interest rate risk by structuring the balance sheet to attempt to maintain the desired level of associated earnings while operating within prudent risk limits and thereby preserving the value of FHN's capital.

Net interest income and the financial condition of FHN are affected by changes in the level of market interest rates as the repricing characteristics of loans and other assets do not necessarily match those of deposits, other borrowings, and capital. When earning assets reprice more quickly than liabilities (when the balance sheet is asset-sensitive), net interest income will benefit in a rising interest rate environment and will be negatively impacted when interest rates decline. In the case of floating rate assets and liabilities with similar repricing frequencies, FHN may also be exposed to basis risk which results from changing spreads between earning and borrowing rates.

Fair Value Shock Analysis

Interest rate risk and the slope of the yield curve also affects the fair value of MSR and capital markets trading inventory that are reflected in mortgage banking and capital markets noninterest income, respectively. Low or declining interest rates typically lead to lower servicing-related income due to the impact of higher loan prepayments on the value of MSR while high or rising interest rates typically increase servicing-related income. To determine the amount of interest rate risk and exposure to changes in fair value of MSR, FHN uses multiple scenario rate shock analysis, including the magnitude and direction of interest rate changes, prepayment speeds, and other factors that could affect mortgage banking income.

Generally, low or declining interest rates with a positively sloped yield curve tend to increase capital markets income through higher demand for fixed income products. Additionally, the fair value of capital markets trading inventory can fluctuate as a result of differences between current interest rates when compared to the interest rates of fixed-income securities in the trading inventory.

Derivatives

FHN utilizes derivatives to protect against unfavorable fair value changes resulting from changes in interest rates of MSR and other retained assets. Derivative instruments are also used to protect against the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in the fair value of a portion of capital markets securities inventory due to changes in interest rates. Interest rate contracts (potentially including swaps and swaptions) and mortgage forward purchase contracts are utilized to protect against MSR prepayment risk that generally accompanies declining interest rates. Net interest income earned on swaps and similar derivative instruments, used to protect the value of MSR, increases when the yield curve steepens and decreases when the yield curve flattens or inverts. Capital markets may enter into futures and options contracts to economically hedge interest rate risk associated with changes in fair value currently recognized in capital markets noninterest income.

Other than the impact related to the immediate change in market value of the balance sheet, such as MSR, these simulation models and related hedging strategies exclude the dynamics related to how fee income and noninterest expense may be affected by actual changes in interest rates or expectations of changes. See Note 14 Derivatives for additional discussion of these instruments.

Table of Contents**LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT**

ALCO also focuses on liquidity management: the funding of assets with liabilities of the appropriate duration, while mitigating the risk of unexpected cash needs. A key objective of liquidity management is to ensure the continuous availability of funds to meet the demands of depositors, other creditors and borrowers, and the requirements of ongoing operations. This objective is met by maintaining liquid assets in the form of trading securities and securities available for sale, growing core deposits, and the repayment of loans. ALCO is responsible for managing these needs by taking into account the marketability of assets; the sources, stability, and availability of funding; and the level of unfunded commitments. Subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements from time to time, funds are available from a number of sources including core deposits, the available-for-sale securities portfolio, the Federal Reserve Banks, access to Federal Reserve Bank programs, the FHLB, access to the overnight and term Federal Funds markets, loan sales, syndications, and dealer and commercial customer repurchase agreements.

Over the past few years, FHN has significantly reduced its reliance on unsecured wholesale borrowings. Currently the largest concentration of unsecured borrowings is federal funds purchased from small bank correspondent customers. These funds are considered to be substantially more stable than funds purchased in the national broker markets for federal funds due to the long, historical and reciprocal banking services between FHN and these correspondent banks. The remainder of FHN's wholesale short-term borrowings is repurchase agreement transactions accounted for as secured borrowings with the bank's business customers or capital markets' broker dealer counterparties.

ALCO manages FHN's exposure to liquidity risk through a dynamic, real time forecasting methodology. Base liquidity forecasts are reviewed in ALCO and are updated as financial conditions dictate. In addition to the baseline liquidity reports, robust stress testing of assumptions and funds availability are periodically reviewed. FHN maintains a contingency funding plan that may be executed should unexpected difficulties arise in accessing funding that affects FHN, the industry as a whole, or both. As a general rule, FHN strives to maintain excess liquidity equivalent to 15 percent or more of total assets.

Core deposits are a significant source of funding and have historically been a stable source of liquidity for banks. Generally, core deposits represent funding from a financial institutions' customer base which provide inexpensive, predictable pricing. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insures these deposits to the extent authorized by law. Generally, these limits are \$250 thousand per account owner for interest bearing accounts and unlimited for non-interest bearing accounts. Absent congressional action, the unlimited insurance on non-interest bearing accounts is scheduled to expire as of December 31, 2012. Total loans, excluding loans HFS and restricted real estate loans and secured borrowings, to core deposits ratio was 102 percent in second quarter 2012 up from 100 percent in second quarter 2011. This ratio increased slightly as an increase in the loan portfolio outpaced growth in core deposits.

Both FHN and FTBNA may access the debt markets in order to provide funding through the issuance of senior or subordinated unsecured debt subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. In 2010, FHN issued \$500 million of non-callable fixed rate senior notes due in 2015. As of June 30, 2012, FHN had outstanding capital securities representing guaranteed preferred beneficial interests in \$206 million of FHN's junior subordinated debentures through a Delaware business trust, wholly owned by FHN, which was eligible for inclusion in Tier 1 Capital. Beginning in 2013, Tier 1 Capital treatment for these securities will begin phasing out. FHN maintains \$.4 billion of borrowings which are secured by retail residential real estate loans in consolidated and nonconsolidated securitization trusts.

Both FHN and FTBNA have the ability to generate liquidity by issuing preferred or common equity subject to market conditions and compliance with applicable regulatory requirements. As of June 30, 2012, FTBNA and subsidiaries had outstanding preferred shares of \$.3 billion and are reflected as noncontrolling interest on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Parent company liquidity is primarily provided by cash flows stemming from dividends and interest payments collected from subsidiaries. These sources of cash represent the primary sources of funds to pay cash dividends to shareholders and interest to debt holders. The amount paid to the parent company through FTBNA common dividends is managed as part of FHN's overall cash management process, subject to applicable regulatory restrictions.

Certain regulatory restrictions exist regarding the ability of FTBNA to transfer funds to FHN in the form of cash, common dividends, loans, or advances. At any given time, the pertinent portions of those regulatory restrictions allow FTBNA to declare preferred or common dividends without prior regulatory approval in an amount equal to FTBNA's retained net income for the two most recent completed years plus the current year to date. For any period, FTBNA's retained net income generally is equal to FTBNA's regulatory net income reduced by the preferred and common dividends declared by FTBNA. Excess dividends in either of the two most recent completed years may be offset with available retained net income in the two years immediately preceding it. Applying the applicable rules, FTBNA's total amount available for dividends was negative \$332.0 million as of June 30, 2012 compared to negative \$394.7 million at June 30, 2011. Consequently, FTBNA cannot pay common dividends to its sole common stockholder, FHN, or to its preferred shareholders without prior regulatory approval.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Payment of a dividend to common shareholders of FHN is dependent on several factors which are considered by the Board. These factors include FHN's current and prospective capital, liquidity, and other needs, applicable regulatory restrictions, and also availability of funds to FHN through a dividend from FTBNA. Additionally, the Federal Reserve and the OCC have issued policy statements generally requiring insured banks and bank holding companies to pay cash dividends only out of current operating

Table of Contents

earnings. Consequently, the decision of whether FHN will pay future dividends and the amount of dividends will be affected by current operating results. FHN paid a cash dividend of \$.01 per share on July 1, 2012, and the Board approved a \$.01 per share cash dividend payable on October 1, 2012, to shareholders of record on September 14, 2012.

Cash Flows

The Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows provide information on cash flows from operating, investing, and financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The level of cash and cash equivalents increased \$28.2 million during second quarter 2012 compared to \$142.6 million in 2011. Net cash provided by financing activities more than offset by cash used by investing and operating activities.

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$448.1 million in 2012 compared to net cash used of \$226.2 million in 2011. Significant inflows in 2012 were the result of increased FHLB borrowings as a result of deposit fluctuations near the end of the quarter that more than offset cash outflows related to payments and maturities of term borrowings, a decline in customer deposits and cash paid to repurchase common stock. In 2011, cash flow from financing activities was negatively affected by the maturities of bank notes, cash paid to extinguish long-term debt, and repurchase of the common stock warrant related to the capital purchase program, partially mitigated by an inflow of customer deposits.

Net cash used by operating activities was \$311.3 million in 2012 compared to \$297.4 million in 2011. In both 2012 and 2011, negative operating cash flows were primarily driven by capital markets activities resulting from increases in trading inventory and receivables. Cash used by investing activities was \$108.7 million in 2012 while investing activities provided \$666.2 million of cash during 2011. Activity related to the available for sale securities portfolio resulted in a \$203.9 million net decrease in cash as securities purchases outpaced sales and maturities in 2012. Cash from investing activities was favorably affected by a decline in balances of the loan portfolio. In 2011, investing activities provided cash primarily due to a \$596.7 million decline in balances related to the loan portfolio and a \$254.3 million reduction in interest-bearing cash which offset net securities purchased.

REPURCHASE OBLIGATIONS, OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS, AND OTHER CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**Repurchase and Related Obligations from Loans Originated for Sale**

Prior to September 2008, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. Some government-insured and government-guaranteed loans were originated with credit recourse retained by FHN and some other mortgages were originated to be held, but predominantly mortgage loans were intended to be sold without recourse for credit default. Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole loan sales or through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. Conventional conforming single-family residential mortgage loans were sold predominately to two GSEs: Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. Also federally insured or guaranteed whole loans were pooled, and payments to investors were guaranteed through the Government National Mortgage Association (Ginnie Mae, Ginnie, or GNMA). Many mortgage loan originations, especially those nonconforming mortgage loans that did not meet criteria for agency whole loan sales to the GSEs, or insurance through Ginnie (collectively, the Agencies), were sold to investors, or certificate-holders, predominantly through proprietary securitizations but also, to a lesser extent, through whole loan sales to private non-Agency purchasers. In addition, FHN originated with the intent to sell and sold HELOCs and second lien mortgages through whole loan sales to private purchasers and, to a lesser extent, through proprietary securitizations.

