

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

INTERGROUP CORP
Form 10-K
September 27, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010

Transition report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities
Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number 1-10324

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	13-3293645
-----	-----
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(IRS Employer Identification No.)

10940 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2150, Los Angeles, California	90024
-----	-----
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (310) 889-2500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class	Name of each exchange on which registered
-----	-----
Common Stock \$.01 par value	The NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
 Yes No

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (Section 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendments to this Form 10-K. [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act) Yes No

The aggregate market value of the Common Stock, \$.01 Par value, held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the closing sales price on The NASDAQ Capital Market on December 31, 2009 (the last business day of registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was \$7,806,107.

The number of shares outstanding of registrant's Common Stock, as of September 20, 2010, was 2,421,600.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE: None

-2-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I -----	PAGE ----
Item 1.	Business.	5
Item 1A.	Risk Factors.	14
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments.	14
Item 2.	Properties.	15
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings.	21
	PART II -----	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	21
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.	21
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of operations.	22
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	30

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	30
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	64
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures.	64
Item 9B.	Other Information.	65
PART III -----		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	66
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.	70
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	80
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.	82
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services.	83
PART IV -----		
Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.	84
SIGNATURES		87

-3-

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains certain "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements give our current expectations or forecasts of future events. You can identify these statements by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They contain words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "plan," "believe" "may," "could," "might" and other words or phrases of similar meaning in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance. From time to time we also provide forward-looking statements in our Forms 10-Q and 8-K, Annual Reports to Shareholders, press releases and other materials we may release to the public. Forward looking statements reflect our current views about future events and are subject to risks, uncertainties, assumptions and changes in circumstances that may cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in any forward looking statement. Consequently, no forward looking statement can be guaranteed and our actual future results may differ materially.

Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to:

- * risks associated with the lodging industry, including competition, increases in wages, labor relations, energy and fuel costs, actual and threatened pandemics, actual and threatened terrorist attacks, and downturns in domestic and international economic and market conditions, particularly in the San Francisco Bay area;

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

- * risks associated with the real estate industry, including changes in real estate and zoning laws or regulations, increases in real property taxes, rising insurance premiums, costs of compliance with environmental laws and other governmental regulations;
- * the availability and terms of financing and capital and the general volatility of securities markets;
- * changes in the competitive environment in the hotel industry;
- * risks related to natural disasters;
- * litigation; and
- * other risk factors discussed below in this Report.

We caution you not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as to the date hereof. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You are advised, however, to consult any further disclosures we make on related subjects on our Forms 10-K, 10-Q, and 8-K reports to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

-4-

PART I

Item 1. Business.

GENERAL

The InterGroup Corporation ("InterGroup" or the "Company" and may also be referred to as "we" "us" or "our" in this report) is a Delaware corporation formed in 1985, as the successor to Mutual Real Estate Investment Trust ("M-REIT"), a New York real estate investment trust created in 1965. The Company has been a publicly-held company since M-REIT's first public offering of shares in 1966.

The Company was organized to buy, develop, operate, rehabilitate and dispose of real property of various types and descriptions, and to engage in such other business and investment activities as would benefit the Company and its shareholders. The Company was founded upon, and remains committed to, social responsibility. Such social responsibility was originally defined as providing decent and affordable housing to people without regard to race. In 1985, after examining the impact of federal, state and local equal housing laws, the Company determined to broaden its definition of social responsibility. The Company changed its form from a REIT to a corporation so that it could pursue a variety of investments beyond real estate and broaden its social impact to engage in any opportunity which would offer the potential to increase shareholder value within the Company's underlying commitment to social responsibility.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company owned approximately 76% of the common shares of Santa Fe Financial Corporation ("Santa Fe"), a public company (OTCBB: SFEF). Santa Fe's revenue is primarily generated through its 68.8% owned subsidiary, Portsmouth Square, Inc. ("Portsmouth"), a public company (OTCBB: PRSI). InterGroup also directly owns approximately 11.7% of Portsmouth. Portsmouth's principal business is conducted through its general and limited partnership interest in the Justice Investors limited partnership ("Justice" or the "Partnership"). The Company has a 50.0% limited partnership interest in Justice

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

and serves as one of the general partners. Justice owns a 544 room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco, California 94108, known as the "Hilton San Francisco Financial District" (the "Hotel") and related facilities, including a five level underground parking garage. The financial statements of Justice are consolidated with those of the Company. See Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

The other general partner, Evon Corporation ("Evon"), served as the managing general partner of Justice until December 1, 2008. As discussed below, the Limited Partnership Agreement was amended, effective December 1, 2008, to provide for a change in the respective roles of the general partners. Pursuant to that amendment, Portsmouth became the Managing General Partner of Justice while Evon assumed the role of Co-General Partner of Justice.

Most significant partnership decisions require the active participation and approval of both general partners. Pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement, voting rights of the partners are determined according to the partners' entitlement to share in the net profit and loss of the partnership. The Company is not entitled to any additional voting rights by virtue of its position as a general partner. The partnership agreement also provides that no portion of the partnership real property can be sold without the written consent of the general and limited partners entitled to more than 72% of the net profit. As of June 30, 2010, there were 113 limited partners in Justice, including Portsmouth and Evon.

-5-

Historically, the Partnership's most significant source of income was a lease between Justice and Holiday Inn for the Hotel portion of the property. That lease was amended in 1995, and ultimately assumed by Felcor Lodging Trust, Inc. ("Felcor") in 1998. The lease of the Hotel to Felcor was terminated effective June 30, 2004. With the termination of the Hotel lease, Justice assumed the role of an owner/operator with the assistance of a third party management company. Effective July 1, 2004, the Hotel was operated as a Holiday Inn Select brand hotel pursuant to a short term franchise agreement until it was temporarily closed for major renovations on May 31, 2005. The Hotel was reopened on January 12, 2006 to operate as a full service Hilton hotel, pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. Justice also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. ("Prism") to perform the day-to-day management functions of the Hotel.

Until September 30, 2008, the Partnership also derived income from the lease of the parking garage to Evon. As discussed below, effective October 1, 2008, Justice entered into an installment sale agreement with Evon to purchase the remaining term of the garage lease and related garage assets. Justice also leases a portion of the lobby level of the Hotel to a day spa operator. Portsmouth also receives management fees as a general partner of Justice for its services in overseeing and managing the Partnership's assets.

In addition to the operations of the Hotel, the Company also generates income from the ownership, management and, when appropriate, sale of real estate. Properties include eighteen apartment complexes, two commercial real estate properties and two single-family houses. The properties are located throughout the United States, but are concentrated in Texas and Southern California. The Company also has investments in unimproved real property. All of the Company's residential rental properties in California are managed by professional third party property management companies and the rental properties outside of California are managed by the Company. The commercial real estate in California is also managed by the Company.

The Company acquires its investments in real estate and other investments

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

utilizing cash, securities or debt, subject to approval or guidelines of the Board of Directors and its Real Estate Investment Committee. The Company may also look for new real estate investment opportunities in hotels, apartments, office buildings and development properties. The acquisition of any new real estate investments will depend on the Company's ability to find suitable investment opportunities and the availability of sufficient financing to acquire such investments. To help fund any such acquisition, the Company may borrow funds to leverage its investment capital. The amount of any such debt will depend on a number of factors including, but not limited to, the availability of financing and the sufficiency of the acquisition property's projected cash flows to support the operations and debt service.

The Company also derives income from the investment of its cash and investment securities assets. The Company has invested in income-producing instruments, equity and debt securities and will consider other investments if such investments offer growth or profit potential. See Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of the Company's marketable securities and other investments.

-6-

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENTS DURING THE LAST THREE FISCAL YEARS

Garage Installment Sale Agreement

Effective October 1, 2008, Justice and Evon entered into an Installment Sale Agreement whereby the Partnership purchased all of Evon's right title and interest in the remaining term of its lease of the parking garage, which was to expire on November 30, 2010, and other related assets. The partnership also agreed to assume Evon's contract with Ace Parking Management, Inc. ("Ace Parking") for the management of the garage and any other liabilities related to the operation of the garage commencing October 1, 2008. The purchase price for the garage lease and related assets was approximately \$755,000, payable in one down payment of approximately \$28,000 and 26 equal monthly installments of approximately \$29,000, which includes interest at the rate of 2.4% per annum. See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Amendment of Limited Partnership Agreement

On December 1, 2008, Portsmouth and Evon, as the two general partners of Justice, entered into a 2008 Amendment to the Limited Partnership Agreement (the "Amendment") that provides for a change in the respective roles of the general partners. Pursuant to the Amendment, Portsmouth assumed the role of Managing General Partner and Evon continued on as the Co-General Partner of Justice. The Amendment was ratified by approximately 98% of the limited partnership interests. The Amendment also provides that future amendments to the Limited Partnership Agreement may be made only upon the consent of the general partners and at least seventy five percent (75%) of the interests of the limited partners. Consent of at least 75% of the interests of the limited partners will also be required to remove a general partner pursuant to the Amendment.

New General Partner Compensation Agreement

Concurrent with the Amendment to the Limited Partnership Agreement, a new General Partner Compensation Agreement (the "Compensation Agreement") was entered into on December 1, 2008, among Justice, Portsmouth and Evon to terminate and supersede all prior compensation agreement for the general partners. Pursuant to the Compensation Agreement, the general partners of Justice will be entitled to receive an amount equal to 1.5% of the gross annual revenues of the Partnership (as defined), less \$75,000 to be used as a

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

contribution toward the cost of Justice engaging an asset manager. In no event shall the annual compensation be less than a minimum base of approximately \$285,000, with eighty percent (80%) of that amount being allocated to Portsmouth for its services as managing general partner and twenty percent (20%) allocated to Evon as the co-general partner. Compensation earned by the general partners in each calendar year in excess of the minimum base, will be payable in equal fifty percent (50%) shares to Portsmouth and Evon. During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the general partners were paid approximately \$417,000 and \$435,000 respectively, under the applicable compensation agreements. Of those amounts, approximately \$264,000 and \$222,000 was paid to Portsmouth for fiscal 2010 and 2009.

HILTON HOTELS FRANCHISE LICENSE AGREEMENT

On December 10, 2004, the Partnership entered into a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation (the "Franchise Agreement") for the right to operate the Hotel as a Hilton brand hotel. The term of the Franchise Agreement is for 15 years commencing on the opening date of the Hotel, January 12, 2006, with an option to extend that Agreement for another five years, subject to certain conditions.

-7-

Pursuant to the Franchise Agreement, the Partnership paid monthly royalty fees for the first two years of three percent (3%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue, as defined, for the preceding calendar month; the third year was at four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue; and the fourth year until the end of the term will be five percent (5%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue. Justice also pays a monthly program fee of four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue. The amount of the monthly program fee is subject to change; however, the increase cannot exceed one percent (1%) of the Hotel gross room revenue in any calendar year, and the cumulative increases in the monthly fees will not exceed five percent (5%) of gross room revenue. The Partnership also pays a monthly information technology recapture charge of 0.75% of the Hotel's gross revenue. In this difficult economic environment, Hilton agreed to reduce its information technology fees to 0.65% for the 2010 calendar year.

Prior to operating the Hotel as a Hilton hotel, the Partnership was required to make substantial renovations to the Hotel to meet Hilton standards in accordance with a product improvement plan ("PIP") agreed upon by Hilton and the Partnership, as well as comply with other brand standards. That project included a complete renovation and upgrade of all of the Hotel's guestrooms, meeting rooms, common areas and restaurant and bar. As of January 12, 2006, the Hotel renovation work was substantially completed, at which time Justice obtained approval from Hilton to open the Hotel as the "Hilton San Francisco Financial District". The Hotel opened with a limited number of rooms available to rent, which increased as the Hotel transitioned into full operations by the end of February 2006.

The total cost of the construction-renovation project of the Hotel was approximately \$37,030,000, which includes approximately \$630,000 in interest costs incurred during the construction phase that were capitalized. To meet those substantial financial commitments, and the costs of operations during the renovation period and for the first five months when the Hotel ramped up its operations, the Partnership has relied on additional borrowings to meet its obligations. As discussed in Item 2. Properties, the Partnership was able to secure adequate financing, collateralized by the Hotel, to meet those commitments.

HOTEL MANAGEMENT COMPANY AGREEMENT

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

In February 2007, the Partnership terminated its prior hotel management agreement with Dow Hotel Company and entered into a management agreement with Prism Hospitality ("Prism") to manage and operate the Hotel as its agent, effective February 10, 2007. Prism is an experienced Hilton approved operator of upscale and luxury hotels throughout the Americas. The agreement is effective for a term of ten years, unless the agreement is extended as provided in the agreement, and the Partnership has the right to terminate the agreement upon ninety days written notice without further obligation. Under the management agreement, the Partnership is to pay base management fees of 2.5% of gross operating revenues for the fiscal year. However, 0.75% of the stated management fee is due only if the partially adjusted net operating income for the subject fiscal year exceeds the amount of a minimum Partnership's return (\$7 million) for that fiscal year. Prism is also entitled to an incentive management fee if certain milestones are accomplished. No incentive fees were earned during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. In support of the Partnership's efforts to reduce costs in this difficult economic environment, Prism agreed to reduce its management fees by fifty percent from January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2010, after which the original fee arrangement will remain in effect. Management fees paid to Prism during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$246,000 and \$398,000, respectively.

-8-

GARAGE LEASE AND OPERATIONS

Until September 30, 2008, the garage portion of the Hotel property was leased by the Partnership to Evon. That lease provided for a monthly rental of sixty percent (60%) of gross parking revenues with a minimum rent of \$20,000 per month. That lease was to expire in November 2010. The garage lessee, Evon, was responsible for insurance, repairs and maintenance, utilities and all taxes assessed against the improvements to the leased premises. The garage is operated by Ace Parking pursuant to a parking facility management agreement. As discussed above, effective October 1, 2008, the Partnership purchased all of Evon's right title and interest in the remaining term of its lease and other related assets and assumed Evon's contract with Ace Parking as well as other liabilities associated with the operation of the garage. The Ace Parking agreement runs until October 31, 2010, with an option to renew for another five-year term. Pursuant to that agreement, the Partnership will pay to Ace Parking a management of \$2,000 per month, an accounting fee equal to \$250 per month, plus three percent (3%) of annual net profits in excess of \$150,000.

TRU SPA LEASE

Approximately 5,400 square feet of space on the lobby level of the Hotel is leased to Tru Spa for the operation of a health and beauty spa. The lease expires in May 2013, with a five year option to extend the term. The spa lease provides for minimum monthly rent of \$14,000. Minimum rental amounts are subject to adjustment every three years based on increases in the Consumer Price Index.

CHINESE CULTURE FOUNDATION LEASE

On March 15, 2005, the Partnership entered into an amended lease with the Chinese Culture Foundation of San Francisco (the "Foundation") for the third floor space of the Hotel commonly known as the Chinese Cultural Center, which the Foundation had right to occupy pursuant to a 50-year nominal rent lease.

The amended lease requires the Partnership to pay to the Foundation a monthly event space fee in the amount of \$5,000, adjusted annually based on the local Consumer Price Index. The term of the amended lease expires on October 17,

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

2023, with an automatic extension for another 10 year term if the property continues to be operated as a hotel. This amendment allowed Justice to incorporate the third floor into the renovation of the Hotel resulting in a new ballroom for the joint use of the Hotel and new offices and a gallery for the Chinese Culture Center.

RENTAL PROPERTIES

At June 30, 2010, the Company's investment in real estate consisted of properties located throughout the United States, with a concentration in Texas and Southern California. These properties include eighteen apartment complexes, two single-family houses as strategic investments and two commercial real estate properties. All properties are operating properties. In addition to the properties, the Company owns approximately 4.1 acres of unimproved real estate in Texas and 2 acres of unimproved land in Maui, Hawaii.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had listed for sale its 249-unit apartment complex located in Austin, Texas and its 132-unit apartment complex located in San Antonio, Texas. These properties are classified as held for sale on the Company's consolidated balance sheet with the operations of these properties classified under discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations. No depreciation expense is recorded on these two properties.

-9-

MANAGEMENT OF RENTAL PROPERTIES

The Company may engage third party management companies as agents to manage certain of Company's residential rental properties.

The Company entered into a Management Agreement with Century West Properties, Inc. ("Century West") to act as an agent of the Company to rent and manage all of the Company's residential rental properties in the Los Angeles, California area. The Management Agreement with Century West was for an original term of twelve months ending on July 31, 2006 and continues on a month-to-month basis, until terminated upon 30 days prior written notice. The Management Agreement provides for a monthly fee equal to 4% of the monthly gross receipts from the properties with resident managers and a fee of 4 1/2% of monthly gross receipts for properties without resident managers. During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the management fees were \$142,000 and \$166,000, respectively.

During the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company terminated its property management agreements with Productive Management (Productive) and brought the property management of its six remaining properties located outside of California back in-house. Management believes that the Company can manage the properties more effectively and efficiently in-house. During the year June 30, 2009, the management fees paid to Productive were \$152,000.

MARKETABLE SECURITIES INVESTMENT POLICIES

In addition to its Hotel and real estate operations, the Company also invests from time to time in income producing instruments, corporate debt and equity securities, publically traded investment funds, mortgage backed securities, securities issued by REIT's and other companies which invest primarily in real estate.

The Company's securities investments are made under the supervision of a Securities Investment Committee of the Board of Directors. The Committee currently has three members and is chaired by the Company's Chairman of the Board and President, John V. Winfield. The Committee has delegated authority to manage the portfolio to the Company's Chairman and President together with

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

such assistants and management committees he may engage. The Committee has established investment guidelines for the Company's investments. These guidelines presently include: (i) corporate equity securities should be listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), NYSE ARCA, American Stock Exchange (AMEX) or the Nasdaq Stock Market (NASDAQ); (ii) securities should be priced above \$5.00 per share; and (iii) investment in a particular issuer should not exceed 5% of the market value of the total portfolio. The investment policies do not require the Company to divest itself of investments, which initially meet these guidelines but subsequently fail to meet one or more of the investment criteria. Non-conforming investments require the approval of the Securities Investment Committee. The Committee has in the past approved non-conforming investments and may in the future approve non-conforming investments. The Securities Investment Committee may modify these guidelines from time to time.

The Company may also invest, with the approval of the Securities Investment Committee, in unlisted securities, such as convertible notes, through private placements including private equity investment funds. Those investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost on the Company's balance sheet as part of other investments and reviewed for impairment on a periodic basis. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had other investments of \$6,651,000.

-10-

As part of its investment strategies, the Company may assume short positions in marketable securities. Short sales are used by the Company to potentially offset normal market risks undertaken in the course of its investing activities or to provide additional return opportunities. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had obligations for securities sold (equities short) of \$1,698,000.

In addition, the Company may utilize margin for its marketable securities purchases through the use of standard margin agreements with national brokerage firms. The use of available leverage is guided by the business judgment of management and is subject to any internal investment guidelines, which may be imposed by the Securities Investment Committee. The margin used by the Company may fluctuate depending on market conditions. The use of leverage could be viewed as risky and the market values of the portfolio may be subject to large fluctuations. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had a margin balance of \$2,235,000 and incurred \$435,000 and \$211,000 in margin interest expense during the year ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As Chairman of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company's President and Chief Executive officer, John V. Winfield, directs the investment activity of the Company in public and private markets pursuant to authority granted by the Board of Directors. Mr. Winfield also serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Santa Fe and Portsmouth and oversees the investment activity of those companies. Depending on certain market conditions and various risk factors, the Chief Executive Officer, his family, Santa Fe and Portsmouth may, at times, invest in the same companies in which the Company invests. The Company encourages such investments because it places personal resources of the Chief Executive Officer and his family members, and the resources of Santa Fe and Portsmouth, at risk in connection with investment decisions made on behalf of the Company.

Further information with respect to investment in marketable securities and other investments of the Company is set forth in Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section and Notes 6 and 7 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Seasonality

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Hotel's operations historically have been seasonal. Like most hotels in the San Francisco area, the Hotel generally maintains higher occupancy and room rates during the first and second quarters of its fiscal year (July 1 through December 31) than it does in the third and fourth quarters (January 1 through June 30). These seasonal patterns can be expected to cause fluctuations in the quarterly revenues from the Hotel.

Competition

The hotel industry is highly competitive. Competition is based on a number of factors, most notably convenience of location, brand affiliation, price, range of services and guest amenities or accommodations offered and quality of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual market in which properties are located.

The Hotel is located in an area of intense competition from other hotels in the Financial District and San Francisco in general. After being closed for more than seven months for a substantial renovation project in fiscal year 2006, it has taken some time for the Hotel, now operating as a Hilton, to gain recognition as a totally upgraded and higher level property after being under

11

the Holiday Inn brand for almost 35 years. The Hotel is also somewhat limited by having only 15,000 square feet of meeting room space. Other hotels, with greater meeting room space, may have a competitive advantage by being able to attract larger groups and small conventions. Increased competition from new hotels, or hotels that have been recently undergone substantial renovation, could have an adverse effect on occupancy, average daily rate ("ADR") and room revenue per available room ("RevPar") and put pressure on the Partnership to make additional capital improvements to the Hotel to keep pace with the competition.

