UNIFI INC Form 10-K September 11, 2009

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE þ **ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended June 28, 2009

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES 0 **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 1-10542

Unifi. Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

New York

11-2165495

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

P.O. Box 19109 7201 West Friendly Avenue Greensboro, NC

27419-9109

(Zip Code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (336) 294-4410

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class Common Stock

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by checkmark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes o No b

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o Accelerated filer b Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting (Do not check if a smaller reporting company o reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No b

As of December 28, 2008, the aggregate market value of the registrant s voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$113,420,792. The Registrant has no non-voting stock.

As of September 3, 2009, the number of shares of the Registrant s common stock outstanding was 62,057,300.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) in connection with the solicitation of proxies for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of Unifi, Inc., to be held on October 28, 2009, are incorporated by reference into Part III. (With the exception of those portions which are specifically incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K, the Proxy Statement is not deemed to be filed or incorporated by reference as part of this report.)

Table of Contents

UNIFI, INC. ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	Part I	
Item 1.	<u>Business</u>	3
	Recent Developments	3
	Segment Financial Information	4
	Industry Overview	4
	Trade Regulation	5
	Environmental Matters	6
	Products	7
	Sales and Marketing	8
	Customers	8
	Manufacturing	9
	Suppliers and Sourcing	9
	Joint Ventures and Other Equity Investments	10
	Competition	10
	Backlog and Seasonality	11
	Intellectual Property	11
	Employees	11
	Net Sales and Long-Lived Assets by Geographic Area	12
	Available Information	12
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	12
Item 1B.	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	24
Item 2.	<u>Properties</u>	25
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	25
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	25
	Part II	
Item 5.	Market for Registrant s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer	
	Purchases of Equity Securities	27
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	30
<u>Item 7.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of	2.1
	<u>Operations</u>	31
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk	66
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	68
<u>Item 9.</u>	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial	112
T. 0.4	<u>Disclosure</u>	113
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	113
Item 9B.	Other Information	115
	<u>Part III</u>	
<u>Item 10.</u>	Directors and Executive Officers of Registrant	116

<u>Item 11.</u>	Executive Compensation	116
<u>Item 12.</u>	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related	
	Stockholder Matters	116
<u>Item 13.</u>	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	116
<u>Item 14.</u>	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	116
	Part IV	
<u>Item 15.</u>	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	117
<u>Signatures</u>		121
EX-12.1		
EX-21.1		
EX-23.1		
EX-31.1		
EX-31.2 EX-32.1		
EX-32.1 EX-32.2		
	2	

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

Unifi, Inc., a New York corporation formed in 1969 (together with its subsidiaries the Company or Unifi), is a diversified producer and processor of multi-filament polyester and nylon yarns, with production facilities located in the Americas. The Company s product offerings include specialty and premier value-added (PVA) yarns with enhanced performance characteristics. The Company sells its products to other yarn manufacturers, knitters and weavers that produce fabric for the apparel, hosiery, furnishings, automotive, industrial and other end-use markets. The Company maintains one of the industry s most comprehensive product offerings and emphasizes quality, style and performance in all of its products. The Company s net sales and net loss for fiscal year 2009 were \$553.7 million and \$49.0 million, respectively.

The Company uses advanced production processes to manufacture its high-quality yarns cost-effectively. The Company believes that its flexibility and know-how in producing specialty yarns provides important development and commercialization advantages. A significant number of customers, particularly in the apparel market, produce finished goods that meet the eligibility requirements for duty-free treatment in the regions covered by the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), the United States (U.S.) Dominican Republic Central American Free Trade Agreement (CAFTA), the Caribbean Basin Trade Partnership Act (CBTPA) and the Andean Trade Promotion and Drug Eradication Act (ATPDEA). These regional trade preference acts and free trade agreements contain rules of origin for synthetic fiber yarns. In order to be eligible for duty-free treatment, fibers such as partially oriented yarn (POY) and wholly formed yarns (extruded and spun) must be used to manufacture finished textile and apparel goods within the respective region. The Company has manufacturing operations in North and South America and participates in joint ventures in Israel and the U.S. In addition, the Company has a wholly owned subsidiary in the People s Republic of China (China) focused on the sale and promotion of the Company s specialty and PVA products in the Asian textile market, primarily in China.

The Company also works across the supply chain to develop and commercialize specialty yarns that provide performance, comfort, aesthetic and other advantages that enhance demand for its products. The Company has branded the premium portion of its specialty value-added yarns in order to distinguish its products in the marketplace. The Company currently has approximately 20 PVA yarns in its portfolio, commercialized under several brand names, including Sorbtek®, A.M.Y.®, Mynx® UV, Reflexx®, MicroVista®, aio® and Repreve®.

Recent Developments

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company completed the sale of its 50% interest in Yihua Unifi Fibre Company Limited (YUFI) to Sinopec Yizheng Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd, (YCFC) and received net proceeds of \$9.0 million. Maintaining a market presence in the Asian textile market is important to the sales growth and distribution of the Company s PVA yarns therefore the Company formed Unifi Textiles (Suzhou) Company, Ltd. (UTSC), a wholly owned Chinese sales and marketing subsidiary. UTSC obtained its business license in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, was capitalized during the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 with \$3.3 million of registered capital, and became operational at the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2009. UTSC will continue to expand the sales and promotion of the Company s specialty and PVA products, including the Company s 100% recycled product family Reprev®. The Company is encouraged by the number of development projects that it has in progress, including Reprev® filament and staple, Sorbtek® and Reflexx®. Similar to the U.S., the adoption timetable for some of these programs may be linked to improvements in the Chinese economy. The Company anticipates UTSC will positively contribute to the Company s operating results in fiscal year 2010, which will be a substantial improvement

over the former results of YUFI.

On September 29, 2008, the Company entered into an agreement to sell certain idle real property and related assets located in Yadkinville, North Carolina, for \$7.0 million. On December 19, 2008, the Company completed the sale and recorded a net pre-tax gain of \$5.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. The gain is included in the other operating (income) expense, net line on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

On May 14, 2008, the Company announced the closing of its Staunton, Virginia facility and the transfer of certain production to its facility in Yadkinville, North Carolina. The relocation of its beaming and warp draw

3

Table of Contents

production is consistent with the Company s strategy to maximize operational efficiencies and reduce production costs. The Company completed this transition in November 2008.

Segment Financial Information

Information regarding revenues, a measurement of profit or loss and total assets by segment, is presented in Footnote 15-Business Segments, Foreign Operations and Concentrations of Credit Risk included in the Company s consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Industry Overview

The textile and apparel industry consists of natural and synthetic fibers used in a wide variety of end-markets which primarily include apparel, furnishings, industrial and consumer products, floor coverings, fiber fill and tires. The industrial and consumer, floor covering, apparel and hosiery, and furnishings markets account for 38%, 35%, 18% and 9% of total production, respectively.

According to the National Council of Textile Organizations, the U.S. textile market s total shipments were \$68.5 billion for the twelve month period ended November 2007. During 2001 to 2006, the U.S. textile industry invested more than \$9 billion in new plants and equipment, making it one of the most modern and productive textile sectors in the world. During calendar year 2008, the U.S. textile industry employed approximately 600,000 people and exported more than \$16.0 billion of products making the U.S. the third largest exporter of textile products in the world.

Textiles and apparel goods are made from natural fiber, such as cotton and wool, or synthetic fiber, such as polyester and nylon. Since 1980, global demand for polyester has grown steadily, and in calendar year 2003, polyester replaced cotton as the fiber with the largest percentage of sales worldwide. In calendar year 2008, global polyester accounted for an estimated 44% of global fiber consumption and demand is projected to increase by approximately 4% to 5% annually through 2012. In calendar year 2008, global nylon accounted for an estimated 5% of global fiber consumption and demand is projected to increase by approximately 1% to 2% annually through 2012. In the U.S., the polyester and nylon fiber sector together accounted for approximately 57% of the textile consumption during calendar year 2008.

The synthetic filament industry includes petrochemical and raw material producers, fiber and yarn manufacturers (like the Company), fabric and product producers, consumer brands and retailers. Among synthetic filament yarn producers, pricing is highly competitive with innovation, product quality, customer service and location being essential for differentiating the competitors within the industry and compliance with specific trade agreements. Both product innovation and product quality are particularly important, as product innovation gives customers competitive advantages and product quality provides for improved manufacturing efficiencies.

During the last three quarters of fiscal year 2009, the global economic downturn negatively impacted all textile supply chains and markets causing a decline in U.S. consumer spending. Unlike prior contractions in the North American supply chain, which were primarily due to import competition of finished goods, the current contraction was driven by decreased demand from all sectors of the Company s downstream markets beginning in the second half of calendar year 2008. These synthetic filament markets include apparel, automotive, furnishings, and industrial. The ongoing U.S. economic downturn is expected to continue to impact consumer spending and retail sales of the Company s downstream markets. The decline in retail sales was compounded further by excessive inventory levels across the supply chains as fabric mills, finished goods producers, and retailers reduced purchase levels below their current sales levels, in an effort to match their working capital investments with the lower sales demand. As this reduction in purchase levels moved throughout the supply chain, the fiber market experienced 25% to 35% declines in demand

during certain periods when the retail demand was down 10% to 12% for the respective period.

Although the global textile and apparel industry s demand is expected to resume year-over-year growth, the U.S. textile and apparel industry is expected to further contract due to intense foreign competition in finished products. In the past, these contractions have caused the closure of many domestic textile and apparel plants and/or the movement of production offshore. However, it is expected that regional FTAs in the Americas, such as NAFTA and CAFTA, and U.S. unilateral duty preference programs, such as ATPDEA and CBTPA, will experience

4

Table of Contents

significant growth due to the cost advantages offered by these programs and the need for quick inventory turns by regional yarn producers. These agreements have enabled regional synthetic yarn producers to effectively compete with imported finished goods from lower wage-based countries. The Company estimates that the duty-free benefit of processing synthetic textiles and apparel finished goods under the terms of these regional FTAs and duty preference programs typically represents an advantage of 28% to 32% of the finished product s wholesale cost.

Government legislation, commonly referred to as the Berry Amendment, generally requires the U.S. Department of Defense to purchase textile and apparel articles which are manufactured in the U.S. of yarns and fibers produced in the United States. The American Recovery and Reinvestment Act passed on February 13, 2009 contained a similar provision, referred to as the Kissell Amendment, that requires the U.S. Department of Homeland Security s Transportation Security Administration and the U.S. Coast Guard to buy textile and apparel products made in the U.S.

The Company believes the requirements of the rules of origin in the regional FTAs together with the Berry and Kissell Amendments, and the growing need for quick response and inventory turns, ensures that a sizable portion of the textile industry will remain based in the America regions. The Company also believes the future success of its current business model will be based on the success of the free trade markets and its ability to: to increase its sales of PVA yarns; to implement cost saving strategies; to pass on raw material price increases to its customers and to strategically penetrate growth markets, such as China and Central America.

General economic conditions, such as raw material prices, interest rates, currency exchange rates and inflation rates that exist in different countries have a significant impact on competitiveness, as do various country-to-country trade agreements and restrictions. See Item 1A Risk Factors The Company faces intense competition from a number of domestic and foreign yarn producers and importers of textile and apparel products for a further discussion.

Trade Regulation

Imports of foreign-made textile and apparel products are a significant source of competition for the Company s supply chain in certain markets, specifically apparel and hosiery. Although imported apparel represents a significant portion of the U.S. apparel market, recent regional trade agreements, which provide duty free advantages for apparel produced from regional fibers, yarns and fabrics, have provided opportunities to participate in the growing import market with apparel products manufactured outside the U.S and exported back to the U.S. as finished products but within the regional free trade markets. Although imports of certain finished textile products from Asia have declined thus far in 2009, imports from Asia have gained significant share over the last several years as a result of lower wages, lower raw material and capital costs, unfair trade practices, and favorable currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar.

The extent of import protection afforded by the U.S. government to domestic textile producers has been subject to considerable domestic political deliberation and foreign considerations. Under the multilateral trading rules established by the World Trade Organization (WTO), all textile and apparel quotas were eliminated as of January 1, 2005. During calendar year 2005, textile and apparel imports from China surged, primarily gaining share from other Asian importing countries. To that end, the U.S. government imposed temporary safeguard quotas on various categories of Chinese-made products, citing market disruption. These quotas remained in effect until December 31, 2008. The industry is monitoring Chinese imports and continues to explore all current trade remedy laws that will address unfair trade practices that China has failed to eliminate under its WTO commitment.

Although quotas on textiles and apparel imports were eliminated after December 31, 2008, tariffs on imported products remain in effect. A seven-year effort under the WTO Doha Round to establish further tariff liberalization was delayed in August 2008 due to a breakdown in agricultural negotiations between developed and emerging economies. Further Doha rounds are scheduled, however, major obstacles remain in the global trade talks and little progress is expected in the near term.

NAFTA is a free trade agreement (FTA) between the U.S., Canada and Mexico that became effective on January 1, 1994 and has created the world s largest free trade region. The agreement contains safeguards sought by the U.S. textile industry, including certain rules of origin for textile and apparel products that must be met for these

5

Table of Contents

products to receive duty-free benefits under NAFTA. In general, textile and apparel products must be produced from yarns and fabrics made in the NAFTA region, and all subsequent processing must occur in the NAFTA region to receive duty-free treatment.

In 2000, the U.S. passed the CBTPA, amended by the Trade Act of 2002, which allows apparel products manufactured in the Caribbean region using yarns or fabric produced in the U.S. to be imported into the U.S. duty and quota free. Also in 2000, the U.S. passed the African Growth and Opportunity Act (AGOA), which was amended by the Trade Act of 2002, which allows apparel products manufactured in the sub-Saharan African region using yarns and fabrics produced in the U.S. to be imported into the U.S. duty and quota free. The CBTPA continues in effect until September 30, 2010 and the AGOA is in effect through 2015.

In August 2005, the U.S. passed CAFTA, which is a FTA between seven signatory countries: the U.S., the Dominican Republic, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua. The CAFTA supersedes the CBTPA for the CAFTA signatory countries and provides permanent benefits not only for apparel produced in the region, but for all textile products that meet the rules of origin. Qualifying textile and apparel products that are produced in any of the seven signatory countries from fabric, yarn and fibers that are also produced in any of the seven signatory countries may be imported into the U.S. duty free. Two CAFTA amendments were implemented in August 2008; one includes changes to require that pocketing yarn and fabric used in trousers would have to be produced in the U.S. or a CAFTA signatory country and a second cumulation rule that permits a certain amount of woven apparel produced in a CAFTA signatory country containing Mexican or Canadian yarns and fabrics to enter the U.S. duty free.

The ATPDEA passed on August 6, 2002, effectively granting participating Andean countries favorable trade terms similar to those of the other regional trade preference programs. Under the ATPDEA, apparel manufactured in Bolivia, Colombia, Ecuador and Peru using yarns and fabric produced in the U.S., or in these four Andean countries, could be imported into the U.S. duty and quota free through December 31, 2006. A temporary extension of the ATPDEA was granted to coincide with the ongoing FTA negotiations with several of these Andean nations. The U.S. Peru Trade Promotion Agreement, signed on April 12, 2006, and FTA s with Colombia and Panama awaiting Congressional action also follow, for the most part, the same yarn forward rules of origin for textile and apparel products as NAFTA.

Additionally, the Company operates under FTA s with Australia, Bahrain, Chile, Israel, Jordan, Morocco, Oman and Singapore. The U.S.-Korea FTA (Korea FTA), negotiated under the Bush Administration, will probably not be enacted until automotive issues and other controversial items are resolved in future negotiations.

The Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 U.S. Farm Bill), extended the existing upland cotton and extra long staple cotton programs, which includes economic adjustment assistance provisions for ten years. Eligible cotton is defined as baled upland cotton regardless of origin which must be one of the following: baled lint; loose; semi-processed motes or re-ginned motes as defined by the Upland Cotton Domestic User Agreement Section A-2. Eligible and Ineligible Cotton . Beginning August 1, 2008, the revised program will provide textile mills a subsidy of four cents per pound on eligible upland cotton consumed during the first four years and three cents per pound for the last six years of the program. The economic assistance received under this program must be used to acquire, construct, install, modernize, develop, convert or expand land, plant, buildings, equipment, or machinery. Capital expenditures must be directly attributable to the purpose of manufacturing upland cotton into eligible cotton products in the U.S. The recipients have the marketing year which goes from August 1 to July 31, plus eighteen months to make the capital investments. Parkdale America, LLC (PAL), the Company s 34% owned joint venture with Parkdale Mills, Inc., received benefits under this program in the amount of \$14.0 million representing eleven months of cotton consumption, of which \$9.7 million was recognized as a reduction to PAL s cost of sales during the Company s fiscal year 2009. The remaining \$4.3 million of deferred revenue will be recognized by PAL based on qualifying capital expenditures.

Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations limiting the use, storage, handling, release, discharge and disposal of a variety of hazardous substances and wastes used in or resulting from its operations and potential remediation obligations thereunder, particularly the Federal Water

6

Table of Contents

Pollution Control Act, the Clean Air Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (including provisions relating to underground storage tanks) and the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, commonly referred to as Superfund or CERCLA and various state counterparts. The Company has obtained, and is in compliance in all material respects with, all significant permits required to be issued by federal, state or local law in connection with the operation of its business as described in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The Company s operations are also governed by laws and regulations relating to workplace safety and worker health, principally the Occupational Safety and Health Act and regulations thereunder which, among other things, establish exposure standards regarding hazardous materials and noise standards, and regulate the use of hazardous chemicals in the workplace.

The Company believes that the operation of its production facilities and the disposal of waste materials are substantially in compliance with applicable federal, state and local laws and regulations and that there are no material ongoing or anticipated capital expenditures associated with environmental control facilities necessary to remain in compliance with such provisions. The Company incurs normal operating costs associated with the discharge of materials into the environment but does not believe that these costs are material or inconsistent with other domestic competitors.

On September 30, 2004, the Company completed its acquisition of the polyester filament manufacturing assets located at Kinston, North Carolina (Kinston) from Invista S.a.r.l. (INVISTA). The land for the Kinston site was leased pursuant to a 99 year ground lease (Ground Lease) with E.I. DuPont de Nemours (DuPont). Since 1993, DuPont has been investigating and cleaning up the Kinston site under the supervision of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR) pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Action program. The Corrective Action program requires DuPont to identify all potential areas of environmental concern (AOCs), assess the extent of containment at the identified AOCs and clean it up to comply with applicable regulatory standards. Effective March 20, 2008, the Company entered into a Lease Termination Agreement associated with conveyance of certain assets at Kinston to DuPont. This agreement terminated the Ground Lease and relieved the Company of any future responsibility for environmental remediation, other than participation with DuPont, if so called upon, with regard to the Company s period of operation of the Kinston site. However, the Company continues to own a satellite service facility acquired in the INVISTA transaction that has contamination from DuPont s operations and is monitored by DENR. This site has been remedied by DuPont and DuPont has received authority from DENR to discontinue remediation, other than natural attenuation. DuPont s duty to monitor and report to DENR with respect to this site will be transferred to the Company in the future, at which time DuPont must pay the Company for seven years of monitoring and reporting costs and the Company will assume responsibility for any future remediation and monitoring of the site. At this time, the Company has no basis to determine if and when it will have any responsibility or obligation with respect to the AOCs or the extent of any potential liability for the same.

Products

The Company manufactures polyester related products in the U.S. and Brazil and nylon yarns in the U.S. and Colombia for a wide range of end-uses. In addition, the Company purchases fully drawn yarn (FDY) and certain drawn textured yarns (DTY) for resale to its customers. The combined polyester segment represents approximately 73% of consolidated sales, with the nylon segment representing approximately 27% of consolidated sales. The Company processes and sells POY, as well as high-volume commodity, specialty and PVA yarns, domestically and internationally, with PVA yarns making up approximately 13% of consolidated sales.

Polyester POY is used to make polyester yarn. Polyester yarn products include textured, solution and package dyed, twisted and beamed yarns. The Company sells its polyester yarns to other yarn manufacturers, knitters and weavers

that produce fabric for the apparel, automotive upholstery, home furnishings, industrial, military, medical and other end-uses. Nylon products include textured nylon and covered spandex products, which the Company sells to other yarn manufacturers, knitters and weavers that produce fabric for the apparel, hosiery, sock and other end-uses.

In addition to producing high-volume commodity yarns, the Company develops, manufactures and commercializes specialty yarns that provide performance, comfort, aesthetic and other advantages to fabrics and

7

Table of Contents

garments. The Company continues to expand the Repreve® family of recycled fibers, which now includes more than nine different recycled product options. These product options include filament polyester (available as 100% hybrid (post-industrial and post-consumer) blend or 100% post-consumer), filament nylon 6.6, staple polyester and recycled performance fibers. The Company s recycled performance fibers are manufactured to provide performance and/or functional properties to fabrics and end products such as flame retardation, moisture wicking, and performance stretch. The Company s branded portion of its yarn portfolio continues to grow to provide product differentiation to brands, retailers and consumers. These branded yarn products include:

Repreve®, an eco-friendly yarn made from recycled materials. Since introduced in August 2006, Repreve® has been the Company s most successful branded product. Reprev® can be found in well-known brands and retailers including the North Face, Patagonia, Wal-Mart s Starter and George brands, Reebok, REI, LL Bean, AllSteel, Hon, Steelcase, Perry Ellis, Sears, Macy s and Kohl s.

aio[®], all-in-one performance yarns, which combine multiple performance properties into a single yarn. aio[®] has been very successful with brands, such as Reebok and Champion and retailers including Costco, (Kirkland brand) Target (C9 brand), and the U.S. military.

Sorbtek[®], a permanent moisture management yarn primarily used in performance base layer applications, compression apparel, athletic bras, sports apparel, socks and other non-apparel related items. Sorbtek[®] can be found in many well-known apparel brands and retailers, including Reebok, Asics and the U.S. military.

A.M.Y. [®], a yarn with permanent antimicrobial properties for odor control. A.M.Y. [®] is being used by Reebok in its NFL Equipment line, Champion, Target and the U.S. military.

Mynx® UV, an ultraviolet protective yarn. Mynx® UV can be found in Asics Running Apparel and Terry Cycling.

Reflexx[®], a family of stretch yarns that can be found in a wide array of end-use applications from home furnishings to performance wear and from hosiery and socks to workwear and denim. Reflexx[®] can be found in many products including those used by the U.S. military.

For fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, the Company incurred \$2.4 million, \$2.6 million, and \$2.5 million of expense for its research and development activities, respectively. The Company has also significantly increased its investment in the commercialization of PVA products by investing an additional \$3.5 million toward a \$5.0 million capital project to expand its capacity and flexibility for the production of recycled POY.

