HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC.
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

ý QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2015
or
.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Commission file number 001-34910

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE	90-0607005
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4101 Washington Avenue, Newport News, Virginia 23607
(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)
(757) 380-2000
(Registrant’s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ý No ¨
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ý No ¨
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	ý	Accelerated filer	¨
Non-accelerated filer ¨ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)		Smaller reporting company	¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ¨ No ý
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer’s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.
As of July 31, 2015, 47,819,330 shares of the registrant's common stock were outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Position	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity	4
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	28
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	44
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	44
	PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	46
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	46
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	46
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	46
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	46
Item 5.	Other Information	46
Item 6.	Exhibits	47
Signatures		48

Table of Contents

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
(in millions, except per share amounts)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Sales and service revenues				
Product sales	$1,426	$1,433	$2,676	$2,765
Service revenues	319	286	639	548
Total sales and service revenues	1,745	1,719	3,315	3,313
Cost of sales and service revenues				
Cost of product sales	972	1,131	1,957	2,191
Cost of service revenues	274	238	554	465
Income (loss) from operating investments, net	2	1	3	3
General and administrative expenses	173	170	323	320
Goodwill impairment	59	—	59	—
Operating income (loss)	269	181	425	340
Other income (expense)				
Interest expense	(25)	(29)	(48)	(56)
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	244	152	377	284
Federal income taxes	88	52	134	94
Net earnings (loss)	$156	$100	$243	$190
Basic earnings (loss) per share	$3.22	$2.05	$5.02	$3.88
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	48.5	48.8	48.4	49.0
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	$3.20	$2.04	$4.99	$3.84
Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding	48.8	49.1	48.7	49.5
Dividends declared per share	$0.40	$0.20	$0.80	$0.40
Net earnings (loss) from above	$156	$100	$243	$190
Other comprehensive income (loss)				
Change in unamortized benefit plan costs	22	8	44	16
Other	2	1	—	2
Tax benefit (expense) for items of other comprehensive income	(11)	(3)	(18)	(6)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	13	6	26	12
Comprehensive income (loss)	$169	$106	$269	$202

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION (UNAUDITED)

($ in millions)	June 30 2015	December 31 2014
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	$960	$990
Accounts receivable, net	1,244	1,038
Inventoried costs, net	319	339
Deferred income taxes	132	129
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	39	50
Total current assets	2,694	2,546
Property, plant, and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of $1,416 million as of 2015 and $1,351 million as of 2014	1,757	1,792
Goodwill	973	1,026
Other purchased intangibles, net	534	547
Pension plan assets	25	17
Long-term deferred tax asset	204	212
Miscellaneous other assets	125	129
Total assets	$6,312	$6,269
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Current Liabilities		
Trade accounts payable	$269	$269
Accrued employees’ compensation	198	248
Current portion of long-term debt	374	108
Current portion of postretirement plan liabilities	143	143
Current portion of workers’ compensation liabilities	224	221
Advance payments and billings in excess of revenues	117	74
Other current liabilities	264	249
Total current liabilities	1,589	1,312
Long-term debt	1,305	1,592
Pension plan liabilities	866	939
Other postretirement plan liabilities	511	507
Workers’ compensation liabilities	454	449
Other long-term liabilities	102	105
Total liabilities	4,827	4,904
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 15)	—	—
Stockholders’ Equity		
Common stock, $0.01 par value; 150 million shares authorized; 52.0 million issued and 48.1 million outstanding as of June 30, 2015, and 51.5 million issued and 48.3 million outstanding as of December 31, 2014	1	1
Additional paid-in capital	1,942	1,959
Retained earnings (deficit)	729	525
Treasury stock	(351)	(258)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(836)	(862)
Total stockholders’ equity	1,485	1,365
Total liabilities and stockholders’ equity	$6,312	$6,269

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

2

Table of Contents

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended June 30	
($ in millions)	2015	2014
Operating Activities		
Net earnings (loss)	$243	$190
Adjustments to reconcile to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		
Depreciation	77	97
Amortization of purchased intangibles	13	12
Amortization of debt issuance costs	5	5
Stock-based compensation	21	11
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	(13)	(15)
Deferred income taxes	(12)	(4)
Proceeds from insurance settlement related to investing activities	(21)	—
Goodwill impairment	59	—
Change in		
Accounts receivable	(211)	(38)
Inventoried costs	20	18
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(9)	(14)
Accounts payable and accruals	25	(131)
Retiree benefits	(33)	(73)
Other non-cash transactions, net	(1)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	163	58
Investing Activities		
Additions to property, plant, and equipment	(49)	(51)
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash received	(6)	(273)
Proceeds from disposition of assets	32	—
Proceeds from insurance settlement related to investing activities	21	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(2)	(324)
Financing Activities		
Repayment of long-term debt	(21)	(14)
Dividends paid	(39)	(20)
Repurchases of common stock	(90)	(104)
Employee taxes on certain share-based payment arrangements	(54)	(64)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	—	2
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	13	15
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(191)	(185)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(30)	(451)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	990	1,043
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	$960	$592
Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosure		
Cash paid for income taxes	$131	$94
Cash paid for interest	$45	$52
Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities		
Capital expenditures accrued in accounts payable	$3	$3

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY (UNAUDITED)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 ($ in millions)	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Deficit)	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance as of December 31, 2013	$1	$1,925	$236	$(120)	$(521)	$1,521
Net earnings (loss)	—	—	190	—	—	190
Dividends declared ($0.40 per share)	—	—	(20)	—	—	(20)
Additional paid-in capital	—	(29)	—	—	—	(29)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	—	—	—	—	12	12
Treasury stock activity	—	—	—	(105)	—	(105)
Balance as of June 30, 2014	$1	$1,896	$406	$(225)	$(509)	$1,569
Balance as of December 31, 2014	$1	$1,959	$525	$(258)	$(862)	$1,365
Net earnings (loss)	—	—	243	—	—	243
Dividends declared ($0.80 per share)	—	—	(39)	—	—	(39)
Additional paid-in capital	—	(17)	—	—	—	(17)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	—	—	—	—	26	26
Treasury stock activity	—	—	—	(93)	—	(93)
Balance as of June 30, 2015	$1	$1,942	$729	$(351)	$(836)	$1,485

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

HUNTINGTON INGALLS INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

For more than a century, Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc. ("HII" or the "Company") has been designing, building, overhauling and repairing ships primarily for the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Coast Guard. The Company conducts business primarily with the U.S. Government, principally the Department of Defense ("DoD"). As prime contractor, principal subcontractor, team member or partner, HII participates in many high-priority U.S. defense technology programs. HII is organized into three reportable segments: Ingalls, Newport News, and Other. Through its Ingalls segment, HII is a builder of amphibious assault and expeditionary ships for the U.S. Navy, the sole builder of National Security Cutters for the U.S. Coast Guard, and one of only two companies that builds the Navy's current fleet of DDG-51 Arleigh Burke-class destroyers. Through its Newport News segment, HII is the nation's sole designer, builder and refueler of nuclear-powered aircraft carriers, and one of only two companies currently designing and building nuclear-powered submarines for the U.S. Navy. The Other segment was established in the second quarter of 2014 to account for certain of the Company's non-shipbuilding commercial activities.

2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Principles of Consolidation - The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of HII and its subsidiaries have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and the instructions to Form 10-Q promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). All intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. For classification of current assets and liabilities related to its long-term production contracts, the Company uses the duration of these contracts as its operating cycle, which is generally longer than one year.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments of a normal recurring nature considered necessary by management for a fair presentation of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

The quarterly information is labeled using a calendar convention; that is, first quarter is consistently labeled as ending on March 31, second quarter as ending on June 30, and third quarter as ending on September 30. It is management's long-standing practice to establish interim closing dates using a "fiscal" calendar, which requires the businesses to close their books on a Friday near these quarter-end dates in order to normalize the potentially disruptive effects of quarterly closings on business processes. The effects of this practice only exist for interim periods within a reporting year.

Accounting Estimates - The preparation of the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingencies at the date of the financial statements as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates have been prepared on the basis of the most current and best available information and actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

The Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 established budget top lines and provided sequestration relief for 2014 and 2015. Sequestration remains in effect for 2016 through 2021 and could result in significant decreases in DoD spending that could negatively impact the Company's revenues and its estimated recovery of goodwill and other long-lived assets.

Revenue Recognition - The majority of the Company's business is derived from long-term contracts for the construction of naval vessels, production of goods, and provision of services to the federal government, principally the U.S. Navy. In accounting for these contracts, the Company extensively utilizes the cost-to-cost measure of the percentage-of-completion method of accounting, principally based upon total costs incurred. Under this method, sales, including estimated earned fees or profits, are recorded as costs are incurred, generally based on the percentage that total costs incurred bear to total estimated costs at completion. For certain contracts that provide for deliveries of a substantial number of similar units, sales are accounted for using units of delivery as the basis to measure progress toward completion. Certain contracts contain provisions for price redetermination or for cost and/or performance incentives. Such redetermined amounts or incentives are included in sales when the amounts can

Table of Contents

reasonably be determined and estimated. Amounts representing contract change orders, claims, requests for equitable adjustment, or limitations in funding are included in sales only when they can be reliably estimated and realization is probable. The Company is accounting for one of its contracts under the percentage-of-completion method based on a zero profit margin and will continue such accounting until results can be estimated more precisely. Revenues related to this contract represent less than 1% of the Company's total revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. The Company estimates profit as the difference between total estimated revenues and total estimated cost of a contract and recognizes that profit over the life of the contract based on progress toward completion. If the Company estimates a contract will result in a loss, the full amount of the estimated loss is recognized against income in the period in which the loss is identified. The Company classifies contract revenues as product sales or service revenues depending upon the predominant attributes of the relevant underlying contracts.

The Company recognizes changes in estimates of contract sales, costs, and profits using the cumulative catch-up method of accounting. This method recognizes in the current period the cumulative effect of the changes on current and prior periods. Accordingly, the effect of the changes on future periods of contract performance is recognized as if the revised estimate had been the original estimate. For the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, net cumulative catch-up adjustments increased operating income by $71 million and $64 million, respectively, and increased diluted earnings per share by $0.94 and $0.84, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, net cumulative catch-up adjustments increased operating income by $126 million and $108 million, respectively, and increased diluted earnings per share by $1.68 and $1.42, respectively. No individual adjustment was material to the Company's consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income in any of these periods.

The Company also enters into other types of contracts, such as certain services or commercial arrangements. For such contracts not associated with the design, development, manufacture, or modification of complex equipment, revenues are recognized upon delivery or as services are rendered once persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Costs related to these contracts are expensed as incurred.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments - Except for long-term debt and available-for-sale securities held in trust, the carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments recorded at historical cost approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of the instruments and low credit risk associated with the respective counterparties.

The Company maintains multiple rabbi trusts established to fund certain non-qualified pension plans. These trusts consist of available-for-sale investments primarily in marketable securities. The assets are held at fair value, and a significant majority of investments held in the trusts are valued within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy and no material amounts are valued within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy. The rabbi trusts were valued at $47 million and $45 million as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, respectively, and are presented within miscellaneous other assets within the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of financial position.

3. ACCOUNTING STANDARDS UPDATES

On April 7, 2015, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2015-03, "Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs." The update requires the presentation of capitalized debt issuance costs as a direct reduction of the related debt liability, consistent with the current required presentation of debt discounts. The standard is effective for public entities for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those periods. The Company does not expect the adoption of ASU 2015-03 to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued the final standard on revenue from contracts with customers. The standard, issued as ASU 2014-09, outlines a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes most current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The core principle of the standard is that "an entity shall recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services." The standard provides a five-step analysis of transactions to determine when and how revenue should be recognized. The five steps are: Identify the contract with the customer; Identify the performance obligations in the contract; Determine the transaction price; Allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations; and Recognize revenue when or as each performance obligation is satisfied. The

Table of Contents

standard also includes disclosure requirements to provide greater insight into both revenue that has been recognized and revenue that is expected to be recognized in the future from existing contracts, as well as quantitative and qualitative information about significant judgments and changes in the judgments that management made to determine revenue that is recorded. The guidance permits the use of either a retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. As approved, ASU 2014-09 will be effective for public entities for annual reporting periods, including interim reporting periods within those periods, beginning after December 15, 2016 and does not permit early application. On July 9, 2015, the FASB voted to defer the effective date of the new revenue recognition standard by one year. Based on the FASB's decision, public entities will apply the new revenue standard to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, but early adoption for annual reporting periods beginning after December 31, 2016, will be permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact that will result from the implementation of ASU 2014-09 on its financial statements and disclosures, contracting and accounting processes, internal controls, and information technology systems.

4. AVONDALE

In 2010, plans were announced to consolidate the Company's Ingalls shipbuilding operations by winding down shipbuilding at the Avondale, Louisiana facility in 2013 after completion of LPD-class ships that were under construction at this facility. In October 2014, the Company ceased shipbuilding construction operations at the Avondale facility. The consolidation is intended to reduce costs, increase efficiency, and address shipbuilding overcapacity.

In connection with and as a result of the decision to wind down shipbuilding at the Avondale facility, the Company began incurring and paying related costs, including, but not limited to, severance expense, relocation expense, and asset write-downs related to the Avondale facilities. Management's current estimate of these expenditures is $287 million. Such costs are expected to be recoverable under existing flexibly-priced contracts or future negotiated contracts in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation ("FAR") provisions for the treatment of restructuring and shutdown related costs. The Company is currently in discussions with the U.S. Navy regarding its cost submission to support the recoverability of these costs under the FAR and applicable contracts.

The Defense Contract Audit Agency ("DCAA"), a DoD agency, prepared an initial audit report on the Company's July 2010 cost proposal for restructuring and shutdown related costs of $310 million, which stated that the proposal was not adequately supported for the DCAA to reach a conclusion and questioned approximately $25 million, or 8%, of the costs submitted by the Company. In July 2014, the Company received a letter from the Supervisor of Shipbuilding requesting that the Company revise its restructuring proposal to address certain documentation issues identified by the DCAA in order for the Government to make an adequate evaluation of the restructuring proposal. In August 2014, the Company received a letter from the Supervisor of Shipbuilding proposing a joint meeting regarding the treatment of specific costs included in the restructuring proposal and acknowledging that the allowability and allocability of costs will be determined by the Government in an Advanced Agreement in accordance with FAR. The Company submitted a revised proposal in March 2015 to address the concerns of the DCAA and to reflect a revised estimated total cost of $287 million. In July 2015, the Company received a letter from the Supervisor of Shipbuilding requesting that the Company either provide cost estimates to address the Company’s positions related to restructure or file a dispute. The Company responded in July 2015 by addressing the matters raised by the Supervisor of Shipbuilding and recommending the parties continue efforts to seek a mutual resolution.

Ultimately, the Company anticipates agreement with the U.S. Navy that is substantially in accordance with management's cost recovery expectations. Accordingly, HII has treated these costs as allowable costs in determining the earnings performance on its contracts in process. The actual restructuring expenses related to the wind down may be greater than the Company's current estimate, and any inability to recover such costs could result in a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company also evaluated the effect that the wind down of the Avondale facilities might have on the benefit plans in which HII employees participate. HII determined that the impact of a curtailment and other resulting adjustments in these plans was not material to its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

As of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, $192 million and $212 million, respectively, of restructuring and shutdown related costs were capitalized in inventoried costs. As of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, $60 million and $48 million, respectively, of accounts receivable was related to restructuring and shutdown related costs. For each of the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company expensed $14 million of these costs as

Table of Contents

part of general and administrative expenses. For each of the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company expensed $28 million of these costs as part of general and administrative expenses.

As of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, there were no accrued liabilities for restructuring and shutdown related costs associated with winding down the Avondale facility, and no payments or adjustments were made for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015. The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's liability for restructuring and shutdown related costs associated with winding down the Avondale facility for the six months ended June 30, 2014.

($ in millions)	
Balance as of December 31, 2013	$14
Payments	(10)
Adjustments	2
Balance as of June 30, 2014	$6

5. GULFPORT

In September 2013, the Company announced the closure of its Gulfport Composite Center of Excellence in Gulfport, Mississippi, part of the Ingalls reportable segment, which it completed in August 2014. In connection with this closure, the Company incurred total costs of $54 million, consisting of $52 million in accelerated depreciation of fixed assets and $2 million in personnel, facility shutdown, and other related costs. In July 2014, the Company received a letter from the Supervisor of Shipbuilding taking exception to the Company's treatment of the Gulfport closure costs. On April 10, 2015, the Company submitted recommended accounting alternatives, which the Government is currently evaluating. The inability to recover Gulfport closure costs could result in a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. As of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, $35 million and $37 million, respectively, of accounts receivable was related to Gulfport closure costs. In March 2015, the Company sold the Gulfport Composite Center of Excellence to the Mississippi State Port Authority for $32 million, resulting in a gain on disposition of $9 million, recorded as a reduction to contract costs in accordance with the terms of the Company’s contracts with the U.S. Government.

6. ACQUISITIONS

On January 30, 2015, the Company acquired, for approximately $6 million in cash, the assets of the Engineering Solutions Division ("ESD") of The Columbia Group. ESD, a leading designer and builder of unmanned underwater vehicles for domestic and international customers, is operating as the Undersea Solutions Group ("USG"). As the U.S. Navy increases employment of unmanned vehicles in both the surface and undersea domains, this acquisition enhances the Company's ability to compete in these markets. In connection with this acquisition, the Company recorded $5 million of goodwill, all of which was allocated to its Newport News segment, primarily attributed to USG's specialized and skilled employees. See Note 11: Goodwill and Other Purchased Intangible Assets. The assets, liabilities, and results of operations of USG are not material to the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. The Company has not completed the purchase price allocation due to the recent acquisition date and potential adjustments upon finalization of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

On May 30, 2014, the Company acquired, for approximately $225 million in cash, net of $5 million of cash acquired, UniversalPegasus International Holdings, Inc. ("UPI"), a provider of project management, engineering and construction management services to the oil and gas industry. The acquisition was consistent with the Company's strategy to utilize its engineering and energy related expertise to expand its position in energy infrastructure markets. In connection with this acquisition, the Company recorded $150 million of goodwill, all of which was allocated to its

Other segment, primarily related to the value of UPI's workforce, and $41 million of intangible assets related to contractual relationships and trade names. See Note 11: Goodwill and Other Purchased Intangible Assets. Adjustments to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed since the acquisition date were not material and were primarily driven by the finalization of the net working capital adjustment and refinement of fair value calculations for certain assets and liabilities. The assets, liabilities, and results of operations of UPI are not material to the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Table of Contents

On January 2, 2014, the Company acquired, for approximately $47 million in cash, net of $6 million of cash acquired, The S.M. Stoller Corporation, renamed as Stoller Newport News Nuclear, Inc. ("SN3"), a provider of environmental, nuclear, and technical consulting and engineering services to the Department of Energy, Department of Defense, and private sector. The acquisition was consistent with the Company's strategy to utilize its nuclear and energy related expertise developed through its shipbuilding activities to expand its position in the energy marketplace. In connection with this acquisition, the Company recorded $42 million of goodwill, all of which was allocated to its Newport News segment, primarily attributed to SN3's specialized and skilled employees, and $6 million of intangible assets, primarily related to existing contract backlog and trade names. See Note 11: Goodwill and Other Purchased Intangible Assets. The assets, liabilities, and results of operations of SN3 are not material to the Company’s consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

The Company funded each of these acquisitions using cash on hand. The acquisition costs incurred in connection with these acquisitions were not material. The operating results of these businesses have been included in the Company’s consolidated results as of the respective closing dates of the acquisitions. In allocating the purchase price of these businesses, the Company considered the estimated fair value of net tangible and intangible assets acquired, with any excess purchase price recorded as goodwill. The total amount of goodwill resulting from these acquisitions expected to be deductible for tax purposes was $96 million. Pro forma revenues and results of operations have not been provided for these acquisitions as they are not material either individually or in the aggregate.

7. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Treasury Stock - In 2014, the Company's board of directors authorized an increase in the Company's stock repurchase program from $300 million to $600 million and an extension of the term of the program to October 31, 2019. Repurchases are made from time to time at management's discretion in accordance with applicable federal securities laws. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company repurchased 740,225 shares at a cost of $93 million, of which approximately $3 million was not yet settled for cash as of June 30, 2015. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company repurchased 1,064,813 shares at a cost of $105 million, of which approximately $1 million was not yet settled for cash as of June 30, 2014. The cost of purchased shares is recorded as treasury stock in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of financial position.

Dividends - The Company declared cash dividends per share of $0.40 and $0.20 for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company declared cash dividends per share of $0.80 and $0.40 for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company paid cash dividends totaling $39 million and $20 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income - Other comprehensive income (loss) refers to gains and losses recorded as an element of stockholders' equity but excluded from net earnings (loss). The accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, was comprised of unamortized benefit plan costs of $838 million and $864 million, respectively, and other comprehensive income items of $2 million and $2 million, respectively. The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, were as follows:

($ in millions)	Benefit Plans	Other	Total
Balance as of March 31, 2014	$(518)	$3	$(515)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	—	1	1
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)			
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)[1]	(2)	—	(2)
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)[1]	10	—	10
Tax benefit (expense) for items of other comprehensive income	(3)	—	(3)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	5	1	6
Balance as of June 30, 2014	(513)	4	(509)
Balance as of March 31, 2015	(850)	1	(849)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	—	2	2
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)			
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)[1]	—	—	—
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)[1]	22	—	22
Tax benefit (expense) for items of other comprehensive income	(10)	(1)	(11)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	12	1	13
Balance as of June 30, 2015	$(838)	$2	$(836)
($ in millions)	Benefit Plans	Other	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2013	$(523)	$2	$(521)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	—	2	2
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)			
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)[1]	(4)	—	(4)
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)[1]	20	—	20
Tax benefit (expense) for items of other comprehensive income	(6)	—	(6)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	10	2	12
Balance as of June 30, 2014	$(513)	$4	$(509)
Balance as of December 31, 2014	$(864)	$2	$(862)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications	—	—	—
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)			
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)[1]	—	—	—
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)[1]	44	—	44
Tax benefit (expense) for items of other comprehensive income	(18)	—	(18)
Net current period other comprehensive income (loss)	26	—	26
Balance as of June 30, 2015	$(838)	$2	$(836)

[1] These accumulated comprehensive income (loss) components are included in the computation of net periodic benefit cost. See Note 17: Employee Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits. The tax benefit associated with amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014,

was $10 million and $3 million, respectively. The tax benefit associated with amounts reclassified

Table of Contents

from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was $18 million and $6 million, respectively.

8. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted earnings per common share were calculated as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
(in millions, except per share amounts)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Net earnings (loss)	$156	$100	$243	$190
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	48.5	48.8	48.4	49.0
Net dilutive effect of stock options and awards	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.5
Dilutive weighted-average common shares outstanding	48.8	49.1	48.7	49.5
Earnings (loss) per share - basic	$3.22	$2.05	$5.02	$3.88
Earnings (loss) per share - diluted	$3.20	$2.04	$4.99	$3.84

The Company's calculation of diluted earnings per common share includes the dilutive effects of the assumed exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock based on the treasury stock method. Under this method, the Company has excluded the effects of 0.3 million stock options and 0.8 million Restricted Performance Stock Rights ("RPSRs") from the diluted share amounts presented above for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively. The amounts presented above for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, exclude the impact of 0.4 million stock options and 1.2 million RPSRs, respectively, under the treasury stock method.

9. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company is organized into three reportable segments: Ingalls, Newport News and Other, consistent with how management makes operating decisions and assesses performance. The Other segment was established in the second quarter of 2014 to account for certain of the Company's non-shipbuilding commercial activities.

The following table presents segment results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
($ in millions)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Sales and Service Revenues				
Ingalls	$546	$572	$1,015	$1,119
Newport News	1,166	1,129	2,227	2,176
Other	35	20	75	20
Intersegment eliminations	(2)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Total sales and service revenues	$1,745	$1,719	$3,315	$3,313
Operating Income (Loss)				
Ingalls	$198	$59	$243	$102
Newport News	109	104	202	198
Other	(64)	—	(74)	—
Total segment operating income (loss)	243	163	371	300
Non-segment factors affecting operating income (loss)				
FAS/CAS Adjustment	28	21	55	43
Deferred state income taxes	(2)	(3)	(1)	(3)

Total operating income (loss)	$269	$181	$425	$340

Table of Contents

Goodwill Impairment Charge - The operating loss at the Other segment for three and six months ended June 30, 2015, reflects a goodwill impairment charge of $59 million.

FAS/CAS Adjustment - The FAS/CAS Adjustment reflects the difference between expenses for pension and other postretirement benefits determined in accordance with GAAP and the expenses for these items included in segment operating income in accordance with U.S. Cost Accounting Standards ("CAS").

The following table presents the Company's assets by segment.

($ in millions)	June 30 2015	December 31 2014
Assets		
Ingalls	$1,385	$1,452
Newport News	3,370	3,155
Other	136	210
Corporate	1,421	1,452
Total assets	$6,312	$6,269

10. INVENTORIED COSTS, NET

Inventoried costs were composed of the following:

($ in millions)	June 30 2015	December 31 2014
Production costs of contracts in process	$226	$248
Raw material inventory	93	91
Total inventoried costs, net	$319	$339

11. GOODWILL AND OTHER PURCHASED INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill

HII performs impairment tests for goodwill as of November 30 of each year and between annual impairment tests if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the Company's reporting units below their carrying value.

The Other segment, established in the second quarter of 2014 following the acquisition of UPI, is sensitive to developments in the oil and gas industry. In response to the continued deterioration of the market fundamentals in the oil and gas industry, including the further decline in projected oil and gas prices, significant cutbacks in customers' capital spending plans, and additional project delays by customers, management determined that an impairment test should be performed as of May 31, 2015. The Company’s testing approach utilizes a combination of discounted cash flow analysis and comparative market multiples to determine the fair values of its businesses for comparison to their corresponding book values. After conducting this test, the Company determined that goodwill at its Other segment was impaired by $59 million. The Company determined that no events occurred and no circumstances changed that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the Company's remaining reporting units below their carrying value as of June 30, 2015.

Accumulated goodwill impairment losses as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were $2,861 million and $2,802 million, respectively. The accumulated goodwill impairment losses for Ingalls as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were $1,568 million. The accumulated goodwill impairment losses for Newport News as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were $1,187 million. The accumulated goodwill impairment losses for the Other segment as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, were $106 million and $47 million, respectively.

For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company recorded $5 million of goodwill related to its acquisition of USG. The Company recorded $150 million and $42 million of goodwill related to its acquisitions of UPI and SN3, respectively, in 2014.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the carrying amounts of goodwill changed as follows:

($ in millions)	Ingalls	Newport News	Other	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2014	$175	$748	$103	$1,026
Goodwill impairment	—	—	(59)	(59)
Acquisitions	—	5	—	5
Adjustments	—	—	1	1
Balance as of June 30, 2015	$175	$753	$45	$973

Purchased Intangible Assets

In connection with the UPI purchase in 2014, the Company recorded $41 million of intangible assets pertaining to existing contracts, trademarks, and trade names, which are being amortized using the pattern of benefits method over a weighted-average life of 11 years. In connection with the SN3 purchase in 2014, the Company recorded $6 million of intangible assets pertaining to existing contract backlog, trademarks, and trade names which are being amortized using the pattern of benefits method over a weighted-average life of five years.

The following table summarizes the Company's aggregate purchased intangible assets, which are primarily program related intangible assets.

($ in millions)	June 30 2015	December 31 2014
Gross carrying amount	$986	$986
Accumulated amortization	(452)	(439)
Net carrying amount	$534	$547

The Company's purchased intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis or a method based on the pattern of benefits. Net intangible assets consist principally of amounts pertaining to nuclear-powered aircraft carrier and submarine program intangibles, with an aggregate weighted-average useful life of 40 years based on the long life cycle of the related programs. Aggregate amortization expense was $6 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Aggregate amortization expense was $13 million and $12 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Company expects amortization expense for purchased intangible assets of approximately $26 million in 2015, $25 million in each of the years 2016 and 2017, and $24 million in each of the years 2018 and 2019.

12. INCOME TAXES

The Company's earnings are principally domestic and its effective tax rates on earnings from operations for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, were 36.1% and 34.2%, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company's effective tax rates were 35.5% and 33.1%, respectively. The higher effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2015, was primarily attributable to the amount of the goodwill impairment that is not amortizable for income tax purposes. The higher effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2015, was primarily attributable to the amount of the goodwill impairment that is not amortizable for income tax purposes and a non-recurring tax benefit in 2014 resulting from the release of uncertain tax positions due to expiration of the statute of limitations.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company's effective tax rate differed from the federal statutory rate primarily as a result of the amount of the goodwill impairment that is not amortizable for tax purposes, partially offset by the domestic manufacturing deduction. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company's

effective tax rate differed from the federal statutory rate primarily as a result of the domestic manufacturing deduction and a non-recurring tax benefit resulting from the release of uncertain tax positions due to expiration of the statute of limitations.

Table of Contents

Deferred state income taxes reflect the change in deferred state tax assets and liabilities in the relevant period. These amounts are recorded within operating income, while the current period state income tax expense is charged to contract costs and included in cost of sales and service revenues in segment operating income.

Net deferred tax assets (liabilities) as presented in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of financial position were as follows:

($ in millions)	June 30 2015	December 31 2014
Net current deferred tax assets	$132	$129
Net non-current deferred tax assets	204	212
Total net deferred tax assets	$336	$341

13. DEBT

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

($ in millions)	June 30 2015	December 31 2014
Term loan due March 30, 2016	$374	$395
Senior notes due March 15, 2021, 7.125%	600	600
Senior notes due December 15, 2021, 5.000%	600	600
Mississippi economic development revenue bonds due May 1, 2024, 7.81%	84	84
Gulf opportunity zone industrial development revenue bonds due December 1, 2028, 4.55%	21	21
Total long-term debt	1,679	1,700
Less current portion	374	108
Long-term debt, net of current portion	$1,305	$1,592

Credit Facility - In March 2011, the Company entered into the Credit Facility with third-party lenders. The Credit Facility is comprised of a five-year term loan facility of $575 million, which was funded on March 30, 2011, and a revolving credit facility of $650 million, which may be drawn upon during a period of five years from the date of the funding. The revolving credit facility includes a letter of credit subfacility of $350 million and a swingline loan subfacility of $100 million. In November 2013, the Company amended and restated its existing Credit Facility to provide more favorable pricing terms and more flexibility under the Credit Facility’s restricted payment covenants. The term loan and revolving credit facility have a variable interest rate on outstanding borrowings based on the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus a spread based upon the Company's leverage ratio. As of June 30, 2015, the spread was 1.5% and may vary between 1.5% and 2.5%. The revolving credit facility also has a commitment fee rate on the unutilized balance based on the Company's leverage ratio. As of June 30, 2015, this fee rate was 0.25% and may vary between 0.25% and 0.45%. As of June 30, 2015, approximately $30 million in letters of credit were issued but undrawn, and the remaining $620 million of the revolving credit facility was unutilized. On July 13, 2015, the Company used cash on hand to repay all amounts outstanding under the prior credit facility, including $345 million in principal amount of outstanding term loans.

On July 13, 2015, the Company entered into a Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “Amended Credit Facility”) with third-party lenders. The Amended Credit Facility includes a revolving credit facility of $1,250 million, which may be drawn upon during a period of five years from July 13, 2015. The revolving credit facility includes a letter of credit subfacility of $500 million. The revolving credit facility has a variable interest rate on outstanding borrowings based on the LIBOR plus a spread based upon the Company's leverage ratio, which may vary between 1.25% and 2.0%. The revolving credit facility also has a commitment fee rate on the unutilized balance based on the Company’s leverage ratio, which may vary between 0.25% and 0.35%. The Amended Credit Facility contains

customary affirmative and negative covenants, as well as a financial covenant based on a maximum total leverage ratio. Each of the Company's existing and future material wholly owned domestic subsidiaries, except those that are specifically designated as unrestricted subsidiaries, are and will be guarantors under the Amended Credit Facility. Substantially all tangible and intangible material assets of the Borrower and domestic subsidiaries are pledged as collateral under the Amended Credit Facility.

Table of Contents

Senior Notes - In March 2011, the Company issued $600 million aggregate principal amount of 6.875% senior notes due March 15, 2018, and $600 million aggregate principal amount of 7.125% senior notes due March 15, 2021. In December 2014, the Company issued $600 million aggregate principal amount of 5.000% senior notes due December 15, 2021. The net proceeds from the issuance of these senior notes were used to repurchase the Company's 6.875% senior notes due March 15, 2018.

Mississippi Economic Development Revenue Bonds - As of June 30, 2015, the Company had $84 million outstanding under Industrial Revenue Bonds issued by the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation. These bonds accrue interest at a fixed rate of 7.81% and mature in 2024.

Gulf Opportunity Zone Industrial Development Revenue Bonds - As of June 30, 2015, the Company had $21 million outstanding under Gulf Opportunity Zone Industrial Development Revenue Bonds issued by the Mississippi Business Finance Corporation. These bonds accrue interest at a fixed rate of 4.55% and mature in 2028.

The Company's debt arrangements contain customary affirmative and negative covenants, including a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. The Company was in compliance with all debt covenants during the six months ended June 30, 2015.

The estimated fair value of the Company's total long-term debt, including current portions, as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, was $1,750 million and $1,779 million, respectively. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt was calculated based on either recent trades of the Company's debt instruments in inactive markets or yields available on debt with substantially similar risks, terms and maturities, which fall within Level 2 under the fair value hierarchy.

14. INVESTIGATIONS, CLAIMS, AND LITIGATION

The Company is involved in legal proceedings before various courts and administrative agencies, and is periodically subject to government examinations, inquiries and investigations. Pursuant to FASB Accounting Standards Codification 450 Contingencies, the Company has accrued for losses associated with investigations, claims and litigation when, and to the extent that, loss amounts related to the investigations, claims and litigation are probable and can be reasonably estimated. The actual losses that might be incurred to resolve such investigations, claims and litigation may be higher or lower than the amounts accrued. For matters where a material loss is probable or reasonably possible and the amount of loss cannot be reasonably estimated, but the Company is able to reasonably estimate a range of possible losses, the Company will disclose such estimated range in these notes. This estimated range is based on information currently available to the Company and involves elements of judgment and significant uncertainties. Any estimated range of possible loss does not represent the Company's maximum possible loss exposure. For matters as to which the Company is not able to reasonably estimate a possible loss or range of loss, the Company will indicate the reasons why it is unable to estimate the possible loss or range of loss. For matters not specifically described in these notes, the Company does not believe, based on information currently available to it, that it is reasonably possible that the liabilities, if any, arising from such investigations, claims and litigation will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The Company has, in certain cases, provided disclosure regarding certain matters for which the Company believes at this time that the likelihood of material loss is remote.

False Claims Act Complaint - In January 2011, the U.S. Department of Justice ("DoJ") first informed the Company through Northrop Grumman of a False Claims Act complaint (the "Complaint") that was filed under seal in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The redacted copy of the Complaint the Company received alleges that, through largely unspecified fraudulent means, the Company and Northrop Grumman obtained federal funds that were restricted by law for the consequences of Hurricane Katrina, and used those funds to cover costs under certain

shipbuilding contracts that were unrelated to Katrina and for which Northrop Grumman and the Company were not entitled to recovery under the contracts. The Complaint seeks monetary damages of at least $835 million, plus penalties, attorneys' fees and other costs of suit. Damages under the False Claims Act may be trebled upon a finding of liability.

In July 2012, the District Court entered an order permitting the Company to disclose certain information not included in the redacted copy of the Complaint received by the Company, including the date the Complaint was filed, the decision of the DoJ to decline intervention in the case, and the principal parties involved in the case. The Complaint was filed on June 2, 2010, by relators Gerald M. Fisher and Donald C. Holmes. In December 2011, the DoJ filed a Notice of Election to Decline Intervention in the case. As of August 29, 2012, Gerald M. Fisher was no longer a

Table of Contents

relator in or party to this case. In February 2013, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia granted the defendants' motion to transfer venue, and the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the case and a motion to disqualify relator Holmes, and all other matters were stayed pending resolution of those motions. On June 3, 2015, the District Court granted the Company's motion to disqualify Holmes as relator, dismissed the case, and entered final judgment in favor of the Company. On June 9, 2015, Holmes filed a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit.
Based upon a review to date of the information available to the Company, the Company believes that it has substantive defenses to the allegations in the Complaint, that the claims as set forth in the Complaint evidence a fundamental lack of understanding of the terms and conditions in the Company's shipbuilding contracts, including the post-Katrina modifications to those contracts, and the manner in which the parties performed in connection with the contracts, and that the claims as set forth in the Complaint lack merit. The Company, therefore, believes that the claims as set forth in the Complaint will not result in a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. The Company intends to defend the matter vigorously, but the Company cannot predict what new or revised claims might be asserted or what information might come to light and can, therefore, give no assurances regarding the ultimate outcome.
U.S. Government Investigations and Claims - Departments and agencies of the U.S. Government have the authority to investigate various transactions and operations of the Company, and the results of such investigations may lead to administrative, civil or criminal proceedings, the ultimate outcome of which could be fines, penalties, repayments or compensatory, treble, or other damages. U.S. Government regulations provide that certain findings against a contractor may also lead to suspension or debarment from future U.S. Government contracts or the loss of export privileges. Any suspension or debarment would have a material effect on the Company because of its reliance on government contracts.

In January 2013, the Company disclosed to the DoD, including the U.S. Navy, and the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, including the U.S. Coast Guard, pursuant to the FAR, that it had initiated an internal investigation regarding whether certain employees at Ingalls mischarged time or misstated progress on Navy and Coast Guard contracts. The Company conducted an internal investigation, led by external counsel, and has taken remedial actions, including the termination of employees in instances where the Company believed grounds for termination existed. The Company is providing information regarding its investigation to the relevant government agencies. The Company agreed with the U.S. Navy and U.S. Coast Guard that they would initially withhold $24 million in payments on existing contracts pending receipt of additional information from the Company's internal investigation. The U.S. Navy has reduced its portion of the withhold from $18.2 million to $4.7 million, while expressing its view that the gross amount of potential mischarging incurred by the Navy will likely not exceed $3.1 million. The U.S. Coast Guard informed the Company in June 2014 that it was provisionally reducing its withhold from $5.8 million to $3.6 million. Based on the results of its internal investigation, the Company estimates that the maximum amount of U.S. Navy and Coast Guard mischarging is approximately $4 million. The Company is continuing discussions with its U.S. Government customers regarding the potential release of an additional portion of the withheld funds, but the Company cannot predict whether or when these customers will agree to any additional release of the withhold amount.

In June 2015, the DoJ informed the Company that it is investigating the matters disclosed by the Company to the DoD in January 2013. In July 2015, the DoJ requested information from the Company, and the Company is cooperating with the DoJ's requests and has provided certain information to the DoJ. Depending upon the outcome of this matter, which could result in litigation by the DoJ against the Company, the Company could be subject to civil penalties, damages, and/or suspension or debarment from future U.S. Government contracts, which could have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Given the early stage of the Company's discussions with the DoJ, the Company is currently unable to estimate an amount or range of reasonably possible loss or to express an opinion regarding the ultimate outcome of this matter.

Asbestos Related Claims - HII and its predecessors-in-interest are defendants in a longstanding series of cases that have been and continue to be filed in various jurisdictions around the country, wherein former and current employees and various third parties allege exposure to asbestos containing materials while on or associated with HII premises or while working on vessels constructed or repaired by HII. The cases allege various injuries, including those associated with pleural plaque disease, asbestosis, cancer, mesothelioma and other alleged asbestos related conditions. In some cases, several of HII's former executive officers are also named as defendants. In some instances, partial or full insurance coverage is available to the Company for its liability and that of its former executive officers. Although the Company believes the ultimate resolution of these cases will not have a material

Table of Contents

effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, it cannot predict what new or revised claims or litigation might be asserted or what information might come to light and can, therefore, give no assurances regarding the ultimate outcome of asbestos related litigation.

Other Litigation - The Company and its predecessor-in-interest have been in litigation with the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela (the “Republic”) since 2002 over a contract for the repair, refurbishment and modernization at Ingalls of two foreign-built frigates. The case proceeded towards arbitration, then appeared to settle favorably, but the settlement was overturned in court and the matter returned to litigation. In March 2014, the Company filed an arbitral statement of claim asserting breaches of the contract and $173 million in damages plus substantial interest and litigation expenses. In July 2014, the Republic filed in the arbitration a statement of defense denying all the Company’s allegations and a counterclaim alleging late redelivery of the frigates, unfinished work and breach of warranty and asserting damages of $61 million plus interest. An arbitration hearing was held in January 2015, and the Company cannot predict when the arbitration panel will render a decision. No assurances can be provided regarding the ultimate outcome of this matter.

The Company is party to various claims and legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of business. Although the Company believes that the resolution of any of these various claims and legal proceedings will not have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows, it cannot predict what new or revised claims or litigation might be asserted or what information might come to light and can, therefore, give no assurances regarding the ultimate outcome of these matters.

15. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Contract Performance Contingencies - Contract profit margins may include estimates of revenues not contractually agreed to between the customer and the Company for matters such as settlements in the process of negotiation, contract changes, claims and requests for equitable adjustment for previously unanticipated contract costs. These estimates are based upon management's best assessment of the underlying causal events and circumstances, and are included in determining contract profit margins to the extent of expected recovery based on contractual entitlements and the probability of successful negotiation with the customer. As of June 30, 2015, the recognized amounts related to claims and requests for equitable adjustment are not material individually or in aggregate.

Guarantees of Performance Obligations - From time to time in the ordinary course of business, HII may enter into joint ventures, teaming and other business arrangements to support the Company's products and services. The Company generally strives to limit its exposure under these arrangements to its investment in the arrangement, or to the extent of obligations under the applicable contract. In some cases, however, HII may be required to guarantee performance of the arrangement's obligations and, in such cases, generally obtains cross-indemnification from the other members of the arrangement.

In the ordinary course of business, the Company may guarantee obligations of its subsidiaries under certain contracts. Generally, the Company is liable under such an arrangement only if its subsidiary is unable to perform under its contract. Historically, the Company has not incurred any substantial liabilities resulting from these guarantees. As of June 30, 2015, the Company was not aware of any existing event of default that would require it to satisfy any of these guarantees.

Environmental Matters -The estimated cost to complete environmental remediation has been accrued where it is probable that the Company will incur such costs in the future to address environmental conditions at currently or formerly owned or leased operating facilities, or at sites where it has been named a Potentially Responsible Party ("PRP") by the Environmental Protection Agency or similarly designated by another environmental agency, and the related costs can be estimated by management. These accruals do not include any litigation costs related to environmental matters, nor do they include amounts recorded as asset retirement obligations. To assess the potential

impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements, management estimates the range of reasonably possible remediation costs that could be incurred by the Company, taking into account currently available facts on each site, as well as the current state of technology and prior experience in remediating contaminated sites. These estimates are reviewed periodically and adjusted to reflect changes in facts and technical and legal circumstances. Management estimates that as of June 30, 2015, the probable future cost for environmental remediation is $2 million, which is accrued in other current liabilities. Factors that could result in changes to the Company's estimates include: modification of planned remedial actions, increases or decreases in the estimated time required to remediate, changes to the determination of legally responsible parties, discovery of more extensive contamination than anticipated, changes in laws and regulations affecting remediation

Table of Contents

requirements, and improvements in remediation technology. Should other PRPs not pay their allocable share of remediation costs, the Company may incur costs exceeding those already estimated and accrued. In addition, there are certain potential remediation sites where the costs of remediation cannot be reasonably estimated. Although management cannot predict whether new information gained as projects progress will materially affect the estimated liability accrued, management does not believe that future remediation expenditures will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Financial Arrangements - In the ordinary course of business, HII uses standby letters of credit issued by commercial banks and surety bonds issued by insurance companies principally to support the Company's self-insured workers' compensation plans. As of June 30, 2015, the Company had $30 million in standby letters of credit issued but undrawn, as indicated in Note 13: Debt, and $358 million of surety bonds outstanding.

U.S. Government Claims - From time to time, the U.S. Government advises the Company of claims and penalties concerning certain potential disallowed costs. When such findings are presented, the Company and U.S. Government representatives engage in discussions to enable HII to evaluate the merits of these claims as well as to assess the amounts being claimed. The Company does not believe that the outcome of any such matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

16. IMPACTS FROM HURRICANES

In August 2005, the Company's Ingalls operations were significantly impacted by Hurricane Katrina, and the Company's shipyards in Louisiana and Mississippi sustained significant windstorm damage from the hurricane. As a result of the storm, the Company incurred costs to replace or repair destroyed or damaged assets, suffered losses under its contracts, and incurred substantial costs to clean up and recover its operations. At the time of the storm, the Company had an insurance program that provided coverage for, among other things, property damage, business interruption impact on net profitability, and costs associated with clean-up and recovery. The Company recovered a portion of its Hurricane Katrina claim from certain of its participating program insurers in prior periods. In 2013, the Company resolved litigation against its remaining insurer, Factory Mutual Insurance Company ("FM Global"), arising out of a disagreement concerning the coverage of certain losses related to Hurricane Katrina. Under the settlement agreement with FM Global, in the third quarter of 2013 FM Global made a cash payment of $180 million to the Company and the Company released its claim against FM Global, resulting in a total recovery from the Company's insurers of $677.5 million for its Hurricane Katrina claim. The $180 million was recorded as an insurance recovery gain in operating income in the third quarter of 2013.

In February 2013, the Company submitted a certified claim requesting a final decision on the allowability and allocability of certain post-Katrina depreciation and other Katrina-related expenses and on the apportionment of insurance proceeds. In October 2013, the Company received a Contracting Officer's Final Decision ("COFD") disallowing certain post-Katrina depreciation costs and other Katrina-related expenses, as well as providing direction on the apportionment of Katrina-related insurance recoveries. Impacted by this decision, the Company’s accounting for hurricane insurance related matters resulted in a reduction in operating income of $116 million. The 2013 financial results reflect disallowances as indicated in the COFD.

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company’s accounting for hurricane related matters, including the insurance recovery gain of $180 million and the $116 million reduction in operating income related to its contracts with the U.S. Government, resulted in a net favorable impact to operating income of $64 million.

In October 2014, the Company executed a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") with the U.S. Navy and U.S. Coast Guard acknowledging the requirements set forth in the COFD. The MOU did not have a material impact on the Company's accounting for hurricane related matters.

In January 2011, the Company, through a predecessor-in-interest, filed suit in Superior Court in California against Aon Risk Insurance Services West, Inc. ("Aon"), which acted as broker to the predecessor-in-interest in connection with the policy with FM Global, seeking damages for breach of contract, professional negligence and negligent misrepresentation, as well as declaratory relief. Those included damages unrecovered from FM Global plus costs, legal fees and expenses incurred in the lawsuit against FM Global, as well as interest. In January 2014, the Company amended its complaint to allege fraud and seek punitive damages.

On May 29, 2015, the Company and Aon entered into a settlement agreement, pursuant to which Aon made a cash payment of $150 million to the Company and the Company released its claim against Aon. The $150 million

Table of Contents

settlement was recorded as a gain in operating income in the second quarter of 2015. Based on the previously executed MOU and COFD, the Company has recorded a credit to the U.S. Government, which resulted in a reduction in operating income of $14 million in the current period. Should the U.S. Government disagree with the Company's allocation of proceeds, the Company may be required to allocate additional amounts to the U.S. Government. The $150 million gain and allowable cost credit resulted in a net favorable impact to operating income for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, of $136 million.

17. EMPLOYEE PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company provides defined benefit pension and postretirement benefit plans and defined contribution pension benefit plans to eligible employees.

The cost of the Company's defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement plans for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, was as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30				Six Months Ended June 30			
	Pension Benefits		Other Benefits		Pension Benefits		Other Benefits	
($ in millions)	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014	2015	2014
Components of Net Periodic Benefit Cost								
Service cost	$37	$34	$4	$3	$74	$68	$7	$6
Interest cost	60	62	6	7	121	123	13	15
Expected return on plan assets	(87)	(81)	—	—	(175)	(161)	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost (credit)	5	4	(5)	(6)	10	9	(10)	(13)
Amortization of net actuarial loss (gain)	22	10	—	—	43	20	1	—
Net periodic benefit cost	$37	$29	$5	$4	$73	$59	$11	$8

The Company made the following contributions to its pension and other postretirement plans for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Six Months Ended June 30	
($ in millions)	2015	2014
Pension plans		
Qualified minimum	$—	$—
Discretionary		
Qualified	99	123
Non-qualified	2	2
Other benefit plans	16	16
Total contributions	$117	$141

As of June 30, 2015, the Company anticipates no further significant cash contributions to its qualified defined benefit pension plans in 2015.

18. STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

During the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the Company issued new stock awards as follows:

Restricted Performance Stock Rights - For the six months ended June 30, 2015, the Company granted approximately 0.2 million RPSRs at a weighted average share price of $142.34. These rights are subject to cliff vesting on December 31, 2017. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company granted approximately 0.2 million RPSRs at a weighted average share price of $98.09. These rights are subject to cliff vesting on December

Table of Contents

31, 2016. The RPSRs are subject to the achievement of performance-based targets at the end of the respective vesting periods. Based upon the Company's results measured against such targets, between 0% and 200% of the original stated grants are expected to ultimately vest.

For the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, 0.8 million and 1.5 million stock awards, respectively, vested, of which approximately 0.3 million and 0.6 million, respectively, were transferred to the Company from employees in satisfaction of minimum tax withholding obligations for each of the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's outstanding stock awards as of June 30, 2015:

	Stock Awards (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)
Total stock awards	893	$ 75.73	1.0

The following table summarizes the status of the Company's stock option awards as of June 30, 2015:

	Shares Under Option (in thousands)	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value ($ in millions)
Outstanding and exercisable at June 30, 2015	537	$33.85	1.1	$44

Compensation Expense

The Company recorded stock-based compensation for the value of awards granted to Company employees and non-employee members of the board of directors for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, of $17 million and $6 million, respectively. The Company recorded stock-based compensation for the value of awards granted to Company employees and non-employee members of the board of directors for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, of $21 million and $11 million, respectively.

The Company recognized tax benefits for stock-based compensation in the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, of $7 million and $2 million, respectively. Tax benefits for stock-based compensation recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, were $8 million and $4 million, respectively.

Unrecognized Compensation Expense

As of June 30, 2015, the Company had $1 million of unrecognized compensation expense associated with the RSRs granted in 2015 and 2014, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years, and $35 million of unrecognized expense associated with the RPSRs granted in 2015, 2014, and 2013, which will be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.1 years.

19. SUBSIDIARY GUARANTORS

Performance of the Company's obligations under the senior notes, including any repurchase obligations resulting from a change of control, is fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on an unsecured basis, by each of HII's existing and future domestic subsidiaries that guarantees debt under the Credit Facility (the "Subsidiary Guarantors"). See Note 13: Debt. The guarantees rank equally with all other unsecured and unsubordinated indebtedness of the Subsidiary Guarantors. The Subsidiary Guarantors are each directly or indirectly 100% owned by

HII.

Set forth below are the unaudited condensed consolidating statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, unaudited condensed consolidating statements of financial position as of June 30, 2015, and December 31, 2014, and the unaudited condensed consolidating

Table of Contents

statements of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, for HII, its aggregated subsidiary guarantors and its aggregated non-guarantor subsidiaries.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2015				
($ in millions)	Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales and service revenues					
Product sales	$—	$ 1,426	$ —	$ —	$ 1,426
Service revenues	—	310	18	(9)	319
Total sales and service revenues	—	1,736	18	(9)	1,745
Cost of sales and service revenues					
Cost of product sales	—	972	—	—	972
Cost of service revenues	—	266	17	(9)	274
Income (loss) from operating investments, net	—	2	—	—	2
General and administrative expenses	—	171	2	—	173
Goodwill impairment	—	59	—	—	59
Operating income (loss)	—	270	(1)	—	269
Interest expense	(23)	(2)	—	—	(25)
Equity in earnings (loss) of subsidiaries	171	—	—	(171)	—
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	148	268	(1)	(171)	244
Federal income taxes	(8)	96	—	—	88
Net earnings (loss)	$156	$ 172	$ (1)	$ (171)	$156
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	13	12	1	(13)	13
Comprehensive income (loss)	$169	$ 184	$ —	$ (184)	$169

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2014				
($ in millions)	Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales and service revenues					
Product sales	$—	$ 1,433	$ —	$ —	$ 1,433
Service revenues	—	281	13	(8)	286
Total sales and service revenues	—	1,714	13	(8)	1,719
Cost of sales and service revenues					
Cost of product sales	—	1,131	—	—	1,131
Cost of service revenues	—	234	12	(8)	238
Income (loss) from operating investments, net	—	1	—	—	1
General and administrative expenses	—	170	—	—	170
Operating income (loss)	—	180	1	—	181
Interest expense	(27)	(2)	—	—	(29)
Equity in earnings (loss) of subsidiaries	118	—	—	(118)	—
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	91	178	1	(118)	152
Federal income taxes	(9)	61	—	—	52
Net earnings (loss)	$ 100	$ 117	$ 1	$ (118)	$ 100
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	6	6	—	(6)	6
Comprehensive income (loss)	$ 106	$ 123	$ 1	$ (124)	$ 106

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015				
($ in millions)	Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales and service revenues					
Product sales	$—	$ 2,676	$ —	$ —	$ 2,676
Service revenues	—	619	29	(9)	639
Total sales and service revenues	—	3,295	29	(9)	3,315
Cost of sales and service revenues					
Cost of product sales	—	1,957	—	—	1,957
Cost of service revenues	—	537	26	(9)	554
Income (loss) from operating investments, net	—	3	—	—	3
General and administrative expenses	—	318	5	—	323
Goodwill impairment	—	59	—	—	59
Operating income (loss)	—	427	(2)	—	425
Interest expense	(45)	(3)	—	—	(48)
Equity in earnings (loss) of subsidiaries	272	—	—	(272)	—
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	227	424	(2)	(272)	377
Federal income taxes	(16)	150	—	—	134
Net earnings (loss)	$ 243	$ 274	$ (2)	$ (272)	$ 243
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	26	27	(1)	(26)	26
Comprehensive income (loss)	$ 269	$ 301	$ (3)	$ (298)	$ 269

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014				
($ in millions)	Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Sales and service revenues					
Product sales	$—	$ 2,765	$ —	$ —	$2,765
Service revenues	—	543	15	(10)	548
Total sales and service revenues	—	3,308	15	(10)	3,313
Cost of sales and service revenues					
Cost of product sales	—	2,191	—	—	2,191
Cost of service revenues	—	461	14	(10)	465
Income (loss) from operating investments, net	—	3	—	—	3
General and administrative expenses	—	320	—	—	320
Operating income (loss)	—	339	1	—	340
Interest expense	(52)	(4)	—	—	(56)
Equity in earnings (loss) of subsidiaries	225	—	—	(225)	—
Earnings (loss) before income taxes	173	335	1	(225)	284
Federal income taxes	(17)	111	—	—	94
Net earnings (loss)	$190	$ 224	$ 1	$ (225)	$190
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax	12	12	—	(12)	12
Comprehensive income (loss)	$202	$ 236	$ 1	$ (237)	$202

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

($ in millions)	June 30, 2015 Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets					
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	$946	$ 1	$ 13	$ —	$960
Accounts receivable, net	—	1,236	8	—	1,244
Inventoried costs, net	—	319	—	—	319
Deferred income taxes	—	132	—	—	132
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	—	38	13	(12)	39
Total current assets	946	1,726	34	(12)	2,694
Property, plant, and equipment, net	—	1,755	2	—	1,757
Goodwill	—	973	—	—	973
Other purchased intangibles, net	—	534	—	—	534
Pension plan asset	—	25	—	—	25
Long-term deferred tax asset	—	204	—	—	204
Miscellaneous other assets	25	100	—	—	125
Investment in subsidiaries	3,703	—	—	(3,703)	—
Intercompany receivables	—	1,614	—	(1,614)	—
Total assets	$4,674	$ 6,931	$ 36	$ (5,329)	$6,312
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity					
Current Liabilities					
Trade accounts payable	$—	$ 267	$ 2	$ —	$269
Accrued employees' compensation	—	197	1	—	198
Current portion of long-term debt	374	—	—	—	374
Current portion of postretirement plan liabilities	—	143	—	—	143
Current portion of workers' compensation liabilities	—	224	—	—	224
Advance payments and billings in excess of revenues	—	117	—	—	117
Other current liabilities	18	246	12	(12)	264
Total current liabilities	392	1,194	15	(12)	1,589
Long-term debt	1,200	105	—	—	1,305
Pension plan liabilities	—	866	—	—	866
Other postretirement plan liabilities	—	511	—	—	511
Workers' compensation liabilities	—	454	—	—	454
Other long-term liabilities	—	102	—	—	102
Intercompany liabilities	1,597	—	17	(1,614)	—
Total liabilities	3,189	3,232	32	(1,626)	4,827
Stockholders' equity	1,485	3,699	4	(3,703)	1,485
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	$4,674	$ 6,931	$ 36	$ (5,329)	$6,312

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

($ in millions)	December 31, 2014 Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets					
Current Assets					
Cash and cash equivalents	$980	$ 4	$ 6	$ —	$990
Accounts receivable, net	—	1,022	16	—	1,038
Inventoried costs, net	—	339	—	—	339
Deferred income taxes	—	129	—	—	129
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	1	48	5	(4)	50
Total current assets	981	1,542	27	(4)	2,546
Property, plant, and equipment, net	—	1,790	2	—	1,792
Goodwill	—	1,026	—	—	1,026
Other purchased intangibles, net	—	547	—	—	547
Pension plan asset	—	17	—	—	17
Long-term deferred tax assets	—	212	—	—	212
Miscellaneous other assets	30	99	—	—	129
Investment in subsidiaries	3,421	—	—	(3,421)	—
Intercompany receivables	—	1,469	—	(1,469)	—
Total assets	$4,432	$ 6,702	$ 29	$ (4,894)	$6,269
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity					
Current Liabilities					
Trade accounts payable	$—	$ 265	$ 4	$ —	$269
Accrued employees' compensation	—	247	1	—	248
Current portion of long-term debt	108	—	—	—	108
Current portion of postretirement plan liabilities	—	143	—	—	143
Current portion of workers' compensation liabilities	—	221	—	—	221
Advance payments and billings in excess of revenues	—	74	—	—	74
Other current liabilities	15	234	4	(4)	249
Total current liabilities	123	1,184	9	(4)	1,312
Long-term debt	1,488	104	—	—	1,592
Pension plan liabilities	—	939	—	—	939
Other postretirement plan liabilities	—	507	—	—	507
Workers' compensation liabilities	—	449	—	—	449
Other long-term liabilities	—	105	—	—	105
Intercompany liabilities	1,456	—	13	(1,469)	—
Total liabilities	3,067	3,288	22	(1,473)	4,904
Stockholders' equity	1,365	3,414	7	(3,421)	1,365
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	$4,432	$ 6,702	$ 29	$ (4,894)	$6,269

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2015				
($ in millions)	Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	$(25)	$ 184	$ 4	$ —	$163
Investing Activities					
Additions to property, plant, and equipment	—	(48)	(1)	—	(49)
Proceeds from insurance settlement related to investing activities	—	21	—	—	21
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash received	—	(6)	—	—	(6)
Proceeds from disposition of assets	—	32	—	—	32
Net funding from (to) parent	—	(145)	—	145	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	—	(146)	(1)	145	(2)
Financing Activities					
Repayment of long-term debt	(21)	—	—	—	(21)
Dividends paid	(39)	—	—	—	(39)
Repurchases of common stock	(90)	—	—	—	(90)
Employee taxes on certain share-based payment arrangements	—	(54)	—	—	(54)
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	—	13	—	—	13
Net funding from (to) subsidiary/parent	141	—	4	(145)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(9)	(41)	4	(145)	(191)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(34)	(3)	7	—	(30)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	980	4	6	—	990
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	$946	$ 1	$ 13	$ —	$960

Table of Contents

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2014				
($ in millions)	Huntington Ingalls Industries, Inc.	Subsidiary Guarantors	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	$(36)	$ 95	$ (1)	$ —	$58
Investing Activities					
Additions to property, plant, and equipment	—	(51)	—	—	(51)
Proceeds from insurance settlement related to investing activities	—	—	—	—	—
Acquisitions of businesses, net of cash received	—	(276)	3	—	(273)
Net funding from (to) parent	—	281	—	(281)	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	—	(46)	3	(281)	(324)
Financing Activities					
Repayment of long-term debt	(14)	—	—	—	(14)
Dividends paid	(20)	—	—	—	(20)
Repurchases of common stock	(104)	—	—	—	(104)
Employee taxes on certain share-based payment arrangements	—	(64)	—	—	(64)
Proceeds from stock option exercises	—	2	—	—	2
Excess tax benefit related to stock-based compensation	—	15	—	—	15
Net funding from (to) subsidiary	(281)	—	—	281	—
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(419)	(47)	—	281	(185)
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(455)	2	2	—	(451)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	1,042	—	1	—	1,043
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	$587	$ 2	$ 3	$ —	$592

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

Our Business

For more than a century, we have designed, built, overhauled and repaired ships primarily for the U.S. Navy and the U.S. Coast Guard. We conduct business primarily with the U.S. Government, principally the Department of Defense ("DoD"). As prime contractor, principal subcontractor, team member or partner, we participate in many high-priority U.S. defense technology programs. HII is organized into three reportable segments: Ingalls, Newport News, and Other. Through our Ingalls segment, we are the builder of amphibious assault and expeditionary warfare ships for the U.S. Navy, the sole builder of National Security Cutters ("NSC") for the U.S. Coast Guard, and one of only two companies that builds the Navy's current fleet of DDG-51 Arleigh Burke-class destroyers. Through our Newport News segment, we are the nation's sole designer, builder, and refueler of nuclear-powered aircraft carriers, and one of only two companies currently designing and building nuclear-powered submarines for the U.S. Navy. Our Other segment was established in the second quarter of 2014 to account for certain of our non-shipbuilding commercial activities.

The following discussion should be read along with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Business Environment

In August 2011, the Budget Control Act (the "BCA") reduced the DoD top line budget by $487 billion from fiscal year 2012 through 2021. Additionally, because Congress did not identify savings to reduce the U.S. deficit by up to $1.2 trillion prior to March 1, 2013, budgetary sequestration was implemented under the BCA. Sequestration requires an additional reduction from fiscal year 2012 through 2021 of $500 billion for defense spending and $500 billion for non-defense discretionary spending, including the U.S. Coast Guard. In December 2013, the President signed into law the Bipartisan Budget Act, which provided $63 billion in sequestration relief over two years, split evenly between defense and non-defense programs, and set overall discretionary spending at $1.012 trillion for fiscal year 2014 and $1.013 trillion for fiscal year 2015.

Enactment of the Bipartisan Budget Act enabled the House and Senate Appropriations Committees to agree upon appropriations levels across the 12 Appropriations bills for fiscal year 2015, including Defense Appropriations and Homeland Security, and to subsequently pass the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (the "FY 2015 Consolidated Appropriations Act") in December 2014 and the Homeland Security Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2015 in March 2015.

The FY 2015 Consolidated Appropriations Act specifically provided funding for procurement of CVN-79 John F. Kennedy, construction of two SSN-774 Virginia-class submarines, advance procurement for the CVN-73 USS George Washington RCOH, continuation of DDG-51 Arleigh Burke-class destroyer production, and advance procurement for LHA-7 Tripoli. The FY 2015 Consolidated Appropriations Act also provided $1 billion for the procurement of an additional LPD-17 San Antonio-class ship and Research, Development, Test and Evaluation funding for the Ohio Replacement Program. Additionally, the Homeland Security Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2015 provided funding for procurement of NSC-8 Midgett.

While the Fiscal Year 2016 President's Budget Request was delivered to Congress in February 2015, the budget request did not reflect funding reductions required by the Budget Control Act. Congress is proceeding with

consideration of DoD and Homeland Security authorization and appropriations bills for fiscal year 2016, but final passage and enactment of these measures remains contingent upon agreement regarding spending levels for defense and non-defense discretionary accounts. We cannot predict the impact that the sequestration cuts or other DoD or Homeland Security spending cuts may have on funding for our individual programs.

Long-term funding for certain programs in which we participate may be reduced, delayed, or cancelled. In addition, defense spending cuts and delays could adversely affect the viability of our suppliers and subcontractors. Our contracts or subcontracts under programs in which we participate may be terminated or adjusted by the U.S. Government or the prime contractor as a result of lack of government funding or reductions or delays in

Table of Contents

government funding, which would adversely affect our future sales under such programs and could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We expect that the DoD execution of its strategy will require an affordable balance between investments in current missions and investments in new capabilities to meet future challenges. The DoD faces the additional challenge of recapitalizing equipment and rebuilding readiness at a time when the DoD is pursuing modernization of its capabilities, while facing additional major budget cuts that began in 2013. While the Bipartisan Budget Act established new budget caps and provided sequestration relief for fiscal years 2014 and 2015, it is unclear how sequestration could impact programs for 2016 and beyond. Budget Control Act spending caps could have a significant impact on future spending plans for defense and non-defense discretionary programs. Decreases in the proposed funding levels for our programs could negatively impact our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows, including revenues, goodwill, and long-lived assets.

Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates, and Judgments

As discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, we consider the policies relating to the following matters to be critical accounting policies:

- Revenue recognition;
- Purchase accounting and goodwill;
- Litigation, commitments and contingencies;
- Retirement related plans; and
- Workers' compensation.

Most of our revenues are derived from long-term contracts for the production of goods and services provided to the federal government, which are accounted for in conformity with GAAP for construction-type and production-type contracts and federal government contractors. We also have other types of contracts, such as services or commercial arrangements, for which revenues are recognized upon delivery or as services are rendered once persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Costs related to these contracts are expensed as incurred.

As of June 30, 2015, there had been no other material changes to the above critical accounting policies, estimates, and judgments since December 31, 2014.

Contracts

We generate most of our revenues from long-term U.S. Government contracts for design, production, and support activities. Government contracts typically include the following cost elements: direct material, labor and subcontracting costs, and certain indirect costs including allowable general and administrative expenses. Unless otherwise specified in a contract, costs billed to contracts with the U.S. Government are treated as allowable and allocable costs under the Federal Acquisition Regulations ("FAR") and the U.S. Cost Accounting Standards ("CAS") regulations. Examples of costs incurred by us that are not allowable under the FAR and CAS regulations include certain legal costs, lobbying costs, charitable donations, interest expense and advertising costs.

We monitor our policies and procedures with respect to our contracts on a regular basis to ensure consistent application under similar terms and conditions, as well as compliance with all applicable government regulations. In addition, the Defense Contract Audit Agency ("DCAA") routinely audits the costs we incur that are allocated to contracts with the U.S. Government.

Our long-term contracts typically fall into one of two broad categories:

- Flexibly-Priced Contracts - Includes both cost-type and fixed-price incentive contracts. Cost-type contracts provide for reimbursement of the contractor's allowable costs plus a fee that represents profit. Cost-type contracts generally require that the contractor use its reasonable efforts to accomplish the scope of the work within some specified time and some stated dollar limitation. Fixed-price incentive contracts also

Table of Contents

provide for reimbursement of the contractor's allowable costs, but are subject to a cost-share limit that affects profitability. Fixed-price incentive contracts effectively become firm fixed-price contracts once the cost-share limit is reached. Approximately 95% of our revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, and approximately 97% of our revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, were generated from flexibly-priced contracts, including certain fixed-price incentive contracts that have exceeded their cost-share limit.

- Firm Fixed-Price Contracts - A firm fixed-price contract is a contract in which the specified scope of work is agreed to for a price that is predetermined by bid or negotiation, and not generally subject to adjustment regardless of costs incurred by the contractor. Time and materials contracts, which specify a fixed hourly rate for each labor hour charged, are considered firm fixed-price contracts. Approximately 5% of our revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, and approximately 3% of our revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, were generated from firm fixed-price arrangements.

Contract Fees - Negotiated contract fee structures for both flexibly-priced and firm fixed-price contracts include: fixed fee amounts, cost sharing arrangements to reward or penalize contractors for under or over cost target performance, respectively, positive award fees and negative penalty arrangements. Profit margins may vary materially depending on the negotiated contract fee arrangements, percentage-of-completion of the contract, the achievement of performance objectives, and the stage of performance at which the right to receive fees, particularly under incentive and award fee contracts, is finally determined.

Award Fees - Certain contracts contain award fees based on performance criteria such as cost, schedule, quality and technical performance. Award fees are determined and earned based on an evaluation by the customer of our performance against such negotiated criteria. Fees that we are reasonably assured of collecting and can be reasonably estimated are recorded over the performance period of the contract.

Program Descriptions

For convenience, a brief description of certain programs discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q is included in the "Glossary of Programs" in this section.

Financial Accounting Standards ("FAS") and U.S. Cost Accounting Standards ("CAS") Considerations

We calculate our retirement related benefit plan costs under both FAS and CAS. Some of the methodologies and assumptions between FAS and CAS are different, resulting in the FAS/CAS Adjustment.

For example, the discount rate is a significant assumption in determining the value of benefits earned under FAS and CAS. Under FAS, the discount rate is based on yields of high quality bonds, while the CAS discount rate has been an expected rate of return on plan assets assumption. Under the harmonization rules, the CAS discount rate will be based on a methodology more similar to FAS. CAS harmonization is being phased in 25% per year from 2014 through 2017, and the CAS discount rate will move closer toward the FAS rate in the future.

Another difference between FAS expense and CAS cost is the pattern of earnings and expense recognition for gains and losses that arise when our asset and liability experiences differ from our assumptions under each set of requirements. Under FAS, our net gains and losses exceeding the 10% corridor are amortized over the employee's average future service life of approximately 10 years. Under CAS, net gains and losses were amortized over a 15-year period without regard to a corridor approach. Under the harmonization rules, the amortization period for CAS changed to 10 years for gains and losses experienced beginning in 2013. Both FAS and CAS use a "market-related value" of plan assets approach to calculate the amount of deferred asset gains or losses to be amortized. Under CAS, actual asset gains and losses are systematically spread over five years, subject to certain

limitations. We do not use this spreading method for FAS and instead use fair value in determining our FAS expense. Accordingly, FAS expense generally reflects recent gains and losses faster than CAS.

Additionally, CAS cost is only recognized for plans that are not fully funded as defined under CAS. If a plan becomes or ceases to be fully funded due to our asset or liability experience, our CAS cost will change accordingly. We update our estimates of future FAS expense and CAS cost at least annually based on factors such as actual calendar year plan asset returns, actual census data, and other actual and projected experience.

Table of Contents

CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS

Selected financial highlights are presented in the following table:

	Three Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014		Six Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014	
($ in millions)	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent
Sales and service revenues	$1,745	$1,719	$26	2 %	$3,315	$3,313	$2	— %
Cost of product sales and service revenues	1,246	1,369	(123)	(9)%	2,511	2,656	(145)	(5)%
Income (loss) from operating investments, net	2	1	1	100 %	3	3	—	— %
General and administrative expenses	173	170	3	2 %	323	320	3	1 %
Goodwill impairment	59	—	59	— %	59	—	59	— %
Operating income (loss)	269	181	88	49 %	425	340	85	25 %
Interest expense	25	29	(4)	(14)%	48	56	(8)	(14)%
Federal income taxes	88	52	36	69 %	134	94	40	43 %
Net earnings (loss)	$156	$100	$56	56 %	$243	$190	$53	28 %

Operating Performance Assessment and Reporting

We manage and assess the performance of our business based on our performance on individual contracts and programs using the financial measures referred to below, with consideration given to the Critical Accounting Policies, Estimates, and Judgments referred to in this section. Our portfolio of long-term contracts is largely flexibly-priced. Therefore, sales tend to fluctuate in concert with costs across our large portfolio of active contracts, with operating income being a critical measure of operating performance. Under FAR rules that govern our business with the U.S. Government, most types of costs are allowable, and we do not focus on individual cost groupings, such as cost of sales or general and administrative expenses, as much as we do on total contract costs, which are a key factor in determining contract operating income. As a result, in evaluating our operating performance, we look primarily at changes in sales and service revenues, as well as operating income, including the effects of significant changes in operating income as a result of changes in contract estimates and the use of the cumulative catch-up method of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). This approach is consistent with the long-term life cycle of our contracts, as management assesses the bidding of each contract by focusing on net sales and operating profit and monitors performance in a similar manner through contract completion. Consequently, our discussion of business segment performance focuses on net sales and operating profit, consistent with our approach for managing our business.

Cost of sales for both product sales and service revenues consist of materials, labor, and subcontracting costs, as well as an allocation of indirect costs for overhead. We manage the type and amount of costs at the contract level, which is the basis for estimating our total costs at completion of our contracts. Unusual fluctuations in operating performance driven by changes in a specific cost element across multiple contracts are described in our analysis.

Sales and Service Revenues

Sales and service revenues consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014	Six Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014

($ in millions)	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent
Product sales	$1,426	$1,433	$(7)	—%	$2,676	$2,765	$(89)	(3)%
Service revenues	319	286	33	12%	639	548	91	17%
Sales and service revenues	$1,745	$1,719	$26	2%	$3,315	$3,313	$2	—%

Product sales for the three months ended June 30, 2015, decreased $7 million, or less than 1%, compared with the same period in 2014. Product sales for the six months ended June 30, 2015, decreased $89 million, or 3%,

Table of Contents

compared with the same period in 2014. Product sales at our Ingalls segment decreased $27 million and $103 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, primarily as a result of lower volumes in Amphibious Assault Ships and the Legend-class NSC program, partially offset by higher volumes in Surface Combatants. Newport News product sales increased $20 million and $14 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, primarily as a result of higher volumes in Submarines, partially offset by lower volumes in Aircraft Carriers.

Service revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2015, increased $33 million, or 12%, compared with the same period in 2014. Service revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2015, increased $91 million, or 17%, compared with the same period in 2014. Service revenues at our Ingalls segment increased $1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015, as a result of higher volumes in Surface Combatants services. Service revenues at our Ingalls segment decreased $1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015, as a result of lower volumes in Amphibious Assault Ships services. Service revenues at our Newport News segment increased $17 million and $37 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, primarily as a result of higher volumes in Fleet Support services and Submarine Engineering. Service revenues at our Other segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, increased $15 million and $55 million, respectively, primarily as a result of the acquisition of UPI in May 2014.

Cost of Sales and Service Revenues

Cost of product sales, cost of service revenues, income from operating investments, net, and general and administrative expenses were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014		Six Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014	
($ in millions)	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent
Cost of product sales	$972	$1,131	$(159)	(14)%	$1,957	$2,191	$(234)	(11)%
% of product sales	68.2 %	78.9 %	—		73.1 %	79.2 %	—	
Cost of service revenues	274	238	36	15 %	554	465	89	19 %
% of service revenues	85.9 %	83.2 %	—		86.7 %	84.9 %	—	
Income (loss) from operating investments, net	2	1	1	100 %	3	3	—	— %
General and administrative expenses	173	170	3	2 %	323	320	3	1 %
% of total sales and service revenues	9.9 %	9.9 %	—		9.7 %	9.7 %	—	
Goodwill impairment	59	—	59	— %	59	—	59	— %
Cost of sales and service revenues	$1,476	$1,538	$(62)	(4)%	$2,890	$2,973	$(83)	(3)%

Cost of Product Sales

Cost of product sales for the three months ended June 30, 2015, decreased $159 million, or 14%, compared with the same period in 2014. Cost of product sales for the six months ended June 30, 2015, decreased $234 million, or 11%, compared with the same period in 2014. Cost of product sales at our Ingalls segment decreased $160 million and $230 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, primarily due to the settlement of the Aon litigation and the lower sales volumes described above. Cost of product sales at our Newport News segment increased $1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015, primarily as a result of the volume changes described above. Cost of product sales at our Newport News segment decreased $4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily as a result of the volume changes described above and year-to-year variances in contract mix.

Cost of product sales as a percentage of product sales decreased from 78.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, to 68.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2015, primarily driven by the settlement of the Aon litigation, higher performance in Submarines and year-to-year variances in contract mix, partially offset by lower performance in Aircraft Carriers. Cost of product sales as a percentage of product sales decreased from 79.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, to 73.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily driven by the settlement

Table of Contents

of the Aon litigation, higher performance in Submarines and year-to-year variances in contract mix, partially offset by lower performance in Aircraft Carriers.

Cost of Service Revenues

Cost of service revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2015, increased $36 million, or 15%, compared with the same period in 2014. Cost of service revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2015, increased $89 million, or 19%, compared with the same period in 2014. Cost of service revenues at our Ingalls segment increased $2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015, consistent with the volume changes described above. Cost of service revenues at our Ingalls segment for the six months ended June 30, 2015, remained constant compared with the same period in 2014, consistent with the volume changes described above. Cost of service revenues at our Newport News segment increased $17 million and $34 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, primarily as a result of the higher volumes described above. Cost of service revenues at our Other segment increased $17 million and $55 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily as a result of the acquisition of UPI in May 2014.

Cost of service revenues as a percentage of service revenues increased from 83.2% for the three months ended June 30, 2014, to 85.9% for the three months ended June 30, 2015, primarily driven by year-to-year variances in contract mix and lower performance in Aircraft Carriers services. Cost of service revenues as a percentage of service revenues increased from 84.9% for the six months ended June 30, 2014, to 86.7% for the six months ended June 30, 2015, primarily driven by year-to-year variances in contract mix and lower performance in Aircraft Carriers services.

Income (Loss) from Operating Investments, Net

The activities of our operating investments are closely aligned with the operations of the segments holding the investments. We therefore record income related to earnings from equity method investments in our operating income.

Income from operating investments, net for the three months ended June 30, 2015, increased $1 million compared with the same period in 2014, as a result of higher equity income from our Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC investment. Income from operating investments, net for the six months ended June 30, 2015, remained constant compared with the same period in 2014.

General and Administrative Expenses

In accordance with industry practice and the regulations that govern the cost accounting requirements for government contracts, most general and administrative expenses are considered allowable and allocable costs on government contracts. These costs are allocated to contracts in progress on a systematic basis and contract performance factors include this cost component as an element of cost.

General and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, increased $3 million compared with the same periods in 2014. General and administrative costs for 2015 were impacted primarily by the inclusion of UPI and higher state tax expense, partially offset by favorable changes in the FAS/CAS Adjustment and other costs.

Goodwill Impairment

The Other segment, established in the second quarter of 2014 following the acquisition of UPI, is sensitive to developments in the oil and gas industry. In response to the continued deterioration of the market fundamentals in the oil and gas industry, including the further decline in projected oil and gas prices, significant cutbacks in customers' capital spending plans, and additional project delays by customers, we determined that an impairment test should be

performed as of May 31, 2015. After conducting this test, we recorded a goodwill impairment charge of $59 million in our Other segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015.

Table of Contents

Operating Income

We consider operating income to be an important measure for evaluating our operating performance and, as is typical in the industry, we define operating income as revenues less the related cost of producing the revenues and general and administrative expenses.

We internally manage our operations by reference to "segment operating income," which is defined as operating income before the FAS/CAS Adjustment and deferred state income taxes, neither of which affects segment performance. Segment operating income is not a recognized measure under GAAP. When analyzing our operating performance, investors should use segment operating income in addition to, and not as an alternative for, total operating income or any other performance measure presented in accordance with GAAP. It is a metric we use to evaluate our core operating performance. We believe segment operating income reflects an additional way of viewing aspects of our operations that, when viewed with our GAAP results, provides a more complete understanding of factors and trends affecting our business. We believe the measure is used by investors and is a useful indicator to measure our performance. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of segment operating income may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies.

The following table reconciles segment operating income to total operating income:

	Three Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014			Six Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014		
($ in millions)	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent		2015	2014	Dollars	Percent	
Segment operating income (loss)	$243	$163	$80	49	%	$371	$300	$71	24	%
FAS/CAS Adjustment	28	21	7	33	%	55	43	12	28	%
Deferred state income taxes	(2)	(3)	1	33	%	(1)	(3)	2	67	%
Total operating income (loss)	$269	$181	$88	49	%	$425	$340	$85	25	%

Segment Operating Income

Segment operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2015, was $243 million, an increase of $80 million compared with the same period in 2014. Segment operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2015, was $371 million, an increase of $71 million compared with the same period in 2014. The increases were primarily due to the settlement of the Aon litigation and higher performance in Submarines, partially offset by the goodwill impairment charge in the Other segment and lower performance in Aircraft Carriers.

Activity within each segment is discussed in Segment Operating Results below.

FAS/CAS Adjustment

The FAS/CAS Adjustment represents the difference between our pension and postretirement plan expense under FAS and under CAS.

The components of the FAS/CAS Adjustment were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014			Six Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014		
($ in millions)	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent		2015	2014	Dollars	Percent	
FAS expense	$(42)	$(33)	$(9)	(27)	%	$(84)	$(67)	$(17)	(25)	%

CAS cost	70	54	16	30	%	139	110	29	26	%
FAS/CAS Adjustment	$28	$21	$7	33	%	$55	$43	$12	28	%

The FAS/CAS Adjustment was a net benefit of $28 million and $21 million for the three months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The FAS/CAS Adjustment was a net benefit of $55 million and $43 million for the six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The favorable changes in the FAS/CAS Adjustment of $7 million and $12 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, respectively, compared to the same

Table of Contents

periods in 2014, were driven by the continued phase-in of Harmonization, favorable 2014 asset returns, and a partial offset due to lower FAS discount rates.

Deferred State Income Taxes

Deferred state income taxes reflect the change in deferred state tax assets and liabilities in the relevant period. These amounts are recorded within operating income, while the current period state income tax expense is charged to contract costs and included in cost of sales and service revenues in segment operating income.

Deferred state income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2015, was $2 million, compared to a deferred state income tax expense of $3 million for the same period in 2014. Deferred state income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2015, was $1 million, compared to a deferred state income tax expense of $3 million for the same period in 2014. This decrease in deferred tax expense was primarily attributable to changes in pension related adjustments, partially offset by changes in book depreciation and the timing of contract taxable income.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2015, decreased to $25 million from $29 million for the same period in 2014, primarily as a result of replacing 6.875% senior notes due March 15, 2018, with 5.000% senior notes due December 15, 2021. Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2015, decreased to $48 million from $56 million for the same period in 2014, primarily as a result of replacing 6.875% senior notes due March 15, 2018, with 5.000% senior notes due December 15, 2021.

Federal Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate on earnings from operations for the three months ended June 30, 2015, was 36.1%, compared with 34.2% for the same period in 2014. Our effective tax rate on earnings from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2015, was 35.5%, compared with 33.1% for the same period in 2014. The higher effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2015, was primarily attributable to the amount of the goodwill impairment that is not amortizable for income tax purposes. The higher effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2015, was primarily attributable to the amount of the goodwill impairment that is not amortizable for income tax purposes and a non-recurring tax benefit in 2014 resulting from the release of uncertain tax positions due to expiration of the statute of limitations. Our effective tax rates for the three and six months ended June 30, 2015, differed from the federal statutory rate primarily as a result of the amount of the goodwill impairment that is not amortizable for income tax purposes, partially offset by the domestic manufacturing deduction. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2014, the Company's effective tax rates differed from the federal statutory rate primarily as a result of the domestic manufacturing deduction and a non-recurring tax benefit resulting from the release of uncertain tax positions due to expiration of the statute of limitations.

SEGMENT OPERATING RESULTS

Basis of Presentation

We are aligned into three reportable segments: Ingalls, Newport News, and Other. We established the Other segment in the second quarter of 2014 to account for certain of our non-shipbuilding commercial activities.

On January 30, 2015, we completed the acquisition of USG. USG's post-acquisition results of operations, financial position, and cash flows are reported within our Newport News segment. On May 30, 2014, we completed the acquisition of UPI. We report the post-acquisition results of operations, financial position, and cash flows of UPI as

part of our Other segment. On January 2, 2014, we completed the acquisition of SN3. SN3's post-acquisition results of operations, financial position, and cash flows are reported within our Newport News segment.

Table of Contents

Segment operating results are presented in the following table:

	Three Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014		Six Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014	
($ in millions)	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent
Sales and Service Revenues								
Ingalls	$546	$572	$(26)	(5)%	$1,015	$1,119	$(104)	(9)%
Newport News	1,166	1,129	37	3 %	2,227	2,176	51	2 %
Other	35	20	15	75 %	75	20	55	275 %
Intersegment eliminations	(2)	(2)	—	— %	(2)	(2)	—	— %
Total sales and service revenues	$1,745	$1,719	$26	2 %	$3,315	$3,313	$2	— %
Operating Income (Loss)								
Ingalls	$198	$59	$139	236 %	$243	$102	$141	138 %
Newport News	109	104	5	5 %	202	198	4	2 %
Other	(64)	—	(64)	— %	(74)	—	(74)	— %
Total Segment Operating Income (Loss)	243	163	80	49 %	371	300	71	24 %
Non-segment factors affecting operating income (loss)								
FAS/CAS Adjustment	28	21	7	33 %	55	43	12	28 %
Deferred state income taxes	(2)	(3)	1	33 %	(1)	(3)	2	67 %
Total operating income (loss)	$269	$181	$88	49 %	$425	$340	$85	25 %

KEY SEGMENT FINANCIAL MEASURES

Sales and Service Revenues

Period-to-period revenues reflect performance under new and ongoing contracts. Changes in sales and service revenues are typically expressed in terms of volume. Unless otherwise described, volume generally refers to increases (or decreases) in reported revenues due to varying production activity levels, delivery rates, or service levels on individual contracts. Volume changes will typically carry a corresponding income change based on the margin rate for a particular contract.

Segment Operating Income

Segment operating income reflects the aggregate performance results of contracts within a segment. Excluded from this measure are certain costs not directly associated with contract performance, including the FAS/CAS Adjustment and deferred state income taxes. Changes in segment operating income are typically expressed in terms of volume, as discussed above, or performance. Performance refers to changes in contract margin rates. These changes typically relate to profit recognition associated with revisions to total estimated costs at completion ("EAC") of the contract that reflect improved (or deteriorated) operating performance on a particular contract. Operating income changes are accounted for on a cumulative to date basis at the time an EAC change is recorded. Segment operating income may also be affected by, among other things, contract performance, the effects of workforce stoppages, the effects of natural disasters such as hurricanes, resolution of disputed items with the customer, recovery of insurance proceeds, and other discrete events. At the completion of a long-term contract, any originally estimated costs not incurred or reserves not fully utilized, such as warranty reserves, could also impact contract earnings. Where such items have occurred and the effects are material, a separate description is provided.

Table of Contents

Cumulative Adjustments

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, favorable and unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30		Six Months Ended June 30	
($ in millions)	2015	2014	2015	2014
Gross favorable adjustments	$92	$75	$151	$127
Gross unfavorable adjustments	(21)	(11)	(25)	(19)
Net adjustments	$71	$64	$126	$108

For the three months ended June 30, 2015, favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were primarily related to risk retirement on the SSN-774 Virginia-class submarine program and the Legend-class NSC program, as well as contract impacts of the Aon litigation settlement. During the same period, unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were primarily related to lower performance on CVN-78 Gerald R. Ford. For the six months ended June 30, 2015, favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were primarily related to risk retirement on the SSN-774 Virginia-class submarine program, the Legend-class NSC program, and the LPD-17 San Antonio-class program, including delivered LPD ships, as well as contract impacts of the Aon litigation settlement. During the same period, unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustments included lower performance on CVN-78 Gerald R. Ford.

For the three months ended June 30, 2014, favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were primarily related to risk retirement on the SSN-774 Virginia-class submarine program, the Legend-class NSC program, the construction contract for CVN-78 Gerald R. Ford, and delivered LPD ships. For the same period, none of the unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were individually significant. For the six months ended June 30, 2014, favorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were primarily related to risk retirement on the SSN-774 Virginia-class submarine program, the Legend-class NSC program, the LPD-17 San Antonio-class program, including delivered LPD ships, and the construction contract for CVN-78 Gerald R. Ford. During the same period, none of the unfavorable cumulative catch-up adjustments were individually significant.

Ingalls

	Three Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014		Six Months Ended June 30		2015 over 2014	
($ in millions)	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent	2015	2014	Dollars	Percent
Sales and service revenues	$546	$572	$(26)	(5)%	$1,015			