For non-recourse loan sales, FHN has exposure for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made to the purchasers, including trustees of First Horizon brand proprietary securitizations (FH proprietary securitizations), at closing, and also exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims that offering documents were materially deficient in the case of loans transferred through FH proprietary securitizations. Also, in many cases non-GSE purchasers included FHN loans in securitizations of the purchaser, and in those cases FHN typically provided indemnity covenants to the purchaser. For loans sold with recourse, FHN has indemnity and repurchase exposure if the loans default. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures, which is incorporated herein by reference, for detail regarding these transactions and implications on FHN's potential repurchase or indemnity obligations and avenues of investment rescission or monetary damages for investors related to loans sold through FH proprietary securitizations and FHN loans in third party securitizations.

Since the end of 2008, FHN has experienced significantly elevated levels of claims to either repurchase loans from the purchaser or remit payment to the purchaser to make them whole for economic losses incurred primarily because of loan delinquencies. Such claims are pursued because purchasers allege that certain loans that were sold violated representations and warranties made by FHN at closing. While FHN has received claims from private investors from whole loans sales, a significant majority of claims relate to non-recourse whole loan sales to GSEs. FHN also has the potential for financial exposure from loans transferred through FH proprietary securitizations. See Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for other actions taken by investors of FH proprietary securitizations and also for a discussion outlining differences between

representations and warranties made by FHN for GSE loan sales versus FH proprietary securitizations.

Table of Contents

Origination Data

From 2005 through 2008, FHN originated and sold \$69.5 billion of agency mortgage loans without recourse which includes \$57.6 billion of loans sold to GSEs and \$11.9 billion of loans guaranteed by Ginnie Mae. GSE loans originated in 2005 through 2008 account for 91 percent of all repurchase requests/make-whole claims received between the third quarter 2008 divestiture of certain mortgage banking operations and second quarter 2012. Since the 2008 divestiture, FHN continued the contraction of legacy mortgage banking activities which resulted in bulk sales of mortgage servicing rights. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the original UPB of Agency loans originated from 2005 through 2008 that FHN serviced as of those dates was \$5.8 billion and \$7.4 billion, respectively. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, the remaining UPB of the agency loans that were originated during that same period that FHN continued to service was \$5.2 billion and \$6.9 billion, respectively. Consequently, FHN has not had access to the performance status of a substantial portion of Agency loans it originated and sold. As of June 30, 2012 and 2011, 9.04 percent and 10.33 percent, respectively, of Agency loans originated from 2005 through 2008 that FHN continues to service were 90 or more days delinquent (inclusive of foreclosures and bankruptcies that are 90 plus days delinquent). Because FHN continues to service only a portion of the \$69.5 billion Agency mortgage loans sold from 2005 through 2008, FHN has limited information as to whether, or the extent to which, delinquency rates provided above for the FHN-serviced portion may be representative of the entire population of Agency loans sold during that time period. FHN has potential repurchase risk for claims of breach of representations and warranties for mortgage loans sold to Agencies regardless of its status as servicer.

In addition, from 2000 through 2007, FHN securitized \$47.0 billion of mortgage loans without recourse in FH proprietary transactions. Of the amount originally securitized, \$36.7 billion of current UPB relates to FH proprietary securitization trusts that are still active as approximately 30 securitization trusts have been terminated due to clean-up calls exercised by FHN. A clean-up call is allowed when the unpaid principal balance falls to a low level. The exercise of cleanup calls resulted in termination of the pooling and servicing agreement and reacquisition by FHN of the related outstanding mortgage loans. As of June 30, 2012, FHN still services substantially all of the remaining loans transferred through FH proprietary securitizations.

The following table summarizes the loan composition of the FH private securitizations from 2000 through 2007:

Table 15 - Composition of Off-Balance Sheet First Horizon Proprietary Mortgage Securitizations

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Original UPB for all FH securitizations (a) | Original UPB for active FH securitizations (a) | UPB as of June 30, 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|-----------------------------|
| Loan type: | | | |
| Jumbo | \$ 27,062,825 | \$ 16,786,355 | \$ 4,242,483 ^(b) |
| Alt-A | 19,946,023 | 19,946,023 | 6,748,972 |
| Total FH proprietary securitizations | \$ 47,008,848 | \$ 36,732,378 | \$ 10,991,455 |

FH proprietary securitizations were originated during vintage years 2000 through 2007. Does not include amounts related to consolidated securitization trusts.

(a) Original principal balances obtained from trustee statements. Original UPB in vintages 2004 through 2007 was approximately \$33 billion.

(b) UPB as of June 30, 2012 adjusted for clean-up calls exercised by FHN.

The remaining jumbo mortgage loans originated and sold by FHN had weighted average FICO scores at of approximately 731 and weighted average combined loan-to-value (CLTV) ratios of approximately 77 percent at origination. Alt-A loans consisted of a variety of non-conforming products that typically have greater credit risk due to various issues such as higher CLTV or debt to income (DTI) ratios, reduced documentation, or other factors. As of June 30, 2012, 9.57 percent of the jumbo mortgage loans were 90 days or more delinquent and 17.15 percent of the Alt-A loans were 90 days or more delinquent.

At June 30, 2012, the repurchase request pipeline contained no repurchase requests related to the first lien securitized mortgage loans based on claims related to breaches of representations and warranties. At June 30, 2012, FHN had not accrued a liability for exposure for repurchase of loans arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made in FH proprietary securitizations at closing, nor for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that the offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient.

Active Pipeline

The amount of repurchase requests and make-whole claims is accumulated into the active pipeline . The active pipeline includes the amount of claims for repurchase, make-whole payments, and information requests from purchasers of loans originated and sold through FHN's legacy mortgage banking business. Private mortgage insurance (MI) was required for certain of the loans sold to GSEs or that were securitized. MI generally was provided for first lien loans that were sold to GSEs or securitized that had a LTV ratio at origination of greater than 80 percent. Although unresolved MI cancellation notices are not formal repurchase requests, FHN includes these in the active pipeline.

Table of Contents

For purposes of quantifying the amount of loans underlying the repurchase/make-whole claim or MI cancellation notice, FHN uses the current UPB in all cases if the amount is available. If current UPB is unavailable, the original loan amount is substituted for the current UPB. When neither is available, the claim amount is used as an estimate of current UPB. On June 30, 2012, the active pipeline was \$430.6 million with nearly all unresolved repurchase and make-whole claims relating to loans sold to GSEs.

Generally, the amount of a loan subject to a repurchase/make-whole claim or with open MI issues remains in the active pipeline throughout the appeals process with a GSE or MI company until parties agree on the ultimate outcome. FHN reviews each claim and MI cancellation notice individually to determine the appropriate response by FHN (e.g. appeal, provide additional information, repurchase loan or remit make-whole payment, or reflect cancellation of MI). Contractual agreements with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac state a response should be completed within 30 days of receiving a repurchase request. Working arrangements with both agencies include regular communications to review the current pipeline as well as address any concerns requiring immediate attention. Given the accumulation of GSE repurchase requests at FHN and backlog at the GSEs, FHN has been able to take additional time as needed to complete repurchase request reviews. At this point, FHN has not suffered any penalties from responses occurring after the 30-day contractual period. The Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA), the conservator of the GSEs, has become increasingly involved in the GSEs' repurchase activities which has led, and could continue to lead to changes in practices for requesting and resolving repurchase claims as the GSEs continue to attempt to recover additional losses.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a rollforward of the active repurchase request pipeline, including related unresolved MI cancellation notices for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012:

Table 16 - Rollforward of the Active Pipeline

| | 1st Liens | | 2nd Liens | | HELOC | | TOTAL | |
|---|----------------|-------------------|------------|---------------|------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance April 1, 2012 | 1,694 | \$ 325,652 | 3 | \$ 184 | 1 | \$ 100 | 1,698 | \$ 325,936 |
| Additions | 1,332 | 284,400 | 4 | 550 | 1 | 207 | 1,337 | 285,157 |
| Decreases | (1,158) | (227,362) | (2) | (257) | (1) | (100) | (1,161) | (227,719) |
| Adjustments (a) | 3 | 1,139 | | | | | 3 | 1,139 |
| Ending balance June 30, 2012 | 1,871 | 383,829 | 5 | 477 | 1 | 207 | 1,877 | 384,513 |
| Legacy mortgage banking MI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance April 1, 2012 | 255 | 54,320 | | | | | 255 | 54,320 |
| Additions | 106 | 23,261 | | | | | 106 | 23,261 |
| Decreases | (156) | (34,517) | | | | | (156) | (34,517) |
| Adjustments (a) | 20 | 2,983 | | | | | 20 | 2,983 |
| Ending balance June 30, 2012 | 225 | 46,047 | | | | | 225 | 46,047 |
| Total ending active pipeline June 30, 2012 (b) (c) | 2,096 | \$ 429,876 | 5 | \$ 477 | 1 | \$ 207 | 2,102 | \$ 430,560 |
| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | | | | | | | | |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance January 1, 2012 | 1,659 | \$ 307,705 | 4 | \$ 211 | 2 | \$ 454 | 1,665 | \$ 308,370 |
| Additions | 2,259 | 486,112 | 6 | 659 | 1 | 207 | 2,266 | 486,978 |
| Decreases | (2,059) | (413,578) | (5) | (393) | (2) | (454) | (2,066) | (414,425) |
| Adjustments (a) | 12 | 3,590 | | | | | 12 | 3,590 |
| Ending balance June 30, 2012 | 1,871 | 383,829 | 5 | 477 | 1 | 207 | 1,877 | 384,513 |
| Legacy mortgage banking MI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance January 1, 2012 | 346 | 75,148 | | | | | 346 | 75,148 |
| Additions | 229 | 48,218 | | | | | 229 | 48,218 |
| Decreases | (388) | (83,349) | | | | | (388) | (83,349) |
| Adjustments (a) | 38 | 6,030 | | | | | 38 | 6,030 |
| Ending balance June 30, 2012 | 225 | 46,047 | | | | | 225 | 46,047 |
| Total ending active pipeline June 30, 2012 (b) (c) | 2,096 | \$ 429,876 | 5 | \$ 477 | 1 | \$ 207 | 2,102 | \$ 430,560 |

(a) Generally, adjustments reflect reclassifications between repurchase requests and MI cancellation notices and/or updates to UPB.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- (b) Active pipeline excludes repurchase requests for HELOC and home equity installment loans originated and sold through channels other than legacy mortgage banking.
- (c) Under the revised repurchase accrual approach discussed later, loss estimation for loans with lost MI coverage is no longer separately assessed nor added to inherent losses within the active pipeline as this population of loans are embedded in the data received from Fannie Mae in second quarter 2012. MI trends continue to be tracked and reviewed and are considered in the overall adequacy of the repurchase liability.