Sales and Marketing

The Company employs a sales force of approximately 30 persons operating out of sales offices in the U.S., Brazil, China, and Colombia. The Company relies on independent sales agents for sales in several other countries. The Company seeks to create strong customer relationships and continually seeks ways to build and strengthen those relationships throughout the supply chain. Through frequent communications with customers, partnering with customers in product development and engaging key downstream brands and retailers, the Company has created significant pull-through sales and brand recognition for its products. For example, the Company works with brands and retailers to educate and create demand for its value-added products. The Company then works with key fabric mill partners to develop specific fabric for those brands and retailers utilizing its PVA products. Based on the results of many commercial and branded programs, this strategy has proven to be successful for the Company.

Customers

The Company sells its polyester yarns to approximately 900 customers and its nylon yarns to approximately 200 customers in a variety of geographic markets. In fiscal year 2009, the Company had sales to Hanesbrands, Inc. (HBI) of \$58 million which were approximately 11% of its consolidated revenues. The Company is sales to HBI were primarily related to its nylon segment. A significant portion of the sales to HBI were made pursuant to a supply agreement that expired in April 2009, with the remainder being on an order-by-order basis. The Company and HBI have established a framework for a new long-term supply contract that is anticipated to be finalized in calendar year 2009. However, there can be no assurances that the Company and HBI will finalize a new supply agreement on this

8

Table of Contents

timetable or at all. See Item 1A Risk Factors The Company is dependant on a relatively small number of customers for a significant portion of its net sales for more information.

Products are generally sold on an order-by-order basis for both the polyester and nylon segments, including PVA yarns with enhanced performance characteristics. For substantially all customer orders, including those involving more customized yarns, the manufacture and shipment of yarn is in accordance with product specifications and firm orders received from customers specifying yarn type and delivery dates.

Customer payment terms are generally consistent for both the polyester and nylon reporting segments and are usually based on prevailing industry practices for the sale of yarn domestically or internationally. In certain cases, payment terms are subject to further negotiation between the Company and individual customers based on specific circumstances impacting the customer and may include the extension of payment terms or negotiation of situation specific payment plans. The Company does not believe that any such deviations from normal payment terms are significant to either of its reporting segments or the Company taken as a whole. See Item 1A Risk Factors The Company s business could be negatively impacted by the financial condition of its customers for more information.

Manufacturing

The Company produces polyester POY for its commodity, specialty and PVA yarns in its polyester spinning facility located in Yadkinville, North Carolina. The spinning process involves an extrusion of molten polymer from polyester polymer beads (Chip) into polyester POY. The molten polymer is extruded through spinnerettes to form continuous multi-filament raw yarn. The Company purchases Chip from external suppliers for use in its spinning facility. The Company also purchases much of its commodity polyester POY from external suppliers for use in its texturing operations. The Company also purchases nylon POY and other yarns from a joint venture and other external suppliers for use in its nylon texturing and covering operations.

The Company s polyester and nylon yarns can be sold externally or further processed internally. Additional processing of polyester products includes texturing, package dyeing, twisting and beaming. The texturing process, which is common to both polyester and nylon, involves the use of high-speed machines to draw, heat and false-twist the POY to produce yarn having various physical characteristics, depending on its ultimate end-use. Texturing of POY, which can be either natural or solution-dyed raw polyester or natural nylon filament fiber, gives the yarn greater bulk, strength, stretch, consistent dye-ability and a softer feel, thereby making it suitable for use in knitting and weaving of fabric.

Package dyeing allows for matching of customer specific color requirements for yarns sold into the automotive, home furnishings and apparel markets. Twisting incorporates real twist into the filament yarns which can be sold for such uses as sewing thread, home furnishings and apparel. Beaming places both textured and covered yarns onto beams to be used by customers in warp knitting and weaving applications.

Additional processing of nylon products primarily includes covering which involves the wrapping or air entangling of filament or spun yarn around a core yarn. This process enhances a fabric sability to stretch, recover its original shape and resist wrinkles while maintaining a softer feel.

The Company works closely with its customers to develop yarns using a research and development staff that evaluates trends and uses the latest technology to create innovative specialty and PVA yarns reflecting current consumer preferences.

Suppliers and Sourcing

The primary raw material suppliers for the polyester segment are NanYa Plastics Corp. of America (NanYa) for Chip and POY and Reliance Industries for POY. The primary suppliers of nylon POY to the nylon segment are U.N.F. Industries Ltd. (UNF), HN Fibers, Ltd., INVISTA, Universal Premier Fibers, LLC, and Nilit US (formerly Nylstar). UNF is a 50/50 joint venture with Nilit Ltd. (Nilit), located in Israel. The joint venture produces nylon POY at Nilit s manufacturing facility in Migdal Ha Emek, Israel. The nylon POY production is being utilized in the domestic nylon texturing operations. Although the Company does not generally have difficulty in obtaining raw nylon POY or raw polyester POY, the Company has in the past and may in the future experience interruptions or

9

Table of Contents

limitations in the supply of Chip and other raw materials used to manufacture polyester POY, which could materially and adversely affect its operations. See Item 1A Risk Factors The Company depends upon limited sources for raw materials, and interruptions in supply could increase its costs of production and cause its operations to suffer for a further discussion.

The Company also purchases certain nylon and polyester products for resale in the U.S., Brazil, and China. The domestic resale product suppliers include NanYa, Universal Premier Fibers, LLC, Qingdao Bangyuan Industries Company Ltd, Nilit, and Ashahi Kasei Spandex America, Inc. The Company s Brazilian operation purchases resale products primarily from PT Polysindo EKA Perkasa and Reliance Industries. The Company s China subsidiary, primarily purchases its resale products from Sinopec Yizheng Chemical Fiber Co., Ltd (YCFC), its former joint venture partner.

Joint Ventures and Other Equity Investments

The Company participates in joint ventures in Israel and the U.S. See Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation Joint Ventures and Other Equity Investments included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a more detailed description of its joint ventures.

Competition

The industry in which the Company currently operates is global and highly competitive. The Company processes and sells both high-volume commodity products and specialized yarns both domestically and internationally into many end-use markets, including the apparel, hosiery, automotive, industrial and furnishing markets. The Company competes with a number of other foreign and domestic producers of polyester and nylon yarns as well as with importers of textile and apparel products.

The polyester segment s major regional competitors are O Mara, Inc., and NanYa in the U.S., AKRA, S.A. de C.V. in the NAFTA region, and C S Central America S.A. de C.V. (CS Central America) in the CAFTA region. The Company s major competitors in Brazil are Avanti Industria Comercio Importação e Exportação Ltda. and Ledervin Industria e Comercio Ltda. The nylon segment s major regional competitors are Sapona Manufacturing Company, Inc., and McMichael Mills, Inc. in the U.S. and Worldtex, Inc in the ATPDEA region. See Item 1A Risk Factors The Company faces intense competition from a number of domestic and foreign yarn producers for a further discussion.

The Company also competes against a number of foreign competitors that not only sell polyester and nylon yarns in the U.S. and Brazil but also import foreign sourced fabric and apparel into the U.S. and other countries in which it does business, which adversely impacts the demand for polyester and nylon yarns in the Company s markets.

The Company s foreign competitors include yarn manufacturers located in the regional free trade markets who also benefit from the NAFTA, CAFTA, CBTPA and ATPDEA trade agreements which provide for duty-free treatment of most apparel and textiles between the signatory (and qualifying) countries. The cost advantages offered by these trade agreements and the desire for quick inventory turns have enabled producers from these regions, including commodity yarn users, to effectively compete. As a result of such cost advantages, the Company expects that the CAFTA and ATPDEA regions will continue to grow in their supply to the U.S. The Company is the largest of only a few significant producers of eligible yarn under these trade agreements. As a result, one of the Company s business strategies is to leverage its eligibility status to increase its share of business with regional fabric producers and domestic producers who ship their products into the region for further duty free processing.

On a global basis, the Company competes not only as a yarn producer but also as part of a regional supply chain. As one of the many participants in the textile industry, its business and competitive position are directly impacted by the

business, financial condition and competitive position of several other participants in the supply chain in which it operates. See Item 1A. Risk Factors for more information.

In the apparel market, a significant source of overseas competition comes from textile and apparel manufacturers that operate in lower labor and lower raw materials cost countries such as China. The primary competitive factors in the textile industry include price, quality, product styling and differentiation, flexibility of production and

10

Table of Contents

finishing, delivery time and customer service. The needs of particular customers and the characteristics of particular products determine the relative importance of these various factors. Several of the foreign competitors to the Company's current supply chain have significant competitive advantages, including lower wages, raw materials costs, capital costs, and favorable currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar which could make the Company's products less competitive and may cause its sales and operating results to decline. In addition, while traditionally these foreign competitors have focused on commodity production, they are now increasingly focused on specialty and value-added products where the Company generates higher margins. In recent years, international imports of fabric and finished goods in the U.S. have significantly increased, resulting in a significant reduction in the Company's customer base. The primary drivers for that growth are lower over-seas operating costs, increased overseas sourcing by U.S. retailers, the entry of China into the free trade markets and the staged elimination of all textile and apparel quotas. In May 2005, the U.S. government imposed safeguard quotas on various categories of Chinese-made products, citing market disruption. Following extensive negotiations, the U.S. and China entered into a bilateral agreement in November 2005 resulting in the imposition of quotas on a number of categories of Chinese textile and apparel products which remained in effect until December 31, 2008. As a result of the elimination of these safeguard quotas, global competition intensified, with China taking additional share of the market mostly from other Asian countries.

The U.S. automotive upholstery market has been less susceptible to import penetration because of the exacting specifications and quality requirements often imposed on manufacturers of automotive upholstery and the just-in-time delivery requirements. Effective customer service and prompt response to customer feedback are logistically more difficult for an importer to provide. Nevertheless, the U.S. automotive industry faces a decline of approximately 30% to 40% in production projected for calendar year 2009. In addition to the adverse impact of the domestic economic downturn, yarn volumes in the automotive industry have also been negatively impacted by the shift to fabrics utilizing lower denier yarns.

The nylon hosiery market had been experiencing a decline in recent years due to movement in consumer preferences toward casual clothing. The emergence of shape-wear, the expansion of CAFTA, and projected growth of the Company s leading domestic hosiery producer provided growth for the Company in this segment during fiscal year 2008. However in fiscal year 2009, the Company s sales in the nylon segment were negatively impacted by the economic downturn, and further compounded by the inventory de-stocking within the supply chain.

Backlog and Seasonality

The Company generally sells products, including its PVA yarns, on an order-by-order basis for both the polyester and nylon reporting segments. Changes in economic indicators and consumer confidence levels can have a significant impact on retail sales. Deviations between expected sales and actual consumer demand result in significant adjustments to desired inventory levels and, in turn, replenishment orders placed with suppliers. This changing demand ultimately works its way through the supply chain and impacts the Company. As a result, the Company does not track unfilled orders for purposes of determining backlog but will routinely reconfirm or update the status of potential orders. Consequently, backlog is generally not applicable to the Company, and it does not consider its products to be seasonal.

Intellectual Property

The Company has 27 U.S. registered trademarks none of which are material to any of the Company s reporting segments or its business taken as a whole. The Company licenses certain trademarks, including Dacron® and Softectm from INVISTA.

Employees

The Company employs approximately 2,500 employees of whom approximately 2,480 are full-time and approximately 20 are part-time employees. Approximately 1,800 employees are employed in the polyester segment, approximately 580 employees are employed in the nylon segment and approximately 120 employees are employed in its corporate office. While employees of the Company s foreign operations are generally unionized,

11

Table of Contents

none of the domestic employees are currently covered by collective bargaining agreements. The Company believes that its relations with its employees are good.

Net Sales and Long-Lived Assets By Geographic Area

		Fiscal Years Ended				
	J	une 28, 2009	J	June 29, 2008	J	une 24, 2007
	(Amounts in thousands)					
Domestic operations:						
Net sales	\$	434,015	\$	581,400	\$	574,857
Total long-lived assets		209,117		240,547		272,868
Brazil operations:						
Net sales	\$	113,458	\$	128,531	\$	110,191
Total long-lived assets		24,319		38,624		33,081
Other foreign operations:						
Net sales	\$	6,190	\$	3,415	\$	5,260
Total long-lived assets		1,245		7,497		21,636

Available Information

The Company s Internet address is: www.unifi.com. Copies of the Company s reports, including annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports, that the Company files with or furnishes to the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and beneficial ownership reports on Forms 3, 4, and 5, are available as soon as practicable after such material is electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC and maybe obtained without charge by accessing the Company s web site or by writing Mr. Ronald L. Smith at Unifi, Inc. P.O. Box 19109, Greensboro, North Carolina 27419-9109.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In the course of conducting operations, the Company is exposed to a variety of risks that are inherent to the textile business. The following discusses some of the key inherent risk factors that could affect the Company s business and operations, as well as other risk factors which are particularly relevant to the Company during the current period. Other factors besides those discussed below or elsewhere in this report could also adversely affect the Company s business and operations, and these risk factors should not be considered a complete list of potential risks that may affect the Company. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on the Company s business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. See Item 7. Forward-Looking Statements for further discussion of forward-looking statements about the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

Current economic conditions and uncertain economic outlook could continue to adversely affect the Company s results of operations and financial condition.

The global economy is currently undergoing a period of unprecedented volatility which has negatively affected the Company s results of operations and financial condition. The Company cannot predict when economic conditions will improve or stabilize. A prolonged period of economic volatility or continued decline could continue to have a material

adverse affect on the Company s results of operations and financial condition and exacerbate the other risks related to its business.

12

Table of Contents

Global capital and credit market conditions, and resulting declines in consumer confidence and spending, could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, operating results, and financial condition.

Volatility and disruption in the global capital and credit markets in 2008 and 2009 have led to a tightening of business credit and liquidity, a contraction of consumer credit, business failures, higher unemployment, and declines in consumer confidence and spending in the U.S. and internationally. If global economic and financial market conditions deteriorate or remain weak for an extended period of time, the following factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, operating results, and financial condition:

The Company s products are used in the production of fabric primarily for the apparel, hosiery, home furnishings, automotive and industrial markets. Slower consumer spending may effect the markets in which the Company participates which may result in reduced demand for its products, order cancellations, lower revenues, increased inventories, and lower gross margins.

The Company may be unable to find suitable investments that are safe, liquid, and provide a reasonable return. This could result in lower interest income or longer investment horizons. Disruptions to capital markets or the banking system may also impair the value of investments or bank deposits that the Company currently considers safe or liquid.

The failure of financial institution counterparties to honor their obligations to the Company under credit instruments could jeopardize its ability to rely on and benefit from those instruments. The Company s ability to replace those instruments on the same or similar terms may be limited under poor market conditions.

If the Company s customers experience declining revenues, or experience difficulty obtaining financing in the capital and credit markets to purchase its products, this could result in reduced orders for its products, order cancellations, inability of customers to timely meet their payment obligations to the Company, extended payment terms, higher accounts receivable, reduced cash flows, greater expense associated with collection efforts, and increased bad debt expense. Financial solvency issues at CIT Group, Inc., (CIT), a New York based commercial lender and the largest factoring company in the U.S., could result in lost sales as certain of the Company s direct and indirect customers obtain financing from this lender. Factoring, a form of debt financing involving the sale of accounts receivable at a discount, is commonly utilized by textile industry suppliers and apparel manufacturers.

If the Company s customers experience severe financial difficulty, some may become insolvent and cease business operations, which could have a material effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations.

The significant price volatility of many of the Company s raw materials and rising energy costs may result in increased production costs, which the Company may not be able to pass on to its customers, which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

A significant portion of the Company s raw materials and energy costs are derived from petroleum-based chemicals. The prices for petroleum and petroleum-related products and energy costs are volatile and dependent on global supply and demand dynamics including geo-political risks. While the Company enters into raw material supply agreements from time to time, these agreements typically provide index pricing based on quoted feedstock market prices. Therefore, its supply agreements provide only limited protection against price volatility. While the Company has in the past matched cost increases with corresponding product price increases, the Company was not always able to immediately raise product prices, and, ultimately, pass on underlying cost increases to its customers. The Company has in the past lost and expects that it will continue to lose, customers to its competitors as a result of any price

increases. In addition, its competitors may be able to obtain raw materials at a lower cost due to market regulations. Additional raw material and energy cost increases that the Company is not able to fully pass on to customers or the loss of a large number of customers to competitors as a result of price increases could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

13

Table of Contents

The Company depends upon limited sources for raw materials, and interruptions in supply could increase its costs of production and cause its operations to suffer.

The Company depends on a limited number of third parties for certain raw material supplies, such as POY and Chip. Although alternative sources of raw materials exist, the Company may not continue to be able to obtain adequate supplies of such materials on acceptable terms, or at all, from other sources. Following the closure of the Company s Kinston facility, sources of POY from NAFTA and CAFTA qualified suppliers may in the future experience interruptions or limitations in the supply of its raw materials, which would increase its product costs and could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. These POY suppliers are also at risk with their raw material supply chain. For example, in the Louisiana area in 2005, Hurricane Katrina created shortages in the supply of paraxlyene, a feedstock used in polyester polymer production. As a result, supplies of paraxlyene were reduced, and prices increased. With Hurricane Rita the supply of monoethylene glycol (MEG) was reduced, and prices increased as well. Any disruption or curtailment in the supply of any of its raw materials could cause the Company to reduce or cease its production in general or require the Company to increase its pricing, which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, and results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is currently implementing various strategic business initiatives, and the success of the Company s business will depend on its ability to effectively develop and implement these initiatives.

The Company is currently implementing various strategic business initiatives. The development and implementation of these initiatives also requires management to divert a portion of its time from day-to-day operations. These expenses and diversions could have a significant impact on the Company s operations and profitability, particularly if the initiatives included in any new endeavor prove to be unsuccessful. Moreover, if the Company is unable to implement an initiative in a timely manner, or if those initiatives turn out to be ineffective or are executed improperly, the Company s business and operating results would be adversely affected.

The Company s substantial level of indebtedness could adversely affect its financial condition.

The Company has substantial indebtedness. As of June 28, 2009, the Company had a total of \$187.1 million of debt outstanding, including \$179.2 million outstanding in aggregate principal amount of 2014 notes, \$6.9 million outstanding in loans relating to a Brazilian government tax program, and \$1.0 million outstanding on a sale leaseback obligation.

The Company s outstanding indebtedness could have important consequences to investors, including the following:

its high level of indebtedness could make it more difficult for the Company to satisfy its obligations with respect to its outstanding notes, including its repurchase obligations;

the restrictions imposed on the operation of its business may hinder its ability to take advantage of strategic opportunities to grow its business;

its ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or general corporate purposes may be impaired;

the Company must use a substantial portion of its cash flow from operations to pay interest on its indebtedness, which will reduce the funds available to the Company for operations and other purposes;

its high level of indebtedness could place the Company at a competitive disadvantage compared to its competitors that may have proportionately less debt;

its flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in its business and the industry in which it operates may be limited; and

its high level of indebtedness makes the Company more vulnerable to economic downturns and adverse developments in its business.

14

Table of Contents

Any of the foregoing could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations, prospects and ability to satisfy its obligations under its indebtedness.

Despite its current indebtedness levels, the Company may still be able to incur substantially more debt. This could further exacerbate the risks associated with its substantial leverage.

The Company and its subsidiaries may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness, including additional secured indebtedness, in the future. The terms of its current debt restrict, but do not completely prohibit, the Company from doing so. The Company s amended revolving credit facility (Amended Credit Agreement) permits up to \$100 million of borrowings, which the Company can request be increased to \$150 million under certain circumstances, with a borrowing base specified in the credit facility as equal to specified percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventory. In addition, the indenture with respect to the 2014 notes dated May 26, 2006 between the Company and its subsidiary guarantors and U.S. Bank, National Association, as Trustee (the Indenture) allows the Company to issue additional notes under certain circumstances and to incur certain other additional secured debt, and allows its foreign subsidiaries to incur additional debt. The Indenture for its 2014 notes does not prevent the Company from incurring other liabilities that do not constitute indebtedness. If new debt or other liabilities are added to its current debt levels, the related risks that the Company now faces could intensify.

The Company will require a significant amount of cash to service its indebtedness and fund capital expenditures, and its ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond its control.

The Company s principal sources of liquidity are cash flows generated from operations and borrowings under its Amended Credit Agreement. The Company s ability to make payments on, to refinance its indebtedness and to fund planned capital expenditures will depend on its ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond its control.

The business may not generate cash flows from operations, and future borrowings may not be available to the Company under its Amended Credit Agreement in an amount sufficient to enable the Company to pay its indebtedness and to fund its other liquidity needs. If the Company is not able to generate sufficient cash flow or borrow under its Amended Credit Agreement for these purposes, the Company may need to refinance or restructure all or a portion of its indebtedness on or before maturity, reduce or delay capital investments or seek to raise additional capital. The Company may not be able to implement one or more of these alternatives on terms that are acceptable or at all. The terms of its existing or future debt agreements may restrict the Company from adopting any of these alternatives. The failure to generate sufficient cash flow or to achieve any of these alternatives could materially adversely affect the Company s financial condition.

In addition, without such refinancing, the Company could be forced to sell assets to make up for any shortfall in its payment obligations under unfavorable circumstances. The Company s Amended Credit Agreement and the Indenture for its 2014 notes limit its ability to sell assets and also restrict the use of proceeds from any such sale. Furthermore, the 2014 notes and its Amended Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of its assets. Therefore, the Company may not be able to sell its assets quickly enough or for sufficient amounts to enable the Company to meet its debt service obligations.

The terms of the Company s outstanding indebtedness impose significant operating and financial restrictions, which may prevent the Company from pursuing certain business opportunities and taking certain actions.

The terms of the Company s outstanding indebtedness impose significant operating and financial restrictions on its business. These restrictions will limit or prohibit, among other things, its ability to:

incur and guarantee indebtedness or issue preferred stock;

repay subordinated indebtedness prior to its stated maturity;

pay dividends or make other distributions on or redeem or repurchase the Company s stock;

15

Table of Contents

issue capital stock;
make certain investments or acquisitions;
create liens;
sell certain assets or merge with or into other companies;
enter into certain transactions with stockholders and affiliates;
make capital expenditures; and
restrict dividends, distributions or other payments from its subsidiaries.

In addition, the Company s Amended Credit Agreement also requires the Company to meet a minimum fixed charge ratio test if borrowing capacity is less than \$25 million at any time during the quarter and restricts its ability to make capital expenditures or prepay certain other debt. The Company may not be able to maintain this ratio. These restrictions could limit its ability to plan for or react to market conditions or meet its capital needs. The Company may not be granted waivers or amendments to its Amended Credit Agreement if for any reason the Company is unable to meet its requirements or the Company may not be able to refinance its debt on terms that are acceptable, or at all.

The breach of any of these covenants or restrictions could result in a default under the Indenture for its 2014 notes or its Amended Credit Agreement. An event of default under its debt agreements would permit some of its lenders to declare all amounts borrowed from them to be due and payable.

The Company faces intense competition from a number of domestic and foreign yarn producers and importers of textile and apparel products.

