### SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC Form 10-K March 21, 2002

-----

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K
ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2001 Commission file number 1-12792

\_\_\_\_\_

MARYLAND
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

56-1857807 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

309 EAST MOREHEAD STREET
SUITE 200
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA
(Address of principal executive offices)

28202 (Zip Code)

(704) 334-3000 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

\_\_\_\_\_

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.01 PER SHARE
PREFERRED STOCK PURCHASE RIGHTS
(Title of each class) (Nam

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE

NEW YORK STOCK EXCHANGE

(Name of each exchange on which registered)

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g) OF THE ACT: NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or  $15\,(d)$  of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [ ]

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by nonaffiliates of the Registrant, as of March 4, 2002 was \$581,005,639.

The number of shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding as of March 4, 2002 was 27,190,191.

#### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Registrant's 2002 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K, are incorporated by reference herein as portions of Part III of this Form 10-K.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM			PAGE
PART	I		
1.		Business	3
2.		Properties	8
3.		Legal Proceedings	11
4.		Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	11
PART	ΙΙ		
5.		Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	12
6.		Selected Financial Data	13
7.		Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
7A.		Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	34
8.		Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	35
9.		Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	35
PART	III		
10.		Directors and Executive Officers of Registrant	36
11.		Executive Compensation	36
12.		Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	36
13.		Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	36

PART IV

2

#### PART I

This Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Our actual results could differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements. Certain factors that might cause such a difference are discussed in this report on Form 10-K, including the section entitled "Forward-Looking Statements" on page 15. Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to "we," "our" or "us" in this report refer collectively to Summit Properties Inc., a Maryland corporation ("Summit"), and its subsidiaries, including Summit Properties Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), considered as a single enterprise. Summit is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

#### OUR COMPANY

Summit is a real estate investment trust that focuses on the development, acquisition, and management of luxury apartment communities. We have received a number of national awards including the "Customer Service Award for Excellence" from CEL & Associates, Inc. for an unprecedented fourth year in a row in 2001. As of December 31, 2001, we owned or held an ownership interest in 59 completed communities comprised of 18,260 apartment homes with an additional 1,368 apartment homes under construction in six new communities. We are a fully integrated organization with multifamily development, construction, acquisition and management expertise. As of December 31, 2001, we had approximately 535 employees.

We conduct our business principally through the Operating Partnership of which Summit is the sole general partner and an 88.4% economic owner as of December 31, 2001. Our property management, certain construction, and other businesses are conducted through our subsidiaries, Summit Management Company, a Maryland corporation, and Summit Apartment Builders, Inc., a Florida corporation. Throughout this report, we refer to Summit Management Company as the "Management Company" and to Summit Apartment Builders, Inc. as the "Construction Company."

We operate throughout the Southeast, Midwest, and Mid-Atlantic states, as well as in Texas, and have chosen to focus our current efforts in seven core markets consisting of Atlanta, Austin, Charlotte, Dallas, Raleigh-Durham, South Florida and Washington, D.C. In keeping with this strategy, we have established city operating offices in five of these core markets. These city offices have direct responsibility for development, construction, and management of the communities in their geographic markets. We believe that this decentralized structure provides us with superior local knowledge and experience in each market.

### OPERATING PHILOSOPHY

We view customer service as one of our key values and seek to provide our residents with experienced, well-trained and attentive management staffs. Utilizing a hiring process known as "Success By Selection," we distill potential

candidates down to those who believe in superior customer service. Once hired, every associate enters into a comprehensive training program called "Ask for Action." This training program ensures that all associates have a clear understanding of their job responsibilities, the high standards of performance expected of them, and the importance of excellent customer service. We have also developed several classes focusing on excellence in property management to provide on-going training for our associates and to further enhance associate productivity. We believe that this training regimen, along with the "Success by Selection" hiring process, has provided a higher quality management staff, evidenced by high resident satisfaction at our communities. As indicated above, we are an unprecedented four-time recipient of the CEL & Associates, Inc. "Customer Service Award for Excellence," which is based on survey results of apartment residents throughout the country.

We have long stressed the importance of developing strong customer relationships with our residents. Our commitment to resident satisfaction is evidenced by our "Peak Services". Among the many Peak Services are: a 30 Day Happiness Guarantee where residents can move from one of our communities without early lease

3

termination charges if they are not satisfied with their home during the first 30 days; Same Day Maintenance Service and Emergency Maintenance available 24 hours a day; Business Services; Package Acceptance and Delivery; Loaner Living Accessories where we provide convenience tools for our residents' use; No Nonsense Transfer Policy where residents can easily move from one Summit community to another without incurring many of the additional fees normally associated with such a move; and a free current run Video Library.

We believe that this commitment to service excellence, in addition to the upscale features of our communities and outstanding locations in our core markets, has allowed us to charge rents to our residents that rank among the market leaders in our industry.

#### BUSINESS AND GROWTH STRATEGIES

In addition to superior customer service, we have identified four other strategies to create long term value for our stockholders: Disciplined Capital Management; Strategic Market Selection; Decentralized, Fully Integrated Operating Teams; and Sound Risk Management.

Disciplined Capital Management. We have determined that currently our most efficient source of capital continues to be contained within our existing portfolio of communities. By disposing of older communities in less desirable locations, and redeploying that capital in new communities in our core markets, we are able to create value in two ways. First, we have historically realized higher returns, on average, from the newer communities where this "Recycled Capital" has been utilized as compared to the older communities that have provided this capital. Second, this Capital Recycling Program has reduced the weighted average age of the communities in our portfolio to approximately six years. This reduction in average age has resulted in lower maintenance costs and has allowed us to better adapt our product to constantly changing market demands. Although we anticipate continuing this strategy of reinvesting capital obtained from dispositions into the development of new communities, there can be no assurance that we will be able to complete our disposition strategy or that assets identified for sale can be sold, or sold on satisfactory terms.

Strategic Market Selection. One of our strategies is to be a market-leading operator of luxury apartment homes in a carefully selected group of core markets. We believe employment growth is a critical economic factor which affects apartment occupancy and rental rates in our markets. Based on a

historical comparison of employment growth in our seven core markets versus the U.S. average (excluding our core markets), these markets have typically generated higher job growth over a long period of time and recovered from economic downturns more quickly. We believe that by operating in these core markets we have a better opportunity to maximize the economic return from our communities by optimizing the trade-off between increasing rental rates and maintaining high occupancy levels. Consistent with this strategy, we are typically among the rental rate leaders in our markets. Our affluent resident profile and well-trained property management staff support this strategy.

We are continuing a consolidation process of exiting our markets that are smaller or have less operating efficiency and reinvesting the sale proceeds into new communities in our core markets. We believe this strategy will improve our financial performance by improving economies of scale, concentrating market knowledge, and increasing brand awareness.

Decentralized, Fully Integrated Operating Teams. We have integrated property management, development, and construction on a local level through our "City Team" concept. Each of the City Teams includes a developer employed by Summit adept at visualizing market opportunities, construction personnel at the Construction Company who specialize in building luxury apartment communities, and management personnel at the Management Company experienced in the marketing, leasing and maintenance of luxury apartment communities. Working within well-understood corporate guidelines under the direction of senior management at our headquarters, these teams operate as autonomous units. As a result, we believe we will be able to select outstanding sites, build high-quality communities both in terms of architecture and construction techniques, and operate the communities to generate rents that rank among the market leaders. We believe this integrated approach will create premium quality communities and increased customer satisfaction.

4

Sound Risk Management. We endeavor to practice sound risk management with respect to our portfolio of communities. Potential developments proposed by the various City Teams are vigorously reviewed by a panel of senior management at our headquarters prior to the start of construction of a community. We believe that this combination of locally generated development opportunities, together with centralized review and assessment, produces a premium quality community with manageable risk.

#### DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

Through our City Teams we maintain an active development program. Focusing on development allows us to build premium quality communities that generate rents that rank among market leaders. It also provides returns which generally exceed those achieved on acquisitions.

Although we have historically focused on suburban apartment communities, we have developed, or are currently developing, several communities in downtown areas in our Atlanta, Charlotte, South Florida and Washington, D.C. core markets. These urban-oriented communities will augment our portfolio of primarily suburban communities.

In 2001, we completed development of four communities, adding 1,655 apartment homes to our portfolio. These four communities represent a total investment of approximately \$139.6 million. The communities completed in 2001 are Summit Crest, Summit Overlook, Summit Peachtree City and Summit Deerfield. We sold Summit Deerfield (498 apartment homes) during the year ended December 31, 2001.

We utilize the Construction Company, in addition to third-party general

contractors, to build our new communities. Of the 1,368 apartment homes under development as of December 31, 2001, 92.3% are being built by the Construction Company.

The following provides summary information regarding the six communities under construction as of December 31, 2001 (dollars in thousands):

COMMUNITY	APARTMENT HOMES	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	COST TO DATE	ESTIMATED COST TO COMPLETE	ANTICIPA CONSTRUC COMPLET
Summit Grand Parc Washington, D.C	105	\$ 35,900	\$ 25,819	\$10,081	Q2 200
Summit Shiloh II Atlanta, GA	50	3,900	2,757	1,143	Q2 200
Summit Brookwood Atlanta, GA	359	41,500	21,404	20,096	Q4 200
Summit Valleybrook Philadelphia, PA	352	37,000	24,246	12,754	Q1 200
Summit Roosevelt Washington, D.C	198	49,600	19,343	30,257	Q3 200
Summit Stockbridge Atlanta, GA	304	23,600	2,543	21,057	Q4 200
Other development and construction					
costs(1)			46,242		
Totals	1,368	\$191,500	\$142,354	\$95,388	
	=====	=======	=======	======	

 Consists primarily of land held for development and other predevelopment costs.

As with any development project, there are uncertainties and risks associated with the development of the communities described above. While we have prepared development budgets and have estimated completion and stabilization target dates based on what we believe are reasonable assumptions in light of current conditions, there can be no assurance that actual costs will not exceed current budgets or that we will not experience construction delays due to the unavailability of materials, weather conditions or other events. Similarly, market conditions at the time these communities become available for leasing will affect rental rates and the period of time necessary to achieve stabilization, and could result in achieving stabilization later than currently anticipated. See "Management's Discussions and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -- Development Activity" beginning on page 32 for a discussion of uncertainties and risks associated with our development activity.

5

#### ACQUISITION AND DISPOSITION PROGRAM

While we have emphasized development of new apartment communities as one of our strategies for growth, we also have the expertise to capitalize on expansion opportunities through the strategic acquisition of communities that meet our investment criteria. We have acquired more than 9,000 apartment homes since our initial public offering in 1994. Recent acquisitions have generally been concentrated in our core markets. We believe our city teams give us an advantage in identifying and underwriting attractive acquisition opportunities. In 2001, elevated purchase prices for acquisitions in the open market would have generally resulted in economic performance for those assets that was unattractive when compared to the potential economic performance of our development program. As a result, we made no open market acquisitions in 2001. However, this pricing dynamic also created an opportunity for us to increase our disposition activity, thereby enhancing our Capital Recycling Program, which is

dependent on the execution of attractively priced dispositions.

During 2001, we disposed of nine communities and a parcel of land for an aggregate sales price of \$167.6 million. For the most part, these communities were located outside our core markets and, as such, did not fit into our strategic market selection strategy. The proceeds from these dispositions provided the basis for our Capital Recycling Program.

#### COMPANY HISTORY

We were formed in 1993 to continue and expand the multifamily development, construction, acquisition, operation, management and leasing businesses of the predecessor entities through which we historically conducted operations prior to our initial public offering.

The predecessor entities were founded by one of our Co-Chairmen of the Board, William B. McGuire, Jr., in 1972. In 1981, William F. Paulsen joined the predecessor entity as Chief Executive Officer and shepherded the growth of its multifamily development and management activities. We have elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") for federal income tax purposes. We completed our initial public offering of common stock on February 15, 1994.

We are a Maryland corporation and a self-administered and self-managed REIT. Our common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) under the symbol "SMT." Our executive offices are located at 309 East Morehead Street, Suite 200, Charlotte, North Carolina 28202. Our telephone number is (704) 334-3000 and our facsimile number is (704) 333-8340. We also maintain offices in Atlanta, Georgia; Austin, Texas; Bethesda, Maryland; Ft. Lauderdale, Florida; and Raleigh, North Carolina.

#### 2001 SIGNIFICANT EVENTS

On March 12, 2000, our Board of Directors authorized a new common stock repurchase program pursuant to which we were authorized to purchase up to an aggregate of \$25 million of outstanding common stock, par value \$.01 per share. During 2001, our Board of Directors increased the size of the common stock repurchase program to \$56.0 million. During 2001, we repurchased 8,800 shares of our common stock under this program for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$197,000, or an average price per share of \$22.39.

On April 20, 2000, we commenced a new program for the sale by the Operating Partnership of up to \$250 million aggregate principal amount of medium-term notes due nine months or more from the date of issuance. During 2001, the Operating Partnership issued notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$60.0 million in connection with the new program. We had medium-term notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$112.0 million outstanding in connection with this medium-term note program as of December 31, 2001.

On March 28, 2001, we announced a succession plan under which William F. Paulsen, Co-Chairman of the Board of Directors, began a transition from his position as Chief Executive Officer. Effective July 1, 2001, Steven R. LeBlanc, former President and Chief Operating Officer, succeeded Mr. Paulsen as Chief Executive Officer. At the same time, Michael L. Schwarz, previously Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, assumed Mr. LeBlanc's responsibilities for property operations and is now Executive Vice President

6

and Chief Operating Officer. On December 20, 2001, we announced that Gregg Adzema, former Senior Vice President of Finance and Accounting was promoted to Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. We also announced on December 20, 2001 that Doug Brout, previously Executive Vice President of

Acquisitions and Dispositions, was promoted to the newly created position of Executive Vice President of Investments.

#### THE OPERATING PARTNERSHIP

The Operating Partnership was formed on January 14, 1994, and is the entity through which principally all of our business is conducted. We control the Operating Partnership as the sole general partner and as the holder of 88.4% of the common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership as of December 31, 2001. As of December 31, 2001, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 3.4 million Series B and 2.2 million Series C Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Units. As the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, we have the exclusive power to manage and conduct the business of the Operating Partnership, subject to certain voting rights of holders of preferred units of limited partnership interest. Subject to the rights and preferences of the outstanding preferred units, our general and limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership as of December 31, 2001, entitled us to share in 88.4% of the cash distributions from, and in the profits and losses of, the Operating Partnership.

Each common unit may be redeemed by the holder for cash equal to the fair market value of a share of our common stock or, at our option, one share of our common stock, subject to adjustment. We presently determine on a case-by-case basis whether we will elect to issue shares of common stock in connection with a redemption of common units rather than paying cash. With each redemption of common units for common stock, our percentage ownership interest in the Operating Partnership will increase. Similarly, when we acquire a share of common stock under our common stock repurchase program or otherwise, we simultaneously dispose of one common unit of the Operating Partnership. In addition, whenever we issue shares of common stock for cash, we will contribute any resulting net proceeds to the Operating Partnership and the Operating Partnership will issue an equivalent number of common units to us.

The Operating Partnership cannot be terminated, except in connection with a sale of all or substantially all of our assets, for a period of 99 years from the date of formation without a vote of the limited partners of the Operating Partnership.

#### COMPETITION

Within each market, our communities compete directly with other rental apartments, condominiums and single-family homes that are available for rent or sale. These housing alternatives could adversely affect our ability to lease apartment homes and increase or maintain our rents. In addition, various entities, including insurance companies, pension and investment funds, partnerships, investment companies and other multifamily REITs, compete with us for the acquisition of existing communities and the development of new communities, some of which may have greater resources than us. We compete against these firms and other housing alternatives by stressing customer service, market presence and experience.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Under various federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations, a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be required, in many instances regardless of knowledge or responsibility, to investigate and remediate the effects of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at that property. The owner or operator of real estate may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage and for investigation and remediation costs incurred by those parties in connection with the contamination, which may be substantial. The presence of these substances, or the failure to properly remediate the

contamination, may adversely affect the owner's ability to borrow against, sell or rent that property. In addition, some environmental laws create a lien on the contaminated site in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs in connection with the contamination. In connection with the ownership, operation, management and development of our communities and other real properties, we may be potentially liable for these damages and costs.

7

Certain federal, state and local laws, ordinances and regulations govern the removal, encapsulation and disturbance of asbestos-containing materials, or ACMs, when these materials are in poor condition or in the event of construction, remodeling, renovation or demolition of a building. These laws, ordinances and regulations may impose liability for release of ACMs and may provide for third parties to seek recovery from owners or operators of real properties for personal injury associated with ACMs. In connection with the ownership, operation, management and development of our communities and other real properties, we may be potentially liable for these costs.

Finally, when excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth will often occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed. Some molds are known to produce potent toxins or irritants. Concern about indoor exposure to mold has been increasing as exposure to mold may cause a variety of health effects and symptoms, including severe allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of mold at one of our communities could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold from the affected community. Such a remediation program could necessitate the temporary relocation of some or all of the community's residents or the complete rehabilitation of the community.

The assessments of our communities have not revealed any environmental liability that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our business, assets, financial condition or results of operations, nor are we aware of any other environmental conditions which would have a material adverse effect. It is possible, however, that our assessments do not reveal all environmental liabilities or that there are material environmental liabilities of which we are unaware. Moreover, there can be no assurance that future laws, ordinances or regulations will not impose any material environmental liability, or that the current environmental condition of our communities will not be affected by residents, the condition of land or operations in the vicinity of the communities, such as the presence of underground storage tanks, or third parties unrelated to us.

#### ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

#### OUR COMMUNITIES

As of December 31, 2001, we owned, and operated through the Operating Partnership, 54 completed communities with 16,739 apartment homes. Forty-two of the communities were completed after January 1, 1990 and, as of December 31, 2001, the weighted average age of our completed communities was approximately six years. We have an additional 1,368 apartment homes under construction, which have not yet begun lease-up. As of December 31, 2001, we also held an ownership interest in five completed communities with 1,521 apartment homes through joint ventures. The following is a summary of the 54 completed communities by market (the table below does not include joint venture communities):

NUMBER OF % OF TOTAL APARTMENT APARTMENT

	COMMUNITIES	HOMES	HOMES
Washington, D.C	10	3,196	19.1%
Atlanta, Georgia	9	2,866	17.1%
Raleigh-Durham, North Carolina	9	2,726	16.3%
Charlotte, North Carolina	9	1,901	11.4%
South Florida	5	1,715	10.2%
Dallas, Texas	3	1,359	8.1%
Orlando, Florida	3	926	5.5%
Austin, Texas	2	856	5.1%
Columbus, Ohio	1	428	2.6%
Richmond, Virginia	1	300	1.8%
San Antonio, Texas	1	250	1.5%
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania	1	216	1.3%
	54	16 <b>,</b> 739	100.0%
	========	========	========

8

All of our communities target middle to upper income apartment renters as customers and have amenities, apartment home sizes and mixes consistent with the desires of this resident population.

The following table highlights information regarding these 54 completed communities:

MARKET AREA/COMMUNITY	LOCATION	NUMBER OF APARTMENTS	YEAR COMPLETED	AVERAGE APARTMENT SIZE (SQ. FT.)	AVERAGE PHYSICAL OCCUPANO 2001(1)
DULLY CHARTITED COMMUNICATION (2)					
FULLY STABILIZED COMMUNITIES (3) ATLANTA					
Summit Club at Dunwoody	Atlanta, GA	324	1997	1,007	93.2%
Summit Glen	·	242	1992	983	94.9
Summit on the River	•	352		1,103	
Summit St. Clair	Atlanta, GA	336	1997	969	92.8
ATLANTA WEIGHTED AVERAGE		1,254		1,019	92.1
CHARLOTTE					
Summit Crossing	Charlotte NC	128	1985	978	92.7
Summit Foxcroft(5)	·	156	1979	940	92.5
• •	Charlotte, NC			1,126	
	Charlotte, NC	100		874	
-	Charlotte, NC	132	1986	899	
	,				
CHARLOTTE WEIGHTED AVERAGE		732		985	93.9
ORLANDO		0.40	1006	1 000	0.1
Summit Fairways	·	240	1996	,	91.7
Summit Sand Lake	Orlando, FL	416	1995	1,035	
ORLANDO WEIGHTED AVERAGE		656		1,133	93.8

RALEIGH-DURHAM					
Summit Highland	Raleigh, NC	172	1987	986	95.2
Summit Mayfaire	Raleigh, NC	144	1995	1,047	96.1
Summit Square	Durham, NC	362	1990	925	94.6
	zarnam, no		1330		
RALEIGH-DURHAM WEIGHTED AVERAG	E	678		966	95.1
RICHMOND					
Summit Breckenridge	Glen Allen, VA	300	1987	928	92.2
SOUTH FLORIDA					
Summit Aventura	Aventura, FL	379	1995	1,106	94.2
Summit Del Ray	Delray Beach, FL	252	1993	968	93.5
Summit Plantation	Plantation, FL	502	1997	1,152	91.7
Summit Portofino	Broward County, FL	322	1995	1,307	93.5
SOUTH FLORIDA WEIGHTED AVERAGE		1,455		1,142	93.1
WASHINGTON, D.C.					
Summit Belmont	Fredricksburg, VA	300	1987	881	97.7
Summit Fair Oaks	Fairfax, VA	246	1990	938	94.5
Summit Meadow	Columbia, MD	178	1990	1,020	95.3
Summit Reston	Reston, VA	418	1987	854	91.2
Summit Windsor	Frederick, MD	453	1989	903	96.2
WASHINGTON, D.C. WEIGHTED AVER	AGE	1,595		905	94.8
WILMINGTON/NEWARK, DE					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Newark, DE	264	1988	899	95.0
Summit Pike Creek	Newark, DE	264	1988	899	95.0
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Newark, DE Yardley, PA	264 216	1988 1998	899 1 <b>,</b> 022	95.0 95.4
Summit Pike Creek PHILADELPHIA, PA					
Summit Pike Creek  PHILADELPHIA, PA  Summit Stonefield					
Summit Pike Creek  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield  DALLAS Summit Belcourt	Yardley, PA	216	1998	1,022	95.4
Summit Pike Creek  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield  DALLAS Summit Belcourt	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX	216 180 467 712	1998 1994	1,022 875 925 860	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9
Summit Pike Creek PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield DALLAS Summit Belcourt Summit Buena Vista Summit Camino Real	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX	216 180 467 712	1998 1994 1996	1,022 875 925 860	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9
Summit Pike Creek  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield  DALLAS Summit Belcourt Summit Buena Vista	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX	216 180 467 712	1998 1994 1996	1,022 875 925 860	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9
Summit Pike Creek PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield DALLAS Summit Belcourt Summit Buena Vista Summit Camino Real	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX	216 180 467 712	1998 1994 1996	1,022 875 925 860	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9
Summit Pike Creek PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield DALLAS Summit Belcourt Summit Buena Vista Summit Camino Real DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE SAN ANTONIO	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX	216 180 467 712	1998 1994 1996	1,022 875 925 860	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9
Summit Pike Creek PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield DALLAS Summit Belcourt Summit Buena Vista Summit Camino Real DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX	216 180 467 712  1,359	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022 875 925 860  884	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3
Summit Pike Creek.  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield.  DALLAS Summit Belcourt.  Summit Buena Vista.  Summit Camino Real.  DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  SAN ANTONIO Summit Turtle Rock.  AUSTIN	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX  Dallas, TX  San Antonio, TX	216  180 467 712 1,359	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022 875 925 860  884	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3
Summit Pike Creek.  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield.  DALLAS Summit Belcourt.  Summit Buena Vista.  Summit Camino Real.  DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  SAN ANTONIO Summit Turtle Rock.  AUSTIN Summit Arboretum.	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX Dallas, TX Dallas, TX  San Antonio, TX  Austin, TX	216  180 467 712 1,359  250	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022  875 925 860 884  857	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3 91.8
Summit Pike Creek.  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield.  DALLAS Summit Belcourt.  Summit Buena Vista.  Summit Camino Real.  DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  SAN ANTONIO Summit Turtle Rock.  AUSTIN	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX Dallas, TX Dallas, TX  San Antonio, TX  Austin, TX	216  180 467 712 1,359  250  408 448	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022  875 925 860 884  857	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3 91.8
Summit Pike Creek.  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield.  DALLAS Summit Belcourt.  Summit Buena Vista.  Summit Camino Real.  DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  SAN ANTONIO Summit Turtle Rock.  AUSTIN Summit Arboretum.	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX Dallas, TX Dallas, TX  San Antonio, TX  Austin, TX Austin, TX	216  180 467 712 1,359  250	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022  875 925 860 884  857	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3 91.8
Summit Pike Creek. PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield. DALLAS Summit Belcourt. Summit Buena Vista. Summit Camino Real.  DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  SAN ANTONIO Summit Turtle Rock.  AUSTIN Summit Arboretum. Summit Las Palmas.  AUSTIN WEIGHTED AVERAGE.	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX Dallas, TX Dallas, TX  San Antonio, TX  Austin, TX Austin, TX	216  180 467 712 1,359  250  408 448	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022  875 925 860 884  857	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3 91.8
Summit Pike Creek.  PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield.  DALLAS Summit Belcourt.  Summit Buena Vista.  Summit Camino Real.  DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  SAN ANTONIO Summit Turtle Rock.  AUSTIN Summit Arboretum. Summit Las Palmas.  AUSTIN WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  FULLY STABILIZED COMMUNITIES T	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX Dallas, TX Dallas, TX  San Antonio, TX  Austin, TX Austin, TX  OTAL/WEIGHTED	216  180 467 712 1,359  250  408 448 856	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022  875 925 860 884  857  847 890 870	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3 91.8
Summit Pike Creek. PHILADELPHIA, PA Summit Stonefield. DALLAS Summit Belcourt. Summit Buena Vista. Summit Camino Real.  DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.  SAN ANTONIO Summit Turtle Rock.  AUSTIN Summit Arboretum. Summit Las Palmas.  AUSTIN WEIGHTED AVERAGE.	Yardley, PA  Dallas, TX Dallas, TX Dallas, TX  San Antonio, TX  Austin, TX Austin, TX  OTAL/WEIGHTED	216  180 467 712 1,359  250  408 448 856	1998 1994 1996 1998	1,022  875 925 860 884  857  847 890 870	95.4 91.3 91.9 90.9  91.3 91.8

