CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES CO Form 10-O

August 07, 2003

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-0

OUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

> FOR QUARTER ENDED JUNE 30, 2003 COMMISSION FILE NO. 1-13038

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

TEXAS 52-1862813

_____ (State or other jurisdiction of

_____ (I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

incorporation or organization)

777 Main Street, Suite 2100, Fort Worth, Texas 76102 _____

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (817) 321-2100

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding twelve (12) months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report) and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety (90) days.

> YES [X] NO []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

> YES [X] NO []

Number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of preferred and common shares, as of August 4, 2003.

Series A Convertible Cumulative Preferred Shares, par value \$0.01 per share: Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares, par value \$0.01 per share: Common Shares, par value \$0.01 per share:

10,800,000 3,400,000 99,247,894

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY FORM 10-Q TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I:	FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1.	Financial Statements
	Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2003 (unaudited) and December 31, 2002 (audited)
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)
	Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2003 (unaudited)
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 (unaudited)
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures
PART II:	OTHER INFORMATION
Item 2.	Changes in Securities and Use of Proceeds
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders
Item 6.	Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (in thousands, except share data)

JUNE 30, 2003

(UNAUDITED)

ASSETS:

Investments in real estate:	
Land	\$ 318,404
Land held for investment or development	441,941
Building and improvements	2,933,203
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	120,693
Properties held for disposition, net	40,902
Less - accumulated depreciation	(794,568)
Net investment in real estate	\$ 3,060,575
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 71,933
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	91,028
Accounts receivable, net	40,256
Deferred rent receivable	61,283
Investments in real estate mortgages and equity of	542 056
unconsolidated companies Notes receivable, net	542,956 107,556
Income tax asset-current and deferred, net	50,322
Other assets, net	182,311
other abbets, nee	
Total assets	\$ 4,208,220 =======
LIABILITIES:	
Borrowings under Credit Facility	\$ 252,000
Notes payable	2,212,751
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	335,243
Total liabilities	\$ 2,799,994
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:	
MINORITY INTERESTS:	
Operating partnership, 8,874,402 and 8,878,342 units, at June 30, 2003	
and December 31, 2002, respectively	\$ 115 , 270
Consolidated real estate partnerships	38,822
Total minority interests	\$ 154 , 092
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred shares, \$0.01 par value, authorized 100,000,000 shares:	
Series A Convertible Cumulative Preferred Shares,	
liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share,	
10,800,000 shares issued and outstanding	
at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002	\$ 248,160
Series B Cumulative Preferred Shares	
liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share,	
3,400,000 shares issued and outstanding	
at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002	81,923
Common shares, \$0.01 par value, authorized 250,000,000 shares,	
124,294,941 and 124,280,867 shares issued and outstanding	1 006
at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	1,236
Additional paid-in capital	2,243,397 (5,253)
Deferred compensation on restricted shares Accumulated deficit	(5,253) (827,874)
Accumulated delicit Accumulated other comprehensive income	(27,241)
Accumulated other complementive income	
	\$ 1,714,348
Less - shares held in treasury, at cost, 25,125,649 and 25,068,759	(160 214)
common shares at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	(460,214)

Total shareholders' equity	\$ 1,254,134
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 4,208,220

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

3

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (in thousands, except share data) (unaudited)

	FOR THE THREE ENDED JUN
	2003
REVENUE:	
Office Property	\$ 127,334 \$
Resort/Hotel Property Residential Development Property	51,632 54,207
Total Property revenue	233,173
EXPENSE:	
Office Property real estate taxes	18,475
Residential Development Property expense	47,831
Total Property expense	152 , 941
Income from Property Operations	80,232
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):	
Income from investment land sales, net	1,627
Interest and other income	1,185
-	
	(33, 336)
to real estate assets	
Other expenses	(368)
Equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated companies:	,
Office Properties	1,864
Office Property operating expenses Resort/Hotel Property expense Residential Development Property expense Total Property expense Income from Property Operations OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): Income from investment land sales, net Interest and other income Corporate general and administrative Interest expense Amortization of deferred financing costs Depreciation and amortization Impairment and other charges related to real estate assets Other expenses Equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated companies:	43,977 42,658 47,831 152,941 80,232 1,627 1,185 (6,185) (43,073) (2,544) (35,958)

Resort/Hotel Properties Residential Development Properties Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties Other	1,382 1,540 (406) 214
Total Other Income (Expense)	(80,722)
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE MINORITY INTERESTS AND INCOME TAXES Minority interests Income tax benefit (provision)	(490) (1,846) 3,090
INCOME BEFORE DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF A CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE Discontinued operations - income (loss) on assets sold and held for sale Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold and held for sale Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	754 649 (881) ——
NET INCOME (LOSS)	522
Series A Preferred Share distributions Series B Preferred Share distributions	(4,556) (2,019)
NET (LOSS) INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ (6,053) \$ ====================================
BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE DATA: Net (loss) income before discontinued operations and cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Discontinued operations - income (loss) on assets sold and held for sale Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold and held for sale Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	\$ (0.06) \$ 0.01 (0.01)
Net (loss) income - basic	\$ (0.06) \$
DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE DATA: Net (loss) income before discontinued operations and cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Discontinued operations - income (loss) on assets sold and held for sale Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold and held for sale Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle	\$ (0.06) \$ 0.01 (0.01)
Net (loss) income - diluted	\$ (0.06) \$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY
(dollars in thousands)
(unaudited)

	Series A Preferred Shares			Series B Preferred Shares										
	Shares	Net Value				Shares Net Value Sha		Net Value Share		Shares Net Value				t Val
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY, December 31, 2002	10,800,000	\$	248,160	3,400,000	\$	81,								
Issuance of Common Shares														
Accretion of Discount on Employee Stock Option Notes														
Issuance of Shares in Exchange for Operating Partnership Units														
Share Purchase under Compensation Plan														
Dividends Paid														
Net (Loss) Income														
Unrealized and Realized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities														
Unrealized Net Loss on Cash Flow Hedges														
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY, June 30, 2003	10,800,000	\$	•	3,400,000	\$	81, =====								
	Common		ares Additional			Def ompen n Res								
]	Par Value	Value Capital		Sh								
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY, December 31, 2002	124,280,867	\$	1,236	\$ 2,243,419	\$	(
Issuance of Common Shares	6,194			96										
Accretion of Discount on Employee Stock Option Notes				(126)										
Issuance of Shares in Exchange for Operating Partnership Units	7,880			8										
Share Purchase under Compensation Plan														
Dividends Paid														
Net (Loss) Income														
Unrealized and Realized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities														

Unrealized Net Loss on Cash Flow Hedges					
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY, June 30, 2003		,941 \$		\$ 2,243,397 =======	\$ (=====
	Comp I	umulated ther rehensive ncome	Tota	al 	
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY, December 31, 2002	\$	(27,252)	\$ 1,35	4,813	
Issuance of Common Shares				96	
Accretion of Discount on Employee Stock Option Notes				(126)	
Issuance of Shares in Exchange for Operating Partnership Units				8	
Share Purchase under Compensation Plan				(854)	
Dividends Paid			(7	4,431)	
Net (Loss) Income			(2	5,383)	
Unrealized and Realized Gain (Loss) on Marketable Securities		383		383	
Unrealized Net Loss on Cash Flow Hedges		(372)		(372)	
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY, June 30, 2003	\$ ====	(27,241)	•	4,134	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

5

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(dollars in thousands)
(unaudited)

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:

Net (loss) income

Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities:

Depreciation and amortization

Residential Development cost of sales

Residential Development capital expenditures Discontinued operations Impairment and other charges related to real estate assets Income from investment in land sales, net Minority interests Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Non-cash compensation Distributions received in excess of earnings from unconsolidated companies: Office Properties Equity in (earnings) loss net of distributions received from unconsolidated compani Office Properties Resort/Hotel Properties Residential Development Properties Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties Other Change in assets and liabilities, net of effects of DBL consolidation/COPI transaction: Restricted cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Deferred rent receivable Income tax asset - current and deferred Other assets Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities Net cash provided by operating activities CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Net cash impact of DBL consolidation/COPI transaction Proceeds from property sales Acquisition of rental properties Development of investment properties Property improvements - Office Properties Property improvements - Resort/Hotel Properties Tenant improvement and leasing costs - Office Properties Residential Development Properties Investments (Increase) decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents Return of investment in unconsolidated companies: Office Properties Residential Development Properties Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties Other Investment in unconsolidated companies: Office Properties Residential Development Properties Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties Other Decrease (increase) in notes receivable

CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Debt financing costs Borrowings under Credit Facility Payments under Credit Facility Notes payable proceeds Notes payable payments Residential Development Properties notes payable borrowings Residential Development Properties notes payable payments Purchase of GMAC preferred interest

Net cash used in investing activities

Capital distributions - joint venture partner
Capital distributions - joint venture preferred equity
Proceeds from exercise of share options
Treasury shares purchase under compensation plan
Common share repurchases held in Treasury
Issuance of preferred shares - Series A
Issuance of preferred shares - Series B
Series A Preferred Share distributions
Series B Preferred Share distributions
Dividends and unitholder distributions

Net cash used in financing activities

(DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,

Beginning of period

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS,

End of Period

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

6

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Crescent Real Estate Equities Company ("Crescent Equities") operates as a real estate investment trust for federal income tax purposes (a "REIT") and, together with its subsidiaries, provides management, leasing and development services for some of its properties.

The term "Company" includes, unless the context otherwise indicates, Crescent Equities, a Texas real estate investment trust, and all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries.

The direct and indirect subsidiaries of Crescent Equities at June 30, 2003 included:

- O CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
 - The "Operating Partnership."
- O CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES, LTD.
 - The "General Partner" of the Operating Partnership.
- O SUBSIDIARIES OF THE OPERATING PARTNERSHIP AND THE GENERAL PARTNER

Crescent Equities conducts all of its business through the Operating Partnership and its other subsidiaries. The Company is structured to facilitate

and maintain the qualification of Crescent Equities as a REIT.

The following table shows the consolidated subsidiaries of the Company that owned or had an interest in real estate assets and the real estate assets that each subsidiary owned or had an interest in as of June 30, 2003.

Operating Partnership

Wholly-owned assets - The Avallon IV, Chancellor Park, Datran Center properties), Houston Center (three office properties and the Houston These properties are included in the Company's Office Segment.

Joint Venture assets, consolidated - 301 Congress Avenue (50% inter Woodlands Office Properties (85.6% interest) (four office propertie properties are included in the Company's Office Segment.

Sonoma Mission Inn & Spa (80.1% interest), included in the Company's Segment.

Equity Investments, unconsolidated - Bank One Center (50% interest) interest), Three Westlake Park (20% interest), Four Westlake Park (Center (40% interest), 5 Houston Center (25% interest) and Five Posinterest). These properties are included in the Company's Office Stamperature-controlled logistics properties (40% interest in 88 properties are included in the Company's Temperature-Controlled Logistics properties a

Crescent Real Estate
Funding I, L.P.
("Funding I")

Wholly-owned assets - The Aberdeen, The Avallon I, II & III, Carter Citadel, The Crescent Atrium, The Crescent Office Towers, Regency F Commons and 125 E. John Carpenter Freeway. These properties are in Company's Office Segment.

Crescent Real Estate
Funding II, L.P.
("Funding II")

Wholly-owned assets - Albuquerque Plaza, Barton Oaks Plaza One, Bri Research Center, Las Colinas Plaza, Liberty Plaza I & II, MacArthur Ptarmigan Place, Stanford Corporate Centre, Two Renaissance Square Central. These properties are included in the Company's Office Seg Regency Albuquerque and the Park Hyatt Beaver Creek Resort & Spa. Tincluded in the Company's Resort/Hotel Segment.

Crescent Real Estate Funding III, IV and V, L.P. ("Funding III, IV and V")(2) Wholly-owned assets - Greenway Plaza Office Properties (ten office properties are included in the Company's Office Segment. Renaissant included in the Company's Resort/Hotel Segment.

Crescent Real Estate
Funding VI, L.P.
("Funding VI")

Wholly-owned asset - Canyon Ranch - Lenox, included in the Company' Segment.

Crescent Real Estate
Funding VII, L.P.
("Funding VII")

Wholly-owned assets - Five behavioral healthcare properties.

7

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Crescent Real Estate

Wholly-owned assets - The Addison, Addison Tower, Austin Centre, Th

Edgar Filing: CRES	CENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES CO - Form 10-Q
Funding VIII, L.P. ("Funding VIII")	Bank Plaza, Greenway I and IA (two office properties), Greenway II, Plaza, Palisades Central I, Palisades Central II, Stemmons Place, T Center(3), 3333 Lee Parkway, 1800 West Loop South, 5050 Quorum, 44 Madison. These properties are included in the Company's Office Seg Ranch - Tucson, Omni Austin Hotel, and Ventana Inn & Spa, all of wh the Company's Resort/Hotel Segment.
Crescent 707 17th Street, L.L.C.	Wholly-owned assets - 707 17th Street, included in the Company's Of The Denver Marriott City Center, included in the Company's Resort/H
<pre>Crescent Real Estate Funding X, L.P. ("Funding X")</pre>	Wholly-owned assets - Fountain Place and Post Oak Central (three of all of which are included in the Company's Office Segment.
Crescent Spectrum Center, L.P.(4)	Wholly-owned asset - Spectrum Center, included in the Company's Off
Mira Vista Development Corp. ("MVDC")	Equity Investments, consolidated - Mira Vista (98% interest), inclu Residential Development Segment.
Houston Area Development Corp. ("HADC")	Equity Investments, consolidated - Falcon Point (98% interest), Fal interest) and Spring Lakes (98% interest). These properties are in Company's Residential Development Segment.
Desert Mountain Development Corporation ("DMDC")	Equity Investments, consolidated - Desert Mountain (93% interest), Company's Residential Development Segment.
The Woodlands Land Company ("TWLC")	Equity Investments, unconsolidated - The Woodlands (42.5% interest) Company's Residential Development Segment.

Crescent Resort Development Inc. ("CRDI") Equity Investments, consolidated - Eagle Ranch (60% interest), Main (30% interest), Main Street Station (30% interest), Main Street Sta (30% interest), Riverbend (60% interest), Park Place at Riverfront Tower at Riverfront (64% interest), Promenade Lofts at Riverfront (Creekside at Riverfront (64% interest), Cresta (60% interest), Snow interest), Horizon Pass Lodge (64% interest), One Vendue Range (62% Greenwood (71.2% interest), Tahoe Mountain Resorts (57% - 71.2% int properties are included in the Company's Residential Development Se

Equity Investment, unconsolidated - Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. interest), included in the Company's Residential Development Segment

Crescent TRS Holdings Corp.

Equity Investments, unconsolidated - two quarries (56% interest). included in the Company's Temperature-Controlled Logistics Segment.

⁽¹⁾ During the second quarter of 2003, The Park Shops was renamed the Houston Center Shops.

⁽²⁾ Funding III owns nine of the ten office properties in the Greenway Plaza office portfolio and the Renaissance Houston Hotel; Funding IV owns the central heated and chilled water plant building located at Greenway Plaza; and Funding V owns 9 Greenway, the remaining office property in the Greenway Plaza office portfolio.

⁽³⁾ The Company owns the principal economic interest in Trammell Crow Center through its ownership of fee simple title to the property (subject to a ground lease and a leasehold estate regarding the

building) and two mortgage notes encumbering the leasehold interests in the land and the building.

- (4) In May 2003, Crescent Spectrum Center, L.P. exercised its option to acquire the Spectrum Center property in exchange for the mortgage on the property.
- (5) Distributions are made to partners based on specified payout percentages. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company's payout percentage and economic interest were 52.5%.

See Note 7, "Investments in Real Estate Mortgages and Equity of Unconsolidated Companies," for a table that lists the Company's ownership in significant unconsolidated joint ventures and equity investments as of June 30, 2003.

See Note 8, "Notes Payable and Borrowings Under Credit Facility," for a list of certain other subsidiaries of the Company, all of which are consolidated in the Company's financial statements and were formed primarily for the purpose of obtaining secured debt or joint venture financing.

8

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEGMENTS

The assets and operations of the Company were divided into four investment segments at June 30, 2003, as follows:

- o Office Segment;
- o Resort/Hotel Segment;
- o Residential Development Segment; and
- o Temperature-Controlled Logistics Segment.

Within these segments, the Company owned in whole or in part the following real estate assets (the "Properties") as of June 30, 2003:

- o OFFICE SEGMENT consisted of 73 office properties, including three retail properties (collectively referred to as the "Office Properties"), located in 25 metropolitan submarkets in six states, with an aggregate of approximately 29.5 million net rentable square feet. 61 of the Office Properties are wholly-owned and 12 are owned through joint ventures, five of which are consolidated and seven of which are unconsolidated.
- o RESORT/HOTEL SEGMENT consisted of six luxury and destination fitness resorts and spas with a total of 1,306 rooms/guest nights and four upscale business-class hotel properties with a total of 1,771 rooms (collectively referred to as the "Resort/Hotel Properties"). Eight of the Resort/Hotel Properties are wholly-owned, one is owned through a joint venture that is consolidated, and one is owned through a joint venture that is unconsolidated.

- o RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT consisted of the Company's ownership of real estate mortgages and voting and non-voting common stock representing interests of 98% to 100% in five residential development corporations (collectively referred to as the "Residential Development Corporations"), which in turn, through partnership arrangements, owned in whole or in part 23 upscale residential development properties, 21 of which are consolidated and two of which are unconsolidated (collectively referred to as the "Residential Development Properties").
- TEMPERATURE-CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT consisted of the 0 Company's 40% interest in Vornado Crescent Portland Partnership (the "Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership") and a 56% interest in the Vornado Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry L.L.C. The Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership owns all of the common stock, representing substantially all of the economic interest, of AmeriCold Corporation (the "Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation"), a REIT. As of June 30, 2003, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation directly or indirectly owned 88 temperature-controlled logistics properties (collectively referred to as the "Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties") with an aggregate of approximately 441.5 million cubic feet (17.5 million square feet) of warehouse space. As of June 30, 2003, the Vornado Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry, L.L.C. owned two quarries and the related land. The Company accounts for its interests in the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership and in the Vornado Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry L.L.C. as unconsolidated equity investments.

See Note 3, "Segment Reporting," for a table showing total revenues, operating expenses, equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated companies and funds from operations for each of these investment segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, and total assets, consolidated property level financing, consolidated other liabilities, and minority interests for each of these investment segments at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002.

For purposes of segment reporting as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," and this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Resort/Hotel Properties, the Residential Development Properties and the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties are considered three separate reportable segments, as described above. However, for purposes of investor communications, the Company classifies its luxury and destination fitness resorts and spas and Residential Development Properties as a single group referred to as the "Resort and Residential Development Sector" due to the similar characteristics of targeted customers. This group does not contain the four business-class hotel properties. Instead, for investor communications, the four business-class hotel properties are classified with the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties as the Company's "Investment Sector."

9

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP") for interim financial information, as well as in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements are not included. In management's opinion, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the unaudited interim financial statements are included. Operating results for interim periods reflected do not necessarily indicate the results that may be expected for a full fiscal year. You should read these financial statements in conjunction with the financial statements and the accompanying notes included in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

Certain amounts in prior period financial statements have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

This section should be read in conjunction with the more detailed information regarding the Company's significant accounting policies contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

ADOPTION OF NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

SFAS NO. 145. In April 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." SFAS No. 145 requires the reporting of gains and losses from early extinguishment of debt be included in the determination of net income unless criteria in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations," which allows for extraordinary item classification, are met. The provisions of this Statement related to the rescission of Statement No. 4 are to be applied in fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002. The Company adopted this Statement for fiscal 2003 and expects no impact in 2003 beyond the classification of costs related to early extinguishments of debt, which were shown in the Company's 2001 Consolidated Statements of Operations as an extraordinary item.

SFAS NOS. 148 AND 123. In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure," effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, to amend the transition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." In addition to the prospective transition method of accounting for Stock-Based Employee Compensation using the fair value method provided in SFAS No. 123, SFAS No. 148 permits two additional transition methods, both of which avoid the ramp-up effect arising from prospective application of the fair value method. The Retroactive Restatement Method requires companies to restate all periods presented to reflect the Stock-Based Employee Compensation under the fair value method for all employee awards granted, modified, or settled in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1994. The Modified Prospective Method requires companies to recognize Stock-Based Employee Compensation from the beginning of the fiscal year in which the recognition provisions are first applied as if the fair value method in SFAS No. 123 had been used to account for employee awards granted, modified, or settled in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1994. Also, in the absence of a single accounting method for Stock-Based Employee Compensation, SFAS No. 148 expands disclosure requirements from those existing in SFAS No. 123, and requires disclosure of whether, when, and how an entity adopted the preferable, fair value method of accounting.