Table of Contents**Table 16 - Rollforward of the Active Pipeline (Continued)**

The following table provides a rollforward of the active repurchase request pipeline, including related unresolved MI cancellation notices for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011:

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | 1st Liens | | 2nd Liens | | HELOC | | TOTAL | |
|---|--------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|
| | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance April 1, 2011 | 1,779 | \$ 364,256 | 331 | \$ 16,885 | 13 | \$ 1,377 | 2,123 | \$ 382,518 |
| Additions | 699 | 132,677 | 4 | 277 | 20 | 1,144 | 723 | 134,098 |
| Decreases | (725) | (171,009) | (244) | (13,344) | | | (969) | (184,353) |
| Adjustments (a) | 23 | (1,077) | 3 | 114 | (11) | (1,201) | 15 | (2,164) |
| Ending balance June 30, 2011 | 1,776 | 324,847 | 94 | 3,932 | 22 | 1,320 | 1,892 | 330,099 |
| Legacy mortgage banking MI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance April 1, 2011 | 640 | 146,798 | | | | | 640 | 146,798 |
| Additions | 218 | 48,122 | | | | | 218 | 48,122 |
| Decreases | (266) | (63,702) | | | | | (266) | (63,702) |
| Adjustments (a) | (51) | (10,486) | | | | | (51) | (10,486) |
| Ending balance June 30, 2011 | 541 | 120,732 | | | | | 541 | 120,732 |
| Total ending active pipeline June 30, 2011 (b) (c) | 2,317 | \$ 445,579 | 94 | \$ 3,932 | 22 | \$ 1,320 | 2,433 | \$ 450,831 |
| Legacy mortgage banking repurchase/other requests: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance January 1, 2011 | 1,718 | \$ 377,735 | 357 | \$ 18,025 | 12 | \$ 1,022 | 2,087 | \$ 396,782 |
| Additions | 1,551 | 295,364 | 25 | 1,700 | 20 | 1,144 | 1,596 | 298,208 |
| Decreases | (1,512) | (348,831) | (289) | (15,851) | | | (1,801) | (364,682) |
| Adjustments (a) | 19 | 579 | 1 | 58 | (10) | (846) | 10 | (209) |
| Ending balance June 30, 2011 | 1,776 | 324,847 | 94 | 3,932 | 22 | 1,320 | 1,892 | 330,099 |
| Legacy mortgage banking MI cancellation notices: | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance January 1, 2011 | 596 | 137,373 | | | | | 596 | 137,373 |
| Additions | 475 | 104,937 | | | | | 475 | 104,937 |
| Decreases | (465) | (108,191) | | | | | (465) | (108,191) |
| Adjustments(a) | (65) | (13,387) | | | | | (65) | (13,387) |
| Ending balance June 30, 2011 | 541 | 120,732 | | | | | 541 | 120,732 |
| Total ending active pipeline June 30, 2011 (b) (c) | 2,317 | \$ 445,579 | 94 | \$ 3,932 | 22 | \$ 1,320 | 2,433 | \$ 450,831 |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

- (a) Generally, adjustments reflect reclassifications between repurchase requests and MI cancellation notices and/or updates to UPB.
- (b) Active pipeline excludes repurchase requests for HELOC and home equity installment loans originated and sold through channels other than legacy mortgage banking.
- (c) In determining adequacy of the repurchase reserve, FHN considered \$208.9 million in UPB of loans sold where MI coverage was cancelled for all loan sales and FH securitizations in 2011.

Table of Contents

The following graph depicts inflows into the active pipeline by claimant type for each quarter during 2011 and 2012:

As of June 30, 2012, Agencies account for nearly all of the repurchase/make-whole requests in the active pipeline and 92 percent of the total active pipeline, inclusive of MI cancellation notices and all other claims. For loans in the active pipeline for which FHN has received notification of MI cancellation, a majority relate to loans sold to GSEs. Consistent with originations, a majority of claims have been from Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and 2007 represents the vintage with the highest volume of claims. Total new repurchase and make-whole claims from agencies increased \$169.7 million and \$140.7 million to \$279.7 million in second quarter 2012 compared to second and fourth quarters 2011, respectively. Based on information received from Fannie Mae in second quarter 2012, the increases in repurchase/make-whole requests during 2012 were largely driven by backlogs at the GSE while elevated inflow beginning in the second half of 2011 was largely driven by changes in practices and policies as it relates to GSE loans where MI coverage was lost. Total MI cancellation notices received declined \$24.8 million from a year ago to \$23.3 million in 2012.

The most common reasons for GSE repurchase demands are claimed misrepresentations related to missing documents in the loan file, issues related to employment and income (such as misrepresented stated-income or falsified employment documents and/or verifications), and undisclosed borrower debt. Since the 2008 divestiture, less than full documentation loans accounted for approximately 25 percent of GSE repurchase and make-whole claims while approximately 75 percent of the claims have resulted from loans originated as full documentation loans. The proportion of originations versus repurchase/make-whole claims between documentation-type has remained relatively unchanged since 2008.

Table of Contents

The following table provides information regarding resolutions (outflows) of the active pipeline during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Table 18 - Active Pipeline Resolutions and Other Outflows

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|--|---|-------------------|---|------------|
| | Number | UPB | Number | UPB |
| Repurchase, make-whole, settlement resolutions | 450 | \$ 97,407 | 306 | \$ 67,646 |
| Rescissions or denials | 517 | 110,452 | 667 | 106,719 |
| Other, MI, information requests | 350 | 54,377 | 262 | 73,690 |
| Total resolutions | 1,317 | \$ 262,236 | 1,235 | \$ 248,055 |

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | |
|--|---|-------------------|---|------------|
| | Number | UPB | Number | UPB |
| Repurchase, make-whole, settlement resolutions | 879 | \$ 190,937 | 634 | \$ 137,750 |
| Rescissions or denials | 876 | 190,519 | 1091 | 197,952 |
| Other, MI, information requests | 699 | 116,318 | 541 | 137,171 |
| Total resolutions | 2,454 | \$ 497,774 | 2,266 | \$ 472,873 |

Total resolutions disclosed in Table 18 Active Pipeline Resolutions and Other Outflows include both favorable and unfavorable resolutions and are reflected as decreases in the Rollforward of the Active Pipeline in Table 16. The UPB of actual repurchases, make-whole, settlement resolutions, which was \$97.4 million during second quarter 2012, represents the UPB of loans for which FHN has incurred a loss on the actual repurchase of a loan, or where FHN has reimbursed a claimant for economic losses incurred. When estimating the accrued liability using loss factors based on actual historical experience, FHN has applied cumulative average loss severities ranging between 50 and 60 percent of the UPB of the repurchased loan or make-whole claim. When loans are repurchased or make-whole payments have been made, the associated loss content on the repurchase, make-whole, or settlement resolution is reflected as a net realized loss in Table 19 Reserves for Repurchase and Foreclosure Losses.

Rescissions or denials, which were \$110.5 million in second quarter 2012, represent the amount of repurchase requests and make-whole claims where FHN was able to resolve without incurring losses. Cumulative average rescission rates have ranged between 45 and 55 percent since the 2008 divestiture. Of the loans resolved in 2012 relating to actual repurchase or make-whole claims, FHN was successful in favorably resolving approximately 50 percent of the claims. Resolutions related to other, MI, information requests, which were \$54.4 million during second quarter 2012, includes providing information to the claimant, issues related to MI coverage, and other items. Resolutions in this category include both favorable and unfavorable outcomes with MI companies, including situations where MI was ultimately cancelled. FHN does not realize loss (a decrease of the repurchase and foreclosure liability) for loans with MI issues unless a repurchase/make-whole claim is submitted and such request is unfavorably resolved.

Repurchase Accrual Methodology

The estimated probable incurred losses that result from repurchase obligations are derived from loss severities that are reflective of default and delinquency trends in residential real estate loans and lower housing prices, which result in fair value marks below par for repurchased loans when the loans are recorded on FHN's balance sheet upon repurchase. FHN has observed cumulative average loss severities (actual losses incurred as a percentage of the UPB) ranging between 50 percent and 60 percent of the principal balance of the repurchased loans and cumulative average rescission rates between 45 percent and 55 percent of the repurchase and make-whole requests.

As a result of significant new information received from Fannie in second quarter 2012, including information related to loans no longer serviced by FHN, estimated losses associated with repurchase obligations for mortgage loans sold to GSEs was revised. As described in more detail below, FHN historically estimated loss content within the active pipeline as well as loss content associated with loans in which MI coverage was ultimately lost. This approach will be referred to as the historical repurchase accrual approach and applies to periods prior to

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

second quarter 2012. Prior to second quarter 2012, FHN's ability to quantify this estimate was substantially limited because, among other things, FHN no longer services a large portion of the loans sold to the GSEs and therefore has limited access to loan data for that portion. Because of this new information, FHN now has better visibility allowing it to better estimate the probable loss which will result from the repurchase process going forward in respect of all conforming conventional mortgage loans which FHN sold on a whole-loan basis to the two GSEs. The revised and historical repurchase accrual approaches are discussed below.

