DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC Form 10-K March 02, 2011

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

X

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended January 1, 2011

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES

EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to

Commission File Number 001-13323

DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 36-2495346 (State or other jurisdiction (I.R.S. Employer of incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

251 O'Connor Ridge Blvd., Suite 300

Irving, Texas 75038 (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (972) 717-0300

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Exchange on Which

Registered

Common Stock \$0.01 par value per share

New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE")

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes X No
Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No X.
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (\$232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. X
Page 1

•	company. See the def	finitions of "large accelera	ler, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, tted filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting
* •	d X Accelerated	Non-accelerated	Smaller reporting
filer	filer	filer	company
		(Do not check if a s reporting compar	
Indicate by check mark Yes No X	whether the Registra	ant is a shell company (as d	efined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).
shares of common sto closing price of the co Registrant's common and holders of more th	ock held by nonaffilian common stock as repostock held by non-aff ann 10% of the Regist	ates of the Registrant was orted on the NYSE on that filiates, shares of common	fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the approximately \$587,955,000 based upon the day. (In determining the market value of the stock beneficially owned by directors, officers been excluded. This determination of affiliate
There were 116,753,21	9 shares of common s	stock, \$0.01 par value, outs	standing at February 23, 2011.
	DOCUMEN	TTS INCORPORATED BY	REFERENCE
	_	ant's definitive Proxy Staterated by reference into Part	ement in connection with the Registrant's 2011 t III of this Annual Report.
Page 2			

DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES FORM 10-K FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JANUARY 1, 2011

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page No.
	PART I.	
Item 1.	BUSINESS	4
Item 1A.	RISK FACTORS	12
Item 1B.	UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS	27
Item 2.	PROPERTIES	27
Item 3.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	29
Item 4.	(REMOVED AND RESERVED)	29
	PART II.	
Item 5.	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY,	
	RELATED STOCKHOLDER	30
	MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF	
	EQUITY SECURITIES	
Item 6.	SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA	33
Item 7.	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	
	OF	35
	FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF	
	OPERATIONS	
Item	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE	62
7A.	DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK	
Item 8.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND	64
	SUPPLEMENTARY DATA	
Item 9.	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH	
	ACCOUNTANTS ON	108
	ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	
Item 9A.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	108
Item 9B.	OTHER INFORMATION	109
	PART III.	
Item	DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND	110
10.	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	
Item 11.	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	110

Item 12.	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED				
	STOCKHOLDER MATTERS				
Item 13.	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE	110			
Item 14.	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES	110			
	PART IV.				
Item 15.	EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES	111			
	SIGNATURES	116			

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

GENERAL

Founded by the Swift meat packing interests and the Darling family in 1882, Darling International Inc. ("Darling", and together with its subsidiaries, the "Company") was incorporated in Delaware in 1962 under the name "Darling-Delaware Company, Inc." On December 28, 1993, Darling changed its name from "Darling-Delaware Company, Inc." to "Darling International Inc." The address of Darling's principal executive office is 251 O'Connor Ridge Boulevard, Suite 300, Irving, Texas, 75038, and its telephone number at this address is (972) 717-0300.

The Company is a leading provider of rendering, cooking oil and bakery waste recycling and recovery solutions to the nation's food industry. The Company collects and recycles animal by-products, bakery waste and used cooking oil from poultry and meat processors, commercial bakeries, grocery stores, butcher shops, and food service establishments and provides grease trap cleaning services to many of the same establishments. On December 17, 2010, Darling completed its acquisition of Griffin Industries, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("Griffin") pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 9, 2010 (the "Merger Agreement"), by and among Darling, DG Acquisition Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Darling ("Merger Sub"), Griffin and Robert A. Griffin, as the Griffin shareholders' representative. Merger Sub was merged with and into Griffin (the "Merger"), and Griffin survived the Merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Darling. The Company operates over 125 processing and transfer facilities located throughout the United States to process raw materials into finished products such as protein (primarily meat and bone meal ("MBM") and poultry meal ("PM")), tallow (primarily bleachable fancy tallow ("BFT")), poultry grease ("PG"), yellow grease ("YG"), bakery by-product ("BBP") and hides as well as a range of branded and value-added products. The Company sells these products nationally and internationally, primarily to producers of animal feed, pet food, fertilizer, bio-fuels and other consumer and industrial ingredients, including oleo-chemicals, soaps and leather goods for use as ingredients in their products or for further processing.

Prior to the Merger the Company's operations were organized into two segments: 1) Rendering, the core business of turning inedible food by-products from meat and poultry processors, butcher shops, grocery stores and food service establishments into high quality feed ingredients and fats for other industrial applications; and 2) Restaurant Services, a group focused on the grease collection business, grease collection equipment sales and grease trap servicing. Griffin historically operated in two segments, rendering (as described above) and bakery. The results of Griffin for the period December 17, 2010 (date of Merger) to January 1, 2011, are included in the rendering and bakery operating segments. For the financial results of the Company's business segments, see Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company's net external sales from continuing operations by operating segment were as follows (in thousands):

	Fiscal 2010		Fiscal 2009		Fisc 200	
Continuing operations:						
Rendering Restaurant	\$ 536,935	74.1 % \$	458,573	76.7 %	\$ 585,108	72.5 %
Services	177,750	24.5	139,233	23.3	222,384	27.5
Bakery	10,224	1.4	_	_	_	_
Total	\$ 724,909	100.0 % \$	597,806	100.0 %	\$ 807,492	100.0 %

OPERATIONS

Rendering and restaurant services

The Company's largest business activity is rendering. Prior to the Merger, Darling was primarily a beef renderer. Following the acquisition of Griffin, the Company is one of the leading poultry renderers in the United States. The Company's rendering operations process poultry and animal by-products into protein (primarily MBM and PM (feed grade and pet food)), tallow (primarily BFT), PG, YG, hides and a variety of other value-added finished products. The Company also collects used cooking oil from restaurants and processes it into finished products, such as YG, which it sells to external customers as well as internal divisions. In addition to waste cooking oil, the Company collects trap grease from restaurants in exchange for a collection fee.

Raw materials

The Company's rendering operations collect two primary types of protein by-products, (i) beef and pork by-products and (ii) poultry by-products, which are collected primarily from independent meat and poultry processors, grocery stores, butcher shops and food service establishments.

Rendering materials are collected in one of two manners. Certain large suppliers, such as large meat processors and poultry processors, are furnished with bulk trailers in which the raw material is loaded. The Company provides the remaining suppliers, primarily grocery stores and butcher shops, with containers in which to deposit the raw material. The containers are picked up by or emptied into the Company's trucks on a periodic basis. The type and frequency of service is determined by individual supplier requirements, the volume of raw material generated by the supplier, supplier location and weather, among other factors.

The raw materials collected by the Company are transported either directly to a processing plant or to a transfer station where materials from several collection routes are loaded into trailers and transported to a processing plant. Collections of animal processing by-products generally are made during the day, and materials are delivered to plants for processing within 24 hours of collection to deter spoilage.

Certain of the Company's rendering facilities are highly dependent on one or a few suppliers. During the 2010 fiscal year, the Company's 10 largest raw materials suppliers accounted for approximately 23% of the total raw material processed by the Company with no single supplier accounting for more than 4%. See "Risk factors—A significant percentage of the Company's revenue is attributable to a limited number of suppliers and customers." Should any of these suppliers choose alternate methods of disposal, cease or materially decrease their operations, have their operations interrupted by casualty or otherwise cease using or reduce the use of the Company's collection services, these operating facilities would be materially and adversely affected. For a discussion of the Company's competition for raw materials, see "Competition." Certain Griffin facilities are also heavily reliant on one or a few suppliers, and an interruption in the operations of one or more of those suppliers could likewise have a material impact on those Griffin facilities.

The restaurant services industry is highly fragmented. The Company collects used cooking oil and trap grease from restaurants, food service establishments and grocery stores. Many of the Company's customers operate stores that are parts of national food chains. No single customer represents a material percentage of the Company's total used cooking oil raw materials volume. Used cooking oil from food service establishments is placed in various sizes and types of containers which are supplied by the Company. In some instances, these containers are unloaded directly onto the trucks, while in other instances the oil is pumped through a vacuum hose into the truck. The Company sells two types of containers for used cooking oil collection to food service establishments called CleanStar® and BOSS, both of which are proprietary self-contained collection systems that are housed either inside or outside the

establishment, with the used cooking oil pumped directly into collection vehicles via an outside valve. The frequency of all forms of used cooking oil and trap grease raw material collection is determined by the volume of oil generated by the food service establishment.

The Company either transports trap grease to waste treatment centers or recycles it at its facilities into a host of environmentally safe product streams, including fuel and feed ingredients. The Company provides its customers with a comprehensive set of solutions to their trap grease disposal needs, including manifests for regulatory compliance, computerized routing for consistent cleaning and comprehensive trap cleaning.

Processing operations

The Company produces finished products primarily through the grinding, cooking, separating, drying, and blending of various raw materials. The process starts with the collection of animal processing by-products (including fat, bones, feathers, offal and other animal by-products). The animal processing by-products are ground and heated to extract water and separate oils and grease from animal tissue as well as to sterilize and make the material suitable as an ingredient for animal feed. The separated oils, tallows, and greases are then centrifuged and/or refined for purity. The remaining solid product is pressed to remove additional oils to create meals. The meal is then sifted through screens and ground further if necessary to produce an appropriately sized protein meal.

The primary finished products derived from the processing of animal by-products are tallow, PG, MBM, PM, feather meal, and blood meal. In addition, at certain of its facilities, the Company is able to operate multiple process lines simultaneously which provides it with the flexibility and capacity to manufacture a line of premium and value-added products in addition to its principal finished products. Because of these processing controls, the Company is able to blend end products together in order to produce premium products with specific mixes that typically have higher protein and energy content and lower moisture than principal finished products and command premium prices.

The Company's hides and skins operations process hides and skins from hog and beef processors into outputs used in commercial applications such as the leather industry. The Company sells treated hides and skins to external customers, the majority of which are tanneries.

The Company's fertilizer operations utilize finished products from the rendering division to manufacture fertilizers from USDA approved ingredients that contain no waste by-products (i.e., sludge or sewage waste). The Company's primary fertilizer product line is Nature Safe®, an organic, protein based fertilizer which is produced at its blending plant in Henderson, KY. The Company's fertilizer products are predominately sold to golf courses, sports facilities, organic farms and landscaping companies.

Used cooking oil, which is recovered from restaurants, is heated, settled, and purified for use as an animal feed additive or is further processed into biodiesel. Products derived from used cooking oil include YG, biodiesel, and Fat for Fuel®, which uses grease as a fuel source for industrial boilers and driers.

Bakery feed

The Company is a leading processor of bakery waste in the U.S. The bakery feed division collects bakery waste materials and processes the raw materials into BBP, including Cookie Meal®, an animal feed ingredient primarily used in poultry rations.

Raw materials

Bakery products are collected from large commercial bakeries that produce a variety of products, including cookies, crackers, cereal, bread, dough, potato chips, pretzels, sweet goods and biscuits, among others. The Company collects these materials by bulk loading onsite at the bakeries utilizing proprietary equipment, the majority of which is designed, manufactured, and installed by the Company. The Company has specifically engineered bulk collection systems for the handling of bakery waste. All of the bakery waste that the Company collects is bulk loaded which represents a significant advantage over competitors that receive a large percentage of raw materials from less efficient, manual methods. The receipt of bulk-loaded bakery waste allows the Company to significantly streamline its bakery recycling process, reduce personnel, eliminate a significant source of wastewater and maximize freight savings by hauling more tons per load.

Processing operations

The highly automated bakery feed production process involves sorting and separating raw material, mixing it to produce the appropriate nutritional content, drying it to reduce excess moisture, and grinding it to the consistency of animal feed. During the bakery waste process, packaging materials are removed. The packaging material is fed into a combustion chamber, along with sawdust from nearby sawmills and heat is produced. This heat is used in the dryers to remove moisture from the raw materials that have been partially ground. Finally, the dried meal is ground to the specified granularity. The finished product, which is continually tested to ensure that the caloric and nutrient contents meet specifications, is a nutritious additive used in animal feed.

Renewable fuels / Biodiesel

In addition to the rendering, restaurant and bakery waste services, on January 21, 2011, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company entered into a limited liability company agreement (the "JV Agreement") with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Valero Energy Corporation ("Valero") to form Diamond Green Diesel Holdings LLC (the "Joint Venture"). The Joint Venture will be owned 50% / 50% with Valero and was formed to design, engineer, construct and operate a renewable diesel plant (the "Facility") capable of producing approximately 9,300 barrels per day of renewable diesel, to be located adjacent to Valero's refinery in Norco, Louisiana. The Facility is expected to convert grease, primarily animal fats and used cooking oil supplied by the Company, and potentially other feed stocks that become economically and commercially viable, into renewable diesel. The Facility will use an advanced hydroprocessing-isomerization process licensed from UOP LLC, known as the Ecofining™ Process, and a pretreatment process developed by the Desmet Ballestra Group to convert approximately 1.1 billion pounds per year of recycled animal fats, recycled cooking oils and other feedstocks into renewable diesel product and certain other co-products.

In addition, the Company utilizes a portion of its rendered animal fats, recycled greases and plant oils to produce Bio G-3000TM Premium Diesel Fuel. The Company's biodiesel operations utilize raw material inputs sourced from its rendering and bakery feed operations as well as several third party additives in order to produce Bio G-3000TM. The Company has the annual capacity to produce two million gallons of Bio G-3000TM. The Company's biodiesel product is sold to its internal divisions as well as domestic commercial biodiesel producers to be used as biodiesel fuel, a clean burning additive for diesel fuel or as a biodegradable solvent or cleaning agent. Bio G-3000TM is currently processed at the Company's facility in Butler, Kentucky.