MORTGAGE
NOTES
PAYABLE AT
DECEMBER 31,
2001
MARKET AREA/COMMUNITY (IN THOUSANDS)

FULLY STABILIZED COMMUNITIES (3)

G G	
ATLANTA	
Summit Club at Dunwoody	
Summit Glen	(4)
Summit on the River	(4)
Summit St. Clair	(4)
ATLANTA WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
CHADIOTTE	
CHARLOTTE Summit Crossing	\$3 <b>,</b> 918
Summit Foxcroft(5)	2,437
Summit Norcroft	(4)
Summit Simsbury	(6)
Summit Touchstone	(6)
	, ,
CHARLOTTE WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
ORLANDO	
Summit Fairways	
Summit Sand Lake	13,603
ODIANDO METCHTED AMEDACE	
ORLANDO WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
RALEIGH-DURHAM	
Summit Highland	(4)
Summit Mayfaire	
Summit Square	
1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	
RALEIGH-DURHAM WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
RICHMOND	
Summit Breckenridge	
SOUTH FLORIDA	
Summit Aventura	
Summit Del Ray	(4)
Summit Plantation	(4)
Summit Portofino	
SOUTH FLORIDA WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
WASHINGTON, D.C.	
Summit Belmont	(7)
Summit Fair Oaks	
Summit Meadow	(4)
Summit RestonSummit Windsor	
Summit windsor	(4)
WASHINGTON, D.C. WEIGHTED	
AVERAGE	
-	
WILMINGTON/NEWARK, DE	
Summit Pike Creek	(7)
PHILADELPHIA, PA	
Summit Stonefield	
DALLAS	0 000
Summit Belcourt	9,208
Summit Buena Vista Summit Camino Real	24,539 16,213
SUMMED CAMENTO REAL	10,213

DALLAS WEIGHTED AVERAGE.....

SAN ANTONIO

Summit Turtle Rock...... 10,431

AUSTIN

AUSTIN WEIGHTED AVERAGE.....

FULLY STABILIZED COMMUNITIES TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE.....

9

MARKET AREA/COMMUNITY	LOCATION	NUMBER OF APARTMENTS	YEAR COMPLETED	AVERAGE APARTMENT SIZE (SQ. FT.)	AVERAGE PHYSICAL OCCUPANO 2001(1)
CTARTITUDE DEVELOPMENT COMMINIT	TTEC (O)				
STABILIZED DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITEREUNION Park by Summit	` '	248	2000	941	96.7
Summit Ashburn Farm	Loudon County, VA	162	2000	1,061	96.6
Summit Ballantyne	Charlotte, NC	400	1998	1,053	90.4
Summit Deer Creek	Atlanta, GA	292	2000	1,187	89.7
Summit Doral	Miami, FL	260	1999	1,172	97.6
Summit Fair Lakes	•	530	1999	996	94.7
Summit Fairview	Charlotte, NC	135	1983	1,036	93.5
Summit Governor's Village	Raleigh, NC	242	1999	1,134	93.4
Summit Hunter's Creek	Orlando, FL	270	2000	1,082	94.2
Summit Lake	Raleigh, NC	446	1999	1,075	95.7
Summit Largo	Largo, MD	219	2000	1,042	96.0
Summit New Albany	Columbus, OH	428	2000	1,235	94.6
Summit Russett I (9)	Laurel, MD	314	1997	958	93.5
Summit Sedgebrook	Charlotte, NC	368	1999	1,017	92.2
Summit Westwood	Raleigh, NC	354	1999	1,112 	92.7
STABILIZED DEVELOPMENT COMMUNI	FIES TOTAL/WEIGHTED				
AVERAGE	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4,668 		1,075 	93.9
ACQUISITION COMMUNITIES (10)					
Summit Shiloh	Atlanta, GA	182	2000	1,151	93.8
Summit Sweetwater	Atlanta, GA	308	2000	1,151 	92.2
ACQUISITION COMMUNITIES TOTAL/V	WEIGHTED AVERAGE				
		490		1,151	92.8
TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE OF ALL S		14,773		1,014	93.2

COMMUNITIES IN LEASE-UP(11)					
Summit Crest	Raleigh, NC	438	2001	1,129	38.2
Summit Grandview(12)	Charlotte, NC	266	2000	1,082	77.7
Summit Lenox	Atlanta, GA	431	1965	963	85.9
Summit Overlook	Raleigh, NC	320	2001	1,056	30.4
Summit Peachtree City	Atlanta, GA	399	2001	1,026	23.6
Summit Russett II(9)	Laurel, MD	112	2000	1,025	83.1
LEASE-UP COMMUNITIES TOTAL/WEI	GHTED AVERAGE				
		1,966		1,048	
TOTAL COMMUNITIES		16,739		1,018	
		=====		=====	

MORTGAGE NOTES PAYABLE AT

		CEMBER 31 2001
MARKET AREA/COMMUNITY	(IN	THOUSAND
STABILIZED DEVELOPMENT Reunion Park by Summit Summit Ashburn Farm Summit Ballantyne Summit Deer Creek Summit Doral Summit Fair Lakes Summit Fairview Summit Governor's Vill	COMMUNITIES	
Summit Hunter's Creek. Summit Lake Summit Largo Summit New Albany Summit Russett I (9). Summit Sedgebrook Summit Westwood		     
COMMUNITIES TOTAL/WEI AVERAGE	SS (10)	 
ACQUISITION COMMUNITIE WEIGHTED AVERAGE TOTAL/WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMUNITIES	C OF ALL	
COMMUNITIES IN LEASE-U Summit Crest Summit Grandview(12)		 

Summit Lenox.....

Summit	Overlook	
Summit	Peachtree City	
Summit	Russett II(9)	
	JP COMMUNITIES WEIGHTED AVERAGE	
TOTAL C	COMMUNITIES	

- (1) Average physical occupancy is defined as the number of apartment homes occupied divided by the total number of apartment homes contained in the communities, expressed as a percentage. Average physical occupancy has been calculated using the average occupancy that existed on Sunday during each week of the period.
- (2) Represents the average monthly net rental revenue per occupied apartment home.
- (3) Communities that reached stabilization (93% physical occupancy) at least two years prior to the beginning of the current year.
- (4) Collateral for fixed rate mortgage of \$137.3 million.
- (5) Summit Foxcroft is held by a partnership in which we are a 75% managing general partner.
- (6) Collateral for a fixed rate mortgage of \$8.2 million.
- (7) Collateral for letters of credit in an aggregate amount of \$23.0 million which serve as collateral for \$22.2 million in tax exempt bonds.
- (8) Communities that were stabilized in 2001 but did not stabilize at least two years prior to the beginning of the current year.
- (9) Summit Russett was built in two phases which were completed in separate years. As a result, phase I was a stabilized development community and phase II was a community in lease-up as of December 31, 2001. Summit Russett I and Summit Russett II are treated as one community in the summary of completed communities by market table on page 8.

10

- (10) A community which we have acquired is not considered fully stabilized until owned for one year or more as of the beginning of the current year.
- (11) Communities that were in lease-up during 2001. As with any community in lease-up, there are uncertainties and risks. While we have estimated stabilization target dates and rental rates based on what we believe are reasonable assumptions in light of current conditions, there can be no assurance that actual rental rates will not be less than current budgets or that we will not experience delays in reaching stabilization of these communities.
- (12) The apartment homes at Summit Grandview stabilized during the fourth quarter of 2001. The information in the table represents data for the apartment homes only. The 75,203 square feet of commercial space at Summit Grandview was 69.9% occupied and 76.3% leased as of December 31, 2001.

Information with respect to total debt secured by 24 of our communities having

an aggregate net book value of \$423.9 million as of December 31, 2001, is as follows (dollars in thousands):

	FIXED RATE	VARIABLE RATE
Total principal	\$ 293,367	\$22 <b>,</b> 193
Interest rates range from	6.75% to 8.00%	3.10%(1)
Weighted average interest rate	6.99%	3.10%(1)
Annual debt service	\$ 26,445	\$ 1,380(2)

The aggregate maturities for secured debt as of December 31, 2001 are (in thousands):

2002	6,584
2005	41,983
Thereafter	213,214
Total	\$315 <b>,</b> 560

- (1) Interest rate as of December 31, 2001.
- (2) Annual debt service for variable rate loans represents 2001 costs and includes letter of credit fees and other bond related costs.

#### COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT

Our property management staff operates each of our communities. The management team for each community includes supervision by a regional vice-president and regional property manager, as well as on-site management and maintenance personnel and an off-site support staff. On-site community management teams perform leasing and rent collection functions and coordinate resident services. All personnel are extensively trained and are encouraged to continue their education through both internally-designed and outside courses.

#### ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not, nor are any of our communities presently subject to any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any litigation threatened against us or any of the communities, other than routine actions for negligence or other claims and administrative proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, some of which are expected to be covered by liability insurance and all of which collectively are not expected to have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

#### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of our security holders during the fourth quarter of 2001.

#### PART II

#### ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our common stock began trading on the NYSE on February 8, 1994 under the symbol "SMT." The following table sets forth the quarterly high and low sales prices per share reported on the NYSE during 2001 and 2000:

	2001		20	00
QUARTER	HIGH	LOW	HIGH	LOW
January 1 through March 31	\$25.88	\$22.88	\$19.75	\$17.56
April 1 through June 30	26.83	23.42	22.00	19.00
July 1 through September 30	27.19	24.95	24.69	21.19
October 1 through December 31	26.27	22.42	26.13	22.00

On March 4, 2002, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the NYSE was \$22.25. On March 4, 2002, there were 1,368 holders of record of 27,190,191 shares of our common stock.

We declared a dividend of \$0.4625 per share of common stock for each of the four quarters in 2001, which was paid on May 15, 2001 for the first quarter, August 15, 2001 for the second quarter, November 15, 2001 for the third quarter and February 15, 2002 for the fourth quarter.

We declared a dividend of \$0.4375 per share of common stock for each of the four quarters in 2000, which was paid on May 15, 2000 for the first quarter, August 15, 2000 for the second quarter, November 15, 2000 for the third quarter and February 15, 2001 for the fourth quarter.

We intend to continue to make regular quarterly dividends to holders of shares of our common stock. Future dividends will be declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on actual cash flow, our financial condition, our capital requirements, the annual distribution requirements under the REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant. Our Board of Directors may modify our dividend policy from time to time.

On November 16, 2001, we issued to a limited partner of the Operating Partnership 4,772 shares of our common stock in exchange for the corresponding number of common units. These shares were issued in reliance on an exemption from registration under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In light of the information we obtained in connection with this transaction, management believes that we may rely on such exemption.

12

#### ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial and other information on a consolidated historical basis as of and for each of the years in the five-year period ended December 31, 2001. This table should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes which accompany this report, as well as the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section of this report (amounts

in thousands except per share and property information).

# SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC. (HISTORICAL)

YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 3
------------------------

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,								
		2001		2000		1999		1998	1 
OPERATING INFORMATION:									
Revenue									
Rental Interest and other		177,837 16,106		172,639 17,005		162,859 13,989		137,961 9,608	\$ 1
Total revenue								147,569	1
Property operating and maintenance expense (before depreciation and									
amortization)		63,429		59 <b>,</b> 087		57,318		51,550	
Interest expense						37,282		32,550	
Depreciation and amortization		40,510		37,674		35,424			
General and administrative expense		6 <b>,</b> 599		4,752		3,876		3,861	
(Income) loss from equity investments		(547)		1,178		615		328	
Total expenses		149,845		141,340		134,515		118,242	
Income before gain on sale of real estate assets, impairment loss, extraordinary items, minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership and dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating		44.000		40. 204		40, 222		20 227	
Partnership						42,333 17,427			
Gain on sale of real estate assets		34,433		38,510		1/,42/		37 <b>,</b> 148	
Impairment loss on technology investments		(1,217)							
Income before extraordinary items, minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership and dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating Partnership		77,316	-	86,814		59,760		66,475	\$
Net income	\$	56 <b>,</b> 537	\$	63,874	\$	45 <b>,</b> 745	\$	56 <b>,</b> 375	\$
Tarana and a large large Construction and the construction of	===		==	======	==	======	==	======	===
Income per share before extraordinary items basic	\$	2.11	\$	2.42	\$	1.65	\$	2.28	\$
Income per share before extraordinary		2 00		2 41		1 65		2 20	
items diluted	\$	2.09	\$	2.41	\$	1.65	\$	2.28 =====	\$ ===
Net income per share basic	\$	2.11	\$	2.42	\$	1.65	\$	2.26	\$
Net income per share diluted	\$	2.09	\$	2.41	\$	1.65	\$	2.26	\$
Dividends per share	\$	1.85	\$	1.75	\$	1.67	\$	1.63	\$
Weighted average shares outstanding basic	:	26 <b>,</b> 789		26 <b>,</b> 341	_=	27 <b>,</b> 698	_=	24 <b>,</b> 935	===

Weighted average shares outstanding					
diluted	27,099	26,542	27,769	24,944	
				========	

13

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,							
	2001	2000	1999	1998	1			
Weighted average shares and units outstanding basic	30 <b>,</b> 796	30 <b>,</b> 697	32 <b>,</b> 135	29 <b>,</b> 141				
Weighted average shares and units outstanding diluted	31,106	30 <b>,</b> 897	32 <b>,</b> 206	29 <b>,</b> 150	===			
BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION:								
Net real estate assets, before accumulated depreciation  Total assets  Total long-term debt  Stockholders' equity  OTHER INFORMATION:	1,295,657 717,560	763 <b>,</b> 899	\$1,284,818 1,217,413 649,632 327,335		\$ 9 8 4 2			
Cash flow provided by (used in):  Operating activities	6,177 (74,057) 70,167 54 1,157	73 <b>,</b> 342 59	\$ 59,021 (39,751) (17,977) 70,707 65 1,650  16,765 1.85	(219,170) 154,636 58,242 66 973 3,557	\$ (1 1			

(1) We consider funds from operations ("FFO") to be an appropriate measure of performance of an equity REIT. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents net income (loss) excluding gains or losses from sales of property, plus depreciation of real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures, all determined on a consistent basis in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Our methodology for calculating FFO may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO utilized by other real estate companies, and accordingly, may not be comparable to other real estate companies.

We believe that FFO is helpful to investors as a measure of the performance of an equity REIT because, along with cash flows from operating activities, financing activities and investing activities, it provides investors with an understanding of our ability to incur and service debt and to make capital expenditures. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our financial performance or to cash flows from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to make

dividend or distribution payments.

FFO is calculated as follows (dollars in thousands):

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,							
	2001	2000	1999 	1998	1997			
Income before gain on sale of real estate assets, minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership and								
extraordinary items	\$30,461 	\$35,884 (208)	\$35 <b>,</b> 635 	\$29 <b>,</b> 327	\$27 <b>,</b> 56			
Joint venture gain on sale	(271) 39 <b>,</b> 977	 37 <b>,</b> 666	 35 <b>,</b> 072	 28 <b>,</b> 915	22 <b>,</b> 63			
Funds from operations	\$70,167	\$73,342 ======	\$70,707	\$58,242 ======	\$50,20 =====			

(2) Represents the total number of completed communities and apartment homes in those completed communities owned at the end of the period (excludes joint venture communities).

14

- (3) Represents the total number of apartment homes in communities completed during the period and owned at the end of the period (excludes joint venture communities).
- (4) The ratios of earnings to fixed charges were computed by dividing earnings by fixed charges. For this purpose, earnings consist of pre-tax income from continuing operations (including gains on sale of real estate) plus fixed charges (excluding capitalized interest). Fixed charges consist of interest expense (whether expensed or capitalized), dividends to preferred unitholders in the Operating Partnership, the estimated interest component of rent expense and the amortization of debt issuance costs. To date, we have not issued any preferred stock; therefore, the ratios of earnings to combined fixed charges and preferred stock dividend requirements are the same as the ratios of earnings to fixed charges presented.

# ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to "we," "our" or "us" in this report refer collectively to Summit Properties Inc., a Maryland corporation ("Summit"), and its subsidiaries, including Summit Properties Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), considered as a single enterprise. Summit is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of the words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "assume" and other similar expressions which predict or indicate future events

and trends and which do not relate to historical matters. In addition, information concerning the following are forward-looking statements:

- the future operating performance of stabilized communities, including estimated growth rates;
- the proposed development, acquisition or disposition of communities;
- anticipated construction commencement and completion dates and lease-up dates;
   and
- estimated development costs.

You should not rely on forward-looking statements because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond our control. These risks, uncertainties and other factors may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from the anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on our operations and future prospects include, but are not limited to:

- economic conditions generally and the real estate market specifically, including changes in occupancy rates and market rents, the continuing deceleration of economic conditions in our markets, and the failure of national and local economic conditions to rebound in a timely manner;
- uncertainties associated with our development activities, including the failure to obtain zoning and other approvals, actual costs exceeding our budgets and increases in construction costs;
- the failure of acquisitions to yield expected results;
- the failure to sell communities marketed for sale, including properties currently under contract for sale which are subject to customary closing conditions, or to sell these communities in a timely manner or on favorable terms;
- construction delays due to the unavailability of materials, weather conditions or other delays;
- competition, which could limit our ability to secure attractive investment opportunities, lease apartment homes or increase or maintain rents;

15

- supply and demand for apartment communities in our current and proposed market areas, especially our core markets described below;
- availability and cost of financing and access to cost-effective capital;
- the inability to refinance existing indebtedness or to refinance existing indebtedness on favorable terms;
- changes in interest rates;
- legislative and regulatory changes, including changes to laws governing the taxation of real estate investment trusts ("REITs");
- changes in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), or policies and guidelines applicable to REITs; and

- those factors discussed below and in the sections entitled "Operating Performance of our Fully Stabilized Communities" beginning on page 19 of this report and "Factors Affecting the Performance of Our Development Communities" beginning on page 32 of this report.

You should consider these risks and uncertainties in evaluating forward-looking statements and you should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date of this report. We do not undertake to update these forward-looking statements. You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes which accompany this report.

Summit is a real estate operating company that has elected REIT status and focuses on the operation, development and acquisition of "Class A" luxury apartment communities located throughout the Southeast, Midwest and Mid-Atlantic United States, as well as in Texas. We focus our efforts in seven core markets, with particular emphasis on Washington, D.C., South Florida and Atlanta, Georgia. Our other core markets are Dallas and Austin, Texas and Raleigh-Durham and Charlotte, North Carolina. Because we focus on these seven core markets, changes in local economic and market conditions in these markets may significantly affect our current operations and future prospects.

We have experienced weakening fundamentals, primarily a decline in demand for apartment homes, in all of our markets during the year and particularly during the last half of 2001. This weakness has been due to the downturn in the national economy, as well as declining economic conditions in our core markets, especially Atlanta, Austin, Charlotte and Raleigh-Durham. Local demand for apartment homes has declined due to lower job growth, a primary driver of apartment demand. Although the current political and economic environment is unpredictable, we expect these trends to continue.

#### HISTORICAL RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our net income is generated primarily from operations of our apartment communities. The changes in operating results from period to period reflect changes in existing community performance and changes in the number of apartment homes due to development, acquisition and disposition of communities. Where appropriate, comparisons are made on a "fully stabilized communities," "acquisition communities," "stabilized development communities," "communities in lease-up" and "disposition communities" basis in order to adjust for changes in the number of apartment homes. We consider a community to be "stabilized" when it has attained a physical occupancy level of at least 93%. A community that we have acquired is deemed "fully stabilized" when we have owned it for one year or more as of the beginning of the year. We consider a community that we have developed to be "fully stabilized" when stabilized for the two prior years as of the beginning of the current year. We consider a community to be a "stabilized development" community when stabilized as of the beginning of the current year but not the entire two prior years. A community in lease-up is one that has commenced rental operations but was not stabilized as of the beginning of the current year. A community's average physical occupancy is defined as the number of apartment homes occupied divided by the total number of apartment homes contained in the community, expressed as a percentage. Average physical occupancy has been calculated using the average of the occupancy that existed on Sunday during each week of the period. Average monthly rental revenue presented represents the average monthly net rental

16

revenue per occupied apartment home. Our methodology for calculating average physical occupancy and average monthly rental revenue may differ from the methodology used by other apartment companies, and accordingly, may not be

comparable to other apartment companies.

Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999

Income before minority interest of common unitholders in the Operating Partnership, gain on sale of real estate assets, impairment loss on investments in technology companies and dividends to preferred unitholders in the Operating Partnership decreased from \$48.3 million in 2000 to \$44.1 million in 2001 primarily due to an increase of \$900,000 in general and administrative costs related to severance costs for senior staff reductions and an increase of \$900,000 in our reserve for pursuit costs for projects we are no longer pursuing; an increase in depreciation expense of \$2.5 million due to the initiation of depreciation on recently developed communities, as well as a full year of depreciation on communities acquired during the second half of 2000, offset by the absence of a full year of depreciation on communities sold during 2000 and 2001; and an increase in interest expense of \$1.2 million as a result of increased average indebtedness outstanding, all offset by an increase in property operating income from 2000 to 2001 of \$1.4 million. This same measure increased from \$42.3 million in 1999 to \$48.3 million in 2000 primarily due to increased property operating income at stabilized communities, as well as the addition of property operating income from communities in lease-up, partially offset by a decrease in property operating income due to the disposition of communities.

#### OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF OUR PORTFOLIO OF COMMUNITIES

We evaluate community performance based on growth of property operating income, which is defined as rental and other property revenues less property operating and maintenance expense. We believe that property operating income is a meaningful measure for an investor's analysis of community performance as it represents the most consistent, comparable operating performance among our communities. Depreciation is a fixed cost not controllable by our property management staff and not all communities are encumbered by financing instruments. Therefore, all property operating and maintenance expense amounts in this Management's Discussion and Analysis section are presented before depreciation, interest and amortization. Property operating income does not include any allocation of corporate overhead. You should not consider property operating income as an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our financial performance or as an alternative to cash flows from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP), as a measure of our liquidity. Our calculation of property operating income may differ from the methodology and definition used by other apartment companies, and accordingly, may not be comparable to similarly entitled measures used by other apartment companies.

17

The operating performance of our communities is summarized below (dollars in thousands):

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 3		
	2001	2000	% CHANGE	2000	1999 	% CH
Property revenue: Fully stabilized communities		\$103,424		\$101,235	\$ 97,346	1.0
Acquisition communities	5,112	2,194	133.0%	2,194		10

communities  Communities in lease-up  Communities sold	•	48,581 4,797 26,438	10.9% 203.6% -51.2%	16,654 10,082	6,394
Total property revenue	191,146		3.1%	185 <b>,</b> 434	173 <b>,</b> 529
Property operating and maintenance expense:					
Fully stabilized communities	35,252	33,710	4.6%	32,889	32,538
Acquisition communities Stabilized development	1,773	687	158.1%	687	
communities	16,729	14,018	19.3%	16,891	14,187
Communities in lease-up	5 <b>,</b> 138	1,612	218.7%	5,244	2,519
Communities sold	4 <b>,</b> 537	9,060	-49.9%	3 <b>,</b> 376	8,074
Total property operating and					
maintenance expense	63,429	59 <b>,</b> 087	7.3%	59 <b>,</b> 087	57 <b>,</b> 318
Property operating income		\$126 <b>,</b> 347	1.1%		\$116 <b>,</b> 211
Apartment homes, end of period	16,739	18,928	-11.6%	18,928	17,673
	======	======		======	======

A summary of our apartment homes (excluding joint ventures) for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 is as follows:

	2001	2000	1999
Apartment homes at the beginning of the year	18 <b>,</b> 928 	17 <b>,</b> 673 490	18,003
Developments which began rental operations during the year	 (2,189)	2,441 (1,676)	1,188 (1,518)
Apartment homes at the end of the year	16,739 =====	18,928 =====	17,673 =====

18

### OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF OUR FULLY STABILIZED COMMUNITIES

The operating performance of our fully stabilized communities is summarized below (dollars in thousands except average monthly rental revenue):

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, YEAR			YEAR E!	AR ENDED DECEMBER 3		
	2001	2000	% CHANGE	2000	1999	% CH	
Property revenue: Rental	\$ 97 607	¢ 96 583	1 19	\$ 94,501	¢Q1 //11	3	
Other				6,734	•	13	
Total property revenue	104,695	103,424	1.2%	101,235	97,346	4	

16 -5

10

10

Property operating and maintenance					
expense:					
Personnel	6 <b>,</b> 786	6 <b>,</b> 193	9.6%	6 <b>,</b> 265	7 <b>,</b> 080
Advertising and promotion	1,141	1,376	-17.1%	1,310	1,318
Utilities	4,740	4,481	5.8%	4,533	4,436
Building repairs and maintenance	5,014	4,855	3.3%	5,060	5,141
Real estate taxes and insurance	12,820	12,192	5.2%	11,159	10,471
Property supervision	2,940	2,895	1.6%	2,831	2,415
Other operating expense	1,811	1,718	5.4%	1,731	1,677
Total property operating and					
maintenance expense		33,710	4.6%	32,889	32,538
Property operating income	\$ 69,443	\$ 69,714	-0.4%	\$ 68,346	\$64 <b>,</b> 808
	======			=======	======
Average physical occupancy	92.9%	94.5%	-1.6%	94.6%	93.7%
	======	======		======	======
Average monthly rental revenue	\$ 931	\$ 909	2.4%	\$ 886	\$ 865
				=======	======
Number of apartment homes	9,615	9,615		9,636	9,636
	======	======		======	======
Number of apartment communities	32	32		37	37
	======	======		=======	======

Rental and other revenue increased from 2000 to 2001 due to higher average rental rates and increased revenue from sources other than rental income, such as water sub-meter income, trash fees and redecorating fees. The 1.2% property revenue growth rate decreased when compared to the prior year growth rate as a result of lower occupancy levels due to the slowing economy and a decline in job growth. In 2002, we expect the rate of revenue growth to be below the rate seen in 2001 due to continued weak job growth and national economic expectations. We believe our expectation relative to property revenue growth is based on reasonable assumptions as to future economic conditions and the quantity of competitive apartment communities in the markets in which we do business. However, there can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from this assumption, especially due to the unpredictable nature of the current economy.

Property operating and maintenance expense increased by 4.6% from 2000 to 2001. Personnel costs increased from 2000 to 2001 primarily due to a one-time policy change which decreased discounts offered to employees who rent apartment homes at our communities resulting in commensurate increases in those employees' salaries. The decrease in advertising costs from 2000 to 2001 is primarily the result of a reduction in media advertising and locator fees. Utility costs increased from 2000 to 2001 as a result of rising gas prices, as well as an increase in vacant utility costs driven by lower occupancy. Real estate tax costs increased as a result of higher assessments for our communities in 2001 over 2000, primarily in Texas. Other operating expenses increased from 2000 to 2001 as a result of the cost of internet connectivity projects at our communities during 2001. As a percentage of total property revenues, property operating and maintenance expense increased to 33.7% in 2001 from 32.6% in 2000. In 2002, we expect the rate of operating and maintenance expense growth to be below the rate seen in 2001 due to reduced pressure on salaries and third party service costs, as well as an

19

internal focus on expense control. However, there can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from this expectation, especially due to the unpredictable nature of the current economy.

-11

Rental and other revenue increased from 1999 to 2000 due to higher rental rates, higher occupancy rates and increased revenue from sources other than rental income such as telephone, cable and water sub-meter income. The 4.0% property revenue growth rate from 1999 to 2000 was stable when compared to the 1998 to 1999 rate of growth of 4.1%.

Property operating and maintenance expenses increased by 1.1% from 1999 to 2000. For those communities considered fully stabilized for the 2000 to 1999 comparison, as a percentage of total property revenues, property operating and maintenance expense decreased to 32.5% in 2000 from 33.4% in 1999.

#### OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF OUR ACQUISITION COMMUNITIES

Acquisition communities for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 consist of Summit Sweetwater and Summit Shiloh (representing a total of 490 apartment homes), both located in Atlanta, Georgia, in each of which we acquired our joint venture partner's 51% interest on August 1, 2000. There were no community acquisitions during 2001 or 1999. The operating performance of our acquisition communities is summarized below (dollars in thousands except average monthly rental revenue):

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		YEAR ENDED DI	ECEMBER	
2001	2000	2000	1	
\$4 <b>,</b> 726 386	\$2 <b>,</b> 016 178	\$2,016 178	\$	
5 <b>,</b> 112	2,194	2,194		
1,773 	687 	687 		
\$3,339 =====	\$1 <b>,</b> 507	\$1 <b>,</b> 507	\$	
92.8%	92.8%	92.8%	==	
\$ 888 =====	\$ 914 =====	\$ 914 =====	\$ ==	
490 =====	490	490 =====	==	
	2001  \$4,726 386  5,112 1,773  \$3,339 ===== 92.8% ===== \$ 888 ===== 490	2001 2000 \$4,726 \$2,016 386 178 5,112 2,194  1,773 687 \$3,339 \$1,507 92.8% 92.8% \$ 888 \$914 \$490 490	2001 2000 2000  \$4,726 \$2,016 \$2,016 386 178 178 5,112 2,194 2,194  1,773 687 687 \$3,339 \$1,507 \$1,507 ===== 92.8% 92.8% 92.8% ===== 92.8% 92.8% ===== 92.8% 92.8% ===== 490 490 490	

The unleveraged yield on investment for the communities acquired during 2000, defined as property operating income divided by total acquisition cost, was 9.4% for the year ended December 31, 2001.

20

#### OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF OUR STABILIZED DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITIES

We had 15 communities with a total of 4,668 apartment homes (Summit Ballantyne, Summit Largo, Summit Sedgebrook, Summit Governor's Village, Summit Lake, Summit Westwood, Summit New Albany, Summit Fair Lakes, Summit Hunter's Creek, Summit Russett I, Summit Doral, Summit Ashburn Farm, Summit Deer Creek, Summit Reunion Park I and Summit Fairview) which were stabilized during the entire year ended December 31, 2001, but were stabilized subsequent to January 1, 1999. The

comparison of the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999 represents 14 communities with a total of 4,885 apartment homes (Summit Ballantyne, Summit Norcroft II, Summit Sedgebrook, Summit Governor's Village, Summit Lake, Summit Westwood, Summit New Albany I, Summit Fair Lakes, Summit Stonefield, Summit Russett I, Summit Doral, Summit Plantation II, Summit Las Palmas and Summit Camino Real).

The operating performance of our stabilized development communities is summarized below (dollars in thousands except average monthly rental revenue):

	,		YEAR ENDED I	•
	2001	2000	2000	1999
Property revenue:				
Rental	\$50,130	\$45,079	\$51,409	\$44,073
Other	3,756	3,502	3,860	2,841
Total property revenue  Property operating and maintenance	53 <b>,</b> 886	48,581	55 <b>,</b> 269	46,914
expense	16,729	14,018	16,891	14,187
Property operating income	\$37 <b>,</b> 157	\$34,563	\$38,378	\$32 <b>,</b> 727
Average physical occupancy	93.9%	====== 88.1%	====== 94.0%	====== 85.3
	======	======	======	======
Average monthly rental revenue	\$ 966	\$ 897	\$ 951	\$ 906
	======	======	======	======
Number of apartment homes	4,668	4,668	4,885	4,885
	======	======	======	======

The unleveraged yield on those communities considered stabilized development communities in 2001, defined as property operating income divided by total development cost, was 10.6% for the year ended December 31, 2001.

#### OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF OUR COMMUNITIES IN LEASE-UP

We had six communities in lease-up during the year ended December 31, 2001. The following is a summary of five of the six communities in lease-up during 2001 (dollars in thousands):

COMMUNITY	NUMBER OF APARTMENT HOMES	TOTAL ACTUAL COST	CONSTRUCTION COMPLETION	ACTUAL/ ANTICIPATED STABILIZATION	PH OC
Summit Russett II Laurel, MD(1)	112	\$ 10,705	04 2000	02 2001	
Summit Grandview Charlotte, NC(2)	266	51,114	04 2000	04 2001	
Summit Crest Raleigh, NC	438	32,555	03 2001	03 2002	
Summit Peachtree City Atlanta, GA	399	33,567	Q3 2001	Q3 2002	
Summit Overlook Raleigh, NC	320	28,331	Q4 2001	Q3 2002	
	1,535	\$156 <b>,</b> 272			
	=====				

- (1) Summit Russett II stabilized during 2001.
- (2) The apartment homes at Summit Grandview stabilized during the fourth quarter of 2001. Stabilization, occupancy and percent leased information in the table above represents data for the apartment homes only. The 75,203 square feet of commercial space at Summit Grandview was 69.9% occupied and 76.3% leased as of December 31, 2001.

2.1

The actual stabilization dates for our communities in lease-up may be later than anticipated. The rental rates that we may charge also may be less than expected, and we may need to offer rent concessions to the residents.

In addition to the communities listed in the table above, Summit Lenox, located in Atlanta, Georgia, is an existing community with 431 apartment homes which underwent major renovations during 2000 and 2001. The renovations included upgrades of the interior of the apartment homes (new cabinets, fixtures and other interior upgrades), and upgrades to the parking lots and landscaping, as well as new balconies and exterior painting of buildings. The renovations required certain apartment homes to be unavailable for rental over the course of the projects. The operations of Summit Lenox are included in lease-up communities results due to the renovation work. The renovation work at Summit Lenox was complete as of December 31, 2001. Summit Lenox was 89.6% occupied at that date.

We had 14 communities with 3,915 apartment homes in lease-up during the year ended December 31, 2000 (Summit New Albany II, Summit Largo, Summit Hunter's Creek, Summit Deer Creek, Summit Ashburn Farm, Reunion Park by Summit I, Summit Russett II, Summit Grandview, Summit Deerfield, Summit Overlook, Summit Crest, Summit Peachtree City, Summit Lenox and Summit Fairview).

The operating performance of our lease-up communities is summarized below (dollars in thousands):

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		YEAR ENDED	DECEMBER 31
	2001	2000	2000	1999 
Property revenue:				
Rental	\$13,469	\$4,459	\$15 <b>,</b> 327	\$6 <b>,</b> 075
Other	1,093	338	1,327	319
Total property revenue  Property operating and maintenance	14,562	4,797	16,654	6 <b>,</b> 394
expense	5,138	1,612	5,244	2 <b>,</b> 519
Property operating income	\$ 9,424	\$3 <b>,</b> 185	\$11,410	\$3 <b>,</b> 875
	======	=====	======	=====
Number of apartment homes	1,966	1,966	3 <b>,</b> 915	3 <b>,</b> 915
	======	=====	======	=====

OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF OUR DISPOSITION COMMUNITIES

Disposition communities consist of the former Summit Palm Lake, Summit Arbors, Summit Radbourne, Summit Lofts, Summit Stony Point, Summit Gateway, Summit

Deerfield, Summit Waterford and Summit Walk, all of which were sold during the year ended December 31, 2001. The 2000 disposition communities consist of the former Summit Creekside, Summit Eastchester, Summit Sherwood, Summit River Crossing, Summit Blue Ash, Summit Park and Summit Village, all of which were sold during the year ended December 31, 2000. The 1999 disposition communities consist of the former Summit Hampton, Summit Oak, Summit Beacon Ridge, Summit Heron's Run, Summit McIntosh, Summit Perico and Summit East Ridge, all of which were sold during the year ended December 31, 1999.

The operating performance of the disposition communities is summarized below (dollars in thousands):

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		YEAR ENDED	DECEMBER 31
	2001	2000	2000	1999 
Property revenue:				
Rental	\$11,905	\$24,503	\$9,386	\$21 <b>,</b> 299
Other	986	1,935	696	1 <b>,</b> 576
Total property revenue  Property operating and maintenance	12 <b>,</b> 891	26,438	10,082	22 <b>,</b> 875
expense	4,537	9,060	3,376	8 <b>,</b> 074
Durantu anamatina inama	c 0 254			 61.4 001
Property operating income		\$17 <b>,</b> 378	\$6 <b>,</b> 706	\$14 <b>,</b> 801
Number of apartment homes	2,189	3,865	1,676	====== 3,194
Number of aparement nomes	∠ <b>,</b> ±09	3,003	1,070	3,194 ======

22

#### OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF SUMMIT MANAGEMENT COMPANY

The Operating Partnership owns 1% of the voting stock and 99% of the non-voting stock of Summit Management Company (the "Management Company"). The remaining 99% of voting stock and 1% of non-voting stock are held by one of the Co-Chairmen of our Board of Directors. As a result of this stock ownership, the Operating Partnership has a 99% economic interest and the Co-Chairman has a 1% economic interest in the Management Company. Because of our ability to exercise significant influence, the Management Company is accounted for on the equity method of accounting. The operating performance of the Management Company and its wholly owned subsidiary, Summit Apartment Builders, Inc. ("the Construction Company"), is summarized below (dollars in thousands):

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,			YEAR E1	NDED DECEM	MBER 3
	2001	2000	% CHANGE	2000	1999 	% CH
Revenues:						
Management fees charged to Operating Partnership	•	\$ 5,735	12.9%	\$ 5,735	\$4,972	1
Third party management fee revenue  Construction revenue charged to	913	1,103	-17.2%	1,103	1,263	-1
Operating Partnership	2,701	2,494	8.3%	2,494	1,774	4

Gain on sale of real estate assets		238	-100.0%	238		1(
Other	455	372	22.3%	372	844	- į
Total revenue	10,542	9,942	6.0%	9,942	8,853	1
Expenses:						
Operating	9,177	9,398	-2.4%	9,398	8,699	
Depreciation	319	313	1.9%	313	284	1
Amortization	298	303	-1.7%	303	289	
Interest	300	677	-55.7%	677	300	12
Total expenses	10,094	10,691	-5.6%	10,691	9,572	1
Income (loss) before extraordinary						
items	448	(749)	159.8%	(749)	(719)	-
Extraordinary items		(30)	100.0%	(30)		-10
Net income (loss)	\$ 448	\$ (779)	157.5%	\$ (779)	\$ (719)	-

The increase in revenue from 2000 to 2001 is primarily due to an increase in fees earned from managing our lease-up communities. Operating expenses remained stable in 2001 as compared to 2000, decreasing by only \$221,000. In addition, interest expense decreased from 2000 to 2001 due to the repayment of an intercompany loan during 2000.

The increase in revenue from 1999 to 2000 was primarily the result of an increase in the management fee charged to the Operating Partnership's communities from 2.50% in 1999 to 2.75% in 2000 and higher revenues from increased construction activity of the Construction Company. The increase in the management fee is the first increase since our initial public offering in 1994. The increase in interest expense resulted from an intercompany loan made to the Management Company by the Operating Partnership in late 1999 for the purpose of purchasing a parcel of land in Raleigh, North Carolina. The Management Company sold this parcel of land on February 29, 2000 resulting in a gain on sale of \$238,000. The increase in operating expenses for the year was a result of increased construction activities and increased personnel at the Management Company in order to better support our growth objectives, including improving the operating performance of our stabilized communities.

Third party apartment homes under management were 1,004 in 2001, 1,723 in 2000 and 2,435 in 1999. Property management fees from third parties were \$913,000 in 2001, \$1.1 million in 2000 and \$1.3 million in 1999. Property management fees from third parties as a percentage of total property management revenues were 12.4% in 2001, 16.1% in 2000 and 20.3% in 1999.

All of the construction revenues are from contracts with the Operating Partnership.

23

#### OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES

Interest income decreased by \$1.3 million to \$2.3 million in 2001 compared to 2000, primarily due to a \$1.0 million decrease in interest earned on proceeds from property sales placed in escrow in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax regulations. Interest income increased by \$562,000 to \$3.6 million in 2000 compared to 1999, primarily due to interest earned on notes receivable of \$809,000 as well as an increase of \$429,000 in interest earned on employee stock loans over 1999, offset by a decrease in interest earned on proceeds from

1

1

property sales placed in escrow in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax regulations of \$739,000.

Other income decreased by \$86,000 to \$532,000 in 2001 compared to 2000, primarily as a result of a decrease in dividends earned on an equity investment. Other income increased by \$329,000 to \$618,000 in 2000 compared to 1999, primarily as a result of a credit enhancement fee earned in connection with a property that is being developed by a third party, as well as dividends earned on an equity investment.

Depreciation expense increased by \$2.5 million to \$39.1 million in 2001 compared to 2000, primarily due to depreciation expense related to the initiation of depreciation on recently developed communities as well as a full year of depreciation on communities acquired during the second half of 2000, offset by the absence of a full year of depreciation on communities sold during 2000 and 2001. Depreciation expense increased by \$2.2 million to \$36.6 million in 2000 compared to 1999, primarily due to depreciation expense related to the 2000 acquisitions and increased depreciation of communities in lease-up.

Interest expense increased by \$1.2 million in 2001 compared to 2000 primarily due to an increase of \$45.9 million in our average indebtedness outstanding, offset by a decrease in the effective interest rate of 0.34% (7.04% to 6.70%) in 2001 as compared to 2000. Interest expense increased by \$1.4 million in 2000 compared to 1999 primarily due to an increase of \$56.4 million in our average indebtedness outstanding and an increase in the effective interest rate of 0.39% (6.65% to 7.04%) in 2000 as compared to 1999.

General and administrative expenses before non-recurring charges of \$1.8 million were \$4.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Approximately \$900,000 of the non-recurring charges is related to an increase in our reserve for the costs of abandoned pursuit projects and approximately \$900,000 is related to severance costs associated with senior staff reductions in our organization. General and administrative expenses before non-recurring charges have remained relatively stable as a percentage of total revenues. As a percentage of total revenues, general and administrative expenses before non-recurring charges were 2.5% in 2001, 2.5% in 2000 and 2.2% in 1999.

The \$34.4 million gain on sale of assets in 2001 resulted from the disposition of one parcel of land and nine communities. The nine communities were (referred to below using former community names):

COMMUNITY	MARKET

Summit Arbors Charlotte, NC Summit Deerfield Cincinnati, OH Tampa, FL Summit Gateway Summit Lofts Tampa, FL Summit Palm Lake South Florida Summit Radbourne Charlotte, NC Summit Stony Point Richmond, VA Summit Walk Tampa, FL Summit Waterford Richmond, VA

The communities disposed of in 2001 were part of our plan to dispose of assets that no longer meet our growth objectives or to make desired changes in the number of apartment homes in each of our markets. We believe that by concentrating our efforts and capital in a limited number of large markets we will gain a competitive advantage as we improve operational efficiencies, build

a more significant brand name and improve market

24

knowledge. Also, by disposing of assets that no longer meet our long-term growth objectives, capital is provided to fund the development of new assets with higher growth potential.

The \$38.5 million gain on sale of assets in 2000 resulted from the disposition of seven communities. The \$17.4 million gain on sale of assets in 1999 also resulted from the disposition of seven communities.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Liquidity

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased from \$71.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 to \$66.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2001, primarily due to a \$4.2 million decrease in income before gain on sale of real estate assets, impairment loss on technology investments and minority interest of common unitholders in the Operating Partnership.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Net cash used in investing activities was \$118.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The increase in cash provided by investing activities is due to a decrease of \$33.4 million in cash expended for acquisition communities from 2000, a decrease in cash used for the construction of real estate assets and land acquisitions of \$56.4 million and an increase of \$42.8 million in proceeds from the sale of communities, offset by an increase in cash used to invest in real estate joint ventures of \$4.3 million and a decrease in net cash received for notes receivable of \$2.9 million. In addition to cash proceeds received in connection with 2001 dispositions, proceeds from the sale of communities represent funds expended from like-kind exchange escrows. In the event that the proceeds from these property sales are not fully invested in qualified like-kind property during the required time period, a special distribution may be made or company level tax may be incurred.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$74.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$46.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The increase in cash used in financing activities during 2001 is primarily due to a decrease in net borrowings from 2000 to 2001 of \$111.0 million on our unsecured credit facility and a decrease in proceeds received from the issuance of mortgage debt of \$48.3 million, all offset by a decrease in repayments of unsecured notes and mortgages in the aggregate amount of \$18.1 million, an increase in net borrowings on unsecured medium-term notes of \$3.0 million, a decrease in cash used for the repurchase of common stock and the acquisition of minority interest in the aggregate amount of \$9.6 million, a decrease in cash used for employee notes receivable of \$8.6 million and a decrease in cash used for deferred financing charges of \$1.3 million.

