

SURMODICS INC
Form 10-Q
February 08, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D. C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-23837

SurModics, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

MINNESOTA
(State of incorporation)

41-1356149
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification No.)

9924 West 74th Street

Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (952) 500-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's Common Stock, \$.05 par value per share, outstanding as of February 1, 2013 was 14,663,859.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 20 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 25 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 25 |
| <u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 27 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 29 |
| EX-10.3 | |
| EX-10.4 | |
| EX-31.1 | |
| EX-31.2 | |
| EX-32.1 | |
| EX-32.2 | |
| EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |
| EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT | |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1. Financial Statements

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|
| <i>(in thousands, except share and per share data)</i> | | |
| <i>(Unaudited)</i> | | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 21,465 | \$ 15,540 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 9,496 | 14,117 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$54 and \$40 as of December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, respectively | 4,416 | 5,069 |
| Inventories | 3,222 | 3,524 |
| Deferred tax assets | | 219 |
| Prepays and other | 478 | 603 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | 809 | 883 |
| Total Current Assets | 39,886 | 39,955 |
| Property and equipment, net | 13,587 | 13,610 |
| Available-for-sale securities | 33,086 | 28,433 |
| Deferred tax assets | 5,972 | 5,806 |
| Intangible assets, net | 4,245 | 4,430 |
| Goodwill | 8,010 | 8,010 |
| Other assets, net | 3,030 | 2,831 |
| Total Assets | \$ 107,816 | \$ 103,075 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 943 | \$ 1,657 |
| Accrued liabilities: | | |
| Compensation | 773 | 2,319 |
| Accrued income taxes | 2,003 | |
| Accrued other | 1,367 | 1,066 |
| Deferred revenue | 46 | 47 |
| Other current liabilities | 163 | 170 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | 1,640 | 1,640 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 6,935 | 6,899 |
| Deferred revenue, less current portion | 174 | 185 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 2,005 | 2,247 |
| Total Liabilities | 9,114 | 9,331 |
| Commitments and Contingencies (Note 14) | | |
| Stockholders Equity: | | |
| Series A Preferred stock- \$.05 par value, 450,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock- \$.05 par value, 45,000,000 shares authorized; 14,662,359 and 14,656,806 shares issued and outstanding, respectively | 733 | 733 |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Additional paid-in capital | 18,819 | 18,346 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 277 | 40 |
| Retained earnings | 78,873 | 74,625 |
| Total Stockholders' Equity | 98,702 | 93,744 |
| Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | \$ 107,816 | \$ 103,075 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income

| | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|--|--|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | <i>(Unaudited)</i> | |
| <i>(In thousands, except per share data)</i> | | |
| Revenue: | | |
| Royalties and license fees | \$ 7,516 | \$ 6,610 |
| Product sales | 5,353 | 4,634 |
| Research and development | 982 | 672 |
| Total revenue | 13,851 | 11,916 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | |
| Product costs | 1,959 | 1,590 |
| Research and development | 3,362 | 3,638 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 3,653 | 3,466 |
| Total operating costs and expenses | 8,974 | 8,694 |
| Operating income from continuing operations | 4,877 | 3,222 |
| Other income: | | |
| Investment income, net | 72 | 138 |
| Other income, net | 1,176 | 8 |
| Other income | 1,248 | 146 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 6,125 | 3,368 |
| Income tax provision | (1,877) | (1,213) |
| Income from continuing operations | 4,248 | 2,155 |
| Discontinued operations: | | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | 1,605 |
| Loss on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes | | (1,054) |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 551 |
| Net income | \$ 4,248 | \$ 2,706 |
| Basic income per share: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.12 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| Net income | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.15 |
| Diluted income per share: | | |
| Continuing operations | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.12 |
| Discontinued operations | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| Net income | \$ 0.29 | \$ 0.15 |
| Weighted average number of shares outstanding: | | |
| Basic | 14,655 | 17,476 |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Diluted