The Company s industry is highly competitive. The Company competes not only against domestic and foreign yarn producers, but also against importers of foreign sourced fabric and apparel into the U.S. and other countries in which the Company does business. The Company s major regional competitors are AKRA, S.A. de C.V., CS Central America, O Mara, Inc., and NanYa, in the polyester yarn segment and Sapona Manufacturing Company, Inc., McMichael Mills, Inc. and Worldtex, Inc. in the nylon yarn segment. The Company s major competitors in Brazil are Avanti Industria Comercio Importacao e Exportacao Ltda. and Ledervin Industria e Comercio Ltda. Related to competitive conditions in Brazil, Petrobras Petroleo Brasileiro S.A. (Petrobras), a public oil company controlled by the Brazilian government, announced the construction of a polyester manufacturing complex located in the northeast sector of the country. This new investment in polyester capacity is made by Petrobras through its wholly owned subsidiary, Petrosuape-Companhia Petroquimica de Pernambuco (Petrosuape). Petrosuape will produce purified terephthalic acid (PTA), polyethylene terephthalate (PET) resin, polyester chip, POY and textured polyester. Construction of the PTA facility has begun and site preparation for the polymer, spinning and texturing facility has commenced. The planned textured polyester capacity, which is approximately twice the capacity of the Company s Brazilian subsidiary (Unifi do Brazil), is scheduled to start production in July 2010 and may compete directly with Unifi do Brazil. Such significant capacity expansion may negatively affect the utilization rate of the synthetic textile filament market in Brazil, thereby potentially impacting the operating result of Unifi do Brazil.

The importation of garments and fabric from lower wage-based countries and overcapacity throughout the world has resulted in lower net sales, gross profits and net income for both its polyester and nylon segments. The primary competitive factors in the textile industry include price, quality, product styling and differentiation, flexibility of

production and finishing, delivery time and customer service. The needs of particular customers and the characteristics of particular products determine the relative importance of these various factors. Because the Company, and the supply chain in which the Company operates, do not typically operate on the basis of long-term contracts with textile and apparel customers, these competitive factors could cause the Company s customers to rapidly shift to other producers. A large number of the Company s foreign competitors have significant competitive advantages, including lower labor costs, lower raw materials and favorable currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar. If any of these advantages increase, the Company s products could become less competitive, and its sales and profits may decrease as a result. In addition, while traditionally these foreign competitors have focused on commodity production, they are now increasingly focused on value-added products, where the Company continues

16

Table of Contents

to generate higher margins. Competitive pressures may also intensify as a result of the elimination of China safeguard measures and the potential elimination of duties. The Company, and the supply chain in which the Company operates, may therefore not be able to continue to compete effectively with imported foreign-made textile and apparel products, which would materially adversely affect its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company is dependent on a relatively small number of customers for a significant portion of its net sales.

A significant portion of the Company s net sales is derived from a relatively small number of customers. The Company s top ten customers constitute approximately 30% of total net sales in fiscal year 2009 with sales to HBI making up approximately 11% of the total net sales. The Company s supply agreement with HBI expired in April 2009. The Company and HBI have established a framework for a new long-term supply contract that is anticipated to be finalized in the calendar year 2009. However, there can be no assurances that the Company and HBI will finalize a new supply agreement on this timetable or at all. If the HBI supply agreement is not renewed, and the sales to HBI are reduced, the result could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business and operating results. The Company expects to continue to depend upon its principal customers for a significant portion of its sales, although there can be no assurance that the Company s principal customers will continue to purchase products and services at current levels, if at all. The loss of one or more major customers or a change in their buying patterns could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in the trade regulatory environment could weaken the Company s competitive position dramatically and have a material adverse effect on its business, net sales and profitability.

A number of sectors of the textile industry in which the Company sells its products, particularly apparel, hosiery and home furnishings, are subject to intense foreign competition. Other sectors of the textile industry in which the Company sells its products may in the future become subject to more intense foreign competition. There are currently a number of trade regulations and duties in place to protect the U.S. textile industry against competition from low-priced foreign producers, such as China. Changes in such trade regulations and duties may make its products less attractive from a price standpoint than the goods of its competitors or the finished apparel products of a competitor in the supply chain, which could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, net sales and profitability. In addition, increased foreign capacity and imports that compete directly with its products could have a similar effect. Furthermore, one of the Company s key business strategies is to expand its business within countries that are parties to FTAs with the U.S. Any relaxation of duties or other trade protections with respect to countries that are not parties to those FTAs could therefore decrease the importance of the trade agreements and have a material adverse effect on its business, net sales and profitability. An example of potentially adverse consequences can be found in the CAFTA agreement. A customs ruling has been issued that allows the use of foreign synthetic singles textured sewing thread in the CAFTA region. This ruling allows for increased foreign competition due to the duty-free treatment of CAFTA apparel containing the foreign thread component. Failure to overturn this ruling or correct this drafting error in the FTA could have a further material adverse effect on this business segment. See Item 1. Business Trade Regulation for more information.

The proposed Korea FTA is problematic for various sectors of the U.S. textile industry. In contrast to FTA s in recent years, the Korean FTA is the first FTA since the NAFTA agreement where the country in question has a large, vertically integrated and developed textile sector which exports significant amounts of textile products to the U.S. Duty-free treatment under the proposed agreement could adversely affect the U.S. textile and apparel industries due to the fact that this FTA would give Korea a greater competitive advantage by further reducing the cost of Korean products in the U.S. Korea is already the sixth largest exporter of textile products to the U.S. market and the fourth largest exporter of textile products in the world. Although passage of the agreement does not look likely in 2009, the U.S. textile industry is currently working with the U.S. Trade Office and the new Administration to address concerns with the Korea FTA as it was negotiated under the previous administration.

Table of Contents

A decline in general economic or political conditions and changes in consumer spending could cause the Company s sales and profits to decline.

The Company s products are used in the production of fabric primarily for the apparel, hosiery, home furnishing, automotive, industrial and other similar end-use markets. Demand for furniture and durable goods, such as automobiles, is often affected significantly by economic conditions. Demand for a number of categories of apparel also tends to be tied to economic cycles. Domestic demand for textile products therefore tends to vary with the business cycles of the U.S. economy as well as changes in global trade flows, and economic and political conditions. Future armed conflicts, terrorist activities, economic and political conditions or natural disasters in the U.S. or abroad and any consequent actions on the part of the U.S. government and others may cause general economic conditions in the U.S. to deteriorate or otherwise reduce U.S. consumer spending. A decline in general economic conditions or consumer confidence may also lead to significant changes to inventory levels and, in turn, replenishment orders placed with suppliers. These changing demands ultimately work their way through the supply chain and could adversely affect demand for the Company s products and have a material adverse effect on its business, net sales and profitability.

Failure to successfully reduce the Company s production costs may adversely affect its financial results.

A significant portion of the Company s strategy relies upon its ability to successfully rationalize and improve the efficiency of its operations. In particular, the Company s strategy relies on its ability to reduce its production costs in order to remain competitive. Over the past four years, the Company has consolidated multiple unprofitable businesses and production lines in an effort to match operating rates to the market, reduce overhead and supply costs, focus on optimizing the product mix amongst its reorganized assets, and made significant capital expenditures to more completely automate its production facilities, lessen the dependence on labor and decrease waste. If the Company is not able to continue to successfully implement cost reduction measures, or if these efforts do not generate the level of cost savings that it expects going forward or result in higher than expected costs, there could be a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Changes in customer preferences, fashion trends and end-uses could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, net sales and profitability and cause inventory build-up if the Company is not able to adapt to such changes.

The demand for many of the Company s products depends upon timely identification of consumer preferences for fabric designs, colors and styles. In the apparel sector, a failure by the Company or its customers to identify fashion trends in time to introduce products and fabric consistent with those trends could reduce its sales and the acceptance of its products by its customers and decrease its profitability as a result of costs associated with failed product introductions and reduced sales. The Company s nylon segment continues to be adversely affected by changing customer preferences that have reduced demand for sheer hosiery products. In all sectors, changes in customer preferences or specifications may cause shifts away from the products which the Company provides, which can also have an adverse effect on its business, net sales and profitability.

The Company has significant foreign operations and its results of operations may be adversely affected by currency fluctuations.

The Company has a significant operation in Brazil, an operation in Colombia, a newly formed subsidiary in China, and a joint venture in Israel. The Company serves customers in Canada, Mexico, Israel and various countries in Europe, Central America, South America, South Africa, and Asia. Foreign operations are subject to certain political, economic and other uncertainties not encountered by its domestic operations that can materially affect sales, profits, cash flows and financial position. The risks of international operations include trade barriers, duties, exchange

controls, national and regional labor strikes, social and political risks, general economic risks, required compliance with a variety of foreign laws, including tax laws, the difficulty of enforcing agreements and collecting receivables through foreign legal systems, taxes on distributions or deemed distributions to the Company or any of its U.S. subsidiaries, maintenance of minimum capital requirements and import and export controls. Through its foreign operations, the Company is also exposed to currency fluctuations and exchange rate risks. Because a significant amount of its costs incurred to generate the revenues of its foreign operations are denominated in local

18

Table of Contents

currencies, while the majority of its sales are in U.S. dollars, the Company has in the past been adversely impacted by the appreciation of the local currencies relative to the U.S. dollar, and currency exchange rate fluctuations could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. The Company has translated its revenues and expenses denominated in local currencies into U.S. dollars at the average exchange rate during the relevant period and its assets and liabilities denominated in local currencies into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate at the end of the relevant period. Fluctuations in the foreign exchange rates will affect period-to-period comparisons of its reported results. Additionally, the Company operates in countries with foreign exchange controls. These controls may limit its ability to repatriate funds from its international operations and joint ventures or otherwise convert local currencies into U.S. dollars. These limitations could adversely affect the Company s ability to access cash from these operations.

The success of the Company depends on the ability of its senior management team, as well as the Company s ability to attract and retain key personnel.

The Company s success is highly dependent on the abilities of its management team. The management team must be able to effectively work together to successfully conduct the Company s current operations, as well as implement the Company s strategy, which includes significant international expansion. If it is unable to do so, the results of operations and financial condition of the Company may suffer. In addition, as part of the Company s strategy of international expansion, there is intense competition for the services of qualified personnel. The failure to retain current key managers or key members of the design, product development, manufacturing, merchandising or marketing staff, or to hire additional qualified personnel for new operations could be detrimental to the Company s business. The Company currently does not have any employment agreements with its corporate officers and cannot assure investors that any of these individuals will remain with the Company. The Company currently does not have life insurance policies on any of the members of the senior management team.

The sale of a large number of shares held by members of the Company s Board of Directors could depress the market price of the Company s common stock.

As of June 28, 2009, members of Company s Board of Directors (Board) beneficially owned a total of 29.3% of the Company s common stock. These shares are available for sale, subject to the requirements of the U.S. securities laws. The sale or prospect of the sale of a substantial number of these shares could have an adverse effect on the market price of the Company s common stock.

The Company is subject to periodic litigation and other regulatory proceedings, which could result in unexpected expense of time and resources.

From time to time the Company is called upon to defend itself against lawsuits and regulatory actions relating to its business. Due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation and regulatory proceedings, the Company cannot accurately predict the ultimate outcome of any such proceedings. An unfavorable outcome could have an adverse impact on the Company s business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, any significant litigation in the future, regardless of its merits, could divert management s attention from the Company s operations and result in substantial legal fees.

Execution of the Company s strategy will involve a further increase in international operations. Significant international operations involve special risks that could increase expenses, adversely affect operating results and require increased time and attention of the Company s management.

The Company currently has significant operations outside of the U.S. Additionally, the Company may, at some future date, seek to further expand its international operations as part of its business strategy. International operations are

subject to a number of risks in addition to those faced by domestic operations, including:

potential loss of proprietary information due to piracy, misappropriation or laws that may be less protective of the Company s intellectual property rights;

19

Table of Contents

economic instability in certain countries or regions resulting in higher interest rates and inflation, which could make the Company s products more expensive in those countries or raise the Company s cost of operations in those countries

changes in both domestic and foreign laws regarding trade and investment abroad;

the possibility of the nationalization of foreign assets;

limitations on future growth or inability to maintain current levels of revenues from international sales if the Company does not invest sufficiently in its international operations;

longer payment cycles for sales in foreign countries and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable;

restrictions on transfers of funds, foreign customs and tariffs and other unexpected regulatory changes;

difficulties in staffing, managing and operating international operations;

obtaining project financing from third parties, which may not be available on satisfactory terms, if at all;

difficulties in coordinating the activities of geographically dispersed and culturally diverse operations; and

political unrest, war or terrorism, particularly in areas in which the Company will have facilities.

Foreign operations also subject the Company to numerous additional laws and regulations affecting its business, such as those related to labor, employment, worker health and safety, antitrust and competition, environmental protection, consumer protection, import/export and anticorruption, including but not limited to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (the FCPA). The FCPA prohibits giving anything of value intended to influence the awarding of government contracts. Although the Company has put into place policies and procedures aimed at ensuring legal and regulatory compliance, its employees, subcontractors and agents could take actions that violate any of these requirements. Violations of these regulations could subject the Company to criminal or civil enforcement actions, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business.

A portion of the Company s transactions outside of the U.S. are denominated in foreign currencies. In addition, the Company expects that it will continue to purchase a portion of its raw materials from foreign suppliers in foreign currencies, and incur other expenses in those currencies. As a result, future operating results will continue to be subject to fluctuations in foreign currency rates. Although the Company may enter into hedging transactions, hedging foreign currency transaction exposures is complex and subject to uncertainty. The Company may be negatively affected by fluctuations in foreign currency rates in the future, especially if international sales continue to grow as a percentage of total sales.

Financial statements of certain of the Company s foreign operations are prepared using the local currency as the functional currency while certain other financial statements of these foreign operations will be prepared using the U.S. dollar as the functional currency.

Translation of financial statements of foreign operations into U.S. dollars using the local currency as the functional currency occurs using the exchange rate as of the date of the balance sheet for balance sheet accounts and at a weighted average exchange rate for results of operations. The Company s consolidated balance sheet and results of operations may be negatively impacted by changes in the exchange rates as of the applicable date of translation. For

instance, a stronger U.S. dollar at an applicable date of translation will lead to less favorable results after the applicable translation than a weaker U.S. dollar at that date.

The Company s business could be negatively impacted by the financial condition of its customers.

The U.S. textile and apparel industry faces many challenges. Overcapacity, volatility in raw material pricing and intense pricing pressures have led to the closure of many domestic textile and apparel plants. Continued negative industry trends may result in the deteriorating financial condition of its customers. Certain of the Company s customers are experiencing financial difficulties. The loss of any significant portion of its sales to any of these customers could have a material adverse impact on its business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows. In addition, any receivable balances related to its customers would be at risk in the event of their bankruptcy.

20

Table of Contents

As one of the many participants in the U.S. and regional textile and apparel supply chain, the Company s business and competitive position are directly impacted by the business and financial condition of the other participants across the supply chain in which it operates, including other regional yarn manufacturers, knitters and weavers. If other supply chain participants are unable to access capital, fund their operations and make required technological and other investments in their businesses or experience diminished demand for their products, there could be a material adverse impact on the Company s business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Failure to implement future technological advances in the textile industry or fund capital expenditure requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Company's competitive position and net sales.

The Company s operating results depend to a significant extent on its ability to continue to introduce innovative products and applications and to continue to develop its production processes to be a competitive producer. Accordingly, to maintain its competitive position and its revenue base, the Company must continually modernize its manufacturing processes, plants and equipment. To this end, the Company has made significant investments in its manufacturing infrastructure over the past fifteen years and does not currently anticipate any significant additional capital expenditures to replace or expand its production facilities over the next five years. Accordingly, the Company expects its capital requirements in the near term will be used primarily to maintain its manufacturing operations. Future technological advances in the textile industry may result in an increase in the efficiency of existing manufacturing and distribution systems or the innovation of new products and the Company may not be able to adapt to such technological changes or offer such products on a timely basis if it does not incur significant capital expenditures for expansion purposes. Existing, proposed or yet undeveloped technologies may render its technology less profitable or less viable, and the Company may not have available the financial and other resources to compete effectively against companies possessing such technologies. To the extent sources of funds are insufficient to meet its ongoing capital improvement requirements, the Company would need to seek alternative sources of financing or curtail or delay capital spending plans. The Company may not be able to obtain the necessary financing when needed or on terms acceptable to the Company. The Company is unable to predict which of the many possible future products and services will meet the evolving industry standards and consumer demands. If the Company fails to make the capital improvements necessary to continue the modernization of its manufacturing operations and reduction of its costs, its competitive position may suffer, and its net sales may decline.

Unforeseen or recurring operational problems at any of the Company's facilities may cause significant lost production, which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The Company s manufacturing process could be affected by operational problems that could impair its production capability. Each of its facilities contains complex and sophisticated machines that are used in its manufacturing process. Disruptions at any of its facilities could be caused by maintenance outages; prolonged power failures or reductions; a breakdown, failure or substandard performance of any of its machines; the effect of noncompliance with material environmental requirements or permits; disruptions in the transportation infrastructure, including railroad tracks, bridges, tunnels or roads; fires, floods, earthquakes or other catastrophic disasters; labor difficulties; or other operational problems. Any prolonged disruption in operations at any of its facilities could cause significant lost production, which would have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

The Company has made and may continue to make investments in entities that it does not control.

The Company has established joint ventures and made minority interest investments designed to increase its vertical integration, increase efficiencies in its procurement, manufacturing processes, marketing and distribution in the U.S. and other markets. The Company s principal joint ventures and minority investments include UNF and PAL. See

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Joint Ventures and Other Equity Investments for a further discussion. The Company s inability to control entities in which it invests may affect its ability to receive distributions from those entities or to fully implement its business plan. The incurrence of debt or entry into other agreements by an entity not under its control may result in

21

Table of Contents

restrictions or prohibitions on that entity sability to pay dividends or make other distributions. Even where these entities are not restricted by contract or by law from making distributions, the Company may not be able to influence the occurrence or timing of such distributions. In addition, if any of the other investors in these entities fails to observe its commitments, that entity may not be able to operate according to its business plan or the Company may be required to increase its level of commitment. If any of these events were to occur, its business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows could be adversely affected. Because the Company does not own a majority or maintain voting control of these entities, the Company does not have the ability to control their policies, management or affairs. The interests of persons who control these entities or partners may differ from the Company s, and they may cause such entities to take actions which are not in its best interest. If the Company is unable to maintain its relationships with its partners in these entities, the Company could lose its ability to operate in these areas which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

The Company s acquisition strategy may not be successful, which could adversely affect its business.

The Company has expanded its business partly through acquisitions and may continue to make selective acquisitions. The Company sacquisition strategy is dependent upon the availability of suitable acquisition candidates, obtaining financing on acceptable terms, and its ability to comply with the restrictions contained in its debt agreements. Acquisitions may divert a significant amount of management stime away from the operation of its business. Future acquisitions may also have an adverse effect on its operating results, particularly in the fiscal quarters immediately following their completion while the Company integrates the operations of the acquired business. Growth by acquisition involves risks that could have a material adverse effect on business and financial results, including difficulties in integrating the operations and personnel of acquired companies and the potential loss of key employees and customers of acquired companies. Once integrated, acquired operations may not achieve the levels of revenues, profitability or productivity comparable with those achieved by its existing operations, or otherwise performs as expected. While the Company has experience in identifying and integrating acquisitions, the Company may not be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates, obtain the capital necessary to pursue its acquisition strategy or complete acquisitions on satisfactory terms or at all. Even if the Company successfully completes an acquisition, it may not be able to integrate it into its business satisfactorily or at all.

Increases of illegal transshipment of textile and apparel goods into the U.S. could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business.

According to industry experts and trade associations, illegal transshipments of apparel products into the U.S. continue to negatively impact the textile market. Illegal transshipment involves circumventing quotas by falsely claiming that textiles and apparel are a product of a particular country of origin or include yarn of a particular country of origin to avoid paying higher duties or to receive benefits from regional FTAs, such as NAFTA and CAFTA. If illegal transshipment is not monitored and enforcement is not effective, these shipments could have a material adverse effect on its business.

The Company is subject to many environmental and safety regulations that may result in significant unanticipated costs or liabilities or cause interruptions in its operations.

The Company is subject to extensive federal, state, local and foreign laws, regulations, rules and ordinances relating to pollution, the protection of the environment and the use or cleanup of hazardous substances and wastes. The Company may incur substantial costs, including fines, damages and criminal or civil sanctions, or experience interruptions in its operations for actual or alleged violations of or compliance requirements arising under environmental laws, any of which could have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. The Company s operations could result in violations of environmental laws, including spills or other releases of hazardous substances to the environment. In the event of a catastrophic incident, the Company could incur material costs.

In addition, the Company could incur significant expenditures in order to comply with existing or future environmental or safety laws. For example, on September 30, 2004, the Company completed its acquisition of the polyester filament manufacturing assets located at Kinston from INVISTA. The land for the Kinston site was leased

22

Table of Contents

pursuant to a 99 year Ground Lease with DuPont. Since 1993, DuPont has been investigating and cleaning up the Kinston site under the supervision of the EPA and DENR pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Action program. The Corrective Action program requires DuPont to identify all potential AOCs, assess the extent of containment at the identified AOCs and clean it up to comply with applicable regulatory standards. Effective March 20, 2008, the Company entered into a Lease Termination Agreement associated with conveyance of certain assets at Kinston to DuPont. This agreement terminated the Ground Lease and relieved the Company of any future responsibility for environmental remediation, other than participation with DuPont, if so called upon, with regard to the Company s period of operation of the Kinston site. However, the Company continues to own a satellite service facility acquired in the INVISTA transaction that has contamination from DuPont s operations and is monitored by DENR. This site has been remediated by DuPont and DuPont has received authority from DENR to discontinue remediation, other than natural attenuation. DuPont s duty to monitor and report to DENR with respect to this site will be transferred to the Company in the future, at which time DuPont must pay the Company for seven years of monitoring and reporting costs and the Company will assume responsibility for any future remediation and monitoring of the site. At this time, the Company has no basis to determine if and when it will have any responsibility or obligation with respect to the AOCs or the extent of any potential liability for the same. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources Environmental Liabilities.

Furthermore, the Company may be liable for the costs of investigating and cleaning up environmental contamination on or from its properties or at off-site locations where the Company disposed of or arranged for the disposal or treatment of hazardous materials or from disposal activities that pre-dated the purchase of its businesses. If significant previously unknown contamination is discovered, existing laws or their enforcement change or its indemnities do not cover the costs of investigation and remediation, then such expenditures could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, financial condition, and results of operations or cash flows.

Health and safety regulation costs could increase.

The Company s operations are also subject to regulation of health and safety matters by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration and comparable statutes in foreign jurisdictions where the Company operates. The Company believes that it employs appropriate precautions to protect its employees and others from workplace injuries and harmful exposure to materials handled and managed at its facilities. However, claims that may be asserted against the Company for work-related illnesses or injury, and changes in occupational health and safety laws and regulations in the U.S. or in foreign jurisdictions in which the Company operates could increase its operating costs. The Company is unable to predict the ultimate cost of compliance with these health and safety laws and regulations. Accordingly, the Company may become involved in future litigation or other proceedings or be found to be responsible or liable in any litigation or proceedings, and such costs may be material to the Company.