The Hotel's target market is business travelers, leisure customers and tourists, and small to medium size groups. Since the Hotel operates in an upper scale segment of the market, we also face increased competition from providers of less expensive accommodations, such as limited service hotels, during periods of economic downturn when leisure and business travelers become more sensitive to room rates. Like other hotels, we have experienced a significant decrease in higher rated corporate and business travel during the last two fiscal years as many companies have severely cut their travel and entertainment budgets in response to economic conditions. As a result, there is added pressure on all hotels in the San Francisco market to lower room rates in an effort to maintain occupancy levels during such periods.

In this highly competitive market, Management has continued to focus on ways to enhance the guest experience as well as improve operating efficiencies. The Hotel has recently upgraded its guest room with newer flat panel televisions systems that provide guests with greater entertainment options. The Hotel has also installed many energy saving controls and devices as part of its efforts to become greener and reduce operating costs. Management will continue to explore new and innovative ways to improve operations and to attract new guests to the Hotel at higher room rates.

The Hotel is also subject to certain operating risks common to all of the hotel industry, which could adversely impact performance. These risks include:

- * Competition for guests and meetings from other hotels including competition and pricing pressure from internet wholesalers and distributors;

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

- * increases in operating costs, including wages, benefits, insurance, property taxes and energy, due to inflation and other factors, which may not be offset in the future by increased room rates;
- * labor strikes, disruptions or lock outs;
- * dependence on demand from business and leisure travelers, which may fluctuate and is seasonal;
- * increases in energy costs, cost of fuel, airline fares and other expenses related to travel, which may negatively affect traveling;
- * terrorism, terrorism alerts and warnings, wars and other military actions, SARS, swine flu, pandemic or other medical events or warnings which may result in decreases in business and leisure travel; and
- * adverse effects of down turns and recessionary conditions in international, national and/or local economies and market conditions.

The ownership, operation and leasing of multifamily rental properties are highly competitive. The Company competes with domestic and foreign financial institutions, other REITs, life insurance companies, pension trusts, trust funds, partnerships and individual investors. In addition, The Company competes

-12-

for tenants in markets primarily on the basis of property location, rent charged, services provided and the design and condition of improvements. The Company also competes with other quality apartment owned by public and private companies. The number of competitive multifamily properties in a particular market could adversely affect the Company's ability to lease its multifamily properties, as well as the rents it is able to charge. In addition, other forms of residential properties, including single family housing and town homes, provide housing alternatives to potential residents of quality apartment communities or potential purchasers of for-sale condominium units. The Company competes for residents in its apartment communities based on resident service and amenity offerings and the desirability of the Company's locations. Resident leases at the Company's apartment communities are priced competitively based on market conditions, supply and demand characteristics, and the quality and resident service offerings of its communities.

Environmental Matters

In connection with the ownership of the Hotel, the Company is subject to various federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations relating to environmental protection. Under these laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in such property. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances.

Environmental consultants retained by the Partnership or its lenders conducted updated Phase I environmental site assessments in fiscal year ended June 30, 2008 on the Hotel property. These Phase I assessments relied, in part, on Phase I environmental assessments prepared in connection with the Partnership's first mortgage loan obtained in July 2005. Phase I assessments are designed to evaluate the potential for environmental contamination on properties based generally upon site inspections, facility personnel interviews, historical information and certain publicly-available databases; however, Phase I assessments will not necessarily reveal the existence or extent of all

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns at the properties.

Although the Phase I assessments and other environmental reports we have reviewed disclose certain conditions on our properties and the use of hazardous substances in operation and maintenance activities that could pose a risk of environmental contamination or liability, we are not aware of any environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company believes that the Hotel and its rental properties are in compliance, in all material respects, with all federal, state and local environmental ordinances and regulations regarding hazardous or toxic substances and other environmental matters, the violation of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company has not received written notice from any governmental authority of any material noncompliance, liability or claim relating to hazardous or toxic substances or other environmental matters in connection with any of its present properties.

-13-

EMPLOYEES

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had a total of 7 full-time employees in its corporate office. Effective July 2002, the Company entered into a client service agreement with Administaff Companies II, L.P. ("Administaff"), a professional employer organization serving as an off-site, full service human resource department for its corporate office. Administaff personnel management services are delivered by entering into a co-employment relationship with the Company's employees. There are also approximately 32 employees at the Company's properties outside of the State of California that are subject to similar co-employment relationships with Administaff. The employees and the Company are not party to any collective bargaining agreement, and the Company believes that its employee relations are satisfactory.

Employees of Justice and management of the Hotel are not unionized and the Company believes that their employee relationships are satisfactory. Most of the non-management employees of the Hotel are part of Local 2 of the Hotel Employees and Restaurant Employees Union ("UNITE HERE"). The Hotel's contract with Local 2 expired on August 14, 2009. While Local 2 has sent a statutory letter to the Hotel to open negotiations, no talks between the Hotel and union representatives have commenced to date. At this time, no disruptions to the operations of the Hotel are expected resulting from this expired and unresolved union contract.

The Hotel has two other labor agreements. A new contract with Stationary Engineers, Local 39 was reached on July 31, 2009 with an effective date retroactive to January 12, 2009 and an expiration date of January 11, 2011. A contract with Teamsters Local 856 expiring on December 31, 2008 was extended to December 31, 2010.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The Company files annual and quarterly reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or the "Commission"). The public may read and copy any materials that we file with the Commission at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days during the hours of 10:00 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330. The Commission also maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov> that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

issuers that file electronically with the Commission.

Other information about the Company can be found on our parent company's website www.intergroupcorporation.com. Reference in this document to that website address does not constitute incorporation by reference of the information contained on the website.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

-14-

Item 2. Properties.

SAN FRANCISCO HOTEL PROPERTY

The Hotel is owned directly by the Partnership. The Hotel is centrally located near the Financial District in San Francisco, one block from the Transamerica Pyramid. The Embarcadero Center is within walking distance and North Beach is two blocks away. Chinatown is directly across the bridge that runs from the Hotel to Portsmouth Square Park. The Hotel is a 31-story (including parking garage), steel and concrete, A-frame building, built in 1970. The Hotel has 544 well appointed guest rooms and luxury suites situated on 22 floors as well as a 5,400 square foot Tru Spa health and beauty spa on the lobby level. The third floor houses the Chinese Culture Center and grand ballroom. The Hotel has approximately 15,000 square feet of meeting room space, including the grand ballroom. Other features of the Hotel include a 5-level underground parking garage and pedestrian bridge across Kearny Street connecting the Hotel and the Chinese Culture Center with Portsmouth Square Park in Chinatown. The bridge, built and owned by the Partnership, is included in the lease to the Chinese Culture Center.

Since the Hotel just completed renovations, there is no present program for any further major renovations; however, the Partnership expects to reserve approximately 4% of gross annual Hotel revenues each year for future capital requirements. In the opinion of management, the Hotel is adequately covered by insurance.

HOTEL FINANCINGS

On July 27, 2005, Justice entered into a first mortgage loan with The Prudential Insurance Company of America in a principal amount of \$30,000,000 (the "Prudential Loan"). The term of the Prudential Loan is for 120 months at a fixed interest rate of 5.22% per annum. The Prudential Loan calls for monthly installments of principal and interest in the amount of approximately \$165,000, calculated on a 30-year amortization schedule. The Loan is collateralized by a first deed of trust on the Partnership's Hotel property, including all improvements and personal property thereon and an assignment of all present and future leases and rents. The Prudential Loan is without recourse to the limited and general partners of Justice. The principal balance of the Prudential Loan was \$27,723,000 as of June 30, 2010.

On March 27, 2007, Justice entered into a second mortgage loan with Prudential (the "Second Prudential Loan") in a principal amount of \$19,000,000. The term of the Second Prudential Loan is for approximately 100 months and matures on

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

August 5, 2015, the same date as the first Prudential Loan. The Second Prudential Loan is at a fixed interest rate of 6.42% per annum and calls for monthly installments of principal and interest in the amount of approximately \$119,000, calculated on a 30-year amortization schedule. The Second Prudential Loan is collateralized by a second deed of trust on the Partnership's Hotel property, including all improvements and personal property thereon and an assignment of all present and future leases and rents. The Second Prudential Loan is also without recourse to the limited and general partners of Justice. The principal balance of the Second Prudential Loan was \$18,267,000 as of June 30, 2010.

-15-

The Partnership had a \$2,500,000 unsecured revolving line of credit facility with a bank that was to mature on April 30, 2010. Borrowings under that line of credit bore interest at Prime plus 3.0% per annum or based on the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate (3.25%) plus 3.0% per annum, floating, (but subject to a minimum floor rate at 5.0% per annum). Borrowings under the line of credit were subject to certain financial covenants, which are measured annually at June 30th and December 31st based on the credit arrangement. Effective April 29, 2010, the Partnership obtained a modification from the bank which converted its revolving line of credit facility to a term loan. The Partnership also obtained a waiver of any prior noncompliance with financial covenants.

The modification provides that Justice will pay the \$2,500,000 balance on its line of credit facility over a period of four years, to mature on April 30, 2014. This term loan calls for monthly principal and interest payments of \$41,000, calculated on a six-year amortization schedule, with interest only from May 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010. Pursuant to the modification, the annual floating interest rate was reduced by 0.5% to the WSJ Prime Rate plus 2.5% (with a minimum floor rate of 5.0% per annum). The modification includes financial covenants written to reflect financial conditions that all hotels are facing. The covenants include specific financial ratios and a return to minimum profitability by June 2011. Management believes that the Partnership has the ability to meet the specific covenants and the Partnership was in compliance with the covenants as of June 30, 2010. The Partnership paid a loan modification fee of \$10,000. The loan continues as unsecured. As of June 30, 2010, the interest rate was 5.75% and the outstanding balance was \$2,500,000. As of June 30, 2009, the interest rate was 6.25% and the outstanding balance on the line of credit was \$1,811,000.

RENTAL PROPERTIES

At June 30, 2010, the Company's investment in real estate consisted of properties located throughout the United States, with a concentration in Texas and Southern California. These properties include eighteen apartment complexes, two single-family houses as strategic investments and two commercial real estate properties. All properties are operating properties. In addition to the properties, the Company owns approximately 4.1 acres of unimproved real estate in Texas and 2 acres of unimproved land in Maui, Hawaii.

In the opinion of management, each of the properties is adequately covered by insurance. None of the properties are subject to foreclosure proceedings or litigation, other than such litigation incurred in the normal course of business. The Company's rental property leases are short-term leases, with no lease extending beyond one year.

Las Colinas, Texas. The Las Colinas property is a water front apartment community along Beaver Creek that was developed in 1993 with 358 units on approximately 15.6 acres of land. The Company acquired the complex on April 30, 2004 for approximately \$27,145,000. Depreciation is recorded on the

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 27.5 years. Real estate property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2010 were approximately \$614,000. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$18,414,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is May 1, 2013.

Morris County, New Jersey. The Morris County property is a two-story garden apartment complex that was completed in June 1964 with 151 units on approximately 8 acres of land. The Company acquired the complex on September 15, 1967 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,600,000. Real estate property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2010 were approximately \$197,000.

-16-

Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$9,420,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is May 1, 2013.

St. Louis, Missouri. The St. Louis property is a two-story project with 264 units on approximately 17.5 acres. The Company acquired the complex on November 1, 1968 at an initial cost of \$2,328,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$131,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$5,989,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is May 29, 2013.

Florence, Kentucky. The Florence property is a three-story apartment complex with 157 units on approximately 6.0 acres. The Company acquired the property on December 20, 1972 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,995,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$49,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$4,018,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is July 1, 2014.

San Antonio, Texas. The San Antonio property is a two-story project with 132 units on approximately 4.3 acres. The Company acquired the complex on June 29, 1993 for \$2,752,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate taxes were approximately \$116,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$3,248,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is October 30, 2011.

Austin, Texas. The Austin property is a two-story project with 249 units on approximately 7.8 acres. The Company acquired the complex with 190 units on November 18, 1999 for \$4,150,000. The Company also acquired an adjacent complex with 59 units on January 8, 2002 for \$1,681,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate taxes were approximately \$182,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$7,202,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is July 1, 2023. The Company also owns approximately 4.1 acres of unimproved land adjacent to this property.

Los Angeles, California. The Company owns two commercial properties, twelve apartment complexes, and two single-family houses in the general area of West Los Angeles.

The first Los Angeles commercial property is a 5,500 square foot, two story building that served as the Company's corporate offices until it was leased out, effective October 1, 2009 and the Company leased a new space for its corporate office. The Company acquired the building on March 4, 1999 for

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

\$1,876,000. The property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2010 were approximately \$22,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$1,155,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is March 25, 2014.

The second Los Angeles commercial property is a 5,900 square foot commercial building. The Company acquired the building on September 15, 2000 for \$1,758,000. The property taxes for the year ended June 30, 2010 were approximately \$13,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$660,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 15, 2013.

-17-

The first Los Angeles apartment complex is a 10,600 square foot two-story apartment with 12 units. The Company acquired the property on July 30, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,305,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$19,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$952,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 1, 2018.

The second Los Angeles apartment complex is a 29,000 square foot three-story apartment with 27 units. This complex is held by Intergroup Woodland Village, Inc. ("Woodland Village"), which is 55.4% and 44.6% owned by Santa Fe and the Company, respectively. The property was acquired on September 29, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$4,075,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$57,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$1,660,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is October 1, 2029.

The third Los Angeles apartment complex is a 12,700 square foot apartment with 14 units. The Company acquired the property on October 20, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$2,150,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$33,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$984,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is November 1, 2029.

The fourth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 10,500 square foot apartment with 9 units. The Company acquired the property on November 10, 1999 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,675,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$26,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$735,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 31, 2029.

The fifth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 26,100 square foot two-story apartment with 31 units. The Company acquired the property on May 26, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$7,500,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$99,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$3,608,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is August 1, 2033.

The sixth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 27,600 square foot two-story apartment with 30 units. The Company acquired the property on July 7, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$4,411,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010,

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

real estate property taxes were approximately \$67,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. In June 2003, the operations of this property stopped and in December 2003, major renovations of the property began. In May 2004, the Company obtained a construction loan in the amount of \$6,268,000 as part of a major renovation. In July 2006, the renovation of the property was completed and renting of the apartments commenced. In August 2007, the construction loan was refinanced to a note payable. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$6,787,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is September 1, 2022.

-18-

The seventh Los Angeles apartment complex is a 3,000 square foot apartment with 4 units. The Company acquired the property on July 19, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,070,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$16,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$399,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is August 1, 2030.

The eighth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 4,500 square foot two-story apartment with 4 units. The Company acquired the property on July 28, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,005,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$15,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$669,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 1, 2018.

The ninth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 7,500 square foot apartment with 7 units. The Company acquired the property on August 9, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,308,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$20,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$984,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 1, 2018.

The tenth Los Angeles apartment complex is a 32,800 square foot two-story apartment with 24 units. The Company acquired the property on March 8, 2001 at an initial cost of approximately \$2,859,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$43,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$1,578,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is April 1, 2031.

The eleventh Los Angeles apartment complex is a 13,000 square foot two-story apartment with 8 units. The Company acquired the property on May 1, 2001 at an initial cost of approximately \$1,206,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$18,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$518,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is November 1, 2029.

The twelfth Los Angeles apartment complex, which is owned 100% by the Company's subsidiary Santa Fe, is a 4,200 square foot two-story apartment with 2 units. Santa Fe acquired the property on February 1, 2002 at an initial cost of approximately \$785,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$11,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method based upon an estimated useful Life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$407,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is January 18, 2032.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

The first Los Angeles single-family house is a 2,771 square foot home. The Company acquired the property on November 9, 2000 at an initial cost of approximately \$660,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$10,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$435,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is December 1, 2030.

The second Los Angeles single-family house is a 2,201 square foot home. The Company acquired the property on August 22, 2003 at an initial cost of approximately \$700,000. For the year ended June 30, 2010, real estate property taxes were approximately \$11,000. Depreciation is recorded on the straight-

-19-

line method, based upon an estimated useful life of 40 years. The outstanding mortgage balance was approximately \$470,000 at June 30, 2010 and the maturity date of the mortgage is November 1, 2033.

In August 2004, the Company purchased an approximately two acre parcel of unimproved land in Kihei, Maui, Hawaii for \$1,467,000. The Company intends to obtain the entitlements and permits necessary for the joint development of the parcel with an adjoining landowner into residential units. After the completion of this predevelopment phase, the Company will determine whether it more advantageous to sell the entitled property or to commence with construction.

MORTGAGES

Further information with respect to mortgage notes payable of the Company is set forth in Note 11 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

ECONOMIC AND PHYSICAL OCCUPANCY RATES

The Company leases units in its residential rental properties on a short-term basis, with no lease extending beyond one year. The economic occupancy (gross potential less rent below market, vacancy loss, bad debt, discounts and concessions divided by gross potential rent) and the physical occupancy (gross potential less vacancy loss divided by gross potential rent) for each of the Company's operating properties for fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 are provided below.

Property	Economic Occupancy	Physical Occupancy
Apartments:		
1. Las Colinas, TX	65%	91%
2. Morris County, NJ	81%	94%
3. St. Louis, MO	78%	88%
4. Florence, KY	81%	92%
5. San Antonio, TX	74%	84%
6. Austin, TX	56%	75%
7. Los Angeles, CA (1)	79%	98%
8. Los Angeles, CA (2)	70%	99%
9. Los Angeles, CA (3)	88%	91%
10. Los Angeles, CA (4)	86%	96%
11. Los Angeles, CA (5)	71%	99%
12. Los Angeles, CA (6)	72%	91%
13. Los Angeles, CA (7)	86%	92%
14. Los Angeles, CA (8)	86%	95%

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

15. Los Angeles, CA (9)	84%	92%
16. Los Angeles, CA (10)	82%	96%
17. Los Angeles, CA (11)	87%	93%
18. Los Angeles, CA (12)	87%	92%

The Company's Los Angeles, California properties are subject to various rent control laws, ordinances and regulations which impact the Company's ability to adjust and achieve higher rental rates.

The Company's two commercial properties in Los Angeles, California are fully leased to two respective tenants. The first commercial building lease ends in November of 2011 with the tenant having the option to extend the lease for another five years. The second commercial building lease ends in September 2016.

-20-

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is not subject to any legal proceedings requiring disclosure.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters.

The Company's Common Stock is listed and trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market tier of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC under the symbol: "INTG". The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for the Company's common stock for each quarter of the last two fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 as reported by NASDAQ.

Fiscal 2010 -----	High -----	Low -----
First Quarter 7/1 - 9/30	\$12.01	\$ 7.80
Second Quarter 10/1 - 12/31	\$11.64	\$ 8.35
Third Quarter 1/1 - 3/31	\$11.34	\$ 8.50
Fourth Quarter 4/1 - 6/30	\$16.24	\$10.86
Fiscal 2009 -----	High -----	Low -----
First Quarter 7/1 - 9/30	\$17.40	\$10.37
Second Quarter 10/1 - 12/31	\$16.84	\$ 8.60
Third Quarter 1/1 - 3/31	\$12.91	\$ 6.54
Fourth Quarter 4/1 - 6/30	\$12.84	\$ 7.55

As of September 10, 2010, the approximate number of holders of record of the Company's Common Stock was 415. Such number of owners was determined from the Company's shareholders records and does not include beneficial owners of the Company's Common Stock whose shares are held in names of various brokers, clearing agencies or other nominees. Including beneficial holders, there are approximately 1,050 shareholders of the Company's Common Stock.

DIVIDENDS

The Company has not declared any cash dividends on its common stock and does not foresee issuing cash dividends in the near future.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS.

This information appears in Part III, Item 12 of this report.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

The Company did not make any purchases of its equity securities during the last quarter of fiscal 2010.

Item 6. Selected financial Data.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

-21-

Item 7. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company's principal sources of revenue continue to be derived from the investment of its 68.8% owned subsidiary, Portsmouth, in the Justice Investors limited partnership ("Justice" or the "Partnership"), rental income from its investments in multi-family real estate properties and income received from investment of its cash and securities assets. Portsmouth has a 50.0% limited partnership interest in Justice and serves as the managing general partner of Justice. Evon Corporation ("Evon") serves as the other general partner. Justice owns the land, improvements and leaseholds at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco, California, known as the Hilton San Francisco Financial District (the "Hotel"). The financial statements of Justice have been consolidated with those of the Company. See Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Hotel is operated by the Partnership as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. The term of the Agreement is for a period of 15 years commencing on January 12, 2006, with an option to extend the license term for another five years, subject to certain conditions. Justice also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. ("Prism") to perform the day-to-day management functions of the Hotel.

