MOVE INC Form 10-Q November 05, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-O

DESCRIPTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

or

	o	TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT	TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
		EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
٠.	41	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission File Number 000-26659

Move, Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware 95-4438337

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) (I.R.S. Employer Incorporation or Organization)

910 East Hamilton Avenue Campbell, California

95008

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(Zip Code)

(805) 557-2300

(Registrant s Telephone Number, including Area Code)

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, If Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer b Non-Accelerated Filer o Smaller reporting (Do not check if a smaller reporting company o reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No b

At November 2, 2010, the registrant had 158,383,347 shares of its common stock outstanding.

INDEX

	PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at September 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December	3
	<u>31, 2009</u>	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Nine Months ended	4
	September 30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months ended September	5
	30, 2010 and 2009 (unaudited)	
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	22
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	22
	PART II OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	22
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	22
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	23
Item 3.	<u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	23
<u>Item 4.</u>	[Removed and Reserved]	23
<u>Item 5.</u>	Other Information	23
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	23
SIGNATURES		24
EX-10.74 EX-31.1		
EX-31.2		
EX-32.1		
EX-32.2		

Move[®], REALTOR.com[®], Top Producer[®], and Moving.comTM are our trademarks or are exclusively licensed to us. This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains trademarks of other companies and organizations. REALTOR[®] is a registered collective membership mark that may be used only by real estate professionals who are members of the National Association of REALTORS[®] and subscribe to its code of ethics.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

MOVE, INC.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

		30, 2010 (naudited)		cember 31, 2009
ASSETS		(In the	ousand	is)
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	155,621	\$	106,847
Accounts receivable, net		9,814		10,782
Other current assets		9,856		12,101
Total current assets		175,291		129,730
Property and equipment, net		21,424		21,139
Long-term investments				111,800
Investment in unconsolidated joint venture		7,290		6,649
Goodwill, net		24,581		16,969
Intangible assets, net		8,672		3,460
Other assets		1,288		1,548
Total assets	\$	238,546	\$	291,295
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQ	UIT	Y		
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	4,352	\$	5,545
Accrued expenses		18,964		18,335
Deferred revenue		15,581		15,951
Line of credit				64,630
Total current liabilities		38,897		104,461
Other non-current liabilities		3,527		1,096
Total liabilities		42,424		105,557
Commitments and contingencies (see note 15)				
Series B convertible preferred stock		115,565		111,541
Stockholders equity: Series A convertible preferred stock				

Common stock Additional paid-in capital Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) Accumulated deficit	158 2,122,745 374 (2,042,720)	156 2,112,613 (17,116) (2,021,456)
Total stockholders equity	80,557	74,197
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 238,546	\$ 291,295

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

MOVE, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

09		
t per share amounts)		
2,371		
,465		
,906		
,936		
),458		
,227		
366		
975		
,192)		
2,770		
⁷ ,864)		
728		
0		
,741		
5,395)		
227		
221		
5,622)		
(445)		
2,303		
3,764)		
3,920)		
,684)		
(0.06)		
),99,40),40),40),7		

Edgar Filing: MOVE INC - Form 10-Q

Discontinued operations				(0.00)				0.01
Basic net income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders	\$	0.00	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.05)
Diluted income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders: (see note 10) Continuing operations Discontinued operations	\$	0.00	\$	(0.00) (0.00)	\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.06) 0.01
Diluted net income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders	\$	0.00	\$	(0.00)	\$	(0.14)	\$	(0.05)
Shares used to calculate basic and diluted net income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders: (see note 10) Basic	15	55,711	1	53,344	1	54,957	1	53,139
Diluted	15	59,527	1	53,344	1	54,957	1	53,139

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

MOVE, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

Nine Months Ended

	September 30, 2010 2009 (In thousands) (Unaudited)				
Cash flows from operating activities:	¢ (17.240)	Φ (2.764)			
Net loss	\$ (17,240)	\$ (3,764)			
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by continuing operating					
activities: Loss from discontinued operations		445			
Gain on disposition of discontinued operations		(2,303)			
Depreciation	7,637	7,853			
Amortization of intangible assets	348	366			
Provision for doubtful accounts	(84)	1,024			
Gain on sale of assets	(-)	(1,308)			
Impairment of auction rate securities	19,559				
Stock-based compensation and charges	5,765	15,647			
Earnings of unconsolidated joint venture	(641)				
Change in market value of embedded derivative liability		(536)			
Other non-cash items	(153)	(83)			
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions and discontinued operations:					
Accounts receivable	1,346	130			
Other assets	1,059	(998)			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	675	155			
Deferred revenue	(602)	(6,433)			
Not each analided by continuing analytics activities	17.660	10 105			
Net cash provided by continuing operating activities	17,669	10,195			
Net cash used in discontinued operating activities		(1,843)			
Net cash provided by operating activities	17,669	8,352			
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchases of property and equipment	(7,838)	(7,711)			
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(12,371)	(,,,)			
Proceeds from the sale of auction rate securities	109,841				
Principal payments on notes receivable	1,000				
Proceeds from sale of assets		1,355			
Proceeds from the sale of marketable equity securities	14				
	00.646	(6.250)			
Net cash provided by (used in) continuing investing activities	90,646	(6,356)			
Net cash provided by discontinued investing activities		1,739			
Table of Contents		0			

Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	90,646	(4,617)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	4,467	1,859
Restricted cash	462	2,747
Gross proceeds from line of credit	64,700	
Gross principal payments on line of credit	(129,330)	
Proceeds from loan payable	316	
Principal payments on loan payable	(58)	
Tax payment related to net share settlements of restricted stock awards Payments on capital lease obligations	(98)	(1,064) (339)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(59,541)	3,203
Change in cash and cash equivalents	48,774	6,938
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	106,847	108,935
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 155,621	\$ 115,873

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

Table of Contents

MOVE, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Business

Move, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company) operate an online network of web sites for real estate search, finance, moving and home enthusiasts and provide a valuable resource for consumers seeking the online information and connections they need regarding real estate. The Company s flagship consumer web sites are Move.com, REALTOR.com® and Moving.com. The Company also provides lead management software for real estate agents through its Top Producer® business.

2. Principles of Consolidation and Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements are consolidated and include the financial statements of Move, Inc. and its majority-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The Company has evaluated all subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued.

Investments in private entities where the Company holds no more than a 50% ownership interest and does not exercise control are accounted for using the equity method of accounting and the investment balance is included in investment in unconsolidated joint venture, while the Company s share of the investees results of operations is included in earnings of unconsolidated joint venture.

The Company s unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), including those for interim financial information, and with the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. These statements are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which was filed with the SEC on March 5, 2010. The results of operations for these interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for a full year.

3. Acquisitions and Disposals

On September 20, 2010, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Threewide Corporation (Threewide) for approximately \$13.1 million in cash. Threewide is the operator of ListHub, an online real estate listing syndicator and provider of advanced performance reporting solutions for brokers, real estate franchises, multiple listing services and real estate web sites. The total purchase price has been allocated to the assets acquired, including intangible assets, and liabilities assumed, based on their respective fair values. The \$13.1 million purchase price was allocated \$0.6 million to net tangible assets (which included \$0.7 million of cash acquired), \$5.1 million to intangible assets with estimated useful lives of five years, \$0.5 million to indefinite lived trade name and trademarks, and the remaining \$6.9 million was allocated to goodwill. In connection with the purchase accounting, the Company recorded a net deferred tax liability of \$0.2 million associated with the indefinite lived intangible and an income tax benefit of \$0.5 million (see Note 13), resulting in additional goodwill of \$0.7 million being recorded. At September 30, 2010, the Company had goodwill of \$7.6 million and net intangible assets of \$5.5 million associated with the Threewide acquisition. The financial results of Threewide are included in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements from the date of acquisition. Pro forma financial information for this acquisition has not been presented because the effects were not material to the Company s historical consolidated financial statements.