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value expense recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 on a prospective basis as permitted, which requires that the value of stock options at the date of grant be amortized

ratably into expense over the appropriate vesting period. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company granted stock options and recognized compensation expense that was not significant to its results of operations. With respect to the Company's stock options which were granted prior to 2003, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations ("APB No. 25"). Under APB No. 25, compensation cost is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the Company's common shares at the date of grant over the exercise price of the option granted. Compensation cost for stock options, if any, is recognized ratably over the vesting period. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, no compensation cost was recognized for grants of stock options made prior to 2003 under the Company stock option plans because the Company's policy is to grant stock options with an exercise price equal to the quoted closing market price of the Company's common shares on the grant date. Had compensation cost for the Plans been determined based on the

10

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

fair value at the grant dates for awards under the Plans consistent with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net (loss) income and (loss) earnings per share would have been reduced to the following pro forma amounts:

	FOR	THE THREE MONT	THS ENDED	JUNE 30,	FO	R THE SIX	
(in thousands, except per share amounts)		2003		2002		2003	
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders, as reported Deduct: total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under	\$	(6,053)	\$	6,727	\$	(25,383)	
fair value based method for all awards		(765)		(1,093)		(1,602)	
Pro forma net (loss) income (Loss) earnings per share:	\$	(6,818)	\$	5,634	\$	(26,985)	
Basic - as reported	\$	(0.06)	\$	0.07	\$	(0.26)	
Basic - pro forma	\$	(0.07)	\$	0.05	\$	(0.27)	
Diluted - as reported	\$	(0.06)	\$	0.07	\$	(0.26)	
Diluted - pro forma	\$	(0.07)	\$	0.05	\$	(0.27)	

SFAS NO. 149. In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies the financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In general, SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact, if any, on the Company's financial condition or its results of operations.

SFAS NO. 150. In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer should classify and measure certain financial instruments that have both liability and equity characteristics. The provisions of this Statement are to be applied to financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and to existing instruments as of the beginning of the first interim financial reporting period after June 15, 2003. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact, if any, on the Company's financial condition or its results of operations.

FASB INTERPRETATION 45. In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation 45, "Guarantors' Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" ("FIN 45"), which elaborates on the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued, and liability-recognition requirements for a guarantor of certain types of debt. The new guidance requires a guarantor to recognize a liability at the inception of a guarantee which is covered by the new requirements whether or not payment is probable, creating the new concept of a "stand-ready" obligation. Initial recognition and initial measurement provisions are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. See Note 10, "Commitments and Contingencies," for disclosure of the Company's guarantees at June 30, 2003. The Company adopted FIN 45 effective January 1, 2003.

FASB INTERPRETATION 46. On January 15, 2003, the FASB approved the issuance of Interpretation 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FIN 46"), an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements." Under FIN 46, consolidation requirements are effective immediately for new Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs") created after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements apply to existing VIEs in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. VIEs are generally a legal structure used for business enterprises that either do not have equity investors with voting rights, or have equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. The objective of the new guidance is to improve reporting by addressing when a company should include in its financial statements the assets, liabilities and activities of another entity such as a VIE. FIN 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated by a company if the company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the VIE's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. FIN 46 also requires disclosures about VIEs that the company is not required to consolidate but in which it has a significant variable interest. Certain of the

11

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

disclosure requirements apply in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the VIE was established. These disclosure requirements are as follows: (a) the nature, purpose, size, and activities of the variable interest entity; and, (b) the enterprise's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIE. FIN 46 may be applied prospectively with a cumulative effect adjustment as of the date on which it is first applied or by restating previously issued financial statements for one or more years with a cumulative effect adjustment as of the beginning of the first year restated. The Company is assessing the impact of this Interpretation, if any, on

its existing entities and does not believe the impact will be significant on its liquidity, financial position, and results of operations. The Company did not create any VIEs subsequent to January 31, 2003.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

EARNINGS PER SHARE. SFAS No. 128, "Earnings Per Share," ("EPS") specifies the computation, presentation and disclosure requirements for earnings per share.

Basic EPS is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock, where such exercise or conversion would result in a lower EPS amount. The Company presents both basic and diluted earnings per share.

The following tables present reconciliations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 of basic and diluted earnings per share from "Income before discontinued operations and cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle" to "Net (loss) income available to common shareholders." The table also includes weighted average shares on a basic and diluted basis.

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS EN						
		2003					
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Income (Loss)	Wtd. Avg. Shares	Per Share Amount				
BASIC EPS -							
Income before discontinued operations Series A Preferred Share distributions	\$ 754 (4,556)	99,170		\$			
Series B Preferred Share distributions	(2,019)						
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders							
before discontinued operations Discontinued operations - income (loss) on assets	\$ (5,821)	99 , 170	(0.06)	\$			
sold and held for sale	649		0.01	ļ			
Discontinued operations- (loss) gain on assets sold and held for sale	(881)		(0.01)				
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (6,053)	99,170	(0.06)	\$			
	=======	=======	======				

		FOR TH	HE THREE MONTH	IS EN
		2003		
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Income (Loss)	Wtd. Avg. Shares	Per Share Amount	 I

DILUTED EPS -

Income before discontinued operations	\$ 754	99 , 170		\$
Series A Preferred Share distributions	(4,556)			
Series B Preferred Share distributions	(2,019)			
Effect of dilutive securities				
Additional common shares relating to				
share and unit options		13		
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders				
before discontinued operations	\$ (5,821)	99,183	(0.06)	\$
Discontinued operations - income (loss) on assets				
sold and held for sale	649		0.01	
Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold				
and held for sale	(881)		(0.01)	
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (6 , 053)	99 , 183	(0.06)	\$
	=======	=======	=======	==

12

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	THE SIX MONTE	IS EN		
		2003		
(in thousands, except per share amounts)		Wtd. Avg. Shares		 I (
BASIC EPS -				
Income before discontinued operations				
and cumulative effect of a change in accounting				
principle	\$ 1 , 738	99 , 194		\$
Series A Preferred Share distributions	(9,112)			
Series B Preferred Share distributions	(4,038)			
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders before discontinued operations and cumulative				
effect of a change in accounting principle Discontinued operations - income on assets sold and	\$(11,412)	99,194	(0.12)	\$
held for sale	624		0.01	
Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold				
and held for sale	(14,595)		(0.15)	
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle				
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$ (25 , 383)	99,194	(0.26)	\$
	=======	=======	======	==

	FOR	THE	SIX	MONTHS	ΕŊ
2003					

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Income (Loss)	Wtd. Avg. Shares	Per Share Amount	I (
DILUTED EPS -				ľ
Income before discontinued operations				1
and cumulative effect of a change in accounting				ľ
principle	\$ 1,738	99,194		\$
Series A Preferred Share distributions	(9,112)			
Series B Preferred Share distributions	(4,038)			ľ
Effect of dilutive securities				
Additional common shares relating to				
share and unit options		7		
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders				
before discontinued operations and cumulative				
effect of a change in accounting principle	\$(11,412)	99,201	(0.12)	\$
Discontinued operations - income on assets sold and				
held for sale	624		0.01	
Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold				
and held for sale	(14,595)		(0.15)	,
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle				ļ
Net (loss) income available to common shareholders	\$(25 , 383)	99,201	(0.26)	\$
			======	==

13

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

This table presents supplemental cash flows disclosures for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE TO STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	FOR THE SIX MONT	HS ENDE
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:	2003	2002
(in thousands)		
Interest paid on debt Interest capitalized - Office Properties	\$ 76 , 240	\$ 71,
Interest capitalized - Residential Development Properties Additional interest paid in conjunction with cash flow hedges	8,297 10,114	5, 12,
Total interest paid	\$ 94,651 =======	\$ 88,
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 1,640 ======	\$ 11,

SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Conversion of Operating Partnership units to common shares with resulting reduction in minority interest and increases in			
common shares and additional paid-in capital	\$	8	\$
Unrealized and realized gain (loss) on marketable securities		383	(1,
Impairment and other charges related to real estate assets		18,018	3,
Adjustment of cash flow hedge to fair value		(487)	6,
SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF 2003 CONSOLIDATION OF DBL, MVDC AND HADC AND THE 2002 TRANSFER OF ASSETS AND ASSUMPTION OF LIABILITIES PURSUANT TO THE FEBRUARY 14, 2002 AGREEMENT WITH COPI:			
Net investment in real estate	\$	(9,692)	\$(570,
Restricted cash and cash equivalents			(3,
Accounts receivable, net		(3,057)	(23,
Investments in real estate mortgages and equity of			
unconsolidated companies		13,552	309,
Notes receivable, net		(25)	29,
Income tax asset - current and deferred, net		(3,564)	(21,
Other assets, net		(820)	(63,
Notes payable		312	129,
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities		12,696	201,
Minority interest - consolidated real estate partnerships		1,972	51,
Increase in cash	\$	11,374	\$ 38,
	==		

3. SEGMENT REPORTING

For purposes of segment reporting as defined in SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information," the Company currently has four major investment segments based on property type: the Office Segment; the Resort/Hotel Segment; the Residential Development Segment; and the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Segment. Management utilizes this segment structure for making operating decisions and assessing performance.

The Company uses funds from operations ("FFO") as the measure of segment profit or loss. FFO, as used in this document, is based on the definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT") and means:

- o Net Income (Loss) determined in conformity with GAAP;
- excluding gains (losses) from sales of depreciable operating property;
- o excluding extraordinary items (as defined by GAAP);
- o including depreciation and amortization of real estate assets;
- after adjusting for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

NAREIT developed FFO as a relative measure of performance of an equity REIT to recognize that income-producing real estate historically has not depreciated on the basis determined under GAAP. The Company considers FFO an appropriate measure of performance for an equity REIT and for its investment segments. However, FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income determined in accordance with GAAP as an indication of the Company's operating performance.

14

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company's measure of FFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs if those REITs apply the definition of FFO in a different manner than the Company.

Selected financial information related to each segment for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, and total assets, consolidated property level financing, consolidated other liabilities, and minority interests for each of the segments at June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, are presented below:

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

(in thousands)	OFFICE SEGMENT	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		TEMPERATURE- CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT	CC AN	
D	<u></u>	^ F1 C22	÷ 54 007			
Property revenues Other income	\$127 , 334(1) 	\$ 51,632 	\$ 54,207 	\$ 	Ş -	
Total revenue	\$127 , 334	\$ 51,632 ======	\$ 54,207 ======	\$ =====	\$	
Property operating expenses Other operating expenses	\$ 62,452 	\$ 42,658 	\$ 47,831 	\$ 	\$	
Total expenses	\$ 62,452 ======	\$ 42,658 ======	\$ 47,831 ======	\$ =====	\$	
Equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated companies	\$ 1,864 ======	\$ 1,382 ======	\$ 1,540 ======	\$ (406) ======	\$	
Funds from operations	\$ 70,011 ======	\$ 12,356 ======	\$ 5,705	\$ 5,079 =====	\$ (==	

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

(in thousands)	OFFICE SEGMENT	RESORT/HOTEL SEGMENT	RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT	TEMPERATURE- CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT	CO AN
Property revenues Other income	\$138,378(1) 	\$ 53 , 523	\$ 83,480 	\$ 	\$
Total revenue	\$138 , 378	\$ 53,523	\$ 83,480	\$	\$
	=======	=======	=======	=====	==
Property operating expenses	\$ 60,951	\$ 42,212	\$ 74,327	\$	\$

Other operating expenses					
Total expenses	\$ 60,951	\$ 42,212	\$ 74,327	\$	\$
	======	======	=======	=====	==
Equity in net income (loss) of					
unconsolidated companies	\$ 1,471	\$	\$ 6,179	\$ (417)	\$
	======	======	=======	=====	==
Funds from operations	\$ 80,502	\$ 12 , 637	\$ 12,474	\$5 , 374	\$ (
		=======	=======	=====	==

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION: FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003

(in thousands)	OFFICE RESORT/HOTEL D		RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT	TEMPERATURE- CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT	COR AND	
Property revenues Other income	\$ 256,068(1) 	\$ 115 , 353	\$ 89,572 	\$ 	\$	
Total revenue	\$ 256 , 068	\$ 115,353	\$ 89 , 572	\$	\$	
Property operating expenses Other operating expenses	\$ 123,404 	\$ 92,399 	\$ 80,760 	====== \$ 	=== \$ 1	
Total expenses	\$ 123,404	\$ 92,399	\$ 80,760	\$	\$ 1	
Equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated companies	\$ 3,322	\$ 2,125	,	\$ 1,101	\$	
Funds from operations	\$ 142,271 =======	\$ 27,987	\$ 10,993 ======	\$12,096 =====	\$ (1 ===	

15

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION:

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

(in thousands)	OFFICE SEGMENT	RESORT/HOTEL SEGMENT	RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT	TEMPERATURE- CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT	COI ANI
Property revenues Other income	\$ 277,967(1) 	\$ 92,047 	\$ 126 , 541 	\$ 	\$
Total revenue	\$ 277 , 967	\$ 92,047	\$ 126,541	\$	\$
Property operating expenses	======= \$ 124 , 599	======= \$ 66,102	\$ 113,678	====== \$	\$

Other operating expenses					1
Total expenses	\$ 124,599	\$ 66,102	\$ 113 , 678	\$	\$ 1
	=======	=======	=======	======	===
Equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated companies	\$ 2,781	\$	\$ 18 , 662	\$ (727)	\$
	=======	=======	=======	======	
Funds from operations	\$ 161,074	\$ 33 , 547	\$ 28,035	\$10,775	\$(1
		========		======	===

See footnotes to the following table.

(in millions)		OFFICE RESORT/HOTEL BEGMENT SEGMENT		RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT		CON LOC	PERATURE- NTROLLED GISTICS EGMENT	
TOTAL ASSETS BY SEGMENT: (3)								
Balance at June 30, 2003	\$ 2,	529	\$	499	\$	748	\$	303
Balance at December 31, 2002	2,	626		502		723		304
CONSOLIDATED PROPERTY LEVEL FINANCING:								
Balance at June 30, 2003	\$(1,	368)	\$	(133)	\$	(87)	\$	
Balance at December 31, 2002	(1,	371)		(130)		(93)		
CONSOLIDATED OTHER LIABILITIES:								
Balance at June 30, 2003	\$	(92)	\$	(40)	\$	(136)	\$	
Balance at December 31, 2002	(135)		(44)		(125)		
MINORITY INTERESTS:								
Balance at June 30, 2003	\$	(8)	\$	(7)	\$	(24)	\$	
Balance at December 31, 2002		(11)		(8)		(25)		

- (1) Includes lease termination fees (net of the write-off of deferred rent receivables) of approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively and \$2.9 million and \$1.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.
- (2) For purposes of this Note, Corporate and Other include income from investment land sales, net, corporate interest and other income, general and administrative, interest expense, depreciation and amortization, amortization of deferred financing costs, preferred return paid to GMAC Commercial Mortgage Corporation ("GMACCM") for 2002, preferred dividends, other unconsolidated companies, impairment and other charges and other expenses.
- (3) Total assets by segment is inclusive of investments in real estate mortgages and equity of unconsolidated companies, net of unconsolidated debt.
- (4) Inclusive of Corporate bonds and credit facility.
- (5) The following table presents a reconciliation of Consolidated Funds from Operations to Net Income (Loss).

16

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

RECONCILIATION OF CONSOLIDATED FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

	FOR THE THE	REE MONTHS JUNE 30,	F	
(in thousands)	2003	2002	20	
Cancelidated Funds from Operations	¢ 26 441	¢	\$ 7	
Consolidated Funds from Operations	\$ 30,441	\$ 53,205	Ş /	
Adjustments to reconcile Consolidated Funds from				
Operations to Net Income (Loss): Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets	(33-099)	(33,530)	(6	
(Loss) gain on property sales, net		1,420	(3	
Impairment and other adjustments related to real	(02)	2,120		
estate assets and assets held for sale	(990)		(1	
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle			,	
Adjustment for investments in real estate				
mortgages and equity of unconsolidated companies:				
Office Properties	(3,013)	(1,889)	(
Resort/Hotel Properties	(355)			
Residential Development Properties	512	(2,051)		
Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties	(5,486)	(5 , 790)	(1	
Other	104	(3,130)		
Unitholder minority interest	(105)	(1,508)		
Series A Preferred share distributions	4,556	4,215		
Series B Preferred share distributions	2,019	1,009		
Net Income (Loss)	\$ 522 =======	\$ 11 , 951	\$ (1 ====	

4. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, which requires that the results of operations of assets sold or held for sale, and any gains or losses recognized on assets sold and held for sale, be disclosed separately in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. The Company adopted SFAS No. 144 on January 1, 2002. In accordance with SFAS No. 144, the results of operations of the assets sold or held for sale have been presented as "Discontinued operations - income (loss) on assets sold and held for sale," and gain or loss and impairments in the assets sold or held for sale have been presented as "Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold and held for sale" in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002. The impairment charges represent the difference between the carrying value of assets sold or held for sale and the actual or estimated sales price, less costs of sale. The carrying value of the assets held for sale has been reflected as "Properties held for disposition, net" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002.

ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

OFFICE SEGMENT

As of June 30, 2003, the 1800 West Loop South Office Property located in the West Loop/Galleria submarket in Houston, Texas was held for sale. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company recognized an approximately \$12.7 million impairment charge, net of minority interests, on the 1800 West Loop South Office Property.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company determined that the North Dallas Athletic Club, a building adjacent to the Stanford Corporate Centre Office Property in the Far North Dallas submarket in Dallas, Texas was no longer held for sale due to the Company's negotiations to contract a new operator. The Property has been reclassified from "Properties held for disposition, net" to "Building and improvements," "Furniture, fixtures and equipment" and "Accumulated depreciation" in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets with a book value of \$0.6 million, net of accumulated depreciation of \$0.8 million. The impairment charge of \$1.0 million, net of minority interest, recorded during the first quarter of 2003, has been reclassified from "Discontinued operations - (loss) gain on assets sold and held for sale" to "Impairment and other charges related to real estate assets" in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations.

17

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES

On February 27, 2003, the Company sold a behavioral healthcare property for \$2.0 million, consisting of \$1.3 million in cash and a \$0.7 million note receivable. The Company recognized a loss on the sale of this property of approximately \$0.3 million. A \$2.3 million impairment charge, net of minority interest, had been recognized during 2002 related to this property.

On May 2, 2003, the Company sold one additional behavioral healthcare property for \$2.1 million. The Company recognized a loss on the sale of this property of approximately \$0.1 million. A \$0.7 million impairment charge, net of minority interest, was recognized during the first quarter of 2003 related to this property.

The Company also recognized a \$0.8 million impairment charge, net of minority interest, during the second quarter of 2003 on a behavioral healthcare property held for sale and under contract for sale at June 30, 2003. This property was sold on July 10, 2003.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company owned five behavioral healthcare properties.

SUMMARY OF ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

The following table indicates the major classes of assets of the Properties held for sale.

(in thousands) JUNE 30, 2003(1) DECEMBER 31, 2002

Land	\$ 9,523	\$ 12,802
Buildings and improvements	39,291	56 , 875
Furniture, fixture and equipment	935	1,665
Accumulated depreciation	(8,847)	(9,873)
Net investment in real estate	\$ 40,902	\$ 61,469

(1) Includes the 1800 West Loop South Office Property and five behavioral healthcare properties.

The following table presents rental revenue, operating expenses, depreciation and amortization, net income and impairments for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 for Properties held for sale as of June 30, 2003.

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

			DEPRECIATION		
		OPERATING	AND	NET	
	REVENUE (1)	EXPENSES (1)	AMORTIZATION(1)	INCOME (1)	IMPAIRMENTS (2
(in thousands)					
2003	\$2,889	\$1,548	\$303	\$1,038	\$16,818
2002	2,802	1,623	819	360	

- (1) Includes the 1800 West Loop South Office Property.
- (2) Includes impairments of 1800 West Loop South and two behavioral healthcare properties, before minority interests of \$2.6 million.
- 5. OTHER ASSET DISPOSITIONS

INVESTMENT LAND DISPOSITIONS

On April 24, 2003, the Company completed the sale of approximately one-half acre of undeveloped land located in Dallas, Texas. The sale generated net proceeds and a net gain of approximately 0.3 million. This land was wholly-owned by the Company.