Until September 30, 2008, the Partnership also derived income from the lease of the parking garage to Evon. Effective October 1, 2008, Justice entered into an installment sale agreement with Evon to purchase the remaining term of the garage lease and related garage assets, and assumed the contract with Ace Parking for the operations of the garage. Justice also leases a portion of the lobby level of the Hotel to a day spa operator. Portsmouth also receives management fees as a general partner of Justice for its services in overseeing and managing the Partnership's assets. Those fees are eliminated in consolidation.

In addition to the operations of the Hotel, the Company also generates income from the ownership and management of real estate. Properties include eighteen apartment complexes, two commercial real estate properties, and two single-family houses as strategic investments. The properties are located throughout the United States, but are concentrated in Texas and Southern California. The Company also has investments in unimproved real property. All of the Company's residential rental properties in California are managed by professional third party property management companies and the rental properties outside of California are managed by the Company. The commercial real estate in California is also managed by the Company.

The Company acquires its investments in real estate and other investments utilizing cash, securities or debt, subject to approval or guidelines of the

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Board of Directors. The Company also invests in income-producing instruments, equity and debt securities and will consider other investments if such investments offer growth or profit potential.

-22-

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2009

The Company had a net loss of \$4,575,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010 compared to a net loss of \$238,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009. The increase in the net loss is primarily attributable to the current year net loss on marketable securities of \$747,000 compared to a net gain on marketable securities of \$6,132,000 in the prior year. This change was partially offset by the income tax benefit in the current year and improved hotel operations.

The Company had a loss on hotel operations of \$2,390,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010, compared to a loss of \$2,722,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009. The reduction in the loss was primarily attributable to a one-time loss related to the termination of the hotel garage lease in the amount of \$684,000 which was incurred during fiscal 2009, partially offset by an increase in depreciation and amortization expense due to improvements to the Hotel during the current year, including upgrades to the guest rooms and installation of energy saving controls and devices.

The following table sets forth a more detailed presentation of Hotel operations for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

For the years ended June 30, -----	2010 -----	2009 -----
Hotel revenues:		
Hotel rooms	\$ 24,848,000	\$ 25,237,000
Food and beverage	4,703,000	4,911,000
Garage	2,507,000	2,104,000
Other operating departments	622,000	569,000
	-----	-----
Total hotel revenues	32,680,000	32,821,000
Operating expenses excluding interest, depreciation and amortization	(27,223,000)	(28,015,000)
	-----	-----
Operating income before interest, depreciation and amortization	5,457,000	4,806,000
Interest expense	(2,902,000)	(2,873,000)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(4,945,000)	(4,655,000)
	-----	-----
Loss from hotel operations	\$ (2,390,000)	\$ (2,722,000)
	=====	=====

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, the Hotel generated operating income of approximately \$5,457,000 before interest, depreciation and amortization, on operating revenues of approximately \$32,680,000 compared to operating income of approximately \$4,806,000 before interest, depreciation and amortization, on operating revenues of approximately \$32,821,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The increase in Hotel operating income from fiscal 2009 to 2010 is primarily attributable to a one-time loss on the termination of the garage lease in the amount of \$684,000 which was included in operating expenses in

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

fiscal 2009, partially offset by a \$141,000 decline in total hotel revenues in fiscal 2010.

-23-

Room revenues decreased by approximately \$389,000 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 when compared to fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 and food and beverage revenues decreased by approximately \$208,000 for the same period. The decrease in room revenues was primarily attributable to a significant decline in average daily room rates during fiscal 2010 as hotels in the San Francisco market continued to reduce room rates in an effort to maintain occupancy levels in a very competitive market. Many hotels have been forced to adopt this strategy due to a severe reduction in higher rated corporate and group business travel, which has been replaced by discounted business from Internet channels. The decrease in food and beverage revenues is primarily attributable to decline in banquet and catering business as companies continue with cuts in business travel, corporate meetings and events. The declines in room and food and beverage revenue were partially offset by a \$403,000 increase in garage revenues due to the termination of the garage lease effective October 1, 2008 and the integration of those operations into those of the Hotel.

The following table sets forth the average daily room rate, average occupancy percentage and room revenue per available room ("RevPar") of the Hotel for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, -----	Average Daily Rate -----	Average Occupancy% -----	RevPar -----
2010	\$143	87%	\$125
2009	\$157	81%	\$127

The operations of the Hotel continued to be impacted by the significant downturn in the domestic and international economies and markets. Room rates continue to be the toughest challenge as the Hotel's average daily room rate was approximately \$14 lower for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 compared to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. However, due to increased sales and marketing efforts in the face of difficult economic conditions and greater competition, the Hotel was able to boost occupancy rates by approximately 6% over the comparable period. As a result, the Hotel was able to achieve a RevPar number that compared very favorably to its competitive set.

In this highly competitive market, management has also continued to focus on ways to enhance the guest experience as well as improve operating efficiencies. The Hotel has recently upgraded its guest room with newer flat panel television systems that provide guests with greater entertainment options. The Hotel has also installed many energy saving controls and devices as part of its efforts to become greener and reduce operating costs. Management will continue to explore new and innovative ways to improve operations and attract new guests to the Hotel at higher room rates.

While operating in a difficult economy, management was able to improve its real estate operations by reducing operating expenses and interest expense. The Company had real estate revenues of \$12,155,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010 compared with revenues of \$12,787,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009. While revenues declined by \$632,000 as the result of operating in a more challenging economy, management was able to reduce real estate operating expenses by \$480,000 to \$5,857,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010 from \$6,337,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009. Management's decision during the second half of the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 to terminate its property management agreement with Productive Management and to bring the management of its four remaining properties located outside of California back in-house

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

reduced operating expenses of approximately \$154,000. Interest expense also decreased to \$3,186,000 from \$3,381,000 as the result of management refinancing several of the Company's mortgage loans to lower interest rates during fiscal

-24-

2009 and variable interest rates resetting lower on a certain number of our properties located in Los Angeles, California. Management continues to review and analyze the Company's real estate operations to improve occupancy and rental rates and to reduce expenses and improve efficiencies.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had listed for sale its 249-unit apartment complex located in Austin, Texas and its 132-unit apartment complex located in San Antonio, Texas. These properties are classified as held for sale on the Company's consolidated balance sheet with the operations of these properties classified under discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations. No depreciation expense is recorded on these two properties.

The Company had a net loss on marketable securities of \$747,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010 as compared to a net gain on marketable securities of \$6,132,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009. For the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company had a net realized gain of \$3,993,000 and a net unrealized loss of \$4,740,000. For the year ended June 30, 2009, the Company had a net realized gain of \$1,190,000 and a net unrealized gain of \$4,942,000. Gains and losses on marketable securities and other investments may fluctuate significantly from period to period in the future and could have a significant impact on the Company's net income. However, the amount of gain or loss on marketable securities and other investments for any given period may have no predictive value and variations in amount from period to period may have no analytical value. For a more detailed description of the composition of the Company's marketable securities please see the Marketable Securities section below.

The Company may also invest, with the approval of the Securities Investment Committee and other company guidelines, in private investment equity funds and other unlisted securities, such as convertible notes through private placements. Those investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost on the Company's balance sheet as part of other investments, net of other than temporary impairment losses. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had net other investments of \$6,651,000. Included in other investments are investments in corporate debt and equity instruments which had attached warrants that were considered derivative instruments. The Company recorded an unrealized gain of \$181,000 related to these warrants during the year ended June 30, 2010. During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company performed an impairment analysis of its other investments and determined that its investments had other than temporary impairments and recorded impairment losses of \$1,805,000 and \$1,300,000, respectively.

Dividend and interest income increased to \$425,000 from the year ended June 30, 2010 from \$205,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009 due to the increased investment in income yielding securities.

Margin interest and trading expenses increased to \$1,398,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010 from \$1,186,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009. The increase is primarily due to the increase in margin interest expense to \$435,000 for the year ended June 30, 2010 from \$211,000 for the year ended June 30, 2009. The increase is the result of the maintenance of higher margin balances.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company had an income tax benefit of \$1,639,000 on both continuing and discontinued operations compared to a tax expense of \$944,000. The effective tax rate is significantly higher for the year ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the year ended June 30, 2009 primarily

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

due to a lower loss from Justice which resulted in a lower amount of noncontrolling interest that was reconciled against the net loss of the Company for income tax calculation purposes.

-25-

MARKETABLE SECURITIES AND OTHER INVESTMENTS

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had investments in marketable equity securities of \$7,712,000 and \$13,920,000, respectively. The following table shows the composition of the Company's marketable securities portfolio by selected industry groups as:

June 30, 2010		% of Total
Industry Group	Market Value	Investment
-----	-----	Securities
Investment funds	\$ 3,271,000	42.4%
REITs	1,946,000	25.2%
Healthcare	668,000	8.7%
Financial services	551,000	7.1%
Other	1,276,000	16.6%
	-----	-----
	\$ 7,712,000	100.0%
	=====	=====
June 30, 2009		% of Total
Industry Group	Market Value	Investment
-----	-----	Securities
Dairy products	\$ 5,433,000	39.0%
REITs and financial	3,835,000	27.6%
Basic materials and energy	1,733,000	12.4%
Electronic traded funds(ETFs)	1,328,000	9.5%
Services	376,000	2.7%
Other	1,215,000	8.8%
	-----	-----
	\$ 13,920,000	100.0%
	=====	=====

The Company's investment portfolio is diversified with 46 different equity securities. The Company has four individual positions that comprise more than 5% of the equity value of the portfolio with the largest being 19% of the value of the portfolio. The amount of the Company's investment in any particular issue may increase or decrease, and additions or reductions to its securities portfolio may occur, at any time. While it is the internal policy of the Company to limit its initial investment in any single equity to less than 5% of its total portfolio value, that investment could eventually exceed 5% as a result of equity appreciation or reductions in other positions.

The following table shows the net gain(loss) on the Company's marketable securities and the associated margin interest and trading expenses for the respective years:

For the years ended June 30,	2010	2009
	-----	-----
Net investment (loss)gain	\$ (747,000)	\$ 6,132,000
Net unrealized gain on other investments	181,000	-
Impairment loss on other investments	(1,805,000)	(1,300,000)
Dividend and interest income	425,000	205,000
Margin interest	(435,000)	(211,000)

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Trading expenses	(963,000)	(975,000)
	-----	-----
	\$ (3,344,000)	\$ 3,851,000
	=====	=====

-26-

The Company may also invest, with the approval of the Securities Investment Committee and other Company guidelines, in private investment equity funds and other unlisted securities, such as convertible notes through private placements. Those investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost on the Company's balance sheet as part of other investments, net of other than temporary impairment losses.

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had net other investments of \$6,651,000 and \$6,567,000, respectively. Included in the net other investments are notes and convertible notes in Comstock Mining, Inc., a public company, that had a carrying value of \$1,875,000 (net of impairment adjustments) as of June 30, 2010. The face value of these notes and convertible notes as of June 30, 2010 totaled approximately \$12,946,000, which includes \$8,972,000 of principal and \$3,974,000 of accrued interest. Comstock Mining is currently working with its debt holders, including the Company, to restructure its debt and capital structure.

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY

The Company's cash flows are primarily generated from its Hotel operations and general partner fees from Justice. The Company also receives revenues generated from the investment of its cash and marketable securities and other investments. Since the operations of the Hotel were temporarily suspended on May 31, 2005, and significant amounts of money were expended to renovate and reposition the Hotel as a Hilton, Justice did not pay any partnership distributions until the end of March 2007. As a result, the Company had to depend more on the revenues generated from the investment of its cash and marketable securities during that transition period.

The Hotel started to generate cash flows from its operations in June 2006. For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, Justice paid a total of \$850,000 in limited partnership distributions, of which the Company received \$425,000. The fiscal 2009 distributions were paid in September 2008, after which the San Francisco hotel market began to feel the full impact of the significant downturn in domestic and international economies that continued throughout fiscal 2009 and 2010. As a result, no Partnership distributions were paid in fiscal 2010. Since no significant improvement in economic conditions is expected in the lodging industry until sometime during 2011, no limited partnership distributions are anticipated in the foreseeable future. The general partners will continue to monitor and review the operations and financial results of the Hotel and to set the amount of any future distributions that may be appropriate based on operating results, cash flows and other factors, including establishment of reasonable reserves for debt payments and operating contingencies.

The new Justice Compensation Agreement that became effective on December 1, 2008, when Portsmouth assumed the role of managing general partner of Justice, has provided additional cash flows to the Company. Under the new Compensation Agreement, Portsmouth is now entitled to 80% of the minimum base fee to be paid to the general partners of \$285,000, while under the prior agreement, Portsmouth was entitled to receive only 20% of the minimum base fee. As a result, total general partner fees paid to Portsmouth for the year ended June 30, 2010 increased to \$264,000, compared to \$222,000 for the year ended June

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

30, 2009.

-27-

Despite the downturns in the economy, the Hotel has continued to generate positive cash flows. While the debt service requirements related to the two Prudential loans, as well as the new term loan to pay off the line of credit, may create some additional risk for the Company and its ability to generate cash flows in the future since the Partnership's assets had been virtually debt free for a number of years, management believes that cash flows from the operations of the Hotel and the garage will continue to be sufficient to meet all of the Partnership's current and future obligations and financial requirements. Management also believes that there is sufficient equity in the Hotel assets to support future borrowings, if necessary, to fund any new capital improvements and other requirements.

In March 2009, the Company refinanced its \$1,054,000 loan on its corporate office building and obtained a new loan in the amount of \$1,200,000. The interest rate on the loan is fixed at 5.02% and the loan matures in March 2014.

In October 2008, the Company refinanced the mortgage on its 132-unit apartment located in San Antonio, Texas and obtained a new mortgage loan in the amount of \$2,850,000. The interest rate on the loan is fixed at 5.26% and the loan matures in October 2011. In December 2008, the Company modified this loan and borrowed an additional \$504,000. As part of the loan modification, the fixed interest rate was reduced to 5.0% with no change to the maturity date.

In July 2008, the Company modified the mortgage on its 264-unit apartment complex located in St. Louis, Missouri and borrowed an additional \$500,000 on the note. The term and the interest rate on the note remain the same.

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company improved real estate properties in the aggregate amount of \$310,000. Management believes the improvements to the properties should enhance market values, maintain the competitiveness of the Company's properties and potentially enable the Company to obtain a higher yield through higher rents.

The Company has invested in short-term, income-producing instruments and in equity and debt securities when deemed appropriate. The Company's marketable securities are classified as trading with unrealized gains and losses recorded through the statement of operations.

Management believes that its cash, securities assets, and the cash flows generated from those assets and from partnership distributions and management fees, will be adequate to meet the Company's current and future obligations.

MATERIAL CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table provides a summary of the Company's material financial obligations which also includes interest.

	Total	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Mortgage notes payable	\$140,794,000	\$7,779,000	\$11,769,000	\$39,521,000	\$ 7,268,000
Other notes payable	4,274,000	1,234,000	708,000	694,000	1,629,000
Operating leases	792,000	270,000	103,000	87,000	107,000
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total	\$145,860,000	\$9,283,000	\$12,580,000	\$40,302,000	\$ 9,004,000

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has no material off balance sheet arrangements.

-29-

IMPACT OF INFLATION

Hotel room rates are typically impacted by supply and demand factors, not inflation, since rental of a hotel room is usually for a limited number of nights. Room rates can be, and usually are, adjusted to account for inflationary cost increases. Since Prism has the power and ability under the terms of its management agreement to adjust hotel room rates on an ongoing basis, there should be minimal impact on partnership revenues due to inflation. Partnership revenues are also subject to interest rate risks, which may be influenced by inflation. For the two most recent fiscal years, the impact of inflation on the Company's income is not viewed by management as material.

The Company's residential rental properties provide income from short-term operating leases and no lease extends beyond one year. Rental increases are expected to offset anticipated increased property operating expenses.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical accounting policies are those that are most significant to the portrayal of our financial position and results of operations and require judgments by management in order to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts in our consolidated financial statements. We evaluate our estimates on an on-going basis, including those related to the consolidation of our subsidiaries, to our revenues, allowances for bad debts, accruals, asset impairments, other investments, income taxes and commitments and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. The actual results may differ from these estimates or our estimates may be affected by different assumptions or conditions.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	PAGE
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	31
Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2010 and 2009	32
Consolidated Statements of Operations - For Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	33
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity(Deficit) - For	

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	34
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows - For Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	35
Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements	36 - 64

-30-

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and
Shareholders of The Intergroup Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of The Intergroup Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Company) as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity (deficit), and cash flows for the years then ended. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Intergroup Corporation and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the manner in which it accounts for noncontrolling interests effective July 1, 2009.

Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc.
San Francisco, California
September 24, 2010

-31-

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

As of	June 30, 2010	Jun
	-----	-----
ASSETS		
Investment in hotel, net	\$ 41,961,000	\$ 44,791,00
Investment in real estate, net	61,184,000	63,536,00
Properties held for sale	7,193,000	7,145,00
Investment in marketable securities	7,712,000	13,920,00
Other investments, net	6,651,000	6,567,00
Cash and cash equivalents	1,140,000	1,024,00
Restricted cash	1,641,000	1,598,00
Other assets, net	4,645,000	3,761,00
	-----	-----
Total assets	\$132,127,000	\$142,342,00
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$ 10,473,000	\$ 9,939,00
Due to securities broker	2,235,000	4,840,00
Obligations for securities sold	1,698,000	2,105,00
Line of credit	-	1,811,00
Other notes payable	3,688,000	1,280,00
Mortgage notes payable - hotel	45,990,000	46,757,00
Mortgage notes payable - real estate	59,842,000	61,061,00
Mortgage notes payable - property held for sale	10,450,000	10,670,00
Deferred income taxes	1,135,000	2,839,00
	-----	-----
Total liabilities	135,511,000	141,302,00
	-----	-----
Commitments and contingencies		
Shareholders' equity (deficit):		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 100,000 shares authorized; none issued	-	-
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 4,000,000 shares authorized; 3,290,872 and 3,216,653 issued; 2,401,884 and 2,327,665 Outstanding, respectively	33,000	32,000
Additional paid-in capital	9,109,000	8,959,00
Retained earnings	4,190,000	6,739,00
Treasury stock, at cost, 888,988 shares	(9,564,000)	(9,564,00)
	-----	-----
Total Intergroup shareholders' equity	3,768,000	6,166,00
Noncontrolling interest	(7,152,000)	(5,126,00)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' equity (deficit)	(3,384,000)	1,040,00
	-----	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity (deficit)	\$132,127,000	\$142,342,00
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

For the years ended June 30,	2010	2009
	-----	-----
Revenues		
Hotel	\$ 32,680,000	\$ 32,821,000
Real estate	12,155,000	12,787,000
	-----	-----
Total revenues	44,835,000	45,608,000
	-----	-----
Costs and operating expenses		
Hotel operating expenses	(27,223,000)	(27,331,000)
Real estate operating expenses	(5,857,000)	(6,337,000)
Loss on termination of garage lease	-	(684,000)
Depreciation and amortization expense	(6,905,000)	(6,777,000)
General and administrative expense	(1,814,000)	(1,663,000)
	-----	-----
Total costs and operating expenses	(41,799,000)	(42,792,000)
	-----	-----
Income from operations	3,036,000	2,816,000
	-----	-----
Other income (expense)		
Interest expense	(6,088,000)	(6,254,000)
Net gain (loss) on marketable securities	(747,000)	6,132,000
Unrealized gain on other investments	181,000	-
Impairment loss on other investments	(1,805,000)	(1,300,000)
Dividend and interest income	425,000	205,000
Trading and margin interest expense	(1,398,000)	(1,186,000)
	-----	-----
Net other expense	(9,432,000)	(2,403,000)
	-----	-----
Income (loss) before income tax	(6,396,000)	413,000
Income tax benefit (expense)	1,713,000	(827,000)
	-----	-----
Loss from continuing operations	(4,683,000)	(414,000)
	-----	-----
Discontinued operations:		
Income from discontinued operations	182,000	293,000
Provision for income tax expense	(74,000)	(117,000)
	-----	-----
Income from discontinued operations	108,000	176,000
	-----	-----
Net loss	(4,575,000)	(238,000)
Less: Net loss attributable to the noncontrolling interest	2,026,000	627,000
	-----	-----
Net (loss) income attributable to Intergroup	\$ (2,549,000)	\$ 389,000
	-----	-----
Net loss per share from continuing operations		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.96)	\$ (0.18)
Net income per share from discontinued operations		
Basic and diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.07
Net loss per share attributable to InterGroup		
Basic and diluted	\$ (1.07)	\$ 0.16

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Weighted average shares outstanding 2,383,602 2,361,882
===== =====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-33-