The ratio of earnings to fixed charges was 1.83 to 1 for the year ended December 31, 2001 compared to 1.99 to 1 for the year ended December 31, 2000.

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 and 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement that 90% of ordinary taxable income be distributed. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on net income to the extent taxable income is distributed.

Our outstanding indebtedness as of December 31, 2001 totaled \$717.6 million. This amount includes \$289.5 million in fixed rate conventional mortgages, \$22.2 million of variable rate tax exempt bonds, \$308.0 million of unsecured notes, \$3.9 million of tax exempt fixed rate loans, and \$94.0 million under our unsecured credit facility.

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements (i.e., liquidity requirements arising within 12 months) including recurring capital expenditures relating to maintaining our existing properties, generally through our working capital, net cash provided by operating activities and borrowings under our unsecured credit facility. We believe that our cash provided by operating activities will be adequate to meet operating requirements and payments of dividends and distributions during the next twelve months.

We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements (i.e., liquidity requirements arising after 12 months), such as scheduled mortgage debt maturities, property acquisitions, financing of construction and development activities and other non-recurring capital improvements, through the issuance of unsecured notes and equity

25

securities, from undistributed funds from operations (see page 33), from proceeds received from the disposition of certain properties, and, in connection with the acquisition of land or improved property, through the issuance of common units by the Operating Partnership.

#### Credit Facility

We have a syndicated unsecured line of credit in the amount of \$225.0 million. The credit facility provides funds for new development, acquisitions and general working capital purposes. Loans under the credit facility bear interest at LIBOR plus 100 basis points. The spread component of the aggregate interest rate will change in the event of an upgrade or downgrade of our unsecured credit rating of BBB- by Standard & Poor's Rating Services and Baa3 by Moody's Investors Service. The credit facility is repayable monthly on an interest only basis with principal due at maturity. The credit facility's initial three-year term was scheduled to expire on September 26, 2003. On July 6, 2001, we closed on a one-year extension option under this credit facility. The new maturity date is September 26, 2004, and all other terms and covenants of the credit facility remain unchanged. The credit facility had an average interest rate of 4.99% in 2001, 7.20% in 2000 and 6.06% in 1999 and an average balance outstanding of \$113.5 million in 2001, \$119.8 million in 2000 and \$99.2 million in 1999. In addition, the maximum outstanding principal amount was \$146.5 million in 2001, \$174.0 million in 2000 and \$176.0 million in 1999. As of December 31, 2001, the outstanding balance of the credit facility was \$94.0 million, leaving \$131.0 million of remaining availability on the \$225.0 million commitment.

The credit facility also provides a bid sub-facility equal to a maximum of fifty percent of the total facility (\$112.5 million). This sub-facility provides us with the choice to place borrowings in fixed LIBOR contract periods of thirty, sixty, ninety and one hundred eighty days. We may have up to seven fixed LIBOR contracts outstanding at any one time. Upon proper notifications, all lenders participating in the credit facility may, but are not obligated to, participate in a competitive bid auction for these fixed LIBOR contracts.

The credit facility requires that we comply with certain affirmative, negative and financial covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2001.

Medium-Term Notes

On April 20, 2000, we commenced a new program for the sale by the Operating Partnership of up to \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of medium-term notes ("MTNs"), due nine months or more from the date of issuance. During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Operating Partnership issued notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$60.0 million in connection with the new MTN program, including (a) \$25.0 million of notes which are due on May 9, 2006 and bear interest at 7.04% per year and (b) \$35.0 million of notes which are due on May 9, 2011 and bear interest at 7.703% per year. We had notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$112.0 million outstanding in connection with the new MTN program as of December 31, 2001.

On May 29, 1998, we established a program for the sale by the Operating Partnership of up to \$95.0 million aggregate principal amount of MTNs due nine months or more from the date of issuance. We had notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$25.0 million outstanding in connection with this MTN program as of December 31, 2001. As a result of the commencement of the \$250.0 million MTN program, we cannot issue any additional notes under the \$95.0 million MTN program.

#### Preferred Units

As of December 31, 2001, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 3.4 million preferred units of limited partnership interest designated as 8.95% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units. These preferred units are redeemable by the Operating Partnership on or after April 29, 2004 for cash at a redemption price equal to the holder's capital account or, at our option, shares of our 8.95% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, or a combination of cash and shares of our 8.95% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock. Holders of the Series B preferred units have the right to exchange these preferred units for shares of our Series B preferred stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment: (a) on or after April 29, 2009, (b) if full quarterly distributions are not made for six

26

quarters, or (c) upon the occurrence of specified events related to the treatment of the Operating Partnership or the preferred units for federal income tax purposes. Distributions on the Series B preferred units are cumulative from the date of original issuance and are payable quarterly at the rate of 8.95% per year of the \$25.00 original capital contribution. We made distributions to the holders of the Series B preferred units in the aggregate amount of \$7.6 million during each of the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

As of December 31, 2001, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 2.2 million preferred units of limited partnership interest designated as 8.75% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units. The preferred units are redeemable by the Operating Partnership on or after September 3, 2004 for cash at a redemption price equal to the holder's capital account. Holders of the Series C preferred units have the right to exchange these preferred units for shares of our Series C preferred stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment: (a) on or after September 3, 2009, (b) if full quarterly distributions are not made for six quarters, (c) upon the occurrence of specified events related to the treatment of the Operating Partnership or the preferred units for federal income tax purposes, or (d) if the holdings in the Operating Partnership of the Series C unitholder exceed 18% of the total profits of or capital interest in the Operating Partnership for a taxable year. Distributions on the Series C preferred units are cumulative from the date of original issuance and are payable quarterly at the rate of 8.75% per year of the \$25.00 original capital contribution. We made distributions to the holder of the Series C preferred units in the aggregate amount of \$4.8 million during each of the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

### Common Stock Repurchase Program

On March 12, 2000, our Board of Directors authorized a common stock repurchase program pursuant to which we were authorized to purchase up to an aggregate of \$25.0 million of currently issued and outstanding shares of our common stock. During 2001, our Board of Directors increased the size of this common stock repurchase program to \$56.0 million. All repurchases have been, and will be, made on the open market at prevailing prices or in privately negotiated transactions. This authority may be exercised from time to time and in such amounts as market conditions warrant. We repurchased 8,800 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$197,000, or an average price of \$22.39 per share during the year ended December 31, 2001 under the common stock repurchase program. During the year ended December 31, 2000, we repurchased 279,400 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$5.5 million, or an average price of \$19.80 per share under this program.

During 2000, we completed a common stock repurchase program pursuant to which we were authorized to purchase up to an aggregate of \$50.0 million of our common stock. During 2000, we repurchased 131,900 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$2.5 million, or an average price of \$18.88 per share under this program. The total number of shares of our common stock repurchased was 2.5 million shares for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$50.0 million, or an average price of \$19.63 per share under this program.

#### Employee Loan Program

Our Board of Directors believes that ownership of our common stock by our executive officers and certain other qualified employees will align the interests of these officers and employees with the interests of our stockholders. To this end, our Board of Directors approved, and we instituted, a loan program under which we may lend amounts to certain of our executive officers and other qualified employees to (a) finance the purchase of our common stock on the open market at then-current market prices, (b) finance the payment of the exercise price of one or more stock options to purchase shares of our common stock, or (c) finance the annual tax liability or other expenses of an executive officer related to the vesting of shares of common stock which constitute a portion of a restricted stock award granted to the executive officer. We have amended the terms of the loan program from time to time since its inception in 1997. The relevant officer or employee has executed a promissory note and security agreement related to each loan extended. Each outstanding note bears interest at a rate established on the date of the note, is full recourse to the officers and employees and is collateralized by the shares of our common stock which are the subject of the loans.

27

#### Impairment Loss

Management considers events and circumstances that may indicate impairment of an investment, including operating performance and cash flow projections.

Management determined during the quarter ended June 30, 2001 that our investments in Broadband Now, Inc. and Yieldstar Technology LLC were impaired and that such impairment was other than temporary. As a result, we recorded an impairment loss in the aggregate amount of \$1.2 million, which represents our entire investment in these two technology companies. We have no other technology company investments.

Schedule of Debt

The following table sets forth information regarding our debt financing as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 (dollars in thousands):

	INTEREST		DECEME	OUTSTANDIN BER 31,
	RATE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001	MATURITY DATE(1)	2001	2000
FIXED RATE DEBT				
MORTGAGE LOAN(2)	6.76%	10/15/08	\$137,321	\$140,550
MORTGAGE LOAN(3)	8.00%	9/1/05	8,161	8,272
MORTGAGE NOTES	0.000	37 17 00	0,101	0,2,2
Summit Foxcroft	8.00%	4/1/20	2,438	2,519
Summit Sand Lake	7.88%	2/15/06	13,603	13,990
Summit Fair Lakes	7.82%	7/1/10	48,340	48,340
Summit Buena Vista	6.75%	2/15/07	24,539	24,980
Summit Belcourt	6.75%	1/1/06	9,209	9,386
Summit Camino Real	6.75%	6/1/06	16,213	16,519
Summit Turtle Rock	6.75%	12/1/05	10,431	10,634
Summit Arboretum	6.75%	12/1/05	19,194	19,567
Mortgage Notes paid in 2001 TAX EXEMPT MORTGAGE NOTE				8,294
Summit Crossing	6.95%	11/1/25	3,918	3,985
TOTAL SECURED DEBT			293 <b>,</b> 367	307,036
UNSECURED NOTES				
7.87% Medium-Term Notes due 2003	7.87%	10/20/03	17,000	17,000
8.037% Medium-Term Notes due 2005	8.04%	11/17/05	25,000	25,000
7.04% Medium-Term Notes due 2006	7.04%	5/9/06	25,000	
7.59% Medium-Term Notes due 2009	7.59%	3/16/09	25,000	25,000
8.50% Medium-Term Notes due 2010	8.50%	7/19/10	10,000	10,000
7.703% Medium-Term Notes due 2011	7.70%	5/9/11	35,000	
6.80% Notes due 2002	6.80%	8/15/02	25,000	25 <b>,</b> 000
6.63% Notes due 2003	6.63%	12/15/03	30,000	30,000
6.95% Notes due 2004	6.95%	8/15/04	50,000	50,000
7.20% Notes due 2007	7.20%	8/15/07	50,000	50,000
Bank Note due 2002	7.85%	8/3/02	16,000	16,000
Unsecured Notes paid in 2001				30,000
TOTAL UNSECURED DEBT			308,000	278,000
TOTAL FIXED RATE DEBT			601,367	585 <b>,</b> 036

28

		PRINCIPAL C	DUTSTANDIN
INTEREST		DECEMBE	CR 31,
RATE AS OF	MATURITY		
DECEMBER 31, 2001	DATE(1)	2001	2000

UNSECURED CREDIT FACILITY	LIBOR + 100	9/26/04	94,000	141,500
TAX EXEMPT BONDS (4)				
Summit Belmont	3.10%	4/1/07	10,785	11,005
Summit Pike Creek	3.10%	8/15/20	11,408	11,648
Tax Exempt Bonds paid in 2001	• • •			14,710
TOTAL TAX EXEMPT BONDS			22,193	37,363
TOTAL VARIABLE RATE DEBT			116,193	178,863
TOTAL OUTSTANDING INDEBTEDNESS.			\$717 <b>,</b> 560	\$763 <b>,</b> 899
			=======	=======

- (1) All of the secured debt can be prepaid at any time. Prepayment of all secured debt is generally subject to penalty or premium.
- (2) Mortgage loan secured by the following communities:

Summit Ballantyne	Summit Las Palmas	Summit Plantation
Summit Del Ray	Summit Meadow	Summit St. Clair
Summit Glen	Summit Norcroft	Summit Windsor
Summit Highland	Summit on the River	

(3) Mortgage Loan secured by the following communities:

Summit Simsbury Summit Touchstone

(4) The tax exempt bonds bear interest at various rates set by a remarketing agent at the demand note index plus 0.50%, set weekly, or the lowest percentage of prime which allows the resale at a price of par. The bonds are enhanced by letters of credit from a financial institution, each of which credit enhancement will terminate prior to the maturity dates of the related bonds. In the event these credit enhancements are not renewed or replaced upon termination, the related loan obligations will be accelerated.

The one-month LIBOR rate as of December 31, 2001 was 1.87%.

Our outstanding indebtedness (excluding our unsecured credit facility which matures in 2004) had an average maturity of 5.6 years as of December 31, 2001. The aggregate annual maturities of all outstanding debt as of December 31, 2001 (excluding our unsecured credit facility which had an outstanding balance of \$94.0 million as of December 31, 2001) are as follows (in thousands):

2002.         2003.         2004.         2005.         2006.	53,584 57,014 66,983
Thereafter	333,214
Total	\$623 <b>,</b> 560

Of the significant maturities in the above table, \$16.0 million relates to the

unsecured bank notes that mature in 2002; \$25.0 million relates to unsecured notes due in 2002; \$30.0 million relates to unsecured notes due in 2003; \$17.0 million relates to unsecured medium-term notes due in 2003; \$50.0 million relates to unsecured notes due in 2004; \$25.0 million relates to unsecured medium-term notes due in 2005; \$35.4 million relates to fixed-rate mortgage notes due in 2005; \$25.0 million relates to unsecured medium-term notes due in 2006; and \$34.8 million relates to fixed-rate mortgage notes due in 2006.

29

#### Market Risk

Our capital structure includes the use of variable rate and fixed rate debt and, therefore, we are exposed to the impact of changes in interest rates. We generally refinance maturing debt instruments at then-existing market interest rates and terms which may be more or less favorable than the interest rates and terms of the maturing debt. While we have historically had limited involvement with derivative financial instruments, we may utilize such instruments in certain situations to hedge interest rate exposure by modifying the interest rate characteristics of related balance sheet instruments and prospective financing transactions. We generally do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

As of December 31, 2001, we had one interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$30.0 million, relating to \$30.0 million of 6.625% fixed rate notes issued under our MTN program. Under the interest rate swap agreement, through the maturity date of December 15, 2003, (a) we have agreed to pay to the counterparty the interest on a \$30.0 million notional amount at a floating interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 11 basis points, and (b) the counterparty has agreed to pay to us the interest on the same notional amount at the fixed rate. The floating rate as of December 31, 2001 was 1.98%. The fair value of the interest rate swap was \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2001. The swap has been designated as a fair value hedge of the underlying fixed rate debt obligation and has been recorded as a reduction of the related debt instrument. We assume no ineffectiveness as the interest rate swap meets the short-cut method conditions required under FAS 133 for fair value hedges of debt instruments. Accordingly, no gains or losses were recorded in income relative to our underlying debt and interest rate swap.

The following table provides information about our interest rate swap and other financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements and related notes. For debt, the table presents principal cash flows and related weighted average interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2001 by expected maturity dates. The weighted average interest rates presented in this table for the tax exempt variable rate debt are inclusive of credit enhancement fees. For the interest rate swap, the table presents the notional amount and related weighted average pay rate by year of maturity (dollars in thousands):

#### EXPECTED YEAR OF MATURITY

	2002	2003	 2004	2005	2006	THEREAF
FIXED RATE DEBT:						
Conventional fixed rate	\$ 5,648	\$ 6,048	\$ 6,474	\$41,436	\$40,034	\$189,8
Average interest rate	6.89%	6.89%	6.89%	7.00%	7.09%	7.
Tax exempt fixed rate	71	76	80	87	92	3 <b>,</b> 5

Average interest rate	6.95%	6.95%	6.95%	6.95%	6.95%	6.
Unsecured fixed rate	41,000	47,000	50,000	25,000	25,000	120,0
Average interest rate	7.21%		6.95%			7.
Total fixed rate debt	46,719					
Average interest rate	7.17%		6.94%			7.
VARIABLE RATE DEBT:						
Tax exempt variable rate	460	460	460	460	460	19,8
Average interest rate	4.21%	4.21%	4.21%	4.21%	4.21%	4.
Variable rate credit facility			94,000			
Average interest rate			4.99%			
Total variable rate debt			94,460			19 <b>,</b> 8
Average interest rate						4.
Total debt	\$47 <b>,</b> 179		\$151,014		\$65 <b>,</b> 586	 \$333 <b>,</b> 2
Average interest rate	7.14%	7.03%	5.72%	7.37%	7.05%	7.
	======	======	======	======	======	=====
INTEREST RATE SWAP:						
Pay variable/receive fixed		\$30,000				
Average pay rate		3-month				
		LIBOR				
		+0.11%				

6.625%

We estimate that the fair value of the debt approximates carrying value based upon our effective borrowing rates for issuance of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities.

30

#### ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

Receive rate.....

During the year ended December 31, 2001, we sold one parcel of land and nine communities comprising 2,189 apartment homes for an aggregate sales price of \$167.6 million, resulting in an aggregate net gain on sale of \$34.4 million. Net proceeds from three of the nine communities, equaling \$31.7 million, were placed in escrow with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations. The parcel of land was located in Richmond, Virginia and the nine communities sold were the former Summit Palm Lake, Summit Arbors, Summit Radbourne, Summit Lofts, Summit Gateway, Summit Stony Point, Summit Deerfield, Summit Waterford and Summit Walk.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, a joint venture in which we hold a 25% interest, sold a community, the former Summit Station, for \$11.9 million. This sale resulted in the recognition by the joint venture of a gain of \$1.1 million, of which we recorded \$271,000 based on our equity interest.

We acquired no communities during the year ended December 31, 2001.

During the year ended December 31, 2000, we sold seven communities comprising 1,676 apartment homes for an aggregate sales price of \$103.9 million, resulting in a gain on sale of \$38.5 million. Net proceeds from six of the seven communities, equaling \$78.1 million, were placed in escrow with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations. The communities sold were the former Summit Creekside, Summit Eastchester, Summit Sherwood, Summit Blue Ash, Summit Park, Summit River Crossing and Summit Village.

On August 1, 2000, we exercised our option to purchase our joint venture partner's interest in each of two communities, Summit Sweetwater and Summit Shiloh, both located in Atlanta, Georgia. The acquisition of these two communities added 490 apartment homes to our portfolio at an aggregate purchase price of \$36.0 million. The acquisitions were financed with the issuance of 96,455 common units valued, in the aggregate, at \$2.2 million and the payment of \$33.8 million in cash.

During the year ended December 31, 1999, we sold seven communities comprising 1,518 apartment homes for an aggregate sales price of \$76.0 million, resulting in a gain on sale of \$17.4 million. Net proceeds from the seven communities, equaling \$54.4 million, were placed in escrow with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations. The communities sold were the former Summit Hampton, Summit Oak, Summit Beacon Ridge, Summit Perico, Summit McIntosh, Summit Heron's Run and Summit East Ridge.

We acquired no communities during the year ended December 31, 1999.

#### COMMUNITIES BEING MARKETED FOR SALE

As of December 31, 2001, we had one apartment community, Summit Breckenridge, located in Richmond, Virginia, under contract for sale. Summit Breckenridge is expected to be sold during the second quarter of 2002. The sale of Summit Breckenridge is subject to customary closing conditions. The assets of Summit Breckenridge were recorded at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell, or \$8.0 million, as of December 31, 2001. The property operating income from Summit Breckenridge represented less than 1.5% of our property operating income for the year ended December 31, 2001. Proceeds from the sale of Summit Breckenridge are expected to be used to fund future development.

31

#### DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY

Development communities in process as of December 31, 2001 are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

COMMUNITY	APARTMENT HOMES	TOTAL ESTIMATED COSTS	COST TO DATE	ESTIMATED COST TO COMPLETE	ANTI CONS COM
Summit Grand Parc Washington, D.C	105	\$ 35,900	\$ 25,819	\$10,081	Q2
Summit Shiloh II Atlanta, GA	50	3 <b>,</b> 900	2,757	1,143	Q2
Summit Brookwood Atlanta, GA	359	41,500	21,404	20,096	Q4
Summit Valleybrook Philadelphia, PA	352	37,000	24,246	12,754	Q1
Summit Roosevelt Washington, D.C	198	49,600	19,343	30,257	Q3
Summit Stockbridge Atlanta, GA Other development and construction	304	23,600	2,543	21,057	Q4
costs(1)			46,242		
Totals	1,368	\$191 <b>,</b> 500	\$142 <b>,</b> 354	\$95 <b>,</b> 388	
	=====	======	=======	======	

(1) Consists primarily of land held for development and other pre-development costs.

The estimated cost to complete the development communities listed above of \$95.4

million, as well as our commitment to purchase Summit Brickell for an estimated price ranging from \$50.5 million to \$60.0 million (see the section entitled "Commitments and Contingencies" below), represent substantially all of our material commitments for capital expenditures as of December 31, 2001.

Factors Affecting the Performance of Our Development Communities

We are optimistic about the operating prospects of the communities under construction. As with any development community, there are uncertainties and risks associated with the development of the communities described above. While we have prepared development budgets and have estimated completion and stabilization target dates based on what we believe are reasonable assumptions in light of current conditions, there can be no assurance that actual costs will not exceed current budgets or that we will not experience construction delays due to the unavailability of materials, weather conditions or other events. We also may be unable to obtain, or experience delays in obtaining, all necessary zoning, land-use, building, occupancy, and other required governmental permits and authorizations.

Other development risks include the possibility of incurring additional costs or liabilities resulting from increased costs for materials or labor or other unexpected costs or defects in construction material, and the possibility that financing may not be available on favorable terms, or at all, to pursue or complete development activities. Similarly, market conditions at the time these communities become available for leasing will affect the rental rates that may be charged and the period of time necessary to achieve stabilization, which could make one or more of the development communities unprofitable or result in achieving stabilization later than currently anticipated.

In addition, we are conducting feasibility and other pre-development work for five communities. We could abandon the development of any one or more of these potential communities in the event that we determine that market conditions do not support development, financing is not available on favorable terms or at all, or we are unable to obtain necessary permits and authorizations, or due to other circumstances which may prevent development. During 2001, we wrote off pursuit costs associated with several potential communities and increased our reserve for pursuit projects by \$900,000 in anticipation of abandoning three additional projects. There can be no assurance that, if we do pursue one or more potential communities, that we will be able to complete construction within the currently estimated development budgets or that construction can be started at the time currently anticipated.

32

#### AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT AND SIMILAR LAWS

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act, all places of public accommodation are required to meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. We believe that our communities are substantially in compliance with present requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act as they apply to multifamily dwellings. A number of additional federal, state and local laws exist or may be imposed which also may require modifications to our communities or regulate certain further renovations with respect to access by disabled persons. The ultimate amount of the cost of compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act or related legislation is not currently ascertainable, and while these costs are not expected to have a material effect on us, they could be substantial. Limitations or restrictions on the completion of renovations may limit application of our investment strategy in particular instances or reduce overall returns on our investments.

INFLATION

Substantially all of the leases at our communities are for a term of one year or less. The short-term nature of these leases generally serves to reduce the risk of the adverse effect of inflation.

#### COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

On January 19, 2000, the Operating Partnership entered into a real estate purchase agreement with a third-party real estate developer. Under the terms of the agreement, the Operating Partnership has agreed to purchase upon completion a "Class A" mixed-use community, which will be called Summit Brickell, and is located in Miami, Florida. The Operating Partnership will purchase Summit Brickell upon the earlier of one year after construction completion or the achievement of 80% occupancy. The Operating Partnership may extend this closing obligation for six months after the initial purchase period. The Operating Partnership expects to close on the purchase of Summit Brickell during late 2002 or in 2003. The final purchase price will be determined based on actual construction costs plus a bonus to the developer based on the capitalized income of the property at the time of purchase. The purchase price is expected to range from \$50.5 million to \$60.0 million. The purchase of Summit Brickell by the Operating Partnership is subject to customary closing conditions. The Operating Partnership has issued a letter of credit in the amount of \$13.0 million, which will serve as a credit enhancement to the developer's construction loan. In the event that any amount under the letter of credit is drawn upon, the Operating Partnership shall be treated as having issued a loan to the developer in the amount of such draw. Any such loan will accrue interest at a rate of eighteen percent (18%) per annum.

We have employment agreements with two of our former executive officers, both of whom resigned from such executive positions, but who remain as employees and have agreed to provide various services to us from time to time over the next ten years. Each employment agreement requires that we pay to the former officers a base salary aggregating up to \$2.1 million over the period from July 1, 2001 to December 31, 2011. Either party can terminate the employment agreements, effective 20 business days after written notice is given. The full base salary amount due shall be payable through 2011 whether or not the agreements are terminated earlier in accordance with their terms.

#### FUNDS FROM OPERATIONS

We consider Funds From Operations ("FFO") to be an appropriate measure of performance of an equity REIT. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents net income (loss) excluding gains or losses from sales of property, plus depreciation of real estate assets, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures, all determined on a consistent basis in accordance with GAAP. Funds Available for Distribution ("FAD") is defined as FFO less capital expenditures funded by operations (recurring capital expenditures). Our methodology for calculating FFO and FAD may differ from the methodology for calculating FFO and FAD utilized by other real estate companies, and accordingly, may not be comparable to other real estate companies. FFO and FAD do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital expenditures or expansion, debt service obligations,

33

property acquisitions, development, dividends and distributions or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO and FAD should not be considered as alternatives to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our financial performance or to cash flows from operating activities

(determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor are they indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions. We believe FFO and FAD are helpful to investors as measures of our performance because, along with cash flows from operating activities, financing activities and investing activities, they provide investors with an understanding of our ability to incur and service debt and make capital expenditures.

Funds from Operations and Funds Available for Distribution are calculated as follows (dollars in thousands):

	2	001	 2000	 1999
Net income  Extraordinary items Management Company  Minority interest of common unitholders in Operating		56 <b>,</b> 537	\$ 63 <b>,</b> 874 30	\$ 45 <b>,</b> 745
Partnership		•	10,520 (38,510) 	7,317 (17,427 
Company			(238)	
Adjusted net income  Depreciation:				35 <b>,</b> 635
Real estate assets			36,383 1,283	34,324 748
Funds from Operations		70,167 (4,889)	73,342 (5,371)	70,707 (6,357
Funds Available for Distribution	\$		\$ 67 <b>,</b> 971	\$ 64,350
Non-recurring capital expenditures(2)		(4,588)	\$ (2 <b>,</b> 965)	\$ (5,348
Cash flow provided by (used in): Operating activities	\$	66,546	\$	\$ 59,021 (39,751 (17,977
outstanding basic		795 <b>,</b> 910	0,696,729 ======	2,134,646 ======
Weighted average shares and units outstanding diluted		106 <b>,</b> 137	0,897,346	2,205,637 ======

- (1) Recurring capital expenditures are expected to be funded from operations and consist primarily of exterior painting, new appliances, vinyl, blinds, tile, wallpaper and carpet. In contrast, non-recurring capital expenditures, such as major improvements, new garages and access gates, are expected to be funded by financing activities and are, therefore, not included in the calculation of FAD.
- (2) Non-recurring capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000 primarily consisted of major renovations and upgrades of apartment homes in the amounts of \$749,000 in 2001 and \$643,000 in 2000; \$157,000 in 2001 and \$74,000 in 2000 for access gates and security fences; \$1.7 million in 2001 and \$1.7 million in 2000 in other revenue enhancement expenditures; and \$1.3 million in alternative landscaping mulch in 2001.

ITEM 7A. OUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -- Market Risk" beginning on page 30 of this report.

34

#### ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Financial statements and supplementary data are contained on the pages indicated on the Index to Financial Statements on page 45 of this report.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

Not applicable.

35

#### PART III

#### ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF REGISTRANT

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 14, 2002.

#### ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 14, 2002.

#### ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 14, 2002.

#### ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Incorporated herein by reference to our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after the end of the year covered by this Form 10-K with respect to our Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 14, 2002.

36

## PART IV

- ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K
  - (a) Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule

The consolidated financial statements are listed in the Index to Financial

Statements on page 45 of this Report.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K

We filed no reports on Form 8-K during the fourth quarter of 2001.

(c) Exhibits

As noted below, certain of the exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K have been filed with previous reports by the Company and are incorporated by reference herein.

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
3.1.1	Articles of Incorporation of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-11, Registration No. 33-90706).
3.1.2	Articles Supplementary to the Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Summit Properties Inc. designating 8.95% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock of Summit dated April 29, 1999 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 1999, File No. 001-12792).
3.1.3	Articles Supplementary to the Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Summit Properties Inc. designating 8.75% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock of Summit dated September 3, 1999 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Operating Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on September 17, 1999, File No. 000-22411).
3.2.1	Bylaws of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-11, Registration No. 33-90706).
3.2.2	First Amendment to Bylaws of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
3.2.3	Second Amendment to Bylaws of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
4.1.1	Indenture dated as of August 7, 1997 between the Operating Partnership and First Union National Bank, relating to the Operating Partnership's Senior Debt Securities (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Operating Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 11, 1997, File No. 000-22411).
4.1.2	Supplemental Indenture No. 1, dated as of August 12, 1997, between the Operating Partnership and First Union National Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Operating Partnership's Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A-1 filed on August 18, 1997, File No. 000-22411).
4.1.3	Supplemental Indenture No. 2, dated as of December 17, 1997, between the Operating Partnership and First Union National Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Operating Partnership's Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A-1 filed on December 17, 1997, File No. 000-22411).

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
4.1.4	Supplemental Indenture No. 3, dated as of May 29, 1998, between the Operating Partnership and First Union National Bank (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 2, 1998, File No. 000-22411).
4.1.5	Supplemental Indenture No. 4, dated as of April 20, 2000, between the Operating Partnership and First Union National Bank, including a form of Floating Rate Medium-Term Note and a form of Fixed Rate Medium-Term Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2000, File No. 000-22411).
4.2.1	The Operating Partnership's 6.80% Note due 2002, dated August 12, 1997 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A-1 filed on August 18, 1997, File No. 000-22411).
4.2.2	The Operating Partnership's 6.95% Note due 2004, dated August 12, 1997 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Operating Partnership's Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A-1 filed on August 18, 1997, File No. 000-22411).
4.2.3	The Operating Partnership's 7.20% Note due 2007, dated August 12, 1997 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Operating Partnership's Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A-1 filed on August 18, 1997, File No. 000-22411).
4.2.4	The Operating Partnership's 6.63% Note due 2003, dated December 17, 1997 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Operating Partnership's Amended Current Report on Form 8-K/A-1 filed on December 17, 1997, File No. 000-22411).
4.2.5	7.59% Medium-Term Note due 2009 in principal amount of \$25,000,000 issued by the Operating Partnership on March 18, 1999 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Operating Partnership's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 1999, File No. 000-22411).
4.2.6	8.50% Medium-Term Note due 2010 in the principal amount of \$10,000,000 issued by the Operating Partnership on July 19, 2000 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
4.2.7	7.87% Medium-Term Note due 2003 in the principal amount of \$17,000,000 issued by the Operating Partnership on October 20, 2000 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2.8 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
4.2.8	8.037% Medium-Term Note due 2005 in the principal amount of \$25,000,000 issued by the Operating Partnership on November 17, 2000 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2.9 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
4.2.9	7.04% Medium-Term Note due 2006 in the principal amount of \$25,000,000 issued by the Operating Partnership on May 9, 2001 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's

	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended
	June 30, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
4.2.10	7.703% Medium-Term Note due 2011 in the principal amount of
	\$35,000,000 issued by the Operating Partnership on May 9,
	2001 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Summit's
	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended
	June 30, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
4.3	Shareholder Rights Agreement, dated as of December 14, 1998,
	between Summit and First Union National Bank, as Rights
	Agent (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Summit's
	Registration Statement on Form 8-A, filed on December 16,
	1998).

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
10.1	Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of the Operating Partnership, dated as of May 23, 2000 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Operating Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 30, 2000, File No. 000-22411).
10.2	Articles of Incorporation of Summit Management Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, File No. 001-12792).
10.3	Bylaws of Summit Management Company (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, File No. 001-12792).
10.4	Summit's 1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-79897).
10.5.1	Summit's 1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-8, Registration No. 333-00078).
10.5.2	First Amendment to Summit's 1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5.2 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, File No. 001-12792).
10.5.3	Second Amendment to Summit's 1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5.3 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, File No. 001-12792).
10.5.4	Third Amendment to Summit's 1996 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Purchase Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5.4 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1999, File No. 001-12792).
10.6	Indemnification Agreements, dated as of various dates, by and among Summit, the Operating Partnership, and each director and each of the following executive officers of Summit: Steven R. LeBlanc, Michael L. Schwarz, Randall M. Ell, Gregg D. Adzema and Douglas E. Brout (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 1999, File

10.7.1	No. 001-12792). Employment Agreement dated February 15, 1999, by and among William F. Paulsen, Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company, as restated on April 3, 2001 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended
10.7.2	June 30, 2001, File No. 12792).  Employment Agreement, dated February 15, 1999, by and among William B. McGuire, Jr. Summit Properties Inc. and Summit Management Company, as restated on August 24, 2001
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
10.7.3	Employment Agreement between Summit and Michael L. Schwarz (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7.10 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended
	December 31, 1997, File No. 001-12792).
10.7.4	Employment Agreement between Summit and Steven R. LeBlanc (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7.4 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended
10.7.5	December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).  Employment Agreement between Summit Management Company and Randall M. Ell (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly
10.7.6	period ended June 30, 2000, File No. 001-12792). Employment Agreement between Summit and Robert R. Kilroy (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2000, File No. 001-12792).

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION					
10.7.7	Employment Agreement between Summit Management Company and					
10.7.8	Gregg D. Adzema (filed herewith).  Employment Agreement between Summit Management Company and Douglas E. Brout (filed herewith).					
10.8.1	Noncompetition Agreement between Summit and William F. Paulsen (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).					
10.8.2	Noncompetition Agreement between Summit and William B. McGuire, Jr. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).					
10.8.3	Noncompetition Agreement between Summit and Michael L. Schwarz (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.10 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997, File No. 001-12792).					
10.8.4	Noncompetition Agreement between Summit and Steven R. LeBlanc (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8.11 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998, File No. 001-12792).					
10.8.5	Noncompetition Agreement by and among Summit, Summit Management Company and Randall M. Ell (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Summit's Quarterly Report on					

	Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2000, File
	No. 001-12792).
10.8.6	Noncompetition Agreement by and among Summit, Summit
	Management Company and Robert R. Kilroy (Incorporated by
	reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Summit's Quarterly Report on
	Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2000,
	File No. 001-12792).
10.9.1	Executive Severance Agreement between Summit and Michael L.
	Schwarz (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.3 to
	Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year
	ended December 31, 1997, File No. 001-12792).
10.9.2	Executive Severance Agreement between Summit and Steven R.
	LeBlanc (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9.6 to
	Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year
	ended December 31, 1998, File No. 001-12792).
10.9.3	•
10.9.3	Executive Severance Agreement between Summit and Randall M.
	Ell (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Summit's
	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended
	June 30, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.9.4	Amended Executive Severance Agreement between Summit and
	Gregg D. Adzema (filed herewith).
10.9.5	Amended Executive Severance Agreement between Summit and
	Douglas E. Brout (filed herewith).
10.10	\$31,000,000 Loan Agreement, dated July 31, 1996, between the
	Operating Partnership and Wachovia Bank of North Carolina,
	N.A. (filed herewith).
10.11.1	Form of Promissory Note and Security Agreement between
10.11.1	Summit and the employees named in the Schedule thereto
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14.3 to Summit's
	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended
	December 31, 1997, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.2	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated January 31,
	2000, evidencing a loan of \$499,814 to William B. McGuire,
	Jr. for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of
	Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to
	Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly
	period ended March 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
	1

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
10.11.3	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated January 31, 2000, evidencing a loan of \$999,995 to William F. Paulsen for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.4	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated August 5, 1998, evidencing a loan of \$960,578 to Steven R. LeBlanc for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12.4 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.5	Promissory Note, dated February 2, 1999, evidencing a loan of \$1,000,487 to Steven R. LeBlanc for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (Incorporated by

	reference to Exhibit 10.12.10 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998, File No. 001-12792).
10 11 6	
10.11.6	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated January 31,
	2000, evidencing a loan of \$999,995 to Steven R. LeBlanc for
	the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Summit's
	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended
10 11 5	March 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.7	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated January 28,
	1998, evidencing a loan of \$42,258 to Michael L. Schwarz for
	the purpose of paying tax liability associated with a
	restricted stock award (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit
	10.14.1 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the
	fiscal year ended December 31, 1997, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.8	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated January 30,
	1998, evidencing a loan of \$361,785 to Michael L. Schwarz
	for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of
	Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to
	Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly
	period ended March 31, 1998, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.9	Promissory Notes and Security Agreements, dated various
	dates from July 29, 1998 to May 1, 2000, evidencing loans in
	the aggregate amount of \$131,013 to Michael L. Schwarz
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.9 to Summit's
	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended
	December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.10	Promissory Note, dated February 2, 1999, evidencing a loan
	of \$450,004 to Michael L. Schwarz for the purpose of
	purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (Incorporated by
	reference to Exhibit 10.12.11 to Summit's Annual Report on
	Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1998, File
	No. 001-12792).
10.11.11	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated January 31,
	2000, evidencing a loan of \$499,969 to Michael L. Schwarz
	for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of
	Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to
	Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly
	period ended March 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.12	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated August 1,
	2000, evidencing a loan of \$99,973 to Michael L. Schwarz for
	the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Summit's
	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended
	September 30, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.13	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated November 7,
	2000, evidencing a loan of \$91,843 to Michael L. Schwarz for
	the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.13 to Summit's
	Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended
	December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.14	Promissory Notes and Security Agreements, dated various
	dates from April 1, 1998 through May 17, 2000, evidencing
	loans in the aggregate amount of \$358,399 to Randall M. Ell
	for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of
	Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to
	Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly
	period ended June 30, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
	-

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
10.11.15	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated November 7, 2000, evidencing a loan of \$499,160 to Randall M. Ell for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.16 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.16	Amendment, dated December 29, 2000, to each of the Promissory Notes and Security Agreements dated prior to January 4, 2000 executed by William B. McGuire, Jr., William F. Paulsen and the executive officers of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11.17 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.17	Promissory Note and Security Agreement, dated February 6, 2001, evidencing a loan of \$107,032 to Randall M. Ell for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
10.11.18	Promissory Notes and Security Agreements, dated various dates from July 1998 to February 2001, evidencing loans in the aggregate amount of \$476,004 to Gregg D. Adzema for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (filed herewith).
10.11.19	Promissory Notes and Security Agreements, dated various dates from July 1999 to February 2001, evidencing loans in the aggregate amount of \$965,043 to Douglas E. Brout for the purpose of purchasing shares of common stock of Summit (filed herewith).
10.12.1	Registration Rights Agreement, dated October 12, 1994, between Summit and PK Partners, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15.1 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997, File No. 001-12792).
10.12.2	Registration Rights Agreement, dated February 8, 1994, by and among Summit and the Continuing Investors named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13.2 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended
10.12.3	December 31, 1999, File No. 001-12792).  Registration Rights Agreement, dated December 11, 1995, between Summit and Bissell Ballantyne, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-24669).
10.12.4	Registration Rights Agreement, dated January 10, 1996, by and among Summit, Joseph H. Call and Gary S. Cangelosi (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-24669).
10.12.5	Registration Rights Agreement, dated February 20, 1997, by and among Summit, The Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Company, J. Ronald Terwilliger, J. Ronald Terwilliger Grantor Trust, Crow Residential Realty Investors, L.P., Douglas A. Hoeksema, Randy J. Pace, Clifford A. Breining, TCF Residential Partnership, Ltd. and Trammell S. Crow (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No.

333-24669).

Registration Rights Agreement, dated May 16, 1995, by and among Summit and the individuals named therein executed in connection with the Crosland Acquisition (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15.6 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1997, File No. 001-12792).

Registration Rights and Lock-up Agreement, dated October 31, 1998, by and among Summit, the Operating Partnership, and the holders named therein executed in connection with the Ewing Acquisition (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-93923).

EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION
10.12.8	Registration Rights and Lock-up Agreement, dated as of March 6, 1998, by and between Summit and St. Clair Associates, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-75704).
10.12.9	Registration Rights and Lock-up Agreement, dated as of August 1, 2000, by and among Summit, Worthing Investors, LLC and Worthing Shiloh Investors, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 to Summit's Registration Statement on Form S-3, Registration No. 333-75704).
10.13.1	Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of September 26, 2000, by and among the Operating Partnership, Summit, the Banks listed therein, and First Union National Bank, as Administrative Agent (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2000, File No. 001-12792).
10.13.2	Amendment No. 1 to Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of July 6, 2001, by and among the Operating Partnership, Summit and the lenders named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
10.14.1	Distribution Agreement dated as of April 20, 2000, by and among the Operating Partnership, Summit and the Agents listed therein (Incorporated by reference to the Operating Partnership's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 28, 2000, File No. 000-22411).
10.14.2	First Amendment to Distribution Agreement, dated as of May 8, 2001, by and among the Operating Partnership, Summit and the agents named therein (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Summit's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 001-12792).
10.15	Swap Transaction, dated as of September 15, 1999, between the Operating Partnership and Morgan Guaranty Trust Company of New York (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Summit's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2000, File No. 001-12792).

12.1	Statement Regarding Calculation of Ratios of Earnings to
	Fixed Charges for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000
	1999, 1998 and 1997 (filed herewith).
21.1	Subsidiaries of Summit (filed herewith).
23.1	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP (filed herewith).

43

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Summit Properties Inc. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in Charlotte, North Carolina on March 21, 2002.

SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC.

/s/ STEVEN R. LEBLANC

Steven R. LeBlanc President and Chief Executive Officer

Director

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ NELSON SCHWAB, III

OTOM WIND TO		D.1.00
SIGNATURES	TITLE 	DATE
/s/ WILLIAM B. MCGUIRE, JR.	Co-Chairman of the Board of	March 21,
William B. McGuire, Jr.	Directors	
/s/ WILLIAM F. PAULSEN	Co-Chairman of the Board of	March 21,
William F. Paulsen	- Directors	
/s/ STEVEN R. LEBLANC	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 21,
Steven R. LeBlanc	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ GREGG D. ADZEMA	Executive Vice President and - Chief Financial Officer	March 21,
Gregg D. Adzema	(Principal Financial Officer	
	Principal Accounting Officer)	
/s/ HENRY H. FISHKIND	Director	March 21,
Henry H. Fishkind		
/s/ JAMES H. HANCE, JR	Director	March 21,
James H. Hance, Jr.		
/ /		

March 21,

Nelson Schwab, III

/s/ JAMES M. ALLWIN Director

James M. Allwin

44

#### INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following financial statements required to be included in Item  $14\,(a)\,(1)$  are listed below:

SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC.

	PAGE
Independent Auditors' Report	46
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2001 and 2000	47
Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	48
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	49
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999	50
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	51
The following financial statement supplementary data of Summit required to be included in Item 14(a)(2) is listed below:	
Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation	72

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or not required.

45

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

Board of Directors and Stockholders Summit Properties Inc. Charlotte, North Carolina March 21,

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Summit Properties Inc. (the "Company") as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001. Our audit for the year ended December 31, 2001 also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index to Financial Statements at Item 14. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2001 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, such financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina January 23, 2002

46

SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	DECEMBE	IR 31,
	2001	2000
ASSETS		
Real estate assets:		
Land and land improvements	\$ 179 <b>,</b> 954	\$ 184 <b>,</b> 494
Buildings and improvements	1,010,460	1,001,183
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	77,571	74,920
Real estate assets before accumulated depreciation	1,267,985	1,260,597
Less: accumulated depreciation	(156,897)	(147,437)
Operating real estate assets	1,111,088	1,113,160
Construction in progress	142,354	167,462
Net real estate assets	1,253,442	1,280,622

DECEMBED 21

Cash and cash equivalents	1,814 21,528	3,148 41,809
Investments in Summit Management Company and real estate	21,020	11,009
joint ventures  Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization of	3,159	736
\$7,016 and \$5,792 in 2001 and 2000	7,148	7,760
Notes receivable	178	296
Other assets	8,388	5,780
Total assets	\$1,295,657	\$1,340,151
	=======	========
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Notes payable	\$ 717 <b>,</b> 560	\$ 763 <b>,</b> 899
Accrued interest payable	7,033	7 <b>,</b> 729
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	22,213	20,415
Dividends and distributions payable	14,156	13,481
Security deposits and prepaid rents	3,342	3 <b>,</b> 959
Total liabilities	764,304	809,483
Commitments and contingencies		
Minority interest of common unitholders in Operating		
Partnership	45,492	55,730
Minority interest of preferred unitholders in Operating	43,492	55,750
Partnership	136,261	136,261
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value 25,000,000 shares		
authorized, no shares issued and outstanding		
Common stock, \$.01 par value 100,000,000 shares		
authorized, 27,050,221 and 26,431,086 shares issued and		
outstanding in 2001 and 2000	270	264
Additional paid-in capital	420,988	415,827
Accumulated deficit	(55 <b>,</b> 976)	(62 <b>,</b> 775)
Unamortized restricted stock compensation	(1,226)	(942)
Employee notes receivable	(14,456)	(13,697)
Total stockholders' equity	349,600	338,677
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$1,295,657	\$1,340,151
Total Itabilities and Scookholdelb equity	=======	========

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

47

# SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,					,
	2001 2000		2000	1999		
Revenues:						
RentalOther property income						

InterestOther income	2,265 532	3,592 618	3,030 289
Total revenues	193,943	189,644	176,848
Expenses:			
Property operating and maintenance:			
Personnel	13,396	12,036	12 <b>,</b> 796
Advertising and promotion	2,390	2,718	2,630
Utilities	9,125	8,654	8,544
Building repairs and maintenance	8,713	8,434	8,609
Real estate taxes and insurance	21,006	19,248	17,684
Depreciation	39 <b>,</b> 106	36,602	34,432
Property supervision	5,642	4,970	4,175
Other operating expenses	3,157	3,027	2 <b>,</b> 880
Total property operating and maintenance			
expenses	102,535	95 <b>,</b> 689	91,750
Interest	39,854	•	37 <b>,</b> 282
Amortization.	1,404		992
General and administrative	6,599		3 <b>,</b> 876
(Income) loss on equity investments:	0,399	4,732	3,070
Summit Management Company	(448)	779	719
Real estate joint ventures	(99)		(104
Total expenses	149,845	141,340	
<pre>impairment loss on technology investments, minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership and dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating Partnership</pre>	34,435	48,304 38,510	
Impairment loss on technology investments  Income before minority interest of common unitholders in	(1,217)		
Operating Partnership and dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating Partnership	77,316	86,814	59 <b>,</b> 760
Partnership	(8,359)	(10,520)	(7,317
Partnership	(12,420)	(12,420)	(6,698
Net income	\$ 56,537	\$ 63,874 =======	\$ 45,745
Per share data:			
Net income basic	\$ 2.11	\$ 2.42	\$ 1.65
Net income diluted	\$ 2.09	\$ 2.41	\$ 1.65
Dividends declared	\$ 1.85	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.67
Weighted average shares basic	26,789,067	26,341,438	27,697,904
	========	========	
Weighted average shares diluted	27,099,294 ======	26,542,056 ======	27,768,895 =======