On May 15, 2003, the Company completed the sale of approximately 24.8 acres of undeveloped land located in Coppell, Texas. The sale generated net proceeds of \$3.0 million and a net gain of approximately \$1.1 million. This land was wholly-owned by the Company.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company sold approximately 3.5 acres of undeveloped land located in Houston, Texas. Subsequent to the end of the second quarter, the sale agreement was modified. Under the terms of the modified sale agreement, the Company generated proceeds of \$2.1 million, net of closing costs, and a note receivable in the amount of \$11.8 million, with annual installments of principal and interest payments beginning June 27, 2004 through maturity on June 27, 2010. The principal payment amounts are calculated based upon a 20-year amortization and the interest rate is 4% for the first two years and thereafter the prime rate, as defined in the note, through maturity. Based on the terms of the modified sale agreement, the Company will fully recognize a net gain of approximately \$8.9 million in the third quarter of 2003. This land was wholly-owned by the Company.

6. TEMPERATURE-CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT

TEMPERATURE-CONTROLLED LOGISTICS PROPERTIES

As of June 30, 2003, the Company held a 40% interest in the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership, which owns the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation, which directly or indirectly owns the 88 Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties, with an aggregate of approximately 441.5 million cubic feet (17.5 million square feet) of warehouse space.

The Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation leases the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties to AmeriCold Logistics, a limited liability company owned 60% by Vornado Operating L.P. and 40% by a subsidiary of Crescent Operating, Inc. ("COPI"). The Company has no economic interest in AmeriCold Logistics. See Note 15, "COPI," for information on the proposed acquisition of COPI's 40% interest in AmeriCold Logistics by a new entity to be owned by the Company's shareholders.

AmeriCold Logistics, as sole lessee of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties, leases the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties from the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation under three triple-net master leases, as amended. On February 22, 2001, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation and AmeriCold Logistics agreed to restructure certain financial terms of the leases, including a reduction of the rental obligation for 2001 and 2002, the increase of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation's share of capital expenditures for the maintenance of the properties (effective January 1, 2000) and the extension of the date on which deferred rent is required to be paid to December 31, 2003. On March 7, 2003, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation and AmeriCold Logistics amended the leases to further extend the date on which deferred rent is required to be paid to December 31, 2004.

AmeriCold Logistics deferred \$18.5 million of the total \$76.4 million of rent payable for the six months ended June 30, 2003. The Company's share of the deferred rent was \$7.4 million. The Company recognizes rental income from the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties when earned and collected and has not recognized the \$7.4 million of deferred rent in equity in net income of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties for the six months ended June 30, 2003. As of June 30, 2003, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation's deferred rent and valuation allowance from AmeriCold Logistics were \$59.1 million and \$52.8 million, respectively, of which the Company's portions were \$23.6 million and \$21.1 million, respectively.

VORNADO CRESCENT CARTHAGE AND KC QUARRY, L.L.C.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company held a 56% interest in Vornado

Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry, L.L.C. ("VCQ"). The assets of VCQ include two quarries and the related land. The Company accounts for this investment as an unconsolidated equity investment because the Company does not control the joint venture.

On December 31, 2002, VCQ purchased \$5.7 million of trade receivables from AmeriCold Logistics at a 2% discount. The Company contributed approximately \$3.1 million to VCQ for the purchase of the trade receivables. The receivables were collected during the three months ended March 31, 2003.

On March 28, 2003, VCQ purchased \$6.6 million of trade receivables from AmeriCold Logistics at a 2% discount. VCQ used cash from collection of trade receivables previously purchased from AmeriCold Logistics and a \$2.0 million contribution from its owners, of which approximately \$0.8 million represented the Company's contribution, for the purchase of the trade receivables. The receivables were collected during the three months ended June 30, 2003.

On May 22, 2003, VCQ distributed cash of \$3.2 million to the Company.

19

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

7. INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE MORTGAGES AND EQUITY OF UNCONSOLIDATED COMPANIES

The Company has investments of 20% to 50% in seven unconsolidated joint ventures that own seven Office Properties. The Company does not have control of these joint ventures, and therefore, these investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

The Company, through ownership interests of 50% or less, or ownership of non-voting interests only, has other unconsolidated investments which it does not control; these investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

The following is a summary of the Company's ownership in significant unconsolidated joint ventures and equity investments as of June 30, 2003.

ENTITY CLASSIFICATION _____

Joint Ventures

Main Street Partners, L.P. Crescent Miami Center, L.L.C. Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P. Austin PT BK One Tower Office Limited Partnership Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership Office (Four Westlake Park - Houston) Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership Office (Three Westlake Park - Houston Crescent Five Post Oak Park L.P.

Equity Investments

The Woodlands Land Development Company, L.P. Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. Manalapan Hotel Partners, L.L.C.

Office (Bank One Center - Dallas) Office (Miami Center - Miami) Office (5 Houston Center - Houston) Office (Bank One Tower - Austin)

Office (Five Post Oak - Houston)

Residential Development Residential Development Resort/Hotel (Ritz Carlton Palm Beach

Vornado Crescent Portland Partnership Temperature-Controlled Logistics Vornado Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry, L.L.C. Temperature-Controlled Logistics The Woodlands Commercial Properties Company, L.P. Office CR License, L.L.C. Other The Woodlands Operating Company, L.P. Other Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C. Other SunTx Fulcrum Fund, L.P. Other G2 Opportunity Fund, L.P. Other

- (1) The remaining 50% interest in Main Street Partners, L.P. is owned by Trizec Properties, Inc.
- (2) The remaining 60% interest in Crescent Miami Center, L.L.C. is owned by an affiliate of a fund managed by JP Morgan Fleming Asset Management, Inc.
- (3) The remaining 75% interest in Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P. is owned by a pension fund advised by JP Morgan Fleming Asset Management, Inc.
- (4) The remaining 80% interest in each of Austin PT BK One Tower Office Limited Partnership, Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership and Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership is owned by an affiliate of General Electric Pension Trust.
- (5) The remaining 70% interest in Crescent Five Post Oak Park L.P. is owned by an affiliate of General Electric Pension Trust.
- (6) The remaining 57.5% interest in each of the Woodlands Land Development Company, L.P. ("WLDC"), The Woodlands Commercial Properties Company, L.P. ("Woodlands CPC") and The Woodlands Operating Company, L.P. is owned by an affiliate of Morgan Stanley.
- (7) Distributions are made to partners based on specified payout percentages. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the payout percentage to the Company was 52.5%.
- (8) The remaining 50% interest in Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. is owned by parties unrelated to the Company.
- (9) The remaining 50% interest in Manalapan Hotel Partners, L.L.C. ("Manalapan") is owned by WB Palm Beach Investors, L.L.C.
- (10) The remaining 60% interest in Vornado Crescent Portland Partnership is owned by Vornado Realty Trust, L.P.
- (11) The remaining 44% in Vornado Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry, L.L.C. is owned by Vornado Realty Trust, L.P.
- (12) The remaining 70% interest in CR License, L.L.C. is owned by an affiliate of the management company of two of the Company's Resort/Hotel Properties.
- (13) The remaining 35% interest in Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C. is owned by an affiliate of the management company of two of the Company's Resort/Hotel Properties.

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- The SunTx Fulcrum Fund, L.P.'s ("SunTx") objective is to invest in a portfolio of acquisitions that offer the potential for substantial capital appreciation. The remaining 71.9% of SunTx is owned by a group of individuals unrelated to the Company. The Company's ownership percentage will decline by the closing date of SunTx as capital commitments from third parties are secured. The Company's projected ownership interest at the closing of SunTx is approximately 7.5% based on SunTx manager's expectations for the final SunTx capitalization. The Company accounts for its investment in SunTx under the cost method. The Company's investment at June 30, 2003 was \$6.3 million.
- (15) G2 Opportunity Fund, L.P. ("G2") was formed for the purpose of investing in commercial mortgage backed securities and other commercial real estate investments. Goff-Moore Strategic Partners, L.P. ("GMSP") and GMACCM each own 21.875% of G2, with the remaining 43.75% owned by parties unrelated to the Company. See Note 14, "Related Party Transactions," for information regarding the ownership interests of trust managers and officers of the Company in GMSP.

SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company reports its share of income and losses based on its ownership interest in its respective equity investments, adjusted for any preference payments. As a result of the Company's transaction with COPI on February 14, 2002, certain entities that were reported as unconsolidated entities in 2002 prior to February 14, 2002 are consolidated in the June 30, 2003 financial statements. Additionally, certain unconsolidated subsidiaries of the newly consolidated entities are now shown separately as unconsolidated entities of the Company. As a result of the Company's January 2, 2003 purchase of the remaining 2.56% economic interest, representing 100% of the voting stock, in DBL Holdings, Inc. ("DBL"), DBL is consolidated in the June 30, 2003 financial statements. Because DBL owns a majority of the voting stock of MVDC and HADC, these two Residential Development Corporations are consolidated in the June 30, 2003 financial statements.

The unconsolidated entities that are included under the headings on the following tables are summarized below.

Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2003:

- o WLDC:
- o Other Residential Development This includes the Blue River Land Company, L.L.C.;
- o Resort/Hotel This includes Manalapan;
- o Temperature-Controlled Logistics This includes the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership and VCQ;
- O Office This includes Main Street Partners, L.P.,
 Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited
 Partnership, Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office
 Limited Partnership, Austin PT BK One Tower Office
 Limited Partnership, Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P.,
 Crescent Miami Center, L.L.C., Crescent Five Post Oak
 Park L.P. and Woodlands CPC; and

o Other - This includes CR License, L.L.C., The Woodlands Operating Company, L.P., Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C., SunTx and G2.

Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2002:

- o WLDC;
- O Other Residential Development This includes the Blue River Land Company, L.L.C., MVDC and HADC;
- o Resort/Hotel This includes Manalapan;
- o Temperature-Controlled Logistics This includes the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership and VCQ;
- O Office This includes Main Street Partners, L.P.,
 Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited
 Partnership, Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office
 Limited Partnership, Austin PT BK One Tower Office
 Limited Partnership, Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P.,
 Crescent Miami Center, L.L.C., Crescent Five Post Oak
 Park L.P. and Woodlands CPC; and
- O Other This includes DBL, CR License, L.L.C., The Woodlands Operating Company, L.P., Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C. and SunTx.

Summary Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2003:

- o WLDC;
- O Other Residential Development This includes the operating results for Blue River Land Company, L.L.C.;
- o Resort/Hotel This includes the operating results for Manalapan;
- o Temperature-Controlled Logistics This includes the operating results for the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership and VCQ;

21

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

- O Office This includes the operating results for Main Street Partners, L.P., Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership, Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership, Austin PT BK One Tower Office Limited Partnership, Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P., Crescent Miami Center L.L.C., Crescent Five Post Oak Park L.P. and Woodlands CPC; and
- o Other This includes the operating results for CR License, L.L.C., The Woodlands Operating Company,

L.P., Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C., SunTx and G2.

Summary Statements of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2002:

- o WLDC This includes WLDC's operating results for the period February 15 through June 30, 2002 and TWLC's operating results for the period January 1 through February 14, 2002;
- O Other Residential Development This includes the operating results for DMDC and CRDI for the period January 1 through February 14, 2002, the operating results of Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. and Manalapan for the period February 15 through June 30, 2002, and the operating results of MVDC and HADC;
- Temperature-Controlled Logistics This includes the operating results for the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership;
- O Office This includes the operating results for Main Street Partners, L.P., Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership, Austin PT BK One Tower Office Limited Partnership, Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P. and Woodlands CPC; and
- o Other This includes the operating results for DBL, CR License, L.L.C., The Woodlands Operating Company, Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C. and SunTx.

BALANCE SHEETS: AS OF JUNE 30, 2003

(in thousands)	THE WOODLANDS LAND DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.	OTHER RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS	RESORT/ HOTEL	TEMPERATURE- CONTROLLED LOGISTICS
Real estate, net Cash Other assets	\$390,043 6,627 47,340	781	\$ 80,732 8,436 5,243	\$1,210,630 35,514 90,713
Total assets	\$444,010 ======	\$ 56,598 ======	\$ 94,411 ======	\$1,336,857 =======
Notes Payable Notes Payable to the Company Other liabilities Equity	\$288,230 11,122 53,987 90,671	44,548	\$ 56,000 7,215 31,196	\$ 567,349 8,433 761,075
Total liabilities and equity	\$444,010 ======	\$ 56 , 598	\$ 94,411	\$1,336,857 =======
Company's share of unconsolidated debt	\$122 , 500	\$ 3,825 ======	\$ 28,000 =====	\$ 226,940 =======

Company's investments in real estate mortgages and equity of

unconsolidated companies

\$ 36,630 _____

BALANCE SHEETS: AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2002

Bribling Silbbio.				
(in thousands)	THE WOODLANDS LAND DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.	OTHER RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT	RESORT/ HOTEL	TEMPERATURE-
Real estate, net	\$388 , 587	\$ 68 , 235	\$ 81,510	
Cash	15,289	7,112	3,022	13,213
Other assets	46,934	3,303	4,415	88,327
Total assets	\$450,810 ======	\$ 78,650 ======		
Notes Payable	\$284 , 547	\$	\$ 56,000	\$ 574,931
Notes Payable to the Company	10,625			
Other liabilities	70,053	19,125	5 , 996	9,579
Equity	85 , 585	59 , 525	26,951	755 , 840
Total liabilities and equity	\$450,810	\$ 78,650	\$ 88,947	\$1,340,350
	======	======	======	=======
Company's share of				
unconsolidated debt	\$120,933	\$	\$ 28,000	\$ 229,972
	======	======	======	
Company's investments in real estate mortgages and equity of	f			
unconsolidated companies	\$ 33 , 960	\$ 39,187	\$ 13,473	\$ 304,545
	======	=======	=======	========

22

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SUMMARY STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS:

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 20

(in thousands)	THE WOODLANDS LAND DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.	OTHER RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS	RESORT/ HOTEL	TEMPERATURE- CONTROLLED LOGISTICS
Total revenues Expenses:	\$ 54,434	\$ 396	\$ 25,905	\$ 63,441
Operating expense	42,552	314	16,137	12,384(1)

Interest expense Depreciation and amortization	3,508 3,288		1,648 1,417	20,572 29,362
Tax expense	J, 200 		2,458	29 , 302
Other (income) expense				(1,418)
Total expenses	\$ 49 , 348	\$ 314 	\$ 21,660 	\$ 60 , 900
Gain (loss) on sale of properties				
Net income	\$ 5,086 ======	\$ 82 =====	\$ 4,245 ======	\$ 2,541(1) ======
Company's equity in net income (loss) of unconsolidated				
companies	\$ 2,670 ======	\$ (160) =====	\$ 2,125 ======	\$ 1,101 ======

SUMMARY STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS:

FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 20

(in thousands)	THE WOODLANDS LAND DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.	OTHER RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS	TEMPERATURE- CONTROLLED LOGISTICS	OFFICE
Total revenues	\$ 68,465	\$102 , 812	\$ 59,619	\$ 46,461
Expenses: Operating expense	36,373	·	8,075(1)	•
Interest expense Depreciation and amortization	2,152 1,827	1,610 2,971	21,873 29,686	9,040 11,172
Tax expense (benefit) Other (income) expense	406	(4) (27)	 1,804	
Total expenses	\$ 40,758	\$ 97,338	\$ 61,438	\$ 42,055
Gain (loss) on sale of properties				
Net income (loss)	\$ 27,707 ======	\$ 5,474 ======	\$ (1,819)(1)(2) ======	
Company's equity in net income (loss) of				
unconsolidated companies	\$ 14,334	\$ 4,328	\$ (727)	\$ 2,781
	======	=======	======	======

⁽¹⁾ Inclusive of the preferred return paid to Vornado Realty Trust (1% per annum of the total combined assets).

⁽²⁾ Excludes the goodwill write-off for the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties, which was recorded as a cumulative change in accounting principle in the accompanying financial statements.

23

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UNCONSOLIDATED DEBT ANALYSIS

The significant terms of the Company's share of unconsolidated debt financing arrangements existing as of June 30, 2003 are shown below.

DESCRIPTION	BALANCE OUTSTANDING AT JUNE 30, 2003
	(dollars
TEMPEDATURE CONTROLLER LOCICTICS SECMENT.	
TEMPERATURE-CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT: Vornado Crescent Portland Partnership - 40% Company	
Goldman Sachs Notes (1)	\$ 502,128
Various Capital Leases	37,037
Various Mortgage Notes	28,184
	\$ 567,349
OFFICE SEGMENT:	
Main Street Partners, L.P 50% Company (2)(3)(4)	\$ 131 , 726
Crescent Miami Center, LLC - 40% Company	81,000
Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P 25% Company (5)	65,470
Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership - 20% Company	48,410
Crescent Five Post Oak Park, L.P 30% Company	45,000
Austin PT Bank One Tower Office Limited Partnership - 20% Company	37 , 652
Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership - 20% Company	33,000
The Woodlands Commercial Properties Co 42.5% Company	
Fleet National Bank credit facility (6)	62,000
Fleet National Bank (3)(7)	2,867
Various Mortgage Notes	7 , 923
	\$ 515,048
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT:	
The Woodlands Land Development Co 42.5% Company	
Fleet National Bank credit facility (6)	\$ 230,000
Fleet National Bank (8)	37,420
Fleet National Bank (3)(7) Various Mortgage Notes	5,882 14,928
Blue River Land Company, L.L.C 50% Company(9)	7,650
Bide River Band company, E.E.C. 300 company (3)	
	\$ 295 , 880
RESORT/HOTEL SEGMENT:	
Manalapan Hotel Partners, L.L.C 50% Company	
Corus Bank (3)(10)	56 , 000
TOTAL UNCONSOLIDATED DEBT	\$1,434,277
TOTAL UNCOMOUNTED DEDI	71,101,411

in

MATURITY DATE _____

DESCRIPTION

TEMPERATURE-CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT:

Vornado Crescent Portland Partnership - 40% Company

Goldman Sachs Notes (1) Various Capital Leases

Various Mortgage Notes

5/11/2 6/1/2006 to 2/12/2 8/1/2003 to 4/1/2

OFFICE SEGMENT:

Main Street Partners, L.P. - 50% Company (2)(3)(4)

Crescent Miami Center, LLC - 40% Company

Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P. - 25% Company (5)

Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership - 20% Company

Crescent Five Post Oak Park, L.P. - 30% Company

Austin PT Bank One Tower Office Limited Partnership - 20% Company

Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership - 20% Company

The Woodlands Commercial Properties Co. - 42.5% Company

Fleet National Bank credit facility (6)

Fleet National Bank (3) (7)

Various Mortgage Notes

11/27/2

12/1/2

9/25/2

5/31/2

8/1/2

1/1/2

8/1/2

9/1/2

10/31/2 11/1/2021 to 12/2/2

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT:

The Woodlands Land Development Co. - 42.5% Company

Fleet National Bank credit facility (6)

Fleet National Bank (8)

Fleet National Bank (3) (7)

Various Mortgage Notes

Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. - 50% Company(9)

11/27/2 12/31/2 10/31/2

7/1/2005 to 12/31/2

6/30/2

RESORT/HOTEL SEGMENT:

Manalapan Hotel Partners, L.L.C. - 50% Company

Corus Bank (3) (10)

10/21/2

TOTAL UNCONSOLIDATED DEBT

⁽¹⁾ URS Real Estate, L.P. and Americold Real Estate, L.P., subsidiaries of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation, expect to repay the notes on the Optional Prepayment Date of April 11, 2008.

Senior Note - Note A: \$82.9 million at variable interest rate, LIBOR + (2) 189 basis points, \$4.9 million at variable interest rate, LIBOR + 250 basis points with a LIBOR floor of 2.50%. Note B: \$24.4 million at variable interest rate, LIBOR + 650 basis points with a LIBOR floor of 2.50%. Mezzanine Note - \$19.5 million at variable interest rate, LIBOR

 \pm 890 basis points with a LIBOR floor of 3.0%. Interest-rate cap agreement maximum LIBOR of 4.52% on all notes. All notes amortized based on a 25-year schedule.

- (3) This facility has two one-year extension options.
- (4) The Company and its joint venture partner each obtained a letter of credit to guarantee the repayment of up to \$4.3 million of the Main Street Partners, L.P. loan.
- (5) The Company provides a full guarantee up to \$82.5 million for the construction loan on 5 Houston Center, which was completed in 2002. The guarantee amount reduces to \$41.3 million upon achievement of specified conditions, including specified tenants occupying space and obtaining a certificate of occupancy; further reduction to \$20.6 million upon achievement of 90% occupancy and a 1.3x debt service coverage.
- (6) Woodlands CPC and WLDC entered into two \$50 million interest rate swap agreements which limit interest rate exposure to a LIBOR rate of 1.735%. The swaps are effective August 4, 2003.
- (7) Woodlands CPC and WLDC entered into an interest rate cap agreement which limits interest rate exposure on the notional amount of \$33.8 million to a maximum LIBOR rate of 9.0%.
- (8) WLDC entered into an interest rate cap agreement which limits interest rate exposure on the notional amount of \$19.5 million to a maximum LIBOR rate of 8.5%.
- (9) The variable rate loan has an interest rate of LIBOR + 300 basis points. A fully consolidated entity of CRDI, of which CRDI owns 88.3%, provides a guarantee of up to 70% of the outstanding balance of up to a \$9.0 million loan to Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. There was approximately \$7.7 million outstanding at June 30, 2003 and the amount guaranteed was \$5.4 million.
- (10) The Company and its joint venture partner each obtained a letter of credit to guarantee repayment of up to \$3.0 million of this facility.