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Shares	Common stock	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Treasury stock	Intergroup Shareholders' Equity
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance at June 30, 2008	3,200,093	\$32,000	\$8,791,000	\$ 6,775,000	\$(9,151,000)	\$ 6,447,000
Net income (loss)				389,000		389,000
Distributions to noncontrolling interest				(425,000)		(425,000)
Issuance of stock	4,560		72,000			72,000
Exercise of stock options	12,000		96,000			96,000
Purchase of treasury stock					(413,000)	(413,000)
Balance at June 30, 2009	3,216,653	32,000	8,959,000	6,739,000	(9,564,000)	6,166,000
Net loss				(2,549,000)		(2,549,000)
Issuance of stock	6,004		72,000			72,000
Conversion of RSU To stock	65,215	1,000	(1,000)			-
Exercise of stock options	3,000		36,000			36,000
Stock options issued			43,000			43,000
Balance at June 30, 2010	3,290,872	\$33,000	\$9,109,000	\$ 4,190,000	\$(9,564,000)	\$ 3,768,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-34-

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

For the Years Ended June 30,	2010 -----	2009 -----
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (4,575,000)	\$ (238,000)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	6,905,000	6,777,000
Net unrealized (gain)loss on investments	4,740,000	(4,942,000)
Net unrealized gain on other investments	(181,000)	-
Impairment loss on other investments	1,805,000	1,300,000
Gain on insurance recovery	(178,000)	-
Loss on termination of garage lease	-	684,000
Stock compensation expense	115,000	72,000
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Other assets	(257,000)	171,000
Investment in marketable securities	1,468,000	(2,272,000)
Accounts payable and other liabilities	558,000	(824,000)
Due to securities broker	(2,605,000)	2,207,000
Obligations for securities sold	(407,000)	2,105,000
Deferred taxes	(1,704,000)	753,000
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by operating activities	5,684,000	5,793,000
	-----	-----
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investment in hotel	(1,329,000)	(1,185,000)
Investment in real estate	(161,000)	(443,000)
Investment in Other investments	(1,708,000)	(1,069,000)
Investment in Santa Fe	-	(17,000)
Investment in Portsmouth	-	(12,000)
Restricted cash	(43,000)	55,000
	-----	-----
Net cash used in investing activities	(3,241,000)	(2,671,000)
	-----	-----
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Borrowings from mortgage notes payable	-	1,147,000
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(2,206,000)	(1,887,000)
Borrowings from note payable/line of credit	689,000	(3,164,000)
(Payments)borrowings of other notes payable	(846,000)	642,000
Purchase of treasury stock	-	(413,000)
Distribution to noncontrolling interest	-	(425,000)
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	36,000	96,000
	-----	-----
Net cash used in financing activities	(2,327,000)	(4,004,000)
	-----	-----
Net increase(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	116,000	(882,000)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,024,000	1,906,000
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 1,140,000	\$ 1,024,000
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Supplemental information:

Income tax paid	\$ 103,000	\$ 162,000
	=====	=====
Interest paid	\$ 7,115,000	\$ 7,077,000
	=====	=====
Conversion of line of credit into other notes Payable	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -
	=====	=====
Fixed assets acquired through capital lease	\$ 754,800	\$ -
	=====	=====
Note payable issued under the installment sale agreement	\$ -	\$ 727,000
	=====	=====
Fixed assets acquired and note payable assumed under the installment sale agreement	\$ -	\$ (43,000)
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

-35-

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND PRACTICES:

Description of the Business

The InterGroup Corporation, a Delaware corporation, ("InterGroup" or the "Company") was formed to buy, develop, operate and dispose of real property and to engage in various investment activities to benefit the Company and its shareholders.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had the power to vote 80% of the voting shares of Santa Fe Financial Corporation ("Santa Fe"), a public company (OTCBB: SFEF). This percentage includes the power to vote an approximately 4% interest in the common stock in Santa Fe owned by the Company's Chairman and President pursuant to a voting trust agreement entered into on June 30, 1998.

Santa Fe's revenue is primarily generated through the management of its 68.8% owned subsidiary, Portsmouth Square, Inc. ("Portsmouth"), a public company (OTCBB: PRSI). InterGroup also directly owns approximately 11.7% of the common stock of Portsmouth. Portsmouth has a 50.0% limited partnership interest in Justice and serves as one of the two general partners. The other general partner, Evon Corporation ("Evon"), served as the managing general partner until December 1, 2008 at which time Portsmouth assumed the role of managing general partner. As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements of Justice are consolidated with those of the Company.

Justice owns a 544-room hotel property located at 750 Kearny Street, San Francisco California, known as the Hilton San Francisco Financial District (the Hotel) and related facilities including a five level underground parking garage. The Hotel is operated by the partnership as a full service Hilton brand hotel pursuant to a Franchise License Agreement with Hilton Hotels Corporation. Justice also has a Management Agreement with Prism Hospitality L.P. (Prism) to perform the day-to-day management functions of the Hotel.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Justice leased the parking garage to Evon through September 30, 2008. Effective October 1, 2008, Justice and Evon entered into an Installment Sale Agreement whereby Justice purchased all of Evon's right, title, and interest in the remaining term of its lease of the parking garage, which was to expire on November 30, 2010, and other related assets. Justice also agreed to assume Evon's contract with Ace Parking Management, Inc. ("Ace Parking") for the management of the garage and any other liabilities related to the operation of the garage commencing October 1, 2008. The Partnership also leases a day spa on the lobby level to Tru Spa.

Due to the temporary closing of the Hotel to undergo major renovations from May 2005 until January 2006 to transition and reposition the Hotel from a Holiday Inn to a Hilton, and the substantial depreciation and amortization expenses resulting from the renovations and operating losses incurred as the Hotel ramped up operations after reopening, Justice has recorded net losses. These losses were anticipated and planned for as part of the Partnership's renovation and repositioning plan for Hotel and management considers those net losses to be temporary. The Hotel has been generating positive cash flows from operations

-36-

since June 2006 and net income is expected to improve in the future, especially since depreciation and amortization expenses attributable to the renovation will decrease substantially. Despite the significant downturn in the economy, management believes that the revenues expected to be generated from the Hotel, garage and the Partnership's leases will be sufficient to meet all of the Partnership's current and future obligations and financial requirements. Management also believes that there is significant equity in the Hotel to support additional borrowings, if necessary.

In addition to the operations of the Hotel, the Company also generates income from the ownership of real estate. Properties include apartment complexes, commercial real estate, and two single-family houses as strategic investments. The properties are located throughout the United States, but are concentrated in Texas and Southern California. The Company also has investments in unimproved real property. The Company's residential rental properties located in California are managed by a professional third party property management company.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all controlled subsidiaries. All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Investment in Hotel, Net

The Hotel property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Building and improvements are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives ranging from 5 to 39 years. Furniture, fixtures and equipment are being depreciated on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives ranging from 5 to 7 years.

Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred, and costs of significant renewals and improvements are capitalized. Costs of significant renewals and improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the shorter of its remaining estimated useful life or life of the asset.

The Company reviews property and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

(GAAP). If the carrying amount of the asset, including any intangible assets associated with that asset, exceeds its estimated undiscounted net cash flow, before interest, the Company will recognize an impairment loss equal to the difference between its carrying amount and its estimated fair value. If impairment is recognized, the reduced carrying amount of the asset will be accounted for as its new cost. For a depreciable asset, the new cost will be depreciated over the asset's remaining useful life. Generally, fair values are estimated using discounted cash flow, replacement cost or market comparison analyses. The process of evaluating for impairment requires estimates as to future events and conditions, which are subject to varying market and economic factors. Therefore, it is reasonably possible that a change in estimate resulting from judgments as to future events could occur which would affect the recorded amounts of the property. No impairment losses were recorded for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

-37-

Investment in Real Estate, Net

Rental properties are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation of rental property is provided on the straight-line method based upon estimated useful lives of 5 to 40 years for buildings and improvements and 5 to 10 years for equipment. Expenditures for repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred and major improvements are capitalized.

The Company also reviews its rental property assets for impairment. No impairment losses on the investment in real estate have been recorded for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Investment in Marketable Securities

Marketable securities are stated at market value as determined by the most recently traded price of each security at the balance sheet date. Marketable securities are classified as trading securities with all unrealized gains and losses on the Company's investment portfolio recorded through the consolidated statements of operations.

Other Investments, Net

Other investments include non-marketable securities that are carried at cost net of any impairment loss and non-marketable warrants carried at fair value. The Company has no significant influence or control over the entities that issue these investments. These investments are reviewed on a periodic basis for other-than-temporary impairment. The Company reviews several factors to determine whether a loss is other-than-temporary. These factors include but are not limited to: (i) the length of time an investment is in an unrealized loss position, (ii) the extent to which fair value is less than cost, (iii) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and (iv) our ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value. For the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded impairment losses related to other investments of \$1,805,000 and \$1,300,000, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company has investments in stock warrants that are considered derivative instruments.

Derivative financial instruments, as defined in ASC 815-10-15-83, "Derivatives and Hedging" (pre-Codification SFAS No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities), consist of financial instruments or other

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

contracts that contain a notional amount and one or more underlying (e.g. interest rate, security price or other variable), require no initial net investment and permit net settlement. Derivative financial instruments may be free-standing or embedded in other financial instruments. Further, derivative financial instruments are initially, and subsequently, measured at fair value on the Company's consolidated balance sheet with the related unrealized gain or loss recorded in the Company's consolidated statement of operations. The Company used the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value these instruments which requires management to make significant assumptions including trading volatility, estimated terms, and risk free rates. Estimating fair values of derivative financial instruments requires the development of significant and subjective estimates that may, and are likely to, change over the duration of the instrument with related changes in internal and external market factors. In addition, option-based models are highly volatile and sensitive to changes in the trading market price of the underlying

-38-

common stock, which has a high-historical volatility. Since derivative financial instruments are initially and subsequently carried at fair values, the Company's consolidated statement of operations will reflect the volatility in these estimate and assumption changes.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash equivalents consist of highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased and are carried at cost, which approximates fair value.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash is comprised of amounts held by lenders for payment of real estate taxes, insurance, replacement reserves for the operating properties and tenant security deposits that are invested in certificates of deposit.

Other Assets, Net

Other asset includes loan fees, franchise fees and license fees. Loan fees are stated at cost and amortized over the term of the loan using the effective interest method. Franchise fees are stated at cost and amortized over the life of the agreement (15 years). License fees are stated at cost and amortized over 10 years.

Accounts receivable from the Hotel and rental property customers are carried at cost less an allowance for doubtful accounts that is based on management's assessment of the collectability of accounts receivable. The Company extends unsecured credit to its customers but mitigates the associated credit risk by performing ongoing credit evaluations of its customers.

Due to Securities Broker

The Company may utilize margin for its marketable securities purchases through the use of standard margin agreements with national brokerage firms. Various securities brokers have advanced funds to the Company for the purchase of marketable securities under standard margin agreements. These advanced funds are recorded as a liability.

Obligation for Securities Sold

Obligation for securities sold represents the fair market value of shares sold with the promise to deliver that security at some future date and the fair

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

market value of shares underlying the written call options with the obligation to deliver that security when and if the option is exercised. The obligation may be satisfied with current holdings of the same security or by subsequent purchases of that security. Unrealized gains and losses from changes in the obligation are included in the statement of operations.

Accounts Payable and Other Liabilities

Accounts payable and other liabilities include trade payables, advance deposits and other liabilities.

Of the total accounts payable and other liabilities balance of \$10,473,000, \$7,417,000 is accounts payable related to Justice Investors and its hotel operations.

-39-

Treasury Stock

The Company records the acquisition of treasury stock under the cost method.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company accounts for its assets and liabilities under accounting standards of fair value measurement. Under these standards, fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the "exit price") in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Accounting standards for fair value measurement establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

Level 1-inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2-inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the assets or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instruments.

Level 3-inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value.

Revenue Recognition

Room revenue is recognized on the date upon which a guest occupies a room and/or utilizes the Hotel's services. Food and beverage revenues are recognized upon delivery. Garage revenue is recognized when a guest uses the garage space.

Rental revenue is recognized on the straight-line method of accounting whereby contractual rent payment increases are recognized evenly over the lease term, regardless of when the rent payments are received by Justice. The leases contain provisions for base rent plus a percentage of the lessees' revenues, which are recognized when earned.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Revenue recognition from apartment rentals commences when an apartment unit is placed in service and occupied by a rent-paying tenant. Apartment units are leased on a short-term basis, with no lease extending beyond one year.

Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes are calculated under the liability method. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are based on differences between the financial statement and tax basis of assets and liabilities at the current enacted tax rates. Changes in deferred income tax assets and liabilities are included as a component of income tax expense. Changes in deferred income tax assets and liabilities attributable to changes in enacted tax rates are charged

-40-

or credited to income tax expense in the period of enactment. Valuation allowances are established for certain deferred tax assets where realization is not likely.

Assets and liabilities are established for uncertain tax positions taken or positions expected to be taken in income tax returns when such positions are judged to not meet the "more-likely-than-not" threshold based on the technical merits of the positions.

Environmental Remediation Costs

Liabilities for environmental remediation costs are recorded and charged to expense when it is probable that obligations have been incurred and the amounts can be reasonably estimated. Recoveries of such costs are recognized when received. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, there were no liabilities for environmental remediation.

Earnings Per Share

Basic income(loss) per share is computed by dividing net income(loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. The computation of diluted income(loss) per share is similar to the computation of basic earnings per share except that the weighted-average number of common shares is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if potential dilutive common shares had been issued. The Company's only potentially dilutive common shares are stock options. As of June 30, 2010, the Company had 75,000 stock options that were considered potentially dilutive common shares and 117,000 stock options that were considered anti-dilutive. As of June 30, 2009, the Company had 45,000 stock options that were considered potentially dilutive common shares and 57,000 stock options that were considered anti-dilutive. However, the basic and diluted earnings per share were the same for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 because of the Company's net loss from continuing operations.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP) requires the use of estimates and assumptions regarding certain types of assets, liabilities, revenues, and expenses. Such estimates primarily relate to unsettled transactions and events as of the date of the financial statements. Accordingly, upon settlement, actual results may differ from estimated amounts.

Reclassifications

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Certain prior year balances have been reclassified to conform with the current year presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, which was primarily codified into Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 105. This standard became the single source of authoritative nongovernmental U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Codification was effective for interim or annual financial periods ended after September 15,

-41-

2009. The Company adopted ASC 105 beginning the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The adoption of ASC 105 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Additionally, the FASB now uses Accounting Standards Updates (ASU) to amend ASC.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (SFAS 167), which has been codified into ASC Topic 810-10, "Consolidation". It clarifies that related parties should be considered when evaluating the criteria for determining whether a decision maker's or service provider's fee represents a variable interest. In addition, the amendments clarify that a quantitative calculation should not be the sole basis for evaluating whether a decision maker's or service provider's fee represents a variable interest. This guidance will be effective at the start of a reporting entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2009. Early application is not permitted. Management does not anticipate that the adoption of this guidance will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, Subsequent Events, which was primarily codified into ASC Topic 855 and updated by ASU 2010-09. The Company adopted ASC Topic 855 which requires an entity to recognize in the financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet. For non-recognized subsequent events that must be disclosed to keep the financial statements from being misleading, an entity will be required to disclose the nature of the event as well as an estimate of its financial effect, or a statement that such an estimate cannot be made. ASC Topic 855 is consistent with current practice and did not have any impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements. Subsequent events were evaluated through the date the consolidated financial statements were issued.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements—an amendment of ARB No. 51" which was primarily codified into ASC Topic 810, "Consolidation." ASC Topic 810 states that accounting and reporting for minority interests will be recharacterized as noncontrolling interests and classified as a component of equity. This standard also establishes reporting requirements that provide disclosures that identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. ASC Topic 810 required retrospective adoption of the presentation and disclosure requirements for previously existing minority interests. All other requirements are to be applied prospectively. This standard is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted the provisions beginning July 1, 2009. Prior to adopting this standard, the Company absorbed 100% of the net loss and accumulated deficit of Justice Investors as of June 30, 2009. Effective July 1, 2009 under ASC Topic

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

810, losses attributable to the parent and the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary shall be attributed to those respective interests. That is, the noncontrolling interest shall continue to be attributed its share of losses even if that attribution results in a deficit noncontrolling interest balance. As a result, upon adoption, the Company recalculated the accumulated deficit pertaining to noncontrolling interest totaling \$5,126,000 and \$4,499,000 as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and reclassified such amount as a separate component of the shareholders' equity (deficit). However, the losses attributed to the noncontrolling interest were not adjusted in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended June 30, 2009.

-42-

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities" which was primarily codified into ASC Topic 825, "Financial Instruments." ASC Topic 825 provides entities with an irrevocable option to report selected financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. It also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements that are designed to facilitate comparisons between entities that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. The Company adopted ASC Topic 825 on July 1, 2008 and chose not to elect the fair value option for its financial assets and liabilities that had not been previously carried at fair value. Therefore, material financial assets and liabilities not carried at fair value, such as other assets, accounts payable, line of credit, other notes payable and mortgage payables are reported at their carrying values.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements." Effective January 1, 2010, ASU 2010-06 requires the separate disclosure of significant transfers into and out of the Level 1 and Level 2 categories and the reasons for such transfers, and also requires fair value measurement disclosures for each class of assets and liabilities as well as disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs used for recurring and nonrecurring Level 2 and Level 3 fair value measurements. Effective in fiscal years beginning after December 31, 2010, ASU 2010-06 also requires Level 3 disclosure of purchases, sales, issuances and settlements activity on a gross rather than a net basis. These amendments resulted in additional disclosures in the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), "Business Combinations", which was primarily codified into ASC Topic 805, "Business Combinations". It establishes principles and requirements for how an acquirer in a business combination recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any controlling interest; recognizes and measures the goodwill acquired in the business combination or a gain from a bargain purchase; and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. This standard is to be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after an entity's fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2008. The Company adopted this standard beginning July 1, 2009 and adoption of this standard had no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2 - JUSTICE INVESTORS

On July 14, 2005, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) SOP 78-9-1, "Interaction of AICPA Statement of Position 78-9 and EITF Issue No. 04-5" which was codified into ASC Topic 910-810, "Real Estate - General - Consolidation", to amend the guidance in AICPA Statement of Position 78-9, "Accounting for Investments in

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Real Estate Ventures" (SOP 78-9) to be consistent with the consensus in Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 04-5 "Determining Whether a General Partner, or General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights" which was codified into ASC 810-20, "Consolidation", eliminated the concept of "important rights" (ASC Topic 970-810) and replaces it with the concepts of "kick out rights" and "substantive participating rights". In accordance with guidance set forth in ASC Topic 970-20, Portsmouth has applied the principles of accounting applicable for investments in subsidiaries due to its substantial limited partnership interest and general partnership rights and has

-43-

consolidated the financial statements of Justice with those of the Company effective as of July 1, 2006. For the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the results of operations for Justice were consolidated with those of the Company.

On December 1, 2008, Portsmouth and Evon, as the two general partners of Justice, entered into a 2008 Amendment to the Limited Partnership Agreement (the "Amendment") that provides for a change in the respective roles of the general partners. Pursuant to the Amendment, Portsmouth assumed the role of Managing General Partner and Evon continued on as the Co-General Partner of Justice. The Amendment was ratified by approximately 98% of the limited partnership interests. The Amendment also provides that future amendments to the Limited Partnership Agreement may be made only upon the consent of the general partners and at least seventy five percent (75%) of the interests of the limited partners. Consent of at least 75% of the interests of the limited partners will also be required to remove a general partner pursuant to the Amendment.

Concurrent with the Amendment to the Limited Partnership Agreement, a new General Partner Compensation Agreement (the "Compensation Agreement") was entered into on December 1, 2008, among Justice, Portsmouth and Evon to terminate and supersede all prior compensation agreement for the general partners. Pursuant to the Compensation Agreement, the general partners of Justice will be entitled to receive an amount equal to 1.5% of the gross annual revenues of the Partnership (as defined), less \$75,000 to be used as a contribution toward the cost of Justice engaging an asset manager. In no event shall the annual compensation be less than a minimum base of approximately \$285,000, with eighty percent (80%) of that amount being allocated to Portsmouth for its services as managing general partner and twenty percent (20%) allocated to Evon as the co-general partner. Compensation earned by the general partners in each calendar year in excess of the minimum base, will be payable in equal fifty percent (50%) shares to Portsmouth and Evon.

NOTE 3 - INVESTMENT IN HOTEL, NET

Investment in hotel consisted of the following as of:

June 30, 2010	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
	-----	-----	-----
Land	\$ 2,738,000	\$ -	\$ 2,738,000
Furniture and equipment	18,393,000	(14,710,000)	3,683,000
Building and improvements	54,782,000	(19,242,000)	35,540,000
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 75,913,000	\$(33,952,000)	\$ 41,961,000
	=====	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

June 30, 2009	Cost	Accumulated Depreciation	Net Book Value
	-----	-----	-----
Land	\$ 2,738,000	\$ -	\$ 2,738,000
Furniture and equipment	16,939,000	(11,262,000)	5,677,000
Building and improvements	54,266,000	(17,890,000)	36,376,000
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 73,943,000	\$(29,152,000)	\$ 44,791,000
	=====	=====	=====

-44-

Depreciation expense for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$4,890,000 and \$4,600,000 respectively.