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

# SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	COMMON STOCK	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN CAPITAL	ACCUMULATED DEFICIT	UNAMORTIZED RESTRICTED STOCK COMPENSATION	EMPI NOT RECEI
Balance, December 31, 1998	\$278	\$442,132	\$(80,281)	\$ (728)	\$ (2
Dividends Proceeds from dividend reinvestment			(46,019)		
and stock purchase plans	10	15 <b>,</b> 331			
Repurchase of common stock	(24)	(47,502)			
Exercise of stock options Issuance of restricted stock		182			
grants Amortization of restricted stock		304		(304)	
grants Adjustment for minority interest of common unitholders in Operating				645	
Partnership Issuance of employee notes		2,427			
receivable Repayment of employee notes					(3
receivable					
Net income			45 <b>,</b> 745		
Balance, December 31, 1999	264	412,874	(80,555)	(387)	(4
Dividends  Proceeds from dividend reinvestment			(46,094)		
and stock purchase plans	3	4,798			
Repurchase of common stock  Conversion of common units to	(4)	(8 <b>,</b> 020)			
shares		1,159			
Exercise of stock options Issuance of restricted stock	1	2 <b>,</b> 085			
grants Amortization of restricted stock		1,203		(1,319)	
grants Accrual for issuance of contingent				764	
stock grants		1,171			
Partnership Issuance of employee notes		1,128			
receivable					(10
Repayment of employee notes through stock redemption		(571)			
receivable					1
Net income			63,874		
Balance, December 31, 2000	264	415,827	(62,775)	(942)	(13
Dividends  Proceeds from dividend reinvestment			(49,738)		
and stock purchase plans	3	7,670			
Repurchase of common stock		(197)			

Conversion of common units to					
shares	1	4,020			
Exercise of stock options	1	1,013			
Issuance of restricted stock					
grants		144		(409)	
Amortization of restricted stock					
grants				748	
Issuance of contingent stock					
grants	1	789		(1,253)	
Amortization of contingent stock					
grants				630	
Adjustment for minority interest of common unitholders in Operating					
<u> </u>		(8,278)			
Partnership		(0,270)			
Issuance of employee notes receivable					13
					(3
Repayment of employee notes					2
receivable					3
Net income			56 <b>,</b> 537		
Balance, December 31, 2001	\$270	\$420,988	\$(55,976)	\$(1,226)	\$(14

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

49

# SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC. CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31,			
	2001	2001 2000		
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income	\$ 56,537	\$ 63 <b>,</b> 874	\$ 45,745	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided				
by operating activities:				
Minority interest of common unitholders in Operating				
Partnership	8,359	. ,	•	
Impairment loss on technology investments	1,217			
(Income) loss on equity investments	(547)	1,178	615	
Gain on sale of real estate assets	(34,435)	(38,510)	(17,427)	
Depreciation and amortization	41,836	39 <b>,</b> 387	35 <b>,</b> 304	
Increase in restricted cash	(3,087)	(3,633)	(4,722)	
Increase in other assets	(996)	(631)	(685)	
(Decrease) increase in accrued interest payable	(696)	711	212	
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1, 107)	(2,187)	(7,000)	
(Decrease) increase in security deposits and prepaid				
rents	(535)	259		
Net cash provided by operating activities	66,546		59,021	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Construction of real estate assets and land acquisitions, net of payables	(117,079)	(173, 473)	(127,764)	

Purchase of communities  Proceeds from sale of communities  Capitalized interest  Investment in real estate joint venture  Recurring capital expenditures, net of payables  Non-recurring capital expenditures  Decrease (increase) in notes receivable	147,980 (11,080) (4,285) (4,889) (4,588) 118	(33,373) 105,131 (11,117)  (5,371) (2,965) 2,971	110,873 (7,888)  (6,357) (5,348) (3,267)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing			
activities	6 <b>,</b> 177	(118,197)	(39,751)
Cash flows from financing activities:  Net (repayments) borrowings on line of credit  Borrowings on unsecured bonds	(47,500)    60,000	63,500  48,340 (15,000) 52,000	(75,500) 25,000  
Repayments on unsecured medium-term notes	(30,000)	(25,000)	
Repayments of mortgage debt	(5,436) (660) (1,212)	(8,548) (1,025) (2,481)	(5,077) (1,155) (467)
plans  Dividends and distributions to unitholders  Exercise of stock options  Decrease in advance proceeds from direct stock purchase	7,673 (56,252) 1,014	4,801 (53,259) 2,086	15,341 (53,186) 182
plan  Issuance of preferred units in Operating Partnership  Repurchase of common stock	  (197) 	 (8,020) (1,759)	(9,474) 136,270 (47,526)
Issuance of restricted stock awards	(728) 3,181 (3,940)	19 1,386 (10,793)	759 (3,144)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(74,057)	46,247	(17,977)
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents  Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	(1,334)	(982) 4,130	1,293 2,837
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 1,814 =======	\$ 3,148	\$ 4,130
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest			\$ 37,070

=======

=======

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

50

SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Unless the context otherwise requires, all references to "we," "our" or "us" in this report refer collectively to Summit Properties Inc., a Maryland corporation ("Summit"), and its subsidiaries, including Summit Properties Partnership, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Operating Partnership"), considered as a single enterprise. Summit is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership.

\_\_\_\_\_

#### 1. ORGANIZATION AND FORMATION

Summit Properties Inc. was initially organized as a Maryland real estate investment trust on December 1, 1993 under the Maryland Real Estate Investment Trust Act. We became a Maryland corporation under the General Corporation Law of Maryland on January 13, 1994. On February 15, 1994, we completed an initial public offering of 10 million shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share. In connection with the initial public offering, we consummated a business combination involving the partnerships which owned 27 communities and the affiliated entities which provided development, construction, management and leasing services to each of the communities prior to the initial public offering. A portion of the proceeds from the initial public offering was used to acquire an economic and voting interest in the Operating Partnership, which was formed to succeed to substantially all of the interests of the property partnerships in the communities and the operations of the Summit entities. We became the sole general partner and the majority owner of the Operating Partnership upon completion of the initial public offering and, accordingly, report our investment in the Operating Partnership on a consolidated basis.

#### 2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The financial statements have been adjusted for the minority interest of holders of common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership. Minority interest of common unitholders in the Operating Partnership is calculated at the balance sheet date based upon the percentage of common units outstanding owned by partners other than Summit to the total number of common units outstanding. Minority interest of common unitholders in the Operating Partnership earnings is calculated based on the weighted average common units outstanding during the period. Common units can be exchanged by the holder for cash in an amount equal to the fair market value of an equivalent number of shares of Summit common stock, or at our option, for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis (subject to adjustment). As of December 31, 2001, there were 3,558,124 common units outstanding held by unitholders other than Summit, and the closing market price of our common stock was \$25.02 per share.

#### 3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

REAL ESTATE ASSETS AND DEPRECIATION -- We record our real estate assets at cost less accumulated depreciation and, if necessary, adjust carrying value in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of" by reviewing whether the sum of the estimated future net cash flows (undiscounted and without interest charges) from an asset to be held and used is less than the book value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are recorded at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell. No impairment existed as of December 31, 2001.

Expenditures directly related to the acquisition, development and improvement of real estate assets are capitalized at cost as land, buildings and improvements. Improvements are categorized as either non-recurring or recurring capitalized expenditures. Non-recurring capitalized expenditures primarily consist of the cost of improvements such as new garages, water submeters, gated security access and improvements made in conjunction with major renovations of apartment homes. Recurring capitalized expenditures consist primarily of floor coverings, furniture, appliances and equipment and exterior paint and carpentry. All of these expenditures are capitalized and depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the assets (buildings -- 40

years; building improvements -- 5 to 15 years; land improvements -- 15 years; furniture, fixtures and equipment -- 5 to 7 years).

Repairs and maintenance, such as landscaping maintenance, interior painting and cleaning and supplies used in such activities, are expensed as incurred. We record the cost of all repairs and maintenance, including planned major maintenance activities, recurring capital expenditures and non-recurring capital expenditures as incurred and do not accrue for such costs in advance.

Interest costs incurred during the construction period are capitalized and depreciated over the lives of the constructed assets. Interest capitalized was \$11.1 million in 2001, \$11.1 million in 2000 and \$7.9 million in 1999.

We capitalize the cost of our development department to the projects currently under construction at a rate of 3.0% of such construction costs. Such costs are then depreciated over the lives of the constructed assets upon their completion. Such costs capitalized were \$4.9 million in 2001, \$5.4 million in 2000 and \$4.5 million in 1999.

RENTAL REVENUE RECOGNITION -- We lease our residential properties under operating leases with terms of generally one year or less. Rental revenue is recognized on the accrual method of accounting as earned, which is not materially different from revenue recognition on a straight-line basis. We lease our office and retail space under operating leases with terms ranging from two to ten years. Rental revenue for office and retail spaces is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lives of the respective leases. Future minimum rental payments to be received under our current office leases are as follows (in thousands):

2002	\$1,259
2003	1,335
2004	1,302
2005	1,335
2006	1,249
Thereafter	3,415
	\$9 <b>,</b> 895

Of the amounts listed above, \$6.8 million represents amounts to be received from Summit Management Company (the "Management Company"), which performs all management and leasing activities for us, as well as management and leasing activities for third parties.

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS -- For purposes of the statement of cash flows, we consider all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

RESTRICTED CASH -- Restricted cash is comprised primarily of resident security deposits, bond repayment escrows, replacement reserve escrows, and proceeds from apartment community sales deposited with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations.

DEFERRED FINANCING COSTS -- Deferred financing costs include fees and costs incurred in conjunction with long-term financings and are amortized on the straight-line method over the terms of the related debt, which approximates the effective interest method.

ADVERTISING COSTS -- We expense advertising costs as incurred.

EQUITY METHOD INVESTMENTS -- We consolidate investments, including joint ventures, in which we have control, generally those in which we have a direct voting interest of more than 50%. We record investments in which we exercise significant influence in accordance with APB Opinion No. 18 and AICPA Statement of Position 78-9.

PER SHARE DATA -- Basic earnings per share are computed based upon the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the respective period. The difference between "basic" and "diluted" weighted average shares is the dilutive effect of our stock options outstanding. The number of shares added to weighted average shares outstanding for the diluted calculation was 310,227 in 2001, 200,618 in 2000 and 70,991 in 1999.

52

Dilution caused by these options decreased earnings per share by \$0.02 in 2001, \$0.01 in 2000 and had no effect on earnings per share in 1999.

ESTIMATES -- The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS -- On June 29, 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board approved SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" and No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." SFAS No. 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001 and that the use of the pooling-of-interest method is no longer allowed. SFAS No. 142 requires that upon adoption, amortization of goodwill will cease and instead, the carrying value of goodwill will be evaluated for impairment on an annual basis. Identifiable intangible assets will continue to be amortized over their useful lives and reviewed for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." SFAS No. 142 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2002 and we do not expect its adoption to be material to our financial position and results of operations.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has approved SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations". SFAS No. 143 addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs, including legal obligations that result from the acquisition, construction, development and/or the normal operation of long-lived assets. It requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred if a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. The associated asset retirement costs are capitalized as part of the carrying amount of the long-lived asset. SFAS No. 143 is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We are evaluating the impact of the adoption of this standard and have not yet determined the effect of adoption on our financial position and results of operations.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board has approved SFAS 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". SFAS No. 144 supersedes SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed of " and amends Accounting Principles Bulletin ("APB") No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations -- Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring

Events and Transactions." Along with establishing a single accounting model, based on the framework established in SFAS No. 121, for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, this standard retains the basic provisions of APB No. 30 for the presentation of discontinued operations in the income statement but broadens that presentation to include a component of an entity. SFAS No. 144 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2002 and we do not expect its adoption to have a material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

RECLASSIFICATIONS -- Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2000 and 1999 financial statements to conform to the 2001 presentation.

#### 4. REAL ESTATE JOINT VENTURES

We own a 25% equity interest in a joint venture named Station Hill, LLC ("Station Hill"), in which we and Hollow Creek, LLC, a subsidiary of a major financial services company, are members. We are entitled to 25% of the joint venture's cash flow based on our equity interest. If certain benchmarks are achieved in the future, we would be entitled to a preferred return in excess of 25% of the cash flow. The operating agreement of the joint venture provides that we will be entitled to 25% of the net proceeds upon liquidation of Station Hill, although our interest in the residual value of the joint venture could increase above or decrease below 25%. Our interest in the residual value of the joint venture could decrease below 25% only if we had received a preferred return on cash flow at any time prior to liquidation. Any such decrease would be limited to the extent of the previous preferred return. Station Hill is accounted for on the equity method of accounting and, therefore, our 25% equity interest is presented in "(Income) Loss on equity investments: Real estate joint ventures" in our consolidated statements of earnings.

53

On August 1, 2001, Station Hill sold an apartment community located in Tampa, Florida formerly known as Summit Station (230 apartment homes) for \$11.9 million. The disposition of Summit Station resulted in the recognition of a gain on sale by Station Hill of \$1.1 million, of which our 25% equity share was \$271,000.

The following is a condensed balance sheet and income statement for Station Hill as of and for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000. The balance sheet and income statement set forth below reflect the financial position and operations of Station Hill in its entirety, not just our interest in the joint venture.

	BALANCE	-
	2001	
	(IN THOU	JSANDS)
Cash and cash equivalents	74,261	86,873
Total assets	\$75 <b>,</b> 445	\$89,223
Mortgages payable Other liabilities Partners' capital	575	\$68,657 1,245 19,321
Total liabilities and partners' capital	\$75 <b>,</b> 445	\$89,223

	INCOME STATEMENT	
	2001	2000
	(IN THOU	JSANDS)
Revenues Expenses	\$11 <b>,</b> 829	\$12,404
Property operating  Depreciation and amortization  Interest	4,260 3,071 4,338	4,422 3,013 4,625
Total expenses	11,669	12,060
<pre>Income before gain on sale of real estate assets</pre>	160 1,082	344
Net income	\$ 1,242 ======	\$ 344

We own a 49% interest in a joint venture which developed an apartment community located in Atlanta, Georgia known as Summit Cheshire Bridge. This joint venture is accounted for under the equity method of accounting and its operating results are presented in "(Income) loss on equity investments: Real estate joint ventures" in our consolidated statements of earnings. The construction costs were funded primarily through a construction loan to the joint venture from an unrelated third party equal to 100% of the construction costs. We had the right to purchase our joint venture partner's interest in the joint venture, but decided subsequent to December 31, 2001 not to exercise this option which expired on January 17, 2002. Due to our decision not to purchase our joint venture partner's interest, we were required to make a capital contribution of 25% of the joint venture's total construction loan amount, or \$6.8 million. We made our contribution on February 15, 2002. This contribution did not change our equity interest in the joint venture. The balance sheet and income statement information for the joint venture is not material to our consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

On May 25, 2001, we contributed \$4.2 million for a 29.78% interest in a joint venture that owns substantially all of the interests in a limited liability company that will develop, through a third party contractor, an apartment community in Miami, Florida. The community will consist of 323 apartment homes and 17,795 square feet of office/retail space. The construction costs are being funded through the equity which the joint

54

venture contributed to the limited liability company and by a loan to that company from an unrelated third party. In the event that construction costs exceed the construction loan amount, we have agreed to lend to the joint venture, which will in turn advance to the limited liability company, the amount required to fund such cost overruns. This loan would accrue interest at the rate of eleven percent (11%) per year. Upon completion of construction, the joint venture will pay, or refinance, the construction loan. In the event the limited liability company defaults on the construction loan, we have the right, under certain circumstances, to cure the defaults, keep the construction loan in place

and complete construction of the community. The joint venture has also acquired an adjacent piece of land. We are serving as the managing member of the joint venture, and the Management Company will be the property management company for the project after construction completion. This project is accounted for on the equity method of accounting.

#### 5. PROPERTY MANAGEMENT AND RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In conjunction with our formation, construction, management and leasing activities for third parties were transferred to the Management Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Summit Apartment Builders, Inc. (the "Construction Company").

The Management Company also provides property management services to our communities. Total fees for management services provided to our communities were \$6.5 million in 2001, \$5.7 million in 2000 and \$5.0 million in 1999.

Third party apartment homes under management were 1,004 in 2001, 1,723 in 2000 and 2,435 in 1999. Property management fees included \$913,000 in 2001, \$1.1 million in 2000 and \$1.3 million in 1999. Property management fees from third parties as a percentage of total property management revenues were 12.4% in 2001, 16.1% in 2000 and 20.3% in 1999.

In addition, the Management Company provides management services to apartment communities held by partnerships in which certain of our directors are general partners. The Management Company received management fees of \$253,000 in 2001, \$253,000 in 2000 and \$239,000 in 1999 for the performance of such services.

Construction Company revenue consists of fees on contracts with the Operating Partnership. Revenue from construction contracts was \$2.7 million in 2001, \$2.5 million in 2000 and \$1.8 million in 1999. The Construction Company's profits on these contracts are eliminated in consolidation against our investment in real estate. We had amounts payable to the Construction Company of \$7.0 million as of December 31, 2001 and \$9.0 million as of December 31, 2000. This amount is included in "Accounts payable and accrued expenses" in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Also included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets under the caption "Other assets" is a receivable from the Construction Company of \$3.0 million as of December 31, 2001 and \$2.1 million as of December 31, 2000 as a result of construction advances.

The Management Company leases office space from one of our communities, Summit Grandview. Scheduled rental payments to be received from the Management Company through the lease expiration of September 30, 2010 are \$6.8 million.

The Operating Partnership owns 1% of the voting stock and 99% of the non-voting stock of the Management Company. The remaining 99% of voting stock and 1% of non-voting stock are held by one of the Co-Chairmen of our Board of Directors. As a result of this stock ownership, the Operating Partnership has a 99% economic interest and the Co-Chairman has a 1% economic interest in the Management Company. Because of our ability to exercise significant influence, the Management Company is accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The Management Company is not considered material to our consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

55

The consolidated statements of earnings of the Management Company and the Construction Company are summarized below (in thousands):

	2001	2000	1999
Revenues:			
Management fees charged to Operating Partnership	\$ 6,473	\$ 5 <b>,</b> 735	\$4 <b>,</b> 972
Third party management fee revenue	913	1,103	1,263
Construction revenue charged to Operating Partnership	2,701	2,494	1,774
Gain on sale of real estate assets		238	
Other	455	372	844
Total revenues			8 <b>,</b> 853
Expenses:			
Operating	9,177	9,398	8,699
Depreciation	319	313	284
Amortization	298	303	289
Interest	300	677	300
Total expenses	10,094		9 <b>,</b> 572
Income (loss) before extraordinary items	448	(749)	(719) 
Net income (loss)	\$ 448 ======	\$ (779) ======	\$ (719) =====

56

## 6. NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable consist of the following (in thousands):

	INTEREST RATE AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2001	PRINCIPAL OUTSTANDING DECEMBER 31,		
		2001	2000	
FIXED RATE DEBT Secured Debt:				
Mortgage Loan	6.76%	\$137,321	\$140,550	
Mortgage Loan	8.00%	8,161	8,272	
Mortgage Notes	6.75% - 8.00%	143,967	154,229	
Tax Exempt Mortgage Note	6.95%	3,918	3,985	
Total Secured Debt		293 <b>,</b> 367	307,036	
Unsecured Debt:				
7.87% Medium-Term Notes due 2003	7.87%	17,000	17,000	
8.037% Medium-Term Notes due 2005	8.04%	25,000	25,000	
7.04% Medium-Term Notes due 2006	7.04%	25,000		
7.59% Medium-Term Notes due 2009	7.59%	25,000	25,000	
8.50% Medium-Term Notes due 2010	8.50%	10,000	10,000	
7.703% Medium-Term Notes due 2011	7.70%	35,000		
6.80% Notes due 2002	6.80%	25,000	25,000	
6.63% Notes due 2003	6.63%	30,000	30,000	
6.95% Notes due 2004	6.95%	50,000	50,000	
7.20% Notes due 2007	7.20%	50,000	50,000	
Bank Note due 2002	7.85%	16,000	16,000	

6.75% Medium-Term Notes paid 2001			30,000
Total Unsecured Debt		308,000	278,000
Total Fixed Rate Debt		601,367	585,036
VARIABLE RATE DEBT Secured Debt:			
Tax Exempt Bonds	3.10%	22,193	37,363
Credit Facility	LIBOR + 100	94,000	141,500
Total Variable Rate Debt		116,193	178,863
Total Outstanding Indebtedness		\$717 <b>,</b> 560	\$763 <b>,</b> 899

The one-month London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) as of December 31, 2001 was 1.87%.

MORTGAGE LOANS - The 6.76% mortgage loan requires monthly principal and interest payments on a 20-year, 8-month amortization schedule with a balloon payment due at maturity in October 2008.

The 8.00% mortgage loan requires monthly principal and interest payments on a 30-year amortization schedule with a balloon payment due at maturity in September 2005.

MORTGAGE NOTES -- The mortgage notes bear interest at fixed rates ranging from 6.75% to 8.00% and require either monthly interest payments only or monthly interest and principal payments over the lives of the notes which have maturities that range from the year 2005 to 2020. The weighted average interest rate and debt maturity as of December 31, 2001 for these mortgage notes were 7.24% and six years.

57

TAX EXEMPT MORTGAGE NOTE --The tax exempt mortgage note bears interest at a fixed rate of 6.95% and requires monthly interest and principal payments over the life of the note which matures November 2025.

MEDIUM-TERM NOTES -- On April 20, 2000, we commenced a new program for the sale by the Operating Partnership of up to \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of medium-term notes ("MTNs") due nine months or more from the date of issuance. During the year ended December 31, 2001, the Operating Partnership issued notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$60.0 million in connection with the new MTN program, including (a) \$25.0 million of notes which are due on May 9, 2006 and bear interest at 7.04% per year and (b) \$35.0 million of notes which are due on May 9, 2011 and bear interest at 7.703% per year. We had notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$112.0 million outstanding in connection with the new MTN program as of December 31, 2001.

On May 29, 1998, we established a program for the sale by the Operating Partnership of up to \$95.0 million aggregate principal amount of MTNs due nine months or more from the date of issuance. We had notes with an aggregate principal amount of \$25.0 million outstanding in connection with this MTN program as of December 31, 2001. As a result of the commencement of the \$250.0 million MTN program, we cannot issue any additional notes under the \$95.0 million MTN program.

UNSECURED NOTES -- The unsecured notes consist of \$25.0 million of notes due 2002, \$30.0 million of notes due 2003, \$50.0 million of notes due 2004 and \$50.0 million of notes due 2007. The unsecured notes require semi-annual interest payments until the end of the respective terms. The medium-term notes and unsecured notes require that we comply with certain affirmative, negative and financial covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2001.