24

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table shows, as of June 30, 2003, information about the Company's share of unconsolidated fixed and variable rate debt and does not take into account any extension options, hedge arrangements or the entities' anticipated pay-off dates.

(in thousands)	BALANCE	PERCENTAGE OF DEBT	WEIGHTED AVERAGE RATE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE MATURITY(1)
Fixed Rate Debt Variable Rate Debt	\$306,366 257,777	54.3% 45.7%	6.85% 4.66%	15.04 years 2.04 years
variable hade best				
Total Debt	\$564 , 143	100.0%	5.85%	9.09 years

(1) Based on contractual maturities. The overall weighted average maturity would be 4.0 years assuming the election of extension options on debt instruments and expected repayment of the Goldman Sachs notes on the optional prepayment date.

Listed below is the Company's share of aggregate principal payments, by year, required as of June 30, 2003, related to the Company's unconsolidated debt. Scheduled principal installments and amounts due at maturity are included.

(in thousands)	SECURED DEBT(1)(2)
2003	\$ 17,432
2004	103,277
2005	167,084
2006	23 , 977
2007	48,384
Thereafter	203,989
	\$564,143
	=======

- (1) These amounts do not reflect the effect of extension options.
- (2) Based on contractual maturities.

25

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

8. NOTES PAYABLE AND BORROWINGS UNDER CREDIT FACILITY

The following is a summary of the Company's debt financing at June 30, 2003:

Secured Debt

Fleet Fund I and II Term Loan due May 2005, bears interest at LIBOR plus 325 basis points (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 4.52%), with a four-year

interest-only term, secured by equity interests in Funding I and II Properties AEGON Partnership Note(1) due July 2009, bears interest at 7.53% with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule, secured by the Funding III, IV and V Properties LaSalle Note I(2) bears interest at 7.83% with an initial seven-year interest-only term (through August 2002), followed by principal amortization based on a 25-year amortization schedule through maturity in August 2027, secured by the Funding I Properties Deutsche Bank-CMBS Loan(3) due May 2004, bears interest at the 30-day LIBOR rate plus 234 basis points (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 5.84%), with a three-year interest-only term and two one-year extension options, secured by the Funding X Properties and Spectrum Center JP Morgan Mortgage Note(4) bears interest at 8.31% with principal amortization based on a 25-year amortization schedule through maturity in October 2016, secured by the Houston Center mixed-use Office Property complex LaSalle Note II(5) bears interest at 7.79% with an initial seven-year interest-only term (through March 2003), followed by principal amortization based on a 25-year amortization schedule through maturity in March 2028, secured by the Funding II Properties Cigna Note due June 2010, bears interest at 5.22% with an interest-only term, secured by the 707 17th Street Office Property(6) National Bank of Arizona Revolving Line of Credit (7) with maturities ranging from November 2004 to December 2005, bears interest ranging from 4.00% to 5.00%, secured by certain DMDC assets Metropolitan Life Note V(8) due December 2005, bears interest at 8.49% with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule, secured by the Datran Center Office Property Northwestern Life Note due January 2004, bears interest at 7.66% with an interest-only term, secured by the 301 Congress Avenue Office Property Woodmen of the World Note(9) due April 2009, bears interest at 8.20% with an initial five-year interest-only term (through April 2006), followed by principal amortization based on a 25-year amortization schedule, secured by the Avallon IV Office Property Nomura Funding VI Note(10) bears interest at 10.07% with monthly principal and interest payments based on a 25-year amortization schedule through maturity in July 2020, secured by the Funding VI Property

26

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Secured Debt

Mitchell Mortgage Note due September 2003, bears interest at 7.0% with an interest-only term, secured by one of The Woodlands Office Properties FHI Finance Loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 450 basis points (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 5.82%), with an initial interest only term until the Net Operating Income Hurdle Date(11), followed by principal amortization based on a 20-year amortization schedule through maturity in September 2009, secured by the Sonoma Mission Inn & Spa Construction, acquisition and other obligations, bearing fixed and variable interest rates ranging from 2.9% to 11.25% at June 30, 2003, with maturities ranging between July 2003 and June 2008, secured by various CRDI and MVDC projects(12) Unsecured Debt 2009(13) Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 9.25% with a seven-year interest-only term, due April 2009 with a call date of April 2006 2007(13) Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 7.50% with a ten-year interest-only term, due September 2007 Unsecured Debt - Revolving Line of Credit Credit Facility(14) interest only due May 2004, bears interest at LIBOR plus 187.5 basis points (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 3.17%), with a one-year extension option JP Morgan Loan Sales Facility(15), bears interest at the federal funds rate plus 150 basis points

Total Notes Payable

- (1) The outstanding balance of this note at maturity will be approximately $$224.1\ \text{million.}$
- (2) In August 2007, the interest rate will increase, and the Company is required to remit, in addition to the monthly debt service payment, excess property cash flow, as defined, to be applied first against principal and thereafter against accrued excess interest, as defined. It is the Company's intention to repay the note in full at such time (August 2007) by making a final payment of approximately \$221.7 million.
- (3) This includes both a Deutsche Bank-CMBS note and a Fleet-Mezzanine note. The notes are due May 2004 and bear interest at the 30-day LIBOR rate plus a spread of (i) 164.7 basis points for the CMBS note (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 5.147%), and (ii) 600 basis points for the Mezzanine note (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 9.5%). The blended rate at June 30, 2003 for the two notes was 5.84%. Both notes have a LIBOR floor of 3.5%. The notes have three-year interest only terms and two one-year extension options. The Fleet-Mezzanine note is

secured by the Company's interests in Funding X and Crescent Spectrum Center, L.P. and the Company's interest in their general partner.

- (4) In October 2006, the interest rate will adjust based on current interest rates at that time. It is the Company's intention to repay the note in full at such time (October 2006) by making a final payment of approximately \$177.8 million.
- (5) In March 2006, the interest rate will increase, and the Company is required to remit, in addition to the monthly debt service payment, excess property cash flow, as defined, to be applied first against principal and thereafter, against accrued excess interest, as defined. It is the Company's intention to repay the note in full at such time (March 2006) by making a final payment of approximately \$154.5 million.
- (6) During the first quarter of 2003, the Company paid the \$63.5 million Cigna Note, bearing interest at 7.47%, which matured in March 2003, in full with a draw under the Company's credit facility.
- This facility is a \$51.8 million line of credit secured by certain DMDC land and improvements ("vertical facility"), club facilities ("club loan"), notes receivable ("warehouse facility") and additional land ("short-term facility"). The line restricts the vertical facility and club loan to a maximum outstanding amount of \$40.0 million and is subject to certain borrowing base limitations and bears interest at prime (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 4.00%). The warehouse facility bears interest at prime plus 100 basis points (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 5.00%) and is limited to \$10.0 million. The short-term facility bears interest at prime plus 50 basis points (at June 30, 2003, the interest rate was 4.50%) and is limited to \$1.8 million. The blended rate at June 30, 2003 for the vertical facility and club loan, the warehouse facility and the short-term facility was 4.21%.
- (8) The outstanding principal balance of this loan at maturity will be approximately \$36.1 million.
- (9) The outstanding principal balance of this loan at maturity will be approximately \$8.2 million.
- (10) In July 2010, the interest rate due under the note will change to a 10-year Treasury yield plus 500 basis points or, if the Company so elects, it may repay the note without penalty at that date by making a final payment of approximately \$6.1 million.
- (11) The Company's joint venture partner, which owns a 19.9% interest in the Sonoma Mission Inn & Spa, has a commitment to fund \$10.0 million of future renovations at the Sonoma Mission Inn & Spa through a mezzanine loan. The Net Operating Income Hurdle Date, as defined in the loan agreement, is the date as of which the Sonoma Mission Inn & Spa has achieved an aggregate Adjusted Net Operating Income, as defined in the loan agreement, of \$12 million for a period of 12 consecutive calendar months.

2.7

- (12) In June 2003, CRDI entered into an interest rate cap agreement with Bank of America for a loan with an initial notional amount of \$0.8 million, increasing monthly to up to \$28.3 million in September 2004, based on the amount of the loan. Under the Agreement, in the event the prime rate, as defined in the agreement, exceeds 4.1%, Bank of America will reimburse the interest paid in excess of such rate.
- (13) The Notes were issued in offerings registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
- The \$400.0 million credit facility with Fleet is an unsecured revolving line of credit to Funding VIII and guaranteed by the Operating Partnership. Availability under the line of credit is subject to certain covenants including limitations on total leverage, fixed charge ratio, debt service coverage, minimum tangible net worth, and specific mix of office and hotel assets and average occupancy of Office Properties. At June 30, 2003, the maximum borrowing capacity under the credit facility was \$372.3 million. The outstanding balance excludes letters of credit issued under the Company's credit facility of \$15.2 million which reduce the Company's maximum borrowing capacity.
- (15) The JP Morgan Loan Sales Facility is an uncommitted \$50.0 million unsecured credit facility. The Operating Partnership maintains sufficient availability under the Fleet Facility to repay this loan at any time due to lack of obligation by the lender to fund the loan.

The following table shows information about the Company's consolidated fixed and variable rate debt and does not take into account any extension options, hedging arrangements or the Company's anticipated payoff dates.

		WEIGHTED				
		PERCENTAGE	AVERAGE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE		
(in thousands)	BALANCE	OF DEBT (1)	RATE	MATURITY		
Fixed Rate Debt	\$1,643,432	66.7%	8.00%	10.9 years		
Variable Rate Debt	821 , 319	33.3	4.06	1.3 years		
Total Debt	\$2,464,751	100.0%	6.82%(2)	7.0 years(3)		
		====	====	==========		

⁽¹⁾ Balance excludes hedges. The percentages for fixed rate debt and variable rate debt, including the \$508.3 million of hedged variable rate debt, are 87% and 13%, respectively.

Listed below are the aggregate principal payments by year required as of June 30, 2003 under indebtedness of the Company. Scheduled principal installments and amounts due at maturity are included.

⁽²⁾ Including the effect of hedge arrangements, the overall weighted average interest rate would have been 7.19%.

⁽³⁾ Based on contractual maturities. The overall weighted average maturity is 3.5 years based on the Company's expected payoff dates.

(in thousands)	DEBT	DEBT	LINE OF CREDIT	TOTAL(1)	
2003	\$ 21 , 707	\$	\$	\$ 21,707	
2004	283 , 799		252,000	535 , 799	
2005	375 , 341			375,341	
2006	18,359			18,359	
2007	26,344	250,000		276,344	
Thereafter	862,201	375,000		1,237,201	
	\$1,587,751	\$ 625,000	\$ 252,000	\$2,464,751	
	========		=========	========	

The Company has \$21.7 million of secured debt maturing through December 31, 2003, consisting primarily of debt related to the Residential Development Segment. The Company plans to meet these maturing debt obligations, primarily through cash from operations, construction loan refinancings, and additional borrowings under the Company's credit facility.

Any uncured or unwaived events of default under the Company's loans can trigger an acceleration of payment on the loan in default. In addition, an event of default by the Company or any of its subsidiaries with respect to any indebtedness in excess of \$5.0 million generally will result in an event of default under the credit facility and the Fleet Fund I and II Term Loan after the notice and cure periods for the other indebtedness have passed. As of June 30, 2003, no event of default had occurred, and the Company was in compliance with all of its covenants related to its outstanding debt. The Company's debt facilities generally prohibit loan prepayment for an initial period, allow prepayment with a penalty during a following specified period and allow prepayment without penalty after the expiration of that period. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, there were no circumstances that required prepayment penalties or increased collateral related to the Company's existing debt.

28

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In addition to the subsidiaries listed in Note 1, "Organization and Basis of Presentation," certain other subsidiaries of the Company were formed primarily for the purpose of obtaining secured and unsecured debt or joint venture financings. These entities, all of which are consolidated and are grouped based on the Properties to which they relate are: Funding I and Funding II Properties (CREM Holdings, LLC, Crescent Capital Funding, LLC, Crescent Funding Interest, LLC, CRE Management I Corp., CRE Management II Corp.); Funding III Properties (CRE Management III Corp.); Funding IV Properties (CRE Management IV Corp.); Funding V Properties (CRE Management VIII, LLC); 707 17th Street Property (CRE Management IX, LLC); Funding X Properties (CREF X Holdings Management, LLC, CREF X Holdings, L.P., CRE Management X, LLC); Spectrum Center (Spectrum Mortgage Associates, L.P., CSC Holdings Management, LLC, Crescent Finance

⁽¹⁾ These amounts do not reflect the effect of a one-year extension option on the credit facility and two one-year extension options on the Deutsche Bank-CMBS Loan.

Company.

9. CASH FLOW HEDGES

The Company uses derivative financial instruments to convert a portion of its variable rate debt to fixed rate debt and to manage its fixed to variable rate debt ratio. As of June 30, 2003, the Company had entered into five cash flow hedge agreements which are accounted for in conformity with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended by SFAS No. 138, "Accounting for Certain Derivative Instruments and Certain Hedging Activities - an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133."

The following table shows information regarding the Company's cash flow hedge agreements during the six months ended June 30, 2003, and additional interest expense and unrealized gains (losses) recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI").

EFFECTIVE DATE(1)	NOTIONAL AMOUNT	MATURITY DATE	REFERENCE RATE	FAIR MARKET VALUE	ADDITIONAL EREST EXPENSE	CH UNREA (LOSS
DATE (1)				VALUE	 	
(in thousands)						
9/01/99	\$200,000	9/02/03(2)	6.18%	\$ (2,503)	\$ 4,851	\$
5/15/01	200,000	2/03/03	7.11%		1,048	
4/18/00	100,000	4/18/04	6.76%	(4,790)	2,734	
2/15/03	100,000	2/15/06	3.26%	(4,098)	741	
2/15/03	100,000	2/15/06	3.25%	(4,091)	740	
9/02/03	200,000	9/01/06	3.72%	(10,184)		
				 \$ (25,666)	\$10,114	-
				\$ (25,000) =======	\$10 , 114	<u>ې</u> ــ

- (1) During 2002, the Company entered into agreements for three cash flow hedges, two of which were effective in the first quarter of 2003, and one of which will be effective in the third quarter of 2003. These three cash flow hedges replace the Company's cash flow hedges with maturity dates of September 2, 2003 and February 3, 2003.
- (2) In June 2003, the Company terminated its \$200 million interest rate swap with Salomon Brothers prior to its September 2, 2003 stated maturity by prepaying the interest that would have been due at maturity. The remaining unrealized gains in OCI and the prepaid interest amounts will be amortized through the September 2, 2003 maturity date.

The Company has designated its five cash flow hedge agreements as cash flow hedges of LIBOR-based monthly interest payments on a designated pool of variable rate LIBOR indexed debt that re-prices closest to the reset dates of each cash flow hedge agreement. For retrospective effectiveness testing, the Company uses the cumulative dollar offset approach as described in DIG Issue E8. The DIG is a task force designed to assist the FASB in answering questions that companies have resulting from implementation of SFAS No. 133 and SFAS No. 138. The Company uses the change in variable cash flows method as described in DIG Issue G7 for prospective testing as well as for the actual recording of ineffectiveness, if any. Under this method, the Company will compare the changes

in the floating rate portion of each cash flow hedge to the floating rate of the hedged items. The cash flow hedges have been and are expected to remain highly effective. Changes in the fair value of these highly effective hedging instruments are recorded in OCI. The effective portion that has been deferred in OCI will be reclassified to earnings as interest expense when the hedged items impact earnings. If a cash flow hedge falls outside 80%-125% effectiveness for a quarter, all changes in the fair value of the cash flow hedge for the quarter will be recognized in earnings during the current period. If it is determined based on prospective testing that it is no longer likely a hedge will be highly effective on a prospective basis, the hedge will no longer be designated as a cash flow hedge and no longer qualify for accounting in conformity with SFAS Nos. 133 and 138.

29

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CRDI, a consolidated subsidiary of the Company, also uses derivative financial instruments to convert a portion of its variable rate debt to fixed rate debt. As of June 30, 2003, CRDI had two cash flow hedge agreements in place which are accounted for in conformity with SFAS Nos. 133 and 138.

The following table shows information regarding CRDI's cash flow hedge agreements and additional capitalized interest thereon as of June 30, 2003. Unlike the additional interest on the Company's cash flow hedges, which was expensed, the additional interest on CRDI's cash flow hedges was capitalized, as it is related to debt incurred for projects that are currently under development. Also presented are the unrealized gains in OCI for the six months ended June 30, 2003.

ISSUE DATE	NOTIONAL AMOUNT	MATURITY REFERENCE DATE RATE		FAIR MARKET VALUE	ADDITIONAL CAPITALIZED INTEREST
(in thou	====== sands) =====				
9/4/01 9/4/01	\$ 4,650 3,700	9/4/03 9/4/03	4.12% 4.12%	\$ 36 28	\$ 33 26
				\$ 64 =====	\$ 59 =====

In June 2003, CRDI entered into an interest rate cap agreement with Bank of America for a loan with an initial notional amount of \$0.8 million, increasing monthly to up to \$28.3 million in September 2004, based on the amount of the loan. Under the agreement, in the event the prime rate, as defined in the agreement, exceeds 4.1%, Bank of America will reimburse the interest paid in excess of such rate.

CRDI uses the shortcut method described in SFAS No. 133, which eliminates the need to consider ineffectiveness of the hedges, and instead assumes that the hedges are highly effective.

10. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

COMMITMENTS

GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS

The FASB issued Interpretation 45 requiring a guarantor to disclose its guarantees. The Company's guarantees in place as of June 30, 2003 are listed in the table below. For the guarantees on indebtedness, no triggering events or conditions are anticipated to occur that would require payment under the guarantees and management believes the assets associated with the loans that are guaranteed are sufficient to cover the maximum potential amount of future payments and therefore, would not require the Company to provide additional collateral to support the guarantees.

30

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	GUARANTEED AMOUNT OUTSTANDING AT JUNE 30, 2003 G	UAR
DEBTOR 	(in thousand	s)
Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P. (1) (2) CRDI - Eagle Ranch Metropolitan District - Letter of Credit(3) Blue River Land Company, L.L.C.(1) (4) Main Street Partners, L.P Letter of Credit (1) (5) Manalapan Hotel Partners, L.L.C Letter of Credit (1) (6)	\$65,470 15,197 5,355 4,250 3,000	
Total Guarantees	\$93,272 ======	

- (1) See Note 7, "Investments in Real Estate Mortgages and Equity of Unconsolidated Companies - Unconsolidated Debt Analysis," for a description of the terms of this debt.
- The Company provides a full guarantee up to \$82.5 million for the construction loan on 5 Houston Center, which was completed in 2002. The guarantee amount reduces to \$41.3 million upon achievement of specified conditions, including specified tenants occupying space and obtaining a certificate of occupancy; further reduction to \$20.6 million upon achievement of 90% occupancy and 1.3x debt service coverage.
- (3) The Company provides a \$15.2 million letter of credit to support the payment of interest and principal of the Eagle Ranch Metropolitan District Revenue Development Bonds and Limited Tax Bonds.
- (4) A fully consolidated entity of CRDI, of which CRDI owns 88.3%, provides

a guarantee of 70% of the outstanding balance of up to a \$9.0 million loan to Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. There was approximately \$7.7 million outstanding at June 30, 2003 and the amount guaranteed was \$5.4 million.

- (5) The Company and its joint venture partner each provide a \$4.3 million letter of credit to guarantee repayment of up to \$8.5 million of the loan to Main Street Partners, L.P.
- (6) The Company and its joint venture partner each provide a \$3.0 million letter of credit to guarantee repayment of up to \$6.0 million of the Manalapan debt with Corus Bank.

OTHER COMMITMENTS

A consolidated subsidiary of the Company, CRDI, has a purchase commitment of \$12.1 million related to a purchase agreement for a tract of land in Eagle County, Colorado. The amount will be paid at closing of the transaction, which is anticipated to occur in the third quarter of 2003.

See Note 15, "COPI," for a description of the Company's commitments related to the agreement with COPI, executed on February 14, 2002.

CONTINGENCIES

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

All of the Properties have been subjected to Phase I environmental assessments, and some Properties have been subjected to Phase II soil and ground water sampling as part of the Phase I assessments. Such assessments have not revealed, nor is management aware of, any environmental liabilities that management believes would have a material adverse effect on the financial position or results of operations of the Company.