The Partnership leases certain equipment under agreements that are classified as capital leases. The cost of equipment under capital leases was \$2,108,000 and \$959,000 as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The accumulated amortization on capital leases was \$1,046,000 and \$670,000 as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

NOTE 4 - INVESTMENT IN REAL ESTATE, NET

At June 30, 2010, the Company's investment in real estate consisted of twenty-four properties located throughout the United States. These properties include eighteen apartment complexes, two single-family houses as strategic investments, and two commercial real estate properties, one of which served as the Company's corporate headquarters through October 2009. The Company also owns two unimproved real estate properties located in Austin, Texas and Maui, Hawaii.

Investment in real estate included the following:

As of June 30,	2010	2009
	-----	-----
Land	\$ 24,735,000	\$ 24,735,000
Buildings, improvements and equipment	60,758,000	61,149,000
Less: accumulated depreciation	(24,309,000)	(22,348,000)
	-----	-----
	\$ 61,184,000	\$ 63,536,000
	=====	=====

Depreciation expense from continuing operations for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, was \$1,960,000 and \$2,122,000, respectively.

Three of the Company's properties located in Texas sustained damages due to hailstorm and fire. The Company's properties are covered by insurance. The Company estimated and reduced the carrying value of the properties damaged by approximately \$651,000 during the year ended June 30, 2010. As of June 30, 2010, the Company received \$147,000 from the insurance company for one of the properties. The Company also recorded an insurance receivable totaling \$682,000 (which is included in the "Other Assets, net") for insurance claim made for the other two properties because the realizability of such amount was probable as of June 30, 2010. The proceeds and receivable from insurance totaling \$829,000 exceeded the amount of property damage by \$178,000. The excess amount was recorded as net gain from insurance recovery and was included in the "Real estate operating expenses" in the consolidated statements of operations during the year ended June 30, 2010. In July 2010, the Company subsequently received a total of \$682,000 in insurance recovery from the

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

insurance company.

NOTE 5 - PROPERTIES HELD FOR SALE AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had two properties located in Texas classified as held for sale. The revenues and expenses from the operation for these properties along with the properties that were sold and/or listed as held for sale for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, have been

-45-

reclassified from continuing operations and reported as income from discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations for the respective years.

Revenues and expenses from the operation of these properties for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows:

For the years ended June 30,	2010	2009
	-----	-----
Revenues	\$ 2,377,000	\$ 2,608,000
Expenses	(2,195,000)	(2,315,000)
	-----	-----
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 182,000	\$ 293,000
	=====	=====

NOTE 6 - INVESTMENT IN MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company's investment portfolio consists primarily of corporate equities. The Company has also invested in income producing securities, which may include interests in real estate based companies and REITs, where financial benefit could inure to its shareholders through income and/or capital gain.

At June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009, all of the Company's marketable securities are classified as trading securities. The change in the unrealized gains and losses on these investments are included in earnings.

As of June 30, 2010

Investment	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Net Unrealized Gain	Market Value
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Corporate Equities	\$ 6,311,000	\$ 2,273,000	(\$872,000)	\$ 1,401,000	\$ 7,712,000

As of June 30, 2009

Investment	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss	Net Unrealized Gain	Market Value
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Corporate Equities	\$ 8,170,000	\$ 7,075,000	(\$1,325,000)	\$ 5,750,000	\$13,920,000

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had \$679,000 and \$968,000, respectively, of unrealized losses related to securities held for over one year.

Net gain(loss) on marketable securities on the statement of operations are comprised of realized and unrealized gain(loss). Below is the composition of the two components for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

-46-

For the years ended June 30,	2010	2009
Realized gain on marketable securities	\$ 3,993,000	\$ 1,190,000
Unrealized (loss)gain on marketable securities	(4,740,000)	4,942,000
Net (loss)gain on marketable securities	\$ (747,000)	\$ 6,132,000

As part of the investment strategies, the Company may assume short positions in marketable securities. Short sales are used by the Company to potentially offset normal market risks undertaken in the course of its investing activities or to provide additional return opportunities. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had obligations for securities sold (equities short) of \$1,698,000 and \$2,105,000, respectively.

NOTE 7 - OTHER INVESTMENTS, NET

The Company may also invest, with the approval of the Securities Investment Committee and other Company guidelines, in private investment equity funds and other unlisted securities, such as convertible notes through private placements. Those investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost on the Company's balance sheet as part of other investments, net of other than temporary impairment losses.

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had net other investments of \$6,651,000 and \$6,567,000, respectively, which consist of the following:

Type	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Private equity hedge fund	\$ 3,712,000	\$ 5,517,000
Corporate debt instruments	2,358,000	1,050,000
Warrants - at fair value	581,000	-
	\$ 6,651,000	\$ 6,567,000

During the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded impairment losses of \$1,805,000 and \$1,300,000, respectively.

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had investments in corporate debt and equity instruments which had attached warrants that were considered derivative instruments. These warrants have an allocated cost basis of \$400,000 and a fair market value of \$581,000 as of June 30, 2010. During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company had an unrealized gain of \$181,000 related to these warrants.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

NOTE 8 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The carrying values of the Company's non-financial instruments approximate fair value due to their short maturities (i.e., accounts receivable, other assets, accounts payable and other liabilities, due to securities broker, obligations for securities sold, line of credit) or the nature and terms of the obligation (i.e., other notes payable and mortgage note payable).

-47-

The assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 are as follows:

Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	June 30, 2010
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Cash	\$ 1,140,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,140,000
Restricted cash	1,641,000	-	-	1,641,000
Other investments - warrants	-	581,000	-	581,000
Investment in marketable securities				
Investment funds	3,271,000			3,271,000
REITs	1,946,000			1,946,000
Healthcare	668,000			668,000
Financial services	551,000			551,000
Other	1,276,000			1,276,000
	7,712,000			7,712,000
	\$10,493,000	\$ 581,000	\$ -	\$11,074,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009 are as follows:

Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	June 30, 2009
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Cash	\$ 1,024,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,024,000
Restricted cash	1,598,000	-	-	1,598,000
Investment in marketable securities				
Dairy products	5,433,000			5,433,000
REITs and financial	3,835,000			3,835,000
Basic materials and energy	1,733,000			1,733,000
Electronic traded funds	1,328,000			1,328,000
Services	376,000			376,000
Other	1,215,000			1,215,000
	13,920,000			13,920,000
	-----	-----	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

\$16,542,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$16,542,000
=====	=====	=====	=====

The fair values of investments in marketable securities are determined by the most recently traded price of each security at the balance sheet date. The fair value of the warrants was determined based upon a Black-Scholes option valuation model.

Financial assets that are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and are not included in the tables above include "Other investments in non-marketable securities," that were initially measured at cost and have been

-48-

written down to fair value as a result of impairment. The following table shows the fair value hierarchy for these assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis as of June 30, 2010:

Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	June 30, 2010	Loss year June
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Other non-marketable investments	\$ -	\$ -	\$6,070,000	\$6,070,000	\$ (

Assets:	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	June 30, 2009	Loss year June
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Other non-marketable investments	\$ -	\$ -	\$6,567,000	\$6,567,000	\$ (

Other investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost net of any impairment loss. The Company has no significant influence or control over the entities that issue these investments. These investments are reviewed on a periodic basis for other-than-temporary impairment. The Company reviews several factors to determine whether a loss is other-than-temporary. These factors include but are not limited to: (i) the length of time an investment is in an unrealized loss position, (ii) the extent to which fair value is less than cost, (iii) the financial condition and near term prospects of the issuer and (iv) our ability to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value.

NOTE 9 - OTHER ASSETS, NET

Other assets consist of the following as of June 30,:

	2010	2009
	-----	-----
Accounts receivable, net	\$ 1,573,000	\$ 1,271,000
Prepaid expenses	1,088,000	779,000
Inventory	534,000	483,000
Miscellaneous assets, net	1,450,000	1,228,000
	-----	-----
Total other assets	\$ 4,645,000	\$ 3,761,000
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Amortization expense of loan fees and franchise costs for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$55,000 for each year.

NOTE 10 - OTHER NOTES PAYABLE AND LINE OF CREDIT

The Partnership had a \$2,500,000 unsecured revolving line of credit facility with a bank that was to mature on April 30, 2010. Borrowings under that line of credit bore interest at Prime plus 3.0% per annum or based on the Wall Street Journal Prime Rate (3.25%) plus 3.0% per annum, floating, (but subject to a minimum floor rate at 5.0% per annum). Borrowings under the line of credit were subject to certain financial covenants, which are measured annually at June 30th and December 31st based on the credit arrangement. Effective April 29,

-49-

2010, the Partnership obtained a modification from the bank which converted its revolving line of credit facility to a term loan. The Partnership also obtained a waiver of any prior noncompliance with financial covenants.

The modification provides that Justice will pay the \$2,500,000 balance on its line of credit facility over a period of four years, to mature on April 30, 2014. This term loan calls for monthly principal and interest payments of \$41,000, calculated on a six-year amortization schedule, with interest only from May 1, 2010 to August 31, 2010. Pursuant to the modification, the annual floating interest rate was reduced by 0.5% to the WSJ Prime Rate plus 2.5% (with a minimum floor rate of 5.0% per annum). The modification includes financial covenants written to reflect financial conditions that all hotels are facing. The covenants include specific financial ratios and a return to minimum profitability by June 2011. Management believes that the Partnership has the ability to meet the specific covenants and the Partnership was in compliance with the covenants as of June 30, 2010. The Partnership paid a loan modification fee of \$10,000. The loan continues as unsecured. As of June 30, 2010, the interest rate was 5.75% and the outstanding balance was \$2,500,000. As of June 30, 2009, the interest rate was 6.25% and the outstanding balance on the line of credit was \$1,811,000.

The Partnership has short-term financing agreements with a financial institution for the payment of its general, property, and workers' compensation insurance. The notes payable under these financing agreements bear interest at 3.8% per annum and payable in equal monthly installments (principal and interest) through December 2010. The notes payable at June 30, 2010 and 2009, were \$176,000 and \$246,000, respectively.

As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Partnership also has a note payable due to Evon Corporation in the amount of \$143,000 and \$480,000, respectively. This note has an annual fixed interest rate of 2.5% and matures on November 15, 2010. As of June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Partnership also has a note payable to Ace Parking Management, Inc., in the amount of \$36,000 and \$104,000, respectively. This note has an annual fixed interest rate of 8.5% and matures on October 31, 2010.

Justice leases certain equipment under capital leases expiring in various years through 2012. The capital lease obligations at June 30, 2010 and 2009, were \$833,000 and \$450,000, respectively. These notes were included as part of accounts payable and other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets

Minimum future lease payments for assets under capital leases as of June 30, 2010 for each of the next five years and in aggregate are:

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Year ending June 30

2011	\$ 441,000
2012	213,000
2013	199,000
2014	140,000
2015	9,000

Total minimum lease payments:	1,002,000
Less interest on capital leases	(169,000)

Present value of minimum lease payments	\$ 833,000
---	------------

-50-

NOTE 11 - MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE

Mortgage notes payable secured by real estate as of June 30, 2010 is summarized as follows:

Property	Number of Units	Note Origination Date	Note Maturity Date	Mortgage Balance	Interest Rate
SF Hotel	544 Rooms	July 2005	August 2015	\$27,723,000	5.22%
SF Hotel	544 Rooms	March 2005	August 2015	18,267,000	6.42%
Mortgage notes payable - hotel				\$45,990,000	
Florence	157	June 2005	July 2014	\$ 4,018,000	4.96%
Las Colinas	358	April 2004	May 2013	18,414,000	4.99%
Morris County	151	April 2003	May 2013	9,420,000	5.43%
St. Louis	264	May 2008	May 2013	5,989,000	6.16%
Los Angeles	24	May 2001	April 2031	1,578,000	7.15%
Los Angeles	4	September 2000	August 2030	399,000	7.59%
Los Angeles	2	January 2002	February 2032	407,000	6.45%
Los Angeles	1	February 2001	December 2030	435,000	8.44%
Los Angeles	31	September 2003	August 2033	3,608,000	4.35%
Los Angeles	30	August 2007	September 2022	6,787,000	5.97%
Los Angeles	27	October 1999	October 2029	1,660,000	7.73%
Los Angeles	14	December 1999	November 2029	984,000	7.89%
Los Angeles	12	November 2003	December 2018	952,000	6.38%
Los Angeles	9	February 2000	December 2029	735,000	7.95%
Los Angeles	8	May 2001	November 2029	518,000	7.00%
Los Angeles	7	November 2003	December 2018	984,000	6.38%
Los Angeles	4	November 2003	December 2018	669,000	6.38%
Los Angeles	1	October 2003	November 2033	470,000	5.75%
Los Angeles	Office	March 2009	March 2014	1,155,000	5.02%
Los Angeles	Office	September 2000	December 2013	660,000	6.71%
Mortgage notes payable - real estate				\$59,842,000	
Austin	249	June 2003	July 2023	\$ 7,202,000	5.46%
San Antonio	132	December 2008	October 2011	3,248,000	5.00%
Mortgage notes payable - properties held for sale				\$10,450,000	

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

-51-

Mortgage notes payable secured by real estate as of June 30, 2009 is summarized as follows:

Property	Number of Units	Note Origination Date	Note Maturity Date	Mortgage Balance	Interest Rate
SF Hotel	544 Rooms	July 2005	August 2015	\$28,242,000	5.22%
SF Hotel	544 Rooms	March 2005	August 2015	18,515,000	6.42%
Mortgage notes payable - hotel				\$46,757,000	
Florence	157	June 2005	July 2014	\$ 4,084,000	4.96%
Las Colinas	358	April 2004	May 2013	18,760,000	4.99%
Morris County	151	April 2003	May 2013	9,610,000	5.43%
St. Louis	264	May 2008	May 2013	6,098,000	6.16%
Los Angeles	24	May 2001	April 2031	1,610,000	7.15%
Los Angeles	5	September 2000	August 2030	407,000	7.59%
Los Angeles	2	January 2002	February 2032	415,000	6.45%
Los Angeles	1	February 2001	December 2030	442,000	8.44%
Los Angeles	31	September 2003	August 2033	3,750,000	4.35%
Los Angeles	30	August 2007	September 2022	6,850,000	5.97%
Los Angeles	27	October 1999	October 2029	1,717,000	7.73%
Los Angeles	14	December 1999	November 2029	1,015,000	7.89%
Los Angeles	12	November 2003	December 2018	969,000	6.38%
Los Angeles	9	February 2000	December 2029	760,000	7.95%
Los Angeles	8	May 2001	November 2029	529,000	7.00%
Los Angeles	7	November 2003	December 2018	1,001,000	6.38%
Los Angeles	4	November 2003	December 2018	681,000	6.38%
Los Angeles	1	October 2003	November 2033	482,000	5.75%
Los Angeles	Office	March 2009	March 2014	1,191,000	5.02%
Los Angeles	Office	September 2000	December 2013	690,000	6.71%
Mortgage notes payable - real estate				\$61,061,000	
Austin	249	June 2003	July 2023	\$ 7,353,000	5.46%
San Antonio	132	December 2008	October 2011	3,317,000	5.00%
Mortgage notes payable - properties held for sale				\$10,670,000	

On July 27, 2005, Justice entered into a first mortgage loan with The Prudential Insurance Company of America in a principal amount of \$30,000,000 (the "Prudential Loan"). The term of the Prudential Loan is for 120 months at a fixed interest rate of 5.22% per annum. The Prudential Loan calls for monthly installments of principal and interest in the amount of approximately \$165,000, calculated on a 30-year amortization schedule. The Loan is collateralized by a first deed of trust on the Partnership's Hotel property, including all improvements and personal property thereon and an assignment of all present and future leases and rents. The Prudential Loan is without recourse to the limited and general partners of Justice.

In March 2007, Justice entered into a second mortgage loan with The Prudential Insurance Company of America (the "Second Prudential Loan") in a principal amount of \$19,000,000. The term of the Second Prudential Loan is for

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

-52-

approximately 100 months and matures on August 5, 2015, the same date as the Partnership's first mortgage loan with Prudential. The Second Prudential Loan is at a fixed interest rate of 6.42% per annum and calls for monthly installments of principal and interest in the amount of approximately \$119,000, calculated on a 30-year amortization schedule. The Loan is collateralized by a second deed of trust on the Partnership's Hotel property, including all improvements and personal property thereon and an assignment of all present and future leases and rents. The Loan is without recourse to the limited and general partners of Justice.

In March 2009, the Company refinanced its \$1,054,000 loan on its corporate office building and obtained a new loan in the amount of \$1,200,000. The interest rate on the loan is fixed at 5.02% and the loan matures in March 2014.

In October 2008, the Company refinanced the mortgage on its 132-unit apartment located in San Antonio, Texas and obtained a new mortgage loan in the amount of \$2,850,000. The interest rate on the loan is fixed at 5.26% and the loan matures in October 2011. In December 2008, the Company modified this loan and borrowed an additional \$504,000. As part of the loan modification, the fixed interest rate was reduced to 5.0% with no change to the maturity date.

In July 2008, the Company modified the mortgage on its 264-unit apartment complex located in St. Louis, Missouri and borrowed an additional \$500,000 on the note. The term and the interest rate on the note remain the same.

Future minimum payments for all notes payable (including the \$3,688,000 other notes payable less the \$833,000 capital lease obligations) are as follows:

For the year ending June 30,

2011	\$ 3,115,000
2012	6,241,000
2013	34,727,000
2014	4,986,000
2015	5,693,000
Thereafter	64,375,000

	\$119,137,000
	=====

NOTE 12 - HOTEL RENTAL INCOME AND TERMINATION OF GARAGE LEASE

The Partnership had a lease agreement with Evon for the use of the parking garage, which was to expire in November 2010. Effective October 1, 2008, Justice and Evon entered into an installment sale agreement whereby Justice purchased all of Evon's right, title, and interest in the remaining term of the garage lease and other related assets. Justice also agreed to assume Evon's contract with Ace Parking Management, Inc. (Ace) for the management of the garage and note payable to Ace related to the operation of the garage commencing October 1, 2008. The purchase price for the garage lease and related assets was \$755,000, payable in one down payment of \$28,000 and 26 equal monthly installments of \$29,000, which includes interest at the rate of 2.4% per annum. For the year ended June 30, 2009, the Partnership recorded a loss on termination of the garage lease of \$684,000.

-53-

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Prior to the installment sale agreement, the garage lease had provided for a monthly rental equal to the greater of the sum of \$20,000, or an amount equal to 60% of gross parking revenues as defined by the lease. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Partnership recorded rental income from Evon of \$402,000.

The Partnership has a lease agreement with Tru Spa, LLC (Tru Spa) for the use of the spa facilities expiring in May 2013. The lease provides the Partnership with minimum monthly payments of \$14,000, subject to increases based on the Consumer Price Index. Minimum future rentals to be received under this non-cancellable lease as of June 30, 2010 are as follows:

For the year ending June 30,		
2011	\$	165,000
2012		165,000
2013		151,000

	\$	481,000
		=====

NOTE 13 - MANAGEMENT AGREEMENT

On February 2, 2007, the Partnership entered into an agreement with Prism to manage and operate the Hotel as its agent. The agreement is effective for a term of ten years, unless the agreement is extended or earlier terminated as provided in the agreement. Under the management agreement, the Partnership is required to pay the base management fees of 2.5% of gross operating revenues of the Hotel (i.e., room, food and beverage, and other operating departments) for the fiscal year. However, 0.75% of the stated management fee is due only if the partially adjusted net operating income of the hotel for the fiscal year exceeds the amount of the Hotel return for the fiscal year. Prism is also entitled to an incentive management fee if certain milestones are accomplished. No incentive fees were paid during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. In support of the Partnership's efforts to reduce costs in this difficult economic environment, Prism agreed to reduce its management fees by fifty percent from January 1, 2009, through December 31, 2010, after which the original fee arrangement will remain in effect. Management fees paid to Prism during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$246,000 and \$398,000, respectively.