UNSECURED BANK NOTE -- The unsecured bank note represents a \$16.0 million note requiring quarterly interest only payments until the maturity date in August 2002. This note requires that we comply with certain affirmative, negative and financial covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2001.

UNSECURED CREDIT FACILITY -- We have a syndicated unsecured line of credit in the amount of \$225.0 million. The credit facility provides funds for new development, acquisitions and general working capital purposes. Loans under the credit facility bear interest at LIBOR plus 100 basis points. The spread component of the aggregate interest rate will change in the event of an upgrade or downgrade of our unsecured credit rating of BBB- by Standard & Poor's Rating Services and Baa3 by Moody's Investors Service. The credit facility is repayable monthly on an interest only basis with principal due at maturity. Amounts are borrowed for thirty, sixty or ninety day increments at the appropriate interest rates for such time period. Therefore, amounts are borrowed and repaid within those thirty, sixty or ninety day periods. The credit facility's initial three-year term was scheduled to expire on September 26, 2003. On July 6, 2001, we closed on a one-year extension option under this credit facility. The new maturity date is September 26, 2004, and all other terms and covenants of the credit facility remain unchanged. The credit facility had an average interest rate of 4.99% in 2001, 7.20% in 2000 and 6.06% in 1999 and an average balance outstanding of \$113.5 million in 2001, \$119.8 million in 2000 and \$99.2 million in 1999. In addition, the maximum outstanding principal amount was \$146.5 million in 2001, \$174.0 million in 2000 and \$176.0 million in 1999. As of December 31, 2001, the outstanding balance of the credit facility was \$94.0 million, leaving \$131.0 million of remaining availability on the \$225.0 million commitment.

The credit facility also provides a bid sub-facility equal to a maximum of fifty percent of the total facility (\$112.5 million). This sub-facility provides us with the choice to place borrowings in fixed LIBOR contract periods of thirty, sixty, ninety and one hundred eighty days. We may have up to seven fixed LIBOR contracts outstanding at any one time. Upon proper notifications, all lenders participating in the credit facility may, but are not obligated to, participate in a competitive bid auction for these fixed LIBOR contracts.

The credit facility requires that we comply with certain affirmative, negative and financial covenants. We were in compliance with these covenants as of December 31, 2001.

VARIABLE RATE TAX EXEMPT BONDS - The average effective interest rate of the variable rate tax exempt bonds was 4.21% for the year ended December 31, 2001. These bonds bear interest at various rates set by a

58

remarketing agent at the demand note index plus 0.50%, set weekly, or the lowest percentage of prime which allows the resale at a price of par. The bonds contain covenants which require that we lease or hold for lease 20% of the apartment homes for moderate-income residents. The bonds require maintenance of letters of credit or surety bonds (credit enhancements) aggregating to \$23.0 million as of December 31, 2001. The credit enhancements provide for a principal amortization

schedule which approximates a 25-year term during the term of the credit enhancement.

Real estate assets with a net book value of \$423.9 million serve as collateral for the various debt agreements.

The aggregate maturities of all debt for each of the years ending December 31 are as follows (in thousands):

	FIXED RATE MORTGAGE LOANS	FIXED RATE MORTGAGE NOTES	FIXED RATE UNSECURED NOTES	TAX EXEMPT VARIABLE RATE BONDS	UNSECURED CREDIT FACILITY
2002	\$ 3,532	\$ 2,187	\$ 41,000	\$ 460	\$
2003	3,780	2,344	47,000	460	
2004	4,044	2,510	50,000	460	94,000
2005	11,947	29 <b>,</b> 576	25,000	460	
2006	4,467	35 <b>,</b> 659	25,000	460	
Thereafter	117,712	75 <b>,</b> 609	120,000	19,893	
	\$145,482	\$147,885	\$308,000	\$22,193	\$94,000
	=======	=======	=======	======	======

#### 7. MINORITY INTEREST

Minority interest of common unitholders consists of the following as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 (in thousands):

	2001	2000
Minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership	•	•
	\$45 <b>,</b> 492	\$55 <b>,</b> 730
	======	

As of December 31, 2001, there were 30,608,345 common units outstanding, of which 27,050,221, or 88.4%, were owned by Summit and 3,558,124, or 11.6%, were owned by other partners (including certain of our officers and directors).

Proceeds from common stock issued are contributed to the Operating Partnership for an equivalent number of common units. Total common stock issued and related proceeds contributed to the Operating Partnership for an equivalent number of common units was 406,000 shares valued at \$9.0 million (\$22.15 per share average) for the year ended December 31, 2001 and 468,000 shares valued at \$8.1 million (\$17.28 per share average) for the year ended December 31, 2000. No individual transaction significantly changed our ownership percentage in the Operating Partnership. Our ownership percentage in the Operating Partnership was 88.4% as of December 31, 2001, 85.8% as of December 31, 2000 and 85.6% as of December 31, 1999.

Under certain circumstances, if the holders of common units request redemption of their units, we can issue shares of common stock in exchange for those common

units on a one-for-one basis (subject to adjustment), or may purchase those common units for cash. In addition to the amounts set forth in the preceding paragraph, we issued 150,679 shares of common stock in exchange for common units owned by other partners on a one-for-one basis during 2001. The shares exchanged were valued based upon the market price per share of our common stock and had an aggregate value of \$4.0 million. During the year ended December 31, 2000, we exchanged 55,677 shares of common stock valued at \$1.2 million for an equivalent number of common units and exchanged 93,945 common units for cash of \$1.8 million.

We issued 66,376 common units at a price of \$28.625 per unit as partial consideration for the purchase of a building and parcel of land during the year ended December 31, 2001. During the year ended December 31,

59

2001, the purchaser of the former Summit Radbourne and Summit Arbors communities exchanged 741,148 common units valued at \$17.6 million as partial consideration for such purchase.

Common units issued for the purchase of communities were valued based upon the market price per share of our common stock, as the common units can be exchanged for shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis (subject to adjustment). We issued 96,455 common units valued at \$2.2 million in connection with the purchase of our joint venture partner's interest in each of two communities during August 2000.

#### 8. ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

During the year ended December 31, 2001, we sold one parcel of land and nine communities comprising 2,189 apartment homes for an aggregate sales price of \$167.6 million, resulting in an aggregate net gain on sale of \$34.4 million. Net proceeds from three of the nine communities, equaling \$31.7 million, were placed in escrow with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations. In the event that the proceeds from these property sales are not fully invested in qualified like-kind property during the required time period, a special distribution may be made or company level tax may be incurred. The parcel of land was located in Richmond, Virginia and the nine communities sold were the former Summit Palm Lake, Summit Arbors, Summit Radbourne, Summit Lofts, Summit Gateway, Summit Stony Point, Summit Deerfield, Summit Walk and Summit Waterford.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, Station Hill, LLC, in which we own a 25% interest, sold a community, the former Summit Station, for \$11.9 million. This sale resulted in the recognition of a gain on sale by the joint venture of \$1.1 million, of which we recorded \$271,000 in "(Income) loss on equity investments: Real estate joint ventures" in the consolidated statement of earnings. The purchaser of Summit Station assumed an \$8.3 million mortgage and paid the balance of the purchase price in cash.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, we acquired no communities.

During the year ended December 31, 2000, we sold seven communities comprising 1,676 apartment homes for an aggregate sales price of \$103.9 million, resulting in a gain on sale of \$38.5 million. Net proceeds from six of the seven disposition communities, equaling \$78.1 million, were placed in escrow with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations. The communities sold were the former Summit Creekside, Summit Eastchester, Summit Sherwood, Summit River Crossing, Summit Blue Ash, Summit Park and Summit Village. In the event that the proceeds from these property sales are not fully invested in qualified like-kind property during the required

time period, a special distribution may be made or company level tax may be incurred.

On August 1, 2000, we purchased our joint venture partner's interest in each of two communities, Summit Shiloh (182 apartment homes) and Summit Sweetwater (308 apartment homes), for an aggregate purchase price of \$36.0 million. We formerly owned a 49% interest in separate joint ventures that developed these communities. The acquisitions were primarily financed with the issuance of 96,455 common units valued at \$2.2 million and the payment of \$33.8 million in cash.

60

The following summary of selected unaudited pro forma results of operations presents information as if the purchase of our joint venture partner's interest in each of Summit Sweetwater and Summit Shiloh had occurred at the beginning of each period presented. The pro forma information is provided for informational purposes only and is not indicative of results that would have occurred or which may occur in the future (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	
	2000	1999
Total revenues	\$192 <b>,</b> 582	\$178 <b>,</b> 112
Net income	\$ 63,218 =======	\$ 46,020 ======
Net income per share		
Basic	\$ 2.40	\$ 1.66
Diluted	\$ 2.38	\$ 1.66
	=======	=======

During the year ended December 31, 1999, we sold seven communities comprising 1,518 apartment homes for an aggregate sales price of \$76.0 million, resulting in a gain on sale of \$17.4 million. Net proceeds from the seven communities, equaling \$54.4 million, were placed in escrow with a qualified intermediary in accordance with like-kind exchange income tax rules and regulations. The communities sold were the former Summit Hampton, Summit Oak, Summit Beacon Ridge, Summit Perico, Summit McIntosh, Summit Heron's Run and Summit East Ridge. In the event that the proceeds from these property sales are not fully invested in qualified like-kind property during the required time period, a special distribution may be made or company level tax may be incurred.

During the year ended December 31, 1999, we acquired no communities.

As of December 31, 2001, we had one apartment community, Summit Breckenridge, located in Richmond, Virginia, under contract for sale. Summit Breckenridge is expected to be sold during the second quarter of 2002. The sale of Summit Breckenridge is subject to customary closing conditions. The assets of Summit Breckenridge were recorded at the lower of cost or fair value less costs to sell, or \$8.0 million, as of December 31, 2001. The property operating income from Summit Breckenridge represented less than 1.5% of our property operating income for the year ended December 31, 2001. Proceeds from the sale of Summit Breckenridge are expected to be used to fund future development.

#### 9. INCOME TAXES

We have maintained, and intend to maintain, our election to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ("REIT") under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. As a result, we generally will not be subject to federal and state income taxation at the corporate level as long as we distribute annually at least 90% of our taxable income, excluding net capital gains, as defined in the Code, to our stockholders and satisfy certain other requirements. Accordingly, no provision has been made for federal and state income taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

SFAS No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" requires a public enterprise to disclose the aggregate difference in the basis of its net assets for financial and tax reporting purposes. The carrying value reported in our consolidated financial statements exceeded the tax basis by \$100.0 million as of December 31, 2001 and \$122.8 million as of December 31, 2000.

61

A reconciliation of net income as reported for financial reporting purposes to taxable income available to common stockholders for the year ended December 31, 2001 is as follows:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001
Net income before minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership and dividends to preferred	
unitholders in Operating Partnership  Excess of financial reporting depreciation over tax	\$77 <b>,</b> 316
depreciation  Excess of financial gain on sale of real estate assets over	6 <b>,</b> 579
tax gain	(19,140)
Other	(1,811)
Taxable income of the Operating Partnership  Less: Taxable income allocated to preferred unitholders in	62,944
Operating Partnership  Less: Taxable income allocated to minority common	(12,420)
unitholders in Operating Partnership	(5 <b>,</b> 885)
Taxable income available to common stockholders	\$44,639
	======

A schedule of per share distributions paid to be reported by stockholders is set forth in the following table:

		YEAR	ENDED	DECEMBER	31,		
	2001		20	000			199
AMOUNT	PERCENTAGE	AMC	UNT	PERCENTAG	E	AMOUNT	P
							_

Ordinary income		77.6% 16.4%	\$1.56 0.10	90.0% 6.0%	\$1.40 0.10
			0.10	0.00	
Unrecaptured Sec. 1250 gain	0.11	6.0%	0.07	4.0%	0.07
Return of capital					0.10
Total distribution per share	\$1.83	100.0%	\$1.73	100.0%	\$1.67

#### 10. NOTES RECEIVABLE FROM EMPLOYEES

On September 8, 1997, our Board of Directors approved a Statement of Company Policy, which has subsequently been amended and restated by the Board from time to time, on loans to executive officers and certain key employees relating to purchases of common stock. Pursuant to the loan program, we may lend amounts to certain of our executive officers and key employees for one or more of the following purposes: (a) to finance the purchase of common stock on the open market at the then-current market prices; (b) to finance the payment of the exercise price of one or more stock options to purchase shares of common stock granted under our 1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan, as amended and restated; or (c) to finance the annual tax liability or other expenses related to the vesting of shares of common stock which constitute a portion of a restricted stock award granted under the 1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. Unless otherwise determined on a case-by-case basis by our Board of Directors or its compensation committee, the maximum aggregate amount we may loan to an executive officer is \$500,000, and the maximum aggregate amount we may loan to a qualified employee other than an executive officer is \$200,000. Each outstanding note bears interest at a rate established on the date of the note, is full recourse to the officers and employees and is collateralized by the shares of common stock which are the subject of the loans. The notes are payable through the application to the outstanding loan balance of all dividends and distributions related to the collateral stock, first to interest, with the remainder, if any, to outstanding principal. Each note becomes due and payable in full on the tenth anniversary of the respective note. As of December 31, 2001, we had loans receivable in the net amount of \$14.5 million. We recorded interest income related to the notes in the amounts of \$856,000 in 2001, \$677,000 in 2000 and \$248,000 in 1999.

62

#### 11. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

YEARS ENDING DECEMBER 31:

The estimated cost to complete six development projects currently under construction was \$95.4 million as of December 31, 2001. Anticipated construction completion dates of the projects range from the second quarter of 2002 to the fourth quarter of 2003.

We rent office space in several locations. Rental expense amounted to \$108,000 in 2001, \$108,000 in 2000 and \$170,000 in 1999 (\$882,000 in 2001, \$848,000 in 2000 and \$481,000 in 1999 including amounts recorded by the Management Company).

Future minimum rental payments to be made for those operating leases (including those of the Management Company) that have initial or remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year are as follows (in thousands):

2002	\$ 961

2003	839
2004	738
2005	761
2006	783
Thereafter	3,151
	\$7 <b>,</b> 233

Of the amounts shown above, \$6.8 million of the total minimum rental payments are for the Management Company's lease of office space in Summit Grandview.

On January 19, 2000, the Operating Partnership entered into a real estate purchase agreement with a third-party real estate developer. Under the terms of the agreement, the Operating Partnership has agreed to purchase upon completion a "Class A" mixed-use community, which will be called Summit Brickell, and is located in Miami, Florida. The Operating Partnership will purchase Summit Brickell upon the earlier of one year after construction completion or the achievement of 80% occupancy. The Operating Partnership may extend this closing obligation for six months after the initial purchase period. The Operating Partnership expects to close on the purchase of Summit Brickell during late 2002 or in 2003. The final purchase price will be determined based on actual construction costs plus a bonus to the developer based on the capitalized income of the property at the time of purchase. The purchase price is expected to range from \$50.5 million to \$60.0 million. The purchase of Summit Brickell by the Operating Partnership is subject to customary closing conditions. The Operating Partnership has issued a letter of credit in the amount of \$13.0 million, which will serve as a credit enhancement to the developer's construction loan. In the event that any amount under the letter of credit is drawn upon, the Operating Partnership shall be treated as having issued a loan to the developer in the amount of such draw. Any such loan will accrue interest at a rate of eighteen percent (18%) per annum.

We have employment agreements with all of our executive officers. The employment agreement for one of our executive officers provides for the payment of severance benefits in certain circumstances. Generally, these benefits provide for the payment of the executive officer's salary for a period of up to the remaining term of the employment agreement. In addition, most of the executive officers have severance agreements that provide for the payment of severance benefits of up to three times such officer's annual base salary and cash bonus in the event of the termination of the officer's employment under certain circumstances following certain "change in control" or "combination transactions" involving a consolidation or merger. The benefits payable under the terms of the severance agreements are subject to reduction by the amount of any severance benefits payable under applicable employment agreements.

We have employment agreements with two of our former executive officers both of whom resigned from such executive positions, but who remain as employees and have agreed to provide various services to us from time to time over the next ten years. Each employment agreement requires that we pay to the former officer a base

63

salary aggregating up to \$2.1 million over the period from July 1, 2001 to December 31, 2011. Either party can terminate the employment agreements, effective 20 business days after written notice is given. The full base salary amount due shall be payable through 2011 whether or not the agreements are terminated earlier in accordance with their terms.

We are obligated to redeem each common unit in the Operating Partnership at the request of the holder for cash equal to the fair market value of one share of common stock, except that we may elect to acquire each common unit presented for redemption for one share of common stock (subject to adjustment).

#### 12. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

#### PROFIT SHARING PLAN

We have a defined contribution plan pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. Employees are eligible to contribute to the plan beginning on the first day of the second calendar quarter after they are employed. Our matching contributions begin on the same date as the employee's contributions and are equal to one-half of each employee's contribution up to a maximum of 3% of each employee's compensation. We made aggregate contributions of \$329,000 in 2001, \$349,000 in 2000 and \$306,000 in 1999.

#### STOCK OPTION PLAN

In 1994, we established the 1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan under which 1,000,000 shares of our common stock were reserved for issuance. The incentive plan was amended and restated in 1998 to, among other things, increase the number of shares reserved for issuance from 1,000,000 to 3,000,000 shares. The plan provides that the option price shall not be less than the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. The options have ten-year lives and vest in three or five annual installments on the anniversaries of the date of grant, except for shares granted to our independent directors, which vest on the date of grant. We apply Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for our stock options. Accordingly, no compensation cost has been recognized for our stock options.

A summary of changes in common stock options for the three years ended December 31, 2001 is as follows:

	OPTIONS	WEIGHTED AVERAGE EXERCISE PRICE
Outstanding as of December 31, 1998 YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999	547,396	\$18.80
Granted to employees and directors	841,000	17.12
Exercised	(10,625)	17.91
Forfeited	(27,500)	16.62
Outstanding as of December 31, 1999 YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000	1,350,271	17.81
Granted to employees and directors	241,000	20.02
Exercised	(136,500)	18.01
Forfeited	(186,050)	17.59
Outstanding as of December 31, 2000	1,268,721	18.24
Granted to employees and directors	270,000	24.51
Exercised	(63,414)	17.86
Forfeited	(10,700)	16.50
Outstanding as of December 31, 2001	1,464,607 ======	19.43

64

Exercise prices for options outstanding as of December 31, 2001 ranged from \$16.50 to \$24.56. The weighted average remaining contractual life of those options is 7.3 years.

Options to purchase 848,408, 635,221 and 556,979 shares of common stock were exercisable as of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. The weighted average exercise price for the shares exercisable as of December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$18.82, \$18.35 and \$18.33, respectively.

The fair value of options granted in 2001 was \$2.35 and was estimated on the date of grant using the Binomial option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: dividend yield of 8.51%, expected volatility of 17%, risk free interest rate of 5.75% and expected lives of ten years.

The fair value of options granted in 2000 was \$4.66 per share and was estimated on the date of grant using the Binomial option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: dividend yields ranging from 7.28% to 7.38%, expected volatility of 20%, risk free interest rate of 5.75% and expected lives of ten years.

The fair value of options granted in 1999 was \$1.83 per share and was estimated on the date of grant using the Binomial option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions: dividend yields ranging from 8.39% to 10.10%, expected volatility of 20%, risk free interest rates ranging from 4.7% to 6.1% and expected lives of ten years.

In addition, the incentive plan provides for the grant of stock to employees. We granted 26,184 shares of restricted stock under the plan in 2001. The market value of the restricted stock granted in 2001 totaled \$647,000 and was recorded as unamortized restricted stock compensation and is shown as a separate component of stockholders' equity. During 2001, there were 12,202 shares of restricted stock forfeited by employees no longer employed by a Summit entity and 14,933 shares of restricted stock surrendered to satisfy the income tax liability of the grantees related to the restricted stock grants. The aggregate market value of these forfeited and surrendered shares was \$503,000 in 2001. We granted 64,499 shares of restricted stock (net of 4,000 shares forfeited and 7,856 shares surrendered to satisfy the income tax liability of grantees) valued at \$1.2 million under the plan in 2000. We granted 17,699 shares of restricted stock (net of 6,828 shares forfeited) with a market value of \$304,000 during 1999. Unearned compensation associated with the restricted stock is being amortized to expense over the vesting periods, which range from three to five years. We recognized expense relative to the stock grants of \$98,000 in 2001, \$300,000 in 2000 and \$365,000 in 1999.

During the year ended December 31, 2001, we issued 94,818 shares of restricted stock valued at \$2.4 million pursuant to our Performance Stock Award Plan. One-half of these shares, valued at \$1.2 million, vested on January 2, 2001, the date of grant, and was accrued and recorded as a component of stockholders' equity as of December 31, 2000. The remaining balance of \$1.2 million was recorded as a component of stockholders' equity during 2001. Grantees surrendered 18,263 shares with a market value of \$463,000 during 2001 to satisfy their income tax liability associated with the shares issued to them under the Performance Stock Award Plan. The remaining shares vest in two equal annual installments on January 2, 2002 and January 2, 2003.

#### EMPLOYEE STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

In 1996, we established a non-qualified employee stock purchase plan. From 1996 through 1999, the plan allowed our employees to purchase up to \$100,000 per year

of common stock. In December 1999, the plan was amended to decrease the maximum annual purchase amount by a participant from \$100,000 to \$25,000. The price of the shares of the common stock purchased will be the lesser of 85 percent of the closing price of such shares either on (a) the first day of each six month purchase period, or (b) the last day of each six month purchase period.

Total shares issued under the plan were 8,695 in 2001, 88,848 in 2000 and 144,513 in 1999. The market value of the shares issued was \$229,000 in 2001, \$1.8 million in 2000 and \$2.7 million in 1999. We apply Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 and related interpretations in accounting for our Employee Stock Purchase Plan and, accordingly, no compensation cost is required to be recognized for this plan. An additional 9,828 shares with a market value of \$246,000 were issued in January 2002 under the plan.

65

Had compensation cost for our 1994 Stock Option and Incentive Plan and Employee Stock Purchase Plan been determined based on the fair value at the grant dates, consistent with the method of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," our net income and net income per share for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 would have been as follows (dollars in thousands except per share amounts):

	2001	2000	1999
Net income as reported	\$56 537	\$63 971	\$15 715
Net income per share basic			•
Net income per share diluted			
Pro forma net income	55 <b>,</b> 872	62,504	43,943
Pro forma net income per share basic	2.09	2.37	1.59
Pro forma net income per share diluted	2.06	2.35	1.58

#### 13. DIVIDEND REINVESTMENT AND DIRECT STOCK PURCHASE PLAN

In November 1997, we replaced our existing dividend reinvestment plan with a new dividend reinvestment and direct stock purchase plan. The plan provides both new investors and existing shareholders of our stock (including common stock and other classes of stock which may be outstanding from time to time) with a method to purchase shares of common stock under the Stock Purchase Program component of the plan. The plan also permits shareholders to designate all, a portion or none of the cash dividends on their newly purchased common stock and cash dividends on their existing stock for reinvestment in more shares of common stock through the Dividend Reinvestment Program component of the plan. With respect to reinvested dividends and optional cash payments, shares of common stock will be purchased for the plan at a discount ranging from 0% to 5% (established from time to time) from the market price, as more fully described in the prospectus relating to the plan. Common stock will be purchased by the plan's agent (First Union National Bank) directly from us or in open market or privately negotiated transactions, as determined from time to time, to fulfill requirements for the plan. At present, we expect that shares usually will be purchased directly from us.

