

CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY CO  
Form 40-F  
February 05, 2009

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 40-F

[ REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12 OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
] 1934  
OR  
[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13(a) OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934

For the fiscal year ended: December 31, 2008                      Commission File Number: 1-2413

CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY  
(Exact name of registrant as specified  
in its charter)

Canada (Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	4011 (Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)	E.I. 980018609 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
--	---	---

935 de La Gauchetiere Street West  
Montreal, Quebec  
Canada H3B 2M9  
(514) 399-7091  
(Address, including zip code, and telephone number including area code, of Registrant's principal executive offices)

CT Corporation System  
111 Eighth Avenue  
New York, N.Y. 10011  
(212) 894-8600

(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service in the United States)

---

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class Common shares	Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange Toronto Stock Exchange
--------------------------------------	--

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None  
Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: Debentures and Notes  
(Debt Securities) of Registrant

For annual reports, indicate by check mark the information filed with this Form:

Annual information form

Audited annual financial statements

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

At December 31, 2008, 468,158,739 common shares were issued and outstanding.

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant by filing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). If "Yes" is marked, indicate the file number assigned to the Registrant in connection with such Rule.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

---

---

---

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

### Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Canadian National Railway Company's President and Chief Executive Officer (the "CEO") and its Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer (the "CFO"), after evaluating the effectiveness of Canadian National Railway Company's "disclosure controls and procedures" (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of December 31, 2008 (the "Evaluation Date"), have concluded that as of the Evaluation Date, Canadian National Railway Company's disclosure controls and procedures were adequate and effective to ensure that material information relating to Canadian National Railway Company and its consolidated subsidiaries (the "Registrant" or the "Company") would be made known to them by others within those entities.

### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the year ended December 31, 2008, there was no change in Canadian National Railway Company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, Canadian National Railway Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## AUDIT COMMITTEE FINANCIAL EXPERT

The Registrant's board of directors has determined that it has several audit committee financial experts serving on its Audit Committee. Mr. Hugh Bolton has been determined to be an audit committee financial expert and is independent, as that term is defined by the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards applicable to U.S. Companies. The SEC has indicated that the designation or identification of Mr. Bolton as an audit committee financial expert does not deem him an "expert" for any purpose, impose any duties, obligations or liability on Mr. Bolton that are greater than those imposed on members of the audit committee and board of directors who do not carry this designation or identification, or affect the duties, obligations or liability of any other member of the audit committee or board of directors.

## CODE OF ETHICS

The Registrant has adopted a code of ethics (the "Code of Business Conduct") that applies to all employees and officers, including its principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer. The Code of Business Conduct is available at the Registrant's Internet website, [www.cn.ca](http://www.cn.ca) under the caption "Corporate Governance." Any amendments to the Code of Business Conduct will be posted at the Registrant's Internet website at the address listed above.

## PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

KPMG LLP has served as the Company's auditors since 1992. In 2008 and 2007, fees for audit, audit-related, tax and other services provided to the Company by KPMG LLP were the following:

Year ended December 31,	2008(1)	2007(1)
	(CAD\$)	(CAD\$)
Audit fees	\$2,794,000	\$3,170,000
Audit-related fees	1,170,000	1,371,000
Tax fees	797,000	603,000
Other fees	—	—
Total	4,761,000	\$5,144,000

(1) Fees rounded to the nearest thousand.

Pursuant to the terms of its charter, the Audit Committee of CN approves all audit and audit-related services, audit engagement fees and terms and all non-audit engagements with the independent auditor. The Audit Committee pre-approved 100% of the services performed by our independent auditors for audit-related and tax fees for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 that were required to be pre-approved.

---

A discussion of the nature of the services provided under each category is provided below.

#### Audit fees

Consists of fees incurred for professional services rendered by the auditors in relation to the audit of the Company's consolidated annual financial statements and those of its subsidiaries, and the audit relating to the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### Audit-related fees

Audit-related fees were incurred for professional services rendered by the auditors in relation to the audit of the financial statements for the Company's pension plans, and for attestation services in connection with reports required by statute or regulation and due diligence and other services, including comfort letters, in connection with the issuance of securities.

#### Tax fees

Consists of fees incurred for consultations on cross-border tax implications for employees and tax compliance.

#### Other fees

Nil.

### OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

#### Accounts receivable securitization program

The Company has a five-year agreement, expiring in May 2011, to sell an undivided co-ownership interest for maximum cash proceeds of \$600 million in a revolving pool of freight receivables to an unrelated trust. The trust is a multi-seller trust and the Company is not the primary beneficiary. The trust was established in Ontario in 1994 by a Canadian bank to acquire receivables and interests in other financial assets from a variety of originators. Funding for the acquisition of these assets is customarily through the issuance of asset-backed commercial paper notes. The notes are secured by, and recourse is limited to, the assets purchased using the proceeds of the notes. At December 31, 2008, the trust held interests in 16 pools of assets and had notes outstanding of \$3.3 billion. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company sells an interest in its receivables and receives proceeds net of the required reserve as stipulated in the agreement. The required reserve represents an amount set aside to allow for possible credit losses and is recognized by the Company as a retained interest and recorded in Other current assets in its Consolidated Balance Sheet. The eligible freight receivables as defined in the agreement may not include delinquent or defaulted receivables, or receivables that do not meet certain obligor-specific criteria, including concentrations in excess of prescribed limits with any one customer.

The Company has retained the responsibility for servicing, administering and collecting the receivables sold and receives no fee for such ongoing servicing responsibilities. The average servicing period is approximately one month. In 2008, proceeds from collections reinvested in the securitization program were approximately \$3.3 billion. At December 31, 2008, the servicing asset and liability were not significant. Subject to customary indemnifications, the trust's recourse is generally limited to the receivables.

The Company accounted for the accounts receivable securitization program as a sale, because control over the transferred accounts receivable was relinquished. Due to the relatively short collection period and the high quality of the receivables sold, the fair value of the undivided interest transferred to the trust approximated the book value

thereof. As such, no gain or loss was recorded.

---

The Company is subject to customary requirements that include reporting requirements as well as compliance to specified ratios for which failure to perform could result in termination of the program. In addition, the trust is subject to customary credit rating requirements, which if not met, could also result in termination of the program. The Company monitors its requirements and is currently not aware of any trends, events or conditions that could cause such termination.

The accounts receivable securitization program provides the Company with readily available short-term financing for general corporate use. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company may change the percentage of co-ownership interest sold at any time. In the event the program is terminated before its scheduled maturity, the Company expects to meet its future payment obligations through its various sources of financing, including its revolving credit facility and commercial paper program, and/or access to capital markets.

At December 31, 2008, the Company had sold receivables that resulted in proceeds of \$71 million under the accounts receivable securitization program (\$588 million at December 31, 2007), and recorded the retained interest of approximately 10% of this amount (retained interest of approximately 10% recorded at December 31, 2007). The fair value of the retained interest approximated carrying value as a result of the short collection cycle and negligible credit losses.

#### Guarantees and indemnifications

In the normal course of business, the Company, including certain of its subsidiaries, enters into agreements that may involve providing certain guarantees or indemnifications to third parties and others, which may extend beyond the term of the agreement. These include, but are not limited to, residual value guarantees on operating leases, standby letters of credit and surety and other bonds, and indemnifications that are customary for the type of transaction or for the railway business.

The Company is required to recognize a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken in issuing certain guarantees on the date the guarantee is issued or modified. In addition, where the Company expects to make a payment in respect of a guarantee, a liability will be recognized to the extent that one has not yet been recognized.

The nature of these guarantees or indemnifications, the maximum potential amount of future payments, the carrying amount of the liability, if any, and the nature of any recourse provisions are disclosed in Note 17 – Major commitments and contingencies, to the Company’s Annual Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### TABULAR DISCLOSURE OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

In the normal course of business, the Company incurs contractual obligations. The following table sets forth the Company’s contractual obligations for the following items as at December 31, 2008:

In millions	Total	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014 & thereafter
Long-term debt obligations (a)	\$ 6,599	\$ 367	\$ -	\$ 1,112	\$ -	\$ 486	\$ 4,634
Interest on long-term debt obligations	6,665	377	361	357	315	304	4,951
Capital lease obligations (b)	1,837	207	158	199	96	145	1,032
Operating lease obligations (c)	876	166	134	112	87	65	312
Purchase obligations (d)	1,006	457	260	83	61	57	88

Other long-term liabilities  
reflected on the balance  
sheet (e)

	813	73	62	51	45	43	539
Total obligations	\$ 17,796	\$ 1,647	\$ 975	\$ 1,914	\$ 604	\$ 1,100	\$ 11,556

---



- (a) Presented net of unamortized discounts, of which \$835 million relates to non-interest bearing Notes due in 2094, and excludes capital lease obligations of \$1,312 million which are included in “Capital lease obligations.”
- (b) Includes \$1,312 million of minimum lease payments and \$525 million of imputed interest at rates ranging from 2.1% to 7.9%.
- (c) Includes minimum rental payments for operating leases having initial non-cancelable lease terms of one year or more. The Company also has operating lease agreements for its automotive fleet with minimum one-year non-cancelable terms for which its practice is to renew monthly thereafter. The estimated annual rental payments for such leases are approximately \$30 million and generally extend over five years.
- (d) Includes commitments for railroad ties, rail, freight cars, locomotives and other equipment and services, and outstanding information technology service contracts and licenses.
- (e) Includes expected payments for workers’ compensation, workforce reductions, postretirement benefits other than pensions and environmental liabilities that have been classified as contractual settlement agreements.

For 2009 and the foreseeable future, the Company expects cash flow from operations and from its various sources of financing to be sufficient to meet its debt repayments and future obligations, and to fund anticipated capital expenditures.

See the Business risks section of the attached Management’s Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of assumptions and risk factors affecting such forward-looking statement.

#### IDENTIFICATION OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Registrant’s audit committee is composed of the following directors: Denis Losier (Chair), Michael R. Armellino, A. Charles Baillie, Hugh J. Bolton, Robert H. Lee and Robert Pace.

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES

The Registrant’s board of directors has also reviewed the Registrant’s corporate governance practices in response to the U.S. Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, applicable rules of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, as well as the NYSE Corporate Governance Standards (the “NYSE Standards”). The board of directors will continue to review its corporate governance practices regularly in response to the evolving standards. Except as disclosed on its website, the Registrant’s corporate governance practices do not differ significantly from that followed by U.S. domestic companies under the NYSE Standards. A discussion of differences is available at the Registrant’s Internet website, [www.cn.ca](http://www.cn.ca) under the caption “Corporate Governance”.

#### UNDERTAKING

Registrant undertakes to make available, in person or by telephone, representatives to respond to inquiries made by the Commission staff, and to furnish promptly, when requested to do so by the Commission staff, information relating to the securities in relation to which the obligation to file an annual report on Form 40-F arises.