Table of Contents

The Revised Repurchase Accrual Approach

In second quarter 2012, information was made available by Fannie to FHN which provided significant insight into their file selection and review process for loans previously sold by FHN to Fannie with repurchase risk. As a result, FHN revised its loss estimate associated with repurchase obligations for loans sold to Fannie and Freddie. The process for estimating the liability for repurchase obligations for the two GSEs as of June 30, 2012, was the result of a three-step approach. First, FHN analyzes loss content associated with outstanding repurchase/make-whole claims currently in the active pipeline. The pipeline is segmented and historical cumulative average loss severities and repurchase rates are applied to estimate losses associated with known requests.

Secondly, FHN estimated probable losses associated with projected requests from Fannie. The ability to project repurchase requests from Fannie resulted from information provided by the GSE that segments the population of FHN loans into 1) loans that are currently selected for review, 2) liquidated loans that will likely be selected for review in the future, and 3) seriously delinquent loans that will likely be selected for review in the future. Fannie also provided FHN with their average historical repurchase request rates for loans after they have been selected for review. FHN utilized this information to estimate the loans in the 3 loan pool segments described above that could result in future repurchase requests from Fannie. FHN's historical average cumulative loss severities and repurchase rates were then applied to the projected repurchase requests to estimate the associated probable losses.

Lastly, the loss estimated from projected Fannie repurchase requests was used to estimate probable repurchase losses from Freddie loan sales from 2005 through 2008. The characteristics of the loans sold to Fannie during that timeframe were generally consistent with those sold to Freddie. Additionally, trends in repurchase rates and loss severities for Freddie loans have been generally consistent with those experienced for Fannie loans. Unless GSE repurchase practices or outcomes change significantly, First Horizon expects that the mortgage repurchase liability established as of the end of the second quarter 2012 will be sufficient for losses resulting from current pending and projected repurchase requests from the two GSEs.

Historical Repurchase Accrual Approach

When determining the adequacy of the repurchase and foreclosure liability, FHN considers that nearly all of these sales ceased in third quarter 2008 when FHN sold its national mortgage origination business. In estimation of the accrued liability for loan repurchases and make-whole obligations, FHN estimated probable incurred losses in the population of all loans sold based on trends in claims requests and actual loss severities observed by management. The liability included accruals for probable losses beyond what was observable in the ending pipeline of repurchase/make-whole requests and active MI cancellations at any given balance sheet date. The estimation process began with internally developed proprietary models that were used to assist in developing a baseline in evaluating inherent repurchase-related loss content. The baseline for the repurchase reserve used historical loss factors that were applied to the loan pools originated in 2001 through 2008 and sold in years 2001 through 2009. Loss factors, tracked by year of loss, were calculated using actual losses incurred on repurchases or make-whole arrangements. The historical loss factors experienced were accumulated for each sale vintage and were applied to more recent sale vintages to estimate probable incurred losses not yet realized.

In order to incorporate more current events, FHN then incorporated management judgment within its estimation process for establishing appropriate reserve levels. For repurchase requests related to breach of representations and warranties, the active pipeline was segregated into various components (e.g., requestor, repurchase, or make-whole) and current rescission (successful resolutions) and loss severity rates were applied to calculate estimated losses attributable to the current pipeline. When assessing the adequacy of the repurchase reserve, management also considered trends in the amounts and composition of new inflows into the pipeline. FHN then compared the estimated losses inherent within the pipeline with current reserve levels.

For purposes of estimating loss content, FHN also considered reviewed MI cancellation notices where coverage had been cancelled. When assessing loss content related to loans where MI had been cancelled, FHN first reviewed the amount of unresolved MI cancellations that were in the active pipeline and adjusted for any known facts or trends observed by management. Similar to the methodology for actual repurchase/make-whole requests, FHN applied loss factors (including probability and loss severity ratios) that were derived from actual incurred losses in past vintages to the amount of unresolved MI pipeline for loans that were sold to GSEs. For GSE MI cancellation notices, the methodology for determining the accrued liability contemplated a higher probability of loss compared with that applied to GSE repurchase/make-whole requests as FHN had been less successful in favorably resolving mortgage insurance cancellation notifications with MI companies. Loss severity rates applied to GSE MI cancellation notifications were consistent with those applied to actual GSE claims. For GSE MI cancellation notifications where coverage had been ultimately cancelled and were no longer included in the active pipeline, FHN applied a 100 percent repurchase rate in anticipation that such loans ultimately would result in repurchase/make-whole requests from the GSEs since MI coverage for certain loans is a GSE requirement. In determining adequacy of the repurchase reserve, FHN considered \$208.9 million in UPB of loans sold where MI coverage was cancelled for all loan sales and FH proprietary securitizations in 2011. Under the revised repurchase accrual approach, loss estimation for loans with lost MI coverage is no longer separately assessed nor added to inherent losses within the active pipeline.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

as this population of loans are embedded in the data received from Fannie Mae in second quarter 2012. MI trends continue to be tracked and reviewed and are considered in the overall adequacy of the repurchase liability.

Table of Contents**Repurchase and Foreclosure Liability**

FHN compares the estimated probable incurred losses determined under the applicable loss estimation approaches described above for the respective periods with current reserve levels. Changes in the estimated required liability levels are recorded as necessary through the repurchase and foreclosure provision. There are certain second liens and HELOCs subject to repurchase claims that are not included in the active pipeline as these loans were originated and sold through different channels but are included in FHN's aggregate repurchase liability in Table 19. Liability estimation for potential repurchase obligations related to these second liens and HELOCs was determined outside of the methodology for loans originated and sold through the national legacy mortgage origination platform.

The following table provides a rollforward of the repurchase liability by loan product type for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 :

Table 19 - Reserves for Repurchase and Foreclosure Losses

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------|------------------|------------|
| | June 30 | 2011 | June 30 | 2011 |
| | 2012 | | 2012 | 2011 |
| First Liens | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 159,187 | \$ 180,945 | \$ 163,277 | \$ 176,283 |
| Provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses | 250,000 | 24,563 | 299,256 | 66,284 |
| Net realized losses | (50,781) | (38,262) | (104,127) | (75,321) |
| Balance on June 30 | \$ 358,406 | \$ 167,246 | \$ 358,406 | \$ 167,246 |
| Second Liens | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,054 | \$ 2,054 | \$ 2,054 | \$ 6,571 |
| Provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses | | | | (4,517) |
| Balance on June 30 | \$ 2,054 | \$ 2,054 | \$ 2,054 | \$ 2,054 |
| HELOC | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 2,076 | \$ 2,589 | \$ 2,076 | \$ 2,589 |
| Net realized losses | | | | |
| Balance on June 30 | \$ 2,076 | \$ 2,589 | \$ 2,076 | \$ 2,589 |
| Total Reserves for Repurchase and Foreclosure Losses | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 163,317 | \$ 185,588 | \$ 167,407 | \$ 185,443 |
| Provision for repurchase and foreclosure losses | 250,000 | 24,563 | 299,256 | 61,767 |
| Net realized losses | (50,781) | (38,262) | (104,127) | (75,321) |
| Balance on June 30 | \$ 362,536 | \$ 171,889 | \$ 362,536 | \$ 171,889 |

The liability for repurchase and foreclosure losses was \$362.5 million as of June 30, 2012, compared to \$171.9 million as of June 30, 2011. The increase in the liability since 2011 is primarily the result of a change in estimate of FHN's repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to mortgage loans sold to Fannie and Freddie. In 2012, FHN recognized expense of \$250.0 million to increase the repurchase and foreclosure liability compared with \$24.6 million in 2011. In second quarter 2012 and 2011, success rates on putbacks and the loss severity rates applied to the pipeline inflow were generally consistent in both periods.

Net realized losses for the repurchase of first lien loans or make-whole payments increased to \$50.8 million during second quarter 2012 compared with \$38.3 million during 2011. The first lien net realized losses in Table 19 reflect net losses on \$97.4 million of repurchase, make-whole, and settlement resolutions reflected in Table 18 Active Pipeline Resolutions and Other Outflows. In second quarter 2012, the net realized losses incurred were 52 percent of the UPB of repurchase/make-whole requests resolved. In second quarter 2011, first lien net realized

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

losses were \$38.3 million representing a 57 percent actual loss severity.

Generally, repurchased loans are included in loans HFS and recognized at fair value at the time of repurchase, which contemplates the loan's performance status and estimated liquidation value. The UPB of loans that were repurchased during second quarter 2012 was \$33.1 million compared with \$20.0 million during 2011. FHN has elected to continue recognition of these loans at fair value in periods subsequent to reacquisition. After the loan repurchase is completed, classification (performing versus nonperforming) of the repurchased loans is determined based on an additional assessment of the credit characteristics of the loan in accordance with FHN's internal credit policies and guidelines consistent with other loans FHN retains on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents**Other FHN Mortgage Exposures and Trends**

Although FHN has received no repurchase requests from trustees of FH proprietary securitizations, as described in Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures, FHN is defending several lawsuits by investors in FH proprietary securitizations. These litigation matters are in early stages and have not been resolved; at June 30, 2012 no liability had been established for them.

In addition, also as described in Note 9, many non-GSE purchasers of whole loans from FHN included those loans in their own securitizations. In such a whole loan sale FHN made representations and warranties concerning the loans sold and provided indemnity covenants to the purchaser/secritizer. Typically the purchaser/secritizer assigned key contractual rights against FHN to the securitization trustee. Certain of those non-GSE purchasers have made claims against FHN based on those indemnity covenants. Also, the trustee of a non-FH proprietary securitization which contained FHN loans has commenced a legal proceeding against FHN seeking repurchase or make-whole based on claimed breaches of representations and warranties made by FHN to the purchaser/secritizer.