LITIGATION

The Company is involved from time to time in various claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of business. Management does not believe that the impact of such matters will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or its results of operations when resolved.

31

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

11. MINORITY INTEREST

Minority interest in the Operating Partnership represents the proportionate share of the equity in the Operating Partnership of limited partners other than the Company. The ownership share of limited partners other than the Company is evidenced by Operating Partnership units. The Operating Partnership pays a regular quarterly distribution to the holders of Operating Partnership units.

Each Operating Partnership unit may be exchanged for either two common shares of the Company or, at the election of the Company, cash equal to the fair

market value of two common shares at the time of the exchange. When a unitholder exchanges a unit, the Company's percentage interest in the Operating Partnership increases. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, there were 3,940 units exchanged for 7,880 common shares of the Company.

Minority interest in real estate partnerships represents joint venture or preferred equity partners' proportionate share of the equity in certain real estate partnerships. The Company holds a controlling interest in the real estate partnerships and consolidates the real estate partnerships into the financial statements of the Company. Income in the real estate partnerships is allocated to minority interest based on weighted average percentage ownership during the year.

The following table summarizes the minority interest liability as of June 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002:

(in thousands)	2003	2002
Limited partners in the Operating Partnership	\$115 , 270	\$130 , 8
Development joint venture partners - Residential Development Segment	23,815	24,9
Joint venture partners - Office Segment	7,594	11,2
Joint venture partners - Resort/Hotel Segment	7,413	7,8
	\$154 , 092	\$174 , 7
	=======	=====

The following table summarizes the minority interests' share of net income (loss) for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002:

(in thousands)	2003	200
Limited partners in the Operating Partnership	\$ (310)	\$ (4,
Development joint venture partners - Residential Development Segment	(1,095)	(1,
Joint venture partners - Office Segment	(33)	(
Joint venture partners - Resort/Hotel Segment	539	
Subsidiary preferred equity		(5,
	\$ (899)	\$(12,
	=======	

32

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

DISTRIBUTIONS

The following table summarizes the distributions paid or declared to common shareholders, unitholders and preferred shareholders during the six months ended June 30, 2003 (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts).

SECURITY	VIDEND/ RIBUTION	TOTA	L AMOUNT	RECORD DATE	PAYMENT DATE	ANNUAL IVIDEND IRIBUTI
Common Shares/Units (1)	\$ 0.375	\$	43,871	01/31/03	02/14/03	\$ 1.
Common Shares/Units (1)	\$ 0.375	\$	43,872	04/30/03	05/15/03	\$ 1.
Common Shares/Units (1)	\$ 0.375	\$	43,873	07/31/03	08/15/03	\$ 1.
Series A Preferred Shares	\$ 0.422	\$	4,556	01/31/03	02/14/03	\$ 1.68
Series A Preferred Shares	\$ 0.422	\$	4,556	04/30/03	05/15/03	\$ 1.68
Series A Preferred Shares	\$ 0.422	\$	4,556	07/31/03	08/15/03	\$ 1.68
Series B Preferred Shares	\$ 0.594	\$	2,019	01/31/03	02/14/03	\$ 2.37
Series B Preferred Shares	\$ 0.594	\$	2,019	04/30/03	05/15/03	\$ 2.37
Series B Preferred Shares	\$ 0.594	\$	2,019	07/31/03	08/15/03	\$ 2.37

⁻⁻⁻⁻⁻

13. INCOME TAXES

TAXABLE CONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

Deferred income taxes reflect the net effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities of taxable consolidated entities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. For the six months ended June 30, 2003, the taxable consolidated entities were comprised of the taxable REIT subsidiaries of the Company.

The Company intends to maintain its qualification as a REIT under Section 856 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to federal corporate income taxes as long as it satisfies certain technical requirements of the Code, including the requirement to distribute 90% of REIT taxable income to its shareholders. Accordingly, the Company does not believe that it will be liable for current income taxes on its REIT taxable income at the federal level or in most of the states in which it operates. The Company consolidates certain taxable REIT subsidiaries, which are subject to federal and state income tax. For the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, the Company's federal income tax benefit was \$5.6 million and \$4.0 million, respectively. The Company's \$5.6 million income tax benefit at June 30, 2003 consists primarily of \$3.4 million for the Residential Development Segment and \$2.1 million for the Resort/Hotel Segment.

The Company's total net tax asset of approximately \$50.3 million at June 30, 2003 includes \$33.1 million of net deferred tax assets. SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes," requires a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets reported if, based on the weight of the evidence, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. There was no change in the valuation allowance during the six months ended June 30, 2003.

⁽¹⁾ Represents one-half the amount of the distribution per unit because each unit is exchangeable for two common shares.

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

14. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

DBL HOLDINGS, INC. ("DBL")

Since June 1999, the Company contributed approximately \$23.8 million to DBL. The contribution was used by DBL to make an equity contribution to DBL-ABC, Inc., which committed to purchase a limited partnership interest representing a 12.5% interest in G2. G2 was formed for the purpose of investing in commercial mortgage backed securities and other commercial real estate investments and is managed and controlled by an entity that is owned equally by GMSP and GMACCM. The ownership structure of GMSP consists of an approximately 86% limited partnership interest owned directly and indirectly by Richard Rainwater, Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers of the Company, and an approximately 14% general partnership interest, of which approximately 6% is owned by Darla Moore, who is married to Mr. Rainwater, and approximately 6% is owned by John Goff, Vice-Chairman of the Company's Board of Trust Managers and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The remaining approximately 2% general partnership interest is owned by parties unrelated to the Company. At June 30, 2003, DBL had an approximately \$13.4 million investment in G2.

On January 2, 2003, the Company purchased the remaining 2.56% economic interest, representing 100% of the voting stock, in DBL from Mr. Goff. Total consideration paid for Mr. Goff's interest was \$0.4 million. The Board of Trust Managers of the Company, including all the independent trust managers, approved the transaction based in part on an appraisal of the assets of DBL by an independent appraisal firm. As a result of this transaction, DBL is wholly-owned by the Company and is consolidated in the Residential Development Segment as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2003. Also, because DBL owns a majority of the voting stock in MVDC and HADC, the Company consolidated these two Residential Development Corporations as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2003.

LOANS TO EMPLOYEES AND TRUST MANAGERS OF THE COMPANY FOR EXERCISE OF STOCK OPTIONS AND UNIT OPTIONS

As of June 30, 2003, the Company had approximately \$37.8 million of loans outstanding to certain employees and trust managers of the Company on a recourse basis pursuant to the Company's stock incentive plans and unit incentive plans pursuant to an agreement approved by the Board of Trust Managers and the Executive Compensation Committee of the Company. The proceeds of these loans were used by the employees and the trust managers to acquire common shares of the Company pursuant to the exercise of vested stock and unit options. Pursuant to the loan agreements, these loans may be repaid in full or in part at any time without premium or penalty. Mr. Goff had a loan representing \$26.3 million of the \$37.8 million total outstanding loans at June 30, 2003. Approximately \$0.3 million of interest was outstanding related to these loans as of June 30, 2003. No conditions exist at June 30, 2003 which would cause any of the loans to be in default. Effective July 29, 2002, the Company ceased offering to its employees and trust managers the option to obtain loans pursuant to the Company's stock and unit incentive plans.

OTHER

On June 28, 2002, the Company purchased, and is holding for sale, the home of an executive officer of the Company for approximately \$2.7 million, which approximates fair market value of the home. This purchase was part of the

officer's relocation agreement with the Company.

15. COPI

In April 1997, the Company established a new Delaware corporation, COPI. All of the outstanding common stock of COPI, valued at \$0.99 per share, was distributed in a spin-off, effective June 12, 1997, to those persons who were limited partners of the Operating Partnership or shareholders of the Company on May 30, 1997.

COPI was formed to become a lessee and operator of various assets to be acquired by the Company and to perform the intercompany agreement between COPI and the Company, pursuant to which each party agreed to provide the other with rights to participate in certain transactions. The Company was not permitted to operate or lease these assets under the tax laws in effect and applicable to REITs at that time. In connection with the formation and capitalization of COPI, and the subsequent operations and investments of COPI since 1997, the Company made loans to COPI under a line of credit and various term loans.

34

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

On January 1, 2001, The REIT Modernization Act became effective. This legislation allows the Company, through its taxable REIT subsidiaries, to operate or lease certain of its investments that had previously been operated or leased by ${\tt COPI.}$

On February 14, 2002, the Company executed an agreement (the "Agreement") with COPI, pursuant to which COPI transferred to subsidiaries of the Company, in lieu of foreclosure, COPI's lessee interests in the eight Resort/Hotel Properties leased to subsidiaries of COPI and, pursuant to a strict foreclosure, all of COPI's voting interests in three of the Company's Residential Development Corporations and other assets. The Company agreed to assist and provide funding to COPI for the implementation of a pre-packaged bankruptcy of COPI. In connection with the transfer, COPI's rent and debt obligations to the Company were reduced.

The Company holds the lessee interests in the eight Resort/Hotel Properties and the voting interests in the three Residential Development Corporations through three newly organized entities that are wholly-owned taxable REIT subsidiaries of the Company. The Company has included these assets in its Resort/Hotel Segment and its Residential Development Segment, and fully consolidated the operations of the eight Resort/Hotel Properties and the three Residential Development Corporations, beginning on the dates of the transfers of the assets.

The Agreement provides that COPI and the Company will jointly seek to have a pre-packaged bankruptcy plan for COPI, reflecting the terms of the Agreement, approved by the bankruptcy court. Under the Agreement, the Company has agreed to provide approximately \$14.0 million to COPI in the form of cash and common shares of the Company to fund costs, claims and expenses relating to the bankruptcy and related transactions, and to provide for the distribution of the Company's common shares to the COPI stockholders. The Company also agreed, however, that it will issue common shares with a minimum dollar value of approximately \$2.2 million to the COPI stockholders, even if it would cause the total costs, claims and expenses that it pays to exceed \$14.0 million.

Currently, the Company estimates that the value of the common shares that will be issued to the COPI stockholders will be between approximately \$2.2 million and \$4.0 million. The actual value of the common shares issued to the COPI stockholders will not be determined until the confirmation of COPI's bankruptcy plan and could vary from the estimated amounts, but will have a value of at least \$2.2 million.

In addition, the Company has agreed to use commercially reasonable efforts to assist COPI in arranging COPI's repayment of its \$15.0 million obligation to Bank of America, together with any accrued interest. The Company expects to form and capitalize a new entity ("Crescent Spinco"), to be owned by the shareholders of the Company. Crescent Spinco then would purchase COPI's interest in AmeriCold Logistics for between \$15.0 million and \$15.5 million. COPI has agreed that it will use the proceeds of the sale of the AmeriCold Logistics interest to repay Bank of America in full.

COPI obtained the loan from Bank of America primarily to participate in investments with the Company. At the time COPI obtained the loan, Bank of America required, as a condition to making the loan, that Richard E. Rainwater, the Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers of the Company, and John C. Goff, Vice-Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, enter into a support agreement with COPI and Bank of America. Pursuant to the support agreement, Messrs. Rainwater and Goff agreed to make additional equity investments in COPI if COPI defaulted on payment obligations under its line of credit with Bank of America and if the net proceeds of an offering of COPI securities were insufficient to allow COPI to repay Bank of America in full. Effective December 31, 2001, the parties executed an amendment to the line of credit providing that any defaults existing under the line of credit on or before March 8, 2002 are temporarily cured unless and until a new default occurs.

Previously, the Company held a first lien security interest in COPI's entire membership interest in AmeriCold Logistics. REIT rules prohibit the Company from acquiring or owning the membership interest that COPI owns in AmeriCold Logistics. Under the Agreement, the Company agreed to allow COPI to grant Bank of America a first priority security interest in the membership interest and to subordinate its own security interest to that of Bank of America.

On March 6, 2003, the stockholders of COPI approved the pre-packaged bankruptcy plan for COPI. On March 10, 2003, COPI filed the plan under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas.

If the COPI bankruptcy plan is approved by bankruptcy court, the holders of COPI's common stock will receive the Company's common shares. As stockholders of COPI, Mr. Rainwater and Mr. Goff will also receive the Company's common shares.

35

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Pursuant to the Agreement, the current and former directors and officers of COPI and the current and former trust managers and officers of the Company also have received a release from COPI of liability for any actions taken prior to February 14, 2002, and, depending on various factors, will receive certain liability releases from COPI and its stockholders under the COPI

bankruptcy plan.

Completion and effectiveness of the pre-packaged bankruptcy plan for COPI is contingent upon a number of conditions, including the approval of the plan by certain of COPI's creditors and the confirmation of the plan by the bankruptcy court.

36

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

INDEX TO MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations
Three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002
Liquidity and Capital Resources
Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2003
Debt Financing
Recent Developments
Unconsolidated Investments
Significant Accounting Policies
Funds from Operations

Forward-Looking Statements.....

37

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

You should read this section in conjunction with the consolidated interim financial statements and the accompanying notes in Item 1, "Financial Statements," of this document and the more detailed information contained in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002. In management's opinion, all adjustments (consisting of normal and recurring adjustments) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the unaudited interim financial statements are included. Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this section have the meanings given to them in the notes to the consolidated financial statements in Item 1, "Financial Statements."

This Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The words "anticipates," "believes," "expects," "intends," "future," "may," "will," "should," "plans,"

"estimates," "potential," or "continue," or the negative of these terms, or other similar expressions, identify forward-looking statements.

Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based upon reasonable assumptions, the Company's actual results could differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements.

The following factors might cause such a difference:

- o The Company's ability, at its Office Properties, to timely lease unoccupied square footage and timely re-lease occupied square footage upon expiration on favorable terms, which may continue to be adversely affected by existing real estate conditions (including changes in vacancy rates in a particular market or markets, decreases in rental rates, increased competition from other properties or by a general downturn in the economy);
- o Adverse changes in the financial condition of existing tenants;
- o Further deterioration in the resort/business-class hotel markets or in the market for residential land or luxury residences, including single-family homes, townhomes and condominiums, or in the economy generally;
- o Financing risks, such as the ability to generate revenue sufficient to service and repay existing or additional debt, increases in debt service associated with increased debt and with variable rate debt, the ability to meet financial covenants, the Company's ability to fund the share repurchase program and the Company's ability to consummate financings and refinancings on favorable terms and within any applicable time frames;
- o The ability of the Company to implement planned operating expense and capital expenditure reductions within anticipated time frames;
- o The ability of the Company to consummate anticipated office acquisitions and investment land and other dispositions on favorable terms and within anticipated time frames;
- o Further or continued adverse conditions in the temperature-controlled logistics business (including both industry-specific conditions and a general downturn in the economy which may further jeopardize the ability of the tenant to pay all current and deferred rent due);
- o The inability of the Company to complete the distribution to its shareholders of the shares of a new entity to purchase the AmeriCold Logistics tenant interest from COPI;
- o The concentration of a significant percentage of the Company's assets in Texas;
- o The existence of complex regulations relating to the Company's status as a REIT, the effect of future changes in REIT requirements as a result of new legislation and the adverse consequences of the failure to qualify as a REIT; and
- o Other risks detailed from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Given these uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such statements. The Company is not obligated to update these

forward-looking statements to reflect any future events or circumstances.

38

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table shows the Company's financial data as a percentage of total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, and the variance in dollars between the three and six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

				OF TOTAL DO R THE SIX TH
	2003	2002	2003	2002 2
REVENUE:				
Office Property	54.6%	50.3%	55.6%	56.0%
Resort/Hotel Property	22.1	19.4	25.0	18.5
Residential Development Property	23.3	30.3	19.4	25.5
TOTAL PROPERTY REVENUE	100.0%	100.0%		100.0%
EXPENSE:				
Office Property real estate taxes	7.9%	7.3%	7.9%	8.1%
Office Property operating expenses	18.9	14.9	18.8	17.0
Resort/Hotel property expense	18.3	15.3	20.1	13.3
Residential Development Property expense	20.5	27.0	17.5	22.9
TOTAL PROPERTY EXPENSE	65.6%	64.5%	64.3%	61.3%
INCOME FROM PROPERTY OPERATIONS	34.4%	35.5%		38.7%
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):				
Income from investment land sales, net	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%
Interest and other income	0.5	0.7		0.8
Corporate general and administrative	(2.6)	(1.9)	(2.7)	(2.4)
Interest expense	(18.5)	(16.8)		(17.9)
Amortization of deferred financing costs	(1.1)	(1.0)	(1.1)	(1.0)
Depreciation and amortization	(15.4)	(12.4)		(13.5)
Impairment and other charges related to				
real estate assets	0.0	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.2)
Other expenses	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.0)	(0.1)
Equity in net income (loss) of				
unconsolidated companies:				
Office Properties	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.6
Resort/Hotel Properties	0.6	0.0	0.5	0.0
Residential Development Properties Temperature-Controlled Logistics	0.7	2.2	0.5	3.8

Properties Other	(0.2) 0.1	(0.2) (0.2)	(0.2)	(0.2) (0.9)
TOTAL OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)	(34.5)%	(29.6)%		(31.0)%
(LOSS) INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE MINORITY INTERESTS AND INCOME TAXES	(0.1)%	5.9%	(0.5)%	7.7%
Minority interests Income tax benefit (provision)	(0.8) 1.3	(1.7) (0.3)	1.2	(2.5) 0.8
INCOME BEFORE DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF A CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE	0.4%	3.9%	0.5%	6.0%
Discontinued operations-income (loss) on assets sold and held for sale Discontinued operations-(loss) gain on	0.3		0.1	0.2
assets sold and held for sale Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle		0.6	0.0	0.8
NET INCOME (LOSS)	0.3%		(2.6)%	5.2%
Series A Preferred Share distributions Series B Preferred Share distributions	(0.9)		(0.9)	
NET (LOSS) INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	(2.6)%	2.4%		3.5% ======

39

COMPARISON OF THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003 TO THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

PROPERTY REVENUES

Total property revenues decreased \$42.2 million, or 15.3%, to \$233.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$275.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the decrease in total property revenues are discussed below.

- Office Property revenues decreased \$11.0 million, or 8.0%, to \$127.3 million, due to:
 - o a decrease of \$8.2 million resulting from the contribution of two Office Properties to joint ventures in the third quarter of 2002; and
 - o a decrease of \$7.6 million from the 65 consolidated Office

Properties (excluding 2002 acquisitions and properties held for sale) that the Company owned or had an interest in, primarily due to a decline in occupancy resulting in decreases in both rental revenue and operating expense recoveries, and a decrease in antenna revenue and other revenue; partially offset by

- o an increase of \$3.3 million from the Johns Manville Office Property acquired in August 2002; and
- o an increase of \$1.3 million resulting from third party management services provided to joint ventures and related direct expense reimbursements.
- o Residential Development revenues decreased \$29.3 million, or 35.1%, to \$54.2 million, primarily due to:
 - o a decrease of \$20.2 million primarily due to the sale of 16 fewer units and 138 fewer lots at CRDI;
 - o a decrease of \$14.1 million primarily due to the sale of 14 fewer lots at Desert Mountain; partially offset by
 - o an increase of \$4.2 million due to consolidation of MVDC and HADC in 2003.

PROPERTY EXPENSES

Total property expenses decreased \$24.5 million, or 13.8%, to \$152.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$177.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the decrease in total property expenses are discussed below.

- o Office Property expenses increased \$1.5 million, or 2.5%, to \$62.5 million, primarily due to:
 - o an increase of \$2.3 million from the 65 consolidated Office Properties (excluding 2002 acquisitions and properties held for sale) that the Company owned or had an interest in, due to:
 - o \$4.2 million increase in utilities expense, primarily attributable to a new utility contract for the Texas Office Properties; and
 - o \$0.6 million increase in bad debt expense; partially offset by
 - o \$1.3 million decrease in building repairs and maintenance expense; and
 - o \$1.2 million decrease in property taxes and other taxes and assessments;
 - o an increase of \$1.3 million due to the acquisition of the Johns Manville Office Property in August 2002; and
 - o an increase of \$0.9 million attributable to the cost of providing third party management services to joint venture properties, which are recouped by increased third party fee income and direct expense reimbursements; partially offset by
 - o a decrease of \$3.4 million due to the contribution of two Office Properties to joint ventures in 2002.

- o Residential Development Property expenses decreased \$26.5 million, or 35.6%, to \$47.8 million, primarily due to:
 - o a decrease of \$18.4 million primarily due to a reduction in cost of sales related to the sale of 16 fewer units and 138 fewer lots at CRDI;
 - o a decrease of \$12.6 million primarily due to a reduction in cost of sales related to the sale of 14 fewer lots at Desert Mountain; partially offset by
 - o an increase of \$2.5 million primarily due to increased cost of sales from the consolidation of MVDC and HADC.

40

OTHER INCOME/EXPENSE

Total other expenses decreased \$0.5 million, or 0.7%, to \$80.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$81.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the decrease in total other expenses are discussed below.

