AETHLON MEDICAL INC Form 10KSB July 14, 2005

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-KSB

(MARK ONE)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For transition period from _____ to ____

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER 0-21846

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC.

(Name of Small Business issuer in its charter)

NEVADA 13-3632859 -----

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000,

San Diego, CALIFORNIA 92109
----(Address of principal executive office) (Zip Code)

ISSUER'S TELEPHONE NUMBER (858) 459-7800

SECURITIES REGISTERED UNDER SECTION 12(b) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT:

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE
TITLE OF EACH CLASS
ON WHICH REGISTERED

NONE NONE

SECURITIES REGISTERED UNDER SECTION 12(g) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT:

COMMON STOCK--\$.001 PAR VALUE (TITLE OF CLASS)

Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB.[x]

Revenues of the registrant for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005 were \$0. The aggregate market value of the Common Stock held by non-affiliates was approximately \$3,804,643 based upon the closing price of the Common Stock of \$0.24, as reported by the NASDAQ Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board ("OTCBB") on June 30, 2005.

The number of shares of the Common Stock of the registrant outstanding as of June 30, 2005 was 18,806,228.

TRANSITIONAL SMALL BUSINESS DISCLOSURE FORMAT (CHECK ONE):

Yes [] No [X]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE	
Forwa	ard Loc	oking Statements	1	
		PART I.		
Item Item Item Item	2.	Description of Business Description of Property Legal Proceedings Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	2 15 15 16	
		PART II.		
Item	5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters	16	
Item		Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	21	
Item	. •	Financial Statements	41	
Item	8.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	41	
Item	8A.	Controls and Procedures	42	
		PART III.		
Item	9.	Directors, Executive Officers, Promoters and Control Persons; Compliance with Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act	43	
Item	10.	Executive Compensation	49	
Item	11.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management And Related Stockholder Matters	51	
Item	12.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	53	
Item		Exhibits	54	
	14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	56	
_	Signatures Certifications			

FORWARD - LOOKING STATEMENTS

All statements, other than statements of historical fact, included in this Form 10-KSB are, or may be deemed to be, "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). The safe harbor for forward looking statements provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 does not apply to us. We note, however, that such forward-looking statements involve assumptions, known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of Aethlon Medical, Inc. ("Aethlon Medical", "We" or the "Company") to be materially different from any future results, performance, or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-KSB. Such potential risks and uncertainties include, without limitation, Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") and other regulatory approval of our products, patent protection on our proprietary technology, product liability exposure, uncertainty of market acceptance, competition, technological change, and other risk factors detailed herein and in other of our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Each forward-looking statement should be read in context with, and with an understanding of, the various other disclosures concerning our Company and our business made elsewhere in this annual report as well as other public reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The forward-looking statements are made as of the date of this Form 10-KSB, and we assume no obligation to update the forward-looking statements or to update the reasons actual results could differ from those projected in such forward-looking statements.

1

PART I

ITEM 1. DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

GENERAL

Aethlon Medical, Inc. ("Aethlon Medical" "We" or the "Company"), formerly Bishop Equities, Inc. ("Bishop"), was incorporated in Nevada in April 1991 to provide a public vehicle for participation in a business transaction through a merger with or acquisition of a private company. In March 1993, we successfully offered our common stock at \$6.00 per share through an initial public offering. In March 1999, Bishop began doing business as "Aethlon Medical, Inc." In March 2000, the Company's Articles of Incorporation were amended to formally change the name of the Company from "Bishop Equities, Inc." to "Aethlon Medical, Inc."

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT/ACQUISITIONS

On March 10, 1999, (1) Aethlon, Inc., a California corporation ("Aethlon"), (2) Hemex, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Hemex"), the accounting predecessor to the Company, and (3) Bishop, a publicly traded "shell" company, completed an Agreement and Plan of Reorganization (the "Plan") structured to result in Bishop's acquisition of all of the outstanding common shares of Aethlon and Hemex (the "Reorganization"). The Reorganization was intended to

qualify as a tax-free transaction under Section 368 (a)(1)(B) of the 1986 Internal Revenue Code, as amended. Under the Plan's terms, Bishop issued 733,500 and 1,350,000 shares of its common stock to the common stock shareholders of Aethlon and Hemex, respectively, such that Bishop then owned 100% of each company.

Effective January 1, 2000, we entered into an agreement with Dr. Julian Ambrus, the son of Dr. Clara Ambrus who was the original founder of Hemex, Inc. Under this agreement, an invention and related patent rights for a method of removing HIV and other viruses from the blood were assigned to us. This invention further expands our intellectual property portfolio of patents that have been issued to us and other patents that have been filed by us and are pending approval. In addition to certain royalty payments equal to 8.75% of net sales of the patented product, the consideration for the acquired rights included the additional issuance of shares of our common stock to the inventors upon the issuance of the patent. The term of the agreement expires on the expiration date of the patents or any patent applications filed in connection with the invention. There have been no sales of the patented product as of July 5 2005. We initially issued 12,500 shares of restricted common stock to the inventors upon the execution of the agreement. On March 4, 2003, the related patent was issued and we issued 196,078 shares of restricted common stock to the inventors.

On January 10, 2000, we acquired all the outstanding common stock of Syngen Research, Inc. ("Syngen") in exchange for 65,000 shares of our common stock in order to establish research facilities in San Diego, California, as well as employ Dr. Richard Tullis, the founder of Syngen. Dr. Tullis is a recognized research scientist in the area of DNA synthesis and antisense. Syngen had no significant assets, liabilities, or operations, and primarily served as the entity through which Dr. Tullis performed research consulting services. As such, the acquisition has been accounted for as an acquisition of assets in the form of an employment contract with Dr. Tullis and not as a business combination. Dr. Tullis was appointed to the Board of Directors of Aethlon Medical and was elected its Vice President for Business Development. Effective June 1, 2001, Dr. Tullis was appointed Chief Scientific Officer of Aethlon Medical, replacing Dr. Clara Ambrus, who retired from the Company.

On April 6, 2000, we completed the acquisition of Cell Activation, Inc. ("Cell"). In accordance with the purchase agreement, we issued 99,152 shares of restricted common stock and issued 50,148 options to purchase common stock in exchange for all of the outstanding common shares and options to purchase common stock of Cell. After the transaction, Cell became our wholly-owned subsidiary.

2

The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase. At March 31, 2001, we determined that goodwill recognized in the purchase of Cell was impaired due to the permanent suspension of operations by Cell, and, accordingly, treated the related goodwill as fully impaired.

BUSINESS OF ISSUER

We are a development stage medical device company that is focused on commercializing multiple applications of our Hemopurifier(TM) platform technology, which has been engineered to provide the immune response of clearing circulating viruses and toxins before the occurrence of cell and organ infection.

The current focus is the development of the Hemopurifier(TM) as a post infection treatment for HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis-C, and pathogens that may be target for use in bio-terror attacks. To date, the Company has conducted and published studies that measure the ability of the Hemopurifier(TM) to capture HIV, Hepatitis-C, and gp120, which is a HIV surface protein that destroys immune cells. The studies have been published in the following journals: American Clinical Laboratories (November 2001), Journal of Theoretical Medicine (2002), Therapeutic Apheresis (2001) and Blood Purification (2003 and 2004). The Company has also presented pre-clinical human blood data which discussed the ability of the Hemopurifier(TM) to capture a variety of pox viruses related to human smallpox. All of the studies were conducted in our laboratory facilities under the supervision of Dr. Richard Tullis, our Chief Science Officer. The cost of materials required to perform each individual blood study did not exceed \$100,000. Each of the studies encompassed the filling of hollow-fiber dialysis cartridges with antibodies/affinity agents that have been coupled to agarose beads and then sealed with the cartridge. As a result, the coupled antibodies/affinity agents surround the hollow-fibers, which typically have pores between 200-500 nanometers in size. Infected human blood was then circulated through the cartridge and data was obtained to verify the effectiveness of capturing targeted pathogens once they diffused through the fiber pores and were bound within the immobilized antibody/affinity agents. In pre-clinical testing, we have published that our ${\tt HIV-Hemopurifier(TM)}$ removed 55% of HIV from human blood in three hours and in excess of 85% of HIV in twelve hours. Additionally, the HIV-Hemopurifier(TM) captured 90% of gp120, a toxic protein that depletes human immune cells, during a one-hour pre-clinical blood study. We have also published pre-clinical blood studies of its HCV-Hemopurifier(TM), which documented the ability to capture 58% of the Hepatitis-C virus from infected blood in two hours. As referenced, we have also demonstrated similar effectiveness in capturing pox viruses related to human smallpox.

The Company has also conducted animal studies to demonstrate the safety of the Hemopurifier (TM). These studies were conducted on New Zealand White Rabbits that received Hemopurifier(TM) treatments for periods up to five hours on nineteen different occasions as of June 1, 2005 without any material adverse events. The Hemopurifier (TM) is not a cure for HIV and Hepatitis-C, but serves as a disease management tool that mimics the immune response of clearing circulating viruses and toxins before cell and organ infection can occur. We are also conducting early stage research related to the Hemopurifier's (TM) ability to clear other pathogens that may be naturally occurring or have been defined as "Class A" pathogens because of their potential to be weaponized as bioterror agents. Each target application of the Hemopurifier(TM) will require regulatory approval before sales of the Hemopurifier(TM) may commence. Since inception, our only source of revenue has been grants from certain agencies of the Federal Government, subcontract revenue and sale of research and development. From the date of our inception through 1999, we received a total of \$1,424,012 in grant income. No grant revenues have been received after 1999. Since then, from time to time, we have applied for, but have not been awarded, any such grants. Future income that may be derived as a result of grant submissions is likely to be a primary source of revenues until such time that our Hemopurifier(TM) has been approved for sale in the marketplace.

3

ANIMAL STUDIES

On May 24, 2005, we disclosed the results of an animal safety study related to fifteen Hemopurifier (TM) treatment procedures that were performed on

six different New Zealand white rabbits. Treatment times ranged from 45 minutes to five hours with an average treatment period of 2.9 hours in the study. Blood flow rates allowed for the entire blood system to circulate through the Hemopurifier (TM) every twenty minutes. In general, the animals tolerated the Hemopurifier (TM) treatment well and were able to move about freely within a restricted space. The most common interruption during the procedure was related to excessive animal movement that resulted in low arterial side pressures due to partial occlusion of the catheter. Such issues are not expected in human treatment. Blood studies of both a control dialysis cartridge and the Hemopurifier (TM) showed a general diminution of HCT, RBC and several other parameters except for Na+. In general, the control dialysis cartridge caused greater decreases in blood cells, metal ions and metabolites than did the Hemopurifier (TM). Blood changes between the control dialysis cartridge and the Hemopurifier(TM) were a 32% vs. 20% decrease in thrombocytes and a 12% decrease vs. an 18% increase in leukocytes respectively. In every case, the observed blood chemistry changes were temporary and subsided prior to the next treatment. The attending veterinarian felt that exposure of the rabbits to antigens present in a companion animal dialysis clinic but not normally present in the rabbit hatchery (i.e., dogs, cat and other animals undergoing treatment) was the likely explanation for the increase in leukocyte levels. Regardless, no infections were ever observed. On two occasions, core body temperature rose from 102.2F degrees to 105.2F degrees during the Hemopurifier(TM) treatment. However, simply moving the rabbits from their cages to the treatment facility also produced similar temperature elevations up to 105F degrees. Such data suggests that the temporary increase in temperature was not directly related to the Hemopurifier(TM) treatment. A decrease in thrombocytes is a common consequence associated with dialysis treatment. In conclusion, we did not observe any adverse events during the Hemopurifier(TM) animal study. The choice to utilize rabbits in the study was related to the similarity in the infection pathogenesis of rabbitpox with human smallpox. As smallpox efficacy studies are not allowed in humans, related animal studies are the primary challenge for market approval as a treatment countermeasure.

HUMAN CLINICAL STUDIES

In February 2005, we announced plans to initiate clinical trials in India to treat persons infected with HIV (the AIDS virus) and HCV (the Hepatitis-C virus). Mr. Sunil Sawhney, the former Director of Boston Scientific India, and other regulatory advisors from Qualtran, LLC will manage these trials on our behalf.

On June 9, 2005, we disclosed that our advisors at Qualtran reached an agreement with the Apollo Hospital in New Delhi to be a sponsor site for our HCV clinical trials in India. We also disclosed that we have manufactured and shipped our Hemopurifier(TM) technology to India for biocompatibility studies, which are now under way and should be completed by the end of July 2005. The near term goal of the HCV trial will be to demonstrate safety and observe early efficacy markers, including viral load reduction as a result of the direct clearance of circulating HCV by the Hemopurifier(TM). The patient treatment protocols developed for the trial were designed through a cooperative effort between our researchers and regulatory advisors in both India and the United States. We have not yet announced a site location for our HIV/AIDS studies in India. We intend to initiate human studies in the United States once we have obtained data from our trials in India that demonstrates a clinical benefit in patients treated with the Hemopurifier(TM).

THE HEMOPURIFIER (TM)

The Hemopurifier (TM) is an expansive platform technology that converges the established scientific principles of affinity chromatography (method of selective capture of proteins, sugars, fats and organic compounds) and hemodialysis (artificial kidneys) as a means to augment the natural immune

response of clearing infectious viruses and toxins from the blood before cells and organs can be infected. The therapeutic benefit that is targeted in each Hemopurifier(TM) application is the improvement of treatment outcomes through

4

the reduction of viral load and preservation of the immune function. We believe that the Hemopurifier(TM) may also enhance and prolong the benefit of current infectious disease drug therapies, and can fill the void for patients that are not responsive to drug therapies. The Hemopurifier(TM) may also serve as a first line of defense in treating pathogens that are currently untreatable with drugs or vaccines. The Hemopurifier(TM) is also being positioned to treat patients that might become infected by a biological agent with no established drug or vaccine treatment.

Traditionally, hemodialysis has been used to remove urea and other small metabolic toxins that build up in the blood of patients with acute or chronic kidney failure. Acute renal failure is generally handled in the intensive care unit using continuous renal replacement therapy (CRRT) while chronic renal is treated using intermittent, thrice-weekly hemodialysis (IHD) in a stand-alone dialysis clinic.

While there are several variations of technique, a catheter is most often the primary method utilized to gain access to the blood, which is then pumped through a hollow-fiber hemodialysis cartridge. Within the cartridge, toxic salts, urea and excess water pass through small pores in the walls of the hollow-fibers and are removed. Proteins and blood cells that are too large to pass through the membrane are retained. The purified blood is then returned back into circulation.

There are two issues in kidney dialysis as it is practiced today that limit its application to a wide array of toxins and pathogens. Both issues are related to the separation membranes. First, hemodialysis cartridges non-selectively remove substances of a particular size from the blood. Thus in addition to removing toxins, the dialyzer may also remove important substances that the body would prefer to retain. Second, many important toxins are too large to pass through the dialysis membrane and are therefore not removed even when it would be desirable.

We have solved these problems by designing a Hemopurifier(TM) cartridge which has pores large enough to let the largest toxins pass through (i.e., particles as large as whole viruses), yet selective enough to remove only the targeted toxins. We employ the established principals of hollow-fiber dialysis cartridges, but with pores large enough to allow for circulating infectious virus and toxins to separate from the blood and diffuse through the fibers so that they may be captured by affinity agents or antibodies that surround the fibers. Since the blood serves as a transport mechanism for viruses to infect cells and organs, the Hemopurifier(TM) disrupts the viral infection cycle. Materials such as antibodies, which bind only to their corresponding antigen, provide selectivity, while the use of a sealed cartridge allows the process to use large pore sizes that are normally incompatible with kidney dialysis.

The Hemopurifier (TM) platform technology is based on the immobilization of antibodies or affinity agents against infectious disease within hemodialysis cartridges that traditionally have been established for use in treating kidney failure. The typical cartridge is a clear plastic cylinder, approximately twelve inches long and one and one-half inch in diameter. Sealed within the cartridge are up to 10,000 hollow micro-fibers through which the blood flows during

treatment. The walls of each fiber are porous so that pathogens can diffuse out of the blood to be captured by the antibodies or affinity agents that surround each of the fibers. The size of the fiber pores allows for the diffusion and capture of pathogens up to 500 nanometers in size.

Importantly, the Hemopurifier(TM) cartridge does not require the development of any new equipment. The cartridge fits directly onto the global infrastructure of dialysis machines already located in hospitals and clinics.

INFECTIOUS DISEASE

The current treatment for viral illnesses include vaccines and antiviral drugs. Vaccines have been the most successful in curing viral diseases (e.g., polio and smallpox). Unfortunately, newly emerging pathogens (e.g., SARS), highly mutable RNA viruses (e.g., HIV and Hepatitis C virus) and exotic

5

viruses that might be used in terrorist attacks often do not have vaccine treatments. Similarly, antiviral drugs are often useful in controlling viral infections. However, there do not seem to be any general, broad-spectrum antiviral agents similar to penicillin for bacteria and viruses capable of rapidly developing drug resistant mutations. In addition, it generally takes years and hundreds of millions of dollars to develop vaccine and drug candidates that may or may not be approved by the FDA.

We have submitted proposals to the NIH regarding the use of the Hemopurifier (TM) as a potential treatment for patients infected with HIV and Hepatitis-C. We also plan to submit other proposals to the NIH related to the use of the Hemopurifier(TM) as a countermeasure against biological weapons. We will make these submissions upon the completion of animal studies that suggest a potential relevance of the Hemopurifier(TM) as a treatment for pathogens considered to be the greatest threat as biological weapons. Additionally, we will seek beneficial relationships with other agencies and organizations upon the publication of animal studies related to the potential use of the Hemopurifier (TM) against biological weapon candidates. In this regard, we are developing a standard Hemopurifier(TM) to be utilized within the established infrastructure of dialysis machines, as well as Hemopurifiers(TM) that are designed to be wearable treatment cartridges. The initial application of the wearable cartridge relies on the blood pressure of the infected patient to drive the circulation of blood into the cartridge without the need for a pumping device such as a dialysis machine. Future generations of the Hemopurifier(TM) may involve the convergence of miniature cartridges with portable wearable pumps as a means to increase virus and toxin clearance through continuous blood circulation over extended periods time.

BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS

On January 29, 2004, we announced that we are developing treatments to combat infectious agents that may be used in biological warfare and terrorism. This expands our intent to treat infectious diseases beyond HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis-C. We are working to design Hemopurifiers(TM) that can be rapidly deployed by armed forces as wearable post-exposure treatments on the battlefield, as well as dialysis-based treatments for civilian populations. We are focusing our bio-defense strategy on treating "Category A" agents, which are considered by the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) to be the worst bioterror threats. These agents include the viruses that cause Smallpox, hemorrhagic fevers such as Ebola and Marburg, the Anthrax bacteria, and Botulinum toxin.

Each treatment device will be based on the same proprietary Hemopurifier (TM) filtration technology that is utilized in advancing our ${\tt HIV/AIDS}$, and ${\tt Hepatitis-C}$ treatments.

On March 4, 2004, we announced a cooperative development agreement with the National Center for Biodefense (NCBD) at George Mason University in Manassas, Virginia. The purpose of the agreement is to broaden scientific resources, and jointly pursue business and funding opportunities within the federal government. Under the terms of the agreement, each party will contribute to the preparation of proposals. One party will be designated as having the primary responsibility for the preparation of all technical and non-technical aspects of the proposal including but not limited to (i) marketing and promotional effort, (ii) proposal content, assembly and production, (iii) liaison with government customer personnel, and (iv) oral discussions and negotiations, if held. The party designated as the subcontractor shall contribute to the preparation of the proposal to the extent necessary to assure the inclusion of a thorough and accurate description of its responsibilities to the proposed project. We will each bear our own expenses for our own performance of proposal and related work under the cooperative agreement. There are proprietary data provisions which prohibit George Mason University and us from using certain information other than in the submission of proposals to government agencies or reports that must be submitted in connection with George Mason University's performance. The duration of the agreement last until earliest of the following events to occur:

6

- a) The failure or inability of either party to provide the support for the preparation of identified proposal opportunities.
- b) Mutual consent of the parties to terminate the agreement.
- c) Lapse of 24 months from the effective date of this agreement without award of a contract to support one or more projects unless procurement is still open.
- d) The indictment, suspension, or debarment by the federal government of either party.
- e) A receiver, trustee in bankruptcy or other custodian of the property or assets of a party hereto is appointed, or if either party hereto commits an act of bankruptcy or is adjudicated bankrupt or insolvent.
- During the term of the agreement, it is determined that either party may be ineligible for award due to a conflict of interest.

MANUFACTURING

We plan to manufacture in our current facilities a small number of cartridges sufficient to complete clinical trials. Ultimately we will outsource cartridge manufacturing to a GMP/ISO9001 compliant contract manufacturer. Hemopurifiers(TM) to treat pathogens that are bioweapons candidates will be sold directly to the U.S. military and the federal government. Sale of Hemopurifiers(TM) to treat HIV and Hepatitis C will be directed through organizations with established distribution channels.

HEAVY METAL TREATMENTS

Prior to developing the Hemopurifier(TM) as a treatment for infectious disease, the original Hemopurifier(TM) treatment applications were focused on treat individuals burdened with heavy metal intoxicants. Products developed in this category include treatments for iron overload, aluminum intoxication, lead poisoning, and cisplatin removal. Cisplatin is a platinum compound used to treat cancers but can be toxic in large amounts. The plan to commercialize the iron and aluminum applications of the Hemopurifier(TM) were discontinued when our research and development activities were realigned. In fiscal year 2001, we realigned our research and development activities from developing Hemopurifiers(TM) to treat harmful metals to developing Hemopurifiers(TM) for the treatment of HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis-C. Additionally, our management changed as the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Joyce to replace Mr. Barry as the President and CEO in June of 2001. We are not currently pursuing the commercialization of these products as we are focused on developing infectious disease related Hemopurifiers(TM).

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

In fiscal year 2001, we realigned our research and development activities from developing Hemopurifiers(TM) to treat harmful metals to developing Hemopurifiers(TM) for the treatment of HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis-C. As a result of this strategic realignment, we initiated the consolidation of all scientific and administrative functions into our San Diego facilities during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001. This consolidation was completed during the first quarter of fiscal 2002 and our facilities in Buffalo, N.Y. were closed. In 2004, we expanded our research effort to include the development of Hemopurifiers(TM) as countermeasures against biological weapons.

7

PATENTS

Effective January 1, 2000, we entered into an agreement with a related party under which an invention and related patent rights for a method of removing HIV and other viruses from the blood using the Hemopurifier (TM) were assigned to us by the inventors in exchange for a royalty to be paid on future sales of the patented product or process and shares of our common stock. On March 4, 2003, the related patent was issued and we issued 196,078 shares of restricted common stock. We have applied for and obtained several patents relating to our HIV-Hemopurifier(TM) and related technology. Any resulting medical device or process will require approval by the FDA, and we have not yet begun efforts to obtain FDA approval on any infectious disease related Hemopurifier (TM). Since many of our patents were issued in the 1980's, they may expire before FDA approval, if any, is obtained. However, we believe that certain patent applications filed and/or other patents issued more recently will help to protect the proprietary nature of the Hemopurifier(TM) treatment technology. The Hemopurifier (TM) is protected by seven issued patents in the United States, Europe and Japan. Three additional patent applications deal with treatments for virus infection and manufacturing methods. The following is a list of patents and patent applications we currently hold. Patent Issuance #7 below, and application #9 are exclusively licensed to us:

ISSUED PATENTS:

1. Ambrus CA and Horvath C (1986) Removing heavy metal ions from

blood. USA No. 4,612,122 (Issued September 16, 1986).

- 2. Ambrus CA and Horvath C (1986) Removing heavy metal ions from blood. Europe No. 0,073,888 (Issued April 23, 1986).
- 3. Ambrus CA and Horvath C (1986) Removing heavy metal ions from blood. Japan No: 110,047/82 (Issued June 7, 1994).
- 4. Ambrus CA and Horvath C (1987) Blood purification. US Patent No. 4,714,556 (Issued December 22, 1987)
- 5. Ambrus CA and Horvath C (1988) Blood purification. US Patent No. 4,787,974 (Issued November 29, 1988)
- 6. Ambrus CA and Stadler A (2000) Process for immobilizing a chelator on silica device containing immobilized chelator and use thereof. US Patent 6,071,412 (Issued June 6, 2000).
- 7. Ambrus JL and Scammurra D (2003) Method for removing HIV and other viruses from blood. US Patent 6,528,057 (Issued March 4, 2003);

PATENT APPLICATIONS:

- Ambrus CA and Stadler A (2000) Process for immobilizing a chelator on silica device containing immobilized chelator and use thereof. International Application PCT/US99/17125
- 9. Ambrus JL and Scamurra D (2003) Method for removing HIV and other viruses from blood. International Application PCT/US99/19448 (filed August 30, 1999)
- Tullis, R.H. (2003) Lectin affinity hemodialysis method for removal of HIV other viruses from blood. US Patent Application (filed January 3, 2003)

8

The issued patents cover a range of applications of the Hemopurifier(TM) and variations thereof. The initial applications (Ambrus and Horvath, 1986 and related issues) refer to methods and constructions for removing heavy metals from blood. The U.S. patent will expire on September 16, 2006. The Japanese patent will expire on June 7, 2011. The European patent expired on April 23, 2003.

Ambrus and Horvath (1987 and 1988) refer to methods and constructions for using modified hollow-fiber dialysis devices for removing antigenically reactive substances from blood (e.g., antibodies, antigens, toxins and pathogens such as bacteria or viruses). The first of these patents expired on March 13, 2005 and the second will expire on October 22, 2007.

Ambrus and Stadler (2000) refers to improved methods for attaching chelators to glass beads (silica) in order to more efficiently remove heavy metals (e.g., iron, lead and aluminum). This patent will expire on July 27, 2018. Ambrus and Scammura (2003) is a patent that speaks to the removal of viruses and viral fragments from the blood of infected patients using a modified hollow-fiber dialysis device. This patent will expire in March 5, 2019. The European application is ongoing.

Tullis R.H. (2003) is a patent application that covers the use of lectins as an improved means of removing HIV and other viruses from blood. Lectins are naturally occurring proteins that bind sugars and complex carbohydrates to form stable complexes. Lectins derived from plants, also known as plant antibodies, are immobilized within the Hemopurifier(TM) because of their known ability to selectively bind to HIV and other envelope viruses with sugar-based surfaces. This patent is not yet issued.

Any resulting medical device or process will require approval by the FDA, and have not yet begun efforts to obtain FDA approval on any infectious disease related Hemopurifier(TM). Since many of our patents were issued in the 1980's, some have expired and others may expire before FDA approval, if any, is obtained. However, we do not believe that the near term expiration of certain patents will have an adverse material effect on our operations as we believe that certain patents applications filed and/or other patents issued more recently will help to protect the proprietary nature of the Hemopurifier(TM) treatment technology. Additionally, we intend to file new patents as improvements, modifications, or applications of our Hemopurifier(TM) technology occur.

INDUSTRY

The industry for treating infectious disease is extremely competitive, and companies developing new treatment procedures are faced with severe regulatory challenges. In this regard, only a small percentage of companies that are developing new treatments will actually obtain approval from the FDA to market their treatments in the United States. Currently, the market for treating HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis-C (HCV) is comprised of drugs designed to reduce viral load by inhibiting viral replication or by inhibiting viruses from infecting healthy cells. Unfortunately, these drugs are toxic, they are expensive to develop, and inevitably, infected patients will develop viral strains that become resistant to drug treatment. As a result, patients are left without treatment options.

COMPETITION

We are advancing our Hemopurifier(TM) technology as a treatment to enhance and prolong current drug therapies by removing the viral strains that cause drug resistance. The Hemopurifier(TM) is also designed to prolong life for infected patients who have become drug resistant and have no other treatment options. Therefore, we do not believe that the Hemopurifier(TM) competes with the current drug therapy treatment standard. However, if the industry considered the Hemopurifier(TM) to be a potential replacement for drug therapy, then the marketplace for the Hemopurifier(TM) would be extremely competitive. We are also pursuing the development of Hemopurifiers(TM) to be utilized as treatment countermeasures against biological weapons. In this regard, we are targeting the treatment of pathogens in which current treatments are either limited or do not

9

exist. We believe that we are the sole developer of viral filtration systems (Hemopurifiers(TM)) to treat HIV-AIDS, Hepatitis-C, and Biological weapons. However, we face competition from the producers of the following alternative treatment options for the biodefense industry:

Antibiotics and Anti-Viral Drug Competition

Antibiotics are the most immediately available first line of therapy for bacterial infections. Unfortunately, bacteria, previously controlled through the application of antibiotics, are developing widespread resistance to available treatments. Several bacteria have become completely resistant to many existing antibiotics and developing new antibiotics is a long, time consuming process. In addition, treatment with antibiotics poses problems such as being available in sufficient quantities, uncertainty of which antibiotics are appropriate to use, efficacy against the particular organism, adverse reactions, and, timely initiation of therapy and completion of treatment regimens.

For viral infections, specific drugs can be effective, but there are no drugs that are effective against the broad-spectrum of known pathogenic viruses. At present, only a few antiviral drugs are available to treat the multitude of viruses that may be used as biological weapons. For example, Ribavirin is the treatment of choice for certain hemorrhagic fever viral infections, but has no current application to Ebola and Marburg infections. Some newer antiviral drugs have shown significant promise in animal models, and limited case reports in humans are encouraging. The lack of broad-spectrum antivirals takes on added significance in light of the ability of many viruses to rapidly develop resistance.

Current efforts to define the genetic details of normal and pathogenic agents on a molecular level promise the hope of new points of attack. Genomic analysis of the viral pathogen and the animal model response to infection provide valuable information enabling the development of novel treatment and prevention strategies. However, even the rapid elucidation of the genetic structure of a specific pathogen does not provide sufficient information to design an effective cure. For example, while SARS has been known of for more than a year and several strains have had their complete genetic sequence determined, no effective treatment has yet emerged.

One promising approach in drug development has been the advent of combinatorial chemistry, which provides the ability to rapidly synthesize huge libraries of related compounds, many of which have never been seen before. However, the real roadblock to progress is the need to laboriously screen each new compound for efficacy in fighting a particular disease. In that sense, combinatorial drugs confront the same problem as the traditional method of screening of plant and animal extracts for active compounds that block viral or bacterial replication.

Thus while science can radically increase the number of drug candidates, the slow step will always be showing that they are both effective and safe. Even effective new drugs represent an irresistible selective pressure on natural and un-natural pathogens to develop resistance, something at which they are clearly very efficient.

Vaccine Competition

Historically, the most effective tool in controlling infections has been vaccines. Polio, measles, mumps and many other viral illnesses are now controllable and smallpox has been eradicated from nature. Licensed vaccines for hemorrhagic fever viruses are limited to yellow fever (though others are in the trial phase of approval). Promising vaccines are being tested for some of the other diseases, but research is hampered by the need to conduct the studies in secure laboratories.

There are other problems with relying on vaccines as our primary protection against a biological weapons attack. While vaccination may be an effective prophylaxis in a military setting, it would not work for civilian populations for several reasons:

- o For vaccination to be effective, the target populate must be known and limited. Expense and logistical challenges would make it virtually impossible to vaccinate the entire population of the United States against even a single agent.
- o The agent used would have to be known prior to its deployment. With the exception of the smallpox vaccine, vaccination is of no use post-exposure to a pathogen.
- o Even if every person in the United States could be vaccinated, it would be impossible to vaccinate him or her against every agent for which a vaccine is available.
- o Even if a vaccine is available, it would only be useful if the agent involved has not been genetically altered so that it is drug or vaccine resistant.

Vaccines that are both efficacious and safe are notoriously difficult to develop. History has shown that developing vaccines can be a slow process and may not even be possible for highly mutable pathogens like HIV and Hepatitis C. Moreover, current vaccine strategies often carry significant risk for complications. For example, smallpox vaccine, which uses attenuated strains of a live virus, can occasionally cause illness or death by infection from the very organism that usually provides protection.

In terms of a bioterrorist attack, anthrax vaccine can serve as an example of our capability in treating a well recognized threat. Only one anthrax vaccine, licensed in 1970, is available. This vaccine, produced by the Bioport Corporation, consists of a membrane-sterilized culture filtrate of an avirulent, non encapsulated strain of anthrax. The data in support of the license consisted of a single field study. The vaccine efficacy was 92.5% effective in this small trial. In December 1985, 15 years after the vaccine was licensed, the FDA's advisory panel reviewed the efficacy of the anthrax vaccine but did not respond to the effectiveness of the current vaccine to inhalational exposure anthrax infection.

The shortcomings of the current vaccine have spurred studies of new anthrax vaccine products. The new vaccines include protective antigen-based vaccines, e.g., purified protein from B. ANTHRACIS culture or live-attenuated spore vaccine. One of the immune correlates of protection of anthrax vaccines is likely to be the antibody response to protective antigen. However, the quantitative relation of anti-protective antigen antibody to protection has not been established in humans. The relationship between neutralization of protective antigen and the lethal effects of anthrax is currently being investigated by the Department of Defense.

Because of the difficulties associated with classic vaccine development, new methods for generating vaccines are being researched. Recombinant DNA technology combined with combinatorial biochemistry is now being employed in an attempt to rapidly identify and develop vaccine candidates and passive immunotherapies. In the phage display system, cloned viral or bacterial proteins, or even cloned antibodies, are individually displayed on the surface of bacterial viruses. Phage proteins can be rapidly screened to find out which ones are the most immunologically reactive. Directed evolution can then be used to make even more effective antigenic materials. Even better, the best of these are already in a form that can be used to produce enough of the material to test in animals.

The principal drawback to the system is the need to use fermentation techniques to produce sufficient quantities of purified material, uncontaminated by the organisms used to produce them. The amount of material required to

11

inoculate a sizeable population requires large fermentation systems, which are expensive to set up and already in short supply. The restriction on medical fermentation capacity is already so severe that many companies have had to delay offering approved products to the public.

GOVERNMENT REGULATION

The Hemopurifier(TM) is a medical device subject to extensive and rigorous regulation by FDA, as well as other federal and state regulatory bodies in the United States and comparable authorities in other countries. Therefore, we cannot assure that our Hemopurifier(TM) technology will successfully complete any regulatory clinical trial for any of our proposed applications.

One of the main problems facing the FDA is and has been the need to ensure public safety while at the same time preventing unsafe treatments from reaching the public. The balance between these competing pressures has resulted in a long and deliberate process for approving new treatments, which is not responsive to the urgent need for new treatments presented in the era of bioterrorism. For most drugs, the principal research and development phases takes one to three years before a drug is even submitted to FDA for testing. The clinical research program takes two to 10 years, depending on the agent and clinical indication. The marketing application review period requires an average of one year. Once a product is approved for market, long-term post-marketing surveillance, inspections, and product testing must be performed to ensure the quality, safety, and efficacy of the product, as well as appropriate product labeling.

FDA'S PREMARKET CLEARANCE AND APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS. Unless an exemption applies, each medical device we wish to commercialize in the United States will require either prior 510(k) clearance or a PMA from FDA. Medical devices are classified into one of three classes--Class I, Class II, or Class III--depending on the degree or risk associated with each medical device and the extent of control needed to ensure safety and effectiveness. Devices deemed to pose lower risks are placed in either Class I or II, which requires the manufacturer to submit to FDA a premarket notification requesting permission to commercially distribute the device. This process is generally known as 510(k)clearance. Some low risk devices are exempted from this requirement. Devices deemed by FDA to pose the greatest risk, such as life-sustaining, life-supporting or implantable devices, or devices deemed not substantially equivalent to a previously cleared 510(k) device, are placed in Class III, requiring premarket approval. If any application of the Hemopurifier (TM) is not cleared as a 510(k), then it is likely that such applications will be classified as Class III medical device.

 $510\,(k)$ CLEARANCE PATHWAY. When a $510\,(k)$ clearance is required, we must submit a premarket notification to FDA demonstrating that our proposed device is substantially equivalent to a previously cleared and legally marketed $510\,(k)$ device or a device that was in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976 for which FDA has not yet called for the submission of a PMA application. By regulation, FDA is required to clear or deny a $510\,(k)$ premarket notification within 90 days of submission of the application. As a practical matter,

clearance often takes significantly longer. FDA may require further information, including clinical data, to make a determination regarding substantial equivalence. If FDA determines that the device, or its intended use, is not substantially equivalent to a previously-cleared device or use, FDA will place the device, or the particular use, into Class III.

PREMARKET APPROVAL PATHWAY. A PMA application must be submitted to FDA if the device cannot be cleared through the $510\,(k)$ process. The PMA application process is much more demanding than the $510\,(k)$ premarket notification process. A PMA application must be supported by extensive data, including but not limited to technical, preclinical, clinical trials, manufacturing and labeling to demonstrate to FDA's satisfaction the safety and effectiveness of the device.

12

After a PMA application is submitted and FDA determines that the application is sufficiently complete to permit a substantive review, FDA will accept the application for review. FDA has 180 days to review an "accepted" PMA application, although the review of an application generally occurs over a significantly longer period of time and can take up to several years. During this review period, FDA may request additional information or clarification of the information already provided. Also, an advisory panel of experts from outside FDA may be convened to review and evaluate the application and provide recommendations to FDA as to the approvability of the device. In addition, FDA will conduct a preapproval inspection of the manufacturing facility to ensure compliance with quality system regulations. New PMA applications or PMA application supplements are required for significant modification to the manufacturing process, labeling and design of a device that is approved through the premarket approval process. Premarket approval supplements often require submission of the same type of information as a premarket approval application, except that the supplement is limited to information needed to support any changes from the device covered by the original premarket approval application and may not require as extensive clinical data or the convening of an advisory panel.

CLINICAL TRIALS. Clinical trials are almost always required to support an FDA premarket application and are sometimes required for 510(k) clearance. In the United States, these trials generally require submission of an application for an Investigational Device Exemption, or IDE, to FDA. The IDE application must be supported by appropriate data, such as animal and laboratory testing results, showing that it is safe to test the device in humans and that the testing protocol is scientifically sound. The IDE must be approved in advance by ${\tt FDA}$ for a specific number of patients unless the product is deemed a non-significant risk device eligible for more abbreviated IDE requirements. Clinical trials for significant risk devices may not begin until the IDE application is approved by FDA and the appropriate institutional review boards, or IRBs, at the clinical trial sites. Our clinical trials must be conducted under the oversight of an IRB at the relevant clinical trial sites and in accordance with FDA regulations, including but not limited to those relating to good clinical practices. We are also required to obtain patients' informed consent that complies with both FDA requirements and state and federal privacy regulations. We, FDA or the IRB at each site at which a clinical trial is being performed may suspend a clinical trial at any time for various reasons, including a belief that the risks to study subjects outweigh the benefits. Even if a trial is completed, the results of clinical testing may not demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the device, may not be equivocal or may otherwise not be sufficient to obtain approval of the product. Similarly, in Europe the clinical study must be approved by the local ethics committee and in some cases,

including studies with high-risk devices, by the Ministry of Health in the applicable country.

PERVASIVE AND CONTINUING REGULATION. After a device is placed on the market, numerous regulatory requirements continue to apply. These include:

- o FDA's Quality System Regulation, or QSR, which requires manufacturers, including third-party manufacturers, to follow stringent design, testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during all aspects of the manufacturing process;
- o labeling regulations and FDA prohibitions against the promotion of products for uncleared, unapproved or off-label uses;
- o clearance or approval of product modifications that could significantly affect safety or efficacy or that would constitute a major change in intended use;
- o medical device reporting, or MDR, regulations, which require that manufacturers report to FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if the malfunction were to recur; and
- o post-market surveillance regulations, which apply when necessary to protect the public health or to provide additional safety and effectiveness data for the device.

13

After a device receives $510\,(k)$ clearance or a PMA, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, will require a new clearance or approval. FDA requires each manufacturer to make this determination initially, but FDA can review any such decision and can disagree with a manufacturer's determination.

The MDR regulations also require that we report to FDA any incident in which our product may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or in which our product malfunctioned and, if the malfunction were to recur, would likely cause or contribute to death or serious injury.

FRAUD AND ABUSE. We may also directly or indirectly be subject to various federal and state laws pertaining to healthcare fraud and abuse, including anti-kickback laws. In particular, the federal healthcare program Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits persons from knowingly and willfully soliciting, offering, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, in exchange for or to induce either the referral of an individual, or the furnishing, arranging for or recommending a good or service, for which payment may be made in whole or part under federal healthcare programs, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. Penalties for violations include criminal penalties and civil sanctions such as fines, imprisonment and possible exclusion from Medicare, Medicaid and other federal healthcare programs. The Anti-Kickback Statute is broad and prohibits many arrangements and practices that are lawful in businesses outside of the healthcare industry. In implementing the statute, the Office of Inspector General, or OIG, has issued a series of regulations, known as the "safe harbors." These safe harbors set forth provisions that, if all their applicable requirements are met, will assure healthcare providers and other parties that they will not be prosecuted under the Anti-Kickback Statute. The failure of a transaction or arrangement to fit precisely within one or more safe harbors does not necessarily mean that it is illegal or that prosecution

will be pursued. However, conduct and business arrangements that do not fully satisfy each applicable element of a safe harbor may result in increased scrutiny by government enforcement authorities, such as the OIG.

INTERNATIONAL. International sales of medical devices are subject to foreign governmental regulations, which vary substantially from country to country. The time required to obtain clearance or approval by a foreign country may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA clearance or approval, and the requirements may be different.

The primary regulatory environment in Europe is that of the European Union, which has adopted numerous directives and has promulgated voluntary standards regulating the design, manufacture, clinical trials, labeling and adverse event reporting for medical devices. Devices that comply with the requirements of a relevant directive will be entitled to bear CE conformity marking, indicating that the device conforms with the essential requirements of the applicable directives and, accordingly, can be commercially distributed throughout the member states of the European Union, and other countries that comply with or mirror these directives. The method of assessing conformity varies depending on the type and class of the product, but normally involves a combination of self-assessment by the manufacturer and a third-party assessment by a notified body, an independent and neutral institution appointed by a country to conduct the conformity assessment. This third-party assessment may consist of an audit of the manufacturer's quality system and specific testing of the manufacturer's device. Such an assessment is required in order for a manufacturer to commercially distribute the product throughout these countries. ISO 9001 and ISO 13845 certifications are voluntary harmonized standards. Compliance establishes the presumption of conformity with the essential requirements for a CE Marking.

Because we may market our products abroad, we will be subject to varying foreign regulatory requirements. Although international efforts are being made to harmonize these requirements, applications must currently be made in each country. The data necessary and the review time varies significantly

14

from one country to another. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by the regulatory bodies of other countries nor does an approval in another country ensure approval by the FDA..

PRODUCT LIABILITY

The risk of product liability claims, product recalls and associated adverse publicity is inherent in the testing, manufacturing, marketing and sale of medical products. We do not have clinical trial liability insurance coverage. There can be no assurance that future insurance coverage will be adequate or available. We may not be able to secure product liability insurance coverage on acceptable terms or at reasonable costs when needed. Any liability for mandatory damages could exceed the amount of our coverage. A successful product liability claim against us could require us to pay a substantial monetary award. Moreover, a product recall could generate substantial negative publicity about our products and business and inhibit or prevent commercialization of other future product candidates.

SUBSIDIARIES

We have four dormant wholly-owned subsidiaries, Aethlon, Inc., Cell

Activation, Inc., Syngen Research, Inc., and Hemex, Inc.

EMPLOYEES

At March 31, 2005, we had seven full-time employees, comprised of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Science Officer, our Director of Administrative Services, two research associates, a senior bioengineer and laboratory manager. We utilize, whenever appropriate, contract and part time professionals in order to conserve cash and resources. We believe that our employee relations are good. None of our employees is represented by a collective bargaining unit.

WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We file annual reports on Form 10-KSB, quarterly reports on Form 10-QSB, current reports on Form 8-K and proxy and information statements and amendments to reports files or furnished pursuant to Sections 13(a) and 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The public may read and copy these materials at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 450 Fifth St NW, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website (http://www.sec.gov) that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding other companies, like us, that file materials with the SEC electronically. Our headquarters are located at 3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000, San Diego, CA 92109. Our phone number at that address is (858) 459-7800. Our website is www.aethlonmedical.com.

ITEM 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY

We currently rent approximately 3,200 square feet of executive office space and laboratory space at 3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000, San Diego, California 92109 at the rate of \$7,520 per month on a lease that expires on July 12, 2006.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We may be involved from time to time in various claims, lawsuits, disputes with third parties or breach of contract actions incidental to the normal course of business operations. We are currently not involved in any such litigation or any pending legal proceedings that we believe could have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

15

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

On June 10, 2005, Aethlon Medical, Inc. (the "Company") held a special meeting of stockholders at the Company's executive offices for the following purposes: (1) to ratify the appointment of Squar, Milner, Reehl & Williamson, L.L.P ("Squar Milner"), as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2005 and (2) to approve an amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company's common stock from 25,000,000 to 50,000,000. Stockholders holding an aggregate of 10,624,365 shares of common stock of the Company voted in favor to ratify the appointment of Squar Milner as the Company's independent auditors and stockholders holding an aggregate of 10,238,794 shares of common stock of the Company voted in favor of approving the amendment to the Company's Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of authorized shares of common stock from

25,000,000 to 50,000,000. The number of shares voting in favor of the two proposals was sufficient for the approval of both proposals. The number of shares voting against and/or abstaining from the vote were as follows: Proposal 1: 80,776 shares; Proposal 2: 469,347 shares.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

LIMITED PUBLIC MARKET FOR SHARES OF COMMON STOCK

Our Common Stock is quoted on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board. Our trading symbol is "AEMD."

Our Common Stock has had a limited and sporadic trading history.

The following table sets forth for the calendar period indicated the quarterly high and low bid prices for our Common Stock as reported by the OTCBB. The prices represent quotations between dealers, without adjustment for retail markup, mark down or commission, and do not necessarily represent actual transactions.

	Н	IIGH	LOW
	_		
2005			
1st Quarter	\$	0.50	\$ 0.25
2nd Quarter	\$	0.33	\$ 0.22
2004			
4th Quarter	\$	1.00	\$ 0.46
3rd Quarter	\$	0.95	\$ 0.44
2nd Quarter	\$	1.70	\$ 0.54
1st Quarter	\$	4.25	\$ 0.37
2003			
4th Quarter	\$	0.55	\$ 0.36
3rd Quarter	\$	1.01	\$ 0.25
2nd Quarter	\$	0.60	\$ 0.20
1st Quarter	\$	0.56	\$ 0.15

We have not declared any cash dividends on our common stock since inception and do not anticipate any in the future. Our current business plan is to retain any future earnings to finance the expansion and development of our business. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors, and will be dependent upon our financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements and other factors our board may deem relevant at that time.

16

There are approximately 1,403 record holders of our Common Stock at June 24, 2005. The number of registered shareholders includes any beneficial owners of common shares held in street name.

The transfer agent and registrar for our common stock is ComputerShare Trust Company, located in Denver, Colorado.

RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

We have sold or issued the following securities not registered under the Securities Act in reliance upon the exemption from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act or Regulation D of the Securities Act during the three year period ending on the date of filing of this registration statement. Except as stated below, no underwriting discounts or commissions were payable with respect to any of the following transactions.

CONVERTIBLE DEBT

In March 2004, we issued a 10% convertible note to RP Capital, LLC an accredited investor, in the amount of \$50,000 for cash. The note was due on April 30, 2004 and was converted at \$0.44 per share in May 2004. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

COMMON STOCK AND WARRANTS

In April 2004, the Company issued 500,000 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of warrants at \$0.25 per share for cash totaling \$125,000. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In April 2004, the Company issued 17,143 shares at \$1.75 per share to an accredited individual investor for investor relations services in the amount of \$30,000. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In April 2004, the Company issued 50,000 shares of restricted common stock to Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, a accredited institutional investor, for a financing commitment to provide \$6,000,000 under a registered private placement. In connection with the \$6,000,000 financing the Company paid a fee to Fusion Capital in the amount of 418,604 shares of common stock. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2004, the Company issued 225,000 shares of common stock at \$0.44 per share and 225,000 warrants to purchase our common stock at a price of \$0.76 per share to legal counsel for legal services in the amount of approximately \$99,000. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2004, a $$50,000\ 10\%$ convertible note was converted at \$0.44 per share for 113,636 shares of common stock and 113,636 warrants to purchase our common stock at a price of \$0.76 per share. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2004, we issued fourteen accredited investors a total of 1,529,545 shares of restricted stock at a price of \$0.44 per share for cash totaling \$673,000. In connection with the issuance of these shares, we granted the stockholders 1,529,545 warrants to purchase our common stock at a price of \$0.76 per share. The warrants vested immediately and expire on fifth anniversary from the date of a registration statement covering the common stock underlying such warrants is declared effective. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In July 2004, we issued 10,715 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.70 per share to an accredited individual for employee placement services in the amount of \$7,500. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In July 2004, we issued 6,850 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.73 per share to an accredited individual for consulting services on opportunities for our Hemopurifier(TM) within the biodefense marketplace in the amount of \$5,000. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In August 2004, we issued a one-year warrant to purchase 7,000 shares of common stock at \$0.55 per share to an accredited corporate entity in conjunction with a \$6,000 fee for investor and public relations services. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933,

In September 2004, we issued 479,513 shares of restricted common stock to LH Financial (Esquire Trade and Finance), an accredited investor, in conjunction with the conversion of \$125,000 in principal amount of notes, plus accrued interest, at \$0.34 per share, in accordance with their convertible note agreement. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In October 2004, we issued two \$40,000 10% one year promissory notes each with 80,000 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.50 and 44,444 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.90 for cash in the total amount of \$80,000 to two accredited individual investors. In accordance with GAAP, the proceeds of the financing have been allocated to the debt and the warrants, based on their relative fair values. Accordingly, a discount of \$46,000 has been recorded as a reduction in the debt balance, and the off-setting credit has been recorded as additional paid-in capital. The debt discount is amortized and charged to interest expense over the life of the debt. At March 31, 2004, approximately \$23,000 of such discount was unamortized and is included in notes payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In October 2004, we issued a \$50,000 10% one-year promissory note plus 100,000 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.50 and 55,555 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.90 for cash in the amount of \$50,000 to an accredited individual investor. In accordance with GAAP, the proceeds of the financing have been allocated to the debt and the warrants, based on their relative fair values. Accordingly, a discount of \$38,000 has been recorded as a reduction in the debt balance, and the off-setting credit has been recorded as additional paid-in capital. The debt discount is amortized and charged to interest expense over the life of the debt. At March 31, 2005, approximately \$22,000 of such discount was unamortized and is included in notes payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In November 2004, we issued 60,000 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of 60,000 warrants at \$0.25 per share for consideration of a \$15,000 reduction in the principal amount of a 10% one-year promissory note. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In December 2004, the Company issued 461,667 shares of restricted common stock to two accredited individual investors in connection with the

exercise of 461,667 warrants at \$0.25 per share held by an institutional investor. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

18

In December 2004, the Company repaid two \$25,000 12% promissory notes, including accrued interest, through the issuance of 87,303 restricted common shares at \$0.49 per share to each of two separate accredited individual investors. These transactions were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In December 2004, the Company issued 20,000 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of a warrant to purchase 20,000 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share for consideration of a \$5,000 reduction in the principal amount of a 10% one-year note, resulting in a remaining note balance of \$30,000 at December 31, 2004. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In December 2004, the Company issued 60,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.50 per share under a consulting agreement with an accredited individualinvestor, for investor relations consulting services to the Company. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In January 2005, the Company issued 55,556 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.36 per share and a warrant to purchase 55,556 shares of common stock at \$0.44 per share for cash in the amount of \$20,000 to an accredited individual investor. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In January 2005, the Company issued 66,666 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.45 per share to an accredited individual investor under a consulting agreement for investor relations consulting services to the Company. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In January 2005, the Company issued 25,834 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of a warrant to purchase 25,834 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In February 2005, the Company issued 139,063 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of a warrant to purchase 139,063 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In February 2005, the Company issued 90,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.27 per share and a three-year warrant to purchase 90,000 shares of common stock at \$0.34 per share for cash in the amount of \$24,300 to an accredited individual investor. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS

SUMMARY EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN DATA

The following table sets forth March 31, 2005 information on our equity compensation plans (including the potential effect of debt instruments convertible into common stock) in effect as of that date:

	(a)	(b)	(c)
Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights (1)(2)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securemaining avair for future issunder equity compensation properties (excluding secureflected in creflected in crefl
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	47,500	\$2.75	452,500
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (1)	9,927,229	0.79	N/A
Totals	9 , 974 , 729	0.82	452 , 500

- (1) The description of the material terms of non-plan issuances of equity instruments is discussed in Notes 4, 5 and 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.
- (2) Net of equity instruments forfeited, exercised or expired.
- (3) This column does not include 143,828 shares of common stock that remain to be issued under the 2003 Consultant Stock Plan at March 31, 2005.

2000 STOCK OPTION PLAN

Our 2000 Stock Option Plan (the "Plan"), adopted by us in August 2000, provides for the grant of incentive stock options (ISOs") to our full-time employees (who may also be Directors) and nonstatutory stock options ("NSOs") to non-employee Directors, consultants, customers, vendors or providers of significant services. The exercise price of any ISO may not be less than the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant or, in the case of an optionee who owns more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our outstanding stock, not be less than 110% of the fair market value on the date of grant. The exercise price, in the case of any NSO, must not be less than 75% of the fair market value of the Common Stock on the date of grant. The amount reserved under the Plan is 500,000 options. At March 31, 2005, we had granted 47,500 options under the 2000 Stock Option Plan, with 452,500 available for future issuance.

2003 CONSULTANT STOCK PLAN

Our 2003 Consultant Stock Plan (the "Stock Plan"), adopted by us in August 2003, advances our interests by helping us obtain and retain the services of persons providing consulting services upon whose judgment, initiative, efforts and/or services we are substantially dependent, by offering to or providing those persons with incentives or inducements affording such persons an opportunity to become owners of our capital stock. Consultants or advisors are eligible to receive grants under the plan program only if they are natural persons providing bona fide consulting services to us, with the exception of any services they may render in connection with the offer and sale of our securities in a capital-raising transaction, or which may directly or indirectly promote or maintain a market for our securities.

20

We reserved a total of 1,000,000 common shares for issuance under the Stock Plan. The Stock Plan provides for the grants of common stock. No awards may be issued after the ten year anniversary of the date we adopted the Stock Plan, the termination date for the plan.

On March 29, 2004, we filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-8 for the purpose of registering 1,000,000 common shares issuable under the Stock Plan under the Securities Act of 1933.

At March 31, 2005, 143,828 shares of common stock remain to be issued under the 2003 Consultant Stock Plan. To date we have issued 1,966,415 options (of which 637,800 have been exercised or cancelled) outside both the 2005 Directors Compensation Plan and 2000 Stock Option Plan.

2005 DIRECTORS COMPENSATION PROGRAM

Upon the recommendation of our Compensation Committee, in February 2005, we adopted our 2005 Directors Compensation Program (the "Directors Compensation Program") which advances our interest by help us to obtain and retain the services of outside directors services upon whose judgment, initiative, efforts and/or services we are substantially dependent, by offering to or providing those persons with incentives or inducements affording such persons an opportunity to become owners of our capital stock.

Under the Directors Compensation Program, a newly elected director will receive a one time grant of a non-qualified stock option of 1.5% of the common stock outstanding at the time of election. The options will vest one-third at the time of election to the board and the remaining two-thirds will vest equally at year end over three years. Additionally, each director will also receive an annual \$25,000 non-qualified stock option retainer, \$15,000 of which is to be paid at the first of the year to all directors who are on the Board prior to the first meeting of the year and a \$10,000 retainer will be paid if a director attends 75% of the meetings either in person, via conference call or other electronic means. The exercise price for the options under the Directors Compensation Program will equal the average closing of the last ten (10) trading days prior to the date earned. At March 31, 2005 under the 2005 Directors Compensation Program we had issued 1,337,825 options to outside directors and 3,965,450 options to employee-directors for a total of 5,303,275 options.

STAND-ALONE GRANTS

From time to time our Board of Directors grants common share purchase options or warrants to selected directors, officers, employees, consultants and advisors in payment of goods or services provided by such persons on a

stand-alone basis outside of any of our formal stock plans. The terms of these grants are individually negotiated.

ITEM 6. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report.

We recorded consolidated net losses of (\$2,096,951) or (\$0.15) per common share and (\$1,518,798) or (\$0.19) per common share for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Our consolidated operating expenses for fiscal 2005 were \$2,183,377 versus \$995,549 for fiscal year 2004. This increase in operating expenses amounting to \$1,187,828 or 119.00% is largely attributable to a increase in our professional fees by \$409,050 or 120.4%, to \$748,837, principally due to higher legal, accounting, technical and other professional services; an increase in

21

payroll and related expenses by \$582,838, or 139.6%, to \$1,000,324, principally due to an increase in the salary of our CEO, CSO and the addition of full-time administrative and laboratory personnel since mid-year; and an increase in general and administrative expenses in the amount of \$224,940, or 94.4% to \$434,216, due to increased insurance, warrant expense and rent costs. Our capital equipment expenditures were approximately \$30,000 in fiscal year 2005 and \$5,000 in 2004.

PLAN OF OPERATION

Our current plan of operation is to fund our anticipated increased research and development activities and operations for the near future through the common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital in May 2004, whereby Fusion Capital has committed to buy up to an additional \$6,000,000 of our common stock over a 30-month period, that commenced, at our election, after the SEC declared effective a registration statement under Form SB-2 on December 7, 2004 covering such shares. In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005, we received \$440,000 under this arrangement. However, no assurance can be given that we will receive any additional funds under our agreement with Fusion Capital. Based on our projections of additional employees and equipment for operations and to complete research, development and testing associated with our Hemopurifier(TM) products, we anticipate that these funds will satisfy our cash requirements, including this anticipated increase in operations, in excess of the next twelve months. However, due to market conditions, and to assure availability of funding for operations in the long term, we may arrange for additional funding, subject to acceptable terms, during the next twelve months.

We are a development stage medical device company that has not yet engaged in significant commercial activities. The primary focus of our resources is the advancement of our proprietary Hemopurifier(TM) platform treatment technology, which is designed to rapidly reduce the presence of infectious viruses and toxins in human blood. Our main focus during fiscal year 2004 was to prepare our HIV-Hemopurifier(TM) to treat HIV/AIDS, and our HCV-Hemopurifier(TM) to treat Hepatitis-C for human clinical trials. We are also working to advance pathogen filtration devices to treat infectious agents that may be used in biological warfare and terrorism. See "DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS" above.

We plan to continue our research and development activities related to our Hemopurifier(TM) platform technology, with particular emphasis on the advancement of our lead product candidates for the treatment of HIV/AIDS. We plan to continue our pre-clinical trials for both our HIV/AIDS Hemopurifier(TM) products as well as for our biodefense Hemopurifier(TM) products. We plan to conduct human clinical trials for HIV and HCV patients by early fall of 2005. We also plan to implement a regulatory strategy for the use of our Hemopurifier(TM) for biodefense treatments in fiscal year 2006 pursuant to a recent rule implemented by the FDA for medical countermeasures to weapons of mass destruction. Under this rule, in situations where it is deemed unethical to conduct efficacy studies in humans, a treatment can be reviewed for approval on the basis of efficacy in the most relevant animal species and safety data in humans.

We expect to add additional employees in the next twelve months, as required to support our increased research and development effort that will include expanding our goal beyond treating infectious diseases HIV/AIDS and Hepatitis-C and new applications to combat infectious agents that may be used in biological warfare and terrorism. This will involve designing Hemopurifier(TM) products that can be rapidly deployed by armed forces as wearable post-exposure treatments on the battlefield, as well as dialysis-based treatments for civilian populations. This will entail developing the new treatment device based on the same proprietary Hemopurifier(TM) filtration technology that is utilized in advancing our HIV/AIDS, and Hepatitis-C treatments. An important part of this will include our cooperative agreement with the National Center for Biodefense at George Mason University to jointly pursue business and funding opportunities within the federal government.

22

Accordingly, due to this increase in activity during the next twelve months, we anticipate continuing to increase our spending on research and development during this period. Additionally, associated with our anticipated increase in research and development expenditures, we anticipate purchasing additional amounts of equipment during this period to support our laboratory and testing operations.

Our operations to date have consumed substantial capital without generating revenues, and we will continue to require substantial and increasing capital funds to conduct necessary research and development and pre-clinical and clinical testing of our Hemopurifier(TM) products, as well as market any of those products that receive regulatory approval. We do not expect to generate revenue from operations for the foreseeable future, and our ability to meet our cash obligations as they become due and payable is expected to depend for at least the next several years on our ability to sell securities, borrow funds or a combination thereof. Our future capital requirements will depend upon many factors, including progress with pre-clinical testing and clinical trials, the number and breadth of our programs, the time and costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims and other proprietary rights, the time and costs involved in obtaining regulatory approvals, competing technological and market developments, as well as our ability to establish collaborative arrangements, effective commercialization, marketing activities and other arrangements. We expect to continue to incur increasing negative cash flows and net losses for the foreseeable future.

Convertible Notes and Notes

At March 31, 2005 there are no convertible notes outstanding. At March

31, 2004, there were two convertible notes outstanding. One in the amount of \$125,000, plus accrued interest, was converted to stock in September 2004. The second convertible note outstanding at March 31, 2004 in the amount of \$50,000 was converted to stock in 2004.

At March 31, 2005, there are \$537,500 in principal amount of notes outstanding with 16 noteholders. Our 12% one year notes in the principal amount of \$272,500, due between August 2000 and September 2001 have no acceleration provisions. We increased the interest to 15% in FY 2002. One 12% note in the amount of \$12,500 and a 10% note in the amount of \$10,000 were repaid in June and July 2004, respectively. Our remaining 10% note, in the principal amount of \$5,000, was due May 2002. The 10% notes have no acceleration provisions. One two-month note in the amount of \$150,000, due June 25, 2003, currently bears interest at 18%. The note's conversion rights have expired and it has no acceleration provisions. In October 2004, three 10% notes in the total amount of \$130,000 were issued with warrants attached. In November and December 2004, principal amounts of \$15,000 and \$5,000, respectively, of a 10% note issued in October 2004 were used to pay for the exercise of warrants, resulting in a reduction in the principal amount of the note. In December 2004, the Company repaid two \$25,000 12% promissory notes, including accrued interest, through the issuance of restricted common shares.

Securities Issued for Services

We have issued securities in payment of services to reduce our obligations and to avoid using our cash resources. In the year ended March 31, 2005 we issued 1,412,625 common shares for services. 854,978 of the shares issued were unregistered. We issued 468,604 restricted common shares for commitment and financing fees associated with the \$6 million commitment from Fusion Capital; 225,000 restricted common shares for payment of legal services associated with the related private placement and Form SB-2 registration statement, 10,715 restricted common shares for employment placement fees; 143,809 restricted common shares were issued for investor relations and 6,850 restricted common shares were issued for technical consulting. In addition, 557,647 shares, registered under a Form S-8 registration statement, were issued as follows: for corporate and SEC legal advice, 356,547 shares; for regulatory and technical consulting, 132,236 shares; for employment placement fee, 46,364 shares and for achievement of employee goals and objectives, 22,500 shares. The

23

value of services purchased with registered and restricted shares was approximately \$337,000. The average price discount of common stock issued for these services, weighted by the number of shares issued for services in this period, was approximately 36%.

In fiscal year 2004, we issued 335,714 restricted common shares consisting of 200,185 restricted common shares in payment of investor relations, consulting and services for investor research report on the Company and investor relations programs and investor meetings; 73,529 restricted common shares in payment of corporate legal services related to SEC filings, issuance of securities and general corporate matters; and 62,000 restricted common shares for consulting for biodefense marketing, and technical analytical services, all totaling approximately \$138,000. The average price discount of common stock issued for services in this period, weighted by the number of shares issued for services in this period, was approximately 46%.

Securities Issued for Debt

We have also issued securities for debt to reduce our obligations to avoid using our cash resources. In the fiscal year ended March 31, 2005 we issued 847,755 common shares for repayment in full of notes, including accrued interest. The price discount of common stock issued for debt in this period, weighted by number of shares issued for conversion of debt in this period, was approximately 41%, partially due to a substantial discount in the conversion of the \$125,000 convertible note in accordance with its original terms in 2001. In fiscal year 2004, we issued 813,365 shares of stock for debt. The average price discount of common stock issued for debt in this period, weighted by number of shares issued for conversion of debt in this period, was approximately 47%. The percentage excludes shares issued in one transaction determined by formula from a preexisting agreement.

Prospects for Debt Conversion

We seek, where possible, to convert our debt and accounts payable to stock and/or warrants in order to reduce our cash liabilities. Our success at accomplishing this depends on several factors including market conditions, investor acceptance and other factors, including our business prospects.

GOING CONCERN

Our independent registered public accounting firm has stated in their audit report on our March 31, 2005 consolidated financial statements, that we have a working capital deficiency and a significant deficiency accumulated during the development stage. These conditions, among others, raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes. The amounts of assets and liabilities reported on our balance sheet and the amounts of revenues and expenses reported for each of our fiscal periods are affected by estimates and assumptions, which are used for, but not limited to, the accounting for the issuance of various equity instruments and convertible notes payable. Actual results could differ from these estimates. The following critical accounting policies are significantly affected by judgments, assumptions and estimates used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements:

24

ACCOUNTING FOR TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING STOCK COMPENSATION

Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation No. 44 ("FIN 44"), "ACCOUNTING FOR CERTAIN TRANSACTIONS INVOLVING STOCK COMPENSATION, AN INTERPRETATION OF APB 25" clarifies the application of APB 25 for (a) the definition of employee for purposes of applying APB 25, (b) the criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a noncompensatory plan, (c) the accounting consequence for various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award, and (d) the accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination. FIN 44 is effective July 1, 2000, but certain provisions cover specific events that occur after either December 15, 1998, or January 12, 2000.

Under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK ISSUED TO EMPLOYEES," compensation expense is the excess, if any, of the estimated fair value of the stock at the grant date or other measurement date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. Compensation expense, if any, is recognized over the applicable service period, which is usually the vesting period.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") 123, "ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION," if fully adopted, changes the method of accounting for employee stock-based compensation plans to the fair value based method. For stock options and warrants, fair value is estimated using an option pricing model that takes into account the stock price at the grant date, the exercise price, the expected life of the option or warrant, stock volatility and the annual rate of quarterly dividends. Compensation expense, if any, is recognized over the applicable service period, which is usually the vesting period. The adoption of the accounting methodology of SFAS 123 is optional and we have elected to continue accounting for stock-based compensation issued to employees using APB 25; however, pro forma disclosures, as we adopted the cost recognition requirement under SFAS 123, are required to be presented.

SFAS 148, "ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION - TRANSITION AND DISCLOSURE, AN AMENDMENT OF FASB STATEMENT NO. 123," was issued in December 2002 and is effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. SFAS 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123-R, "Share-Based Payment," which requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions (including the cost of all employee stock options) be recognized in the financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the estimated fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. SFAS No. 123-R covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. SFAS No.123-R replaces SFAS No. 123 and supersedes APB 25. As originally issued, SFAS No. 123 established as preferable a fair-value-based method of accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees. However, that pronouncement permitted entities to continue applying the intrinsic-value model of APB 25, provided that the financial statements disclosed the pro forma net income or loss based on the preferable fair-value method.

Small Business Issuers are required to apply SFAS No. 123-R in the first interim or annual reporting period of the registrant's first fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2005. Thus, the Company's consolidated financial statements will reflect an expense for (a) all share-based compensation arrangements granted on or after January 1, 2006 and for any such arrangements that are modified, cancelled, or repurchased on or after that date, and (b) the portion of previous share-based awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered as of that date, based on the grant-date estimated fair value. Management has not yet determined the future effect of FAS 123-R on its consolidated financial statements.

STOCK PURCHASE WARRANTS ISSUED WITH NOTES PAYABLE

We granted warrants in connection with the issuance of certain notes payable. Under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 14, "ACCOUNTING FOR CONVERTIBLE DEBT AND DEBT ISSUED WITH STOCK PURCHASE WARRANTS," the relative estimated fair value of such warrants represents a discount from the face amount of the notes payable. Such discounts are amortized to interest expense over the term of the notes.

BENEFICIAL CONVERSION FEATURE OF CONVERTIBLE NOTES PAYABLE

The convertible feature of certain notes payable provides for a rate of conversion that is below market value. Such feature is normally characterized as a "beneficial conversion feature" ("BCF"). Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 98-5 ("EITF Issue No. 98-5"), "ACCOUNTING FOR CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES WITH BENEFICIAL CONVERSION FEATURES OR CONTINGENTLY ADJUSTABLE CONVERSION RATIO" and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-27, "APPLICATION OF EITF ISSUE NO. 98-5 TO CERTAIN CONVERTIBLE INSTRUMENTS," the estimated fair value of the BCF is recorded in the consolidated financial statements as a discount from the face amount of the notes. Such discounts are amortized to interest expense over the term of the notes.

IMPAIRMENT OR DISPOSAL OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS

SFAS 144, "ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND FOR LONG-LIVED ASSETS TO BE DISPOSED OF" addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. SFAS 144 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If the cost basis of a long-lived asset is greater than the projected future undiscounted net cash flows from such asset (excluding interest), an impairment loss is recognized. Impairment losses are calculated as the difference between the cost basis of an asset and its estimated fair value. SFAS 144 also requires companies to separately report discontinued operations and extends that reporting requirement to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, abandonment or in a distribution to owners) or is classified as held for sale. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or the estimated fair value less costs to sell. The Company adopted SFAS 144 on January 1, 2002. The provisions of this pronouncement relating to assets held for disposal generally are required to be applied prospectively after the adoption date to newly initiated commitments to sell or otherwise dispose of such asset, as defined, by management. As a result, management cannot determine the potential effects that adoption of SFAS 144 will have on the Company's financial statements with respect to future disposal decisions, if any. Management believes noted no indicators requiring review for impairment during the year ended March 31, 2005.

INCOME TAXES

Under SFAS 109, "ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES," deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to the difference between the consolidated financial statements and their respective tax basis. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (a) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts reported for income tax purposes, and (b) tax credit carryforwards. The Company records a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets when, based on management's best estimate of taxable income (if any) in the foreseeable future, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets may not be realized.

26

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources and would be considered material to investors.

RISK FACTORS

An investment in our common shares involves a high degree of risk and is subject to many uncertainties. These risks and uncertainties may adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. In such an event, the trading price for our common shares could decline substantially, and you could lose all or part of your investment. In order to attain an appreciation for these risks and uncertainties, you should read this annual report in its entirety and consider all of the information and advisements contained in this annual report, including the following risk factors and uncertainties.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS

WE HAVE A LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY WITH SIGNIFICANT LOSSES AND EXPECTLOSSES TO CONTINUE FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE.

We have yet to establish any history of profitable operations. We have not had any revenues for the past three years. We have incurred annual operating losses of \$2,183,377, \$995,549 and \$1,971,385, respectively, during the past three fiscal years of operation. As a result, at March 31, 2005, we had an accumulated operating deficit of \$14,600,917. We have incurred net losses from continuing operations of \$2,096,951, \$1,518,798 and \$2,361,116 for the fiscal years ending March 31, 2005, 2004 and 2003, respectively, during the past three years. As a result, at March 31, 2005, we had an accumulated deficit of \$19,142,264. Our revenues have not been sufficient to sustain our operations. We expect that our revenues will not be sufficient to sustain our operations for the foreseeable future. Our profitability will require the successful commercialization of our Hemopurifier(TM) technology. No assurances can be given when or if this will occur or that we will ever be profitable.

WE HAVE RECEIVED AN OPINION FROM OUR AUDITORS REGARDING OUR ABILITY TO CONTINUE AS A GOING CONCERN

Our independent auditors noted in their report accompanying our financial statements for our fiscal year ended March 31, 2005 that we had net losses since our inception, had a working capital deficit and that a significant amount of additional capital, approximately \$5,000,000 as estimated by management, will be necessary to advance the development of our products to the point at which we may become commercially viable and stated that those conditions raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Note 1 to our financial statements addressed management's plans to address these matters. We cannot assure you that our business plans will be successful in addressing these issues. This opinion about our ability to continue as a going concern could affect our ability to obtain additional financing at favorable terms, if at all, as such an opinion may cause investors to lose faith in our long term prospects. If we cannot successfully continue as a going concern, our shareholders may lose their entire investment in our common shares.

WE WILL REQUIRE ADDITIONAL FINANCING TO SUSTAIN OUR OPERATIONS AND WITHOUT IT WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO CONTINUE OPERATIONS.

At March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2004, we had a working capital deficit of approximately \$3,348,510 and \$3,930,000, respectively. The independent auditors' report for the year ended March 31, 2005, includes an explanatory paragraph stating that our recurring losses from operations and working capital deficiency raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We have a net operating cash flow deficit of \$1,559,366 for the year

27

ended March 31, 2005, a net operating cash flow deficit of \$542,056 for the year ended March 31, 2004 and for the year ended March 31, 2003, a net operating cash flow deficit of \$514,503. We do not currently have sufficient financial resources to fund our operations or those of our subsidiaries. Therefore, we need additional funds to continue these operations.

In our agreement with Fusion Capital, we have the right to receive \$10,000 per trading day unless our stock price equals or exceeds \$1.00, in which case the daily amount may be increased under certain conditions as the price of our common stock increases. Fusion Capital does not have the right nor the obligation to purchase any shares of our common stock on any trading days that the market price of our common stock is less than \$0.25. We initially registered, pursuant to the Form SB-2, 7,431,819 shares for sale by Fusion Capital (excluding the warrant to purchase 568,181 shares of common stock, the 568,181 shares of common stock already purchased by Fusion Capital and the shares of common stock issuable to Fusion Capital as commitment shares). As a result, the market price of our common stock to Fusion Capital will have to average at least \$.81 per share for us to receive, in addition to the \$250,000 we have already received from Fusion Capital, the maximum proceeds of \$6,250,000 without registering additional shares of common stock. Assuming a purchase price of \$0.25 per share (the closing market price of our common stock on June 15, 2005) and the purchase by Fusion Capital of the full 7,431,819 shares under the common stock purchase agreement, the remaining proceeds to us, taking into account the \$440,000 already purchased with 1,401,378 shares through March 31, 2005, would only be \$1,507,610 in addition to the \$250,000 we had already received before the SB-2 became effective, unless we choose to register more than 7,431,819 shares, which we have the right, but not the obligation, to do.

The extent we rely on Fusion Capital as a source of funding will depend on a number of factors including, the prevailing market price of our common stock and the extent to which we are able to secure working capital from other sources, such as through the commercialization or licensing of our Hemopurifier(TM) technology. If obtaining sufficient financing from Fusion Capital were to prove prohibitively expensive and if we are unable to commercialize and sell our Hemopurifier(TM) technology, we will need to secure another source of funding in order to satisfy our working capital needs. Even if we are able to access the full \$6,000,000 under the common stock purchase agreement with Fusion Capital (in addition to the \$250,000 we have already received), we may still need additional capital to fully implement our business, operating and development plans. Should the financing we require to sustain our working capital needs be unavailable or prohibitively expensive when we require it, the consequences would be a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, financial condition and prospects.

WE MAY FAIL TO OBTAIN GOVERNMENT CONTRACTS TO DEVELOP OUR

HEMOPURIFIER (TM) TECHNOLOGY FOR BIODEFENSE APPLICATIONS.

The U.S. Government has undertaken commitments to help secure improved countermeasures against bioterrorism. We have submitted two Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) grant proposals, one in 2002 and the other in April 2004, with the National Institutes of Health that relate to the use of our Hemopurifier (TM) as a countermeasure treatment against certain biological weapons and anticipate submitting further proposals on U.S. Government contracts. The first proposal in 2002 was reviewed but not scored. We expanded the proposal, submitted the proposal in 2004 and it was again reviewed but not scored. We intend to revise and resubmit the proposal in August 2005. We have not had any material discussions with the National Institutes of Health. According to the National Institutes of Health, approximately half of all proposals are not given a score. Proposals that are not scored are not eligible for funding. Proposals which are reviewed and scored may or may not be funded. The majority of SBIR proposals are therefore not funded. Delays in the review process come from several sources. There are only three SBIR application periods each year (April 1, August 1 and December 1). Since the review process takes four to six months to complete, two granting periods typically pass for each revision and response. For applications that are funded, an additional delay of six months is expected. We therefore should expect a response to the next proposal in February of 2006 and with approval, funding would be possible as early as September 2006.

28

The Hemopurifier (TM) has not been approved for use by any government agency, nor have we received any contracts to purchase the Hemopurifier (TM). Since inception, we have not generated revenues from the sale of any product based on our Hemopurifier (TM) technology platform. The process of obtaining government contracts is lengthy and uncertain and we must compete for each contract. Accordingly, we cannot be certain that we will be awarded any future government contracts utilizing our Hemopurifier (TM) platform technology. If the U.S. Government makes significant future contract awards to our competitors our business will be harmed.

IF THE U.S. GOVERNMENT FAILS TO PURCHASE SUFFICIENT QUANTITIES OF ANY FUTURE BIODEFENSE CANDIDATE UTILIZING OUR HEMOPURIFIER(TM) PLATFORM TECHNOLOGY, WE MAY BE UNABLE TO GENERATE SUFFICIENT REVENUES TO CONTINUE OPERATIONS.

We cannot be certain of the timing or availability of any future funding from the U.S. Government, and substantial delays or cancellations of funding could result from protests or challenges from third parties once such funding is obtained. If we develop products utilizing our Hemopurifier(TM) platform technology that are approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA"), but the U.S. Government does not place sufficient orders for these products, our future business will be harmed.

U.S. GOVERNMENT AGENCIES HAVE SPECIAL CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS, WHICH CREATE ADDITIONAL RISKS.

Our business plan to provide biodefense product candidates and HIV-Hemopurifier(TM) candidates may involve contracts with the U.S. Government. U.S. Government contracts typically contain unfavorable termination provisions and are subject to audit and modification by the government at its sole discretion, which subjects us to additional risks. These risks include the ability of the U.S. Government to unilaterally:

- o suspend or prevent us for a period of time from receiving new contracts or extending existing contracts based on violations or suspected violations of laws or regulations;
- o audit and object to our contract-related costs and fees, including allocated indirect costs;
- o control and potentially prohibit the export of our products; and
- o change certain terms and conditions in our contracts

If we were to become a U.S. Government contractor, we would be required to comply with applicable laws, regulations and standards relating to our accounting practices and would be subject to periodic audits and reviews. As part of any such audit or review, the U.S. Government may review the adequacy of, and our compliance with, our internal control systems and policies, including those relating to our purchasing, property, estimating, compensation and management information systems. Based on the results of its audits, the U.S. Government may adjust our contract-related costs and fees, including allocated indirect costs. In addition, if an audit or review uncovers any improper or illegal activity, we would possibly be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including termination of our contracts, forfeiture of profits, suspension of payments, fines and suspension or prohibition from doing business with the U.S. Government. We could also suffer serious harm to our reputation if allegations of impropriety were made against us. Although adjustments arising from government audits and reviews have not seriously harmed our business in the past, future audits and reviews could cause adverse effects. In addition, under U.S. Government purchasing regulations, some of our costs, including most financing costs, amortization of intangible assets, portions of

29

our research and development costs, and some marketing expenses, would possibly not be reimbursable or allowed under such contracts. Further, as a U.S. Government contractor, we would be subject to an increased risk of investigations, criminal prosecution, civil fraud, whistleblower lawsuits and other legal actions and liabilities to which purely private sector companies are

WE WILL FACE INTENSE COMPETITION FROM COMPANIES THAT HAVE GREATER FINANCIAL, PERSONNEL AND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT RESOURCES THAN OURS. THESE COMPETITIVE FORCES MAY IMPACT OUR PROJECTED GROWTH AND ABILITY TO GENERATE REVENUES AND PROFITS, WHICH WOULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR BUSINESS AND THE VALUE OF YOUR INVESTMENT.

Our competitors are developing vaccine candidates, which could compete with the Hemopurifier(TM) medical device candidates we are developing. Our commercial opportunities will be reduced or eliminated if our competitors develop and market products for any of the diseases that we target that:

- o are more effective;
- o have fewer or less severe adverse side effects;
- o are better tolerated;
- o are more adaptable to various modes of dosing;

- o are easier to administer; or
- o $\,$ are less expensive than the products or product candidates we are developing.

Even if we are successful in developing effective Hemopurifier(TM) products, and obtain FDA and other regulatory approvals necessary for commercializing them, our products may not compete effectively with other successful products. Researchers are continually learning more about diseases, which may lead to new technologies for treatment. Our competitors may succeed in developing and marketing products either that are more effective than those that we may develop, alone or with our collaborators, or that are marketed before any products we develop are marketed.

The Congress' passage of the Project BioShield Bill, a comprehensive effort to develop and make available modern, effective drugs and vaccines to protect against attack by biological and chemical weapons or other dangerous pathogens, may encourage competitors to develop their own product candidates. We cannot predict the decisions that will be made in the future by the various government agencies as a result of such legislation.

Our competitors include fully integrated pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies as well as universities and public and private research institutions. Many of the organizations competing with us, have substantially greater capital resources, larger research and development staffs and facilities, greater experience in product development and in obtaining regulatory approvals, and greater marketing capabilities than we do.

The market for medical devices is intensely competitive. Many of our potential competitors have longer operating histories, greater name recognition, more employees, and significantly greater financial, technical, marketing, public relations, and distribution resources than we have. This intense competitive environment may require us to make changes in our products, pricing, licensing, services or marketing to develop, maintain and extend our current technology. Price concessions or the emergence of other pricing or distribution strategies of competitors may diminish our revenues (if any), adversely impact our margins or lead to a reduction in our market share (if any), any of which may harm our business.

30

WE HAVE LIMITED MANUFACTURING EXPERIENCE.

To achieve the levels of production necessary to commercialize our Hemopurifier(TM) products, we will need secure manufacturing agreements with manufacturers which comply with good manufacturing practices standards and other standards prescribed by various federal, state and local regulatory agencies in the U.S. and any other country of use.

We have limited experience manufacturing products for testing purposes and no experience manufacturing products for large scale commercial purposes. We will likely outsource the manufacture of our Hemopurifier(TM) products to third parties operating FDA-certified facilities. To date, we have manufactured devices on a small scale for testing purposes. There can be no assurance that manufacturing and control problems will not arise as we attempt to commercialize our products or that such manufacturing can be completed in a timely manner or at a commercially reasonable cost. Any failure to surmount such problems could

delay or prevent commercialization of our products and would have a material adverse effect on us.

OUR HEMOPURIFER (TM) TECHNOLOGY MAY BECOME OBSOLETE.

Our Hemopurifier(TM) products may be made unmarketable by new scientific or technological developments where new treatment modalities are introduced that are more efficacious and/or more economical than our Hemopurifier(TM) products. The Homeland Security industry is growing rapidly with many competitors trying to develop products or vaccines to protect against infectious disease. Any one of our competitors could develop a more effective product which would render our technology obsolete.

OUR USE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, CHEMICALS AND VIRUSES REQUIRE US TO COMPLY WITH REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS AND EXPOSES US TO POTENTIAL LIABILITIES.

Our research and development involves the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals and viruses. The primary hazardous materials include chemicals needed to construct the Hemopurifier(TM) cartridges and HIV and Hepatitis C infected plasma samples used in preclinical test of the Hemopurifier(TM). All other chemicals are fully inventoried and reported to the appropriate authorities, such as the fire department, who inspect the facility on a regular basis. We are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws governing the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of such materials. Although we believe that our safety procedures for the use, manufacture, storage, handling and disposal of such materials comply with the standards prescribed by federal, state, local and foreign regulations, we cannot completely eliminate the risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials. We have had no incidents or problems involving hazardous chemicals or biological samples. In the event of such an accident, we could be held liable for significant damages or fines. We currently do not carry insurance to protect us from these damages. In addition, we may be required to incur significant costs to comply with regulatory requirements in the future.

WE ARE DEPENDENT FOR OUR SUCCESS ON A FEW KEY EXECUTIVE OFFICERS.OUR INABILITY TO RETAIN THOSE OFFICERS WOULD IMPEDE OUR BUSINESS PLAN AND GROWTH STRATEGIES, WHICH WOULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR BUSINESS AND THE VALUE OFYOUR INVESTMENT.

Our success depends to a critical extent on the continued services of our Chief Executive Officer, James A. Joyce, our Chief Financial Officer, Edward C. Hall and our Chief Science Officer, Richard H. Tullis. Were we to lose one or more of these key executive officers, we would be forced to expend significant time and money in the pursuit of a replacement, which would result in both a delay in the implementation of our business plan and the diversion of limited working capital. The loss of Dr. Tullis would harm the clinical development of our products due to his unique experience with the Hemopurifier(TM) technology. The loss of Dr. Tullis and/or Mr. Joyce would be detrimental to our growth as they possess unique knowledge of our business model and infectious disease which would be difficult to replace within the biotechnology field. We can give you no assurance that we can find satisfactory replacements for these key executive

31

officers at all, or on terms that are not unduly expensive or burdensome to our company. Although Mr. Joyce and Mr. Tullis have signed employment agreements providing for their continued service to our company, these agreements will not preclude them from leaving our company. Mr. Hall is a part-time employee and his

employment is severable by either party upon 30-days notice. We do not currently carry key man life insurance policies on any of our key executive officers which would assist us in recouping our costs in the event of the loss of those officers.

OUR INABILITY TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN QUALIFIED PERSONNEL COULD IMPEDE OUR ABILITY TO GENERATE REVENUES AND PROFITS AND TO OTHERWISE IMPLEMENT OUR BUSINESS PLAN AND GROWTH STRATEGIES, WHICH WOULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR BUSINESS AND COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE VALUE OF YOUR INVESTMENT.

We currently have an extremely small staff comprised of seven full time employees consisting of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Science Officer, our Director of Administrative Services, two research associates, a senior bioengineer and a lab manager, as well as other personnel employed on a contract basis. Although we believe that these employees, together with the consultants currently engaged by our company, will be able to handle most of our additional administrative, research and development and business development in the near term, we will nevertheless be required over the longer-term to hire highly skilled managerial, scientific and administrative personnel to fully implement our business plan and growth strategies. Due to the specialized scientific nature of our business, we are highly dependent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified scientific, technical and managerial personal. Competition for these individuals, especially in San Diego where many bio-technology companies are located, is intense and we may not be able to attract, assimilate or retain additional highly qualified personnel in the future. We cannot assure you that we will be able to engage the services of such qualified personnel at competitive prices or at all, particularly given the risks of employment attributable to our limited financial resources and lack of an established track record.

WE PLAN TO GROW VERY RAPIDLY, WHICH WILL PLACE STRAINS ON OUR MANAGEMENT TEAM AND OTHER COMPANY RESOURCES TO BOTH IMPLEMENT MORE SOPHISTICATED MANAGERIAL, OPERATIONAL AND FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, PROCEDURES AND CONTROLS AND TO TRAIN AND MANAGE THE PERSONNEL NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT THOSE FUNCTIONS. OUR INABILITY TO MANAGE OUR GROWTH COULD IMPEDE OUR ABILITY TO GENERATE REVENUES AND PROFITS AND TO OTHERWISE IMPLEMENT OUR BUSINESS PLAN AND GROWTH STRATEGIES, WHICH WOULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR BUSINESS AND THE VALUE OF YOUR INVESTMENT.

We will need to significantly expand our operations to implement our longer-term business plan and growth strategies. We will also be required to manage multiple relationships with various strategic partners, technology licensors, customers, manufacturers and suppliers, consultants and other third parties. This expansion and these expanded relationships will require us to significantly improve or replace our existing managerial, operational and financial systems, procedures and controls; to improve the coordination between our various corporate functions; and to manage, train, motivate and maintain a growing employee base. The time and costs to effectuate these steps may place a significant strain on our management personnel, systems and resources, particularly given the limited amount of financial resources and skilled employees that may be available at the time. We cannot assure you that we will institute, in a timely manner or at all, the improvements to our managerial, operational and financial systems, procedures and controls necessary to support our anticipated increased levels of operations and to coordinate our various corporate functions, or that we will be able to properly manage, train, motivate and retain our anticipated increased employee base.

WE MAY HAVE DIFFICULTY IN ATTRACTING AND RETAINING MANAGEMENT AND OUTSIDE INDEPENDENT MEMBERS TO OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS AS A RESULT OF THEIR CONCERNS RELATING TO THEIR INCREASED PERSONAL EXPOSURE TO LAWSUITS AND SHAREHOLDER CLAIMS BY VIRTUE OF HOLDING THESE POSITIONS IN A PUBLICLY-HELD COMPANY

32

The directors and management of publicly traded corporations are increasingly concerned with the extent of their personal exposure to lawsuits and shareholder claims, as well as governmental and creditor claims which may be made against them, particularly in view of recent changes in securities laws imposing additional duties, obligations and liabilities on management and directors. We may lose potential independent board members and management candidates to other companies in the biotechnology field that have revenues or have received greater funding to date which can offer greater compensation packages. The fees of directors are also rising in response to their increased duties, obligations and liabilities as well as increased exposure to such risks. As a company with a limited operating history and limited resources, we may have a more difficult time attracting and retaining management and outside independent directors than a more established company due to these enhanced duties, obligations and liabilities

IF WE FAIL TO COMPLY WITH EXTENSIVE REGULATIONS OF DOMESTIC AND FOREIGN REGULATORY AUTHORITIES, THE COMMERCIALIZATION OF OUR PRODUCT CANDIDATES COULD BE PREVENTED OR DELAYED.

Our pathogen filtration devices, or Hemopurifier(TM) products, are subject to extensive government regulations related to development, testing, manufacturing and commercialization in the United States and other countries. The determination of when and whether a product is ready for large scale purchase and potential use will be made by the government through consultation with a number of governmental agencies, including the FDA, the National Institutes of Health, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Department of Homeland Security. Our product candidates are in the pre-clinical and clinical stages of development and have not received required regulatory approval from the FDA to be commercially marketed and sold. The process of obtaining and complying with FDA and other governmental regulatory approvals and regulations is costly, time consuming, uncertain and subject to unanticipated delays. Such regulatory approval (if any) and product development requires several years. Despite the time and expense exerted, regulatory approval is never guaranteed. We also are subject to the following risks and obligations, among others.

- o The FDA may refuse to approve an application if they believe that applicable regulatory criteria are not satisfied.
- o The FDA may require additional testing for safety and effectiveness.
- o The FDA may interpret data from pre-clinical testing and clinical trials in different ways than we interpret them.
- o If regulatory approval of a product is granted, the approval may be limited to specific indications or limited with respect to its distribution.
- o The FDA may change their approval policies and/or adopt new regulations.

Failure to comply with these or other regulatory requirements of the FDA may subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions, including:

- o warning letters;
- o civil penalties;
- o criminal penalties;
- o injunctions;
- o product seizure or detention;
- o product recalls; and
- o total or partial suspension of productions.

33

DELAYS IN SUCCESSFULLY COMPLETING OUR CLINICAL TRIALS COULD JEOPARDIZE OUR ABILITY TO OBTAIN REGULATORY APPROVAL OR MARKET OUR HEMOPURIFIER (TM) PRODUCT CANDIDATES ON A TIMELY BASIS.

Our business prospects will depend on our ability to complete clinical trials, obtain satisfactory results, obtain required regulatory approvals and successfully commercialize our Hemopurifier(TM) product candidates. Completion of our clinical trials, announcement of results of the trials and our ability to obtain regulatory approvals could be delayed for a variety of reasons, including:

- o serious adverse events related to our medical device candidates;
- o unsatisfactory results of any clinical trial;
- o the failure of our principal third-party investigators to perform our clinical trials on our anticipated schedules; and/or
- o different interpretations of our pre-clinical and clinical data, which could initially lead to inconclusive results.

Our development costs will increase if we have material delays in any clinical trial or if we need to perform more or larger clinical trials than planned. If the delays are significant, or if any of our Hemopurifier(TM) product candidates do not prove to be safe or effective or do not receive required regulatory approvals, our financial results and the commercial prospects for our product candidates will be harmed. Furthermore, our inability to complete our clinical trials in a timely manner could jeopardize our ability to obtain regulatory approval.

THE INDEPENDENT CLINICAL INVESTIGATORS THAT WE RELY UPON TO CONDUCT OUR CLINICAL TRIALS MAY NOT BE DILIGENT, CAREFUL OR TIMELY, AND MAY MAKE MISTAKES, IN THE CONDUCT OF OUR CLINICAL TRIALS.

We depend on independent clinical investigators to conduct our clinical trials. The investigators are not our employees, and we cannot control the amount or timing of resources that they devote to our product development programs. If independent investigators fail to devote sufficient time and resources to our product development programs, or if their performance is substandard, it may delay FDA approval of our medical device candidates. These

independent investigators may also have relationships with other commercial entities, some of which may compete with us. If these independent investigators assist our competitors at our expense, it could harm our competitive position.

THE APPROVAL REQUIREMENTS FOR MEDICAL PRODUCTS USED TO FIGHT BIOTERRORISM ARE STILL EVOLVING, AND WE CANNOT BE CERTAIN THAT ANY PRODUCTS WE DEVELOP, IF EFFECTIVE, WOULD MEET THESE REQUIREMENTS.

We are developing product candidates based upon current governmental policies regulating these medical countermeasure treatments. For instance, we intend to pursue FDA approval of our proprietary pathogen filtration devices to treat infectious agents under requirements published by the FDA that allow the FDA to approve certain medical devices used to reduce or prevent the toxicity of chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear substances based on human clinical data to demonstrate safety and immune response, and evidence of effectiveness derived from appropriate animal studies and any additional supporting data. Our business is subject to substantial risk because these policies may change suddenly and unpredictably and in ways that could impair our ability to obtain regulatory approval of these products, and we cannot guarantee that the FDA will approve our proprietary pathogen filtration devices.

34

OUR PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS MAY NOT YIELD MARKETABLE PRODUCTS DUE TO RESULTS OF STUDIES OR TRIALS, FAILURE TO ACHIEVE REGULATORY APPROVALS OR MARKET ACCEPTANCE, PROPRIETARY RIGHTS OF OTHERS OR MANUFACTURING ISSUES.

Our success depends on our ability to successfully develop and obtain regulatory approval to market new filtration devices. We expect that a significant portion of the research that we will conduct will involve new and unproven technologies. Development of a product requires substantial technical, financial and human resources even if the product is not successfully completed.

Our previously planned products have not become marketable products due in part to our transition in 2001 from a focus on utilizing our Hemopurifier(TM) technology on treating harmful metals to treating infectious diseases prior to our having completed the FDA approval process. Our transition was made in order to focus on larger markets with an urgent need for new treatment and to take advantage of the sense of greater sense of urgency surrounding acute and chronic infectious diseases. Prior to initiating the development of infectious disease Hemopurifiers(TM), we successfully completed an FDA approved Phase I human safety trial of a Hemopurifier(TM) to treat aluminum and iron intoxication. Since changing the focus to infectious disease research, we have not initiated an FDA approved human clinical trial as the development of the technology is still continuing and will require both significant capital and scientific resources. Our pending products face similar challenges of obtaining successful clinical trials in route to gaining FDA approval prior to commercialization.

Additionally, our limited financial resources hinder the speed of our product development due to personal constraints.

Our potential products may appear to be promising at various stages of development yet fail to reach the market for a number of reasons, including the:

o lack of adequate quality or sufficient prevention benefit, or unacceptable safety during pre-clinical studies or clinical trials;

- o failure to receive necessary regulatory approvals;
- o existence of proprietary rights of third parties; and/or
- o inability to develop manufacturing methods that are efficient, cost-effective and capable of meeting stringent regulatory standards.

POLITICAL OR SOCIAL FACTORS MAY DELAY OR IMPAIR OUR ABILITY TO MARKET OUR PRODUCTS.

Products developed to treat diseases caused by or to combat the threat of bioterrorism will be subject to changing political and social environments. The political and social responses to bioterrorism have been highly charged and unpredictable. Political or social pressures may delay or cause resistance to bringing our products to market or limit pricing of our products, which would harm our business. Bioterrorism has become the focus of political debates especially with the upcoming presidential elections, both in terms of how to approach bioterrorism and the amount funding the government should provide for any programs involving homeland protection. Government funding for products on bioterrorism could be reduced which would hinder our ability to obtain governmental grants.

OUR INABILITY TO PROTECT OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS COULD NEGATIVELY IMPACT OUR PROJECTED GROWTH AND ABILITY TO GENERATE REVENUES AND PROFITS, WHICH WOULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR BUSINESS AND THE VALUE OF YOUR INVESTMENT.

We rely on a combination of patent, patent pending, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws, proprietary rights agreements and non-disclosure agreements to protect our intellectual properties. We cannot give you any assurance that these measures will prove to be effective in protecting our intellectual properties.

35

In the case of patents, we cannot give you any assurance that our existing patents will not be invalidated, that any patents that we currently or prospectively apply for will be granted, or that any of these patents will ultimately provide significant commercial benefits. Further, competing companies may circumvent any patents that we may hold by developing products which closely emulate but do not infringe our patents. While we intend to seek patent protection for our products in selected foreign countries, those patents may not receive the same degree of protection as they would in the United States. We can give you no assurance that we will be able to successfully defend our patents and proprietary rights in any action we may file for patent infringement. Similarly, we cannot give you any assurance that we will not be required to defend against litigation involving the patents or proprietary rights of others, or that we will be able to obtain licenses for these rights. Legal and accounting costs relating to prosecuting or defending patent infringement litigation may be substantial. Since many of our patents were issued in the 1980's, they may expire before FDA approval, if any, is obtained. However, we believe that certain patent applications filed and/or other patents issued more recently will help to protect the proprietary nature of the Hemopurifier(TM) treatment technology.

The Hemopurifier (TM) is protected by seven issued patents, in the United States, Europe and Japan, six of which we own and one which we own the

exclusive license. Three additional patent applications deal with treatments for virus infection and manufacturing methods, two of which we own and one which we own the exclusive license.

We also rely on proprietary designs, technologies, processes and know-how not eligible for patent protection. We cannot give you any assurance that our competitors will not independently develop the same or superior designs, technologies, processes and know-how.

While we have and will continue to enter into proprietary rights agreements with our employees and third parties giving us proprietary rights to certain technology developed by those employees or parties while engaged by our company, we can give you no assurance that courts of competent jurisdiction will enforce those agreements.

THE PATENTS WE OWN COMPRISE A MAJORITY OF OUR ASSETS WHICH COULD LIMIT OUR FINANCIAL VIABILITY.

The Hemopurifier (TM) is protected by seven issued patents, in the United States, Europe and Japan, six of which we own and one which we own the exclusive license. These patents comprise a majority of our assets. At March 31, 2005, our patents comprised 71.2% of all assets. If our existing patents are invalidated or if they fail to provide significant commercial benefits, it will severely hurt our financial condition as a majority of our assets would lose their value. Further, since our patents are written down over the course of their term until they expire, our assets comprised of patents will continually be written down until they lose value altogether.

LEGISLATIVE ACTIONS AND POTENTIAL NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS ARE LIKELY TO IMPACT OUR FUTURE FINANCIAL POSITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

There have been regulatory changes, including the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and there may potentially be new accounting pronouncements or additional regulatory rulings which will have an impact on our future financial position and results of operations. The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and other rule changes as well as proposed legislative initiatives following the Enron bankruptcy have increased our general and administrative costs as we have incurred increased legal and accounting fees to comply with such rule changes. Further, proposed initiatives are expected to result in changes in certain accounting rules, including legislative and other proposals to account for employee stock options as a compensation expense. These and other potential changes could materially increase the expenses we report under generally accepted accounting principles, and adversely affect our operating results.

36

OUR PRODUCTS MAY BE SUBJECT TO RECALL OR PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS.

Our Hemopurifier (TM) products may be used in connection with medical procedures in which it is important that those products function with precision and accuracy. If our products do not function as designed, or are designed improperly, we may be forced by regulatory agencies to withdraw such products from the market. In addition, if medical personnel or their patients suffer injury as a result of any failure of our products to function as designed, or an inappropriate design, we may be subject to lawsuits seeking significant compensatory and punitive damages. The risk of product liability claims, product recalls and associated adverse publicity is inherent in the testing, manufacturing, marketing and sale of medical products. We do not have clinical

trial liability insurance coverage. There can be no assurance that future insurance coverage will to be adequate or available. We may not be able to secure product liability insurance coverage on acceptable terms or at reasonable costs when needed. Any product recall or lawsuit seeking significant monetary damages may have a material affect on our business and financial condition. Any liability for mandatory damages could exceed the amount of our coverage. Moreover, a product recall could generate substantial negative publicity about our products and business and inhibit or prevent commercialization of other future product candidates.

RISKS RELATING TO AN INVESTMENT IN OUR SECURITIES

TO DATE, WE HAVE NOT PAID ANY CASH DIVIDENDS AND NO CASH DIVIDENDS WILL BE PAID IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE.

We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common shares in the foreseeable future, and we cannot assure an investor that funds will be legally available to pay dividends, or that even if the funds are legally available, that the dividends will be paid.

THE APPLICATION OF THE "PENNY STOCK" RULES COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON SHARES AND INCREASE YOUR TRANSACTION COSTS TO SELL THOSE SHARES.

As long as the trading price of our common shares is below \$5 per share, the open-market trading of our common shares will be subject to the "penny stock" rules. The "penny stock" rules impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell securities to persons other than established customers and accredited investors (generally those with assets in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 together with their spouse). For transactions covered by these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchase of securities and have received the purchaser's written consent to the transaction before the purchase. Additionally, for any transaction involving a penny stock, unless exempt, the broker-dealer must deliver, before the transaction, a disclosure schedule prescribed by the SEC relating to the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must disclose the commissions payable to both the broker-dealer and the registered representative and current quotations for the securities. Finally, monthly statements must be sent disclosing recent price information on the limited market in penny stocks. These additional burdens imposed on broker-dealers may restrict the ability or decrease the willingness of broker-dealers to sell our common shares, and may result in decreased liquidity for our common shares and increased transaction costs for sales and purchases of our common shares as compared to other securities.

OUR COMMON SHARES ARE THINLY TRADED, SO YOU MAY BE UNABLE TO SELL AT OR NEAR ASK PRICES OR AT ALL IF YOU NEED TO SELL YOUR SHARES TO RAISE MONEY OR OTHERWISE DESIRE TO LIQUIDATE YOUR SHARES.

Our common shares have historically been sporadically or "thinly-traded" on the OTCBB, meaning that the number of persons interested in purchasing our common shares at or near ask prices at any given time may be relatively small or non-existent. As of June 30, 2005, our average trading volume per day for the past three months was approximately 58,657 shares a day

situation is attributable to a number of factors, including the fact that we are a small company which is relatively unknown to stock analysts, stock brokers, institutional investors and others in the investment community that generate or influence sales volume, and that even if we came to the attention of such persons, they tend to be risk-averse and would be reluctant to follow an unproven company such as ours or purchase or recommend the purchase of our shares until such time as we became more seasoned and viable. As a consequence, there may be periods of several days or more when trading activity in our shares is minimal or non-existent, as compared to a seasoned issuer which has a large and steady volume of trading activity that will generally support continuous sales without an adverse effect on share price. We cannot give you any assurance that a broader or more active public trading market for our common shares will develop or be sustained, or that current trading levels will be sustained.

Fusion Capital's purchase of \$10,000 of our common stock each trading day could cause our common stock price to decline due to the additional shares available in the market, particularly in light of the relatively thin trading volume of our common stock. Using the closing price on June 15, 2005, of \$0.25 as an example, Fusion Capital would be issued approximately 40,000 shares each trading day if we elected to have them purchase the daily purchase amount, whereas our average trading volume for the prior three months is 84,435 per day. The market price of our common stock could decline given our minimal average trading volume compared to the number of shares potentially issuable to Fusion Capital and the voting power and value of your investment would be subject to continual dilution if Fusion Capital purchases the shares and resells those shares into the market, although there is no obligation for Fusion Capital to sell such shares. Any adverse affect on the market price of our common stock would increase the number of shares issuable to Fusion Capital each trading day which would increase the dilution of your investment. Although we have the right to reduce or suspend Fusion Capital purchases at any time, our financial condition at the time may require us to waive our right to suspend purchases even if there is a decline in the market price. Sales of large amount of these shares in the public market could substantially depress the prevailing market prices for our shares, especially with our thin trading volume as there would be difficulty for the market to absorb the sale of such shares without an adverse effect on the share price. If that were to happen, the value of your investment could decline substantially.

Contractual 9.9% beneficial ownership limitations prohibit Fusion Capital, together with its affiliates, from beneficially owning more than 9.9% of our outstanding common stock. This 9.9% limitation does not prevent Fusion Capital from purchasing shares of our common stock and then reselling those shares in stages over time where Fusion Capital and its affiliates do not, at any given time, beneficially own shares in excess of the 9.9% limitation. Consequently, these limitations will not necessarily prevent substantial dilution of the voting power and value of your investment.

THE MARKET PRICE FOR OUR COMMON SHARES IS PARTICULARLY VOLATILE GIVEN OUR STATUS AS A RELATIVELY UNKNOWN COMPANY WITH A SMALL AND THINLY-TRADED PUBLIC FLOAT, LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY AND LACK OF REVENUES WHICH COULD LEAD TO WIDE FLUCTUATIONS IN OUR SHARE PRICE. THE PRICE AT WHICH YOU PURCHASE OUR COMMON SHARES MAY NOT BE INDICATIVE OF THE PRICE THAT WILL PREVAIL IN THE TRADING MARKET. YOU MAY BE UNABLE TO SELL YOUR COMMON SHARES AT OR ABOVE YOUR PURCHASE PRICE, WHICH MAY RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL LOSSES TO YOU.

The market for our common shares is characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our share price will continue to be more volatile than a seasoned issuer for the indefinite future. In fact, during the 52-week period ended June 30, 2005, the high and low sale prices of a share of our common stock were \$1.00 and \$0.22, respectively. The volatility in our share price is attributable to a number of factors. First, as noted above, our common shares are sporadically and/or thinly traded. As a

38

consequence of this lack of liquidity, the trading of relatively small quantities of shares by our shareholders may disproportionately influence the price of those shares in either direction. The price for our shares could, for example, decline precipitously in the event that a large number of our common shares are sold on the market without commensurate demand, as compared to a seasoned issuer which could better absorb those sales without adverse impact on its share price. Secondly, we are a speculative or "risky" investment due to our limited operating history and lack of revenues or profits to date, and uncertainty of future market acceptance for our potential products. As a consequence of this enhanced risk, more risk-adverse investors may, under the fear of losing all or most of their investment in the event of negative news or lack of progress, be more inclined to sell their shares on the market more quickly and at greater discounts than would be the case with the stock of a seasoned issuer. The following factors may add to the volatility in the price of our common shares: actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly or annual operating results; acceptance of our proprietary technology as viable method of augmenting the immune response of clearing viruses and toxins from human blood; government regulations, announcements of significant acquisitions, strategic partnerships or joint ventures; our capital commitments; and additions or departures of our key personnel. Many of these factors are beyond our control and may decrease the market price of our common shares, regardless of our operating performance. We cannot make any predictions or projections as to what the prevailing market price for our common shares will be at any time, including as to whether our common shares will sustain their current market prices, or as to what effect that the sale of shares or the availability of common shares for sale at any time will have on the prevailing market price.

Shareholders should be aware that, according to SEC Release No. 34-29093, the market for penny stocks has suffered in recent years from patterns of fraud and abuse. Such patterns include (1) control of the market for the security by one or a few broker-dealers that are often related to the promoter or issuer; (2) manipulation of prices through prearranged matching of purchases and sales and false and misleading press releases; (3) boiler room practices involving high-pressure sales tactics and unrealistic price projections by inexperienced sales persons; (4) excessive and undisclosed bid-ask differential and markups by selling broker-dealers; and (5) the wholesale dumping of the same securities by promoters and broker-dealers after prices have been manipulated to a desired level, along with the resulting inevitable collapse of those prices and with consequent investor losses. Our management is aware of the abuses that have occurred historically in the penny stock market. Although we do not expect to be in a position to dictate the behavior of the market or of broker-dealers who participate in the market, management will strive within the confines of practical limitations to prevent the described patterns from being established with respect to our securities. The occurrence of these patterns or practices could increase the volatility of our share price.

VOLATILITY IN OUR COMMON SHARE PRICE MAY SUBJECT US TO SECURITIES LITIGATION.

The market for our common shares is characterized by significant price volatility when compared to seasoned issuers, and we expect that our share price will continue to be more volatile than a seasoned issuer for the indefinite future. In the past, plaintiffs have often initiated securities class action litigation against a company following periods of volatility in the market price of its securities. We may in the future be the target of similar litigation.

Securities litigation could result in substantial costs and liabilities and could divert management's attention and resources.

OUR OFFICERS AND DIRECTORS OWN OR CONTROL APPROXIMATELY 15% EXCLUDING ALL OPTIONS AND WARRANTS EXERCISABLE WITHIN 60 DAYS OF JUNE 30, 2005) OF OUR OUTSTANDING COMMON SHARES, WHICH MAY LIMIT THE ABILITY OF YOURSELF OR OTHER SHAREHOLDERS, WHETHER ACTING INDIVIDUALLY OR TOGETHER, TO PROPOSE OR DIRECT THE MANAGEMENT OR OVERALL DIRECTION OF OUR COMPANY. ADDITIONALLY, THIS CONCENTRATION OF OWNERSHIP COULD DISCOURAGE OR PREVENT A POTENTIAL TAKEOVER OF OUR COMPANY THAT MIGHT OTHERWISE RESULT IN YOU RECEIVING A PREMIUM OVER THE MARKET PRICE FOR YOUR COMMON SHARES.

39

As of June 30, 2005 our officers and directors beneficially own or control approximately 15% (excluding all options and warrants exercisable within 60 days of June 30, 2005) of our outstanding common shares. These persons will have the ability to control substantially all matters submitted to our shareholders for approval and to control our management and affairs, including extraordinary transactions such as mergers and other changes of corporate control, and going private transactions.

A LARGE NUMBER OF COMMON SHARES ARE ISSUABLE UPON EXERCISE OF OUTSTANDING COMMON SHARE PURCHASE OPTIONS, WARRANTS AND CONVERTIBLE PROMISSORY NOTES. THE EXERCISE OR CONVERSION OF THESE SECURITIES COULD RESULT IN THE SUBSTANTIAL DILUTION OF YOUR INVESTMENT IN TERMS OF YOUR PERCENTAGE OWNERSHIP IN THE COMPANY AS WELL AS THE BOOK VALUE OF YOUR COMMON SHARES. THE SALE OF A LARGE AMOUNT OF COMMON SHARES RECEIVED UPON EXERCISE OF THESE OPTIONS OR WARRANTS ON THE PUBLIC MARKET TO FINANCE THE EXERCISE PRICE OR TO PAY ASSOCIATED INCOME TAXES, OR THE PERCEPTION THAT SUCH SALES COULD OCCUR, COULD SUBSTANTIALLY DEPRESS THE PREVAILING MARKET PRICES FOR OUR SHARES.

As of June 30, 2005, there are outstanding non-variable priced common share purchase options and warrants entitling the holders to purchase 11,101,158 common shares at a weighted average exercise price of \$0.80 and \$3.02, respectively per share. There are no shares underlying promissory notes convertible into common stock. The exercise price for all of the aforesaid warrants, may be less than your cost to acquire our common shares. In the event of the exercise of these securities, you could suffer substantial dilution of your investment in terms of your percentage ownership in the company as well as the book value of your common shares. In addition, the holders of the common share purchase options or warrants may sell common shares in tandem with their exercise of those options or warrants to finance that exercise, or may resell the shares purchased in order to cover any income tax liabilities that may arise from their exercise of the options or warrants.

OUR ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL COMMON SHARES, OR OPTIONS OR WARRANTS TO PURCHASE THOSE SHARES, WOULD DILUTE YOUR PROPORTIONATE OWNERSHIP AND VOTING RIGHTS.

We are entitled under our certificate of incorporation to issue up to 50,000,000 shares of common stock. After taking into consideration our outstanding common stock at June 30, 2005, we will be entitled to issue up to 31,193,772 additional common shares. Our board may generally issue shares of common stock, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, without further approval by our shareholders based upon such factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant at that time. It is likely that we will be required to issue a large amount of additional securities to raise capital to further our

development. It is also likely that we will be required to issue a large amount of additional securities to directors, officers, employees and consultants as compensatory grants in connection with their services, both in the form of stand-alone grants or under our stock plans. We cannot give you any assurance that we will not issue additional shares of common stock, or options or warrants to purchase those shares, under circumstances we may deem appropriate at the time.

OUR ISSUANCE OF ADDITIONAL COMMON SHARES IN EXCHANGE FOR SERVICES OR TOREPAY DEBT, WOULD DILUTE YOUR PROPORTIONATE OWNERSHIP AND VOTING RIGHTS AND COULD HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

Our board may generally issue shares of common stock to pay for debt or services, without further approval by our shareholders based upon such factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant at that time. For the past three years, we issued a total of 2,861,123 shares for debt to reduce our obligations, including accrued interest. The average price discount of common stock issued for debt in this period, weighted by the number of shares issued for debt in such period was approximately 32%, 47% and 41% for the years ended 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. For the past three years we issued a total of 1,545,044 shares in payment for services. The average price discount of common stock issued for services for services in this period, weighted by the number of shares issued for services in such period was (54%), 46% and 36% for the years

40

ended 2003, 2004 and 2005, respectively. It is likely that we will issue additional securities to pay for services and reduce debt in the future. We cannot give you any assurance that we will not issue additional shares of common stock under circumstances we may deem appropriate at the time.

THE SALE OF OUR COMMON STOCK TO FUSION CAPITAL MAY CAUSE DILUTION AND THE SALE OF THE SHARES OF COMMON STOCK ACQUIRED BY FUSION CAPITAL COULD CAUSE THE PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK TO DECLINE.

The purchase price for the common stock to be issued to Fusion Capital pursuant to the common stock purchase agreement will fluctuate based on the price of our common stock. All shares in this offering are freely tradable. Fusion Capital may sell none, some or all of the shares of common stock purchased from us at any time. We expect that the remaining shares under the agreement will be sold over a period of up to 30 months from December 7, 2004. Depending upon market liquidity at the time, a sale of shares at any given time could cause the trading price of our common stock to decline. The sale of a substantial number of shares of our common stock to Fusion Capital, or anticipation of such sales, could make it more difficult for us to sell equity or equity-related securities in the future at a time and at a price that we might otherwise wish to effect sales.

THE ELIMINATION OF MONETARY LIABILITY AGAINST OUR DIRECTORS, OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES UNDER OUR CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION AND THE EXISTENCE OF INDEMNIFICATION RIGHTS TO OUR DIRECTORS, OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES MAY RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL EXPENDITURES BY OUR COMPANY AND MAY DISCOURAGE LAWSUITS AGAINST OUR DIRECTORS, OFFICERS AND EMPLOYEES.

Our certificate of incorporation contains provisions which eliminate the liability of our directors for monetary damages to our company and shareholders. Our bylaws also require us to indemnify our officers and directors. We may also have contractual indemnification obligations under our

agreements with our directors, officers and employees. The foregoing indemnification obligations could result in our company incurring substantial expenditures to cover the cost of settlement or damage awards against directors, officers and employees, which we may be unable to recoup. These provisions and resultant costs may also discourage our company from bringing a lawsuit against directors, officers and employees for breaches of their fiduciary duties, and may similarly discourage the filing of derivative litigation by our shareholders against our directors, officers and employees even though such actions, if successful, might otherwise benefit our company and shareholders.

ANTI-TAKEOVER PROVISIONS MAY IMPEDE THE ACQUISITION OF OUR COMPANY.

Certain provisions of the Nevada General Corporation Law have anti-takeover effects and may inhibit a non-negotiated merger or other business combination. These provisions are intended to encourage any person interested in acquiring us to negotiate with, and to obtain the approval of, our Board of Directors in connection with such a transaction. However, certain of these provisions may discourage a future acquisition of us, including an acquisition in which the shareholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares. As a result, shareholders who might desire to participate in such a transaction may not have the opportunity to do so.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements listed in the accompanying Index to Financial Statements are attached hereto and filed as a part of this Report under Item 13.

ITEM 8. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

41

ITEM 8A. EVALUATION OF CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act as of a date (the "Evaluation Date") within 90 days prior to filing the Company's March 31, 2005 Form 10-KSB. Based upon that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that, as of March 31, 2005, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective in timely alerting management to the material information relating to us (or our consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in our periodic filings with the SEC. Based on their most recent evaluation as of the Evaluation Date, our CEO and the CFO have also concluded that there are no significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls over financial reporting, at the reasonable assurance level, which are reasonably likely to adversely affect our ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information, and such officers have identified no material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting.

CHANGES IN CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

There were no significant changes made in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2005 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect these controls. Thus, no

corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies or material weaknesses were necessary.

LIMITATIONS ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERNAL CONTROL

Our management, including the CEO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will necessarily prevent all fraud and material errors. An internal control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations on all internal control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Aethlon Medical have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, and/or by management override of the control. The design of any system of internal control is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in circumstances, and/or the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective internal control system, financial reporting misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected on a timely basis.

42

PART III

ITEM 9. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS; COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(A) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our officers, directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and Nasdaq. Officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16 (a) forms they file. We believe that all filing requirements applicable to its officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners were complied with, except Mr. Calvin Leung, one of our directors, filed a late Form 4 reporting the award of stock options to purchase 339,400 shares of our common stock.

DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, PROMOTERS AND CONTROL PERSONS

The names, ages and positions of our directors and executive officers as of March 31, 2005 are listed below:

NAMES TITLE OR POSITION AGE

James A. Joyce (1)

Chairman, President, Chief Executive

43

Officer and Secretary

Richard H. Tullis, PhD (2)	Vice President, Chief Science Officer and Director	60
Edward C. Hall (3)	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	64
Franklyn S. Barry, Jr.	Director	65
Edward G. Broenniman	Director	68
Calvin M. Leung (4)	Director	67

- (1) Effective June 1, 2001, Mr. Joyce was appointed our President and Chief Executive Officer, replacing Mr. Barry, who continues as a member of the Board of Directors. Mr. Barry also served as a consultant to us on strategic business issues from June 1, 2001 to May 31, 2003.
- (2) Effective June 1, 2001, Dr. Tullis was appointed as our Chief Science Officer, replacing Dr. Clara M. Ambrus, who retired.
- (3) Effective August 14, 2002, Mr. Hall was elected our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, replacing Robert S. Stefanovich, who resigned July 26, 2002.
- (4) Effective June 30, 2003, Mr. Leung was elected to our Board of Directors.

43

Resumes of Management:

James A. Joyce, Chairman, President and CEO

Mr. Joyce is the founder of Aethlon Medical, and has been the Chairman of the Board and Secretary since March 1999. On June 1, 2001, our Board of Directors appointed Mr. Joyce with the additional roles of President and CEO. In 1992, Mr. Joyce founded and was the sole shareholder in James Joyce & Associates, an organization that provided management consulting and corporate finance advisory services to CEOs and CFOs of publicly traded companies. Previously, from 1989 to 1991, Mr. Joyce was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Mission Labs, Inc. Prior to that Mr. Joyce was a principal in charge of U.S. operations for London Zurich Securities, Inc. Mr. Joyce is a graduate from the University of Maryland.

Edward C. Hall, Vice President, Chief Financial Officer

Mr. Hall has been Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of the Company since August 2002, on a part-time basis. Mr. Hall spends time as CFO as required by the needs of the Company's business, which have increased in the last year. Currently, the time that Mr. Hall spends on our business ranges from several hours to several days per week, depending on the fluctuating financial management requirements of the business. Mr. Hall has held senior financial executive positions with both public and privately-held life sciences and technology companies for over 25 years. In the last five years, prior to his

appointment as Chief Financial Officer of Aethlon Medical, he served as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of three companies: Chromagen, Inc, a private biotech tools company which develops proteomic and genomic assays for use in drug discovery; Cytel Corporation, a public biotech company and developer of anti-inflammatory drugs and Medical Device Technologies, a public medical device company. Mr. Hall is also Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Alliance Pharmaceutical Corp., a public research-based pharmaceutical development company, and he is a Partner of Tatum CFO Partners, LLP.

Richard H. Tullis, Ph.D., Vice President, Chief Science Officer

Dr. Tullis has been Vice President and a director of the Company since January 2000 and Chief Science Officer since June 2001. Dr. Tullis has extensive biotechnology management and research experience, and is the founder of Syngen Research, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Aethlon Medical, Inc. Previously, Dr. Tullis co-founded Molecular Biosystems, Inc., a former NYSE company. At Molecular Biosystems, Dr. Tullis was Director of Oligonucleotide Hybridization, Senior Research Scientist and Member of the Board of Directors. In research, Dr. Tullis developed and patented the first application of oligonucleotides to antisense antibiotics and developed new methods for the chemical synthesis of DNA via methoxy- hosphorochloridites. Dr. Tullis also co-developed the first applications of covalently coupled DNA-enzyme conjugates using synthetic oligonucleotides during his tenure at Molecular Biosystems. In 1985, Dr. Tullis founded, and served as President and CEO of Synthetic Genetics, Inc., a pioneer in custom DNA synthesis, which was sold to Molecular Biology Resources in 1991. Dr. Tullis also served as interim-CEO of Genetic Vectors, Inc., which completed its IPO under his management, and was co-founder of DNA Sciences, Inc., a company that was eventually acquired by Genetic Vectors. Dr. Tullis received his Ph.D. in Biochemistry and Cell Biology from the University of California at San Diego, and has done extensive post-doctoral work at UCSD, USC, and the University of Hawaii.

44

Franklyn S. Barry, Jr.

Mr. Barry has over 25 years of experience in managing and building companies. He was President and Chief Executive Officer of Hemex from April 1997 through May 31, 2001 and our President and CEO from March 10, 1999 to May 31, 2001. He became a director of Aethlon Medical on March 10, 1999. From 1994 to April 1997, Mr. Barry was a private consultant. Included among his prior experiences are tenures as President of Fisher-Price and as co-founder and CEO of Software Distribution Services, which today operates as Ingram Micro-D, an international distributor of personal computer products. Mr. Barry serves on the Board of Directors of Merchants Mutual Insurance Company.

Edward G. Broenniman

Mr. Broenniman became a director of Aethlon Medical on March 10, 1999. Mr. Broenniman has 30 years of management and executive experience with high-tech, privately-held growth firms where he has served as a CEO, COO, or corporate advisor, using his expertise to focus management on increasing profitability and stockholder value. He is the Managing Director of The Piedmont Group, LLC, a venture advisory firm. Mr. Broenniman currently serves on the boards of two privately-held firms. His nonprofit Boards are the Dingman Center

for Entrepreneurship's Board of Advisors at the University of Maryland, the National Association of Corporate Directors, National Capital Chapter and the Board of the Association for Corporate Growth, National Capital Chapter.

Calvin M. Leung

Mr. Leung became a director of Aethlon Medical on June 30, 2003. He is the President of Mandarin Investment Corporation, specializing in investment, development and management of mobile home and recreational vehicle parks in California, Arizona and the Midwest since 1975. He has syndicated a number of land and housing developments in the western United States.

Mr. Leung, born in Hong Kong, received his advanced education in the United States where he was awarded a doctorate degree in psychology specializing in experimental research. He taught at the university level for several years.

Our Board of Directors has the responsibility for establishing broad corporate policies and for overseeing our overall performance. Members of the Board are kept informed of our business activities through discussions with the President and other officers, by reviewing analyses and reports sent to them, and by participating in Board and committee meetings. Our bylaws provide that each of the directors serves for a term that extends to the next Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company. Our Board of Directors presently has an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee on each of which Messrs. Barry, Broenniman and Leung serve. Mr. Barry is Chairman of the Audit Committee, and Mr. Broenniman is Chairman of the Compensation Committee.

Non-employee Board members are earning stock options and cash compensation according to the Directors Compensation Program approved in February 2005.

FAMILY RELATIONSHIPS.

There are no family relationships between or among the directors, executive officers or persons nominated or charged by us to become directors or executive officers.

There are no arrangements or understandings between any two or more of our directors or executive officers. There is no arrangement or understanding between any of our directors or executive officers and any other person pursuant

45

to which any director or officer was or is to be selected as a director or officer, and there is no arrangement, plan or understanding as to whether non-management shareholders will exercise their voting rights to continue to elect the current Board of Directors. There are also no arrangements, agreements or understanding between non-management shareholders that may directly or indirectly participate in or influence the management of our affairs.

REGULATORY AND CLINICAL ADVISOR

Kenneth R. Michael, Pharm.D. R.A.C.

Dr. Michael is the President of KRM Associates LLC, a regulatory and clinical affairs consulting organization. He is the former VP of Regulatory

Affairs and Quality Assurance at Siemens Medical Systems, and he is the founder, past President and Chairman of The Regulatory Affairs Professional Society. He is also the founder of the San Diego Regulatory Affairs Network.

SCIENCE ADVISORY BOARD

Each person listed below is a current member of our Science Advisory Board. The role of the Science Advisory Board is to provide scientific guidance related to the development of our Hemopurifier(TM) technology. Unlike the members of our Board of Directors, the Science Advisory Board members are not involved in the management or operations of our company. Members of the Science Advisory Board are paid \$500 per day for services rendered either on-site or at a mutually agreeable location.

Ken Alibek, M.D., Ph.D., D.Sc.

Dr. Alibek is the Executive Director of Education at the National Center for Biodefense at George Mason University (GMU), and is a Distinguished Professor at GMU as well. Dr. Alibek specializes in medical and scientific research dedicated to developing new forms of protection against biological weapons and other infectious diseases.

Formerly, Dr. Alibek was a Soviet Army Colonel, and served as First Deputy Chief of the civilian branch of the Soviet Union's biological weapons program until he defected to the United States in 1992 and subsequently served as a consultant to numerous U.S. government agencies in the areas of medical microbiology, biological weapons defense, and biological weapons nonproliferation. Dr. Alibek has worked with the National Institutes of Health, testified extensively before the U.S. Congress on nonproliferation of biological weapons and is the author of Biohazard: The Chilling True Story of the Largest Covert Biological Weapons Program in the World—Told from Inside by the Man Who Ran It, published by Random House Books. He holds numerous patents, is widely published in science journals, and has provided over 300 lectures and presentations to military and civilian universities, as well as foreign governments. The December 2003 issue of the Acumen Journal of Life Sciences named Dr. Alibek as one of top five biological warfare experts in the nation.

Charles Bailey, Ph.D.

Dr. Bailey is the former commander of the U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID). Dr. Bailey has 25 years U.S. Army experience in R&D and management in infectious diseases and biological warfare defense. As an officer of the Defense Intelligence Agency, Dr. Bailey wrote extensively on foreign biological warfare capabilities. Dr. Bailey is currently the Executive Director for Research & International Relations at the National Center for Biodefense at George Mason University (GMU), and is a Distinguished Professor of Biology at GMU as well. The Acumen Journal of Life Sciences named Dr. Bailey as one of the top five biological warfare experts in the nation.

46

Joseph A. Bellanti, M.D.

Dr. Bellanti is the Director of the International Center for Immunology and Professor of Pediatrics at Georgetown University School of Medicine. He has

authored over 400 scientific articles and 25 books and book chapters in the areas of Immunology and Virology. Dr. Bellanti's textbook, "Immunology," is used in medical and graduate schools throughout the country.

Jean-Claude Chermann, Ph.D.

Dr. Chermann is a pioneer in the study of retroviruses, and was the principal investigator of the research team that collaborated in the first isolation and characterization of HIV at the Pasteur Institute in 1983. Dr. Chermann was also the Director of Research of INSERM (French National Institute of Health and Medical Research) and also held the position of Director of Research of Unit INSERM U322 on "Retrovirus and Associated Diseases" from 1989 until June 2001 when he accepted his current role as Chief Scientific Director of Urrma Biopharma based in Montreal, Canada, and Research & Development Director of URRMA R&D, based in Aubagne, France.

Larry Cowgill, D.V.M., Ph.D.

Dr. Cowgill is a Professor in the Department of Medicine and Epidemiology at the School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California--Davis and has nearly 30 years of experience as a clinical instructor in small animal internal medicine, nephrology and hemodialysis. He currently Heads the Companion Animal Hemodialysis Units at the Veterinary Medical Teaching Hospital at UC Davis and the UC Veterinary Medical Center-San Diego. Dr. Cowgill is also Associate Dean for Southern California Clinical Programs and is Co-Director of the University of California Veterinary Medical Center-San Diego. Prior to his appointment at the University of California, he was a National Institutes of Health (NIH) Special Research Fellow at the University of Pennsylvania School of Veterinary Medicine and at the Renal Electrolyte Section at the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, where he conducted research in basic renal physiology and clinical nephrology. Dr. Cowgill received his D.V.M. from the University of California--Davis School of Veterinary Medicine and his Ph.D. in Comparative Medical Sciences from the University of Pennsylvania, where he also completed his internship and Residency training in Small Animal Internal Medicine. He became a Diplomat of the American College of Veterinary Internal Medicine in 1977. Dr. Cowgill has published extensively in the area of veterinary nephrology and has established a Clinical Fellowship in Renal Medicine and Hemodialysis, which is the first of its kind in veterinary Medicine.

Pedro Cuatrecasas, M.D.

Dr. Cuatrecasas was President of the Pharmaceutical Research Division of Parke-Davis Co., and Corporate Vice President for Warner Lambert Company from 1989 until his retirement in 1997. From 1986 to 1989, he served as SVP and Director of Glaxo Inc. For the prior ten years, he was VP/R&D and Director, of the Burroughs Wellcome Company. During his career in pharmaceutical research, he was involved in the discovery, development and marketing registration of more than 40 novel medicines. Dr. Cuatrecasas is widely recognized for the invention and development of affinity chromatography which is a method for the selective capture of proteins, sugars, fats and inorganic compounds. He is a member of the National Academy of Sciences, The Institute of Medicine, and the American Academy of Arts & Sciences, and he has authored more than 400 original publications.

Nathan W. Levin, M.D.

Dr. Levin is recognized as a leading authority within the hemodialysis industry. He is the Medical and Research Director of the Renal Research Institute, LLC, a joint venture between Fresenius Medical Care - North America and Beth Israel Medical Center, New York. Dr. Levin also serves as Professor of Clinical Medicine at the Albert Einstein College of Medicine.

Raveendran (Ravi) Pottathil, Ph.D.

Dr. Pottathil was the Section Manager for Retroviruses (focus on HIV and HCV) and Tumor markers and PCR diagnostics at Hoffman La Roche from 1985 to 1992. He then co-founded Specialty Biosystems, Inc, a venture of Specialty Labs, one of the largest independent reference laboratories in California. Dr. Pottathil has also advised the World Health Organization's Sexually Transmitted Diseases and Global Vaccination Program. Dr. Pottathil has worked with Dr. Robert Huebner of the NIH in immunology and virology at The Jackson Laboratory, and with Drs. David Lang and Wolfgang Joklik at Duke University on interferons, anti-tumor RNAs and antigenic suppression of tumorigenic retroviruses. Academic positions include: Assistant Professor at the University of Maryland School of Medicine; Associate Professor at the City of Hope Medical Center in Duarte, California where he published extensively with Dr. Pedro Cuatrecasas (one of developers of affinity chromatography); and Adjunct Professor in Cellular and Molecular Biology at Down State Medical Center and Rutgers University. As a virologist and molecular biologist, Dr. Pottathil has over 40 refereed publications to his credit and has been a Director of OncQuest, Inc., GeneQuest, Inc., Specialty Laboratories Asia in Singapore and Specialty Ranbaxy in India. Currently, Dr. Pottathil is the President of AccuDx, Inc. a pharmaceutical diagnostics company he founded in 1996.

Claudio Ronco, M.D.

Dr. Ronco is the Director of the Dialysis and Renal Transplantation Programs of St. Bartolo Hospital in Vicenza, Italy. He has published 17 books on nephrology and dialysis and has written or co-authored over 350 scientific articles. Dr. Ronco also serves on the editorial board of 12 scientific journals, is a director of three international scientific societies, and is recognized as being instrumental in the introduction of continuous hemofiltration and high flux dialysis in Europe.

Members of the Scientific Advisory Board do not receive any monetary compensation for service on the Board. However, on occasion, the members may be awarded stock options.

INVOLVEMENT IN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

To the best of our knowledge, during the past five years, none of the following occurred with respect to a present or former director or executive officer of the Company: (1) any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time; (2) any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses); (3) being subject to any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of any competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; and (4) being found

by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the SEC or the Commodities Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended or vacated.

48

CODE OF ETHICS.

Our Board of Directors is in the process of preparing a code of ethics which would apply to all of our officers, directors and employees.

ITEM 10. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The following table sets forth compensation received for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2003 through 2005 by our Chief Executive Officer and all other executive officers.

LONG TERM COMPENSATION

		ANNU	ANNUAL COMPENSATION		AWA	RDS
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRINCIPAL POSITION	YEAR	SALARY(1)	BONUS	OTHER	RESTRICTED STOCK	SECURITIES UNDERLYING OPTIONS & SARS
James A. Joyce	2005	\$187 , 291	\$20,000	\$	\$	2,231,100
PRESIDENT AND CHIEF	2004	180,000				
EXECUTIVE OFFICER	2003	180,000				
Richard H. Tullis, Ph.D.	2005	\$154 , 375	\$15 , 000	\$	\$	1,734,350
VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF	2004	150,000				
SCIENCE OFFICER	2003	150,000				250,000
Edward C. Hall (2)	2005	\$54,635(2)	\$	\$	\$	
VICE PRESIDENT, CHIEF	2004	25,216				
FINANCIAL OFFICER	2003	12,416				

(1) The remuneration described in the above table does not include our cost of benefits furnished to the named executive officers, including premiums for health insurance and other personal benefits provided to such individuals that are extended to all of our employees in connection with their employment. Perquisites and other personal benefits, securities, or property received by an executive officer are either the lesser of \$50,000 or 10% of the total salary and bonus reported for each named executive officer, except as otherwise disclosed.

(2) Mr. Hall became a part-time employee and was elected our Chief Financial Officer on August 14, 2002. He is compensated on an hourly basis, a portion of which, amounting to \$10,927 in fiscal 2005 was paid to Tatum CFO Partners, LLP, of which he is a partner. Tatum CFO Partners, LLP is paid a

resource fee for making available its intellectual capital to Mr. Hall as CFO of the Company, including its on-line contact network and its proprietary financial data base.

STOCK OPTIONS AND STOCK APPRECIATION RIGHTS GRANT TABLE

The following table provides certain information with respect to individual grants during the last fiscal year to each of our named executive officers of common share purchase options or stock appreciation rights ("SARs") relating to our common shares:

	COMMON SHARES UNDERLYING GRANT OF	AS PERCENTAGE OF GRANTS TO ALL	EXERCISE OR	
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER	OPTIONS OR SARS	EMPLOYEES	BASE PRICE	EXPIRATI
James A. Joyce, CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT AND CEO	2,231,100	56.3	\$0.38	2/23
Richard H. Tullis, Ph.D, VICE PRESIDENT, CHIEF SCIENCE OFFICER	1,734,350	43.7	\$0.38	2/23
Edward C. Hall VICE PRESIDENT, CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

49

STOCK OPTIONS AND STOCK APPRECIATION RIGHTS EXERCISE AND VALUATION TABLE

The following table sets forth the number of common stock options, both exercisable and unexercisable, held by each of our Named Executive Officers and the value of any in-the-money options at March 31, 2005, utilizing a value of \$0.33 per share, the closing price of the Company's common stock on the Over-The-Counter Bulletin Board on March 31, 2005:

NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER	SHARES ACQUIRED ON EXERCISE	VALUE REALIZED	NUMBER OF SECURITIES UNDERLYING UNEXERCISED OPTIONS/SARS (EXERCISABLE/ UNEXERCISABLE)	VALUE C IN- OPTI (EXE UNEX
James A. Joyce			1,365,550 /1,115,550	\$0
Richard H. Tullis			1,147,175 /867,175	\$0
Edward C. Hall			N/A	

EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENTS

We entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Joyce effective April 1, 1999. Effective June 1, 2001, Mr. Joyce was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer and his base annual salary was increased from \$120,000 to \$180,000. Effective January 1, 2005, Mr. Joyce's salary was increased from \$180,000 to \$205,000 per year. Under the terms of the agreement, his employment continues at a salary of \$205,000 per year for successive one year periods, unless given notice of termination 60 days prior to the anniversary of his employment agreement.

We entered into an employment agreement with Dr. Tullis effective January 10, 2000. Effective June 1, 2001, Dr. Tullis was appointed our Chief Science Officer of the Company. His compensation under the agreement was modified in June 2001 from \$80,000 to \$150,000 per year. Effective January 1, 2005 Dr. Tullis' salary was increased from \$150,000 to \$165,000 per year Under the terms of the agreement, his employment continues at a salary of \$165,000 per year for successive one-year periods, unless given notice of termination 60 days prior to the anniversary of his employment agreement. Dr. Tullis was granted 250,000 stock options to purchase our common stock in connection the completing certain milestones, such as the initiation and completion of certain clinical trials, the submission of proposals to the FDA and the filing of a patent application.

Both Mr. Joyce's and Dr. Tullis' agreements provide for medical insurance and disability benefits, one year of severance pay if their employment is terminated by us without cause or due to change in our control before the expiration of their agreements, and allow for bonus compensation and stock option grants as determined by our Board of Directors. Both agreements also contain restrictive covenants preventing competition with us and the use of confidential business information, except in connection with the performance of their duties for the Company, for a period of two years following the termination of their employment with us.

Effective August 14, 2002, Mr. Hall was elected our Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. His employment is subject to 30 days' notice, with no severance pay provisions, in accordance with his employment agreement. He receives no medical or other benefits from us.

STOCK OPTION GRANTS

Our 2000 Stock Option Plan (the "Plan"), adopted by us in August 2000, provides for the grant of incentive stock options ("ISOs") to full-time employees (who may also be Directors) and nonstatutory stock options ("NSOs") to non-employee Directors, consultants, customers, vendors or providers of significant services. The exercise price of any ISO may not be less than the

50

fair market value of our Common Stock on the date of grant or, in the case of an optionee who owns more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of our outstanding stock, not be less than 110% of the fair market value on the date of grant. The exercise price, in the case of any NSO, must not be less than 75% of the fair market value of our Common Stock on the date of grant. The amount available under the Plan is 500,000 options.

Under the Directors Compensation Program, adopted by us in February 2005, a newly elected director will receive a one time grant of a non-qualified stock option of 1.5% of the common stock outstanding at the time of election. The options will vest one-third at the time of election to the board and the

remaining two-thirds will vest equally at year end over three years. Additionally, each director will also receive an annual \$25,000 non-qualified stock option retainer, \$15,000 of which is to be paid at the first of the year to all directors who are on the Board prior to the first meeting of the year and a \$10,000 retainer will be paid if a director attends 75% of the meetings either in person, via conference call or other electronic means. The exercise price for the options under the Directors Compensation Program will equal the average closing of the last ten (10) trading days prior to the date earned. At March 31, 2005 under the 2005 Directors Compensation Program we had issued 1,337,825 options to outside directors and 3,965,450 options to employee-directors for a total of 5,303,275 options.

At March 31, 2005, we had granted 47,500 options under the 2000 Stock Option Plan, with 452,500 available for future issuance. At March 31, 2005 we had issued 5,303,275 options under the Directors Compensation Plan. We issued 1,966,415 options (of which 637,800 have been exercised or cancelled) outside both the 2005 Directors Compensation Plan and 2000 Stock Option Plan.

At March 31, 2005, we had outstanding options to purchase 6,679,390 shares of our Common Stock. See Item 11, "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."

OUTSTANDING STOCK PURCHASE WARRANTS

Common Stock purchase warrants

At March 31, 2005, we had outstanding a total of 2,833,834 warrants, exercisable at prices between \$0.25 - 5.00 per share and with expiration dates from 2005 - 2010.

See Item 11, "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."

ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth, as of June 30, 2005, information with respect to the shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by (i) each director nominee; (ii) each person (other than a person who is also a director nominee) who is an executive officer; and (iii) all executive officers and directors as a group. The term "executive officer" is defined as the President/Chief Executive Officer, Secretary, Chief Financial Officer/Treasurer, any vice-president in charge of a principal business function (such as administration or finance), or any other person who performs similar policy making functions for the Company. We believe that each individual or entity named has sole investment and voting power with respect to shares of common stock indicated as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws where applicable, excepted where otherwise noted:

51

AMOUNT AND NATURE OF
TITLE OF CLASS NAME BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP(1)(2)

Common Stock

Calvin M. Leung, Director P.O. Box 2366 Costa Mesa, CA 92628

2,077,318 shares(3)

Common Stock	James A. Joyce, Chief Executive Officer and Director 3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000, San Diego, CA 92109	1,965,550 shares(4)
Common Stock	Richard H. Tullis, Chief Scientific Officer and Director 3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000, San Diego, CA 92109	1,202,175 shares(5)
Common Stock	Franklyn S. Barry, Director 3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000, San Diego, CA 92109	655,084 shares(6)
Common Stock	Edward G. Broenniman, Director 3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000, San Diego, CA 92109	497,865 shares(7)
Common Stock	Edward C. Hall 3030 Bunker Hill Street, Suite 4000, San Diego, CA 92109	0 shares
All Current Directors and Executive Officers as a Group (6 members)		6,397,992 Shares

*Less than 1%.

- 1. Based on 18,806,228 shares of Common Stock outstanding on the transfer records as of June $30,\ 2005$.
- 2. Calculated pursuant to Rule 13d-3(d)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under Rule 13d-3(d)(1), shares not outstanding which are subject to options, warrants, rights or conversion privileges exercisable within 60 days are deemed outstanding for the purpose of calculating the number and percentage owned by such person, but not deemed outstanding for the purpose of calculating the percentage owned by each other person listed. The Company believes that each individual or entity named has sole investment and voting power with respect to shares of Common Stock indicated as beneficially owned by them, subject to community property laws, where applicable, except where otherwise noted.
- 3. Includes all shares owned by members of Mr. Leung's family and entities he controls, 10,000 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 and 30,675 stock options exercisable at \$0.489 per share.
- 4. Includes 250,000 stock options exercisable at \$1.90 per share and 1,115,550 stock options exercisable at \$0.38 per share.

52

5. Includes 250,000 stock options exercisable at \$1.90 per share, 30,000 stock options exercisable at \$2.56 per share and 867,175 at \$0.38 per share.

- $6.\ 30,675$ stock options exercisable at \$0.489 per share and 205,816 stock options exercisable at \$0.38 per share.
- 7. Includes 53,885 shares owned by Mr. Broenniman's wife, his 3,000 stock options exercisable at \$1.78, 2,500 stock options exercisable at \$3.75, 30,675 stock options exercisable at \$0.489 per share and 205,816 stock options exercisable at \$0.38 per share.

ITEM 12. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Franklyn S. Barry, Jr., a director and shareholder of Aethlon Medical, was engaged as a consultant to the Company on strategic and business issues from June 1, 2001 to May 31, 2003 and was paid \$60,000 per year. Mr. Barry had been our original President and Chief Executive Officer and served in such capacities until 2001. When Mr. Barry stepped down as our President and Chief Executive Officer was owed severance equal to one year salary. The consulting agreement was in lieu of immediate payment to spread the payment of the course of the agreement and to ensure that Mr. Barry provided transition consultation to Mr. Joyce on company practices and maintained and manage relationships with certain employees and vendors. See Item 9, "Directors and Executive Officers" and Item 11, "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management."

Calvin M. Leung, a director and shareholder of Aethlon Medical, was previously engaged as our consultant providing as needed business advisory services to management, including business development services and introductions to potential investors and merger candidates, and he and his affiliates have invested approximately \$939,500 in Aethlon Medical to date, through equity and convertible debt securities. \$448,000 was invested via convertible promissory notes from November 2001 through May 2002. The notes accrued interest at rates ranging from 6.75% to 12% per annum. Mr. Leung invested \$300,000 via the exercise of stock options received while our consultant for which he received 600,000 shares of restricted common stock. Mr. Leung and his affiliates also invested during 2003 a total of \$146,500 in cash for 586,000 shares of our restricted common stock. Finally, Mr. Leung and his affiliates invested approximately \$45,000 from September 2003 to February 2004 via the exercise of warrants that resulted in the issuance of 180,000 shares of our restricted common stock. Mr. Leung worked as our consultant from January 7, 2001 to January 7, 2003. We do not expect Mr. Leung to provide consulting services now that he is a member of our Board of Directors. He currently owns 2,036,643 of our common shares, 30,675 options to purchase common stock at \$0.489 per share and 10,000 warrants to purchase common stock at an exercise price of \$3.00 per share. (See ITEM 11. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT)

Certain of our officers and other related parties have advanced us funds, agreed to defer compensation or paid expenses on behalf of us to cover short-term working capital deficiencies in the aggregate amount of approximately \$1.5 million. Of this amount, our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. James A Joyce, is owed approximately \$296,000 for deferred salary. In addition, we owe Dr. Richard H Tullis, our Chief Scientific Officer approximately \$267,900 in deferred salary. We also owe our Chief Financial Officer, Mr. Edward C Hall, approximately \$32,767 in deferred salary. We owe Mr. Franklyn S Barry, a director, a total of approximately \$319,800 for deferred salary and consulting fees from pre-merger in 1999 through May 2003 and approximately \$21,000 from accrued medical benefits. We owe approximately \$69,000 to James Joyce and Associates, a company founded by our current Chief Executive Officer, for deferred consulting fees on services provided prior to our merger in 1999. We previously repaid Mr. Barry a total of \$20,000 in cash. Additionally, we owe John Murray, our former Chief

Financial Officer, a total of approximately \$25,000 for deferred salary and medical benefits for services rendered from September 2000 through May 2001. We owe Robert S. Stefanovich, a former Chief Financial Officer, a total of approximately \$91,000 for deferred salary, vacation and medical benefits for services rendered from July 2001 until July 2002. Additionally, we owe Dr. Clara Ambrus, the founder of Hemex, Inc., approximately \$190,500 for services rendered from pre-merger in 1999 through March 2002. We owe Edward Broenniman, a board member, and Linda Broenniman, his wife, an aggregate of approximately \$119,000 for services rendered prior to our merger in 1999 and approximately \$75,000 for unpaid expenses and advances to Hemex, Inc. prior to the merger with Aethlon Medical. Mr. Broenniman was repaid a total of \$10,000 in July 2004 against this debt. We owe approximately \$34,500 to directors for deferred directors' fees. These non interest-bearing liabilities have been included as due to related parties in the accompanying financial statements.

Effective January 1, 2000, we entered into an agreement with Dr. Julian Ambrus, the son of Dr. Clara Ambrus, who was the original founder of Hemex, Inc. Under this agreement, an invention and related patent rights for a method of removing HIV and other viruses from the blood using the Hemopurifier(TM) were assigned to us by the inventors in exchange for (a) a royalty to be paid on future sales of the patented product or process equal to 8.75% of net sales, as defined and (b) 12,500 shares of our restricted common stock. Upon the issuance of the first United States patent relating to the invention, we were obligated to issue an additional 12,500 shares of our restricted common stock to the inventors. If the market price of our common stock on the date the patent was issued was below \$8 per share, the number of shares to be issued was that amount which equates to \$100,000 of market value. On March 4, 2003, the related patent was issued and, as a result, we issued 196,078 shares of our restricted common stock. Such shares were recorded at par value since the original patent acquisition purchase transaction had been measured at \$100,000 and recorded as "patents" in the March 2000 consolidated balance sheet. The 196,078 shares merely satisfied a contingent obligation under the original purchase agreement.

We believe that each of the related party transactions above, due to their related party nature, are not necessarily on terms that would have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

ITEM 13. EXHIBITS

The following documents are filed as part of this report on Form 10-KSB:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements for the periods ended March 31, 2005 and 2004:

Independent Auditors' Reports
Consolidated Balance Sheet
Consolidated Statements of Operations
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

54

3.1	Articles of Incorporation of Aethlon Medical, Inc. (1)
3.2	Bylaws of Aethlon Medical, Inc. (1)
3.3	Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated March 28, 2000 (2)
3.4	Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation dated June 13, 2005(3)
10.1	Employment Agreement between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and James A. Joyce dated April 1, 1999 (4)
10.2	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization Between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Aethlon, Inc. dated March 10, 1999 (5)
10.3	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization Between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Hemex, Inc. dated March 10, 1999 (5)
10.4	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization Between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Syngen Research, Inc. (6)
10.5	Agreement and Plan of Reorganization Between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Cell Activation, Inc. (7)
10.6	Common Stock Purchase Agreement between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC. (8)
10.7	Registration Rights Agreement between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC. (8)
10.8	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement for Private Placement closing on June 7, 2004 (8)
10.9	Form of Common Stock Purchase Warrant for Private Placement closing on June 7, 2004 (8)
10.10	Form of Registration Rights Agreement for Private Placement closing on June 7, 2004 (8)
10.11	Note Purchase Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, dated May 16, 2005.(9)
10.12	Convertible Promissory Note by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, dated May 16, 2005.(9)
10.13	Form of Common Stock Cashless Purchase Warrant for benefit of Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, dated May 16, 2005. (9)
10.14	2003 Consultant Stock Plan (10)
10.15	Lease by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and San Diego Science Center (11)
10.16	Consulting Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Jean-Claude Chermann, PhD (11)
10.17	Consulting Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Franklyn S. Barry, Jr. (11)
n 19	Patent License Agreement by and amongst Aethlen Medical Inc.

Hemex, Inc., Dr. Julian L. Ambrus and Dr. David O. Scamurra (11)

55

10.19	Employment Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Dr.Richard H. Tullis (11)
10.20	Employment Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Edward C. Hall (11) $$
10.21	Cooperative Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and George Mason University (12)
10.22	Consulting Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Dr. Charles Bailey (14)
10.23	Consulting Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Dr. Ken Alibek (14)
10.24	Stock Option Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and James A Joyce*
10.25	Stock Option Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Richard Tullis*
10.26	Stock Option Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Franklyn S. Barry*
10.27	Stock Option Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Ed Broenniman*
10.28	Stock Option Agreement by and between Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Calvin Leung*
10.29	Warrant for the benefit of Richardson and Patel, LLP*
21	List of subsidiaries (13)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Squar, Milner, Reehl & Williamson, LLP) *
31.1	Certification of our Chief Executive Officer and President, pursuant to Securities Exchange Act rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.*
31.2	Certification of our Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Securities Exchange Act rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002.*
32	Statement of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350)*

^{*} Filed herewith

- (1) December 18, 2000 and incorporated by reference.
- (2) Filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended March 31, 2000 and incorporated by reference.

56

- (3) Filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, dated June 10, 2005 and incorporated by reference.
- (4) Filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended March 31, 1999 and incorporated by reference.
- (5) Filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 10, 1999 and incorporated by reference.
- (6) Filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated January 10, 2000 an incorporated by reference.
- (7) Filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 10, 2000 and incorporated by reference.
- (8) Filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 7, 2004 and incorporated by reference.
- (9) Filed with the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated May 16, 2005 and incorporated by reference.
- (10) Incorporated by reference from our Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-114017) filed on March 29, 2004.
- (11) Filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB/A for the year ended March 31, 2004 and incorporated by reference.
- (12) Filed with the Company's Amendment No.2 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on October 28, 2004.
- (13) Filed with the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on July 7, 2004.
- (14) Filed with the Company's Amendment No. 3 to Registration Statement on Form SB-2 filed on November 24, 2004.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

The following table presents fees for professional services rendered by Squar, Milner, Reehl & Williamson LLP ("Squar Milner") for the annual audit of our consolidated financial statements as of and for the fiscal years ended March 31, 2005, and 2004 and fees billed for other services rendered by Squar Milner during such years:

	Fiscal Years E 2005	Ended March 31, 2004
Audit Fees	\$63,140	\$55 , 500
Audit Related Fees	43,754	2,500 (1)
Tax Fees	_	_

All Other	Fees	-	_
		\$106,894	\$58,000
		=======	

(1) Such amount represents services rendered in connection with Form S-8.

POLICY ON AUDIT COMMITTEE PRE-APPROVAL OF AUDIT AND PERMISSIBLE NON-AUDIT SERVICES OF INDEPENDENT AUDITOR

Our audit committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for pre-approving all audit and permitted non-audit services to be performed for us by our independent auditor.

57

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 13th day of July, 2005.

BY: /S/ JAMES A. JOYCE

JAMES A. JOYCE

CHAIRMAN, PRESIDENT & CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

BY: /S/ EDWARD C. HALL

EDWARD C. HALL

VICE PRESIDENT AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

SIGNATURE	TITLE	DATE
/S/ JAMES A. JOYCE	CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD	July 13, 2005
JAMES A. JOYCE		
/S/ FRANKLYN S. BARRY, JR.	DIRECTOR	July 13, 2005
FRANKLYN S. BARRY, JR.		
/S/ EDWARD G. BROENNIMAN	DIRECTOR	July 13, 2005
EDWARD G. BROENNIMAN		
/S/ RICHARD H. TULLIS	DIRECTOR	July 13, 2005
RICHARD H. TULLIS		

DIRECTOR

July 13, 2005

58

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2005

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheet	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Deficit	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Subsidiaries

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Subsidiaries (the "Company"), a development stage company, as of March 31, 2005 and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' deficit and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period then ended and for the period from January 31, 1984 (Inception) to March 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Aethlon Medical, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of March 31, 2005 and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period then ended and for the period from January 31, 1984 (Inception) to March 31, 2005, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. At March 31, 2005, the Company has negative working capital of approximately \$3,349,000 and a deficit accumulated during the development stage of approximately \$19,142,000. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, a significant amount of additional capital will be necessary to advance the development of the Company's products to the point at which they may become commercially viable. These conditions, among others, raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans regarding these matters are also described in Note 1. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/S/ SQUAR, MILNER, REEHL & WILLIAMSON, LLP

JUNE 27, 2005

NEWPORT BEACH, CALIFORNIA

F-1

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET MARCH 31, 2005

ASSETS

	ASSEIS	
CURRENT ASSETS	Cash Prepaid expenses	\$ 8,625 10,188
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		18,813
NON-CURRENT ASSETS		
	Property and equipment, net Patents, net Other assets	30,366 213,923 37,250
TOTAL NONCURRENT ASS	EETS	281,539
	TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 300,352

	LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT		
CURRENT LIABILITIES	Accounts payable and accrued liabilities Due to related parties Notes payable, net of discounts		1,307,512 1,567,502 492,309
TOTAL CURRENT LIABII	JITIES		3,367,323
COMMITMENTS AND CONT	CINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICE	Common stock, par value of \$0.001, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 17,014,696 issued and outstanding		17,015
	Additional paid-in capital Deficit accumulated during the development stage		6,058,278 9,142,264)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS'	Deficit accumulated during the development stage	(1	

F-2

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005

	2005	2004	JANUARY (INCEPTION MARCH 3
Grant income Subcontract income Sale of research and development	\$ 	\$ 	\$ 1,
			1,
OPERATING EXPENSES Professional fees Payroll and related General and administrative Impairment	748,837 1,000,324 434,216	339,787 417,486 238,276	4, 6, 3, 1,
	2,183,377	995,549	16,

OPERATING LOSS	(2,183,377)	(995,549)	(14,
OTHER (INCOME) EXPENSE Interest expense Interest income Other	(86,426) 	523 , 249 	4,
	(86, 426)	523,249	4,
NET LOSS	\$ (2,096,951)	\$ (1,518,798)	\$(19 ,
Basic and diluted net loss attributable to common stockholders per share	\$ (0.15) =======	\$ (0.19)	
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	14,037,341	8,181,612	2

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

F-3

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005

	COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL	
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID IN CAPITAL	
Balance, January 31, 1984 (Inception)		\$	\$	
Common stock issued for cash at \$1 per share	22,000	22	26 , 502	
Common stock issued for cash at \$23 per share	1,100	1	24,999	
Common stock issued for cash at \$86 per share	700	1	59 , 999	
Common stock issued for cash at \$94 per share	160	1	14,999	
Common stock issued for cash at \$74 per share	540	1	39 , 999	
Common stock issued for cash at \$250 per share	4,678	5	1,169,495	
Capital contributions			521,439	

Common stock issued for compensation at \$103 per share	2,600	3	267,403
Conversion of due to related parties to common stock at \$101 per share	1,120	1	113,574
Conversion of due to related parties to common stock at \$250 per share	1,741	2	435,092
Effect of reorganization	2,560,361	2,558	(2,558)
Common stock issued in connection with employment contract at \$8 per share	65,000	65	519,935
Common stock issued in connection with the acquisition of patents at \$8 per share	12,500	13	99,987
Warrants issued to note holders in connection with notes payable			734,826
Warrants issued for services			5,000
Net loss			
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2000	2,672,500	2,673	4,030,691
Common stock and options issued in connection with acquisition of Cell Activation, Inc. at \$7.20 per share	99 , 152	99	1,067,768
Warrants issued to note holders in connection with notes payable			218,779
Warrants issued to promoter in connection with notes payable			298,319
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible notes payable			150,000
Warrants issued to promoter in connection with convertible notes payable			299,106
Options issued to directors for services as board members			14,163
Options and warrants issued for services			505,400
Common stock issued for services at \$3 per share	5,500	5	16,495
Common stock issued for cash at \$1 per share	100,000	100	99,900
Net loss			
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2001	2,877,152	\$ 2,877	\$ 6,700,621

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

continued.....

F-4

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A Development Stage Company)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT
FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005 (CC

	COMMC	ADDITIONAL	
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID IN CAPITAL
BALANCE, MARCH 31, 2001	2,877,152	\$ 2,877	\$ 6,700,621
Common stock, warrants and options issued for accounts payable and accrued liabilities	21,750	22	243,353
Common stock issued for services at \$2.65 per share	6,038	6	15,994
Common stock issued for cash at \$1.00 per share, net of issuance costs of \$41,540 paid to a related party	730,804	731	688,533
Common stock issued for services at \$2.75 per share	10,000	10	27,490
Common stock issued in connection with license agreement at \$3.00 per share	6,000	6	17,994
Common stock issued to holder of convertible notes payable at \$3.00 per share	70 , 586	71	211,687
Options issued to directors for services as board members			7,459
Common stock issued for cash at \$1.50 per share, net of issuance costs of \$2,500	16,667	17	22,483
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible notes payable			185,000
Common stock issued for conversion of convertible notes payable and accrued interest at an average price of	134,165	134	166,352
\$1.24 per share Common stock issued for services at \$2.72 per share	9,651	134	26,240

Options issued to consultant for services			562,000
Common stock and warrants for services at \$1.95 per share	62,327	62	161,475
Common stock issued for services at \$1.90 per share	9,198	9	17,491
Stock options exercised for cash	400,000	400	199,600
Warrants issued to note holders for 90-day forebearance			118,000
Common stock and warrants issued to note holders and vendors in the debt-to-equity conversion program at			
\$1.25 per share	816,359	816	1,623,635
Other warrant transactions			(32,715)
Net loss		 	
BALANCE - MARCH 31, 2002	5,170,697	\$ 5 , 171	\$ 10,962,692

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

continued.....

F-5

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005 (CO

	COMMON STOCK			ADDITIONAL	
	SHARES	A	MOUNT	PAID IN CAPITAL	
BALANCE - MARCH 31, 2002	5,170,697	\$	5,171	\$ 10,962,692	
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock at \$0.50 per share in connection with the exercise of options	200,000		200	99,800	
Interest expense related to beneficial conversion feature				150,000	
Pro-rata value assigned to warrants issued in connection with conversion of accounts payable				71,000	
Pro-rata value assigned to warrants issued in connection with note payable				30,000	

Issuance of common stock at \$1.25 per share in connection with the conversion of accounts payable	150,124	150	187,505
Issuance of common stock at \$1.25 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable	420,000	420	104,580
Estimated fair market value of options issued for services			114,000
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share for cash	461,600	462	114,938
Issuance of common stock at \$0.26 per share for cash	19,230	19	4,981
Issuance of common stock at \$1.25 per share for cash	8,000	8	9,992
Issuance of common stock at \$0.65 per share for services	69,231	69	44,931
Issuance of common stock at \$0.51 per share for services	196 , 078	196	99,804
Adjustment booked			(100,000)
Net loss			
BALANCE - MARCH 31, 2003	6,694,960	\$ 6,695	\$ 11,894,223

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

continued.....

F-6

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005 (CC

	COMMON STOCK			ADDITIONAL
	SHARES	AI.	MOUNT	PAID IN CAPITAL
BALANCE - MARCH 31, 2003	6,694,960	\$	6,695	\$ 11,894,223
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the exercise of warrants	540,000		540	134,460
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per				

share in connection with the conversion of notes payable, including interest of \$15,099	300,397	300	74 , 799
Issuance of common stock at \$0.35 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable, including interest of \$59,827	813,790	814	284,013
Issuance of common stock at \$0.50 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable, including interest of \$509	11,017	11	5 , 498
Issuance of common stock at \$0.42 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable, including interest of \$696	13,725	14	5 , 682
Issuance of common stock at \$0.65 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable, including interest of \$5,088	27,059	27	17,561
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable, including interest of \$15,416	461,667	462	114,954
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share for cash	1,226,000	1,226	305,274
Issuance of common stock at \$0.30 per share for cash	180,000	180	53,820
Issuance of common stock at \$0.525 per share for cash	40,000	40	20,960
Issuance of common stock at \$1.125 per share for cash	5,000	5	5,620
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share for services	10,000	10	2,490
Issuance of common stock at \$0.34 per share for services	73 , 529	73	24,927
Issuance of common stock at \$0.40 per share for services	62,000	62	24,763
Issuance of common stock at \$0.45 per share for services	185,185	185	83,148
Issuance of common stock at \$0.50 per share for services	5,000	5	2,495
Interest expense related to beneficial conversion feature			324,800
Net loss			

BALANCE - MARCH 31, 2004 10,649,329 \$ 10,649 \$ 13,379,487

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

continued.....

F-7

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005 (CC

	COMMON STOCK		COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL
	SHARES	AMOUNT	PAID IN CAPITAL		
BALANCE - MARCH 31, 2004	10,649,329	\$ 10,649	\$13,379,487		
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the exercise of warrants	1,126,564	1,127	280,515		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.44 per share for cash	1,415,909	1,416	621 , 584		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share for cash	40,233	40	9,960		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.28 per share for cash	35,947	36	9,964		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.29 per share for cash	69,431	69	19,931		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.32 per share for cash	94,449	94	29,906		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.33 per share for cash	60,620	61	19,939		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.35 per share for cash	172,824	173	59 , 826		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.36 per share for cash	223,756	224	79 , 776		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.37 per share for cash	108,079	108	39,892		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.38 per share for cash	26,549	27	9 , 973		
Issuance of common stock at \$0.39 per share for cash	51,748	52	19,948		

Issuance of common stock at \$0.40 per share for cash	25,233	25	9,975
Issuance of common stock at \$0.42 per share for cash	143,885	144	59 , 857
Issuance of common stock at \$0.43 per share for cash	70,467	70	29,930
Issuance of common stock at \$0.45 per share for cash	22,455	22	9,978
Issuance of common stock at \$0.46 per share for cash	43,944	44	19,956
Issuance of common stock at \$0.47 per share for cash	128,836	129	59,872
Issuance of common stock at \$0.52 per share for cash	95,502	96	49,904
Issuance of common stock with warrants at \$0.36 per unit for cash	55,556	56	19,944
Issuance of common stock at \$0.27 per share for cash	90,000	90	24,210
Issuance of common stock at \$0.50 per share for cash	3,000	3	1,497
Issuance of common stock to Fusion Capital for "commitment" shares	50,000	50	(50)

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

continued.....

F-8

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A Development Stage Company)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005 (CO

COMMON STOCK		ADDITIONAL PAID IN
SHARES	AMOUNT	CAPITAL
418,604	419	(419)
479.513	480	162,891
	SHARES	SHARES AMOUNT

Issuance of common stock at \$0.44 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable	113,636	114	49,886
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable	80,000	80	19,920
Issuance of common stock at \$0.49 per share in connection with the conversion of notes payable	174 , 606	175	85 , 382
Issuance of common stock at \$1.75 per share for services	17,143	17	29,983
Issuance of common stock at \$0.44 per share for services	265 , 273	265	116,455
Issuance of common stock at \$0.70 per share for services	10,715	11	7,489
Issuance of common stock at \$0.73 per share for services	6,850	7	4,993
Issuance of common stock at \$0.55 per share for services	46,364	46	25,454
Issuance of common stock at \$0.25 per share for services	165,492	165	41,208
Issuance of common stock at \$0.45 per share for services	28 , 377	28	12,741
Issuance of common stock at \$0.50 per share for services for deferred consulting services	60,000	60	(60)
Issuance of common stock at \$0.49 per share for services	25,087	25	12,318
Issuance of common stock at \$0.45 per share for services for deferred consulting services	66,666	67	(67)
Issuance of common stock at \$0.37 per share for services	13 , 369	13	4,987
Issuance of common stock at \$0.42 per share for services	19,231	19	7,981
Issuance of common stock at \$0.39 per share for services	18,042	18	6,982
Issuance of common stock at \$0.32 per share for services	162 , 678	163	52,382
Issuance of common stock at \$0.31 per share for services	16,234	16	4,984
Issuance of common stock at \$0.39 per share for employee bonus	22,500	22	8,754

Debt discount on debt issued with detachable warrants			84,000
Amortization of deferred consulting fees			30,000
Intrinsic value of options issued to directors			424,262
Net loss			
BALANCE - MARCH 31, 2005	17,014,696	\$ 17,015 	\$ 16,058,278 =======

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

F-9

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH 31, 2005 AND 2004 AND

FOR THE PERIOD JANUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION) THROUGH MARCH 31, 2005

	2005	20
Cash flows from operating activities:		ľ
Net loss	\$ (2,096,951)	\$ (1,5
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in	•	ŀ
operating activities:	20 026	1
Depreciation and amortization	39 , 836	Δ.
Amortization of deferred consulting fees	30,000	,
Gain of sale of property and equipment Fair market value of warrants issued in connection		I
		I
with accounts payable and debt related costs Fair market value of common stock, warrants and	==	ļ
options issued for services and interest	339,027	1
Intrinsic value of stock options issued	339,041	Τ.
to directors	424,262	
Amortization of debt discount	38,809	3
Beneficial conversion feature of convertible notes payable	JO, OOJ 	J
Impairment of patents and patents pending		
Impairment of patents and patents pending Impairment of goodwill		!
impairment or goodwiii		ļ
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Prepaid expenses	(4,606)	
Other assets	(16,845)	(
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(206,943)	1
Due to related parties	(105, 955)	2
•		
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,559,366)	(5

ů ů				
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchases of property and equipmen Patents and patents pending	t		(30 , 070)	
Proceeds from the sale of property	and equipment			
Cash of acquired company				
Net cash used in investing activit	ies		(30,070)	
	ANYING NOTES TO THE CONSC	DLIDATED FIN	ANCIAL STATEM	ENTS.
continued	F-10			
	AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. (A DEVELOPMENT ST CONSOLIDATED STATEMEN OR THE YEARS ENDED MARCH	TAGE COMPANY NTS OF CASH) FLOWS	
FOR THE PERIOD JA	NUARY 31, 1984 (INCEPTION	N) THROUGH M	ARCH 31, 2005	
			2005	20
Cash flows from financing activities:	notos navable		120 000	
Net proceeds from the issuance of Principal repayments of notes paya			130,000 (22,500)	(]
Proceeds from the issuance of conv	ertible notes payable			
Net proceeds from the issuance of	common stock		1,488,942	
Net cash provided by financing act	ivities		1,596,442	
Net increase (decrease) in cash			7,006	
Cash at beginning of period			1,619	
oabii de Beginning of period				
Cash at end of period		\$	8,625	
		==		======
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow in	formation			
- Cash paid during the period for:				
Interest		\$ ==	34,766	
Income taxes		\$		\$
		==		======
Supplement schedule of noncash investing financing activities:	g and			
Politica de la companya della companya della companya de la companya de la companya della compan		•	010 005	

Debt and accrued interest converted to common stock

Debt discount on notes payable issued with

\$ 318,925 \$ 4

detachable warrants	\$ 84,000	\$ _
Issuance of common stock, warrants and options for accounts payable	\$ 	\$
Issuance of common stock in connection with license agreements	\$ 	\$ _
Net assets of entities acquired in exchange for equity securities	\$ 	\$ _
Debt placement fees paid by issuance of warrants	\$ 	\$
Patent pending acquired for 12,500 shares of common stock	\$ 	\$
Common stock issued for prepaid expenses	\$ 	\$ _

SEE ACCOMPANYING NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

F-11

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

ORGANIZATION

Aethlon Medical, Inc. ("Aethlon") engages in the research and development of a medical device known as the Hemopurifier (TM) that removes harmful substances from the blood. Aethlon is in the development stage on the Hemopurifier (TM) and significant research and testing are still needed to reach commercial viability. Any resulting medical device or process will require approval by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") or the regulatory agency of any foreign country where it intends to sell its device. Aethlon has not yet begun efforts to obtain any FDA approval, which may take several years, but it intends to initiate human trials in India to obtain regulatory approval there. Since many of Aethlon's patents were issued in the 1980's, some have expired and other are scheduled to expire in the near future. Thus, some patents may expire before FDA approval or approval in a foreign country, if any, is obtained. However, the Company believes that certain patent applications and/or other patents issued more recently will help protect the proprietary nature of the Hemopurifier (TM) treatment technology.

Aethlon is classified as a development stage enterprise under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), and has not generated revenues from its planned principal operations.

Aethlon's common stock is quoted on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board administered by the National Association of Securities Dealers ("OTCBB") under the symbol "AEMD."

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aethlon Medical, Inc. and its inactive legal wholly-owned subsidiaries Aethlon, Inc., Hemex, Inc., Syngen Research, Inc. and Cell Activation, Inc. (hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Company"). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

GOING CONCERN

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern, which contemplates, among other things, the realization of assets and satisfaction of liabilities in the ordinary course of business. The Company has negative working capital of approximately \$3,349,000, a deficit accumulated during the development stage of approximately \$19,142,000 at March 31, 2005 and is in default on certain debt (see Notes 7 and 8), which among other matters, raises substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. A significant amount of additional capital will be necessary to advance the development of the Company's products to the point at which they may become commercially viable. The Company intends to fund operations through debt and/or equity financing arrangements, which management believes may be insufficient to fund its capital expenditures, working capital and other cash requirements (consisting of accounts payable, accrued liabilities, amounts due to related parties and amounts due under various notes payable) for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2006. Therefore, the Company will be required to seek additional funds to finance its long-term operations.

The Company is currently addressing its liquidity issue by continually seeking investment capital through the public markets, specifically, through private placement of common stock and a common stock purchase agreement with an investor that has committed to buy up to an additional \$6,000,000 of the Company's common stock over a 30-month period, that commenced, at the Company's election, when the Securities Exchange Commission (the "SEC") declared effective on December 7, 2004 a registration statement covering such shares. However, no assurance can be given that the Company will receive any funds in addition to the funds it has received to date

F-12

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2005

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

under such agreement and there is no guarantee that these strategies will enable the Company to meet its obligations for the foreseeable future. The successful outcome of future activities cannot be determined at this time and there is no assurance that, if achieved, the Company will have sufficient funds to execute its intended business plan or generate positive operating results.

The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments related to recoverability and classification of asset carrying amounts or the amount and classification of liabilities that might result should the Company be unable to continue as a going concern.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The Company operates in an industry that is subject to intense competition, government regulation and rapid technological change. The Company's operations are subject to significant risk and uncertainties including financial, operational, technological, regulatory and other risks associated with a development stage company, including the potential risk of business failure.

USE OF ESTIMATES

The Company prepares its consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, which requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates made by management include, among others, realization of long-lived assets and valuation of deferred tax assets. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 107, "DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS," requires disclosure of fair value information about financial instruments when it is practicable to estimate that value. The carrying amount of the Company's cash, accounts payable, accrued liabilities and notes payable approximates their estimated fair values due to the short-term maturities of those financial instruments. The fair values of amounts due to related parties are not determinable as these transactions are with related parties and were not necessarily consummated at arm's length.

CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISKS

Cash is maintained at various financial institutions. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") insures accounts at each institution for up to \$100,000. At times, cash may be in excess of the FDIC insurance limit. The Company had no amounts exceeding this limit at March 31, 2005.

PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which range from two to five years. Repairs and maintenance are charged to expense as incurred while improvements are capitalized. Upon the sale or retirement of property and equipment, the accounts are relieved of the cost and the related accumulated depreciation with any gain or loss included in the statements of operations.

F-13

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC.
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2005

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

INCOME TAXES

Under SFAS 109, "ACCOUNTING FOR INCOME TAXES," deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the consolidated financial statements and their respective tax basis. Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of (a) temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts reported for income tax purposes, and (b) tax credit carryforwards. The Company records a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets when, based on management's best estimate of taxable income in the foreseeable future, it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred income tax assets may not be realized.

LONG-LIVED ASSETS

SFAS 144, "ACCOUNTING FOR THE IMPAIRMENT OF LONG-LIVED ASSETS AND FOR LONG-LIVED ASSETS TO BE DISPOSED OF," addresses financial accounting and reporting for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets. SFAS 144 requires that long-lived assets be reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If the cost basis of a long-lived asset is greater than the projected future undiscounted net cash flows from such asset, an impairment loss is recognized.

Impairment losses are calculated as the difference between the cost basis of an asset and its estimated fair value. SFAS 144 also requires companies to separately report discontinued operations and extends that reporting requirement to a component of an entity that either has been disposed of (by sale, abandonment or in a distribution to owners) or is classified as held for sale. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or the estimated fair value less costs to sell. The Company adopted SFAS 144 on January 1, 2002. The provisions of this pronouncement relating to assets held for disposal generally are required to be applied prospectively after the adoption date to newly initiated commitments to sell or dispose of such assets, (as defined), by management. As a result, management cannot determine the potential effects that adoption of SFAS 144 will have on the Company's financial statements with respect to future disposal decisions, if any. Management noted no impairment indicators requiring review for impairment during the year ended March 31, 2005.

EARNINGS PER SHARE

Under SFAS 128, "EARNINGS PER SHARE," basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares assumed to be outstanding during the period of computation. Diluted earnings per share is computed similar to basic earnings per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that would have been outstanding if the potential common shares had been issued and if the additional common shares were dilutive (If the Company had net income in each of the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, approximately 2,100,000 and 2,500,000 shares would have been considered additional common stock equivalents, respectively, based on the treasury stock method). As the Company had net losses for the periods presented, basic and diluted loss per share are the same, as any additional common stock equivalents would be antidilutive.

SEGMENTS

SFAS 131, "DISCLOSURES ABOUT SEGMENTS OF AN ENTERPRISE AND RELATED INFORMATION," requires public companies to report selected segment information in their quarterly reports issued to shareholders. It also requires entity-wide disclosures about the products and services an entity provides, the foreign countries in which it holds significant assets and how the Company reports revenues and its major customers. The Company currently operates in one segment,

as disclosed in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

F - 14

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2005

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation issued to employees using the intrinsic value based method as prescribed by Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 ("APB 25"), "Accounting for Stock issued to Employees." Under the intrinsic value based method, compensation expense is the excess, if any, of the estimated fair value of the stock at the grant date or other measurement date over the amount an employee must pay to acquire the stock. Compensation expense, if any, is recognized over the applicable service period, which is usually the vesting period.

SFAS 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," if fully adopted, changes the method of accounting for employee stock-based compensation plans to the fair value based method. For stock options and warrants, fair value is estimated using an option pricing model that takes into account the stock price at the measurement date, the exercise price, the expected life of the option or warrant, stock volatility and the annual rate of quarterly dividends. Compensation expense, if any, is recognized over the applicable service period, which is usually the vesting period.

The adoption of the accounting methodology of SFAS 123 is optional and the Company has elected to continue accounting for stock-based compensation issued to employees using APB 25; however, pro forma disclosures, as if the Company had adopted the cost recognition requirement under SFAS 123, are required to be presented (see below). For stock-based compensation issued to non-employees, the Company uses the fair value method of accounting under the provisions of SFAS 1232

Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Interpretation ("FIN") No. 44, "Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation, an Interpretation of APB 25" clarifies the application of APB 25 for (a) the definition of employee for purpose of applying APB 25, (b) the criteria for determining whether a plan qualifies as a non compensatory plan, (c) the accounting consequence for various modifications to the terms of a previously fixed stock option or award and (d) the accounting for an exchange of stock compensation awards in a business combination. Management believes that the Company accounts for transactions involving stock-based employee compensation in accordance with FIN 44.

SFAS 148, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123," provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this statement amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported

results.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 123-R, "Share-Based Payment," which requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions (including the cost of all employee stock options) be recognized in the financial statements. That cost will be measured based on the estimated fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued. SFAS No. 123-R covers a wide range of share-based compensation arrangements including share options, restricted share plans, performance-based awards, share appreciation rights, and employee share purchase plans. SFAS No.123-R replaces SFAS No. 123 and supersedes APB 25. As originally issued, SFAS No. 123 established as preferable a fair-value-based method of accounting for share-based payment transactions with employees. However, that pronouncement permitted entities to continue applying the intrinsic-value model of APB 25, provided that the financial statements disclosed the pro forma net income or loss based on the preferable fair-value method.

F-15

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

STOCK BASED COMPENSATION (continued)

Small Business Issuers are required to apply SFAS No. 123-R in the first interim or annual reporting period of the registrant's first fiscal year that begins after December 15, 2005. Thus, the Company's consolidated financial statements will reflect an expense for (a) all share-based compensation arrangements granted on or after January 1, 2006 and for any such arrangements that are modified, cancelled, or repurchased on or after that date, and (b) the portion of previous share-based awards for which the requisite service has not been rendered as of that date, based on the grant-date estimated fair value. Management has not yet determined the future effect of FAS 123-R on its consolidated financial statements.

At March 31, 2005, the Company has one stock-based employee compensation plan (the "Plan"), which is described more fully in Note 9. The Company accounts for the Plan under the recognition and measurement principles of APB 25, and related interpretation. Prior to the year ended March 31, 2005, no stock-based employee compensation cost was recognized in net loss. Stock options granted under the Plan had exercise prices equal to or greater than the estimated fair value of the underlying common stock on the dates of grant. In February 2005, the Company granted 5,303,275 stock options to directors, all at a price that was \$0.08 below the estimated fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Accordingly, the Company recorded approximately \$424,000 of compensation expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended March 31, 2005. The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and loss per common share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation.

YEAR ENDED MARCH 31,

	2005		2004	
Net loss available to common stockholders, as reported Add back: Recorded intrinsic value Pro forma compensation expense		096,951 (424,262) 386,474	\$ 1	,518,798 6,000
Pro forma net loss available to common stockholders	\$ 4 ,	059,163	\$ 1 	,524,798
Loss per common share, as reported Basic and diluted	\$	(0.15)	\$	(0.19)
Loss per common share, pro forma Basic and diluted	\$	(0.29)	\$	(0.19)

SIGNIFICANT RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN No. 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB 51." The primary objectives of FIN No. 46 are to provide guidance on the identification of entities for which control is achieved through means other than voting rights (variable interest entities or "VIEs") and how to determine when and which business enterprise should consolidate the VIE. This new model for consolidation applies to an entity for which either: (1) the equity investors do not have a controlling financial interest; or (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity's activities without receiving additional subordinated financial support from other parties. In addition, FIN No. 46 requires that both the primary beneficiary and all other enterprises with a significant variable interest in a VIE make additional disclosures. As amended in December 2003, the

F-16

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

SIGNIFICANT RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (continued)

effective dates of FIN No. 46 for public entities that are small business issuers, as defined ("SBIs"), are as follows: (a) For interests in special-purpose entities ("SPEs": periods ended after December 15, 2003; and (b) For all other VIEs: periods ending after December 15, 2004. The December 2003 amendment of FIN No. 46 also includes transition provisions that govern how an SBI which previously adopted the pronouncement (as it was originally issued) must account for consolidated VIEs. The Company has determined that it does not have any variable interest in any SPEs, and is presently evaluating the other effects of FIN No. 46 (as amended) on its consolidated financial statements.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity." SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how a company classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity, and is effective for public companies as follows: (i) in November 2003, the FASB

issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") FAS 150-03 ("FSP 150-3"), which defers indefinitely (a) the measurement and classification guidance of SFAS No. 150 for all mandatorily redeemable non-controlling interests in (and issued by) limited-life consolidated subsidiaries, and (b) SFAS No. 150's measurement guidance for other types of mandatorily redeemable non-controlling interests, provided they were created before November 5, 2003; (ii) for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003 that are outside the scope of FSP 150-3; and (iii) otherwise, at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company adopted SFAS No. 150 on the aforementioned effective dates. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial condition.

In December 2004, the FASB issued SFAS No. 153, "EXCHANGE OF NONMONETARY ASSETS, AND AMENDMENT OF APB No. 29, "ACCOUNTING FOR NONMONETARY TRANSACTIONS." The amendments made by SFAS No. 153 are based on the principle that exchanges of nonmonetary assets should be measured using the estimated fair value of the assets exchanged. SFAS No. 153 eliminates the narrow exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets, and replaces it with a broader exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has "commercial substance" if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the transaction. This pronouncement is effective for nonmonetary exchanges in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Management is evaluating the future effect of this pronouncement.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections," which replaces APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This pronouncement applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle, and revises the requirements for accounting for and reporting a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 requires retrospective application to prior periods' financial statements of a voluntary change in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to do so. This pronouncement also requires that a change in the method of depreciation, amortization, or depletion for long-lived, non-financial assets be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate that is effected by a change in accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 retains many provisions of APB Opinion 20 without change, including those related to reporting a change in accounting estimate, a change in the reporting entity, and correction of an error. The pronouncement also carries forward the provisions of SFAS No. 3 which govern reporting accounting changes in interim financial statements. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Statement does not change the transition provisions of any existing accounting pronouncements, including those that are in a transition phase as of the effective date of SFAS No. 154. Management is evaluating the future effect of this pronouncement.

Other recent accounting pronouncements are discussed elsewhere in these notes to the consolidated financial statements.

F-17

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

1. ORGANIZATION AND SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

SIGNIFICANT RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (continued)

Other recent accounting pronouncements issued by the FASB (including its Emerging Issues Task Force), the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and the SEC did not or are not believed by management to have a material impact on the Company's present or future consolidated financial statements.

PATENTS

The Company capitalizes the cost of patents and patents pending, some of which were acquired, and amortizes such costs over the shorter of the remaining legal life or their estimated economic life, upon issuance of the patent.

STOCK PURCHASE WARRANTS ISSUED WITH NOTES PAYABLE

The Company granted warrants in connection with the issuance of certain notes payable (see Notes 6, 7 and 8). Under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 14, "ACCOUNTING FOR CONVERTIBLE DEBT AND DEBT ISSUED WITH STOCK PURCHASE WARRANTS", as amended, the relative estimated fair value of such warrants represents a discount from the face amount of the notes payable. Accordingly, the relative estimated fair value of the warrants has been recorded in the consolidated financial statements as a discount from the face amount of the notes. The discount is amortized using the effective yield method over the respective lives of the related notes payable.

BENEFICIAL CONVERSION FEATURE OF CONVERTIBLE NOTES PAYABLE

The convertible feature of certain notes payable (see Notes 6 and 7) provides for a rate of conversion that is below market value. Such feature is normally characterized as a "beneficial conversion feature" ("BCF"). Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 98-5 ("EITF Issue No. 98-5"), "ACCOUNTING FOR CONVERTIBLE SECURITIES WITH BENEFICIAL CONVERSION FEATURES OR CONTINGENTLY ADJUSTABLE CONVERSION RATIO" and Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-27, "APPLICATION OF EITF ISSUE NO. 98-5 TO CERTAIN CONVERTIBLE INSTRUMENTS," the estimated fair value of the BCF is recorded in the consolidated financial statements as a discount from the face amount of the notes. Such discounts are amortized to interest expense over the term of the notes using the effective yield method. The Company has determined the fair value of such BCF to be approximately \$0 and \$325,000 for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENSES

The Company incurred approximately \$496,000 and \$200,000 of research and development expenses during the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, which are included in operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future material effect on the Company's financial statements.

RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2004 financial statement presentation to correspond to the 2005 presentation.

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

2. PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consist of the following at March 31, 2005:

Furniture and office equipment Accumulated depreciation

\$ 239,073 (208,707)

\$ 30,366

Depreciation expense for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 approximated \$16,000 and \$8,000, respectively.

3. PATENTS

Patents include both foreign and domestic patents. There were no patents pending at March 31, 2005 and there were no patents or patents pending acquired during the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004. The unamortized cost of patents and patents pending is written off when management determines there is no future benefit. During the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, no capitalized patent costs were written off. At March 31, 2005, the gross carrying amount of patents and the related accumulated amortization approximated \$339,000 and \$125,000, respectively. Amortization of patents approximated \$23,000 and \$29,000 during the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Amortization expense on patents is estimated to be approximately \$15,000 per year for the next five fiscal years. The weighted average amortization period for patents was approximately 14 years at March 31, 2005. Some of the Company's patents have expired and others may expire before FDA approval, if any, is obtained.

4. OTHER ASSETS

Other assets consist of approximately \$17,000 of deposits and approximately \$20,000 of advances to employees.

5. EMPLOYMENT CONTRACT

On January 10, 2000, the Company completed the acquisition of the assets of Syngen Research, Inc. ("Syngen"). As part of the transaction, the Company executed a two-year employment contract, which was subsequently amended to increase the term to four years, with Syngen's sole shareholder to perform research. The cost associated with this employment contract was amortized over four years on a straight-line basis and was fully amortized as of March 31, 2004.

6. DEBT-TO-EQUITY CONVERSION PROGRAM

In March 2002, for a limited time, the Company extended an offer to certain note holders and vendors to convert past due amounts into restricted common stock and warrants to purchase common stock of the Company. The offer entailed the conversion of liabilities at a rate of one share and one-half of a warrant for every \$1.25 converted. The warrants had an exercise price of \$2.00 per share and

expired three years from the date of issuance; none are outstanding at March 31, 2005.

7. NOTES PAYABLE

12% AND 15% NOTES

From August 1999 through September 2000, the Company entered into arrangements for the issuance of notes payable from private placement offerings (the "12% Notes") in the original aggregate amount of \$422,500. The 12% Notes

F-19

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

7. NOTES PAYABLE (continued)

12% AND 15% NOTES (continued)

bore annual interest at 12% (15% after maturity), required interest to be paid quarterly, matured one year from the date of issuance, and carried detachable warrants. These notes have no acceleration provisions. In June 2004, one such note in the principal amount of \$12,500 plus accrued interest was repaid. In December 2004, each of two such notes in the principal amount of \$25,000, plus \$17,778 accrued interest, were converted to 87,303 restricted common shares at \$0.49 per share. At March 31, 2005, \$272,500 of the 12% Notes were outstanding and delinquent, in default, and bore interest at 15% (the "15% Notes").

During the year ended March 31, 2004, \$37,500 of principal balance of the 15% Notes held by two noteholders were converted to Company common stock. One noteholder converted \$12,500 of notes including interest of \$5,088 for 27,059 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 27,059 shares of common stock at \$0.65 per share (see Note 9). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative fair value was insignificant and was charged to interest expense upon grant. The second noteholder converted an aggregate of \$25,000 of notes including interest of \$9,766 for 139,063 shares of common stock and 139,063 warrants to purchase shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share (see Note 9). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative fair value was insignificant and charged to interest expense upon grant. A beneficial conversion feature approximating \$37,500 was recorded during the year ended March 31, 2004 related to these two notes.

10% NOTES

In October 2004, the Company issued two \$40,000, 10% one year promissory notes each with 80,000 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.50 per share and 44,444 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.90 per share for cash in the total amount of \$80,000 to two accredited individual investors. In accordance with GAAP, the proceeds of the financing have been allocated to the debt and the warrants, based on their relative fair values. Accordingly, a discount of \$46,000 has been recorded as a reduction in the debt balance, and the off-setting credit has been recorded as additional paid-in capital. The debt discount is amortized and charged to interest expense over the life of the debt. At March 31, 2005, approximately \$23,000 of such discount was unamortized and is

included in notes payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In October 2004, the Company issued a \$50,000, 10% one-year promissory note plus 100,000 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.50 per share and 55,555 three-year warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.90 per share for cash in the amount of \$50,000 to an accredited individual investor. In accordance with GAAP, the proceeds of the financing have been allocated to the debt and the warrants, based on their relative fair values. Accordingly, a discount of \$38,000 has been recorded as a reduction in the debt balance, and the off-setting credit has been recorded as additional paid-in capital. The debt discount is amortized and charged to interest expense over the life of the debt. At March 31, 2005, approximately \$22,000 of such discount was unamortized and is included in notes payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933. As of March 31, 2005, \$20,000 in principal amount of this note has been reduced through application of the note to exercise a portion of the warrants.

The total outstanding balance of the 15% Notes at March 31, 2005 was \$272,500, which is included in notes payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The remaining \$219,809 net balance included in notes payable is comprised of the \$150,000 9% Convertible Note (see Note 8), one \$5,000 10% Convertible Note (see Note 8), both of which were no longer convertible as of March 31, 2005, and the three 10% notes mentioned above, of which the remaining principal amounts totaling \$110,000 was reduced by the remaining \$45,191 unamortized debt discounts attributed to the warrants attached to these notes.

Notes payable consist of the following at March 31, 2005:

15% Notes payable, all past due	\$272 , 500
10% Note payable, past due	5,000
18% Note payable, past due	150,000
10% Notes payable, principal due in	
October 2005, net of discounts of \$45,191	64,809
	\$492,309
	=======

Management's plans to satisfy the remaining outstanding balance on these notes include converting the notes to common stock at market value or repayment with available funds.

F-20

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2005

- 8. CONVERTIBLE NOTES PAYABLE
- 8% CONVERTIBLE NOTE

In November 2000, the Company issued convertible notes payable ("8% Convertible Notes") with original issue amounts totaling \$395,000, bearing interest at 8%

per annum, with principal and accrued interest due on November 1, 2002. The 8% Convertible Notes require no payment of principal or interest during the term and may be converted to common stock of the Company at any time at the option of the holder. The number of common shares issuable upon conversion is equal to the total principal and unpaid interest as of the date of conversion, divided by the conversion price. The conversion price per common share was changed effective August 31, 2001 to the lesser of (a) 80% of the closing market price for the common stock; or (b) 70% of the average of the three lowest closing market prices for the common stock for the ten trading days prior to conversion. Such change resulted in additional BCF approximating \$57,000 during the year ended March 31, 2002.

During fiscal year 2002, the holder converted principal and accrued interest of approximately \$49,000 into 40,267 shares of common stock, leaving principal of \$350,000 and interest thereon due and outstanding. The average conversion price was approximately \$1.22 per common share.

The 8% Convertible Notes required the Company to file an effective registration statement by February 2001. The Company filed a Form SB-2 with the SEC in December 2000; however, such registration statement was never declared effective and was subsequently abandoned. However, as the underlying securities are no longer restricted under Rule 144 of the Securities Act of 1933, the Company no longer plans on filing a registration statement in connection with this transaction. The Company accrued and expensed penalties approximating \$244,000 through March 31, 2004 in connection with not filing an effective registration statement. During the year ended March 31, 2005 it was discovered that the penalties did not have to be paid. Accordingly, such amount was reversed in fiscal 2005 and is included as a credit to interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

In March 2004, the noteholder converted \$225,000 of principal and accrued interest in the amount of \$59,827 into 813,790 shares of common stock. At March 31, 2004, this was the only outstanding 8% Convertible Note, which had a remaining balance of \$125,000. This note balance, including accrued interest of \$38,370, was converted in September 2004 to 479,513 shares of common stock at \$0.34 in accordance with the original agreement.

9% CONVERTIBLE NOTE

In April 2003, the Company issued a convertible note in the amount of \$150,000 ("9% Convertible Note"), bearing interest at 9% per annum, with principal and interest due in June 2003, which is in default and currently bears penalty interest at 18% per annum. The 9% Convertible Note required no payment of principal or interest during the term and was convertible into common stock of the Company at the conversion price of \$0.25 per share through June 2003 at the option of the noteholder. The Company recorded a BCF of \$150,000 in connection with the issuance of the note and amortized such amount to interest expense upon issuance based on the related conversion feature. As this note is no longer convertible, the outstanding balance totaling \$150,000 has been recorded as notes payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Therefore, there were no remaining 9% Convertible Notes outstanding as of March 31, 2005.

10% CONVERTIBLE NOTES

From time to time, the Company issued convertible notes payable ("10% Convertible Notes") to various investors, bearing interest at 10% per annum, with principal and interest due six months from the date of issuance. The 10% Convertible Notes require no payment of principal or interest during the term and may be converted to common stock of the Company at the conversion price of \$0.50 per share at any time at the option of the noteholder. The total amount of the original notes issued was \$275,000.

F-21

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

8. CONVERTIBLE NOTES PAYABLE (continued)

10% CONVERTIBLE NOTES (continued)

In April 2002, the Company issued a 10% Convertible Note in the amount of \$50,000. The conversion price of this note was \$1.25 at the time of issuance, but in August 2002, the Company reduced the conversion price to \$0.50.

During the year ended March 31, 2003, the Company issued additional 10% Convertible Notes totaling \$225,000, of which \$30,000 was converted into restricted common stock.

In November 2003, a noteholder converted \$5,000 of principal and accrued interest of \$509 for 11,017 shares of common stock.

In December 2003, a noteholder converted \$100,000 of principal and accrued interest of \$15,416 for 461,667 shares of common stock and 461,667 warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.25 per share (see Note 9). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative pro-rata fair value was insignificant and was charged to interest expense upon grant.

In January 2004, two noteholders converted \$35,000 of principal and accrued interest of \$5,333 for 161,334 shares of common stock and 161,334 warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.25 per share. These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative pro-rata fair value was insignificant and was charged to interest expense upon grant.

In March 2004, the Company borrowed \$50,000 under a non-interest bearing convertible note payable, which was due in April 2004. In June 2004, the note was converted into common stock of the Company at \$0.44 per share, in connection with the Company's private placement with Fusion Capital.

In March 2004, a noteholder converted \$5,000 of principal and accrued interest of \$696 for 13,725 shares of common stock and 13,725 warrants to purchase common stock at \$0.42 per share. These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model, the relative pro-rata fair value was insignificant, and charged to interest expense upon grant.

In July 2004, the Company repaid a 10% Convertible Note in the principal amount of \$10,000, plus accrued interest. This note was classified as notes payable as of March 31, 2004 since the note was no longer convertible at such time.

A BCF approximating \$137,000 was recorded during the year ended March 31, 2004 related to the issuance of the 10% Convertible Notes.

A 10% Convertible Note in the amount of \$5,000, was past due and in default at March 31, 2005. As this note is no longer convertible at March 31, 2005, the outstanding balance is included in notes payable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet (see Note 7). At March 31, 2005, interest payable on this note totaled \$1,875.

F-22

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS

2003 CONSULTANT STOCK PLAN

In August 2003, the Company adopted the 2003 Consultant Stock Plan (the "Stock Plan"), which provides for grants of common stock through August 2013, to assist the Company in obtaining and retaining the services of persons providing consulting services for the Company. A total of 1,000,000 common shares are reserved for issuance under the Stock Plan. On March 29, 2004, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-8 for the purpose of registering 1,000,000 common shares issuable under the Stock Plan under the Securities Act of 1933.

2005 DIRECTORS COMPENSATION PROGRAM

In February 2005, the Company adopted the 2005 Directors Compensation Program (the "Directors Compensation Program") to assist in obtaining and retaining the services of outside directors. Under the Directors Compensation Program, a newly elected director will receive a one time grant of a non-qualified stock option of 1.5% of the common stock outstanding at the time of election. The options will vest one-third at the time of election to the board and the remaining two-thirds will vest equally at year end over three years. Additionally, each director will also receive an annual \$25,000 non-qualified stock option retainer, \$15,000 of which is to be paid at the first of the year to all directors who are on the Board prior to the first meeting of the year and a \$10,000 retainer will be paid if a director attends 75% of the meetings either in person, via conference call or other electronic means. The exercise price for the options under the Directors Compensation Program will equal the average closing of the last ten (10) trading days prior to the date earned.

COMMON STOCK

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 540,000 shares of restricted common stock for cash totaling \$135,000 in connection with the exercise of warrants at \$0.25 per share.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 1,226,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.25 per share for cash totaling \$306,500. In connection with the issuance of common stock, the Company granted the stockholders warrants to purchase 1,226,000 shares of common stock. The warrants vested upon grant and expire through January 2005.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 180,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.30 per share for cash totaling \$54,000. In connection with the issuance of common stock, the Company granted the stockholders warrants to purchase 180,000 shares of common stock. The warrants vested upon grant and expire through March 2005.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 40,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.525 per share for cash totaling \$21,000. In connection with the issuance of common stock, the Company granted the

stockholders warrants to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock. The warrants vested upon grant and expire through March 2005.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 5,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$1.125 per share for cash totaling \$5,625. In connection with the issuance of common stock, the Company granted the stockholders warrants to purchase 5,000 shares of common stock. The warrants vested upon grant and expire through March 2005.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 10,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.25 for services valued at \$2,500.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 73,529 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.34 for services valued at \$25,000.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 62,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.40 for services valued at \$24,825.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 185,185 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.45 for services valued at \$83,333.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 5,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.50 for services valued at \$2,500.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, noteholders converted \$504,135 of principal and interest into 1,627,655 shares of common stock (see Notes 7 and 8) and warrants to purchase 802,848 shares of common stock (see "Warrants" below).

In April 2004, the Company issued 500,000 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of warrants at \$0.25 per share for cash totaling \$125,000. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In April 2004, the Company issued 17,143 shares at \$1.75 per share to an accredited individual investor for investor relations services in the amount of \$30,000. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

F-23

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

COMMON STOCK (continued)

In April 2004, the Company issued 50,000 shares of restricted common stock to Fusion Capital Fund II, LLC, an accredited institutional investor, for a financing commitment to provide \$6,000,000 under a registered private placement. In connection with the \$6,000,000 financing the Company paid a fee to Fusion Capital in the amount of 418,604 shares of common stock. The Company recorded no expense related to the issuance of these shares since they were related to equity fund raising activities. This transaction was exempt from registration

pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2004, the Company issued 225,000 shares of common stock at \$0.44 per share and 225,000 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.76 per share to legal counsel for legal services in the amount of \$99,000, which was recorded as expense in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2004, a $$50,000\ 10\%$ convertible note was converted at \$0.44 per share for 113,636 shares of common stock and 113,636 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.76 per share. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In May 2004, the Company issued a total of 1,415,909 shares of restricted stock at a price of \$0.44 per share for cash totaling \$623,000 to fourteen accredited investors. In connection with the issuance of these shares, the Company granted the stockholders 1,640,908 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock at a price of \$0.76 per share. The warrants vested immediately and expire on the fifth anniversary from the date when a registration statement covering the common stock underlying such warrants is declared effective. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In July 2004, the Company issued 10,715 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.70 per share to an accredited individual for employee placement services in the amount of \$7,500. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In July 2004, the Company issued 6,850 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.73 per share to an accredited individual for consulting services on opportunities for the Company's Hemopurifier(TM) within the biodefense marketplace in the amount of \$5,000. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In September 2004, the Company issued 479,513 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited investor, in conjunction with the conversion of \$125,000 in principal amount of notes, plus accrued interest, at \$0.34 per share, in accordance with their convertible note agreement (see Note 8). This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

In November and December 2004, the Company issued 80,000 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of 80,000 warrants at \$0.25 per share for consideration of a \$20,000 reduction in the principal amount of a 10% one-year promissory note. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In December 2004, the Company issued 461,667 shares of restricted common stock to two accredited individual investors in connection with the exercise of 461,667 warrants at \$0.25 per share for cash totaling \$115,417. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

COMMON STOCK (continued)

In December 2004, the Company repaid two \$25,000 12% promissory notes, including accrued interest of \$17,778 each, through the issuance of 87,303 restricted common shares at \$0.49 per share to each of two separate accredited individual investors. These transactions were exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In December 2004, the Company issued 60,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.50 per share under a consulting agreement with an accredited individualinvestor, for investor relations consulting services to the Company. The fair value of the transaction of \$30,000 was recorded as deferred compensation and presented as an offset to additional paid-in capital in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Such amount is being amortized to expense over the six month term of the agreement. At March 31, 2005, \$15,000 of such amount remained unamortized. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In January 2005, the Company issued 55,556 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.36 per share and a warrant to purchase 55,556 shares of common stock at \$0.44 per share for cash in the amount of \$20,000 to an accredited individual investor. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In January 2005, the Company issued 66,666 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.45 per share to an accredited individual investor under a consulting agreement for investor relations services to the Company. The fair value of the transaction of \$30,000 was recorded as deferred compensation and presented as an offset to additional paid—in capital in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Such amount is being amortized to expense over the six month term of the agreement. At March 31, 2005, \$15,000 of such amount remained unamortized. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In January 2005, the Company issued 25,834 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of a warrant to purchase 25,834 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share for cash totaling \$6,459. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In February 2005, the Company issued 139,063 shares of restricted common stock to an accredited individual investor in connection with the exercise of a warrant to purchase 139,063 shares of common stock at \$0.25 per share for cash totaling \$34,766. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

In February 2005, the Company issued 90,000 shares of restricted common stock at \$0.27 per share and a three-year warrant to purchase 90,000 shares of common stock at \$0.34 per share for cash in the amount of \$24,300 to an accredited individual investor. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company issued an additional total of 1,416,958 shares of restricted common stock at prices ranging from \$0.25 to \$0.52 for total cash proceeds of approximately \$541,000.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company issued an additional 557,647 shares of restricted common stock at prices ranging from \$0.25 to \$0.55 under various consulting service agreements for total recorded value of approximately \$196,000. All services on these agreements were completed and expensed during the year ended March 31, 2005.

F-25

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

WARRANTS

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company granted 1,226,000 warrants to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.25 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through March 2005. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company granted 180,000 warrants to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.30 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through March 2005. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company granted 40,000 warrants to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.525 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through March 2005. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company granted 5,000 warrants to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$1.125 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through March 2005. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 762,064 warrants to purchase common stock for \$0.25 per share, which are exercisable through March 2005 and vested upon grant. The warrants were issued in connection with the conversion of notes payable (see Notes 7 and 8). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative pro-rata estimated fair value was insignificant and was charged to interest expense upon grant.

During the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 13,725 warrants to purchase common stock for \$0.42 per share, which are exercisable through March 2005 and vested upon grant. The warrants were issued in connection with the conversion of notes payable (see Notes 7 and 8). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative pro-rata estimated fair value was insignificant and was charged to interest expense upon grant.

In the year ended March 31, 2004, the Company issued 27,059 warrants to purchase

common stock for \$0.65 per share, which vested upon grant and expire through March 2005. The warrants were issued in connection with the conversion of notes payable (see Notes 7 and 8). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative pro-rata fair estimated value was insignificant and was charged to interest expense upon grant.

As noted under "Common Stock" above, 540,000 of the warrants granted to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock during the year ended March 31, 2004 were exercised.

In August 2004, the Company issued a one-year warrant, which vests immediately, to purchase 7,000 shares of common stock at \$0.55 per share to an accredited corporate entity in conjunction with a \$6,000 fee for investor and public relations services. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933.

F-26

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

WARRANTS (continued)

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company granted 568,181 warrants to an investor in connection with a commitment fee for the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.76 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through May 2009. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company granted 847,727 warrants to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.76 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through May 2009. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company issued 113,636 warrants to purchase common stock for \$0.76 per share, which are exercisable through May 2009 and vested upon grant. The warrants were issued in connection with the conversion of notes payable (see Notes 7 and 8). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative pro-rata estimated fair value was insignificant and was charged to interest expense upon grant.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company issued 225,000 warrants to purchase common stock for \$0.76 per share, which are exercisable through May 2009 and vested upon grant. The warrants were issued in connection with common stock issued for legal services expense totaling \$99,000 (see "Common Stock" above).

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company issued 260,000 warrants to purchase common stock for \$0.50 per share, which vested upon grant and expire in October 2007. The warrants were issued in connection with the issuance of notes payable (see Note 7). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option

pricing model; the relative pro-rata estimated fair value is being amortized to interest expense over the life of the notes.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company issued 144,443 warrants to purchase common stock for \$0.90 per share, which vested upon grant and expire in October 2007. The warrants were issued in connection with the issuance of notes payable (see Note 7). These warrants were valued using the Black Scholes option pricing model; the relative pro-rata estimated fair value was amortized to interest expense over the life of the notes.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company granted 55,556 warrants to An investor in connection with the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.44 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through January 2008. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the year ended March 31, 2005, the Company granted 90,000 warrants to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.34 per share, vest immediately and are exercisable through February 2008. As the warrants were issued in connection with equity financing, no expense has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

As noted under "Common Stock", 1,206,564 warrants with an exercise price of \$0.25 per share, which were granted to investors in connection with the purchase of common stock, were exercised during the year ended March 31, 2005.

F-27

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

WARRANTS (continued)

A summary of the aggregate warrant activity for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 is presented below:

Year	Ended	March	31.
ICal	Ended	March	$\supset \perp$

	2005 Weighted Average Exercise			2004			
					Weighted Average Exercis		
	Warrants	Pr	ice 	Warrants	P 	rice	
Outstanding, beginning of year	3,793,194	\$	2.22	2,906,746	\$	2.29	
Granted	2,311,543	\$	0.71	2,253,848		0.29	
Exercised	(1,206,564)	\$	0.25	(540,000)		0.25	
Cancelled/Forfeited	(2,064,339)	\$	2.75	(827,400)		0.25	

Outstanding, end of year	2,833,834	\$	0.91	3,793,194	\$	2.22
	========	===	=====	=======	===	
Exercisable, end of year	2,833,834	ċ	0.91	3,793,194	ċ	2.22
Exercisable, end or year	2,033,034	·	=====	========	ب ===	Z • Z Z ======
Weighted average estimated fair						
value of warrants granted		\$	0.60		\$	0.40
		===	=====		===	

The following outlines the significant weighted average assumptions used to estimate the fair value information presented utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model:

	Years Ended 2005	March 31, 2004
Risk free interest rate Average expected life	2.00% 2 years	2.50% 3 years
Expected volatility	139%	365%
Expected dividends	None	None

The detail of the warrants outstanding and exercisable as of March 31, 2005 is as follows:

	War	rants Outstandin	ng	Warrants Ex	Warrants Exercisable			
Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weight Avera Exerci Prio			
\$0.25	185,430	2.72	\$ 0.25	185,430	\$ 0.2			
\$0.34 - \$0.90	2,311,543	3.76	\$ 0.71	2,311,543	\$ 0.7			
\$2.00 - \$4.00	302 , 986	0.82	\$ 2.77	302,986	\$ 2.7			
\$5.00	33,875	0.28	\$ 5.00	33,875	\$ 5.0			
	2,833,834	-		2,833,834				
	==========	=		==========	=			

F-28

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

OPTIONS

At March 31, 2005 the Company had issued 1,337,825 options to outside directors and 3,965,450 options to employee-directors under the 2005 Directors Compensation Program.

From time to time, the Company's Board of Directors grants common share purchase options or warrants to selected directors, officers, employees, consultants and advisors in payment of goods or services provided by such persons on a stand-alone basis outside of any of the Company's formal stock plans. The terms of these grants are individually negotiated.

In August 2000, the Company adopted the 2000 Stock Option Plan ("Stock Option Plan"), which was approved by its stockholders in September 2000. The Stock Option Plan provides for the issuance of up to 500,000 options to purchase shares of common stock. Such options can be incentive options or nonstatutory options, and may be granted to employees, directors and consultants. The Stock Option Plan has limits as to the eligibility of those stockholders who own more than 10% of Company stock, as defined. The options granted pursuant to the Stock Option Plan may have exercise prices of no less than 100% of fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant (incentive options), or no less than 75% of fair market value of such stock at the date of grant (nonstatutory). At March 31, 2005, the Company had granted 47,500 options under the 2000 Stock Option Plan, with 452,500 available for future issuance.

In March 2002, the Board of Directors granted the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Scientific Officer ("CSO") non-qualified stock options to purchase up to 250,000 shares of common stock each, at an exercise price of \$1.90 per share (the estimated fair value of the underlying common stock at grant date) and expire March 2012. Awards are earned upon achievement of certain financial and/or research and development milestones. On July 1, 2005, the Company's CEO forfeited all of his aforementioned 250,000 options.

In February 2005, the Board of Directors granted the Company's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Scientific Officer ("CSO") non-qualified stock options to purchase up to 2,231,100 and 1,734,350 shares of common stock, respectively, at an exercise price of \$0.38 per share and vest fifty percent immediately, twenty-five percent in December 2005 and twenty-five percent in December 2006. In addition Mr. Calvin Leung, a board member, was granted non-qualified stock options to purchase up to 308,725 shares at \$0.38 that vest fifty percent immediately, twenty-five percent in December 2005 and twenty-five percent in December 2006. Messrs. Franklyn S Barry and Edward G Broenniman, board members, were each granted non-qualified stock options to purchase up to 514,550 shares at \$0.38 that vest forty percent immediately, twenty-five percent in December 2005 and twenty-five percent in December 2006. All of these options granted expire in 2010 and 2011 and were granted at a price that was \$0.08 below the estimated fair value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. Accordingly, the Company recorded approximately \$424,000 of compensation expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended March 31, 2005.

The following is a summary of the stock options outstanding at March 31, 2005 and 2004 and the changes during the two years then ended:

	Year Ended	March 31,	
2	005	2004	
Ontions	Weighted Average Exercise	Ontions	Weighted Average Exercise
Options	Price	Options	Price

Outstanding, beginning of year Granted	1,376,115 5,303,275	\$	2.49	1,376,115	\$	2.49
Exercised						
Cancelled/Forfeited						
Outstanding, end of year	6,679,390 ======	\$	0.80	1,376,115	\$	2.49
Exercisable, end of year	3,924,856	\$	1.10	1,363,615	\$	2.51
Weighted average estimated fair value of options granted		Ś	0.45		Ś	
varae or operono graneca		===	======		===	

F-29

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MARCH 31, 2005

9. EQUITY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

OPTIONS (continued)

The following outlines the significant weighted average assumptions used to estimate the fair value information presented utilizing the Black-Scholes option pricing model for the year ended March 31, 2005 (there were no issuances in fiscal 2004):

Risk free interest rate 3.75
Average expected life 4 years
Expected volatility 225%
Expected dividends None

The detail of the options outstanding and exercisable as of March 31, 2005 is as follows:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisa		
Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Outstanding	Weigh Aver Exero Pri	
\$0.38 - \$0.39	5,354,123	5.58 years	\$ 0.38	2,599,589	\$ 0.3	
\$1.78 - \$2.00	515,267	6.91 years	\$ 1.90	515,267	\$ 1.9	
\$2.25 - \$3.00	602,500	2.26 years	\$ 2.78	602,500	\$ 2.7	
\$3.25 - \$3.75	207,500	0.92 years	\$ 3.27	207,500	\$ 3.2	
	6,679,390			3,924,856		

10. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

DUE TO RELATED PARTIES

Certain officers of the Company and other related parties have advanced the Company funds, agreed to defer compensation and/or paid expenses on behalf of the Company to cover working capital deficiencies. These non interest-bearing liabilities have been included as due to related parties in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Other related party transactions are disclosed elsewhere in these notes to consolidated financial statements.

11. INCOME TAX PROVISION

Income tax expense for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. Federal income tax rate of 34 percent to the loss from continuing operations before provision for income taxes as a result of the following:

F-30

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES (A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY) NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MARCH 31, 2005

11. INCOME TAX PROVISION (continued)

	200)5	20	004
Computed "expected" tax benefit	\$ (713,	000)	\$(516	6,000)
Reduction in income taxes resulting from:				
Interest for warrants and BCF			94	4,000
Change in deferred tax assets valuation allowance	814,	000	583	3,000
State and local income taxes,				
net of federal benefit	(125,	000)	(134	4,000)
Other	24,000		(27,000)	
	\$		\$	
	=======================================			

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets at March 31, 2005 are presented below:

Deferred	tax	assets:
----------	-----	---------

Capitalized research and development Net operating loss carryforwards Equity based compensation	\$ 2,099,000 3,679,000 136,000
Total gross deferred tax assets	5,914,000
Less valuation allowance	(5,914,000)

Net deferred tax assets

Ş --

As of March 31, 2005, the Company had tax net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$9,700,000 and \$4,500,000 available to offset future taxable Federal and state income, respectively. The carryforward amounts expire in various years through 2025.

Due to the change in ownership provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, net operating loss carryforwards for Federal income tax reporting purposes are subject to annual limitations. Should a change in ownership occur, net operating loss carryforwards may be limited as to use in future years.

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS

In addition to the employment contract discussed in Note 3, the Company entered into an employment agreement with its Chairman of the Board effective April 1, 1999. The agreement, which is cancelable by either party upon sixty days notice, will be in effect until the employee retires or ceases to be employed by the Company. The Chairman of the Board was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") effective June 1, 2001 upon which the base annual salary was increased from \$120,000 to \$180,000. Effective January 1, 2005, the CEO's salary was increased from \$180,000 to \$205,000 per year. The CEO is eligible for an annual bonus at the discretion of the Board of Directors, of which \$20,000 and nil was earned during each of the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Under the terms of the agreement, if the employee is terminated he may become eligible to receive a salary continuation payment in the amount of at least twelve months' base salary.

F-31

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2005

12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (continued)

EMPLOYMENT CONTRACTS (continued)

The Company entered into an employment agreement with Dr. Tullis effective January 10, 2000. Effective June 1, 2001, Dr. Tullis was appointed the Company's Chief Science Officer of the Company. His compensation under the agreement was modified in June 2001 from \$80,000 to \$150,000 per year. Effective January 1, 2005 Dr. Tullis' salary was increased from \$150,000 to \$165,000 per year Under the terms of the agreement, his employment continues at a salary of \$165,000 per year for successive one-year periods, unless given notice of termination 60 days prior to the anniversary of his employment agreement. Dr. Tullis was granted 250,000 stock options to purchase the Company's common stock in connection the completing certain milestones, such as the initiation and completion of certain clinical trials, the submission of proposals to the FDA and the filing of a patent application. Under the terms of the agreement, if the employee is terminated he may become eligible to receive a salary continuation payment in the amount of twelve months base salary.

LEASE COMMITMENTS

The Company leases its office and research and development space under an operating lease agreement which expires in July 2006.

The Company is committed to make the approximate future aggregate rental payments under the terms of the lease agreement as noted below.

	Year Ended March 31,
2006 2007	\$ 90,000 23,000
Total commitment	\$ 113,000 =======

Rent expense approximated \$106,000 and \$57,000 for the years ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (unaudited)

In April 2005, the Company issued 9,740 shares of common stock pursuant to the Company's S-8 registration statement covering the Company's 2003 Consulting Stock Plan at \$0.308 per share in payment for scientific consulting services to the Company

In April 2005, the Company issued 12,567 shares of common stock pursuant to the Company's S-8 registration statement covering the Company's 2003 Consulting Stock Plan at \$0.2984 per share in payment for regulatory affairs consulting services to the Company

In April 2005, the Company issued 12,567 shares of common stock pursuant to the Company's S-8 registration statement covering the Company's 2003 Consulting Stock Plan at \$0.2984 per share in payment for regulatory affairs consulting services to the Company

In April 2005, the Company issued 15,712 shares of common stock pursuant to the Company's S-8 registration statement covering the Company's 2003 Consulting Stock Plan at \$0.2514 per share in payment for regulatory affairs consulting services to the Company

In April 2005, the Company issued 15,712 shares of common stock pursuant to the Company's S-8 registration statement covering the Company's 2003 Consulting Stock Plan at \$0.2514 per share in payment for regulatory affairs consulting services to the Company

In April 2005, the Company issued 394,235 shares of common stock at prices between \$0.250 to and \$0.280 per share to Fusion Capital under its \$6,000,000 common stock purchase agreement. Fusion advanced the Company \$100,000 in April 2005 for the purchase of additional shares under such agreement. These shares are registered pursuant to the Company's Form SB-2 registration statement effective December 7, 2004.

In May 2005, the Company issued 19,084 shares of common stock pursuant to the Company's S-8 registration statement covering the Company's 2003 Consulting Stock Plan at \$0.262 per share in payment for regulatory affairs consulting services to the Company.

In May 2005, the Company issued 11,450 shares of common stock pursuant

to the Company's S-8 registration statement covering the Company's 2003 Consulting Stock Plan at \$0.262 per share to in payment for regulatory affairs consulting services to the Company.

On May 11, 2005, the Company agreed to issue 836,730 shares of restricted common stock and a three-year warrant to purchase 418,365 shares of the Company's restricted common stock at an exercise price of \$0.25 to legal counsel for payment of legal services in the amount of \$167,346. The Company and legal counsel agreed that the issuance of the restricted shares and the warrant will be delayed until the Company receives shareholder approval to increase the Company's authorized number of shares of common stock to 50,000,000.

F-32

AETHLON MEDICAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(A DEVELOPMENT STAGE COMPANY)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

MARCH 31, 2005

13. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (unaudited) (continued)

On May 16, 2005 the Company issued Fusion Capital ("Fusion) a \$30,000 Convertible Promissory Note (the "Note") with an interest rate of fifteen percent (15%) per annum that matures on August 15, 2005. The Note is convertible into shares of restricted common stock at any time at the election of Fusion at a conversion price equal to \$0.20 per share for any conversion occurring on or prior to the Maturity Date, or at a price equal to the lesser of (i) 75% of the average of the three (3) lowest closing sale prices of the common shares during the twelve (12) trading days prior to the submission of a conversion notice or (ii) \$0.20 per share, for any conversion occurring after the Maturity Date. In addition, the Company issued Fusion a five-year warrant to purchase 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.25 per share (the "Warrant"). The Note and the Warrant have piggyback registration rights. This transaction was exempt from registration pursuant to Regulation D promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.