The Company s business may be adversely affected by adverse employee relations.

The Company employs approximately 2,500 employees, approximately 2,000 of which are domestic employees and approximately 500 of which are foreign employees. While employees of its foreign operations are generally unionized, none of its domestic employees are currently covered by collective bargaining agreements. The failure to renew collective bargaining agreements with employees of the Company s foreign operations and other labor relations issues, including union organizing activities, could result in an increase in costs or lead to a strike, work stoppage or slow down. Such labor issues and unrest by its employees could have a material adverse effect on the Company s business.

The Company s future financial results could be adversely impacted by asset impairments or other charges.

Under Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets, the Company is required to assess the impairment of the Company's long-lived assets, such as plant and equipment, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable as measured by the sum of the expected future undiscounted cash flows. When the Company determines that the carrying value of certain long-lived assets may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more impairment indicators, the Company then measures any impairment based on a projected discounted

23

Table of Contents

cash flow method using a discount rate determined by management to be commensurate with the risk inherent in its current business model. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, any such impairment charges will be recorded as operating losses. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in the Company s consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for further discussion of impairment charges.

In addition, the Company evaluates the net values assigned to various equity investments it holds, such as its investment in PAL and UNF, in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion 18, The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock (APB 18). APB 18 requires that a loss in value of an investment, which is other than a temporary decline, should be recognized as an impairment loss. Any such impairment losses will be recorded as operating losses. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Joint Ventures and Other Equity Investments for more information regarding the Company s equity investments.

Any operating losses resulting from impairment charges under SFAS No. 144 or APB 18 could have an adverse effect on its operating results and therefore the market price of its securities, including its common stock.

The Company s business could be adversely affected if the Company fails to protect its intellectual property rights.

The Company s success depends in part on its ability to protect its intellectual property rights. The Company relies on a combination of patent, trademark, and trade secret laws, licenses, confidentiality and other agreements to protect its intellectual property rights. However, this protection may not be fully adequate as its intellectual property rights may be challenged or invalidated, an infringement suit by the Company against a third party may not be successful and/or third parties could design around its technology or adopt trademarks similar to its own. In addition, the laws of some foreign countries in which its products are manufactured and sold do not protect intellectual property rights to the same extent as the laws of the U.S. Although the Company routinely enters into confidentiality agreements with its employees, independent contractors and current and potential strategic and joint venture partners, among others, such agreements may be breached, and the Company could be harmed by unauthorized use or disclosure of its confidential information. Further, the Company licenses trademarks from third parties, and these agreements may terminate or become subject to litigation. Its failure to protect its intellectual property could materially and adversely affect its competitive position, reduce revenue or otherwise harm its business. The Company may also be accused of infringing or violating the intellectual property rights of third parties. Any such claims, whether or not meritorious, could result in costly litigation and divert the efforts of its personnel. Should the Company be found liable for infringement, the Company may be required to enter into licensing arrangements (if available on acceptable terms or at all) or pay damages and cease selling certain products or using certain product names or technology. The Company s failure to prevail in any intellectual property litigation could materially adversely affect its competitive position, reduce revenue or otherwise harm its business.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

24

Table of Contents

Item 2. Properties

Following is a summary of principal properties owned or leased by the Company as of June 28, 2009:

Location Description

Polyester Segment Properties:

Domestic:

Yadkinville, NC Four plants and four warehouses
Kinston, NC One plant and one maintenance facility

Reidsville, NC One plant
Mayodan, NC One plant
Cooleemee, NC One warehouse

Foreign:

Alfenas, Brazil One plant and one warehouse

Sao Paulo, Brazil One corporate office and two sales offices

Suzhou, China One leased office

Nylon Segment Properties:

Domestic

Madison, NC One plant

Fort Payne, AL One central distribution center

Foreign:

Bogota, Colombia One plant

As of June 28, 2009, the Company owns 4.4 million square feet of manufacturing, warehouse and office space.

In addition to the above properties, the corporate administrative office for each of its segments is located at 7201 West Friendly Ave. in Greensboro, North Carolina. Such property consists of a building containing approximately 100,000 square feet located on a tract of land containing approximately nine acres.

Included in the above table are facilities that the Company leases including two warehouses, one plant, one corporate office, and two sales offices. The remaining facilities are owned in fee simple. Management believes all the properties are well maintained and in good condition. In fiscal year 2009, the Company s manufacturing plants in the U.S. and Brazil operated below capacity. Accordingly, management does not perceive any capacity constraints in the foreseeable future.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

There are no pending legal proceedings, other than ordinary routine litigation incidental to the Company s business, to which the Company is a party or of which any of its property is the subject.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year 2009.

25

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

The following is a description of the name, age, position and offices held, and the period served in such position or offices for each of the executive officers of the Company.

President and Chief Executive Officer

WILLIAM L. JASPER Age: 56 Mr. Jasper has been the Company s President and Chief Executive Officer since September 2007. Prior to September 2007, he was the Vice President of Sales from April 2006 to September 2007. Prior to April 2006, Mr. Jasper was the General Manager of the Polyester segment, having responsibility for all natural polyester businesses. Mr. Jasper joined the Company with the purchase of the Kinston polyester POY assets from INVISTA, which was previously known as DuPont Textiles and Interiors, a subsidiary of DuPont, before it was spun off and acquired by Koch Industries, in September 2004. Prior to joining the Company, he was the Director of INVISTA s Dacroft polyester filament business. Before working at INVISTA, Mr. Jasper held various management positions in operations, technology, sales and business for DuPont since 1980. He has been a director since September 2007 and is a member of the Company s Executive Committee.

Vice Presidents

RONALD L. SMITH Age: 41 Mr. Smith has been Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the Company since October 2007. He was appointed Vice President of Finance and Treasurer in September 2007. Prior to that, Mr. Smith held the position of Treasurer and had additional responsibility for Investor Relations from May 2005 to October 2007 and was the Vice President of Finance, Unifi Kinston, LLC from September 2004 to April 2005. Mr. Smith joined the Company in 1994 and has held positions as Controller, Chief Accounting Officer and Director of Business Development and Corporate Strategy.

R. ROGER BERRIER Age: 40 Mr. Berrier has been the Executive Vice President of Sales, Marketing and Asian Operations of the Company since September 2007. Prior to that, he had been the Vice President of Commercial Operations since April 2006 and the Commercial Operations Manager responsible for corporate product development, marketing and brand sales management from April 2004 to April 2006. Mr. Berrier joined the Company in 1991 and has held various management positions within operations, including international operations, machinery technology, research and development and quality control. He has been a director since September 2007 and is a member of the Company s Executive Committee.

THOMAS H. CAUDLE, JR. Age: 57 Mr. Caudle has been the Vice President of Manufacturing since October 2006. He was the Vice President of Global Operations of the Company from April 2003 until October 2006. Prior to that, Mr. Caudle had been Senior Vice President in charge of manufacturing for the Company since July 2000 and Vice President of Manufacturing Services of the Company since January 1999. Mr. Caudle has been an employee of the Company since 1982.

CHARLES F. MCCOY Age: 45 Mr. McCoy has been the Vice President, Secretary and General Counsel of the Company since October 2000, the Corporate Compliance Officer since 2002, the Corporate Governance Officer of the Company since 2004, and Chief Risk Officer since 2009. Mr. McCoy has been an employee of the Company since January 2000, when he joined the Company as Corporate Secretary and General Counsel.

Each of the executive officers was elected by the Board of the Company at the Annual Meeting of the Board held on October 29, 2008. Each executive officer was elected to serve until the next Annual Meeting of the Board or until his successor was elected and qualified. No executive officer has a family relationship as close as first cousin with any other executive officer or director.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company s common stock is listed for trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol UFI. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of the Company s common stock as reported on the NYSE Composite Tape for the Company s two most recent fiscal years.

	High	Low
Fiscal year 2008:		
First quarter ended September 23, 2007	\$ 2.81	\$ 1.87
Second quarter ended December 23, 2007	3.05	2.23
Third quarter ended March 23, 2008	2.98	1.80
Fourth quarter ended June 29, 2008	3.06	2.30
Fiscal year 2009:		
First quarter ended September 28, 2008	\$ 4.99	\$ 2.38
Second quarter ended December 28, 2008	5.43	2.02
Third quarter ended March 29, 2009	3.00	0.44
Fourth quarter ended June 28, 2009	1.83	0.55

As of September 1, 2009, there were approximately 435 record holders of the Company s common stock. A significant number of the outstanding shares of common stock which are beneficially owned by individuals and entities are registered in the name of Cede & Co. Cede & Co. is a nominee of the Depository Trust Company, a securities depository for banks and brokerage firms. The Company estimates that there are approximately 4,000 beneficial owners of its common stock.

No dividends were paid in the past two fiscal years and none are expected to be paid in the foreseeable future. The Indenture governing the 2014 notes and the Company s Amended Credit Agreement restrict its ability to pay dividends or make distributions on its capital stock. See Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Long-Term Debt Senior Secured Notes and Amended Credit Agreement.

The following table summarizes information as of June 28, 2009 regarding the number of shares of common stock that may be issued under the Company s equity compensation plans:

(a)	(b)	(c)
		Number of Securities
		Remaining
Number of Shares to		Available for Future
be	Weighted-Average	Issuance
Issued Upon Exercise		Under Equity
of	Exercise Price of	Compensation
	Outstanding	
Outstanding Options,	Options,	Plans (Excluding Securities

Edgar Filing: UNIFI INC - Form 10-K

Plan Category	Warrants and Warrants and Rights Reflected in Colu									
Equity compensation plans approved by shareholders Equity compensation plans not approved by shareholders	3,963,428	\$	4.79	6,153,539						
Total	3,963,428	\$	4.79	6,153,539						

Under the terms of the 1999 Unifi Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan), the maximum number of shares to be issued was approved at 6,000,000. Of the 6,000,000 shares approved for issuance, no more than 3,000,000 may be issued as restricted stock. As of June 28, 2009, 257,866 shares have been issued as restricted stock of which all are vested. Any option or restricted stock that is forfeited may be reissued under the terms of the plan. The amount forfeited or canceled is included in the number of securities remaining available for future issuance in column (c) in the above table. The total number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan included in column (c) of the table presented above is 403,539. The 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan expired on June 30, 2009.

27

Table of Contents

On October 29, 2008, the shareholders of the Company approved the 2008 Unifi, Inc. Long-Term Incentive Plan (2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan). The 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan authorized the issuance of up to 6,000,000 shares of Common Stock pursuant to the grant or exercise of stock options, including Incentive Stock Options (ISO), Non-Qualified Stock Options (NQSO) and restricted stock, but not more than 3,000,000 shares may be issued as restricted stock. As of June 28, 2009 there were no restricted stock awards issued under this plan. Any option or restricted stock that is forfeited may be reissued under the terms of the plan. The amount forfeited or canceled is included in the number of securities remaining available for future issuance in column (c) in the above table. The total number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan included in column (c) of the table presented above is 5,750,000.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

On January 1, 2007, the Company issued approximately 8,300,000 shares of its common stock, in exchange for specified assets purchased from Dillon Yarn Company (Dillon) by Unifi Manufacturing, Inc. one of the Company s wholly owed subsidiaries. There were no underwriters used in the transaction. The issuance of these shares of common stock was made in reliance on the exemptions from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, as offers and sales not involving a public offering. On February 9, 2007, the Company filed Form S-3 Registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 to register the resale of these shares.

Purchases of Equity Securities

On April 25, 2003, the Company announced that its Board had reinstituted the Company s previously authorized stock repurchase plan at its meeting on April 24, 2003. The plan was originally announced by the Company on July 26, 2000 and authorized the Company to repurchase of up to 10,000,000 shares of its common stock. During fiscal years 2004 and 2003, the Company repurchased approximately 1,300,000 and 500,000 shares, respectively. The repurchase plan has no stated expiration or termination date, however the repurchase program was suspended in November 2003 and the Company has no plans to reinstitute it.

28

Table of Contents

PERFORMANCE GRAPH SHAREHOLDER RETURN ON COMMON STOCK

Set forth below is a line graph comparing the cumulative total Shareholder return on the Company s Common Stock with (i) the New York Stock Exchange Composite Index, a broad equity market index, and (ii) a peer group selected by the Company in good faith (the Peer Group), assuming in each case, the investment of \$100 on June 27, 2004 and reinvestment of dividends. Including the Company, the Peer Group consists of thirteen publicly traded textile companies, including Albany International Corp., Culp, Inc., Decorator Industries, Inc., Dixie Group, Inc., Hallwood Group Inc., Hampshire Group, Limited, Innovise PLC, Interface, Inc., JPS Industries, Inc., Lydall, Inc., Mohawk Industries, Inc., and Quaker Fabric Corporation.

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN* Among Unifi, Inc., The NYSE Composite Index And A Peer Group

* \$100 invested on 6/27/04 in stock or index, including reinvestment of dividends.

	June 27 ,	June 26,	June 25 ,	June 24,	June 29,	June 28,
	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Unifi, Inc.	100.00	148.87	110.90	104.89	95.11	53.01
NYSE Composite	100.00	112.15	126.02	143.43	143.43	101.26
Peer Group	100.00	108.45	102.30	136.36	91.84	46.85

29

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	June 28, 2009 (52		June 29, June 24 2008 2007		June 24, 2007	June 25, 2006		•	June 26, 2005		
	1	Weeks)		3 Weeks)	(52 Weeks)	(5	2 Weeks)	(5	2 Weeks)	
		(Amounts in thousands, except per share data)									
Summary of Operations:											
Net sales	\$	553,663	\$	713,346	\$	690,308	\$	738,665	\$	792,774	
Cost of sales		525,157		662,764		651,911		692,225		759,792	
Restructuring charges (recoveries) (1)		91		4,027		(157)		(254)		(341)	
Write down of long-lived assets (2)		350		2,780		16,731		2,366		603	
Goodwill impairment (3)		18,580									
Selling, general and administrative		20.122		47.570		44.006		41.524		40 011	
expenses Provision for bad debts		39,122 2,414		47,572 214		44,886		41,534 1,256		42,211	
Other operating (income) expense, net		(5,491)		(6,427)		7,174 (2,601)		(1,466)		13,172 (2,320)	
Non-operating (income) expense:		(3,491)		(0,427)		(2,001)		(1,400)		(2,320)	
Interest income		(2,933)		(2,910)		(3,187)		(6,320)		(3,173)	
Interest expense		23,152		26,056		25,518		19,266		20,594	
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of		,		,,				,			
debt (4)		(251)				25		2,949			
Equity in (earnings) losses of											
unconsolidated affiliates		(3,251)		(1,402)		4,292		(825)		(6,938)	
Write down of investment in											
unconsolidated affiliates (5)		1,483		10,998		84,742					
Minority interest income										(530)	
Loss from continuing operations											
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes and extraordinary											
item		(44,760)		(30,326)		(139,026)		(12,066)		(30,296)	
Provision (benefit) for income taxes		4,301		(10,949)		(21,769)		301		(12,360)	
Trovision (benefit) for mediae taxes		1,501		(10,515)		(21,70)		201		(12,500)	
Loss from continuing operations											
before extraordinary item		(49,061)		(19,377)		(117,257)		(12,367)		(17,936)	
Income (loss) from discontinued											
operations, net of tax		65		3,226		1,465		360		(22,644)	
T 1.6		(40,006)		(16.151)		(115.700)		(12.007)		(40.500)	
Loss before extraordinary item		(48,996)		(16,151)		(115,792)		(12,007)		(40,580)	
Extraordinary gain net of taxes of \$0 (6)										1,157	
\$0 (0)										1,137	
Net loss	\$	(48,996)	\$	(16,151)	\$	(115,792)	\$	(12,007)	\$	(39,423)	
	·	(//	•	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		, , , , , ,		\ ,,	•	\ , - /	
Per Share of Common Stock: (basic											
and diluted)											
Loss from continuing operations	\$	(.79)	\$	(.32)	\$	(2.09)	\$	(.23)	\$	(.35)	

Edgar Filing: UNIFI INC - Form 10-K

Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax Extraordinary gain net of taxes of \$0	ı		.05	.03		(.43) .02
Net loss	\$	(.79)	\$ (.27)	\$ (2.06)	\$ (.23)	\$ (.76)
Balance Sheet Data :						
Working capital	\$	175,808	\$ 186,817	\$ 196,808	\$ 187,731	\$ 249,175
Gross property, plant and equipment		744,253	855,324	913,144	914,283	953,313
Total assets		476,932	591,531	665,953	737,148	847,527
Long-term debt and other						
obligations (4)		182,707	205,855	238,222	203,791	262,301
Shareholders equity (7)		244,969	305,669	304,954	387,464	385,727

⁽¹⁾ Restructuring charges (recoveries) consisted of severance and related employee termination costs and facility closure costs.

Table of Contents

- (2) The Company performs impairment testing on its long-lived assets and assets held for sale periodically, or when an event or change in market conditions indicates that the Company may not be able to recover its investment in the long-lived asset in the normal course of business. As a result of this testing, the Company has determined certain assets had become impaired and recorded impairment charges accordingly.
- (3) In the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company determined that it was appropriate to re-evaluate the carrying value of its goodwill based on the decline in its market capitalization and difficult market conditions. The Company updated its cash flow forecasts based upon the latest market intelligence, its discount rate and its market capitalization values. The fair value of the domestic polyester reporting unit was determined based upon a combination of a discounted cash flow analysis and a market approach utilizing market multiples of guideline publicly traded companies. As a result of the findings, the Company determined that the goodwill was impaired and recorded an impairment charge of \$18.6 million.
- (4) In April 2006, the Company tendered an offer for all of its outstanding 2008 notes. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2006, the Company recorded a \$2.9 million charge which was a combination of fees associated with the tender offer and the write off of unamortized bond issuance costs related to the notes. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company utilized \$8.8 million of restricted cash to tender at par for its 2014 notes. In addition, the Company repurchased and retired notes having a face value of \$2.0 million in open market purchases. The net effect of the gain on this repurchase and the write-off of the respective unamortized issuance cost related to the \$8.8 million and \$2.0 million of 2014 notes resulted in a net gain of \$0.3 million.
- (5) In fiscal year 2007, management determined that its investment in PAL was impaired and that the impairment was considered other than temporary. As a result, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$84.7 million to reduce the carrying value of its equity investment in PAL to \$52.3 million. In fiscal year 2008, the Company determined that its investments in Unifi-SANS Technical Fibers, LLC (USTF) and YUFI were impaired resulting in non-cash impairment charges of \$4.5 million and \$6.4 million, respectively. In fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$1.5 million to reduce its investment in YUFI in connection with selling the Company s interest in YUFI to YCFC for \$9.0 million.
- (6) In fiscal year 2005, the Company completed its acquisition of the INVISTA polyester POY manufacturing assets located in Kinston, North Carolina, including inventories, valued at \$24.4 million. As part of the acquisition, the Company announced its plans to curtail two production lines and downsize the workforce at its newly acquired manufacturing facility. At that time, the Company recorded a reserve of \$10.7 million in related severance costs and \$0.4 million in restructuring costs which were recorded as assumed liabilities in purchase accounting; and therefore, had no impact on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of March 27, 2005, both lines were successfully shut down and a reduction in the original restructuring estimate for severance was recorded. As a result of the reduction to the restructuring reserve, a \$1.2 million extraordinary gain, net of tax, was recorded.
- (7) There have been no cash dividends declared for the past five fiscal years.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

The following discussion contains certain forward-looking statements about the Company s financial condition and results of operations.

Forward-looking statements are those that do not relate solely to historical fact. They include, but are not limited to, any statement that may predict, forecast, indicate or imply future results, performance, achievements or events. They may contain words such as believe, anticipate, expect, estimate, intend, project, plan, will, or words or similar meaning. They may relate to, among other things, the risks described under the caption Item 1A Risk Factors above and:

the competitive nature of the textile industry and the impact of worldwide competition;

changes in the trade regulatory environment and governmental policies and legislation;

the availability, sourcing and pricing of raw materials;

31

Table of Contents

general domestic and international economic and industry conditions in markets where the Company competes, such as recession and other economic and political factors over which the Company has no control;

changes in consumer spending, customer preferences, fashion trends and end-uses;

its ability to reduce production costs;

changes in currency exchange rates, interest and inflation rates;

the financial condition of its customers:

its ability to sell excess assets;

technological advancements and the continued availability of financial resources to fund capital expenditures;

the operating performance of joint ventures, alliances and other equity investments;

the impact of environmental, health and safety regulations;

the loss of a material customer:

employee relations;

volatility of financial and credit markets;

the continuity of the Company s leadership;

availability of and access to credit on reasonable terms; and

the success of the Company s consolidation initiatives.

These forward-looking statements reflect the Company s current views with respect to future events and are based on assumptions and subject to risks and uncertainties that may cause actual results to differ materially from trends, plans or expectations set forth in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties may include those discussed above or in Item 1A Risk Factors. New risks can emerge from time to time. It is not possible for the Company to predict all of these risks, nor can it assess the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ from those contained in forward-looking statements. The Company will not update these forward-looking statements, even if its situation changes in the future, except as required by federal securities laws.

Business Overview

The Company is a diversified producer and processor of multi-filament polyester and nylon yarns, including specialty yarns with enhanced performance characteristics. The Company adds value to the supply chain and enhances consumer demand for its products through the development and introduction of branded yarns that provide unique performance, comfort and aesthetic advantages. The Company manufactures partially oriented, textured, dyed, twisted and beamed polyester yarns as well as textured nylon and nylon covered spandex products. The Company sells its products to other yarn manufacturers, knitters and weavers that produce fabric for the apparel, hosiery, furnishings, automotive, industrial and other end-use markets. The Company maintains one of the industry s most comprehensive

product offerings and emphasizes quality, style and performance in all of its products.

Polyester Segment. The polyester segment manufactures partially oriented, textured, dyed, twisted and beamed yarns with sales to other yarn manufacturers, knitters and weavers that produce fabric for the apparel, automotive, hosiery, furnishings, industrial and other end-use markets. The polyester segment primarily manufactures its products in Brazil, and the U.S., which has the Company s largest operations and number of locations. The polyester segment also includes a newly formed subsidiary in China focused on the sale and promotion of the Company s specialty and PVA products in the Asian textile market, primarily within China. For fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, polyester segment net sales were \$403.1 million, \$530.6 million, and \$530.1 million, respectively.

32

Table of Contents

Nylon Segment. The nylon segment manufactures textured nylon and covered spandex products with sales to other yarn manufacturers, knitters and weavers that produce fabric for the apparel, hosiery, sock and other end-use markets. The nylon segment consists of operations in the U.S. and Colombia. For fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, nylon segment net sales were \$150.5 million, \$182.8 million, and \$160.2 million, respectively.

The Company s fiscal year is the 52 or 53 weeks ending on the last Sunday in June. Fiscal year 2008 had 53 weeks while fiscal years 2009 and 2007 had 52 weeks.