OTHER INCOME

Other income decreased \$1.2 million, or 14.0%, to \$7.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$8.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the decrease in other income are discussed below.

- o Equity in net income of unconsolidated companies decreased \$2.1 million, or 32.1%, to \$4.6 million, primarily due to:
 - o a decrease of \$4.6 million in Residential Development Property equity in net income due to a reduction in lot and acreage sales at the Woodlands Land Development Company, L.P. and consolidation of MVDC and HADC in 2003; partially offset by
 - o an increase of \$1.4 million in Resort/Hotel Property equity in net income, primarily due to a series of transactions in October 2002 in which the Company increased its equity interest in the entity that owns the Ritz Carlton Palm Beach Hotel from 25% to 50% and the Company's \$1.1 million portion of a payment received from the operator of the Resort/Hotel Property pursuant to the terms of the operating agreement because the Property did not achieve the specified net operating income level for 2002;
 - o an increase of \$0.7 million in other unconsolidated companies due primarily to losses recognized for the three months ended June 30, 2002; and
 - o an increase of \$0.4 million in Office Property equity in net income
- o Interest and other income decreased \$0.7 million, or 35.7%, to \$1.2 million due to the payoff of two notes receivable, with an aggregate principal balance of \$19.9 million, in 2002.

o Income from investment land sales, net increased \$1.6 million, due to net income from the sales of two parcels of undeveloped land, located in Dallas, Texas and Coppell, Texas, in 2003.

OTHER EXPENSES

Other expenses decreased \$1.7 million, or 2.0%, to \$88.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$89.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the decrease in other expenses are discussed below.

- o Interest expense decreased \$3.4 million, or 7.3%, to \$43.1 million due to a decrease of \$17.8 million in the weighted average debt balance and a decrease of 0.74% in the weighted average interest rate.
- o Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$1.5 million, or 4.4%, to \$36.0 million, primarily due to an increase of \$1.7 million in Residential Development Property and Resort/Hotel Property depreciation and amortization expense.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Income from discontinued operations on assets sold and held for sale decreased \$1.3\$ million, or 121.9%, to a loss of \$0.2\$ million, primarily due to:

- o a decrease of \$1.6 million due to the gain on the sale of two office properties in 2002; and
- o a decrease of \$0.8 million, due to the impairment of a behavioral healthcare property in 2003; partially offset by
- o an increase of \$1.2 million due to net operating loss in 2002 from six office properties and two transportation companies sold during 2002.

41

COMPARISON OF THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2003 TO THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2002

The following comparison of the results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and the six months ended June 30, 2002 reflects the consolidation of eight of the Resort/Hotel Properties and three of the Residential Development Properties commencing on February 14, 2002, as a result of the COPI transaction. Prior to February 14, 2002, the results of operations of the Resort/Hotel Properties were reflected in the Company's consolidated financial statements as lease payments and as equity in net income for the Residential Development Properties. Because the results of operations of these Properties are consolidated for the full period in 2003, as compared to a partial period in 2002, the Company's financial statements do not provide a direct comparison of the results of operations of the Resort/Hotel Properties or the Residential Development Properties for the full periods in 2003 and 2002. Additional information on the results of operations of the Resort/Hotel Properties or the Residential Development Properties for the full periods in both 2003 and 2002 is provided below under the captions "Resort/Hotel Properties" and "Residential Development Properties."

PROPERTY REVENUES

Total property revenues decreased \$35.6 million, or 7.2%, to \$461.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$496.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The components of the decrease in total property revenues are discussed below.

- o Office Property revenues decreased \$21.9 million, or 7.9%, to \$256.1 million, due to:
 - o a decrease of \$17.0 million from the 65 consolidated Office Properties (excluding 2002 acquisitions and properties held for sale) that the Company owned or had an interest in, primarily due to a decline in occupancy resulting in decreases in both rental revenue and operating expense recoveries, and a decrease in antenna revenue and other revenue;
 - o a decrease of \$16.0 million resulting from the contribution of two Office Properties to joint ventures in the third quarter of 2002; and
 - o a decrease of \$0.1 million in other revenues; partially offset by
 - o an increase of \$6.7 million from the Johns Manville Office Property acquired in August 2002;
 - o an increase of \$2.3 million attributable to third party management services and related direct expense reimbursements;
 - o an increase of \$1.2 million in net lease termination fees to \$3.0 million in 2003; and
 - o an increase of \$1.0 million attributable to non-recurring revenue received in 2003.
- o Resort/Hotel Property revenues increased \$23.3 million, or 25.3%, to \$115.4 million, primarily due to the consolidation of the operations of eight of the Resort/Hotel Properties for the full period in 2003 as compared to a partial period in 2002 as a result of the COPI transaction (prior to February 14, 2002 the Company recognized lease payments related to these properties).
- o Residential Development revenues decreased \$37.0 million, or 29.2%, to \$89.6 million, primarily due to a reduction in lot and unit sales at Desert Mountain and CRDI.

PROPERTY EXPENSES

Total property expenses decreased \$7.8 million, or 2.6%, to \$296.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$304.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The components of the decrease in total property expenses are discussed below.

- o Office Property expenses decreased $$1.2\ million$, or 1.0%, to $$123.4\ million$, primarily due to:
 - o a decrease of \$6.7 million due to the contribution of two Office Properties to joint ventures in 2002; and
 - o a decrease of \$0.7 million from the 65 consolidated Office Properties (excluding 2002 acquisitions and properties held for sale) that the Company owned or had an interest in, due to:
 - o \$3.0 million decrease in property taxes;

- o \$2.2 million decrease in building repairs and maintenance expense; and
- o \$0.8 million decrease in management fee expenses; partially offset by
- o \$5.3 million increase in utilities expense, primarily attributable to a new utility contract for the Texas Office Properties; partially offset by
- o an increase of \$2.6 million due to the acquisition of the Johns Manville Office Property in August 2002;

42

- o an increase of \$2.0 million attributable to the cost of providing third party management services to joint venture properties, which are recouped by increased third party fee income and direct expense reimbursements;
- o an increase of \$0.9 million in taxes/assessments related to various land parcels; and
- o an increase of \$0.4 million of other expenses.
- o Resort/Hotel Property expense increased \$26.3 million, or 39.8%, to \$92.4 million, primarily due to the consolidation of the operations of eight of the Resort/Hotel Properties for a full period in 2003 as compared to a partial period in 2002 as a result of the COPI transaction on February 14, 2002.
- o Residential Development Property expenses decreased \$32.9 million, or 29.0%, to \$80.8 million, primarily due to a reduction in lot and unit sales and related costs at Desert Mountain and CRDI.

OTHER INCOME/EXPENSE

Total other expenses increased \$14.1 million, or 9.2%, to \$167.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$153.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the increase in total other expenses are discussed below.

OTHER INCOME

Other income decreased \$7.6 million, or 36.7%, to \$12.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$20.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the decrease in other income are discussed below.

- o Equity in net income of unconsolidated companies decreased \$8.1 million, or 49.1%, to \$8.2 million, primarily due to:
 - o a decrease of \$16.2 million in Residential Development Property equity in net income due to the consolidation of the operations of three of the Residential Development Corporations for the full period in 2003 as compared to a partial period in 2002 as a result of the COPI transaction on February 14, 2002; partially offset by

- o an increase of \$3.7 million in other unconsolidated companies due primarily to losses recognized in the six months ended June 30, 2002;
- o an increase of \$2.1 million in Resort/Hotel Property equity in net income, primarily due to a series of transactions in October 2002 in which the Company increased its equity interest in the entity that owns the Ritz Carlton Palm Beach Hotel from 25% to 50% and the Company's \$1.1 million portion of a payment received from the operator of the Resort/Hotel Property pursuant to the terms of the operating agreement because the Property did not achieve the specified net operating income level for 2002;
- o an increase of \$1.8 million in Temperature-Controlled Logistics Property equity in net income, primarily due to an increase in other income, partially offset by a decrease in interest expense resulting from a decrease of \$3.0 million in the overall debt balance and a decrease in administrative expenses; and
- o an increase of \$0.5 million in Office Property equity in net income.
- o Interest and other income decreased \$1.2 million, or 29.9%, to \$2.9 million due to the payoff of two notes receivable, with an aggregate principal balance of \$19.9 million, in 2002.
- o Income from investment land sales, net increased \$1.7 million, due to net income from the sales of two parcels of undeveloped land, located in Dallas, Texas and Coppell, Texas, in 2003.

43

OTHER EXPENSES

Other expenses increased \$6.5 million, or 3.8%, to \$180.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003, as compared to \$173.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2002. The primary components of the increase in other expenses are discussed below.

- o Depreciation expense increased \$7.6 million, or 11.3\$, to \$74.7 million, due to;
 - o an increase of \$4.9 million in Residential Development Property and Resort/Hotel Property depreciation expense.
 - o an increase of \$2.7 million in Office Property depreciation expense, primarily attributable to:
 - o an increase of \$4.6 million due to the write-off of tenant improvements and lease commissions due to early termination of leases; and
 - o an increase of \$1.0 million from Johns Manville Office Property acquired in August 2002; partially offset by
 - o a decrease of \$3.0 million associated with the contribution of two Office Properties to joint ventures in 2002.

- o Corporate, general and administrative expenses increased \$0.9 million, or 7.5%, to \$12.6 million, primarily due to increased legal expenses, shareholder services, and consulting costs related to compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.
- o Other expenses increased \$0.3 million due to a loss resulting from the sale of marketable securities in 2003.
- o Interest expense decreased \$2.4 million, or 2.7%, to \$86.3 million primarily due to a decrease of 0.38%, from 7.69% to 7.31%, in the weighted average interest rate.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Income from discontinued operations on assets sold and held for sale decreased \$18.5\$ million, or 409.0%, to a loss of \$14.0\$ million, primarily due to:

- o a decrease of approximately \$12.7 million due to the impairment in 2003 of the 1800 West Loop South Office Property;
- o a decrease of \$5.4 million due to the gain on the sale of three office properties in 2002; and
- o a decrease of approximately \$1.5 million due to the impairment in 2003 of two of the behavioral healthcare properties; partially offset by
- o an increase of \$1.1 million due to the impairment in 2002 of two transportation companies sold in 2002.

RESORT/HOTEL PROPERTIES

The following provides a comparison of the results of operations of the Resort/Hotel Properties for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002.

	 For the six months ended June 30,					
(in thousands)	 2003		2002	Va 	riance	
Lease revenues Operating revenues Operating expenses	\$ 2,542 112,811 (92,399)	\$	7,913 84,134 (66,102)			
Net Operating Income	\$ 22 , 954	\$	25 , 945	\$ ===	(2,991)	

44

The net operating income for the Resort/Hotel Properties decreased \$3.0 million, or 11.5%, to \$22.9 million, primarily due to an increase of \$2.9 million in Resort/Hotel Property operating expenses, primarily consisting of insurance and workers' compensation expenses.

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROPERTIES

The following provides a comparison of the results of operations of the Residential Development Properties for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and

	For the six months ended June 30,							
(in thousands)	2003	2002	Variance					
Operating revenues	\$ 89,572	\$ 126,541						
Operating expenses Depreciation and amortization Equity in net income of	(80,760) (5,330)	(113,678) (2,849)						
unconsolidated companies Income tax benefit (provision)	2,510 3,443	18,662 (3,463)						
Minority interests Discontinued operations	(1,095)	(1,995) (1,205)						
Net Income	\$ 8,340	\$ 22,013	\$ (13,673)					
Net Income	\$ 8,340 =====	\$ 22,013 ======	\$ (13,673) ======					

Net income for the Residential Development Properties decreased \$13.7 million, or 62.1%, to \$8.3 million, primarily due to:

- o a decrease of approximately \$7.8 million due to lower lot, unit and acreage sales at Desert Mountain, CRDI and The Woodlands in 2003;
- o a decrease of approximately \$6.0 million as a result of gains recognized on the disposition of two properties at The Woodlands in 2002; and
- o a decrease of \$1.1 million due to the sale of two transportation companies in December 2002 by CRDI; partially offset by
- o an increase of \$1.4 million due to a goodwill impairment at CRDI in 2002 resulting from the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

CASH FLOWS

(in millions)	ENDED	SIX MONTHS JUNE 30, 2003
Cash provided by Operating Activities Cash used in Investing Activities Cash used in Financing Activities	\$	38.5 (15.0) (30.0)
Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	\$	(6.5) 78.4

Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period

\$ 71.9

OPERATING ACTIVITIES

The Company's cash provided by operating activities of \$38.5 million is attributable to Property operations.

45

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

The Company's cash used in investing activities of \$15.0 million is primarily attributable to:

- o \$28.6 million for revenue and non-revenue enhancing tenant improvement and leasing costs for Office Properties;
- o \$15.2 million for Residential Development Property investments;
- o \$11.3 million for property improvements for rental properties, primarily attributable to non-recoverable building improvements for the Office Properties and replacement of furniture, fixtures and equipment for the Resort/Hotel Properties;
- o \$3.3 million of additional investment in unconsolidated companies, consisting primarily of investments in the Residential Development Properties, Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties, and SunTx, a private equity fund;
- o \$2.7 million resulting from an increase in restricted cash, due primarily to an increase in escrow deposits for capital expenditures at the Company's Office Properties;
- o \$2.0 million for the acquisition of rental properties; and
- o \$1.1 million for development of investment properties.

The cash used in investing activities is partially offset by:

- \$20.5 million resulting from a decrease in notes receivable, primarily due to payment on a short-term seller financing note attributable to the sale of two Office Properties in The Woodlands and collections on developer financing notes at the Residential Development Properties related to lot and unit sales in 2002;
- o \$11.4 million in cash resulting from the consolidation of entities;
- o \$6.4 million of proceeds from property sales;
- o \$5.4 million from return of investments in SunTx;
- o \$3.2 million from return of investments in Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties; and

o \$2.3 million from return of investments in Office Properties.

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

The Company's cash used in financing activities of \$30.0 million is attributable to:

- o \$99.0 million of payments under the Company's credit facility, primarily from proceeds from the new Cigna note;
- o \$92.4 million of payments under other borrowings, partially resulting from the payoff of the Cigna Note;
- o \$87.7 million of distributions to common shareholders and unitholders;
- o \$47.8 million of Residential Development Property note payments;
- o \$13.2 million of distributions to preferred shareholders;
- o \$7.8 million of net capital distributions to joint venture partners;
- o \$1.9 million of debt financing costs; and
- o \$0.9 million for common shares purchased under a compensation plan.

The cash used in financing activities is partially offset by:

- o \$187.0 million of proceeds from borrowings under the Company's credit facility, a portion of which were used to pay off the Cigna Note and for investment in Residential Development Properties and tenant improvements, leasehold commissions and property improvements for the Office Segment;
- o \$92.4 million of proceeds from other borrowings, primarily as a result of the new Cigna note; and
- o \$41.3 million of proceeds from borrowings for construction costs for infrastructure development on Residential Development Properties.

46

LIQUIDITY REQUIREMENTS

DEBT FINANCING SUMMARY

The following tables show summary information about the Company's debt, including its share of unconsolidated debt, as of June 30, 2003. Additional information about the significant terms of the Company's debt financing arrangements, its unconsolidated debt, and the Company's guarantees of unconsolidated debt, is contained in Note 8, "Notes Payable and Borrowings under Credit Facility," Note 7, "Investments in Real Estate Mortgages and Equity of Unconsolidated Companies," and Note 10, "Commitments and Contingencies" of Item 1, "Financial Statements."

		SHARE OF	
(in thousands)	TOTAL COMPANY DEBT(1)	UNCONSOLIDATED DEBT	TOTAL(2)
Fixed Rate Debt	\$ 1,643,432	\$306,366	\$ 1,949,798
Variable Rate Debt	821,319	257 , 777	1,079,096
Total Debt	\$ 2,464,751	\$564,143	\$ 3,028,894
	=========	=======	=========

- (1) Balance excludes hedges. The percentages for fixed rate debt and variable rate debt, including the \$508.3 million of hedged variable rate debt, are 87% and 13%, respectively.
- (2) Balance excludes hedges. The percentages for total consolidated and unconsolidated fixed rate debt and variable rate debt, including the \$508.3 million of hedged variable rate debt, are 81% and 19%, respectively.

(in thousands)		SECURED DEBT		SECURED DEBT		NSECURED BT LINE OF CREDIT		TOTAL COMPANY DEBT	SHARE OF UNCONSOLIDATED DEBT
2003	\$	21,707	\$		\$		\$	21,707	\$ 17,432
2004		283 , 799				252,000		535 , 799	103,277
2005		375,341						375 , 341	167,084
2006		18,359						18,359	23,977
2007		26,344		250,000				276,344	48,384
Thereafter		862,201		375,000				1,237,201	203,989
	\$	1,587,751	\$	625,000	\$	252,000	\$	2,464,751	\$ 564,143
	==:		===		===	========	==	=======	========

47

CAPITAL EXPENDITURES

As of June 30, 2003, the Company had unfunded capital expenditures of approximately \$79.5 million relating to capital investments that are not in the ordinary course of operations of the Company's business segments. The table below specifies the Company's requirements for capital expenditures and its amounts funded as of June 30, 2003, and amounts remaining to be funded (future fundings classified between short-term and long-term capital requirements):

		TOTAL	AMOUNT FUNDED	AMOUNT	SH
		PROJECT	AS OF JUNE 30,	REMAINING TO	
(in millions)	PROJECT	COST (1)	2003	FUND	М

						-
OFFICE SEGMENT						
Acquired or Developed Properties (3)	\$ 2.3	\$	(1.1)	\$	1.2	ξ
Houston Center Shops Redevelopment(4)	11.6		(1.3)		10.3	
RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT SEGMENT(5)						
Tahoe Mountain Properties & Club	85.3		(75.4)		9.9	
Desert Mountain Golf Course and						
Water Supply Pipeline	53.8		(39.7)		14.1	
CRDI - East West Resort Development IV,						
L.P., L.L.P.(6)	12.1		0.0		12.1	
RESORT/HOTEL SEGMENT						
Canyon Ranch - Tucson Land -						
Construction Loan(7)	3.2				3.2	
Canyon Ranch - Lenox Aquatic Center	3.1		(2.6)		0.5	
OTHER						
SunTx(8)	19.0		(6.3)		12.7	
Crescent Spinco(9)	15.5				15.5	
TOTAL	\$ 205.9	\$	(126.4)	\$	79.5	5
	======	=====	=======	=====		=

- (1) All amounts are approximate.
- (2) Reflects the Company's estimate of the breakdown between short-term and long-term capital expenditures.
- (3) The capital expenditures reflect the Company's ownership percentage in each Property, 25% for 5 Houston Center Office Property and 30% for Five Post Oak Park Office Property.
- (4) Located within the Houston Center Office Property complex.
- (5) Represents capital expenditures for infrastructure and amenities. The Tahoe Mountain Properties and Club project costs exclude costs for projects in which the Company anticipates sales to occur over the next 18 months.
- (6) The Company has a purchase commitment of \$12.1 million related to a purchase agreement for a tract of land in Eagle County, Colorado. The guaranteed amount of \$12.1 million will be paid by the Company at closing of the transaction, which is anticipated to occur in the third quarter of 2003.
- (7) The Company committed to fund a construction loan to the purchaser of the land which will be secured by 20 developed lots and a \$0.6 million letter of credit.
- (8) This commitment is related to the Company's investment in a private equity fund.
- (9) The Company expects to form and capitalize Crescent Spinco, which will be a separate entity to be owned by the Company's shareholders and unitholders, and to cause the new entity to commit to acquire COPI's entire membership interest in AmeriCold Logistics.

In addition, the Company has entered into a contract to acquire an

\$

==

office property for approximately \$52 million, consisting of \$14 million in cash and assumption of a \$38 million loan. The acquisition is anticipated to close in the third quarter of 2003 and is subject to customary closing conditions.

LIQUIDITY OUTLOOK

The Company expects to fund its short-term capital requirements of approximately \$69.2 million through a combination of cash, construction financing, net cash flow from operations, and borrowings under the Company's credit facility or the JP Morgan Facility. The Company plans to meet its maturing debt obligations, through June 30, 2004, of approximately \$540.5 million, primarily through refinancing of the Credit Facility, refinancing or electing the extension option on the Deutsche Bank-CMBS loan, and refinancing of the Northwestern Life Note.

The Company expects to meet its other short-term liquidity requirements, consisting of normal recurring operating expenses, debt service requirements, non-revenue enhancing capital expenditures and revenue enhancing capital expenditures (such as property improvements, tenant improvements and leasing costs), distributions to shareholders and unitholders, and unfunded expenses related to the COPI bankruptcy, primarily through cash flow provided by operating activities and return of capital from the Residential Development Segment. To the extent that the Company's cash flow from operating activities and return of capital from the Residential Development Segment are not sufficient to finance such short-term liquidity requirements, the Company expects to finance such requirements with borrowings under the Company's credit facility, the JP Morgan Facility, or new debt facilities, and proceeds from the sale or joint venture of Properties.