In the second quarter of 2008, the Company decided to divest its Welcome Wagon business. On June 22, 2009, the Company closed the sale of the business for a sales price of \$2.0 million. The Company received \$1.0 million in cash and a \$1.0 million promissory note. The principal balance of the note, which was originally due on or before October 1, 2010, was paid in full in July 2010. The outstanding principal bore an interest rate of 7% per annum, with quarterly interest payments due commencing on October 1, 2009. The transaction resulted in a gain on disposition of

discontinued operations of \$1.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

As part of the sale in 2002 of the Company s ConsumerInfo division to Experian Holding, Inc. (Experian), \$10.0 million of the purchase price was put in escrow to secure the Company s indemnification obligations (the Indemnity Escrow). Under the terms of the stock purchase agreement, the Company s maximum potential liability for claims by Experian was capped at \$29.3 million less the balance in the Indemnity Escrow, which amount was approximately \$8.5 million on March 31,

6

2009. During 2008, Experian demanded \$29.3 million in indemnity payments. The Company denied liability and a bifurcated arbitration proceeding ensued to resolve the dispute. Subsequent to the completion of the first phase of the arbitration proceedings, on April 20, 2009, the parties settled the dispute and entered into a full release of all claims under which Experian received \$7.4 million from the Indemnity Escrow and the Company received the balance of the escrow of \$1.1 million, which is included in gain on disposition of discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Pursuant to ASC 205-20-45 Discontinued Operations , the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements for all periods presented reflects the reclassification of its Welcome Wagon business as discontinued operations. Accordingly, the revenue, costs and expenses, and cash flows of this business have been excluded from the respective captions in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and have been reported as Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes of zero; and as Net cash used in discontinued operating activities. Total revenue and income (loss) from discontinued operations are reflected below (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2010		2009
Revenue Total operating expenses Restructuring charges	\$	\$ 196	\$	\$	9,609 9,009 1,045
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	\$ (196)	\$	\$	(445)
Gain on disposition of discontinued operations	\$	\$	\$	\$	2,303

In the first quarter of 2009, the Company incurred a restructuring charge from discontinued operations of \$1.1 million associated with lease termination charges and employee termination costs. There are no additional amounts to be paid as part of the restructuring charge as of September 30, 2010.

In July 2009, the Company sold certain product lines associated with its Enterprise business for a sale price of approximately \$1.4 million in cash. The transaction resulted in a gain on sale of assets of \$1.3 million which is reflected in other income, net in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

4. Restructuring Charges

In the third and fourth quarters of 2008, the Company s Board of Directors approved restructuring and integration plans with the objective of eliminating duplicate resources and redundancies and implementing a new operating structure to lower total operating expenses. As a result of these plans, the Company incurred a restructuring charge from continuing operations of \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Included in this charge were lease obligations and related charges of \$3.0 million for the consolidation of the Company s operations in Westlake Village, California and the vacancy of a portion of the leased facility. On September 3, 2009, the Company entered into a new lease agreement for its Westlake Village facility. Under the terms of the lease, the Company was leasing only a portion of the facility but was continuing to occupy its current space in that facility until construction was completed on the new space. The Company s obligations under the old lease were terminated and, as a result, the remaining restructuring reserve was reversed, resulting in a \$1.2 million credit to restructuring charges for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009.

5. Long-term Investments

The following table summarizes the Company s long-term investments (in thousands):

September 30, 2010 December 31, 2009

Edgar Filing: MOVE INC - Form 10-Q

	Net					
	Adjusted Cost	Realized Loss	Carrying Value	Adjusted Cost	Unrealized Loss	Carrying Value
Long-term investments Corporate auction rate						
securities	\$	\$	\$	\$ 129,400	\$ (17,600)	\$ 111,800
Total long-term investments	\$	\$	\$	\$ 129,400	\$ (17,600)	\$ 111,800

The Company s long-term investments as of December 31, 2009 consisted of high-grade (primarily AAA rated) student loan auction rate securities issued by student loan funding organizations, which loans are 97% guaranteed under FFELP (Federal Family Education Loan Program). These auction rate securities (ARS) were intended to provide liquidity via an auction process that reset the interest rate, generally every 28 days, allowing investors to either roll over their holdings or sell them at par. In February 2008, auctions for the Company s investments in these securities failed to settle on their respective settlement dates. Consequently, the investments were not liquid and the Company was not going to be able to access these funds until a future auction of these investments was successful, the securities matured or a buyer was found outside of the auction process. Maturity dates for these ARS investments ranged from years 2030 to 2047 with principal distributions

7

occurring on certain securities prior to maturity. As of December 31, 2009, the Company classified \$111.8 million of the ARS investment balance as Long-term Investments because of the Company s inability to determine when these investments would become liquid.

As of December 31, 2009, the Company had recorded a temporary loss related to the ARS of \$17.6 million that was included in Other Comprehensive Income on the Company s Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. At a board meeting on March 24, 2010, the Board of Directors and Management discussed the recent passage of the Health Care Reform Bill that contained a provision eliminating FFELP, a significant change in student loan funding. In management s opinion, this change, along with other market factors, created additional uncertainty in the student loan auction rate securities market. As a result, the Board of Directors and Management changed its intent, which had been to hold these securities, and decided to sell the entire portfolio of ARS and, thereafter, the Company began to actively market the sale to third parties. The Company reviews its potential investment impairments in accordance with ASC 320 Investment Debt and Equity Securities and the related guidance issued by the FASB and SEC in order to determine the classification of the impairment as temporary or other-than-temporary. A temporary impairment charge results in an unrealized loss being recorded in the other comprehensive income (loss) component of stockholder s equity. An other-than-temporary impairment charge is recorded as a realized loss in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and reduces net income (loss) for the applicable accounting period. The differentiating factors between temporary and other-than-temporary impairment are primarily the length of the time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the ability and intent of the holder to hold the investment until maturity or its value recovers. Prior to March 24, 2010, the Company had not intended to sell, nor was it not more likely than not that the Company would be required to sell before the recovery of its amortized cost basis and, as such, the loss was considered temporary. On March 24, 2010, as indicated above, the Company changed its intent to hold the ARS and, therefore, the impairment was reclassified to an other-than-temporary loss.

In April 2010, the Company completed a sale of the entire portfolio of ARS for \$109.8 million (par value of \$129.4 million) to a broker in a secondary market. As a result of the sale, an other-than-temporary loss of \$19.6 million was recorded as Impairment of Auction Rate Securities in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The transaction costs of approximately \$1.0 million associated with this transaction were recorded as other expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

6. Fair Value Measurements

Financial assets and liabilities included in the Company s financial statements and measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are classified based on the fair value hierarchy in the table below (in thousands):

Foir Volue Messurement

	rair value Measurement								
		September 30, 2010				December 31, 2009			
			Level	Level			Level		
	Total	Level 1	2	3	Total	Level 1	2	Level 3	
Description: Assets: Cash and cash equivalents (1) Long-term	\$ 155,621	\$ 155,621	\$	\$	\$ 106,847	\$ 106,847	\$	\$	
investments (2)					111,800			111,800	
Total assets at fair value	\$ 155,621	\$ 155,621	\$	\$	\$ 218,647	\$ 106,847	\$	\$ 111,800	

- (1) Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of treasury bills with original maturity dates of three months or less and money market funds for which the Company determines fair value through quoted market prices.
- (2) Long-term investments consisted of student loan, FFELP-backed, ARS issued by student loan funding organizations. Prior to March 31, 2010, the Company used a discounted cash flow model to determine the estimated fair value of its investment in ARS. The assumptions used in preparing the discounted cash flow model included estimates for interest rates, timing and amount of cash flows and the Company s expected holding period of the ARS. As discussed in Note 5, the Company completed the sale of its entire portfolio of ARS in April 2010.

 The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the major class of assets and

The following table provides a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances for the major class of assets and liabilities measured at fair value using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (in thousands):

8

	Long-term
	Investments
Balance at January 1, 2010	\$ 111,800
Transfers out of Level 3	(109,841)
Total losses included in earnings	(19,559)
Total gains included in other comprehensive income	17,600
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis. That is, the assets and liabilities are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis, but are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances (e.g. when there is evidence of impairment). At September 30, 2010, the Company had no significant non-financial assets or liabilities that had been adjusted to fair value subsequent to initial recognition.

7. Revolving Line of Credit

The Company had a revolving line of credit with a major financial institution, providing for borrowings of up to \$64.7 million. The line of credit was fully paid in April 2010 and expired in May 2010.

8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill increased \$7.6 million from \$17.0 million as of December 31, 2009 to \$24.6 million as of September 30, 2010 due to the acquisition of Threewide as described in Note 3.

The Company has both indefinite-lived and definite-lived intangibles. Indefinite-lived intangibles consist of \$2.5 million of trade names and trademarks. Definite-lived intangible assets consist of certain trade names, trademarks, brand names, content syndication agreements, purchased technology, customer contracts and related customer relationships, non-contractual customer relationships, and other miscellaneous agreements. The definite-lived intangibles are amortized over the expected period of benefit. There are no expected residual values related to these intangible assets. Intangible assets, by category, are as follows (in thousands):

	September 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Gross Amount		ımulated rtization	Gross Amount		ımulated rtization
Trade names, trademarks, brand names, and domain						
names	\$ 3,060	\$	517	\$ 2,530	\$	516
Content syndication agreements	3,800		21			
Purchased technology	1,400		917	1,400		767
National Association of Realtors (NAR) operating						
agreement	1,578		1,315	1,578		1,202
Other	2,680		1,076	1,450		1,013
Total	\$ 12,518	\$	3,846	\$ 6,958	\$	3,498

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million for each of the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Amortization expense for the next five years is estimated to be as follows (in thousands):

Years Ended December 31,	Amount
2010 (remaining 3 months)	\$ 355
2011	1,421
2012	1,346

2013 2014 1,104 1,072

9. Stock-Based Compensation and Charges

The Company accounts for stock issued to non-employees in accordance with the provisions of ASC 505-50 Equity-Based Payments to Non-Employees (formerly SFAS No. 123 and EITF No. 96-18).

The Company grants restricted stock awards to non-employee members of its Board of Directors as compensation (except any director who is entitled to a seat on the Board of Directors on a contractual basis). These shares, subject to certain terms

9

Table of Contents

and restrictions, will vest on the third anniversary of their issuance and the costs are being recognized over their respective vesting periods. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company granted 139,098 shares of restricted stock to the non-employee members of its Board of Directors. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company granted 60,000 shares of restricted stock to the members of the ad hoc Executive Committee of its Board of Directors. Half of these shares vested on the grant date and half of the shares vested one year from the grant date. Additionally, during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company granted 175,420 shares of restricted stock to all non-employee members of its Board of Directors. There were 432,105 and 453,713 unvested shares of restricted stock issued to members of the Company s Board of Directors as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total cost recognized was \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, and \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and is included in stock-based compensation and charges. *Restricted Stock*

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company issued 1,800,000 shares of restricted stock to its new Chief Executive Officer (CEO) as part of his employment agreement with the Company. These shares had a grant date fair value of \$2.7 million, 700,000 of which shares vested immediately, 500,000 of which shares vested one year from the grant date and 600,000 of which shares vest two years from the grant date, subject to certain terms and restrictions. The fair value of the 700,000 immediately vested shares was recognized as stock-based compensation immediately, with the fair value of the remaining shares being amortized over their respective vesting periods. During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the CEO returned 700,000 shares of common stock, with a fair value of \$1.1 million, to reimburse the Company for his share of income tax withholdings due as a result of this transaction. The \$1.1 million payment to the relevant taxing authorities is reflected as a financing activity within the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Total cost recognized during the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$0.1 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and is included in stock-based compensation and charges.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company issued 350,000 shares of restricted stock to two other executives newly hired by the Company, as part of their employment agreements with the Company. These shares had an aggregate grant date fair value of \$0.9 million. These shares vest in three annual installments over the three year period following their respective grant dates, subject to certain terms and restrictions. Total cost recognized during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$0.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and \$0.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and is included in stock-based compensation and charges. The Company made no grants of restricted stock to any of its executive officers or employees during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Performance Based Restricted Stock Units

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Board of Directors awarded 700,000 shares of performance-based restricted stock units to its new Chief Executive Officer as part of his employment agreement with the Company. These awards will be earned based on the attainment of certain performance goals relating to the Company s revenues and EBITDA for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011. The performance goals were established on April 9, 2010 and the shares had an aggregate grant date fair value of \$1.5 million. The implied service period is for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011; therefore, there was no recognition of compensation expense for these units during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Board of Directors awarded 375,000 shares of performance-based restricted stock units to two other executives newly hired by the Company, as part of their employment agreements. These awards will be earned based on the attainment of certain performance goals relating to the Company's revenues and EBITDA for the fiscal years ending December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012. The performance goals for fiscal year ending December 31, 2010 were established on March 29, 2010. The shares associated with the fiscal year ending December 31, 2010 had an aggregate grant date fair value of \$0.3 million. Total cost recognized for these units during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, and is included in stock-based compensation. The performance goals for fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 were established on

April 9, 2010. The shares associated with the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011 had an aggregate grant date fair value of \$0.3 million. The implied service period is for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2011, therefore; there was no recognition of compensation expense for these units during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The Company awarded no new performance-based restricted stock units to any of its executive officers or employees during the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Option Awards

The fair value of stock option awards is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses the ranges of assumptions in the following table. Our computation of expected volatility is based on a combination of historical and market-based implied volatility. The expected term is based on the Company s weighted average vesting period combined with the post-vesting holding period. The risk-free interest rates are based on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds for the periods in which the options were granted.

10

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended		
	Septemb	er 30,	September 30,		
	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Risk-free interest rates	1.27%-1.70%	0.19%-2.53%	1.27%-2.43%	0.11%-2.54%	
Expected term (in years)	5.85	5.85	5.85	5.85	
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Expected volatility	80%	85%	80%-85%	85%	

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company granted options to purchase 3,000,000 shares of the Company s common stock to its new Chief Executive Officer as part of his employment agreement with the Company. The grant date fair value of these options was \$3.2 million. 750,000 of such options were immediately vested, with the remaining options vesting ratably on a monthly basis over a period of three years beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. As a result of the immediate vesting, the Company recorded additional stock-based compensation of \$0.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2009, the Company accelerated the vesting of previously-awarded stock options for two former executive officers and extended the time to exercise certain of these options for one of the former officers as part of their separation agreements. As a result, the Company recorded additional stock-based compensation expense of \$7.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. There were no such accelerations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The following chart summarizes the stock-based compensation and charges that have been included in the following captions for each of the periods presented (in thousands):

		nths Ended aber 30,	1 (1110 1/101	nths Ended nber 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Cost of revenue	\$ 44	\$ 54	\$ 136	\$ 137
Sales and marketing	400	472	1,240	1,349
Product and web site development	453	166	1,243	493
General and administrative	962	3,030	3,146	13,668
Total from continuing operations	1,859	3,722	5,765	15,647
Total from discontinued operations		1		64
Total stock-based compensation and charges	\$ 1,859	\$ 3,723	\$ 5,765	\$ 15,711