Line Items Presented

Net sales. Net sales include amounts billed by the Company to customers for products, shipping and handling, net of allowances for rebates. Rebates may be offered to specific large volume customers for purchasing certain quantities of yarn over a prescribed time period. The Company provides for allowances associated with rebates in the same accounting period the sales are recognized in income. Allowances for rebates are calculated based on sales to customers with negotiated rebate agreements with the Company. Non-defective returns are deducted from revenues in the period during which the return occurs. The Company records allowances for customer claims based upon its estimate of known claims and its past experience for unknown claims.

Cost of sales. The Company s cost of sales consists of direct material, delivery and other manufacturing costs, including labor and overhead, depreciation expense with respect to manufacturing assets, fixed asset depreciation and reserves for obsolete and slow-moving inventory.

Selling general and administrative expenses. The Company s selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses consist of selling expense (which includes sales staff compensation), advertising and promotion expense (which includes direct marketing expenses) and administrative expense (which includes corporate expenses and compensation). In addition, SG&A expenses also include depreciation and amortization with respect to certain corporate administrative and intangible assets.

Recent Developments and Outlook

The global economic downturn eroded U.S. consumer confidence which resulted in reduced customer spending which negatively impacted all global textile markets and related supply chains beginning in October 2008. U.S. apparel retail sales, home furnishing retail sales, and automotive sales were down approximately 7%, 13% and 35%, respectively, during the last three quarters of fiscal year 2009 as compared to the same period for fiscal year 2008.

The impact of the decline in retail sales was compounded further by excessive inventory levels across the supply chains as fabric mills, finished goods producers, and retailers reduced purchase levels below their current sales levels, in an effort to match their working capital investments with the lower sales volumes that they were experiencing. As a result of the decreased demand at retail, compounded by this inventory de-stocking, the Company s revenues declined by 31%, 30% and 26% for the second, third and fourth quarters of fiscal year 2009 as compared to the same prior year quarters, respectively. However, as the March 2009 quarter progressed into the June 2009 quarter, the Company experienced sales volume improvements in certain segments as retail sales improved slightly and the effects of the de-stocking began to subside. Compared to the March 2009 quarter, the Company s revenues increased 17% in the June 2009 quarter primarily due to a combination of improved demand for the Company s products and market share gains both domestically and in Brazil. In addition, the Company s domestic sales increased approximately \$3.0 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009 as compared to the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 due to an unusually high amount of sales related to aged and slow-moving inventory. The Company had approximately 69% more sales of aged and slow-moving inventory during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009 than its normal quarterly average as a result of a decision to monetize its investment in such aged inventory. The negative impact on gross profit of these sales

during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009 was approximately \$1.1 million.

Like the rest of the supply chain, the Company also reacted to the reduced sales volumes by aggressively reducing our investment in working capital. Compared to June 2008, the Company reduced net customer

33

Table of Contents

receivables by \$25.5 million or 24.6% and inventories by \$33.2 million or 27.0% which allowed it to significantly improve its cash position in an otherwise difficult year.

In addition to the difficult economic conditions in the U.S. markets, the Company was negatively impacted by the continued rising cost of raw materials and other petrochemical driven costs during the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The impact of the surge in crude oil prices and feedstock supply issues since the beginning of fiscal year 2008 created a spike in polyester raw material prices. As raw material prices peaked in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company was not able to pass all of these raw material increases along to its customers which resulted in lower conversion margins. Operating results for the second and third quarters of fiscal year 2009 were also adversely impacted as these higher priced products worked through the Company s inventory. However, crude oil prices declined substantially during the second quarter of fiscal year 2009 and certain supply chain issues abated, resulting in a decline in the cost of polyester feedstock. The benefit of that decline was seen in the third and fourth quarters of fiscal year 2009 as the Company regained conversion margins lost during the run-up in the first half of fiscal 2009.

Internationally, the Company is committed to identifying growth opportunities to participate in the Asian textile market, specifically China. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company completed the sale of its 50% interest in YUFI to YCFC and received net proceeds of \$9.0 million. Maintaining a market presence in the Asian textile market is important to the Company s PVA yarn strategy and accordingly the Company formed UTSC, a wholly owned Chinese subsidiary. UTSC obtained its business license in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, was capitalized during the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 with \$3.3 million of registered capital, and became operational at the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2009. UTSC will continue to expand the sales and promotion of the Company s specialty and PVA products, including our 100% recycled product family Reprevente Company is very encouraged by the number of development projects that it has in process, including Repreve® filament and staple, Sorbtek® and Reflexx®. Similar to the U.S., the adoption timetable for some of these programs may be linked to improvements in the economy, however, the Company projects that UTSC will operate profitably in the fiscal year 2010 which will be a substantial improvement over the results of YUFI.

The CAFTA region continues to be a very important part of the Company s global sourcing strategy as U.S. brands and retailers take advantage of the shorter lead times and the competitiveness of the region. The CAFTA region s share of synthetic apparel U.S. imports is approximately 12% and is expected to grow over the next several years, making the region a critical component in the apparel supply chain. To better service customers in the CAFTA region, the Company is exploring options for placing manufacturing capabilities in Central America. At this point, all options are being explored, including joint venture opportunities as well as green-field scenarios, and the total investment in the initial stages is expected to be \$10.0 million or less.

The Company s Brazilian operation had especially strong results in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, but those results deteriorated through the second and third quarters of fiscal year 2009 due to softness in the Brazilian economy and supply chain volatility related to raw material costs and the negative impact of currency fluctuations. The subsidiary s results improved substantially during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009 as unit sales increased by 33% compared to the third quarter due to the strengthening of the Brazilian economy and a gain in market share.

The Company is committed to achieving operational and commercial excellence in its core businesses by driving improvement in operational discipline, statistical process control, and customer service—utilizing a disciplined improvement process. During fiscal year 2009, the Company made continual and substantial improvements to its costs and operational efficiencies, resulting in a reduction of the volume level required to operate the business profitably by more than ten percent. Such improvement efforts include changes to the Company—s sourcing and purchasing model; improved operational efficiencies; reduction of employee related costs from headcount reductions and benefit changes; and cost reductions achieved through asset consolidations.

On May 14, 2008, the Company announced the closing of its Staunton, Virginia facility and the transfer of certain production to its facility in Yadkinville, North Carolina. The relocation of its beaming and warp draw production is consistent with the Company s strategy to maximize operational efficiencies and reduce production costs. The Company completed this transition in November 2008.

On September 29, 2008, the Company entered into an agreement to sell certain idle real property and related assets located in Yadkinville, North Carolina, for \$7.0 million. On December 19, 2008, the Company completed the

34

Table of Contents

sale and recorded a net pre-tax gain of \$5.2 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. The gain is included in the other operating (income) expense, net line on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Based on a decline in its market capitalization during the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 and difficult market conditions, the Company determined that it was appropriate to re-evaluate the carrying value of its goodwill during the quarter ended March 29, 2009. In connection with this third quarter interim impairment analysis, the Company updated its cash flow forecasts based upon the latest market intelligence, its discount rate and its market capitalization values. The projected cash flows are based on the Company s forecasts of volume, with consideration of relevant industry and macroeconomic trends. The fair value of the domestic polyester reporting unit was determined based upon a combination of a discounted cash flow analysis and a market approach utilizing market multiples of guideline publicly traded companies. As a result of the findings, the Company determined that the goodwill was impaired and recorded an impairment charge of \$18.6 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2009.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company used \$8.8 million of domestic restricted cash to repurchase \$8.8 million of its 11.5% senior secured notes due May 15, 2014 (the 2014 notes) at par value. In addition, the Company repurchased and retired 2014 notes having a face value of \$2.0 million in open market purchases. The net effect of the gain on this repurchase and the write-off of the respective unamortized issuance cost related to the \$8.8 million and \$2.0 million of 2014 notes resulted in a net gain of \$0.3 million.

On May 28, 2009, the Company announced that the Board appointed Mr. Michael Sileck to the Board effective May 28, 2009 and was also appointed to the Audit Committee. Mr. Sileck was appointed to a term expiring at the Company s 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, at which time it is expected that he will be nominated to stand for election by the Shareholders of the Company.

Key Performance Indicators

The Company continuously reviews performance indicators to measure its success. The following are the indicators management uses to assess performance of the Company s business:

sales volume, which is an indicator of demand;

margins, which are an indicator of product mix and profitability;

adjusted Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation, and Amortization (adjusted EBITDA), which the Company defines as pre-tax income before interest expense, depreciation and amortization expense and loss or income from discontinued operations, adjusted to exclude equity in earnings and losses of unconsolidated affiliates, write down of long-lived assets and unconsolidated affiliate, non-cash compensation expense net of distributions, gains and losses on sales of property, plant and equipment, hedging gains and losses, asset consolidation and optimization expense, goodwill impairment, gain and loss on extinguishment of debt, restructuring charges and recoveries, and Kinston shutdown costs, as revised from time to time, which the Company believes is a supplemental measure of its performance and ability to service debt; and

adjusted working capital (accounts receivable plus inventory less accounts payable and accruals) as a percentage of sales, which is an indicator of the Company s production efficiency and ability to manage its inventory and receivables.

Corporate Restructurings

Severance

On April 20, 2006, the Company re-organized its domestic business operations. Approximately 45 management level salaried employees were affected by this plan of reorganization. During fiscal year 2007, the Company recorded an additional \$0.3 million for severance related to this reorganization.

On April 26, 2007, the Company announced its plan to consolidate its domestic capacity and close its recently acquired Dillon polyester facility. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations , the Company recorded a balance sheet adjustment to book a \$0.7 million assumed liability for severance in fiscal

35

Table of Contents

year 2007 with the offset to goodwill. Approximately 291 wage employees and 25 salaried employees were affected by this consolidation plan.

On August 2, 2007, the Company announced the closure of its Kinston, North Carolina polyester facility. The Kinston facility produced POY for internal consumption and third party sales. In the future, the Company will purchase its commodity POY needs from external suppliers for conversion in its texturing operations. The Company will continue to produce POY in the Yadkinville, North Carolina facility for its specialty and premium value yarns and certain commodity yarns. During fiscal year 2008, the Company recorded \$1.3 million for severance related to its Kinston consolidation. Approximately 231 employees which included 31 salaried positions and 200 wage positions were affected as a result of this reorganization.

On August 22, 2007, the Company announced its plan to re-organize certain corporate staff and manufacturing support functions to further reduce costs. The Company recorded \$1.1 million for severance related to this reorganization. Approximately 54 salaried employees were affected by this reorganization. In addition, the Company recorded severance of \$2.4 million for its former Chief Executive Officer (CEO) in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008 and \$1.7 million for severance in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008 related to its former Chief Financial Officer (CFO) during fiscal year 2008.

On May 14, 2008, the Company announced the closure of its polyester facility located in Staunton, Virginia and the transfer of certain production to its facility in Yadkinville, North Carolina which was completed in November 2008. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded \$0.1 million for severance related to its Staunton consolidation. Approximately 40 salaried and wage employees were affected by this reorganization.

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company re-organized and reduced its workforce due to the economic downturn. Approximately 200 salaried and wage employees were affected by this reorganization related to the Company s efforts to reduce costs. As a result, the Company recorded \$0.3 million in severance charges related to certain salaried corporate and manufacturing support staff.

Restructuring

On October 25, 2006, the Company s Board of Directors approved the purchase of the assets of the Dillon Yarn Division (Dillon) of Dillon Yarn Corporation. This approval was based on a business plan which assumed certain significant synergies that were expected to be realized from the elimination of redundant overhead, the rationalization of under-utilized assets and certain other product optimization. The preliminary asset rationalization plan included exiting two of the three production activities currently operating at the Dillon facility and moving them to other Unifi manufacturing facilities. The plan was to be finalized once operations personnel from the Company would have full access to the Dillon facility, in order to determine the optimal asset plan for the Company s anticipated product mix. This plan was consistent with the Company s domestic market consolidation strategy discussed in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 25, 2006. On January 1, 2007, the Company completed the Dillon asset acquisition.

Concurrent with the acquisition the Company entered into a Sales and Services Agreement (the Agreement). The Agreement covered the services of certain Dillon personnel who were responsible for product sales and certain other personnel that were primarily focused on the planning and operations at the Dillon facility. The services would be provided over a period of two years at a fixed cost of \$6.0 million. In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2007, the Company finalized its plan and announced its decision to exit its recently acquired Dillon polyester facility.

The closure of the Dillon facility triggered an evaluation of the Company s obligations arising under the Agreement. The Company evaluated the guidance contained in SFAS No. 141 Business Combinations , as well as the guidance

contained in EITF Abstract Issue No. 95-3 (EITF 95-3) Recognition of Liabilities in Connection with a Purchase Business Combination in determining the appropriate accounting for the costs associated with the Agreement. The Company determined from this evaluation that the fair value of the services to be received under the Agreement were significantly lower than the obligation to Dillon. As a result, the Company determined that a portion of the obligation should be considered an unfavorable contract as defined by SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities . The Company concluded that costs totaling approximately

36

Table of Contents

\$3.1 million relating to services provided under the Agreement were for the ongoing benefit of the combined business and therefore should be reflected as an expense in the Company s Consolidated Statements of Operations, as incurred. The remaining Agreement costs totaling approximately \$2.9 million were for the personnel involved in the planning and operations of the Dillon facility and related to the time period after shutdown in June 2007. Therefore, these costs were reflected as an assumed purchase liability in accordance with SFAS No. 141, since these costs no longer related to the generation of revenue and had no future economic benefit to the combined business.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company recorded \$3.4 million for restructuring charges related to contract termination costs and other noncancellable contracts for continued services after the closing of the Kinston facility. See the Severance discussion above for further details related to Kinston.

The Company recorded restructuring charges in lease related costs associated with the closure of its polyester facility in Altamahaw, North Carolina during fiscal year 2004. In the second quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company negotiated the remaining obligation on the lease and recorded a \$0.3 million net favorable adjustment related to the cancellation of the lease obligation.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded \$0.2 million of restructuring recoveries related to retiree reserves.

The table below summarizes changes to the accrued severance and accrued restructuring accounts for the fiscal years ended June 28, 2009, June 29, 2008, and June 24, 2007, respectively (amounts in thousands):

		alance at June 29,					Amount		Balance at June 28,		
	2008		,		Adju	Adjustments		Used		2009	
Accrued severance Accrued restructuring	\$	3,668 1,414	\$	371	\$	5 224	\$	(2,357) (1,638)	\$	1,687(1)	
	Jı	lance at une 24, 2007		ditional harges	Adju	stments		mount Used	Jı	lance at ine 29, 2008	
Accrued severance Accrued restructuring	\$	877 5,685	\$	6,533 3,125	\$	207 (176)	\$	(3,949) (7,220)	\$	3,668(2) 1,414	
]	Balance at June 25, 2006		Additiona Charges		justments		Amount Used	I	Balance at June 24, 2007	
Accrued severance Accrued restructuring	\$	576 3,550		\$ 905	\$	3,133		\$ (604) (998)	\$	877 5,685	

⁽¹⁾ As of June 28, 2009, the Company classified \$0.3 million of the executive severance as long-term.

(2) As of June 29, 2008, the Company classified \$1.7 million of the executive severance as long-term.

Joint Ventures and Other Equity Investments

YUFI. In August 2005, the Company formed YUFI, a 50/50 joint venture with YCFC, to manufacture, process and market polyester filament yarn in YCFC s facilities in Yizheng, Jiangsu Province, China. During fiscal year 2008, the Company s management explored strategic options with its joint venture partner in China with the ultimate goal of determining if there was a viable path to profitability for YUFI. Management concluded that although YUFI had successfully grown its position in high value and PVA products, commodity sales would continue to be a large and unprofitable portion of the joint venture s business, due to cost constraints. In addition, the Company believed YUFI had focused too much attention and energy on non-value added issues, distracting management from its primary PVA objectives. Based on these conclusions, the Company decided to exit the joint venture and on July 30, 2008, the Company announced that it had reached a proposed agreement to sell its 50% interest in YUFI to its partner for \$10 million.

As a result of the agreement with YCFC, the Company initiated a review of the carrying value of its investment in YUFI in accordance with APB 18 and determined that the carrying value of its investment in YUFI exceeded its fair value. Accordingly, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$6.4 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008.

37

Table of Contents

The Company expected to close the transaction in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009 pending negotiation and execution of definitive agreements and Chinese regulatory approvals. The agreement provided for YCFC to immediately take over operating control of YUFI, regardless of the timing of the final approvals and closure of the equity sale transaction. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company gave up one of its senior staff appointees and YCFC appointed its own designee as General Manager of YUFI, who assumed full responsibility for the operating activities of YUFI at that time. As a result, the Company lost its ability to influence the operations of YUFI and therefore the Company switched from the equity method of accounting for its investment in the joint venture to the cost method and consequently ceased recording its share of losses commencing in the same quarter in accordance with APB 18. The Company recognized equity losses of \$6.1 million and \$5.8 million for fiscal years 2008 and 2007, respectively.

In December 2008, the Company renegotiated the proposed agreement to sell its interest in YUFI to YCFC for \$9.0 million and recorded an additional impairment charge of \$1.5 million, which included approximately \$0.5 million related to certain disputed accounts receivable and \$1.0 million related to the fair value of its investment, as determined by the re-negotiated equity interest sales price, was lower than carrying value.

On March 30, 2009, the Company closed on the sale and received \$9 million in proceeds related to its investment in YUFI. The Company continues to service customers in Asia through UTSC, a wholly-owned subsidiary based in Suzhou, China, that is dedicated to the development, sales and service of PVA yarns. UTSC is located outside of Shanghai in, Suzhou New District, which is in Jiangsu Province.

PAL. In June 1997, the Company contributed all of the assets of its spun cotton yarn operations, utilizing open-end and air jet spinning technologies, into PAL, a joint venture with Parkdale Mills, Inc. in exchange for a 34% ownership interest in the joint venture. PAL is a producer of cotton and synthetic yarns for sale to the textile and apparel industries primarily within North America. PAL has 10 manufacturing facilities primarily located in central and western North Carolina. As part of its fiscal year 2007 financial close process, the Company reviewed the carrying value of its investment in PAL, in accordance with APB 18. On July 9, 2007, the Company determined that the \$137.0 million carrying value of the Company s investment in PAL exceeded its fair value. The Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$84.7 million in the fourth quarter of the Company s fiscal year 2007 based on an appraised fair value of PAL, less 25% for lack of marketability and its minority ownership percentage. For fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, the Company reported equity income of \$4.7 million, \$8.3 million, and \$2.5 million, respectively, from PAL. At the end of Company s fiscal year 2009, PAL had cash and cash equivalents of \$47.7 million and no long-term debt. The Company received distributions of \$3.7 million, \$4.5 million, and \$6.4 million during fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, respectively.

The 2008 U.S. Farm Bill extended the existing upland cotton and extra long staple cotton programs, which includes economic adjustment assistance provisions for ten years. Eligible cotton is baled upland cotton regardless of origin which must be one of the following: Baled lint; loose; semi-processed motes or re-ginned motes as defined by the Upland Cotton Domestic User Agreement Section A-2. Eligible and Ineligible Cotton . Beginning August 1, 2008, the revised program will provide textile mills a subsidy of four cents per pound on eligible upland cotton consumed during the first four years and three cents per pound for the last six years. The economic assistance received under this program must be used to acquire, construct, install, modernize, develop, convert or expand land, plant, buildings, equipment, or machinery. Capital expenditures must be directly attributable to the purpose of manufacturing upland cotton into eligible cotton products in the U.S. The recipients have the marketing year which goes from August 1 to July 31, plus eighteen months to make the capital investments. PAL received benefits under this program in the amount of \$14.0 million, representing eleven months of cotton consumption, of which \$9.7 million was recognized as a reduction to PAL s cost of sales during the Company s fiscal year 2009. The remaining \$4.3 million of deferred revenue will be recognized by PAL based on qualifying capital expenditures.

USTF. On September 13, 2000, the Company formed USTF, a 50/50 joint venture with SANS Fibres of South Africa (SANS Fibres), to produce low-shrinkage high tenacity nylon 6.6 light denier industrial, or LDI yarns in North Carolina. The business was operated in its plant in Stoneville, North Carolina. On January 2, 2007, the Company notified SANS Fibres that it was exercising its put right to sell its interest in the joint venture. On November 30, 2007, the Company completed the sale of its 50% interest in USTF to SANS Fibres and received net proceeds of \$11.9 million. The purchase price included \$3.0 million for a manufacturing facility that the Company

38

Table of Contents

leased to the joint venture which had a net book value of \$2.1 million. Of the remaining \$8.9 million, \$8.8 million was allocated to the Company s equity investment in the joint venture and \$0.1 million was attributed to interest income.

UNF. On September 27, 2000, the Company formed UNF, a 50/50 joint venture with Nilit, which produces nylon POY at Nilit s manufacturing facility in Migdal Ha-Emek, Israel, that is its primary source of nylon POY for its texturing and covering operations. The Company purchases nylon POY from UNF which is produced from three dedicated production lines. The Company s investment in UNF at June 28, 2009 was \$2.3 million. For the fiscal years 2009, 2008, and 2007, the Company reported equity losses of \$1.5 million, \$0.8 million, and \$1.1 million, respectively, from UNF. The nylon segment had a supply agreement with UNF which expired in April 2008; however, the Company continues to purchase POY from the joint venture at agreed upon price points. The Company is in negotiations with Nilit to finalize a new supply agreement and restructure the UNF joint venture. The Company expects the negotiations to be completed in the first half of fiscal year 2010.

Condensed balance sheet information and income statement information as of June 28, 2009, June 29, 2008, and June 24, 2007 of combined unconsolidated equity affiliates were as follows (amounts in thousands):

			June	28, 200		
	PAL	YUFI(1)		UNF	USTF	Total
Current assets	\$ 149,959	\$	\$	2,329	\$	\$ 152,288
Noncurrent assets	98,460			3,433		101,893
Current liabilities	21,754			1,080		22,834
Noncurrent liabilities	4,294					4,294
Shareholder s equity and capital accounts	222,371			4,682		227,053
			June	29, 2008	}	
	PAL	YUFI		UNF	USTF(2)	Total
Current assets	\$ 132,526	\$ 30,678	\$	7,528	\$	\$ 170,732
Noncurrent assets	112,974	59,552		5,329		177,855
Current liabilities Noncurrent liabilities	25,799	57,524		4,837		88,160
Shareholder s equity and capital accounts	219,701	32,706		8,020		260,427
			June	24, 2007	,	
	PAL	YUFI		JNF	USTF	Total
Current assets	\$ 131,737	\$ 17,411	\$	5,578	\$ 10,148	\$ 164,874
Noncurrent assets	98,088	59,183		7,067	20,975	185,313
Current liabilities	17,637	34,119		3,140	1,680	56,576
Noncurrent liabilities	4,838				6,382	11,220
Shareholder s equity and capital accounts	207,351	42,475		9,504	23,061	282,391
		Fiscal Ye	ar Er	nded Jun	e 28, 2009	
	PAL	YUFI	1	UNF	USTF	Total

Edgar Filing: UNIFI INC - Form 10-K

Net sales	\$ 408,841	\$ \$ 18,159 \$	\$ 427,000
Gross profit (loss)	26,232	(2,349)	23,883
Depreciation and amortization	18,805	1,896	20,701
Income (loss) from operations	17,618	(3,649)	13,969
Net income (loss)	13,895	(3,338)	10,557

39

	Fiscal Year Ended June 29, 2008					
	PAL	YUFI	UNF	USTF	Total	
Net sales	\$ 460,497	\$ 140,125	\$ 25,528	\$ 6,455	\$ 632,605	
Gross profit (loss)	21,504	(7,545)	175	571	14,705	
Depreciation and amortization	17,777	6,170	1,738	578	26,263	
Income (loss) from operations	10,437	(14,192)	(1,649)	189	(5,215)	
Net income (loss)	24,269	(14,922)	(1,484)	148	8,011	
		Fiscal Yea	r Ended June	24, 2007		
	PAL	YUFI	UNF	USTF	Total	
Net sales	\$ 440,366	\$ 123,912	\$ 20,852	\$ 24,883	\$ 610,013	
Gross profit (loss)	19,785	(7,488)	(2,006)	2,507	12,798	
Depreciation and amortization	24,798	5,276	1,897	2,125	34,096	
Income (loss) from operations	5,043	(12,722)	(2,533)	929	(9,283)	
Net income (loss)	7,376	(13,570)	(2,210)	671	(7,733)	

⁽¹⁾ The Company completed the sale of its investment in YUFI during the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009.

40

⁽²⁾ The Company sold USTF in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008.

Review of Fiscal Year 2009 Results of Operations (52 Weeks) Compared to Fiscal Year 2008 (53 Weeks)

The following table sets forth the loss from continuing operations components for each of the Company s business segments for fiscal year 2009 and fiscal year 2008. The table also sets forth each of the segments net sales as a percent to total net sales, the net income (loss) components as a percent to total net sales and the percentage increase or decrease of such components over the prior year:

	Fiscal Year 2009 % to		Fiscal Yea	ar 2008 % to	<i>(</i> ()	
		Total		Total	% Inc. (Dec.)	
	(A		thousands, excep		(200)	
Consolidated Net sales Polyester	\$ 403,124	72.8	\$ 530,567	74.4	(24.0)	
Nylon	150,539	27.2	182,779	25.6	(17.6)	
Total	\$ 553,663	100.0	\$ 713,346	100.0	(22.4)	
		% to Net Sales		% to Net Sales		
Cost of sales						
Polyester	\$ 386,201	69.8	\$ 494,209	69.3	(21.9)	
Nylon	138,956	25.1	168,555	23.6	(17.6)	
Total Restructuring charges	525,157	94.9	662,764	92.9	(20.8)	
Polyester	199		3,818	0.6	(94.8)	
Nylon Corporate	73 (181)		209		(65.1)	
Total Write down of long-lived assets	91		4,027	0.6	(97.7)	
Polyester Nylon	350		2,780	0.4	(87.4)	
Total Goodwill impairment	350		2,780	0.4	(87.4)	
Polyester Nylon	18,580	3.4				
Total	18,580	3.4				

Edgar Filing: UNIFI INC - Form 10-K

Selling, general and administrative					
Polyester	30,972	5.6	40,606	5.7	(23.7)
Nylon	8,150	1.5	6,966	1.0	17.0
Total	39,122	7.1	47,572	6.7	(17.8)
Provision for bad debts	2,414	0.4	214		1,028.0
Other operating (income) expenses, net	(5,491)	(1.0)	(6,427)	(0.9)	(14.6)
Non-operating (income) expenses, net	18,200	3.3	32,742	4.6	(44.4)
Loss from continuing operations before					
income taxes	(44,760)	(8.1)	(30,326)	(4.3)	47.6
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	4,301	0.8	(10,949)	(1.5)	(139.3)
Loss from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations, net	(49,061)	(8.9)	(19,377)	(2.8)	153.2
of tax	65	0.1	3,226	0.5	(98.0)
Net loss	\$ (48,996)	(8.8)	\$ (16,151)	(2.3)	203.4

41

Table of Contents

For fiscal year 2009, the Company recognized a \$44.8 million loss from continuing operations before income taxes which was a \$14.4 million increase in losses over the prior year. The decline in continuing operations was primarily attributable to decreased sales volumes in the polyester and nylon segments as a result of the economic downturn which began in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. In addition, the Company recorded \$18.6 million in goodwill impairment charges in fiscal year 2009.

Consolidated net sales from continuing operations decreased \$159.7 million, or 22.4%, for fiscal year 2009. For the fiscal year 2009, unit sales volumes decreased 22.9% primarily due to the global economic downturn which impacted all textile supply chains and markets as discussed earlier. Compared to prior year, polyester volumes decreased 23.9% and nylon volumes decreased 15.8%. The weighted-average price per pound for the Company s products on a consolidated basis remained flat as compared to the prior fiscal year. Refer to the segment operations under the captions Polyester Operations and Nylon Operations for a further discussion of each segment s operating results.

At the segment level, polyester dollar net sales accounted for 72.8% of consolidated net sales in fiscal year 2009 compared to 74.4% in fiscal year 2008. Nylon accounted for 27.2% of dollar net sales for fiscal year 2009 compared to 25.6% for the prior fiscal year.

Consolidated gross profit from continuing operations decreased \$22.1 million to \$28.5 million for fiscal year 2009. This decrease was primarily attributable to lower sales volumes and lower conversion margins for the polyester and nylon segments offset by improved per unit manufacturing costs for both the polyester and nylon segments. The decrease in sales volumes was attributable to the global economic downturn which impacted all textile supply chains and markets. Additionally, sales were impacted by excessive inventories across the supply chain. These excessive inventory levels declined during the year as the effects of the inventory de-stocking began to subside. Conversion margins on a per pound basis decreased 12% and 3% in the polyester and nylon segments, respectively. Manufacturing costs on a per pound basis decreased 2% and 3% for the polyester and nylon segments, respectively as the Company aligned operational costs with lower sales volumes. Refer to the segment operations under the captions Polyester Operations and Nylon Operations for a further discussion of each segment s operating results.

Severance and Restructuring Charges

On August 22, 2007, the Company announced its plan to re-organize certain corporate staff and manufacturing support functions to further reduce costs. The Company recorded \$1.1 million for severance related to this reorganization. Approximately 54 salaried employees were affected by this reorganization. In addition, the Company recorded severance of \$2.4 million for its former CEO in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008 and \$1.7 million for severance in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008 related to its former CFO during fiscal year 2008.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company recorded \$3.4 million for restructuring charges related to contract termination costs and other noncancellable contracts for continued services and \$1.3 million in severance costs all related to the closure of its Kinston, North Carolina polyester facility offset by \$0.3 million in favorable adjustments related to a lease obligation associated with the closure of its Altamahaw, North Carolina facility.

On May 14, 2008, the Company announced the closure of its polyester facility located in Staunton, Virginia and the transfer of certain production to its facility in Yadkinville, North Carolina. During the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded \$0.1 million for severance related to the Staunton consolidation. Approximately 40 salaried and wage employees were affected by this reorganization.

In the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company re-organized and reduced its workforce due to the economic downturn. Approximately 200 salaried and wage employees were affected by this reorganization related to the Company s efforts to reduce costs. As a result, the Company recorded \$0.3 million in severance charges related to

certain salaried corporate and manufacturing support staff. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded \$0.2 million of restructuring recoveries related to retiree reserves.

Write downs of Long-Lived Assets

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company s Brazilian polyester operation continued its modernization plan for its facilities by abandoning four of its older machines and replacing these machines with

42

Table of Contents

newer machines that it purchased from the Company s domestic polyester division. As a result, the Company recognized a \$0.5 million non-cash impairment charge on the older machines.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company evaluated the carrying value of the remaining machinery and equipment at Dillon. The Company sold several machines to a foreign subsidiary and in addition transferred several other machines to its Yadkinville, North Carolina facility. Six of the remaining machines were leased under an operating lease to a manufacturer in Mexico at a fair market value substantially less than their carrying value. The last five remaining machines were scrapped for spare parts inventory. These eleven machines were written down to fair market value determined by the lease; and as a result, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$1.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008. The adjusted net book value will be depreciated over a two year period which is consistent with the life of the lease.

In addition, during the second quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company negotiated with a third party to sell its Kinston, North Carolina polyester facility. Based on appraisals, management concluded that the carrying value of the real estate exceeded its fair value. Accordingly, the Company recorded \$0.7 million in non-cash impairment charges. On March 20, 2008, the Company completed the sale of assets located in Kinston. The Company retained the right to sell certain idle polyester assets for a period of two years ending in March 2010. At that time, the assets will revert back to DuPont with no consideration paid to the Company.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company determined that a SFAS No. 144 review of the remaining assets held for sale located in Kinston, North Carolina was necessary as a result of sales negotiations. The cash flow projections related to these assets were based on the expected sales proceeds, which were estimated based on the current status of negotiations with a potential buyer. As a result of this review, the Company determined that the carrying value of the assets exceeded the fair value and recorded \$0.4 million in non-cash impairment charges related to these assets held for sale.

Goodwill Impairment

The Company accounts for its goodwill and other intangibles under the provisions of SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets . SFAS No. 142 requires that these assets be reviewed for impairment annually, unless specific circumstances indicate that a more timely review is warranted. This impairment test involves estimates and judgments that are critical in determining whether any impairment charge should be recorded and the amount of such charge if an impairment loss is deemed to be necessary. In accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 142, the Company determined that its reportable segments were comprised of three reporting units; domestic polyester, non-domestic polyester, and nylon.

The Company s balance sheet at December 28, 2008 reflected \$18.6 million of goodwill, all of which related to the acquisition of Dillon in January 2007. The Company previously determined that all of this goodwill should be allocated to the domestic polyester reporting unit. Based on a decline in its market capitalization during the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 and difficult market conditions, the Company determined that it was appropriate to re-evaluate the carrying value of its goodwill during the quarter ended March 29, 2009. In connection with this third quarter interim impairment analysis, the Company updated its cash flow forecasts based upon the latest market intelligence, its discount rate and its market capitalization values. The projected cash flows are based on the Company s forecasts of volume, with consideration of relevant industry and macroeconomic trends. The fair value of the domestic polyester reporting unit was determined based upon a combination of a discounted cash flow analysis and a market approach utilizing market multiples of guideline publicly traded companies. As a result of the findings, the Company determined that the goodwill was impaired and recorded an impairment charge of \$18.6 million in the third quarter of fiscal year 2009.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

Consolidated SG&A expenses decreased by \$8.5 million or 17.8% for fiscal year 2009. The decrease in SG&A for fiscal year 2009 was primarily a result of decreases of \$4.1 million in executive severance costs in fiscal year 2008, \$1.2 million in deposit write-offs in fiscal year 2008, \$1.3 million in salaries and fringe benefit costs, \$1.3 million related to the Brazilian operation, \$0.8 million in depreciation expenses, \$0.7 million in insurance expenses, and \$0.2 million in equipment leases and maintenance expenses offset by increases of \$0.6 million in

43

Table of Contents

deferred compensation charges, \$0.3 million in amortization of Dillon acquisition costs, and \$0.2 million in amortization of Burke Mills Inc. acquisition costs. Included in the above decreases in SG&A was a decrease of \$0.9 million primarily due to currency exchange differences related to the translation of the Company s Brazilian operation.

Provision for Bad Debts

For fiscal year 2009, the Company recorded a \$2.4 million provision for bad debts. This compares to a provision of \$0.2 million recorded in the prior fiscal year. In fiscal year 2008, the Company recorded favorable adjustments to the reserve related to its domestic and Brazilian operations, however in fiscal year 2009, the Company experienced unfavorable adjustments as a result of the recent decline in economic conditions.

Other Operating (Income) Expense, Net

Other operating (income) expense decreased from \$6.4 million of income in fiscal year 2008 to \$5.5 million of income in fiscal year 2009. The following table shows the components of other operating (income) expense:

	J	Fiscal Y une 28, 2009 (Amounts	Jun	e 29, 2008
Net gains on sales of fixed assets	\$	(5,856)	\$	(4,003)
Gain from sale of nitrogen credits				(1,614)
Currency losses		354		522
Technology fees from China joint venture				(1,398)
Other, net		11		66
	\$	(5,491)	\$	(6,427)

Interest Expense (Interest Income)

Interest expense decreased from \$26.1 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$23.2 million in fiscal year 2009 due primarily to lower borrowings under the Amended Credit Agreement and lower average outstanding debt related to the Company s 2014 notes. The Company had nil and \$3.0 million of outstanding borrowings under its Amended Credit Agreement as of June 28, 2009 and June 29, 2008, respectively. The weighted average interest rate of Company debt outstanding at June 28, 2009 and June 29, 2008 was 11.4% and 11.3%, respectively. Interest income was \$2.9 million in both fiscal years 2009 and 2008.

Equity in (Earnings) Losses of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Equity in net income of its equity affiliates was \$3.3 million in fiscal year 2009 compared to equity in net income of \$1.4 million in fiscal year 2008. The Company s 50% share of YUFI s net losses decreased from \$6.1 million of losses in fiscal year 2008 to nil in fiscal year 2009 due to the Company s sale of its interest in YUFI. The Company s 34% share of PAL s earnings decreased from \$8.3 million of income in fiscal year 2008 to \$4.7 million of income in fiscal year 2009. Earnings of PAL decreased in fiscal year 2009 compared to fiscal year 2008 primarily due to the effects of the economic crisis on PAL s volumes, decreased favorable litigation settlements recorded in fiscal year 2008 offset by

income from cotton rebates in fiscal year 2009 as discussed above. The Company expects to continue to receive cash distributions from PAL.

Write downs of Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company determined that a review of the carrying value of its investment in USTF was necessary as a result of sales negotiations. As a result of this review, the Company determined that the carrying value exceeded its fair value. Accordingly, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$4.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008.

44

Table of Contents

In July 2008, the Company announced a proposed agreement to sell its 50% ownership interest in YUFI to its partner, YCFC, for \$10.0 million, pending final negotiation and execution of definitive agreements and the receipt of Chinese regulatory approvals. In connection with a review of the YUFI value during negotiations related to the sale, the Company initiated a review of the carrying value of its investment in YUFI in accordance with APB 18. As a result of this review, the Company determined that the carrying value of its investment in YUFI exceeded its fair value. Accordingly, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$6.4 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company and YCFC renegotiated the proposed agreement to sell the Company s interest in YUFI to YCFC from \$10.0 million to \$9.0 million. As a result, the Company recorded an additional impairment charge of \$1.5 million, which included approximately \$0.5 million related to certain disputed accounts receivable and \$1.0 million related to the fair value of its investment, as determined by the re-negotiated equity interest sales price, was lower than carrying value. During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company completed the sale of YUFI to YCFC.

Income Taxes

The Company has established a valuation allowance to completely offset its U.S. net deferred tax asset. The valuation allowance is primarily attributable to investments and federal net operating loss carryforwards. The Company s realization of other deferred tax assets is based on future taxable income within a certain time period and is therefore uncertain. Although the Company has reported cumulative losses for both financial and U.S. tax reporting purposes over the last several years, it has determined that deferred tax assets not offset by the valuation allowance are more likely than not to be realized primarily based on expected future reversals of deferred tax liabilities, particularly those related to property, plant and equipment.

The valuation allowance increased by approximately \$20.3 million in fiscal year 2009 compared to a decrease of approximately \$12.0 million in fiscal year 2008. The net increase in fiscal year 2009 resulted primarily from an increase in federal net operating loss carryforwards and the impairment of goodwill. The net decrease in fiscal year 2008 resulted primarily from a reduction in federal net operating loss carryforwards and the expiration of state income tax credit carryforwards. The net impact of changes in the valuation allowance to the effective tax rate reconciliation for fiscal years 2009 and 2008 were 45.2% and (26.0)%, respectively.

The Company recognized income tax expense in fiscal year 2009 at (9.6)% effective tax rate compared to a benefit of 36.1% in fiscal year 2008. The fiscal year 2009 effective rate was negatively impacted by the change in the deferred tax valuation allowance. The fiscal year 2008 effective rate was positively impacted by the change in the deferred tax valuation allowance, partially offset by negative impacts from foreign losses for which no tax benefit was recognized, expiration of North Carolina income tax credit carryforwards and tax expense not previously accrued for repatriation of foreign earnings. The fiscal year 2007 effective rate was negatively impacted by the change in the deferred tax valuation allowance.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company accrued federal income tax on approximately \$5 million of dividends expected to be distributed from a foreign subsidiary in future periods and approximately \$0.3 million of dividends distributed from a foreign subsidiary in fiscal year 2008. During the third quarter of fiscal year 2009, management revised its assertion with respect to the repatriation of \$5.0 million of dividends and now intends to permanently reinvest this amount outside of the U.S.

On June 25, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (FIN 48). There was a \$0.2 million cumulative adjustment to retained earnings upon adoption of FIN 48 in fiscal year 2008.

Polyester Operations

The following table sets forth the segment operating loss components for the polyester segment for fiscal year 2009 and fiscal year 2008. The table also sets forth the percent to net sales and the percentage increase or decrease over the prior year:

	Fiscal Year	2009 % to Net Sales	Fiscal Yea	r 2008 % to Net Sales	% Inc. (Dec.)
	(A)	mounts in t	housands, excep	t percentages)
Net sales	\$ 403,124	100.0	\$ 530,567	100.0	(24.0)
Cost of sales	386,201	95.8	494,209	93.1	(21.9)
Restructuring charges	199	0.0	3,818	0.7	(94.8)
Write down of long-lived assets	350	0.1	2,780	0.5	(87.4)
Goodwill impairment Selling, general and administrative	18,580	4.6			
expenses	30,972	7.7	40,606	7.7	(23.7)
Segment operating loss	\$ (33,178)	(8.2)	\$ (10,846)	(2.0)	205.9

In fiscal year 2009, consolidated polyester net sales decreased \$127.4 million, or 24.0% compared to fiscal year 2008. The Company s polyester segment sales volumes decreased approximately 23.9% and the weighted-average selling price decreased approximately 0.2%.

Domestically, polyester net sales decreased \$115.4 million, or 28.7% as compared to fiscal year 2008. Domestic sales volumes decreased 32.1% while average unit prices increased approximately 3.4%. The decline in domestic polyester sales volume related to difficult market conditions in fiscal year 2009 and management s decision to exit unprofitable commodity POY business in Kinston, North Carolina. The increase in domestic weighted-average selling price reflects a shift of the Company s product offerings to PVA products and an incremental sales price increase driven by higher material costs.

Gross profit for the consolidated polyester segment decreased \$19.4 million, or 53.4% over fiscal year 2008. On a per unit basis gross profit decreased 40.0%. The impact of the surge in crude oil since the beginning of fiscal year 2008 created a spike in polyester raw material prices. As raw material prices peaked in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company was initially only able to pass along a portion of these raw material increases to its customers which resulted in lower conversion margins on a per unit basis of 12%. The decline in conversion margin was partially offset by decreases in per unit manufacturing costs of 2% which consisted of decreased per unit variable manufacturing costs of 10% and increased per unit fixed manufacturing costs of 8% caused by lower sales volumes.

Domestic gross profit decreased \$21.0 million, or 91.5% over fiscal year 2008 as a result of lower sales volumes and increased raw material costs. The Company experienced a decline in its domestic polyester conversion margin of \$47.2 million, a per unit decrease of 2% over the prior fiscal year. Variable manufacturing costs decreased \$22.2 million primarily as a result of lower volumes, utility costs, wage expenses, and other miscellaneous manufacturing costs, however on a per unit basis variable manufacturing costs increased 12% due to the lower sales volumes. Fixed manufacturing costs also declined \$3.9 million as compared to fiscal year 2008 primarily as a result of

lower depreciation expense and reduced costs related to asset consolidations while increasing 20% on a per unit basis also due to lower sales volumes.

On a local currency basis, per unit net sales from the Company s Brazilian texturing operation remained flat while raw material costs increased 11%, variable manufacturing costs decreased by 63% and fixed manufacturing costs increased 5%. The increase in raw material prices was the result of the global effect of rising crude oil prices on raw material costs discussed above and fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates as the Company s Brazilian operation predominately purchases its raw material in U.S. dollars whereas the functional currency is the Brazilian real. Variable manufacturing costs decreased primarily due to lower volumes, an increase in certain tax incentives, reduced wages and fringe benefits and reduced packaging costs. Fixed manufacturing costs increased on a per unit basis due to lower manufactured sales pounds. Net sales, conversion, and gross profit were further reduced

46

on a U.S. dollar basis due to unfavorable changes in the currency exchange rate. On a per unit basis, net sales, conversion margin and gross profit decreased an additional 12%, 9% and 10%, respectively related to the unfavorable change in the currency exchange rate. The effect of the change in currency on net sales, conversion margin and gross profit on a U.S. dollar basis was \$17.5 million, \$6.0 million and \$2.0 million, respectively.

SG&A expenses for the polyester segment decreased \$9.6 million for fiscal year 2009 compared to fiscal year 2008. The polyester segment s SG&A expenses consist of unallocated polyester foreign subsidiaries costs and allocated domestic costs. The percentage of domestic SG&A costs allocated to each segment is determined at the beginning of every year based on specific budgeted cost drivers which resulted in a lower allocation percentage in fiscal year 2009 as compared to the prior year.

The polyester segment net sales, gross profit and SG&A expenses as a percentage of total consolidated amounts were 72.8%, 59.4% and 79.2% for fiscal year 2009 compared to 74.4%, 71.9% and 85.4% for fiscal year 2008, respectively.

Nylon Operations

The following table sets forth the segment operating profit components for the nylon segment for fiscal year 2009 and fiscal year 2008. The table also sets forth the percent to net sales and the percentage increase or decrease over the prior year:

	Fiscal Yea	% to Net Sales	hous	Fiscal Yea	nr 2008 % to Net Sales ot percentages	% Inc. (Dec.)
Net sales	\$ 150,539	100.0	\$	182,779	100.0	(17.6)
Cost of sales	138,956	92.3		168,555	92.2	(17.6)
Restructuring charges Write down of long-lived assets Selling, general and administrative	73			209	0.1	(65.1)
expenses	8,150	5.4		6,966	3.8	17.0
Segment operating profit	\$ 3,360	2.3	\$	7,049	3.9	(52.3)

Fiscal year 2009 nylon net sales decreased \$32.2 million, or 17.6% compared to fiscal year 2008. The Company s nylon segment sales volumes decreased approximately 15.8% while the weighted-average selling price decreased approximately 1.9%. The decline in nylon sales volume was primarily due to the market decline, and the reduction in sales price was due to shift in product mix.

Gross profit for the nylon segment decreased \$2.6 million, or 18.6% in fiscal year 2009. The nylon segment experienced a decrease in conversion margins of \$12.3 million, or 3% on a per unit basis, offset by a decrease in manufacturing costs of \$9.7 million or 3% on a per unit basis, primarily as a result of lower wage and fringe expenses and lower depreciation expense. Variable manufacturing costs increased \$4.1 million, or 10.8%, however, on a per unit basis increased 6% due to reduced sales volumes. Fixed manufacturing costs decreased \$5.5 million, or 34.5%, and on a per unit basis decreased 23.0% due to lower depreciation expense.