MARKET UNCERTAINTIES AND PROSPECTIVE TRENDS

Continued uncertainties remain surrounding the national economy, the housing market, the regulatory environment, and the European financial situation and will continue to present challenges for FHN. Although the national economy has exhibited signs of improvement, economic conditions are still stressed and could regress which may result in increased credit costs and loan loss provisioning and could also suppress loan demand from borrowers resulting in continued pressure on net interest income. Additionally, despite the significant reduction of legacy national lending operations, the ongoing economic stress and uncertainty in the housing market could continue to affect borrower defaults resulting in elevated repurchase requests from GSEs and third party whole loan purchasers relative to current projections or could impact losses recognized by investors in FH proprietary securitizations which could result in repurchase losses or litigation. Economic and political uncertainty could also lead to changes in repurchase practices of GSEs which could result in additional repurchase losses for loans sold subject to repurchase requests from GSEs. See the Repurchase and Related Obligations from Loans Originated for Sale section and Critical Accounting Policies within MD&A, and Note 9 Contingencies and Other Disclosures for additional discussion regarding FHN's repurchase obligations.

Although FHN has little direct exposure to Euro-denominated assets or to European debt, major adverse events in Europe could have a substantial indirect impact on FHN. Because the U.S. Financial System in many ways is linked to the European financial system, a major adverse event could negatively impact liquidity in the U.S. causing funding costs to rise, or could potentially limit availability of funding through conventional markets in a worst-case scenario. FHN also could be adversely affected by European triggered events impacting hedging or other counterparties, customers with European businesses and/or assets denominated in the Euro, the U.S. economy, interest rates, inflation/deflation rates, and the regulatory environment should there be a political response to major financial disruptions, all of which could have a financial impact on FHN.

Regulatory Matters

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 (Reform Law) mandates significant change across the industry and authorizes many expansive new regulations to be issued in the future. It is uncertain at this time exactly how the Reform Law and associated regulations will affect FHN and the industry. The Reform Law will result in increased compliance costs and risk while also reducing revenues and margins of certain products. Because the full impact of the Reform Law may not be known for some time, FHN will continue to assess the effect of the legislation and associated regulations on the Company as the regulations are adopted.

On June 7, 2012, the U.S. banking regulators issued final revisions to the current Market Risk Capital Rule which applies to financial institutions with trading assets and liabilities of at least \$1.0 billion or 10 percent of total assets. FHN is currently subject to the Market Risk Capital Rules and therefore will be required to comply with the revisions contained in the final rule which become effective in January 2013. The revisions contained in the final rule change the methodology for calculating the risk-weighted assets related to trading assets and include, among other things, the addition of a stressed VaR (Value at Risk) component. Additionally, the rule eliminates the use of credit ratings in calculating specific risk capital requirements for certain debt and securitization positions. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the revisions to the Market Risk Capital Rule.

In addition, on June 7, 2012, the U.S. banking regulators issued notices of proposed rulemakings (NPRs) to implement the revised capital standards for U.S. financial institutions as required by the Reform Law. The NPRs include changes to the definition of capital and an increase in capital requirements that phase in over many years largely consistent with the revised capital standards for international banks known as Basel III . In addition, the NPR addressing standardized risk-weighting of assets would significantly change the risk-weighting of certain assets for almost all U.S. financial institutions beginning in 2015. It is not known to what extent the NPRs will be adopted as proposed, but FHN estimates that it would have remained a well-capitalized institution under its interpretation of the proposed increased capital requirements and

risk-weighted asset revisions if the proposals had been fully in effect as of June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents**Foreclosure Practices**

For several years governmental officials and agencies have scrutinized industry foreclosure practices, particularly in judicial foreclosure states. The initial focus on judicial foreclosure practices of financial institutions nationwide expanded to include non-judicial foreclosure and loss mitigation practices including the effective coordination by servicers of foreclosure and loss mitigation activities. All of the changes to servicing practices arising out of this activity including those described below could impact FHN through increased operational and legal costs. FHN owns and services residential mortgage loans. In 2010 FHN reviewed its processes relating to foreclosure on loans it owns and services and no material issues were identified. FHN continues to review, monitor and revise, as appropriate, its foreclosure processes and coordinated loss mitigation practices with the goal of conforming them to evolving servicing requirements.

FHN's national mortgage and servicing platforms were sold in August 2008 and the related servicing activities, including foreclosure and loss mitigation practices, of the still-owned portion of FHN's mortgage servicing portfolio was outsourced through a three year subservicing arrangement (the 2008 subservicing agreement) with the platform buyer (the 2008 subservicer). The 2008 subservicing agreement expired in August 2011. In 2011, FHN entered into a replacement agreement with a new subservicer (the 2011 subservicer).

By the end of first quarter 2011, federal banking regulators had completed examinations of foreclosure and loss mitigation practices of large, federally regulated mortgage servicers, including FHN's 2008 subservicer. Regulators have entered into consent decrees with several of the institutions requiring comprehensive revision of loan modification and foreclosure processes, including the remediation of borrowers that have experienced financial harm. The 2008 subservicer is subject to a consent decree and its parent company recently agreed to pay related monetary sanctions. The 2008 subservicer has advised FHN that it has implemented or was in the process of implementing the new standards as the subservicing relationship terminated in August 2011. In accordance with the terms of the consent decree, the 2008 subservicer has commenced an independent third-party assessment of its foreclosure processes and is participating in a regulator-prescribed foreclosure claims review process. FHN is cooperating with and assisting its 2008 and 2011 subservicer in reviewing any consumer complaints originating out of the claims review process which is scheduled for completion on December 31, 2012. The 2008 subservicer is advising FHN of the number of claims/complaints filed relating to FHN subserviced loans. The OCC has issued information outlining remediation guidelines for various types of claims/complaints if and when the claim/complaint is determined to be valid. As a result of the above examinations, in June 2011 the OCC issued Supervisory Guidance relating to Foreclosure Management setting forth the OCC's expectations for the oversight and management of mortgage foreclosure activities for national banks, and requiring self-assessments of foreclosure management practices including compliance with legal requirements, testing and file reviews. FHN has reviewed the Supervisory Guidance and utilized a third party consultant to assist in its self-assessment of its foreclosure management process relating to all its mortgage servicing, including that conducted by the 2011 subservicer. The assessment was completed in the fourth quarter 2011; FHN is implementing changes to strengthen its processes including additional oversight and review processes. Also in connection with the 2008 subservicer's third party assessment and claims process, FHN and its 2011 subservicer are cooperating with the 2008 subservicer to identify and correct any servicing deficiencies in foreclosure or loss mitigation that might impact FHN's subserviced loans.

Under FHN's 2008 subservicing agreement, the 2008 subservicer had the contractual right to follow FHN's prior servicing practice as they existed 180 days prior to August 2008 until the 2008 subservicer became aware that such practices did not comply with applicable servicing requirements, subject to subservicer's obligation to follow accepted servicing practices, applicable law, and new requirements, including evolving interpretations of such practices, law and requirements. FHN cannot predict the amount of additional operating costs related to foreclosure delays, including required process changes, increased default services, extended periods of servicing advances and the recoverability of such advances, legal expenses, or other costs that may be incurred as a result of the internal reviews or external actions. In the event of a dispute such as that described below between FHN and the 2008 subservicer over any liabilities for the 2008 subservicer's servicing and management of foreclosure or loss mitigation processes, FHN cannot predict the costs that may be incurred.

FHN's 2008 subservicer has presented invoices and made demands under the 2008 subservicing agreement that FHN pay certain costs related to tax service contracts in connection with FHN's transfer of subservicing to its 2011 subservicer in the amount of \$5.8 million. The 2008 subservicer also is seeking reimbursement for expenditures the 2008 subservicer has or anticipates it will incur under the consent decree and OCC bulletin relating to foreclosure review (collectively, foreclosure review), alleging that FHN has an implied agreement to participate in and share in such cost. The foreclosure review expenditures for which the 2008 subservicer presently seeks reimbursement are approximately \$7.4 million. The 2008 subservicer has indicated that additional reimbursement requests will be made as the foreclosure review continues. FHN disputes that it has any responsibility or liability for either demand under the 2008 subservicing agreement or otherwise. In the event that the 2008 subservicer pursues its position through litigation, FHN believes it has meritorious defenses and intends to defend itself vigorously.

In addition, the attorneys general of all 50 states concluded a joint investigation of foreclosure practices across the industry and proposed significant changes in servicing practices related to foreclosures and substantial penalties. On February 9, 2012, the Justice Department announced that the federal government and attorneys general of 49 states (the state of Oklahoma reached a separate

Table of Contents

agreement) reached a \$25 billion settlement agreement with five of the largest servicers to address mortgage loan servicing and foreclosure abuses. The parent companies of those servicers paid additional monetary sanctions for failure to supervise. Neither FHN nor its 2008 or 2011 subservicer is a party to this settlement. Press reports indicate that other servicers may be approached to participate in the settlement. FHN's 2008 and 2011 subservicers have not advised that they have been involved recently in discussions regarding participation in these settlements. However, the sanctions recently agreed to by the 2008 subservicer's parent company reportedly have terms similar to the earlier parent company sanctions. FHN continues to review available information to ascertain the potential impact of the settlement agreement on servicing and foreclosure practices.

Also, as it relates to foreclosure practices, there have been both favorable and unfavorable rulings by courts in various states involving the requirements for proof of ownership and the sufficiency of recordation of securitized mortgage loans. The ultimate impact of these decisions on the procedures and documentation required to foreclose securitized mortgage loans is not yet fully developed but could be unfavorable. FHN continues to work with its 2008 and 2011 subservicers and foreclosure counsel with the goal of ensuring that appropriate proof of ownership and documentation is presented at the time of each foreclosure proceeding.

