CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. Form 10-Q November 05, 2015 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 001-31817

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of

42-1241468 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

44 South Bayles Avenue, Port Washington, New York 11050-3765

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(516) 767-6492

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No $\ddot{}$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No ...

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer

X

Non-accelerated filer $\,$ " (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) $\,$ Smaller reporting company $\,$ "Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: At November 3, 2015, there were 85,048,937 shares of Common Stock, \$0.06 par value, outstanding.

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

INDEX

Forward-L	ooking Statements	3
Part I. Fina	ancial Information	
Item 1.	Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014	4
	Consolidated Statements of Operations Three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	5
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income Three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	6
	Consolidated Statement of Equity Nine months ended September 30, 2015	7
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows Nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014	8
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements September 30, 2015	9-23
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations	24-36
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	37
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	38
Part II. Oth	ner Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	39
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	39
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	39
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	39
Item 4	Mine Safety Disclosures	39

Table of ContentsItem 5.Other Information39Item 6.Exhibits39Signatures40Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements made in this Form 10-Q or incorporated by reference herein are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and, as such, may involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the Company s actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe the Company s future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words may, will, should, estimates, projects, anticipates, believes, expects, intends, for similar import, or the negative thereof. Factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to: adverse general economic conditions in the United States and uncertainty in the credit and retail markets; financing risks, such as the inability to obtain new financing or refinancing on favorable terms as the result of market volatility or instability; risks related to the market for retail space generally, including reductions in consumer spending, variability in retailer demand for leased space, tenant bankruptcies, adverse impact of internet sales demand, ongoing consolidation in the retail sector and changes in economic conditions and consumer confidence; risks endemic to real estate and the real estate industry generally; the impact of the Company s level of indebtedness on operating performance; inability of tenants to meet their rent and other lease obligations; adverse impact of new technology and e-commerce developments on the Company s tenants; competitive risk; risks related to the geographic concentration of the Company s properties in the Washington D.C. to Boston corridor; the effects of natural and other disasters; and the inability of the Company to realize anticipated returns from its redevelopment activities; and the risk factors discussed under Part I, Item 1A, Risk Factors in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Except for ongoing obligations to disclose material information as required by the federal securities laws, the Company undertakes no obligation to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. All of the above factors are difficult to predict, contain uncertainties that may materially affect the Company s actual results and may be beyond the Company s control. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for the Company s management to predict all such factors or to assess the effect of each factor on the Company s business. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the Company s current expectations will be realized.

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(unaudited)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
Real estate:		
Land	\$ 314,773,000	\$ 312,868,000
Buildings and improvements	1,189,627,000	1,163,305,000
	1,504,400,000	1,476,173,000
Less accumulated depreciation	(291,991,000)	(267,211,000)
Real estate, net	1,212,409,000	1,208,962,000
Real estate held for sale	13,084,000	16,508,000
Cash and cash equivalents	2,217,000	3,499,000
Restricted cash	4,981,000	7,859,000
Receivables	19,881,000	18,405,000
Other assets and deferred charges, net	35,032,000	31,546,000
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 1,287,604,000	\$1,286,779,000
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Mortgage loans payable	\$ 279,802,000	\$ 393,388,000
Unsecured revolving credit facility	64,000,000	72,000,000
Unsecured term loans	300,000,000	200,000,000
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	27,170,000	22,364,000
Unamortized intangible lease liabilities	22,019,000	23,776,000
Total liabilities	692,991,000	711,528,000
Noncontrolling interest - limited partners mezzanine OP Units		396,000
Commitments and contingencies		
Equity:		
Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. shareholders equity:		
Preferred stock (\$.01 par value, 12,500,000 shares authorized):		
Series B (\$25.00 per share liquidation value, 10,000,000 shares authorized,		
7,950,000 issued and outstanding)	190,661,000	190,661,000
Common stock (\$.06 par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized, 85,050,000 and		
79,213,000 shares, issued and outstanding, respectively)	5,103,000	4,753,000
Treasury stock (3,187,000 and 3,344,000 shares, respectively, at cost)	(17,347,000)	(18,803,000)

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

Additional paid-in capital	825,235,000	791,174,000
Cumulative distributions in excess of net income	(403,453,000)	(395,087,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(7,198,000)	(3,146,000)
Total Cedar Realty Trust, Inc. shareholders equity	593,001,000	569,552,000
Noncontrolling interests:		
Minority interests in consolidated joint ventures	(843,000)	2,872,000
Limited partners OP Units	2,455,000	2,431,000
Total noncontrolling interests	1,612,000	5,303,000
Total equity	594,613,000	574,855,000
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 1,287,604,000	\$ 1,286,779,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(unaudited)

	Three months end	ded September 30, 2014	Nine mon Septem 2015	
REVENUES				
Rents	\$ 29,209,000	\$ 29,356,000	\$ 87,367,000	\$ 87,702,000
Expense recoveries	6,852,000	7,128,000	23,887,000	23,566,000
Other	39,000	15,000	223,000	251,000
Total revenues	36,100,000	36,499,000	111,477,000	111,519,000
EXPENSES				
Operating, maintenance and management	5,071,000	5,811,000	19,072,000	20,282,000
Real estate and other property-related taxes	4,717,000	4,503,000	14,369,000	13,697,000
General and administrative	3,696,000	3,316,000	11,267,000	10,620,000
Acquisition costs			499,000	2,870,000
Depreciation and amortization	9,642,000	9,665,000	28,871,000	28,806,000
Total expenses	23,126,000	23,295,000	74,078,000	76,275,000
OTHER				
Gain on sales		2,332,000		6,142,000
Impairment reversals / (charges)	127,000	(1,250,000)	(1,106,000)	(3,063,000)
Total other	127,000	1,082,000	(1,106,000)	3,079,000
OPERATING INCOME	13,101,000	14,286,000	36,293,000	38,323,000
NON-OPERATING INCOME AND EXPENSES				
Interest expense	(6,927,000)	(8,216,000)	(21,412,000)	(24,411,000)
Early extinguishment of debt costs	(48,000)		(105,000)	(150,000)
Total non-operating income and expense	(6,975,000)	(8,216,000)	(21,517,000)	(24,561,000)
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	6,126,000	6,070,000	14,776,000	13,762,000
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS				
Income from operations		80,000	12,000	1,579,000
Impairment (charges) / reversals		(441,000)	153,000	(316,000)
Gain on extinguishment of debt obligations		,		1,423,000

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

Gain on sales				7,963,000
Total (loss) income from discontinued operations		(361,000)	165,000	10,649,000
NET INCOME	6,126,000	5,709,000	14,941,000	24,411,000
Net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests:				
Minority interests in consolidated joint ventures	77,000	84,000	266,000	297,000
Limited partners interest in Operating Partnership	(11,000)	(8,000)	(19,000)	(76,000)
Total net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	66,000	76,000	247,000	221,000
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.	6,192,000	5,785,000	15,188,000	24,632,000
Preferred stock dividends	(3,602,000)	(3,602,000)	(10,806,000)	(10,806,000)
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 2,590,000	\$ 2,183,000	\$ 4,382,000	\$ 13,826,000
NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS (BASIC AND DILUTED)				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.04
Discontinued operations	0.00	(0.00)	0.00	0.14
	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.18
Weighted average number of common shares - basic and diluted	81,598,000	75,547,000	81,268,000	75,233,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(unaudited)

	Thre	e months end 2015	ed S	September 30 2014	Ŋin	e months end	ed S	September 30, 2014
Net income	\$	6,126,000	\$	5,709,000	\$		\$	
Other comprehensive income - unrealized (loss) gain on change in fair value of cash								
flow hedges:		(4,347,000)		1,081,000		(4,069,000)		(81,000)
Comprehensive income		1,779,000		6,790,000		10,872,000		24,330,000
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests		85,000		73,000		264,000		227,000
Comprehensive income attributable to Cedar Realty Trust, Inc.	\$	1,864,000	\$	6,863,000	\$	11,136,000	\$	24,557,000

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC.

Consolidated Statement of Equity

Nine months ended September 30, 2015

(unaudited)

Prefer	red stock	Commo		ealty Trust, Inc				
Shares	\$25.00 Liquidation value	Shares	\$0.06 Par value	Treasury stock, at cost	Additional paid-in capital	Cumulative distributions in excess of net income	Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	Total
					Ī			
,950,000	\$ 190,661,000	79,213,000	\$4,753,000	\$ (18,803,000)	\$791,174,000	\$ (395,087,000)	\$ (3,146,000)	\$ 569,552,00
						15,188,000		15,188,00
							(4,052,000)	(4,052,00
2,557	2,016							
84	801							
,573	\$1,215							
0.32	\$0.25							
).31	\$0.24							

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

2

PLUMAS BANCORP

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	For the Months Ended 1 31,	3
	2016	2015
Net income	\$1,573	\$1,215
Other comprehensive income:		
Change in net unrealized gain	1,391	653
Reclassification adjustments for net losses (gains) included in net income	32	(30)
Net unrealized holding gain	1,423	623
Related tax effect:		
Change in net unrealized gain	(574)	(269)
Reclassification of net gains included in net income	(13)	12
Income tax effect	(587)	(257)
Other comprehensive income	836	366
Total comprehensive income	\$2,409	\$1,581

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

PLUMAS BANCORP

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 2015		
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$1,573	\$1,215	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	,	,	
Provision for loan losses	200	300	
Change in deferred loan origination costs/fees, net	(122)	(148)	
Depreciation and amortization	267	327	
Stock-based compensation expense	25	29	
Loss (gain) on sale of Investments	32	(30)	
Amortization of investment security premiums	150	127	
Gain on sale of OREO and other vehicles	(3)	(17)	
Gain on sale of loans held for sale	(333)	(657)	
Loans originated for sale	(8,662)	(9,134)	
Proceeds from loan sales	6,336	9,485	
Provision from change in OREO valuation	9	(129)	
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	(86)	(85)	
Decrease in accrued interest receivable and other assets	2,151	134	
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable and other liabilities	(758)	245	
Net cash provided by operating activities	779	1,662	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Proceeds from principal repayments from available-for-sale government-sponsored mortgage-backed securities	2,721	2,690	
Purchases of available-for-sale securities	(18,998)	(8,584)	
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	14,589	6,669	
Net increase in loans	(5,700)	*	
Proceeds from sale of OREO	-	301	
Proceeds from sale of other vehicles	107	73	
Purchase of premises and equipment	(269)	(104)	
Net cash used in investing activities	(7,550)	` ,	

Continued on next page.

4

PLUMAS BANCORP

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

(Continued)

	For the T Months Ended M	Three Iarch 31,
	2016	2015
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Net (decrease) increase in demand, interest bearing and savings deposits	\$(1,214) \$17,028
Net decrease in time deposits	(856) (1,255)
Principal payment on note payable	(125) -
Net decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase	(3,496) (2,683)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	62	12
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(5,629) 13,102
(Decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(12,400) 3,059
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	68,195	45,574
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$55,795	\$48,633
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest expense	\$260	\$355
Income taxes	\$50	\$155
Non-Cash Investing Activities:		
Real estate and vehicles acquired through foreclosure	\$102	\$309

See notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

PLUMAS BANCORP

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. GENERAL

During 2002, Plumas Bancorp (the "Company") was incorporated as a bank holding company for the purpose of acquiring Plumas Bank (the "Bank") in a one bank holding company reorganization. This corporate structure gives the Company and the Bank greater flexibility in terms of operation, expansion and diversification. The Company formed Plumas Statutory Trust I ("Trust I") for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities on September 26, 2002. The Company formed Plumas Statutory Trust II ("Trust II") for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities on September 28, 2005.

The Bank operates eleven branches in California, including branches in Alturas, Chester, Fall River Mills, Greenville, Kings Beach, Portola, Quincy, Redding, Susanville, Tahoe City, and Truckee. In December, 2015 the Bank opened a Branch in Reno, Nevada; it's first Branch outside of California. The Bank's administrative headquarters is in Quincy, California. In addition, the Bank operates lending offices specializing in government-guaranteed lending in Auburn, California, Scottsdale, Arizona and Seattle, Washington and a commercial/agricultural lending office in Chico, California. The Bank's primary source of revenue is generated from providing loans to customers who are predominately small and middle market businesses and individuals residing in the surrounding areas.

2. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the accounts of its wholly-owned subsidiary, Plumas Bank. Plumas Statutory Trust I and Plumas Statutory Trust II are not consolidated into the Company's consolidated financial statements and, accordingly, are accounted for under the equity method. In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position at March 31, 2016 and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015. Our condensed consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015 is derived from audited financial statements. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior period's balances to conform to classifications used in 2016.

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for interim reporting on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, certain disclosures normally presented in the notes to the annual consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") have been omitted. The Company believes that the disclosures are adequate to make the information not misleading. These interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's 2015 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the three-month period ended March 31, 2016 may not necessarily be indicative of future operating results. In preparing such financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet and revenues and expenses for the periods reported. Actual results could differ significantly from those estimates.

Management has determined that because all of the commercial banking products and services offered by the Company are available in each branch of the Bank, all branches are located within the same economic environment and management does not allocate resources based on the performance of different lending or transaction activities, it is appropriate to aggregate the Bank branches and report them as a single operating segment. No single customer accounts for more than 10% of the revenues of the Company or the Bank.

6

3. INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 consisted of the following, in thousands:

Available-for-Sale	March 31	1, 2016		
	Gross	Gross	Estimated	
	Amortize	edUnrealized	Unrealized	l Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Debt securities:				
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$2,994	\$ 4	\$ -	\$2,998
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations- residential	71,640	569	(46	72,163
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	23,648	833	(9	24,472
	\$98,282	\$ 1,406	\$ (55	\$99,633

Net unrealized gain on available-for-sale investment securities totaling \$1,351,000 were recorded, net of \$557,000 in tax expense, as accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity at March 31, 2016. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 the Company sold fourteen available-for-sale investment securities for total proceeds of \$14,589,000 recording a \$32,000 loss on sale. The Company realized a gain on sale from eight of these securities totaling \$48,000 and a loss on sale on six securities of \$80,000.

Available-for-Sale	Decembe	er 31, 2015		
	Gross	Gross	Estimated	
	Amortize	edUnrealized	Unrealized	Fair
	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value
Debt securities:				
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$1,994	\$ -	\$ (17)	\$1,977
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations- residential	72,965	56	(651)	72,370
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	21,817	548	(8)	22,357
	\$96,776	\$ 604	\$ (676)	\$96,704

Net unrealized loss on available-for-sale investment securities totaling \$72,000 were recorded, net of \$30,000 in tax benefits, as accumulated other comprehensive income within shareholders' equity at December 31, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2015 the Company sold fifteen available-for-sale investment securities for total proceeds of \$12,260,000 recording a \$21,000 net gain on sale. The Company realized a gain on sale from eight of these securities totaling \$62,000 and a loss on sale on seven of these securities of \$41,000.

There were no transfers of available-for-sale investment securities during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and twelve months ended December 31, 2015. There were no securities classified as held-to-maturity at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

7

Investment securities with unrealized losses at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are summarized and classified according to the duration of the loss period as follows, in thousands:

March 31, 2016	Less than 12 Months			12 Months or More			Total		
	Fair Unrealized		dFair Unrealize		edFair	Uı	nrealized		
	Value	Lo	osses	Value	Lo	osses	Value	Lo	osses
Debt securities:									
U.S. Government- sponsored agencies	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$-	\$	-
U.S. Government agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations-residential	2,185		5	3,880	\$	41	6,065		46
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	1,308 \$3,493	\$	9 14	- \$3,880	\$	- 41	1,308 \$7,373	\$	9 55

December 31, 2015	Less than Months	n 12	12 Month	ns or More	Total	
	Fair	Unrealize	ed Fair	Unrealize	d Fair	Unrealized
	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses
Debt securities:						
U.S. Government- sponsored agencies	\$1,977	\$ 17	\$-	\$ -	\$1,977	\$ 17
U.S. Government agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations-residential	45,398	327	11,880	324	57,278	651
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	1,037	7	160	1	1,197	8
	\$48,412	\$ 351	\$12,040	\$ 325	\$60,452	\$ 676

At March 31, 2016, the Company held 151 securities of which 13 were in a loss position. Of the securities in a loss position, 7 were in a loss position for less than twelve months. Of the 151 securities 3 are U.S. Government-sponsored agencies 58 are U.S. Government-sponsored agencies collateralized by residential mortgage obligations and 90 were obligations of states and political subdivisions. The unrealized losses relate principally to market rate conditions. All of the securities continue to pay as scheduled. When analyzing an issuer's financial condition, management considers the length of time and extent to which the market value has been less than cost; the historical and implied volatility of the security; the financial condition of the issuer of the security; and the Company's intent and ability to hold the security to recovery. As of March 31, 2016, management does not have the intent to sell these securities nor does it believe it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell these securities before the recovery of its amortized cost basis. Based on the Company's evaluation of the above and other relevant factors, the Company does not believe the securities that are in an unrealized loss position as of March 31, 2016 are other than temporarily impaired.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at March 31, 2016 by contractual maturity are shown below, in thousands.

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

	Amortized Cost	Estimated Fair Value
Within one year	\$ -	\$ -
After one year through five years	603	618
After five years through ten years	18,135	18,731
After ten years	7,904	8,121
Investment securities not due at a single maturity date:		
Government-sponsored mortgage-backed securities	71,640	72,163
	\$ 98,282	\$ 99,633

Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because the issuers of the securities may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

Investment securities with amortized costs totaling \$59,768,000 and \$62,914,000 and estimated fair values totaling \$60,316,000 and \$62,483,000 March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, were pledged to secure deposits and repurchase agreements.

8

4. LOANS AND THE ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

Outstanding loans are summarized below, in thousands:

	March	December
	31,	31,
	2016	2015
Commercial	\$37,346	\$37,084
Agricultural	38,730	39,856
Real estate - residential	24,750	25,474
Real estate – commercial	201,593	192,095
Real estate – construction and land development	15,758	16,188
Equity lines of credit	38,509	38,327
Auto	49,173	48,365
Other	3,288	3,582
	409,147	400,971
Deferred loan costs, net	1,964	1,940
Allowance for loan losses	(6,198)	(6,078)
	\$404,913	\$396,833

Changes in the allowance for loan losses, in thousands, were as follows:

	March 31, 2016	December 31, 2015	r
Balance, beginning of year	\$6,078	\$ 5,451	
Provision charged to operations	200	1,100	
Losses charged to allowance	(220)	(827)
Recoveries	140	354	
Balance, end of year	\$6,198	\$ 6,078	

The recorded investment in impaired loans totaled \$6,506,000 and \$6,461,000 at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Company had specific allowances for loan losses of \$855,000 on impaired loans of \$2,842,000 at March 31, 2016 as compared to specific allowances for loan losses of \$751,000 on impaired loans of \$2,346,000 at December 31, 2015. The balance of impaired loans in which no specific reserves were required totaled \$3,664,000 and \$4,115,000 at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The average recorded investment in impaired loans for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and March 31, 2015 was \$6,240,000 and \$7,952,000, respectively. The Company recognized \$29,000 and \$30,000 in interest income for impaired loans during the three

months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. No interest was recognized on nonaccrual loans accounted for on a cash basis during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Included in impaired loans are troubled debt restructurings. A troubled debt restructuring is a formal restructure of a loan where the Company for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower. The concessions may be granted in various forms to include one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate of the loan; an extension of the maturity date at a stated rate of interest lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk; or a permanent reduction of the recorded investment in the loan.

In order to determine whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulty, an evaluation is performed of the probability that the borrower will be in payment default on any of its debt in the foreseeable future without the modification. This evaluation is performed under the Company's internal underwriting policy.

The carrying value of troubled debt restructurings at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$4,595,000 and \$4,661,000, respectively. The Company has allocated \$392,000 and \$311,000 of specific reserves on loans to customers whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The Company has not committed to lend additional amounts on loans classified as troubled debt restructurings at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

9

There were no troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the three months ending March 31, 2016 or March 31, 2015.

There were no troubled debt restructurings for which there was a payment default within twelve months following the modification during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, nonaccrual loans totaled \$4,602,000 and \$4,546,000, respectively. Interest foregone on nonaccrual loans totaled \$75,000 and \$118,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. There were no loans past due 90 days or more and on accrual status at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Salaries and employee benefits totaling \$373,000 and \$317,000 have been deferred as loan origination costs during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Company assigns a risk rating to all loans, with the exception of automobile and other loans and periodically, but not less than annually, performs detailed reviews of all such loans over \$100,000 to identify credit risks and to assess the overall collectability of the portfolio. These risk ratings are also subject to examination by independent specialists engaged by the Company and the Company's regulators. During these internal reviews, management monitors and analyzes the financial condition of borrowers and guarantors, trends in the industries in which borrowers operate and the fair values of collateral securing these loans. These credit quality indicators are used to assign a risk rating to each individual loan.

The risk ratings can be grouped into five major categories, defined as follows:

Pass – A pass loan is a strong credit with no existing or known potential weaknesses deserving of management's close attention.

Watch – A Watch loan has potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or in the Company's credit position at some future date. Watch loans are not adversely classified and do not expose the Company to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification.

Substandard – A substandard loan is not adequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the value of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans classified as substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. Well defined weaknesses include a project's lack of marketability, inadequate cash flow or collateral support, failure to complete construction on time or the project's failure to fulfill economic expectations. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Doubtful – Loans classified doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently known facts, conditions and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loss – Loans classified as loss are considered uncollectible and charged off immediately.

10

The following table shows the loan portfolio allocated by management's internal risk ratings at the dates indicated, in thousands:

<u>March 31,</u>	Commonaial Cuadit Evanganus
2016	Commercial Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile	by	Internally	Assigned	Grade

Grade: Commerci Al gricult		Real	Real	Real	Equity	Total	
		11 Estate-Reside	Estate-ResidentialEstate-Commercial		ctionLOC	Total	
Pass	\$36,073 \$ 38,411	\$ 24,305	\$ 195,684	\$ 14,657	\$38,204	\$347,334	
Watch	826 269	345	1,844	245	-	3,529	
Substandard	447 50	100	4,065	856	305	5,823	
Doubtful		-	-	-	-	-	
Total	\$37,346 \$ 38,730	\$ 24,750	\$ 201,593	\$ 15,758	\$38,509	\$356,686	

December 31,	Commercial Credit Exposure
<u>2015</u>	Commercial Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile by Internally Assigned Grade

	create High I rothe by internating Hisbighea Grade									
Grade:	Commore	Commerci Algricultural		al	R	eal	Real		Equity	Total
Graue.	Commerc			Real Real Real Estate-ResidentiaEstate-CommerciaEstate-Constr					on LOC	1 Otal
Pass	\$35,508	\$ 39,426	\$ 2	25,220	\$	185,739	\$	15,048	\$37,983	\$338,924
Watch	883	387		149		2,442		247	-	4,108
Substandard	693	43		105		3,914		893	344	5,992
Doubtful	-	-		-		-		-	-	-
Total	\$37,084	\$ 39,856	\$ 2	25,474	\$	192,095	\$	16,188	\$38,327	\$349,024

	Consume Exposure Credit R on Paym March 3	e isk Profi ent Activ	le Based	Consumer Credit Exposure Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity December 31, 2015			
	Auto	Other	Total	Auto	Other	Total	
Grade:							
Performing	\$49,112	\$3,288	\$52,400	\$48,300	\$3,582	\$51,882	
Non-performing	61	-	61	65	-	65	
Total	\$49,173	\$3,288	\$52,461	\$48,365	\$3,582	\$51,947	

11

The following tables show the allocation of the allowance for loan losses at the dates indicated, in thousands:

			Real	Real	Real				
			Estate-	Estate-	Estate-				
Three months ended 3/31/16:	Commerci	aAgricultur	alResidentia	al Commercia	alConstructi	Equity On LOC	Auto	Other	Total
Allowance for									
<u>Loan Losses</u>									
Beginning balance	\$ 639	\$ 294	\$ 341	\$2,525	\$874	\$528	\$784	\$93	\$6,078
Charge-offs	(73) -	_	_	_	(24	(105)	(18)	(220)
Recoveries	11	_	35	-	29	-	57	8	140
Provision	60	17	(58) 237	(103) 20	27	-	200
Ending balance	\$ 637	\$311	\$318	\$2,762	\$ 800	\$524	\$763	\$83	\$6,198
Three months									
ended 3/31/15:									
Allowance for Loan Losses									
Beginning			+	*			+ = 0.4		
balance	\$ 574	\$ 225	\$379	\$1,701	\$ 1,227	\$691	\$581	\$73	\$5,451
Charge-offs	(40) -	(5) -	(1) (8	(111)	(10)	(175)
Recoveries	81	-	2	-	-	2	43	18	146
Provision	(33	(3) 43	262	2	(98		13	300
Ending balance	\$ 582	\$ 222	\$419	\$1,963	\$ 1,228	\$587	\$627	\$94	\$5,722
<u>March 31,</u> <u>2016:</u>									
Allowance for									
Loan Losses									
Ending balance:									
individually	\$ 25	\$8	\$ 55	\$480	\$ 256	\$31	\$-	\$-	\$855
evaluated for	ψ <i>23</i>	φο	φ 33	ψ + 60	\$ 230	ψ31	φ-	φ-	ψ033
impairment									
Ending balance:									
collectively evaluated for	\$612	\$ 303	\$ 263	\$2,282	\$ 544	\$493	\$763	\$83	\$5,343
impairment									
<u>Loans</u>									
Ending balance	\$ 37,346	\$38,730	\$24,750	\$201,593	\$ 15,758	\$38,509	\$49,173	\$3,288	\$409,147
Ending balance:									
individually	\$ 45	\$ 267	\$1,578	\$3,292	\$ 990	\$273	\$61	\$-	\$6,506
evaluated for	•	•	. ,	. , -	, -		,	,	. ,
impairment Ending balance:	\$ 37 301	\$ 38,463	\$23,172	\$ 198,301	\$ 14,768	\$38,236	\$49,112	\$3,288	\$402,641
collectively	ψ 51,501	ψ 50,703	Ψ 42,174	ψ 1 / 0, 301	Ψ 17,700	Ψυσ,2υσ	ψτν,112	Ψ2,200	Ψ τυ 2,0τ1
evaluated for									

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

ımpaırment	
December :	<u>31,</u>

December 31,									
<u>2015:</u>									
Allowance for									
Loan Losses									
Ending balance:									
individually	\$ 26	\$ -	\$ 54	\$371	\$ 269	\$31	\$-	\$-	\$751
evaluated for	\$ 20	Φ-	\$ 34	\$3/1	\$ 209	\$31	D -	Φ-	\$ /31
impairment									
Ending balance:									
collectively	\$613	\$ 294	\$ 287	\$2,154	\$ 605	\$497	\$784	\$93	\$5,327
evaluated for	\$ U13	φ 29 4	φ 201	\$ 2,134	\$ 003	φ 4 91	φ/0 4	Φ93	\$3,321
impairment									
<u>Loans</u>									
Ending balance	\$ 37,084	\$ 39,856	\$ 25,474	\$ 192,095	\$ 16,188	\$38,327	\$48,365	\$3,582	\$400,971
Ending balance:									
individually	\$ 73	\$ 260	\$ 1,593	\$3,129	\$ 1,029	\$311	\$66	\$-	\$6,461
evaluated for	Φ13	\$ 200	φ 1,393	\$ 3,129	ψ 1,029	φ311	φ00	φ-	\$0,401
impairment									
Ending balance:									
collectively	\$ 37,011	\$ 39,596	\$ 23,881	\$188,966	\$ 15,159	\$38,016	\$48,299	\$3,582	\$394,510
evaluated for	ψ 51,011	ψ 57,390	ψ 23,001	ψ 100,900	ψ 15,159	ψ 50,010	ψτυ,222	ψ5,562	ψ3/4,310
impairment									

12

The following table shows an aging analysis of the loan portfolio by the time past due, in thousands:

March 31, 2016	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days and Still Accruing	Nonaccrual	Total Past Due and Nonaccrual	Current	Total
Commercial Agricultural Real estate - residential Real estate - construction & land Real estate - commercial Equity Lines of Credit Auto Other Total	\$411 - 15 - 332 413 679 53 \$1,903	\$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ 28 7 85 856 3,292 273 61 - \$ 4,602	\$ 439 7 100 856 3,624 686 740 53 \$ 6,505	\$36,907 38,723 24,650 14,902 197,969 37,823 48,433 3,235 \$402,642	\$37,346 38,730 24,750 15,758 201,593 38,509 49,173 3,288 \$409,147
<u>December 31, 2015</u>	30-89 Days Past Due	90 Days and Still Accruing	Nonaccrual	Total Past Due and Nonaccrual	Current	Total
Commercial Agricultural Real estate - residential Real estate - commercial Real estate - construction & land Equity Lines of Credit Auto Other Total	\$457 - 472 - 9 8 586 15 \$1,547	\$	\$ 56 - 90 3,130 893 312 65 - \$ 4,546	\$ 513 - 562 3,130 902 320 651 15 \$ 6,093	\$36,571 39,856 24,912 188,965 15,286 38,007 47,714 3,567 \$394,878	\$37,084 39,856 25,474 192,095 16,188 38,327 48,365 3,582 \$400,971

13

The following tables show information related to impaired loans at the dates indicated, in thousands:

As of March 31, 2016:	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded: Commercial Agricultural Real estate – residential Real estate – commercial Real estate – construction & land Equity Lines of Credit Auto Other	\$ 20 259 1,334 1,613 215 162 61	\$ 20 259 1,345 2,051 215 162 61		\$ 20 260 1,339 1,362 218 164 31	\$ - 5 19 - - - -
With an allowance recorded: Commercial Agricultural Real estate – residential Real estate – commercial Real estate – construction & land Equity Lines of Credit Auto Other Total:	\$ 25 8 244 1,679 775 111	\$ 25 8 244 1,887 775 111	\$ 25 8 55 480 256 31	\$ 24 1 244 1,684 782 111	\$ - - 3 - 2 - -
Commercial Agricultural Real estate – residential Real estate – commercial Real estate – construction & land Equity Lines of Credit Auto Other Total	\$ 45 267 1,578 3,292 990 273 61 - \$ 6,506	\$ 45 267 1,589 3,938 990 273 61 - \$ 7,163	\$ 25 8 55 480 256 31 - - \$ 855	\$ 44 261 1,583 3,046 1,000 275 31 - \$ 6,240	\$ - 5 22 - 2 - - - - \$ 29
As of December 31, 2015:	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment	Interest Income Recognized
With no related allowance recorded: Commercial Agricultural Real estate – residential Real estate – commercial Real estate – construction & land	\$ 47 260 1,347 1,976 221	\$ 47 260 1,359 2,622 221		\$ 39 262 1,346 2,057 232	\$ 1 20 79 -

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

Equity Lines of Credit	199	199		156	-
Auto	65	65		21	-
Other	-	-		-	-
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial	\$ 26	\$ 26	\$ 26	\$ 29	\$ -
Agricultural	-	-	-	-	-
Real estate – residential	245	245	54	246	11
Real estate – commercial	1,154	1,154	371	1,203	-
Real estate – construction & land	808	808	269	822	8
Equity Lines of Credit	113	113	31	115	-
Auto	-	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-
Total:					
Commercial	\$ 73	\$ 73	\$ 26	\$ 68	\$ 1
Agricultural	260	260	-	262	20
Real estate – residential	1,592	1,604	54	1,592	90
Real estate – commercial	3,130	3,776	371	3,260	-
Real estate – construction & land	1,029	1,029	269	1,054	8
Equity Lines of Credit	312	312	31	271	-
Auto	65	65	-	21	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 6,461	\$ 7,119	\$ 751	\$ 6,528	\$ 119

14

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company is party to claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of the Company's management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or result of operations of the Company taken as a whole.

In the normal course of business, there are various outstanding commitments to extend credit, which are not reflected in the financial statements, including loan commitments of \$88,917,000 and \$82,995,000 and stand-by letters of credit of \$100,000 and \$265,000 at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Of the loan commitments outstanding at March 31, 2016, \$9,848,000 are real estate construction loan commitments that are expected to fund within the next twelve months. The remaining commitments primarily relate to revolving lines of credit or other commercial loans, and many of these are expected to expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitments do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Each loan commitment and the amount and type of collateral obtained, if any, are evaluated on an individual basis. Collateral held varies, but may include real property, bank deposits, debt or equity securities or business assets.

Stand-by letters of credit are conditional commitments written to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. These guarantees are primarily related to the purchases of inventory by commercial customers and are typically short-term in nature. Credit risk is similar to that involved in extending loan commitments to customers and accordingly, evaluation and collateral requirements similar to those for loan commitments are used. The deferred liability related to the Company's stand-by letters of credit was not significant at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

6. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock, such as stock options, result in the issuance of common stock which shares in the earnings of the Company. The treasury stock method has been applied to determine the dilutive effect of stock options in computing diluted earnings per share.

For the Three Months

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

	Ended March 31,	
(In thousands, except per share data)	2016	2015
Net Income:		
Net income	\$1,573	\$1,215
Earnings Per Share:		
Basic earnings per share	\$0.32	\$0.25
Diluted earnings per share	\$0.31	\$0.24
Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding:		
Basic shares	4,842	4,799
Diluted shares	5,058	5,047

Shares of common stock issuable under stock options and warrants for which the exercise prices were greater than the average market prices were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share due to their antidilutive effect. Stock options and warrants not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share, due to shares not being in-the-money and having an antidilutive effect, were approximately 113,000 and 55,000 for the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

15

7. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Stock Options

In 2001, the Company established a Stock Option Plan for which 128,893 shares of common stock remain reserved for issuance to employees and directors and no shares are available for future grants as of March 31, 2016.

As of March 31, 2016, all remaining shares in this plan have vested and no compensation cost remains unrecognized.

The total fair value of options vested was \$0 and \$49,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015. The total intrinsic value of options at time of exercise was \$74,000 and \$26,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Cash received from option exercises for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 were \$39,000 and \$12,000, respectively. There was no tax benefit realized for the tax deduction from options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

A summary of the activity within the 2001 Stock Option Plan follows:

		Weighted	Weighted	
	Shares	Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual	Intrinsic Value
			Term in Years	
Options outstanding at January 1, 2016 Options forfeited Options exercised	192,893 (50,800) (13,200)	12.19		

Options outstanding at March 31, 2016	128,893	\$ 3.49	2.1	\$726,000
Options exercisable at March 31, 2016	128,893	\$ 3.49	2.1	\$726,000

In May 2013, the Company established the 2013 Stock Option Plan for which 486,000 shares of common stock are reserved and 288,800 shares are available for future grants as of March 31, 2016. The Plan requires that the option price may not be less than the fair market value of the stock at the date the option is granted, and that the stock must be paid in full at the time the option is exercised. Payment in full for the option price must be made in cash, with Company common stock previously acquired by the optionee and held by the optionee for a period of at least six months, in options of the Optionee that are fully vested and exercisable or in any combination of the foregoing. The options expire on dates determined by the Board of Directors, but not later than ten years from the date of grant.

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 the Company granted options to purchase 108,000 shares of common stock. The fair value of each option was estimated on the date of grant using the following assumptions.

	2016
Expected life of stock options (in years)	5.1
Risk free interest rate	1.52%
Volatility	53.6%
Dividend yields	2.00%
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2016	\$3.55

No options were granted during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

16

As of March 31, 2016, there was \$368,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested, share-based compensation arrangements granted under the 2013 Plan. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.3 years.

A summary of the activity within the 2013 Plan follows:

			Weighted Average Remaining	Intrinsic	
	Shares	es Exercise		Contractual Term	
			rice	in Years	
Options outstanding at January 1, 2016	102,400	\$	6.32		
Options granted	108,000		8.75		
Options exercised	(6,000)		6.32		
Options outstanding at March 31, 2016	204,400	\$	7.60	7.0	\$247,000
Options exercisable at March 31, 2016	20,800		6.32	6.1	\$52,000
Expected to vest after March 31, 2016	159,089	\$	7.75	7.1	\$169,000

Compensation cost related to stock options recognized in operating results under the two stock option plans was \$25,000 and \$29,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The associated income tax benefit recognized was \$3,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and \$2,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The Company determines the fair value of options on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model that uses assumptions based on expected option life, expected stock volatility and the risk-free interest rate. The expected volatility assumptions used by the Company are based on the historical volatility of the Company's common stock over the most recent period commensurate with the estimated expected life of the Company's stock options. The Company bases its expected life assumption on its historical experience and on the terms and conditions of the stock options it grants to employees. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve for the periods within the contractual life of the options in effect at the time of the grant. The Company also makes assumptions regarding estimated forfeitures that will impact the total compensation expenses recognized under the Plans.

8. INCOME TAXES

The Company files its income taxes on a consolidated basis with its subsidiary. Income tax expense is the total of current year income tax due or refundable and the change in deferred tax assets and liabilities.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between the reported amount of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date of enactment. A valuation allowance is recognized if, based on the weight of available evidence management believes it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. On the consolidated balance sheet, net deferred tax assets are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets.

When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. The benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated with other positions. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above is reflected as a liability for unrecognized tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination.

Interest expense and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits, if any, are classified as income tax expense in the consolidated income statement. There have been no significant changes to unrecognized tax benefits or accrued interest and penalties for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

17

9. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

The Company measures fair value under the fair value hierarchy described below.

Level 1: Quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active exchange markets.

Level 2: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Model based techniques that use one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect the Company's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use on pricing the asset or liability. Valuation techniques include management judgment and estimation which may be significant.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Management monitors the availability of observable market data to assess the appropriate classification of financial instruments within the fair value hierarchy. Changes in economic conditions or model-based valuation techniques may require the transfer of financial instruments from one fair value level to another. In such instances, the transfer is reported at the beginning of the reporting period.

Management evaluates the significance of transfers between levels based upon the nature of the financial instrument and size of the transfer relative to total assets, total liabilities or total earnings.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments, at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are as follows, in thousands:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2016 Using:

Financial assets:	Carrying	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair
	Value				Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$55,795	\$55,795			\$55,795
Investment securities	99,633		\$99,633		99,633
Loans, net	404,913			\$402,950	402,950
FHLB stock	2,380				N/A
Accrued interest receivable	1,935	7	320	1,608	1,935
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	525,206	473,799	51,424		525,223
Repurchase agreements	4,175		4,175		4,175
Note payable	4,750			4,750	4,750
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	10,310			6,451	6,451
Accrued interest payable	60	8	40	12	60

18

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2015 Using:

Financial assets:	Carrying	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Fair
	Value				Value
Cash and cash equivalents	\$68,195	\$68,195			\$68,195
Investment securities	96,704		\$96,704		96,704
Loans, net	396,833			\$395,338	395,338
FHLB stock	2,380				N/A
Accrued interest receivable	2,048	26	328	1,694	2,048
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	527,276	475,013	52,287		527,300
Repurchase agreements	7,671		7,671		7,671
Note payable	4,875			4,875	4,875
Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures	10,310			6,662	6,662
Accrued interest payable	58	8	38	12	58

These estimates do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument for sale at one time, nor do they attempt to estimate the value of anticipated future business related to the instruments. In addition, the tax ramifications related to the realization of unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of these estimates.

The following methods and assumptions were used by management to estimate the fair value of its financial instruments:

<u>Cash and cash equivalents:</u> The carrying amounts of cash and short-term instruments approximate fair values and are classified as Level 1.

<u>Investment securities:</u> Fair values for securities available for sale are generally determined by matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2).

<u>Loans</u>: Fair values of loans, excluding loans held for sale, are estimated as follows: For variable rate loans that reprice frequently and with no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values resulting in a Level 3 classification. Fair values for other loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality resulting in a Level 3 classification. Impaired loans are valued at the lower of cost or fair value. The methods utilized to estimate the fair

value of loans do not necessarily represent an exit price.

<u>FHLB stock</u>: It was not practicable to determine the fair value of the FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability.

<u>Deposits:</u> The fair values disclosed for demand deposits, including interest and non-interest demand accounts, savings, and certain types of money market accounts are, by definition, equal to the carrying amount at the reporting date resulting in a Level 1 classification. Fair values for fixed rate certificates of deposit are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities on time deposits resulting in a Level 2 classification.

<u>Repurchase agreements:</u> The fair value of securities sold under repurchase agreements is estimated based on bid quotations received from brokers using observable inputs and are included as Level 2.

19

<u>Note payable:</u> The fair value of the Company's Note Payable is estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 3 classification.

<u>Subordinated debentures and Junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures:</u> The fair values of the Company's Subordinated Debentures are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements resulting in a Level 3 classification.

<u>Accrued interest and payable:</u> The carrying amounts of accrued interest approximate fair value and are considered to be linked in classification to the asset or liability for which they relate.

<u>Commitments to extend credit and letters of credit:</u> The fair value of commitments are estimated using the fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements and are not significant and, therefore, not presented. Commitments to extend credit are primarily for variable rate loans and letters of credit.

Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on judgments regarding current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments and other factors. Those estimates that are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision are included in Level 3. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the fair values presented.

The following tables present information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, and indicates the fair value hierarchy of the valuation techniques utilized by the Company to determine such fair value:

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2016 are summarized below, in thousands:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2016 Using

Total Quo&idinificant Significant
Fair PricOther Unobservable
Value in Observable Inputs
Inputs (Level 3)

		Acti@evel 2) Markets for	
		Identical Assets (Level 1)	
Assets:		-,	
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies	\$2,998	\$- \$ 2,998	\$ -
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations- residential	72,163	72,163	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	24,472 \$99,633	24,472 \$- \$ 99,633	\$ _

20

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at December 31, 2015 are summarized below, in thousands:

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2015 Using

	Total Fair Value	Price in Act Man	Significant ivOther rkObservable Inputs (Level 2) ntical ets	Uno Inpi	nificant observable uts vel 3)
Assets: U.S. Government- sponsored agencies	\$1,977	\$ -	\$ 1,977	\$	_
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies collateralized by mortgage obligations- residential	72,370		72,370		
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	22,357 \$96,704		22,357 \$ 96,704	\$	-

The fair value of securities available-for-sale equals quoted market price, if available. If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is determined using quoted market prices for similar securities or matrix pricing. There were no changes in the valuation techniques used during 2016 or 2015. Transfers between hierarchy measurement levels are recognized by the Company as of the beginning of the reporting period. Changes in fair market value are recorded in other comprehensive income.

21

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at March 31, 2016 are summarized below, in thousands:

	Total Fair Value		Quadric Pricin Act Ma	6 Using oted ces Signification of the critical control	cant vable	Si U In	ents at Marc gnificant nobservable puts Level 3)	To G	otal ains cosses))
				(Level						
Acceptan			1)							
Assets: Impaired loans:										
Commercial	\$		\$-	•		\$		\$		
Agricultural	Ψ	_	φ-	φ	-	Ψ	_	Ψ	_	
Real estate – residential		_					_		_	
Real estate – commercial		453					453		(81)
Real estate – construction and land development		22					22		-	,
Equity lines of credit		82					82		1	
Auto		-					_		_	
Other		-					-		-	
Total impaired loans		557	-		-		557		(80)
Other real estate:										
Real estate – residential		-					-		-	
Real estate – commercial		147					147		(9)
Real estate – construction and land development		1,529					1,529		-	
Equity lines of credit		84					84		-	
Total other real estate		1,760	_		-		1,760		(9)
	\$	2,317	\$-	\$	-	\$	2,317	\$	(89)

22

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at December 31, 2015 are summarized below, in thousands:

		Fair Value Measure Using Quoted Prices in	: 31, 2015						
		Active		Significant					
	Total Fair Value	Fair for Other Observable		Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)		Total Gains (Losses)		ı	
		(Level 1)							
Assets:									
Impaired loans:									
Commercial	\$-	\$- \$ -		\$ -		\$	-		
Agricultural	-			-			-		
Real estate – residential	-			-			-		
Real estate – commercial	1,214			1,	,214		-		
Real estate – construction and land development	30			30	0		(53)	
Equity lines of credit	83			8.	3		6		
Auto	-			-			-		
Other	-			-			-		
Total impaired loans	1,327			1,	,327		(47)	
Other real estate:									
Real estate – residential	-			-			-		
Real estate – commercial	156			1:	56		(127)	
Real estate – construction and land development	1,516			1,	,516		75		
Equity lines of credit	84			84	4		(27)	
Total other real estate	1,756				,756		(79)	
	\$3,083	\$- \$ -		\$ 3.	,083	\$	(126)	

The Company has no liabilities which are reported at fair value.

The following methods were used to estimate fair value.

Impaired Loans: The fair value of collateral dependent impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses or loans that have been subject to partial charge-offs are generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are usually significant and typically result in a Level 3 classification of the inputs for determining fair value. Total losses of \$80,000 and \$17,000 represent impairment charges recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, related to the above impaired loans.

Other Real Estate: Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may use a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach.

23

Appraisals for both collateral-dependent impaired loans and other real estate are performed by certified general appraisers (for commercial properties) or certified residential appraisers (for residential properties) whose qualifications and licenses have been reviewed and verified by the Company. Once received, a member of the Loan Administration Department reviews the assumptions and approaches utilized in the appraisal as well as the overall resulting fair value in comparison with independent data sources such as recent market data or industry-wide statistics. On a quarterly basis, the Company compares the actual selling price of similar collateral that has been liquidated to the most recent appraised value for unsold properties to determine what additional adjustment, if any, should be made to the appraisal value to arrive at fair value. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the independent appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available.

The following table presents quantitative information about Level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

	г.				Range (Weighted	Range (Weighte	ed
Description	Fair Value 3/31/2016		Valuation 5 Technique	Significant Unobservable Input	Average)	Average)
	3/31/2010				3/31/2016	12/31/20)15
Impaired Loans: Commercial	\$ -	\$ -	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Agricultural	\$ -	\$ -	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Real estate – residential	\$ -	\$ -	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Real estate – commercial	\$ 453	\$ 1,214	Sales Comparison	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	12%(12%)	9%-	12%(10%)
Real estate – construction and land development	\$ 22	\$ 30	Sales Comparison	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	10%(10%)	8%	(8%)
Equity lines of credit	\$ 82	\$ 83	Sales Comparison	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	8% (8%)	8%	(8%)
Other Real Estate: Real estate –							
residential	\$ -	\$ -	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Real estate – construction and	\$ 1,529	\$ 1,516	Sales Comparison	Adjustment for differences between	10%(10%)	10%	(10%)

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

and development			comparable sales					
Real estate – commercial	\$ 147	\$ 156	Sales Comparison	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	10%(10%)	10%	(10%)	
Equity lines of credit	\$ 84	\$ 84	Sales Comparison	Adjustment for differences between comparable sales	10%(10%)	10%	(10%)	

24

10. Adoption of New Accounting Standards

Pending Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09 Revenue from Contracts with Customers. This update to the ASC is the culmination of efforts by the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) to develop a common revenue standard for U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). ASU 2014-09 supersedes Topic 605 – Revenue Recognition and most industry-specific guidance. The core principal of the guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance in ASU 2014-09 describes a 5-step process entities can apply to achieve the core principle of revenue recognition and requires disclosures sufficient to enable users of financial statements to understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers and the significant judgments used in determining that information.

This update was originally effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2016 and interim periods therein and requires expanded disclosures. In July 2015 the FASB issued a deferral of ASU 2014-09 of one year making it effective for annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2017 while also providing for early adoption but not before the original effective date. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of ASU 2014-09 on its financial statements and disclosures, if any.

On January 5, 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2016-01, Financial Instruments—Overall: Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. Changes made to the current measurement model primarily affect the accounting for equity securities with readily determinable fair values, where changes in fair value will impact earnings instead of other comprehensive income. The accounting for other financial instruments, such as loans, investments in debt securities, and financial liabilities is largely unchanged. The Update also changes the presentation and disclosure requirements for financial instruments including a requirement that public business entities use exit price when measuring the fair value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost for disclosure purposes. This Update is generally effective for public business entities in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of ASU 2016-01 on its financial statements and disclosures.

On February 25, 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases. The most significant change for lessees is the requirement under the new guidance to recognize right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for all leases not considered short-term leases, which is generally defined as a lease term of less than 12 months. This change will result in lessees recognizing right-of-use assets and lease liabilities for most leases currently accounted for as operating leases under current lease accounting guidance. ASU 2016-02 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of ASU 2016-02 on its financial statements and

disclosures.

On March 30, 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Compensation – Stock Compensation: Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting, which is intended to simplify several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-09 is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the effects of ASU 2016-09 on its financial statements and disclosures.

25

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Certain matters discussed in this Quarterly Report are forward-looking statements that are subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements. Such risks and uncertainties include, among others, (1) significant increases in competitive pressures in the financial services industry; (2) changes in the interest rate environment resulting in reduced margins; (3) general economic conditions, either nationally or regionally, maybe less favorable than expected, resulting in, among other things, a deterioration in credit quality; (4) changes in regulatory environment; (5) loss of key personnel; (6) fluctuations in the real estate market; (7) changes in business conditions and inflation; (8) operational risks including data processing systems failures or fraud; and (9) changes in securities markets. Therefore, the information set forth herein should be carefully considered when evaluating the business prospects of Plumas Bancorp (the "Company").

When the Company uses in this Quarterly Report the words "anticipate", "estimate", "expect", "project", "intend", "commit", "believe" and similar expressions, the Company intends to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are not guarantees of performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including those described in this Quarterly Report. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated, expected, projected, intended, committed or believed. The future results and stockholder values of the Company may differ materially from those expressed in these forward-looking statements. Many of the factors that will determine these results and values are beyond the Company's ability to control or predict. For those statements, the Company claims the protection of the safe harbor for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995.

INTRODUCTION

The following discussion and analysis sets forth certain statistical information relating to the Company as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 and for the three month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Plumas Bancorp's Annual Report filed on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Plumas Bancorp trades on The NASDAQ Capital Market under the ticker symbol "PLBC".

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

There have been no changes to the Company's critical accounting policies from those disclosed in the Company's 2015 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

This discussion should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, including the notes thereto, appearing elsewhere in this report.

26

OVERVIEW

Net income increased by \$358 thousand from \$1.2 million during the first quarter of 2015 to \$1.6 million during the current quarter. Earnings benefited from increases of \$761 thousand in net interest income and decreases of \$100 thousand in the provision for loan losses and \$72 thousand in non-interest expense. Partially offsetting these items was a decline in non-interest income of \$392 thousand and an increase in income tax expense of \$183 thousand. Diluted earnings per share increased to \$0.31 during the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to \$0.24 during the first quarter of 2015.

Total assets at March 31, 2016 were \$595 million, a decrease of \$4.0 million from \$599 million at December 31, 2015. This decrease includes declines in cash and cash equivalents of \$12.4 million and other assets of \$2.7 million. These were partially offset by increases in net loans of \$8.1 million and investment securities of \$2.9 million. Net loan balances increased from \$397 million at December 31, 2015 to \$405 million at March 31, 2016. Cash and cash equivalents totaled \$55.8 million at March 31, 2016.

Deposits totaled \$525.2 million at March 31, 2016, a decrease of \$2.1 million from \$527.3 million at December 31, 2015. Declines included \$7.5 million in non-interest bearing demand deposits and \$0.9 million in time deposits. These were mostly offset by increases of \$0.3 million in interest bearing transaction accounts (NOW) accounts and \$6.0 million in savings and money market accounts. Shareholders' equity increased by \$2.5 million from \$42.5 million at December 31, 2015 to \$45.0 million at March 31, 2016.

The annualized return on average assets was 1.06% for the three months ended March 31, 2016 up from 0.90% for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The annualized return on average common equity increased from 13.0% during the first quarter of 2015 to 14.3% during the current quarter.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2016

Net interest income before provision for loan losses. Net interest income, on a nontax-equivalent basis, was \$5.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, an increase of \$761 thousand, or 15%, from \$5.0 million for the same period in 2015. The increase in net interest income includes an increase of \$624 thousand in interest income and a decline of \$137 thousand in interest expense. Net interest margin for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased 14 basis points, or 3%, to 4.20%, up from 4.06% for the same period in 2015.

Interest income increased by \$624 thousand, or 12%, to \$6.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, up from \$5.4 million during the same period in 2015. Interest and fees on loans increased \$512 thousand to \$5.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to \$4.9 million during the first quarter of 2015 mostly related to an increase in average loan balances. The Company's average loan balances were \$402 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, up \$31.1 million, or 8%, from \$371 million for the same period in 2015. The average yield on loans was 5.45% during the first quarter of 2016 up from 5.40% for same quarter in 2015.

The following table compares loan balances by type at March 31, 2016 and 2015.

(dollars in thousands)	Balance at End of Period	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total		Balance at End of Period	Percent of Loans in Each Category to Total	
	00/04/47	Loans		00/04/45	Loans	
	03/31/16	03/31/16		03/31/15	03/31/15	
Commercial	\$37,346	9.1	%	\$32,193	8.4	%
Agricultural	38,730	9.5	%	34,640	9.0	%
Real estate - residential	24,750	6.0	%	28,813	7.5	%
Real estate – commercial	201,593	49.3	%	174,508	45.6	%
Real estate – construction & land	15,758	3.9	%	24,936	6.5	%
Equity Lines of Credit	38,509	9.4	%	38,251	10.0	%
Auto	49,173	12.0	%	46,571	12.2	%
Other	3,288	0.8	%	3,124	0.8	%
Total Gross Loans	\$409,147	100	%	\$383,036	100	%

27

Interest on investment securities increased by \$74 thousand as a result of an increase in yield and growth in the investment portfolio. The average yield on investment securities increased by 12 basis points from 1.81% during the first quarter of 2015 to 1.93% during the three months ended March 31, 2016. The average balance in investment securities increased by \$9.0 million from \$89.3 million during the first quarter of 2015 to \$98.3 million during the current quarter. During the current quarter yield benefited from an increase in municipal securities as a percentage of total securities and a reduction in securities of U.S. Government-sponsored agencies. At March 31, 2016 municipal securities totaled \$24.5 million or 25% of the investment portfolio compared to \$13.5 million or 15% of the portfolio at March 31, 2015. U.S. Government-sponsored agencies were \$3.0 million at March 31, 2016 and \$6.5 million at March 31, 2015.

Interest expense on deposits increased by \$8 thousand to \$132 thousand for the three months ended March 31, 2016, up from \$124 thousand during the 2015 quarter. This increase mostly relates to increases in the average balance of NOW, Money Market and Savings accounts partially offset by a decline in average balance and rate paid on time deposits.

Interest expense on other interest-bearing liabilities decreased by \$145 thousand from \$275 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2015 to \$130 thousand during the current quarter related to the redemption of the Company's subordinated debenture on April 16, 2015. Interest expense related to the subordinated debenture decreased by \$188 thousand. Partially offsetting this savings was an increase in interest expense on the Company's note payable of \$35 thousand to \$46 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2016. This increase is related to an increase in average borrowings on this note from \$1 million during the first quarter of 2015 to \$4.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016. The average rate paid on the note payable was 3.80% during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 4.46% during the first quarter of 2015.

Interest expense on junior subordinated debentures, which increased by \$9 thousand to \$83 thousand, fluctuates with changes in the 3-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) rate.

28

The following table presents for the three-month periods indicated the distribution of consolidated average assets, liabilities and shareholders' equity. It also presents the amounts of interest income from interest-earning assets and the resultant annualized yields, as well as the amounts of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant cost expressed in both dollars and annualized rate percentages. Average balances are based on daily averages. Nonaccrual loans are included in the calculation of average loans while nonaccrued interest thereon is excluded from the computation of yields earned:

	March 31	hree Months , 2016	Ended	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			
	Average Balance	Interest	Yield/	Average Balance	Interest (in	Yield/	
	(in thousands	(in thousands)	Rate	(in thousands	thousands)	Rate	
Interest-earning assets:							
Loans (1) (2) (3)	\$402,400	\$ 5,455	5.45 %	\$371,275	\$ 4,943	5.40 %	
Investment securities (1)	98,258	472	1.93 %	89,299	398	1.81 %	
Interest-bearing deposits	48,348	73	0.61 %	36,757	35	0.39 %	
Total interest-earning assets	549,006	6,000	4.40 %	497,331	5,376	4.38 %	
Cash and due from banks	15,753			16,406			
Other assets	32,724			33,281			
Total assets	\$597,483			\$547,018			
Interest-bearing liabilities:							
NOW deposits	\$91,273	21	0.09 %	\$84,479	19	0.09 %	
Money market deposits	51,089	18	0.14 %	45,017	16	0.14 %	
Savings deposits	127,748	52	0.16 %	108,867	43	0.16 %	
Time deposits	51,870	41	0.32 %	55,882	46	0.33 %	
Total deposits	321,980	132	0.16 %	294,245	124	0.17 %	
Note payable	4,872	46	3.80 %	1,000	11	4.46 %	
Subordinated debentures	-	-	- %	7,470	188	10.21%	
Junior subordinated debentures	10,310	83	3.24 %	10,310	74	2.91 %	
Other interest-bearing liabilities	6,332	1	0.06 %	•	2	0.09 %	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	343,494	262	0.31 %	,	399	0.50 %	
Non-interest bearing deposits	203,452			180,979			
Other liabilities	6,360			6,244			
Shareholders' equity	44,177			37,770			
Total liabilities & equity	\$597,483			\$547,018			
Cost of funding interest-earning assets (4)			0.20 %			0.32 %	
Net interest income and margin (5)		\$ 5,738	4.20 %)	\$ 4,977	4.06 %	

⁽¹⁾ Not computed on a tax-equivalent basis.

(2)

Average nonaccrual loan balances of \$4.6 million for 2016 and \$6.3 million for 2015 are included in average loan balances for computational purposes.

- (3) Net costs included in loan interest income for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 were \$133,000 and \$150,000, respectively.
- (4) Total annualized interest expense divided by the average balance of total earning assets.
- (5) Annualized net interest income divided by the average balance of total earning assets.

29

The following table sets forth changes in interest income and interest expense for the three-month periods indicated and the amount of change attributable to variances in volume, rates and the combination of volume and rates based on the relative changes of volume and rates:

2016 over 2015 change in net interest income

for the three months ended March 31

8

0

5

)

\$606 \$92

(137)

(1

1

(in thousands) VolumeRate Mix **Total (1) (2) (3) Interest-earning assets:** \$418 \$49 \$45 \$512 Loans 40 28 Investment securities 6 74 7 Interest bearing deposits 11 20 38 58 Total interest income 469 97 624 **Interest-bearing liabilities:** NOW deposits 2 2 Money market deposits 2 2 Savings deposits 8 1 9 Time deposits (3 (2) (5) Note payable 43 (2)(6) 35 Subordinated debentures (188)(188)

Junior subordinated debentures

Total interest expense

Net interest income

Other

9

(1

(5) (137) \$63 \$761

Provision for loan losses. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$200 thousand, down \$100 thousand from the \$300 thousand provision recorded during the first quarter of 2015. See "Analysis of Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses" for a discussion of loan quality trends and the provision for loan losses.

The volume change in net interest income represents the change in average balance multiplied by the previous quarter's rate.

⁽²⁾ The rate change in net interest income represents the change in rate multiplied by the previous quarter's average balance.

⁽³⁾ The mix change in net interest income represents the change in average balance multiplied by the change in rate.

The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level that management believes will be appropriate to absorb probable incurred losses on existing loans based on an evaluation of the collectibility of the loans and prior loan loss experience. The evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, and current economic conditions that may affect the borrower's ability to repay their loan. The allowance for loan losses is based on estimates, and ultimate losses may vary from the current estimates. These estimates are reviewed not less than quarterly and, as adjustments become necessary, they are reported in earnings in the periods in which they become known.

Based on information currently available, management believes that the allowance for loan losses is appropriate to absorb probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. However, no assurance can be given that the Company may not sustain charge-offs which are in excess of the allowance in any given period.

Non-interest income. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 non-interest income totaled \$1.7 million a decrease of \$392 thousand from the three months ended March 31, 2015. This decrease was related to a \$324 thousand reduction in gains on sale of SBA loans and a \$32 thousand loss on sale of available-for-sale investment securities. Gain on the sale of government guaranteed SBA loans declined from a very strong \$657 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2015 to \$333 thousand during the current quarter. Proceeds from SBA loan sales totaled \$6.3 million during the current quarter and \$9.5 million during the 2015 quarter. Loans originated for sale totaled \$8.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and \$9.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2016 we sold fourteen investment securities recording a net loss of \$32 thousand. While we recorded a net loss on this transaction, we used the proceeds from these sales to invest in higher yielding securities with the expectation of recovering the loss in a relatively short period of time in the form of an increase in interest income. During the first quarter of 2015 we recorded a gain on sale of \$30 thousand from the sale of eight securities.

30

A \$21 thousand increase in loan servicing fees was related to an increase in loans serviced. At March 31, 2016 we were servicing over \$88 million in guaranteed portions of loans an increase of \$7 million from over \$81 million at March 31, 2015.

The following table describes the components of non-interest income for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, dollars in thousands:

	For the Months Ended I				
	2015		Dollar	Percenta	ge
	2016	2015	Change	Change	
Service charges on deposit accounts	\$931	\$938	\$ (7) -0.7	%
Gain on sale of loans, net	333	657	(324	-49.3	%
Loan servicing fees	148	127	21	16.5	%
Earnings on life insurance policies	86	85	1	1.2	%
(Loss) gain on sale of investments	(32)	30	(62	-206.7	%
Other	187	208	(21	-10.1	%
Total non-interest income	\$1.653	\$2,045	\$ (392	-19.2	%

Non-interest expense. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, total non-interest expense declined by \$72 thousand, or 2%, to \$4.6 million, down from \$4.7 million for the comparable period in 2015. The two largest declines were \$110 thousand in salary and benefit expense and \$83 thousand in loan collection expenses. These were partially offset by an increase in the provision from change in OREO valuation of \$138 thousand from a credit of \$129 thousand during the first quarter of 2015 to \$9 thousand during the current quarter.

The decrease in salary and benefit expense includes a \$70 thousand reduction in commission expense related to the reduction in SBA gains and a \$57 thousand increase in deferral of loan origination costs. Salary expense was up by \$18 thousand to \$2.0 million. Reductions in salary expense include the retirement of the EVP and Operations Manager of Plumas Bank as well as open positions including a commercial lending officer position in our Redding, California location. The Company has chosen not to replace the EVP and Operations Manager of Plumas Bank. These were offset by merit and promotional increases and increases in personnel related to our new Reno, Nevada Branch.

The decrease in loan collection expense was mostly related to a \$59 thousand increase, during the first quarter of 2015, in our reserve for losses on unfunded commitments which increased from \$141 thousand to \$200 thousand based on an analysis of this reserve. At March 31, 2016 the balance in the reserve was unchanged at \$200 thousand.

The largest increase in non-interest expense was a \$138 thousand increase in the provision from change in OREO valuation. OREO represents real property taken by the Bank either through foreclosure or through a deed in lieu thereof from the borrower. When other real estate is acquired, any excess of the Bank's recorded investment in the loan balance and accrued interest income over the estimated fair market value of the property less costs to sell is charged against the allowance for loan losses. A valuation allowance for losses on other real estate is maintained to provide for temporary declines in value. The allowance is established through a provision for subsequent losses on other real estate which is included in other expenses. Subsequent gains or losses on sales or from impairment are recorded as incurred. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 the Company recorded a credit of \$129 thousand for the provision from change in OREO valuation. The credit resulted from a significant increase in value of one OREO property based on a current appraisal. During the first quarter of 2016 we recorded a \$9 thousand provision related to a decline in value on one OREO property.

31

The following table describes the components of non-interest expense for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, dollars in thousands:

For the Three

Months

Ended March

	_		Dorgont	000
2016	2015	Donai	1 er cen	age
		Change	Change	;
\$2,608	\$2,718	\$ (110) -4.0	%
707	700	7	1.0	%
506	494	12	2.4	%
149	175	(26) -14.9	%
99	89	10	11.2	%
86	95	(9) -9.5	%
85	76	9	11.8	%
85	67	18	26.9	%
75	83	(8) -9.6	%
58	55	3	5.5	%
33	28	5	17.9	%
23	29	(6) -20.7	%
21	53	(32) -60.4	%
19	102	(83	-81.4	%
10	9	1	11.1	%
9	(129)	138	107.0	%
61	62	(1) -1.6	%
\$4,634	\$4,706	\$ (72) -1.5	%
	\$2,608 707 506 149 99 86 85 85 75 58 33 23 21 19 10 9 61	\$2,608 \$2,718 707 700 506 494 149 175 99 89 86 95 85 76 85 67 75 83 58 55 33 28 23 29 21 53 19 102 10 9 9 (129) 61 62	\$2,608 \$2,718 \$ (110) 707 700 7 506 494 12 149 175 (26) 99 89 10 86 95 (9) 85 76 9 85 67 18 75 83 (8) 58 55 3 33 28 5 23 29 (6) 21 53 (32) 19 102 (83) 10 9 1 9 (129) 138 61 62 (1	2016 2015 \$2,608 \$2,718 \$ (110) -4.0 707 700 7 1.0 506 494 12 2.4 149 175 (26) -14.9 99 89 10 11.2 86 95 (9) -9.5 85 76 9 11.8 85 67 18 26.9 75 83 (8) -9.6 58 55 3 5.5 33 28 5 17.9 23 29 (6) -20.7 21 53 (32) -60.4 19 102 (83) -81.4 10 9 1 11.1 9 (129) 138 107.0 61 62 (1) -1.6

Provision for income taxes. The Company recorded an income tax provision of \$984 thousand, or 38.5% of pre-tax income for the three months ended March 31, 2016. This compares to an income tax provision of \$801 thousand or 39.7% of pre-tax income during the first three months of 2015. The percentages for 2016 and 2015 differ from statutory rates as tax exempt items of income such as earnings on Bank owned life insurance and municipal loan and securities interest decrease taxable income.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the tax consequences of temporary differences between the reported amount of assets and liabilities and their tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The determination of the amount of deferred income tax assets which are more likely than not to be realized is primarily dependent on projections of future earnings, which are subject to uncertainty and estimates that may change given economic conditions and other factors. The realization of deferred income tax assets

is assessed and a valuation allowance is recorded if it is "more likely than not" that all or a portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. "More likely than not" is defined as greater than a 50% chance. All available evidence, both positive and negative is considered to determine whether, based on the weight of that evidence, a valuation allowance is needed. Based upon the analysis of available evidence, management has determined that it is "more likely than not" that all deferred income tax assets as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 will be fully realized and therefore no valuation allowance was recorded. On the consolidated balance sheet, net deferred tax assets are included in accrued interest receivable and other assets.

32

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Loan Portfolio. Net loans increased by \$8.1 million or an annualized rate 8%, from \$397 million at December 31, 2015 to \$405 million at March 31, 2016. The largest area of growth in the Company's loan portfolio was \$9.5 million in commercial real estate loans. The largest decrease in any loan category was a decline of \$1.1 million agricultural loans. The Company continues to manage the mix of its loan portfolio consistent with its identity as a community bank serving the financing needs of all sectors of the area it serves. Although the Company offers a broad array of financing options, it continues to concentrate its focus on small to medium sized commercial businesses. These loans offer diversification as to industries and types of businesses, thus limiting material exposure in any industry concentrations. The Company offers both fixed and floating rate loans and obtains collateral in the form of real property, business assets and deposit accounts, but looks to business and personal cash flows as its primary source of repayment.

As shown in the following table the Company's largest lending categories are commercial real estate loans, auto loans, equity lines of credit, agricultural loans and commercial loans.

		Percent of			Percent of		
	Balance	Loans in		Balance	Loans in		
	at Each			at	Each		
(dollars in thousands)	End of	Lacii		End of	Lucii		
		Categor	y		Category		
	Period	to		Period	to		
					m . 1		
		Total			Total		
		Loans			Loans		
	3/31/16	3/31/16		12/31/15	12/31/1	5	
Commercial	\$37,346	9.1	%	\$37,084	9.2	%	
Agricultural	38,730	9.5	%	39,856	9.9	%	
Real estate - residential	24,750	6.0	%	25,474	6.4	%	
Real estate – commercial	201,593	49.3	%	192,095	47.9	%	
Real estate – construction & land	15,758	3.9	%	16,188	4.0	%	
Equity Lines of Credit	38,509	9.4	%	38,327	9.6	%	
Auto	49,173	12.0	%	48,365	12.1	%	
Other	3,288	0.8	%	3,582	0.9	%	
Total Gross Loans	\$409,147	100	%	\$400,971	100	%	

Construction and land development loans represented 3.9% and 4.0% of the loan portfolio as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. The construction and land development portfolio component has been identified by

Management as a higher-risk loan category. The quality of the construction and land development category is highly dependent on property values both in terms of the likelihood of repayment once the property is transacted by the current owner as well as the level of collateral the Company has securing the loan in the event of default. Loans in this category are characterized by the speculative nature of commercial and residential development properties and can include property in various stages of development from raw land to finished lots. The decline in these loans as a percentage of the Company's loan portfolio from over 21% at December 31, 2007 to less than 7% during the last two years reflects management's efforts, which began in 2009, to reduce its exposure to construction and land development loans.

The Company's real estate related loans, including real estate mortgage loans, real estate construction and land development loans, consumer equity lines of credit, and agricultural loans secured by real estate comprised 73% of the total loan portfolio at March 31, 2016. Moreover, the business activities of the Company currently are focused in the California counties of Plumas, Nevada, Placer, Lassen, Modoc, Shasta, Sierra and in Washoe County in Northern Nevada. Consequently, the results of operations and financial condition of the Company are dependent upon the general trends in these economies and, in particular, the residential and commercial real estate markets. In addition, the concentration of the Company's operations in these areas of Northeastern California and Northwestern Nevada exposes it to greater risk than other banking companies with a wider geographic base in the event of catastrophes, such as earthquakes, fires and floods in these regions.

33

The rates of interest charged on variable rate loans are set at specific increments in relation to the Company's lending rate or other indexes such as the published prime interest rate or U.S. Treasury rates and vary with changes in these indexes. The frequency in which variable rate loans reprice can vary from one day to several years. At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, approximately 73% and 72%, respectively of the Company's loan portfolio was comprised of variable rate loans. At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, 40% and 39%, respectively of the variable loans were at their respective floor rate. While real estate mortgage, commercial and consumer lending remain the foundation of the Company's historical loan mix, some changes in the mix have occurred due to the changing economic environment and the resulting change in demand for certain loan types. The most significant change has been an increase in indirect auto lending with automobile loans increasing from 2.5% of gross loans at December 31, 2011 to 12.0% of gross loans at March 31, 2016. The automobile portfolio provides diversification to the loan portfolio in terms of rate, term and balance as these loans tend to have a much shorter term and balance than commercial real-estate loans and are fixed rate. In addition, the Company remains committed to the agricultural industry in Northeastern California and will continue to pursue high quality agricultural loans. Agricultural loans include both commercial and commercial real estate loans. The Company's agricultural loan balances totaled \$39 million at March 31, 2016 and \$40 million at December 31, 2015.

Analysis of Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses. The Company attempts to minimize credit risk through its underwriting and credit review policies. The Company's credit review process includes internally prepared credit reviews as well as contracting with an outside firm to conduct periodic credit reviews. The Company's management and lending officers evaluate the loss exposure of classified and impaired loans on a quarterly basis, or more frequently as loan conditions change. The Management Asset Resolution Committee (MARC) reviews the asset quality of criticized and past due loans on a monthly basis and reports the findings to the full Board of Directors. In management's opinion, this loan review system helps facilitate the early identification of potential criticized loans.

The Company has implemented MARC to develop an action plan to significantly reduce nonperforming assets. It consists of the Bank's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Credit Officer, and the activities are governed by a formal written charter. The MARC meets at least monthly and reports to the Board of Directors.

More specifically, a formal plan to effect repayment and/or disposition of every significant nonperforming loan relationship is developed and documented for review and on-going oversight by the MARC. Some of the strategies used include but are not limited to: 1) obtaining additional collateral, 2) obtaining additional investor cash infusion, 3) sale of the promissory note to an outside party, 4) proceeding with foreclosure on the underlying collateral, and 5) legal action against borrower/guarantors to encourage settlement of debt and/or collect any deficiency balance owed. Each step includes a benchmark timeline to track progress.

MARC also provides guidance for the maintenance and timely disposition of OREO properties; including developing financing and marketing programs to incent individuals to purchase OREO.

The allowance for loan losses is established through charges to earnings in the form of the provision for loan losses. Loan losses are charged to and recoveries are credited to the allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level deemed appropriate by management to provide for known and inherent risks in the loan portfolio. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is based upon management's continuing assessment of various factors affecting the collectability of loans; including current economic conditions, maturity of the portfolio, size of the portfolio, industry concentrations, borrower credit history, collateral, the existing allowance for loan losses, independent credit reviews, current charges and recoveries to the allowance for loan losses and the overall quality of the portfolio as determined by management, regulatory agencies, and independent credit review consultants retained by the Company. There is no precise method of predicting specific losses or amounts which may ultimately be charged off on particular segments of the loan portfolio. The collectability of a loan is subjective to some degree, but must relate to the borrower's financial condition, cash flow, quality of the borrower's management expertise, collateral and guarantees, and state of the local economy.

Formula allocations are calculated by applying loss factors to outstanding loans with similar characteristics. Loss factors are based on the Company's historical loss experience as adjusted for changes in the business cycle and may be adjusted for significant factors that, in management's judgment, affect the collectability of the portfolio as of the evaluation date. Historical loss data from the beginning of the latest business cycle are incorporated in the loss factors.

The discretionary allocation is based upon management's evaluation of various loan segment conditions that are not directly measured in the determination of the formula and specific allowances. The conditions may include, but are not limited to, general economic and business conditions affecting the key lending areas of the Company, credit quality trends, collateral values, loan volumes and concentrations, and other business conditions.

34

The following table provides certain information for the dates indicated with respect to the Company's allowance for loan losses as well as charge-off and recovery activity.

	For the Three Months Ended				For the Year Endo December 31			led		
	March 31,									
	2016		2015		2015		2014		2013	
	(dollars	in	thousa	nd	s)					
Balance at beginning of period	\$6,078		\$5,451		\$5,451		\$5,517	7	\$5,680	6
Charge-offs:										
Commercial and agricultural	73		40		91		191		401	
Real estate mortgage	-		6		132		1,015	5	419	
Real estate construction & land	-		1		55		106		735	
Consumer (includes equity LOC & Auto)	147		128		549		601		360	
Total charge-offs	220		175		827		1,913	3	1,91	5
Recoveries:										
Commercial and agricultural	11		82		173		89		140	
Real estate mortgage	35		1		8		19		109	
Real estate construction & land	29		-		-		491		-	
Consumer (includes equity LOC & Auto)	65		63		173		148		97	
Total recoveries	140		146		354		747		346	
Net charge-offs	80		29		473		1,166	ó	1,569	9
Provision for loan losses	200		300		1,100)	1,100)	1,400	0
Balance at end of period	\$6,198		\$5,722		\$6,078)	\$5,451	l	\$5,51	7
Net charge-offs during the period to average loans (annualized for the three month periods)	0.08	%	0.03	%	0.12	%	0.33	%	0.49	%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.51	%	1.49	%	1.52	%	1.47	%	1.63	%

During the three months ended March 31, 2016 we recorded a provision for loan losses of \$200 thousand down \$100 thousand from the \$300 thousand provision recorded during the quarter ended March 31, 2015. Net charge-offs totaled \$80 thousand an increase of \$51 thousand from \$29 thousand during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The following table provides a breakdown of the allowance for loan losses at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

(dollars in thousands)	Percent of		Percent of
	Loans in Each	End of Period	

Edgar Filing: CEDAR REALTY TRUST, INC. - Form 10-Q

		Categor	У		Categor	y
		to			to	
		Total			Total	
		Loans			Loans	
	2016	2016		2015	2015	
Commercial and agricultural	\$948	18.6	%	\$933	19.1	%
Real estate mortgage	3,080	55.3	%	2,866	54.3	%
Real estate construction & land	800	3.9	%	874	4.0	%
Consumer (includes equity LOC & Auto)	1,370	22.2	%	1,405	22.6	%
Total	\$6,198	100.0	%	\$6,078	100.0	%

The allowance for loan losses totaled \$6.2 million at March 31, 2016 and \$6.1 million at December 31, 2015. Specific reserves related to impaired loans increased by \$104 thousand from \$751 thousand at December 31, 2015 to \$855 thousand at March 31, 2016. At least quarterly the Company evaluates each specific reserve and if it determines that the loss represented by the specific reserve is uncollectable it records a charge-off for the uncollectable portion. General reserves were \$5.3 million at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans decreased slightly from 1.52% at December 31, 2015 to 1.51% at March 31, 2016. The percentage of general reserves to unimpaired loans totaled 1.33% at March 31, 2016 and 1.35% at December 31, 2015.

The Company places loans 90 days or more past due on nonaccrual status unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection. A loan is considered to be in the process of collection if, based on a probable specific event, it is expected that the loan will be repaid or brought current. Generally, this collection period would not exceed 90 days. When a loan is placed on nonaccrual status the Company's general policy is to reverse and charge against current income previously accrued but unpaid interest. Interest income on such loans is subsequently recognized only to the extent that cash is received and future collection of principal is deemed by management to be probable. Where the collectability of the principal or interest on a loan is considered to be doubtful by management, it is placed on nonaccrual status prior to becoming 90 days delinquent.

35

Impaired loans are measured based on the present value of the expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. The amount of impaired loans is not directly comparable to the amount of nonperforming loans disclosed later in this section. The primary difference between impaired loans and nonperforming loans is that impaired loan recognition considers not only loans 90 days or more past due, restructured loans and nonaccrual loans but also may include identified problem loans other than delinquent loans where it is considered probable that we will not collect all amounts due to us (including both principal and interest) in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement.

A restructuring of a debt constitutes a troubled debt restructuring (TDR) if the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the debtor's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. Restructured workout loans typically present an elevated level of credit risk as the borrowers are not able to perform according to the original contractual terms. Loans that are reported as TDRs are considered impaired and measured for impairment as described above.

Loans restructured (TDRs) and not included in nonperforming loans in the following table totaled \$1.9 million at March 31, 2016 and \$2.0 million, \$2.0 million, \$4.5 million and \$5.4 million at December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table sets forth the amount of the Company's nonperforming assets as of the dates indicated.

	At March 31,	At Decen	nber 31,						
	2016	2015	2014	2013	2012				
	(dollars in thousands)								
Nonaccrual loans	\$4,602	\$4,546	\$6,625	\$5,519	\$13,683				
Loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing	-	-	-	17	15				
Total nonperforming loans	4,602	4,546	6,625	5,536	13,698				
Other real estate owned	1,760	1,756	3,590	6,399	5,295				
Other vehicles owned	15	30	13	60	41				
Total nonperforming assets	\$6,377	\$6,332	\$10,228	\$11,995	\$19,034				
Interest income forgone on nonaccrual loans	\$75	\$303	\$345	\$280	\$646				
Interest income recorded on a cash basis on nonaccrual loans	\$-	\$-	\$31	\$22	\$192				
Nonperforming loans to total loans	1.12 %	1.13 %	1.79 %	1.64 %	4.35 %				
Nonperforming assets to total assets	1.07 %	1.06 %	1.90 %	2.33 %	3.98 %				

Nonperforming loans at March 31, 2016 were \$4.6 million, an increase of \$56 thousand from the \$4.5 million balance at December 31, 2015. Specific reserves on nonaccrual loans totaled \$786 thousand at March 31, 2016 and \$683

thousand at December 31, 2015, respectively. Performing loans past due thirty to eighty-nine days were \$1.9 million at March 31, 2016 and \$1.5 million at December 31, 2015.

A substandard loan is not adequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or the value of the collateral pledged, if any. Total substandard loans decreased by \$169 thousand from \$6.0 million at December 31, 2015 to \$5.8 million at March 31, 2016. Loans classified as watch decreased by \$579 thousand from \$4.1 million at December 31, 2015 to \$3.5 million at March 31, 2016. At March 31, 2016, \$0.9 million of performing loans were classified as substandard. Further deterioration in the credit quality of individual performing substandard loans or other adverse circumstances could result in the need to place these loans on nonperforming status.

At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company's recorded investment in impaired loans totaled \$6.5 million. The specific allowance for loan losses related to impaired loans totaled \$855 thousand and \$751 thousand at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively. Additionally, \$0.7 million has been charged off against the impaired loans at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

36

It is the policy of management to make additions to the allowance for loan losses so that it remains appropriate to absorb the inherent risk of loss in the portfolio. Management believes that the allowance at March 31, 2016 is appropriate. However, the determination of the amount of the allowance is judgmental and subject to economic conditions which cannot be predicted with certainty. Accordingly, the Company cannot predict whether charge-offs of loans in excess of the allowance may occur in future periods.

OREO represents real property acquired by the Bank either through foreclosure or through a deed in lieu thereof from the borrower. Repossessed assets include vehicles and other commercial assets acquired under agreements with delinquent borrowers. OREO holdings represented eight properties totaling \$1.8 million at March 31, 2016 and seven properties totaling \$1.8 million at December 31, 2015. Nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets were 1.07% at March 31, 2016 and 1.06% at December 31, 2015.

The following table provides a summary of the change in the number and balance of OREO properties for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, dollars in thousands:

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	#	2016	#	2015
Beginning Balance	7	\$1,756	15	\$3,590
Additions	1	13	2	232
Dispositions	-		(2)	(297)
Provision from change in OREO valuation		(9)		129
Ending Balance	8	\$1,760	15	\$3,654

Investment Portfolio and Federal Funds Sold. Total investment securities were \$99.6 million at March 31, 2016 and \$96.7 million as of December 31, 2015. During the three months ended March 31, 2016 the Company sold fourteen available-for-sale investment securities for total proceeds of \$14.6 million recording a \$32,000 net loss on sale. During the three months ended March 31, 2015 we sold eight available-for-sale investment securities having a value of \$6.7 million and recorded a net gain of \$30 thousand. The investment portfolio at March 31, 2016 consisted of \$75.1 million in securities of U.S. Government-sponsored agencies and 90 municipal securities totaling \$24.5 million. Included in the \$96.7 million at December 31, 2015 were \$74.3 million in securities of U.S. Government-sponsored agencies and 83 municipal securities totaling \$22.4 million.

There were no Federal funds sold at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015; however, the Bank maintained interest earning balances at the Federal Reserve Bank totaling \$34.7 million at March 31, 2016 and \$47.6 million at December 31, 2015. The interest rate earned on the balances at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was 0.5%.

The Company classifies its investment securities as available-for-sale or held-to-maturity. Currently all securities are classified as available-for-sale. Securities classified as available-for-sale may be sold to implement the Company's asset/liability management strategies and in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment rates and similar factors.

Deposits. Deposits totaled \$525.2 million at March 31, 2016, a decrease of \$2.1 million from \$527.3 million at December 31, 2015. Declines included \$7.5 million in non-interest bearing demand deposits and \$0.9 million in time deposits. These were mostly offset by increases of \$0.3 million in NOW accounts and \$6.0 million in savings and money market accounts. The Company continues to manage the mix of its deposits consistent with its identity as a community bank serving the financial needs of its customers.

37

The following table shows the distribution of deposits by type at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

		Percent of			Percent of	
(dollars in thousands)	Balance at	Deposits in Each		Balance at	Deposits in Each	
	End of	Category		End of	Category	
Period to			Period to			
		Total			Total	
		Total Deposits			Total Deposits	
	3/31/16			12/31/15		
Non-interest bearing	3/31/16 \$201,579	Deposits 3/31/16	%	12/31/15 \$209,044	Deposits	%
Non-interest bearing NOW		Deposits 3/31/16 38.4	% %		Deposits 12/31/15	% %
C	\$201,579	Deposits 3/31/16 38.4 17.4		\$209,044	Deposits 12/31/15 39.6	
NOW	\$201,579 91,527	Deposits 3/31/16 38.4 17.4 10.0	%	\$209,044 91,225	Deposits 12/31/15 39.6 17.3	%
NOW Money Market	\$201,579 91,527 52,765	Deposits 3/31/16 38.4 4 17.4 10.0 4 24.4	% %	\$209,044 91,225 48,848	Deposits 12/31/15 39.6 17.3 9.3	% %

Deposits represent the Bank's primary source of funds. Deposits are primarily core deposits in that they are demand, savings and time deposits generated from local businesses and individuals. These sources are considered to be relatively stable, long-term relationships thereby enhancing steady growth of the deposit base without major fluctuations in overall deposit balances. The Company experiences, to a small degree, some seasonality with the slower growth period between November through April, and the higher growth period from May through October. In order to assist in meeting any funding demands, the Company maintains a secured borrowing arrangement with the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (FHLB). There were no brokered deposits at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

Short-term Borrowing Arrangements. The Company is a member of the FHLB and can borrow up to \$155,000,000 from the FHLB secured by commercial and residential mortgage loans with carrying values totaling \$239,000,000. The Company is required to hold FHLB stock as a condition of membership. At March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company held \$2,380,000 of FHLB stock which is recorded as a component of other assets. Based on this level of stock holdings at March 31, 2016, the Company can borrow up to \$88,159,000. To borrow the \$155,000,000 in available credit the Company would need to purchase \$1,808,000 in additional FHLB stock. In addition to its FHLB borrowing line, the Company has unsecured short-term borrowing agreements with three of its correspondent banks in the amounts of \$20 million, \$11 million and \$10 million. There were no outstanding borrowings to the FHLB or the correspondent banks under these agreements at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015.

Note Payable and Term Loan. On October 24, 2013 the Company issued a \$3.0 million promissory note (the "Note") payable to an unrelated commercial bank. As originally issued, the Note provided for an interest rate of U.S. "Prime Rate" plus three-quarters percent per annum, 4.00% at December 31, 2014 and 2013, had a term of 18 months and subjected the Bank to several negative and affirmative covenants including, but not limited to providing timely financial information, maintaining specified levels of capital, restrictions on additional borrowings, and meeting or exceeding certain capital and asset quality ratios. The Note is secured by 100 shares of the Bank's stock representing the 100% of the Company's ownership interest in the Bank.

On July 28, 2014, the Company and the borrower modified the Note to (1) extend the maturity date to October 24, 2015, (2) increase the maximum principal amount to \$7.5 million and (3) permit the Company to borrow, repay and reborrow up to the maximum principal amount of the Note, among other things.

On October 1, 2015, the Company and the borrower further modified the Note to (1) extend the maturity date to October 1, 2016, (2) reduce the maximum principal amount to \$2.5 million and (3) change the interest rate to U.S. "Prime Rate" plus one-half percent per annum.

Concurrently, with entering into the second modification of the note on October 1, 2015, the Company entered into a \$5.0 million term loan (the "Term Loan"), which matures on October 1, 2018. The Term Loan requires quarterly principal payments of \$125,000 plus accrued interest. Both the Term Loan and the Note bear interest at a rate of the U.S. "Prime Rate" plus one-half percent per annum and are secured by 100 shares of Plumas Bank stock representing the Company's 100% ownership interest in Plumas Bank.

38

Under the Term Loan and the Note, the Bank is subject to several negative and affirmative covenants similar to the covenants under the original Note but in several cases less restrictive. The Bank was in compliance with all such covenants related to the Note and the Term Loan at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015. Interest expense related to the Note and the Term Loan for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 totaled \$46,000 and \$11,000, respectively. The ending balance of the Note at December 31, 2014 was \$1,000,000. There was no balance outstanding on the Note at December 31, 2015 or March 31, 2016. The balance of the Term Loan was \$4,750,000 and \$4,875,000 at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

Repurchase Agreements. In 2011 the Bank introduced a new product for its larger business customers which use repurchase agreements as an alternative to interest-bearing deposits. The balance in this product at March 31, 2016 was \$4.2 million, a decrease of \$3.5 million from the December 31, 2015 balance of \$7.7 million. Interest paid on this product is similar to that which is paid on the Bank's premium money market account; however, these are not deposits and are not FDIC insured.

Subordinated Debentures. On April 15, 2013 the Company issued a \$7.5 million subordinated debenture ("subordinated debt"). The subordinated debt was issued to an unrelated third-party pursuant to a subordinated debenture purchase agreement, subordinated debenture note, and stock purchase warrant. On April 16, 2015 the Company paid off the subordinated debt. Interest expense related to the subordinated debt for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was \$188,000.

The subordinated debt had an interest rate of 7.5% per annum and a term of 8 years with no prepayment allowed during the first two years and was made in conjunction with an eight-year warrant to purchase up to 300,000 shares of the Bancorp's common stock, no par value at an exercise price, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, of \$5.25 per share.

Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures. Plumas Statutory Trust I and II are business trust subsidiaries formed by the Company with capital of \$313,000 and \$164,000, respectively, for the sole purpose of issuing trust preferred securities fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company.

During 2002, Trust I issued 6,000 Floating Rate Capital Trust Pass-Through Securities ("Trust Preferred Securities"), with a liquidation value of \$1,000 per security, for gross proceeds of \$6,000,000. During 2005, Trust II issued 4,000 Trust Preferred Securities with a liquidation value of \$1,000 per security, for gross proceeds of \$4,000,000. The entire proceeds were invested by Trust I in the amount of \$6,186,000 and Trust II in the amount of \$4,124,000 in Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Deferrable Interest Debentures (the "Subordinated Debentures") issued by the Company, with identical maturity, repricing and payment terms as the Trust Preferred Securities. The Subordinated Debentures represent the sole assets of Trusts I and II.

Trust I's Subordinated Debentures mature on September 26, 2032, bear a current interest rate of 4.03% (based on 3-month LIBOR plus 3.40%), with repricing and payments due quarterly. Trust II's Subordinated Debentures mature on September 28, 2035, bear a current interest rate of 2.11% (based on 3-month LIBOR plus 1.48%), with repricing and payments due quarterly. The interest rate of the Trust Preferred Securities issued by Trust I adjust on each quarterly anniversary date to equal the 3-month LIBOR plus 3.40%. The Trust Preferred Securities issued by Trust II adjust on each quarterly anniversary date to equal the 3-month LIBOR plus 1.48%. Both Trusts I and II have the option to defer payment of the distributions for a period of up to five years, as long as the Company is not in default on the payment of interest on the Subordinated Debentures.

Interest expense recognized by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 related to the subordinated debentures was \$83,000 and \$74,000, respectively.

Capital Resources

Total shareholders' equity increased by \$2.5 million from \$42.5 million at December 31, 2015 to \$45.0 million at March 31, 2016. The \$2.5 million includes earnings during the three month period totaling \$1.6 million and an increase in net unrealized gains on investment securities of \$0.8 million with the balance of \$0.1 million representing stock option activity.

It is the policy of the Company to periodically distribute excess retained earnings to the shareholders through the payment of cash dividends. Such dividends help promote shareholder value and capital adequacy by enhancing the marketability of the Company's stock. All authority to provide a return to the shareholders in the form of a cash or stock dividend or split rests with the Board of Directors. The Board will periodically, but on no regular schedule, review the appropriateness of a cash dividend payment. Banking regulations limit the amount of dividends that may be paid without prior approval of regulatory agencies. No common cash dividends were paid during the last seven years.

39

The Company is subject to various restrictions on the payment of dividends.

Capital Standards.

The Company uses a variety of measures to evaluate its capital adequacy. Management reviews these capital measurements on a monthly basis and takes appropriate action to ensure that they are within established internal and external guidelines. The FDIC has promulgated risk-based capital guidelines for all state non-member banks such as the Bank. These guidelines establish a risk-adjusted ratio relating capital to different categories of assets and off-balance sheet exposures.

In July, 2013, the federal bank regulatory agencies approved the final rules implementing the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's capital guidelines for U.S. banks. The phase-in period for the final rules began on January 1, 2015, with full compliance with all of the final rule's requirements phased in over a multi-year schedule. Under the final rules, minimum requirements increased for both the quantity and quality of capital held by the Company and the Bank. The rules include a new common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets ratio of 4.5% and a capital conservation buffer of 2.5% of risk-weighted assets. The final rules also raise the minimum ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets from 4.0% to 6.0% and require a minimum leverage ratio of 4.0%. The final rules also implement strict eligibility criteria for regulatory capital instruments.

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has adopted final amendments to the Small Bank Holding Company Policy Statement (Regulation Y, Appendix C) (the "Policy Statement") that, among other things, raised from \$500 million to \$1 billion the asset threshold to qualify for the Policy Statement. Plumas Bancorp qualifies for treatment under the Policy Statement and is no longer subject to consolidated capital rules at the bank holding company level.

40

The following table sets forth the Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios (dollar amounts in thousands):

			Amount of Capital Required				
			To be				
					Well-Capit	alized	
			For Capital Under Prompt				
	Actual		Adequacy Corrective				
	Actual		Purposes		Provisions		
	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	Amount	Ratio	
March 31, 2016							
Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio	\$57,787	13.0 %	\$20,061	4.5 %	\$ 28,977	6.5	%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	57,787	9.7 %	23,842	4.0 %	29,802	5.0	%
Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio	57,787	13.0 %	26,748	6.0 %	35,665	8.0	%
Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio	63,369	14.2 %	35,665	8.0 %	44,581	10.0	%
December 31, 2015							
Common Equity Tier 1 Ratio	\$56,300	12.7 %	\$19,908	4.5 %	\$ 28,756	6.5	%
Tier 1 Leverage Ratio	56,300	9.4 %	23,999	4.0 %	29,999	5.0	%
Tier 1 Risk-Based Capital Ratio	56,300	12.7 %	26,544	6.0 %	35,392	8.0	%
Total Risk-Based Capital Ratio	61,839	14.0%	35,392	8.0 %	44,240	10.0	%

Management believes that Plumas Bank currently meets all its capital adequacy requirements.

The current and projected capital positions of the Bank and the impact of capital plans and long-term strategies are reviewed regularly by management. The Company policy is to maintain the Bank's ratios above the prescribed well-capitalized ratios at all times.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Loan Commitments. In the normal course of business, there are various commitments outstanding to extend credits that are not reflected in the financial statements. Commitments to extend credit and letters of credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Annual review of commercial credit lines, letters of credit and ongoing monitoring of outstanding balances reduces the risk of loss associated with these commitments. As of March 31, 2016, the Company had \$88.9 million in unfunded loan commitments and \$100 thousand in letters of credit. This compares to \$83.0 million in unfunded loan commitments and \$265 thousand in letters of credit at December 31, 2015. Of the \$88.9 million in unfunded loan commitments, \$50.3 million and \$38.6 million represented commitments to commercial and consumer customers, respectively. Of the total unfunded commitments at March 31, 2016, \$47.2 million were secured by real estate, of which \$18.9 million was secured by commercial real estate and \$28.3 million was secured by residential real estate in the form of equity

lines of credit. The commercial loan commitments not secured by real estate primarily represent business lines of credit, while the consumer loan commitments not secured by real estate primarily represent revolving credit card lines and overdraft protection lines. Since some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements.

Operating Leases. The Company leases two depository branches and four lending offices and two non-branch automated teller machine locations. Total rental expenses under all operating leases were \$73,000 and \$52,000 during the three months ended March, 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The increase in rental expense in 2016 relates to the rental of our Redding, California Branch. The expiration dates of the leases vary, with the first such lease expiring during 2016 and the last such lease expiring during 2020.

Recent Developments. On April 21, 2016 Plumas Bancorp made a \$2 million payment on its term loan reducing the outstanding balance to \$2.75 million. The payment was funded through a \$3 million dividend from Plumas Bank.

41

Liquidity

The Company manages its liquidity to provide the ability to generate funds to support asset growth, meet deposit withdrawals (both anticipated and unanticipated), fund customers' borrowing needs, satisfy maturity of short-term borrowings and maintain reserve requirements. The Company's liquidity needs are managed using assets or liabilities, or both. On the asset side, in addition to cash and due from banks, the Company maintains an investment portfolio which includes unpledged U.S. Government-sponsored agency securities that are classified as available-for-sale. On the liability side, liquidity needs are managed by charging competitive offering rates on deposit products and the use of established lines of credit.

The Company is a member of the FHLB and can borrow up to \$155,000,000 from the FHLB secured by commercial and residential mortgage loans with carrying values totaling \$239,000,000. See "Short-term Borrowing Arrangements" for additional information on our FHLB borrowing capacity. In addition to its FHLB borrowing line, the Company has unsecured short-term borrowing agreements with three of its correspondent banks in the amounts of \$20 million, \$11 million and \$10 million. There were no outstanding borrowings under the FHLB or the correspondent bank borrowing lines at March 31, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

Customer deposits are the Company's primary source of funds. Total deposits decreased by \$2.1 million from \$527 million at December 31, 2015 to \$525 million at March 31, 2016. Deposits are held in various forms with varying maturities. The Company's securities portfolio, Federal funds sold, FHLB advances, and cash and due from banks serve as the primary sources of liquidity, providing adequate funding for loans during periods of high loan demand. During periods of decreased lending, funds obtained from the maturing or sale of investments, loan payments, and new deposits are invested in short-term earning assets, such as cash held at the FRB, Federal funds sold and investment securities, to serve as a source of funding for future loan growth. Management believes that the Company's available sources of funds, including borrowings, will provide adequate liquidity for its operations in the foreseeable future.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

As a smaller reporting company we are not required to provide the information required by this item.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, based on their evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the Company's fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2016 (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a—15(e), have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are adequate and effective for purposes of Rule 13a—15(e) in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company required to be included in the Company's filings with the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

There were no changes in internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II — OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

From time to time, the Company and/or its subsidiary are a party to claims and legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of the Company's management, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to such proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of the Company taken as a whole.

Item 1A RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the principal risks that we believe are material to our business, results of operations and financial condition, from the risk factors previously disclosed in the 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K. For a discussion on these risk factors, please see "Item 1A. Risk Factors" contained in the 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

42

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(b) None.		
(c) None.		

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

(a) None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

43

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

The following documents are included or incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10Q:

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation as amended of Registrant included as exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Form S-4, File No. 333-84534, which is incorporated by reference herein.
- Bylaws of Registrant as amended on March 16, 2011 included as exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for December 31, 2010, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of Registrant dated November 1, 2002, is included as exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's 10-Q for September 30, 2005, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Amendment of the Articles of Incorporation of Registrant dated August 17, 2005, is included as exhibit 3.4 to the Registrant's 10-Q for September 30, 2005, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Specimen form of certificate for Plumas Bancorp included as exhibit 4 to the Registrant's Form S-4, File No. 333-84534, which is incorporated by reference herein.
- Executive Salary Continuation Agreement of Andrew J. Ryback dated December 17, 2008, is included as exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's 10-K for December 31, 2008, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Split Dollar Agreement of Andrew J. Ryback dated August 23, 2005, is included as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on October 17, 2005, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Subordinated Debenture dated April 15, 2013, is included as Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's 10-Q filed on May 10, 2013, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Stock Purchase Warrant dated April 15, 2013, is included as Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's 10-Q filed on May 10, 2013, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Subordinated Debenture Purchase Agreement dated April 15, 2013, is included as Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's 10-Q filed on November 7, 2013, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Promissory Note Dated October 24, 2013, is included as Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's 10-Q filed on May 10, 2013, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Director Retirement Agreement of John Flournoy dated March 21, 2007, is included as Exhibit 10.8 to Registrant's 10-Q for March 31, 2007, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Amendment to Salary Continuation Agreement of Andrew J. Ryback dated April 1, 2016, is included as Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on April 4, 2016, which is incorporated by this reference herein.

10.10

- Salary Continuation Agreement of Richard L. Belstock dated April 1, 2016, is included as Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on April 4, 2016, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Salary Continuation Agreement of Kerry D. Wilson dated April 1, 2016, is included as Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on April 4, 2016, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Salary Continuation Agreement of BJ North dated April 1, 2016, is included as Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on April 4, 2016, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement of Daniel E. West dated May 10, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.18 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- 10.19 Consulting Agreement of Daniel E. West dated May 10, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.19 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement of Alvin G. Blickenstaff dated April 19, 2000, is 10.21 included as Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Consulting Agreement of Alvin G. Blickenstaff dated May 8, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.

44

- Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement of Gerald W. Fletcher dated May 10, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- 10.25 Consulting Agreement of Gerald W. Fletcher dated May 10, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement of Arthur C. Grohs dated May 9, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Consulting Agreement of Arthur C. Grohs dated May 9, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement of Terrance J. Reeson dated April 19, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Consulting Agreement of Terrance J. Reeson dated May 10, 2000, is included as Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant's 10-QSB for June 30, 2002, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Form of Indemnification Agreement (Plumas Bancorp) is included as Exhibit 10.41 to the Registrant's 10-Q for March 31, 2009, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Form of Indemnification Agreement (Plumas Bank) is included as Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's 10-Q for March 31, 2009, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Plumas Bank 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan as amended is included as exhibit 99.1 of the Form S-8 filed February 14, 2003, File No. 333-103229, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- 10.47 2013 Stock Option Plan is included as exhibit 99.1 of the Form S-8 filed September 12, 2013, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Specimen Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 2013 Stock Option Plan is included as exhibit 99.2 of the Form S-8 filed September 12, 2013, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- Specimen Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the 2013 Stock Option Plan is included as exhibit 99.3 of the Form S-8 filed September 12, 2013, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- First Amendment to Split Dollar Agreement of Andrew J. Ryback, is included as exhibit 10.51 to the Registrant's 10-K for December 31, 2008, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- First Amendment to the Plumas Bank Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement for Alvin 10.64 Blickenstaff adopted on September 19, 2007, is included as Exhibit 10.64 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on September 25, 2007, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- First Amendment to the Plumas Bank Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement for Arthur C.

 Grohs adopted on September 19, 2007, is included as Exhibit 10.65 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on September 25, 2007, which is incorporated by this reference herein.

- Director Retirement Agreement of Robert McClintock, is included as Exhibit 10.66 to the Registrant's 10-K filed on March 23, 2012, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- First Amendment to the Plumas Bank Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement for Terrance J. 10.67 Reeson adopted on September 19, 2007, is included as Exhibit 10.67 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on September 25, 2007, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- First Amendment to the Plumas Bank Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement for Daniel E.

 10.69 West adopted on September 19, 2007, is included as Exhibit 10.69 to the Registrant's 8-K filed on September 25, 2007, which is incorporated by this reference herein.
- First Amendment to the Plumas Bank Amended and Restated Director Retirement Agreement for Gerald W. 10.70 Fletcher adopted on October 9, 2007, is included as Exhibit 10.70 to the Registrant's 10-Q for September 30, 2007, which is incorporated by this reference herein.

45

11	Computation of per share earnings appears in the attached 10-Q under Plumas Bancorp and Subsidiary Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements as Footnote 6 – Earnings Per Share.
31.1*	Rule 13a-14(a) [Section 302] Certification of Principal Financial Officer dated May 4, 2016.
31.2*	Rule 13a-14(a) [Section 302] Certification of Principal Executive Officer dated May 4, 2016.
32.1*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 dated May 4, 2016.
32.2*	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 dated May 4, 2016.
101.INS*	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH*	XBRL Taxonomy Schema.
101.CAL*	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase.
101.DEF*	XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase.
101.LAB*	XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase.
101.PRE*	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase. Filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PLUMAS BANCORP

(Registrant)

Date: May 4, 2016

/s/ Richard L. Belstock Richard L. Belstock Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Andrew J. Ryback Andrew J. Ryback

Director, President and Chief Executive Officer

46