CAMPBELL SOUP CO Form DEF 14A October 06, 2017 <u>Table of Contents</u>

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

### SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX:

Preliminary Proxy Statement Confidential, For Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) Definitive Proxy Statement Definitive Additional Materials Soliciting Material Under Rule 14a-12

### **Campbell Soup Company**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

PAYMENT OF FILING FEE (CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX):

#### No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.

1) Amount previously paid:

2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

3) Filing Party:

4) Date Filed:

### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

#### WHEN

Wednesday, November 15, 2017 4:00 p.m. Eastern Time

#### WHERE

Campbell Soup Company World Headquarters One Campbell Place Camden, NJ 08103

#### **ITEMS OF BUSINESS**

- 1. Elect 12 director nominees to the Board of Directors for a one-year term.
- 2. Ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2018.
- 3. Vote on an advisory resolution to approve the fiscal 2017 compensation of our named executive officers, commonly referred to as a Say on Pay vote.
- 4. Vote on an advisory resolution to approve the frequency of future Say on Pay votes.

5. Transact any other business properly brought before the meeting. **RECORD DATE** 

Shareholders of record as of the close of business on September 18, 2017 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Charles A. Brawley, III Vice President, Corporate Secretary and Associate General Counsel

October 6, 2017

#### IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS

On or about October 6, 2017, we began mailing a Notice Regarding Internet Availability of Proxy Materials ( Notice ) to our shareholders, and mailing paper copies of the proxy statement and the accompanying proxy card and other proxy materials to those shareholders who specifically requested paper copies. The proxy materials were also posted to

www.envisionreports.com/cpb on this date for access by registered shareholders. Shareholders who do not own shares in their own name, but own shares through a bank or broker, may access our proxy materials, including our annual report for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2017, at www.edocumentview.com/cpb.

### **PROXY STATEMENT SUMMARY**

The Board of Directors (the Board ) of Campbell Soup Company (the Company, we, us, our or Camp furnishing this proxy statement and soliciting proxies in connection with the proposals to be voted on at the Campbell Soup Company 2017 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (2017 Annual Meeting) and any postponements or adjournments thereof. This summary highlights certain information contained in this proxy statement, but does not contain all of the information you should consider when voting your shares. Please read the entire proxy statement carefully before voting.

Date	November 15, 2017
Time	4:00 p.m. Eastern Time
Location	Campbell Soup Company World Headquarters One Campbell Place Camden, NJ 08103
Record Date	September 18, 2017
Admission	To attend the 2017 Annual Meeting in person, you will need an admission ticket and government-issued photographic identification
Stock Symbol	СРВ
Stock Exchange	New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE )
Corporate Website	_www.campbellsoupcompany.com
Proposals Election of 12 directed	or nominees to the Board of Directors for a one-year term

Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2018

Say on Pay advisory vote on fiscal 2017 executive compensation

Advisory vote on the frequency of future Say on Pay advisory votes

Transact other business that may properly come before the meeting

### VOTING MATTERS AND VOTE RECOMMENDATIONS

Item	Board Recommendation	Reasons for Recommendation The Board and the Governance Committee believe	More Information
Election of 12 director nominees to the 1. Board of Directors for a one-year term	FOR	our nominees possess the skills, experience and qualifications to effectively monitor performance, provide oversight and support management s execution of Campbell s long-term strategy.	Page 10
Ratification of the appointment of 2. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2018	FOR	The Audit Committee believes that the re-appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is in the best interests of Campbell and our shareholders.	Page 27

Say on Pay advisory vote on fiscal 3.2017 executive compensation	dvisory vote on fiscal compensation for the Board and the Compensation and Organization Committee believe our executive compensation program incorporates a number of compensation governance best practices and reflects our commitment to paying for performance.	Page 30	
Advisory vote on the frequency of <sup>4.</sup> future Say on Pay advisory votes	EVERY YEAR	The Board and the Compensation and Organization Committee believe that continuing to conduct an annual Say on Pay advisory vote will allow shareholders to provide timely, direct input on our executive compensation program.	Page 31

Internet Using the Internet and voting at the website listed on the proxy card or the Notice.

Telephone Using the toll-free phone number listed on the proxy card/voting instruction form.

Mail

Signing, dating and mailing the proxy card in the enclosed postage paid envelope.

See page 69 for details on admission requirements to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting.

Our goal is to be the leading health and well-being food company. Guided by our purpose - *Real food that matters for life s moments,* we are pursuing this goal through a dual strategy of strengthening our core businesses while expanding into faster-growing spaces. We believe that this commitment to health and well-being will build shareholder value by driving sustainable, profitable net sales growth. We are pursuing this dual strategy by continuing to focus on four strategic imperatives:

Building greater trust with consumers through real food, transparency and sustainability;

Accelerating digital marketing and e-commerce efforts;

Continuing to diversify our portfolio in fresh foods and health and well-being; and

Increasing our presence in the faster-growing snacking category.

### **FISCAL 2017 PERFORMANCE**

In fiscal 2017, Campbell delivered the following results:

#### **Financial Results**

We encourage you to review our Annual Report to Shareholders accompanying this proxy statement for more complete financial information.

\*Please see Appendix A for a reconciliation of the measures not shown in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), to their most comparable GAAP measures.

#### **Total Returned to Shareholders**

In fiscal 2017, we returned approximately \$820 million to shareholders through the payment of dividends and share repurchases (excluding anti-dilutive repurchases to support grants to employees under our long-term incentive program).

# ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

### **DIRECTOR NOMINEES**

				Board	Committee	Compositi Finance	on
	Director				Comp.	& Corp.	
Name	Since	Independent	Primary Current Position	Audit	& Org.	Dev.	Governance
Fabiola R. Arredondo	2017		Founder/Managing Partner Siempre Holdings				
Howard M. Averill	New		Chief Financial Officer,	*		*	
(Audit Committee	nominee		Time Warner Inc.				
Financial Expert)							
Bennett Dorrance	1989		Managing Director, DMB Associates				(C)
Randall W. Larrimore	2002		Former President/CEO, United Stationers Inc.				(C)
Marc B. Lautenbach	2014		CEO, Pitney Bowes Inc.				
Mary Alice D. Malone	1990		President,				
Care Mathews	0005		Iron Spring Farm, Inc.				
Sara Mathew (Audit Committee	2005		Former CEO/Chairman, The Dun & Bradstreet	(C)			
Financial Expert)			Corporation				
Keith R. McLoughlin	2016		Former CEO, AB Electrolux				
Denise M. Morrison	2010		President/CEO,				
			Campbell Soup Company				
Nick Shreiber	2009		Former President/CEO,		(C)		
			Tetra Pak Group				
Archbold D. van Beuren	2009		Former Senior				
			Vice President,				
			Campbell Soup Company				
Les C. Vinney	2003		Former President/CEO,				
Chairman of the Board	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		STERIS Corporation				

Committee composition is as of the date of this proxy statement. Current committee assignments are indicated by a ( ), and committee chairs (or co-chairs, in the case of the Governance Committee) are indicated by (C). Please see pages 22 through 24 for more information.

Charles R. Perrin and Tracey T. Travis, who are not standing for re-election, are current members of the Audit Committee and the \* Finance and Corporate Development Committee. If he is elected by shareholders, the Board expects to appoint Mr. Averill to the Audit Committee and the Finance and Corporate Development Committee, following the 2017 Annual Meeting. Please see page 22 for more information.

### THE CAMPBELL SOUP COMPANY BOARD

### Independence

11 of our 12 Director nominees are independent, including our Chairman. All members of all committees are independent.

#### **Skills and Experience**

#### **Tenure of Non-Management Director Nominees**

The Board is composed of Directors who bring a mix of fresh perspectives and deeper experience, and includes three long-term, significant shareholders who are descendants of our founder. The average tenure of our non-management director nominees is approximately 10.5 years. All Directors are committed to the Company s long-term success.

As a group, our Directors possess a broad range of experience and skills including:

See Director biographies beginning on page 11 for further detail

#### **Corporate Governance Policies**

Our corporate governance policies include the following best practices:

Annual election of directors Majority voting standard in uncontested elections Independent Board Chairman Independent directors meet in executive session at every Board meeting Policy against hedging and pledging (subject to grandfathering) applicable to all directors and executive officers No shareholder rights plan or poison pill Robust stock ownership guidelines for directors and executive officers Adopted a clawback policy for incentive compensation NEW Shareholder ability to act by written consent Annual shareholder ratification of independent auditors Board orientation and director education program

Annual Board, committee and director evaluations

# ITEM 2 RATIFICATION OF AUDITORS

Based on the Audit Committee s assessment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s performance, qualifications and independence, it believes their re-appointment for fiscal 2018 is in the best interests of Campbell and our shareholders. Shareholder ratification of the appointment is not required under the laws of the State of New Jersey or our Certificate of Incorporation or By-laws, but as a matter of good corporate governance, the Board is submitting this proposal to shareholders. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee may select a different audit firm at any time during the year if it determines that this would be in the best interests of Campbell and our shareholders.

# ITEM 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON FISCAL 2017 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We offer a total compensation package that is designed to attract, motivate and retain talent of the caliber needed to deliver successful business performance in absolute terms and relative to competition. Our compensation program is designed to link pay to Company, division and individual performance.

The objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

Align the financial interests of our named executive officers ( NEOs ) with those of our shareholders, in both the short and long term

Attract, motivate and retain key executives by providing total compensation that is competitive with compensation paid at other companies in the food, beverage and consumer products industries

short-term and long-term goals

Differentiate the level of compensation based on individual and business unit performance, leadership potential, and level of responsibility within the organization

Provide incentives for achieving and exceeding our

Our executive compensation program reflects the following best practices:

#### WF DO

WE DO	WE DO NOT
Maintain a strong alignment between corporate performance and	Have an employment agreement with our Chief
compensation	Executive Officer
Annually review the risk profile of our compensation programs and maintain risk mitigators	Pay dividends or dividend equivalents to NEOs on unearned equity awards
Use an independent compensation consultant retained directly by the	Reprice stock options without the approval of
Compensation and Organization Committee	Campbell shareholders
Use double-trigger change in control provisions in all incentive plans and agreements	Provide tax-gross ups in any change-in-control agreement entered into after January 1, 2011
Have a policy that allows for the clawback of incentive compensation upon a material financial restatement resulting from fraud or intentional misconduct	Allow executive officers to hedge or pledge Campbell common stock
Maintain robust stock ownership guidelines for all executive officers	

Our pay mix places the greatest emphasis on performance-based incentives, which are not guaranteed. Approximately 88% of our Chief Executive Officer s fiscal 2017 target total direct compensation, and approximately 76% of the average fiscal 2017 target total direct compensation of our other NEO s was at-risk:

CEO

88% at-risk

**Other NEOs** 

76% at-risk

Please see the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, beginning on page 32, for a more detailed discussion of our executive compensation program.

#### **ITEM 4** ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Board, based upon a recommendation of the Compensation and Organization Committee, believes that continuing to conduct an annual Say on Pay advisory vote is the most appropriate choice for Campbell. This frequency will allow shareholders to provide timely, direct input on our executive compensation program. Because this is an advisory vote and not binding, the Board may decide that it is in the best interests of Campbell and our shareholders to hold a Say on Pay advisory vote at a frequency other than the option selected by shareholders.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### WHERE TO OBTAIN FURTHER INFORMATION

Shareholders may receive copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2017, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Standards, and the charters of the four standing committees of the Board of Directors, without charge, by:

(1)	writing to Investor Relations, Campbell Soup Company, 1 Campbell Place, Camden, NJ 08103;
(2)	calling 1-800-840-2865; or
	e-mailing the Company s Investor Relations Department at investorrelations@campbellsoup.com.

These documents are also available on our corporate website at www.campbellsoupcompany.com.

Shareholders may elect to receive future distributions of annual reports and proxy statements by electronic delivery and vote Campbell shares online. To take advantage of this service you will need an e-mail account and access to an Internet browser. To enroll, go to the Investor Center on www.campbellsoupcompany.com and click on E-Delivery of Materials.

<u>10</u>	ITEM 1 - ELECTION OF DIRECTORS
10	Director Qualifications and Board Composition
11	Director Nominees
18	Director Independence
19	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE POLICIES AND PRACTICES
19	Board Leadership Structure
19	Majority Voting
19	Process for Nomination and Evaluation of Director Candidates
<u>20</u>	Evaluations of Board Performance
20	Transactions with Related Persons
<u>20</u>	Board Oversight of Enterprise Risk
<u>21</u>	Corporate Social Responsibility
<u>21</u>	<u>The Board s Role in Talent Development</u>
22	Director Continuing Education
22	Communicating with the Board
22	Board Meetings and Committees
<u>24</u>	Compensation of Directors
<u>27</u>	ITEM 2 - RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
<u>30</u>	ITEM 3 - ADVISORY VOTE ON FISCAL 2017 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
<u>31</u>	ITEM 4 - ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION
<u>32</u>	<u>COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS (CD&amp;A</u> )
<u>33</u>	How Did We Perform?
<u>33</u>	What Are Our Compensation Practices?
<u>34</u>	How Are Compensation Decisions Made?
<u>37</u>	How Do We Compensate our CEO and Other NEOs?
<u>46</u>	How Do We Manage Risks Related to Our Compensation Program?
<u>47</u>	Compensation and Organization Committee Report
<u>48</u>	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES
<u>64</u>	VOTING SECURITIES AND PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS
<u>54</u>	Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers
<u> 55</u>	Principal Shareholders
<u> 36</u>	Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance
<u> 57</u>	OTHER INFORMATION
<u> 57</u>	Submission of Shareholder Proposals for 2018 Annual Meeting
<u> 57</u>	Annual Meeting Information
<u>70</u>	Other Matters
<b>10</b> 10 11 18 <b>19</b> 19 19 19 19 20 20 21 21 22 22 24 <b>27</b> <b>30</b> <b>1</b> <b>2</b> 20 22 22 24 <b>7</b> <b>30</b> <b>1</b> <b>2</b> 20 20 21 21 22 22 24 <b>7</b> <b>30</b> <b>1</b> 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 24 <b>7</b> <b>30</b> <b>1</b> 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 24 <b>7</b> <b>30</b> <b>1</b> 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 24 <b>7</b> <b>30</b> <b>1</b> 22 22 24 <b>7</b> <b>30</b> <b>1</b> 23 33 34 47 <b>46</b> 47 <b>48</b> <b>4</b> 45 56 <b>67</b> 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 7	<u>APPENDIX A</u>
<u>71</u>	Non-GAAP Financial Measures
	Campbell Soup Company   2017 Proxy Statement

09

### **ITEM 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

The Campbell By-laws give the Board the authority to determine the number of directors. The Board is currently comprised of 13 directors, 11 of whom have been nominated by the Board for election. Charles R. Perrin has reached age 72 and, pursuant to our Corporate Governance Standards, is not being nominated for re-election and will retire from the Board as of the 2017 Annual Meeting. Tracey T. Travis has chosen not to stand for re-election, and her current term will end as of the 2017 Annual Meeting. Ms. Travis decision to not stand for re-election is not due to a disagreement with Campbell relating to our operations, policies or practices.

Directors are to be elected to hold office until the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders, or until their earlier resignation or retirement. Directors are elected by a majority of the votes cast; abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast on this proposal.

The Governance Committee is responsible for investigating, reviewing and evaluating the qualifications of candidates for membership on the Board and for assessing the contributions and performance of directors eligible for re-election. It is also responsible for recommending director nominees for approval by the Board and nomination for election by shareholders.

### DIRECTOR QUALIFICATIONS AND BOARD COMPOSITION

The Governance Committee works with the Board to determine the appropriate mix of characteristics, skills, knowledge and experience for the Board as a whole and for individual directors. The Governance Committee strives to maintain an engaged, independent Board with broad and diverse experience and judgment that is committed to representing the long-term interests of our shareholders. The Governance Committee believes that all directors should be persons of the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values who abide by exemplary standards of business and professional conduct. Directors should bring an inquisitive and objective perspective, practical wisdom and mature judgment to the Board and be committed to devoting the time and attention necessary to fulfill their duties and responsibilities. In furtherance of these objectives, the Governance Committee considers a wide range of factors when nominating candidates for election to the Board, including:

*Leadership experience and professional expertise.* The Governance Committee is committed to ensuring we have an experienced, qualified Board with leadership expertise and professional expertise in areas relevant to Campbell, such as: consumer products

marketing finance and accounting mergers and acquisitions innovation health and well-being digital and e-commerce strategy international expansion corporate governance

> Enhancing the Board s diversity.

Although the Board does not have a specific diversity policy, the Governance Committee takes into account a nominee s ability to contribute to the diversity of

#### backgrounds and experience of the Board. It considers the race, ethnicity, gender, age, cultural background and professional experience of each nominee and of the Board as a whole. **Ensuring a** balanced mix of

skills.

#### tenures. The

Governance Committee believes it is important to maintain a mix of experienced directors with a deep understanding of our business and others who bring a fresh perspective. We expect our average director tenure to continue to evolve over the next several years as current directors approach retirement and new members are recruited. The average tenure of our non-management director nominees is approximately 10.5 years. **Complying with** applicable independence standards and policies on

*conflicts.* The Governance Committee considers potential competitive restrictions, other positions the director has held or holds (including other board

memberships) and director independence. It believes that any nominee for election to the Board should be willing and able to devote the proper time and attention to fulfill the responsibilities of a director and have no conflicts of interest arising from other relationships or obligations.

The Board has carefully considered whether the slate of director nominees, taken as a whole, fulfills the objectives for Board composition noted above. The director nominees collectively have a mix of various skills and qualifications, some of which are listed in the table below. These collective attributes enable the Board to provide insightful leadership as it strives to advance our strategies and deliver returns to shareholders.

accounting
business leadership
consumer packaged goods
corporate governance
finance and capital allocation
financial expertise and financial literacy
health and well-being
international business
long-term investor perspective
marketing and brand management
operational management
digital/e-commerce
information technology and security
sales

### **DIRECTOR NOMINEES**

The Board has nominated the 12 individuals appearing below for election by shareholders at the 2017 Annual Meeting. All director nominees listed in this proxy statement, other than Fabiola R. Arredondo and Howard M. Averill, were also nominated by the Board and elected by the shareholders at the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Ms. Arredondo was elected by the directors to the Board on March 22, 2017, and Mr. Averill was nominated by the Board on September 27, 2017 for election by shareholders at the 2017 Annual Meeting. Ms. Arredondo and Mr. Averill were each identified and presented to the Governance Committee for consideration by a third-party search firm. See pages 19 and 20 for more information on the director nomination process.

All of the nominees are independent directors, except Ms. Morrison. If a nominee becomes unable or unwilling to serve, proxies will be voted for the election of such person as shall be designated by the Board of Directors to replace such nominee, or, in lieu thereof, the Board may reduce its size. The Board knows of no reason why any nominee would be unable or unwilling to serve. Except as otherwise specified on your proxy card, proxies will be voted for election of the nominees named on pages 11 through 17.

Biographical information, including the specific experience, qualifications and skills of each of the director nominees is included below.

### YOUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR ALL NOMINEES

	FABIOLA R. ARREDONDO
Independent	
Director	Biography
Since: 2017	Fabiola R. Arredondo has been the Managing Partner of Siempre Holdings, a private, single family investment office based in Greenwich, Connecticut, since 2001. Ms. Arredondo previously held senior
<b>Age:</b> 50	operating roles at Yahoo! Inc., the British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) and Bertelsmann SE & Co. KGaA.
Committee	Skills and Qualifications
Memberships:	Ms. Arredondo brings a wealth of operational and board experience across the digital ecosystem, including
Compensation and	business model transformations and developing e-commerce distribution networks in response to the
Organization	shifting consumer landscape.
Governance	Other Bublic Company Beerde
	Other Public Company Boards
	Burberry plc. 2015 present

### FARIOLA R ARREDONDO

Burberry pic, 2015 present

Experian plc, 2007 2016 Saks Inc., 2012 2013

### HOWARD M. AVERILL

#### **Biography**

New Independent Director Nominee Age: 54	<ul> <li>Howard M. Averill has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Time Warner Inc., a global media and entertainment company, since January 2014. Mr. Averill previously served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Time Inc. from 2007 through the end of 2013. Prior to joining Time Inc., Mr. Averill spent 10 years at NBC Universal in a variety of financial roles.</li> <li>Skills and Qualifications</li> <li>Mr. Averill has significant executive leadership experience, particularly in the areas of finance, accounting, mergers and acquisitions, and strategic planning. As a result of his executive position with a leading media and entertainment company, Mr. Averill also brings digital media expertise and knowledge of information technology and security to the Campbell Board.</li> <li>Other Public Company Boards</li> </ul>
	None in the past 5 years
Independent Director	BENNETT DORRANCE Biography
Since: 1989	Bennett Dorrance is Managing Director of DMB Associates, a real estate development firm headquartered in Phoenix, Arizona that he co-founded in 1984, which specializes in large, master-planned communities.
<b>Age:</b> 71	Skills and Qualifications Mr. Dorrance brings expertise in real estate development and operational management to the Campbell
Committee Memberships: Compensation Organization	Board. In addition, as a descendent of Campbell Soup Company's founder and a significant shareholder, Mr. Dorrance has extensive knowledge of Campbell's history, organization and culture, and adds the perspective and of a long-term, highly committed director and shareholder to the deliberations and decisions of the Board.
Governance (Co-Chair) 12 www.cam	Other Public Company Boards Insight Enterprises, Inc., 2004 present pbellsoupcompany.com

Director

Age: 70

Committee

Since: 2002

### **RANDALL W. LARRIMORE**

#### **Biography**

#### Randall W. Larrimore served as President and Chief Executive Officer of United Stationers Inc., a wholesaler Independent and distributor of office products, from 1997 until his retirement in 2002. Prior to joining United Stationers (now known as Essendant), he was President and Chief Executive Officer of MasterBrand Industries, Inc. (now known as Fortune Brands Home and Security), Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Master Lock Company, and Chairman of Moen Incorporated. Mr. Larrimore also served as President of Beatrice Home Specialties, and held executive positions at PepsiCo, including the position of President of Pepsi-Cola Italy. Earlier in his career, Mr. Larrimore was a senior consultant with McKinsey & Company and worked in brand management with Richardson-Vicks (now part of Procter & Gamble). Mr. Larrimore was also a Captain in the U.S. Army Reserves. **Memberships:**

# Compensation

and Organization Governance (Co-Chair)

**Skills and Qualifications** 

Mr. Larrimore has extensive executive leadership and board experience, business acumen and considerable knowledge of the packaged goods industry. He also brings expertise in marketing, sales, strategic planning and mergers and acquisitions to the Campbell Board.

**Other Public Company Boards** Olin Corporation, 1998 present

### MARC B. LAUTENBACH

#### **Biography** Independent Marc B. Lautenbach has served as President and Chief Executive Officer at Pitney Bowes Inc., a global Director technology company, since 2012. Before joining Pitney Bowes, Mr. Lautenbach spent 27 years in senior Since: 2014 leadership roles at International Business Machines Corporation (IBM), a global technology services company, most recently serving as Managing Partner, North America, IBM Global Business Services. Age: 56 **Skills and Qualifications** As a sitting chief executive officer, Mr. Lautenbach brings executive leadership experience to the Campbell Committee Board. He possesses substantial operational experience in the technology field, as well as marketing, sales **Memberships:** and product development experience. Mr. Lautenbach has worked with a broad range of customers and Audit clients, and has significant international experience. Compensation and Organization **Other Public Company Boards** Pitney Bowes Inc., 2012 present Campbell Soup Company | 2017 Proxy Statement 13

### MARY ALICE DORRANCE MALONE

#### Biography

Independent Director Since: 1990

Age: 67

#### Committee Memberships:

Finance and Corporate Development Governance

Independent

Since: 2005

Director

Age: 62

Committee

Corporate Development

**Memberships:** 

Audit (Chair)

Finance and

Mary Alice Dorrance Malone is President of Iron Spring Farm horse breeding and performance centers in Pennsylvania and Florida, which she founded in 1976. She has served for many years on the boards of several non-profit organizations and actively participates in various philanthropic organizations.

#### **Skills and Qualifications**

Ms. Malone is an entrepreneur, a private investor and an officer of several private companies. She has a keen interest in health and wellness matters and brings valuable insights to the Campbell Board in this area. As a descendent of Campbell Soup Company s founder and a significant shareholder, she possesses extensive knowledge of Campbell s history, organization and culture, and the strategic perspective of a long-term, highly committed director and shareholder.

### **Other Public Company Boards**

None in the past 5 years

### SARA MATHEW

#### **Biography**

Sara Mathew was Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, a leading source of commercial data, analytics and insights on business, from July 2010 until her retirement in October 2013. Before assuming the combined role of chief executive officer and chairman, she held a number of other leadership positions at Dun & Bradstreet, including President and Chief Executive Officer, President and Chief Operating Officer, and Chief Financial Officer. In her preceding 18-year career at Procter & Gamble, a global provider of consumer packaged goods, she held a number of executive positions, including Vice President of Finance with responsibility for Australia, Asia and India, and Comptroller and Chief Financial Officer of the Global Baby Care business unit.

#### Skills and Qualifications

As a former chairman, chief executive officer and chief financial officer of a global public company, Ms. Mathew brings valuable knowledge and insights in global business and financial matters to the Campbell Board. She also has significant operational management and consumer products experience, as well as extensive experience as a public company director.

#### **Other Public Company Boards**

Freddie Mac, 2013 present Shire plc, 2015 present Avon Products, Inc., 2014 2016 The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, 2008 2013

### **KEITH R. MCLOUGHLIN**

Independent Director Since: 2016 Age: 61	<b>Biography</b> Keith R. McLoughlin was President and Chief Executive Officer of AB Electrolux, a global manufacturer of major household appliances, from 2011 until February 2016. Mr. McLoughlin joined Electrolux in 2003, where he was the President of the Electrolux Home Products North America, Head of Major Appliances in North America and Latin America, Executive Vice President and Head of Global Operations prior to being appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of Electrolux.
Committee Memberships:	Skills and Qualifications As the former chief executive officer for a global enterprise, Mr. McLoughlin possesses significant executive leadership experience and expertise in international business and operations. His additional experience in

Memberships:leadership experience and expertise in international business and operations. His additional experience in<br/>Compensation and retail sales, marketing, innovation, strategic planning, and organizational and human resource matters provide<br/>valuable insights to the deliberations of the Campbell Board.

Governance

Other Public Company Boards Briggs & Stratton Corp., 2007 present

### **DENISE M. MORRISON**

#### President and Chief Executive Officer of Campbell Soup Company

#### **Biography**

Director<br/>Since:Denise M. Morrison has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Campbell Soup Company since August<br/>2011. She joined Campbell in 2003 as Senior Vice President and President-Global Sales/Chief Customer Officer, and<br/>was appointed President of Campbell USA in 2005. She served as Senior Vice President and President of North<br/>America Soup, Sauces and Beverages from 2007 until September 2010, and as Executive Vice President and Chief<br/>Operating Officer from October 2010 until assuming the role of President and CEO.

### Age: 63 Skills and Qualifications

Ms. Morrison has a distinguished record of building strong businesses and growing iconic brands. She brings executive leadership experience, financial acumen and 40 years of experience in the consumer packaged goods industry to the Campbell Board. Her prior experience in sales, marketing, operations and business development, both at Campbell and other leading consumer product companies, add to her deep understanding of our business and our industry.

#### **Other Public Company Boards**

MetLife, Inc., 2014 present

Independent

Since: 2009

Director

Age: 68

Committee

### **NICK SHREIBER**

#### **Biography**

Nick Shreiber served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Tetra Pak Group, a global provider of packaging and processing solutions for food, from 2000 until his retirement in 2005. He spent 18 years at Tetra Pak and held a variety of international executive positions, including responsibility for North and South America. Prior to Tetra Pak, Mr. Shreiber was a partner with McKinsey & Co., where he spent eight years with engagement responsibility for major clients in Europe and Latin America, in diverse industrial and service sectors.

#### **Skills and Qualifications**

Memberships: Organization (Chair) Governance

Mr. Shreiber is an international executive with more than 30 years of senior leadership experience in both line Compensation and management and management consulting. He has extensive experience in the food industry from the perspective of the value chain and packaging. He also brings valuable expertise on issues relating to leadership, organization and strategy to the Campbell Board.

> **Other Public Company Boards** None in the past 5 years

### **ARCHBOLD D. VAN BEUREN**

Independent Director	Biography Archbold D. van Beuren served as Senior Vice President and President-Global Sales and Chief Customer			
Since: 2009	Officer for Campbell Soup Company, from 2007 until his retirement in October 2009. Mr. van Beuren joined Campbell in 1983 as an Associate Marketing Manager and served in various positions of increasing responsibility, including President of Godiva Chocolatier and President of a division responsible for the North			
<b>Age:</b> 60	America Foodservice business and the Company s Canadian, Mexican and Latin American businesses.			
Committee	Skills and Qualifications			
Memberships:	Mr. van Beuren brings wide-ranging skills in operational management and extensive knowledge of Campbell,			
Audit	its customers, its products and the food industry to the Board. He is also a descendant of the founder of			
Finance and	Campbell Soup Company and adds the perspective of a long-term, highly committed shareholder to the Board s discussions.			
Corporate	Doard Stuscussions.			
Development	Other Public Company Boards			
	None in the past 5 years			
16 www.campbe	16 www.campbellsoupcompany.com			

### LES C. VINNEY

#### Biography

Independent<br/>DirectorLes C. Vinney has served as non-executive Chairman of the Board of Campbell Soup Company since November<br/>1, 2015. Mr. Vinney served as President and CEO of STERIS Corporation, a provider of infection prevention and<br/>surgical products and services, from 2000 until 2007, and served as Senior Advisor to STERIS until his<br/>retirement in 2009. Prior to becoming President and Chief Executive Officer, he was Senior Vice President and<br/>Chief Financial Officer of STERIS.

# Age: 68 Skills and Qualifications

**CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD** Mr. Vinney brings executive leadership and management experience to the Campbell Board. His experience in the healthcare industry is especially valuable to Campbell s strategic objectives. Mr. Vinney also has significant expertise in the areas of accounting, finance and strategic planning.

#### **Other Public Company Boards**

Patterson Companies, Inc., 2008 present

### **DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

A statement of standards that the Board has adopted to assist it in evaluating the independence of the Campbell Board appears in the Corporate Governance Standards, which can be found in the corporate governance section of our website at www.campbellsoupcompany.com. The Standards for the Determination of Director Independence (the Independence Standards ) describe various types of relationships that could potentially exist between a director and Campbell, and define the thresholds at which such relationships would be deemed material under the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) Corporate Governance Standards. The Board will deem a director to be independent if (i) no relationship exists that would disqualify the director under the guidelines set forth in the Independence Standards, and (ii) the Board has determined, based on all relevant facts and circumstances, that any other relationship between the director and Campbell, not covered by the Independence Standards, is not material. In any case in which the Board makes the latter determination, the relationship will be disclosed in the proxy statement, along with the basis for the Board s conclusion that it is not material.

The Board has determined that each director and director nominee, other than Ms. Morrison, is independent under the NYSE Corporate Governance Standards and the Independence Standards:

Each member of the Audit, Compensation and Organization, Finance and Corporate Development, and Governance Committees is an independent director pursuant to all applicable NYSE Corporate Governance Standards and the Independence Standards. In addition, each member of the Audit Committee also meets the additional independence standards for audit committee members established by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), and each member of the Compensation and Organization Committee also qualifies as a Non-Employee Director as defined in Rule 16b-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), and as an outside director for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (IRC).

### **CORPORATE GOVERNANCE POLICIES AND PRACTICES**

The Board of Directors is responsible for overseeing our business, and the competence and integrity of our management, to serve the long-term interests of our shareholders. The Board believes that sound corporate governance is essential to effective fulfillment of its oversight responsibilities. The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Standards, which are reviewed at least annually and updated as needed. The Corporate Governance Standards provide a framework for effective corporate governance of the Company. You can find a copy of our Corporate Governance Standards, along with the charters of the four standing Board committees, our Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws, and our Policy Concerning Transactions with Related Persons, in the corporate governance section of our website at www.campbellsoupcompany.com. Some highlights of our corporate governance include:

Annual election of directors Majority voting standard in uncontested elections Independent Board Chairman Independent directors meet in executive session at every Board meeting Policy against hedging and pledging (subject to grandfathering) applicable to all directors and executive officers

No shareholder rights plan or poison pill

Robust stock ownership guidelines for directors and executive officers

Adopted a clawback policy for incentive compensation **NEW** 

Shareholder ability to act by written consent Annual shareholder ratification of independent auditors

Board orientation and director education program Annual Board, committee and director evaluations

### **BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE**

We have a long-standing tradition of separating the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. The Board continues to believe that this is the most appropriate leadership structure for us. The principal responsibility of the Chief Executive Officer is to manage the business. The principal responsibilities of the Chairman of the Board are to manage the operations of the Board of Directors and its committees and provide counsel to the Chief Executive Officer on behalf of the Board.

### **MAJORITY VOTING**

We have a majority vote standard in uncontested director elections. Under our By-laws, in an uncontested election, each director shall be elected by an affirmative majority of the votes cast to hold office until the next annual meeting and until his or her successor is elected and has qualified. In contested elections (those where the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected), a plurality vote standard shall apply. Shareholders may vote for or against each nominee, or they may abstain from voting on a nominee; however, abstentions will have no effect in determining whether the required majority vote has been obtained.

In the event an incumbent director fails to receive an affirmative majority of the votes cast in an uncontested election, the Corporate Governance Standards provide that the director shall tender his or her resignation. The Governance Committee and the Board will then consider and take appropriate action on such offer of resignation in accordance with the Corporate Governance Standards. The resignation policy set forth in the Corporate Governance Standards does not apply to contested elections.

### **PROCESS FOR NOMINATION AND EVALUATION OF DIRECTOR CANDIDATES**

The Governance Committee is responsible for evaluating the qualifications of director candidates and recommending director nominees for approval by the Board and nomination for election at the annual meeting of shareholders.

**Re-Nomination of Incumbent Directors.** Our Corporate Governance Standards require the Governance Committee to assess the performance of each director eligible for re-election at the annual meeting. The Governance Committee conducts its assessment annually in advance of its recommendation of a slate of director nominees for approval by the Board. In fiscal 2017, each incumbent director who was eligible for re-election was evaluated in light of the criteria in the Corporate Governance Standards and the factors described on page 10 with respect to the qualification of directors and the composition of the Board. In addition, the Co-Chairs of the Governance Committee solicit an assessment of each director from the Chairman of the Board and the Chief

Executive Officer.

*Evaluation of New Nominees.* When identifying potential director candidates whether to replace a director who has retired or resigned or to expand the Board to gain additional capabilities the Governance Committee determines the skills, experience and other characteristics that a potential nominee should possess in light of the composition and needs of the Board and its committees. The Governance Committee also considers whether or not the nominee would be considered independent under the NYSE Corporate Governance Standards and the Independence Standards.

All candidates considered by the Governance Committee for recommendation to the Board as director nominees are evaluated in light of the criteria in the Corporate Governance Standards and the factors and objectives described on page 10. The Governance Committee will also consider the assessment of any search firm it has retained and the background information such firm provides on any person it recommends for consideration. The Chairman of the Board, the Co-Chairs of the Governance Committee and the Chief Executive Officer customarily interview leading candidates. Other directors may also interview these candidates.

Although not required to do so, the Committee may consider candidates proposed by our directors or our management and may also retain an outside firm to help identify and evaluate potential nominees. The Committee will also consider nominations from shareholders. The nominee evaluation process is the same whether the nomination comes from a Board member, management, a search firm or a shareholder. If the Committee recommends a candidate to the Board, the Board may as with any nominee either accept or reject the recommendation.

Shareholder Recommendations. Shareholders who wish to recommend candidates for nomination for election to the Board may do so by writing to the Corporate Secretary of Campbell Soup Company at 1 Campbell Place. Camden, New Jersey 08103. The recommendation must include the following information:

- 1. The candidate s name and business address;
- A resume or curriculum vitae, which describes the candidate s background and demonstrates that he or she meets the qualifications set forth on page 10;
- 3. A letter from the candidate stating that he or she is willing to serve on the Board if elected, and identifying any legal or regulatory proceedings in which he or she has been involved during the last ten years; and
- A statement from the shareholder recommending the candidate, indicating that he or she is the registered owner of Campbell 4. shares, or a written statement from the record holder of Campbell shares indicating that the shareholder is the beneficial owner of such shares.

Shareholders who wish to propose a director nominee at an annual meeting must follow the advance notice procedures contained in our By-laws, which include notifying the Corporate Secretary at least 60 but not more than 90 days before the first anniversary of the prior year s annual meeting. Based on this year s annual meeting date of November 15, 2017, a notice will be considered timely for the 2018 Annual Meeting of Shareholders if our Corporate Secretary receives it no earlier than August 17, 2018, and no later than September 16, 2018. Please see Submission of Shareholder Proposals for 2018 Annual Meeting on page 67 for additional information.

### **EVALUATIONS OF BOARD PERFORMANCE**

The Governance Committee leads annual evaluations of Board and committee performance. The evaluation process is designed to facilitate ongoing, systematic examination of the Board s effectiveness and accountability, and to identify opportunities for improving its operations and procedures. In fiscal 2017, the Board conducted a self-evaluation focused on the effectiveness of the performance of the Board as a whole. Each standing committee conducted a separate evaluation of its own performance and of the adequacy of its charter, and reported on the results of its evaluation to the Board.

### TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PERSONS

Under our written Policy Concerning Transactions with Related Persons (the Related Persons Policy ). the Governance Committee is required to review and, in appropriate circumstances, approve or ratify any transaction in which Campbell was or is to be a participant, the amount involved exceeded or is expected to exceed \$120,000 and any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest, as well as any material amendment to or modification of such a transaction, unless the transaction falls into one of the categories deemed to have been approved in advance.

In determining whether to approve or ratify a transaction, the Governance Committee is directed to consider, among other factors it may deem appropriate, whether the transaction was or will be on terms no less favorable than those generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances. No director may participate in the discussion or approval of a transaction in which he or she, or a member of his or her immediate family, has a direct or indirect interest. The Co-Chairs of the Governance Committee (or, if a transaction involves one of the Committee Co-Chairs, the Chairman of the Board) may approve or ratify a related person transaction in which the aggregate amount involved is less than \$1 million. Any transaction approved or ratified by the Co-Chairs or the Chairman is to be reported to the Governance Committee at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

There were no transactions during the period from August 1, 2016 through the date of this proxy statement, and none are currently proposed, in which Campbell was or is to be a participant, the amount involved exceeded or is expected to exceed \$120,000, and

any related person had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

### **BOARD OVERSIGHT OF ENTERPRISE RISK**

Enterprise risk management (ERM) is an integral part of our business processes. Senior management is primarily responsible for establishing policies and procedures designed to assess and manage the Company s significant risks. We have an ERM steering committee, comprised of the members of our Campbell Leadership Team and supported by other executives with subject-matter expertise, that provides oversight of enterprise risks and our processes to identify, measure, monitor and manage these risks.

The Board oversees the enterprise risk management process, including reviews of the most significant risks the Company faces and the manner in which our executives manage these risks. In accordance with NYSE Corporate Governance Standards, the Audit Committee charter assigns to that committee the responsibility to review our policies and procedures with respect to risk assessment and risk management. At the Audit Committee s recommendation, the Board adopted a framework pursuant to which it delegated oversight for certain categories of enterprise risks to each of its standing committees, as shown below. This structure enables the Board and its Committees to coordinate the risk oversight role. We believe that the separation of the Chairman and CEO roles further supports the Board s risk oversight role.

Responsibility for Risk Oversight Campbell Board and Committees

Full Board	Audit Committee	Compensation and Organization Committee	Finance and Corporate Development Committee	Governance Committee
Strategy	ERM policies and procedures	Compensation policies and practices	Capital management and structure	Governance risks
Operations	Financial statements and financial reporting processes	Executive incentive compensation and stock ownership	Liquidity and credit matters	Director compensation
Market dynamics, including competition and consumer/ customer trends	Accounting and audit matters	Executive retention and succession planning	Investment policies, strategies and guidelines	Review of transactions with related persons
Significant portfolio transactions (e.g., acquisitions, divestitures, restructurings, joint ventures)	Information technology and security	Risk assessment of incentive compensation programs	Financial plan	
	Legal and			

compliance

Regulatory matters

### **CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY**

At Campbell, we are guided by our purpose *Real food that matters for life s moments.* Our consumers trust us to govern ourselves in a way that is conscious of our impact on the planet and people. For this reason, we put corporate responsibility and sustainability at the heart of our purpose and core business strategies. At Campbell, we define corporate responsibility and sustainability as advancing global nutrition and well-being; helping build a more sustainable environment; and honoring our role in society, from the farm to the family. We publish an annual report that describes our corporate responsibility and sustainability initiatives and our progress in these areas.

At Campbell, our governance structure helps us to successfully integrate corporate responsibility and sustainability into our everyday operations. This structure begins with the support of our Chief Executive Officer and executive team and oversight by the Board of Directors. Annual updates on corporate responsibility and sustainability are provided to the Audit Committee, and progress against defined corporate responsibility metrics is a component of our annual incentive compensation program.

### Learn More About Corporate Social Responsibility at Campbell

We invite you to view our 2017 Corporate Responsibility Report at www.campbellcsr.com THE BOARD S ROLE IN TALENT DEVELOPMENT

A primary Board responsibility is to ensure that we have the appropriate management talent to successfully execute our strategies. The Board believes that effective talent development is critical to Campbell s continued success. The Board s involvement in leadership development and succession planning is systematic and ongoing. The Board plans for CEO succession and oversees management s planning for succession of other key executive positions. The Board calendar includes at least one meeting each year at which the Board conducts a detailed review of the Company s talent strategies, leadership pipeline and

succession plans for key executive positions. The Compensation and Organization Committee oversees the process of succession planning and implements programs to retain and motivate key talent. To assist the Board, the CEO annually provides the Board with an assessment of senior managers and their potential to succeed to the position of CEO or other key executive positions.

### DIRECTOR CONTINUING EDUCATION

We maintain a formal program of continuing education for directors. The Governance Committee is responsible for the administration of the program, which expects each Director to complete a total of 16 hours of director continuing education over the course of two years. Directors may meet this expectation through a combination of Company-sponsored courses or events, in-person or online director education programs sponsored by outside parties, online training courses offered as part of our compliance training program for employees, and certain other educational experiences as may be approved by the Governance Committee from time to time.

### **COMMUNICATING WITH THE BOARD**

Interested persons may communicate with the full Board of Directors or the non-management directors by writing to the Chairman of the Board or to the non-management directors as a group in care of the Office of the Corporate Secretary at the Company s headquarters, or by email to directors@campbellsoup.com. Concerns communicated to the Board will be addressed through the Company s regular procedures for addressing such matters. Our Corporate Secretary receives and processes all communications and will refer relevant and appropriate communications to the Chairman. Depending upon the nature of the concern, it may be referred to the Company s Corporate Audit Department, Legal Department or Finance Department, or other appropriate departments.

Any concerns about Campbell s governance, corporate conduct, business ethics or financial practices may also be communicated to the Board by calling the following toll-free Hotline telephone number in the U.S. and Canada: 1-800-210-2173. To place toll-free calls from other countries where we have operations, please see the instructions listed in the corporate governance section of our website at www.campbellsoupcompany.com. Any concern relating to accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters will be referred both to the Chairman and to the Chair of the Audit Committee.

As they deem necessary or appropriate, the Chairman of the Board or the Chair of the Audit Committee may direct that certain concerns communicated to them be presented to the Audit Committee or the full Board, or that they receive special treatment, including the retention of outside counsel or other outside advisors.

Campbell policy prohibits the Company and any of our employees from retaliating in any manner, or taking any adverse action, against anyone who raises a concern or helps to investigate or resolve it.

### **BOARD MEETINGS AND COMMITTEES**

#### **Director Attendance**

Directors meet their responsibilities by preparing for and attending Board and committee meetings, and through communication with the Chairman, the Chief Executive Officer and other members of management on matters affecting the Company. During fiscal 2017, the Board of Directors held six regular meetings and two special meetings. All directors attended at least 84% of scheduled Board meetings and meetings held by committees of which they were members.

All incumbent directors who are standing for re-election are expected to attend the 2017 Annual Meeting. All of the directors who were standing for re-election attended the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

#### **Board Committee Structure**

The Board has established four standing committees as of the record date: the Audit Committee; the Compensation and Organization Committee; the Finance and Corporate Development Committee; and the Governance Committee. Each of the standing committees has a charter that is reviewed annually by that committee. Proposed changes to the charter of any standing committee are approved by the Board. The committee charters are available in the corporate governance section of the Company s website at www.campbellsoupcompany.com. Actions taken by any of the standing committees are reported to the Board. All members of the Board receive copies of the minutes of all committee meetings and copies of the materials distributed in advance of the meetings for all of the committees.

Information regarding membership in the standing committees as of the date of this proxy, the number of meetings held by each committee in fiscal 2017, the principal responsibilities of the standing committees, and other relevant information are described in the tables that follow. If he is elected by shareholders, the Board expects to appoint Mr. Averill to the Audit Committee and the Finance and Corporate Development Committee, following the 2017 Annual Meeting. The Board has determined that Mr. Averill is independent under the NYSE Corporate Governance Standards and the Independence Standards, that he meets the additional independence standards for audit committee members established by the SEC, that he is financially literate within the meaning of the NYSE Corporate Governance Standards, and that he is an audit committee financial expert, as defined in the SEC rules.

#### AUDIT COMMITTEE Prin Meetings

in fiscal 2017: 12

### **Committee Members:**

Sara Mathew (Chair) Marc B. Lautenbach Charles R. Perrin Tracey T. Travis Archbold D. van Beuren

#### Primary Responsibilities

Evaluates the performance of and appoints the independent registered public accounting firm;

Reviews the scope and results of the audit plans of the independent registered public accounting firm and the internal auditors;

Reviews the effectiveness of the Company s systems of internal control over financial reporting;

Reviews the performance and resources of the internal audit function, which reports directly to the Audit Committee;

Reviews the Company s policies and practices with respect to risk assessment and risk management;

Reviews the financial reporting and accounting principles and standards and the audited financial statements to be included in the annual report;

Reviews the quarterly financial results and related disclosures;

Approves all permissible non-audit services to be performed by the independent registered public accounting firm and all relationships that the independent registered public accounting firm has with Campbell; and

Reviews the legal compliance and ethics program and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics.

Financial Expertise and Financial Literacy

The Board has determined that Sara Mathew and Tracey T. Travis are audit committee financial experts, as defined by the SEC rules, and that all members of the Audit Committee are financially literate within the meaning of the NYSE Corporate Governance Standards.

#### Report

The Audit Committee report begins on page 28 of this proxy statement.

### COMPENSATION AND ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE Meetings

in fiscal 2017: 7

#### **Committee Members:**

Nick Shreiber (Chair) Fabiola R. Arredondo Bennett Dorrance Randall W. Larrimore Marc B. Lautenbach Keith R. McLoughlin

#### **Primary Responsibilities**

Reviews and approves the short-term and long-term incentive compensation programs, including the performance goals;

Reviews and approves the salaries and incentive compensation for senior executives, including the Chief Executive Officer, and total incentive compensation to be allocated annually to employees;

Reviews the executive salary structure and the apportionment of compensation among salary and short-term and long-term incentive compensation;

Conducts an annual performance evaluation of the Chief Executive Officer by all independent directors;

Reviews major organizational changes and executive organization and principal programs for executive development;

Reviews and recommends to the Board significant changes in the design of employee benefit plans; and

Conducts an assessment of the independence of any outside advisor it chooses to retain. Compensation and Organization Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

There are no Compensation and Organization Committee interlocks. No member of the Committee has ever been an officer or employee of Campbell, and none of the members

has any relationship required to be disclosed under this caption under the rules of the SEC. In addition, no executive officer of Campbell served on the compensation committee or board of directors of a company for which any of our directors serves as an executive officer.

#### Report

The Compensation and Organization Committee report is on page 47 of this proxy statement.

### FINANCE AND CORPORATE DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Meetings in fiscal 2017: 6

### **Committee Members:**

Charles R. Perrin (Chair) Mary Alice D. Malone Sara Mathew Tracey T. Travis Archbold D. van Beuren

#### Primary Responsibilities

Reviews and recommends to the Board all issuances, sales or repurchases of equity and long-term debt;

Reviews and recommends changes to our capital structure;

Reviews and recommends the financing plan, dividend policy and capital budget;

Reviews and recommends acquisitions, divestitures, joint ventures, partnerships or combinations of business interests; and

Reviews financial risks and the principal policies, procedures and controls with respect to investment and derivatives, foreign exchanges and hedging transactions.

### Primary Responsibilities

Review and make recommendations to the Board regarding:

The organization and structure of the Board; Qualifications for director candidates; Candidates for election to the Board; Committee chairs and Board Committee assignments; Candidates for the position of Chairman of the Board; Evaluation of the Chairman s performance; and Amount and design of compensation for non-employee directors, including stock ownership guidelines. The Governance Committee oversees the annual Board, committee and individual director evaluation processes and administers the director education program. The Committee also reviews any transaction with a related person in accordance with the Board s policy concerning such transactions, as further described on page 20.

### **COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS**

We strive to recruit and retain highly qualified non-employee directors who will best represent our shareholders interests. Each year, the Governance Committee reviews the amount and design of the compensation program for non-employee directors to ensure that the compensation we offer supports our objectives and is consistent with non-employee director compensation within our Compensation Peer Group.

In fiscal 2017, the Governance Committee retained FW Cook as the independent compensation consultant to the Board to benchmark our non-employee director compensation against our Compensation Peer Group and other large, public companies and assess the appropriateness of the form and amount of our non-employee director compensation. FW Cook provided the Governance Committee with this data and its independent assessment of the competitiveness of our non-employee directors compensation, and the Governance Committee determined whether to recommend any changes to the program. For more information on FW Cook, see Roleof Independent Compensation Consultant on page 35. Using the information in FW Cook s 2017 review, the Governance Committee recommended to the Board and the Board approved a \$10,000 increase in the amount of the annual stock retainer granted to each non-employee director. The Governance Committee and the Board concluded this increase was appropriate to support its director recruitment and retention objectives, while continuing to further align director compensation and shareholders interests by increasing the proportion of Company stock in the annual retainer.

Additionally, our 2015 Long-Term Incentive Plan, which was approved by our shareholders at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, caps the maximum aggregate dollar value of equity awards that can be made to any individual non-employee

in fiscal 2017: 5 Committee Members: Bennett Dorrance (Co-Chair) Randall W. Larrimore (Co-Chair) Fabiola R. Arredondo Mary Alice D. Malone

Keith R. McLoughlin

Nick Shreiber

**GOVERNANCE** 

COMMITTEE

Meetings

36

director in a calendar year at \$500,000. All stock grants made in fiscal 2017 to non-employee directors were significantly below this amount. See the 2017 Director Compensation table below for specific values.

The table below sets forth the components of non-employee director compensation for 2017:

Annual Cash Retainer:	\$119,000
Annual Stock Retainer:	\$129,000
	\$25,000 for Audit Committee
	\$20,000 for Compensation and Organization Committee
	\$15,000 for Finance and Corporate Development Committee
Committee Chair Retainers:	\$15,000 for Governance Committee
Audit Committee Member Retainer:	\$7,500
Chairman s Annual Retainer:	\$350,000

The retainers for Committee chairs, Audit Committee members and the Chairman of the Board are in addition to the annual cash and stock retainers paid to all non-employee directors. These additional retainers are delivered 50% in cash and 50% in shares of Campbell stock. Directors may elect to receive shares of Campbell stock in lieu of their cash retainers. There were no changes to these additional retainers in fiscal 2017.

We do not pay a Company employee who also serves as a director any additional compensation for serving as a director. Currently, Denise M. Morrison is the only director who is a Company employee. Directors do not receive individual meeting fees. We pay for, provide or reimburse directors for expenses incurred to attend Board and Committee meetings and director education programs. Directors do not have a retirement plan or receive any benefits such as life or medical insurance. Directors do receive business travel and accident insurance coverage.

## **Stock Ownership Guidelines**

Under our Corporate Governance Standards, each director is expected, within five years of first joining the Board, to own Campbell stock or hold deferred stock units that have a value equal to five times the annual cash retainer. As of the date of this proxy statement, each of our non-employee directors has met or is on track to meet this guideline.

## **Policy on Pledging**

In September 2013, the Board adopted a policy that prohibits any director or executive officer from pledging any shares of Campbell common stock that he or she owns or controls, directly or indirectly, as security under any obligation on a prospective basis. Directors who had pledged shares as of September 25, 2013 are expected to reduce the number of shares pledged in a reasonable manner over time. See the footnotes following the Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers table on page 64 for additional information regarding shares subject to pledge obligations and reductions in the number of shares pledged.

#### **Deferred Compensation Plans for Non-Employee Directors**

Under our Deferred Compensation Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan, a non-employee director may elect to defer payment of all or a portion of his or her fees until termination of his or her directorship. Directors participate in the same plans as executives. See page 57 for a description of the material terms of the Deferred Compensation Plan and the Supplemental Retirement Plan.

# **2017 Director Compensation**

	Fees Earned or		
	Paid in	Stock	
	Cash	Awards(1)	Total
Name	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Fabiola R. Arredondo	\$ 89,250	\$ 96,750	\$186,000
Bennett Dorrance	\$126,500	\$136,500(2)	\$263,000
Randall W. Larrimore	\$126,500	\$136,500	\$263,000
Marc B. Lautenbach	\$122,750	\$132,750(2)	\$255,500
Mary Alice D. Malone	\$119,000	\$129,000	\$248,000
Sara Mathew	\$131,500	\$141,500(2)	\$273,000
Keith R. McLoughlin	\$119,000	\$129,000	\$248,000
Charles R. Perrin	\$130,250	\$140,250	\$270,500
Nick Shreiber	\$129,000	\$139,000	\$268,000
Tracey T. Travis	\$122,750	\$132,750	\$255,500
Archbold D. van Beuren	\$122,750	\$132,750	\$255,500
Les C. Vinney	\$294,000	\$304,000	\$598,000

Amounts reported represent the aggregate grant date fair value of shares issued to each director during fiscal 2017, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are included in Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2017 (2017 Form

(1) Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2017 (2017 Form 10-K). Directors are fully vested in stock awards at the time of grant, therefore, there were no unvested stock awards at July 30, 2017.

In 2017, Messrs. Dorrance and Lautenbach and Ms. Mathew elected to defer the value of their stock awards. This amount was (2) credited to each individual s notional account in the Supplemental Retirement Plan and invested in the Campbell Stock Fund, which is indexed to Campbell common stock.

The aggregate perquisites to any individual director did not exceed the SEC reporting threshold amount of \$10,000.

# ITEM 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee is directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the independent registered public accounting firm. The names of the directors serving on the Audit Committee appear on page 29, immediately below the Audit Committee Report.

The Audit Committee has re-appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ( PwC ) to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2018. PwC or one of its predecessor firms has been retained as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm continuously since we went public in 1954. Representatives of PwC will be at the 2017 Annual Meeting to make a statement if they desire to do so and to answer appropriate questions from shareholders.

The Audit Committee evaluated PwC s performance, qualifications and independence in making its determination to re-appoint PwC. The factors considered in the evaluation included:

PwC s performance during fiscal 2017 and in previous fiscal years, including the results of a management survey measuring (i) the quality of PwC s services, (ii) the sufficiency of PwC s resources, (iii) PwC s communication skills and (iv) PwC s independence and objectivity;

PwC s approach and plan for the fiscal 2018 audit of our financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting;

PwC s global reach, expertise and experience in the consumer packaged goods industry;

The experience, professional qualifications and education of the PwC engagement team;

A review of PwC s independence program and the processes it uses to maintain independence;

The scope of PwC s internal quality control program and the results of its most recent quality control reviews, including reviews by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board and PwC s peers;

A review of PwC s recent legal or regulatory issues that may impact its ability to provide services to us; and

The appropriateness of PwC s fees for its professional services.

The Audit Committee has the sole authority to approve all engagement fees to be paid to PwC. The Audit Committee regularly meets with the lead audit partner without members of management present, and in executive session with only Audit Committee members present, which provides the opportunity for continuous assessment of the firm s effectiveness and independence and for consideration of rotating audit firms. In accordance with SEC rules and PwC policies, the firm s lead engagement partner rotates at least every five years. The Audit Committee and its Chair are involved in the selection of PwC s lead engagement partner.

The Audit Committee and the Board of Directors believe that the continued retention of PwC to serve as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2018 is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Shareholder ratification of the appointment is not required under the laws of the State of New Jersey or our Articles or By-laws, but as a matter of good corporate governance, the Board is submitting this proposal to shareholders. The affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast at the meeting is required for ratification. Abstentions will not be counted as votes cast on this proposal. If the appointment is not ratified, the Audit Committee will consider whether it is appropriate to select another audit firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee may select a different audit firm at any time during the year if it determines that this would be in the best interests of Campbell and its shareholders.

#### Your Board of Directors Recommends a Vote For This Proposal

#### **Audit Firm Fees and Services**

The aggregate fees, including expenses, billed by PwC, for professional services in fiscal 2017 and 2016 were as follows:

Services Rendered	Fiscal 2017	Fiscal 2016
Audit Fees	\$4,489,000	\$4,424,000
Audit-Related Fees	\$ 22,000	\$4,000
Tax Fees	\$1,353,000	\$753,000
All Other Fees	\$ 4,000	\$4,000

The audit fees for the years ended July 30, 2017 and July 31, 2016 include fees for professional services rendered for the audits of our consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, quarterly reviews, statutory audits, SEC filings, and accounting consultations.

The audit-related fees for the years ended July 30, 2017 and July 31, 2016 include fees for services related to a pension plan audit.

Tax fees for the years ended July 30, 2017 and July 31, 2016 include fees for services related to tax compliance, including the preparation of tax returns, tax assistance with tax audits, transfer pricing, and tax consulting.

Other fees for the years ended July 30, 2017 and July 31, 2016 include fees associated with the use of accounting and technical research software.

All audit, audit-related, tax and other fees described above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee in accordance with its pre-approval policy.

## **Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy**

Our Audit Committee s policy is to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services provided by the independent registered public accountants. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services and other permissible non-audit services. The pre-approval authority details the particular service or category of service that the independent registered public accountants will perform. Management reports to the Audit Committee on the actual fees charged by the independent registered public accountants for each category of service.

During the year, circumstances may arise when it becomes necessary to engage the independent registered public accountants for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approval authority. In those instances, the Audit Committee approves the services before we engage the independent registered public accountants. In case approval is needed before a scheduled Audit Committee meeting, the Audit Committee has authority to delegate pre-approval authority to one of its members who must report on such pre-approval decisions at the Audit Committee s next regular meeting. During fiscal 2017, the Audit Committee delegated authority to its Chair, Sara Mathew, to pre-approve additional audit and non-audit services in an amount not to exceed \$200,000.

#### **Auditor Independence**

PwC has advised us that neither it nor any member of its firm has any financial interest, direct or indirect, in any capacity in us or our subsidiaries. We have made similar inquiries of our directors and executive officers, and we have identified no such direct or indirect interest in PwC.

## **Audit Committee Report**

Management has primary responsibility for Campbell s financial statements and the reporting process, including the systems of internal control over financial reporting. Our role as the Audit Committee of Board of Directors is to oversee Campbell s accounting and financial reporting processes, including the systems of internal control over financial reporting, and audits of its financial statements.

Our duties include overseeing Campbell s management, internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm in their performance of the following functions for which they are responsible:

#### Management

Preparing Campbell s financial statements in accordance with GAAP;

Establishing and assessing effective financial reporting systems and internal controls and procedures; and

Reporting on the effectiveness of Campbell s internal control over financial reporting.

#### Internal Auditors

Independently assessing management s system of internal controls and procedures; and Reporting on the effectiveness of that system.

#### Independent Auditors

Auditing Campbell s financial statements;

Issuing an opinion about the financial statements conformity with U.S. GAAP; and

Annually auditing the effectiveness of Campbell s internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee discussed with the internal auditors and the independent registered public accounting firm the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. The Audit Committee reviewed with the internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm, with and without members of management present, the results of their audits, their assessment of Campbell s internal control over financial reporting and the overall quality of Campbell s financial reporting.

Prior to Campbell s filing of its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2017 with the SEC, the Audit Committee also during the year:

Reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the audited financial statements;

Reviewed and discussed with management and the independent registered public accounting firm the assessment by management and the independent registered public accounting firm of the adequacy and effectiveness of Campbell s internal control over financial reporting;

Discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent registered public accountants communications with the Audit Committee;

Received from the independent registered public accounting firm a written report stating that they are not aware of any relationships between the registered public accounting firm and Campbell that, in their professional judgment, may reasonably be thought to bear on their independence, as required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant s communication with the audit committee concerning independence;

Discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the firm s objectivity and independence; and

Considered whether the provision of non-audit services by the independent registered public accounting firm to Campbell for the most recent fiscal year and the fees and costs billed and expected to be billed by the independent registered public accounting firm for those services are compatible with maintaining its independence.

Based on the review and discussions described in this report, and subject to the limitations of the Audit Committee s role and responsibilities outlined in this report, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board that Campbell s audited consolidated financial statements be included in Campbell s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 30, 2017, for filing with the SEC.

#### **Audit Committee**

Sara Mathew, Chair Marc B. Lautenbach Charles R. Perrin Tracey T. Travis Archbold D. van Beuren

# ITEM 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON FISCAL 2017 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Section 14A of the Exchange Act requires that shareholders be given the opportunity to cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on executive compensation. This vote, commonly known as a Say on Pay vote, gives shareholders the opportunity to vote for or against named executive officer (NEO) compensation during a given fiscal year. Shareholders votes are not intended to address any specific item of the compensation program, but rather to address our overall approach to executive compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC s rules.

As described in detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 32, we offer a total compensation package that is designed to attract, motivate and retain talent of the caliber needed to deliver successful business performance in absolute terms and relative to competition. Our compensation program is designed to link pay to Company, division and individual performance, and reward achievements in those areas accordingly. The objectives of the executive compensation program are to:

Align the financial interests of our NEOs with those of our shareholders, in both the short and long term;

Provide incentives for achieving and exceeding our short-term and long-term goals;

Attract, motivate and retain key executives by providing total compensation opportunities that are competitive with opportunities offered by other companies in the food, beverage and consumer products industries; and

Differentiate the level of compensation based on individual and business unit performance, leadership potential and level of responsibility within the organization.

The Compensation and Organization Committee ( Committee ) of the Board of Directors annually reviews our compensation structure, including the apportionment of pay between fixed and at-risk compensation elements and the design of the incentive compensation programs, and reviews and approves the applicable performance metrics by which such at-risk compensation is paid. The Committee believes that our executive compensation program effectively implements our compensation principles and policies, achieves our compensation objectives and aligns the interests of the NEOs and shareholders. Please read the entire Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 32 for additional details about our executive compensation programs, including detailed information about fiscal year 2017 compensation of the NEOs.

The Board of Directors is asking shareholders to support our fiscal 2017 executive compensation program, as disclosed in this proxy statement. The vote required for approval of this proposal is a majority of the votes cast. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast on this proposal. This vote on executive compensation is advisory, and therefore, will not be binding on Campbell Soup Company, the Committee or the Board of Directors, and it will not be construed as overruling any decision by the Company or the Board of Directors or creating or implying any change to, or additional fiduciary duties for, the Company or the Board of Directors.

#### Your Board of Directors Recommends a Vote For This Proposal and For the Following Resolution:

RESOLVED, that the shareholders of Campbell Soup Company approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to Campbell Soup Company s named executive officers, as disclosed in the 2017 Proxy Statement pursuant to the Security and Exchange Commission s compensation disclosure rules, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2017 executive compensation tables and related narrative discussion.

# ITEM 4 ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Section 14A of the Exchange Act also requires that shareholders be given the opportunity at least every six years to cast an advisory (non-binding) vote as to how frequently they want to cast a Say on Pay advisory vote on executive compensation. By voting on this proposal, shareholders may indicate whether they would prefer future advisory votes on executive compensation to take place every one, two or three years. Shareholders last voted on this proposal at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and an overwhelming majority voted for an annual Say on Pay advisory vote.

The Board of Directors, upon recommendation of the Committee, believes that continuing to conduct an annual Say on Pay advisory vote is the most appropriate choice for our shareholders. This frequency will allow shareholders to provide timely, direct input on our executive compensation program. In addition, an annual advisory Say on Pay vote is consistent with our practice of seeking input from, and engaging with, our shareholders. For these reasons, the Board of Directors recommends that you vote for an annual Say on Pay advisory vote.

The voting choice that receives the highest number of votes cast will be the frequency selected by our shareholders for the Say on Pay advisory vote. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes on this proposal. This vote on frequency is advisory, and therefore will not be binding on Campbell Soup Company, the Compensation and Organization Committee or the Board of Directors, and it will not be construed as overruling any decision by the Company or the Board of Directors or creating or implying any change to, or additional fiduciary duties for, the Company or the Board of Directors.

#### Your Board of Directors Recommends a Vote of Every Year as the Proposed Frequency.

# COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS ( CD&A )

This CD&A describes our executive compensation program for the Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) and the three other most highly compensated executive officers who are named in the summary compensation table (collectively with the CEO and CFO, named executive officers or NEOs). The Compensation and Organization Committee (Committee) of the Board of Directors oversees all aspects of NEO compensation, including annual incentive compensation under our Annual Incentive Plan (AIP) and other applicable plans, and long-term incentive compensation under our Long-Term Incentive Program (LTI Program). The fiscal 2017 NEOs are:

Denise M. Morrison	President and Chief Executive Officer
Anthony P. DiSilvestro	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Mark R. Alexander	President, Americas Simple Meals and Beverages
Adam G. Ciongoli	Senior Vice President and General Counsel
Luca Mignini	President, Global Biscuits and Snacks

## 33 HOW DID WE PERFORM?

- 33 2017 Results
- 33 2017 Executive Compensation Highlights

#### 33 WHAT ARE OUR COMPENSATION PRACTICES?

- 33 Compensation Objectives
- 33 Compensation Principles and Policies
- 34 Compensation Governance
- 34 Results of 2016 Say on Pay Vote

#### 34 HOW ARE COMPENSATION DECISIONS MADE?

- 34 Role of the Compensation and Organization Committee
- 35 Role of Management
- 35 Role of Independent Compensation Consultant
- 35 Peer Groups

#### 37 HOW DO WE COMPENSATE OUR CEO AND OTHER NEOs?

- 37 Compensation Elements
- 38 Base Salary
- 38 Annual Incentive Compensation
- 41 Long-Term Incentive Compensation
- 42 Fiscal 2017 Long-Term Incentive Program
- 44 Awards with Performance Periods Ending in Fiscal 2017
- 44 Summary of Performance-Based Awards Paid Out Following Fiscal 2017
- 44 Retirement Plans and Other Benefits

#### 46 HOW DO WE MANAGE RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAM?

- 46 Risk Assessment Incentive Compensation Programs
- 46 Executive Stock Ownership
- 46 Tax Implications
- 47 Policies Prohibiting Hedging or Pledging of Company Securities
- 47 Incentive Compensation Clawback Policy
- 32 www.campbellsoupcompany.com

# HOW DID WE PERFORM?

## 2017 Results

Our fiscal 2017 financial results included:

Net sales of \$7.890 billion;

Earnings before interest and taxes ( EBIT ) of \$1.400 billion;

Adjusted EBIT of \$1.492 billion;

Earnings per share ( EPS ) of \$2.89;

Adjusted EPS of \$3.04;

Increased our multi-year cost savings initiative to \$450 million by the end of fiscal 2020; and

Cumulative three-year total shareholder return (TSR) of 26.8%, which ranked 5th out of 11 companies in the peer group.

More information on our business performance in fiscal 2017 is available in our 2017 Form 10-K, which is included in the 2017 Annual Report to Shareholders that accompanies this proxy statement. Information on items impacting comparability is available in Appendix A, which also provides a reconciliation of adjusted EBIT and adjusted EPS, which are non-GAAP measures, to their most comparable GAAP measures.

# **2017 Executive Compensation Highlights**

Based on its evaluation of our performance in fiscal 2017 against the scorecard goals described on page 40, the Committee funded the AIP pool at 65%.

TSR performance-restricted share units for the three-year performance period ending in fiscal 2017 vested at 125% of target based on our TSR performance relative to peers. See page 44 for additional information.

EPS performance-restricted share units with a fiscal 2017 performance period will vest at 100% based on our fiscal 2017 EPS results, assuming the continued service conditions are met. See page 43 for additional information.

# WHAT ARE OUR COMPENSATION PRACTICES?

#### **Compensation Objectives**

The objectives of our executive compensation program are to:

Align the financial interests of the NEOs with those of our shareholders, in both the short and long term;

Provide incentives for achieving and exceeding our short-term and long-term goals;

Attract, motivate and retain our key executives by providing total compensation opportunities that are competitive with opportunities offered by other companies in the food, beverage and consumer products industries; and

Differentiate the level of compensation based on individual and business unit performance, leadership potential and level of responsibility within the organization. Individual performance is rated based upon demonstrated leadership skills, accomplishment of objectives, business unit or functional accountabilities and personal contributions.

#### **Compensation Principles and Policies**

The Committee annually reviews, and the Board approves, the principles and policies for executive compensation. The principles and policies are:

Campbell offers a total compensation package that is designed to link pay to Company, business unit and individual performance and attract, motivate and retain talent of the caliber needed to deliver successful business performance in absolute terms and relative to competition;

Compensation levels are set after comparing Campbell s pay levels and practices to the practices of the Compensation Peer Group (see page 36), which is reviewed annually by the Committee;

Campbell targets total annual cash compensation to the median of the Compensation Peer Group. Long-term incentives are targeted above the median. Total compensation, consisting of salary, annual incentives and long-term incentives, is targeted at 5% to 10% above the median, in the aggregate. A regression analysis is performed to adjust the compensation data for the top executive positions to take into account differences in the total revenue of various peer companies compared to our total revenue. Our competitive position is reviewed annually by the Committee;

Annual incentive payments are based on our performance compared to the goals established at the beginning of the fiscal year in four measurement areas relating to our financial, marketplace, operational and strategic objectives for that year. The Committee evaluates performance compared to the annual goals and uses its discretion to determine the funding percentage for the AIP pool;

Long-term incentive grants are delivered in a combination of performance-restricted share units, time-lapse restricted share units and stock options, with the mix varying by level of responsibility within the organization; and

Senior executives have a substantial portion of their compensation at risk, based upon the achievement of the performance goals for annual incentive payments and the performance goals for long-term incentives. To further align the interests of our senior executives with those of shareholders, a higher proportion of the incentive compensation delivered to senior executives is through performance-based long-term incentives that are paid out depending upon our financial performance (see pages 42 through 43 for a description of the LTI Program).

# **Compensation Governance**

Our executive compensation program reflects the following best practices.

WE DO	WE DO NOT
Maintain a strong alignment between corporate performance and compensation	Have an employment agreement with our CEO
Annually review the risk profile of our compensation programs and maintain risk mitigators	Pay dividends or dividend equivalents to NEOs on unearned equity awards
Use an independent compensation consultant retained directly by the Compensation and Organization Committee	Reprice stock options without the approval of Campbell shareholders
Use double-trigger change in control provisions in all incentive plans and agreements	Provide tax gross ups in any change-in-control agreement entered into after January 1, 2011
Have a policy that allows for the clawback of incentive compensation upon a material financial restatement resulting from fraud or intentional misconduct	Allow executive officers to hedge or pledge Campbell common stock

Maintain robust stock ownership guidelines for all executive officers

#### **Results of 2016 Say on Pay Vote**

At the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, we held our annual shareholder advisory vote on executive compensation, or Say on Pay vote. Ninety-seven percent (97%) of the votes cast were in favor of the Say on Pay proposal.

As the Committee evaluated our compensation principles and policies during fiscal 2017, it was mindful of this favorable outcome and our shareholders strong support of our compensation objectives and compensation programs. The Committee has maintained its general approach to executive compensation, and made no material changes in fiscal 2017 to the compensation principles and policies or the objectives of our compensation program. See Item 3 Advisory Vote on Fiscal 2017 Executive Compensation on page 30 for additional information on the 2017 Say on Pay vote.

## HOW ARE COMPENSATION DECISIONS MADE?

#### **Role of the Compensation and Organization Committee**

The Committee has overall responsibility for our executive compensation program. The Committee annually reviews compensation strategy, principles and policies, including the apportionment of pay between fixed compensation and incentive compensation elements, and the design of incentive compensation programs. The Committee approves all compensation and benefits for our executive officers (10 individuals, including the NEOs), authorizes the aggregate amount of annual incentive awards for all eligible participants under the AIP and the LTI Program, and authorizes the CEO to allocate awards to other participants under the AIP and the LTI Program, and authorizes the CEO to allocate awards to other participants under the AIP and the LTI Program, up to an aggregate amount. Pursuant to the terms of its charter, the Committee is authorized to delegate any of its responsibilities to subcommittees as it deems appropriate, subject to the requirements of applicable laws, regulations and shareholder approved plans. The Committee has delegated to the Chair of the Committee the authority to approve compensation actions for these executive officers between Committee meetings when necessary for business continuity purposes. The Chair of the Committee and the Chairman of the Board of Directors must jointly approve any equity grants made to executive officers between meetings.

Following the completion of the fiscal year, the Committee reviews the performance of the NEOs and approves each executive s annual incentive payment for the just-completed fiscal year and certifies the vesting of long-term incentive awards for performance periods ending as of the just-completed fiscal year. The Committee also reviews and approves the base salary, annual incentive target and long-term incentive grant for the current fiscal year. This review of all major elements of executive compensation at one time provides the Committee with a comprehensive analysis of the target dollar amount of compensation that would be delivered by each element of compensation, assuming that the required performance goals are attained.

The Committee also reviews major organizational changes and reviews our succession planning and leadership development processes.

#### **Role of Management**

It is our customary practice for the CEO and the Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer to provide recommendations to the Committee on compensation actions for our executive officers (except for his or her own compensation actions) and on potential changes in the design of executive compensation programs. In September 2017, the CEO and the Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer recommended to the Committee compensation actions for the NEOs (other than their own positions), including AIP awards for fiscal 2017 and base salaries and LTI grants for fiscal 2018.

The Vice President and Corporate Secretary and the Senior Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer work with the Committee to develop the annual list of agenda items and the annual schedule of meetings for the Committee, which are set prior to each fiscal year. The list of agenda items is approved by the Committee.

#### **Role of Independent Compensation Consultant**

Pursuant to its charter, the Committee is authorized to engage an outside advisor to assist in the design and evaluation of our executive compensation program, as well as to approve the fees paid to such advisor and other terms of the engagement. Prior to the retention of an outside advisor, the Committee assesses the prospective advisor s independence, taking into consideration all relevant factors, including those factors specified in the NYSE listing standards.

In fiscal 2017, the Committee engaged FW Cook as its compensation consultant. FW Cook does not provide us with any services other than advising the Committee on executive compensation and advising the Governance Committee on non-employee director compensation. The Committee did not engage any other advisor in fiscal 2017. At the direction of the Committee, FW Cook provided advice on CEO compensation, compensation trends, governance issues and other matters of interest to the Committee during fiscal 2017. The Committee assessed FW Cook s independence, taking into account a number of factors such as: (1) the provision of other services to Campbell by FW Cook; (2) the amount of fees received from Campbell by FW Cook as a percentage of the total revenue of FW Cook; (3) FW Cook s policies and procedures to prevent conflicts of interest; (4) any business or personal relationship between FW Cook and the members of the Committee; (5) any ownership of Campbell stock by the individuals at FW Cook performing consulting services for the Committee; and (6) any business or personal relationship between FW Cook provided the Committee; and (6) any business or personal relationship between FW Cook provided the Committee with appropriate assurances regarding its independence. Based on this analysis, the Committee has concluded that FW Cook has been independent throughout its service to the Committee and that there are no conflicts of interest.

#### **Peer Groups**

The Committee identifies both a Compensation Peer Group and a Performance Peer Group (which is a subset of the Compensation Peer Group) in designing and determining executive compensation. The Committee uses the Compensation Peer Group to evaluate the competitiveness of executive compensation and uses the Performance Peer Group to measure the competitiveness of our TSR performance.

The Compensation Peer Group consists of companies in the food, beverage and consumer products industries with whom we primarily compete for executive talent. The Performance Peer Group consists of the companies in the Standard & Poor s Packaged Foods Group, which are independently selected by Standard & Poor s (S&P) based upon the similarities of the companies businesses in the packaged foods industry.

The composition of the Compensation Peer Group is approved by the Committee each fiscal year after obtaining advice from its independent compensation consultant. In fiscal 2017, the Committee compared our total compensation levels with levels at the companies in Compensation Peer Group identified in the table below. Given our relatively small size in relation to many of the companies in the Compensation Peer Group, a regression analysis was performed to adjust the compensation data for the top positions for differences in the total revenues of the various companies compared to our total revenue. The Committee believes that use of the Compensation Peer Group is the most effective method to evaluate and set the compensation needed to attract,

motivate and retain the executive talent needed to manage our businesses and operations successfully, because these are the primary companies with which we compete for senior executives. Use of this peer group also provides a broad database that allows Campbell to obtain accurate, representative survey information for a majority of its positions.

The Committee also reviewed the Performance Peer Group in fiscal 2017 and continues to believe that it is the appropriate group in Campbell s industry against which to measure our TSR performance. TSR performance of the companies in the Compensation Peer Group that are not in the packaged foods industry is more likely to be affected by economic developments that do not affect the packaged foods industry. Companies that are added to and deleted from the S&P Packaged Foods Group are automatically added to, or deleted from, the Performance Peer Group. The companies currently in the S&P Packaged Foods Group are noted in the table below; this list is also readily available through S&P.

#### Fiscal 2017 Compensation Peer Group & Performance Peer Group

Anheuser-Busch Companies, Inc. The Clorox Company The Coca-Cola Company **Colgate-Palmolive Company** ConAgra Foods, Inc.(1) Dean Foods Company Diageo North America, Inc. Dr. Pepper Snapple Group, Inc. General Mills, Inc.(1) The Hershey Company(1) Hillshire Brands Company(2) Hormel, Inc.(1) Johnson & Johnson Company The J.M. Smucker Company(1) Kellogg Company(1) Kimberly-Clark Corporation The Kraft Heinz Company(1) Mars. Inc. McCormick & Company, Inc.(1) Mead Johnson Nutrition Company(3) Mondelez International, Inc.(1) Nestle USA. Inc. PepsiCo, Inc. The Procter & Gamble Company Revnolds American Inc. S.C. Johnson & Son, Inc. Tyson Foods, Inc.(1) Unilever United States, Inc.

(1) These companies, plus Campbell, constitute the entire S&P Packaged Foods Group, the Performance Peer Group used to measure TSR performance for calculation of the payout of TSR performance-restricted share units under the LTI Program.

Hillshire Brands Company was part of the Compensation Peer Group for purposes of determining fiscal 2017 compensation. (2) Hillshire Brands was acquired by Tyson Foods, Inc. in August 2014, and currently operates as a Tyson subsidiary. Because compensation benchmarking data is no longer available for Hillshire Brands Company, the Committee removed it from the

<sup>(2)</sup> compensation benchmarking data is no longer available for Hillshire Brands Company, the Committee removed it from the Compensation Peer Group for fiscal 2018. Tyson Foods, Inc. will remain in the fiscal 2018 Compensation Peer Group.

Mead Johnson Nutrition Company was part of the Compensation Peer Group for purposes of determining fiscal 2017 (3) the S&P Packaged Foods Group. As a result of the acquisition and removal from the S&P Packaged Foods Group, the Committee also removed Mead Johnson from the Compensation Peer Group for fiscal 2018.

# HOW DO WE COMPENSATE OUR CEO AND OTHER NEOs?

## **Compensation Elements**

The primary components of our executive compensation and benefits programs are summarized in the following table:

At Risk       Annual Cash Incentive       Motivate and reward the achievement of annual operating plan goals       Pages 38-41         At Risk       Annual Cash Incentive       Recognize exceptional individual contribution, measured by the impact on the performance of the Company, division, function or team       Pages 38-41         At Risk       Annual Cash Incentive       Motivate and reward executives based upon our success in delivering superior value to our shareholders       Pages 41-43         Long-Term       Retain the executive talent necessary to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies       Pages 41-43         Benefits       Retirement Programs       Provide retirement benefits at competitive levels consistent with programs for our broad-based employee population       Pages 45-46         Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits       Provide market-competitive benefits to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies       Pages 45-46         Perquisites       Provide market-competitive benefits and perquisites to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies       Page 45	Fixed	Base Salary	Provide a base level of compensation that is competitive in relation to the responsibilities of each executive s position to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies	Page 38
Long-Term Equity IncentiveSuccess in delivering superior value to our shareholdersPages 41-43BenefitsRetirement ProgramsProvide retirement benefits at competitive levels consistent with programs for our broad-based employee populationPages 41-43BenefitsPost-Termination Compensation and BenefitsProvide market-competitive benefits to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies Provide a reasonable measure of financial stability in the event of involuntary termination or change in controlPage 45PerquisitesPerquisitesProvide market-competitive benefits and perquisites to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and executePage 45	At Risk		Motivate and reward the achievement of annual operating plan goals Recognize exceptional individual contribution, measured by the impact on the performance of	Pages 38-41
Benefits       Programs       consistent with programs for our broad-based employee population       Pages 44-45         Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits       Provide market-competitive benefits to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies       Pages 45-46         Perquisites       Perquisites       Provide a reasonable measure of financial stability in the event of involuntary termination or change in control       Page 45			success in delivering superior value to our shareholders Retain the executive talent necessary to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies Align pay with performance metrics that impact	Pages 41-43
Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits       the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies         Provide a reasonable measure of financial stability in the event of involuntary termination or change in control       Provide market-competitive benefits and perquisites to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute       Page 45	Benefits		consistent with programs for our broad-based	Pages 44-45
perquisites to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute		Compensation	the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute our strategies Provide a reasonable measure of financial stability in the event of involuntary termination or	Pages 45-46
		Perquisites	perquisites to attract the talent needed to successfully manage our business and execute	Page 45

The proportion of compensation delivered in each of these elements is designed to:

put more compensation at risk based upon Company or business unit and individual performance for NEOs, whose performance is more likely to influence the results of the executive s business unit or function, or the results of the Company as a whole;

align NEO compensation with shareholder value creation through long-term incentives based on relative stock performance and share price appreciation;

provide consistency over time in the proportion of compensation opportunity among the elements, while varying actual pay based upon Company, business unit and individual performance; and

be competitive with the practices of the Compensation Peer Group in order to attract, motivate and retain key executives.

Our NEOs have a substantial portion of their compensation at risk:

88% at-risk

Other NEOs

76% at-risk

# **Base Salary**

The Committee considers a number of factors in determining individual base salaries for the NEOs, including the scope of an individual s job responsibilities, his or her individual contributions and experience, business performance, job market conditions, the salary budget guidelines, and the individual s current base salary as compared with those of persons in similar positions at other companies in the Compensation Peer Group, as well as within the Company. Targets for annual incentive payments and long-term incentive grants are a percentage of base salary.

Individual salaries for NEOs are reviewed each September by the Committee when it conducts its annual review of executive performance. Merit increases are generally based on the CEO s (for executives other than the CEO) and the Committee s assessment of individual performance. In September 2016, the Committee reviewed Ms. Morrison s base salary compared to other CEOs in the Compensation Peer Group and increased her base salary to \$1,135,000, an increase of 3.2%, effective October 1, 2016. The Committee provided this increase in recognition of Ms. Morrison s individual performance. The Committee also provided base salary merit increases for Messrs. Alexander, Ciongoli, DiSilvestro and Mignini of 3.0%, 2.0%, 5.0%, and 2.0%, respectively. These merit increases were based on the recommendation of the CEO, took into account each individual s performance and, in the cases of Messrs. Alexander, Ciongoli and Mignini, were consistent with the average 2.9% base salary merit increases awarded to the general employee population. In the case of Mr. DiSilvestro, the additional merit increase was intended to better align Mr. DiSilvestro s base salary to that of other executives with a comparable position within the Compensation Peer Group. The base salaries paid to NEOs in fiscal 2017 are presented in the 2017 Summary Compensation Table on page 48.

# **Annual Incentive Compensation**

In fiscal 2017, all NEOs received annual incentives under the Campbell Soup Company Annual Incentive Plan (AIP). Awards to NEOs under the AIP are determined based on Company and/or division performance and individual performance, as illustrated in the table below. A narrative discussion of each component follows.

The Committee sets a target percentage for each NEO based on competitive market data. The appropriate target percentage is applied to individual base salaries to calculate a target AIP award pool.	The score is determined by the Committee based on an assessment of the Company s balanced scorecard results. The full range of possible scores is 0-175%.	This is determined by the Committee, in the case of the CEO, and the Committee with input from the CEO for the other NEOs This determines an individual
Together, these determine the total approved A	NEO s share of the	

\*AIP awards for NEOs who are division leaders are generally determined using a score that is weighted 40% on the assessment of total Company performance and 60% on the assessment of the division s performance.

38 www.campbellsoupcompany.com

Capped at 200% of an individual s AIP target

# **Annual Incentive Target**

At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Committee establishes a competitive annual incentive target, expressed as a percentage of base salary, for each NEO. These percentages are at or near the median for similar executive positions at companies in the Compensation Peer Group. The sum of the individual incentive targets for all AIP participants (approximately 2,340 executives, managers and professionals) comprises the target AIP award pool. The Committee maintained the same AIP targets for the NEOs for fiscal 2017 that it used in fiscal 2016. The fiscal 2017 annual incentive targets for the NEOs were:

Name	Fiscal 2017 Annual Incentive Target (% of Base Salary)	Fiscal 2017 Annual Incentive Target (\$)		
Denise M. Morrison	150%	\$1,702,500		
Anthony P. DiSilvestro	90%	\$614,250		
Mark R. Alexander	90%	\$648,900		
Adam G. Ciongoli	80%	\$571,200		
Luca Mignini	80%	\$568,400		
Total Company Performance Score				

The Committee uses a balanced scorecard approach for the AIP in which a number of quantitative and qualitative goals are established at the beginning of each fiscal year. These goals require effective execution of business plans and are designed to be challenging to attain. The goals set forth in the scorecard fall within four quadrants:

After a fiscal year has ended, the Committee assesses total Company performance in light of the scorecard goals for that year, and exercises its collective judgment in determining the total Company score, or percentage at which the AIP award pool will be funded that year. The AIP intentionally provides an opportunity for the Committee to exercise judgment and discretion in determining the overall Company score in order to enable the Committee to holistically consider various internal and external factors, including financial performance compared to peers. Extraordinary items, such as major restructuring and accounting changes (whether positive or negative), are considered by the Committee in determining the approved total AIP pool. The Committee s determination of the funding of the AIP pool may range from 0% to 175%.

For fiscal 2017, performance against the financial quadrant was the predominant factor in the Committee s overall assessment, and net sales performance was the most important metric within the financial quadrant. The Committee believes that achieving net sales growth is a critical driver to creating long-term shareholder value.

# **Individual Performance**

Annual incentive payments made to the NEOs are determined by the Committee s assessment of individual performance against objectives established at the beginning of the fiscal year. In the case of NEOs other than the CEO, the Committee s assessments of individual performance are generally based on the CEO s judgments and recommendations. The assessment of the CEO s individual performance is made by the Committee itself, with input from all independent directors. For fiscal 2017, the CEO provided recommendations to the Committee regarding the individual performance of NEOs (other than for herself).

# Fiscal 2017 AIP Scorecard and Company Performance Score

In fiscal 2017, we continued to pursue our dual strategy of strengthening our core businesses and expanding into faster-growing spaces, all while guided by our purpose *Real food that matters for life s moments*. We are pursuing this dual strategy by continuing to focus on four strategic imperatives:

Building greater trust with consumers through real food, transparency and sustainability;

Accelerating digital marketing and e-commerce efforts;

Continuing to diversify our portfolio in fresh foods and health and well-being; and

Increasing our presence in the faster-growing snacking category.

The AIP scorecard for fiscal 2017 focused on performance goals related to the delivery of financial objectives, the execution of our dual strategy and the advancement of our strategic imperatives, and the Committee assessed our fiscal 2017 performance using this context. The table below summarizes the fiscal 2017 AIP scorecard and the performance goals included in each quadrant.

#### FISCAL 2017 TOTAL COMPANY BALANCED SCORECARD

Financial objectives relating to financial performance in the following areas: Net sales Adjusted EBIT Adjusted EPS	Marketplace objectives relating to consumer purchases of our products in our core categories
Operational objectives relating to: Innovation Customer performance Cost management and working capital Product quality and food safety	Strategic imperatives relating to: Real food, transparency and sustainability Digital and e-commerce Health and well-being Snacking Talent and culture

Based on its review of our fiscal 2017 financial results, our performance against the other balanced scorecard objectives and its qualitative assessment of various aspects of our performance, the Committee determined that the total Company performance score should be 65, resulting in the AIP pool being funded at 65%. The Committee believed this score appropriately recognized the steps we took in fiscal 2017 to advance our strategic priorities, while acknowledging the decline in net sales as compared to fiscal 2016, and various execution issues during the year.

The Committee s specific assessment of our fiscal 2017 performance versus the goals in the Financial, Marketplace, Operational and Strategic Imperative quadrants, included consideration of the following:

#### Financial Performance (compared to fiscal 2016):

Net sales of \$7.890 billion, a 1% decrease Adjusted EBIT of \$1.492 billion, a 2% increase\* Adjusted EPS of \$3.04, a 3% increase\*

\* Adjusted EBIT and adjusted EPS are non-GAAP measures. We use non-GAAP measures for the AIP because we believe these measures facilitate a comparison of our historical operating results and show trends in our underlying operating results. These are also the

measures	
management	
uses in	
evaluating	
our	
performance.	
A	
reconciliation	
of these	
measures to results	
reported in	
accordance	
with generally	
accepted	
accounting	
principles can	
be found in	
Appendix A.	
Marketplace Performance:	
	Maintained or gained share in many of our core categories
<b>Operational Performance:</b>	
	Successfully launched a number of new products
	Continued to improve our processes for managing working capital
Performance against Strategic Ir	nperatives:
	Advancement of our strategic imperatives, including:
	Implemented Real Food changes and enhanced transparency
	Developed enterprise-wide e-commerce growth strategy
	Increased the number of health and well-being products across our portfolio and
	invested in new models of innovation
	Developed comprehensive snacking strategy
	Launched initiatives to cultivate a culture of innovative thinking, drive culture change,
	embed values and promote diversity
40 www.campbellsoupcompany.c	

Fiscal 2017 CEO and NEO Annual Incentive Compensation

#### Denise M. Morrison

Ms. Morrison s compensation is designed to be competitive with the CEO compensation paid by companies in the Compensation Peer Group, and her incentive compensation is directly linked to both Company performance and individual performance. In September 2017, the Committee evaluated Ms. Morrison s fiscal 2017 performance, taking into account the Company s performance in fiscal 2017 against the goals in the fiscal 2017 AIP scorecard, for which Ms. Morrison, as our CEO, has ultimate oversight and responsibility. The Committee also evaluated Ms. Morrison s individual performance, assessed by all independent directors on the Board through the CEO evaluation process, noting her leadership in the following areas: achievement of financial results and maintenance of financial controls; management of operations; advancement of the Company s strategic imperatives; serving as an effective spokesperson for Campbell and a leader within the food industry; and effective retention and management of key talent. Based on this review, the Committee established Ms. Morrison s fiscal 2017 AIP award as shown in the table below.

Name Denise M. Morrison <u>Other NEOs</u> Incentive Target (% of Base Salary) 150%

Fiscal 2017

Annual

Fiscal 2017 Company Performance Score 65% Fiscal 2017 Annual Incentive Award \$1,106,625 Fiscal 2017 Annual Incentive Pay (% of Target) 65%

Each NEO has individual performance goals for fiscal 2017 against which his individual performance was assessed. Ms. Morrison provided the Committee with her assessment of each NEO s fiscal 2017 performance and achievement relative to his individual performance goals. She also provided an assessment, where applicable, of the performance of the NEO s division. Based on the individual performance of Messrs. Alexander, Ciongoli, DiSilvestro and Mignini, Ms. Morrison recommended, and the Committee reviewed and approved, the AIP payouts as shown in the table below.

		Fiscal 20	17		
	Fiscal 2017	Company	1/	Fiscal 2017	Fiscal 2017
	Annual	Division		Annual	Annual
	Incentive Target	Performa	nce	Incentive	Incentive Pay
Name	(% of Base Salary)	Score		Award	(% of Target)
Anthony P. DiSilvestro	90%	65%		\$399,263	65%
Mark R. Alexander	90%	72%	(1)	\$457,026	70%
Adam G. Ciongoli	80%	65%		\$371,280	65%
Luca Mignini	80%	68%	(1)	\$386,512	68%

For purposes of determining the fiscal 2017 AIP awards for Messrs. Alexander and Mignini, the Committee used a score that (1) was weighted 40% on its assessment of total Company performance, and 60% on the CEO s assessment of the performance of their respective divisions.

# **Long-Term Incentive Compensation**

Long-term incentives are typically equity awards, although cash-based awards may be made in limited circumstances. Equity grants are typically approved by the Committee each September, which is near the beginning of our fiscal year. Individual grants are based on the executive s level of responsibility, possession of critical skills, individual performance and future leadership potential as assessed in our human resources organization planning process. The components of the LTI Program have evolved over time and are modified periodically to further the goals of the program. All shares paid out under our LTI Program are shares that were previously issued and outstanding or were reacquired by the Company.

# Fiscal 2017 Long-Term Incentive Program

Each NEO has a long-term incentive target that is expressed as a percentage of his or her base salary or a dollar amount. These targets are designed to deliver total direct compensation at 5% to 10% above the median of the Compensation Peer Group, in accordance with our Compensation Principles and Policies. The Committee reviews the LTI targets for each NEO annually. The Committee did not make any changes to the fiscal 2017 LTI targets for any of the NEOs. The fiscal 2017 long-term incentive targets for our NEOs are set forth in the table below:

Name	Fiscal 2017 LTI Target* (% of Base Salary)		Fiscal 2017 LTI Target* (\$)	
Denise M. Morrison	550	%	\$	6,050,000
Anthony P. DiSilvestro	250	%	\$	1,625,000
Mark R. Alexander	250	%	\$	1,750,000
Adam G. Ciongoli	220	%	\$	1,540,000
Luca Mignini	220	%	\$	1,532,300

\* Target applicable to grants that were made on October 1, 2016, based on NEO base salaries as of August 1, 2016. Awards granted under our long-term incentive program in fiscal 2017 to our NEOs consisted of a combination of performance-restricted share units and non-qualified stock options, as follows:

TSR performance-restricted share units, which are earned based upon our TSR performance over the performance period compared to the TSRs of the other companies in the Performance Peer Group;

EPS performance-restricted share units, which are earned based on the achievement of a threshold level of EPS during the performance period; and

Non-qualified stock options, which vest ratably over three years and have a ten-year exercise life from the date of the grant.

In fiscal 2017, each NEO received 50% of their target performance-based long-term incentive opportunity in TSR

performance-restricted share units, 25% in EPS performance-restricted share units, and 25% in non-qualified stock options. There is no payment of dividends on restricted share units during the restriction period; instead, accumulated dividend equivalents will be paid in cash at the end of the restriction period on the units that ultimately vest. The performance-based long-term incentive awards that were granted to our NEOs during fiscal 2017 appear in the table below, and a description of each component that was granted in fiscal 2017 or that vested in whole or in part based on our fiscal 2017 performance appears in the narrative discussion following the table.

Name	TSR Performance- Restricted Share Units	EPS Performance- Restricted Share Units	Non- Qualified Stock Options	LTI Grant Value at October 1, 2016*	LTI Grant as % of Target	
Denise M. Morrison	49,663	24,832	173,770	\$ 6,050,000	100 %	
Anthony P. DiSilvestro	14,669	7,335	51,327	\$ 1,787,000	110 %	
Mark R. Alexander	15,802	7,901	55,290	\$ 1,925,000	110 %	
Adam G. Ciongoli	12,642	6,321	44,232	\$ 1,540,000	100 %	
Luca Mignini	13,208	6,604	46,214	\$ 1,609,000	105 %	

Value is based on a stock price of \$60.91 for the TSR and EPS Performance-Restricted Share Units, which was the average \* closing price of Campbell common stock over the final 20 trading days in August 2016, and approximately \$8.70 for stock options, which is 1/7 of \$60.91 (stock options were granted at a 7:1 ratio, compared to share units). For information on the grant date fair value of share units and stock option awards, see the 2017 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 50.

The fiscal 2017 long-term incentive awards to Ms. Morrison and Mr. Ciongoli were granted at target. Messrs. Alexander, DiSilvestro and Mignini were granted awards in excess of their target amounts based on the Committee s evaluation of each individual s performance and potential.

#### TSR Performance-Restricted Share Units

In fiscal 2017, the Committee granted 50% of the target long-term incentive awards to the NEOs in the form of TSR performance-restricted share units. The Committee believed that it was appropriate to include an element that compared our performance to an external peer group, and that linking a significant portion of long-term compensation to our TSR performance aligns the interests of NEOs with those of our shareholders. TSR performance-restricted share units are paid out based upon our TSR performance over a three-year period compared to the TSRs of the other companies in the Performance Peer Group over the same three-year period. At the time of payment, the Committee can exercise negative discretion in determining our ranking under the TSR performance-restricted share unit portion of the program in the event of extraordinary circumstances.

The grants made in fiscal 2017 have a fiscal 2017-2019 performance period. Based on the current composition of the Performance Peer Group, which has 11 companies, including Campbell, the percentage of target TSR units granted in fiscal 2017 that will be paid out at the end of the performance period based upon our TSR performance ranking is illustrated in the chart below:

Campbell s TSR Performance Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Percentage Payout EPS Performance-Re		200% hare Units	175%	150%	125%	100%	75%	50%	0%	0%	0%

In fiscal 2017, the Committee granted 25% of the target long-term incentive awards to the NEOs in the form of EPS performance-restricted share units. EPS performance-restricted share units are paid out two months following the end of each fiscal year in the three-year vesting period, provided that the adjusted EPS goal established at the time of grant is achieved. The payout of EPS performance-restricted share units is either 0 or 100%.

The EPS performance-restricted share units granted in fiscal 2017 vest in three equal installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date, provided that fiscal 2017 adjusted EPS was at least \$1.54, or 50% of the adjusted EPS goal approved by the Committee for the fiscal 2017 AIP and the holder meets the applicable service requirements. Fiscal 2017 adjusted EPS was \$3.04, which was greater than 50% of the goal; therefore, the performance goal for all of the EPS performance-restricted units that were granted in fiscal 2017 has been met. These units will vest and will be paid out to the NEOs in three equal installments; one-third of the shares vested and were paid out at the end of September 2017, and the other two-thirds will vest and be paid out in two equal installments following the end of fiscal 2018 and 2019, provided that the executive remains employed by the Company on the date of vesting (or otherwise as provided under the terms and conditions of the grant). Please see Appendix A for a reconciliation of adjusted EPS, a non-GAAP measure, to its most comparable GAAP measure.

#### **Non-Qualified Stock Options**

In fiscal 2017, the Committee granted 25% of the target long-term incentive awards to the NEOs in the form of non-qualified stock options. The Committee believes that having stock options as a component of our LTI Program further links NEO compensation with shareholder interests and provides a compensation vehicle for NEOs that is linked to absolute share price performance. The stock options that were granted to NEO s in fiscal 2017 will vest in equal installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date, and have a 10-year exercise period. For more information on the stock options granted in fiscal 2017, see the 2017 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 50.

#### **Time-Lapse Restricted Share Units**

In fiscal 2017, Mr. Ciongoli was given expanded responsibilities with respect to our investment in Acre Venture Partners, L.P. In recognition of his expanded responsibilities, the Committee granted 10,907 time-lapse restricted share units to Mr. Ciongoli. These units will vest 1/3 on the second anniversary of the grant date and 2/3 on the third anniversary of the grant date. Time-lapse restricted share units are units that vest based on continued employment over the restriction period, which is typically two or three years. The Committee grants time-lapse restricted share units to NEOs in limited circumstances, typically (a) at the start of their employment with us in recognition of their forfeiture of long-term incentive grants from their prior employer, (b) as additional compensation when an NEO is promoted into a new role of given additional responsibilities, or (c) as an additional retention tool. For more information on this award, see the 2017 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table on page 50.

# Awards with Performance Periods Ending in Fiscal 2017

TSR Performance-Restricted Share Units

TSR Performance-Restricted Share Units were granted in October 2014 as part of the fiscal 2015 LTI Program. These units had a fiscal 2015-2017 performance period. For the fiscal 2015-2017 performance period, the percentage of target TSR performance-restricted share units that were paid out was based upon our TSR performance ranking as illustrated in the chart below.

Campbell s TSR Performance Rank	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
Percentage Payout	200%	200%	175%	150%	125%	100%	75%	50%	0%	0%	0%

Our cumulative three-year TSR of 26.8% ranked 5th versus the peer group.

Based on the above criteria and our TSR performance ranking, the payout for TSR performance-restricted share units for the fiscal 2015-2017 performance period was 125% of the target amount.

These shares were paid out to NEOs at the end of September 2017.

EPS Performance-Restricted Share Units

The fiscal 2017 LTI Program included EPS performance-restricted share units with a performance period that ended in fiscal 2017. The performance condition for these units was met based on fiscal 2017 EPS performance, and these units will vest in three equal installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date, provided that the holder meets the applicable service requirements. See page 43 for additional information on the fiscal 2017 EPS performance-restricted share units.

# Summary of Performance-Based Awards Paid Out Following Fiscal 2017

The table below summarizes the performance-based restricted stock unit awards previously granted to NEOs that vested and were paid out to the NEOs following the end of fiscal 2017.

Type of Award	Year Granted	Performance Period	Percent V	/ested
TSR Performance-Restricted Share Units	Fiscal 2015	Fiscal 2015 Fiscal 2017	125	%
EPS Performance-Restricted Share Units	Fiscal 2015	Fiscal 2015	100	%*
EPS Performance-Restricted Share Units	Fiscal 2016	Fiscal 2016	100	%**
EPS Performance-Restricted Share Units	Fiscal 2017	Fiscal 2017	100	%***

This entire award was based on fiscal 2015 EPS performance. The performance condition for this award was met. One-third of the \* shares vested and were paid out at the end of September 2015, one-third of the shares vested and were paid out at the end of September 2016, and the final one-third vested and were paid out at the end of September 2017.

This entire award was based on fiscal 2016 EPS performance. The performance condition for this award was met. One-third of \*\* the shares vested and were paid out at the end of September 2016, one-third of the shares vested and were paid out at the end of September 2017, and the final one-third will vest and be paid out following the end of fiscal 2018.

This entire award is tied to fiscal 2017 EPS performance. The performance condition for this award was met. One-third of the \*\*\* shares vested and were paid out at the end of September 2017 and the other two-thirds will vest and be paid out in two equal installments following the end of fiscal 2018 and fiscal 2019.

#### Retirement Plans and Other Benefits Pension Plans

Eligible NEOs participate in two defined benefit plans: (1) the Retirement and Pension Plan ( Qualified Plan ); and (2) the Mid-Career Hire Pension Plan ( MCHP ). The Qualified Plan provides funded, tax-qualified benefits up to applicable annual limits allowed under the IRC for full-time U.S. employees who commenced employment with us prior to January 1, 2011. The MCHP provides unfunded, nonqualified benefits to certain U.S.-based senior executives who were hired in the middle of their careers that attempt to replicate the pension benefits earned by similarly situated employees who instead worked their entire career at Campbell. In this regard, such executives typically forfeited future pension benefits that they would have earned if they remained with their prior employers. The MCHP also provides benefits in excess of the annual IRC

limits applicable to the Qualified Plan. MCHP benefits are offset by benefits paid under the Qualified Plan and the plans prohibit duplication of benefits. Both plans were closed to new participants, effective December 31, 2010. The eligible NEOs are Ms. Morrison and Messrs. DiSilvestro and Alexander.

Although closed to new participants, we maintain the Qualified Plan and the MCHP as a means to retain eligible employees and to provide them with a competitive level of pension benefits. The retirement plans provide eligible employees, including the eligible NEOs, the opportunity to plan for future financial needs during retirement. Under the Qualified Plan, the actual pension benefit is calculated on the same basis for all participants, and is based upon the eligible NEO s:

#### length of service;

covered compensation (for example, base salary and annual incentive payments under the AIP); and

#### age at retirement.

Time-lapse restricted share units, performance-restricted share units and stock options, as well as any extraordinary remuneration, are not included in the calculation of the pension benefit under the Qualified Plan. For a more detailed discussion of the retirement plans and the accumulated benefits under these plans, see the 2017 Pension Benefits table and the accompanying narrative beginning on page 54.

NEOs who are not eligible to participate in the MCHP because they were hired or promoted into an eligible salary grade on or after January 1, 2011, may be eligible to receive an Executive Retirement Contribution. Messrs. Ciongoli and Mignini are eligible for the Executive Retirement Contribution is a credit to the participant s Supplemental Retirement Plan account. The amount of the Executive Retirement Contribution is calculated on the same basis for all participants using covered compensation (for example, base salary and annual incentive payments under the AIP) and is subject to vesting criteria. The Executive Retirement Contribution is consistent with our objective to attract and retain experienced senior executives to execute our strategies, and was adopted as a means to provide a competitive level of retirement benefits to executives hired following the closure of the MCHP to new participants. For a more detailed discussion of the Executive Retirement Contribution, see the narrative on page 56 following the 2017 Pension Benefits table and the 2017 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and accompanying narrative beginning on page 57.

#### **Deferred Compensation Plans**

The Campbell Soup Company Deferred Compensation Plan and Supplemental Retirement Plan provide an opportunity for eligible U.S.-based participants, including the NEOs, to save for future financial needs. For a more detailed discussion of the deferred compensation arrangements relating to the NEOs, see the 2017 Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and accompanying narrative beginning on page 57.

#### Perquisites

Our Personal Choice Program provides quarterly cash payments to certain NEOs in lieu of reimbursements for items such as tax or estate planning services or financial planning services. The Committee believes that these payments are appropriate to reimburse executives for financial and tax planning services or other purposes so that the executives are not distracted from devoting their time and energy to their responsibilities to the Company. We provide long-term disability protection to the NEOs that is in addition to the standard long-term disability coverage provided for other employees.

We also provide transportation benefits to our CEO, including Ms. Morrison s limited personal use of an aircraft leased by the Company through an arrangement with NetJets, Inc. (NetJets). The Committee believed this was appropriate because of security concerns, to enhance productivity for both the CEO and the Company, and allow the CEO a more convenient way to integrate work and life responsibilities.

We do not provide tax gross-ups on any perquisites provided to our NEOs. For additional information on all perquisites provided to the NEOs in fiscal 2017, please see the 2017 Summary Compensation Table and accompanying footnotes, which begin on page 48.

#### **Severance Plans**

The NEOs are covered by our severance plan, under which payments are based on level of responsibility and length of service. For the NEOs, the maximum payment under the plan is two times base salary. The payment and benefit levels defined in our severance plan for eligible U.S.-based salaried employees have been determined primarily by reference to the amount of time

customarily required for employees who are involuntarily terminated without cause to find other employment. We believe that, due to the relative scarcity of senior executive roles, employees at higher levels in the organization generally need more time to locate comparable positions elsewhere than employees at lower levels. Assurance of a reasonable measure of financial security in the event of involuntary termination is important to candidates for executive positions, and the extent of the severance benefits offered by Campbell in comparison with those available at other companies is sometimes a significant factor in their evaluations of the attractiveness of opportunities at Campbell. For a more detailed discussion of these severance arrangements, see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control beginning on page 58.

#### **Change in Control Benefits**

We have entered into double-trigger Change in Control Severance Protection Agreements (CIC Agreements) with each of the NEOs. The CIC Agreements provide for severance pay and continuation of certain benefits should an applicable termination of employment occur in connection with and within two years following a change in control. The Committee believes that the CIC Agreements are necessary in order to retain stability in the senior executive team in the event there is a threatened or actual change in control.

#### **Table of Contents**

The CIC Agreements double-trigger provisions require the occurrence of the following two events in order for an executive to receive payments and benefits: (1) a change in control; and (2) the executive s employment must be terminated involuntarily and without cause (or terminated voluntarily for good reason) within two years following a change in control.

(1) a change in control; and

(2) the executive s employment must be terminated involuntarily and without cause (or terminated voluntarily for good reason) within two years following a change in control.

We also have double-trigger change in control provisions in our AIP, our long-term incentive plans and our U.S. retirement plans, and these provisions apply equally to all participants in the plans, including the NEOs.

In March 2010, the Committee determined that provisions for gross-up payments to cover any federal excise taxes owed on change in control-related severance payments and benefits (Gross-Up Payments) would be eliminated in an ACC Agreement entered into after January 1, 2011. The CIC Agreements with Messrs. Ciongoli and Mignini were entered into after January 1, 2011 and do not provide for such Gross-Up Payments. All other NEOs entered into CIC Agreements prior to January 1, 2011 that provide Gross-Up Payments, if the NEO s aggregate amount of applicable change in control-related severance payments and benefits (CIC Payments) is equal to, or more than, 3.10 times the amount of the NEO s applicable base amount under IRC Section 280G. However, if the NEO s aggregate amount of CIC Payments is less than 3.10 times the amount of the NEO s applicable base amount under IRC Section 280G, the NEO will not receive Gross-Up Payments and the CIC Payments will be reduced, if the reduction would result in the NEO retaining a greater net after-tax value of such CIC Payments. For a more detailed discussion of these CIC Agreements, see Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control, beginning on page 58.

# HOW DO WE MANAGE RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPENSATION PROGRAM?

## **Risk Assessment** Incentive Compensation Programs

Each year, the Committee reviews the risk profile of our compensation programs. Management completes, for review by the Committee, an assessment of our compensation programs on a global basis, with a focus on incentive compensation programs. The Committee believes that our compensation programs do not create risks that are likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Committee s assessment was based on a number of factors, including:

the compensation governance process that we have established,

the relative size of the potential payouts in the aggregate and for any individual,

the inclusion of a cap on the maximum payouts to any individual, and

the use of multiple metrics in the respective incentive programs.

#### **Executive Stock Ownership**

We require NEOs to own shares to further align their interests with those of shareholders. It is our policy that NEOs achieve an ownership stake that represents a significant multiple of their base salaries. Until the ownership level is achieved, NEOs must retain at least half of the after-tax value of each equity award in shares of Campbell stock upon the vesting of restricted share units or exercise of options. All NEOs are compliant with the retention requirements, and all have either met or are making meaningful progress toward their respective ownership standard. Progress toward a designated ownership standard is measured annually.

The share ownership requirements for NEOs are listed below. The ownership standard is expressed as a multiple of salary that is determined based on organization level or salary grade. Establishing ownership standards as a multiple of base salary links the program with pay actions (i.e., base salary increases), and ensures that ownership objectives remain competitive. The ownership multiples have been set at market median.

#### Stock Ownership Requirement as Multiple of Base Salary

Executives may count toward these requirements the value of shares beneficially owned and shares and share units that are deferred and fully vested in the 401(k) plan and other deferred compensation programs. Unvested restricted share units and unexercised stock options are not counted in calculating ownership.

# **Tax Implications**

IRC Section 162(m) limits the tax deductibility of compensation paid to an NEO (excluding the CFO) to \$1 million, except to the extent the compensation is qualified performance-based compensation. The Committee s policy is to structure compensation such that it is deductible under IRC Section 162(m), except where the Committee determines that it would not be in the best interests of the Company and our shareholders. A tax deduction is not available under IRC Section 162(m) for the incremental amount of the base salary of an NEO (other than the CFO) that exceeds \$1 million. In

general, the Committee establishes performance goals for the AIP and LTI Program that are designed to qualify the annual and long-term incentives awarded to our NEOs as deductible under IRC Section 162(m).

For the fiscal 2017 AIP, in order for any NEO to be eligible for a bonus award under the AIP, the Company s fiscal 2017 adjusted EPS had to be at least \$1.54, or 50% of the adjusted EPS goal approved by the Committee for the fiscal 2017 AIP scorecard. In order for any NEO to be eligible for an award above target and up to the maximum bonus award under the AIP (200% of target), the Company s fiscal 2017 adjusted EPS had to be at least \$2.46, or 80% of the adjusted EPS goal approved by the Committee for the fiscal 2017 AIP scorecard. These performance goals were designed to qualify payments under the AIP as deductible under IRC Section 162(m). Fiscal 2017 adjusted EPS was \$3.04, which was greater than both the 50% goal and the 80% goal; thereby providing a bonus opportunity for all NEOs up to 200% of target. In awarding individual bonus amounts below 200% (see the tables on page 41 for fiscal 2017 AIP awards to NEOs as a percent of target), the Committee exercised allowable negative discretion. Please see Appendix A for a reconciliation of adjusted EPS, a non-GAAP measure, to its most comparable GAAP measure.

For the fiscal 2017 LTI Program, the Committee awarded TSR performance-restricted share units and EPS performance-restricted share units to the NEOs. The TSR performance-restricted share units will be paid out based upon our TSR performance over the three-year performance period (fiscal 2017-fiscal 2019) as compared to the TSRs of the other companies in the Performance Peer Group over the same three-year period. The EPS performance-restricted share units will be paid out based upon our adjusted EPS performance during the fiscal 2017 performance period. These performance goals are designed to qualify the payment of TSR performance-restricted share units as deductible under IRC Section 162(m).

#### **Policies Prohibiting Hedging or Pledging Company Securities**

Our policies prohibit directors and executive officers from hedging the economic risk associated with fully owned shares, restricted share units and unexercised stock options. We also have a policy that prohibits pledging of shares by directors and executive officers, with an exception for pledge arrangements that were established prior to September 25, 2013. No executive officers have any existing pledge agreements.

## **Incentive Compensation Clawback Policy**

In September 2017, the Board approved the Campbell Soup Company Incentive Compensation Clawback Policy (Clawback Policy) to better align our compensation practices with our shareholders interests and ensure that incentive compensation is based upon accurate financial information. Our Clawback Policy, which covers all executive officers (including the NEOs), allows for recovery of cash and equity incentive compensation in the event the Company is required to prepare a material financial restatement due to fraud or intentional misconduct.

The Committee has sole discretion to determine whether and how to apply the Clawback Policy. In determining whether to recover compensation, the Committee will consider whether the executive officer received incentive compensation based on the original financial results that was in excess of the incentive compensation that should have been received based on the restated financial results. The Committee will also consider the accountability of the individual executive officer for the restatement, including whether the individual engaged in fraud or intentional misconduct.

# **COMPENSATION AND ORGANIZATION COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Compensation and Organization Committee has reviewed and discussed the foregoing Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management, and based on such reviews and discussions, the Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

## **Compensation and Organization Committee**

Nick Shreiber, Chair Fabiola R. Arredondo Bennett Dorrance Randall W. Larrimore Marc B. Lautenbach Keith R. McLoughlin

# **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES**

## 2017 Summary Compensation Table

The following Summary Compensation Table provides information concerning the compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (named executive officers or NEOs) for fiscal 2017. Information is only included for Mr. Ciongoli for those years within the last three fiscal years in which he was an NEO. For a complete understanding of the table, please read the footnotes and narrative disclosures that follow the table.

Name and Principal Position Denise M. Morrison	<b>Year</b> 2017	<b>Salary</b> ( <b>\$</b> ) \$1,129,167	<b>Bonus(1)</b> (\$) \$  0	Stock Awards(2) (\$) \$3,321,712	Option Awards(3) (\$) \$1,305,812	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation(4) (\$) \$1,106,625	Change In Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings(5) (\$) \$1,634,018	All Other Compensation(6) (\$) \$426,427	<b>Total</b> ( <b>\$)</b> \$8,923
President and Chief Executive Officer	2016 2015	\$1,100,000 \$1,091,667	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$5,367,165 \$5,437,927	\$1,683,813 \$0	\$1,980,000 \$1,416,800	\$2,380,998 \$1,296,770	\$420,205 \$182,313	\$12,93 \$9,425
Anthony P. DiSilvestro	2017 2016	\$ 677,083 \$ 642,500	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 981,157 \$1,481,533	\$ 385,702 \$ 464,786	\$ 399,263 \$ 702,000	\$ 805,609 \$1,396,933	\$ 92,465 \$ 84,510	\$3,341 \$4,772
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2016	\$ 587,733	+ -	\$1,381,046	\$ 404,780 \$ 0	\$    702,000 \$    551,034	\$ 515,003	\$ 84,510 \$ 72,042	\$4,772 \$ 3,106
Mark R. Alexander	2017	\$ 717,500		\$1,056,909	\$ 415,482	\$ 457,026	\$ 354,314	\$ 95,873	\$3,097
President,	2016 2015	\$ 696,667 \$ 657,875	\$0 \$0	\$1,774,267 \$2,197,404	\$ 556,630 \$ 0	\$ 774,900 \$ 474,147	\$1,024,862 \$508,099	\$ 82,421 \$ 80,218	\$4,909 \$3,917
Americas Simple Meals and Beverages		,,	•	<b>,</b> , , , , ,	•	* ,	•,	•, -	<b>+</b> - <b>j</b> -
Adam G. Ciongoli	2017	\$711,667	\$0 \$ coo ooo	\$1,468,889	\$ 332,386	\$ 371,280	\$ 0	\$ 269,307	\$3,153
Senior Vice President and General Counsel	2016	\$ 700,000	\$600,000	\$1,366,206	\$ 428,605	\$ 672,000	\$ 0	\$148,645	\$3,915
Luca Mignini	2017	\$708,167	\$0	\$883,411	\$ 347,280	\$ 386,512	\$0	\$ 270,002	\$2,595
President,	2016	\$693,125	\$0	\$1,454,884	\$ 456,439	\$ 666,900	\$ 0	\$ 268,463	\$3,539
Global Biscuits and Snacks	2015	\$ 674,042	\$0	\$1,938,748	\$ 0	\$ 687,611	\$ 0	\$223,906	\$3,524

(1) Mr. Ciongoli joined the Company in July 2015. The amount reported in this column for Mr. Ciongoli for fiscal 2016 represents a one-time cash payment in recognition of his forfeiture of equity awards and other income from Mr. Ciongoli s prior employment.

The amounts reported in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the stock awards granted to each NEO, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, for the listed fiscal year. The assumptions we used in calculating these amounts are included in Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year
(2) ended July 30, 2017 (2017 Form 10-K). The amounts reported in the Summary Compensation Table for these awards assume a future payout at the target level and may not represent the amounts that the NEOs will actually realize from the awards. Whether, and to what extent, an NEO realizes value will depend on our actual operating and TSR performance and the NEO s continued employment.

If our performance results in a future payout at the maximum level (200% of target), the aggregate grant date fair value of the stock awards granted in fiscal 2017 would have been as follows: Ms. Morrison, \$5,285,114; Mr. DiSilvestro, \$1,561,088; Mr. Alexander, \$1,681,633; Mr. Ciongoli, \$1,968,684; and Mr. Mignini, \$1,405,582.

For additional information on grant date fair value and estimated future payouts of stock awards, see the 2017 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 50, and to see the value of stock awards actually realized by the NEOs in fiscal 2017, see the 2017 Option Exercises and Stock Vested table on page 53.

The amounts reported in this column represent the grant date fair value of the option awards, calculated in accordance with (3) FASB ASC Topic 718, for the listed fiscal year. The assumptions we used in calculating these amounts are included in Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our 2017 Form 10-K. No option awards were granted during fiscal 2015. For additional information on grant date fair value of option awards, see the 2017 Grants of Plan-Based Awards table on page 50. (4) The amounts reported in this column for each NEO reflect the amounts earned and paid under the AIP. Payments under the AIP were determined as described in the CD&A beginning on page 38.

The change in pension amounts reported for fiscal 2017 are comprised of changes between August 1, 2016 and July 30, 2017 (5) in the actuarial present value of the accumulated pension benefits for each eligible NEO. Eligible NEOs receive pension benefits under the same formula applied to all eligible U.S.-based salaried employees, except for benefits accrued under the MCHP. The assumptions used in calculating the change in pension value are described on page 56.

#### **Table of Contents**

The values reported in this column are theoretical, as those amounts are calculated pursuant to SEC requirements and are based on assumptions used in preparing our consolidated audited financial statements for the years ended July 31, 2016 and July 30, 2017. The Qualified Plan and MCHP utilize different methods of calculating actuarial present value for the purpose of determining a lump-sum payment, if any, to be paid under each pension plan. The change in pension value from year to year as reported in the table is subject to market volatility and may not represent the value that an NEO will actually accrue under the Qualified Plan and/or MCHP, as applicable, during any given year. Messrs. Ciongoli and Mignini are not eligible to participate in either the Qualified Plan or MCHP. The material provisions of our pension plans and deferred compensation plans are described beginning on page 54 and on page 57.

No NEO received above-market earnings (as this term is defined by the SEC) on their nonqualified deferred compensation accounts during fiscal 2017.

The amounts reported in this column reflect, for each NEO, the sum of (i) the incremental cost to Campbell of all perquisites and (6) other personal benefits; (ii) any amounts contributed by Campbell to the applicable 401(k) plan and any 401(k) supplemental program, which are part of our deferred compensation plans; (iii) Executive Retirement Contributions; and (iv) any premiums

paid by Campbell for executive long-term disability benefits. The following table outlines those (i) perquisites and other personal benefits and (ii) all other additional compensation required by the SEC rules to be separately quantified:

Name	401(k) Company Contribution	401(k) Supplemental Company Contribution(a)	Executive Retirement Contribution(b)	Long- Term Disability	Perquisites(c)	Total
Denise M. Morrison	\$ 10,800	\$ 113,531	\$0	\$5,574	\$296,522(d)	\$426,427
Anthony P. DiSilvestro	\$ 10,800	\$ 44,330	\$0	\$5,335	\$ 32,000	\$92,465
Mark R. Alexander	\$ 10,800	\$ 48,874	\$0	\$4,199	\$ 32,000	\$95,873
Adam G. Ciongoli Luca Mignini	\$ 18,900 \$ 19,173	\$   77,932 \$   77,330	\$ 138,331 \$ 137,471	\$2,144 \$4,028	\$   32,000 \$   32,000	\$269,307 \$270,002

(a) See page 57 for a description of the supplemental 401(k) program.

(b) This amount is unvested and is subject to forfeiture if the vesting criteria are not met. See page 56 for a description of the Executive Retirement Contribution.

The amounts in this column represent the perquisites provided to each NEO, including \$48,000 of personal benefits paid to Ms. (c) Morrison under our Personal Choice program, and \$32,000 of personal benefits paid to each of Messrs. DiSilvestro, Alexander, Ciongoli and Mignini under our Personal Choice program. See page 45 for a description of the Personal Choice program.

The amount disclosed for Ms. Morrison includes: the \$48,000 Personal Choice payment described above, \$188,001 of expenses associated with personal use of an aircraft leased through NetJets at the applicable hourly rate charged to the <sup>(1)</sup>Company by NetJets, and \$60,521 of expenses associated with personal use of a Company-owned vehicle which was

calculated based on total compensation