FHN anticipates continued changes in foreclosure, loss mitigation, and servicing practices in response to the industry-wide servicing standards introduced by the OCC and other federal regulators in the consent decrees, the foreclosure settlement with the state attorneys general described above, the Federal Reserve Board's and the Bureau's proposal on servicing practices, regulations and mortgage servicing standards issued by the Bureau including those relating to vendor management, and applicable state laws modifying foreclosure and loss mitigation requirements. FHN cannot predict the costs of implementing the new servicing requirements. It also remains unclear what actions will be taken by individual states through attorneys general, or other third parties including borrowers, related to foreclosure and loss mitigation practices in the industry or specific actions by FHN or by its 2008 subservicer. Additionally, a new financial crimes unit has been formed to review possible residential mortgage-backed securities fraud and the media reports that civil investigation demands have been issued to a number of parties. FHN cannot predict the amount of operating costs, costs for foreclosure delays (including costs connected with servicing advances), legal expenses, or other costs (including title company indemnification) that may be incurred as a result of the internal reviews or external actions. No liability has been established.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES**APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

FHN's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements of FHN are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and follow general practices within the industries in which it operates. The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make certain judgments and assumptions in determining accounting estimates. Accounting estimates are considered critical if: (1) the estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made and (2) different estimates reasonably could have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, that would have a material impact on the presentation of FHN's financial condition, changes in financial condition, or results of operations.

It is management's practice to discuss critical accounting policies with the Board of Directors' Audit Committee including the development, selection, and disclosure of the critical accounting estimates. Management believes the following critical accounting policies are both important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results of operations and require subjective or complex judgments. These judgments about critical accounting estimates are based on information available as of the date of the financial statements.

ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Management's policy is to maintain the ALLL at a level sufficient to absorb estimated probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Management performs periodic and systematic detailed reviews of its loan portfolio to identify trends and to assess the overall collectability of the loan portfolio. Accounting standards require that loan losses be recorded when management determines it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. Management believes the accounting estimate related to the ALLL is a critical accounting estimate as: (1) changes in it can materially affect the provision for loan losses and net income, (2) it requires management to predict borrowers' likelihood or capacity to repay, and (3) it requires management to distinguish between losses incurred as of a balance sheet date and losses expected to be incurred in the future. Accordingly, this is a highly subjective process and requires significant judgment since it is often difficult to determine when specific loss events may actually occur. The ALLL is increased by the provision for loan losses and recoveries and is decreased by charged-off loans. Principal loan amounts are charged off against the ALLL in the period in which the loan or any portion of the loan is deemed to be uncollectible. This critical accounting estimate applies to the regional banking, non-strategic, and corporate segments. A management committee comprised of representatives from Risk Management, Finance, and Credit performs a quarterly review of the

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

assumptions used and FHN's ALLL analytical models, qualitative assessments of the loan portfolio, and determines if qualitative adjustments should be recommended to the modeled results. On a quarterly basis, as a part of Enterprise Risk reporting and discussion, management addresses credit reserve adequacy and credit losses with the Executive and Risk Committee of FHN's Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

FHN believes that the critical assumptions underlying the accounting estimate made by management include: (1) the commercial loan portfolio has been properly risk graded based on information about borrowers in specific industries and specific issues with respect to single borrowers; (2) borrower specific information made available to FHN is current and accurate; (3) the loan portfolio has been segmented properly and individual loans have similar credit risk characteristics and will behave similarly; (4) known significant loss events that have occurred were considered by management at the time of assessing the adequacy of the ALLL; (5) the adjustments for economic conditions utilized in the allowance for loan losses estimate are used as a measure of actual incurred losses; (6) the period of history used for historical loss factors is indicative of the current environment; and (7) the reserve rates, as well as other adjustments estimated by management for current events, trends, and conditions, utilized in the process reflect an estimate of losses that have been incurred as of the date of the financial statements.

While management uses the best information available to establish the ALLL, future adjustments to the ALLL and methodology may be necessary if economic or other conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the estimates. Such adjustments to original estimates, as necessary, are made in the period in which these factors and other relevant considerations indicate that loss levels vary from previous estimates.

MORTGAGE SERVICING RIGHTS AND OTHER RETAINED INTERESTS

When FHN sold mortgage loans in the secondary market to investors, it generally retained the right to service the loans sold in exchange for a servicing fee that is collected over the life of the loan as the payments are received from the borrower. An amount was capitalized as MSR on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at current fair value. In certain cases, FHN also retained excess interests which represent rights to receive earnings from serviced assets that exceed contractually specified servicing fees and are legally separable from the base servicing rights. Excess interests are included in Trading securities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition at current fair value.

MSR and Excess Interest Estimated Fair Value

FHN has elected fair value accounting for all classes of mortgage servicing rights. The fair value of MSR and excess interests typically rise as market interest rates increase and decline as market interest rates decrease; however, the extent to which this occurs depends in part on: (1) the magnitude of changes in market interest rates and (2) the differential between the then current market interest rates for mortgage loans and the mortgage interest rates of loans sold with servicing or other interests retained.

See Note 15 Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities, the Determination of Fair Value section for a description of FHN's valuation methodology for MSR and excess interests. FHN relies primarily on a discounted cash flow model to estimate the fair value of MSR and excess interests. Estimating the cash flow components of net servicing income from the loan and the resultant fair value of the MSR requires FHN to make several critical assumptions based upon current market data. The primary critical assumptions used by FHN to estimate the fair value of excess interests are prepayment speeds and discount rates.

Prepayment Speeds: Generally, when market interest rates decline and other factors favorable to prepayments occur, there is a corresponding increase in prepayments as customers refinance existing mortgages under more favorable interest rate terms. When a mortgage loan is prepaid the anticipated cash flows associated with servicing that loan are terminated, resulting in a reduction of the fair value of the capitalized MSR and excess interests. To the extent that actual borrower prepayments do not react as anticipated by the prepayment model (i.e., the historical data observed in the model does not correspond to actual market activity), it is possible that the prepayment model could fail to accurately predict mortgage prepayments and could result in significant earnings volatility. To estimate prepayment speeds, FHN utilizes a third-party prepayment model, which is based upon statistically derived data linked to certain key principal indicators involving historical borrower prepayment activity associated with mortgage loans in the secondary market, current market interest rates, and other factors. For purposes of model valuation, estimates are made for each product type within the MSR and excess interest portfolio on a monthly basis.

Discount Rate: Represents the rate at which expected cash flows are discounted to arrive at the net present value of servicing income. Discount rates will change with market conditions (i.e., supply vs. demand) and be reflective of the yields expected to be earned by market participants investing in MSR or excess interests.

Cost to Service: Expected costs to service are estimated based upon the incremental costs that a market participant would use in evaluating the potential acquisition of MSR.

Float Income: Estimated float income is driven by expected float balances (principal, interest, and escrow payments that are held pending remittance to the investor or other third-party) and current market interest rates, including the thirty-day LIBOR and five-year swap interest rates, which are updated on a monthly basis for purposes of estimating the fair value of MSR.

Table of Contents

FHN engages in a process referred to as price discovery on a quarterly basis to assess the reasonableness of the estimated fair value of retained interests. Price discovery is conducted through a process of obtaining the following information: (1) quarterly informal (and an annual formal) valuation of the servicing portfolio by prominent independent mortgage-servicing brokers and (2) a collection of surveys and benchmarking data made available by independent third parties that include peer participants in the mortgage banking business. Although there is no single source of market information that can be relied upon to assess the fair value of MSR or excess interests, FHN reviews all information obtained during price discovery to determine whether the estimated fair value of MSR is reasonable when compared to market information. FHN determined that the MSR and excess interests valuations and assumptions as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, were reasonable based on the price discovery process.

The MSR Hedging Committee reviews the overall assessment of the estimated fair value of MSR and excess interests monthly and is responsible for approving the critical assumptions used by management to determine the estimated fair value of FHN's retained interests. In addition, this committee reviews the source of significant changes to the carrying values each quarter and is responsible for current hedges and approving hedging strategies.

Hedging the Fair Value of MSR and Excess Interests

FHN enters into financial agreements to hedge a substantial portion of its retained interests in order to minimize the effects of loss in value of MSR and excess interests associated with increased prepayment activity that generally results from declining interest rates. See Note 14 Derivatives for information related to FHN's hedging of retained interests. The hedges are economic hedges only, and are terminated and reestablished as needed to respond to changes in market conditions. Successful economic hedging will help minimize earnings volatility that may result from carrying MSR and excess interests at fair value. FHN does not specifically hedge the change in fair value of MSR or excess interests attributed to other risks, including unanticipated prepayments (representing the difference between actual prepayment experience and estimated prepayments derived from the model, as described above), discount rates, cost to service, and other factors. To the extent that these other factors result in changes to the fair values, FHN experiences volatility in current earnings due to the fact that these risks are not currently hedged.

REPURCHASE AND FORECLOSURE LIABILITY

Prior to September 2008, as a means to provide liquidity for its legacy mortgage banking business, FHN originated loans through its legacy mortgage business, primarily first lien home loans, with the intention of selling them. From 2005 through 2008, FHN originated and sold \$69.5 billion of agency mortgage loans without recourse. From 2000 through 2007, FHN securitized \$47.0 billion of mortgage loans without recourse in proprietary transactions. Of the amount originally securitized, \$36.7 billion of current UPB relates to securitization trusts that are still active. Sales typically were effected either as non-recourse whole loan sales or through non-recourse proprietary securitizations. In addition, through its legacy mortgage business FHN originated with the intent to sell and sold HELOC and second lien mortgages through whole loan sales to private purchasers.

In addition, FHN has sold certain agency mortgage loans with full recourse under agreements to repurchase the loans upon default. Loans sold with full recourse generally include mortgage loans sold to investors in the secondary market which are uninsurable under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs due to issues associated with underwriting activities, documentation, or other concerns. For mortgage insured single-family residential loans, in the event of borrower nonperformance, FHN would assume losses to the extent they exceed the value of the collateral and MI, Federal Housing Administration (FHA) insurance, or Veterans Administration (VA) guaranty. Loans sold with limited recourse include loans sold under government guaranteed mortgage loan programs including the FHA and VA. FHN may absorb losses due to uncollected interest and foreclosure costs and/or limited risk of credit losses in the event of foreclosure of the mortgage loan sold. Generally, the amount of recourse liability in the event of foreclosure is determined based upon the respective government program and/or the sale or disposal of the foreclosed property collateralizing the mortgage loan. Additionally, loss related to repurchase obligations for loans sold with full or limited recourse represent a small amount of the FHN's accrued liability for repurchase obligations.

For loans sold or securitized without recourse, FHN has obligations to either repurchase the loan for its outstanding principal balance or make the purchaser whole for the economic losses of the loan if it is determined that the loan sold was in violation of representations or warranties made by FHN upon closing of the sales. Contractual representations and warranties vary significantly depending upon the transaction and purchaser-type (agency versus private) of the loans transferred. Typical whole loan sales include relatively broad representations and warranties, while FH proprietary securitizations include more limited representations and warranties. Nearly all of FHN's accrued liability for repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties relate to mortgage loans sold to GSE's from 2005 through 2008. As of June 30, 2012, FHN has not received any repurchase requests related to FH proprietary securitizations and has not accrued for losses associated with alleged representation and warranties violations related to FH proprietary securitizations.

Repurchase Accrual Methodology

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

Estimating probable losses associated with FHN's repurchase obligations for alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to prior agency loan sales requires significant management judgment and assumptions. The loss estimation process relies on historical observed trends that may or may not be representative of future actual results such as observed loss severities, resolution statistics,

Table of Contents

delinquency trends, and historical average loan sizes. Additionally, the level of repurchase/make-whole request and associated losses are affected by external factors such as GSE review practices and selection criteria, housing prices, actions of MI companies, and economic conditions, all of which could change in the future. The estimate is based upon currently available information and fact patterns that exist as of the balance sheet date and could be subject to future changes. Changes to any one of these factors could significantly impact the estimate of FHN's liability.

FHN has evaluated its exposure under all of these obligations, including a smaller amount related to equity-lending junior lien loan sales, and accordingly, has reserved for losses of \$362.5 million and \$171.9 million as of June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Liabilities for FHN's estimate of these obligations are reflected in Other liabilities on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition while expense is included within Repurchase and foreclosure provision on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income. Unless GSE repurchase practices or outcomes change significantly, FHN expects that the mortgage repurchase liability established as June 30, 2012, will be sufficient for losses resulting from current pending and projected repurchase requests from the two GSEs. However, FHN will continue to monitor trends in claims activity, loss severities, success rates, GSE review practices, and MI cancellations in order to assess the adequacy of the repurchase liability. At June 30, 2012, FHN had not accrued for exposure for repurchase of loans related to FH proprietary securitizations arising from claims that FHN breached its representations and warranties made at closing, nor for exposure for investment rescission or damages arising from claims by investors that offering documents under which the loans were securitized were materially deficient.

GOODWILL AND ASSESSMENT OF IMPAIRMENT

FHN's policy is to assess goodwill for impairment at the reporting unit level on an annual basis or between annual assessments if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of a reporting unit below its carrying amount. Impairment is the condition that exists when the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. FHN also allocates goodwill to the disposal of portions of reporting units in accordance with applicable accounting standards.

FHN performs impairment analysis when these disposal actions indicate that an impairment of goodwill may exist. In third quarter 2011, FHN performed an interim goodwill impairment assessment given the impact of current market conditions on FHN's stock price. This interim assessment concluded that the fair value of the reporting units with goodwill remained significantly above their carrying values. Given the magnitude of the excess of the fair value over the carrying value of the reporting units with goodwill, FHN rolled-forward the results of the third quarter 2011 assessment to its annual assessment as of October 1, 2011.

Prior to January 1, 2012 accounting standards required management to estimate the fair value of each reporting unit in assessing impairment at least annually. Effective January 1, 2012, companies are permitted to make a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, including goodwill, when determining whether the quantitative assessment should be performed. If FHN concludes that it is more likely than not that a reporting unit's fair value is less than its carrying value, or if management elects, the quantitative analysis is performed. FHN historically engaged an independent valuation expert to assist in the computation of the fair value estimates of each reporting unit as part of its annual assessment. The 2011 assessment for the regional banking reporting unit utilized three separate methodologies: a discounted cash flow model, a comparison to similar public company's trading values, and a comparison to recent acquisition values. A weighted average calculation was performed to determine the estimated fair value of the regional banking reporting unit. A discounted cash flow methodology was utilized in determining the fair value of the capital markets reporting unit. The most recent valuations indicated no goodwill impairment in any of the reporting units. As of the most recent assessment the fair value of regional banking and capital markets exceeded their carrying values by 38 percent and 201 percent, respectively.

Management believes the accounting estimates associated with determining fair value as part of the goodwill impairment test is a critical accounting estimate because estimates and assumptions are made about FHN's future performance and cash flows, as well as other prevailing market factors (e.g., interest rates, economic trends, etc.). FHN's policy allows management to make the determination of fair value using appropriate valuation methodologies and inputs, including utilization of market observable data and internal cash flow models. If a charge to operations for impairment results, this amount would be reported separately as a component of noninterest expense. This critical accounting estimate applies to the regional banking and capital markets business segments. As of June 30, 2012, the corporate and non-strategic segments had no associated goodwill. In first quarter 2011, the non-strategic segment recognized goodwill impairment of \$10.1 million related to the contracted sale of FHI. FHN allocated a portion of the goodwill from the applicable reporting unit to the asset group held for sale in determining the carrying value of the disposal group. In determining the amount of impairment, FHN compared the carrying value of the disposal group to the estimated value of the contracted sale price, which primarily included observable inputs in the form of financial asset values but which also included certain non-observable inputs related to the estimated values of post-closing events and contingencies. Impairment of goodwill was recognized for the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value of the disposal group. Reporting units have been defined as the same level as the operating business segments.

Table of Contents

The quantitative impairment testing process conducted by FHN begins by assigning net assets and goodwill to each reporting unit. FHN then completes step one of the impairment test by comparing the fair value of each reporting unit with the recorded book value (or carrying amount) of its net assets, with goodwill included in the computation of the carrying amount. If the fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, goodwill of that reporting unit is not considered impaired, and step two of the impairment test is not necessary. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, step two of the impairment test is performed to determine the amount of impairment. Step two of the impairment test compares the carrying amount of the reporting unit's goodwill to the implied fair value of that goodwill. The implied fair value of goodwill is computed by assuming all assets and liabilities of the reporting unit would be adjusted to the current fair value, with the offset as an adjustment to goodwill. This adjusted goodwill balance is the implied fair value used in step two. An impairment charge is recognized for the amount by which the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value.

In connection with obtaining the independent valuation, management provided certain data and information that was utilized in the estimation of fair value. This information included budgeted and forecasted earnings of FHN at the reporting unit level. Management believes that this information is a critical assumption underlying the estimate of fair value. Other assumptions critical to the process were also made, including discount rates, asset and liability growth rates, and other income and expense estimates.

While management uses the best information available to estimate future performance for each reporting unit, future adjustments to management's projections may be necessary if conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the estimates.

INCOME TAXES

FHN is subject to the income tax laws of the U.S. and the states and jurisdictions in which it operates. FHN accounts for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740, Income Taxes.

Income tax expense consists of both current and deferred taxes. Current income tax expense is an estimate of taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period and includes income tax expense related to uncertain tax positions. Under this method, the net DTA or DTL is based on the tax consequences of differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, which are determined by applying enacted statutory rates applicable to future years to these temporary differences. Deferred taxes can be affected by changes in tax rates applicable to future years, either as a result of statutory changes or business changes that may change the jurisdictions in which taxes are paid. Additionally, deferred tax assets are subject to a more likely than not test to determine whether the full amount of the deferred tax assets should be realized in the financial statements. If the more likely than not test is not met, a valuation allowance must be established against the deferred tax asset. On June 30, 2012, FHN's net DTA was approximately \$255 million, which includes the benefit of \$78.6 million in federal income tax credit carryforwards, \$14.8 million in state income tax loss carryforwards, and deferred taxes on temporary differences. FHN evaluates the likelihood of realization of the net DTA based on both positive and negative evidence available at the time, including (as appropriate) scheduled reversals of DTLs, projected future taxable income, tax planning strategies, and recent financial operations. Realization is dependent on generating sufficient taxable income prior to expiration of the carryforwards attributable to the DTA. In projecting future taxable income, FHN incorporates assumptions including the amount of future state and federal pretax operating income, the reversal of temporary differences, and the implementation of feasible and prudent tax planning strategies. These assumptions require significant judgment about the forecasts of future taxable income and are consistent with the plans and estimates used to manage the underlying business.

As of June 30, 2012, FHN has federal income tax credit carryforwards and state income tax NOL carryforwards, which will expire in varying amounts between 2029 and 2032. FHN believes that it is more likely than not that a portion of the benefit of certain state NOL carryforwards will not be realized. In recognition of this risk, a valuation allowance of \$6.7 million has been provided on the gross DTAs relating to these state NOL carryforwards. FHN forecasts substantially more taxable income in the carryforward period than needed to support the temporary differences and credits included in the remaining net deferred tax asset. FHN believes that it will realize the benefit from the temporary differences and federal credit carryforward in the remaining DTA within a significantly shorter period of time than the twenty-year carryforward period allowed under the tax rules. Based on current analysis, FHN believes that its ability to realize the approximate \$255 million net DTA, less the valuation allowance established for state NOLs, is more likely than not. In the event FHN is able to determine that the deferred income tax assets are realizable in the future in excess of their net recorded amount, FHN would make an adjustment to the valuation allowance, which would reduce the provision for income taxes.

The income tax laws of the jurisdictions in which FHN operate are complex and subject to different interpretations by the taxpayer and the relevant government taxing authorities. In establishing a provision for income tax expense, FHN must make judgments and interpretations about the application of these inherently complex tax laws. Interpretations may be subjected to review during examination by taxing authorities and disputes may arise over the respective tax positions. FHN attempts to resolve disputes that may arise during the tax examination and audit process. However, certain disputes may ultimately lead to resolution through the federal and state court systems.

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

FHN monitors relevant tax authorities and revises estimates of accrued income taxes on a quarterly basis. Changes in estimates may occur due to changes in income tax laws and their interpretation by the courts and regulatory authorities. Revisions of estimates may also result from income tax planning and from the resolution of income tax controversies. Such revisions in estimates may be material to operating results for any given period.