NOTE 14 - INCOME TAXES

The provision for the Company's income tax benefit (expense) is comprised of the following:

For the years ended June 30,	2010			2009		
	Operations	Discontinued Operations	Total	Operations	Discontinued Operations	Total
Federal						
Current tax expense	\$ 14,000	\$ -	\$ 14,000	\$ (15,000)	\$ -	\$ (15,000)
Deferred tax benefit	1,467,000	(57,000)	1,410,000	(598,000)	(100,000)	(698,000)
	1,481,000	(57,000)	1,424,000	(613,000)	(100,000)	(713,000)
State						

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Current tax expense	(62,000)	(17,000)	(79,000)	(159,000)	(17,000)	(17,000)
Deferred tax benefit	294,000	-	294,000	(55,000)	-	-
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	232,000	(17,000)	215,000	(214,000)	(17,000)	(17,000)
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 1,713,000	\$ (74,000)	\$1,639,000	\$ (827,000)	\$ (117,000)	\$ (9,000)
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

-54-

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income tax computed by applying the federal statutory income tax rate to income(loss) before taxes as a result of the following differences:

For the years ended June 30,	2010	2009
	-----	-----
Income tax at federal statutory rates	\$2,106,000	\$ (140,000)
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	190,000	(132,000)
Dividend received deduction	69,000	31,000
Noncontrolling interest	(419,000)	(469,000)
Valuation allowance	(237,000)	(27,000)
Other adjustments	4,000	(90,000)
	-----	-----
Total income tax (expense)benefit	\$1,713,000	\$ (827,000)
	=====	=====

The components of the deferred tax asset and liabilities are as follows:

As of June 30,	2010	2009
	-----	-----
Deferred tax assets		
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 9,819,000	\$ 9,264,000
Capital loss carryforwards	615,000	-
Other investment impairment reserve	1,543,000	1,062,000
Accruals and reserves	70,000	916,000
Valuation allowance	(1,484,000)	(1,197,000)
	-----	-----
	10,563,000	10,045,000
Deferred tax liabilities		
Deferred real estate gains	(8,814,000)	(8,858,000)
Unrealized gains on marketable securities	(516,000)	(2,421,000)
Depreciation	(286,000)	(209,000)
Equity earnings	(1,683,000)	(1,109,000)
State taxes	(399,000)	(287,000)
	-----	-----
	(11,698,000)	(12,884,000)
	-----	-----
Net deferred tax liability	\$ (1,135,000)	\$ (2,839,000)
	=====	=====

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had net operating losses (NOLs) of \$23,941,000 and \$21,762,000 for federal and state purposes, respectively. Below is the break-down of the NOLs for Intergroup, Santa Fe and Portsmouth. The carryforward expires in varying amounts through the year 2030.

	Federal	State
	-----	-----
Intergroup	\$ 6,767,000	\$ 8,130,000
Santa Fe	4,959,000	1,808,000
Portsmouth	12,215,000	11,824,000
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

\$23,941,000 \$21,762,000
 ===== =====

-55-

NOTE 15 - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in three reportable segments, the operation of the hotel ("Hotel Operations"), the operation of its multi-family residential properties ("Real Estate Operations") and the investment of its cash in marketable securities and other investments ("Investment Transactions"). These three operating segments, as presented in the financial statements, reflect how management internally reviews each segment's performance. Management also makes operational and strategic decisions based on this information.

Information below represents reported segments for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Operating income(loss) from hotel operations consist of the operation of the hotel and operation of the garage. Operating income for rental properties consist of rental income. Operating income for investment transactions consist of net investment gain(loss) and dividend and interest income.

As of and For the year ended June 30, 2010	Hotel Operations	Real estate operations	Investment Transactions	Other	Subtotal
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating income	\$32,680,000	\$12,155,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 44,835,000
Operating expenses	(32,168,000)	(7,817,000)	-	(1,814,000)	(41,799,000)
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income(loss) from operations	512,000	4,338,000	-	(1,814,000)	3,036,000
Interest expense	(2,902,000)	(3,186,000)	-	-	(6,088,000)
Loss from investments	-	-	(3,344,000)	-	(3,344,000)
Income tax expense	-	-	-	1,713,000	1,713,000
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income(loss)	\$ (2,390,000)	\$ 1,152,000	\$ (3,344,000)	\$ (101,000)	\$ (4,683,000)
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
Total Assets	\$41,961,000	\$61,184,000	\$14,363,000	\$ 7,426,000	\$ 124,934,000
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

As of and For the year ended June 30, 2009	Hotel Operations	Real estate operations	Investment Transactions	Other	Subtotal
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating income	\$32,821,000	\$12,787,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 45,608,000
Operating expenses	(32,670,000)	(8,459,000)	-	(1,663,000)	(42,792,000)
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income(loss) from operations	151,000	4,328,000	-	(1,663,000)	2,816,000
Interest expense	(2,873,000)	(3,381,000)	-	-	(6,254,000)
Income from investments	-	-	3,851,000	-	3,851,000
Income tax expense	-	-	-	(827,000)	(827,000)
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income(loss)	\$ (2,722,000)	\$ 947,000	\$ 3,851,000	\$ (2,490,000)	\$ (414,000)

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Total Assets	\$44,791,000	\$63,536,000	\$20,487,000	\$ 6,383,000	\$ 135,197,000
--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	--------------	----------------

-56-

NOTE 16 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company follows the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards 123 (Revised), "Share-Based Payments" ("SFAS No. 123R"), which was primarily codified into ASC Topic 718 "Compensation - Stock Compensation", which addresses accounting for equity-based compensation arrangements, including employee stock options and restricted stock units.

Intergroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan

On February 24, 2010, the shareholders of the Company approved The Intergroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"), which was formally adopted by the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of shareholders. The Company believes that such awards better align the interests of its employees with those of its shareholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant; those option awards generally vest based on 5 years of continuous service. Certain option and share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change in control, as defined in the 2010 Plan. The 2010 plan authorizes a total of up to 200,000 shares of common stock to be issued as equity compensation to officers and employees of the Company in an amount and in a manner to be determined by the Compensation Committee in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Plan. The 2010 Plan authorizes the awards of several types of equity compensation including stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance awards and other stock based compensation. The 2010 Plan will expire on February 23, 2020, if not terminated sooner by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee. Any awards issued under the 2010 Plan will expire under the terms of the grant agreement.

On March 16, 2010, the Compensation Committee authorized the grant of 100,000 stock options to the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive, John V. Winfield to purchase up to 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 2010 Plan. The exercise price of the options is \$10.30, which is 100% of the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on March 16, 2010, the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Plan. The options shall be subject to both time and performance based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 20,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant. Pursuant to the performance vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 20,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$10.30) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter.

On March 16, 2010, the Compensation Committee also authorized a grant of 5,000 stock options to the Company's Vice President Real Estate, David C. Gonzalez, to purchase up to 5,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 2010 Plan. The exercise price of the options is \$10.30 and the options expire ten years from the date of grant, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Plan. The options vest as follows: March 16, 2011 - 2,500

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

shares; and March 16, 2012 - 2,500 shares.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the table below. Because Black-Scholes option valuation models incorporate ranges

-57-

of assumptions for inputs, those ranges are disclosed. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatility of the Company's stock, and other factors. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model; The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the option valuation model and represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding; The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The fair value of options is measured by applying the Black-Scholes model on grant date, using the following assumptions for the year ended June 30, 2010:

Expected volatility	51.6%
Expected term	7 years
Expected dividend yield	0%
Risk-free interest rate	2.36%

During the year ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded stock option compensation cost of \$43,000 related to issuance of stock options. As of June 30, 2010, there was a total of \$266,000 of unamortized compensation related to stock options which is expected to be recognized over the weighted-average of 5 years.

The following table summarizes the stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2010:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Aggreg Intrin Valu
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Outstanding at June 30, 2008	390,000	9.13		
Granted	-	-		
Exercised	(12,000)	8.00		
Forfeited	-	-		
Exchanged	(276,000)	7.94		
	-----	-----		
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	102,000 (a)	\$12.47	3.15 years	\$ 52,
Granted	105,000	10.30		
Exercised	(3,000)	12.00		
Forfeited	-	-		
Exchanged	(12,000 (a))	12.00		
	-----	-----		
Outstanding at June 30, 2010	192,000	\$11.32	6.44 years	\$ 790,
	=====	=====		=====
Exercisable at June 30, 2010	87,000	\$12.55	2.52 years	\$ 269,
	=====	=====		=====

(a) These options were issued prior to July 1, 2006 from the now terminated

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Intergroup 1998 Stock Option Plan for Key Officers and Employees and 1998 Non-Employee Director Plan. Please see below for more information.

The weighted-average grant-date fair value of options granted during the year end June 30, 2010 was \$5.62. No options were issued for the year ended June 30, 2009. There were no options exercised under the 2010 Plan during the year ended June 30, 2010. The stock option exercise during the year ended June 30, 2010 pertains to options outstanding under the 1998 Non-Employee Director Plan (see below) and the intrinsic value amounted to \$10,000. The stock option exercise during the year ended June 30, 2009 pertains to options outstanding under the 1998 Non-Employee Director Plan (see below) and the intrinsic value amounted to \$18,000.

-58-

Intergroup 1998 Stock Option Plan for Key Officers and Employees and Intergroup 1998 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors

On December 7, 2008, the Company's 1998 Stock Option Plan for Key Officers and Employees (1998 Employee Plan) expired; however, any outstanding options issued under that plan remain effective in accordance with their terms.

The Company's 1998 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors (1998 Non-Employee Director Plan) was terminated upon shareholder approval, and Board adoption, of the 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors; however, any outstanding options under that plan remained effective in accordance with their terms. Those stock compensation plans are more fully described in Note 17 of the Company's Form 10-K/A for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. Please see description of the 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors below.

As of June 30, 2010, there were a total of 102,000 options outstanding related to the 1998 Employee Plan and 1998 Non-Employee Director Plan. No stock options were issued by the Company after July 1, 2006 under the 1998 Employee Plan and 1998 Non-Employee Director Plan. Additionally, compensation expense for unvested stock options that were outstanding at July 1, 2006 were recognized over the requisite service period based on the fair value of those options as previously calculated at the grant date under the pro-forma disclosures of SFAS 123.

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "2007 Plan") was approved by the shareholders of the Company on February 21, 2007, and was thereafter adopted by the Board of Directors. The 2007 Plan will terminate upon the earlier of the date all shares reserved for issuance have been awarded or February 21, 2017, if not sooner terminated by the Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee. The stock to be available for issuance under the 2007 Plan shall be unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, which may be unissued shares or treasury shares. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 60,000 shares of the Common Stock will be available for issuance to participants under the 2007 Plan.

All non-employee directors are eligible to participate in the 2007 Plan. Each non-employee director as of the adoption date of the 2007 Plan was granted an award of 600 unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock. On each July 1 following the adoption date of the 2007 Plan, each non-employee director shall receive an automatic grant of a number of shares of Company's Common Stock equal in value to \$18,000 based on 100% of the fair market value (as defined)

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

of the Common Stock on the date of grant, provided he or she holds such position on that date and the number of shares of Common Stock available for grant under the 2007 Plan is sufficient to permit such automatic grant. Any fractional shares resulting from such grant will be rounded up to next highest whole share. All stock awards to non-employee directors will be fully vested on the date of grant. The dollar amount of the annual grant is subject to further adjustment by the Board of Directors upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee.

The stock awards granted under the 2007 Plan are shares of unrestricted Common Stock and are fully vested on the date of grant. The right of the non-employee director to receive his or her annual grant of Common is personal to the director and is not transferable. Once received, shares of Common Stock awarded

-59-

to the non-employee director are freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). On June 28, 2007, Company filed a registration statement on Form S-8 to register the shares subject to the 2007 Plan and the Company's two prior stock option plans under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act").

Upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board may, at any time and from time to time and in any respect, amend or modify the 2007 Plan. The Board must obtain stockholder approval of any material amendment to the 2007 Plan if required by any applicable law, regulation or stock exchange rule. The Board of Directors may amend the 2007 Plan or any award agreement, which amendment may be retroactive, in order to conform it to any present or future law, regulation or ruling relating to plans of this or similar nature. No amendment or modification of the 2007 Plan or any award agreement may adversely affect any outstanding award without the written consent of the participant holding the award.

For the year ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the four non-employee directors of the Company received a total grant of 6,004 and 4,560 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the 2007 Plan.

The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan

On December 3, 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted, a new equity compensation plan for its officers, directors and key employees entitled, The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the "RSU Plan"). The Plan was adopted, in part, to replace the stock option plans that expired on December 7, 2008. The Plan was approved by shareholders at the Company's Annual Meeting of Shareholders on February 18, 2009.

The RSU Plan authorizes the Company to issue restricted stock units ("RSUs") as equity compensation to officers, directors and key employees of the Company on such terms and conditions established by the Compensation Committee of the Company. RSUs are not actual shares of the Company's common stock, but rather promises to deliver common stock in the future, subject to certain vesting requirements and other restrictions as may be determined by the Committee. Holders of RSUs have no voting rights with respect to the underlying shares of common stock and holders are not entitled to receive any dividends until the RSUs vest and the shares are delivered. No awards of RSUs shall vest until at least nine months after shareholder approval of the RSU Plan on February 18, 2009. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 200,000 shares of the common stock are available for issuance to participants under the RSU Plan. The RSU Plan will terminate ten (10) years

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

from December 3, 2008, unless terminated sooner by the Board of Directors. After the RSU Plan is terminated, no awards may be granted but awards previously granted shall remain outstanding in accordance with the Plan and their applicable terms and conditions.

Under the RSU Plan, the Compensation Committee also has the power and authority to establish and implement an exchange program that would permit the Company to offer holders of awards issued under prior shareholder approved compensation plans to exchange certain options for new RSUs on terms and conditions to be set by the Committee. The exchange program is designed to increase the retention and motivational value of awards granted under prior plans. In

-60-

addition, by exchanging options for RSUs, the Company will reduce the number of shares of common stock subject to equity awards, thereby reducing potential dilution to stockholders in the event of significant increases in the value of its common stock.

Pursuant to an exchange offer authorized by the Compensation Committee, a total of 5,812 RSUs were issued to four holders of Non-Employee Director stock options in exchange for a total of 36,000 stock options which were surrendered to the Company on December 7, 2008. The number of RSUs issued was determined by multiplying the number of options that were surrendered by the difference between the exercise price of the options surrendered (\$8.00) and the closing price of the Company's common stock on December 5, 2008 of \$9.54, with that product divided by the closing price of the common stock on December 5, 2009. No additional compensation expense was recognized related to the exchange as the fair market value of the options immediately prior to the exchange, approximated the fair value of the RSUs on the day of issuance. In August 2009, the 5,812 RSUs vested and the Company issued common stock.

Pursuant to a further exchange offer authorized by the Compensation Committee, a total of 4,775 RSUs were issued to five holders of Non-Employee Director stock options in exchange for a total of 15,000 stock options which were surrendered to the Company on June 30, 2009. The number of RSUs issued was determined by multiplying the number of options that were surrendered by the difference between the exercise price of the options surrendered (\$8.17) and the closing price of the Company's common stock on June 30, 2009 of \$11.99, with that product divided by the closing price of the common stock on June 30, 2009. No additional compensation expense was recognized related to the exchange as the fair market value of the options immediately prior to the exchange, approximated the fair value of the RSUs on the day of issuance. In January 2010, the 4,775 RSUs vested and the company issued common stock. In June 2010, three non-employee directors exchanged a total of 12,000 stock options for 2,564 RSUs. No compensation expense was recognized related to the exchange as the fair market value of the options immediately prior to the exchange, approximated the fair value of the RSUs on the day of issuance.

On December 15, 2008, the Compensation Committee authorized a similar exchange offer to the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), respecting 225,000 stock options issued to him under the 1998 Key Officer and Employee Plan that were to expire on December 21, 2008. Pursuant to that exchange offer, the Company's CEO surrendered his 225,000 options to the Company on December 21, 2008 in exchange for 84,628 RSUs. The number of RSUs issued was based on an exercise price of the options surrendered of \$7.917 and the closing price of the Company's common stock on December 19, 2008 of \$12.69, using the same formula as the exchange offer to the holders of the Non-Employee Director options. No additional compensation expense was recognized related to the exchange as the fair market value of the options immediately prior to the exchange, approximated the fair value of the RSUs on the day of issuance. In

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

September 2009, 54,628 RSUs vested and the Company issued common stock. No stock compensation was recognized as compensation expense for this conversion as they were previously calculated at the grant date under the pro-forma disclosures of SFAS 123(codified into ASC 718-10).

-61-

The table below summarizes the RSUs granted and outstanding.

	Number of RSUs	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
	-----	-----
RSUs outstanding as of June 30, 2009	95,215	\$12.46
Granted	2,564	\$15.26
Converted to common stock	(65,215)	\$ 8.42
	-----	-----
RSUs outstanding as of June 30, 2010	32,564	\$12.89
	=====	=====

During the year ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, no additional compensation expense was recognized related to the exchange of previously issued stock options to RSUs as the fair market value of the options immediately prior to the exchange, approximated the fair value of the RSUs on the day of issuance.

NOTE 17 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The contractor that was selected to oversee the garage and the first four floors' renovation (excluding room upgrades) of the Hotel is the contractor who originally constructed the Hotel. He is also a limited partner in the Partnership and is a director of Evon Corporation, the co-general partner of the Partnership. There were no payables to the contractor at June 30, 2010 and 2009. Services performed by the contractor were capitalized as fixed assets which totaled \$0 and \$103,000 for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Management believes these renovations were competitively priced.

Through September 30, 2008, Evon, was the lessee of the parking garage. Evon paid the Partnership \$402,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2008, under the terms of the lease agreement. The lease agreement with Evon was terminated effective October 1, 2008. Concurrently, an installment sale agreement was entered between Justice and Evon. Justice had a note payable to Evon totaling \$143,000 and \$480,000 as of June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

As Chairman of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, John V. Winfield, directs the investment activity of the Company in public and private markets pursuant to authority granted by the Board of Directors. Mr. Winfield also serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of InterGroup and oversees the investment activity of the Company. Depending on certain market conditions and various risk factors, the Chief Executive Officer, his family and the Company may, at times, invest in the same companies in which the Company invests. The Company encourages such investments because it places personal resources of the Chief Executive Officer and his family members, and the resources of InterGroup, at risk in connection with investment decisions made on behalf of the Company.

-62-

NOTE 18 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Operating Leases

The Partnership leases equipment and office space under operating leases with expiration dates through 2017. The future minimum payments under these operating leases as of June 30, 2010 are as follows:

For the year ending June 30,

2011	\$	270,000
2012		103,000
2013		87,000
2014		107,000
2015		111,000
Thereafter		114,000

	\$	792,000
		=====

Operating Leases - Tenant

The Company leases its two commercial properties to tenants under noncancelable leases for base rent. Future base rentals on the two leases at June 30, 2010 were as follows:

For the year ending June 30,

2011	\$	389,000
2012		275,000
2013		209,000
2014		215,000
2015		54,000

	\$	1,142,000
		=====

Administrative fees - General Partners

During the each of the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the general partners of Justice were paid a total of \$417,000 and \$425,000, respectively. The total amounts paid represents the minimum base compensation of \$285,000 each year plus \$131,000 and \$140,000, respectively, based upon the agreement. The amounts paid to the Company were eliminated in the consolidation.

Franchise Agreements

The Partnership entered into a Franchise License agreement (the License agreement) with the Hilton Hotels Corporation (Hilton) on December 10, 2004. The term of the License agreement is for a period of 15 years commencing on the opening date, with an option to extend the license agreement for another five years, subject to certain conditions.

Beginning on the opening date in January 2006, the Partnership paid monthly royalty fees for the first two years of three percent (3%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue for the preceding calendar month; the third year was at four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue; and the fourth year until the

-63-

end of the term will be five percent (5%) of the Hotel's gross room revenue.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

The Partnership also pays a monthly program fee of four percent (4%) of the Hotel's gross revenue. The amount of the monthly program fee is subject to change; however, the increase cannot exceed one percent (1%) of the Hotel gross room revenue in any calendar year, and the cumulative increases in the monthly fees will not exceed five percent (5%) of gross room revenue. Franchise fees for the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were \$2,239,000 and \$2,128,000, respectively.

The Partnership also pays Hilton a monthly information technology recapture charge of 0.75% of the Hotel's gross revenues. In this difficult economic environment, Hilton agreed to reduce its information technology fees to 0.65% for the 2010 calendar year. For the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, those charges were \$139,000 and \$166,000, respectively.

The Company is involved from time to time in various claims in the ordinary course of business. Management does not believe that the impact of such matters will have a material effect on the financial conditions or result of operations when resolved.

NOTE 19 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

Justice has a 401(k) Profit Sharing Plan (the Plan) for employees who have completed six months of service. Justice provides a matching contribution up to 4% of the contribution to the Plan based upon a certain percentage on the employees' elective deferrals. Justice may also make discretionary contributions to the Plan each year. Contributions made to the Plan amounted to \$64,000 and \$73,000 during the years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of the end of the fiscal period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based upon such evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in this filing is accumulated and communicated to management and is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

-64-

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, for the Company. In establishing adequate internal control over financial reporting, management has developed

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

and maintained a system of internal control, policies and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information contained in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and other information presented in this annual report is reliable, does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact, and fairly presents in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Company as of and for the periods presented in this annual report.

Management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Company's internal control over financial reporting using the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on its evaluation under that framework, management believes that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of June 30, 2010.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the last quarterly period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None to report.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the Directors and Executive Officers of the Company as of June 30, 2010:

Name -----	Position with the Company -----	Age ---	Term to Expire -----
Class A Directors:			
John V. Winfield (1) (4) (6) (7)	Chairman of the Board; President and Chief Executive Officer	63	Fiscal 2012 Annual Meeting
Josef A. Grunwald (2) (3) (5) (7)	Director and Vice Chairman of the Board	62	Fiscal 2012 Annual Meeting
Class B Directors:			
Gary N. Jacobs (1) (2) (6) (7)	Secretary; Director	65	Fiscal 2010 Annual Meeting
William J. Nance (1) (2) (3) (4) (6) (7)	Director	66	Fiscal 2010 Annual Meeting
Class C Director:			
John C. Love (3) (4) (5)	Director	70	Fiscal 2011 Annual Meeting

Other Executive Officers:

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

David C. Gonzalez	Vice President Real Estate	43	N/A
Michael G. Zybala	Asst. Secretary and Counsel	58	N/A
David T. Nguyen	Treasurer and Controller	37	N/A

-
- (1) Member of the Executive Committee
 - (2) Member of the Administrative and Compensation Committee
 - (3) Member of the Audit Committee
 - (4) Member of the Real Estate Investment Committee
 - (5) Member of the Nominating Committee
 - (6) Member of the Securities Investment Committee
 - (7) Member of the Special Strategic Options Committee

-66-

Business Experience:

The principal occupation and business experience during the last five years for each of the Directors and Executive Officers of the Company are as follows:

John V. Winfield -- Mr. Winfield was first appointed to the Board in 1982. He currently serves as the Company's Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, having first been appointed as such in 1987. Mr. Winfield also serves as President, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Santa Fe Financial Corporation ("Santa Fe") and Portsmouth Square, Inc. ("Portsmouth") both public companies. Mr. Winfield's extensive experience as an entrepreneur and investor, as well as his managerial and leadership experience from serving as a chief executive officer and director of public companies, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

Josef A. Grunwald -- Mr. Grunwald is an industrial, commercial and residential real estate developer. He serves as Chairman of PDG N.V. (Belgium), a hotel management company, and President of I.B.E. Services S.A. (Belgium), an international trading company. Mr. Grunwald was first elected to the Board in 1987 and named Vice Chairman on January 30, 2002. Mr. Grunwald is also a Director of Portsmouth. Mr. Grunwald's extensive experience in business and finance in the real estate industry, his experience in hotel management, as well as his experience as an entrepreneur and manager of his own companies, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

William J. Nance -- Mr. Nance is a Certified Public Accountant and private consultant to the real estate and banking industries. He is also President of Century Plaza Printers, Inc. Mr. Nance was first elected to the Board in 1984. He served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer from 1987 to 1990 and as Treasurer from 1987 to June 2002. Mr. Nance is also a Director of Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Mr. Nance also serves as a director and Chairman of the Board of Comstock Mining, Inc. (formerly Goldspring, Inc.), a public company. Mr. Nance's extensive experience as a CPA and in numerous phases of the real estate industry, his business and management experience gained in running his own businesses, his service as a director and audit committee member for other public companies and his knowledge and understanding of finance and financial reporting, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

Gary N. Jacobs -- Mr. Jacobs is an attorney at law and "Of Counsel" to the law firm of Glaser, Weil, Fink, Jacobs Howard & Shapiro, LLP. He was appointed to

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

the Board and as Secretary of the Company in 1998. Mr. Jacobs also served as a Director and General Counsel of MGM MIRAGE (NYSE: MGM) from 2000, as Secretary of MGM MIRAGE from 2002 and as Executive Vice President from 2000 to August 2009, when he became President Corporate Strategy. Mr. Jacobs retired from all of his positions with MGM MIRAGE effective, December 15, 2009. Mr. Jacob's extensive experience as an attorney and as an executive officer and director of a large public company, and his knowledge and understanding of business transactions, finance, public company reporting and corporate governance, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

John C. Love -- Mr. Love was appointed to the Board in 1998. Mr. Love is an international hospitality and tourism consultant. He is a retired partner in the national CPA and consulting firm of Pannell Kerr Forster and, for the last 30 years, a lecturer in hospitality industry management control systems and competition & strategy at Golden Gate University and San Francisco State University. He is Chairman Emeritus of the Board of Trustees of Golden Gate University and the Executive Secretary of the Hotel and Restaurant Foundation.

-67-

Mr. Love is also a Director of Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Mr. Love's extensive experience as a CPA and in the hospitality industry, including teaching at the university level for the last 30 years in management control systems, and his knowledge and understanding of finance and financial reporting, led to the Board's conclusion that he should serve as a director of the Company.

David C. Gonzalez -- Mr. Gonzalez was appointed Vice President Real Estate of the Company on January 31, 2001. Over the past 20 years, Mr. Gonzalez has served in numerous capacities with the Company, including Controller and Director of Real Estate.

David T. Nguyen - Mr. Nguyen was appointed as Treasurer of the Company on February 26, 2003. Mr. Nguyen also serves as Treasurer of Santa Fe and Portsmouth, having been appointed to those positions on February 27, 2003. Mr. Nguyen is a Certified Public Accountant and, from 1995 to 1999, was employed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP where he was a Senior Accountant specializing in real estate. Mr. Nguyen served as the Company's Controller from 1999 to 2001 and from 2003 to the present.

Michael G. Zybala -- Mr. Zybala is an attorney at law and has served as Assistant Secretary and legal counsel of the Company since January 1999. Mr. Zybala is also the Vice President and Secretary of Santa Fe and Portsmouth and has served as their General Counsel since 1995. Mr. Zybala has provided legal services to Santa Fe and Portsmouth since 1978.

Family Relationships: There are no family relationships among directors, executive officers, or persons nominated or chosen by the Company to become directors or executive officers.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings: No director or executive officer, or person nominated or chosen to become a director or executive officer, was involved in any legal proceeding requiring disclosure.

Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires the Company's officers and directors, and each beneficial owner of more than ten percent of the Common Stock of the Company, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Officers, directors and greater than ten-percent shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Based solely on its review of the copies of Forms 3 and 4 and amendments thereto furnished to the Company during its most recent fiscal year, or written representations from certain reporting persons that no Forms 5 were required for those persons, the Company believes that during fiscal 2010 all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors, and greater than ten-percent beneficial owners were complied with.

-68-

Code of Ethics.

The Company has adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to its principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. A copy of the Code of Ethics is posted on the Company's website at www.intergroupcorporation.com. The Company will provide to any person without charge, upon request, a copy of its Code of Ethics by sending such request to: The InterGroup Corporation, Attn: Treasurer, 10940 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2150, Los Angeles, CA 90024. The Company will promptly disclose any amendments or waivers to its Code of Ethics on Form 8-K.

BOARD AND COMMITTEE INFORMATION

InterGroup's common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market tier of the NASDAQ Stock Market, LLC ("NASDAQ"). InterGroup is a Smaller Reporting Company under the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). With the exception of the Company's President and CEO, John V. Winfield, all of InterGroup's Board of Directors consists of "independent" directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ.

Nominating Committee

The Company's Nominating Committee is comprised of two "independent" directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ. Directors Love and Grunwald serve as the current members of the Nominating Committee. The Company has not established a charter for the Nominating Committee and the Committee has no policy with regard to consideration of any director candidates recommended by security holders. As a smaller reporting company whose directors own in excess of fifty percent of the voting shares of the Company, InterGroup has not deemed it appropriate to institute such a policy. There have not been any material changes to the procedures by which security holders may recommend nominees to the Company's board of directors.

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

The Company is a Smaller Reporting Company under SEC rules and regulations. The Company's Audit Committee is currently comprised of three members: Directors Nance (Chairperson), Grunwald and Love, each of who meet the independence requirements of the SEC and NASDAQ as modified or supplemented from time to time. The Company's Board of Directors has determined that Directors Nance and Love also meet the Audit Committee Financial Expert requirement as defined by the SEC and NASDAQ.

-69-

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

The following table provides certain summary information concerning compensation awarded to, earned by, or paid to the Company's principal executive officer and other named executive officers of the Company whose total compensation exceeded \$100,000 for all services rendered to the Company and its subsidiaries for each of the Company's last two completed fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009. There was no non-equity incentive plan compensation or nonqualified deferred compensation earnings. There are currently no employment contracts with the executive officers.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position -----	Fiscal Year ----	Salary -----	Bonus -----	Stock Awards -----	Option Awards -----	All Other Compensation -----
John V. Winfield Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$522,000 (1)	\$ -	\$450,681 (2)	\$294,292 (3)	\$172,000 (4)
	2009	\$522,000 (1)	\$ -	\$ -	-	\$166,000 (4)
David C. Gonzalez Vice President Real Estate	2010	\$180,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 14,708 (5)	\$ -
	2009	\$180,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
David T. Nguyen Treasurer and Controller	2010	\$180,000 (6)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	2009	\$180,000 (6)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Michael G. Zybala Asst. Secretary and Counsel -----	2010	\$155,000 (7)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	2009	\$138,000 (7)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

(1) Mr. Winfield also serves as President and Chairman of the Board of the Company's subsidiary, Santa Fe, and Santa Fe's subsidiary, Portsmouth. Mr. Winfield received a salary from Santa Fe and Portsmouth in the aggregate amount of \$255,000 from those entities for each of fiscal years 2010 and 2009, as well as director's fees totaling \$12,000 for each year. Those amounts are included in this item.

(2) Dollar amount reflects the fair market value of 54,628 shares of common stock issued to Mr. Winfield upon the vesting of 54,628 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") on September 10, 2009 as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on the vesting date. The RSUs were issued pursuant to The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the "RSU Plan"). On December 21, 2008, Mr. Winfield surrendered to the Company 225,000 fully vested stock options in exchange for 84,628 RSUs pursuant to an exchange offer made by the Compensation Committee as authorized by 2008 RSU Plan. Each RSU represents a promise to deliver, in the future, one share of Common Stock, subject to certain vesting requirements and other restrictions. The number of RSUs to be issued under the exchange offer was determined by multiplying the number of options that were surrendered by the difference between the exercise price of the options surrendered (\$7.917) and the closing price of the Company's common stock on December 19, 2008 of \$12.69, with that product divided by the closing price of the common stock on December 19, 2008. Since the value of the RSUs issued equaled the value of the options surrendered, no dollar compensation amount was recognized for fiscal 2009. The RSUs are taxable as ordinary income to Mr. Winfield upon vesting and issuance of the shares of Common Stock. Mr. Winfield's remaining RSUs vest, and the shares of common stock are issuable, as follow: September 10, 2010 -

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

15,000; and September 10, 2011 - 15,000 shares.

-70-

(3) Dollar amount reflects aggregate grant date fair value, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, of 100,000 stock options granted to Mr. Winfield on March 16, 2010 pursuant to the Company's 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan (the "2010 Incentive Plan"). The options expire 10 years from the date of grant and have an exercise price of \$10.30 per share, which was 100% of the fair market value of the Company's common stock as determined by reference to the closing price as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on March 16, 2010, the date of grant. The options are subject to both time and performance based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before the options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 20,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant. Pursuant to the performance vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 20,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$10.30) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter.

(4) Amounts include an auto allowance and compensation for a portion of the salary of an assistant. The auto allowance was \$30,000 and \$29,000 during fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. The amount of compensation related to the assistant was approximately \$57,000 and \$52,000 for fiscal years 2010 and 2009, respectively. During fiscal 2010 and 2009, the Company and its subsidiaries also paid annual premiums in the total amount of \$85,000 for split dollar whole life insurance policies owned by, and the beneficiary of which are, a trust for the benefit of Mr. Winfield's family. Of the \$85,000 in premiums paid each year, Santa Fe and Portsmouth paid \$43,000 of that amount. The Company has a secured right to receive, from any proceeds of the policies, reimbursement of all premiums paid prior to any payment to the beneficiary.

(5) Dollar amount reflects aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of 5,000 stock options granted to Mr. Gonzalez on March 16, 2010 pursuant to the Company's 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan. The options expire 10 years from the date of grant and have an exercise price of \$10.30 per share. The options vest as follow: 2,500 options vest on March 16, 2011; and 2,500 options vest on March 16, 2012.

(6) Mr. Nguyen's salary is allocated approximately 50% to the Company and 25% each to Santa Fe and Portsmouth.

(7) For fiscal 2010 and 2009, respectively, these amounts include \$105,000 and \$94,800 in salary allocated to and paid by Portsmouth and \$18,750 and \$16,200 in salary allocated to Santa Fe.

Compensation Committee and Executive Compensation

The Company's Administrative and Compensation Committee (the "Compensation Committee") is comprised of three "independent" members of the Board of Directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ. Mr. Nance serves as Chairman of the Compensation Committee. The Company has not established a charter for the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the Board of Directors the compensation for the Company's Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers, including equity or performance based compensation and plans. The Compensation Committee seeks to design and set compensation to attract and

retain highly qualified executive officers and to align their interests with those of long-term owners of the Company. The Compensation Committee may also make recommendations to the Board of Directors as to the amount and form of director compensation. The Compensation Committee has not engaged any compensation consultants in determining the amount or form of executive of director compensation, but does review and monitor published compensation surveys and studies. The Compensation Committee may delegate to the Company's Chief Executive Officer the authority determine the compensation of certain executive officers. The Compensation Committee also oversees the Company's 2007 Stock Compensation Plan, the 2008 RSU Plan and the 2010 Employee Incentive Plan.

On July 18, 2003, the disinterested members of the respective Boards of Directors of the Company's subsidiary, Santa Fe and Santa Fe's subsidiary, Portsmouth, established a performance based compensation program for the Company's CEO, John V. Winfield, to keep and retain his services as a direct and active manager of the securities portfolios of those companies. On January 12, 2004, the disinterested members of the Securities Investment Committee of InterGroup also established a performance based compensation program for Mr. Winfield, which was ratified by the Board of Directors. The Company's previous experience and results with outside money managers was not acceptable. Pursuant to the criteria established the Board of Directors, Mr. Winfield is entitled to performance compensation for his management of the securities portfolios of the Company and its subsidiaries equal to 20% of all net investment gains generated in excess of an annual return equal to the Prime Rate of Interest (as published by the Wall Street Journal) plus 2%. Compensation amounts are earned, calculated and paid quarterly based on the results of the Company's investment portfolio for that quarter. Should the companies have a net investment loss during any quarter, Mr. Winfield would not be entitled to any further performance-based compensation until any such investment losses are recouped by the Company. This performance based compensation program may be modified or terminated at the discretion of the respective Boards of Directors. No performance based compensation was earned or paid for fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 or 2009.

Internal Revenue Code Limitations

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), provides that, in the case of a publicly held corporation, the corporation is not generally allowed to deduct remuneration paid to its chief executive officer and certain other highly compensated officers to the extent that such remuneration exceeds \$1,000,000 for the taxable year. Certain remuneration, however, is not subject to disallowance, including compensation paid on a commission basis and, if certain requirements prescribed by the Code are satisfied, other performance based compensation. Since InterGroup, Santa Fe and Portsmouth are each public companies, the \$1,000,000 limitation applies separately to the compensation paid by each entity. Stock option expenses are also amortized over a several years. For fiscal years 2010 and 2009, no compensation paid by the Company to its CEO or other executive officers was subject the deduction disallowance prescribed by Section 162(m) of the Code.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End.

The following table sets forth information concerning option awards and stock awards for each named executive officer that were outstanding as of the end of

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

the Company's last completed fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. There were no other equity incentive plan awards that were outstanding.

Outstanding Equity Awards as of Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Name	OPTION AWARDS				STOCK AWARDS	
	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) exercisable	Number of securities underlying unexercised options (#) unexercisable	Option exercise price (\$)	Option expiration date	Number of shares or units of stock that have not vested	Market value of shares or units of stock that have not vested (3)
John V. Winfield	-	100,000 (1)	\$10.30	03/15/2020	30,000 (2)	\$457,800
David C. Gonzalez	15,000	-	\$13.17	01/30/2011	-	-
	-	5,000 (4)	\$10.30	03/15/2020	-	-

- (1) Stock options issued to Mr. Winfield pursuant to the Company's 2010 Employee Incentive Plan are subject to both time and performance based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before the options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 20,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the date of grant. Pursuant to the performance vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 20,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$10.30) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter.
- (2) On December 21, 2008, Mr. Winfield surrendered to the Company 225,000 fully vested stock options in exchange for 84,628 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") pursuant to an exchange offer made by the Compensation Committee as authorized by the Company's RSU Plan. On September 10, 2009, 54,628 of those RSUs vested and Mr. Winfield was issued 54,628 shares of common stock. The balance of the RSUs vest, and the shares of Common Stock are issuable as follow: September 10, 2010 - 15,000 shares; and September 10, 2011 - 15,000 shares.
- (3) Market value was calculated based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on June 30, 2010 of \$15.26 per share as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market.
- (4) Mr. Gonzalez's options vest as follow: 2,500 options vest on March 16, 2011; and 2,500 options vest on March 16, 2012

-73-

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The Company currently has three equity compensation plans, each of which has been approved by the Company's stockholders. The Company's 1998 Stock Option Plan for Selected Key Officers, Employees and Consultants (the "Key Employee Plan") expired on December 8, 2008 and no options under the Key Employee Plan

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

were granted in fiscal 2009. The Key Employee Plan was replaced by The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the "RSU Plan"), described below. The Company's 1998 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "Non-Employee Director Plan") was terminated upon shareholder approval, and Board adoption, of The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "2007 Plan"), described below, since all options authorized to be issued under the Non-Employee Director Plan were exhausted in fiscal 2006. Any outstanding options issued under the Key Employee Plan or the Non-Employee Director Plan remain effective in accordance with their terms.

The purpose of the Company's equity compensation plans is to provide a means whereby officers, directors and key employees of the Company develop a sense of proprietorship and personal involvement in the development and financial success of the Company, and to encourage them to devote their best efforts to the business of the Company, thereby advancing the interests of the Company and its shareholders. A further purpose of these plans is to provide a means through which the Company may attract able individuals to become employees or serve as directors of the Company and to provide a means for such individuals to acquire and maintain stock ownership in the Company, thereby strengthening their concern for the welfare of the Company.

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors

The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the "2007 Plan") was approved by the shareholders of the Company on February 21, 2007, and was thereafter adopted by the Board of Directors. The 2007 Plan will terminate upon the earlier of the date all shares reserved for issuance have been awarded or February 21, 2017, if not sooner terminated by the Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee. The stock to be available for issuance under the 2007 Plan shall be unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, which may be unissued shares or treasury shares. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 60,000 shares of the Common Stock will be available for issuance to participants under the 2007 Plan.

All non-employee directors are eligible to participate in the 2007 Plan. Each non-employee director as of the adoption date of the 2007 Plan was granted an award of 600 unrestricted shares of the Company's Common Stock. On each July 1 following the adoption date of the 2007 Plan, each non-employee director shall receive an automatic grant of a number of shares of Company's Common Stock equal in value to \$18,000 based on 100% of the fair market value (as defined) of the Common Stock on the date of grant, provided he or she holds such position on that date and the number of shares of Common Stock available for grant under the 2007 Plan is sufficient to permit such automatic grant. Any fractional shares resulting from such grant will be rounded up to next highest whole share. All stock awards to non-employee directors will be fully vested on the date of grant. The dollar amount of the annual grant is subject to further adjustment by the Board of Directors upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee.

-74-

The stock awards granted under the 2007 Plan are shares of unrestricted Common Stock and are fully vested on the date of grant. The right of the non-employee director to receive his or her annual grant of Common is personal to the director and is not transferable. Once received, shares of Common Stock awarded to the non-employee director are freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

amended (the "Exchange Act"). On June 28, 2007, Company filed a registration statement on Form S-8 to register the shares subject to the 2007 Plan and the Company's two prior stock option plans under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act").

Upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board may, at any time and from time to time and in any respect, amend or modify the 2007 Plan. The Board must obtain stockholder approval of any material amendment to the 2007 Plan if required by any applicable law, regulation or stock exchange rule. The Board of Directors may amend the 2007 Plan or any award agreement, which amendment may be retroactive, in order to conform it to any present or future law, regulation or ruling relating to plans of this or similar nature. No amendment or modification of the 2007 Plan or any award agreement may adversely affect any outstanding award without the written consent of the participant holding the award.

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010, the four non-employee directors of the Company, Josef A. Grunwald, Gary N. Jacobs, John C. Love and William J. Nance, each received a grant of 1,501 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the 2007 Plan.

The Intergroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan

On December 3, 2008, the Board of Directors adopted, subject to shareholder approval, a new equity compensation plan for its officers, directors and key employees entitled, The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan (the "RSU Plan"). The RSU Plan was approved and ratified by the shareholders on February 18, 2009.

The RSU Plan authorizes the Company to issue restricted stock units ("RSUs") as equity compensation to officers, directors and key employees of the Company on such terms and conditions established by the Compensation Committee of the Company. RSUs are not actual shares of the Company's common stock, but rather promises to deliver common stock in the future, subject to certain vesting requirements and other restrictions as may be determined by the Committee. Holders of RSUs have no voting rights with respect to the underlying shares of common stock and holders are not entitled to receive any dividends until the RSUs vest and the shares are delivered. No awards of RSUs shall vest until at least six months after shareholder approval of the Plan. Subject to certain adjustments upon changes in capitalization, a maximum of 200,000 shares of the common stock are available for issuance to participants under the RSU Plan. The RSU Plan will terminate ten (10) years from December 3, 2008, unless terminated sooner by the Board of Directors. After the RSU Plan is terminated, no awards may be granted but awards previously granted shall remain outstanding in accordance with the Plan and their applicable terms and conditions.

The shares of common stock to be delivered upon the vesting of an award of RSUs have been registered under the Securities Act, pursuant to a registration statement filed on Form S-8 by the Company on June 16, 2010. The grant of RSUs is personal to the recipient and is not transferable. Once received, shares of common stock issuable upon the vesting of the RSUs are freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act.

-75-

Under the RSU Plan, the Compensation Committee also has the power and authority to establish and implement an exchange program that would permit the Company to offer holders of awards issued under prior shareholder approved compensation plans to exchange certain options for new RSUs on terms and conditions to be set by the Committee. The exchange program is designed to increase the

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

retention and motivational value of awards granted under prior plans. In addition, by exchanging options for RSUs, the Company will reduce the number of shares of common stock subject to equity awards, thereby reducing potential dilution to stockholders in the event of significant increases in the value of its common stock.

Pursuant to an exchange offer authorized by the Compensation Committee, a total of 2,564 RSUs were issued to four holders of Non-Employee Director stock options in exchange for a total of 12,000 stock options which were surrendered to the Company on June 30, 2010. The number of RSUs issued was determined by multiplying the number of options that were surrendered by the difference between the exercise price of the options surrendered (\$12.00) and the closing price of the Company's common stock on June 30, 2010 of \$15.26, with that product divided by the closing price of the common stock on June 30, 2010. The 2,564 RSUs issued pursuant to that exchange offer vest on October 1, 2010.

The InterGroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan

On February 24, 2010, the shareholders of the Company approved The Intergroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"), which was formally adopted by the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of shareholders. The 2010 Plan authorizes a total of up to 200,000 shares of common stock to be issued as equity compensation to officers and employees of the Company in an amount and in a manner to be determined by the Compensation Committee in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Plan. The 2010 Plan authorizes the awards of several types of equity compensation including stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance awards and other stock based compensation. The 2010 Plan will expire on February 23, 2020, if not terminated sooner by the Board of Directors upon recommendation of the Compensation Committee. Any awards issued under the 2010 Plan will expire under the terms of the grant agreement.

The shares of common stock to be issued under the 2010 Plan have been registered under the Securities Act, pursuant to a registration statement filed on Form S-8 by the Company on June 16, 2010. Once received, shares of common stock issued under the 2010 Plan will be freely transferable subject to any requirements of Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act.

On March 16, 2010, the Compensation Committee authorized the grant of 100,000 stock options to the Company's Chairman, President and Chief Executive, John V. Winfield to purchase up to 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 2010 Plan. The exercise price of the options is \$10.30, which equals 100% of the fair market value of the Company's Common Stock as determined by reference to the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as reported on the NASDAQ Capital Market on March 16, 2010, the date of grant. The options expire ten years from the date of grant, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Plan. The options shall be subject to both time and performance based vesting requirements, each of which must be satisfied before options are fully vested and eligible to be exercised. Pursuant to the time vesting requirements, the options vest over a period of five years, with 20,000 options vesting upon each one year anniversary of the

-76-

date of grant. Pursuant to the performance vesting requirements, the options vest in increments of 20,000 shares upon each increase of \$2.00 or more in the market price of the Company's common stock above the exercise price (\$10.30) of the options. To satisfy this requirement, the common stock must trade at that increased level for a period of at least ten trading days during any one quarter.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

On March 16, 2010, the Compensation Committee also authorized a grant of 5,000 stock options to the Company's Vice President Real Estate, David C. Gonzalez, to purchase up to 5,000 shares of the Company's common stock pursuant to the 2010 Plan. The exercise price of the options is \$10.30 and the options expire ten years from the date of grant, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the terms of the 2010 Plan. The options vest as follows: March 16, 2011 - 2,500 shares; and March 16, 2012 - 2,500 shares.

Change in Controls Provisions in Equity Compensation Plans.

Under the Company's RSU Plan and its 2010 Plan, RSUs, stock options and other incentive awards may vest upon a change in control of the Company in accordance with their respective grant agreements. Outstanding unvested RSUs issued to the Company's CEO and to its Directors will immediately vest upon a change in control. Outstanding stock options issued to the Company's CEO and to its Vice President of Real Estate will also immediately vest and become exercisable upon a change in control. Except for the foregoing, there are no employment contracts between the Company and its Officers and the Directors or any change in control arrangements.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Set forth below is a table setting forth the compensation of the directors of the Company for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010. There were no stock option awards, non-equity incentive plan compensation or nonqualified deferred compensation earnings during fiscal 2010.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2010

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash(1)	Stock Awards	All Other Compensation	Total
Josef A. Grunwald	\$22,000 (2)	\$37,335 (6)	-	\$ 59,335
Gary N. Jacobs	\$16,000	\$36,053 (7)	-	\$ 52,053
John C. Love	\$66,000 (3)	\$36,053 (8)	-	\$102,053
William J. Nance	\$68,000 (4)	\$44,542 (9)	-	\$112,542
John V. Winfield(5)				

(1) Amounts shown include board retainer fees, committee fees and meeting fees.

-77-

(2) Mr. Grunwald also serves as a director of the Company's subsidiary, Portsmouth. This amount includes \$6,000 in regular board fees paid to Mr. Grunwald by Portsmouth.

(3) Mr. Love also serves as a director of the Company's subsidiaries, Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Amounts shown include \$8,000 in regular board and audit

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

committee fees paid by Santa Fe and \$8,000 in regular board and audit committee fees paid by Portsmouth. These amounts also include \$30,000 in special hotel committee fees paid by Portsmouth related to the oversight its Hotel asset.

- (4) Mr. Nance also serves as a director of the Company's subsidiaries, Santa Fe and Portsmouth. Amounts shown include \$8,000 in regular board and audit committee fees paid by Santa Fe and \$8,000 in regular board and audit committee fees paid by Portsmouth. These amounts also include \$30,000 in special hotel committee fees paid by Portsmouth related to the oversight its Hotel asset.
 - (5) As Chief Executive Officer, the Company's Chairman, John V. Winfield, was not paid any board, committee or meetings fees. Mr. Winfield did receive a total of \$12,000 in regular board fees from the Company's subsidiaries, which is reported on the Summary Compensation Table.
 - (6) Dollar amounts shown reflect the following: fair market value of \$18,000 for 1,501 shares of common stock issued on July 1, 2009 pursuant to the Company's 2007 Plan; fair market value of \$9,555 for 955 shares of common stock issued on January 7, 2010 upon the vesting of 955 RSUs issued pursuant to the RSU Plan; and \$9,780 equaling the dollar amount realized upon the exercise of 3,000 stock options on June 30, 2010, based on difference between the exercise price (\$12.00) and the market price of the Company's stock on that date of \$15.26. As of June 30, 2010, Mr. Grunwald also had an aggregate of 14,400 vested stock options outstanding.
 - (7) Dollar amounts shown reflect the following: fair market value of \$18,000 for 1,501 shares of common stock issued on July 1, 2009 pursuant to the Company's 2007 Plan; fair market value of \$8,498 for 969 shares of common stock issued on August 19, 2009 upon the vesting of 969 RSUs issued pursuant to the RSU Plan; and fair market value of \$9,555 for 955 shares of common stock issued on January 7, 2010 upon the vesting of 955 RSUs issued pursuant to the RSU Plan. As of June 30, 2010, Mr. Jacobs also had an aggregate of 14,400 vested stock options outstanding and 641 RSUs that do not vest until October 1, 2010.
 - (8) Dollar amounts shown reflect the following: fair market value of \$18,000 for 1,501 shares of common stock issued on July 1, 2009 pursuant to the Company's 2007 Plan; fair market value of \$8,498 for 969 shares of common stock issued on August 19, 2009 upon the vesting of 969 RSUs issued pursuant to the RSU Plan; and fair market value of \$9,555 for 955 shares of common stock issued on January 7, 2010 upon the vesting of 955 RSUs issued pursuant to the RSU Plan. As of June 30, 2010, Mr. Love also had an aggregate of 14,400 vested stock options outstanding and 641 RSUs that do not vest until October 1, 2010.
- 78-
- (9) Dollar amounts shown reflect the following: fair market value of \$18,000 for 1,501 shares of common stock issued on July 1, 2009 pursuant to the Company's 2007 Plan; fair market value of \$16,987 for 1,937 shares of common stock issued on August 19, 2009 upon the vesting of 1,937 RSUs issued pursuant to the RSU Plan; and fair market value of \$9,555 for 955 shares of common stock issued on January 7, 2010 upon the vesting of 955 RSUs issued pursuant to the RSU Plan. As of June 30, 2010, Mr. Nance also had an aggregate of 14,400 vested stock options outstanding and 641 RSUs that do not vest until October 1, 2010.

Each non-employee director of the Company receives an annual cash retainer in

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

the amount of \$16,000, to be paid in equal quarterly payments. With the exception of members of the Audit Committee, non-employee directors will not receive any additional fees for attending Board or Committee meetings, but will be entitled to reimbursement of their reasonable expenses to attend such meetings. Members of the Audit Committee are paid a fee of \$1,000 per quarter, with the Chair of that Committee to receive \$1,500 per quarter. As an executive officer, the Company's Chairman has elected to forego his annual board fees.

Non-employee directors are also eligible for grants of equity compensation under the Company's 2007 Plan and RSU Plan. Pursuant to the 2007 Plan, each non-employee director is entitled to an annual grant of a number of shares of Common Stock of the Company equal in value to \$18,000 based on the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. Non-employee directors may also be eligible to participate in exchange offers as may be authorized by the Compensation Committee under the RSU Plan to exchange previously issued stock options for RSUs.

-79-

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

The following table sets forth, as of September 10, 2010, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock of the Company by (i) those persons or groups known by the Company to own more than five percent of the outstanding shares of Common Stock, (ii) each Director and Executive Officer, and (iii) all Directors and Executive Officers as a group.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner (1)	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Owner(2)	Percentage(3)
-----	-----	-----
John V. Winfield	1,458,581(4)	60.2%
Josef A. Grunwald	130,223(5)	5.3%
William J. Nance	57,737(6)	2.4%
Gary N. Jacobs	25,747(7)	1.1%
John C. Love	22,372(8)	0.9%
David C. Gonzalez	30,750(9)	1.3%
Michael G. Zybala	0	*
David T. Nguyen	120	*
All Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (8 persons)	1,725,530	69.2%

* Ownership does not exceed 1%.

(1) Unless otherwise indicated, the address for the persons listed is 10940 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2150, Los Angeles, CA 90024.

(2) Unless otherwise indicated, and subject to applicable community property laws, each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

(3) Percentages are calculated on the basis of 2,421,600 shares of Common Stock outstanding at September 10, 2010, plus any securities that person has the right to acquire within 60 days pursuant to options, warrants, conversion privileges or other rights.

(4) Includes 15,000 Restricted Stock Units ("RSUs") that vested on September 10, 2010 and were converted to 15,000 shares of common stock.

(5) Includes 14,400 shares that Mr. Grunwald has a right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options.

(6) Includes 14,400 shares that Mr. Nance has a right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options and 641 RSUs that vest on October 1, 2010 and will be converted to 641 shares of common stock on that date.

-80-

(7) Includes 14,400 shares that Mr. Jacobs has a right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options and 641 RSUs that vest on October 1, 2010 and will be converted to 641 shares of common stock on that date. Other than his options, and unvested RSUs, all shares of Mr. Jacobs are held by the Gary and Robin Jacobs Family Trust.

(8) Includes 14,400 shares that Mr. Love has a right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options and 641 RSUs that vest on October 1, 2010 and will be converted to 641 shares of common stock on that date.

(9) Includes 15,000 shares which Mr. Gonzalez has the right to acquire pursuant to vested stock options.

Changes in Control Arrangements

There are no arrangements that may result in a change in control of the Company.

SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS.

The following table sets forth information as of June 30, 2010 with respect to compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which equity securities of the Company are authorized for issuance, aggregated as follows:

Plan category	Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (a)	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options warrants and rights (b)	Remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	224,564 (1)	\$11.32 (2)	240,309 (3)

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	None	N/A	None
--	------	-----	------

Total	224,564 (1)	\$11.32 (2)	240,309 (3)
-------	-------------	-------------	-------------

- (1) There were 192,000 stock options outstanding as of June 30, 2010. Also included are 32,564 Restricted Stock Units issued pursuant to the 2008 RSU Plan that were outstanding, but not vested, as of June 30, 2010.
- (2) Reflects the weighted average exercise price of all outstanding options.

-81-

- (3) As of June 30, 2010 the Company had 43,088 shares of Common Stock available for future issuance pursuant to its 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors. Pursuant to the 2007 Plan, each non-employee director will receive, on July 1 of each year, an annual grant of a number of shares of Common Stock of the Company equal in value to \$18,000 based on the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. The Company also had 102,221 RSUs available for future issuance under the 2008 RSU Plan and 95,000 shares available for future issuance under the 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Pan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

On December 4, 1998, the Administrative and Compensation Committee authorized the Company to obtain whole life and split dollar insurance policies covering the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Winfield. During fiscal 2010 and 2009, the Company paid annual premiums in the amount of approximately \$85,000 for the split dollar insurance policy owned by, and the beneficiary of which is, a trust for the benefit of Mr. Winfield's family. The Company has a secured right to receive, from any proceeds of the policy, reimbursement of all premiums paid prior to any payments to the beneficiary.

On June 30, 1998, the Company's Chairman and President entered into a voting trust agreement with the Company giving the Company the power to vote his 4.0% interest in the outstanding shares of the Santa Fe common stock.

As Chairman of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company's President and Chief Executive officer, John V. Winfield, oversees the investment activity of the Company in public and private markets pursuant to authority granted by the Board of Directors. Mr. Winfield also serves as Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Santa Fe and Portsmouth and oversees the investment activity of those companies. Depending on certain market conditions and various risk factors, the Chief Executive Officer, his family, Santa Fe and Portsmouth may, at times, invest in the same companies in which the Company invests. The Company encourages such investments because it places personal resources of the Chief Executive Officer and his family members, and the resources of Santa Fe and Portsmouth, at risk in connection with investment decisions made on behalf of the Company. Under the direction of the Securities Investment Committee, the Company has instituted certain modifications to its procedures to reduce the potential for conflicts of interest.

The Company, its subsidiary Santa Fe and Santa Fe's subsidiary, Portsmouth, have established performance based compensation programs for Mr. Winfield's management of the securities portfolios of those companies. The performance

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

based compensation program was approved by the disinterested members of the respective Boards of Directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. No performance bonus compensation was paid to Mr. Winfield for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Director Independence

InterGroup's common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Capital Market tier of the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("NASDAQ"). InterGroup is a Smaller Reporting Company under the rules and regulations of the SEC. The Board of Directors of InterGroup currently consists of five members. With the exception of the Company's President and CEO, John V. Winfield, all of InterGroup's Board of Directors consists of "independent" directors as independence is defined by the applicable rules of the SEC and NASDAQ. There are no members of the Company's compensation, nominating or audit committees that do not meet those independence standards.

-82-

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services.

Audit Fees - The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 for professional services rendered by Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc., the independent registered public accounting firm for the audit of the Company's annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in the Company's Form 10-Q reports or services normally provided by the independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years, were as follows:

	Fiscal Year	
	2010	2009
Audit Fees	\$286,000	\$286,000
Audit Related Fees	-	-
Tax Fees	-	-
All Other Fees	-	-
TOTAL:	\$286,000	\$286,000

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

The Audit Committee shall pre-approve all auditing services and permitted non-audit services (including the fees and terms thereof) to be performed for the Company by its independent registered public accounting firm, subject to any de minimus exceptions that may be set for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)(B) of the Exchange Act which are approved by the Committee prior to the completion of the audit. The Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees consisting of one or more members when appropriate, including the authority to grant pre-approvals of audit and permitted non-audit services, provided that decisions of such subcommittee to grant pre-approvals shall be presented to the full Committee at its next scheduled meeting. All of the services described herein were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to its pre-approval policies.

None of the hours expended on the independent registered public accounting firms' engagement to audit the Company's financial statements for the most recent fiscal year were attributed to work performed by persons other than the

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

independent registered public accounting firm's full-time permanent employees.

-83-

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) (1) Financial Statements

The following financial statements of the Company are included in Part II, Item 8 of this report at pages 30 through 64:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated Balance Sheets - June 30, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Operations for Years Ended
June 30, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for
Years Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Years Ended June 30,
2010 and 2009

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements

(a) (2) Financial Statement Schedules

All other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X have been omitted because they are not required or are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes to the consolidated financial statements.

(a) (3) Exhibits

Set forth below is an index of applicable exhibits filed with this report according to exhibit table number.

Exhibit No.	Description
-----	-----

3. (i) Articles of Incorporation

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation, dated September 11, 1985, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-4, filed on September 6, 1985 (Registration No. 33-00126) and Amendment 1 to that Registration Statement filed on October 23, 1985.
- 3.2 Restated Certificate of Incorporation, dated March 9, 1998, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Amended Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB/A for the period ended March 31, 1998, as filed on May 19, 1998.
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation, dated October 2, 1998, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3 of the Company's Quarterly report of Form 10-QSB for the period ended September 30, 1998, as filed on November 11, 1998.

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

-84-

- 3.4 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on August 6, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2007 as filed on September 28, 2007.
- 3 (ii) By-Laws
- 3.5 Amended and Restated By-Laws of The InterGroup Corporation, effective as of December 10, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on December 12, 2007.
4. Instruments defining the rights of security holders, including indentures *
9. Voting Trust Agreement
- Voting Trust Agreement dated June 30, 1998 between John V. Winfield and The InterGroup Corporation is incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 28, 1998.
10. Material Contracts
- 10.1 1998 Stock Option Plan for Non-Employee Directors approved by the Board of Directors on December 8, 1998 and ratified by the shareholders on January 27, 1999 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on December 21, 1998).
- 10.2 1998 Stock Option Plan for Selected Key Officers, Employees and Consultants approved by the Board of Directors on December 8, 1998 and ratified by the shareholders on January 27, 1999 incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on December 21, 1998).
- 10.3 The InterGroup Corporation 2007 Stock Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A filed with the Commission on January 26, 2007).
- 10.4 2008 Amendment to the Justice Investors Limited Partnership Agreement, dated December 1, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2008 filed with the Commission on February 12, 2009).
- 10.5 General Partner Compensation Agreement, dated December 1, 2008, (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Company's Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2008, filed with the Commission on February 12, 2009).
- 10.6 The InterGroup Corporation 2008 Restricted Stock Unit Plan, adopted by the Board of Directors on December 3, 2008, and ratified by the shareholders on February 18, 2009 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed with the Commission on January 21, 2009).

-85-

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.7 Restricted Stock Unit Agreement, dated February 18, 2009, between The InterGroup Corporation and John V. Winfield (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, as filed with the Commission on October 13, 2009).
- 10.8 The InterGroup Corporation 2010 Omnibus Employee Incentive Plan, approved by the shareholders and adopted by the Board of Directors on February 24, 2010 (incorporated by reference to the Company's Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, filed with the Commission on January 27, 2010).
- 10.9 Employee Stock Option Agreement, dated March 16, 2010, between The InterGroup Corporation and John V. Winfield (filed herewith).
- 14. Code of Ethics (filed herewith)
- 21. Subsidiaries (filed herewith)
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm - Burr Pilger Mayer, Inc. (filed herewith)
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) (filed herewith).
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Periodic Report Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) (filed herewith)
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 (filed herewith).
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350. (filed herewith).

* All Exhibits marked by one asterisk are incorporated herein by reference to the Trust's Registration Statement on Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on September 6, 1985, Amendment No. 1 to Form S-4 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 23, 1985, Exhibit 14 to Form 8 Amendment No. 1 to Form 8 filed with the Securities & Exchange Commission November 1987 and Form 8 Amendment No. 1 Item 4 filed with the Securities & Exchange Commission October 1988.

-86-

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE INTERGROUP CORPORATION
(Registrant)

Date: September 24, 2010

by /s/ John V. Winfield

John V. Winfield, Chairman of the Board,
President and Chief Executive Officer

Edgar Filing: INTERGROUP CORP - Form 10-K

Date: September 24, 2010

by /s/ David T. Nguyen

David T. Nguyen, Treasurer and Controller
Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Date: September 24, 2010

/s/ John V. Winfield

John V. Winfield, Chairman of the Board,
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: September 24, 2010

/s/ Josef A. Grunwald

Josef A. Grunwald, Vice Chairman of Board

Date: September 24, 2010

/s/ Gary N. Jacobs

Gary N. Jacobs, Director

Date: September 24, 2010

/s/ John C. Love

John C. Love, Director

Date: September 24, 2010

/s/ William J. Nance

William J. Nance, Director

-87-