10. Net Income (Loss) Per Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders for the periods indicated (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2	2010	2	009	2010		2009
Numerator: Income (loss) from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations	\$	1,725	\$	753 (196)	\$ (17,240)	\$	(5,622) 1,858
Net income (loss) Convertible preferred stock dividend and related		1,725		557	(17,240)		(3,764)
accretion		(1,350)		(1,315)	(4,024)		(3,920)

Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$	375	\$	(758)	\$ (21,264)	\$ (7,684)
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders from continuing operations Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders from discontinued operations	\$	375	\$	(562) (196)	\$ (21,264)	\$ (9,542) 1,858
Net income (loss) applicable to common stockholders	\$	375	\$	(758)	\$ (21,264)	\$ (7,684)
Denominator: Basic weighted average shares outstanding Add: dilutive effect of options and restricted stock	15	55,711 3,816	15	53,344	154,957	153,139
Fully diluted weighted average shares outstanding	15	59,527	15	53,344	154,957	153,139
	11					

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Basic income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.06)
Discontinued operations		(0.00)		0.01
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.05)
Diluted income (loss) per share applicable to common stockholders:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.06)
Discontinued operations		(0.00)		0.01
Net income (loss)	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.14)	\$ (0.05)

Because their effects would be anti-dilutive for the periods presented, the denominator in the above computation of diluted loss per share excludes preferred stock, stock options and warrants of 45,179,291 and 62,383,313 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and 66,094,890 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

11. Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of other comprehensive income (loss) are (in thousands):

	Three 1	Months		
	En	ded	Nine Mont	hs Ended
	Septem	ıber 30,	Septeml	ber 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ 1,725	\$ 557	\$ (17,240)	\$ (3,764)
Unrealized gain (loss) on marketable securities			(3)	(3)
Reclass of unrealized loss on auction rate securities			17,600	
Foreign currency translation	3	83	(107)	93
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 1,728	\$ 640	\$ 250	\$ (3,674)

12. Related-party Transactions

The Company provided product development services to the National Association of Realtors (NAR) and recognized \$0.4 million and \$2.4 million in revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. The Company did not provide product development services to the NAR during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010. The Company also makes payments to the NAR required under its operating agreement with the NAR and under certain other advertising agreements. Total amounts paid under these agreements were \$0.4 million and \$1.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively, and \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively.

13. Income Taxes

As a result of historical net operating losses, the Company currently provides a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets. The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. The Company recorded income tax expense of \$0.1 million and

\$0.2 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.5 million as a result of a change in the valuation allowances resulting from the deferred tax liability established for the amortizable intangible assets acquired as part of the business combination described in Note 3. This income tax benefit was partially offset by \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million of state income tax expenses and a deferred tax provision related to amortization of certain indefinite lived intangible assets in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, income tax expense included state income taxes and a deferred tax provision related to amortization of certain indefinite lived intangible assets.

As of September 30, 2010, the Company does not have any accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The Company s policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. The Company does not have any interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The tax years 1993-2009 remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject.

14. Settlement of Disputes and Litigation

On November 12, 2008, Patricia Ramirez, on behalf of herself and all other similarly situated California account executives, filed a purported class action lawsuit in the Los Angeles Superior Court against Move, Inc., and its subsidiary

12

Move Sales, Inc., asserting failure to fully reimburse business expenses, unlawful wage deductions, failure to timely pay wages due at termination, failure to timely furnish accurate itemized wage statements, unfair business practices and declaratory relief. Subsequent to December 31, 2009, the Company and plaintiff s attorneys agreed to a tentative settlement of all claims brought by Ramirez on behalf of herself and all others in the purported class action. The amount of the settlement was accrued as of December 31, 2009 and was recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2009. Such proposed settlement required final court approval. On August 24, 2010 the court entered final approval of the settlement. The settlement did not have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2009.

15. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

The Company is currently involved in certain legal proceedings, as discussed in Note 23, Commitments and Contingencies Legal Proceedings, to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Annual Report) and below in this Note 15. As of the date of this Form 10-Q, and except as disclosed below, there have been no material developments in the legal proceedings disclosed in our Annual Report, and the Company is not a party to any other litigation or administrative proceedings that management believes will have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

In March 2010, Smarter Agent, LLC (Smarter Agent) filed suit against Move, Inc., against our affiliate, RealSelect, Inc. (RealSelect), and also against other co-defendants Boopsie, Inc., Classified Ventures, LLC, Hotpads, Inc., IDX, Inc., Multifamily Technology Solutions, Inc. D/B/A MyNewPlace, Primedia, Inc., Consumer Source, Inc., Trsoft, Inc. D/B/A PlanetRE, Trulia, Inc., Zillow, Inc., and ZipRealty, Inc. in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleges that the Company and RealSelect, Inc. infringe U.S. Patents 6,385,541; 6,496,776; and 7,072,665 (Patents in Suit) by offering an iPhone application for the REALTOR.comweb site and requested an unspecified amount of damages (including enhanced damages for willful infringement and attorneys fees) and an injunction. On August 31, 2010, co-defendants Boopsie, Inc., Classified Ventures, LLC, Hotpads, Inc., IDX, Inc., Multifamily Technology Solutions Inc., Primedia, Inc., Consumer Source, Inc., Trsoft, Inc., Trulia, Inc., Zillow, Inc., and ZipRealty, Inc., filed requests for inter partes reexamination of the Patents in Suit. On September 30, 2010, the Company filed an answer and counter claims on behalf of Move and RealSelect. On October 22, 2010, Smarter Agent filed its answer to such counter claims. The Company intends to vigorously defend all claims. At this time, however, the Company is unable to express an opinion on the outcome of this case.

In June 2010, BanxCorp filed a lawsuit against Move, Inc., Dow Jones & Company, Inc., The New York Times Company, CNBC Inc., Cable News Network, Inc., MSNBC Interactive News, LLD, Fox News Network, LLC, AOL Inc., and LendingTree LLC in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. The first amended complaint alleges antitrust violations pursuant to Section 1 of the Sherman Antitrust Act and the New Jersey Antitrust Act, including allegations the Company and other defendants formed a cartel with BankRate to gain market dominance and unfair advantage over BanxCorp and other independent competitors by, among other alleged activities, fixing prices and allocating customers and markets with other BankRate website operators. The first amended complaint seeks a declaratory judgment, injunctive relief and unspecified amount of damages, including treble damages and attorneys fees and legal costs. On August 3, 2010, motions to dismiss the case were filed jointly by the Company and the co-defendants. The Company intends to vigorously defend all claims. At this time, however, the Company is unable to express an opinion on the outcome of this case.

On February 28, 2007, in a patent infringement action against a real estate agent, Diane Sarkisian, pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (the Sarkisian case), Real Estate Alliance, Limited (REAL), moved to certify two classes of defendants: subscribers and members of the multiple listing service of which Sarkisian was a member, and customers of the Company who had purchased enhanced listings from the Company. The U.S. District Court in the Sarkisian case denied REAL s motion to certify the classes on September 24, 2007. On March 25, 2008, the U.S. District Court in the Sarkisian case stayed that case, and denied without prejudice all pending motions, pending the U.S. District Court of California s determination in the Move California Action (see below) of whether the Company s web sites infringe the REAL patents.

On April 3, 2007, in response to REAL s attempt to certify our customers as a class of defendants in the Sarkisian case, the Company filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (District Court) against REAL, and its licensing agent (the Move California Action) seeking a declaratory judgment that the Company does not infringe U.S. Patent Nos. 4,870,576 and 5,032,989 (the REAL patents), that the REAL patents are invalid and/or unenforceable, and alleging several business torts and unfair competition. On August 8, 2007, REAL denied the Company s allegations, and asserted counterclaims against the Company for infringement of the REAL patents seeking compensatory damages, punitive damages, treble damages, costs, expenses, reasonable attorneys fees and preand post-judgment interest. On February 28, 2008, REAL filed a motion for leave to amend its counter-claims, and to include NAR and the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB) as individual defendants, as well as various brokers including RE/Max International (RE/Max), agents, Multiple Listing Services (MLS), new home builders, rental property owners, and technology providers and indicated that it

13

Table of Contents

intended to seek to certify certain defendant classes. On March 11, 2008, REAL filed a separate suit in the District Court (the REAL California Action) alleging infringement of the REAL patents against the same defendants it sought to include in its proposed amended counter-claims in the Move California Action, and also indicated that it intended to seek to certify the same defendant classes. The Company is not named as a defendant in the REAL California Action; however, the Company is defending NAR, NAHB and RE/Max in the REAL California Action. On July 29, 2008, the Move California Action was transferred to the same judge in the REAL California Action and in September, 2008, the court coordinated both cases and issued an order dividing the issues into two phases. Phase 1 addresses issues of patent validity and enforceability, whether Move websites infringe, damages, and liability of Move, NAR and NAHB. Phase 2 will address REAL s infringement claims related to the websites owned or operated by the remaining defendants and whether those defendants infringe the Real patents by using the Move websites. The District Court has stayed Phase 2 pending resolution of the issues in Phase 1.

On November 25, 2009, the court entered its claim construction order in the Move California Action. On January 20, 2010, the Move California Action parties filed a joint stipulation of non-infringement, and requested the District Court enter judgment of non-infringement. The District Court entered a final judgment of non-infringement on January 27, 2010.

In July, 2010, REAL filed its brief appealing the District Court s claim construction with the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals (Circuit Court), and in October, 2010, the Company filed its opposition. The Circuit Court has not set a date for oral argument. If the Circuit Court overturns all or part of the claim construction, the judgment would be vacated and the Move California Action would be remanded to the District Court for further litigation. If the Circuit Court upholds the District Court s claim construction, the Move California Action would be dismissed with prejudice. At this time, however, the Company is unable to express an opinion on the outcome of these cases.

Contingencies

From time to time, the Company is party to various other litigation and administrative proceedings relating to claims arising from its operations in the ordinary course of business. As of the date of this Form 10-Q and except as set forth herein, or in our Annual Report, the Company is not a party to any other litigation or administrative proceedings that management believes would have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows.

16. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

During the nine month period ended September 30, 2010:

The Company paid \$0.1 million in interest.

The Company received a trade-in allowance on the purchase of property and equipment of \$0.2 million.

The Company issued 139,098 share of restricted common stock to the non-employee members of its Board of Directors which vest over three years. The charge associated with these shares was \$0.3 million and is being recognized over the three-year vesting period.

The Company issued \$3.1 million in additional Series B Preferred Stock as in-kind dividends. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2009:

The Company paid \$1.3 million in interest.

The Company issued 1,800,000 shares of restricted common stock to its new Chief Executive Officer with 700,000 shares vesting immediately, and, subject to certain terms and restrictions, 500,000 shares vesting one year from the grant date and 600,000 shares vesting two years from the grant date. The charge associated with these shares was \$2.7 million and is being recognized over the vesting periods.

The Company issued 60,000 shares of restricted common stock to the members of the ad hoc Executive Committee of its Board of Directors (except any director who is entitled to a seat on the Board of Directors on a contractual basis). Half of these shares vested on the grant date and half of the shares will vest one year from

the grant date. The charge associated with these shares was \$0.1 million and was recognized over the one-year vesting period.

The Company issued 175,420 shares of restricted common stock to the non-employee members of its Board of Directors which vest over three years. The charge associated with these shares was \$0.4 million and is being recognized over the three-year vesting period.

The Company received a \$1.0 million promissory note in conjunction with the sale of its Welcome Wagon division.

The Company issued \$2.9 million in additional Series B Preferred Stock as in-kind dividends.

14

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Form 10-Q and the following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations include forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. This Act provides a safe harbor for forward-looking statements to encourage companies to provide prospective information about themselves so long as they identify these statements as forward-looking and provide meaningful cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ from the projected results. All statements other than statements of historical fact that we make in this Form 10-Q are forward-looking. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as estimates, expects, should, or the negative of these terms and other comparable terminology plans. intends. believes. might. will. although not all forward-looking statements are so identified. In particular, the statements herein regarding industry prospects and our future consolidated results of operations or financial position are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements reflect our current expectations and are inherently uncertain. Our actual results may differ significantly from our expectations. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include those discussed below and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, as well as those discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). This Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report, including the factors described under the caption Part 1, Item 1A, Risk Factors on our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Our Business

Move, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Move , we , our or us) operate an online network of web sites for real estate search finance, moving and home enthusiasts and provide a valuable resource for consumers seeking the online information and connections they need regarding real estate. Our flagship consumer web sites are Move.com, REALTOR.com® and Moving.com. We also provide lead management software for real estate agents through our Top Producer® business.

On our web sites, we display comprehensive real estate property content, with over four million resale, new home and rental listings, as well as extensive move-related information and tools. We hold a significant leadership position in terms of web traffic and minutes, attracting an average of 10.8 million consumers to our network per month and 223 million minutes per month for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 according to comScore Media Metrix, a substantial lead over the next leading real estate site. We also have significant relationships with the real estate industry, including content agreements with approximately 900 Multiple Listing Services (MLS) across the country and exclusive relationships with the National Association of REALTORS® (NAR) and the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB).

Business Trends and Conditions

In recent years, our business has been, and we expect may continue to be, influenced by a number of macroeconomic, industry-wide and product-specific trends and conditions:

Market and economic conditions.

In recent years, the U.S. economy has experienced low interest rates, and volatility in the equities markets. Through 2005, housing starts remained strong, while the supply of apartment housing generally exceeded demand. For a number of years prior to 2007, owning a home became much more attainable for the average consumer due to the availability of flexible mortgage options, which required minimal down payments and provided low interest rates. During this period, home builders spent less on advertising, given the strong demand for new houses, and homeowners who were looking to sell a home only had to list it at a reasonable price in most areas of the U.S. to sell in 60 days or less. Conversely, demand for rental units declined and apartment owners did not spend as much money on advertising, as they have sought to achieve cost savings during the difficult market for rentals. These trends had an impact on our ability to grow our business.

Beginning in the second half of 2006, the market dynamics seemed to reverse. Interest rates rose and mortgage options began to decline. The housing market became saturated with new home inventory in many large metropolitan markets and the available inventory of resale homes began to climb as demand softened. The impact of the rise in interest rates caused demand for homes to decline in mid-2007. In the second half of 2007, the availability of mortgage financing became very sparse. The lack of liquidity coupled with increased supply of homes and declining

prices had a significant impact on real estate professionals, our primary customers.

Throughout 2008, market conditions continued to decline and in late September 2008, the stock market declines negatively impacted the liquidity of the markets in general and have contributed to the decline in consumer spending. With the exception of very few markets, new home starts have stalled. Consumer confidence declined and while mortgage rates have appeared to decline, the credit standards are perceived to be the tightest they have been in years. The combination of these factors has had a negative impact on the demand for homes. These changing conditions resulted in fewer home purchases and forced many real estate professionals to reconsider their marketing spend.