CANADIAN NATIONAL  
RAILWAY COMPANY

2008

ANNUAL INFORMATION FORM

February 5, 2009

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Annual Information Form	Management's Discussion & Analysis (as filed on February 5, 2009) Incorporated by Reference
Item 1	General Information	3	
Item 2	Incorporation	3	
	2.1 Incorporation of the Issuer	3	
	2.2 Subsidiaries	4	
Item 3	General Development of the Business	4	
	3.1 General Development of the Business During the Last Three Years	4	
	3.2 Anticipated Developments	11	42-44
Item 4	Description of the Business	11	
	4.1 Overview	11	
	4.2 Commodity Groups	12	47-52
	4.3 Competitive Conditions	12	80-81
	4.4 Labor	12	82-83
	4.5 Social Policies	12	
	4.6 Regulation	13	83-85
	4.7 Environmental Matters	14	73-75, 81
	4.8 Legal Matters	16	72-73
	4.9 Risk Factors	17	80-88
Item 5	Dividends	17	
Item 6	Description of Capital Structure	17	
	6.1 General Description of Capital Structure	17	
	6.2 Share Ownership Constraints	18	
	6.3 Ratings of Debt Securities	19	
Item 7	Transfer Agent and Registrar	20	
Item 8	Market for Securities	20	
	8.1 Trading Price and Volume	20	
	8.2 Prior Sales	20	
Item 9	Directors and Executive Officers	21	
	9.1 Directors	21	
	9.2 Audit Committee Disclosure	23	
	9.3 Executive Officers	25	
	9.4 Cease Trade Orders, Bankruptcies, Penalties or Sanctions	26	
Item 10	Interest of Experts	27	
Item 11	Additional Information	27	
Schedule A	Charter of the Audit Committee	28	

## ITEM 1 GENERAL INFORMATION

Except as otherwise indicated in this Annual Information Form (“AIF”), the information contained herein is given as of December 31, 2008. All references in this AIF to “dollars” or “\$” are to Canadian dollars and all financial information reflected herein is determined on the basis of, and prepared in accordance with, United States generally accepted accounting principles (“U.S. GAAP”), unless otherwise indicated.

Certain statements contained in this AIF or incorporated by reference herein may be “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and under Canadian securities laws. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included or incorporated by reference herein that address activities, events or developments that the Company expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future, including such things as future capital expenditures (including the amount and nature thereof), business strategies and measures to implement strategies, competitive strengths, goals, expansion and growth of its business and operations, plans and references to the future success of the Company, and other such matters, are forward-looking statements. CN cautions that, by their nature, forward-looking statements involve risk, uncertainties and assumptions. Implicit in these statements, particularly in respect of long-term growth opportunities, is the Company’s assumption that such growth opportunities are less affected by the current situation in the North American and global economies. The assumptions used by the Company to prepare its forward-looking statements, although considered reasonable at the time of preparation, may not materialize. Such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, which may cause the actual results or performance of the Company or the rail industry to be materially different from the outlook or any future results or performance implied by such statements. Important factors that could cause such differences include, but are not limited to, industry competition, legislative and/or regulatory developments, compliance with environmental laws and regulations, various events, which could disrupt operations, including natural events such as severe weather, droughts, floods and earthquakes, the effects of adverse general economic and business conditions, inflation, currency fluctuations, changes in fuel prices, labor disruptions, environmental claims, investigations or proceedings, other types of claims and litigation, and other risks detailed from time to time in reports filed by CN with securities regulators in Canada and the United States. Moreover, the current situation in financial markets is adding a substantial amount of risk to the North American economy, which is already in recession, and to the global economy, which is significantly slowing down. Reference should be made to the discussion of these risk factors in CN’s 2008 Annual Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto and Management’s Discussion and Analysis, for a summary of major risks, which documents may be found on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) and on EDGAR at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

The Company assumes no obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect future events, changes in circumstances, or changes in beliefs, unless required by applicable laws. In the event the Company does update any forward-looking statement, no inference should be made that it will make additional updates with respect to that statement, related matters, or any other forward looking statement.

## ITEM 2 INCORPORATION

### 2.1 INCORPORATION OF THE ISSUER

Canadian National Railway Company (“CNR”) was incorporated in 1922 by special act of the Parliament of Canada. CNR’s continuance under the Canada Business Corporations Act was authorized by the CN Commercialization Act and was effected by Certificate of Continuance dated August 24, 1995. On November 9, 1995, CNR filed Articles of Amendment in order to subdivide its outstanding common shares (the “Common Shares”). As of November 28, 1995, CNR ceased to be a Crown corporation and became a publicly held corporation with its Common Shares listed on the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) and the Toronto Stock Exchange (“TSX”). On April 19, 2002, CNR filed Articles of Amendment in order to provide that shareholder meetings may be held at certain specified places in the United States. Such constating documents are hereinafter collectively referred to as the “Articles”.



As used herein, the word “Company” or “CN” means, as the context requires, CNR and/or its subsidiaries.

The Company’s registered and head office is located at 935 de La Gauchetière Street West, Montréal, Quebec, H3B 2M9, Canada, and its telephone number is 1-888-888-5909.

## 2.2 SUBSIDIARIES

CN’s principal subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008, all of which are wholly owned (directly or indirectly), and their jurisdiction of incorporation, are indicated below:

Name	Jurisdiction of Incorporation
Grand Trunk Corporation	Delaware
Grand Trunk Western Railroad Company (“GTW”)	Delaware
Illinois Central Corporation (“IC”)	Delaware
Illinois Central Railroad Company (“ICRR”)	Illinois
Wisconsin Central Transportation Corporation (“WC”)	Delaware
Wisconsin Central Limited	Illinois

The financial statements of each of the above principal subsidiaries are consolidated within CN’s financial statements.

## ITEM 3 GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS

### 3.1 GENERAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUSINESS DURING THE LAST THREE YEARS

CN’s goal is to grow the business profitably, creating value for its customers and generating an adequate and sustainable return on capital invested. To reach that goal, CN focuses on strategies and initiatives that allow it to continually improve service, seize upon a range of business opportunities, and achieve productivity gains throughout the organization. The initiatives undertaken by CN in the last three years to achieve its growth and profitability goals and to enhance shareholder value can be grouped into a few key areas. These include acquisitions and dispositions, targeted capital investment spending and other initiatives to strengthen the Company’s position in the marketplace, cooperation and co-production agreements with other carriers, as well as financial management initiatives as described below:

#### 2008 Highlights

##### Acquisitions and Dispositions

In November 2008, CN completed the acquisition of the three principal railway subsidiaries of the Quebec Railway Corp. (“QRC”) and a QRC rail-freight ferry operation for \$C50 million. CN sold the rail lines to QRC in the late 1990s and held a minority equity interest in the ferry operation since its start-up in 1975. Included in the purchase were the following railways and management company:

Chemin de fer de la Matapédia et du Golfe (“CFMG”):

CFMG has 221 miles of track, interchanging with CN at Rivière-du-Loup, Quebec. It runs from Rivière-du-Loup, Quebec, to Campbellton, New Brunswick, where it meets QRC’s New Brunswick East Coast Railway. CFMG also has a line between Mont-Joli and Matane, Quebec, where a rail ferry operates to the north shore of the St. Lawrence River.

CFMG serves major shippers of aluminum, paper and forest products. VIA Rail Canada Inc.

4

---

("VIA Rail") uses the line between Rivière-du-Loup and Campbellton for its Maritime service between Montreal and Halifax.

New Brunswick East Coast Railway ("NBEC"):

The NBEC runs between Campbellton and Pacific Junction near Moncton, New Brunswick. It is 196 miles long and interchanges with CN at Moncton Yard. In tandem with CFMG, the NBEC serves major shippers mainly in the mining and pulp and paper industries. VIA Rail uses the line between Campbellton and Pacific Junction for its Montreal-Halifax service.

Ottawa Central Railway ("OCR"):

The 123 mile long OCR runs between Coteau, Quebec, where it interchanges with CN, and Pembroke, Ontario. It also serves Hawkesbury, Ontario. Between Coteau and Ottawa, the OCR operates over VIA Rail trackage. Major commodities carried by the OCR include newsprint, salt, forest products, steel wire rod and billets.

Compagnie de gestion de Matane inc. ("COGEMA"):

COGEMA provides shuttle boat-rail freight service on the St. Lawrence River between Matane and Baie-Comeau, Quebec, and other ports on the North Shore of the Gulf of St. Lawrence when required. The rail ferry has a capacity of 25 rail cars. CFMG connects with the ferry at Matane and interchanges traffic with CN at Rivière-du-Loup.

CN intends to invest capital over the next three years to upgrade the rail lines of the acquired properties, and will replace the existing locomotive fleet with more modern motive power. As part of the transaction, CN will also assume the operation and management contract for the Chemin de fer de la Gaspésie, which runs from Matapédia to Gaspé, Quebec.

In December 2008, the Company received the last of the necessary regulatory approvals for its acquisition of the Elgin, Joliet and Eastern Railway Company ("EJ&E"), including the U.S. Surface Transportation Board ("STB") ruling on December 24, 2008. The Company completed its acquisition of the EJ&E on January 31, 2009 for a purchase price of \$US300 million paid with cash on hand. The acquisition is expected to drive new efficiencies and operating improvements on CN's network as a result of streamlined rail operations and reduced congestion. Under the terms of the agreement, U.S. Steel's Transtar subsidiary retains railroad assets, equipment and employees that support the Gary Works site in Northwest Indiana and the steelmaking operations of U.S. Steel. These remaining operations will become the Gary Railway. EJ&E, a Class II railroad, operates over 198 main line miles of track encircling the City of Chicago from Waukegan, Illinois, on the north, to Joliet, Illinois, on the west, to Gary, Indiana, on the southeast, and then to South Chicago. Over the next few years, the Company has committed to spend approximately US\$100 million for infrastructure improvements and over US\$60 million under a series of mitigation agreements with individual communities, as well as under a comprehensive voluntary mitigation program that addresses municipalities' concerns raised during the regulatory approval process. Expenditures for additional STB-imposed mitigation are being currently evaluated by the Company.

Strategic Initiatives and Capital Spending

CN's capital expenditures were \$1.54 billion company-wide in 2008, of which about \$1 billion was focused on track infrastructure and included replacement of rail, ties and other track materials, and bridge improvement. Of that amount, \$400 million was devoted to rail infrastructure projects in CN's Western Region where the Company also invested in extended sidings and terminal improvements to grow its business and permit more efficient movement of traffic in western Canada, including CN's line to the new Port of Prince Rupert container terminal, as well as





upgrading its recently acquired Athabasca Northern Railway (“ANY”). The ANY terminates near Fort McMurray, Alberta, and is an important rail link to the oilsands region of Northern Alberta. In addition, CN continued upgrading other former Northern Alberta short lines purchased in 2006. In the Eastern Region, CN spent close to \$300 million in rail infrastructure to preserve the quality and integrity of the physical plant. Included in the approximately US\$300 million spent on rail infrastructure projects in the United States, is the completion of the Company’s multi-year US\$100-million upgrade of Johnston Yard in Memphis in 2008, and an investment in new and extended sidings to permit more efficient operations. The Company also continued its investment in equipment spending, which reached approximately \$200 million in 2008 and included the acquisition of new fuel-efficient locomotives, as well as improvements to the existing fleet. CN also spent approximately \$300 million on facilities to grow the business, including transloads and distribution centers, information technology to improve service and operating efficiency, and other projects to increase productivity.

In October 2008, CN committed to the acquisition of 232 rapid-discharge ore cars and the refurbishment of 500 existing ore cars as part of a long-term plan to upgrade the Company’s car fleet for transporting pelletized iron ore produced in the Upper Midwest with expected delivery of the new ore cars starting in January 2009. The refurbishment of 500 existing ore cars began in 2008 and included the installation of new trucks and couplers, modernizing the braking system and repairing outlet gates. CN foresees acquiring more new iron ore cars over the next three to five years that will permit the retirement of an equivalent number of cars from CN’s existing fleet. The fleet renewal program is designed to increase rail efficiency and deliver better service to CN’s iron-ore customers including those for whom CN hauls pelletized iron ore in unit trains from mines in northern Minnesota and Michigan primarily to Great Lakes ports for transportation to North American steel producers as well as to overseas markets.