Table of Contents

CONTINGENT LIABILITIES

A liability is contingent if the amount or outcome is not presently known, but may become known in the future as a result of the occurrence of some uncertain future event. FHN estimates its contingent liabilities based on management's estimates about the probability of outcomes and their ability to estimate the range of exposure. Accounting standards require that a liability be recorded if management determines that it is probable that a loss has occurred and the loss can be reasonably estimated. In addition, it must be probable that the loss will be confirmed by some future event. As part of the estimation process, management is required to make assumptions about matters that are by their nature highly uncertain.

The assessment of contingent liabilities, including legal contingencies, involves the use of critical estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Management's estimates are based on their belief that future events will validate the current assumptions regarding the ultimate outcome of these exposures. However, there can be no assurance that future events, such as court decisions or decisions of arbitrators, will not differ from management's assessments. Whenever practicable, management consults with third-party experts (e.g., attorneys, accountants, claims administrators, etc.) to assist with the gathering and evaluation of information related to contingent liabilities. Based on internally and/or externally prepared evaluations, management makes a determination whether the potential exposure requires accrual in the financial statements.

ACCOUNTING CHANGES ISSUED BUT NOT CURRENTLY EFFECTIVE

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2011-11, Balance Sheet: Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities (ASU 2011-11). ASU 2011-11 creates new disclosure requirements about the nature of an entity's rights of setoff and related arrangements associated with its financial instruments and derivative instruments. ASU 2011-11 requires entities to disclose both gross and net information about both instruments/transactions eligible for offset in the balance sheet and instruments/transactions subject to an agreement similar to a master netting arrangement. The scope of ASU 2011-11 includes derivatives, sale and repurchase agreements/reverse sale and repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending arrangements. The provisions of ASU 2011-11 are effective for periods beginning after January 1, 2013, with retrospective application to all periods presented in the financial statements required. FHN is currently assessing the effects of adopting the provisions of ASU 2011-11.

Table of Contents**NON-GAAP Information**

The following table provides a reconciliation of non-GAAP items presented in this MD&A to the most comparable GAAP presentation:

Table 20 - Non-GAAP to GAAP Reconciliation

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| | June 30 2012 | 2011 |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of fully taxable equivalent (FTE) (Non-GAAP) | | |
| Total Consolidated | | |
| Net interest income (GAAP) | \$ 172,675 | \$ 172,860 |
| FTE adjustment | 1,756 | 1,497 |
| Net interest income adjusted for impact of FTE (Non-GAAP) | \$ 174,431 | \$ 174,357 |

| <i>(Dollars in Thousands)</i> | June 30 | | December 31 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 |
| Tier 1 Common (Non-GAAP) | | | |
| (A) Tier 1 capital (a) | \$ 2,626,686 | \$ 2,818,535 | \$ 2,850,452 |
| Less: Noncontrolling interest FTBNA preferred stock (b) | 294,816 | 294,816 | 294,816 |
| Less: Trust preferred (c) | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 |
| (B) Tier 1 common (Non-GAAP) | \$ 2,131,870 | \$ 2,323,719 | \$ 2,355,636 |
| Risk Weighted Assets | | | |
| (C) Risk weighted assets (a) | \$ 20,022,430 | \$ 19,589,310 | \$ 20,026,412 |
| Total Assets | | | |
| (D) Total assets (GAAP) | \$ 25,492,955 | \$ 25,054,066 | \$ 24,789,384 |
| Ratios | | | |
| (B)/(C) Tier 1 common ratio (Non-GAAP) | 10.65% | 11.86% | 11.76% |
| (A)/(D) Tier 1 capital to total assets (GAAP) | 10.30% | 11.25% | 11.50% |

(a) Defined by and calculated in conformity with bank regulations.

(b) Represents FTBNA preferred stock included in noncontrolling interest. Included in total equity on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

(c) Included in Term borrowings on the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The information called for by this item is contained in

- (a) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included as Item 2 of Part I of this report, including in particular the section entitled "Risk Management" beginning on page 101 of this report and the subsections entitled "Market Risk Management" beginning on page 101 and "Interest Rate Risk Management" appearing on page 103 of this report,
- (b) Note 14 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements appearing on pages 53-57 of this report,
- (c) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing in FHN's 2011 Annual Report to shareholders, including in particular the section entitled "Risk Management" beginning on page 46 of that Report and the subsections entitled "Market Risk Management" appearing on page 47 and "Interest Rate Risk Management" appearing on pages 49-51 of that Report, and
- (d) Note 25 to the Consolidated Financial Statements appearing on pages 176-181 of FHN's Annual Report to shareholders, all of which materials are incorporated herein by reference. FHN's Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Consolidated Financial Statements, and related Notes appearing in FHN's 2011 Annual Report to shareholders all were filed as part of Exhibit 13 to FHN's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be filed with the Commission with this report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. FHN's management, with the participation of FHN's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of FHN's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report. Based on that evaluation, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer have concluded that FHN's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.
- (b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There have not been any changes in FHN's internal control over financial reporting during FHN's last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, FHN's internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II.

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

The Contingencies section of Note 9 to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements beginning on page 30 of this Report is incorporated into this Item by reference.

Item 1A Risk Factors

Not applicable

Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(a) & (b) Not applicable

- (c) The Issuer Purchase of Equity Securities Table, including the explanatory notes, is incorporated herein by reference to Table 9 and the explanatory notes included in Item 2 of Part I First Horizon National Corporation Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations at page 90.

Items 3, 4, and 5

Not applicable

Table of ContentsItem 6 Exhibits

(a) Exhibits.

For exhibits marked * the Corporation agrees to furnish copies of the instruments, including indentures, defining the rights of the holders of the long-term debt of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Exhibits marked ** represent management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be identified as such and filed as exhibits.

Exhibits marked *** are furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and are not filed as part of this Report or as a separate disclosure document.

Exhibits marked **** contain or consist of interactive data file information which is unaudited and unreviewed.

In many agreements filed as exhibits, each party makes representations and warranties to other parties. Those representations and warranties are made only to and for the benefit of those other parties in the context of a business contract. Exceptions to such representations and warranties may be partially or fully waived by such parties, or not enforced by such parties, in their discretion. No such representation or warranty may be relied upon by any other person for any purpose.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| *3.1 | Bylaws of First Horizon National Corporation as amended and restated July 16, 2012, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 16, 2012. |
| *4 | Instruments defining the rights of security holder, including indentures. |
| **10.1 | Form of First Horizon National Corporation Savings Restoration Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 16, 2012. |
| **10.2 | Salary Stock Unit Program, as amended, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 16, 2012. |
| **10.3 | Form of Special Performance Stock Units Grant Notice [2012] |
| **10.4 | List of Certain Benefits Available to Certain Executive Officers |
| 13 | The Interest Rate Risk Management subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section and the Interest Rate Risk Management subsection of Note 25 to the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, contained, respectively, at pages 49-51 and pages 179-181 in the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report to shareholders, which material is incorporated herein by reference. That Report was furnished to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 20, 2012 and portions of that Report, including those portions incorporated herein by reference, were filed by the Corporations as part of Exhibit 10 to its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be filed with the Commission with this report. |
| 31(a) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 31(b) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| ***32(a) | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| ***32(b) | 18USC 1350 Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| ****101 | The following financial information from First Horizon National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition (Unaudited) at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011; (ii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (iii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income |

Edgar Filing: FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORP - Form 10-Q

(Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (iv) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (v) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012, and 2011; (vi) Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------------|---|
| ****101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| ****101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| ****101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| ****101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| ****101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |
| ****101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

FIRST HORIZON NATIONAL CORPORATION

(Registrant)

DATE: August 8, 2012

By: /s/ William C. Losch III
Name: William C. Losch III
Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Duly Authorized Officer and Principal Financial Officer)

127

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

For exhibits marked * the Corporation agrees to furnish copies of the instruments, including indentures, defining the rights of the holders of the long-term debt of the Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Exhibits marked ** represent management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be identified as such and filed as exhibits.

Exhibits marked *** are furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 and are not filed as part of this Report or as a separate disclosure document.

Exhibits marked **** contain or consist of interactive data file information which is unaudited and unreviewed.

In many agreements filed as exhibits, each party makes representations and warranties to other parties. Those representations and warranties are made only to and for the benefit of those other parties in the context of a business contract. Exceptions to such representations and warranties may be partially or fully waived by such parties, or not enforced by such parties, in their discretion. No such representation or warranty may be relied upon by any other person for any purpose.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| *3.1 | Bylaws of First Horizon National Corporation, as amended and restated July 16, 2012, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 16, 2012. |
| *4 | Instruments defining the rights of security holder, including indentures. |
| **10.1 | Form of First Horizon National Corporation Savings Restoration Plan, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 16, 2012. |
| **10.2 | Salary Stock Unit Program, as amended, incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Corporation's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 16, 2012. |
| **10.3 | Form of Special Performance Stock Units Grant Notice [2012] |
| **10.4 | List of Certain Benefits Available to Certain Executive Officers |
| 13 | The Interest Rate Risk Management subsection of the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section and the Interest Rate Risk Management subsection of Note 25 to the Corporation's consolidated financial statements, contained, respectively, at pages 49-51 and pages 179-181 in the Corporation's 2011 Annual Report to shareholders, which material is incorporated herein by reference. That Report was furnished to shareholders in connection with the Annual Meeting of Shareholders on July 20, 2012 and portions of that Report, including those portions incorporated herein by reference, were filed by the Corporations as part of Exhibit 10 to its annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Portions of the Annual Report not incorporated herein by reference are deemed not to be filed with the Commission with this report. |
| 31(a) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| 31(b) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| ***32(a) | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CEO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| ***32(b) | 18 USC 1350 Certifications of CFO (pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002) |
| ****101 | The following financial information from First Horizon National Corporation's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in XBRL: (i) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Condition (Unaudited) at June 30, 2012 and 2011, and December 31, 2011; (ii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (iii) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (iv) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Equity (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011; (v) Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012, and 2011; (vi) Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements (Unaudited). |

Table of Contents

| | |
|-------------|---|
| ****101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| ****101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| ****101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| ****101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| ****101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |
| ****101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase |