ESCALON MEDICAL CORP Form 10-Q February 14, 2007

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

Mark One

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

OI

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_ TO

Commission File Number: 0-20127

-----

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP.
(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

PENNSYLVANIA
(STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF
INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

33-0272839 (IRS EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

565 EAST SWEDESFORD ROAD, SUITE 200
WAYNE, PA
(ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES)

19087 (ZIP CODE)

(610) 688-6830 (REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

N/A

FORMER NAME, FORMER ADDRESS AND FORMER FISCAL YEAR, IF CHANGED SINCE LAST REPORT

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Non-accelerated filer Yes [X] No [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [ ] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: 6,370,657 shares of common stock, \$0.001 par value, outstanding as of February 9, 2007.

## ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

## Part I. Financial Statements

Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	2
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2006 (Unaudited) and June 30, 2006	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Other Comprehensive (Loss) for the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)	6
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results Operations	23
Item 3.	Quantitive and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	34
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	34
art II. 0	ther Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	36
Item 1A	Risk Factors	36
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	36
Item 6.	Exhibits	36

1

## PART I. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ITEM 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Assets (Cash and cash equivalents (Sasian and cash equivalents) Available for sale securities (Sasian and cash equivalents) Available for sale securities (Sasian and cash equivalents) Accounts receivable, net (A,416,904 (Sasian Sasian Sasia		DECEMBER 31, 2006	JUNE 30, 2006
Current assets:   Cash and cash equivalents   \$1,569,140   \$3,379,710     Available for sale securities   \$9,130   \$0,220     Accounts receivable, net   4,416,904   3,996,243     Inventory, net   8,319,50   7,122,916     Other current assets   297,257   362,160     TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS   14,661,881   14,911,249     Furniture and equipment, net   865,498   969,956     Goodwill   21,072,260   21,072,260     Trademarks and trade names   620,106   620,106     Fatents, net   265,000   313,702     Covenant not to compete and customer list, net   375,184   420,073     Other assets   257,138   337,421     TOTAL ASSETS   \$38,117,067   \$38,644,767     LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY     Current portion of long-term debt   \$20,037   \$232,837     Accounts payable   \$2,269,132   1,558,501     Accrued expenses   \$2,179,560   2,503,771     TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES   \$4,668,729   4,295,109     Long-term debt, net of current portion   \$66,894   162,551     Accrued post-retirement benefits   1,087,000   1,087,000     TOTAL LIABILITIES   \$5,822,623   5,544,660     Shares authorized; on shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively   6,371   6,345     Common stock warrants   1,601,346   65,699,370     Retained earnings   \$65,964,155   65,699,370     Retained earnings   35,006,707   (34,22,427)     Retained earnings   35,006,707   (34,22,427)			
Cash and cash equivalents	ASSETS		
Available for sale securities Accounts receivable, net Accounts receivable, net Accounts receivable, net British Accounts receivable, net Accounts receivable, net British Accounts receivable net Accounts receivable net British Accounts receivable net Accounts payable Accounts p		Ċ 1 ECO 140	¢ 2 270 710
Inventory, net Other current assets 297,257 362,160  TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS 14,661,881 14,911,249  Furniture and equipment, net 865,498 969,956 Goodwill 21,072,260 21,072,260 Trademarks and trade names 620,106 620,106 Patents, net 265,000 313,702 Covenant not to compete and customer list, net 375,184 420,073 Other assets 257,138 337,421  TOTAL ASSETS \$38,117,067 \$38,644,767  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:  Current portion of long-term debt \$220,037 \$232,837 Accounts payable 2,269,132 1,558,501 Accrued expenses 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551 Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,800,001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants 1,601,346 1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings 65,964, 67, (33,422,427)	-		
Inventory, net Other current assets 297,257 362,160  TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS 14,661,881 14,911,249  Furniture and equipment, net 865,498 969,956 Goodwill 21,072,260 21,072,260 Trademarks and trade names 620,106 620,106 Patents, net 265,000 313,702 Covenant not to compete and customer list, net 375,184 420,073 Other assets 257,138 337,421  TOTAL ASSETS \$38,117,067 \$38,644,767  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:  Current portion of long-term debt \$220,037 \$232,837 Accounts payable 2,269,132 1,558,501 Accrued expenses 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551 Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,800,001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants 1,601,346 1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings 65,964, 67, (33,422,427)		59,130	50,220
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS			
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS 14,661,881 14,911,249 Furniture and equipment, net 885,498 969,956 Goodwill 21,072,260 21,072,260 Trademarks and trade names 620,106 620,106 Patents, net 265,000 313,702 Covenant not to compete and customer list, net 375,184 420,073 Other assets 257,138 337,421  TOTAL ASSETS \$38,117,067 \$38,644,767  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current portion of long-term debt \$220,037 \$232,837 Accounts payable 2,269,132 1,558,501 Accrued expenses 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551 Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; no shares issued common stock warrants [6,371,657,657,697,370]  Common stock warrants [6,371,657,657,697,370]  Retained earnings [6,371,657,657,699,370]  Retained earnings [6,371,657,657,699,370]			
Furniture and equipment, net 865,498 969,956 Goodwill 21,072,260 21,072,260 Trademarks and trade names 620,106 620,106 Patents, net 265,000 313,702 Covenant not to compete and customer list, net 375,184 420,073 Other assets 257,138 337,421  TOTAL ASSETS \$38,117,067 \$38,644,767  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current portion of long-term debt \$220,037 \$232,837 Accounts payable 2,269,132 1,558,501 Accrued expenses 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551 Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively 6,371 6,345 Common stock warrants 1,601,346 1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital 65,699,370 Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)	Other current assets		
Furniture and equipment, net 865,498 969,956 Goodwill 21,072,260 21,072,260 21,072,260 106 Trademarks and trade names 620,106 620,106 Patents, net 265,000 313,702 Covenant not to compete and customer list, net 375,184 420,073 Other assets 257,138 337,421 TOTAL ASSETS \$38,117,067 \$38,644,767	TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		
Trademarks and trade names	Furniture and equipment, net		
Trademarks and trade names	Goodwill	21,072,260	21,072,260
Patents, net Covenant not to compete and customer list, net 375,184 420,073 Other assets 257,138 337,421  TOTAL ASSETS \$ 38,117,067 \$ 38,644,767	Trademarks and trade names	620,106	620,106
Covenant not to compete and customer list, net Other assets Other assets  TOTAL ASSETS  TOTAL ASSETS  \$ 38,117,067 \$ 38,644,767	Patents, net	265,000	313 <b>,</b> /02
TOTAL ASSETS \$ 38,117,067 \$ 38,644,767  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY Current liabilities:  Current portion of long-term debt \$ 220,037 \$ 232,837 Accounts payable 2,269,132 1,558,501 Accrued expenses 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551 Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively 6,371 6,345 Common stock warrants 1,601,346 1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)	Covenant not to compete and customer list, net	375 <b>,</b> 184	420,073
TOTAL ASSETS \$ 38,117,067 \$ 38,644,767  LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  Current portion of long-term debt \$ 220,037 \$ 232,837    Accounts payable \$ 2,269,132 \$ 1,558,501    Accrued expenses \$ 2,179,560 \$ 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES \$ 4,668,729 \$ 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion \$ 66,894 \$ 162,551    Accrued post-retirement benefits \$ 1,087,000 \$ 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES \$ 5,822,623 \$ 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000    shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively \$ 6,371 \$ 6,345 \$ 6,300 \$ 1,601,346 \$	Other assets		
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY  Current liabilities:  Current portion of long-term debt \$ 220,037 \$ 232,837 Accounts payable 2,269,132 1,558,501 Accrued expenses 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551 Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively 6,371 6,345  Common stock warrants 1,601,346 1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)	TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 38,117,067	\$ 38,644,767
Current portion of long-term debt Accounts payable Accrued expenses 2,269,132 1,558,501 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively Common stock warrants Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)		========	========
Accounts payable Accrued expenses  2,269,132 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES  4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion Accrued post-retirement benefits  1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES  5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings  (35,306,647) (34,122,427)		÷ 220 227	ć 000 007
Accrued expenses 2,179,560 2,503,771  TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551  Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively Common stock warrants 1,601,346 1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)			
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES 4,668,729 4,295,109  Long-term debt, net of current portion 66,894 162,551  Accrued post-retirement benefits 1,087,000 1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000     shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively 6,371 6,345  Common stock warrants 1,601,346 1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)		2,209,132	1,330,301
Long-term debt, net of current portion  Accrued post-retirement benefits  TOTAL LIABILITIES  5,822,623  5,544,660  Shareholders equity:  Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000  shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants  1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital  Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  166,894  1,087,000  1,087,000  6,344,660  6,344,660  6,371  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345  6,345	Accrued expenses		
Long-term debt, net of current portion  Accrued post-retirement benefits  1,087,000  1,087,000  TOTAL LIABILITIES  5,822,623  5,544,660  Shareholders equity:  Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants  1,601,346 Additional paid-in capital Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings  (35,306,647)  (34,122,427)	TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		
TOTAL LIABILITIES  5,822,623  5,544,660  Shareholders equity:  Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000     shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants  Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  5,822,623  5,544,660  6,341  6,345  6,371  6,345  6,345  6,371  6,345  6,345  6,371  6,345  6,345  6,371  6,345  6,345  6,371  6,345  6,345  6,371  6,345	Long-term debt, net of current portion	66.894	162.551
TOTAL LIABILITIES 5,822,623 5,544,660  Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000     shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings  5,822,623 5,544,660  6,341 6,345 6,345 6,371 6,345 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346 1,601,346	Accrued post-retirement benefits		
Shareholders equity:  Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000  shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  Shareholders equity:  Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  6,371 6,345 1,601,346 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings	TOTAL LIABILITIES	5,822,623	5,544,660
shares authorized; no shares issued Common stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants  Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  Shares authorized; no shares issued Common Share  6,371  6,345  1,601,346  1,601,346  65,964,155  65,699,370  (34,122,427)	Shareholders equity:		
stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants Additional paid-in capital Retained earnings  stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,345,645  6,371  6,345  1,601,346  65,964,155 65,699,370  (34,122,427)	Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value; 2,000,000		
authorized; 6,370,657 and 6,344,657 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants  Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  Additional paid-in capital	shares authorized; no shares issued Common		
and outstanding at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively  Common stock warrants  Additional paid-in capital  Retained earnings  6,371  6,345  1,601,346  1,601,346  65,964,155  65,699,370  (35,306,647)  (34,122,427)	stock, \$0.001 par value; 35,000,000 share		
June 30, 2006, respectively6,3716,345Common stock warrants1,601,3461,601,346Additional paid-in capital65,964,15565,699,370Retained earnings(35,306,647)(34,122,427)			
Common stock warrants       1,601,346       1,601,346         Additional paid-in capital       65,964,155       65,699,370         Retained earnings       (35,306,647)       (34,122,427)			
Additional paid-in capital 65,964,155 65,699,370 Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)	June 30, 2006, respectively	6,371	6,345
Retained earnings (35,306,647) (34,122,427)	Common stock warrants	1,601,346	1,601,346
	Additional paid-in capital	65,964,155	65,699,370
Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) 29,219 (84,527)		(35, 306, 647)	(34,122,427)
	Accumulated other comprehensive (loss)	29,219	(84,527)

TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	32,294,444	33,100,107
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 38,117,067	\$ 38,644,767
	=========	=========

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

2

## ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)

	DECEM	NTHS ENDED BER 31,	DECEM	BER 31,
	2006	2005	2006	20
NET REVENUES:				
Product revenue	\$7,033,860	\$ 6,846,159	\$13,577,446	\$13,6
Other revenue	602 <b>,</b> 880	464,131		1,1
REVENUES, NET		7,310,290	14,804,900	14,7
COSTS AND EXPENSES:				
Cost of goods sold	3,878,214	3,883,395	7,508,594	7,6
Research and development	1,080,950	661,870	1,794,555	1,4
Marketing, general and administrative	3,144,611	661,870 3,827,839		7,1
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	8,103,775	8,373,104	16,003,660	16,1
(LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS		(1,062,814)	(1,198,760)	(1,4
OTHER INCOME AND (EXPENSE):  Gain on sale of available for sale securities Equity in Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC Interest income Interest expense	13,498	0	0 (30,698) 58,934	1,1
TOTAL OTHER INCOME AND (EXPENSE)	(4,311)			1,1
NET (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES	(471,346)	(1,032,201)		(2
Provision for income taxes	(1,243)	(50,400)	(1,243)	
NET (LOSS)	\$ (470,103)	\$ (981,801)	\$(1,184,220)	\$ (2
BASIC NET (LOSS) PER SHARE		\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.19)	\$
DILUTED NET (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.16)	\$ (0.19)	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES - BASIC	6,347,972	6,070,477	6,346,315	
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES - DILUTED		6,070,477		===== 6,0

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

3

## ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

		HS ENDED SER 31,
	2006	2005
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) to net cash	\$(1,184,220)	\$ (267,454)
(used in) operating activities: Depreciation and amortization Gain on sale of available for sale securities Compensation expense	0 123,774	0
Loss of Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC Change in operating assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable, net Inventory, net Other current and long-term assets	30,698 (644,752) (1,149,054) 152,349	437,690 (576,227)
Accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities	700,201	249,665
NET CASH (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(1,688,923)	
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:  Proceeds from the sale of available for sale securities  Purchase of distribution rights  Purchase of fixed assets		1,157,335 (181,855) (226,100)
NET CASH (USED IN) / PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES		749,380
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: Principal payments on term loans Issuance of common stock - stock options		(111,139) 268,554
NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	32,580	157,415
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(92,899)	8 <b>,</b> 863
NET (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,810,570)	(536,340)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 1	,569,140	\$ 4,579,432		
			===		
SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:					
Interest paid	\$	14,939	\$	19,890	
	===				
Income taxes (refund) paid	\$	(98,412)	\$	97,584	
	===		===		
(Decrease)/increase in unrealized appreciation					
on available for sale securities	\$ 8,910		10 \$ (1,153,827		
	===		===		

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

4

# ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 (UNAUDITED)

	COMMON	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL	
			STOCK	PAID-IN	ACCUMULATED
	SHARES	AMOUNT	WARRANTS	CAPITAL	DEFICIT
BALANCE AT JUNE 30, 2006	6,344,659	\$6,345	\$1,601,346	\$65,699,370	\$(34,122,427)
Net (loss)		0	0	0	(1,184,220)
Refund of income taxes related					
to exercise of stock options		0	0	98,412	0
Exercise of stock options	26,000	26	0	42,599	0
Compensation expense		0	0	123,774	0
Change in unrealized gains on					
available for sale securities		0	0	0	0
Foreign currency translation		0	0	0	0
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2006	6,370,657	\$6,371	\$1,601,346	\$65,964,155	\$(35,306,647)

5

## ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OTHER COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) (UNAUDITED)

THREE MON	THS ENDED	SIX	MONTHS	ENDED	
DECEMBI	ER 31,	DI	ECEMBER	31,	
					-
2006	2005	2006		2005	

Net (loss)	\$(470,103)	\$ (981,801)	\$(1,184,220)	\$ (267,454)
Change in unrealized gains on				
available for sale securities	(0)	9,361	8,910	(1,153,826)
Foreign currency translation	(21,398)	(34,612)	104,836	(91 <b>,</b> 984)
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (491,501)	\$(1,007,052)	\$(1,070,474)	\$(1,513,264)
			========	

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

6

## ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Escalon Medical Corp. and its subsidiaries, collectively referred to as "Escalon" or the "Company." Escalon's subsidiaries include Sonomed, Inc. ("Sonomed"), Escalon Vascular Access, Inc. ("Vascular"), Escalon Medical Europe GmbH ("EME"), Escalon Digital Vision, Inc. ("EMI"), Escalon Pharmaceutical, Inc. ("Pharmaceutical"), Escalon Holdings, Inc. ("EHI") and Drew Scientific, Inc. and Drew Scientific Group, Plc (collectively "Drew"). All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. Additionally, the Company's investment in Ocular Telehealth Management, LLC ("OTM") is accounted for under the equity method.

The Company operates in the healthcare market, specializing in the development, manufacture, marketing and distribution of medical devices and pharmaceuticals in the areas of ophthalmology, diabetes, hematology and vascular access. The Company and its products are subject to regulation and inspection by the United States Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA"). The FDA requires extensive testing of new products prior to sale and has jurisdiction over the safety, efficacy and manufacture of products, as well as product labeling and marketing.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited and are presented pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). Accordingly, these consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). In the opinion of management, the accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The results of operations are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year.

In connection with the presentation of the current period condensed unaudited consolidated financial statements, certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform with the current period presentation.

## 2. ACQUISITIONS

DREW ACQUISITION

On July 23, 2004, the Company acquired approximately 67% of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, a United Kingdom company, pursuant to the Company's exchange offer for all of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, and since that date has acquired all of the Drew shares. The results of Drew's operations have been included in the consolidated financial statements, and the Company has been operating Drew as an additional business unit since July 23, 2004.

The aggregate purchase price of Drew was \$8,525,966, net of acquired cash of \$151,996, consisting of direct acquisition costs of \$1,246,376, primarily for investment banking, legal and accounting fees that were directly related to the acquisition of Drew, and 900,000 shares of Escalon common stock valued at \$7,430,439. The value of the 900,000 shares issued was based on a five-day average of the market price of the stock (two days before through two days after the shares were exchanged).

The Company accounted for the purchase under FAS 141. Under FAS 141, the Company paid a premium (i.e., goodwill) over the fair value of the net tangible and identified intangible assets. The Company acquired Drew as part of its strategy to diversify its business into the diagnostic medical devices

7

market. Drew afforded the Company a combined capital equipment product with a continuing revenue stream product in the form of disposables. The application of purchase accounting under FAS 141 requires that the total purchase price be allocated to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the acquisition date, with amounts exceeding the fair values being recorded as goodwill. The allocation process requires an analysis of acquired fixed assets, contracts, customer lists and relationships, trademarks, patented technology, service markets, contractual commitments, legal contingencies and brand value to identify and record the fair value of all assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The Company engaged a third-party to value the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. In valuing acquired assets and assumed liabilities, fair values were based on expected discounted cash flows, current replacement cost or other techniques as deemed appropriate.

The following table summarizes the purchase price allocation of estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition of Drew of July 23, 2004.

Current assets Furniture and equipment Patents Other long-term assets Goodwill	\$ 3,859,771 868,839 297,246 7,406 9,574,655
Total assets acquired	\$14,607,917
Line of credit Current liabilities Long-term debt	\$ 1,617,208 3,392,286 1,072,457
Total liabilities assumed	\$ 6,081,951
Net assets acquired	\$ 8,525,966

\_\_\_\_\_

MRP ACQUISITION

On January 30, 2006 EMI acquired substantially all of the assets of MRP Group, Inc. ("MRP") in exchange for 250,000 shares of the Company's common stock and approximately \$47,000 in cash. The MRP business consists of ophthalmic technology solutions offering two retinal imaging systems. Approximately 200 of these systems have been installed at leading medical and retinal care centers. The operating results of MRP are included as part of the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit as of January 30, 2006.

The Company accounted for the purchase under FAS 141. Under FAS 141, the Company paid a premium (i.e., goodwill) over the fair value of the net tangible and identified intangible assets acquired to obtain a leading edge technology platform in the digital imaging marketplace. The application of purchase accounting under FAS 141 requires that the total purchase price be allocated to the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values at the acquisition date, with amounts exceeding the fair values being recorded as goodwill in the amount of \$1,086,737. The allocation process requires an analysis of acquired fixed assets, contracts, customer lists and relationships, trademarks, patented technology, service markets, contractual commitments, legal contingencies and brand value to identify and record the fair value of all assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The values of certain assets and liabilities are based on preliminary valuations and are subject to adjustment as additional information is obtained.

8

## 3. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No.123R ("SFAS No.123R") (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payments" SFAS No. 123R is a revision of SFAS No. 123 and supersedes ABP Opinion No. 25, which requires the Company to expense share-based payments, including employee stock options. With limited exceptions, the amount of compensation costs will be measured based on the grant date fair value of the equity or liability instrument issued. Compensation cost will be recognized over the period that the optionee provides service in exchange for the award. The Company was a small business issuer as defined in Item 10 of Regulation S-B. As a result, the Company was required to adopt this standard in its fiscal year beginning July 1, 2006. The adoption of this standard for the expensing of stock options is expected to reduce pretax earnings in future periods. The impact of adoption of SFAS No. 123R cannot be predicted at this time because it will depend upon the level of share-based payments made in the future and the model the Company elects to utilize.

For the three-month and six-month periods ended December 31, 2005, the Company accounted for its stock-based awards to employees using the intrinsic value method under Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related Interpretations. Stock-based awards to non-employees were recorded using the fair value method in accordance with SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.

If the computed fair value of the awards had been amortized to expense over the vesting period of the awards under SFAS No. 123, net income would have been as follows for the period ended:

	DEC	CEMBE	HS ENDED	DEC	ONTHS ENDED CEMBER 31, 2005
Net income, as reported Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(981,801) (333,500)			(267,454) (789,021)
PRO FORMA NET INCOME	\$(1,315,301)		\$ (1,056,475)		
EARNINGS PER SHARE:					
BASIC - AS REPORTED			(0.16)		(0.04)
BASIC - PRO FORMA	\$		(0.22)	\$	(0.18)
DILUTED - AS REPORTED	\$		==== (0.16)		(0.04)
DILUTED - PRO FORMA	\$	\$ (0.22)		\$	(0.18)

SFAS No. 123 requires the disclosure of pro forma net income had the Company adopted the fair value method in accounting for employee stock-based awards. Under SFAS No. 123, the fair value of stock-based awards to employees is calculated through the use of option-pricing models, even though such models were developed to estimate the fair value of freely tradable, fully transferable options without vesting restrictions, which significantly differ from the Company's stock-option awards. These models also require subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time to exercise which affect the calculated values. The fair value of these equity awards was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing method. For the purposes of applying SFAS 123, the estimated per share value of the options granted during the three-month and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and

9

2005 were \$2.65 and \$4.93, respectively. The fair value was estimated using the following assumptions: dividend yield of 0.0%; volatility ranging between 109% and 251%; risk free interest ranging between 4.5% and 4.6%; and expected life of 10 years. The volatility assumption is based on historical volatility seen in the Company's stock. There is no reason to believe that future volatility will compare to historic volatility.

As of December 31, 2006, there was \$152,920 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements under the plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 4 years.

Cash received from share option exercises under stock-based payment plans for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$141,037. The Company did not realize any tax effect, which would be a reduction in its tax rate, on options due to the full valuation allowances established on its deferred tax assets.

The Company measures compensation expense for its non-employee stock-based compensation under the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Emerging

Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 96-18, "Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services". The fair value of the option issued is used to measure the transaction, as this is more reliable than the fair value of the services received. Fair value is measured as the value of the Company's common stock on the date that the commitment for performance by the counterparty has been reached or the counterparty's performance is complete. The fair value of the equity instrument is charged directly to compensation expense and additional paid-in capital. For the three-month and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006, \$123,774 was recorded as compensation expense.

## 4. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company follows Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 128, "Earnings Per Share," in presenting basic and diluted earnings per share. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

10

				THREE MONTHS ENDED SIX MONTHS DECEMBER 31, DECEMBER																								
	2006 2005			 2006 	2 	005																						
NUMERATOR:  Numerator for basic and diluted  earnings per share  NET (LOSS)	\$ (470,103) \$ (981,801)		\$ (470,103) \$ (981,801) \$ (1,184		\$ (470,103) \$ (981,801) \$(1,184,220		\$ (470,103) \$ (981,801)		\$ (470,103)		\$ (470,103) \$ (981,801) \$(1,184		\$ (470,103) \$ (981,801) \$ (1,18		\$ (470,103) \$ (981,801) \$(1,184,2		70,103) \$ (981,801) \$(1,184,		70,103) \$ (981,801) \$(1,184,		981,801) \$(1,184,220		1) \$(1,184,220)		981,801) \$(1,184,220		\$ (	267,454)
DENOMINATOR:  Denominator for basic earnings per  share - weighted average shares  Effect of dilutive securities:	6,	347 <b>,</b> 972		6,070,477	6	,346,315	6,	017,384																				
Stock options and warrants Shares reserved for future exchange		0		0		0		0																				
DENOMINATOR FOR DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE - WEIGHTED AVERAGE AND ASSUMED CONVERSION	6,	347 <b>,</b> 972	972 6,070,477		6,070,477		6,070,477		6,070,477		6	,346,315	6,	017,384														
BASIC (LOSS) PER SHARE		(0.07)		(0.16)		(0.19)		,																				
DILUTED (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$		\$	(0.16)	\$		\$	(0.04)																				

The impact of dilutive securities were omitted from the earnings per share calculation in all periods presented as they would reduce the loss per share (anti-dilutive).

## 5. INVENTORY

Inventory, stated at lower of cost (determined on a first-in, first-out basis) or market, consisted of the following:

	DECEMBER 31, 2006	JUNE 30, 2006
	(UNAUDITED)	
Raw materials Work in process Finished goods	\$4,816,921 820,787 2,934,454	\$4,219,836 809,807 2,345,985
	8,572,162	7,375,628
Valuation allowance	(252,712)	(252,712)
TOTAL INVENTORY	\$8,319,450 ======	\$7,122,916 ======

### 6. INTANGIBLE ASSETS

### PATENTS

It is the Company's practice to seek patent protection on processes and products in various countries. Patent application costs are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful lives, not exceeding 17 years, on a straight-line basis from the date the related patents are issued. Costs associated

11

with patents no longer being pursued are expensed. Accumulated patent amortization was \$313,702 and \$265,000 at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively. Amortization expense for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$27,792 and \$26,696, respectively. Amortization expense for the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$48,900 and \$50,848, respectively.

The aggregate amortization expense for each of the next three years at which time the patents will be fully amortized is estimated to be approximately \$90,000\$ per year for each of the next three fiscal years.

## COVENANT NOT TO COMPETE AND CUSTOMER LIST

The Company recorded the value of a covenant not to compete and a customer list as intangible assets as part of the acquisition of MRP (See note 2). The valuation was based on the fair market value of these assets at the time of acquisition. These assets are amortized over their estimate useful lives, not exceeding 5 years, on a straight-line basis from the date of acquisition. Accumulated amortization was \$67,695 and \$22,895 at December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively. Amortization expense for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$24,163 and \$0, respectively. Amortization expense for the six-month periods December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$44,900 and \$0, respectively

GOODWILL, TRADEMARKS AND TRADE NAMES

Goodwill, trademarks and trade names represent intangible assets obtained

from Escalon Opthalmics ("EOI"), Endologix, Inc. ("Endologix"), Sonomed and Drew acquisitions. Goodwill represents the excess of purchase price over the fair market value of net assets acquired.

In accordance with SFAS 142, effective July 1, 2001, the Company discontinued the amortization of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets that have indefinite lives. Intangible assets that have finite lives continue to be amortized over their estimated useful lives. Management has evaluated the carrying value of goodwill and its identifiable intangible assets that have indefinite lives during each of the fiscal years subsequent to July 1, 2001, utilizing discounted cash flows of the respective business units. After evaluating the discounted cash flow of each of its respective business units, management concluded that the carrying value of goodwill and identifiable intangible assets did not exceed their fair values and therefore were not impaired. In accordance with SFAS 142, these intangible assets will continue to be assessed on an annual basis, and impairment, if any, will be recorded as a charge against income from operations.

The following table presents unamortized intangible assets by business unit as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006:

12

	DECEMBER 31, 2006 NET CARRYING AMOUNT	•
	(UNAUDITED)	
GOODWILL Sonomed Drew Vascular	\$ 9,525,550 9,574,655 941,218	\$ 9,525,550 9,574,655 941,218
Medical/Trek/EMI	1,030,837	
TOTAL	\$21,072,260	\$21 <b>,</b> 072 <b>,</b> 260
	DECEMBER 31, 2006 NET CARRYING AMOUNT	•
	(UNAUDITED)	
UNAMORTIZED INTANGIBLE ASSETS Sonomed/EMI	\$620,106	\$620,106
TOTAL	\$620,106 ======	\$620,106 ======

The following table presents amortized intangible assets by business unit

as of December 31, 2006:

	GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	IMPAIRMENT	ADJUSTED GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION	NET CARRYING VALUE
	(UNAUDITED)				
AMORTIZED INTANGIBLE ASSETS PATENTS					
Drew	\$278 <b>,</b> 726	\$0	\$278 <b>,</b> 726	\$(123 <b>,</b> 328)	\$155 <b>,</b> 398
Vascular (pending issuance)	36 <b>,</b> 915	0	36 <b>,</b> 915	(27,686)	9,229
Medical/Trek/EMI	265,302	0	265,302	(164,929)	100,373
TOTAL	\$580 <b>,</b> 943	\$0	\$580 <b>,</b> 943	\$(315 <b>,</b> 943)	\$265 <b>,</b> 000
	======	===	======	=======	======
Medical/Trek/EMI	\$442 <b>,</b> 969	\$0	\$442 <b>,</b> 969	\$ (67 <b>,</b> 785)	\$375 <b>,</b> 184
TOTAL	\$442 <b>,</b> 969	\$0	\$442 <b>,</b> 969	\$ (67 <b>,</b> 785)	\$375 <b>,</b> 184
	=======	===	======	=======	=======

The following table presents amortized intangible assets by business unit as of June 30, 2006:

13

	GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	IMPAIRMENT	ADJUSTED GROSS CARRYING AMOUNT	ACCUMULATED AMORTIZATION	NET CARRYING VALUE
AMORTIZED INTANGIBLE ASSETS					
PATENTS	*075 004	4.0	*055 004	* (00 055)	*101 000
Drew		\$0	•	\$ (90 <b>,</b> 955)	•
Vascular	36 <b>,</b> 916	0	36 <b>,</b> 916	(18 <b>,</b> 458)	18 <b>,</b> 458
Medical/Trek/EMI	265,301	0	265 <b>,</b> 301	(154,386)	110,915
TOTAL	\$577 <b>,</b> 501	\$0	\$577 <b>,</b> 501	\$(263,799)	
COVENANT NOT TO COMPETE/ CUSTOMER LIST	======	===	======	======	======
Medical/Trek/EMI	\$442 <b>,</b> 969	\$0 	\$442 <b>,</b> 969	\$ (22,896)	\$420,073
TOTAL	\$442,969	\$0	\$442,969	\$ (22,896)	\$420,073
	=======	===	=======	=======	=======

## 7. ACCRUED EXPENSES

The following table presents accrued expenses as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006:

	DECEMBER 31, 2006	JUNE 30, 2006
	(UNAUDITED)	
Accrued compensation	\$1,125,265	\$1,260,139
Warranty accruals	255,740	255,740
Severance accruals	0	0
Legal accruals	25,489	221,369
Other accruals	773,066	766,523
TOTAL ACCRUED EXPENSES	\$2,179,560	\$2,503,771
	========	========

In addition to normal accruals, other accruals as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006 relate to warranties, royalties and real estate tax accruals and other sundry operating expenses accruals.

Accrued compensation as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006 primarily relates to payroll, bonus and vacation accruals, and payroll tax liabilities.

## 8. LINE OF CREDIT AND LONG-TERM DEBT

The Company has two long-term debt facilities through its Drew subsidiary: the Texas Mezzanine Fund and Symbiotics, Inc. The Texas Mezzanine Fund term debt is payable in monthly installments of \$14,200, which includes interest at a fixed rate of 8.00%. The note is due in April 2008 and is secured by certain assets of Drew. The outstanding balance of the note was \$220,267 and \$278,717 as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively. The Symbiotics, Inc. term debt, which originated from the acquisition of a product line from Symbiotics, Inc., is payable in monthly installments of \$8,333 with interest at a fixed rate of 5.00%. The outstanding balance of this note was \$66,664 and \$116,671 as of December 31, 2006 and June 30, 2006, respectively.

The schedule below presents principal amortization for the next five years under each of the Company's loan agreements as of December 31, 2006:

14

TWELVE MONTHS ENDING	TEXAS		TOTAL		
DECEMBER 31,	MEZZANINE	SYMBIOTICS	(UNAUDITED)		
2007	\$153 <b>,</b> 373	\$66,664	220,037		
2008	66 <b>,</b> 894	0	66 <b>,</b> 894		
2009	0	0	0		
2010	0	0	0		
2011	0	0	0		
TOTAL	\$220,267	\$66,664	286,931		
	=======	======			
	Current por	rtion of			
	long-te	rm debt	220,037		
	Long-term p	portion	\$ 66,894		

======

### 9. OTHER REVENUE

Other revenue includes quarterly payments received from:

- (1) Bausch & Lomb in connection with the sale of the Silicone Oil product line, which contract expired on August 12, 2005 and from which the Company will not receive any additional royalties;
- (2) Royalty payments received from IntraLase Corp. ("IntraLase") relating to the licensing of the Company's laser technology; and
- (3) Royalty payments received from Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. ("Bio-Rad").

For the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, IntraLase royalties totaled \$547,674 and \$395,149, respectively, and the Bio-Rad royalties totaled \$55,206 and \$68,982, respectively. For the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, Silicone Oil revenue totaled \$0 and \$203,124, respectively, IntraLase royalties totaled \$1,102,216 and \$787,094, respectively, and the Bio-Rad royalties totaled \$125,238 and \$144,093, respectively.

BAUSCH & LOMB SILICONE OIL

The Company's agreement with Bausch & Lomb, which commenced on August 13, 2000, was structured so that the Company received consideration from Bausch & Lomb based on its adjusted gross profit from its sales of Silicone Oil on a quarterly basis. The consideration was subject to a factor, which stepped down through the termination date (August 2005) according to the following schedule:

From	8/13/00	to	8/12/01	100%
From	8/13/01	to	8/12/02	82%
From	8/13/02	to	8/12/03	72%
${\tt From}$	8/13/03	to	8/12/04	64%
From	8/13/04	to	8/12/05	45%

15

## INTRALASE: LICENSING OF LASER TECHNOLOGY

The material terms of the license of the Company's laser patents to IntraLase (the "License Agreement"), which expires in 2013, provide that the Company will receive a 2.5% royalty on product sales that are based on the licensed laser patents, subject to deductions for third party royalties otherwise due and payable, and a 1.5% royalty on product sales that are not based on the licensed laser patents. The Company receives a minimum annual license fee of \$15,000 per year during the remaining term of the license. The minimum annual License Agreement fee is offset against the royalty payments.

The material termination provisions of the License Agreement of the laser technology are as follows:

 Termination by the Company if IntraLase defaults in the payment of any royalty;

- 2. Termination by the Company if IntraLase makes any false report;
- Termination by the Company if IntraLase defaults in the making of any required report;
- 4. Termination by either party due to the commission of any material breach of any covenant or promise by the other party under the license agreement; or
- 5. Termination by IntraLase after 90 days notice (if IntraLase were to terminate, it would not be permitted to utilize the licensed technology necessary to manufacture its current products).

## BIO-RAD ROYALTY

The royalty received from Bio-Rad relates to a certain non-exclusive Eighth Amendment to an OEM Agreement ("OEM Agreement") between the Company's Drew subsidiary and Bio-Rad, dated July 19, 1994. Bio-Rad pays a royalty based on sales of certain of Drew's products in certain geographic regions.

The material terms of the OEM Agreement provide:

- Drew receives an agreed royalty per test;
- Royalty payments will be made depending on the volume of diagnostic tests provided by Bio-Rad. If less than 3,750 tests per month are provided by Bio-Rad, Bio-Rad will calculate the number of tests used on a quarterly basis in arrears and pay Drew within 45 days of the end of the quarter. If more than 3,750 tests per month are provided by Bio-Rad, Bio-Rad will pay an estimated monthly royalty and within 45 days of the end of the quarter will make final settlement upon the actual number of tests.

While the agreement, as amended by the Eighth Amendment, expired on May 15, 2005, the parties have continued to operate under the terms of the expired agreement pending negotiation of a potential extension and/or revision.

## 10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## COMMITMENTS

The Company leases its manufacturing, research and corporate office facilities and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating lease arrangements. The future amounts to be paid under these arrangements as of December 31, 2006 are as follows:

16

				LEASE
TWELVE	MONTHS	ENDING	OB	LIGATIONS
			(U	NAUDITED)
2007			\$	792,436
2008				479,103
2009				326 <b>,</b> 980
2010				299,724

2011 308,770
Thereafter 116,354
----TOTAL \$2,323,367

Rent expense charged to operations during the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$236,117 and \$242,520, respectively. Rent expense charged to operations during the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$451,437 and \$457,631, respectively.

### CONTINGENCIES

## ROYALTY AGREEMENT: CLINICAL DIAGNOSTICS SOLUTIONS

Drew and Clinical Diagnostics Solutions, Inc. ("CDS") entered into a Private Label/Manufacturing Agreement dated April 1, 2002, as amended and restated on November 9, 2005 for the right to sell formulations or products of CDS including reagents, controls and calibrators ("CDS products") on a private label basis. The agreement term is 15 years, may be terminated by Drew at any time with 18 months written notice, and if not terminated automatically renews year-to-year thereafter. Under the terms of the agreement, Drew has the right to terminate at any time with eighteen months written notice. Drew is obligated to pay CDS a royalty of 7.5% on all sales of CDS products produced from Drew's United Kingdom facility.

## 11. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In October 1997, Escalon and IntraLase entered into a License Agreement wherein Escalon granted IntraLase the exclusive right to use Escalon's laser properties, including patented and non-patented technology, in exchange for shares of IntraLase common stock as well as royalties based on a percentage of net sales of future products. The shares of common stock were restricted for sale until April 6, 2005 and, according to a Fourth Amended Registration Right Agreement between Escalon and IntraLase, are now able to be sold. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for discussions on the Company's sales of IntraLase common stock.

On June 10, 2004, Escalon gave IntraLase notice of its intention to terminate the License Agreement due to IntraLase's failure to pay certain royalties that Escalon believed were due under the License Agreement. On June 21, 2004, IntraLase sought a preliminary injunction and temporary restraining order with the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Southern District against Escalon to prevent termination of the License Agreement. Contemporaneously, IntraLase filed an action for declaratory relief asking the court to validate its interpretation of certain terms of the License Agreement relating to the amount of royalties owed to Escalon ("First Action"). The parties mutually agreed to the entry of a temporary restraining order which was entered by the court shortly thereafter. At the close of discovery, IntraLase and Escalon filed cross-motions for summary judgment. On May 5, 2005, the District Court, having ruled on such motions, entered judgment in the First Action.

The court, in ruling on the parties' cross-motions for summary judgment, did not agree with IntraLase's interpretation of certain terms and declared that, under the terms of the License Agreement, IntraLase must pay Escalon royalties on revenue from maintenance contracts and one-year warranties. Further, the court rejected IntraLase's argument that it is entitled to deduct the value of non-patented components of its

17

ophthalmic products, which it sells as an integrated unit, from the royalties due Escalon. Non-patented components of the products include computer monitors, joysticks, keyboards, universal power supplies, microscope assemblies, installation kits and syringes. In addition, the court rejected IntraLase's assertion that accounts receivable are not "consideration received" under the License Agreement and expressly ruled that IntraLase must pay Escalon royalties on IntraLase's accounts receivable. The court also held that IntraLase must give Escalon an accounting of third-party royalties. The court agreed with IntraLase that it is not required to pay royalties on research grants.

The court also agreed with Escalon in finding that royalties are "monies" and the default in the payment of royalties must be remedied within 15 days of written notice of the default. The court rejected IntraLase's position concerning the effective date of the Amended and Restated License Agreement holding that the effective date of such Agreement was dated October 17, 2000. IntraLase has appealed the judgment to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The parties have submitted briefs to the court. Escalon believes that a decision by the court is unlikely until the second half of 2007.

IntraLase, after entry of the court's ruling, attempted to cure its default under the License Agreement, but underpaid based upon a purported interpretation of "accounts receivable" that discounts the receivables recorded on the sales substantially, and in a manner that appears to directly contradict IntraLase's own published financial statements.

In May, 2005, IntraLase filed a second suit against Escalon in the Central District of California, case number SAVC 05-440-AHS ("Second Action"), again for declaratory relief as well as for reformation of the License Agreement. In this action, IntraLase has asked the court to, among other things, validate its interpretation of certain other terms of the License Agreement relating to the amount of royalties owed to Escalon and a declaration concerning Escalon's audit rights under the License Agreement. On June 3, 2005, after having been served with Escalon's Complaint filed in the Delaware Court of Chancery ("Delaware Action, " described below), IntraLase filed an Amended Complaint in the Second Action. Escalon, not having been served with the Amended Complaint, filed a motion to dismiss the Complaint in the Second Action on jurisdictional and substantive grounds. On June 6, 2005, Escalon filed a Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint on grounds virtually identical to its first motion to dismiss. The court dismissed without prejudice the Second Action on the grounds that much of IntraLase's lawsuit sought a ruling on issues already raised by Escalon in Escalon's Delaware Action. Accepting Escalon's arguments for dismissal, the California Court held that retaining jurisdiction would likely result in duplicative litigation and an unnecessary entanglement between the federal and state court actions.

As referenced above, on May 15, 2005, Escalon, not having been served with IntraLase's Second Action, initiated the Delaware Action by filing a Complaint against IntraLase for, among other things, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty arising out of IntraLase's bad faith conduct under, and multiple breaches of, the License Agreement. In the Delaware Action, Escalon seeks declaratory relief, specified damages, and specific performance of its rights under the License Agreement, including its express right under the Agreement to have independent certified accountants audit the books and records of IntraLase to verify and compute payments due Escalon.

On February 21, 2006, Escalon again gave IntraLase notice of its intention to terminate the License Agreement due to IntraLase's failure to pay certain

royalties that Escalon believed were due under the License Agreement as well as IntraLase's refusal to permit Escalon to inspect IntraLase's records and books of account in accordance with paragraph 5.3 of the License Agreement. IntraLase had steadfastly refused to permit inspections reasonably requested by Escalon to audit IntraLase's records and books of account and, instead, conditioned any inspection by Escalon's auditors on unreasonable and unnecessary confidentiality restrictions and unilateral limitations on the scope of records and books of account that would be made available for inspection to Escalon. On the same day, Escalon filed its First Amended Complaint in the Delaware Action adding to its pending claims a claim for declaratory relief wherein Escalon seeks a judgment declaring that the License Agreement terminated fifteen days after IntraLase received Escalon's notice of defaults and intent to terminate and failed to cure said defaults.

18

On February 28, 2006, Escalon agreed to suspend the cure periods applicable to the alleged breaches set out in its February 21, 2006 letter while Escalon and IntraLase engaged in mediation efforts. Mediation before Vice Chancellor Donald F. Parsons, Jr. of the Delaware Court of Chancery, pursuant to Chancery Court Rule 174, took place on May 5, 2006 and was unsuccessful. However, in August 2006, the Parties entered into a Stipulation under which IntraLase agreed to allow an independent auditor, retained by the Company, to inspect certain of IntraLase's books and records as needed, in the opinion of the independent auditor, to verify that the Company received the full value of royalty payments to which it is entitled pursuant to the License Agreement. The Company sent its auditor to IntraLase's headquarters in early September 2006 to commence the audit. The auditor has informed the Company that it is awaiting responses and documents from Intralase that are necessary for the audit to be completed.

Separately, on April 22, 2005, Escalon, as beneficial record holder of common stock of IntraLase, made a formal written demand to inspect certain of IntraLase's books and records pursuant to Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. Shortly thereafter, Escalon Holdings, record holder of common stock of IntraLase, made the same written demand. IntraLase rejected both demands. Thereafter, Escalon and Escalon Holdings filed an action in the Delaware Court of Chancery against IntraLase seeking to enforce their shareholder rights to inspect IntraLase's books and records ("220 Action"). The 220 Action remains in the discovery stage and the parties jointly requested a continuance of the January 4, 2007 trial date, which was granted by the court.

Escalon is cognizant of the legal expenses and costs associated with the IntraLase matter. Escalon, however, is taking all necessary and appropriate actions to protect its rights and interests under the License Agreement, but will consider settlement if to do so would be in its best interests. Expenses associated with this litigation have adversely impacted earnings of Escalon and continued litigation of this matter will adversely affect earnings in the near term. Escalon believes that IntraLase has sufficient funds to support such royalty payments based on its filings with the SEC and filings in connection with the First Action.

## CARVER LITIGATION-CONNECTICUT ACTION

On December 17, 2002, Edward Carver, David DeCava and Diane Carver, former principal shareholders of CDC Technologies, Inc., filed a complaint in the State of Connecticut, Superior Court, Judicial District of Waterbury at Waterbury against CDC Acquisition, IV Diagnostics and certain other principal shareholders of CDC Technologies seeking a total of approximately \$420,000 for, among other things, repayment of loans made to CDC Technologies, payment of past wages and

reimbursement of business expenses ("Connecticut Action"). The plaintiffs' claims arose out of a certain asset purchase for stock transaction in which CDC Acquisition, a wholly owned subsidiary of Drew, acquired the assets of CDC Technologies and IV Diagnostics. CDC Acquisition and IV Diagnostics, also a subsidiary of Drew, asserted counterclaims against the plaintiffs for, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty, unfair trade and conversion. In addition, CDC Acquisition and IV Diagnostics asserted cross-claims against its co-defendants for indemnification pursuant to the transaction agreements. A bench trial was held in June, 2005. In August, 2005 the court rendered a decision resulting in the court's award of only \$76,000 to plaintiffs. CDC Acquisition and IV Diagnostics filed a motion for reconsideration of certain issues ruled upon by the court. The motion was denied. Plaintiffs' counsel filed a motion for attorneys' fees seeking over \$181,000. The court granted such motion but awarded only \$3,000 to plaintiffs' counsel. On November 1, 2005, CDC Acquisition and IV Diagnostics timely appealed the court's ruling that CDC Acquisitions and IV Diagnostics are liable to the plaintiffs.

CDC Acquisitions and IV Diagnostics and the plaintiffs in the Connecticut Action recently resolved their disputes as Acquisition Corp. paid the plaintiffs the outstanding judgment amount, eighty-three thousand dollars (\$83,000.00). The Company's cross-claims against certain of the former principal shareholders of CDC Technologies, Inc. ("Technology Defendants") remain pending. The Technology Defendants also have a cross-claim pending against CDC Acquisition Corp. However, even in the event that Technology Defendants would prevail, their damages are limited to the amount of their settlement, \$50,000. Technology Defendants recently filed a Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement with the trial court, asserting that there was an understanding that Technology Defendants would be included in a three-way agreement to resolve all claims associated with this litigation. The Company is opposing the Motion

19

of the Technology Defendants and intends to preserve and proceed to enforce its rights against the Technology Defendants.

## INSTITUTE OF CHILD HEALTH

Drew entered into a license agreement with the Institute of Child Health ("ICH") on May 10, 1993 to use ICH's intellectual property to manufacture, lease, sell, use and sublicense certain products and all related consumables used therein in the testing of blood and fluids. Under the license agreement Drew was to pay royalties to ICH on the products and consumables. On January 23, 2006, the Company received a letter from ICH alleging that Drew has failed to remit certain moneys due under the license agreement and has sought an accounting to determine such amount due. Drew is continuing to review the matter with its legal counsel and accounting consultants and continues to dispute the allegations of nonpayment.

## OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company, from time to time is involved in various legal proceedings and disputes that arise in the normal course of business. These matters have included intellectual property disputes, contract disputes, employment disputes and other matters. The Company does not believe that the resolution of any of these matters has had or is likely to have a material adverse impact on the Company's business, financial condition or results of operations.

## 12. SEGMENTAL INFORMATION

During the three-month and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company operations were classified into four principal reportable segments that provide different products or services. One of the business segments, Drew, became a reportable segment following its acquisition by the Company on July 23, 2004.

Separate management of each segment is required because each business unit is subject to different marketing, production and technology strategies.

20

## SEGMENTAL STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (IN THOUSANDS) - THREE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	DREW		SON	SONOMED		VASCULAR		
	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	
REVENUES, NET:								
Product revenue	\$ 2,717	\$ 3,490	\$ 2,732	\$ 2,003	\$ 796	\$ 886	\$ 789	
Other revenue	55 	69 	0	0	0	0	548	
TOTAL REVENUE, NET	2 <b>,</b> 772	3,559	2 <b>,</b> 732	2,003	796 	886	1,337	
COSTS AND EXPENSES:								
Cost of goods sold	1,922	2,236	1,220	1,013	305	347	432	
Research & Development	875	834	84	333	36	71	86	
Marketing, General & Admin	1,277	3 <b>,</b> 006	762 	1,630	412	945	696 	
Operating expenses	2 <b>,</b> 152	2,018	846	953	448	522	782	
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	4,074	4,254	2,066	1,966	753	869	1,214	
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	(1,302)	(695)	666	37	43	17	247	
OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES:								
Gain on sale of available								
for sale securities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Equity in OTM	0	0	0	0	0	0	(12)	
Interest income	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	
Interest expense TOTAL OTHER INCOME AND	(7) 	(9) 					0	
(EXPENSES)	(7)	(9)	0	0	0	0	2	
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES	(1,309)	(704)	666	37	43	17	125	
Income taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	(1)	
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,309)	\$ (704) ======	\$ 666 =====	\$ 37 ======	\$ 43 =====	\$ 17 =====	\$ 126	
Depreciation and	_=====	_=====	_=====	-=====	-====	-====	=====	
amortization	\$ 56	\$ 68	\$ 5	\$ 5	\$ 43	\$ 14	\$ 23	
Assets	\$17 <b>,</b> 325	\$17,088	\$14,099	\$13,486	\$4,034	\$2,423	\$2,659	
Expenditures for								
long-lived assets	\$ 54	\$ 18	\$ 0	\$ 14	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	

21

SEGMENTAL STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (IN THOUSANDS) - SIX MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

	DREW		SONO	SONOMED		CULAR	MEDICAL/TR	
	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	
REVENUES, NET:								
Product revenue	\$ 5,502	\$ 7,201	\$ 5,025	\$ 3,801	\$1,613	\$1,810	\$1 <b>,</b> 437	
Other revenue	125	144	0	0	0	0	1,102 	
TOTAL REVENUE, NET	5 <b>,</b> 627	7,345		3,801			2,539	
COSTS AND EXPENSES:								
Cost of goods sold			2,407	1,989	618	658	803	
Operating expenses	3 <b>,</b> 993	3,840	1,730	1,963	1,000	1,016	1,774 	
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	7,674	8 <b>,</b> 309	4,137		1,618	1,674	2 <b>,</b> 577	
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	(2,047)	(964)	888	(151)			(38)	
OTHER INCOME AND (EXPENSES):								
Gain on sale of available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
for sale securities	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (31)	
Equity in OTM Interest income	0	0	0	0	0	0	(31)	
Interest income Interest expense	(15)	(20)	0	0	0	0	0	
incerede expense								
TOTAL OTHER INCOME AND								
(EXPENSES)	(15)	(20)	0	0	0	0	28	
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE TAXES	(2,062)	(984)	888	(151)	(5)	136	(10)	
Income taxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	(1)	
Net income (loss)	\$(2,062)	\$ (984)	\$ 888	\$ (151)	\$ (5)	\$ 136	\$ (9)	
Depreciation and	======	======	======	======	=====	=====	=====	
amortization	\$ 142	\$ 123	\$ 11	\$ 10	\$ 92	\$ 34	\$ 41	
Assets	\$17 <b>,</b> 325	\$17,088	\$14 <b>,</b> 099	\$13,486	\$4,034	\$2,423	\$2 <b>,</b> 659	
Expenditures for								
long-lived assets	\$ 89	\$ 106	\$ 0	\$ 14	\$ 1	\$ 13	\$ 9	

## 13. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

WARRANTS TO PURCHASE COMMON STOCK

In connection with the private placement of the Company's common stock in March 2004, the Company issued to several accredited investors warrants to

purchase 120,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$15.60 per share. The warrants are currently exercisable and expire in March 2009.

### 14. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Company and a member of the Company's Board of Directors are founding and equal members of OTM. OTM is a diagnostic telemedicine company providing remote examination, diagnosis and management of disorders affecting the human eye. OTM's initial solution focuses on the diagnosis of diabetic retinopathy by creating access and providing annual dilated retinal examinations for the diabetic population. OTM was founded to harness the latest advances in telecommunications, software and digital imaging in order to create greater access and a more successful disease management for populations that are susceptible to ocular disease. Through December 31, 2006, Escalon had invested \$256,000 in OTM. As of December 31, 2006, Escalon owned 45% of OTM. The members of OTM have agreed to review the operations of OTM after 24 months, at which time the members each have the right to sell their membership interest back to OTM at fair market value. The Company provides administrative support functions to OTM. From inception through December 31, 2006, OTM had revenue of approximately \$24,000 and incurred expenses of approximately \$293,000. This investment is accounted for under the equity method of accounting and is included in other assets.

Two relatives of a senior executive officer have provided legal services as either an employee or a consultant to the Company. Richard DePiano, Jr. (son of the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO")) is General Counsel to the Company, Mr. DePiano's salary plus bonus for the six-month periods ended December 31,

22

2006 and 2005 were \$123,583 and \$111,346, respectively. Caryn Lindsey (daughter-in-law of the CEO) acted as a consultant and employee for the Company in 2005. For the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 Ms. Lindsey received consulting fees and salary of \$0 and \$87,243, respectively.

## 15. INTRALASE SHARES

In October 1997, Escalon licensed its intellectual laser properties to IntraLase in exchange for an equity interest of 252,535 shares of common stock (as adjusted for splits), as well as royalties on future product sales. The Company has historically accounted for these shares as having a \$0 basis because a readily determinable market value was previously not available. On October 7, 2004, IntraLase announced the initial public offering of shares of its common stock at a price of \$13.00 per share. The shares of common stock were restricted for a period of less than one year and were permitted to be sold after April 6, 2005 pursuant to a certain Fourth Amended Registration Rights Agreement between the Company and IntraLase. The Company sold 191,000 shares of IntraLase common stock in May 2005 at \$17.9134 per share resulting in net proceeds, after fees and commissions, of \$3,411,761. As of June 30, 2005, the Company's remaining 61,535 shares of IntraLase were classified as available-for-sale securities and had a market value of \$1,207,317.

On July 8, 2005, Company sold an additional 58,535 shares of IntraLase common stock at \$19.8226 per share resulting in gross proceeds of \$1,160,316. After paying broker commissions and other fees of \$2,980, the Company received net proceeds of \$1,157,336. The net proceeds from the sale were recorded in other income and expense. The Company's remaining 3,000 shares of IntraLase at December 31, 2006 are classified as available-for-sale securities and had a market value of \$59,130.

On January 8, 2007, Intralase announced that it had accepted a cash tender offer of 100% of Intralase shares outstanding at a price per share of \$25. The transaction is expected to be completed during the second calendar quarter of 2007.

#### 16. OTHER MARKETING AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS

On October 11, 2005 the Company signed a non-exclusive co-marketing agreement with privately held Anka, a provider of web-based connectivity solutions for the ophthalmic physician. Anka's connectivity solutions are used in major eye healthcare centers and provide seamless integration of data from various clinical modalities commonly used in eye healthcare settings. The co-marketing agreement has enable Escalon to jointly market its existing digital imaging hardware and MRP's digital imaging hardware with Anka's connectivity solutions. By integrating the sales and marketing efforts, the alliance should provide economies of operation and a greater market reach. In connection with the co-marketing agreement, Company extended a \$300,000 loan in October 2005 and an additional loan of \$100,000 in January 2006, pursuant to demand notes, to Anka. Under the terms of these notes, repayment is due within six months after written demand or immediately upon an event of default. On February 16, 2006, the Company demanded repayment in accordance with the terms of the notes. The loan was paid in full in April 2006.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in, or incorporated by reference in, this report are forward-looking statements made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, which provide current expectations or forecasts of future events. Such statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as "anticipate," "believe," "could," "estimate," "expect," "forecast," "intend," "may," "plan," "possible," "project," "should," "will," and similar words or expressions. The Company's forward-looking statements include certain information relating to general business strategy, growth strategies, financial results, liquidity, product development, the introduction of new products, the potential markets and uses for the Company's products, the Company's regulatory filings with the FDA, acquisitions, the development of joint venture opportunities, intellectual property and patent protection and

23

infringement, the loss of revenue due to the expiration on termination of certain agreements, the effect of competition on the structure of the markets in which the Company competes and defending the Company in litigation matters. The reader must carefully consider forward-looking statements and understand that such statements involve a variety of risks and uncertainties, known and unknown, and may be affected by assumptions that fail to materialize as anticipated. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed, and actual results may vary materially. It is not possible to foresee or identify all factors affecting the Company's forward-looking statements, and the reader therefore should not consider the list of such factors contained in its periodic report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended June 30, 2006 to be an exhaustive statement of all risks, uncertainties or potentially inaccurate assumptions.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW - SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006

The following highlights are discussed in further detail within this report. The reader is encouraged to read this report in its entirety to gain a more complete understanding of factors impacting Company performance and financial condition.

- Revenue decreased approximately .2% during the six-month period ended December, 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily related to decreases in the Drew and Vascular business units. Revenue at Drew and Vascular decreased 23.6% and 10.9%, respectively, during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 when compared to the same period last fiscal year. These decreases were offset by strong sales in the Company's Sonomed and Medical/Trek/EMI business units. Sales at Sonomed and Medical/Trek/EMI increased approximately 32.2% and 80.0%, respectively, during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 compared to the same period last fiscal year.
- During July 2005, the Company sold 58,555 shares of IntraLase common stock that had originally been received by the Company in connection with the license of its laser properties to IntraLase in 1997 (see note 15 to the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). The stock was sold at \$19.8226 per share and yielded net proceeds of \$1,157,336 after the payment of brokers' commissions and other fees. The net proceeds were recorded as other income in the six-month period ended December 31, 2005.
- Other revenue increased approximately \$93,143 or 8.2% during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase is attributable to increased royalties received from the IntraLase License Agreement.
- Cost of goods sold as a percentage of product revenue decreased slightly to approximately 55.3% of revenues during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006, as compared to approximately 56% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year. Gross margins in the Drew business unit have historically been lower than those in the Company's other business units. The aggregate cost of goods sold as a percentage of product revenue of the Sonomed, Vascular and Medical/Trek/EMI business units during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006, remained steady at approximately 50.0% of product revenue.
- Operating expenses decreased approximately 1.9% during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year. The decrease was due to a significant decrease in legal fees related to the IntraLase litigation, offset by an increase in research and development related to Drew.

## COMPANY OVERVIEW

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with interim condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, which are set forth elsewhere in this report.

The Company operates in the healthcare market specializing in the development, manufacture, marketing and distribution of medical devices and pharmaceuticals in the areas of ophthalmology, diabetes,

hematology and vascular access. The Company and its products are subject to regulation and inspection by the FDA. The FDA requires extensive testing of new products prior to sale and has jurisdiction over the safety, efficacy and manufacture of products, as well as product labeling and marketing. The Company's Internet address is www.escalonmed.com.

In February 1996, the Company acquired substantially all of the assets and certain liabilities of EOI, a developer and distributor of ophthalmic surgical products. Prior to this acquisition, the Company devoted substantially all of its resources to the research and development of ultra fast laser systems designed for the treatment of ophthalmic disorders. As a result of the EOI acquisition, the Company changed its market focus and ceased developing laser technology. In October 1997, the Company licensed its intellectual laser property to IntraLase, in return for an equity interest and future royalties on sales of products. IntraLase undertook responsibility for funding and developing the laser technology through to commercialization. IntraLase began selling products related to the laser technology during fiscal 2002 and announced its initial public offering of its common stock in October 2004. (See notes 9 and 15 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further information.) The Company is in dispute with IntraLase over royalty payments owed to the Company. (See note 11 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further information.)

To further diversify its product portfolio, in January 1999, the Company's Vascular subsidiary acquired the vascular access product line from Endologix, formerly Radiance Medical Systems, Inc. Vascular's products use Doppler technology to aid medical personnel in locating arteries and veins in difficult circumstances. Currently, this product line is concentrated in the cardiac catheterization market. In January 2000, the Company purchased Sonomed, a privately held manufacturer of ophthalmic ultrasound diagnostic equipment.

On July 23, 2004, the Company acquired 67% of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, a United Kingdom company, pursuant to the Company's exchange offer for all of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, and acquired all of the Drew shares during fiscal 2005. Drew is a diagnostics company specializing in the design, manufacture and distribution of instruments for blood cell counting and blood analysis. Drew is focused on providing instrumentation and consumables for the physician office and veterinary office laboratories. Drew also supplies the reagent and other consumable materials needed to operate the instruments.

## CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that impact amounts reported therein. The most significant of those involve the application for SFAS 142, discussed further in Note 2 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report. The financial statements are prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and, as such, include amounts based on informed estimates and judgments of management. For example, estimates are used in determining valuation allowances for deferred income taxes, uncollectible receivables, obsolete inventory, sales returns and rebates and purchased intangible assets. Actual results achieved in the future could differ from current estimates. The Company used what it believes are reasonable assumptions and, where applicable, established valuation techniques in making its estimates.

## REVENUE RECOGNITION

The Company recognizes revenue from the sale of its products at the time of shipment, when title and risk of loss transfer. The Company provides products to

its distributors at agreed wholesale prices and to the balance of its customers at set retail prices. Distributors can receive discounts for accepting high volume shipments. The discounts are reflected immediately in the net invoice price, which is the basis for revenue recognition. No further material discounts are given.

The Company's considerations for recognizing revenue upon shipment of product to a distributor are based on the following:

25

- Persuasive evidence that an arrangement (purchase order and sales invoice) exists between a willing buyer (distributor) and the Company that outlines the terms of the sale (company information, quantity of goods, purchase price and payment terms). The buyer (distributor) does not have an immediate right of return.
- Shipping terms are ex-factory shipping point. At this point the buyer (distributor) takes title to the goods and is responsible for all risks and rewards of ownership, including insuring the goods as necessary.
- The Company's price to the buyer (distributor) is fixed and determinable as specifically outlined on the sales invoice. The sales arrangement does not have customer cancellation or termination clauses.
- The buyer (distributor) places a purchase order with the Company; the terms of the sale are cash, COD or credit. Customer credit is determined based on the Company's policies and procedures related to the buyer's (distributor's) creditworthiness. Based on this determination, the Company believes that collectibility is reasonably assured.

The Company assesses collectibility based on creditworthiness of the customer and past transaction history. The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and does not require collateral from its customers. For many of the Company's international customers, the Company requires an irrevocable letter of credit to be issued by the customer before the purchase order is accepted.

## VALUATION OF INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The Company annually evaluates for impairment its intangible assets and goodwill in accordance with SFAS 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. These intangible assets include goodwill, trademarks and trade names. Factors the Company considers important that could trigger an impairment review include significant under-performance relative to historical or projected future operating results or significant negative industry or economic trends. If these criteria indicate that the value of the intangible asset may be impaired, an evaluation of the recoverability of the net carrying value of the asset is made. If this evaluation indicates that the intangible asset is not recoverable, the net carrying value of the related intangible asset will be reduced to fair value. Any such impairment charge could be significant and could have a material adverse impact on the Company's financial statements if and when an impairment charge is recorded. No impairment losses were recorded for goodwill, trademarks and trade names during any of the periods presented based on these evaluations.

(LOSS)/INCOME PER SHARE

The Company computes net (loss)/income per share under the provisions of SFAS No. 128, Earnings per Share (SFAS 128), and Staff Accounting Bulletin, No. 98 (SAB 98).

Under the provisions of SFAS 128 and SAB 98, basic and diluted net (loss)/income per share is computed by dividing the net (loss)/income for the period by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The calculation of diluted net (loss)/income per share excludes potential common shares if the effect is anti-dilutive. Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing net (loss)/income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share are determined in the same manner as basic earnings per share, except that the number of shares is increased by assuming exercise of dilutive stock options and warrants using the treasury stock method.

TAXES

Estimates of taxable income of the various legal entities and jurisdictions are used in the tax rate calculation. Management uses judgment in estimating what the Company's income will be for the year. Since judgment is involved, there is a risk that the tax rate may significantly increase or decrease in any period.

2.6

In determining (loss)/income for financial statement purposes, management must make certain estimates and judgments. These estimates and judgments occur in the calculation of certain tax liabilities and in the determination of the recoverability of certain of the deferred tax assets, which arise from temporary differences between the tax and financial statement recognition of revenue and expense. SFAS 109 also requires that the deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance, if based on the available evidence, it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the recorded deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods.

In evaluating the Company's ability to recover the Company's deferred tax assets, management considers all available positive and negative evidence including the Company's past operating results, the existence of cumulative losses and near-term forecasts of future taxable income that is consistent with the plans and estimates management is using to manage the underlying businesses.

Through December 31, 2006, the Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against the Company's net operating losses due to the uncertainty of their realization as a result of the Company's earnings history, the number of years the Company's net operating losses and tax credits can be carried forward, the existence of taxable temporary differences and near-term earnings expectations. The amount of the valuation allowance could decrease if facts and circumstances change that materially increase taxable income prior to the expiration of the loss carry forwards. Any reduction would reduce (increase) the income tax expense (benefit) in the period such determination is made by the Company.

THREE AND SIX-MONTH PERIODS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2006 AND 2005

The following table shows consolidated product revenue by business segment as well as identifying trends in business segment product revenues for the three

and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Table amounts are in thousands:

		THREE MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31,			SIX MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2006	2005 % CHANGE		2006	2005	% CHANGE		
PRODUCT REVENUE:								
Drew	\$2,717	\$3,490	-22.2%	\$ 5,502	\$ 7,201	-23.6%		
Sonomed	2,732	2,003	36.4%	5,025	3,801	32.2%		
Vascular	796	886	-10.2%	1,613	1,810	-10.9%		
Medical/Trek/EMI	789	467	69.0%	1,437	797	80.3%		
TOTAL	\$7 <b>,</b> 034	\$6,846	2.8%	\$13 <b>,</b> 577	\$13 <b>,</b> 609	-0.2%		

Product revenue increased approximately \$188,000 or 2.8%, to \$7,034,000 for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year.

In the Drew business unit, product revenue decreased \$773,000, or 22.2%, as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to the aging of Drew's product line and delays in bringing its new products to market. Drew anticipates that sales will continue to decline until the new products become available for sale later in the fiscal year. Drew anticipates that it will release two new products for sale in the fourth quarter of the current fiscal year.

Product revenue increased \$729,000, or 36.4%, at the Sonomed business unit as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase in product revenue was primarily caused by an increase in sales, both domestically and internationally, of the Company's new VuMax II ultrasound systems along with continued demand for Sonomed's existing product line.

27

Product revenue decreased \$90,000, or 10.2%, to \$796,000 in the Vascular business unit during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006, as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease in product revenue in the Vascular business unit was primarily caused by a decrease in direct sales to end users by the Company's domestic sales team. The Company also saw a decrease in revenue from the Company's domestic distributor network. In addition, anticipated sales in Europe and Latin America did not materialize due to price point pressures on Vascular's product offering.

In the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit, product revenue increased \$322,000 or 69%, to \$789,000 during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase in Medical/Trek/EMI product revenue is primarily attributed to sales of digital imaging systems from the newly acquired MRP division. MRP was acquired in January 2006.

Product revenue decreased approximately \$32,000 or 0.2%, to \$13,577,000 during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year.

In the Drew business unit, product revenue decreased \$1,699,000 or 23.6% as compared to the same period last fiscal year. As in previous periods, the decrease in product revenue is attributable to the aging of Drew's instrument product line. Due to Drew's installed base of instruments, reagent sales have not declined significantly during the period. Drew anticipates that its instrument sales will continue to decline until the introduction of its new family of instruments becomes available for sale. Drew anticipates that it will release two new products for sale in fourth quarter of the current fiscal year.

In the Sonomed business unit, product revenue increased \$1,224,000 or 32.2% as compared to the same period last fiscal year The increase in product revenue was primarily caused by the introduction of the Company's new VuMax II scan ultrasound systems. The market acceptance and sales of the VuMax II are complemented by continuing demand for Sonomed's existing product line.

In the Vascular business unit, revenue decreased \$197,000, or 10.9%, to \$1,613,000 during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease in product revenue in the Vascular business unit was primarily caused by a decrease in direct sales to end users by the Company's domestic sales team. The Company also saw a decrease in revenue from the Company's domestic distributor network. In addition, anticipated sales in Europe and Latin America did not materialize do to price point pressures on Vascular's product offering.

In the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit, product revenue increased \$640,000 or 80.3%, to \$1,437,000 during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase in Medical/Trek/EMI product revenue is primarily attributed to the acquisition of the MRP division in January 2006, which complemented continued revenues from Trek's OEM revenue from Bausch & Lomb and EMI sales of digital imaging systems.

Other revenue increased by approximately \$139,000, or 29.9%, to \$603,000 during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase is primarily due to increased royalties received from the IntraLase royalty agreement. The Drew division continues to receive royalties from Bio-Rad related to an OEM agreement between Bio-Rad and Drew. These royalties have remained steady at approximately \$62,000 per quarter. While this agreement terminated as of May 15, 2005, the parties have continued to operate under the terms of the expired agreement pending negotiation of a potential extension and/or revision.

Other revenue increased by approximately \$93,000, or 8.2%, to \$1,227,000 during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase is primarily due to an increase in royalties from the IntraLase royalty agreement, offset by the elimination of royalties received from Bausch & Lomb in connection with their sales of Silicone Oil. The Company's contract with Bausch & Lomb called for the calculation of Silicone Oil revenue to be received by the Company of 45% from August 13, 2004 to August 12, 2005. The Company's contract with Bausch & Lomb ended in August 2005, and accordingly, the Company will receive no future royalties under this agreement.

28

The following table presents consolidated cost of goods sold by reportable business segment and as a percentage of related segment product revenues for the three and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Table amounts are in thousands:

	THREE-MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31,				I		TH PERIOD CEMBER 31,	•
	2006	% 	2005	% %	2006	% 	2005	 ૄ 
COST OF GOODS SOLD:								
Drew	\$1,922	70.7%	\$2,236	64.1%	\$3,681	66.9%	\$4,469	62.1%
Sonomed	1,220	44.7%	1,013	50.6%	2,407	47.9%	1,989	52.3%
Vascular	305	38.3%	347	39.2%	618	38.3%	658	36.4%
Medical/Trek/EMI	432	54.8%	287	61.5%	803	55.9%	512	64.2%
TOTAL	\$3 <b>,</b> 879	55.2%	\$3 <b>,</b> 883	56.7%	\$7 <b>,</b> 509	55.3%	\$7 <b>,</b> 628	56.1%
	======	====	======	====	======	====	======	====

Cost of goods sold totaled approximately \$3,879,000, or 55.2% of product revenue, for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006, as compared to \$3,883,000 or 56.7% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year.

Cost of goods sold in the Drew business unit totaled \$1,922,000 or 70.7% of product revenue for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$2,236,000 or 64.0% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year. The decrease in cost of goods sold of 314,000 or 14.0% as compared to the same period last fiscal year is attributed to decreased sales of 23.6% during the period ended December 31, 2006. Cost of sales did not decrease the same percentage as revenue due to the product mix of sales and the continued deterioration of gross margins on Drew's aging instrument product offering.

Cost of goods sold in the Sonomed business unit totaled \$1,220,000 or 44.7% of product revenue for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$1,013,000 or 50.6% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year. The \$207,000 increase is directly related to the increase in product sales for the period ended December 31, 2006 over the same period last fiscal year. The improved gross margin of 6% is related to the sale of higher margin VuMax II's during the current period.

Cost of goods sold in the Vascular business unit totaled \$305,000, or 38.3% of product revenue, for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$347,000, or 39.2% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year. The primary factor affecting the decrease in cost of goods sold of \$42,000 or 12% was a like decrease in product revenue for the period.

Cost of goods sold in the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit totaled \$432,000, or 54.8% of product revenue, during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$287,000, or 61.5% of product revenue, during the same period last fiscal year. The increase in cost of goods sold of \$145,000 is related to the new sales of digital imaging systems made by the MRP division which was acquired in January 2006.

Cost of goods sold totaled approximately \$7,509,000 or 55.3% of product revenue, for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006, as compared to \$7,628,000 or 56.1% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year.

Cost of goods sold in the Drew business unit totaled \$3,681,000 or 66.9% of product revenue for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$4,469,000 or 62.1% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year. The decrease in cost of goods sold of 788,000 or 17.6% as compared to the same period last fiscal year is attributed to decreased sales of 23.6% during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006. Cost of sales did not decrease the

same percentage as revenue do to the product mix of sales and the continued deterioration of gross margins on Drew's aging instrument product offering.

29

Cost of goods sold in the Sonomed business unit totaled \$2,407,000 or 47.9% of product revenue for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$1,989,000 or 52.3% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year. The primary reason for the increase of \$418,000 or 21.0% is due to increased sales during the period. The sales of the new VuMax II during the period increased gross margin from 47.7% in the last fiscal period to 52.1% for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006.

Cost of goods sold in the Vascular business unit totaled \$618,000, or 38.3% of product revenue, for the six-month period ended December 31,2006 as compared to \$658,000, or 36.4% of product revenue for the same period last fiscal year. The primary factor affecting the decrease in cost of goods sold of \$40,000 or 6% was a decrease in product sales during the period of 11%. Gross margins for the period were 61.7% as compared to 63.6 percent for the same period last fiscal year.

Cost of goods sold in the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit totaled \$803,000 or 55.9% of product revenue, for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to \$512,000 or 64.2% of product revenue, during the same period last fiscal year. The increase in cost of goods sold of \$291,000 is related to the new sales of digital imaging systems made by the MRP, which was acquired in January 2006.

The following table presents consolidated marketing, general and administrative expenses as well as identifying trends in business segment marketing, general and administrative expenses for the three and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005. Table amounts are in thousands:

	THREE-MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31,		SIX-MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2006	2005	% CHANGE	2006	2005	% CHANGE
MARKETING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE:						
Drew	\$1 <b>,</b> 277	\$1 <b>,</b> 608	-20.6%	\$2 <b>,</b> 659	\$3 <b>,</b> 006	-11.5%
Sonomed	762	614	24.1%	1,569	1,630	-3.7%
Vascular	410	435	-5.8%	942	945	-0.3%
Medical/Trek/EMI	696	1,171	-51.2%	1,531	1,531	-8.1%
TOTAL	\$3 <b>,</b> 145	\$3 <b>,</b> 828	 -17.8%	\$6 <b>,</b> 701	\$7 <b>,</b> 112	-5.8%
		=====	=====			=====

Marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased \$807,000 or 36.9%, to \$3,145,000 during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Drew business unit decreased \$331,000, or 20.6%, to \$1,277,000 for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to decreased personnel, travel, facility and other costs

related to the cost reduction plan previously announced and implemented during the first quarter of the current fiscal year. The full affect of the cost reduction plan is anticipated to be realized during the second half of this fiscal year.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Sonomed business unit increased \$148,000 or 24.1%, to \$762,000 for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase is due to marketing and sales salaries, commissions and other personnel-related expenses, including amounts paid to independent agents utilized primarily in Europe, as well as, meeting and trade show expenses, travel and lodging, and advertising related to the introduction of the VuMax II and continued support for Sonomed's existing product line.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Vascular business unit decreased \$25,000 or 5.8%, to \$410,000 for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease was due to reduced travel and marketing expenses during the period.

30

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit decreased \$475,000 or 40.6%, to \$696,000 for the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. This decrease was directly due to a large decrease in legal fees related to the IntraLase royalty dispute. Escalon and IntraLase sought a continuance of the trial scheduled for January 4, 2007 which was granted by the court.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses decreased \$535,000 or 7.5%, to \$6,577,000 for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Drew business unit decreased \$347,000 or 11.5%, to \$2,659,000 for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to decreased personnel, travel, facility and other costs related to the cost reduction plan previously announced and implemented during the first quarter of the current fiscal year. The majority of the \$347,000 cost savings were realized during the quarter ended December 31, 2006. The full affect of the cost reduction plan is anticipated to be realized during the second half of this fiscal year.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Sonomed business unit decreased \$61,000 or 3.7%, to \$1,569,000 for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The decrease is due to the following non recurring items which occurred in the same period last fiscal year. Rent and utilities expense increased due to the relocation of Sonomed's facility and the corresponding new lease entered into during fiscal 2005. Legal expenses increased primarily due fees incurred to resolve a dispute with a supplier and fees related to researching intellectual property ownership issues for intellectual property currently being evaluated for licensing for use in potential new products.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Vascular business unit decreased an immaterial \$3,000 or 0.3%, to \$942,000 for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year.

Marketing, general and administrative expenses in the Medical/Trek/EMI business unit remained constant at \$1,531,000 for the six-month period ended

December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. Decreased legal fees related to the IntraLase litigation primarily occurring in the current quarter. Escalon and IntraLase sought a continuance of the trial scheduled for January 4, 2007 which was granted by the court. These savings were offset in the six-month period by expenses related to operations of the new MRP acquisition.

Research and development expenses increased \$419,000 or 63.3%, to \$1,081,000 during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase in research and development was primarily related to the development efforts at the Drew division. Drew incurred \$300,000 in development costs related to the outsourcing of elements of its new Trilogy product. In addition, Drew incurred increased personnel and consulting fees related to the development of the DS360 project. Drew anticipates that these products will be completed in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.

Research and development expenses increased \$377,000 or 26.5%, to \$1,794,000 during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period last fiscal year. The increase in research and development was primarily related to the development efforts at the Drew division. Drew incurred \$300,000 in development costs related to the outsourcing of elements of its new Trilogy product. In addition, Drew incurred increased personnel and consulting fees related to the development of the DS360 project. Drew anticipates that these products will be completed in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2007.

Gain on sale of available for sale securities was approximately \$1,157,000 in the six-month period ended December 31, 2005. The decrease was due to the sale of 58,585 shares of IntraLase common stock in July 2005 (see note 15 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements). There were no sales of available for sale securities during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006.

31

Escalon recognized a loss of \$12,000 and \$33,000 related to its investment in OTM during the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$31,000 and \$52,000 for the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Commencing July 1, 2005, the Company began recognizing all of the losses of OTM in its consolidated financial statements. OTM is an early stage privately held company. Prior to July 1, 2005, the share of OTM's loss recognized by the Company was in direct proportion to the Company's ownership equity in OTM. OTM began operations during the three-month period ended September 30, 2004. (See note 14 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements).

Interest income was \$14,000 and \$73,000 for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease was due to lower average cash balances during the current fiscal period.

Interest income was \$59,000 and \$78,000 for the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease was due to lower average cash balances due during the current fiscal period.

Interest expense was \$6,000 and \$9,000 for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, and \$15,000 and \$20,000 for the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease reflects the continued reduction of the Company's debt in the normal course of business by \$110,000 for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Changes in overall liquidity and capital resources from continuing operations during the three-month period ended December 31, 2006 are reflected in the following table (in thousands):

	DECEMBER 31, 2006	
CURRENT RATIO: Current assets Less: Current liabilities	\$ 14,662 4,669	•
WORKING CAPITAL	\$ 9,993	\$ 10,616
CURRENT RATIO	3.1 TO 1	
DEBT TO TOTAL CAPITAL RATIO: Notes payable and current maturities Long-term debt	\$ 220 67	\$ 233 163
Total debt	\$ 287	\$ 396
Total equity	32,294	33,100
TOTAL CAPITAL	\$ 32,581	•
TOTAL DEBT TO TOTAL CAPITAL	0.9%	1.2%

## WORKING CAPITAL POSITION

Working capital decreased approximately \$623,000 as of December 31, 2006 and the current ratio decreased to 3.1 to 1 from 3.5 to 1 when compared to June 30, 2006. The decrease in working capital was caused primarily by the loss from operations of approximately \$1,060,000 and cash used to fund fixed asset

32

additions of approximately \$61,000. Partially offsetting these uses of working capital were proceeds of approximately \$141,000 realized by the Company for the exercise of employee stock options.

## CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES

During the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, the Company used approximately \$1,689,000 and \$1,452,000 of cash for operating activities. The net increase in cash used for operating activities of approximately \$237,000 for the six-month period ended December 31, 2006 as compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year is due primarily to the following factors:

The Company had a net loss of \$1,084,000 and experienced net cash out flows from increases in accounts receivable and inventory of approximately \$645,000 and \$1,149,000, respectively. These cash out flows were partially offset by an increase in accounts payable of \$700,000 and non-cash expenditures on depreciation and amortization of \$282,000. In the prior fiscal period the cash

used in operating activities of \$1,452,000 was related to net loss in the prior year of \$267,000 and by a gain on sale of marketable securities in the amount of \$1,157,000.

CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Cash flows used in investing activities of \$61,000 is related to fixed asset purchases during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006. The decrease in cash flows from investing activities from the prior fiscal period was \$810,000. The change relates primarily to the net proceeds of approximately \$1,157,000 realized from the sale of a majority of the remaining shares of the IntraLase securities held by the Company as available for sale securities. (See note 15 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.) Partially offsetting the cash realized from the securities sale was cash utilized for fixed asset additions and for distribution rights of approximately \$408,000.

Cash flows provided by financing activities were approximately \$32,000 during the six-month period ended December 31, 2006. During the period, the Company made scheduled long-term debt repayments of approximately \$108,000 and received \$141,000 from the exercise of stock options during the period.

### DEBT HISTORY

Drew has long-term debt facilities through the Texas Mezzanine Fund and through Symbiotics, Inc. The Texas Mezzanine Fund term debt is payable in monthly installments of \$14,200, which includes interest at a fixed rate of 8.00%. The note is due in April 2008 and is secured by certain assets of Drew. The outstanding balance as of December 31, 2006 was \$220,000. The Symbiotics, Inc. term debt, which originated from the acquisition of a product line from Symbiotics, Inc., is payable in monthly principal installments of \$8,333 plus interest at a fixed rate of 5.00%. The outstanding balance as of December 31, 2006 was \$67,000.

## BALANCE SHEET

The components of the balance sheet of the Company were increased as of July 23, 2004 by the acquisition of Drew as follows:

Cash	\$ 150,849
Accounts receivable	1,439,120
Inventory	2,069,146
Other current assets	351 <b>,</b> 505
Furniture and equipment	868,839
Goodwill	9,574,655
Patents	297,246
Other long-term assets	7,406

33

Line of credit	1,617,208
Current liabilities	3,392,286
Long-term debt	1,072,457
Exchange of common stock	7,430,439

These amounts represents approximately a \$113,000 net difference from the amounts reported in the Company's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2004, which has been recorded as an increase in goodwill. The difference is the result of additional facts obtained since the acquisition which impacted the valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

## OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

Escalon was not a party to any off-balance sheet arrangements during the three and six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

The following table presents the Company's contractual obligations as of December 31, 2006 (interest is not included in the table as it is immaterial):

	TOTAL	LESS THAN 1 YEAR	1-3 YEARS	3-5 YEARS	MORE THAN 5 YEARS
Long-term debt Operating lease agreements	\$ 286,931 2,323,367	\$ 220,037 792,436	\$ 66,894 1,105,807	\$ 0 425,124	\$0 0
TOTAL	\$2,610,298	\$1,012,473 =======	\$1,172,701 =======	\$425,124 ======	 \$0 ===

### SIGNIFICANT ITEMS LIKELY TO IMPACT LIQUIDITY

On July 23, 2004, the Company acquired approximately 67% of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, pursuant to the Company's exchange offer for all of the outstanding ordinary shares of Drew, and has acquired all of the Drew shares during fiscal 2005. Drew does not have a history of producing positive operating cash flows and, as a result, at the time of acquisition, was operating under financial constraints and was under-capitalized. As Drew is integrated into the Company, management will be working to reverse the situation, while at the same time seeking to strengthen Drew's market position. As of December 31, 2006, the Company has loaned approximately \$13,200,000 to Drew. The funds have been primarily used to procure components to build up inventory to support the manufacturing process, to pay off accounts payable and debt of Drew, to fund new product development and underwrite operating losses incurred since acquisition. The Company anticipates that further working capital will likely be required by Drew.

## ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

## INTEREST RATE RISK

The table below provides information about the Company's financial instruments consisting of both variable and fixed interest rate debt obligations. For debt obligations, the table represents principal cash flows and related interest rates by expected maturity dates. Interest rates as of December 31, 2006 were variable at prime plus 4%, currently 10.25% per annum, on the Texas Mezzanine Fund debt, and were fixed at 5.00% per annum, on the Symbiotics, Inc. term debt. (See note 8 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further information regarding the Company's debt obligations.)

	2006	2007
Texas Mezzanine Fund Note Interest rate Symbiotics, Inc. Note Interest rate	\$148,817 10.25% \$ 91,663 5.00%	\$ 95,239 Prime Plus 49 \$ 0 5.009
TOTAL	\$240,480 ======	\$ 95,239

### EXCHANGE RATE RISK

Prior to the acquisition of Drew, the price of all product sold overseas was denominated in United States Dollars and consequently the Company incurred no exchange rate risk on revenue. However, a portion of Drew's product revenue is denominated in United Kingdom Pounds and Euros. During the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, Drew recorded approximately \$829,900 and \$1,316,000 respectively, of revenue denominated in United Kingdom Pounds and Euros, respectively. During the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, Drew recorded approximately \$1,546,900 and \$2,159,000, respectively, of revenue denominated in United Kingdom Pounds and Euros, respectively.

Drew incurs a portion of its expenses denominated in United Kingdom Pounds. During the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, Drew incurred approximately \$1,157,000 and \$1,364,000, respectively, of expense denominated in United Kingdom Pounds. During the six-month periods ended December 31, 2006 and 2005, Drew recorded approximately \$2,143,000 and \$2,429,000 respectively, of expense denominated in United Kingdom Pounds and Euros, respectively. The Company's Sonomed and Vascular business units incur an immaterial portion of their marketing expenses in the European market, the majority of which are transacted in Euros.

The Company may begin to experience fluctuations, beneficial or adverse, in the valuation of currencies in which the Company transacts its business, namely the United States Dollar, the United Kingdom Pound and the Euro.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## (A) EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer, have established disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the Company, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to the officers who certify the Company's financial reports and to other members of senior management and the Board of Directors.

Based on their evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of December 31, 2006, the Chief Executive Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer of the Company have concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management,

including its Chief Executive

35

Officer and Principal Financial and Accounting Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

## (B) INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during the first fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2006 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

See note 11 of the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further information regarding the Company's legal proceedings.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There are no material changes from the risks previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended June 30, 2006.

## ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The Annual Meeting of Shareholders was held on December 28, 2006. The following matters were acted upon:

The following persons were elected as Class I directors of the Company, each for a term of three years and until their successors are elected and qualified.

Nominees for Director	For	Against	Withheld
Anthony J. Coppola	4,879,579	0	328,917
William L.G. Kwan	4,878,684	0	329,812

Continuing as Directors after the Annual Meeting of Shareholders are Richard J. DePiano, Jay L. Federman, M.D., Fred G. Choate, and Lisa A. Napolitano.

## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer under Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certificate of Principal Financial and Accounting Officer under Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer under Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

32.2 Certificate of Principal Financial and Accounting Officer under Section 1350 of Title 18 of the United States Code.

36

### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ESCALON MEDICAL CORP. (Registrant)

Date: February 14, 2007 By: /s/ Richard J. DePiano

\_\_\_\_\_

Richard J. DePiano Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: February 14, 2007 By: /s/ Robert O'Connor

\_\_\_\_\_

Robert O'Connor

Chief Financial Officer

37