SG&A expenses for the nylon segment increased \$1.2 million in fiscal year 2009. The nylon s segment s SG&A expenses consist of unallocated nylon foreign subsidiary costs and allocated domestic costs. The percentage of domestic SG&A costs allocated to each segment is determined at the beginning of every year based on specific budgeted cost drivers which resulted in a higher allocation percentage in fiscal year 2009 as compared to the prior year.

The nylon segment net sales, gross profit and SG&A expenses as a percentage of total consolidated amounts were 27.2%, 40.6% and 20.8% for fiscal year 2009 compared to 25.6%, 28.1% and 14.6% for fiscal year 2008, respectively.

47

Review of Fiscal Year 2008 Results of Operations (53 Weeks) Compared to Fiscal Year 2007 (52 Weeks)

The following table sets forth the loss from continuing operations components for each of the Company s business segments for fiscal year 2008 and fiscal year 2007. The table also sets forth each of the segments net sales as a percent to total net sales, the net income (loss) components as a percent to total net sales and the percentage increase or decrease of such components over the prior year:

	Fiscal Year 2008 % to			Fiscal Yea	or I.		
			Total (Amounts in	thou	sands, exce	Total pt percentages)	% Inc. (Dec.)
Consolidated Net sales	¢	520 567	74.4	¢	520,002	76.8	0.1
Polyester Nylon	\$	530,567 182,779	74.4 25.6	\$	530,092 160,216	23.2	14.1
Total	\$	713,346	100.0	\$	690,308	100.0	3.3
			% to Net Sales			% to Net Sales	
Cost of sales	Φ.	40.4.200	60.2	Φ.	400.200	50. 0	(1.0)
Polyester Nylon	\$	494,209 168,555	69.3 23.6	\$	499,290 152,621	72.3 22.1	(1.0) 10.4
Total Restructuring charges (recovery)		662,764	92.9		651,911	94.4	1.7
Polyester Nylon		3,818 209	0.6		(103) (54)		
Total Write down of long-lived assets		4,027	0.6		(157)		
Polyester Nylon		2,780	0.4		6,930 8,601	1.0 1.2	(59.9) (100.0)
Corporate					1,200	0.2	(100.0)
Total Selling, general and administrative		2,780	0.4		16,731	2.4	(83.4)
Polyester Nylon		40,606 6,966	5.7 1.0		35,704 9,182	5.2 1.3	13.7 (24.1)
Total Provision for bad debts		47,572 214	6.7		44,886 7,174	6.5 1.0	6.0 (97.0)
Other operating (income) expenses		(6,427)	(0.9)		(2,601)	(0.3)	147.1

Edgar Filing: UNIFI INC - Form 10-K

Non-operating (income) expenses	32,742	4.6	111,390	16.1	(70.6)
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes Benefit for income taxes	(30,326) (10,949)	(4.3) (1.5)	(139,026) (21,769)	(20.1) (3.1)	(78.2) (49.7)
Loss from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations,	(19,377)	(2.8)	(117,257)	(17.0)	(83.5)
net of tax	3,226	0.5	1,465	0.2	120.2
Net loss	\$ (16,151)	(2.3)	\$ (115,792)	(16.8)	(86.1)

48

Table of Contents

For fiscal year 2008, the Company recognized a \$30.3 million loss from continuing operations before income taxes which was a \$108.7 million improvement over the prior year. The improvement in continuing operations was primarily attributable to decreased charges of \$87.7 million for asset impairments and increased polyester and nylon gross profits which were offset by increased SG&A expenses. During fiscal years 2008 and 2007, raw material prices increased for polyester ingredients in POY.

Consolidated net sales from continuing operations increased \$23.0 million, or 3.3%, for fiscal year 2008. For the fiscal year 2008, the weighted-average price per pound for the Company s products on a consolidated basis increased 10.1% compared to the prior fiscal year. Unit volume from continuing operations decreased 6.7% for the fiscal year partially due to management s decision to focus on profitable business as well as market conditions. See Polyester Operations and Nylon Operations sections below for additional discussion.

At the segment level, polyester dollar net sales accounted for 74.4% in fiscal year 2008 compared to 76.8% in fiscal year 2007. Nylon accounted for 25.6% of dollar net sales for fiscal year 2008 compared to 23.2% for the prior fiscal year.

Gross profit from continuing operations increased \$12.2 million to \$50.6 million for fiscal year 2008. This increase was primarily attributable to higher sales volume in the nylon segment, higher conversion margins for the polyester segment, and decreases in the per unit manufacturing costs for both the polyester and nylon segments. Higher sales volumes in the nylon segment were driven by consumer preferences and fashion trends for sheer hosiery and shape-wear products. Direct manufacturing costs related to the domestic operations decreased \$3.0 million in wages and fringes, \$7.0 million in utility expenses, and \$4.3 million in depreciation expenses which were driven primarily by the execution of consolidation synergies and by management s continued focus on operational cost improvements in the remaining operating facilities. Indirect manufacturing costs related to the domestic operations decreased \$1.5 million in fiscal year 2008 as compared to the prior year due to workforce reductions, lower depreciation expense and equipment maintenance costs, partially offset by decreased production credits as a result of lower production volumes. For further detailed discussion of the polyester and nylon segments, see Polyester Operations and Nylon Operations sections below.

Severance and Restructuring Charges

On August 22, 2007, the Company announced its plan to re-organize certain corporate staff and manufacturing support functions to further reduce costs. The Company recorded \$1.1 million for severance related to this reorganization. Approximately 54 salaried employees were affected by this reorganization. In addition, the Company recorded severance of \$2.4 million for its former CEO and \$1.7 million for severance related to its former CFO during fiscal year 2008.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company recorded \$3.4 million for restructuring charges related to contract termination costs and other noncancellable contracts for continued services and \$1.3 million in severance costs all related to the closure of its Kinston, North Carolina polyester facility offset by \$0.3 million in favorable adjustments related to a lease obligation associated with the closure of its Altamahaw, North Carolina facility.

Write downs of Long-Lived Assets

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company s Brazilian polyester operation continued its modernization plan for its facilities by abandoning four of its older machines and replacing these machines with newer machines that it purchased from the Company s domestic polyester division. As a result, the Company recognized a \$0.5 million non-cash impairment charge on the older machines.

During the second quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company evaluated the carrying value of the remaining machinery and equipment at Dillon. The Company sold several machines to a foreign subsidiary and in addition transferred several other machines to its Yadkinville, North Carolina facility. Six of the remaining machines were leased under an operating lease to a manufacturer in Mexico at a fair market value substantially less than their carrying value. The last five remaining machines were scrapped for spare parts inventory. These eleven machines were written down to fair market value determined by the lease; and as a result, the Company recorded a non-cash

49

Table of Contents

impairment charge of \$1.6 million in the second quarter of fiscal year 2008. The adjusted net book value will be depreciated over a two year period which is consistent with the life of the lease.

In addition, during the second quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company began negotiations with a third party to sell its Kinston, North Carolina polyester facility. Based on appraisals, management concluded that the carrying value of the real estate exceeded its fair value. Accordingly, the Company recorded \$0.7 million in non-cash impairment charges.

During fiscal year 2007, the Company recorded \$16.7 million in impairment charges related to write downs of long-lived assets. See the discussion under the caption Review of Fiscal Year 2007 Results of Operations (52 Weeks) Compared to Fiscal 2006 (52 Weeks) included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended June 24, 2007.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

SG&A expenses increased by 6.0% or \$2.7 million for fiscal year 2008. The increase in SG&A for fiscal year 2008 was primarily a result of increases of \$4.1 million in executive severance costs, \$1.2 million in deposit write-offs, \$0.9 million in Dillon acquisition related amortization and service fees, and \$0.4 million in professional fees, insurance, and USTF management fees, and \$0.2 million in other miscellaneous expenses, offset by decreases of \$2.2 million in stock-based compensation and deferred compensation charges, \$1.4 million in salaries and fringes, \$0.6 million in employee welfare, wellness, and benefits outsourcing expenses, \$0.5 million in equipment leases and maintenance expenses, and \$0.5 million in depreciation expenses. Included in the above increases in SG&A was an increase of \$1.0 million primarily due to currency exchange differences related to the Company s Brazilian operation.

Provision for Bad Debts

For the fiscal year 2008, the Company recorded a \$0.2 million provision for bad debts. This compares to a provision of \$7.2 million recorded in the prior fiscal year. The decrease was related to the Company s domestic operations and was primarily attributable to the improved accounts receivable aging. During fiscal year 2007, the Company wrote off the balances related to two customers who filed bankruptcy, as is noted in the Review of Fiscal Year 2007 Results of Operations (52 Weeks) Compared to Fiscal 2006 (52 Weeks) included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended June 24, 2007. Management believes that its reserve for uncollectible accounts receivable is adequate.

Other Operating (Income) Expense, Net

Other operating (income) expense increased from \$2.6 million of income in fiscal year 2007 to \$6.4 million of income in fiscal year 2008. The following table shows the components of other operating (income) expense:

Fiscal Years Ended

	June 29, 2008 June 24, 2007 (Amounts in thousands)				
Net gains on sales of fixed assets	\$	(4,003)	\$	(1,225)	
Gain from sale of nitrogen credits		(1,614)			
Currency (gains) losses		522		(393)	
Technology fees from China joint venture		(1,398)		(1,226)	
Other, net		66		243	

\$ (6,427) \$ (2,601)

Interest Expense (Interest Income)

Interest expense increased from \$25.5 million in fiscal year 2007 to \$26.1 million in fiscal year 2008, due primarily to borrowings under the Amended Credit Agreement, related to the January 2007 acquisition of Dillon. The Company had \$3.0 million of outstanding borrowings under its Amended Credit Agreement as of June 29,

50

Table of Contents

2008. The weighted average interest rate of Company debt outstanding at June 29, 2008 and June 24, 2007 was 11.3% and 10.8%, respectively. Interest income decreased from \$3.2 million in fiscal year 2007 to \$2.9 million in fiscal year 2008.

Equity in (Earnings) Losses of Unconsolidated Affiliates

Equity in net income of its equity affiliates, PAL, USTF, UNF, and YUFI was \$1.4 million in fiscal year 2008 compared to equity in net losses of \$4.3 million in fiscal year 2007. The decrease in losses is primarily attributable to income from its investment in PAL offset by YUFI as discussed above. The Company s 34% share of PAL s earnings increased from \$2.5 million of income in fiscal year 2007 to \$8.3 million of income in fiscal year 2008. Other (income) expense for PAL increased by \$14.6 million for fiscal year 2008 compared to fiscal year 2007 primarily due to gains on derivatives and income from legal settlements. The Company expects to continue to receive cash distributions from PAL. The Company s share of YUFI s net losses increased from \$5.8 million in fiscal year 2007 to \$6.1 million in fiscal year 2008.

Write downs of Investment in Unconsolidated Affiliates

During the first quarter of fiscal year 2008, the Company determined that a review of the carrying value of its investment in USTF was necessary as a result of sales negotiations. As a result of this review, the Company determined that the carrying value exceeded its fair value. Accordingly, a non-cash impairment charge of \$4.5 million was recorded in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008.

The Company announced a proposed agreement to sell its 50% ownership interest in YUFI to its partner, YCFC, for \$10.0 million, pending final negotiation and execution of definitive agreements and the receipt of Chinese regulatory approvals. In connection with a review of the YUFI value during negotiations related to the sale, the Company initiated a review of the carrying value of its investment in YUFI in accordance with APB 18. As a result of this review, the Company determined that the carrying value of its investment in YUFI exceeded its fair value. Accordingly, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$6.4 million in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2008.

During the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2007, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$84.7 million related to its investment in PAL. See the discussion under the caption Review of Fiscal Year 2007 Results of Operations (52 Weeks) Compared to Fiscal 2006 (52 Weeks) included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal year ended June 24, 2007.

Income Taxes

The Company has established a valuation allowance to completely offset its U.S. net deferred tax asset. The valuation allowance is primarily attributable to investments. The Company s realization of other deferred tax assets is based on future taxable income within a certain time period and is therefore uncertain. Although the Company has reported cumulative losses for both financial and U.S. tax reporting purposes over the last several years, it has determined that deferred tax assets not offset by the valuation allowance are more likely than not to be realized primarily based on expected future reversals of deferred tax liabilities, particularly those related to property, plant and equipment, the accumulated depreciation for which is expected to reverse approximately \$61.0 million through fiscal year 2018. Actual future taxable income may vary significantly from management s projections due to the many complex judgments and significant estimations involved, which may result in adjustments to the valuation allowance which may impact the net deferred tax liability and provision for income taxes.

The valuation allowance decreased by approximately \$12.0 million in fiscal year 2008 compared to an increase of approximately \$22.6 million in fiscal year 2007. The net decrease in fiscal year 2008 resulted primarily from a reduction in federal net operating loss carryforwards and the expiration of state income tax credit carryforwards. The net increase in fiscal year 2007 resulted primarily from investment and real property impairment charges that could result in nondeductible capital losses. The net impact of changes in the valuation allowance to the effective tax rate reconciliation for fiscal years 2008 and 2007 were (26.0)% and 18.0%, respectively. The percentage decrease from fiscal year 2007 to fiscal year 2008 was primarily attributable to reductions in net operating loss carryforwards, North Carolina income tax credit carryforwards and estimated capital losses related to certain fixed assets.

51

The Company recognized an income tax benefit in fiscal year 2008 at a 36.1% effective tax rate compared to a benefit of 15.7% in fiscal year 2007. The fiscal year 2008 effective rate was positively impacted by the change in the deferred tax valuation allowance partially offset by negative impacts from foreign losses for which no tax benefit was recognized, expiration of North Carolina income tax credit carryforwards and tax expense not previously accrued for repatriation of foreign earnings. The fiscal year 2007 effective rate was negatively impacted by the change in the deferred tax valuation allowance.

In fiscal year 2008, the Company accrued federal income tax on approximately \$5 million of dividends expected to be distributed from a foreign subsidiary in future periods and approximately \$0.3 million of dividends distributed from a foreign subsidiary in fiscal year 2008. In fiscal year 2007, the Company accrued federal income tax on approximately \$9.2 million of dividends distributed from a foreign subsidiary in fiscal year 2008. Federal income tax on dividends was accrued in a fiscal year prior to distribution when previously unremitted foreign earnings were no longer deemed to be indefinitely reinvested outside the U.S.

On June 25, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of SFAS No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (FIN 48). There was a \$0.2 million cumulative adjustment to retained earnings upon adoption of FIN 48 in fiscal year 2008.

In late July 2007, the Company began repatriating dividends of approximately \$9.2 million from its Brazilian manufacturing operation. Federal income tax on the dividends was accrued during fiscal year 2007 since the previously unrepatriated foreign earnings were no longer deemed to be indefinitely reinvested outside the U.S.

Polyester Operations

The following table sets forth the segment operating gain (loss) components for the polyester segment for fiscal year 2008 and fiscal year 2007. The table also sets forth the percent to net sales and the percentage increase or decrease over the prior year:

	Fiscal Year 2008 % to		Fiscal Yo	Fiscal Year 2007 % to	
		Net Sales (Amounts in	thousands, exce	Net Sales ept percentages)	% Inc. (Dec.)
Net sales	\$ 530,567	7 100.0	\$ 530,092	100.0	0.1
Cost of sales	494,209	93.1	499,290	94.2	(1.0)
Selling, general and administrative					
expenses	40,606	5 7.7	35,704	6.7	13.7
Restructuring charges (recovery)	3,818	0.7	(103)		
Write down of long-lived assets	2,780	0.5	6,930	1.3	(59.9)
Segment operating loss	\$ (10,846	(2.0)	\$ (11,729)	(2.2)	(7.5)

Fiscal year 2008 polyester net sales increased \$0.5 million, or 0.1% compared to fiscal year 2007. The Company s polyester segment sales volumes decreased approximately 8.9% while the weighted-average selling price increased approximately 9.0%.

Domestically, polyester sales volumes decreased 11.3% while average unit prices increased approximately 7.0%. The decline in domestic polyester sales volume was due to the market decline and decreases in POY sales resulting from the shutdown of the Company s Kinston operations, which was partially offset by increases in textured and twisted volumes resulting from the Dillon acquisition. The increase in domestic average sales price reflects changes in sales mix and price increases driven by higher material costs. Sales from the Company s Brazilian texturing operation, on a local currency basis, decreased 2.0% over fiscal year 2007. The Brazilian texturing operation predominately purchased all of its raw materials in U.S. dollars. The impact on net sales from this operation on a U.S. dollar basis as a result of the change in currency exchange rate was an increase of \$19.7 million in fiscal year 2008. The Company s international polyester pre-tax results of operations for the polyester segment s Brazilian location increased \$3.1 million in fiscal year 2008 over fiscal year 2007, or 53.9%.

Per unit conversion margins for the polyester segment improved 1.5% in fiscal year 2008, as compared to fiscal year 2007 primarily due to the impact of the change in currency exchange rate on the translation of the Company s

52

Brazilian operations. Domestic polyester per unit conversion margins were flat year over year, despite improvements in sales mix resulting from the shutdown of the Kinston facility, as increases in average sales prices were offset by increases in average raw material costs. In fiscal year 2008, the Company s business was negatively impacted by rising raw materials and other petrochemical driven costs. The impact of the surge in crude oil prices since the beginning of fiscal year 2008 created a spike in polyester and nylon raw material prices. Polyester polymer costs during June 2008 were 17% higher as compared to the same period last year.

Although consolidated polyester fiber costs increased as a percent of net sales to 56.4% in fiscal year 2008 from 53.1% in fiscal year 2007, fixed and variable manufacturing costs decreased as a percentage of consolidated polyester net sales to 35.2% in fiscal year 2008 from 39.4% in fiscal year 2007. Domestically, fixed and variable manufacturing expenses decreased 4.4% as a percentage of sales. Variable manufacturing expenses decreased in fiscal year 2008 as a result of lower utility costs, wage and fringe expenses, and other various expenses primarily due to the closure of the Kinston, North Carolina facility and the consolidation of the Dillon, South Carolina facility into other manufacturing operations. Fixed manufacturing expenses for the domestic polyester operations decreased in fiscal year 2008 primarily as a result of lower depreciation expense and the above mentioned plant closure and consolidation. As a result of the lower expenses described herein, gross profit on sales for the polyester operations increased \$5.6 million, or 18.0%, over fiscal year 2007, and gross margin (gross profit as a percentage of net sales) increased to 6.9% in fiscal year 2008 from 5.8% in fiscal year 2007.

SG&A expenses for the polyester segment increased \$4.9 million for fiscal year 2008 compared to fiscal year 2007. The percentage of SG&A costs allocated to each segment is determined at the beginning of every year based on specific cost drivers.

The polyester segment net sales, gross profit and SG&A expenses as a percentage of total consolidated amounts were 74.4%, 71.9% and 85.4% for fiscal year 2008 compared to 76.8%, 80.2% and 79.5% for fiscal year 2007, respectively.

Nylon Operations

The following table sets forth the segment operating profit (loss) components for the nylon segment for fiscal year 2008 and fiscal year 2007. The table also sets forth the percent to net sales and the percentage increase or decrease over the prior year:

	Fiscal Year 2008 % to			Fiscal Year 2007 % to		% Inc.	
			Net Sales (Amounts in	thou	ısands, exce	(Dec.)	
Net sales	\$	182,779	100.0	\$	160,216	100.0	14.1
Cost of sales		168,555	92.2		152,621	95.3	10.4
Selling, general and administrative							
expenses		6,966	3.8		9,182	5.7	(24.1)
Restructuring charges (recoveries)		209	0.1		(54)		
Write down of long-lived assets					8,601	5.4	
Segment operating profit (loss)	\$	7,049	3.9	\$	(10,134)	(6.4)	(169.6)

Fiscal year 2008 nylon net sales increased \$22.6 million, or 14.1% while the weighted-average selling price decreased 0.4% compared to fiscal year 2007. Net sales increased for fiscal year 2008 as a result of the 14.5% improvement in unit sales volumes due to changing consumer preferences and fashion trends for sheer hosiery and shape-wear products.

Gross profit for the nylon segment increased \$6.6 million, or 87.3% in fiscal year 2008 and gross margin (gross profit as a percentage of net sales) increased to 7.8% in fiscal year 2008 from 4.7% in fiscal year 2007. This was primarily attributable to improved sales volume and a decrease in per unit converting costs. Fiber costs increased as a percent of net sales to 62.2% in fiscal year 2008 from 60.3% in fiscal year 2007. Fixed and variable manufacturing costs decreased as a percentage of sales to 28.6% in fiscal year 2008 from 33.0% in fiscal year 2007. As discussed in the Polyester section above, the increases in crude oil prices during fiscal year 2008 have driven higher nylon raw material prices. Nylon polymer costs during June 2008 were 12% higher as compared to the same period last year.

53

Table of Contents

As a percentage of sales, fixed and variable manufacturing expenses decreased 3.5% in the Company s domestic nylon operations due to improved plant operating efficiencies reflective of higher volumes. Fixed manufacturing expenses decreased due to lower depreciation expense.

SG&A expenses for the nylon segment decreased \$2.2 million in fiscal year 2008. The percentage of SG&A costs allocated to each segment is determined at the beginning of every year based on specific cost drivers.

The nylon segment net sales, gross profit and SG&A expenses as a percentage of total consolidated amounts were 25.6%, 28.1% and 14.6% for fiscal year 2008 compared to 23.2%, 19.8% and 20.5% for fiscal year 2007, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity Assessment

The Company s primary capital requirements are for working capital, capital expenditures and service of indebtedness. Historically the Company has met its working capital and capital maintenance requirements from its operations. Asset acquisitions and joint venture investments have been financed by asset sales proceeds, cash reserves and borrowing under its financing agreements discussed below.

In addition to its normal operating cash and working capital requirements and service of its indebtedness, the Company will also require cash to fund capital expenditures and enable cost reductions through restructuring projects as follows:

Capital Expenditures. During fiscal year 2009, the Company spent \$15.3 million on capital expenditures compared to \$12.3 million in the prior year. The increased expenditures included \$3.5 million related to specific projects designed to enhance the Company s ability to produce PVA products. The Company estimates its fiscal year 2010 capital expenditures will be within a range of \$8 million to \$9 million. From time to time, the Company may have restricted cash from the sale of certain nonproductive assets reserved for domestic capital expenditures in accordance with its long-term borrowing agreements. As of June 28, 2009, the Company had no restricted cash funds that are required to be used for domestic capital expenditures. The Company s capital expenditures primarily relate to maintenance of existing assets and equipment and technology upgrades. Management continuously evaluates opportunities to further reduce production costs, and the Company may incur additional capital expenditures from time to time as it pursues new opportunities for further cost reductions.

Joint Venture Investments. During fiscal year 2009, the Company received \$3.7 million in dividend distributions from its joint ventures. Although historically over the past five years the Company has received distributions from certain of its joint ventures, there is no guarantee that it will continue to receive distributions in the future. The Company may from time to time increase its interest in its joint ventures, sell its interest in its joint ventures, invest in new joint ventures or transfer idle equipment to its joint ventures.

In December 2008, the Company renegotiated the proposed agreement to sell its interest in YUFI to YCFC for \$9.0 million and recorded an additional impairment charge of \$1.5 million, which included approximately \$0.5 million adjustment related to certain disputed accounts receivable and a \$1.0 million adjustment related to the fair value of its investment, as determined by the re-negotiated equity interest sales price. On March 30, 2009, the Company closed on the sale and received \$9 million in proceeds related to its investment in YUFI.

Investment. The Company s management decided that a fundamental change in its approach was required to maximize its earnings and growth opportunities in the Chinese market. Accordingly, the Company formed

UTSC, a wholly-owned subsidiary based in Suzhou, China, that is dedicated to the development, sales and service of PVA yarns. UTSC obtained its business license in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009, was capitalized during the third quarter of fiscal year 2009 with \$3.3 million of registered capital and became operational at the end of the third quarter of fiscal year 2009.

The Company is exploring options for placing manufacturing capabilities in Central America. At this point, all options are being explored, including joint venture opportunities as well as green-field scenarios, and the

54

total investment in the initial stages is expected to be \$10.0 million or less. The Company expects to begin executing its plans over the next three to six months.

As discussed below in Long-Term Debt , the Company s Amended Credit Agreement contains customary covenants for asset based loans which restrict future borrowings and capital spending. It includes a trailing twelve month fixed charge coverage ratio that restricts the Company s ability to invest in certain assets if the ratio becomes less than 1.0 to 1.0, after giving effect to such investment on a pro forma basis. As of June 28, 2009 the Company had a fixed charge coverage ratio of less than 1.0 to 1.0 and was therefore subjected to these restrictions. These restrictions will likely apply in future quarters until such time as the Company s financial performance improves.

Cash Provided by Continuing Operations

The following table summarizes the net cash provided by continuing operations for the fiscal years ended June 28, 2009, June 29, 2008 and June 24, 2007.

	т	20	Fiscal Years Ended				
	June 28, 2009		June 29, 2008 (Amounts in million		June 24, 2007 ons)		
Cash provided by continuing operations							
Cash Receipts:							
Receipts from customers	\$	572.6	\$	708.7	\$	691.8	
Dividends from unconsolidated affiliates		3.7		4.5		2.7	
Other receipts		2.7		6.5		4.3	
Cash Payments:							
Payments to suppliers and other operating cost		432.3		549.4		530.5	
Payments for salaries, wages, and benefits		99.9		117.2		130.3	
Payments for restructuring and severance		4.0		11.2		1.6	
Payments for interest		22.6		25.3		23.1	
Payments for taxes		3.2		2.9		2.7	
Cash provided by continuing operations	\$	17.0	\$	13.7	\$	10.6	

Cash received from customers decreased from \$708.7 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$572.6 million in fiscal year 2009 due to lower net sales related to the economic downturn which began in the second quarter of fiscal year 2009. Payments to suppliers and for other operating costs decreased from \$549.4 million in 2008 to \$432.3 million in fiscal year 2009 primarily as a result of the reduction in production as the Company focused on reducing its inventories to conform to lower consumer demand. Salary, wage and benefit payments decreased from \$117.2 million to \$99.9 million, also as a result of reduced production and asset consolidation efficiencies. Interest payments decreased from \$25.3 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$22.6 million in fiscal year 2009 primarily due to the reduction of outstanding 2014 bonds discussed below. Restructuring and severance payments were \$4.0 million for fiscal 2009 compared to \$11.2 million for fiscal year 2008 as a result of the completion of many of the Company s reorganization strategies. Taxes paid by the Company increased from \$2.9 million to \$3.2 million as a result of an increase in tax liabilities related to the Company s Brazilian subsidiary. The Company received cash dividends of \$3.7 million and \$4.5 million from PAL in fiscal years 2009 and 2008, respectively. Other receipts declined from \$6.5 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$2.7 million in fiscal year 2009 due to the one time sale of nitrogen credits in fiscal year 2008. Other

receipts include miscellaneous income items and interest income.

Cash received from customers increased from \$691.8 million in fiscal year 2007 to \$708.7 million in fiscal year 2008 primarily due to higher net sales which are primarily attributable to increases in nylon sales volumes. Payments to suppliers and for other operating costs increased from \$530.5 million in 2007 to \$549.4 million in 2008 primarily as a result of increased fiber costs. Salaries, wages and benefit payments decreased from \$130.3 million to \$117.2 million due to the Company s asset consolidations. Interest payments increased from \$23.1 million in fiscal year 2007 to \$25.3 million in fiscal year 2008 due to the higher outstanding debt. Restructuring and severance

55

Table of Contents

payments were \$1.6 million for fiscal year 2007 compared to \$11.2 million for fiscal year 2008. Taxes paid by the Company increased from \$2.7 million to \$2.9 million primarily due to the timing of tax payments made by its Brazilian subsidiary. The Company received cash dividends of \$2.7 million and \$4.5 million from PAL in fiscal years 2007 and 2008 respectively. Other cash receipts were derived from miscellaneous items and interest income.

Cash received from customers decreased from \$752.0 million in fiscal year 2006 to \$691.8 million in fiscal year 2007 primarily due to a decline in both polyester and nylon sales volumes. Payments to suppliers and for other operating costs decreased from \$570.1 million in 2006 to \$530.5 million in 2007 primarily as a result of decreased sales. Payments for salaries, wages and benefits remained flat when comparing fiscal year 2006 to fiscal year 2007. Interest payments increased from \$22.6 million in fiscal year 2006 to \$23.1 million in fiscal year 2007 primarily due to the higher interest rates on the revolver. Taxes paid by the Company decreased from \$3.2 million to \$2.7 million primarily due to the income generated from the Company s Brazilian subsidiary. The Company received cash dividends of \$2.7 million as a result of higher profits for PAL compared to fiscal year 2006. Other cash from operations was derived from miscellaneous items such as other income (expense), interest income and currency gains.

Working capital decreased from \$186.8 million at June 29, 2008 to \$175.8 million at June 28, 2009 due to decreases in inventory of \$33.2 million, accounts receivable of \$25.5 million, restricted cash of \$2.8 million, assets held for sale of \$2.8 million, and deferred income taxes of \$1.1 million, offset by decreases in accounts payables and accruals of \$27.3 million, increases in cash of \$22.4 million, increases in other current assets of \$1.8 million, and decreases in current maturities of long-term debt of \$2.9 million.

Cash provided by continuing operations increased from \$13.7 million in fiscal year 2008 to \$17.0 million in fiscal year 2009 primarily due to reductions in working capital. The Company is expecting cash from operations to continue to improve in fiscal year 2010 but on a declining basis. The positive effect of the decrease in working capital on cash flows from continuing operations for fiscal year 2009 is not sustainable. However, while sales are expected to remain flat, gross margins are expected to improve due to reduced manufacturing costs and improved sales mix resulting in an overall increase in projected cash generated from operations.

Cash Used in Investing Activities and Financing Activities

The Company provided \$25.3 million for net investing activities and utilized \$16.8 million in net financing activities during fiscal year 2009. The primary cash expenditures during fiscal year 2009 included \$20.3 million net for payments of debt, \$15.3 million for capital expenditures, \$0.5 million of acquisitions, \$0.3 million for other financing activities, and \$0.2 million of split dollar life insurance premiums, offset by transfers of \$25.3 million in restricted cash, \$9.0 million from proceeds from the sale of equity affiliate, \$7.0 million from the proceeds from the sale of capital assets, and \$3.8 million from exercise of stock options. Related to the sales of capital assets, the Company sold one property totaling 380,000 square feet at an average selling price of \$18.45 per square foot.

The Company utilized \$1.6 million for net investing activities and utilized \$35.0 million in net financing activities during fiscal year 2008. The primary cash expenditures during fiscal year 2008 included \$34.3 million net for payments of the credit line revolver, \$14.2 million for restricted cash, \$12.8 million for capital expenditures, \$1.1 million of acquisitions, \$1.1 million for other financing activities, \$0.2 million of split dollar life insurance premiums and \$0.1 million of other investing activities offset by \$17.8 million from the proceeds from the sale of capital assets, \$8.7 million from proceeds from the sale of equity affiliate, \$0.4 million from exercise of stock options, and \$0.3 million from collection of notes receivable. Related to the sales of capital assets, the Company sold several properties totaling 2.7 million square feet with an average selling price of \$9.81 per square foot adjusted down for partial sales and nonproductive assets.

The Company utilized \$43.5 million for net investing activities and provided \$35.9 million in net financing activities during fiscal year 2007. The primary cash expenditures during fiscal year 2007 included \$97.0 million for payment of the credit line revolver, \$42.2 million for the Dillon asset acquisition, \$7.8 million for capital expenditures, \$4.0 million for restricted cash, \$0.9 million for additional acquisition related expenses, \$0.6 million for the payment of sale leaseback obligations, \$0.5 million for issuance and debt refinancing costs, and \$0.2 million of split dollar life insurance premiums, offset by \$133.0 million in proceeds from borrowings on the credit line revolver, \$5.0 million from proceeds from the sale of capital assets, \$3.6 million from return of capital from equity

56

Table of Contents

affiliates, \$1.8 million from split dollar life insurance surrender proceeds, \$1.3 million from collection of notes receivable, and \$0.9 million, net of other investing activities. Related to the sales of capital assets, the Company sold real property totaling 0.6 million square feet for an average selling price of \$7.78 per square foot.

The Company s ability to meet its debt service obligations and reduce its total debt will depend upon its ability to generate cash in the future which, in turn, will be subject to general economic, financial, business, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other conditions, many of which are beyond its control. The Company may not be able to generate sufficient cash flow from operations and future borrowings may not be available to the Company under its Amended Credit Agreement in an amount sufficient to enable it to repay its debt or to fund its other liquidity needs. If its future cash flow from operations and other capital resources are insufficient to pay its obligations as they mature or to fund its liquidity needs, the Company may be forced to reduce or delay its business activities and capital expenditures, sell assets, obtain additional debt or equity capital or restructure or refinance all or a portion of its debt on or before maturity. The Company may not be able to accomplish any of these alternatives on a timely basis or on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, the terms of its existing and future indebtedness, including the 2014 notes and its Amended Credit Agreement, may limit its ability to pursue any of these alternatives. See Item 1A Risk Factors The Company will require a significant amount of cash to service its indebtedness, and its ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond its control. Some risks that could adversely affect its ability to meet its debt service obligations include, but are not limited to, intense domestic and foreign competition in its industry, general domestic and international economic conditions, changes in currency exchange rates, interest and inflation rates, the financial condition or its customers and the operating performance of joint ventures, alliances and other equity investments.

Other Factors Affecting Liquidity

Asset Sales. Under the terms of the Company s debt agreements, the sale or other disposition of any assets or rights as well as the issuance or sale of equity interests in the Company s subsidiaries is considered an asset sale (Asset Sale) subject to various exceptions. The Company has granted liens to its lenders on substantially all of its domestic operating assets (Collateral) and its foreign investments. Further, the debt agreements place restrictions on the Company s ability to dispose of certain assets which do not qualify as Collateral (Non-Collateral). Pursuant to the debt agreements, the Company is restricted from selling or otherwise disposing of either its Collateral or its Non-Collateral, subject to certain exceptions, such as ordinary course of business inventory sales and sales of assets having a fair market value of less than \$2.0 million.

As of June 28, 2009, the Company has \$1.4 million of assets held for sale, which the Company believes are probable to be sold during fiscal year 2010. Included in assets held for sale are the remaining assets at the Kinston site with a carrying value of \$1.4 million that would be considered an Asset Sale of Collateral. However, there can be no assurances that a sale will occur.

The Indenture with respect to the 2014 notes dated May 26, 2006 between the Company and its subsidiary guarantors and U.S. Bank, National Association, as the trustee (the Indenture) governs the sale of both Collateral and Non-Collateral and the use of sales proceeds. The Company may not sell Collateral unless it satisfies four requirements. They are:

- 1. The Company must receive fair market value for the Collateral sold or disposed of;
- 2. Fair market value must be certified by the Company s CEO or CFO and for sales of Collateral in excess of \$5.0 million, by the Company s Board;
- 3. At least 75% of the consideration for the sale of the Collateral must be in the form of cash or cash equivalents and 100% of the proceeds must be deposited by the Company into a specified account designated under the Indenture (the

Collateral Account); and

4. Any remaining consideration from an asset sale that is not cash or cash equivalents must be pledged as Collateral.

Within 360 days after the deposit of proceeds from the sale of Collateral into the Collateral Account, the Company may invest the proceeds in certain other assets, such as capital expenditures or certain permitted capital

57

Table of Contents

investments (Other Assets). Any proceeds from the sale of Collateral that are not applied or invested as set forth above, shall constitute excess collateral proceeds (Excess Collateral Proceeds).

Once Excess Collateral Proceeds from sales of Collateral exceed \$10.0 million, the Company must make an offer, no later than 365 days after such sale of Collateral to all holders of the Company s 2014 notes to repurchase such 2014 notes at par (Collateral Sale Offer). The Collateral Sale Offer must be made to all holders to purchase 2014 notes to the extent of the Excess Collateral Proceeds. Any Excess Collateral Proceeds remaining after the completion of a Collateral Sale Offer, may be used by the Company for any purpose not prohibited by the Indenture. On April 3, 2009 the Company used \$8.8 million of Excess Collateral Proceeds to repurchase \$8.8 million of 2014 notes at par. As of June 28, 2009, there were no funds remaining in the Collateral Account and no such amount shown as restricted cash on the balance sheet.

The Indenture also governs sales of Non-Collateral. The Company may not sell Non-Collateral unless it satisfies three specific requirements. They are:

- 1. The Company must receive fair market value for the Non-Collateral sold or disposed of;
- 2. Fair market value must be certified by the Company s Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer and for asset sales in excess of \$5.0 million, by the Company s Board of Directors; and,
- 3. At least 75% of the consideration for the sale of Non-Collateral must be in the form of cash or cash equivalents.

The Indenture does not require the proceeds to be deposited by the Company into the applicable Collateral Account, since the assets sold were not Collateral under the terms of the Indenture.

Within 360 days after receipt of the proceeds from a sale of Non-Collateral, the Company may utilize the proceeds in one of the following ways: 1) repay, repurchase or otherwise retire the 2014 notes; 2) repay, repurchase or otherwise retire other indebtedness of the Company that is *pari passu* with the notes, on a pro rata basis; 3) repay indebtedness of certain subsidiaries identified in the Indenture, none of which are a Guarantor; or 4) acquire or invest in other assets. Any net proceeds from a sale of Non-Collateral that are not applied or invested with the 360 day period shall constitute excess proceeds (Excess Proceeds).

Once Excess Proceeds from sales of Non-Collateral exceed \$10.0 million, the Company must make an offer, no later than 365 days after such sale of Non-Collateral to all holders of the 2014 notes and holders of other indebtedness that is *pari passu* with the 2014 notes to purchase or redeem the maximum amount of 2014 notes and/or other *pari passu* indebtedness that may be purchased out of the Excess Proceeds (Asset Sale Offer). The purchase price of such an Asset Sale Offer must be equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 2014 notes and such other indebtedness. Any Excess Proceeds remaining after completion of the Asset Sale Offer may be used by the Company for any purpose not prohibited by the Indenture. As of June 28, 2009, the Company had \$2.3 million of Excess Proceeds.

On March 20, 2008, the Company completed the sale of assets located at Kinston. The Company retains certain rights to sell idle assets for a period of two years. If after the two year period the assets have not sold, the Company will convey them to the buyer for no value. As of June 28, 2009, the Company expects a sale to be consummated prior to March 2010 therefore the \$1.4 million carrying value of these assets are accounted for as assets held for sale. Should such sale be completed, the proceeds would be considered a sale of Collateral under the terms of the Indenture.

In the first quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company entered into an agreement to sell a 380,000 square foot facility in Yadkinville for \$7.0 million and such sale was a sale of Non-Collateral assets. On December 19, 2008, the Company completed the sale which resulted in net proceeds of \$6.6 million and a net pre-tax gain of \$5.2 million in the second

quarter of fiscal year 2009. The proceeds were utilized to repay outstanding borrowings under the Company s Amended Credit Agreement in accordance with the Indenture.

In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2009, the Company completed its sale of its equity interest in YUFI and received proceeds of \$9.0 million. In accordance with the Indenture, the sale of the YUFI equity interest was an

58

Table of Contents

exception to the definition of an Asset Sale and therefore the use restrictions applicable to the proceeds of Asset Sales do not apply.

Note Repurchases from Sources Other than Sales of Collateral and Non-Collateral. In addition to the offers to repurchase notes set forth above, the Company may also, from time to time, seek to retire or purchase its outstanding debt, in open market purchases, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such retirement or purchase of debt may come from the operating cash flows of the business or other sources and will depend upon prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors, and the amounts involved may be material.

The preceding description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Indenture and the 2014 notes which are listed on the Exhibit Index of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Stock Repurchase Program. Effective July 26, 2000, the Board increased the remaining authorization to repurchase up to 10.0 million shares of its common stock. The Company purchased 1.4 million shares in fiscal year 2001 for a total of \$16.6 million. There were no significant stock repurchases in fiscal year 2002. Effective April 24, 2003, the Board re-instituted the stock repurchase program. Accordingly, the Company purchased 0.5 million shares in fiscal year 2003 and 1.3 million shares in fiscal year 2004. As of June 28, 2009, the Company had remaining authority to repurchase approximately 6.8 million shares of its common stock under the repurchase plan. The repurchase program was suspended in November 2003, and the Company has no immediate plans to reinstitute the program.

Environmental Liabilities. The land for the Kinston site was leased pursuant to a 99 year Ground Lease with DuPont. Since 1993, DuPont has been investigating and cleaning up the Kinston site under the supervision of the EPA and DENR pursuant to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Corrective Action program. The Corrective Action program requires DuPont to identify all potential AOCs, assess the extent of contamination at the identified AOCs and clean them up to comply with applicable regulatory standards. Effective March 20, 2008, the Company entered into a Lease Termination Agreement associated with conveyance of certain of the assets at Kinston to DuPont. This agreement terminated the Ground Lease and relieved the Company of any future responsibility for environmental remediation, other than participation with DuPont, if so called upon, with regard to the Company s period of operation of the Kinston site. However, the Company continues to own a satellite service facility acquired in the INVISTA transaction that has contamination from DuPont s operations and is monitored by DENR. This site has been remediated by DuPont and DuPont has received authority from DENR to discontinue remediation, other than natural attenuation. DuPont s duty to monitor and report to DENR with respect to this site will be transferred to the Company in the future, at which time DuPont must pay the Company seven years of monitoring and reporting costs and the Company will assume responsibility for any future remediation and monitoring of this site. At this time, the Company has no basis to determine if and when it will have any responsibility or obligation with respect to the AOCs or the extent of any potential liability for the same.

Long-Term Debt

On May 26, 2006, the Company issued \$190 million of 11.5% 2014 notes due May 15, 2014. In connection with the issuance, the Company incurred \$7.3 million in professional fees and other expenses which are being amortized to expense over the life of the 2014 notes. Interest is payable on the 2014 notes on May 15 and November 15 of each year. The 2014 notes are unconditionally guaranteed on a senior, secured basis by each of the Company s existing and future restricted domestic subsidiaries. The 2014 notes and guarantees are secured by first-priority liens, subject to permitted liens, on substantially all of the Company s and the Company s subsidiary guarantors assets other than the assets securing the Company s obligations under its Amended Credit Agreement as discussed below. The assets include but are not limited to, property, plant and equipment, domestic capital stock and some foreign capital stock. Domestic capital stock includes the capital stock of the Company s domestic subsidiaries and certain of its joint

ventures. Foreign capital stock includes up to 65% of the voting stock of the Company s first-tier foreign subsidiaries, whether now owned or hereafter acquired, except for certain excluded assets. The 2014 notes and guarantees are secured by second-priority liens, subject to permitted liens, on the Company and its subsidiary guarantors assets that will secure the 2014 notes and guarantees on a first-priority basis. The estimated fair value of the 2014 notes, based on quoted market prices, at June 28, 2009 was approximately \$112.9 million.

59

Table of Contents

Through fiscal year 2009, the Company sold property, plant and equipment secured by first-priority liens in aggregate amount of \$25.0 million. In accordance with the 2014 notes collateral documents and the Indenture, the proceeds from the sale of the property, plant and equipment (First Priority Collateral) were deposited into the First Priority Collateral Account whereby the Company may use the restricted funds to purchase additional qualifying assets. Through fiscal year 2009, the Company had utilized \$16.2 million to repurchase qualifying assets. On April 3, 2009, the Company used the remaining \$8.8 million of First Priority Collateral restricted funds to repurchase \$8.8 million of the 2014 notes at par. As of June 28, 2009, the Company had no funds remaining in the First Priority Collateral Account.

Prior to May 15, 2009, the Company could elect to redeem up to 35% of the principal amount of the 2014 notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price equal to 111.5% of par value, otherwise the Company cannot redeem the 2014 notes prior to May 15, 2010. After May 15, 2010, the Company can elect to redeem some or all of the 2014 notes at redemption prices equal to or in excess of par depending on the year the optional redemption occurs. As of June 28, 2009 no such optional redemptions had occurred. The Company may purchase its 2014 notes, in open market purchases or in privately negotiated transactions and then retire them. Such purchases of the 2014 notes will depend on prevailing market conditions, liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. In addition, the Company repurchased and retired notes having a face value of \$2.0 million in open market purchases. The net effect of the gain on this repurchase and the write-off of the respective unamortized issuance cost related to the \$8.8 million and \$2.0 million of 2014 notes resulted in a net gain of \$0.3 million.

Concurrently with the issuance of the 2014 notes, the Company amended its senior secured asset-based revolving credit facility to provide for a \$100 million revolving borrowing base to extend its maturity to 2011, and revise some of its other terms and covenants. The Amended Credit Agreement is secured by first-priority liens on the Company s and its subsidiary guarantors inventory, accounts receivable, general intangibles (other than uncertificated capital stock of subsidiaries and other persons), investment property (other than capital stock of subsidiaries and other persons), chattel paper, documents, instruments, supporting obligations, letter of credit rights, deposit accounts and other related personal property and all proceeds relating to any of the above, and by second-priority liens, subject to permitted liens, on the Company s and its subsidiary guarantors assets securing the 2014 notes and guarantees on a first-priority basis, in each case other than certain excluded assets. The Company s ability to borrow under the Company s Amended Credit Agreement is limited to a borrowing base equal to specified percentages of eligible accounts receivable and inventory and is subject to other conditions and limitations.

Borrowings under the Amended Credit Agreement bear interest at rates of LIBOR plus 1.50% to 2.25% and/or prime plus 0.00%