In December 2008, CN ordered 40 additional high-horsepower locomotives from Electro-Motive Diesel, Inc. (“EMD”) and secured an option for 50 more of the EMD locomotives. The 40 EMD SD70M-2 locomotives are scheduled for delivery in early 2010, with an option for 50 more of the 4,300 horsepower locomotives by 2011. This acquisition will enable retirement of older locomotives and the new EMD locomotives will be up to 20 per cent more fuel efficient than the ones they replace and comply fully with the latest regulatory requirements for reduced locomotive emissions. All of the new locomotives will have improved crew cabs that will also be isolated from the frame to reduce noise and vibration for train personnel. In addition, the units will be equipped with distributed power (“DP”) capability. DP enables remote control of a locomotive or locomotives throughout a train from the lead control locomotive. DP provides faster, smoother starting, improved braking and lower pulling forces at the head-end of a train. In addition, it significantly reduces the time required to charge a train’s air brake system, a major benefit in cold weather conditions that can slow the rate at which air brakes are charged.

#### Financial Management Initiatives

In May 2008, CN closed a US\$650 million debt offering of US\$325 million (Cdn\$331 million) 4.95% Notes due 2014, and US\$325 million (Cdn \$331 million) 5.55% Notes due 2018. CN used the net proceeds of US\$643 million from the offering to repay a portion of its commercial paper outstanding, and to reduce its accounts receivable securitization program. The indebtedness that was repaid had been incurred for general corporate purposes, including CN’s share repurchase program. The debt offering was made in the United States under the shelf registration statement CN filed in December 2007. Please see section 8.2 of this document for a further discussion of this matter.

In July 2008, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a new share repurchase program, which allows for the repurchase of up to 25.0 million Common Shares between July 28, 2008 and July 20, 2009 pursuant to a normal course issuer bid, at prevailing market prices or such other price as may be permitted by the TSX. As at December 31, 2008, under this current share repurchase program, 6.1 million Common Shares have been repurchased for \$331 million, at a weighted-average price of \$54.42 per share. The Company’s previous share repurchase program, initiated in July 2007, allowed for the repurchase of up to 33.0 million Common Shares between July 26, 2007 and July 25, 2008, pursuant to a normal course issuer bid, at prevailing market prices. In June 2008, the Company ended



this share repurchase program under which it repurchased 31.0 million Common Shares for \$1,588 million at a weighted-average price of \$51.22 per share.

### Significant Cooperation Agreements

As part of an ongoing effort to improve productivity and capacity, CN periodically enters into cooperation agreements with other carriers, including track and infrastructure exchanges, co-production, haulage and track access agreements, as well as routing protocols that endeavour to emphasize more efficient interchanges to bypass congested terminals. In 2008, CN concluded several such cooperation agreements, including the following:

In July 2008, CN and Canadian Pacific Railway Company (“CP”) formalized an agreement allowing the Deltaport Division of a jointly owned rail subsidiary to manage rail switching operations for CN’s and CP’s intermodal trains at Deltaport, a marine container terminal located at Roberts Bank, 40 kilometres south of Vancouver’s inner harbour. The Deltaport Division was designed to streamline the logistics chain at the terminal, generating greater efficiencies in the overall rail and port operation with the resulting service and productivity gains to support Canada’s Asia-Pacific Gateway Initiative aimed at bolstering the competitiveness of the nation’s west coast ports. CN and CP move significant container volumes into and out of Deltaport each month. Container cars must be switched into the terminal tracks for loading and unloading. The railways’ Deltaport switching agreement represents another positive development in CN’s and CP’s directional running zone in the Fraser Canyon and co-production operating agreements in the greater Vancouver area.

### 2007 Highlights

#### Acquisitions and Dispositions

In September 2007, CN entered into an agreement with the U.S. Steel Corporation (“U.S. Steel”) for the acquisition of the key operations of EJ&E. Please see the 2008 Highlights, above, for a further discussion of this acquisition.

In November 2007, CN finalized an agreement with Homburg Invest Inc. to sell its Central Station Complex in Montreal for proceeds of \$355 million before transaction costs. Under the agreement, CN has entered into long-term arrangements to lease back its corporate headquarters building and the Central Station railway passenger facilities.

In November 2007, Germany’s state-owned railway, Deutsche Bahn AG, acquired all of the shares of the English Welsh and Scottish Railway (“EWS”), a company that provides most of the rail freight services in Great Britain and operates freight trains through the English Channel Tunnel, and in which the Company had a 32% ownership interest, for which CN accounted using the equity method. The Company’s share of the cash proceeds was \$114 million. Pursuant to the sale of the Company’s investment in EWS, an additional amount of £18 million (Cdn\$36 million) was placed in escrow and will be recognized when defined contingencies are resolved. At December 31, 2008, £12 million (Cdn\$22 million) remained in escrow.

In December 2007, CN acquired the rail assets of the ANY to preserve a critical rail link to the oil sands region of northern Alberta. CN’s purchase and rail-line rehabilitation plan was premised on long-term traffic volume guarantees that the Company has negotiated with shippers Suncor Energy Inc., OPTI Canada Inc., and Nexen Inc. CN paid \$25 million for ANY, with a planned investment of \$135 million in rail-line upgrades over a four-year period to improve transit times and service consistency. The 202-mile ANY connects with CN at Boyle, Alberta, located 101 miles north of Edmonton. CN’s plans for the line are designed to preserve market access to existing and potential receivers along the rail corridor and to accommodate any increased volumes that may move over the line in the future to support oil sands development.

### Strategic Initiatives and Capital Spending

In April 2007, CN set up CN WorldWide North America to manage and expand the scope and scale of the

7

---

Company's existing non-rail capabilities, such as warehousing and distribution, customs services, truck brokerage and supply chain visibility tools across North America.

In June 2007, CN committed itself to the acquisition of 65 new fuel-efficient, high-horsepower locomotives scheduled for delivery over a two-year period. These are in addition to the 65 locomotives previously ordered for delivery in 2007. In addition to offering improved reliability, the new units are about 15 per cent more fuel efficient than the locomotives they will replace, and will comply fully with the latest regulatory requirements for reduced locomotive emissions. The new locomotive orders are part of a major fuel conservation program by CN and will ultimately permit the retirement of 145 older locomotives. All of these 130 new locomotives CN ordered, the last of which are being delivered in Q1 2009, are equipped with distributed power capability, which allows them to be placed in the middle of a freight train and to be remotely controlled from the lead locomotive. Distributed power technology improves fuel efficiency and train handling, and permits CN to maximize the productivity gains associated with its extended siding program.

In October 2007, operations commenced at the Port of Prince Rupert container terminal. CN, in partnership with the Prince Rupert Port Authority and Maher Terminals, seeks to offer the marketplace faster, more efficient and more cost-effective routing than previously available for Asian traffic destined to and from the interior of North America, including the cities of Chicago, Memphis, Toronto and Montreal. As the closest port to northeast Asia by up to 58 hours of sailing time compared to any other west coast port in North America, the Port of Prince Rupert gives shippers approximately one extra round-trip voyage per year. The Port is strategically located to handle excess capacity on one of the world's busiest shipping corridors. The official opening for business of the terminal, with the first major customer, COSCO Container Lines Americas, Inc., signed on, has helped to establish CN as a key player serving North America's newest Pacific Gateway. CN plans continued investment in its western Canadian network, which benefits Canada's Pacific Gateway. These improvements, which have already begun, include upgrades to rail traffic control systems west of Prince George and extended sidings that will increase capacity in the corridor from Prince Rupert through to Memphis. CN has upgraded tunnels and bridges, built new intermodal terminals in Prince George and Edmonton, and acquired 2,250 platform cars and 50 new state-of-the-art locomotives specifically to serve this gateway.

In October 2007, CN began operation of its Prince George intermodal and distribution centre terminal. Prince George, situated 500 miles east of Prince Rupert, is in close proximity to British Columbia's large fibre reserves and other natural resources and is CN's divisional headquarters and main operations hub in northern B.C. Built by CN at a cost of \$20 million, the Prince George facility is ideally located to tap backhaul export opportunities, filling empty containers moving to Asia via Prince Rupert with lumber, panels, woodpulp and paper. It is designed to help CN maximize revenue potential generated from the new terminal at Prince Rupert. The Prince George Distribution Centre has an 84,000 square-foot warehouse and 10 acres of outside storage. A full range of services will be provided by CN WorldWide North America, including product transfer, inspection, consolidation/deconsolidation, inventory control and transportation. Loaded containers will be lifted onto railway flatcars at CN's new adjacent intermodal rail yard, which features two 2,400-foot pad tracks, trucking and truck-pick-up capabilities and an automated gate system. CN is providing direct, daily rail service from the Prince George facility to the Port of Prince Rupert.

In 2007, CN's capital expenditure was close to \$1.7 billion. Excluding the \$90 million capital lease for the Montreal Central Station Complex, the capital spending was about \$1.6 billion, which amounted to approximately 20% of revenues and an increase of three per cent over the 2006 level. Of this, more than \$1 billion was targeted towards track infrastructure to maintain a safe railway and to improve the productivity and fluidity of the network and includes the replacement of rail, ties and other track materials, as well as the improvement of bridges. This amount also included funds for strategic initiatives, such as siding extensions to accommodate container traffic from the Prince Rupert Intermodal Terminal, the upgrade of the Company's freight car classification yard in Memphis, Tennessee, and additional enhancements to the track infrastructure in western Canada to take advantage of growth prospects in North American trade with Asia and emerging opportunities in western Canada. CN spent approximately \$300 million



on rolling stock in 2007 to tap growth opportunities and to improve the quality of the fleet to meet customer requirements. The Company continued its locomotive fleet modernization program with the acquisition of 85 new high-horsepower fuel-efficient units and ongoing locomotive overhauls. CN also spent more than \$300 million on facilities to grow the business, including transloads and distribution centres, on information technology to improve service and operating efficiency, as well as on other projects to increase productivity.

#### Financial Management Initiatives

As mentioned above in the 2008 Highlights, in July 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a share repurchase program, which allowed for the repurchase of up to 33.0 million Common Shares between July 26, 2007 and July 25, 2008 pursuant to a normal course issuer bid, at prevailing market prices or such other price as may be permitted by the Toronto Stock Exchange. In June 2008, the Company ended this repurchase program under which it repurchased 31.0 million Common Shares for \$1,588 million at a weighted average price of 51.22 per share. The Company's previous share repurchase program, initiated in July 2006, allowed for the repurchase of up to 28.0 million Common Shares between July 25, 2006 and July 24, 2007, pursuant to a normal course issuer bid, at prevailing market prices. In June 2007, the Company completed this share repurchase program for a total of \$1,453 million, at a weighted-average price of \$51.88 per share.

In September 2007, CN used up the remaining borrowing capacity under its shelf prospectus and registration statement to issue a US\$550 million debt offering composed of US\$250 million (Cdn\$250 million) 5.85% Notes due 2017, and US\$300 million (Cdn\$300 million) 6.375% Debentures due 2037. CN used the net proceeds of US\$ 544 million from the offering to repay a portion of its outstanding commercial paper and to reduce its accounts receivable securitization program. The indebtedness being repaid was incurred for general corporate purposes, including for the financing of CN's share repurchase program.