15

In 2006, we saw many customers begin to shift their dollars from conventional offline channels, such as newspapers and real estate guides, to the Internet. We saw many agents and brokers move their spending online and many home builders increased their marketing spend to move existing inventory, even as they slowed their production and our business grew as a result. However, as the market declined in 2008, the advertising spend by many of the large agents and brokers slowed and some of the medium and smaller brokers and agents reduced expenses to remain in business. This caused us to experience a decline in revenue in 2008 and 2009.

2009 was difficult for the real estate market and it has not improved in 2010. Delinquencies are expected to continue to be double that of foreclosures, causing uncertainty in the price floor within various markets. This coupled with the fact that banks have significantly tightened their credit standards for mortgage loans will make home purchases in the upper end of the market that much more difficult. We believe these market conditions will continue to put pressure on spending by real estate professionals and brokers in the next year.

Evolution of Our Product and Service Offerings and Pricing Structures

We began as a provider of Internet applications to real estate professionals. It became apparent that our customers valued the media exposure that the Internet offered them, but not all of the technology that we were offering. Many of our customers objected to our proposition that they purchase our templated web site in order to gain access to our networks. In addition, we were charging a fixed price to all customers regardless of the market they operate in or the size of their business.

We responded to our customers needs and revamped our service offerings. We began to price our REALTOR.com® services based on the size of the market and the number of properties the customer displayed. For many of our customers this change led to substantial price increases over our former technology pricing. This change was reasonably well-accepted by our customer base.

In today s market, our real estate professional customers are facing a decline in their business and have to balance their marketing needs with their ability to pay. As a result, they are demanding products that perform and provide measurable results for their marketing spend. We are evaluating customer feedback and balancing that with the need for an improved consumer experience and are modifying our products and our pricing to be responsive to both.

The decline in consumer confidence and the resulting decline in consumer spending has caused many of our traditional consumer advertisers to reduce their spending. These economic conditions have caused the decline in our display and banner ad revenue. It could take considerable time before this product area yields meaningful growth, if at all. Achievement of significant growth will require that we introduce new targeted products that are both responsive to the advertisers—demands and are attractive to the consumer.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

On September 20, 2010, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Threewide Corporation (Threewide) for approximately \$13.1 million in cash. Threewide is the operator of ListHub, an online real estate listing syndicator and provider of advanced performance reporting solutions for brokers, real estate franchises, multiple listing services and real estate web sites. The acquisition cost has been allocated to the assets acquired, including intangible assets, and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair values.

In the second quarter of 2008, we decided to divest our Welcome Wagon® business. On June 22, 2009 we closed the sale of the business for a sales price of \$2.0 million. We received \$1.0 million in cash and a \$1.0 million promissory note. The principal balance of the note which was originally due on or before October 1, 2010 was paid in full in July 2010. The outstanding principal bore an interest rate of 7% per annum, with quarterly interest payments due commencing October 1, 2009. The transaction resulted in a gain on disposition of discontinued operations of \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2009.

As part of the sale in 2002 of our ConsumerInfo division to Experian Holding, Inc. (Experian), \$10.0 million of the purchase price was put in escrow to secure our indemnification obligations (the Indemnity Escrow). Under the terms of the stock purchase agreement, our maximum potential liability for claims by Experian was capped at \$29.3 million less the balance in the Indemnity Escrow, which amount was approximately \$8.5 million on March 31, 2009. During 2008, Experian demanded \$29.3 million in indemnity payments. We denied liability and a bifurcated arbitration proceeding ensued to resolve the dispute. Subsequent to the completion of the first phase of the arbitration proceedings, on April 20, 2009, the parties settled the dispute and entered into a full release of all claims under which

Experian received \$7.4 million from the Indemnity Escrow and we received the balance of the escrow of \$1.1 million, which is included in gain on disposition of discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for all periods presented reflects the classification of our Welcome Wagon® business as discontinued operations. Accordingly, the revenue, operating expenses, and cash flows of this business has been excluded from the respective captions in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Condensed

16

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and have been reported as Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of applicable income taxes of zero; and as Net cash used in discontinued operations. Total revenue and income (loss) from discontinued operations are reflected below (in thousands):

	E	hree Months nded mber 30,	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2010	2009	2010		2009
Revenue Total operating expenses Impairment of long-lived assets Restructuring charges	\$	\$ 196	\$	\$	9,609 9,009 1,045
Loss from discontinued operations	\$	\$ (196)	\$	\$	(445)
Gain on disposition of discontinued operations	\$	\$	\$	\$	2,303

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to revenue recognition, uncollectible receivables, valuation of investments, intangible and other long-lived assets, stock-based compensation and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. There were no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during the nine months ended September 30, 2010, as compared to those policies disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Legal Contingencies

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings, as discussed in Note 23, Commitments and Contingencies Legal Proceedings, to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and in Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies to our Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q. Because of the uncertainties related to both the amount and range of potential liability in connection with legal proceedings, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate of the liability that could result from unfavorable outcomes in our remaining pending litigation. As additional information becomes available, we will assess the potential liability related to our pending litigation and determine whether reasonable estimates of the liability can be made. Unfavorable outcomes, or significant estimates of our potential liability, could materially impact our results of operations and financial position.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Revenue

Revenue decreased \$2.6 million, or 5%, to \$50.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$52.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a decrease in our New Homes and Top Producer® products. Our New Homes revenues were transferred to our unconsolidated joint venture in the fourth quarter of 2009 and therefore are not included in revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2010. Our Top Producer® 8i® subscriber base and associated revenues decreased over

the prior year due to reduced spending by real estate professionals but was partially offset by improved revenues from the Market Snapshot[®] and Market BuilderTM products. We had slight declines in our REALTOR.com[®] products with lower Featured CommunityTM revenue directly related to reduced spending by our agent customers in response to the general economic conditions partially offset by increased revenues generated by our new Search Assist product which was launched in the latter part of 2009. We also experienced declines in the Rentals showcase listings revenues. Our revenue was also impacted by a decrease of \$0.4 million associated with providing product development service to the NAR in the three months ended September 30, 2009. We experienced an increase in our online display revenue due to an increased level of ad campaigns.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue decreased \$1.2 million, or 10%, to \$10.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010,

17

compared to \$12.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to decreased personnel related costs of \$0.6 million, lower depreciation expense of \$0.2 million, and other cost decreases of \$0.4 million.

Gross margin percentage increased to 79% for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to 77% for the three months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to the decreased costs described above.

Operating Expenses

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$0.2 million, or less than 1%, to \$18.6 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$18.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in online distribution costs of \$0.5 million, partially offset by an increase in personnel related costs of \$0.3 million.

Product and web site development. Product and web site development expenses increased \$1.2 million, or 16%, to \$8.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$7.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in consulting and personnel related costs as a result of incremental investments in our new technology platforms.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses decreased \$5.3 million, or 33%, to \$10.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$16.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily a result of a \$2.1 million decrease in non-cash stock based compensation due to the acceleration and modification of options upon the termination of one executive officer during the three months ended September 30, 2009, a decrease in legal fees of \$1.9 million and a decrease in personnel related costs, excluding non-cash stock based charges, of \$1.3 million.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization of intangible assets was \$0.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009.

Restructuring Charges. In the third and fourth quarters of 2008, our Board of Directors approved restructuring and integration plans with the objective of eliminating duplicate resources and redundancies and implementing a new operating structure to lower total operating expenses. As a result of these plans, we incurred a restructuring charge from continuing operations of \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Included in this charge were lease obligations and related charges of \$3.0 million for the consolidation of our operations in Westlake Village, California and the vacancy of a portion of the leased facility.

During the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a new lease agreement for our Westlake Village facility. Under the terms of the lease, we were leasing only a portion of the facility but were continuing to occupy our current space in that facility until construction was completed on the new space. Our obligations under the old lease were terminated and, as a result, the remaining restructuring reserve was reversed, resulting in a \$1.2 million credit to restructuring charges for the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Stock-based compensation and charges. The following chart summarizes the stock-based compensation and charges that have been included in the following captions for each of the periods presented (in thousands):

		onths Ended mber 30,
	2010	2009
Cost of revenue	\$ 44	\$ 54
Sales and marketing	400	472
Product and web site development	453	166
General and administrative	962	3,030
Total from continuing operations	\$ 1,859	\$ 3,722

Stock-based compensation and charges increased \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due the acceleration and modification of options upon the termination of one executive officer in the third quarter of 2009.

Interest Income, Net

Interest income, net, decreased slightly for the three months ended September 30, 2010, compared to the three months ended September 30, 2009, primarily due to the sale of higher interest earning Auction Rate Securities (ARS) in April 2010.

18

Table of Contents

Other Income (expense), Net

Other expense, net for the three months ended September 30, 2010 consisted primarily of foreign exchange fluctuations. Other income, net of \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was generated primarily from the sale of assets.

Income Taxes

We recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.4 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010 and income tax expense of \$0.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2009. In the three months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.5 million as a result of a change in the valuation allowances resulting from the deferred tax liability established for the amortizable intangible assets acquired as part of a business combination partially offset by \$0.1 million of state income tax expenses and a deferred tax provision related to amortization of certain indefinite lived intangible assets. In the three months ended September 30, 2009, income tax expense included state income taxes and a deferred tax provision related to amortization of certain indefinite lived intangible assets.

Results of Operations

Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010 and 2009

Revenue

Revenue decreased \$13.8 million, or 8%, to \$148.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$162.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease in revenue was primarily due to a decrease in our New Homes and REALTOR.com® products. Our New Homes revenues were transferred to our unconsolidated joint venture in the fourth quarter of 2009 and therefore are not included in revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. We experienced lower Featured CommunityTM, listing enhancement and Featured HomesTM revenue on REALTOR.com directly related to reduced spending by our agent customers in response to the general economic conditions partially offset by increased revenues generated by our new Search Assist product which was launched in the latter part of 2009. Our Top Producer® 8i® subscriber base and associated revenues decreased over the prior year due to reduced spending by real estate professionals but was offset by improved revenues from the Market Snapshot® and Market BuilderTM products. We also experienced declines in the Rentals showcase listings revenues, and in lead generation revenues from movers on our Moving.com web site. Revenue was also impacted by a decrease of \$2.4 million associated with providing product development services to the NAR in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. We experienced an increase in our online display revenue due to an increased level of ad campaigns.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue decreased \$4.7 million, or 13%, to \$32.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$37.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to decreased costs of \$1.5 million associated with development services previously provided to the NAR during the nine months ended September 30, 2009, a decrease in personnel related costs of \$1.4 million, a decrease in product fulfillment costs of \$1.1 million associated with our featured products, decreased depreciation expense of \$0.6 million, and other cost decreases of \$0.1 million.

Gross margin percentage increased to 78% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to 77% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to the decreased costs described above.

Operating Expenses

Sales and marketing. Sales and marketing expenses decreased \$5.1 million, or 8%, to \$55.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$60.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in online distribution costs of \$3.7 million, a decrease in personnel related costs of \$0.9 million due to lower sales commissions, and other cost decreases of \$0.5 million.

Product and web site development. Product and web site development expenses increased \$5.0 million, or 24%, to \$25.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$20.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The increase was primarily due to an increase in consulting and personnel related costs as a result of incremental investments in our new technology platforms.

General and administrative. General and administrative expenses decreased \$18.8 million, or 37%, to \$32.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, compared to \$51.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009. The

19

decrease was primarily a result of a \$10.5 million decrease in non-cash stock based compensation primarily due to the acceleration and modification of options upon the termination of three executive officers and restricted stock awards and options granted to our Chief Executive Officer that were immediately vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2009. Additionally, there was a \$4.0 million decrease in personnel related costs, excluding non-cash stock based compensation, a \$3.8 million decrease in legal fees, a \$1.1 million decrease in bad debt expense and a \$0.6 million decrease in facilities costs due to the new lease in Westlake Village, California. These cost decreases were partially offset by an increase in consulting costs of \$1.1 million and other cost increases of \$0.1 million.

Amortization of intangible assets. Amortization of intangible assets was \$0.3 million and \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Restructuring Charges. In the third and fourth quarters of 2008, our Board of Directors approved restructuring and integration plans with the objective of eliminating duplicate resources and redundancies and implementing a new operating structure to lower total operating expenses. As a result of these plans, we incurred a restructuring charge from continuing operations of \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Included in this charge were lease obligations and related charges of \$3.0 million for the consolidation of our operations in Westlake Village, California and the vacancy of a portion of the leased facility.

During the third quarter of 2009, we entered into a new lease agreement for our Westlake Village facility. Under the terms of the lease, we were leasing only a portion of the facility but were continuing to occupy our current space in that facility until construction was completed on the new space. Our obligations under the old lease were terminated and, as a result, the remaining restructuring reserve was reversed, resulting in a \$1.2 million credit to restructuring charges for the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Stock-based compensation and charges. The following chart summarizes the stock-based compensation and charges that have been included in the following captions for each of the periods presented (in thousands):

		nths Ended nber 30,
	2010	2009
Cost of revenue	\$ 136	\$ 137
Sales and marketing	1,240	1,349
Product and web site development	1,243	493
General and administrative	3,146	13,668
Total from continuing operations	\$ 5,765	\$ 15,647

Stock-based compensation and charges decreased \$9.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily due to the acceleration and modification of options upon termination for three executive officers and restricted stock awards and options granted to our Chief Executive Officer that were immediately vested during the nine months ended September 30, 2009.

Interest Income, Net

Interest income, net, remained relatively constant for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Impairment of Auction Rate Securities

In April 2010, we completed a sale of our entire portfolio of ARS for \$109.8 million (par value of \$129.4 million) to a broker in a secondary market. As a result of the sale, an other-than-temporary loss of \$19.6 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. See further discussion under *Liquidity and Capital Resources* below.

Other Income (expense), Net

Other expense, net of \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, consisted primarily of the transaction fees associated with the sale of our portfolio of ARS. Other income, net of \$1.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 primarily resulted from a \$1.3 million gain on the sale of assets and \$0.5 million in other income related to the revaluation of an embedded derivative liability resulting from the issuance of convertible

preferred stock in December 2005.

Income Taxes

We recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and income tax expense of \$0.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2009. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$0.5 million as a result of a change in the valuation allowances resulting from the deferred tax liability

20

Table of Contents

established for the amortizable intangible assets acquired as part of a business combination partially offset by \$0.2 million of state income tax expenses and a deferred tax provision related to amortization of certain indefinite lived intangible assets. In the nine months ended September 30, 2009, income tax expense included state income taxes and a deferred tax provision related to amortization of certain indefinite lived intangible assets.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by continuing operating activities of \$17.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was attributable to the net loss of \$17.2 million, plus non-cash expenses including depreciation, amortization of intangible assets, provision for doubtful accounts, impairment of auction rate securities, stock-based compensation and charges, earnings of unconsolidated joint venture, other non-cash items and changes in operating assets and liabilities, aggregating to \$34.9 million.

Net cash provided by continuing operating activities of \$10.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was attributable to the net loss from continuing operations of \$5.6 million, plus non-cash expenses including depreciation, amortization of intangible assets, provision for doubtful accounts, gain on sale of assets, stock-based compensation and charges, change in market value of embedded derivative liability and other non-cash items, aggregating to \$22.9 million, partially offset by changes in operating assets and liabilities of \$7.1 million.

Net cash provided by continuing investing activities of \$90.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily attributable to proceeds from the sale of auction rate securities of \$109.8 million and payments received on note for sale of business of \$1.0 million, partially offset by acquisitions, net of cash acquired of \$12.4 million and capital expenditures of \$7.8 million.

Net cash used in continuing investing activities of \$6.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was due to capital expenditures of \$7.7 million, partially offset by proceeds from sales of fixed assets of \$1.3 million.

Net cash used in financing activities of \$59.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was primarily attributable to principal payments on our line of credit of \$129.3 million offset by proceeds from our line of credit of \$64.7 million and other miscellaneous cash used of \$0.2 million. There were cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$4.5 million, reductions in restricted cash balances of \$0.5 million and proceeds from loans payable of \$0.3 million.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$3.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 was attributable to reductions is restricted cash of \$2.7 million and proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$1.9 million, partially offset by tax withholdings related to net share settlements of restricted stock awards of \$1.1 million and payments on capital lease obligations of \$0.3 million.

We have generated positive operating cash flows in each of the last two years. Our material financial commitments consist of those under operating lease agreements, our operating agreement with the NAR and various web services and content agreements. Additionally, under our Series B Preferred Stock Agreement, beginning in November 2010, we are obligated to pay quarterly cash dividends of 3.5% per annum of the original price per share or approximately \$4.1 million per annum. We believe that our existing cash and any cash generated from operations will be sufficient to fund our working capital requirements, capital expenditures and other obligations for the foreseeable future.

Prior to April 2010, we had investments consisting of high-grade (primarily AAA rated) student loan auction rate securities issued by student loan funding organizations, which loans were 97% guaranteed under FFELP (Federal Family Education Loan Program). These ARS were intended to provide liquidity via an auction process that resets the interest rate, generally every 28 days, allowing investors to either roll over their holdings or sell them at par. In February 2008, auctions for our investments in these securities failed to settle on their respective settlement dates. Consequently, the investments were not liquid and we were not going to be able to access these funds until a future auction of these investments was successful, the securities matured or a buyer was found outside of the auction process. Maturity dates for these ARS investments range from years 2030 to 2047 with principal distributions occurring on certain securities prior to maturity.

As of December 31, 2009, we had recorded a temporary loss related to the ARS of \$17.6 million that was included in Other Comprehensive Income on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. At a board meeting on March 24, 2010, the Board of Directors and Management discussed the recent passage of the Health Care Reform Bill that contained a provision eliminating FFELP, a significant change in student loan funding. In management s opinion, this change,

along with other market factors, created additional uncertainty in the student loan auction rate securities market. As a result, the Board of Directors and Management changed its intent, which had been to hold these securities, and decided to sell the entire portfolio of ARS and, thereafter, we began to actively market the sale to third parties. We review our potential investment impairments in accordance with ASC 320 Investment Debt and Equity Securities and the related guidance issued by the FASB and SEC in order to determine the classification of the impairment as temporary or other-than-temporary. A temporary impairment charge results in an unrealized loss being recorded in the other comprehensive income (loss) component of stockholder s equity. An other-than-temporary impairment charge is recorded as a realized loss in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations and reduces net income (loss) for the applicable accounting period. The differentiating factors between temporary and other-than-temporary impairment are primarily the length of the time and the extent to which the market value has been

21

Table of Contents

less than cost, the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer, and the ability and intent of the holder to hold the investment until maturity or its value recovers. Prior to March 24, 2010, we had not intended to sell nor was it not more likely than not that we would be required to sell before the recovery of our amortized cost basis and, as such, the loss was considered temporary. On March 24, 2010, as indicated above, we changed our intent to hold the ARS and, therefore, the impairment was reclassified to an other-than-temporary loss.

In April 2010, we completed a sale of the entire portfolio of ARS for \$109.8 million (par value of \$129.4 million) to a broker in a secondary market. As a result of the sale, an other-than-temporary loss of \$19.6 million was recorded as Impairment of Auction Rate Securities in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The transaction costs of approximately \$1.0 million associated with this transaction has been recorded as other expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Interest Rate Risk

Market risk represents the risk of loss that may impact our financial position, results of operations or cash flows due to adverse changes in financial and commodity market prices and rates. We are exposed to market risk primarily in the area of changes in United States interest rates and conditions in the credit markets. In April 2010, we paid down the line of credit balance of \$64.2 million and it expired in May 2010. We do not have any material foreign currency or other derivative financial instruments. Under our current policies, we do not use interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. We attempt to increase the safety and preservation of our invested principal funds by limiting default risk, market risk and reinvestment risk. We mitigate default risk by investing in investment grade securities.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the period covered by this report that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are currently involved in certain legal proceedings, as discussed in Note 23, Commitments and Contingencies-Legal Proceedings , to our Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 8 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Annual Report) and in Note 15, Commitments and Contingencies, to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contained in Item 1 of Part I of this Form 10-Q. As of the date of this Form 10-Q and except as disclosed in Note 23 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report and in Note 15 to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in this Form 10-Q, the Company is not a party to any other litigation or administrative proceedings that management believes will have a material adverse effect on the Company s business, results of operations, financial condition or cash flows, and there have been no material developments in the litigation or administrative proceedings described in those notes.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should consider carefully the risk factors presented in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, and other information included or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-Q. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or that we deem to be currently immaterial also may impair our business operations. If any of the stated risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to our Business

NAR relationship

We have completed our discussions and the mediation process concerning questions relating to the 1996 Operating Agreement (as referenced in our reports on Form 10-Q for, respectively, the first and second quarters of 2010, in each case at *Item 1A Risk Factors*), have agreed with the NAR on an amendment to the 1996 Operating Agreement (as reported in our current report on Form 8-K filed September 16, 2010), and continue to value our relationship with and opportunities for productive dialogue with the NAR.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits

- 10.73 Amendment dated September 10, 2010, to the Operating Agreement dated November 26, 1996, between RealSelect, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and Realtors Information Network, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the National Association of REALTORS. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 16, 2010.)
- 10.74 Agreement and Plan of Merger entered into by Move Sales, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company) and MSI TC Merger Sub (a wholly owned subsidiary of Move Sales, Inc.) on September 17, 2010, with Threewide Corporation and, as the Threewide Corporation equity-holders representatives, both J. Rudy Henley in his capacity as manager of West Virginia Capital Management LLC and William Rice.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

23

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MOVE, INC.

By: /s/ STEVEN H. BERKOWITZ Steven H. Berkowitz Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ ROBERT J. KROLIK Robert J. Krolik Chief Financial Officer

Date: November 5, 2010

24

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.73	Amendment dated September 10, 2010, to the Operating Agreement dated November 26, 1996, between
	RealSelect, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, and Realtors Information Network, Inc., a
	wholly-owned subsidiary of the National Association of REALTORS. (Incorporated by reference to
	Exhibit 10.1 to our Current Report on Form 8-K filed September 16, 2010.)
10.74	Agreement and Plan of Merger entered into by Move Sales, Inc. (a wholly owned subsidiary of the
	Company) and MSI TC Merger Sub (a wholly owned subsidiary of Move Sales, Inc.) on September 17,
	2010, with Threewide Corporation and, as the Threewide Corporation equity-holders representatives, both
	J. Rudy Henley in his capacity as manager of West Virginia Capital Management LLC and William Rice.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
22.1	G -15 -1 - 6 G1 - 6 T1 - 0 6 T1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to
	Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to
	Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
	25