48

The Company's long-term liquidity requirements as of June 30, 2003 consist primarily of debt maturities after June 30, 2004, which totaled approximately \$1.9 billion. The Company also has \$10.3 million of long-term capital expenditure requirements. The Company expects to meet these long-term liquidity requirements primarily through long-term secured and unsecured borrowings and other debt and equity financing alternatives as well as cash proceeds received from the sale or joint venture of Properties and return of capital investment from the Residential Development Segment.

Debt and equity financing alternatives currently available to the Company to satisfy its liquidity requirements and commitments for material capital expenditures include:

- Additional proceeds from the Company's Credit Facility under which the Company has up to \$105.1 million of borrowing capacity available as of June 30, 2003;
- o Additional proceeds from the refinancing of the Company's Credit Facility and other existing secured and unsecured debt;
- o Additional debt secured by existing underleveraged properties;
- o Issuance of additional unsecured debt;
- o Equity offerings including preferred and/or convertible securities; and
- o Proceeds from joint ventures and Property sales.

The following factors could limit the Company's ability to utilize these financing alternatives:

- o The reduction in net operating income of the Properties supporting the Company's Credit Facility to a level that would reduce the availability under the Credit Facility;
- o The Company may be unable to obtain debt or equity financing on favorable terms, or at all, as a result of the financial condition of the Company or market conditions at the time the Company seeks additional financing;
- o Restrictions on the Company's debt instruments or outstanding equity may prohibit it from incurring debt or issuing equity on terms available under then-prevailing market conditions or at all; and
- The Company may be unable to service additional or replacement debt due to increases in interest rates and a decline in the Company's operating performance.

The Company's portion of unconsolidated debt maturing through June 30, 2004 is \$47.6 million. The Company's portion of unconsolidated debt maturing after June 30, 2004 is \$516.5 million. Unconsolidated debt is the liability of the unconsolidated entity, is typically secured by that entity's property, and is non-recourse to the Company except where a guarantee exists.

49

DEBT FINANCING

DEBT FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

The significant terms of the Company's primary debt financing arrangements existing as of June 30, 2003, are shown below:

DESCRIPTION(1)		BALANCE OUTSTANDING MAXIMUM JUNE 30, BORROWINGS 2003		STANDING AT JUNE 30,	INTEREST RATE AT JUNE 30, 2003	MATU DAT
SECURED FIXED RATE DEBT:		(dollars	in th	nousands)		
AEGON Partnership Note	\$	262,699	\$	262,699	7.53%	July
LaSalle Note I		236,554		236,554	7.83	Augus
JP Morgan Mortgage Note		193 , 457		193,457	8.31	Octob
LaSalle Note II		160,541		160,541	7.79	March
Cigna Note		70,000		70,000	5.22	June
Metropolitan Life Note V		37,823		37,823	8.49	Decem
Northwestern Life Note		26,000		26,000	7.66	Janua
Woodmen of the World Note		8,500		8,500	8.20	April
Nomura Funding VI Note		7,943		7,943	10.07	July
Mitchell Mortgage Note		1,743		1,743	7.00	Septe
Construction, Acquisition and other obligations for various CRDI and MVDC						
projects		13,172		13,172	2.90 to 11.25	Augus

Subtotal/Weighted Average		1,018,432	\$	1,018,432	7.67%	
UNSECURED FIXED RATE DEBT:						
The 2009 Notes	\$	375 , 000	\$	375 , 000	9.25%	April
The 2007 Notes		250,000		250,000	7.50	_
Subtotal/Weighted Average	\$	625,000	\$	625,000	8.55%	
SECURED VARIABLE RATE DEBT:						
Fleet Fund I and II Term Loan						May 2
Deutsche Bank-CMBS Loan(2)		•		220,000		May 2
National Bank of Arizona					4.00 to 5.00	Nov 0
FHI Finance Loan Construction, Acquisition and other obligations for various		10,000		435	5.82	Septe
CRDI and MVDC projects				26 , 487	3.84 to 5.25	July
Subtotal/Weighted Average	\$	638,963	\$	569,319	4.94%	
UNSECURED VARIABLE RATE DEBT:						
Credit Facility(3)	\$	372 , 284	\$	252,000(4)	3.17%	May 2
JP Morgan Loan Sales Facility(5)		50,000				_
Subtotal/Weighted Average	\$	422,284	\$		3.17%	
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE					6.82%(6)	
ed Average	\$ \$	422,284	\$ \$		3.17%	_

AVERAGE REMAINING TERM 7.0 y

- (2) This loan has two one-year extension options.
- (3) This facility has a one-year extension option.
- (4) The outstanding balance excludes letters of credit issued under the credit facility of \$15.2 million.
- (5) This is an uncommitted facility.
- (6) The overall weighted average interest rate does not include the effect of the Company's cash flow hedge agreements. Including the effect of these

⁽¹⁾ For more information regarding the terms of the Company's debt financing arrangements, including the amounts payable at maturity, properties securing the Company's secured debt and the method of calculation of the interest rate for the Company's variable rate debt, see Note 8, "Notes Payable and Borrowings under the Credit Facility," included in Item 1, "Financial Statements."

agreements, the overall weighted average interest rate would have been 7.19%.

50

In April 2003, the Company received modifications to certain definitions relating to financial and other covenants in the \$400 million Fleet Revolving Credit Facility and \$275 million Fleet Fund I and II Term Loan. The modifications do not alter the Company's borrowing capacity, scheduled principle payments, interest rates, or maturity dates.

As of June 30, 2003, no event of default had occurred, and the Company was in compliance with all of its financial covenants related to its outstanding debt.

Failure to comply with covenants under the credit facility or other debt instruments could result in an event of default under one or more of the Company's debt instruments. Any uncured or unwaived events of default under the Company's loans can trigger an increase in interest rates or an acceleration of payment on the loan in default and could cause the credit facility to become unavailable to the Company. In addition, an event of default by the Company or any of its subsidiaries with respect to any indebtedness in excess of \$5.0 million generally will result in an event of default under the credit facility and the Fleet Fund I and II Term Loan after the notice and cure periods for the other indebtedness have passed. Any uncured or unwaived event of default could have an adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition, or liquidity.

The Company's debt facilities generally prohibit loan prepayment for an initial period, allow prepayment with a penalty during a following specified period and allow prepayment without penalty after the expiration of that period. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, there were no circumstances that required prepayment penalties or increased collateral related to the Company's existing debt.

The Company's policy with regard to the incurrence and maintenance of debt is based on a review and analysis of the following:

- o investment opportunities for which capital is required and the cost of debt in relation to such investment opportunities;
- o the type of debt available (secured or unsecured; variable or fixed);
- o the effect of additional debt on existing covenant ratios;
- o the maturity of the proposed debt in relation to maturities of existing debt; and
- o exposure to variable rate debt and alternatives such as interest-rate swaps and cash flow hedges to reduce this exposure.

UNCONSOLIDATED DEBT ARRANGEMENTS

As of June 30, 2003, the total debt of the unconsolidated joint ventures and equity investments in which the company has ownership interests was \$1.4 billion, of which the Company's share was \$564.1 million. The Company had quaranteed \$78.1 million of this debt as of June 30, 2003. Additional

information relating to the Company's unconsolidated debt financing arrangements is contained in Note 7, "Investments in Real Estate Mortgages and Equity of Unconsolidated Companies," of Item 1, "Financial Statements."

GUARANTEE COMMITMENTS

The Company's guarantees in place as of June 30, 2003 are listed in the table below. For the guarantees on indebtedness, no triggering events or conditions are anticipated to occur that would require payment under the guarantees and management believes the assets associated with the loans that are guaranteed are sufficient to cover the maximum potential amount of future payments and therefore, would not require the Company to provide additional collateral to support the guarantees.

	AMOUNT	ARANTEED OUTSTANDING NE 30, 2003	G
DEBTOR		(in the	ousands)
Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P.(1)(2) CRDI - Eagle Ranch Metropolitan District - Letter of Credit(3) Blue River Land Company, L.L.C.(1)(4) Main Street Partners, L.P Letter of Credit(1)(5) Manalapan Hotel Partners, L.L.C Letter of Credit(1)(6)	\$	65,470 15,197 5,355 4,250 3,000	\$
Total Guarantees	\$ 	93,272	\$

- (1) See Note 7, "Investments in Real Estate Mortgages and Equity of Unconsolidated Companies - Unconsolidated Debt Analysis," in Item 1, "Financial Statements," for a description of the terms of this debt.
- (2) The Company provides a full guarantee up to \$82.5 million for the construction loan on 5 Houston Center, which was completed in 2002. The guarantee amount reduces to \$41.3 million upon achievement of specified conditions, including specified tenants occupying space and obtaining a certificate of occupancy; further reduction to \$20.6 million upon achievement of 90% occupancy and 1.3x debt service coverage.
- (3) The Company provides a \$15.2 million letter of credit to support the payment of interest and principal of the Eagle Ranch Metropolitan District Revenue Development Bonds and Limited Tax Bonds.
- (4) A fully consolidated entity of CRDI, of which CRDI owns 88.3%, provides a guarantee of 70% of the outstanding balance of up to a \$9.0 million loan to Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. There was approximately \$7.7 million outstanding at June 30, 2003 and the amount guaranteed was \$5.4 million.

51

(5) The Company and its joint venture partner each provide a \$4.3 million letter

- of credit to guarantee repayment of up to \$8.5 million of the loan to Main Street Partners, L.P.
- (6) The Company and its joint venture partner each provide a \$3.0 million letter of credit to guarantee repayment of up to \$6.0 million of the Manalapan debt with Corus Bank.

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company's objective in using derivatives is to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements or other identified risks. Derivative financial instruments are used to convert a portion of the Company's variable rate debt to fixed rate debt and to manage its fixed to variable rate debt ratio. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses interest rate swaps as part of its cash flow hedging strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the payment of fixed rate amounts in exchange for variable rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amount. For the six months ended June 30, 2003, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable rate debt.

The following table shows information regarding the Company's cash flow hedge agreements during the six months ended June 30, 2003, and additional interest expense and unrealized gains (losses) recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income ("OCI").

EFFECTIVE Date(1)	OTIONAL AMOUNT	MATU D	RITY ATE	REFEI RA'	RENCE FE		R MARKET VALUE		ADDIT NTEREST	IONAL EXPENSE	UNRE	CHANG CALIZE (SES)
(in thousands)												
9/01/99	\$ 200,000	9/	02/03(2)	6	.18%	\$	(2,503) \$		4,851	\$	
5/15/01	200,000	2/	03/03	7	.11%					1,048		
4/18/00	100,000	4/	18/04	6	.76%		(4,790)		2,734		
2/15/03	100,000	2/	15/06	3	.26%		(4,098)		741		
2/15/03	100,000	2/	15/06	3	.25%		(4,091)		740		
9/02/03	200,000	9/	01/06	3	.72%		(10,184)				
						\$	(25,666) \$		10,114	\$	
						===		=:			====	

- -----
- (1) During 2002, the Company entered into agreements for three cash flow hedges, two of which were effective in the first quarter of 2003, and one of which will be effective in the third quarter of 2003. These three cash flow hedges replace the Company's cash flow hedges with maturity dates of September 2, 2003 and February 3, 2003.
- (2) In June 2003, the Company terminated its \$200 million interest rate swap with Salomon Brothers prior to its September 2, 2003 stated maturity by prepaying the interest that would have been due at maturity. The remaining unrealized gains in OCI and the prepaid interest amounts will be amortized through the September 2, 2003 maturity date.

CRDI, a consolidated subsidiary of the Company, also uses derivative financial instruments to convert a portion of its variable rate debt to fixed

rate debt.

The following table shows information regarding CRDI's cash flow hedge agreements and additional capitalized interest thereon as of June 30, 2003. Unlike the additional interest on the Company's cash flow hedges, which was expensed, the additional interest on CRDI's cash flow hedges was capitalized, as it is related to debt incurred for projects that are currently under development. Also presented are the unrealized gains in OCI for the six months ended June 30, 2003.

ISSUE DATE	 TIONAL MOUNT	MATURITY DATE	REFERENCE RATE	FAIR M VAL		INTE	ALIZED	UNRE	GE IN ALIZED IN OCI
(in thousa	•								
9/4/01 9/4/01	4,650 3,700	9/4/03 9/4/03	4.12% 4.12%	\$	36 28	\$	33 26	\$	66 50
				\$ =====	64	\$ =====	59 =====	\$ =====	116

In June 2003, CRDI entered into an interest rate cap agreement with Bank of America for a loan with an initial notional amount of \$0.8 million, increasing monthly to up to \$28.3 million in September 2004, based on the amount of the loan. Under the agreement, in the event the prime rate, as defined in the agreement, exceeds 4.1%, Bank of America will reimburse the interest paid in excess of such rate.

52

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

ASSETS HELD FOR SALE

OFFICE SEGMENT

As of June 30, 2003, the 1800 West Loop South Office Property located in the West Loop/Galleria submarket in Houston, Texas was held for sale. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company recognized an approximately \$12.7 million impairment charge, net of minority interests, on the 1800 West Loop South Office Property.

BEHAVIORAL HEALTHCARE PROPERTIES

On February 27, 2003, the Company sold a behavioral healthcare property for \$2.0 million, consisting of \$1.3 million in cash and a \$0.7 million note receivable. The Company recognized a loss on the sale of this property of approximately \$0.3 million. A \$2.3 million impairment charge, net of minority interest, had been recognized during 2002 related to this property.

On May 2, 2003, the Company sold one additional behavioral healthcare property for \$2.1 million. The Company recognized a loss on the sale of this property of approximately \$0.1 million. A \$0.7 million impairment charge, net of minority interest, was recognized during the first quarter of 2003 related to

this property.

The Company also recognized a \$0.8 million impairment charge, net of minority interest, during the second quarter of 2003 on a behavioral healthcare property held for sale and under contract for sale at June 30, 2003. This property was sold on July 10, 2003.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company owned five behavioral healthcare properties.

INVESTMENT LAND DISPOSITIONS

On April 24, 2003, the Company completed the sale of approximately one-half acre of undeveloped land located in Dallas, Texas. The sale generated net proceeds and a net gain of approximately \$0.3 million. This land was wholly-owned by the Company.

On May 15, 2003, the Company completed the sale of approximately 24.8 acres of undeveloped land located in Coppell, Texas. The sale generated net proceeds of \$3.0 million and a net gain of approximately \$1.1 million. This land was wholly-owned by the Company.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company sold approximately 3.5 acres of undeveloped land located in Houston, Texas. Subsequent to the end of the second quarter, the sale agreement was modified. Under the terms of the modified sale agreement, the Company generated proceeds of \$2.1 million, net of closing costs, and a note receivable in the amount of \$11.8 million, with annual installments of principal and interest payments beginning June 27, 2004 through maturity on June 27, 2010. The principal payment amounts are calculated based upon a 20-year amortization and the interest rate is 4% for the first two years and thereafter the prime rate, as defined in the note, through maturity. Based on the terms of the modified sale agreement, the Company will fully recognize a net gain of approximately \$8.9 million in the third quarter of 2003. This land was wholly-owned by the Company.

53

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

DBL HOLDINGS, INC.

Since June 1999, the Company contributed approximately \$23.8 million to DBL. The contribution was used by DBL to make an equity contribution to DBL-ABC, Inc., which committed to purchase a limited partnership interest representing a 12.5% interest in G2. G2 was formed for the purpose of investing in commercial mortgage backed securities and other commercial real estate investments and is managed and controlled by an entity that is owned equally by GMSP and GMACCM. The ownership structure of GMSP consists of an approximately 86% limited partnership interest owned directly and indirectly by Richard Rainwater, Chairman of the Board of Trust Managers of the Company, and an approximately 14% general partnership interest, of which approximately 6% is owned by Darla Moore, who is married to Mr. Rainwater, and approximately 6% is owned by John Goff, Vice-Chairman of the Company's Board of Trust Managers and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. The remaining approximately 2% general partnership interest is owned by parties unrelated to the Company. At June 30, 2003, DBL had an approximately \$13.4 million investment in G2.

On January 2, 2003, the Company purchased the remaining 2.56% economic interest, representing 100% of the voting stock, in DBL from Mr. Goff. Total

consideration paid for Mr. Goff's interest was \$0.4 million. The Board of Trust Managers of the Company, including all the independent trust managers, approved the transaction based in part on an appraisal of the assets of DBL by an independent appraisal firm. As a result of this transaction, DBL is wholly-owned by the Company and is consolidated in the Residential Development Segment as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2003. Also, because DBL owns a majority of the voting stock in MVDC and HADC, the Company has consolidated these two Residential Development Corporations as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2003.

UNCONSOLIDATED INVESTMENTS

INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE MORTGAGES AND EQUITY OF UNCONSOLIDATED COMPANIES

The Company has investments of 20% to 50% in seven unconsolidated joint ventures that own seven Office Properties. The Company does not have control of these joint ventures, and therefore, these investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

The Company, through ownership interests of 50% or less, or ownership of non-voting interests only, has other unconsolidated investments which it does not control; these investments are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

54

The following is a summary of the Company's ownership in significant unconsolidated joint ventures and equity investments as of June 30, 2003.

ENTITY	CLASSIFICATION
Joint Ventures	
Main Street Partners, L.P. Crescent Miami Center, L.L.C. Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P. Austin PT BK One Tower Office Limited Partnership Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership Crescent Five Post Oak Park L.P.	Office (Bank One Center-Dallas) Office (Miami Center - Miami) Office (5 Houston Center-Houston Office (Bank One Tower-Austin) Office (Four Westlake Park-Houst Office (Three Westlake Park-Hous Office (Five Post Oak - Houston)
Equity Investments	
The Woodlands Land Development Company, L.P. Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. Manalapan Hotel Partners, L.L.C. Vornado Crescent Portland Partnership Vornado Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry, L.L.C. The Woodlands Commercial Properties Company, L.P. CR License, L.L.C. The Woodlands Operating Company, L.P. Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C. SunTx Fulcrum Fund, L.P. G2 Opportunity Fund, L.P.	Residential Development Residential Development Resort/Hotel (Ritz Carlton Palm Temperature-Controlled Logistics Temperature-Controlled Logistics Office Other Other Other Other Other

- (1) The remaining 50% interest in Main Street Partners, L.P. is owned by Trizec Properties, Inc.
- (2) The remaining 60% interest in Crescent Miami Center, L.L.C. is owned by an affiliate of a fund managed by JP Morgan Fleming Asset Management, Inc.
- (3) The remaining 75% interest in Crescent 5 Houston Center, L.P. is owned by a pension fund advised by JP Morgan Fleming Asset Management, Inc.
- (4) The remaining 80% interest in each of Austin PT BK One Tower Office Limited Partnership, Houston PT Three Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership and Houston PT Four Westlake Park Office Limited Partnership is owned by an affiliate of General Electric Pension Trust.
- (5) The remaining 70% interest in Crescent Five Post Oak Park L.P. is owned by an affiliate of General Electric Pension Trust.
- (6) The remaining 57.5% interest in each of the WLDC, Woodlands CPC and The Woodlands Operating Company, L.P. is owned by an affiliate of Morgan Stanley.
- (7) Distributions are made to partners based on specified payout percentages. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the payout percentage to the Company was 52.5%.
- (8) The remaining 50% interest in Blue River Land Company, L.L.C. is owned by parties unrelated to the Company.
- (9) The remaining 50% interest in Manalapan is owned by WB Palm Beach Investors, L.L.C.
- (10) The remaining 60% interest in Vornado Crescent Portland Partnership is owned by Vornado Realty Trust, L.P.
- (11) The remaining 44% in Vornado Crescent Carthage and KC Quarry, L.L.C. is owned by Vornado Realty Trust, L.P.
- (12) The remaining 70% interest in CR License, L.L.C. is owned by an affiliate of the management company of two of the Company's Resort/Hotel Properties.
- (13) The remaining 35% interest in Canyon Ranch Las Vegas, L.L.C. is owned by an affiliate of the management company of two of the Company's Resort/Hotel Properties.
- (14) The SunTx Fulcrum Fund, L.P.'s ("SunTx") objective is to invest in a portfolio of acquisitions that offer the potential for substantial capital appreciation. The remaining 71.9% of SunTx is owned by a group of individuals unrelated to the Company. The Company's ownership percentage will decline by the closing date of SunTx as capital commitments from third parties are secured. The Company's projected ownership interest at the closing of SunTx is approximately 7.5% based on SunTx manager's expectations for the final SunTx capitalization. The Company accounts for its investment in SunTx under the cost method. The Company's investment at June 30, 2003 was \$6.3 million.
- (15) G2 was formed for the purpose of investing in commercial mortgage backed securities and other commercial real estate investments. GMSP and GMACCM each own 21.875% of G2, with the remaining 43.75% owned by parties unrelated to the Company. See Note 14, "Related Party Transactions," in Item 1, "Financial Statements," for information regarding the ownership interests of trust managers and officers of the Company in GMSP.