In December 2007, CN filed a shelf prospectus with Canadian securities regulators and a registration statement with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), expiring in January 2010, providing for the issuance by CN of up to US\$2.5 billion of debt securities in Canadian and U.S. markets in one or more offerings. CN expects to use the net proceeds from the sale of debt securities under the shelf prospectus and registration statement for general corporate purposes, including the redemption and refinancing of outstanding indebtedness, share repurchases, acquisitions, and other business opportunities.

#### Significant Cooperation Agreements

In 2007, CN concluded several cooperation agreements, including the following:

In June 2007, CN and Kansas City Southern Railway ("KCS") concluded a routing protocol to streamline the interchange of traffic in a way that reduces both miles and handlings.

In November 2007, CN and CP concluded a routing protocol to expedite the exchange of interline freight traffic at key gateways in both Canada and the U.S.

These two agreements represent the latest in a series of routing protocol agreements negotiated by CN that collectively include every Class I North American railroad.

2007 also saw the conclusion of additional co-production agreements covering various parts of CN's network, including one with Norfolk Southern Railway in March 2007 and one with Ontario Northland Railway in May 2007. The shared facilities and joint operations enabled by these agreements help to drive operational efficiencies as well as asset utilization improvements for each of the participating carriers.





## 2006 Highlights

### Acquisitions and Dispositions

In 2006, CN made the following acquisitions for a total cost of \$84 million paid in cash:

In January 2006, CN completed the purchase of the Alberta short-line railways owned by RailAmerica, Inc., of Boca Raton, Florida. CN bought the 600-mile Mackenzie Northern Railway (“MKNR”) and the 118-mile Lakeland & Waterways Railway (“LWR”), both located north of Edmonton, along with the 21-mile Central Western Railway (“CWR”) in east-central Alberta that carries agricultural traffic.

In March 2006, CN acquired the remaining 51% of SLX Canada Inc., a company engaged in equipment leasing in which the Company previously had a 49% interest that had been consolidated with its results.

In December 2006, CN completed the purchase of Savage Alberta Railway, Inc. (“SAR”), a 345-mile short-line railway, from Savage Companies of Salt Lake City, Utah. The acquisition represents an opportunity for CN to solidify its freight franchise in resource-rich northwestern Alberta.

### Strategic Initiatives and Capital Spending

In 2006, CN spent more than \$1.5 billion on capital programs, an increase of eleven per cent over 2005 spending. This included approximately \$1 billion spent on infrastructure, replacing rail, ties, ballast, and other track material and upgrading bridges and signalling systems, as well as network productivity initiatives and strategic projects, including siding extensions in western Canada, investments in the Company's Prince Rupert, British Columbia, corridor, and the reconfiguration of Johnston Yard in Memphis, Tennessee. Equipment spending of approximately \$350 million in 2006 was for the acquisition of new locomotives, the rejuvenation of the existing locomotive fleet, the acquisition of new cars and the refurbishment of the current fleet to meet customer needs. CN also spent approximately \$200 million on facilities, information technology and other projects to allow the Company to tap new growth opportunities and drive overall efficiency gains.

Through 2006, CN continued to take delivery of 75 high-horsepower locomotives ordered in April of 2005, leaving just 15 of the original order for delivery in the latter half of 2007. Also in 2006, the Company exercised its option to acquire an additional 50 high-horsepower locomotives for delivery in the second half of 2007. The 75 new locomotives of 4,300 – 4,400 horsepower allow CN to replace 100 older 3,000 – 3,600 horsepower road locomotives. These new units are almost 20 per cent more fuel-efficient than the ones replaced. The additional 50 locomotives will allow CN to tap growth opportunities, including new international freight traffic to and from the Port of Prince Rupert intermodal terminal.

### Significant Cooperation Agreements

In 2006, CN concluded several cooperation agreements, including the following in January 2006:

- CN and BNSF Railway Company (“BNSF”) concluded an agreement covering several key locations where their respective networks interact including, in particular, the Vancouver, British Columbia, Chicago, Illinois and Memphis Tennessee to southern Illinois regions;
- CN and CP concluded an agreement intended to make rail operations more fluid in British Columbia’s Lower Mainland, enhancing service for rail customers and supporting the growth of Pacific Gateway ports and terminals; and



• CN and CSX Transportation, Inc., (“CSXT”) concluded a long-term agreement to haul CSXT traffic to and from Sarnia, Ontario, and CSXT connections in Buffalo, New York, and Toledo, Ohio.

#### Financial Management Initiatives

In May 2006, the Company filed a shelf prospectus and registration statement, expiring in June 2008, which provided for the issuance, from time to time, of up to US\$1,500 million of debt securities in one or more offerings in the Canadian or U.S. markets for general corporate purposes. Pursuant to the filing, on May 31, 2006, the Company issued US\$250 million (Cdn\$275 million) of 5.80% Notes due 2016 and US\$450 million (Cdn\$495 million) of 6.20% Debentures due 2036. The Company used the net proceeds of US\$692 million to reduce its accounts receivable securitization program and to repay a portion of its outstanding commercial paper.

In May 2006, the Company entered into an agreement, expiring in May 2011, to sell an undivided co-ownership interest for maximum cash proceeds of \$600 million in a revolving pool of freight receivables to an unrelated trust. This new program replaced the Company’s previous accounts receivable securitization program that was set to expire in June 2006.

In July 2006, the interest rate on the Company’s US\$250 million Puttable Reset Securities PURSSM (“PURS”) was reset at a new rate of 6.71% for the remaining 30-year term ending July 15, 2036. The PURS were originally issued in July 1998 at the rate of 6.45% with an option to call the securities on July 15, 2006 (the reset date). The call option holder exercised the call option, which resulted in the remarketing of the original PURS. The new interest rate was determined according to a pre-set mechanism based on prevailing market conditions. The Company did not receive any cash proceeds from the remarketing. The remarketing did not trigger an extinguishment of debt, as the provisions for the reset of the interest rate were set forth in the original PURS. As such, the original PURS remain outstanding but accrue interest at the new rate until July 2036. Under securities laws, the remarketing required utilization of the Company’s then current shelf prospectus and registration statement.

As mentioned in the 2007 Highlights, in July 2006, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a new share repurchase program which allowed for the repurchase of up to 28.0 million Common Shares between July 25, 2006 and July 24, 2007 pursuant to a normal course issuer bid, at prevailing market prices. In June 2007, the Company completed this share repurchase program for a total of \$1,453 million at a weighted-average price of \$51.88 per share. The Company’s previous share repurchase program, initiated in 2005, allowed for the repurchase of up to 32.0 million Common Shares between July 25, 2005 and July 24, 2006 pursuant to a normal course issuer bid, at prevailing market prices. In June 2006, the Company ended this share repurchase program, having repurchased a total of 30.0 million Common Shares for \$1,388 million at a weighted-average price of \$46.26 per share. Of this amount, 14.0 million Common Shares were repurchased in 2006 for \$717 million (weighted-average price per share of \$51.24) and 16.0 million Common Shares in 2005 for \$670 million (weighted-average price per share of \$41.90).

### 3.2 ANTICIPATED DEVELOPMENTS

For a discussion of anticipated developments for 2009, please see the section entitled “Strategy Overview” on pages 42 to 44 of the Company’s Management’s Discussion and Analysis for the year ending December 31, 2008 (the “MD&A”), which are incorporated by reference herein. The MD&A may be found on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

## ITEM 4 DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS

### 4.1 OVERVIEW

CN is engaged in the rail and related transportation business. CN’s network of approximately 21,000 route miles spans Canada and mid-America, from the Atlantic and Pacific oceans to the Gulf of Mexico, serving the ports of Vancouver,

Prince Rupert, British Columbia, Montreal, Halifax, New Orleans, and Mobile, Alabama, and the key

11

---

metropolitan areas of Toronto, Buffalo, Chicago, Detroit, Duluth, Minnesota/Superior, Wisconsin, Green Bay, Wisconsin, Minneapolis/St. Paul, Memphis and Jackson, Mississippi, with connections to all points in North America. The Company's freight revenues are derived from seven commodity groups, representing a diversified and balanced portfolio of goods transported between a wide range of origins and destinations. In 2008, the largest commodity group accounted for approximately 19% of revenues. From a geographical standpoint, in 2008, 19% of revenues came from U.S. domestic traffic, 31% from transborder traffic, 24% from Canadian domestic traffic, and 26% from overseas traffic. For more information on CN's commodity groups, including their respective revenues for the last two years and their principal markets and economic drivers, please see pages 47 to 52 of the MD&A, which are incorporated by reference herein.

CN was the originating carrier for approximately 87% of traffic moving along its network in 2008. This allows the Company both to capitalize on service advantages and to build on opportunities to efficiently use assets.

#### 4.2 COMMODITY GROUPS

For a description of the various commodity groups transported by CN, their principal markets, as well as select revenue, revenue ton mile and carload information, please see pages 47 to 52 of the MD&A, which are incorporated by reference herein.

#### 4.3 COMPETITIVE CONDITIONS

For a discussion of the competitive conditions under which CN operates, please see the section entitled "Competition" in the discussion of Business risks located on pages 80 and 81 of the MD&A, which are incorporated by reference herein.

#### 4.4 LABOR

As at December 31, 2008, CN employed a total of 22,227 employees.

For a discussion of CN's labor negotiations, see the section entitled "Labor Negotiations" in the Business risks discussion located on pages 82 and 83 of the MD&A, which are incorporated by reference herein.

#### 4.5 SOCIAL POLICIES

In addition to its Employment Equity Policy (for Canadian employees) and Equal Employment Opportunity Policy (for U.S. employees), CN maintains a comprehensive Human Rights Policy and a Harassment Free Environment Policy for its Canadian employees and a Prohibited Harassment, Discrimination and Anti-Retaliation Policy for its U.S. employees. These policies affirm CN's commitment to ensuring that there is no discrimination against any employee or applicant based on grounds of religion, race, sex, nationality, disability or any other prohibited grounds of discrimination. The policy extends to recruitment, selection and compensation practices, as well as to working conditions and the work environment. All Company vice-presidents have been mandated with the responsibility of implementing these policies and ensuring that all work practices are in compliance. Internal complaint procedures have been established whereby any person covered by the Harassment Free Environment Policy (for Canadian employees) and the Equal Employment Opportunity Policy or the Prohibited Harassment, Discrimination and Anti-Retaliation Policy (for U.S. employees) can address their questions or concerns to their supervisor and/or human resources manager who will address their complaints.

## 4.6 REGULATION

The Company's rail operations in Canada are subject to (i) economic regulation by the Canadian Transportation Agency (the "Agency") under the Canada Transportation Act (the "CTA"), and (ii) safety regulation by the federal Minister of Transport under the Railway Safety Act and certain other statutes. The Company's U.S. rail operations are subject to (i) economic regulation by the STB and (ii) safety regulation by the Federal Railroad Administration (the "FRA"). As such, various Company business transactions must gain prior regulatory approval, with attendant risks and uncertainties, and the Company is subject to government oversight with respect to rate, service and business practice issues. The Company is also subject to a variety of health, safety, security, labor, environmental and other regulations, all of which can affect its competitive position and profitability.