14,863

17,528

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

| <i>(In thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended December 31, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| | <i>(Unaudited)</i> | |
| Net income | \$ 4,248 | \$ 2,706 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | |
| Unrealized holding gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities arising during the period, net of tax provision of \$36 and tax benefit of \$110, respectively | 240 | (175) |
| Reclassification adjustment for realized gains included in net income, net of tax provision of \$1 and \$3, respectively | (3) | (5) |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 237 | (180) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 4,485 | \$ 2,526 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

| | Three Months Ended December 31, 2012 2011 (Unaudited) | |
|--|---|---------------|
| <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
| Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 4,248 | \$ 2,706 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations: | | |
| Income from discontinued operations | | (1,605) |
| Loss on sale of discontinued operations | | 1,054 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 722 | 749 |
| Stock-based compensation | 392 | 893 |
| Deferred tax | 27 | (57) |
| Gain on sale of strategic investment | (1,174) | |
| Amortization of premium on held-to-maturity securities | | 20 |
| Reduction of tax benefit from stock-based compensation plans | 4 | 16 |
| Other | (2) | (4) |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 653 | (383) |
| Inventories | 302 | 209 |
| Prepays and other | 111 | (48) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (1,499) | (2,872) |
| Income taxes | 1,623 | 1,263 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities from continuing operations | 5,407 | 1,941 |
| Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (857) | (157) |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (843) | (2,724) |
| Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 805 | 2,641 |
| Cash received from discontinued operations | 75 | 24,548 |
| Cash received from sale of a strategic investment | 1,258 | |
| Net cash provided by investing activities from continuing operations | 438 | 24,308 |
| Financing Activities: | | |
| Reduction of tax benefit from stock-based compensation plans | (4) | (16) |
| Issuance of common stock | 84 | 79 |
| Purchase of common stock to pay employee taxes | | (170) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities from continuing operations | 80 | (107) |
| Net cash provided by continuing operations | 5,925 | 26,142 |
| Discontinued Operations: | | |
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | 75 | (2,344) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | | 26,892 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (75) | (24,548) |
| Net cash provided by discontinued operations | | |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 5,925 | 26,142 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents: | | |
| Beginning of period | 15,540 | 23,217 |
| End of period | \$ 21,465 | \$ 49,359 |
| Supplemental Information: | | |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 228 | \$ 23 |
| Noncash transactions acquisition of property and equipment on account | \$ 209 | \$ 8 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SurModics, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Period Ended December 31, 2012

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S.) (GAAP) and, in the opinion of management, reflect all adjustments, consisting solely of normal recurring adjustments, needed to fairly present the financial results of SurModics, Inc. and subsidiaries (SurModics or the Company) for the periods presented. These financial statements include some amounts that are based on management's best estimates and judgments. These estimates may be adjusted as more information becomes available, and any adjustment could be significant. The impact of any change in estimates is included in the determination of earnings in the period in which the change in estimate is identified. The results of operations for the three months ended December 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire 2013 fiscal year.

In accordance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Company has omitted footnote disclosures that would substantially duplicate the disclosures contained in the audited financial statements of the Company. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read together with the audited consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Form 10-K as filed with the SEC on December 14, 2012.

Certain items in the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows for the three months ended December 31, 2011 have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

2. Key Accounting Policies

Revenue recognition

The Company recognizes revenue when all of the following criteria are met: (1) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (2) shipment has occurred or delivery has occurred if the terms specify destination; (3) the sales price is fixed or determinable; and (4) collectability is reasonably assured. When there are additional performance requirements, revenue is recognized when all such requirements have been satisfied. Under revenue arrangements with multiple deliverables, the Company recognizes each separable deliverable as it is earned.

The Company derives its revenue from three primary sources: (1) royalties and license fees from licensing its proprietary drug delivery and surface modification technologies and in vitro diagnostic formats to customers; (2) the sale of reagent chemicals to licensees and the sale of stabilization products, antigens, substrates and surface coatings to the diagnostic and biomedical research markets; and (3) research and development fees generated on customer projects.

Royalties and license fees. The Company licenses technology to third parties and collects royalties. Royalty revenue is generated when a customer sells products incorporating the Company's licensed technologies. Royalty revenue is recognized as licensees report it to the Company, and payment is typically submitted concurrently with the report. For stand-alone license agreements, up-front license fees are recognized over the term of the related licensing agreement. Minimum royalty fees are recognized in the period earned.

Revenue related to a performance milestone is recognized upon the achievement of the milestone, as defined in the respective agreements and provided the following conditions have been met:

The milestone payment is non-refundable;

The milestone involved a significant degree of risk, and was not reasonably assured at the inception of the arrangement;

Accomplishment of the milestone involved substantial effort;

The amount of the milestone payment is commensurate with the related effort and risk; and

A reasonable amount of time passed between the initial license payment and the first and subsequent milestone payments.
If these conditions have not been met, the milestone payment is deferred and recognized over the term of the agreement.

Product sales. Product sales to third parties are recognized at the time of shipment, provided that an order has been received, the price is fixed or determinable, collectability of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured and returns can be reasonably estimated. The Company's sales terms provide no right of return outside of the standard warranty policy. Payment terms are generally set at 30-45 days.

Table of Contents

Research and development. The Company performs third party research and development activities, which are typically provided on a time and materials basis. Generally, revenue for research and development is recorded as performance progresses under the applicable contract.

New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Adopted

In June 2011, and subsequently amended in December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued final guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income. Under the newly issued guidance, the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income may only be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. The Company adopted this guidance in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, with comprehensive income shown as a separate condensed consolidated statement immediately following the condensed consolidated statements of income. Since the new guidance only relates to presentation, its adoption did not impact the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

No other new accounting pronouncement issued or effective has had, or is expected to have, a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

3. Discontinued Operations

Beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, the results of operations, cash flows, assets and liabilities of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (SurModics Pharmaceuticals), which were previously reported in the Pharmaceuticals segment as a separate operating segment, are classified as discontinued operations.

On November 1, 2011, the Company entered into a definitive agreement (the Purchase Agreement) to sell substantially all of the assets of its wholly-owned subsidiary, SurModics Pharmaceuticals, to Evonik Degussa Corporation (Evonik). Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the entire portfolio of products and services of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, including the Company's Current Good Manufacturing Practices (cGMP) development and manufacturing facility located in Birmingham, Alabama, were sold. The Company retained all accounts receivable and the majority of liabilities associated with SurModics Pharmaceuticals incurred prior to closing. The sale (the Pharma Sale) closed on November 17, 2011. The total consideration received from the Pharma Sale was \$30.0 million in cash. As part of the Pharma Sale, SurModics agreed not to compete in the restricted business (as defined in the Purchase Agreement) for a period of five years and to indemnify Evonik against specified losses for a period of five years, in connection with SurModics Pharmaceuticals, including certain contingent consideration obligations related to the acquisition by SurModics Pharmaceuticals of the portfolio of intellectual property and drug delivery projects from PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (PR Pharma). SurModics retained responsibility for repayment obligations related to an agreement with various governmental authorities associated with creation of jobs in Alabama. The foregoing summary of the Purchase Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the Purchase Agreement, which is attached as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 7, 2011. Refer to the Purchase Agreement for more details on the Pharma Sale.

As part of the Pharma Sale, the Company recorded a loss on the sale in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 of \$1.7 million (\$1.1 million net of income tax benefit), which was principally related to transaction closing costs. The loss is included in Loss on sale of discontinued operations in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Table of Contents

There was no statement of income impact associated with discontinued operations for the three months ended December 31, 2012. The following is a summary of the operating results of SurModics Pharmaceuticals from discontinued operations for the three months ended December 31, 2011 (*in thousands*):

| | Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 | |
|--|---|---------|
| Total revenue | \$ | 5,311 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$ | 2,530 |
| Income tax provision | | (925) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes | \$ | 1,605 |
| Loss on sale of discontinued operations | \$ | (1,661) |
| Income tax benefit | | 607 |
| Loss on sale of discontinued operations, net of income taxes | \$ | (1,054) |

The major classes of assets and liabilities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012 were as follows (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Accounts receivable, net | \$ 209 | \$ 283 |
| Other current assets | 600 | 600 |
| Current assets of discontinued operations | 809 | 883 |
| Total assets of discontinued operations | \$ 809 | \$ 883 |
| Other current liabilities payable | \$ 1,640 | \$ 1,640 |
| Current liabilities of discontinued operations | 1,640 | 1,640 |
| Total liabilities of discontinued operations | \$ 1,640 | \$ 1,640 |

The assets and liabilities of discontinued operations as of December 31, 2012 are mainly associated with accounts receivable not purchased by Evonik, deferred tax assets and a retained liability of \$1.7 million associated with financial incentives SurModics Pharmaceuticals received from various Alabama governmental authorities related to creation of jobs in Alabama. On January 29, 2013, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the city of Birmingham, Alabama to pay \$325,000 in settlement of \$1.5 million of the \$1.7 million retained liability. The Company expects to record a gain in discontinued operations of \$1.2 million before taxes in the second quarter of fiscal 2013 related to the settlement. See Note 14 for further discussion of the Alabama jobs commitment liability.

4. Fair Value Measurements

The accounting guidance on fair value measurements defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value under GAAP, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The guidance is applicable for all financial assets and financial liabilities and for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining the fair value measurements for assets and

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

liabilities required or permitted to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and also considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability, such as inherent risk, transfer restrictions and risk of nonperformance.

Fair Value Hierarchy

Accounting guidance on fair value measurements requires that assets and liabilities carried at fair value be classified and disclosed in one of the following three categories:

Level 1 Quoted (unadjusted) prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

The Company's Level 1 asset consists of its investment in OctoPlus N.V. (OctoPlus) (see Note 7 for further information). The fair market value of this investment is based on the quoted price of OctoPlus shares as traded on the Euronext Amsterdam Stock Exchange.

Table of Contents

Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

The Company's Level 2 assets consist of money market funds, U.S. Treasury securities, corporate bonds, municipal bonds, U.S. government agency securities, government agency and municipal securities and certain asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities. Fair market values for these assets are based on quoted vendor prices and broker pricing where all significant inputs are observable.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs to the valuation methodology that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include those whose fair value measurements are determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies or similar valuation techniques, as well as significant management judgment or estimation.

There were no Level 3 assets at December 31, 2012, September 30, 2012 or December 31, 2011 and there was no Level 3 activity during the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

In valuing assets and liabilities, the Company is required to maximize the use of quoted market prices and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. The Company did not significantly change its valuation techniques from prior periods.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

In instances where the inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement has been determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular item to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, including the consideration of inputs specific to the asset or liability. The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2012 (*in thousands*):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Fair Value as of December 31, 2012 |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 6,002 | \$ | \$ 6,002 |
| Available-for-sale debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | | 33,150 | | 33,150 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 2,743 | | 2,743 |
| Municipal bonds | | 3,701 | | 3,701 |
| Asset-backed securities | | 549 | | 549 |
| Corporate bonds | | 2,439 | | 2,439 |
| Other assets | 999 | | | 999 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 999 | \$ 48,584 | \$ | \$ 49,583 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents information about the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2012 (*in thousands*):

| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Instruments (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | Total Fair Value as of September 30, 2012 |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ | \$ 5,101 | \$ | \$ 5,101 |
| Available-for-sale debt securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | | 28,854 | | 28,854 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | | 2,999 | | 2,999 |
| Municipal bonds | | 3,213 | | 3,213 |
| Asset-backed securities | | 598 | | 598 |
| Corporate bonds | | 6,886 | | 6,886 |
| Other assets | 718 | | | 718 |
| Total assets measured at fair value | \$ 718 | \$ 47,651 | \$ | \$ 48,369 |

Valuation Techniques

The valuation techniques used to measure the fair value of assets are as follows:

Cash equivalents These assets are classified as Level 2 and are carried at historical cost which is a reasonable estimate of fair value because of the relatively short time between origination of the instrument and its expected realization.

Available-for-sale debt securities These securities are classified as Level 2 and include various types of debt securities. These securities are valued based on quoted vendor prices in active markets underlying the securities.

Other assets This asset is classified as Level 1 and represents the Company's investment in OctoPlus. This investment is valued based on the quoted market price of OctoPlus shares.

Changes in Level 3 Instruments Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following tables provide a reconciliation of financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) (*in thousands*). Transfers of instruments into and out of Level 3 are based on beginning of period values.

| | Fair Value Measurements Using Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) Three Months Ended December 31, 2011 Available-for-Sale Debt Securities | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------|-------|
| | Mortgage- Backed Securities | Asset- Backed Securities | Total |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | \$ 15 | \$ 9 | \$ 24 |
| Transfers into Level 3 | | | |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | (15) | (9) | (24) |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses): | | | |
| Included in other comprehensive (loss) income | | | |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Purchases, issuances, sales and settlements, net

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----|----|----|
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
|------------------------------|----|----|----|

Table of Contents**5. Investments**

Investments consist principally of U.S. government and government agency obligations, mortgage-backed securities and corporate and municipal debt securities and are classified as available-for-sale at December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, excluded from the condensed consolidated statements of income and reported in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income as well as a separate component of stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, except for other-than-temporary impairments, which are reported as a charge to current earnings. A loss would be recognized when there is an other-than-temporary impairment in the fair value of any individual security classified as available-for-sale, with the associated net unrealized loss reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income with a corresponding adjustment to other income (loss). This adjustment results in a new cost basis for the investment. Investments for which management has the intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity and reported at amortized cost. Interest earned on debt securities, including amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts, is included in other income (loss). Realized gains and losses from the sales of debt securities, which are included in other income (loss), are determined using the specific identification method.

The amortized cost, unrealized holding gains and losses, and fair value of available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012 were as follows (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2012 | | | Fair Value |
|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | \$ 32,930 | \$ 220 | \$ | \$ 33,150 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 2,653 | 114 | (24) | 2,743 |
| Municipal bonds | 3,671 | 30 | | 3,701 |
| Asset-backed securities | 559 | | (10) | 549 |
| Corporate bonds | 2,414 | 25 | | 2,439 |
| Total | \$ 42,227 | \$ 389 | \$ (34) | \$ 42,582 |

| | September 30, 2012 | | | Fair Value |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses | |
| U.S. government and government agency obligations | \$ 28,641 | \$ 213 | \$ | \$ 28,854 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 2,896 | 129 | (26) | 2,999 |
| Municipal bonds | 3,178 | 35 | | 3,213 |
| Asset-backed securities | 613 | | (15) | 598 |
| Corporate bonds | 6,858 | 28 | | 6,886 |
| Total | \$ 42,186 | \$ 405 | \$ (41) | \$ 42,550 |

As of December 31, 2012, the Company concluded that the unrealized losses related to the available-for-sale securities shown above were not other-than-temporary as the Company does not have the intent to sell, nor is it more likely than not that the Company will be required to sell, before recovery of their amortized cost.

The amortized cost and fair value of investments by contractual maturity at December 31, 2012 were as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Amortized Cost | Fair Value |
|-----------------------------|----------------|------------|
| Debt securities due within: | | |
| One year | \$ 9,473 | \$ 9,496 |
| One to five years | 29,476 | 29,726 |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Five years or more | 3,278 | 3,360 |
| Total | \$ 42,227 | \$ 42,582 |

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes sales of available-for-sale securities (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Proceeds from sales | \$ 805 | \$ 2,641 |
| Gross realized gains | \$ 4 | \$ 9 |
| Gross realized losses | \$ | \$ |

6. Inventories

Inventories are principally stated at the lower of cost or market using the specific identification method and include direct labor, materials and overhead. Inventories consisted of the following components (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 1,415 | \$ 1,479 |
| Finished products | 1,807 | 2,045 |
| Total | \$ 3,222 | \$ 3,524 |

7. Other Assets

Other assets consist principally of strategic investments as follows (*in thousands*):

| | December 31, 2012 | September 30, 2012 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Investment in OctoPlus N.V. | \$ 999 | \$ 718 |
| Investment in Nexeon MedSystems, Inc. | 285 | 285 |
| Investment in ThermopeutiX, Inc. | 1,185 | 1,185 |
| Investment in ViaCyte, Inc. | 559 | 559 |
| Other | 2 | 84 |
| Other assets, net | \$ 3,030 | \$ 2,831 |

The Company accounts for most of its strategic investments under the cost method. The Company accounts for its investment in OctoPlus common stock, whose shares are traded on the Euronext Amsterdam Stock Exchange, as an available-for-sale investment. Available-for-sale investments are reported at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income as well as a separate component of stockholders' equity in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, except for other-than-temporary impairments, which are reported as a charge to current earnings, recorded in the other income section of the condensed consolidated statements of income, and which result in a new cost basis for the investment. The cost basis in the Company's investment in OctoPlus is \$0.9 million as of December 31, 2012. In October 2012 OctoPlus received a tender offer from Dr. Reddy's Laboratories Ltd. (Dr. Reddy's) to purchase all issued and outstanding ordinary shares of OctoPlus at an offer price of \$0.52 per share. In the second quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company sold its investment and will record a gain of approximately \$0.1 million.

In August 2009, the Company invested \$2.0 million in Vessix Vascular, Inc. (Vessix), and made a follow-on investment of \$0.5 million in March 2010. The Company recognized an impairment loss on this investment totaling \$2.4 million in fiscal 2010, based on market valuations and a pending financing round for this company. Vessix was purchased by Boston Scientific Corporation in November 2012. The Company recorded a gain of approximately \$1.2 million in other income, net, on the sale of this investment in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. Total

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

potential maximum additional proceeds of \$4.2 million may be received in the remainder of fiscal 2013 through fiscal 2017 depending on achievement of future milestones. No amounts have been recorded associated with these future milestones given the level of uncertainty that exists. Income will be recognized once the milestones are achieved.

For the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized revenue of less than \$0.1 million for each period from activity with companies in which it had a strategic investment.

8. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets consist principally of acquired patents and technology, customer relationships, licenses and trademarks. For the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recorded amortization expense of \$0.2 million for each period.