#### 14. SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS AGREEMENT

On December 14, 1998, our Board of Directors adopted a shareholder rights agreement. In connection with the adoption of the rights plan, our Board of Directors declared a dividend distribution of one preferred stock purchase right

for each outstanding share of common stock to stockholders of record as of the close of business on December 15, 1998. Currently, these rights are not exercisable and trade with the shares of our common stock. Under the rights plan, the rights generally become exercisable if a person becomes an "acquiring person" by acquiring 15% or more of our common stock, or if a person commences a tender offer that would result in that person owning 15% or more of our common stock. In the event that a person becomes an "acquiring person," each holder of a right (other than the acquiring person) would be entitled to acquire such number of units of preferred stock (which are equivalent to shares of our common stock) having a value of twice the exercise price of the right.

If we are acquired in a merger or other business combination transaction after any such event, each holder of a right would then be entitled to purchase, at the then-current exercise price, shares of the acquiring company's common stock having a value of twice the exercise price of the right. The current exercise price per right is \$45.00.

The rights will expire at the close of business on December 14, 2008, unless we previously redeem or exchange them as described below. The rights may be redeemed in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$0.01 per right (payable in cash, shares of our common stock or other consideration deemed appropriate by our Board of Directors) by our Board of Directors only until the earlier of (a) the time at which any person becomes an "acquiring person" or (b) the expiration date. At any time after any person becomes an "acquiring person," our Board of Directors may, at its option, exchange all or any part of the then outstanding and exercisable

66

rights for shares of our common stock at an exchange ratio specified in the rights plan. Our Board of Directors generally will not be empowered to effect such exchange at any time after any person becomes the beneficial owner of 50% or more of our common stock.

Until a right is exercised, the holder will have no rights as a stockholder (beyond those as an existing stockholder), including the right to vote or to receive dividends.

In connection with the establishment of the rights plan, our Board of Directors approved the creation of preferred stock designated as Series A Junior Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share. Our Board also reserved 350,000 shares of preferred stock for issuance upon exercise of the rights.

#### 15. BUSINESS SEGMENTS

We develop, operate and acquire "Class A" luxury apartment communities primarily in markets with high growth potential. We develop apartments solely for our own use and do not perform development activities for third parties. All of our communities target middle to upper income, prestige-conscious residents who expect outstanding service and the latest in apartment design technology, as well as convenience. Our communities provide amenities including swimming pools, clubhouses, exercise rooms and "Peak Services." Peak Services include, but are not limited to, Same Day Maintenance Service and Emergency Maintenance available 24 hours a day, business services, package acceptance and delivery, a video library and loaner living accessories. All of our communities market themselves through media advertising. Due to the similarities of our communities and their similar economic characteristics as exhibited through similar long-term financial performance, our communities have been aggregated into one reportable segment.

#### 16. PREFERRED UNITS

As of December 31, 2001, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 3.4 million preferred units of limited partnership interest designated as 8.95% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units. These preferred units are redeemable by the Operating Partnership on or after April 29, 2004 for cash at a redemption price equal to the holder's capital account, or at our option, shares of our 8.95% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock, or a combination of cash and shares of our 8.95% Series B Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Stock. Holders of the Series B preferred units have the right to exchange these preferred units for shares of our Series B preferred stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment: (a) on or after April 29, 2009, (b) if full quarterly distributions are not made for six quarters, or (c) upon the occurrence of specified events related to the treatment of the Operating Partnership or the preferred units for federal income tax purposes. Distributions on the Series B preferred units are cumulative from the date of original issuance and are payable quarterly at the rate of 8.95% per year of the \$25.00 original capital contribution. We made distributions to the holders of the Series B preferred units in the aggregate amount of \$7.6 million during each of the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

As of December 31, 2001, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 2.2 million preferred units of limited partnership interest designated as 8.75% Series C Cumulative Redeemable Perpetual Preferred Units. The preferred units are redeemable by the Operating Partnership on or after September 3, 2004 for cash at a redemption price equal to the holder's capital account. Holders of the Series C preferred units have the right to exchange these preferred units for shares of our Series C preferred stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment: (a) on or after September 3, 2009, (b) if full quarterly distributions are not made for six quarters, (c) upon the occurrence of specified events related to the treatment of the Operating Partnership or the preferred units for federal income tax purposes, or (d) if the holdings in the Operating Partnership of the Series C unitholder exceed 18% of the total profits of or capital interest in the Operating Partnership for a taxable year. Distributions on the Series C preferred units are cumulative from the date of original issuance and are payable quarterly at the rate of 8.75% per year of the \$25.00 original capital contribution. We made distributions to the holder of the Series C preferred units in the aggregate amount of \$4.8 million during each of the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

67

#### 17. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We are exposed to capital market risk, such as changes in interest rates. To manage the volatility relating to interest rate risk, we may enter into interest rate hedging arrangements from time to time. We generally do not utilize derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. On January 1, 2001, we adopted SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," as amended. SFAS 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and for hedging activities. It requires that entities recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in the statement of financial position and measure those instruments at fair value. The cumulative effect of adopting SFAS 133 was not material to our financial statements.

As of December 31, 2001, we had one interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$30.0 million, relating to \$30.0 million of 6.625% fixed rate notes issued under our MTN program. Under the interest rate swap agreement, through the maturity date of December 15, 2003, (a) we have agreed to pay to the counterparty the

interest on a \$30.0 million notional amount at a floating interest rate of three-month LIBOR plus 11 basis points, and (b) the counterparty has agreed to pay to us the interest on the same notional amount at the fixed rate. The floating rate as of December 31, 2001 was 1.98%. The fair value of the interest rate swap was \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2001. The swap has been designated as a fair value hedge of the underlying fixed rate debt obligation and has been recorded as a reduction of the related debt instrument. We assume no ineffectiveness as the interest rate swap meets the short-cut method conditions required under SFAS 133 for fair value hedges of debt instruments. Accordingly, no gains or losses were recorded in income relative to our underlying debt and interest rate swap.

#### 18. COMMON STOCK REPURCHASE PROGRAM

On March 12, 2000, our Board of Directors authorized a common stock repurchase program pursuant to which we were authorized to purchase up to an aggregate of \$25.0 million of currently issued and outstanding shares of our common stock. During 2001, our Board of Directors increased the size of this common stock repurchase program to \$56.0 million. All repurchases have been, and will be, made on the open market at prevailing prices or in privately negotiated transactions. This authority may be exercised from time to time and in such amounts as market conditions warrant. We repurchased 8,800 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$197,000, or an average price of \$22.39 per share during the year ended December 31, 2001 under the common stock repurchase program. During the year ended December 31, 2000, we repurchased 279,400 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$5.5 million, or an average price of \$19.80 per share under this program.

During 2000, we completed a common stock repurchase program pursuant to which we were authorized to purchase up to an aggregate of \$50.0 million of our common stock. During 2000, we repurchased 131,900 shares of our common stock for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$2.5 million, or an average price of \$18.88 per share under this program. The total number of shares of our common stock repurchased was 2.5 million shares for an aggregate purchase price, including commissions, of \$50.0 million, or an average price of \$19.63 per share under this program.

#### 19. IMPAIRMENT LOSS

Management considers events and circumstances that may indicate impairment of an investment, including operating performance and cash flow projections.

Management determined during the quarter ended June 30, 2001 that our investments in Broadband Now, Inc. and Yieldstar Technology LLC were impaired and that such impairment was other than temporary. As a result, we recorded an impairment loss in the amount of \$1.2 million, which represents our entire investment in these two technology companies. We have no other technology company investments.

68

#### 20. SUPPLEMENTAL CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Non-cash investing and financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 are as follows:

A. We sold nine communities during the year ended December 31, 2001. The respective purchasers of two of the communities assumed the related outstanding debt balances associated with such communities of \$16.4 million in the aggregate. The respective purchaser of two of the communities redeemed 741,148 common units valued at \$17.6 million as

partial consideration in the transaction.

- B. We purchased our joint venture partner's interest in each of two communities during the year ended December 31, 2000 at an aggregate purchase price of \$36.0 million. The acquisitions were primarily financed with the issuance of 96,455 common units in the aggregate valued at \$2.2 million as well as the payment of \$33.8 million in cash in the aggregate.
- C. We sold seven communities during the year ended December 31, 1999. The respective purchasers of three of the communities assumed the related outstanding debt balances associated with such communities of \$19.7 million in the aggregate.
- D. We granted 26,184 shares of restricted stock valued at \$647,000 during 2001. There were 12,202 shares of restricted stock forfeited and 14,933 shares of restricted stock surrendered to satisfy the income tax liability of the grantees during 2001. The aggregate value of shares forfeited and surrendered in 2001 was \$503,000. We granted 64,499 shares of restricted stock (net of 4,000 shares forfeited and 7,856 shares surrendered to satisfy the income tax liability of the grantees) valued at \$1.2 million in 2000 and 17,669 shares of restricted stock (net of 6,828 shares forfeited) valued at \$304,000 in 1999.
- E. We issued 150,679 shares of common stock in exchange for 150,679 common units during the year ended December 31, 2001. The value of these shares of common stock was \$4.0 million. We issued 55,677 shares of common stock in exchange for 55,677 common units during the year ended December 31, 2000. The value of these shares of common stock was \$1.1 million.
- F. We accrued dividends and distributions payable of \$14.2 million as of December 31, 2001, \$13.5 million as of December 31, 2000 and \$13.0 million as of December 31, 1999.

#### 21. FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following disclosures of estimated fair value were determined by management using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is necessary to interpret market data and develop the related estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized upon disposition of the financial instruments. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. The fair value estimates presented are based on information available to management as of December 31, 2001 and 2000. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively re-valued for purposes of these financial statements since that date, and current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented.

Cash and cash equivalents, rents receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses, security deposits, other liabilities, tax exempt bond indebtedness and our credit facility are carried at amounts which reasonably approximate their fair values as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 due to either the short-term nature or variable interest rates associated with such balances.

Fixed rate mortgage debt and fixed rate unsecured notes with a carrying value of \$601.4 million had an estimated aggregate fair value of \$625.2 million as of December 31, 2001. Fixed rate mortgage debt and fixed rate unsecured notes with a carrying value of \$585.0 million had an estimated aggregate fair value of \$583.3 million as of December 31, 2000. Rates currently available to us for debt

with similar terms and maturities were used to estimate the fair value of this debt. The fair market value of long-term fixed rate debt

69

is subject to changes in interest rates. Generally, the fair market value of fixed interest rate debt will increase as interest rates fall and decrease as interest rates rise.

The fair value of the interest rate swap described in footnote 17, "Derivative Financial Instruments," was \$1.8 million as of December 31, 2001.

#### 22. GEOGRAPHIC CONCENTRATION (UNAUDITED)

Our communities are concentrated in seven core markets as follows:

MARKET 	NUMBER OF APARTMENT HOMES	APARTMENT HOMES - % OF PORTFOLIO	2001 % OF REVENUES
Washington, D.C	3 <b>,</b> 196	19%	24%
Atlanta, GA	2,866	17%	16%
South Florida	1,715	10%	13%
Raleigh-Durham, NC	2,726	16%	12%
Charlotte, NC	1,901	12%	11%
Dallas, TX	1,359	8%	7%
Austin, TX	856	5%	5%
Other	2,120	13%	12%
	16,739	 100%	 100%
	=====	===	===

#### 23. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

Quarterly financial information for the years 2001 and 2000 is as follows (in thousands except per share data):

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001				
	FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH QUARTER	
Revenues  Income before gain on sale of real estate assets, minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership and dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating	\$48,772	\$50,003	\$49,520	\$45,648	
Partnership	12,487	12,415	11,700	7,496	
Gain on sale of real estate assets  Minority interest of common unitholders in Operating		10,782	2,788	20,865	
Partnership  Dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating	1,327	2,658	1,296	3 <b>,</b> 078	
Partnership	3,105	3,105	3,105	3,105	
Net income	8,055	16,217	10,087	22,178	
Net income basic	0.30	0.61	0.38	0.82	

(1) The total of the four quarterly amounts for net income per share -- diluted does not equal net income per share for the year ended December 31, 2001. The difference is due to the use of a weighted average to compute the number of shares outstanding for each quarter and for the year.

70

	YEAR	2000		
	FIRST QUARTER	SECOND QUARTER	THIRD QUARTER	FOURTH
Revenues  Income before gain on sale of real estate assets, minority interest of common unitholders in Operating Partnership and dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating	\$45,342	\$46,428	\$48,915	\$48,959
Partnership	11,771	11,375	11,719	13,439
Gain on sale of real estate assets  Minority interest of common unitholders in Operating	2,440	5,446	21,235	9,389
Partnership  Dividends to preferred unitholders in Operating	1,567	1,924	4,211	2,818
Partnership	3,105	3,105	3,105	3,105
Net income	9,539	11,792	25,638	16,905
Net income basic	0.36	0.45	0.97	0.64
Net income diluted	0.36	0.45	0.97	0.63

71

# SCHEDULE III SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC. REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION DECEMBER 31, 2001 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

					G
		INI	TIAL COSTS	COSTS	CARR
COMMUNITIES	RELATED ENCUMBRANCES	LAND	BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS(1)	CAPITALIZED SUBSEQUENT TO ACQUISITION	LAND
Reunion Park by Summit	\$	\$ 991	\$	\$ 13 <b>,</b> 746	\$ 997
Summit Arboretum	19,194	4,080	24,403	573	4,080
Summit Ashburn Farm		2,438		12,403	2,438
Summit Aventura		6,367		26,087	6 <b>,</b> 368
Summit Ballantyne	(3)	3,328		23,803	3,347
Summit Belcourt	9,209	3,600	16,788	556	3,600
Summit Belmont	(4)	974		11,833	984
Summit Breckenridge		812		13,238	812
Summit Buena Vista	24,539	4,670	30,499	620	4,670
Summit Camino Real	16,213	7,120	41,985	774	7,120

Summit Club at Dunwoody		2,934	24,510	275	2,934
Summit Crest		1,211		31,344	2,532
Summit Crossing	3,918	768	5,174	563	768
Summit Deer Creek	·	3 <b>,</b> 537		18,755	3,845
Summit Del Ray	(3)	3,120		15 <b>,</b> 830	5,402
Summit Doral		3,099		20,622	3,133
Summit Fair Lakes	48,340	9,521		38 <b>,</b> 528	9,552
Summit Fair Oaks		4,356	17,215	846	4,356
Summit Fairview		404		6 <b>,</b> 272	537
Summit Fairways		2,819		15,495	2,819
Summit Foxcroft	2,438	925	3 <b>,</b> 797	848	925
Summit Glen	(3)	3,652		13,730	3,693
Summit Governor's					
Village		1,622		15,501	1,643
Summit Grandview		2,527		48,520	2,620
Summit Highland	(3)	1,374		6,680	1,374
Summit Hunter's Creek		2,193		17 <b>,</b> 885	2,195
Summit Lake		1,712		28,796	2,511
Summit Largo		3,074		15 <b>,</b> 278	3,077
Summit Las Palmas	(3)	4,480	25,504	386	4,480
Summit at Lenox		10,800	22,997	10,710	11,156
Summit Mayfaire		936	8,897	241	936
Summit Meadow	(3)	2,313		8,971	2,539
Summit New Albany(7)		3,856		29,690	3,888
Summit Norcroft(7)	(3)	1,453		11,060	1,635
Summit On the River	(3)	3,212		21,668	3,212
Summit Overlook		2,376		25 <b>,</b> 955	3,981

COMMUNITIES	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	DATE OF CONSTRUCTION	DATE ACQUIRED	DEPRECIABLE LIVES YEARS		
Reunion Park by Summit	\$ (644)	6/99-9/00	4/99	5-40 years		
Summit Arboretum	(2,696)	1996(6)	11/98	5-40 years		
Summit Ashburn Farm	(603)	2/99-9/00	7/98	5-40 years		
Summit Aventura	(5,422)	6/9412/95	12/93	5-40 years		
Summit Ballantyne	(3,058)	7/96-12/98	12/95	5-40 years		
Summit Belcourt	(1,745)	1994(6)	11/98	5-40 years		
Summit Belmont	(5 <b>,</b> 386)	1/86-5/87	1/86	5-40 years		
Summit Breckenridge	(6,088)	7/85-5/87	6/85	5-40 years		
Summit Buena Vista	(3,156)	1996(6)	11/98	5-40 years		
Summit Camino Real	(4,429)	1998(6)	11/98	5-40 years		
Summit Club at Dunwoody	(2,761)	1997(6)	5/98	5-40 years		
Summit Crest	(555)	10/99-9/01	9/97	5-40 years		
Summit Crossing	(1,608)	1985(6)	5/95	5-40 years		
Summit Deer Creek	(1,059)	2/99-6/00	1/98	5-40 years		
Summit Del Ray	(4,683)	1/92-2/93	1/92	5-40 years		
Summit Doral	(1,727)	12/97-11/99	12/96	5-40 years		
Summit Fair Lakes	(3,496)	6/97-8/99	12/96	5-40 years		
Summit Fair Oaks	(2,906)	1990(6)	12/97	5-40 years		
Summit Fairview	(3,214)	3/82-3/83	3/82	5-40 years		
Summit Fairways	(2,872)	9/95-12/96	8/95	5-40 years		
Summit Foxcroft	(1,472)	1979(6)	5/95	5-40 years		
Summit Glen	(4,347)	5/90-8/92	4/90	5-40 years		
Summit Governor's						
Village	(1,616)	8/97-12/98	7/97	5-40 years		
Summit Grandview	(1,622)	7/98-12/00	3/98	5-40 years		
Summit Highland	(3,269)	3/86-1/87	11/85	5-40 years		
Summit Hunter's Creek	(1,046)	3/99-3/00	11/98	5-40 years		

Summit :	Lake	(3,234)	9/96-1/99	4/96	5-40 years
Summit :	Largo	(1,065)	10/98-3/00	10/98	5-40 years
Summit :	Las Palmas	(2,495)	1998(6)	12/98	5-40 years
Summit	at Lenox	(3,250)	1965(6)	7/98	5-40 years
Summit I	Mayfaire	(1,533)	1995(6)	1/97	5-40 years
Summit I	Meadow	(3,460)	8/89-8/90	2/89	5-40 years
Summit 1	New Albany(7)	(2,732)	5/97-3/00	11/96	5-40 years
Summit 1	Norcroft(7)	(3,276)	2/90-11/97	12/89	5-40 years
Summit	On the River	(3,640)	8/95-6/97	10/94	5-40 years
Summit (	Overlook	(276)	1/00-12/01	2/99	5-40 years

		INITI	AL COSTS	COSTS	G CARR  LAND	
COMMUNITIES	RELATED ENCUMBRANCES	LAND	BUILDINGS AND IMPROVEMENTS(1)	CAPITALIZED SUBSEQUENT TO ACQUISITION		
Summit Peachtree City		3,453		30,114	4,475	
Summit Pike Creek	(4)	1,132		13,414	1,259	
Summit Plantation(7)	(3)	7,440	18,485	17 <b>,</b> 761	7,440	
Summit Portofino		3 <b>,</b> 864	24,504	784	3,864	
Summit Reston		5 <b>,</b> 434	26 <b>,</b> 255	1,608	5,434	
Summit Russett I		3 <b>,</b> 995	,	19 <b>,</b> 547	3,995	
Summit Russett II		1,728		8 <b>,</b> 977	1,728	
Summit Sand Lake	13,603	4,160	22,979	745	4,160	
Summit Sedgebrook		2,392	·	21,945	2,475	
Summit Shiloh		1,592	12,125	149	1,591	
Summit Simsbury	(5)	650	4,570	682	650	
Summit Square		2,757	·	16,478	3 <b>,</b> 775	
Summit St. Clair	(3)	3,024	24,040	344	3,024	
Summit Stonefield		3,541	·	16,557	3,576	
Summit Sweetwater		3,013	18 <b>,</b> 627	194	3,012	
Summit Touchstone	(5)	766	5 <b>,</b> 568	817	766	
Summit Turtle Rock	10,431	2,500	14,074	193	2,500	
Summit Westwood		1,989		22,729	2,042	
Summit Windsor	(3)	3,704	14,497	7,667	4,029	
Total		 \$169 <b>,</b> 788	 \$407 <b>,</b> 493	 \$688 <b>,</b> 787	\$179 <b>,</b> 954	
COMMUNITIES	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	DATE OF CONSTRUCTIO	~	DEPRECIABLE LIVES YEARS		
Summit Peachtree City	(363)	2/00-9/01	4/98	5-40 years		
Summit Pike Creek	(5,512)	11/86-2/88	4/86	5-40 years		
Summit Plantation(7)	(5,785)	1/94-11/97		5-40 years		
Summit Portofino	(4,108)	1995	(6) 1/97	5-40 years		
Summit Reston	(7,942)	1987		5-40 years		
Summit Russett I	(2,844)	7/95-9/97		5-40 years		
Summit Russett II	(266)	6/99-6/00		5-40 years		
Summit Sand Lake	(4,375)	1995		5-40 years		
	, , ,			1 1		

Summit	Sedgebrook	(2,626)	6/96-5/99	1/96	5-40 years
Summit	Shiloh	(596)	10/99(6)	8/00	5-40 years
Summit	Simsbury	(1,524)	1985(6)	5/95	5-40 years
Summit	Square	(5,790)	3/89-8/90	2/89	5-40 years
Summit	St. Clair	(2,952)	1997(6)	3/98	5-40 years
Summit	Stonefield	(2,243)	6/96-3/98	3/96	5-40 years
Summit	Sweetwater	(937)	12/99(6)	8/00	5-40 years
Summit	Touchstone	(1,721)	1986(6)	5/95	5-40 years
Summit	Turtle Rock	(1,556)	1995(6)	11/98	5-40 years
Summit	Westwood	(2,029)	10/97-5/99	9/97	5-40 years
Summit	Windsor	(5,632)	8/88-8/89	3/95	5-40 years
	Total	\$(155,242)			
		=======			

- (1) Includes furniture, fixtures and equipment.
- (2) The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes as of December 31, 2001 is \$1.0 billion.
- (3) Encumbered by fixed rate mortgages of \$137.3 million.
- (4) Collateral for \$23.0 million of letters of credit which serve as collateral for \$22.2 million in tax exempt bonds.
- (5) Encumbered by fixed rate mortgage of \$8.2 million.
- (6) Property purchased; date reflects date construction completed.
- (7) Community was presented in two phases in prior years. Date acquired represents the date the first phase was acquired. The date of construction represents the range from the start of the first phase to completion of the second phase.

73

SCHEDULE III

# SUMMIT PROPERTIES INC. REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

A summary of activity for real estate assets and accumulated depreciation is as follows:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	2001 2000		1999		
REAL ESTATE ASSETS(1):					
Balance at beginning of year	\$1,255,657	\$1,135,008	\$1,068,435		
Acquisitions		35 <b>,</b> 343			
Improvements	9,478	8,582	10,565		
Developments	152,137	158,180	130,433		
Disposition of property	(151,204)	(81,456)	(74,425		
	10,411	120,649	66 <b>,</b> 573		

Balance at end of year		\$1,266,068		\$1,255,657		\$1,135,008	
	==	======	==	======	==		
ACCUMULATED DEPRECATION(1):							
Balance at beginning of year	\$	145,500	\$	127,803	\$	114,196	
Depreciation		38,746		36,436		33,547	
Disposition of property		(29,004)		(18,739)		(19,940	
Balance at end of year	\$	155,242	\$	145,500	\$	127,803	
	==		==		==		

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes only apartment communities and does not include fixed assets used in property development, construction and management of apartment communities.