The Company's ownership of the former Great Lakes Transportation vessels is subject to regulation by the U.S. Coast Guard and the Department of Transportation, Maritime Administration, which regulate the ownership and operation of vessels operating on the Great Lakes and in U.S. coastal waters. While recent Congressional legislation and Coast Guard rulemakings have not adversely affected CN's ownership of these vessels, no assurance can be given that any future legislative or regulatory initiatives by the U.S. federal government will not materially adversely affect the Company's operations or its competitive and financial position.

With respect to safety, rail safety regulation in Canada is the responsibility of Transport Canada, which administers the Canadian Railway Safety Act, as well as the rail portions of other safety-related statutes. In the U.S., rail safety regulation is the responsibility of the FRA, which administers the Federal Railroad Safety Act, as well as the rail portions of other safety statutes. In addition, safety matters related to security are overseen by the Transportation Security Administration ("TSA"), which is part of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration ("PHMSA"), which, like the FRA, is part of the U.S. Department of Transportation.

### Canadian Regulation

The CTA gives railroads in Canada the freedom to negotiate prices according to market forces, subject to certain provisions aimed at protecting shippers. These shipper protections include, inter alia, interswitching, final offer arbitration and competitive line rates. Pursuant to interswitching provisions, all shippers within a 30 km radius (approximately 19 miles) of an interchange between two federally regulated railroads have access to both at a prescribed interswitching rate. Final offer arbitration is used in cases of rate disputes between a shipper and a railroad and involves the selection by an arbitrator of either the shipper's or the carrier's rate and service offer. Competitive line rate provisions can be invoked to require an originating railroad to issue to a shipper with sole rail access, a rate covering the movement to the nearest junction with another railroad according to predetermined formulae.

To supplement public rates issued under tariffs, the CTA permits confidential contracts to be negotiated between rail carriers and shippers to govern the terms, conditions and rates for service. Furthermore, railroads are subject to common carrier obligations for their services and, in case of breach, shippers may seek redress from the Agency.

When a railroad operator wants to sell or abandon lines, the CTA encourages their sale to short-line operators and provides the framework for line abandonment. The railroads are required to publish a three-year plan for lines it intends to sell or discontinue. For discontinuance, the line must be advertised as being for sale to the public for continued operation and, if no interest is shown, must be offered specifically for sale to applicable federal, provincial and municipal governments as well as urban transit authorities. The entire process is intended to take at most 22 months. The Company's operations are also subject to safety and environmental provisions relating to track standards, equipment standards, transportation of hazardous materials, environmental assessments and certain labor regulations, which are in many respects similar when comparing Canadian and U.S. regulations.





Periodically, a comprehensive review is made by the federal government of the effectiveness of the CTA, the Canadian Railway Safety Act and other statutes related to transportation, which may prompt regulatory amendments as a result. For a further discussion of recent and pending legislative and other regulatory developments in Canada, see the section entitled “Regulation” in the Business risks discussion located on pages 83 to 85 of the MD&A, which are incorporated by reference herein.

#### U.S. Regulation

The STB has jurisdiction over, amongst other things, service levels, carrier practices, car compensation, and limited jurisdiction over carrier rates. It also has jurisdiction over the situations and terms under which one railroad may gain access to another railroad’s traffic or facilities, the construction, extension, or abandonment of rail lines, railroad consolidations, and labor protection provisions in connection with the foregoing. The STB’s jurisdiction in these areas of rail transportation, including intrastate rail transportation, is exclusive, pre-empting other remedies under federal and state law.

The FRA has jurisdiction over railroad safety and equipment standards, and most rail safety regulation is handled at the federal level. In contrast, however, to the exclusive role of the STB over railroad economic regulation, state and local regulatory agencies have jurisdiction over certain local safety and operating matters and these agencies are becoming more aggressive in their exercise of jurisdiction. State legislatures have also recently enacted new laws in this regard that are intended to regulate railroads more extensively.

Government regulation of the railroad industry is a significant determinant of the competitiveness and profitability of railroads. Deregulation of certain rates and services, plus the ability to enter into confidential contracts, pursuant to the Staggers Rail Act of 1980 (the “Staggers Act”), has substantially increased the flexibility of railroads to respond to market forces and has resulted in highly competitive rates. Various interests have sought and continue to seek reimposition of government controls on the railroad industry in areas deregulated in whole or in part by the Staggers Act. Additional regulation, changes in regulation and re-regulation of the industry through legislative, administrative, judicial or other action could materially affect the Company.

Following an extended review, in June 2001, the STB issued new regulations governing mergers between Class Railroads. CN had recommended higher public interest standards for mergers and the new regulations effectively raised the bar for the quality of customer service in all future major railroad mergers. The STB also agreed with CN that foreign-headquartered railroads would be treated the same as U.S.-based railroads under its merger rules.

For a further discussion of government regulation with respect, in particular, to Canada-U.S. Customs, U.S. Homeland Security, and the transportation of hazardous material, as well as recent and pending developments in legislative and regulatory reform in the U.S., see the section entitled “Regulation” in the Business risks discussion located at pages 83 to 85 of the MD&A, which are incorporated by reference herein.

#### 4.7 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

##### Regulatory compliance

A risk of environmental liability is inherent in railroad and related transportation operations; real estate ownership, operation or control; and other commercial activities of the Company with respect to both current and past operations. As a result, the Company may incur significant capital and operating costs associated with environmental regulatory compliance and clean-up requirements in its railroad operations and relating to its past and present ownership, operation or control of real property. Environmental expenditures that relate to current operations are expensed unless they relate to an improvement to the property. Expenditures that relate to an existing condition caused by past operations and which are not expected to contribute to current or future operations are expensed.



In Canada, the matter of environmental permits for the Company is complex because of an overlap between federal and provincial jurisdictions. When projects require approval by federal regulatory authorities, environmental impact assessments are undertaken in accordance with federal requirements. Provincial and municipal environmental legislation may be applicable to railway activities if such legislation does not aim to regulate the management or operations of railways. Therefore, the Company does not apply systematically for provincial, municipal or local environmental permits for its railway operations in Canada except (i) where obtaining and complying with such permits would not interfere with the operations or management of its railway activities, (ii) where permitting issues were primarily of a provincial, municipal or local nature, (iii) where the Company or the governmental authority thought it was necessary to obtain such permits to continue minor aspects of its railway operations or maintenance, or (iv) where the absence of a permit may affect a third party (such as a customer or a supplier). Because of the multiple jurisdictions involved and the extensive provincial legislative authority to regulate environmental matters, there can be no assurance that additional provincial, municipal or local environmental permits will not be required in the future. The Company may incur additional expenses or changes in its operations if such additional permits were to be required in the future.

See Note 17 – Major commitments and contingencies (paragraph D.), to the Company’s 2008 Annual Consolidated Financial Statements (the “Financial Statements”), for a further discussion of Environmental Matters, as well as the section entitled “Environmental Claims”, on pages 73 to 75 of the MD&A, and the section entitled “Environmental Matters” in the Business risks discussion located on page 81 of the MD&A, which are incorporated by reference herein. The Financial Statements and MD&A are available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

#### Environmental Policy

CN is committed to conducting its operations and activities in a manner that protects the natural environment. CN considers protecting the environment a fundamental corporate social responsibility governing its activities. Consequently, CN has implemented comprehensive environmental management programs.

CN makes the following commitments and expects its employees to act accordingly:

1. To meet or exceed applicable environmental requirements; to measure environmental performance; to conduct regular environmental audits and assessments of compliance with Company requirements and its Environmental Policy; and to timely provide appropriate information to the Board of Directors, employees, the authorities, and other stakeholders.
2. To develop, design and operate facilities and conduct activities taking into consideration the efficient use of energy and materials, the sustainable use of renewal resources, the minimization of waste generation and the adverse environmental impact, and the safe and responsible disposal of residual wastes.
3. To assess environmental impacts before starting a new activity or project and before decommissioning a facility.
4. To develop and maintain emergency preparedness plans in conjunction with the emergency services, relevant authorities, and the local community.
5. To educate, train and motivate employees to conduct their activities in an environmentally responsible manner.
6. To promote the adoption of the principles of CN’s Environmental Policy by contractors and suppliers.
7. To conduct or support research on the environmental impacts of its operations and on the means of minimizing such adverse impacts, and to contribute to the transfer of environmentally sound technology throughout the industrial and public sector.
8. To foster openness and dialogue with employees and other stakeholders with respect to their concerns about potential hazards and impacts of the company’s operations.
9. To contribute, along with public and private bodies and organizations to the development of policies and programs that will enhance environmental awareness and protection based on sound scientific principles and procedures.



## 4.8 LEGAL MATTERS

### Legal Proceedings

As of the date hereof, the only legal proceedings to which CN is a party involving claims for damages in excess of 10% of its current assets, are described below. It is not currently expected, however, that such litigation proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of CN. The Company will regularly assess its position as events progress.

#### “In re African-American Slave Descendants Litigation”

This matter is a slavery reparations case. The plaintiffs, a proposed class representing descendants of slaves, brought this action seeking payment for work performed by slaves between 1607 and 1865. The defendants include numerous major corporations, among them CN, Norfolk Southern Corp., Union Pacific Corporation, CSX Corporation, Fleet Boston Financial Corp., Aetna Inc., Brown and Williamson Tobacco, Lehman Bros. Inc., Liggett Group Inc., New York Life Insurance Company, R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, Society of Lloyds, Loews Inc. and JP Morgan Chase & Co. The amount claimed would be in the trillions of dollars, some part of which would be claimed from IC, a subsidiary of CN. The Company is contesting these proceedings.

CN was first named as a defendant in slavery reparations litigation in a complaint filed on September 4, 2002 in Louisiana.

The Louisiana complaint was consolidated in multi-district litigation proceedings in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois in Chicago, Illinois. Plaintiffs also filed cases in California, Texas, Illinois, New Jersey and New York. CN is named as a defendant in the Louisiana and California actions.

In 2005, the United States District Court dismissed the proceedings with prejudice, ruling that only the legislative or executive branches of government could decide reparations issues. The U.S. Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit modified that dismissal for most of the plaintiffs to a dismissal without prejudice, due to their lack of standing to bring a claim in federal court. For those plaintiffs suing as the legal representatives of the estates of slaves, their claims were dismissed with prejudice due to the expiration of the statute of limitations. The claims of plaintiffs who alleged violations of state consumer fraud or consumer protection statutes were remanded to the District Court for further proceedings.

#### Sydney Tar Ponds Lawsuit

A lawsuit involving more than 350 plaintiffs was instituted on March 25, 2004 in the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia against Hawker Siddeley Canada Inc., Sydney Steel Corp., the Nova Scotia government, the Canadian government, Domtar Inc., and CN. The plaintiffs are seeking compensation for health problems and property losses due to soil and water contamination resulting from previous industrial activities near Sydney, Nova Scotia.

The plaintiffs seek to hold the defendants liable for battery, nuisance, trespassing, negligence, harm and injuries, family losses, as well as aggravated, punitive and additional damages. No specific amount of damages is included in the claim.

The court action is still in the process of being certified as a class action. CN is contesting these proceedings. To date, none of the allegations contained in the claim have been proven in court.

See See Note 17 – Major commitments and contingencies (paragraph C) to the Financial Statements, for a further discussion of legal actions, as well as pages 72 and 73 of the MD&A for a general discussion of personal injury and

other claims.

16

---

## Aboriginal Claims

CN and its predecessor companies have acquired lands throughout Canada from the Crown, including certain lands contained in aboriginal reserves. A portion of the Company's network, primarily in British Columbia, is currently operated on these lands.

The Company believes that it possesses unrestricted and absolute title to lands acquired out of aboriginal reserves but, in recent years, some aboriginal bands have claimed to have a continuing legal interest in such lands and they allege this interest prohibits the Company from disposing of the lands when they are no longer needed for railway purposes, except by allowing them to revert to the Crown for the benefit of aboriginals. This issue is one which will ultimately be decided by the courts but, regardless of the outcome, there is no perceived material adverse effect as the right of the Company to continue to occupy and operate over such lands is not being called into question.

As the issues surrounding aboriginal claims are complex and involve not only private interests but fiduciary and other obligations of the Government of Canada, CN has agreed with the Government not to sell or otherwise dispose of land which is not essential to its rail operations and which is located in, or adjacent to, an aboriginal reserve, unless each of CN and the Government are satisfied that there is no legitimate aboriginal claim with respect to such land. In addition, CN has agreed to convey to the Government, for no consideration, any land not integral to its rail operations which may be necessary to settle legitimate aboriginal claims with respect to such land, or lands which were formerly reserve lands and which have become non-rail assets. The Government of Canada, for its part, has agreed that it will provide the necessary compensation for settlement of legitimate aboriginal claims which would otherwise result in CN having to relinquish land essential to its rail network, unless such claims arise out of, or are substantially based upon, willful, known, negligent or fraudulent acts or omissions of CN which adversely affected the rights or interests of aboriginal people.

## 4.9 RISK FACTORS

A description of risks affecting CN and its business appears under the heading "Business risks" located on pages 80 to 88 of the MD&A, which pages are incorporated by reference herein. See Section 1 of this AIF for a further discussion of risks associated with forward-looking statements.

## ITEM 5 DIVIDENDS

The Company has declared dividends in line with its overall financial performance and cash flow generation. The Board of Directors makes decisions on dividend payout on a quarterly basis. Consistent with this practice, the quarterly rate of \$0.1625 per share, starting with the first quarter of 2006, was increased to \$0.21 per share, starting with the first quarter of 2007; the quarterly dividend was next increased to \$0.23 per share, starting with the first quarter of 2008 and to \$0.2525 per share, starting with the first quarter of 2009. There can be no assurance as to the amount or timing of such dividends in the future.

## ITEM 6 DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

### 6.1 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

The authorized share capital of CN consists of an unlimited number of Common Shares, an unlimited number of Class A Preferred Shares issuable in series and an unlimited number of Class B Preferred Shares issuable in series, all without par value.

## Common Shares

The Common Shares carry and are subject to the following rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions described below:

**Voting:** Each common share entitles its holder to receive notice of and to attend all general and special meetings of shareholders of CN, other than meetings at which only the holders of a particular class or series of shares are entitled to vote, and each such common share entitles its holder to one vote.

**Dividends:** The holders of Common Shares are, at the discretion of the Directors, entitled to receive, out of any amounts properly applicable to the payment of dividend, and after the payment of any dividends payable on any Preferred Shares, any dividends declared and payable by CN on the Common Shares.

**Dissolution:** The holders of Common Shares shall be entitled to share equally in any distribution of the assets of CN upon the liquidation, dissolution or winding-up of CN or other distribution of its assets among its shareholders. Such participation is subject to the rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions attaching to any issued and outstanding Preferred Shares or shares of any other class ranking prior to the Common Shares.

## Preferred Shares

The Class A Preferred Shares and the Class B Preferred Shares are issuable in series and, subject to CN's Articles, the Board of Directors is authorized to fix, before issuance, the designation, rights, privileges, restrictions and conditions attaching to the shares of each series. The holders of Class A Preferred Shares or Class B Preferred Shares shall not be entitled to vote at meetings of shareholders otherwise than as provided by law, and holders of Class A or Class B Preferred Shares shall not be entitled to vote separately as a class except as provided by law.

There are neither any Class A Preferred Shares nor any Class B Preferred Shares currently issued and outstanding.

## 6.2 SHARE OWNERSHIP CONSTRAINTS

CN's Articles provide that where the total number of voting shares held, beneficially owned, or controlled, directly or indirectly, by any one person together with his or her associates exceeds 15%, no person shall exercise the voting rights attached to the voting shares held, beneficially owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, by such person or his or her associates. Furthermore, all dividends attributable to the percentage of voting shares held by such persons in excess of 15% shall be forfeited, including any cumulative dividend. CN's Articles confer on the Board of Directors all powers necessary to give effect to the ownership restrictions, including the ability to pay dividends or to make other distributions which would otherwise be prohibited if the event giving rise to the prohibition was inadvertent or of a technical nature or it would otherwise be inequitable not to pay the dividends or make the distribution. CN's Articles provide that the Board of Directors may adopt by-laws concerning the administration of the constrained share provisions described above, including by-laws requiring a shareholder to furnish a declaration indicating whether he or she is the beneficial owner of the shares and whether he or she is an associate of any other shareholder. In addition, CN is also authorized to refuse to recognize the ownership rights that would otherwise be attached to any voting shares held, beneficially owned or controlled, directly or indirectly, contrary to the share ownership constraint. Finally, CN has the right, for the purpose of enforcing any constraint imposed pursuant to its Articles, to sell, as if it were the owner thereof, any voting shares that are owned or that the Directors determine may be owned, by any person or persons contrary to such share ownership constraint.



## 6.3 RATINGS OF DEBT SECURITIES

Various classes of CN's outstanding securities have been rated by several rating organizations as described in detail below, as of the date hereof.

	Dominion Bond Rating Service	Moody's Investors Service	Standard & Poor's
Long-Term Debt	A (low)	A3	A-
Commercial Paper	R-1 (low)	Not rated	A-2

The above-noted ratings are given the following credit characteristics by the various rating agencies:

## Dominion Bond Rating Service Limited ("DBRS")

Long-term debt rated A is of satisfactory credit quality. Protection of interest and principal is still substantial, but the degree of strength is less than AA rated securities. While "A" is a respectable rating, entities in this category are considered to be more susceptible to adverse economic conditions and have greater cyclical tendencies than higher-rated securities. This rating falls within the third highest of DBRS's ten long-term debt rating categories which range from "AAA" to "D". Reference to "low" denotes a standing in the lower end of a rating category.

Commercial paper rated R-1 (low) is of satisfactory credit quality. The overall strength and outlook for key liquidity, debt, and profitability ratios is not normally as favourable as with higher rating categories, but these considerations are still respectable. Any qualifying negative factors that exist are considered manageable, and the entity is normally of sufficient size to have some influence in its industry. This rating falls within the third highest of DBRS's ten short-term debt rating categories which range from "R-1 (high)" to "D".

## Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's")

Long-term debt obligations rated A are considered upper-medium grade and are subject to low credit risk. This rating falls within the third highest of Moody's nine generic long-term obligation rating categories which range from "Aaa" to "C". The modifier "3" indicates a ranking in the lower end of that generic rating category.

## Standard &amp; Poor's ("S&amp;P")

Long-term debt obligations rated A are somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher rated categories. The obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligations is, however, still strong. This rating falls within the third highest of S&P's ten major long-term credit rating categories which range from "AAA" to "D". The minus (-) sign indicates a standing in the lower end within a major category.

Commercial paper rated A-2 is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher rating categories. However, the obligor's capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is satisfactory. This rating falls within the second highest in S&P's eight short-term credit rating categories which range from "A-1" to "D".

The ratings of CN's securities described above should not be construed as a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold CN securities. Ratings may be revised or withdrawn at any time by the rating agencies.

ITEM 7 TRANSFER AGENT AND REGISTRAR

In Canada, the transfer agent and registrar for each class of CN's publicly issued securities is Computershare Trust Company of Canada and, in the United States, the co-transfer agent and co-registrar is Computershare Trust Company, N.A., both of which maintain registers of transfers for those securities at the locations specified below:

Computershare Trust Company of Canada  
 100 University Ave., 9th Floor  
 Toronto, Ontario M5J 2Y1  
 Toll Free Tel: 1-800-564-6253  
 Toll Free Fax: 1-888-453-0330  
 Email: service@computershare.com  
 Web: www.computershare.com

Co-transfer agent and co-registrar:

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.  
 350 Indiana St Suite 800  
 Golden, Colorado 80401  
 Telephone: (303) 262-0600

ITEM 8 MARKET FOR SECURITIES

8.1 TRADING PRICE AND VOLUME

CN's Common Shares are listed on both the TSX and the NYSE under the stock symbols CNR and CNI, respectively. The following table sets forth the price ranges and aggregate trading volumes of the Common Shares on the TSX for each month of 2008.

MONTH	HIGH	LOW	VOLUME
January	51.06	42.51	42,281,595
February	54.25	49.58	28,084,952
March	53.44	46.60	32,676,301
April	54.62	48.80	27,687,769
May	57.48	52.15	30,684,205
June	55.71	48.16	34,597,697
July	55.35	45.42	35,951,147
August	57.29	50.90	29,578,572
September	58.44	49.00	50,649,735
October	54.04	42.51	49,474,258
November	54.00	39.24	31,074,168
December	45.75	39.75	28,398,498

8.2 PRIOR SALES

In May 2008, CN, under its shelf prospectus and registration statement filed in December 2007, issued a US\$650 million debt offering composed of US\$325 million 4.95% Notes due in 2014 and US\$325 million 5.55% Notes due in 2018, which is detailed in the following table.



Security	Notes due 2014	Notes due 2018
Size of Offering:	US\$325,000,000	US\$325,000,000
Maturity Date:	January 15, 2014	May 15, 2018
Coupon Rates:	4.95%	5.55%
Net Proceeds of Issue:	US\$321,655,750	US\$321,470,500
Public Offering Price:	99.571% per note	99.564% per note
Application of Proceeds:	Repay a portion of outstanding commercial paper and reduce accounts receivable securitization program.	

In the ordinary course of business, the Company also issued commercial paper with maturities of less than 12 months of which a total of CDN\$ 256.2 million and US\$ 303.5 million was outstanding as at December 31, 2008.

## ITEM 9 DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

### 9.1 DIRECTORS

The Directors are elected by the Shareholders at the Annual General Meeting, and hold office until their term expires at the following Annual General Meeting, subject to resignation, retirement, or re-election. The following table lists the Directors of the Company as of the date hereof:

Name and Province or State of Residence	Date of First Election to Board	Principal Occupations within the Preceding Five Years
Michael R. Armellino, CFA New Jersey, U.S.A.	May 7, 1996	Retired Partner, The Goldman Sachs Group, LP (investment bank)
A. Charles Baillie, O.C., LL.D. Ontario, Canada	April 15, 2003	Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, The Toronto-Dominion Bank (bank)
Hugh J. Bolton, FCA Alberta, Canada	April 15, 2003	Chairman, Epcor Utilities Inc. (energy and energy-related services provider)
Ambassador Gordon D. Giffin Georgia, U.S.A.	May 1, 2001	Senior Partner, McKenna Long & Aldridge (law firm)
James K. Gray, O.C., A.O.E., LL.D.,	July 4, 1996	Corporate Director and Former Chairman and

Alberta, Canada

Chief Executive Officer, Canadian Hunter  
Exploration Ltd. (natural gas company)

E. Hunter Harrison  
Florida, U.S.A.

December 7, 1999

President and Chief Executive Officer, CN;

Edith E. Holiday  
District of Columbia, U.S.A.

June 1, 2001

Corporate Director and Trustee, and former  
General Counsel, United States Treasury  
Department and Secretary of Cabinet,  
The White House

Edgar Filing: CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY CO - Form 40-F

Name and Province or State of Residence	Date of First Election to Board	Principal Occupations within the Preceding Five Years
V. Maureen Kempston Darkes, O.C., D. Comm. LL.D. Florida, U.S.A.	March 29, 1995	Group Vice-President and President Latin America, Africa and Middle East, General Motors Corporation (automotive manufacturer)
Robert H. Lee, C.M., O.B.C., LL.D. British Columbia, Canada	April 21, 2006	Chairman, Prospero Group of Companies (real estate investment, financing, sales and property management group of companies)
Denis Losier, LL.D. New Brunswick, Canada	October 25, 1994	President and Chief Executive Officer, Assumption Life (life insurance company)
The Hon. Edward C. Lumley, P.C., LL.D. Ontario, Canada	July 4, 1996	Vice-Chairman, BMO Capital Markets (investment bank)
David G.A. McLean, O.B.C., LL.D., British Columbia, Canada	August 31, 1994	Chair and Chief Executive Officer, The McLean Group (real estate investment, film and television facilities, communications and helicopter charters)
Robert Pace Nova Scotia, Canada	October 25, 1994	President and Chief Executive Officer, The Pace Group (private holding company)

Committee Membership

The membership of each Board committee is composed of the following Directors:

Audit Committee

Michael R. Armellino, A. Charles Baillie, Hugh J. Bolton, Robert H. Lee, Denis Losier (chair), Robert Pace.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

Hugh J. Bolton, James K. Gray, Edith E. Holiday, Denis Losier, David G. A. McLean (chair), Robert Pace.

Environment, Safety and Security Committee

Ambassador Gordon D. Giffin, James K. Gray, Edith E. Holiday, V. Maureen Kempston Darkes (chair), The Hon. Edward C. Lumley, David G. A. McLean.

Finance Committee

Michael R. Armellino, A. Charles Baillie (chair), Ambassador Gordon D. Giffin, V. Maureen Kempston Darkes, Robert H. Lee, The Hon. Edward C. Lumley.

Human Resources and Compensation Committee

A. Charles Baillie, Hugh J. Bolton, Ambassador Gordon D. Giffin, James K. Gray, Edith E. Holiday, The Hon. Edward

22

---



C. Lumley, David G. A. McLean, Robert Pace (chair).

Investment Committee of CN's Pension Trust Funds

Michael R. Armellino, James K. Gray, Edith E. Holiday, V. Maureen Kempston Darkes, Robert H. Lee, Denis Losier, The Hon. Edward C. Lumley (chair), David G. A. McLean, Robert Pace.

Strategic Planning Committee

Michael R. Armellino (chair), A. Charles Baillie, Hugh J. Bolton, Ambassador Gordon D. Giffin, James K. Gray, E. Hunter Harrison, Edith E. Holiday, V. Maureen Kempston Darkes, Robert H. Lee, Denis Losier, The Hon. Edward C. Lumley, David G. A. McLean, Robert Pace.

## 9.2 AUDIT COMMITTEE DISCLOSURE

Composition of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is composed of six "independent" directors, namely, Denis Losier, chair of the Committee, Michael R. Armellino, A. Charles Baillie, Hugh J. Bolton, Robert H. Lee and Robert Pace.

Education and Relevant Experience of the Audit Committee Members

The board of Directors believes that the composition of the Audit Committee reflects a high level of financial literacy and experience. Each member of the committee has been determined by the board to be financially literate, as such term is defined under Canadian and United States securities laws and regulations and the New York Stock Exchange Corporate Governance Standards. The board has made such determination based on the education and experience of each committee member. The following is a description of the education and experience of each member of the Audit Committee that is relevant to the performance of his responsibilities as a member of the committee:

Mr. Losier is President and Chief Executive Officer, Assumption Life. Mr. Losier held various cabinet level positions with the government of the Province of New Brunswick, from 1989 to 1994. He is a director and member of the audit committee of Plazacorp Retail Properties Ltd., and he is also a director of Enbridge Gas New Brunswick Limited Partnership and NAV CANADA. Mr. Losier holds a Masters of Economics from the University of Western Ontario.

Mr. Armellino is a Retired Partner, The Goldman Sachs Group, LP. From 1991 to 1994, Mr. Armellino was chair and Chief Executive Officer of Goldman Sachs Asset Management. Prior to 1991, he had held various positions at Goldman, Sachs & Co., including those of senior transportation analyst and Partner in Charge of Research. He is a Chartered Financial Analyst. Mr. Armellino holds an MBA in finance from the Stern School of Business (New York University), New York and has more than 25 years of experience as a securities analyst.

Mr. Baillie retired as chair of The Toronto-Dominion Bank in April 2003, and as Chief Executive Officer of the bank in December 2002. Mr. Baillie is a director and member of the audit committee of Telus Corporation. He is also a director and chair of the audit committee of George Weston Limited. Mr. Baillie holds an MBA from Harvard Business School.

Mr. Bolton is the Chairman of the board of directors of EPCOR Utilities Inc. and Matrikon Inc. Mr. Bolton is a director and chair of the audit committees of Teck Cominco Limited, the Toronto-Dominion Bank and WestJet Airlines Ltd. From 1992 to 1998, Mr. Bolton was chair and Chief Executive Partner of Coopers & Lybrand Canada (now PricewaterhouseCoopers). Mr. Bolton was a partner of Coopers & Lybrand for 34 years and a public accountant and auditor with that firm for 40 years. He is a fellow of the Alberta Institute of Chartered Accountants. He holds an

undergraduate degree in economics from the University of Alberta. Mr. Bolton is a member of four audit committees

23

---

of public companies including CN. The Board has determined that such service in no way impaired Mr. Bolton's ability to effectively serve on the Audit Committee of the Company.

Mr. Lee is Chairman of the Prospero Group of Companies, which includes real estate investment, financing, sales and property management businesses. He is a director and member of the audit committee of Wall Financial Corporation and he is chairman of UBC Properties Trust. Mr. Lee holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from the University of British Columbia.

Mr. Pace is the President and Chief Executive Officer, The Pace Group. Mr. Pace is also a member of the board of directors of Maritime Broadcasting Systems Limited, High Liner Foods Incorporated, Hydro One and is board chair of Overland Realty Limited. Mr. Pace holds an MBA and an LL.B Law Degree from Dalhousie University in Halifax, Nova Scotia, and has more than 20 years of business experience.

#### Auditors' Fees

KPMG LLP has served as the Company's auditors since 1992. For the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, the fees for audit, audit related, tax and other services provided to the Company by KPMG LLP were the following:

Fees	2008(1)	2007(1)
Audit	\$2,794,000	\$3,170,000
Audit Related	\$1,170,000	\$1,371,000
Tax	\$797,000	\$603,000
Other	—	—
Total Fees	\$4,761,000	\$5,144,000

(1) Fees rounded to the nearest thousand.

Pursuant to the terms of its charter, the Audit Committee approves all audit and audit-related services, audit engagement fees and terms and all non-audit engagements with the independent auditor. The Audit Committee pre-approved all the services performed by CN's independent auditors for audit-related and non-audit related services for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007 that were required to be pre-approved.

A discussion of the nature of the services under each category is described below.

#### Audit fees

Consists of fees incurred for professional services rendered by the auditors in relation to the audit of the Company's consolidated annual financial statements and those of its subsidiaries and the audit relating to the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

#### Audit-related fees

Audit-related fees were incurred for professional services rendered by the auditors in relation to the audit of the financial statements for the Company's pension plans, and for attestation services in connection with reports required by statute or regulation and due diligence and other services, including comfort letters, in connection with the issuance of securities.



## Tax fees

Consists of fees incurred for consultations on cross-border tax implications for employees and tax compliance.

## Other fees

Nil

The mandate of the Audit Committee, attached as Schedule A to this AIF, provides that the Audit Committee determines which non-audit services the external auditors are prohibited from providing, approves audit services and pre-approves permitted non-audit services to be provided by the external auditors. CN's Audit Committee and the Board of Directors have adopted resolutions prohibiting the Company from engaging KPMG LLP to provide certain non-audit services to the Company and its subsidiaries, including bookkeeping or other services related to the accounting records or financial statements, financial information systems design and implementation, appraisal or valuation services, fairness opinions, or contribution in-kind reports, actuarial services, internal audit outsourcing services, management functions or human resources functions, broker or dealer, investment adviser, or investment banking services and legal services and expert services unrelated to the audit. Pursuant to such resolutions, the Company may engage KPMG LLP to provide non-audit services, including tax services, other than the prohibited services listed above, but only if the services have specifically been pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

## 9.3 EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

As of the date hereof, the following are the senior executive officers of the Company:

Name and Province or State of Residence	Position	Principal Occupations within the Preceding Five Years
E. Hunter Harrison Florida, U.S.A.	President and Chief Executive Officer	President and Chief Executive Officer;
Russell Hiscock Quebec, Canada	President and Chief Executive Officer, CN Investment Division	President and Chief Executive Officer, CN Investment Division; General Manager; Manager Common Stocks (Canada), CN Investment Division;
Mike Cory Ontario, Canada	Senior Vice-President, Eastern Region	Senior Vice-President, Eastern Region; Vice-President, Operations, Eastern Region; Vice-President, Operations, Western Region; Assistant Vice-President, Network Operations; General Manager, Operations, Michigan Sub Region; Network Operations Superintendent; Director, Service Design;
Keith E. Creel Illinois, U.S.A.	Executive Vice-President, Operations	Executive Vice-President, Operations; Senior Vice-President, Eastern Region;
Sameh Fahmy Quebec, Canada	Senior Vice-President, Engineering, Mechanical and Supply Management	Senior Vice-President, Engineering, Mechanical and Supply Management



Name and Province or State of Residence	Position	Principal Occupations within the Preceding Five Years
Sean Finn Quebec, Canada	Executive Vice-President, Corporate Services and Chief Legal Officer	Executive Vice-President, Corporate Services and Chief Legal Officer; Senior Vice-President Public Affairs, Chief Legal Officer and Corporate Secretary;
James M. Foote Illinois, U.S.A.	Executive Vice-President, Sales and Marketing	Executive Vice-President, Sales and Marketing;
Stan Jablonski Quebec, Canada	Senior Vice-President, Sales	Senior Vice-President, Sales; Vice-President, Sales;
Claude Mongeau Quebec, Canada	Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer	Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer
Jean-Jacques Ruest Quebec, Canada	Senior Vice-President, Marketing	Senior Vice-President, Marketing; Vice-President, Marketing;
Gordon T. Trafton Illinois, U.S.A.	Senior Vice-President, Southern Region	Senior Vice-President, Southern Region;
Jim Vena, Alberta, Canada	Senior Vice-President, Western Region	Senior Vice-President, Western Region; Senior Vice-President, Eastern Region; Vice-President, Operations; Vice-President, Champlain District; General Manager, Prairie.

The senior executive officers are appointed by the Board of Directors and hold office until their successors are appointed subject to resignation, retirement or removal by the Board of Directors.

As at February 4, 2009, the Directors and the members of the executive committee, including the senior executive officers mentioned above, of the Company, as a group, beneficially owned, directly or indirectly, or exercised control or direction over, or held options to exercise an aggregate of approximately 10.3 million Common Shares of the Company, representing approximately 2.2% of the outstanding Common Shares.

#### 9.4 CEASE TRADE ORDERS, BANKRUPTCIES, PENALTIES OR SANCTIONS

To the knowledge of the Company and based upon information provided to it by the Company's Directors and executive officers, none of such Directors or executive officers is or has been, in the last 10 years, a director or executive officer of any company that, while such person was acting in that capacity: (a) was the subject of a cease trade or similar order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, for a period of more than 30 consecutive days; (b) was subject to an event that resulted, after that person ceased to be a director or executive officer, in the company being the subject of a cease trade or similar order or an order that denied the relevant company access to any exemption under securities legislation, for a period of more than 30 consecutive days; or (c) within a year of that person ceasing to act in that capacity, became bankrupt, made a proposal under any legislation relating to bankruptcy or insolvency or was subject to or instituted any proceedings, arrangement or compromise with creditors or had a receiver, receiver manager or trustee appointed to hold its assets, except for the following:

(i)Mr. Baillie, a Director of the Company, was a director of Dana Corporation, which filed voluntary petitions for

26

---



reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code on March 3, 2006. Dana's European, South American, Asian-Pacific, Canadian and Mexican subsidiaries are not included in the Chapter 11 filing. Dana Corporation successfully emerged from Chapter 11 reorganization in February 2008. Mr. Baillie is no longer a director of Dana Corporation;

- (ii) Mr. Lumley, a Director of the Company, was a director of Air Canada when it voluntarily filed for protection under the Companies' Creditors Arrangement Act ("CCAA") in April 2003. Air Canada successfully emerged from the CCAA proceedings and was restructured pursuant to a plan of arrangement in September 2004. Mr. Lumley is no longer a director of Air Canada; and
- (iii) Mr. Claude Mongeau, the Executive Vice-President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company, was acting as a director of 360networks Corporation prior to the latter voluntarily filing for protection under the CCAA in June 2001. 360networks Corporation underwent restructuring in 2002 and sold its Canadian assets to Bell Canada in November 2004. Mr. Mongeau is no longer a director of 360networks Corporation. Mr. Mongeau is also a director of Nortel Networks Corporation, which voluntarily filed for protection under the CCAA in Canada as well as voluntary petitions under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code on January 14, 2009.

#### ITEM 10 INTEREST OF EXPERTS

KPMG LLP is the external auditor who prepared the Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to the Board of Directors and Shareholders of CN relating to the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting and relating to the audit of the 2008 annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto prepared under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. We are advised that, as at the date hereof, the members of KPMG are independent in accordance with the Rules of the Code of Ethics of the Ordre des comptables agréés du Québec.

#### ITEM 11 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information regarding CN can be found on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com). Additional financial information is provided in CN's Annual Consolidated Financial Statements and Management's Discussion & Analysis for its most recently completed financial year. Additional information, including Directors' and officers' remuneration and securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans, is contained in the Company's Information Circular prepared in respect of its annual meeting of shareholders to be held on April 21, 2009 (the "Circular"). The Circular will become available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) on or about March 23, 2009.

SCHEDULE A

CHARTER OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

1. Membership and Quorum

a minimum of five Directors appointed by the Board;

only Independent (as determined by the Board) Directors may be appointed. A member of the Audit Committee may not, other than in his or her capacity as a Director or member of a board committee and subject to the exceptions provided in Canadian and U.S. laws and regulations, accept directly or indirectly any fee from CN or any subsidiary of CN nor be an affiliated person of CN or any subsidiary of CN;

each member must be “financially literate” (as determined by the Board);

at least one member must be an “audit committee financial expert” (as determined by the Board);

quorum of majority of members.

2. Frequency and Timing of Meetings

normally one day prior to CN board meetings;

at least five times a year and as necessary;

committee members meet before or after every meeting without the presence of management.

3. Mandate

The responsibilities of the Audit Committee include the following:

A. Overseeing financial reporting

monitoring the quality and integrity of CN’s accounting and financial reporting process through discussions with management, the external auditors and the internal auditors;

reviewing with management and the external auditors, the annual audited financial statements to be included in the annual report of CN, including CN’s MD&A disclosure and earnings press releases prior to their release, filing and distribution;

reviewing with management and the external auditors, quarterly consolidated financial statements of CN and accompanying information, including CN’s MD&A disclosure and earnings press releases prior to their release, filing and distribution, and reviewing the level and type of financial information provided, from time to time, to financial markets;

reviewing the financial information contained in the annual information form and other reports or documents, financial or otherwise, requiring Board approval;

reviewing the procedures in place for the review of CN's disclosure of financial information extracted or derived from CN's financial statements and periodically assessing the adequacy of those procedures;

reviewing with the external auditors and management, the quality, appropriateness and disclosure of CN's accounting principles and policies, underlying assumptions and reporting practices, and any proposed changes thereto;

28

---

reviewing any analysis or other written communications prepared by management, the internal auditors or external auditors setting forth significant financial reporting issues and judgments made in connection with the preparation of the financial statements, including analyses of the effect of alternative generally accepted accounting principles methods;

reviewing the external auditors' report on the consolidated financial statements of CN and on the financial statements of CN's Pension Trust Funds;

reviewing the external auditors' quarterly review engagement report;

reviewing the compliance of management certification of financial reports with applicable legislation;

reviewing any litigation, claim or other contingency and any regulatory or accounting initiatives that could have a material effect upon the financial position or operating results of CN and the appropriateness of the disclosure thereof in the documents reviewed by the Committee;

reviewing the results of the external audit, any significant problems encountered in performing the audit, and management's response and/or action plan related to any Management Letter issued by the external auditors and any significant recommendations contained therein.

B. Monitoring risk management and internal controls

receiving periodically management's report assessing the adequacy and effectiveness of CN's disclosure controls and procedures and systems of internal control;

reviewing CN's risk assessment and risk management policies, including CN's insurance coverage (annually and as otherwise may be appropriate);

assisting the Board with the oversight of CN's compliance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements;

reviewing CN's delegation of financial authority;

making recommendations with respect to the declaration of dividends;

while ensuring confidentiality and anonymity, establishing procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints received by CN regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters or employee concerns regarding accounting or auditing matters;

requesting the performance of any specific audit as required.

C. Monitoring internal auditors

ensuring that the chief internal auditor reports directly to the Audit Committee;

regularly monitoring the internal audit function's performance, its responsibilities, staffing, budget and the compensation of its members;

reviewing annually the internal audit plan;

ensuring that the internal auditors are accountable to the Audit Committee and to the Board.

D. Monitoring external auditors

recommending to the Board and CN's shareholders the retention and, if appropriate, the removal of external auditors, evaluating and remunerating them, and monitoring their qualifications, performance and independence;

29

---

approving and overseeing the disclosure of all audit, review and attest services provided by the external auditors, determining which non-audit services the external auditors are prohibited from providing, and pre-approving and overseeing the disclosure of permitted non-audit services by the external auditors to CN or any of its subsidiaries, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations;

reviewing recommendations to shareholders on the continued engagement or replacement of external auditors, for CN and CN's Pension Trust Funds;

ensuring that the external auditors are accountable to the Audit Committee and to the Board;

discussing with the external auditors the quality and not just the acceptability of CN's accounting principles, including (i) all critical accounting policies and practices used, (ii) any alternative treatments of financial information that have been discussed with management, the ramification of their use and the treatment preferred by the external auditors, as well as (iii) any other material written communications between CN and the external auditors (including a disagreement, if any, with management and any audit problems or difficulties and management's response);

reviewing at least annually, a report by the external auditors describing their internal quality-control procedures; any material issues raised by their most recent internal quality-control review of their firm, or peer review, or by any inquiry or investigation by governmental or professional authorities, within the preceding five years, respecting one or more audits carried out by them, to the extent available, and any steps taken to deal with any such issues;

reviewing at least annually, the formal written statement from the external auditors stating all relationships the external auditors have with CN and confirming their independence, and holding discussions with the external auditors as to any relationship or services that may impact their objectivity or independence;

reviewing hiring policies for employees or former employees of CN's firm of external auditors;

ensuring the rotation of lead, concurring and other audit partners, to the extent required by Canadian Corporate Governance Standards and U.S. Corporate Governance Standards.

#### E. Evaluating the performance of the Audit Committee

ensuring that processes are in place to annually evaluate the performance of the Audit Committee.

In addition to the above responsibilities, the Audit Committee may discharge the responsibilities of the Finance Committee if no meeting of the Finance Committee is scheduled to be held in the immediate future.

Because of the Audit Committee's demanding role and responsibilities, the Board chair, together with the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee chair, reviews any invitation to Audit Committee members to join the audit committee of another entity. Where a member of the Audit Committee simultaneously serves on the audit committee of more than three public companies, including CN, the Board determines whether such simultaneous service impairs the ability of such member to effectively serve on the Audit Committee and either requires a correction to the situation or discloses in CN's Information Circular that there is no such impairment.

As appropriate, the Audit Committee may retain independent advisors to help it carry out its responsibilities, including fixing such advisors' fees and retention terms, subject to advising the Board chair; the Committee makes arrangements for the appropriate funding for payment of the external auditors and any advisors retained by it. The Board also provides appropriate funding for all administrative expenses necessary or appropriate to allow the Audit Committee to carry out its duties.



The Audit Committee has direct communication channels with the internal and external auditors to discuss and review specific issues, as appropriate. In addition, each must meet separately with the Audit Committee, without management, twice a year, and more frequently as required; the Audit Committee must also meet separately with management twice a year, and more frequently as required.

The Audit Committee shall report to the Board regularly on its deliberations and annually on the adequacy of its mandate.

Nothing contained in the above mandate is intended to assign to the Audit Committee the Board's responsibility to ensure CN's compliance with applicable laws or regulations or to expand applicable standards of liability under statutory or regulatory requirements for the Directors or the members of the Audit Committee. Even though the Audit Committee has a specific mandate and its members may have financial experience and expertise, it is not the duty of the Audit Committee to plan or conduct audits, or to determine that the Company's financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Such matters are the responsibility of management, the internal auditors and the external auditors.

Members of the Audit Committee are entitled to rely, absent knowledge to the contrary, on (i) the integrity of the persons and organizations from whom they receive information, (ii) the accuracy and completeness of the information provided, and (iii) representations made by management as to the non-audit services provided to the Company by the external auditors.

The Audit Committee's oversight responsibilities are not established to provide an independent basis to determine that (i) management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or appropriate internal controls and procedures, or (ii) the Company's financial statements have been prepared and, if applicable, audited in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles or generally accepted auditing standards.



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, the Registrant certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 40-F and has duly caused this annual report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereto duly authorized.

CANADIAN NATIONAL RAILWAY COMPANY

By: /s/ Sean Finn  
Name: Sean Finn  
Title: Executive Vice-President  
Corporate  
Services and Chief Legal  
Officer  
Date: February 5, 2009

---

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
99.1	Management's Discussion and Analysis for the year ended December 31, 2008*
99.2	Audited Annual Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2008*
99.3	Consent of KPMG LLP.
99.4	CEO Section 302 Certification.
99.5	CFO Section 302 Certification.
99.6	CEO and CFO Section 906 Certification.

---

\*Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Form 6-K dated February 5, 2009.

---