5.5

TEMPERATURE-CONTROLLED LOGISTICS SEGMENT

As of June 30, 2003, the Company held a 40% interest in the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Partnership, which owns the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation, which directly or indirectly owns the 88 Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties, with an aggregate of approximately 441.5 million cubic feet (17.5 million square feet) of warehouse space.

The Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation leases the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties to AmeriCold Logistics, a limited liability company owned 60% by Vornado Operating L.P. and 40% by a subsidiary of COPI. The Company has no economic interest in AmeriCold Logistics. See Note 15, "COPI," of Item 1, "Financial Statements," for information on the proposed acquisition of COPI's 40% interest in AmeriCold Logistics by a new entity to be owned by the Company's shareholders.

AmeriCold Logistics, as sole lessee of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties, leases the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties from the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation under three triple-net master leases, as amended. On February 22, 2001, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation and AmeriCold Logistics agreed to restructure certain financial terms of the leases, including a reduction of the rental obligation for 2001 and 2002, the increase of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation's share of capital expenditures for the maintenance of the properties (effective January 1, 2000) and the extension of the date on which deferred rent is required to be paid to December 31, 2003. On March 7, 2003, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation and AmeriCold Logistics amended the leases to further extend the date on which deferred rent is required to be paid to December 31, 2004.

Americold Logistics deferred \$18.5 million of the total \$76.4 million of rent payable for the six months ended June 30, 2003. The Company's share of the deferred rent was \$7.4 million. The Company recognizes rental income from the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties when earned and collected and has not recognized the \$7.4 million of deferred rent in equity in net income of the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties for the six months ended June 30, 2003. As of June 30, 2003, the Temperature-Controlled Logistics Corporation's deferred rent and valuation allowance from AmeriCold Logistics were \$59.1 million and \$52.8 million, respectively, of which the Company's portions were \$23.6 million and \$21.1 million, respectively.

VORNADO CRESCENT CARTHAGE AND KC QUARRY, L.L.C.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company held a 56% interest in VCQ. The assets of VCQ include two quarries and the related land. The Company accounts for this investment as an unconsolidated equity investment because the Company does not control the joint venture.

On December 31, 2002, VCQ purchased \$5.7 million of trade receivables from AmeriCold Logistics at a 2% discount. The Company contributed approximately \$3.1 million to VCQ for the purchase of the trade receivables. The receivables were collected during the three months ended March 31, 2003.

On March 28, 2003, VCQ purchased \$6.6 million of trade receivables from AmeriCold Logistics at a 2% discount. VCQ used cash from collection of trade

receivables previously purchased from AmeriCold Logistics and a \$2.0 million contribution from its owners, of which approximately \$0.8 million represented the Company's contribution, for the purchase of the trade receivables. The receivables were collected during the three months ended June 30, 2003.

On May 22, 2003, VCQ distributed cash of \$3.2 million to the Company.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Company's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations is based on its consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, and contingencies as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The Company evaluates its assumptions and estimates on an ongoing basis. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. These estimates form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities where that information is available from other sources. Certain estimates are particularly sensitive due to their

56

significance to the financial statements. Actual results may differ significantly from management's estimates. The Company believes that the most significant accounting policies that involve the use estimates and assumptions as to future uncertainties and, therefore, may result in actual amounts that differ from estimates are the following:

- o Valuation for impairment of the Company's assets and investments,
- o Relative Fair Value Method/Cost of Sales (Residential Development entities),
- o Capitalization of Interest (Residential Development entities), and
- o Allowance for doubtful accounts.

IMPAIRMENTS. Real estate and leasehold improvements are classified as long-lived assets held for sale or long-lived assets to be held and used. In accordance with Statement No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets," the Company records assets held for sale at the lower of carrying value or sales price less costs to sell. For assets classified as held and used, these assets are tested for recoverability when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the estimated carrying amount may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized when expected undiscounted future cash flows from a Property are less than the carrying value of the Property. The Company's estimates of cash flows of the Properties require the Company to make assumptions related to future rental rates, occupancies, operating expenses, the ability of the Company's tenants to perform pursuant to their lease obligations and proceeds to be generated from the eventual sale of the Company's Properties. Any changes in estimated future cash flows due to changes in the Company's plans or views of market and economic conditions could result in recognition of additional impairment losses.

If events or circumstances indicate that the fair value of an investment accounted for using the equity or cost method has declined below its carrying value and the Company considers the decline to be "other than temporary," the investment is written down to fair value and an impairment loss is recognized. The evaluation of impairment for an investment would be based on a number of factors, including financial condition and operating results for the investment, inability to remain in compliance with provisions of any related debt agreements, and recognition of impairments by other investors. Impairment recognition would negatively impact the recorded value of our investment and reduce net income.

RELATIVE SALES METHOD AND PERCENTAGE OF COMPLETION. The Company recognizes earnings from the sale of Residential Development Properties when a third-party buyer has made an adequate cash down payment and has attained the attributes of ownership. The cost of residential property sold is defined based on the type of product being purchased. The cost of sales for residential lots is generally determined as a specific percentage of the sales revenues recognized for each Residential Development project. The percentages are based on total estimated development costs and sales revenue for each Residential Development project. These estimates are revised annually and are based on the then-current development strategy and operating assumptions utilizing internally developed projections for product type, revenue and related development costs. The cost of sale for residential units (such as townhomes and condominiums) is determined using the relative sales value method. If the residential unit has been sold prior to the completion of infrastructure cost, and those uncompleted costs are not significant in relation to total costs, the full accrual method is utilized. Under this method, 100% of the revenue is recognized and a commitment liability is established to reflect the allocated estimated future costs to complete the residential unit. If the Company's estimates of costs or the percentage of completion is incorrect, it could result in either an increase or decrease in cost of sales expense or revenue recognized and therefore, an increase or decrease in net income.

CAPITALIZATION OF INTEREST. The Company commences capitalization of interest when development activities and expenditures begin and ceases to capitalize interest upon "completion," which is defined as the time when the asset is ready for its intended use. The Company uses judgment in determining the time period over which to capitalize such interest and these assumptions have a direct impact on net income because capitalized costs are not subtracted in calculating net income. If the time period is extended, more interest is capitalized, thereby increasing net income.

ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS. The Company's accounts receivable balance is reduced by an allowance for amounts that may become uncollectible in the future. The Company's receivable balance is composed primarily of rents and operating cost recoveries due from its tenants. The Company also maintains an allowance for deferred rent receivables which arise from the straight-lining of rents. The allowance for doubtful accounts is reviewed at least quarterly for adequacy by reviewing such factors as the credit quality of the Company's tenants, any delinquency in payment, historical trends and current economic conditions. If the assumptions regarding the collectibility of accounts receivable prove incorrect, the Company could experience write-offs in excess of its allowance for doubtful accounts, which would result in a decrease in net income.

SFAS NO. 145. In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, "Rescission of FASB Statements 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of FASB Statement No. 13, and Technical Corrections." SFAS No. 145 requires the reporting of gains and losses from early extinguishment of debt be included in the determination of net income unless criteria in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations," which allows for extraordinary item classification, are met. The provisions of this Statement related to the rescission of Statement No. 4 are to be applied in fiscal years beginning after May 15, 2002. The Company adopted this Statement for fiscal 2003 and expects no impact in 2003 beyond the classification of costs related to early extinguishments of debt, which were shown in the Company's 2001 Consolidated Statements of Operations as an extraordinary item.

SFAS NOS. 148 AND 123. In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure," effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002, to amend the transition and disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." In addition to the prospective transition method of accounting for Stock-Based Employee Compensation using the fair value method provided in SFAS No. 123, SFAS No. 148 permits two additional transition methods, both of which avoid the ramp-up effect arising from prospective application of the fair value method. The Retroactive Restatement Method requires companies to restate all periods presented to reflect the Stock-Based Employee Compensation under the fair value method for all employee awards granted, modified, or settled in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1994. The Modified Prospective Method requires companies to recognize Stock-Based Employee Compensation from the beginning of the fiscal year in which the recognition provisions are first applied as if the fair value method in SFAS No. 123 had been used to account for employee awards granted, modified, or settled in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 1994. Also, in the absence of a single accounting method for Stock-Based Employee Compensation, SFAS No. 148 expands disclosure requirements from those existing in SFAS No. 123, and requires disclosure of whether, when, and how an entity adopted the preferable, fair value method of accounting.

Effective January 1, 2003, the Company adopted the fair value expense recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123 on a prospective basis as permitted, which requires that the value of stock options at the date of grant be amortized ratably into expense over the appropriate vesting period. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, the Company granted stock options and recognized compensation expense that was not significant to its results of operations. With respect to the Company's stock options which were granted prior to 2003, the Company accounted for stock-based compensation using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations ("APB No. 25"). Under APB No. 25, compensation cost is measured as the excess, if any, of the quoted market price of the Company's common shares at the date of grant over the exercise price of the option granted. Compensation cost for stock options, if any, is recognized ratably over the vesting period. During the six months ended June 30, 2003, no compensation cost was recognized for grants of stock options made prior to 2003 under the Company stock option plans because the Company's policy is to grant stock options with an exercise price equal to the quoted closing market price of the Company's common shares on the grant date. Had compensation cost for the Plans been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates for awards under the Plans consistent with SFAS No. 123, the Company's net (loss) income and (loss) earnings per share would have been reduced to the following pro forma amounts:

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,

2003

2002

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

FC

Net (loss) income available to common shareholders, as reported	\$ (6,053)	\$ 6,727	\$
Deduct: total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under			
fair value based method for all awards	(765)	(1,093)	
Pro forma net (loss) income	\$ (6,818)	\$ 5,634	\$
(Loss) earnings per share: Basic - as reported	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.07	\$
Basic - pro forma	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.05	\$
Diluted - as reported	\$ (0.06)	\$ 0.07	\$
Diluted - pro forma	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.05	\$

58

SFAS NO. 149. In April 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies the financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." In general, SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003 and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact, if any, on the Company's financial condition or its results of operations.

SFAS NO. 150. In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer should classify and measure certain financial instruments that have both liability and equity characteristics. The provisions of this Statement are to be applied to financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 and to existing instruments as of the beginning of the first interim financial reporting period after June 15, 2003. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material impact, if any, on the Company's financial condition or its results of operations.

FASB INTERPRETATION 45. In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation 45, "Guarantors' Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others" ("FIN 45"), which elaborates on the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under certain guarantees that it has issued and liability-recognition requirements for a guarantor of certain types of debt. The new guidance requires a guarantor to recognize a liability at the inception of a guarantee which is covered by the new requirements whether or not payment is probable, creating the new concept of a "stand-ready" obligation. Initial recognition and initial measurement provisions are applicable on a prospective basis to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002. See Note 10, "Commitments and Contingencies" in Item 1, "Financial Statements," for disclosure of the Company's guarantees at June 30, 2003. The Company adopted FIN 45 effective January 1, 2003.

FASB INTERPRETATION 46. On January 15, 2003, the FASB approved the issuance of Interpretation 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities" ("FIN 46"), an interpretation of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51, "Consolidated Financial Statements." Under FIN 46, consolidation requirements

are effective immediately for new Variable Interest Entities ("VIEs") created after January 31, 2003. The consolidation requirements apply to existing VIEs in the first fiscal year or interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. VIEs are generally a legal structure used for business enterprises that either do not have equity investors with voting rights, or have equity investors that do not provide sufficient financial resources for the entity to support its activities. The objective of the new quidance is to improve reporting by addressing when a company should include in its financial statements the assets, liabilities and activities of another entity such as a VIE. FIN 46 requires a VIE to be consolidated by a company if the company is subject to a majority of the risk of loss from the VIE's activities or entitled to receive a majority of the entity's residual returns or both. FIN 46 also requires disclosures about VIEs that the company is not required to consolidate but in which it has a significant variable interest. Certain of the disclosure requirements apply in all financial statements issued after January 31, 2003, regardless of when the VIE was established. These disclosure requirements are as follows: (a) the nature, purpose, size, and activities of the variable interest entity; and, (b) the enterprise's maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the VIE. FIN 46 may be applied prospectively with a cumulative effect adjustment as of the date on which it is first applied or by restating previously issued financial statements for one or more years with a cumulative effect adjustment as of the beginning of the first year restated. The Company is assessing the impact of this Interpretation, if any, on its existing entities and does not believe the impact will be significant on its liquidity, financial position, and results of operations. The Company did not create any VIEs subsequent to January 31, 2003.

59

FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

FFO, as used in this document, means:

- o Net Income (Loss) determined in conformity with GAAP;
- o excluding gains (losses) from sales of depreciable operating
 property;
- o excluding extraordinary items (as defined by GAAP);
- o including depreciation and amortization of real estate assets; and
- o after adjusting for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

NAREIT developed FFO as a relative measure of performance of an equity REIT to recognize that income-producing real estate historically has not depreciated on the basis determined under GAAP. The Company considers FFO an appropriate measure of performance for an equity REIT, and for its investment segments. However, FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income determined in accordance with GAAP as an indication of the Company's operating performance.

The Company has historically distributed an amount less than FFO, primarily due to reserves required for capital expenditures, including leasing costs. The aggregate cash distributions paid to shareholders and unitholders for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002 were \$87.7 million, and \$99.5 million, respectively. The Company reported FFO of \$77.9 million and \$117.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2003 and 2002, respectively.

An increase or decrease in FFO does not necessarily result in an increase or decrease in aggregate distributions because the Company's Board of Trust Managers is not required to increase distributions on a quarterly basis unless necessary for the Company to maintain REIT status. However, the Company must distribute 90% of its REIT taxable income (as defined in the Code). Therefore, a significant increase in FFO will generally require an increase in distributions to shareholders and unitholders although not necessarily on a proportionate basis.

Accordingly, the Company believes that to facilitate a clear understanding of the consolidated historical operating results of the Company, FFO should be considered in conjunction with the Company's net income and cash flows reported in the consolidated financial statements and notes to the consolidated financial statements. However, the Company's measure of FFO may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other REITs because these REITs may apply the definition of FFO in a different manner than the Company.

60

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

	FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,			
		2003		2002
				(IN THOUS
Net income (loss)	\$	522	\$	11,951
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to funds from operations:				
Depreciation and amortization of real estate assets		33,099		33 , 530
Loss (gain) on property sales, net		62		(1,420)
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle Impairment and other charges related to				
real estate assets and assets held for sale Adjustment for investments in real estate mortgages and equity of unconsolidated companies:		990		
Office Properties		3,013		1,889
Resort/Hotel Properties		355		
Residential Development Properties		(512)		2,051
Temperature-Controlled Logistics Properties		5,486		5,790
Other		(104)		3,130
Unitholder minority interest		105		1,508
Series A Preferred Share distributions				(4,215)
Series B Preferred Share distributions		(2 , 019)		(1,009)
Funds from operations(1)	•	36,441	\$	53,205
	==:	======	==	======
Investment Segments:				
Office Segment	\$	70,011	\$	80,502
Resort/Hotel Segment		12,356		12 , 637
Residential Development Segment		5,705		12,474
Temperature-Controlled Logistics Segment Other:		5 , 079		5,374

Corporate general and administrative	(6,185)	(5,333)
Corporate and other adjustments:	(42,072)	(46 450)
Interest expense	(43,073)	(46,450)
Series A Preferred Share distributions	(4,556)	(4,215)
Series B Preferred Share distributions	(2,019)	(1,009)
Other(2)	(877)	(775)
Funds from operations(1)	\$ 36,441	\$ 53,205
	========	
Basic weighted average shares	99,170	104,888
	========	=======
Diluted weighted average shares and units(3)	116,932	119,292
	========	========

- (1) To calculate basic funds from operations, deduct unitholder minority interest.
- (2) Includes interest and other income, behavioral healthcare property income, preferred return paid to GMACCM in 2002, other unconsolidated companies, less depreciation and amortization of non-real estate assets and amortization of deferred financing costs and other expenses.
- (3) See calculations for the amounts presented in the reconciliation following this table.

61

The following schedule reconciles the Company's basic weighted average shares to the diluted weighted average shares/units presented above:

	FOR THE THE ENDED JU		FOR THE SI ENDED JU	-
(shares/units in thousands)	2003	2002	2003	2002
Basic weighted average shares: Add: Weighted average units Share and unit options	99,170 17,749 13	104,888 13,179 1,225	99,194 17,751 7	104,913 13,184 838
Diluted weighted average shares and units	116 , 932	119 , 292	116,952 ======	118,935 ======

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

No material changes in the Company's market risk occurred from December 31, 2002 through June 30, 2003. Information regarding the Company's market risk at December 31, 2002 is contained in Item 7A, "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk," in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial and Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure based closely on the definition of "disclosure controls and procedures" in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Exchange Act. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

As of June 30, 2003, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial and Accounting Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial and Accounting Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

During the three months ended June 30, 2003, there was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the three months ended June 30, 2003, the Company issued an aggregate of 1,284 common shares to holders of Operating Partnership units in exchange for 642 units. The issuances of common shares were exempt from registration as private placements under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). The Company has registered the resale of such common shares under the Securities Act.

62

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

The annual meeting of shareholders of the Company was held on June 2, 2003.

Three proposals were submitted to a vote of shareholders as follows:

(1) The shareholders approved the election of the following individuals as trust managers of the Company:

Name For Withheld

Richard E. Rainwater	85,730,793	2,146,702
Anthony M. Frank	85,571,370	2,306,125
William F. Quinn	85,622,978	2,254,517

The terms of office of the following trust managers continued after the meeting:

John C. Goff
Dennis H. Alberts
Paul E. Rowsey, III
Robert W. Stallings
Terry N. Worrell

- (2) The shareholders approved, with 86,076,154 affirmative votes, 1,672,702 negative votes and 128,639 abstentions, the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2003.
- (3) The shareholders approved, with 49,344,466 affirmative votes, 18,486,845 negative votes, 649,795 abstentions and 19,396,388 broker non-votes, the proposal urging the Board of Trust Managers to declassify the Board for the purpose of trust manager elections.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits

The exhibits required by this item are set forth on the Exhibit Index attached hereto.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

Form 8-K dated August 4, 2003, furnished August 5, 2003, as amended August 6, 2003, for the purpose of reporting, under Item 12 -Results of Operations and Financial Condition, the Company's 2003 second quarter earnings and related financial, operating and statistical information.

63

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CRESCENT REAL ESTATE EQUITIES COMPANY (Registrant)

By /s/ John C. Goff

John C. Goff

Vice-Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2003

By /s/ Jerry R. Crenshaw, Jr.

Jerry R. Crenshaw, Jr.

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

Date: August 7, 2003

,

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF EXHIBIT
3.01	Restated Declaration of Trust of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company, as amended (filed as Exhibit No. 3.01 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 25, 2002 (the "April 2002 8-K") and incorporated herein by reference)
3.02	Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company (filed herewith)
4.01	Form of Common Share Certificate (filed as Exhibit No. 4.03 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-21905) and incorporated herein by reference)
4.02	Statement of Designation of 6-3/4% Series A Convertible Cumulative Preferred Shares of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company dated February 13, 1998 (filed as Exhibit No. 4.07 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997 (the "1997 10-K") and incorporated herein by reference)
4.03	Form of Certificate of 6-3/4% Series A Convertible Cumulative Preferred Shares of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company (filed as Exhibit No. 4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form 8-A/A filed on February 18, 1998 and incorporated by reference)
4.04	Statement of Designation of 6-3/4% Series A Convertible Cumulative Preferred Shares of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company dated April 25, 2002 (filed as Exhibit No. 4.1 to the April 2002 8-K and incorporated herein by reference)
4.05	Statement of Designation of 9.50% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company dated May 13, 2002 (filed as Exhibit No. 2 to the Registrant's Form 8-A dated May 14, 2002 (the "Form 8-A") and incorporated herein by reference)
4.06	Form of Certificate of 9.50% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares of Crescent Real Estate Equities Company

(filed as Exhibit No. 4 to the Form 8-A and incorporated herein by reference) $\,$

- *4 Pursuant to Regulation S-K Item 601 (b) (4) (iii), the Registrant by this filing agrees, upon request, to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission a copy of instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of the Registrant 10.01 Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Crescent Real Estate Equities Limited Partnership, dated as of January 2, 2003 (filed as Exhibit No. 10.01 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference) Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial 31.01 Officer pursuant to Rule 13a - 14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)
- 32.01 Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith)