HILB ROGAL & HOBBS CO Form 10-Q May 06, 2005 Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2005

Commission File Number 0-15981

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Virginia 54-1194795 (State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

4951 Lake Brook Drive, Suite 500 23060

Glen Allen, Virginia (Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(804) 747-6500

 $(Registrant \ \ s \ telephone \ number, including \ area \ code)$

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act
of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject
to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes x No "

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class Outstanding at April 29, 2005

Common Stock, no par value 35,621,173

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY

INDEX

			Page
Part I.	FINANO	CIAL INFORMATION	
	Item 1.	Financial Statements	
	Statemen	nt of Consolidated Income for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004	2
	Consolio	dated Balance Sheet March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004	3
		nt of Consolidated Shareholders Equity for the three months ended a 31, 2005 and 2004	4
		nt of Consolidated Cash Flows for the three months ended n 31, 2005 and 2004	5
	Notes to	Consolidated Financial Statements	6-9
	Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	10-14
	Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	14
	Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	14
Part II.	<u>OTHER</u>	INFORMATION	
	Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	15
	Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	15
Signatur	es		16

1

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(UNAUDITED)

		nths Ended ch 31,
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2005	2004
REVENUES		
Commissions and fees	\$ 180,257	\$ 156,396
Investment income	1,073	555
Other	2,015	1,276
	183,345	158,227
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Compensation and employee benefits	93,660	83,725
Other operating expenses	32,919	25,566
Depreciation	2,190	2,255
Amortization of intangibles	4,697	2,829
Interest expense	3,762	2,529
Integration costs		991
	137,228	117,895
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	46,117	40,332
Income taxes	18,395	16,098
NET INCOME	\$ 27,722	\$ 24,234
Net Income Per Share:		
Basic	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.68
Assuming Dilution	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.67

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

	_	March 31, 2005	December 31, 2004
(in thousands)	Œ.T.	NA KIDIMEDA	
ASSETS	(U.	NAUDITED)	
CURRENT ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents, including \$53,357 and \$55,909, respectively, of restricted funds	\$	227,083	\$ 210,470
Receivables:		ĺ	·
Premiums and commissions, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,712 and \$4,630, respectively		166,415	211,299
Other		29,061	29,122
		_	
		195,476	240,421
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		17,092	24,586
	_		
TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS		439,651	475,477
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET		24,370	24,024
GOODWILL		613,175	608,427
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS		185,478	181,289
Less accumulated amortization		36,314	31,774
		_	
		762,339	757,942
OTHER ASSETS		22,827	20,556
	_		
	\$	1,249,187	\$ 1,277,999
		, ,	
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
CURRENT LIABILITIES			
Premiums payable to insurance companies	\$	285,045	\$ 315,130
Accounts payable	Ψ	18,505	13,417
Accrued expenses		34,769	46,371
Premium deposits and credits due customers		45,401	48,287
Current portion of long-term debt		15,003	16,248
TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES		398,723	439,453
LONG-TERM DEBT		262,566	265,384
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES		35,717	34,113
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES		32,075	31,893
SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
Common Stock, no par value; authorized 100,000 shares; outstanding 35,679 and 35,886 shares,			
respectively		222,352	233,785
Retained earnings		295,916	271,978
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)			
Unrealized gain (loss) on interest rate swaps, net of deferred tax (expense) benefit of (\$276) and \$120,			(40
respectively		414	(181)
Foreign currency translation adjustments		1,424	1,574

520,10	507,156
\$ 1,249,18	\$ 1,277,999

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Common Stock	Retained Earnings	Comp	umulated Other prehensive me (Loss)
Balance at January 1, 2005	\$ 233,785	\$ 271,978	\$	1,393
Issuance of 346 shares of Common Stock	6,949	Ψ 271,970	Ψ	1,373
Repurchase of 553 shares of Common Stock	(19,697)			
Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options	1,315			
Payment of dividends (\$0.1050 per share)	,	(3,784)		
Derivative gain, net of tax				595
Foreign currency translation adjustments				(150)
Net income		27,722		
Balance at March 31, 2005	\$ 222,352	\$ 295,916	\$	1,838
	7			,
Balance at January 1, 2004	\$ 228,357	\$ 205,184	\$	726
Issuance of 419 shares of Common Stock	932			
Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options	4,761			
Payment of dividends (\$0.0925 per share)		(3,310)		
Derivative gain, net of tax				250
Foreign currency translation adjustments				500
Net income		24,234		
Balance at March 31, 2004	\$ 234,050	\$ 226,108	\$	1,476

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

STATEMENT OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

(UNAUDITED)

	<u> </u>
(in thousands)	2004
OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
Net income \$ 27,722	\$ 24,234
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	
Integration costs	991
Depreciation 2,190	2,255
Amortization of intangibles 4,697	2,829
Provision for losses on receivables 289	291
Provision for deferred income taxes 1,028	(302)
Gain on sale of assets (1,056)	(397)
Income tax benefit from exercise of stock options 1,315	4,761
Changes in operating assets and liabilities net of effects from integration costs and insurance agency acquisitions	
and dispositions:	
Decrease in receivables 47,158	38,769
Decrease in prepaid expenses 7,496	2,043
Decrease in premiums payable to insurance companies (32,340)	(34,666)
Increase (decrease) in premium deposits and credits due customers (2,886)	7,421
Increase in accounts payable 4,937	278
Decrease in accrued expenses (11,588)	(7,409)
Other operating activities (1,308)	(639)
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities 47,654	40,459
INVESTING ACTIVITIES	
Purchase of property and equipment (2,312)	(1,692)
Purchase of insurance agencies, net of cash acquired (5,691)	(2,493)
Proceeds from sale of assets 1,895	2,772
Other investing activities 46	288
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities (6,062)	(1,125)
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
Principal payments on long-term debt (3,359)	(2,787)
Debt issuance costs	(300)
Repurchase of Common Stock (19,697)	
Proceeds from issuance of Common Stock, net of	
tax payments for options exercised 1,861	(1,252)
Dividends (3,784)	(3,310)
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities (24,979)	(7,649)

Increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	16,613 210,470	31,685 126,464
Cash and cash equivalents at End of Period	\$ 227,083	\$ 158,149

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

March 31, 2005

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE A BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements of Hilb Rogal & Hobbs Company (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by United States generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month period ended March 31, 2005, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2005. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

NOTE B ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has three stock-based compensation plans. The Company continues to account for its stock options using the intrinsic value method prescribed in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations. No stock-based compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (Statement 123), as amended by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, establishes accounting and disclosure requirements using a fair value based method of accounting for stock options.

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (Statement 123R). Statement 123R revises Statement 123. The revised standard requires all companies to recognize compensation costs related to all share-based payments (including stock options) in their financial statements at fair value, thereby, upon adoption, eliminating the use of pro forma disclosures to report such amounts. In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued a rule to amend the effective date of Statement 123R. Statement 123R is effective for a public company that is not a small business issuer at the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005.

Statement 123R permits public companies to account for the adoption of this revised standard using one of two methods: the modified-prospective method or the modified-retrospective method. The modified-prospective method requires a company to recognize compensation based upon fair value for only those share-based awards granted with an effective date subsequent to the company s date of adoption and share-based awards issued in prior periods that remain unvested at the date of adoption. The modified-retrospective method allows a company to restate, based upon pro forma amounts previously disclosed under the requirements of Statement 123, for either all prior periods presented or prior interim periods included in the year of adoption.

The Company intends to adopt Statement 123R no later than January 1, 2006, but has yet to determine which of the two methods described above will be utilized. The Company continues to evaluate the impact of this proposed statement on its financial position and results of operations.

6

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

March 31, 2005

(UNAUDITED)

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement 123 to stock-based compensation.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2005	2004	
Net income as reported	\$ 27,722	\$ 24,234	
Deduct: Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(1,230)	(1,203)	
Pro forma net income	\$ 26,492	\$ 23,031	
Net income per share:			
Basic as reported	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.68	
Basic pro forma	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.65	
Assuming dilution as reported	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.67	
Assuming dilution pro forma	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.63	

NOTE C INCOME TAXES

Deferred taxes result from temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. The Company s effective rate varies from the statutory rate primarily due to state income taxes.

NOTE D ACQUISITIONS

During the first three months of 2005, the Company acquired certain assets and liabilities of two insurance agencies. These acquisitions are not material to the consolidated financial statements.

NOTE E SALE OF ASSETS AND OTHER GAINS

During the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company sold certain insurance accounts and other assets resulting in gains of \$1.1 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Revenues, expenses and assets related to these dispositions were not material to the consolidated financial statements.

7

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

March 31, 2005

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE F NET INCOME PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per share.

		Three Months Ended March 31,		
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2005	2004		
Numerator for basic and dilutive net income per share				
Net income	\$ 27,722	\$ 24,234		
Denominator				
Weighted average shares	35,665	35,337		
Effect of guaranteed future shares to be issued in connection with agency acquisitions	309	251		
Denominator for basic net income per share	35,974	35,588		
Effect of dilutive securities:	,	,		
Employee stock options	308	535		
Employee non-vested stock	143	122		
Contingent stock acquisitions	85	91		
Dilutive potential common shares	536	748		
Diam to potential common similar				
Denominator for diluted net income per share				
adjusted weighted average shares	36,510	36,336		
Net Income Per Share:				
Basic	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.68		
Assuming Dilution	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.67		

NOTE G INTEGRATION COSTS

The Company began the integration of Hobbs Group, LLC (Hobbs) with the rest of the Company subsequent to June 30, 2003 with the completion of the Hobbs earn-out. The Company did not incur any integration costs in the first quarter of 2005. In the first quarter of 2004, the Company recognized integration costs of \$1.0 million and related income taxes of \$0.4 million. These amounts represent costs such as severance and other employee-related costs, facility and lease termination costs, and branding expenses.

NOTE H COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Company has been named as a defendant in certain legal proceedings against brokers and insurers relating to broker compensation arrangements and other business practices. In August 2004, OptiCare Health Systems Inc. filed a putative class action suit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York (Case No. 04-CV-06954) against a number of the country s largest insurance brokers, including the Company, and several large commercial insurers. In the amended complaint, the plaintiff alleges, among other things, that the broker defendants engaged in improper steering of clients to the insurer defendants for the purpose of obtaining undisclosed additional compensation in the form of commissions from insurers; that the defendants were engaged in a bid-rigging scheme involving the submission of false and/or inflated bids from insurers to clients; and that the broker defendants entered into unlawful tying arrangements to obtain reinsurance business from the defendant insurers. The plaintiff alleges violations of federal and state antitrust laws, conspiracy and

8

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY AND SUBSIDIARIES

March 31, 2005

(UNAUDITED)

violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and (d), fraudulent concealment, misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment and violation of state unfair and deceptive practices statutes. The plaintiff seeks monetary relief, including treble damages, an injunction, costs and other relief. On February 17, 2005, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (the Panel) ordered that this case, along with three other purported antitrust class actions filed in New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania against industry participants, be centralized and transferred to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The Company has not yet filed a responsive pleading in this case but believes it has substantial defenses to these claims and intends to defend itself vigorously.

In December 2004, two other purported class action suits were filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, by Stephen Lewis (Case No. 04-C-7847) and Diane Preuss (Case No. 04-C-7853), respectively, against certain insurance brokers, including the Company, and several large commercial insurers. Neither complaint has been served on the Company. In the complaints, plaintiffs allege, among other things, that the defendants were involved in a scheme to manipulate the market for commercial insurance by steering clients to the insurer defendants for the purpose of obtaining undisclosed additional compensation in the form of commissions from insurers and by engaging in a bid-rigging scheme using false and/or inflated bids from insurers to clients. The plaintiffs allege violations of federal and state antitrust laws, conspiracy and violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962(c) and (d), fraudulent concealment, misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment and violation of state unfair and deceptive practices statutes. The plaintiffs seek monetary relief, including treble damages, an injunction, costs and other relief. These two lawsuits were not specifically identified in the order issued by the Panel transferring the OptiCare litigation to the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey, as noted above, but the Panel noted in its order that additional related actions had been filed in certain other jurisdictions, including cases in the Northern District of Illinois, and that those actions would be treated as potential tag-along actions. Accordingly, the Lewis and Preuss cases also may be subject to transfer by the Panel. The Company believes it has substantial defenses to the claims made in the Lewis and Preuss cases and intends to defend itself vigorously.

9

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In April 2005, the Company announced that Carolyn Jones, the Company s Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, will retire from her position. She will remain in her current position until the appointment of her successor, and thereafter will provide support, as needed, for a smooth transition. The Company has commenced a search to identify and retain a Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer.

Results of Operations

Three Months Ended March 31, 2005

Net income for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was \$27.7 million, or \$0.76 per share, compared with \$24.2 million, or \$0.67 per share, for the comparable period last year. The Company did not incur any integration costs in the first quarter of 2005. Net income for the 2004 quarter included integration costs, net of tax, of \$0.6 million, or \$0.02 per share. Integration costs represent costs such as severance and other employee-related costs, facility and lease termination costs, and branding expenses. The Company expects to continue to incur certain facility relocation and lease termination costs in 2005 as a result of its continued integration of Hobbs. In addition, non-operating gains, net of tax, were \$0.7 million and \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

Commissions and fees were \$180.3 million, an increase of 15.3%, from commissions and fees of \$156.4 million during the comparable period of the prior year. Approximately \$26.2 million of the commissions increase was derived from acquisitions of new insurance agencies in 2005 and 2004. This increase was offset by decreases of approximately \$2.7 million from the sale of certain offices and accounts in 2005 and 2004. Excluding the effect of acquisitions and dispositions, commissions and fees increased 0.2%. This slight increase principally reflects higher contingent commissions offset by a softening rate environment, a reduction in commission rates for two lines of business, and variability in timing of renewals and new business sold.

Expenses for the quarter increased \$19.3 million or 16.4%. Integration costs decreased \$1.0 million from the first quarter of 2004. Compensation and benefits, and other operating expenses increased \$9.9 million and \$7.4 million, respectively. Compensation and benefits increased primarily due to acquisitions of insurance agencies. Other operating expenses increased mainly due to acquisitions and increased legal, compliance and claims expenditures. Legal, compliance and claims expenditures increased \$4.9 million from the year ago quarter due primarily to various regulatory inquiries, compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the protection of restrictive covenants in employment contracts. As part of its response to regulatory inquiries and other proceedings related to industry business practices and compensation arrangements, the Company expects to continue to incur additional legal and compliance costs in 2005.

Depreciation expense changed slightly between the quarters. Amortization of intangibles increased approximately \$1.9 million due primarily to intangible assets acquired in 2005 and 2004 acquisitions. Interest expense increased \$1.2 million as average borrowings and interest rates increased between the quarters.

The Company s overall tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 was 39.9%.

Other

For the three months ended March 31, 2005, net income as a percentage of revenues did not vary significantly from the three months ended December 31, 2004. Commission income was higher during the first quarter due to acquisitions and higher contingent commissions, the majority of which are historically received during the first and second quarters.

The timing of contingent commissions, policy renewals and acquisitions may cause revenues, expenses and net income to vary significantly from quarter to quarter. As a result of the factors described above, operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2005 should not be considered indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year ending December 31, 2005.

10

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash provided by operations totaled \$47.7 million and \$40.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, and is primarily dependent upon the timing of the collection of insurance premiums from clients and payment of those premiums to the appropriate insurance underwriters.

The Company has historically generated sufficient funds internally to finance capital expenditures. Cash expenditures for the acquisition of property and equipment were \$2.3 million and \$1.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. The purchase of insurance agencies utilized cash of \$5.7 million and \$2.5 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively. Cash expenditures for such insurance agency acquisitions have been primarily funded through operations and long-term borrowings. In addition, a portion of the purchase price in such acquisitions may be paid through the Company s Common Stock and/or deferred cash and Common Stock payments. The Company did not have any material capital expenditure commitments as of March 31, 2005.

Financing activities utilized cash of \$25.0 million and \$7.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively, as the Company repurchased Common Stock and made dividend and debt payments. The Company has annually increased its dividend rate and anticipates the continuance of its dividend policy. The Company repurchased 552,800 shares of its Common Stock for \$19.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005. The Company is currently authorized to purchase up to \$50.0 million annually of its Common Stock subject to market conditions and other factors.

As of March 31, 2005, the Company has a credit agreement with outstanding term loans of \$248.2 million which are due in various amounts through 2011, and no outstanding revolving credit facility borrowings with \$175.0 million available under the revolving credit facility for future borrowings. Borrowings bear interest at variable rates based on LIBOR plus a negotiated spread.

The Company had a current ratio (current assets to current liabilities) of 1.10 to 1.00 as of March 31, 2005. Shareholders equity of \$520.1 million at March 31, 2005, is improved from \$507.2 million at December 31, 2004. The debt to equity ratio at March 31, 2005 of 0.50 to 1.00 is decreased from the ratio at December 31, 2004 of 0.52 to 1.00 due to net income and the issuance of Common Stock partially offset by stock repurchases.

The Company believes that cash generated from operations, together with proceeds from borrowings, will provide sufficient funds to meet the Company s short and long-term funding needs.

Market Risk

The Company has variable rate debt, maintains certain investments and utilizes derivative financial instruments (on a limited basis) which are subject to market risk; however, the Company believes that exposure to market risk associated with these instruments is not material.

New Accounting Standards

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (Statement 123R). Statement 123R revises Statement 123. The revised standard requires all companies to recognize compensation costs related to all share-based payments (including stock options) in their financial statements at fair value, thereby, upon adoption, eliminating the use of pro forma disclosures to report such amounts. In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission issued a rule to amend the effective date of Statement 123R. Statement 123R is effective for a public company that is not a small business issuer at the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005.

Statement 123R permits public companies to account for the adoption of this revised standard using one of two methods: the modified-prospective method or the modified-retrospective method. The modified-prospective method requires a company to recognize compensation based upon fair value for only those share-based awards

11

granted with an effective date subsequent to the company s date of adoption and share-based awards issued in prior periods that remain unvested at the date of adoption. The modified-retrospective method allows a company to restate, based upon pro forma amounts previously disclosed under the requirements of Statement 123, for either all prior periods presented or prior interim periods included in the year of adoption.

The Company intends to adopt Statement 123R no later than January 1, 2006, but has yet to determine which of the two methods described above will be utilized. The Company continues to evaluate the impact of this proposed statement on its financial position and results of operations.

Recent Industry Developments

On October 14, 2004, the Office of the Attorney General of the State of New York (NYAG) filed a lawsuit against Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. and its subsidiary Marsh Inc. (collectively Marsh), the world slargest insurance broker, alleging statutory and common law fraud, securities fraud, bid-rigging and other antitrust violations in the placement of insurance business. On March 4, 2005, the NYAG filed a lawsuit against Aon Corporation (Aon), the world second largest insurance broker, alleging fraudulent business practices, common law fraud and securities fraud in connection with the conduct of its placement of insurance business. Marsh and Aon have each announced settlement agreements with the NYAG and certain state regulators. In addition, on April 8, 2005, Willis Group Holdings Limited, Willis North America Inc. and Willis of New York, Inc. (collectively Willis) entered into an agreement with the NYAG and a state regulator to resolve all of the issues related to investigations conducted by the NYAG and the state regulator. Under the terms of the agreements, Marsh, Aon and Willis are required to establish settlement funds in the amounts of \$850 million, \$190 million and \$50 million, respectively, to compensate U.S. policyholder clients who retained Marsh, Aon and Willis to place insurance with inception or renewal dates between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2004, where such policies resulted in Marsh, Aon and Willis recording contingent or override commissions.

The Marsh, Aon and Willis settlement agreements also place restrictions on the future business practices of these companies. Marsh, Aon and Willis may no longer accept (i) any contingent compensation for services in placing, renewing, consulting on or servicing any insurance policy and (ii) any compensation other than a specific fee to be paid by the client, a specific percentage commission on premiums to be paid by the insurer set at the time of the purchase, renewal, placement or servicing of the policy, or both types of compensation. If Marsh, Aon or Willis receives any commission, it must disclose to the client that it intends to collect the commission and obtain the client s written consent prior to the binding of the policy.

As of the date of this report, the Company has not received a subpoena from the NYAG. However, as a result of the above events with Marsh, Aon and Willis, controversy now surrounds the longstanding insurance industry practice of contingent and override commissions paid to agents and brokers by underwriters. A committee of the Company s Board of Directors was previously authorized to perform an independent review concerning the Company s practices in the areas that are the subjects of the NYAG s allegations against Marsh. This committee has engaged outside legal counsel to assist it in the review.

In addition to the ongoing investigation by the NYAG, other state attorneys general and insurance departments have been making inquiries into, among other things, the industry's commission payment practices. In October 2004, the Company received a subpoena from the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Connecticut (CTAG), as part of the CTAG's investigation of possible antitrust violations. The CTAG's subpoena requests information concerning various business practices, including contingent commissions. The Company also has received subpoenas from the attorneys general in Florida, Massachusetts and North Carolina requesting information regarding business practices. In addition, the Company has received requests for information from state insurance departments in eleven states, and the Company may receive additional subpoenas and/or requests for information in the future from attorneys general and/or insurance departments of other states. It is the Company sunderstanding that numerous others in the insurance industry have also received such subpoenas and requests for information. The Company will evaluate, and intends to cooperate fully in connection with, all such subpoenas and requests.

The Company has historically entered into contingent and override commission agreements with various underwriters. Contingent commissions are commissions paid by underwriters based on profitability of the business, premium growth, total premium volume or some combination of these factors. Revenue from contingent commissions is heavily weighted in the first and second quarters. Override commissions are typically volume-based commissions paid by underwriters in excess of the standard commission rates on specific classes of business.

For the first quarters ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, the Company recognized contingent and override commissions of \$37.3 million and \$27.5 million, respectively. For the years ended December 31, 2004 and 2003, the Company recognized \$42.4 million and \$40.8 million, respectively, in contingent and override commissions. Of the 2005 quarterly amount, 92% was from standard contingency agreements and 8% was from national override agreements. Of the 2004 annual amount, 81% was from standard contingency agreements and 19% was from national override agreements. The standard contingency agreements are maintained at the local office level. The national override agreements are specially negotiated and volume-based in keeping with industry norms. Effective for business written on or after January 1, 2005, these national override agreements, which were paid quarterly when earned, reverted into standard local contingency arrangements with those underwriters, which will be paid and recorded annually beginning in early 2006. There can be no assurance that the loss of override commissions resulting from the reversion to standard local contingency arrangements will be offset by additional contingent commissions in future periods.

The Company intends to monitor broker compensation practices and, as warranted by market and regulatory developments, will review its compensation arrangements with underwriters. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of the governmental inquiries into the insurance industry s commission payment practices or the market s response thereto, any material decrease in the Company s contingent commissions is likely to have a negative effect on its results of operations.

In addition to state regulatory inquiries, the Company has been named as a defendant in three purported class action suits brought against a number of brokers in the insurance industry. For information on industry litigation, see Note H Commitments and Contingencies of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Forward-Looking Statements

The Company cautions readers that the foregoing discussion and analysis includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and are subject to the safe harbor created by that Act. These forward-looking statements are believed by the Company to be reasonable based upon management s current knowledge and assumptions about future events, but are subject to the uncertainties generally inherent in any such forward-looking statement, including factors discussed above as well as other factors that may generally affect the Company s business, financial condition or operating results. Risk factors and uncertainties that might cause such a difference include, but are not limited to, the following: the Company s commission revenues are highly dependent on premium rates charged by insurance underwriters, which are subject to fluctuation based on the prevailing economic conditions and competitive factors that affect insurance underwriters; the level of contingent commissions is difficult to predict and any decrease in the Company s collection of them is likely to have an impact on operating results; the Company has eliminated override commissions effective for business written on or after January 1, 2005, and it is uncertain whether additional contingent commissions payable to the Company will offset the loss of such revenues; the Company s continued growth has been enhanced through acquisitions, which may or may not be available on acceptable terms in the future and which, if consummated, may or may not be advantageous to the Company; the Company s failure to integrate an acquired insurance agency efficiently may have an adverse effect on the Company; the general level of economic activity can have a substantial impact on revenues that is difficult to predict; a strong economic period may not necessarily result in higher revenues if the volume of insurance business brought about by favorable economic conditions is offset by premium rates that have declined in response to increased competitive conditions; if the Company is unable to respond in a timely and cost-effective

manner to rapid technological change in the insurance intermediary industry, there may be a resulting adverse effect on business and operating results; the business practices and broker compensation arrangements of the Company and the insurance intermediary industry are subject to uncertainty due to investigations by various governmental authorities and related private litigation; and quarterly and annual variations in the Company s commissions and fees that result from the timing of policy renewals and the net effect of new and lost business production may have unexpected impacts on the Company s results of operations. For more details on factors that could affect expectations, see the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and other reports from time to time filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company believes that its exposure to market risk associated with transactions using variable rate debt, certain investments and derivative financial instruments is not material.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods required by the Securities and Exchange Commission. As of the end of the period covered by this report on Form 10-Q, the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on that evaluation, the Company s management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of such period. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over the Company s financial reporting. There have been no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended March 31, 2005, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

14

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

c) The following table sets forth the details of purchases of Common Stock under the publicly announced share-repurchase program (the 2004 Program) that occurred in the first quarter of 2005:

	Total Number of		Total Number of Shares	Maximum Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May
	Shares	Average Price	Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced	Yet Be Purchased Under the
Period	Purchased	Paid per Share	Program	Program
March 2005	552,800	\$ 35.63	552,800	\$ 30,303,000

The 2004 Program was announced by the Company on March 31, 2004 and provides for the Company to purchase up to \$50.0 million of its Common Stock annually. The repurchases may be made on the open market or in negotiated transactions, with the timing and amount of the transactions to be determined by the Company s management subject to market conditions and other factors.

Not included in the above table are purchases outside of the 2004 Program that were made on behalf of a trust maintained by the Company for the Executive Voluntary Deferral Plan and the Outside Directors Deferral Plan. Total number of shares purchased during the quarter was 6,603, at an average price per share of \$34.78.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Document
10.1	2005 Corporate Incentive Plan, effective February 7, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K dated March 11, 2005, File No. 0-15981)
31.1	Certification Statement of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a)
31.2	Certification Statement of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a)
32.1	Certification Statement of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification Statement of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

			HII D. LO HILL C
			Hilb Rogal & Hobbs Company
			(Registrant)
Date	May 6, 2005	By:	/s/ Martin L. Vaughan, III
			Martin L. Vaughan, III
			Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
			(Principal Executive Officer)
Date	May 6, 2005	Ву:	/s/ Carolyn Jones
			Carolyn Jones
			Senior Vice President, Chief
			Financial Officer and Treasurer
			(Principal Financial Officer)
Date	May 6, 2005	By:	/s/ Robert W. Blanton, Jr.
			Robert W. Blanton, Jr.
			Vice President and Controller
			(Chief Accounting Officer)

HILB ROGAL & HOBBS COMPANY

EXHIBIT INDEX

No.	Document
10.1	2005 Corporate Incentive Plan, effective February 7, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company s Form 8-K dated March 11, 2005, File No. 0-15981)
31.1	Certification Statement of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a)
31.2	Certification Statement of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a 14(a)/15d 14(a)
32.1	Certification Statement of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350
32.2	Certification Statement of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350