Raw materials pricing and supply contracts

The Company has two primary pricing arrangements—formula and non-formula arrangements—with its suppliers of poultry, beef, pork and bakery waste products and used cooking oil. Under a "formula" arrangement, the charge or credit for raw materials is tied to published finished product commodity prices after deducting a fixed processing fee. The Company also acquires raw material under "non-formula" arrangements whereby suppliers are either paid a fixed price, are not paid, or are charged a collection fee, depending on various economic and competitive factors. Approximately 58% of Darling's annual volume of raw materials is acquired on a "formula" basis. All of Griffin's poultry rendering and bakery feed raw materials are acquired on a "formula" basis.

The credit received or amount charged for raw material under both formula and non-formula arrangements is based on various factors, including the type of raw materials, demand for the raw materials, the expected value of the finished product to be produced, the anticipated yields, the volume of material generated by the supplier and processing and transportation costs.

Formula prices are generally adjusted on a weekly, monthly or quarterly basis while non-formula prices or charges are adjusted as needed to respond to changes in finished product prices or related operating costs.

Finished products

The Company's finished products are predominantly proteins (primarily MBM and PM), oils (primarily BFT, PG and YG), BBP and hides. MBM, PM and BBP are used primarily as high protein additives in pet food and animal feed. Oils are used as ingredients in the production of pet food, animal feed, soaps and as a substitute for traditional fuels. Oleo-chemical producers use these oils as feed stocks to produce specialty ingredients used in paint, rubber, paper, concrete, plastics and a variety of other consumer and industrial products. Hides are sold to leather distributors and manufacturers for the production of leather goods. Currently, substantially all of Darling's principal finished products and approximately half of Griffin's finished products compete with commodities such as

corn, soybean oil and soybean meal. While the Company's finished products are generally sold at prices prevailing at the time of sale, the Company's ability to deliver large quantities of finished products from multiple locations and to coordinate sales from a central location enables the Company to occasionally receive a premium over the then-prevailing market price.

Finished products

The Company's finished products include the following.

Protein Meals

The Company's meal products include MBM, PM, feather meal and blood meal. All of the Company's meal products are protein-rich and contain essential minerals and amino acids which are critically important components of animal feed. MBM, blood meal, PM and feather meal are sold to feed manufacturers while higher grade poultry meal is also sold to pet food manufacturers. Some of the Company's meals are also used as ingredients in its fertilizer operations.

Animal Fats

The Company produces a range of animal fats from its rendering operations. Animal fats are an additive in livestock and pet foods that contains essential fatty acids and energy and enhances the taste of the foods. Animal fats are also frequently sold to soap and beauty products manufacturers as well as industrial manufacturers of paint, rubber, paper, concrete, plastics and other consumer products. The vast majority of the animal fat that the Company produces is used as a feed additive.

Grease

The Company produces several different types of grease including YG and brown grease. Grease, similar to tallow, is an essential ingredient in livestock and pet foods due to its fatty acid composition and high energy content. Due to its nutritional content, the majority of the Company's YG is sold to meat and poultry producers who use the grease as a feed additive. In addition, some of the grease produced by the Company's rendering operations is burned as Fat for Fuel® or used to manufacture biodiesel.

Hides and skins

The Company processes discarded hides and skins from beef, hog and other animal processing facilities. The hides and skins are trimmed and cured in a brine solution that prepares them for tanneries. Tanneries sell the tanned hides and skins primarily to leather companies that use the products in a variety of consumer goods including apparel and vehicle interiors.

Premium, value-added and branded products

The Company's premium, value-added and branded products command significantly higher pricing relative to its principal finished product lines due to their enhanced nutritional content, which is a function of the Company's proprietary processing techniques.

MARKETING, SALES AND DISTRIBUTION OF FINISHED PRODUCTS

The Company sells its finished products worldwide. Finished product sales are primarily managed through the Company's commodity trading departments which are located at Darling's corporate headquarters in Irving, Texas and Griffin's corporate headquarters in Cold Spring, Kentucky. The Company also maintains sales offices in Des Moines, Iowa, New Orleans, Louisiana, and Memphis, Tennessee for the sale and distribution of selected products. This sales force is in contact with several hundred customers daily and coordinates the sale and assists in the distribution of most finished products produced at the Company's processing plants. The Company sells its finished products

internationally through commodities brokers, Company agents and directly to customers in various countries.

The Company sells its finished products primarily to producers of livestock feed, oleo-chemicals, bio-fuels, soaps, pet foods and leather goods for use as ingredients in their products or for further processing. Currently, substantially all of Darling's finished products and approximately half of Griffin's finished products are commodities that are priced relative to competing commodities, primarily corn, soybean oil and soybean meal. Customers for the Company's premium, value added and branded products include feed mills, pet food manufacturers, integrated poultry producers, the dairy industry and golf courses, among others. Feed mills purchase meals, greases, tallows, and Cookie Meal® for use as feed ingredients. Oleo-chemical producers use oils as feed stocks to produce specialty ingredients used in paint, rubber, paper, concrete, plastics and a variety of other consumer and industrial products. Pet food manufacturers require stringent feed safety certifications and consistently demand premium additives that are high in protein and nutritional content. As a result, pet food manufacturers typically purchase only premium or value-added products. The Company typically enters into long-term supply contracts with pet food manufacturers.

The Company has no material foreign operations, but exports a portion of its products to customers in various foreign countries or regions including Asia, the European Union, Latin America, the Pacific Rim, North Africa, Mexico and South America. Total direct export sales were \$71.0 million, \$70.8 million and \$132.2 million for the years ended January 1, 2011, January 2, 2010 and January 3, 2009, respectively. The Company also sells to third parties that export to various foreign countries. The level of export sales varies from year to year depending on the relative strength of domestic versus overseas markets. The Company obtains payment protection for most of its foreign sales by requiring payment before shipment or by requiring bank letters of credit or guarantees of payment from U.S. government agencies. The Company ordinarily is paid for its products in U.S. dollars and has not experienced any material currency translation losses or any material foreign exchange control difficulties. See Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a breakdown of the Company's sales by domestic and foreign customers.

Following diagnosis of the first U.S. case of bovine spongiform encephalopathy ("BSE") on December 23, 2003, many countries banned imports of U.S.-produced beef and beef products, including MBM and initially BFT, though this initial ban on tallow was relaxed to permit imports of U.S.-produced tallow with less than 0.15% impurities. Most foreign markets that were closed to U.S. beef following the discovery of the first U.S. case of BSE have been reopened to U.S beef, although some countries only accept boneless beef or beef from cattle less than 30 months of age. Japan is more restrictive and only permits imports of U.S. beef from cattle that are age verified to be 20 months of age or younger at slaughter. Even though the export markets for U.S. beef have been significantly re-opened, most of these markets remain closed to MBM derived from U.S. beef.

The Company's management monitors market conditions and prices for its finished products on a daily basis. If market conditions or prices were to significantly change, the Company's management would evaluate and implement any measures that it may deem necessary to respond to the change in market conditions. For larger formula-based pricing suppliers, the indexing of finished product price to raw material cost effectively fixes the gross margin on finished product sales at a stable level, providing some protection to the Company from price declines.

Finished products produced by the Company are shipped primarily FOB plant by truck and rail from the Company's plants shortly following production. While there are some temporary inventory accumulations at various port locations for export shipments, inventories rarely exceed three weeks' production and, therefore, the Company uses limited working capital to carry inventories and reduces its exposure to fluctuations in commodity prices. Other factors that influence competition, markets and the prices that the Company receives for its finished products include the quality of the Company's finished products, consumer health consciousness, worldwide credit conditions and U.S. government foreign aid. From time to time, the Company enters into arrangements with its suppliers of raw materials pursuant to which these suppliers buy back the Company's finished products.

The Company operates a fleet of trucks, trailers and railcars to transport raw materials from suppliers and finished product to customers. It also utilizes third party freight to cost-effectively transfer materials and augment its in-house logistics fleet. Within the Company's bakery feed division, all inbound and outbound freight is handled by third party logistics companies.

COMPETITION

Management of the Company believes that the most challenging aspect of the business is the procurement of raw materials rather than the sale of finished products. Pronounced consolidation within the meat processing industry has resulted in bigger and more efficient slaughtering operations, the majority of which utilize "captive" renderers (rendering operations integrated with the meat or poultry packing operation). Simultaneously, the number of small meat processors, which have historically been a dependable source of supply for non-captive renderers, such as the Company, has decreased significantly. The slaughter rates in the meat processing industry are subject to decline due

to economic conditions, and, as a result, during such periods of decline, the availability, quantity and quality of raw materials available to the independent renderers decreases. These factors have been offset, in part, however, by increasing environmental consciousness. The need for food service establishments to comply with environmental regulations concerning the proper disposal of used restaurant cooking oil should continue to provide a growth area for this raw material source. The rendering and restaurant services industries are highly fragmented and very competitive. The Company competes with other rendering and restaurant services businesses, bakery waste and alternative methods of disposal of animal processing by-products and used restaurant cooking oil provided by trash haulers, waste management companies and bio-diesel companies, as well as the alternative of illegal disposal. In addition, restaurants have increasingly experienced theft of used cooking oil. A number of the Company's competitors for the procurement of raw material are experienced, well-capitalized companies that have significant operating experience and historic supplier relationships. Competition for raw materials is based in large part on price and proximity to the supplier.

In marketing its finished products domestically and abroad, the Company faces competition from other processors and from producers of other suitable commodities. Tallows and greases are, in certain instances, substitutes for soybean oil and palm stearine, while MBM and PM are a substitute for soybean meal. Bakery feed is a substitute for corn in animal feed. Consequently, the prices of BFT, PG, YG, MBM, PM and BBP correlate with these substitute commodities. The markets for finished products are impacted mainly by the worldwide supply of and demand for fats, oils, proteins and grains.

SEASONALITY

Although the amount of raw materials made available to the Company by its suppliers is relatively stable on a weekly basis, it is impacted by seasonal factors, including holidays, during which the availability of raw materials declines because major meat and poultry processors are not operating, and cold weather, which can hinder the collection of raw materials. The amount of bakery raw materials the Company will process generally increases on a seasonal basis during the summer from June to September. Warm weather can also adversely affect the quality of raw materials processed and the Company's yields on production because raw material deteriorates more rapidly in warm weather than in cooler weather. Weather can vary significantly from one year to the next and may impact the comparability of operating results of the Company between periods.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

The Company maintains valuable trademarks, service marks, copyrights, trade names, trade secrets, proprietary technologies and similar intellectual property, and considers its intellectual property to be of material value. The Company has registered or applied for registration of certain of its intellectual property, including the tricolor triangle used in the Company's signage and logos and the names "Darling," "Darling Restaurant Services," "Griffin Industries," "Nature Safe," "CleanStar" and "Cookie Meal" and certain patents, both domestically and internationally, relating to the process for preparing nutritional supplements and the drying and processing of raw materials. The Company's policy generally is to pursue intellectual property protection considered necessary or advisable.

EMPLOYEES AND LABOR RELATIONS

As of January 1, 2011, the Company employed approximately 3,330 persons full-time. While the Company has no national or multi-plant union contracts, approximately 44% of Darling's employees are covered by multiple collective bargaining agreements. None of Griffin's employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Management believes that the Company's relations with its employees and their representatives are good. There can be no assurance, however, that new agreements will be reached without union action or will be on terms satisfactory to the Company.

REGULATIONS

The Company is subject to the rules and regulations of various federal, state and local governmental agencies. Material rules and regulations and the applicable agencies include:

• The Food and Drug Administration ("FDA"), which regulates food and feed safety. Effective August 1997, the FDA promulgated a rule prohibiting the use of mammalian proteins, with some exceptions, in feeds for cattle, sheep and other ruminant animals (21 CFR 589.2000, referred to herein as the "BSE Feed Rule") to prevent further spread of BSE, commonly referred to as "mad cow disease." With respect to BSE in the U.S., on October 26, 2009, the FDA began enforcing new regulations intended to further reduce the risk of spreading BSE ("Enhanced BSE Rule"). These new regulations included changes to prohibit the use of tallow having more than a certain percentage of impurities in feed for cattle or other ruminant animals, and prohibiting the use of brain and spinal cord material from cattle aged 30 months and older or the carcasses of such cattle, if the brain and spinal cord are not removed, in the feed or food for all animals. Company management believes the Company is in compliance with the provisions of these rules.

See Item 1A "Risk Factors – The Company's business may be affected by the impact of BSE and other food safety issues," for more information regarding certain FDA rules that affect the Company's business, including changes to the BSE Feed Rule.

- The United States Department of Agriculture ("USDA"), which regulates collection and production methods. Within the USDA, two agencies exercise direct regulatory oversight of the Company's activities:
- Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service ("APHIS") certifies facilities and claims made for exported materials and establishes and enforces import requirements for live animals and animal products, and
- Food Safety Inspection Service ("FSIS") regulates sanitation and food safety programs.

On December 30, 2003, the Secretary of Agriculture announced new beef slaughter/meat processing regulations to assure consumers of the safety of the meat supply. These regulations prohibit non-ambulatory animals from entering the food chain, require removal of specific risk materials at slaughter and prohibit carcasses from cattle tested for BSE from entering the food chain until the animals are shown negative for BSE.

On November 19, 2007, APHIS implemented revised import regulations that allowed Canadian cattle over 30 months of age and born after March 1, 1999 and bovine products derived from such cattle to be imported into the U.S. for any use. Imports of Canadian cattle younger than 30 months of age have been allowed since March 2005. Imports of SRM from Canadian born cattle slaughtered in Canada are not permitted.

- The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), which regulates air and water discharge requirements, as well as local and state agencies governing air and water discharge.
- State Departments of Agriculture, which regulate animal by-product collection and transportation procedures and animal feed quality.
- The United States Department of Transportation ("USDOT"), as well as local and state agencies, which regulate the operation of the Company's commercial vehicles.

•

Occupational Safety and Health Administration, the main federal agency charged with the enforcement of safety and health legislation.

• The Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), which regulates securities and information required in annual and quarterly reports filed by publicly traded companies.

These material rules and regulations and other rules and regulations promulgated by other agencies may influence the Company's operating results at one or more facilities.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Company is required to file annual, quarterly and special reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC, which can be read and/or copies made at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street N.E., Washington D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information about the Public Reference Room. The SEC maintains a web site at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information regarding issuers that file electronically with the SEC. The Company files electronically with the SEC.

The Company makes available, free of charge, through its investor relations web site, its reports on Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are filed with, or furnished to, the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act.

The Company's website is http://www.darlingii.com and the address for the Company's investor relations web site is http://www.darlingii.com/investors.aspx.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Any investment in the Company will be subject to risks inherent to the Company's business. Before making an investment decision in the Company, you should carefully consider the specific risks described below together with all of the other information included in or incorporated by reference into this report before making an investment decision. Each of the risks described below could adversely and materially affect the Company's business, financial condition and operating results. The risks and uncertainties the Company has described are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company or those the Company currently deems immaterial may also affect business or operations of the Company. If any of the events described in the following risk factors actually occurs, the Company's business, financial condition, prospects or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. If any of these events occurs, the trading price of the Company's securities could decline and you may lose all or part of your investment. The risks discussed below also include forward-looking statements and the Company's actual results may differ substantially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. See "Forward-Looking Statements" in this filing.

The prices of the Company's products are subject to significant volatility associated with commodities markets.

The Company's finished products are, with certain exceptions, commodities, the prices of which are quoted on, or derived from prices quoted on, established commodity markets. Accordingly, the Company's results of operations will be affected by fluctuations in the prevailing market prices of these finished products or of other commodities that may be substituted for the Company's products by the Company's customers. Historically, market prices for commodity grains and food stocks have fluctuated in response to a number of factors, including changes in U.S. government farm support programs or energy policies, changes in international agricultural trading policies, impact of disease outbreaks on protein sources and the potential effect on supply and demand as well as weather conditions during the growing and harvesting seasons. While the Company seeks to mitigate the risk associated with price declines, including through the use of formula pricing tied to commodity prices for a substantial portion of the Company's raw materials, a significant decrease in the market price of the Company's products or of other commodities that may be substituted for the Company's products would have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and cash flow.

In addition, increases in the market prices of raw materials would require the Company to seek increased selling prices for the Company's premium, value-added and branded products to avoid margin deterioration. There can be no assurance as to whether the Company could implement future selling price increases in response to increases in the market prices of raw materials or how any such price increases would affect future sales volumes to the Company's customers. The Company's results of operations would be adversely affected in the future by this volatility.

The Company's business is dependent on the procurement of raw materials, which is the most competitive aspect of the Company business.

Management believes that the most competitive aspect of the Company's business is the procurement of raw materials rather than the sale of finished products. Pronounced consolidation within the meat packing industry has resulted in bigger and more efficient slaughtering operations, the majority of which utilize "captive" renderers. Simultaneously, the number of small meat processors, which have historically been a dependable source of supply for non-captive renderers, such as the Company, has decreased significantly. The slaughter rates in the meat processing industry are subject to decline due to economic conditions, and as a result, during such periods of decline, the availability, quantity and quality of raw materials available to the independent renderers decreases. In addition, the Company has seen an increase in the use of restaurant grease in the production of biodiesel, which has increased competition for the collection of used cooking oil. Furthermore, the general performance of the U.S. economy, declining U.S. consumer confidence and the inability of consumers and companies to obtain credit due to the current lack of liquidity in the financial markets has had a negative impact on the Company's raw material volume, such as through the forced closure of certain of the Company's raw material suppliers. A significant decrease in available raw materials or a closure of a raw material supplier could materially and adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations, including the carrying value of the Company's assets.

The rendering and restaurant services industry is highly fragmented and very competitive. The Company competes with other rendering and restaurant services businesses and alternative methods of disposal of animal processing by-products, bakery waste processing and used cooking oil provided by trash haulers, waste management companies and biodiesel companies, as well as the alternative of illegal disposal. See Item 1, "Competition." In addition, restaurants experience theft of used cooking oil. Depending on market conditions, the Company either charges a collection fee to offset a portion of the cost incurred in collecting raw material or will pay for the raw material. To the extent suppliers of raw materials look to alternate methods of disposal, whether as a result of the Company's collection fees being deemed too expensive or otherwise, the Company's raw material supply will decrease and the Company's collection fee revenues will decrease, which could materially and adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations.

A majority of Darling's volume of rendering raw materials and all of Griffin's poultry rendering and bakery feed raw materials are acquired on a "formula basis," which in most cases is set forth in contracts with the Company's suppliers, generally with multi-year terms. These "formulas" allow the Company to manage the risk associated with decreases in commodity prices by adjusting the Company's costs of materials based on changes in the price of the Company's finished products, while also permitting the Company, in certain cases, to benefit from increases in commodity prices. The formulas provided in these contracts are reviewed and modified both during the term of, and in connection with the renewal of, the contracts to maintain an acceptable level of sharing between the Company and the Company's suppliers of the costs and benefits from movements in commodity prices. Changes to these formulas or the inability to renew such contracts could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company is highly dependent on natural gas and diesel fuel.

The Company's operations are highly dependent on the use of natural gas and diesel fuel. The Company consumes significant volumes of natural gas to operate boilers in the Company's plants, which generates steam to heat raw material. Natural gas prices represent a significant cost of facility operations included in cost of sales. The Company also consumes significant volumes of diesel fuel to operate the Company's fleet of tractors and trucks used to collect raw material. Diesel fuel prices represent a significant component of cost of collection expenses included in cost of sales. Although prices for natural gas and diesel fuel remained relatively low during 2010 as compared to recent history, these prices can be volatile and there can be no assurance that these prices will not increase in the near future,

thereby representing an ongoing challenge to the Company's operating results. Although the Company continually manages these costs and hedges the Company's exposure to changes in fuel prices through the Company's formula pricing and derivatives, a material increase in energy prices for natural gas and diesel fuel over a sustained period of time could materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

A significant percentage of the Company's revenue is attributable to a limited number of suppliers and customers.

In fiscal 2010, Darling's top ten customers for finished products accounted for approximately 26% of product sales. In addition, its top ten raw material suppliers accounted for approximately 23% of its raw material supply in the same period. A disruption to, termination of, or modifications to the Company's relationships with any of the Company's significant suppliers or customers could cause the Company's businesses to suffer significant financial losses and could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business, earnings, financial condition and/or cash flows.

Certain of the Company's operating facilities are highly dependent upon a single or a few suppliers.

Certain of the Company's rendering facilities are highly dependent on one or a few suppliers. Should any of these suppliers choose alternate methods of disposal, cease their operations, have their operations interrupted by casualty or otherwise cease using the Company's collection services, these operating facilities may be materially and adversely affected, which could materially and adversely affect the Company's business, earnings, financial condition and/or cash flows.

The Company's efforts to combine Darling's business and Griffin's business may not be successful.

The acquisition of Griffin is the largest and most significant acquisition Darling has undertaken. The Company's management will continue to be required to devote a significant amount of time and attention to the process of integrating the operations of Darling's business and the business of Griffin, which may decrease the time it will have to serve existing customers, attract new customers and develop new services or strategies. Although Darling expects that Griffin's business will operate to a significant extent on an independent basis and that it will not require significant integration going forward for the Company to continue the operations of Griffin's business, this may not prove to be the case. The size and complexity of Griffin's business and the process of using Darling's existing common support functions and systems to manage Griffin's business after the Merger, if not managed successfully by the Company's management, may result in interruptions in the Company's business activities, a decrease in the quality of the Company's services, a deterioration in the Company's employee and customer relationships, increased costs of integration and harm to the Company's reputation, all of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company may not realize the growth opportunities and cost synergies that the Company anticipated from the Merger.

The benefits that the Company expects to achieve as a result of the Merger will depend, in part, on the Company's ability to realize anticipated growth opportunities and cost synergies. The Company's success in realizing these growth opportunities and cost synergies, and the timing of this realization, depends on the successful integration of Darling's and Griffin's businesses and operations and the adoption of the Company's respective best practices. Even if the Company is able to integrate Darling's and Griffin's businesses and operations successfully, this integration may not result in the realization of the full benefits of the growth opportunities and cost synergies that the Company currently expects from this integration within the anticipated time frame or at all. For example, the combined company may be unable to eliminate duplicative costs. Moreover, the combined company may incur substantial expenses in connection with the integration of Darling's and Griffin's businesses and operations. While the Company anticipates that certain expenses will be incurred, such expenses are difficult to estimate accurately and may exceed current estimates. Accordingly, the benefits from the Merger may be offset by unanticipated costs incurred or unanticipated delays in integrating the companies.

The Company's business may be affected by energy policies of U.S. and foreign governments.

Pursuant to the requirements established by the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 on February 3, 2010, the EPA finalized regulations for the National Renewable Fuel Standard Program ("RFS2"). The regulation mandates that transportation fuels used domestically consist of biomass-based diesel (biodiesel or renewable diesel) of 1.15 billion gallons in 2010, 0.8 billion gallons in 2011 and 1.0 billion gallons in 2012. Beyond 2012 the regulation requires a minimum of 1.0 billion gallons of biomass-based diesel for each year through 2022 and such amount is subject to increase by the EPA Administrator. Biomass-based diesel also qualifies to fulfill the non-specified portion of the advanced bio-fuel requirement. In order to qualify as a "renewable fuel" each type of fuel from each type of feed stock is required to lower greenhouse gas emissions ("GHG") by levels specified in the regulation. The EPA has determined that bio-fuels (either biodiesel or renewable diesel) produced from waste oils, fats and greases result in an 86% reduction in GHG emissions, exceeding the 50% requirement established by the regulation. Prices for the Company's finished products may be impacted by worldwide government policies relating to renewable fuels and greenhouse gas emissions. Programs like RFS2 and tax credits for bio-fuels both in the United States and abroad may positively impact the demand for the Company's finished products. Accordingly, changes to, a failure to enforce or discontinuing any of these programs could have a negative impact on the Company's business and results of operations.

The Company may incur material costs and liabilities in complying with government regulations.

The Company is subject to the rules and regulations of various federal, state and local governmental agencies. Material rules and regulations and the applicable agencies include:

- The FDA, which regulates food and feed safety;
- The USDA, including its agencies APHIS and FSIS, which regulates collection and production methods:
- The EPA, which regulates air and water discharge requirements, as well as local and state agencies, which monitor air and water discharges;
- State Departments of Agriculture, which regulate animal by-product collection and transportation procedures and animal feed quality;
- The USDOT, as well as local and state transportation agencies, which regulate the operation of the Company's commercial vehicles:
- The Occupational Safety and Health Administration, which is the main federal agency charged with the enforcement of safety and health legislation; and
- The SEC, which regulates securities and information required in annual and quarterly reports filed by publically traded companies.

The applicable rules and regulations promulgated by these agencies may influence the Company's operating results at one or more facilities. Furthermore, the loss of or failure to obtain necessary federal, state or local permits and registrations at one or more of the Company's facilities could halt or curtail operations at impacted facilities, which could adversely affect the Company's operating results. The Company's failure to comply with applicable rules and regulations could subject the Company to: (i) administrative penalties and injunctive relief; (ii) civil remedies, including fines, injunctions and product recalls; and (iii) adverse publicity. There can be no assurance that the Company will not incur material costs and liabilities in connection with these rules and regulations.

Seasonal factors and weather can impact the quality and volume of raw materials that the Company processes.

The quantity of raw materials available to the Company is impacted by seasonal factors, including holidays, when raw material volume declines, and cold weather, which can impact the collection of raw material. In addition, warm weather can adversely affect the quality of raw material processed and the Company's yield on production due to more rapidly degrading raw materials. The quality and volume of finished product that the Company is able to produce could be negatively impacted by unseasonable weather or unexpected declines in the volume of raw material available during holidays, which in turn could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

Downturns and volatility in global economies and commodity and credit markets could materially adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations.

The Company's results of operations are materially affected by the state of the global economies and conditions in the credit, commodities and stock markets. Among other things, the Company may be adversely impacted if the Company's domestic and international customers and suppliers are not able to access sufficient capital to continue to

operate their businesses or to operate them at prior levels. A decline in consumer confidence or changing patterns in the availability and use of disposable income by consumers can negatively affect both the Company's suppliers and customers. Declining discretionary consumer spending or the loss or impairment of a meaningful number of the Company's suppliers or customers could lead to a dislocation in either raw material availability or customer demand. Tightened credit supply could negatively affect the Company's customers' ability to pay for the Company's products on a timely basis or at all and could result in a requirement for additional bad debt reserves. Although many of the Company's customer contracts are formula-based, continued volatility in the commodities markets could negatively impact the Company's revenues and overall profits. Counterparty risk on finished product sales can also impact revenue and operating profits when customers either are unable to obtain credit or refuse to take delivery of finished product due to market price declines. In addition, a lender in the Company's credit facilities may be unable to fund its portion of the commitment, the impact of which could materially affect the Company's financial condition.

The Company's business may be affected by the impact of BSE and other food safety issues.

Effective August 1997, the FDA promulgated a rule prohibiting the use of mammalian proteins, with some exceptions, in feeds for cattle, sheep and other ruminant animals (referred to herein as the "BSE Feed Rule") to prevent further spread of BSE, commonly referred to as "mad cow disease." Detection of the first case of BSE in the United States in December 2003 resulted in additional U.S. government regulations, finished product export restrictions by foreign governments, market price fluctuations for the Company's finished products and reduced demand for beef and beef products by consumers. Even though the export markets for U.S. beef have been significantly re-opened, most of these markets remain closed to MBM derived from U.S. beef. Continued concern about BSE in the United States may result in additional regulatory and market related challenges that may affect the Company's operations or increase the Company's operating costs.

With respect to BSE in the United States, on October 26, 2009, the FDA began enforcing new regulations intended to further reduce the risk of spreading BSE ("Enhanced BSE Rule"). These new regulations included amending the BSE Feed Rule to prohibit the use of tallow having more than 0.15% insoluble impurities in feed for cattle or other ruminant animals. In addition, the FDA implemented rules that prohibit the use of brain and spinal cord material from cattle aged 30 months and older or the carcasses of such cattle, if the brain and spinal cord are not removed, in the feed or food for all animals ("Prohibited Cattle Materials"). Tallow derived from Prohibited Cattle Materials that also contains more than 0.15% insoluble impurities cannot be fed to any animal. The Company has followed the Enhanced BSE Rule since it was first published in 2008 and has made capital expenditures and implemented new processes and procedures to be compliant with the Enhanced BSE Rule at all of the Company's operations. Based on the foregoing, while the Company acknowledges that unanticipated issues may arise as the FDA continues to implement the Enhanced BSE Rule and conducts compliance inspections, the Company does not currently anticipate that the Enhanced BSE Rule will have a significant impact on the Company operations or financial performance. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the Company can provide no assurance that unanticipated costs and/or reductions in raw material volumes related to the Company's implementation of and compliance with the Enhanced BSE Rule will not negatively impact the Company's operations and financial performance.

With respect to human food, pet food and animal feed safety, the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007 (the "Act") was signed into law on September 27, 2007 as a result of Congressional concern for pet and livestock food safety, following the discovery in March 2007 of pet and livestock food that contained adulterated imported ingredients. The Act directs the Secretary of Health and Human Services and the FDA to promulgate significant new requirements for the pet food and animal feed industries. As a prerequisite to new requirements specified by the Act, the FDA was directed to establish a Reportable Food Registry, which was implemented on September 8, 2009. On June 11, 2009, the FDA issued "Guidance for Industry: Questions and Answers Regarding the Reportable Food Registry as Established by the Food and Drug Administration Amendments Act of 2007: Draft Guidance." Stakeholder comments and questions about the Reportable Food Registry that were submitted to the docket or during public meetings were incorporated into a second draft guidance ("RFR Draft Guidance"), which was published on September 8, 2009. In the RFR Draft Guidance, the FDA defined a reportable food, which the manufacturer or distributor would be required to report in the Reportable Food Registry, to include materials used as ingredients in animal feeds and pet foods, if there is reasonable probability that the use of such materials will cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals. The FDA issued a second version of its RFR Draft Guidance in May 2010 without finalizing it. On July 27, 2010, the FDA released "Compliance Policy guide Sec. 690.800, Salmonella in Animal Feed, Draft Guidance" ("Draft CPG"), which describes differing criteria to determine whether pet food and farmed animal feeds that are contaminated with salmonella will be considered to be adulterated under section 402(a)(1) of the Food Drug and Cosmetic Act. According to the Draft CPG, any finished pet food contaminated with any species of salmonella will be considered adulterated because such feeds have direct human contact. Finished animal feeds intended for pigs, poultry and other farmed animals, however, will be considered to be adulterated only if the feed is contaminated with a species of salmonella that is considered to be

pathogenic for the animal species that the feed is intended for. The impact of the Act and implementation of the Reportable Food Registry on the Company, if any, will not be clear until the FDA finalizes its RFR Draft Guidance and the Draft CPG, neither of which were finalized as of the date of this report. The Company believes that it has adequate procedures in place to assure that its finished products are safe to use in animal feed and pet food and the Company does not currently anticipate that the Act will have a significant impact on the Company's operations or financial performance. Any pathogen, such as salmonella, that is correctly or incorrectly associated with the Company's finished products could have a negative impact on the demands for the Company's finished products.

In addition, on January 4, 2011, President Barack Obama signed the Food Safety Modernization Act ("FSMA") into law. As enacted, the FSMA gave the FDA new authorities, which became effective immediately. Included among these is mandatory recall authority for adulterated foods that are likely to cause serious adverse health consequences or death to humans or animals, if the responsible party fails to cease distribution and recall such adulterated foods voluntarily. In addition, the FSMA requires the FDA to develop new regulations that, among other provisions, places additional registration requirements on food and feed producing firms; requires registered facilities to perform hazard analyses and to implement preventive plans to control those hazards identified to be reasonably likely to occur; increases the length of time that records are required to be retained; and regulate the sanitary transportation of food. Such new food safety provisions will require new FDA rulemaking and increased federal appropriations before the provisions can be implemented. The Company has followed the FSMA throughout its legislative history and implemented hazard prevention controls and other procedures that the Company believes will be needed to comply with the FSMA. Such legislation could, among other things, require the Company to amend certain of the Company's other operational policies and procedures. While unforeseen issues and requirements may arise as the FDA promulgates the new regulations provided for by the FSMA, the Company does not anticipate that the costs of compliance with the FSMA will materially impact the Company's business or operations.

The Company's business may be negatively impacted by the occurrence of any disease correctly or incorrectly linked to animals.

The emergence of 2009 H1N1 flu (initially known as "Swine Flu") in North America during the spring of 2009 was initially linked to hogs even though hogs have not been determined to be the source of the outbreak in humans. The 2009 H1N1 flu has since spread to affect the human populations in countries throughout the world, although as of the date of this report its severity is similar to seasonal flu and it has had little impact on hog production. The occurrence of H1N1 or any other disease that is correctly or incorrectly linked to animals and which has a negative impact on meat or poultry consumption or animal production could have a negative impact on the volume of raw materials available to the Company or the demand for the Company's finished products. Another such animal disease is avian influenza ("H5N1"), or Bird Flu, which is a highly contagious disease affecting chickens and other poultry species throughout Asia and Europe. The H5N1 strain is highly pathogenic, which has caused concern that a pandemic could occur if the disease migrates from birds to humans. This highly pathogenic strain has not been detected in North or South America as of the date of this report, but low pathogenic strains that are not a threat to human health have occurred in the United States and Canada in recent years. The USDA has developed safeguards to protect the U.S. poultry industry from H5N1. These safeguards are based on import restrictions, disease surveillance and a response plan for isolating and depopulating infected flocks if the disease is detected. Notwithstanding these safeguards, any significant outbreak of Bird Flu in the United States could have a material negative impact on the Company's business by reducing demand for MBM and reducing the availability of poultry by-products.

The emergence of these types of diseases that are in or associated with animals and have the potential to also threaten humans has created concern that such diseases could spread and cause a global pandemic. Even though such a pandemic has not occurred, governments may be pressured to address these concerns and prohibit imports of animals, meat and animal by-products from countries or regions where the disease is detected. The occurrence of Swine Flu, Bird Flu or any other disease in the United States that is correctly or incorrectly linked to animals and has a negative impact on meat or poultry consumption or animal production could have a material negative impact on the volume of raw materials available to the Company or the demand for the Company's finished products.

If the Company or the Company's customers are the subject of product liability claims or product recalls, the Company may incur significant and unexpected costs and the Company's business reputation could be adversely affected.

The Company and its customers for whom the Company manufactures products may be exposed to product liability claims and adverse public relations if consumption or use of the Company's products is alleged to cause injury or illness to humans or animals. In addition, the Company and its customers may be subject to product recalls resulting from developments relating to the discovery of unauthorized adulterations to food additives. The Company's insurance may not be adequate to cover all liabilities the Company incurs in connection with product liability claims or product recalls. The Company may not be able to maintain its existing insurance or obtain comparable insurance at a reasonable cost, if at all. A product liability judgment against the Company or against one of its customers for whom the Company manufactures products, or the Company's or their agreement to settle a product liability claim or a product recall, could also result in substantial and unexpected expenditures, which would reduce operating income and cash flow. In addition, even if product liability claims against the Company or its customers for whom the Company manufactures products are not successful or are not fully pursued, defending these claims would likely be costly and time-consuming and may require management to spend time defending the claims rather than operating the Company's business and may result in adverse publicity.

Product liability claims, product recalls or any other events that cause consumers to no longer associate the Company's brands or those of the Company's customers for whom the Company manufactures products with high quality and safety, may hurt the value of the Company's and the Company's customers' brands and lead to decreased demand for the Company's products. In addition, as a result of any such claims against the Company or product recalls, the Company may be exposed to claims by the Company's customers for damage to their reputations and brands. Product liability claims and product recalls may also lead to increased scrutiny by federal and state regulatory agencies of the Company's operations and could have a material adverse effect on the Company's brands, business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company's operations are subject to various laws, rules and regulations relating to the protection of the environment and to health and safety, and the Company could incur significant costs to comply with these requirements or be subject to sanctions or held liable for environmental damages.

The Company's operations subject the Company to various and increasingly stringent federal, state, and local environmental, health and safety requirements, including those governing air emissions, wastewater discharges, the management, storage and disposal of materials in connection with the Company's facilities and the Company's handling of hazardous materials and wastes, such as gasoline and diesel fuel used by the Company's trucking fleet and operations. Failure to comply with these requirements could have significant consequences, including penalties, claims for personal injury and property and natural resource damages, and negative publicity. The Company's operations require the control of air emissions and odor and the treatment and discharge of wastewater to municipal sewer systems and the environment. The Company operates boilers at many of the Company's facilities and stores wastewater in lagoons or discharges it to publicly owned wastewater treatment systems, surface waters or through land application. The Company operates and maintains a vehicle fleet to transport products to and from customer locations. The Company has incurred significant capital and operating expenditures to comply with environmental requirements, including for the upgrade of wastewater treatment facilities, and will continue to incur such costs in the future. The Company could be responsible for the remediation of environmental contamination and may be subject to associated liabilities and claims for personal injury and property and natural resource damages. The Company owns or operates numerous properties, has been in business for many years and has acquired and disposed of properties and businesses. During that time, the Company or other owners or operators may have generated or disposed of wastes that are or may be considered hazardous or may have polluted the soil, surface water or groundwater at or around the Company's facilities. Under some environmental laws, such as the Comprehensive Environmental Response,

Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, also known as CERCLA or Superfund, and similar state statutes, responsibility for the cost of cleanup of a contaminated site can be imposed upon any current or former site owners and operators, or upon any party that sent waste to the site, regardless of the lawfulness of the activities that led to the contamination. There can be no assurance that the Company will not face extensive costs or penalties that would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. For example, the Company has been named as a third-party defendant in a lawsuit pending in the Tierra/Maxus Litigation (as defined herein) and has received notice from the EPA with respect to alleged contamination in the Lower Passaic River area. Future developments, such as more aggressive enforcement policies, new laws or discoveries of unknown conditions, may also require expenditures that may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and financial condition.

In addition, increasing efforts to control emissions of greenhouse gases, or GHG, are likely to impact the Company's operations. The EPA's recent rule establishing mandatory GHG reporting for certain activities may apply to some of the Company's facilities if the Company exceeds the applicable thresholds. The EPA has also announced a finding relating to GHG emissions that may result in promulgation of GHG air quality standards. Legislation to regulate GHG emissions has been proposed in the U.S. Congress and a growing number of states are taking action to require reductions in GHG emissions. Future GHG emissions limits may require the Company to incur additional capital and operational expenditures. EPA regulations limiting exhaust emissions also became more restrictive in 2010, and on October 25, 2010, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration and the EPA proposed new regulations that would govern fuel efficiency and GHG emissions beginning in 2014. Compliance with such regulations could increase the cost of new fleet vehicles and increase the Company's operating expenses. Compliance with future GHG regulations may require expenditures that could affect the Company's results of operations.

The Company's success is dependent on its key personnel.

The Company's success depends to a significant extent upon a number of key employees, including members of senior management. The loss of the services of one or more of these key employees could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations and prospects. The Company believes that its future success will depend in part on its ability to attract, motivate and retain skilled technical, managerial, marketing and sales personnel. Competition for these types of skilled personnel is intense and there can be no assurance that the Company will be successful in attracting, motivating and retaining key personnel. The failure to hire and retain these personnel could materially adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations.

In certain markets the Company is highly dependent upon a single operating facility and various events beyond the Company's control can cause interruption in the operation of the Company's facilities, which could adversely affect its business in those markets.

The Company's facilities are subject to various federal, state and local environmental and other permitting requirements, depending on their locations. Periodically, these permits may be reviewed and subject to amendment or withdrawal. Applications for an extension or renewal of various permits may be subject to challenge by community and environmental groups and others. In the event of a casualty, condemnation, work stoppage, permitting withdrawal or delay or other unscheduled shutdown involving one of the Company's facilities, in a majority of the Company's markets it would utilize a nearby operating facility to continue to serve its customers. In certain markets, however, the Company does not have alternate operating facilities. In the event of a casualty, condemnation, work stoppage, permitting withdrawal or delay or other unscheduled shutdown in these markets, the Company may experience an interruption in its ability to service its customers and to procure raw materials. This may materially and adversely affect the Company's business and results of operations in those markets. In addition, after an operating facility affected by a casualty, condemnation, work stoppage, permitting withdrawal or delay or other unscheduled shutdown is restored, there could be no assurance that customers who in the interim choose to use alternative disposal services would return to use the Company's services.

The renewable diesel joint venture with Valero will subject the Company to a number of risks.

The Company announced on January 21, 2011 that a wholly-owned subsidiary of Darling entered into the JV Agreement with a wholly-owned subsidiary of Valero to form the Joint Venture. The Joint Venture will be owned 50% / 50% with Valero and was formed to design, engineer, construct and operate the Facility, which will be capable of producing approximately 9,300 barrels per day of renewable diesel fuel and certain other co-products, to be located adjacent to Valero's refinery in Norco, Louisiana. The Joint Venture intends to construct the Facility under an engineering, procurement and construction contract ("EPC Contract") that will fix the Company's maximum economic exposure for the cost of the Facility. On January 20, 2011, the U.S. Department of Energy ("DOE") offered to the Joint Venture a conditional commitment to issue an approximately \$241 million loan guarantee (the "DOE")

Guarantee") under the Energy Policy Act of 2005 to support the construction of the Facility. Each of Darling and Valero will be required, as a condition to the DOE Guarantee, to guarantee the completion of the Facility on a several (but not joint and several) basis; however, the Company's obligations under the completion guarantee will be terminated if Congress repeals the biomass-based diesel mandate under RSF2 in its entirety. Through equity investments into the Joint Venture, each of Darling and Valero are committed to contributing approximately \$93.2 million (the "Equity Commitment") of the estimated aggregate costs of approximately \$427.0 million for the completion of the Facility. The ultimate cost of the Joint Venture to the Company cannot be determined until, among other things, further detailed engineering reports and studies have been completed. As part of the terms and conditions of the DOE Guarantee, until the Company's Equity Commitment has been paid in full or repayment of the DOE Guarantee, the Company has to commit to, among other things, a sponsor completion guarantee covering certain costs of the construction of the Facility and the Company must maintain a cash balance of approximately \$27 million (less the pro rata portion of the Company's Equity Commitment made prior to such date) in a segregated financial account, the proceeds of which will be used solely to fund the Company's Equity Commitment required under the DOE Guarantee and its related documentation. The Company's funds on deposit in such segregated financial account cannot at any time be lower than the initial funding less one third of the portion of the Equity Commitment that the Company has made. The Company will not have access to those funds for any other part of the Company's business. In addition to the segregated financial account requirement, the Company will be required to maintain, on each business day, average availability under a debt facility and in cash and/or cash equivalents (including any amounts in the segregated financial account) sufficient to fund the full amount of the Company's remaining Equity Commitment required under the DOE Guarantee and its related documentation. As a result of the requirements that the Company maintains a minimum cash balance in a segregated financial account and certain availability under a debt facility to cover the Company's Equity Commitment, such committed funds will not be available to the Company for other purposes, including other business opportunities, development costs for other projects, working capital and general corporate needs. The Company is also required to pay for 50% of any cost overruns incurred in connection with the construction of the Facility. Further, the Company will have to grant a security interest in substantially all of the assets of the Joint Venture, including providing a pledge of all of the Company's equity interests in the Joint Venture, for the benefit of the DOE until the loan guaranteed by the DOE Guarantee has been paid in full and the DOE Guarantee has terminated in accordance with its terms.

The Company may not be able to construct the Facility on acceptable terms or at all. If the Company commences construction of the Facility, the Joint Venture will require investment of significant financial resources and may require the Company to obtain additional equity financing or require the Company to incur additional indebtedness. There is no guarantee that the Facility will be constructed in a timely manner or at all. Further, while the two principal technologies to be licensed for the Joint Venture are established technologies, their use together in the manner currently contemplated for the Joint Venture is innovative and has not been previously employed. If the Facility is completed, there is no guarantee that the Joint Venture will be profitable or allow the Company to make a return on the Company's investment, and the Company may lose the Company's entire investment including any payments made under any of the Company's guarantees.

Further, the Congress that is currently in session is under great pressure to employ significant federal budget cuts during 2011. Certain members of Congress have suggested that the DOE's funding of guaranties to support construction of alternative fuel facilities should be eliminated. If the DOE funding program is eliminated prior to entry by the Joint Venture and the DOE into definitive documentation, the Joint Venture would be forced to turn to alternative funding sources to build the Facility. Such funding may not be available at all, or may be available at costs that are not commercially reasonable. The Company can offer no assurance that the Joint Venture will be able to obtain funding for the construction of the Facility at a reasonable cost, or at all.

The Joint Venture is dependent on governmental energy policies and programs, such as RFS2, which positively impact the demand for and price of renewable diesel. Any changes to, a failure to enforce or a discontinuation of any of these programs could have a material adverse affect on the Joint Venture. See "Risk Factors—The Company's business may be affected by energy policies of U.S. and foreign governments." Similarly, the Joint Venture is subject to the risk that new or changing technologies may be developed that could meet demand for renewable diesel under governmental mandates in a more efficient or less costly manner than the technologies to be used by the Joint Venture, which could negatively affect the price of renewable diesel and have a material adverse affect on the Joint Venture.

In addition, the commencement and operation of a joint venture such as this involve a number of risks that could harm the Company's business and result in the Joint Venture not performing as expected, such as:

- problems integrating or developing operations, personnel, technologies or products;
- the breakdown or failure of equipment or processes;
- the failure of the end product to perform as anticipated;

- unforeseen engineering and environmental issues;
- the inaccuracy of the Company's assumptions about the timing and amount of anticipated costs and revenues;
- the diversion of management time and resources;
- obtaining permits and other regulatory issues, license revocation and changes in legal requirements;
- insufficient experience with the technologies and markets involved;
- difficulties in establishing relationships with suppliers and end user customers;
- unanticipated cost overruns;
- risks commonly associated with the start-up of "greenfield" projects;
- performance below expected levels of output or efficiency;
- reliance on Valero and its adjacent refinery facility for many services and processes;
- subsequent impairment of the acquired assets, including intangible assets; and
- being bought out and not realizing the benefits of the Joint Venture.

If any of these risks described above were to materialize and the operations of the Joint Venture were significantly disrupted, this could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company's substantial level of indebtedness as a result of the Merger may adversely affect the Company's ability to operate its business, remain in compliance with debt covenants, react to changes in the economy or its industry and make payments on its indebtedness.

As of January 1, 2011, the Company had total indebtedness of approximately \$710.0 million, consisting of \$250.0 million of 8.5% Senior Notes due 2018 (the "Senior Unsecured Notes") and \$460.0 million of revolving and term loan borrowings and undrawn commitments available for additional borrowings under the Company's senior secured credit facilities (the "Senior Secured Credit Facilities"), entered into on December 17, 2010 to fund, among other things, a portion of the consideration for the acquisition of Griffin, of \$141.6 million (after giving effect to \$23.4 million of outstanding letters of credit). In February 2011, the Company repaid an aggregate of \$300.0 million of indebtedness issued under its Senior Secured Credit Facilities. The remaining level of indebtedness will require the Company to devote a material portion of the Company's cash flow to the Company's debt service obligations. The Company's level of indebtedness could have important consequences, including the following:

- a substantial portion of the Company's cash flows from operations will be dedicated to the payment of principal and
 interest on the Company's indebtedness and will not be available for other purposes, including investment in the
 Company's operations, future business opportunities or strategic acquisitions, capital expenditures and other general
 corporate purposes;
- it may limit the Company's flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and the industry in which it operates;

- the Company may be more highly leveraged than some of its competitors, which may place the Company at a competitive disadvantage;
- it could make the Company more vulnerable to downturns in general economic or industry conditions or in the Company's business;
- it may limit, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in the agreements governing the Company's indebtedness, the Company's ability in the future to obtain financing, the Company's ability to refinance any of its indebtedness, or the Company's ability to dispose of assets or borrow money for its working capital requirements, capital expenditures, acquisitions, debt service requirements and general corporate or other purposes on commercially reasonable terms or at all; and
- it may make it more difficult for the Company to satisfy its obligations with respect to its indebtedness.

Despite the Company's existing indebtedness, the Company may still incur more debt, which could exacerbate the risks described above.

The Company may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. Although the agreements governing the Company's indebtedness, including, without limitation, the agreements governing the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities, will limit the Company's ability to incur certain additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions, and the additional indebtedness that could be incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. To the extent that the Company incurs additional indebtedness, the risks associated with the Company's leverage described above, including the Company's possible inability to service its debt, would increase.

The Company could incur a material weakness in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that would require remediation.

The Company's disclosure controls and procedures were deemed to be effective in fiscal 2010. However, any future failures to maintain the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, including the Company's internal control over financial reporting, could subject the Company to a loss of public confidence in its internal control over financial reporting and in the integrity of its public filings and financial statements and could harm the Company's operating results or cause the Company to fail to meet its regulatory reporting obligations in a timely manner. The ongoing integration of the operations of Griffin following the Merger could create additional risks to the Company's disclosure controls, including the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

An impairment in the carrying value of the Company's goodwill or other intangible assets may have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

As of January 1, 2011, the Company has approximately \$376.3 million of goodwill. The Company is required to annually test goodwill to determine if impairment has occurred. Additionally, impairment of goodwill must be tested whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred. If the testing performed indicates that impairment has occurred, the Company is required to record a non-cash impairment charge for the difference between the carrying value of the goodwill and the implied fair value of the goodwill in the period the determination is made. The testing of goodwill for impairment requires the Company to make significant estimates about its future performance and cash flows, as well as other assumptions. These estimates can be affected by numerous factors, including changes in economic, industry or market conditions, changes in business operations or changes in competition. Changes in these factors, or changes in actual performance compared with estimates of the Company's future performance, may affect the fair value of goodwill, which may result in an impairment charge. The Company cannot accurately predict the amount and timing of any impairment of assets. Should the value of goodwill become impaired, there may be a materially adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

The Company may be subject to work stoppages at its operating facilities which could cause interruptions in the manufacturing of the Company's products.

While the Company has no national or multi-plant union contracts, approximately 44% of Darling's employees are covered by multiple collective bargaining agreements. None of Griffin's employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Labor organizing activities could result in additional employees becoming unionized and higher ongoing labor costs. Darling's collective bargaining agreements expire at varying times over the next five years. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to negotiate the terms of any expiring or expired agreement in a manner acceptable to the Company. If the Company's unionized workers were to engage in a strike, work stoppage or other slowdown in the future, the Company could experience a significant disruption of its operations, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, results of operations and financial

condition.

Litigation may materially adversely affect the Company's businesses, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company is a party to several lawsuits, claims and loss contingencies arising in the ordinary course of our business, including assertions by certain regulatory and governmental agencies related to permitting requirements and air, wastewater and storm water discharges from the Company's processing facilities. The outcome of litigation, particularly class action lawsuits and regulatory actions, is difficult to assess or quantify. Plaintiffs in these types of lawsuits may seek recovery of very large or indeterminate amounts, and the magnitude of the potential loss relating to such lawsuits may remain unknown for substantial periods of time. The cost to defend future litigation may be significant and any future litigation may divert the attention of management away from the Company's strategic objectives. There may also be adverse publicity associated with litigation that may decrease customer confidence in the Company's business, regardless of whether the allegations are valid or whether we are ultimately found liable. As a result, litigation may have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Certain multi-employer defined benefit pension plans to which the Company contributes are under-funded.

The Company contributes to several multi-employer defined benefit pension plans pursuant to obligations under collective bargaining agreements covering union-represented employees. The Company does not manage these multi-employer plans. Based upon the most currently available information from plan administrators, some of which is more than a year old, the Company believes that some of these multi-employer plans are under-funded due partially to a decline in the value of the assets supporting these plans, a reduction in the number of actively participating members for whom employer contributions are required and the level of benefits provided by the plans. In addition, the Pension Protection Act, which was enacted in August 2006 and went into effect in January 2008, requires under-funded pension plans to improve their funding ratios within prescribed intervals based on the level of their under-funding. As a result, the Company's required contributions to these plans may increase in the future. Furthermore, under current law, a termination of, the Company's voluntary withdrawal from or a mass withdrawal of all contributing employers from any underfunded multi-employer defined benefit plan to which the Company contributes would require the Company to make payments to the plan for the Company's proportionate share of such multi-employer plan's unfunded vested liabilities. Also, if a multi-employer defined benefit plan fails to satisfy certain minimum funding requirements, the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") may impose a nondeductible excise tax of 5% on the amount of the accumulated funding deficiency for those employers not contributing their allocable share of the minimum funding to the plan. Requirements to pay increased contributions, withdrawal liability and excise taxes could negatively impact the Company's liquidity and results of operations.

If the number or severity of claims for which the Company is self-insured increases, if the Company is required to accrue or pay additional amounts because the claims prove to be more severe than the Company's recorded liabilities, if the Company's insurance premiums increase, or if the Company is unable to obtain insurance at acceptable rates or at all, the Company's financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

The Company's workers compensation, auto and general liability policies contain significant deductibles or self-insured retentions. The Company develops bi-yearly and records quarterly an estimate of the Company's projected insurance-related liabilities. The Company estimates the liabilities associated with the risks retained by the Company, in part, by considering historical claims experience, demographic and severity factors and other actuarial assumptions. Any actuarial projection of losses is subject to a degree of variability. If the number or severity of claims for which the Company is self-insured increases, or the Company is required to accrue or pay additional amounts because the claims prove to be more severe than the Company's original assessments, the Company's financial condition and results of operations may be materially adversely affected. In addition, in the future the Company's insurance premiums may increase and the Company may not be able to obtain similar levels of insurance on reasonable terms or at all. Any such inadequacy of, or inability to obtain, insurance coverage could have a material

adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

The Company may not successfully identify and complete acquisitions on favorable terms or achieve anticipated synergies relating to any acquisitions, and such acquisitions could result in unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures and require significant management resources.

The Company regularly reviews potential acquisitions of complementary businesses, services or products. However, the Company may be unable to identify suitable acquisition candidates in the future. Even if the Company identifies appropriate acquisition candidates, the Company may be unable to complete such acquisitions on favorable terms, if at all. In addition, the process of integrating an acquired business, service or product into the Company's existing business and operations may result in unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures. Integration of an acquired company also may require significant management resources that otherwise would be available for ongoing development of the Company's business. Moreover, the Company may not realize the anticipated benefits of any acquisition or strategic alliance and such transactions may not generate anticipated financial results. Future acquisitions could also require the Company to incur debt, assume contingent liabilities or amortize expenses related to intangible assets, any of which could harm the Company's business.

Terrorist attacks or acts of war may cause damage or disruption to the Company and the Company's employees, facilities, information systems, security systems, suppliers and customers, which could significantly impact the Company's net sales, costs and expenses and financial condition.

Terrorist attacks, such as those that occurred on September 11, 2001, have contributed to economic instability in the United States, and further acts of terrorism, bioterrorism, violence or war could affect the markets in which the Company operates, the Company's business operations, the Company's expectations and other forward-looking statements contained in this report. The threat of terrorist attacks in the United States since September 11, 2001 continues to create many economic and political uncertainties. The potential for future terrorist attacks, the U.S. and international responses to terrorist attacks and other acts of war or hostility, including the ongoing war in Afghanistan, may cause greater uncertainty and cause the Company's business to suffer in ways that cannot currently be predicted. Events such as those referred to above could cause or contribute to a general decline in investment valuations. In addition, terrorist attacks, particularly acts of bioterrorism, that directly impact the Company's facilities or those of the Company's suppliers or customers could have an impact on the Company's sales, supply chain, production capability and costs and the Company's ability to deliver its finished products.

If the Company experiences difficulties or a significant disruption in the Company's information systems or if the Company fails to implement new systems and software successfully, the Company's business could be materially adversely affected.

The Company depends on information systems throughout the Company's business to process incoming customer orders and outgoing supplier orders, manage inventory, collect raw materials and distribute products, process and bill shipments to and collect cash from the Company's customers, respond to customer and supplier inquiries, contribute to the Company's overall internal control processes, maintain records of the Company's property, plant and equipment, and record and pay amounts due vendors and other creditors.

If the Company were to experience a disruption in its information systems that involve interactions with suppliers and customers, it could result in a loss of raw material supplies, sales and customers and/or increased costs, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations. The Company may also encounter difficulties in developing new systems or maintaining and upgrading existing systems. Such difficulties may lead to significant expenses or losses due to disruption in business operations, loss of sales or profits, or cause the Company to incur significant costs to reimburse third parties for damages, and, as a result, may have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations.

The Company's products may infringe the intellectual property rights of others, which may cause the Company to incur unexpected costs or prevent the Company from selling its products.

The Company maintains valuable trademarks, service marks, copyrights, trade names, trade secrets, proprietary technologies and similar intellectual property, and considers the Company's intellectual property to be of material value. The Company has in the past and may in the future be subject to legal proceedings and claims in the ordinary course of its business, including claims of alleged infringement of patents, trademarks and other intellectual property rights of third parties by the Company or its customers. Any such claims, whether or not meritorious, could result in costly litigation and divert the efforts of the Company's management. Moreover, should the Company be found liable for infringement, the Company may be required to enter into licensing agreements (if available on acceptable terms or at all) or to pay damages and cease making or selling certain products. Any of the foregoing could cause the Company to incur significant costs and prevent the Company from manufacturing or selling its products.

The recently enacted legislation on healthcare reform and proposed amendments thereto could impact the healthcare benefits required to be provided by the Company and cause the Company's compensation costs to increase, potentially reducing the Company's net income and adversely affecting its cash flows.

The recently enacted healthcare legislation and proposed amendments thereto contain provisions that could materially impact the Company's future healthcare costs. While the legislation's ultimate impact is not yet known, it is possible that these changes could significantly increase the Company's compensation costs, which would reduce the Company's net income and adversely affect its cash flows.

The market value of the Company's common stock has been and may continue to be volatile.

The market price of the Company's common stock has been subject to volatility and, in the future, the market price of the Company's common stock could fluctuate widely in response to numerous factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control. Numerous factors, including many over which the Company has no control, may have a significant impact on the market price of the Company's common stock. In addition to the risk factors discussed in this report, the price and volume volatility of the Company's common stock may be affected by:

- actual or anticipated fluctuations in commodities prices;
- actual or anticipated variations in the Company's results;
- the Company's earnings releases and financial performance;
- changes in financial estimates or buy/sell recommendations by securities analysts;
- the integration of Griffin's business, the effect of the Merger on the Company's business going forward and the Company's ability to realize growth opportunities as a result therefrom;
 - the Company's ability to repay its debt;
- the Company's access to financial and capital markets to refinance its debt or its ability to repay indebtedness under the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities and its Senior Unsecured Notes;
 - the effect of future sales of substantial amounts of the Company's common stock;
 - performance of the Company's joint venture investments;
 - the Company's dividend policy;
 - market conditions in the industry and the general state of the securities markets;
 - investor perceptions of the Company and the industry and markets in which it operates;
 - governmental legislation or regulation;
 - currency and exchange rate fluctuations; and
 - general economic and market conditions, such as recessions or significant inflation.

Future sales of the Company's common stock or the issuance of other equity may adversely affect the market price of the Company's common stock.

The Company is not restricted from issuing additional common stock, including securities that are convertible into or exchangeable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock. The issuance of additional shares of the Company's common stock or convertible securities, including the Company's outstanding options, or otherwise, will dilute the ownership interest of the Company's common stockholders.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of the Company's common stock or other equity-related securities in the public market could depress the market price of the Company's common stock and impair the Company's ability to raise capital through the sale of additional equity securities. The Company cannot predict the effect that future sales of the Company's common stock or other equity-related securities would have on the market price of the Company's common stock.

The Company's common stock is an equity security and is subordinate to the Company's existing and future indebtedness.

The Company's common stock is an equity interest and does not constitute indebtedness. As such, shares of common stock rank junior to all of the Company's indebtedness and to other non-equity claims on the Company and the Company's assets available to satisfy claims on the Company, including claims in a bankruptcy, liquidation or similar proceeding. The Company's existing indebtedness restricts, and future indebtedness may restrict, payment of dividends on its common stock.

Unlike indebtedness, where principal and interest customarily are payable on specified due dates, in the case of common stock, (i) dividends are payable only when and if declared by the Company's board of directors or a duly authorized committee of the board and (ii) as a corporation, the Company is restricted to only making dividend payments and redemption payments out of legally available assets. Further, the common stock places no restrictions on the Company's business or operations or on the Company's ability to incur indebtedness or engage in any transactions, subject only to the voting rights available to stockholders generally.

In addition, any of the Company's rights (including the rights of the holders of the Company's common stock) to participate in the assets of any of the Company's subsidiaries upon any liquidation or reorganization of any subsidiary will be subject to the prior claims of that subsidiary's creditors (except to the extent the Company may itself be a creditor of that subsidiary), including that subsidiary's trade creditors and the Company's creditors who have obtained or may obtain guarantees from the subsidiaries. As a result, the Company's common stock is subordinated to the Company and the Company's subsidiaries' obligations and liabilities, which currently include borrowings under the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the Company's Senior Unsecured Notes.

The Company's ability to pay any dividends on its common stock may be limited.

The Company has not paid any dividends on its common stock since January 3, 1989. The Company's current financing arrangements permit the Company to pay cash dividends on the Company's common stock within limitations defined by the terms of the Company's existing indebtedness, including the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities, Senior Unsecured Notes and any indentures or other financing arrangements that the Company enters into in the future. For example, the agreements governing the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities restrict the Company's ability to make payments of dividends in cash if certain coverage ratios are not met. Even if such coverage ratios are met in the future, any determination to pay cash dividends on the Company's common stock will be at the discretion of the Company's board of directors and will be based upon the Company's financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, plans for expansion, business opportunities, restrictions imposed by any of the Company's financing arrangements, provisions of applicable law and any other factors that the Company's board of directors determines are relevant at that point in time.

The issuance of shares of preferred stock could adversely affect holders of common stock, which may negatively impact an investment in the Company's common stock.

The Company's board of directors is authorized to cause the Company to issue classes or series of preferred stock without any action on the part of the Company's stockholders. The board of directors also has the power, without stockholder approval, to set the terms of any such classes or series of preferred shares that may be issued, including the designation, preferences, limitations and relative rights over the common stock with respect to dividends or upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's business and other terms. If the Company issues preferred shares in the future that have a preference over the common stock with respect to the payment of dividends or upon liquidation, dissolution or winding up, or if the Company issues preferred shares with voting rights that dilute the voting power of the common stock, the rights of holders of the Company's common stock or the market price of the

common stock could be adversely affected. As of the date of this filing, the Company has no outstanding shares of preferred stock but the Company has available for issuance 1,000,000 authorized but unissued shares of preferred stock.

UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

ITEM 1.B

None.

PROPERTIES

ITEM

2.

The Company's corporate headquarters is located at 251 O'Connor Ridge Boulevard, Suite 300, Irving, Texas, 75038, in an office facility where the Company leases approximately 31,000 square feet. The Company also maintains regional offices in Cold Spring, Kentucky and Des Moines, Iowa.

As of January 1, 2011, the Company operates over 125 processing and transfer facilities including the processing locations listed below. All of the processing facilities are owned except for ten leased facilities and the Company owns or leases over 60 transfer stations in the U.S., some of which also process yellow grease and trap. These transfer stations serve as collection points for routing raw material to the processing facilities set forth below. Some locations service a single business segment while others service more than one business segment. The following is a listing of the Company's operating facilities by business segment:

LOCATION DESCRIPTION

Combined Rendering and Restaurant Services Business Segments

Bastrop, TX Rendering/Yellow Grease Bellevue, NE Rendering/Yellow Grease Rendering/Yellow Grease Berlin, WI Blue Earth, MN Rendering/Yellow Grease Rendering/Yellow Grease Boise, ID Butler, KY Rendering/Yellow Grease Clinton, IA Rendering/Yellow Grease Coldwater, MI Rendering/Yellow Grease Collinsville, OK Rendering/Yellow Grease Columbus, IN Rendering/Yellow Grease Dallas, TX Rendering/Yellow Grease Denver, CO Rendering/Yellow Grease Rendering/Yellow Grease Des Moines, IA Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap Detroit, MI Rendering/Yellow Grease East Dublin, GA Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap E. St. Louis, IL Rendering/Yellow Grease Ellenwood, GA Rendering/Yellow Grease Fresno, CA Houston, TX Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap Jackson, MS Rendering/Yellow Grease Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap Kansas City, KS Los Angeles, CA Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap Mason City, IL Rendering/Yellow Grease Newark, NJ Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap

Newberry, IN Rendering/Yellow Grease Rendering/Yellow Grease Russellville, KY San Francisco, CA (1) Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap Sioux City, IA Rendering/Yellow Grease Starke, FL Rendering/Yellow Grease Tacoma, WA (1) Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap Tampa, FL Rendering/Yellow Grease Rendering/Yellow Grease Turlock, CA Union City, TN Rendering/Yellow Grease Rendering/Yellow Grease Wahoo, NE Wichita, KS Rendering/Yellow Grease/Trap

Rendering Business Segment

Cincinnati, OH Hides

Denver, CO Edible Meat and Tallow

Fairfax, MO Protein Blending

Grand Island, NE (1) Pet Food

Henderson, KY Fertilizer Blending Kansas City, KS Protein Blending

Kansas City, MO Hides

Kendallville, IN Specialty Rendering

Lexington, NE Rendering & Protein Blending

Lynn Center, IL Protein Blending
Omaha, NE (2) Rendering
Omaha, NE Protein Blending
Omaha, NE (2) Technical Tallow

Quincy, FL Hides

Restaurant Services Business Segment

Yellow Grease/Trap Alma, GA Calhoun, GA Yellow Grease/Trap Chicago, IL Yellow Grease/Trap Yellow Grease/Trap Cleveland, OH Ft. Lauderdale, FL (2) Yellow Grease/Trap Yellow Grease/Trap Holden, LA Indianapolis, IN Yellow Grease/Trap Little Rock, AK Yellow Grease/Trap No. Las Vegas, NV Yellow Grease/Trap

San Diego, CA (1) Trap Santa Ana, CA (1) Trap Smyrna, GA Trap

Tampa, FL (2) Yellow Grease/Trap

Bakery Feed Segment

Albertville, AL (1) Bakery Feed Butler, KY (1) Bakery Feed

Doswell, VA Bakery Feed/Yellow Grease

Henderson, KY (1) Bakery Feed Honey Brook, PA Bakery Feed

Marshville, NC Bakery Feed/Yellow Grease

Memphis, TN (1) Bakery Feed North Baltimore, OH Bakery Feed

Watts, OK (1) Bakery Feed/Yellow Grease

Other

Butler, KY Biodiesel

(1) Property is leased. Rent expense for these leased properties was \$1.0 million in the aggregate in fiscal 2010.

(2) Property location ceased operations in January 2011. All raw materials that were processed by this plant are now processed by another Company facility.

Substantially all assets of the Company, including real property, are either pledged or mortgaged as collateral for borrowings under the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM

3.

The Company is a party to several lawsuits, claims and loss contingencies arising in the ordinary course of its business, including assertions by certain regulatory and governmental agencies related to permitting requirements and air, wastewater and storm water discharges from the Company's processing facilities.

The Company's workers compensation, auto and general liability policies contain significant deductibles or self-insured retentions. The Company estimates and accrues its expected ultimate claim costs related to accidents occurring during each fiscal year and carries this accrual as a reserve until these claims are paid by the Company.

As a result of the matters discussed above, the Company has established loss reserves for insurance, environmental and litigation matters. At January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, the reserves for insurance, environmental and litigation contingencies reflected on the balance sheet in accrued expenses and other non-current liabilities for which there are no potential insurance recoveries were approximately \$28.2 million and \$15.6 million, respectively. The Company's management believes these reserves for contingencies are reasonable and sufficient based upon present governmental regulations and information currently available to management; however, there can be no assurance that final costs related to these matters will not exceed current estimates. The Company believes that the likelihood is remote that any additional liability from these lawsuits and claims that may not be covered by insurance would have a material effect on the financial statements.

Lower Passaic River Area. The Company has been named as a third party defendant in a lawsuit pending in the Superior Court of New Jersey, Essex County, styled New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection, The Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Agency and the Administrator of the New Jersey Spill Compensation Fund, as Plaintiffs, vs. Occidental Chemical Corporation, Tierra Solutions, Inc., Maxus Energy Corporation, Repsol YPF, S.A., YPF, S.A., YPF Holdings, Inc., and CLH Holdings, as Defendants (Docket No. L-009868-05) (the "Tierra/Maxus Litigation"). In the Tierra/Maxus Litigation, which was filed on December 13, 2005, the plaintiffs seek to recover from the defendants past and future cleanup and removal costs, as well as unspecified economic damages, punitive damages, penalties and a variety of other forms of relief, purportedly arising from the alleged discharges into the Passaic River of a particular type of dioxin and other unspecified hazardous substances. The damages being sought by the plaintiffs from the defendants are likely to be substantial. On February 4, 2009, two of the defendants, Tierra Solutions, Inc. ("Tierra") and Maxus Energy Corporation ("Maxus"), filed a third party complaint against over 300 entities, including the Company, seeking to recover all or a proportionate share of cleanup and removal costs, damages or other loss or harm, if any, for which Tierra or Maxus may be held liable in the Tierra/Maxus Litigation. Tierra and Maxus allege that Standard Tallow Company, an entity that the Company acquired in 1996, contributed to the discharge of the hazardous substances that are the subject of this case while operating a former plant site located in Newark, New Jersey. The Company is investigating these allegations, has entered into a joint defense agreement with many of the other third-party defendants and intends to defend itself vigorously. Additionally, in December 2009, the Company, along with numerous other entities, received notice from the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that the Company (as successor-in-interest to Standard Tallow Company) is considered a potentially responsible party with respect to alleged contamination in the lower Passaic River area which is part of the Diamond Alkali Superfund Site located in Newark, New Jersey. In the letter, EPA requested that the Company join a group of other parties in funding a remedial investigation and feasibility study at the site. As of the date of this report, the Company has not agreed to participate in the funding group. The Company's ultimate liability for investigatory costs, remedial costs and/or natural resource damages in connection with the lower Passaic River area cannot be determined at this time; however, as of the date of this report, there is nothing that leads the Company to believe that these matters will have a material effect on the Company's financial position or results of operation.

(Removed and Reserved)

ITEM

4.

PART II

MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS ITEMAND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES 5.

The Company's common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "DAR". The following table sets forth, for the quarters indicated, the high and low closing sales prices per share for the Company's common stock as reported on the NYSE.

	Market Price
Fiscal Quarter	High Low
2010:	
First Quarter	\$ 9.13 \$ 7.48
Second Quarter	\$ 9.69 \$ 7.25
Third Quarter	\$ 8.59 \$ 7.02
Fourth Quarter	\$ 13.59 \$ 8.31
2009:	
First Quarter	\$ 6.39 \$ 2.94
Second Quarter	\$ 8.24 \$ 4.14
Third Quarter	\$ 8.13 \$ 6.33
Fourth Quarter	\$ 8.39 \$ 6.80

On February 23, 2011, the closing sales price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE was \$13.91. The Company has been notified by its stock transfer agent that as of February 23, 2011, there were 176 holders of record of the common stock.

The Company has not paid any dividends on its common stock since January 3, 1989 and does not expect to pay cash dividends in 2011. The agreements underlying the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities and Senior Unsecured Notes permit the Company to pay cash dividends on its common stock within limitations defined in such agreements. Any future determination to pay cash dividends on the Company's common stock will be at the discretion of the Company's board of directors and will be based upon the Company's financial condition, operating results, capital requirements, plans for expansion, restrictions imposed by any financing arrangements, and any other factors that the board of directors determines are relevant.

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the change in the cumulative total stockholder return on the Company's common stock with the cumulative total return of the Russell 2000 Index, the Dow Jones US Waste and Disposal Service Index, and the CS-Agribusiness Index for the period from December 31, 2005 to January 1, 2011, assuming the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2005 and the reinvestment of dividends.

The stock price performance shown on the following graph only reflects the change in the Company's stock price relative to the noted indices and is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

The following table sets forth certain information as of January 1, 2011 with respect to the Company's equity compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which the Company's equity securities are authorized for issuance, aggregated by i) all compensation plans previously approved by the Company's security holders, and ii) all compensation plans not previously approved by the Company's security holders. The table includes:

- the number of securities to be issued upon the exercise of outstanding options and granted non-vested stock;
 - the weighted-average exercise price of the outstanding options and granted non-vested stock; and
 - the number of securities that remain available for future issuance under the plans.

			(c)
			Number of
			securities
			remaining
	(a)		available
	Number of		for future
	securities		issuance
	to be issued		under equity
	upon	(b)	compensation
	exercise of	Weighted-average	plans
	outstanding	exercise price of	(excluding
	options,	outstanding	securities
	warrants	options, warrants	reflected in
Plan Category	and rights	and rights	column (a))
		_	
Equity compensation			
plans approved	1,397,022	\$ 5.87	1,933,217
by security holders	(1)		
Equity compensation			
plans not	_	_	_
approved by security			
holders			
Total	1,397,022	\$ 5.87	1,933,217

(1) Includes shares underlying options that have been issued and granted non-vested stock pursuant to the Company's 2004 Omnibus Incentive Plan (the "2004 Plan") as approved by the Company's stockholders. See Note 12 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding the material features of the 2004 Plan.

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

ITEM

6.

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table presents selected consolidated historical financial data for the periods indicated. The selected historical consolidated financial data set forth below should be read in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company for the three years ended January 1, 2011, January 2, 2010, and January 3, 2009, and the related notes thereto.

				isc 201		Fiso 200				Fiscal 2007		Fiscal 2006
								vo Fifty-t			'n.	
				•		Nee		•		Weeks		Weeks
						End				Ended		Ended
			Jan	uai	ry 1, Jar	ıua	ry	2, Januar	y 3	Decemb	er l	December
						010				29,		30,
									•	2007		2006 (i)
					(do sha			in thousa	nds	, except po	er	
Statement of Operations					SIIa	re (ua	ita)				
Data:												
	\$	724,909		\$	597,806	5	\$	807,492	\$	645,313	\$	406,990
Cost of sales and operating	Ψ	, 2 1,, 5 0 5		Ψ	277,000		Ψ	007,172	Ψ	0.0,010	Ψ	100,550
expenses		531,648			440,111	1		614,708		483,453		321,416
Selling, general and								,		,		,
administrative expenses (a)		68,042			61,062			59,761		57,999		45,649
Depreciation and		·			·			·		·		
amortization		31,908			25,226			24,433		23,214		20,686
Acquisition costs		10,798			468			-		-		-
Goodwill impairment (b)		-			-			15,914		-		-
Operating income		82,513			70,939			92,676		80,647		19,239
Interest expense (c)		8,737			3,105			3,018		5,045		7,184
Other (income)/expense,												
net (d), (e)		3,433			955			(258)		570		4,682
Income from continuing												
operations before income		70.242			((070			00.016		75.022		7 272
taxes		70,343			66,879			89,916		75,032		7,373
Income tax expense	Φ	26,100		Φ	25,089		Φ	35,354	Φ	29,499	Φ	2,266
Net Income Basic earnings per	Ф	44,243		\$	41,790		\$	54,562	\$	45,533	\$	5,107
common share (f)	\$	0.53		\$	0.51		\$	0.67	\$	0.56	\$	0.07
Diluted earnings per	Ψ	0.55		Ψ	0.51		Ψ	0.07	Ψ	0.50	Ψ	0.07
common share (f)	\$	0.53		\$	0.51		\$	0.66	\$	0.56	\$	0.07
tominon oner (1)	Ψ	3.23		Ψ	3.51	•	Ψ	3.00	Ψ	0.00	Ψ	J. 0 /

Edgar Filing: DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-K

Weighted average shares					
outstanding (f)	82,854	82,142	81,685	81,091	74,310
Diluted weighted average					
shares outstanding (f)	83,243	82,475	82,246	81,916	75,259
0					
Other Financial Data:					
Adjusted EBITDA (g)	\$ 114,421	\$ 96,165	\$ 133,023	\$ 103,861	\$ 39,925
Depreciation	26,328	21,398	19,266	18,332	16,134
Amortization	5,580	3,828	5,167	4,882	4,552
Capital expenditures (h)	24,720	23,638	31,006	15,552	11,800
•					
Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital	\$ 30,756	\$ 75,100	\$ 67,446	\$ 34,385	\$ 17,865
Total assets	1,382,258	426,171	394,375	351,338	320,806
Current portion of					
long-term debt	3,009	5,009	5,000	6,250	5,004
Total long-term debt less					
current portion	707,030	27,539	32,500	37,500	78,000
Stockholders' equity	464,296	284,877	236,578	200,984	151,325
1 /		,	,	,	

(a) Included in selling, general and administrative expenses is a loss on a legal settlement of approximately \$2.2 million offset by a gain on a separate legal settlement of approximately \$1.0 million in fiscal 2007.

Includes a goodwill impairment charge of \$15.9 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008.

(b)

- Included in interest expense for fiscal 2010 is approximately \$3.1 million for bank financing fees paid as a (c) result of the acquisition of Griffin.
- Included in other (income)/expense in fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2006 is a write-off of deferred loan costs of approximately \$0.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively for the early termination of previous senior credit agreements. In addition, in fiscal 2006 other (income)/expense include early retirement fees of approximately \$1.9 million for the early retirement of senior subordinated notes.
- (e) Included in other (income)/expense in fiscal 2010 is a write-off of property for fire and casualty losses of approximately \$1.0 million for losses incurred in plant fires at two plant locations.

- (f) The Company has prepared fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009 earnings per share computations and retrospectively only revised the Company's comparative prior period computations for fiscal 2008 and 2007 to include in basic and diluted earnings per share non-vested and restricted share awards considered participating securities as a result of the Company's January 4, 2009 adoption of the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's ("FASB") authoritative guidance pertaining to whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two class method.
- (g)Adjusted EBITDA is presented here not as an alternative to net income, but rather as a measure of the Company's operating performance and is not intended to be a presentation in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). Since EBITDA is not calculated identically by all companies, the presentation in this report may not be comparable to those disclosed by other companies.

Adjusted EBITDA is calculated below and represents, for any relevant period, net income/(loss) plus depreciation and amortization, goodwill and long-lived asset impairment, interest expense, (income)/loss from discontinued operations, net of tax, income tax provision and other income/(expense). The Company believes adjusted EBITDA is a useful measure for investors because it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in the Company's industry. In addition, management believes that adjusted EBITDA is useful in evaluating the Company's operating performance compared to that of other companies in its industry because the calculation of adjusted EBITDA generally eliminates the effects of financing, income taxes and certain non-cash and other items that may vary for different companies for reasons unrelated to overall operating performance. As a result, the Company's management uses adjusted EBITDA as a measure to evaluate performance and for other discretionary purposes. However, adjusted EBITDA is not a recognized measurement under U.S. GAAP, should not be considered as an alternative to net income as a measure of operating results or to cash flow as a measure of liquidity, and is not intended to be a presentation in accordance with GAAP. Also, since adjusted EBITDA is not calculated identically by all companies, the presentation in this report may not be comparable to those disclosed by other companies.

In addition to the foregoing, management also uses or will use adjusted EBITDA to measure compliance with certain financial covenants under the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities and Senior Unsecured Notes. The amounts shown below for adjusted EBITDA differ from the amounts calculated under similarly titled definitions in the Company's Senior Secured Credit Facilities and Senior Unsecured Notes, as those definitions permit further adjustments to reflect certain other non-cash charges.

Reconciliation of Net Income to Adjusted EBITDA

·	January 1,	January 2,	January 3,	December 29,	December 30,
(dollars in thousands)	2011	2010	2009	2007	2006
Net income	\$ 44,243	\$ 41,790	\$ 54,562	\$ 45,533	\$ 5,107
Depreciation and					
amortization	31,908	25,226	24,433	23,214	20,686
Goodwill impairment	-	-	15,914	-	-
Interest expense	8,737	3,105	3,018	5,045	7,184
Income tax expense	26,100	25,089	35,354	29,499	2,266
Other, net	3,433	955	(258)	570	4,682
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 114,421	\$ 96,165	\$ 133,023	\$ 103,861	\$ 39,925

Excludes the capital assets acquired as part of the Merger of Griffin and from Nebraska By-Products, Inc. of approximately \$243.7 million in fiscal 2010. Excludes the capital assets acquired as part of acquiring substantially all of the assets of National By-Products, LLC ("NBP") of approximately \$51.9 million in fiscal 2006 and API Recycling's used cooking oil collection business of \$3.4 million in fiscal 2008. Also excludes the capital assets acquired in fiscal 2009 from Boca Industries, Inc. and Sanimax USA, Inc. of approximately \$8.0 million.

- (i) Fiscal 2006 includes 33 weeks of contribution from the acquired NBP assets
- (j) Fiscal 2008 includes 19 weeks of contribution from the API Recycling used cooking oil collection business.
- (k) Fiscal 2009 includes 45 weeks of contribution from the acquired assets of Boca Industries, Inc. and does not include any contribution from assets acquired from Sanimax USA, Inc. as the acquisition occurred on December 31, 2009.
- (l) Fiscal 2010 includes 2 weeks of contribution from the Griffin assets and 31 weeks of contribution from the assets of Nebraska By-Products, Inc.

ITEMMANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF 7. OPERATIONS

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth in Item 1A of this report under the heading "Risk Factors."

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 8 of this report. During fiscal 2010, the Company was organized into two operating business segments, Rendering and Restaurant Services. See Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Overview

The Company is a leading provider of rendering, cooking oil and bakery waste recycling and recovery solutions to the nation's food industry. The Company collects and recycles animal by-products, bakery waste and used cooking oil from poultry and meat processors, commercial bakeries, grocery stores, butcher shops, and food service establishments and provides grease trap cleaning services to many of the same establishments. On December 17, 2010, Darling completed its acquisition of Griffin Industries, Inc. and its subsidiaries ("Griffin") pursuant to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of November 9, 2010 (the "Merger Agreement"), by and among Darling, DG Acquisition Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Darling ("Merger Sub"), Griffin and Robert A. Griffin, as the Griffin shareholders' representative. Merger Sub was merged with and into Griffin (the "Merger"), and Griffin survived the Merger as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Darling. The Company operates over 125 processing and transfer facilities located throughout the United States to process raw materials into finished products such as protein (primarily meat and bone meal, ("MBM") and poultry meal ("PM")), tallow (primarily bleachable fancy tallow, ("BFT")), poultry grease ("PG"), yellow grease ("YG"), bakery by-product ("BBP") and hides as well as a range of branded and value-added products. The Company sells these products nationally and internationally, primarily to producers of animal feed, pet food, fertilizer, bio-fuels and other consumer and industrial ingredients, including oleo-chemicals, soaps and leather goods for use as ingredients in their products or for further processing. All of the Company's finished products are commodities and are priced relative to competing commodities primarily corn, soybean oil and soybean meal. Finished product prices will track as to nutritional and industry value to the ultimate customer's use of the product. As a result of the Merger, the Company's year-end results for 2010 reflect 2 weeks of contribution from Griffin. For additional information on the Company's business, see Item 1, "Business," and for additional information on the Company's segments, see Note 18 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fiscal 2010 will be remembered as an exceptional and transformational year for Darling International Inc. Earnings reflect the second best year in our 128 year history only to be accented by the Company's merger with Griffin on December 17, 2010. For the year, the Company watched values for the global grains and oilseeds complex approach record highs and in turn saw the Company's finished product prices escalate throughout the year. Overall, the Company's raw material tonnage grew nicely in both rendering and restaurant services. On the rendering side, the Company benefited from improved slaughter volumes driven by a return of profitability for both the livestock producer and meat processor. The Company's restaurant services segment benefited from increased volumes and improved prices for finished products as the U.S. economy began to rebound and eating out normalized. Energy costs for both natural gas and diesel were favorable. Overall operating costs were effectively managed and reflected the Company's higher volume of inputs.

Operating income increased by \$11.6 million in fiscal 2010 compared to fiscal 2009. Operating income was impacted in fiscal 2010 by operating expenses of approximately \$10.8 million representing acquisition costs and expenses incurred as a result of the acquisitions during fiscal 2010. The continuing challenges faced by the Company indicate

there can be no assurance that operating results achieved by the Company in fiscal 2010 are indicative of future operating performance of the Company.

Summary of Critical Issues Faced by the Company during Fiscal 2010

- Significantly higher finished product prices for BFT and YG as compared to fiscal 2009 are a sign of improving U.S. and world economies and increased global demand for BFT and YG for use in bio-fuels in fiscal 2010. These higher prices were offset somewhat by lower MBM prices in fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. Finished product prices were favorable to the Company's sales revenue, but this favorable result was partially offset by the negative impact on raw material cost, due to the Company's formula pricing arrangements with raw material suppliers, which index raw material cost to the prices of finished product derived from the raw material. The financial impact of finished goods prices on sales revenue and raw material cost is summarized below in Results of Operations. Comparative sales price information from the Jacobsen index, an established trading exchange publisher used by management, is listed below in Summary of Key Indicators.
- Higher raw material volumes were collected from suppliers during fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. Management believes the positive effect of the integration of current and prior year acquisition activity excluding the effects of the acquisition of Griffin and improving conditions in the food service industry contributed to the increase in raw material volumes collected by the Company during fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. The financial impact of higher raw material volumes is summarized below in Results of Operations.
- Energy prices for natural gas costs declined during fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009, but were more than offset by an increase in diesel fuel costs during fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009.

Summary of Critical Issues and Known Trends Faced by the Company in Fiscal 2010 and Thereafter

Critical Issues and Challenges

- The acquisition of Griffin is the largest and most significant acquisition Darling has undertaken. Although Darling expects that Griffin's business will operate to a significant extent on an independent basis and that it will not require significant integration going forward for the Company to continue the operations of Griffin's business, this may not prove to be the case. See the risk factor entitled "The Company's efforts to combine Darling's business and Griffin's business may not be successful" on page 14 for more information.
- Integration of smaller current and prior year acquisition activity and improving conditions in the food service industry contributed to the increased raw material volumes collected by the Company in fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. No assurance can be given that increased activity in the food service industry or the U.S. and global economies will continue in the future. If further economic instability were to occur in the future there could be a negative impact on the Company's ability to obtain raw materials for the Company's operations.
- Finished product prices for BFT and YG commodities increased during fiscal 2010 as compared to fiscal 2009. No assurance can be given that this increase in commodity prices for BFT and YG will continue in the future, as commodity prices are volatile by their nature. A future decrease in commodity prices could have a significant impact on the Company's earnings in fiscal 2011 and into future periods.
- The Company consumes significant volumes of natural gas to operate boilers in its plants, which generate steam to heat raw material. Natural gas prices represent a significant cost of factory operation included in cost of sales. The Company also consumes significant volumes of diesel fuel to operate its fleet of tractors and trucks used to collect raw material. Diesel fuel prices represent a significant component of cost of collection expenses included in cost of sales. Diesel fuel prices were higher during fiscal 2010 as compared to the same period of fiscal 2009. These prices can be volatile and there can be no assurance that these prices will not increase further in the near future, thereby representing an ongoing challenge to the Company's operating results for future periods. A material

increase in energy prices for natural gas and diesel fuel over a sustained period of time could materially adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

Worldwide Government Energy Policies

• As previously noted, prices for the Company's finished products may be impacted by worldwide government policies relating to renewable fuels and greenhouse gas emissions, and programs such as RFS2 and tax credits for bio-fuels both in the U.S. and abroad may positively impact the demand for the Company's finished products. See the risk factor entitled "The Company's business may be affected by energy policies of U.S. and foreign governments," on page 14, for more information regarding RFS2 and how changes to these worldwide government policies could have a negative impact on the Company's business and results of operations.

Other Food Safety and Regulatory Issues

• Effective August 1997, the FDA promulgated the BSE Feed Rule prohibiting the use of mammalian proteins, with some exceptions, in feeds for cattle, sheep and other ruminant animals. The intent of this rule is to prevent the spread of BSE, commonly referred to as "mad cow disease." As previously noted, the FDA has amended the BSE Feed Rule, which the FDA began enforcing on October 26, 2009. Management has followed this proposed amendment throughout its history in order to assess and minimize the impact of its implementation on the Company. See the risk factor entitled "The Company's business may be affected by the impact of BSE and other food safety issues," beginning on page 16, for more information about BSE, including the Final BSE Rule, and other food safety issues and their potential effects on the Company, including the potential effects of additional government regulations, finished product export restrictions by foreign governments, market price fluctuations for finished goods, reduced demand for beef and beef products by consumers and increases in operating costs resulting from BSE-related concerns.

Even though the export markets for U.S. beef have been significantly re-opened, most of these markets remain closed to MBM derived from U.S. beef. Continued concern about BSE in the U.S. may result in additional regulatory and market related challenges that may affect the Company's operations and/or increase the Company's operating costs.

These challenges indicate there can be no assurance that fiscal 2010 operating results are indicative of future operating performance of the Company.

Results of Operations

Fifty-two Week Fiscal Year Ended January 1, 2011 ("Fiscal 2010") Compared to Fifty-two Week Fiscal Year Ended January 2, 2010 ("Fiscal 2009")

Summary of Key Factors Impacting Fiscal 2010 Results:

Principal factors that contributed to a \$11.6 million increase in operating income, which are discussed in greater detail in the following section, were:

- · Changes in finished product prices and quality down grades,
- · Higher raw material volumes, and
- · Two weeks of contribution from the acquisition of Griffin.

These increases to operating income were partially offset by:

- · Acquisition costs and expenses from current year acquisitions,
- · Increased costs due to current and prior year acquisition activity other than Griffin,
- · Higher payroll and incentive-related benefits, and

· Higher energy costs, primarily related to diesel fuel.

Summary of Key Indicators of Fiscal 2010 Performance:

Principal indicators that management routinely monitors and compares to previous periods as an indicator of problems or improvements in operating results include:

- · Finished product commodity prices,
- · Raw material volume,
- · Production volume and related yield of finished product,
- Energy prices for natural gas quoted on the NYMEX index and diesel fuel,
- · Collection fees and collection operating expense, and
- · Factory operating expenses.

These indicators and their importance are discussed below in greater detail.

Finished Product Commodity Prices. Prices for finished product commodities that the Company produces are reported each business day on the Jacobsen index, an established trading exchange price publisher. The Jacobsen index reports industry sales from the prior day's activity by product. The Jacobsen index includes reported prices for feed grade and pet food PM, MBM, BFT and PG (which are end products of the Company's Rendering Segment) and YG (which is an end product of the Company's Restaurant Services Segment). The Bakery segment's end product is BBP. The Company regularly monitors Jacobsen index reports on PM, MBM, BFT, PG, YG and BBP because they provide a daily indication of the Company's revenue performance against business plan benchmarks. Although the Jacobsen index provides one useful metric of performance, the Company's finished products are commodities that compete with other commodities such as corn, soybean oil, palm oil complex, soybean meal and heating oil on nutritional and functional values and therefore actual pricing for the Company's finished products, as well as competing products, can be quite volatile. In addition, the Jacobsen index does not provide forward or future period pricing. The Jacobsen prices quoted below are for delivery of the finished product at a specified location. Although the Company's prices generally move in concert with reported Jacobsen prices, the Company's actual sales prices for its finished products may vary significantly from the Jacobsen index because of delivery timing differences and because the Company's finished products are delivered to multiple locations in different geographic regions which utilize different price indexes. In addition, certain of the Company's premium branded finished products may also sell at prices that may be higher than the closest related Jacobsen index. During Fiscal 2010, the Company's actual sales prices by product trended with the disclosed Jacobsen prices. Average Jacobsen prices (at the specified delivery point) for Fiscal 2010, compared to average Jacobsen prices for Fiscal 2009 follow:

				%
	Avg. Price	Avg. Price	Increase/	Increase/
	Fiscal 2010	Fiscal 2009	(Decrease)	(Decrease)
Rendering Segment:				
MBM (Illinois)	\$297.35/ton	\$338.09/ton	\$ (40.74)/ton	(12.1)%
Feed Grade PM	\$366.89/ton	\$390.04/ton	\$ (23.15)/ton	(5.9)%
(Carolina)				
Pet Food PM	\$606.55/ton	\$626.39/ton	\$ (19.84)/ton	(3.2)%
(Southeast)				
BFT (Chicago)	\$ 33.43/cwt	\$ 25.21	\$ 8.22/cwt	32.6%
		/cwt		
PG (Southeast)	\$ 29.01/cwt	\$ 23.44	\$ 5.57/cwt	23.8%
		/cwt		

Restaurant Services

Segment:

Edgar Filing: DARLING INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-K

YG (Illinois)	\$ 26.89/cwt	\$ 20.73 \$ /cwt	6.16/cwt	29.7%
Bakery Segment:				
BBP (Chicago)	\$143.57/ton	\$135.70/ton\$	7.87/ton	5.8%

The overall increase in average BFT and YG prices of the finished products the Company sells had a favorable impact on revenue that was partially offset by lower MBM prices and by a negative impact to the Company's raw material cost resulting from formula pricing arrangements, which compute raw material cost based upon the price of finished product.

Raw Material Volume. Raw material volume represents the quantity (pounds) of raw material collected from Rendering Segment suppliers, such as butcher shops, grocery stores and independent beef, pork and poultry processors, and from Restaurant Services Segment suppliers, such as food service establishments, or in the case of the Bakery segment, commercial bakeries. Raw material volumes from the Company's Rendering Segment suppliers provide an indication of the future production of feed grade and pet food PM, MBM, BFT and PG finished products, raw material volumes from the Company's Restaurant Services Segment suppliers provide an indication of the future production of YG finished products, and raw material volumes from the Company's Bakery segment suppliers provide an indication of the future production of BBP finished products.

Production Volume and Related Yield of Finished Product. Finished product production volumes are the end result of the Company's production processes, and directly impact goods available for sale, and thus become an important component of sales revenue. In addition, physical inventory turn-over is impacted by both the availability of credit to the Company's customers and suppliers and reduced market demand which can lower finished product inventory values. Yield on production is a ratio of production volume (pounds), divided by raw material volume (pounds) and provides an indication of effectiveness of the Company's production process. Factors impacting yield on production include quality of raw material and warm weather during summer months, which rapidly degrades raw material. The quantities of finished products produced varies depending on the mix of raw materials used in production. For example, raw material from cattle yields more fat and protein than raw material from pork or poultry. Accordingly, the mix of finished products produced by the Company can vary from quarter to quarter depending on the type of raw material being received by the Company. The Company cannot increase the production of protein or fat based on demand since the type of raw material available will dictate the yield of each finished product.

Energy Prices for Natural Gas quoted on the NYMEX Index and Diesel Fuel. Natural gas and heating oil commodity prices are quoted each day on the NYMEX exchange for future months of delivery of natural gas and delivery of diesel fuel. The prices are important to the Company because natural gas and diesel fuel are major components of factory operating and collection costs and natural gas and diesel fuel prices are an indicator of achievement of the Company's business plan.

Collection Fees and Collection Operating Expense. The Company charges collection fees which are included in net sales. Each month the Company monitors both the collection fee charged to suppliers, which is included in net sales, and collection expense, which is included in cost of sales. The importance of monitoring collection fees and collection expense is that they provide an indication of achievement of the Company's business plan. Furthermore, management monitors collection fees and collection expense so that the Company can consider implementing measures to mitigate against unforeseen increases in these expenses.

Factory Operating Expenses. The Company incurs factory operating expenses which are included in cost of sales. Each month the Company monitors factory operating expense. The importance of monitoring factory operating expense is that it provides an indication of achievement of the Company's business plan. Furthermore, when unforeseen expense increases occur, the Company can consider implementing measures to mitigate such increases.

Net Sales. The Company collects and processes animal by-products (fat, bones and offal), including hides, commercial bakery waste and used restaurant cooking oil to principally produce finished products of feed grade and pet food PM, MBM, BFT, PG, YG, BBP and hides as well as a range of branded and value-added products. Sales are significantly affected by finished goods prices, quality and mix of raw material, and volume of raw material. Net sales include the sales of produced finished goods, collection fees, fees for grease trap services, and finished goods purchased for resale.

During Fiscal 2010, net sales were \$724.9 million as compared to \$597.8 million during Fiscal 2009. The Rendering Segments' finished products are primarily feed grade and pet food PM and MBM, which collectively are

approximately \$243.5 million and \$244.7 million of net sales for the year ended January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, respectively and BFT and PG, which collectively are approximately \$262.9 million and \$187.8 million of net sales for the year ended January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, respectively. The Restaurant Services Segment's finished product is YG, which is approximately \$136.2 million and \$95.9 million of net sales for the year ended January 1, 2011 and January 2, 2010, respectively. The increase in Rendering Segment sales of \$78.3 million, the increase in Restaurant Services Segment sales of \$38.6 million and Bakery Segment sales of \$10.2 million accounted for the \$127.1 million increase in sales. The increase in net sales was primarily due to the following (in millions of dollars):

	Restaurant									
	Rendering		g S	Services		Bakery Corporate				Total
Increase in finished product										
prices	\$	39.7	\$	33.6	9	S –	\$	_	\$	73.3
Increase in net sales due to										
acquisition										
of Griffin		17.5		_		10.2		_		27.7
Increase in raw material										
volume		20.8		3.6		_		_		24.4
Increase/(decrease) in yield		3.2		(0.5))	_		_		2.7
Purchases of finished product										
for resale		(1.6)	2.6		_		_		1.0
Increase/(decrease) in other										
sales		(2.1)	0.1		_		_		(2.0)
Product transfers		0.8								