Table of Contents

Intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | | December 31, 2012 | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | Weighted Average Original Life (Years) | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Definite-lived intangible assets: | | | | |
| Customer lists | 9.0 | \$ 4,857 | \$ (2,869) | \$ 1,988 |
| Core technology | 8.0 | 530 | (359) | 171 |
| Patents and other | 16.8 | 2,256 | (750) | 1,506 |
| Subtotal | | 7,643 | (3,978) | 3,665 |
| Unamortized intangible assets: | | | | |
| Trademarks | | 580 | | 580 |
| Total | | \$ 8,223 | \$ (3,978) | \$ 4,245 |

| | | September 30, 2012 | | |
|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | Weighted Average Original Life (Years) | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Definite-lived intangible assets: | | | | |
| Customer lists | 9.0 | \$ 4,857 | \$ (2,734) | \$ 2,123 |
| Core technology | 8.0 | 530 | (343) | 187 |
| Patents and other | 16.8 | 2,256 | (716) | 1,540 |
| Subtotal | | 7,643 | (3,793) | 3,850 |
| Unamortized intangible assets: | | | | |
| Trademarks | | 580 | | 580 |
| Total | | \$ 8,223 | \$ (3,793) | \$ 4,430 |

Based on the intangible assets in service as of December 31, 2012, estimated amortization expense for each of the next five fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| Remainder of 2013 | \$ 557 |
| 2014 | 742 |
| 2015 | 731 |
| 2016 | 594 |
| 2017 | 183 |
| 2018 | 137 |

Future amortization amounts presented above are estimates. Actual future amortization expense may be different, as a result of future acquisitions, impairments, changes in amortization periods, or other factors.

9. Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the cost of an acquired entity over the fair value assigned to the assets purchased and liabilities assumed in connection with a company's acquisition. Goodwill is not amortized but is subject, at a minimum, to annual tests for impairment in accordance with accounting guidance for goodwill. The carrying amount of goodwill is evaluated annually, and between annual evaluations if events occur or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of goodwill may be impaired.

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

The \$8.0 million of goodwill at December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012 is related to the In Vitro Diagnostics reporting unit and represents the gross value from the acquisition of BioFX Laboratories, Inc. (BioFX) in 2007. The goodwill was not impaired based on the outcome of the fiscal 2012 annual impairment test, and there have been no events or circumstances that have occurred in fiscal 2013 to indicate that the goodwill may be impaired.

10. Stock-based Compensation

The Company has stock-based compensation plans under which it grants stock options, restricted stock awards, performance share awards and restricted stock units. Accounting guidance requires all share-based payments to be recognized as an operating expense, based on their fair values, over the requisite service period. The Company's stock-based compensation expenses were allocated to the following expense categories (*in thousands*):

Table of Contents

| | Three months ended December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Product costs | \$ 3 | \$ 15 |
| Research and development | 23 | 221 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 366 | 657 |
| Total | \$ 392 | \$ 893 |

As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$4.8 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to non-vested awards is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 2.7 years. The unrecognized compensation costs above include \$1.6 million based on payout levels associated with performance share awards that are currently anticipated to be fully expensed because the performance conditions are expected to be met at or above target levels.

Stock Option Awards

The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the weighted average grant date fair value of stock options granted. The weighted average per share fair values of stock options granted during the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 were \$8.48 and \$5.24, respectively. The assumptions used as inputs in the model were as follows:

| | Three months ended December 31, | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Risk-free interest rates | 0.6% | 0.8% |
| Expected life (years) | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Expected volatility | 49.2% | 49.6% |
| Dividend yield | 0.0% | 0.0% |

The risk-free interest rate assumption was based on the U.S. Treasury's rates for U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds with maturities similar to those of the expected term of the award. The expected life of options granted is determined based on the Company's experience. Expected volatility is based on the Company's stock price movement over a period approximating the expected term. Based on management's judgment, dividend rates are expected to be zero for the expected life of the options. The Company also estimates forfeitures of options granted, which are based on historical experience.

Non-qualified stock options are granted at fair market value on the date of grant. Non-qualified stock options expire in seven to ten years or upon termination of employment or service as a Board member. Non-qualified stock options granted prior to May 2008 generally become exercisable with respect to 20% of the shares on each of the first five anniversaries following the grant date, and non-qualified stock options granted subsequent to April 2008 generally become exercisable with respect to 25% of the shares on each of the first four anniversaries following the grant date.

The total pre-tax intrinsic value of options exercised during the three months ended December 31, 2012 was less than \$0.1 million. The intrinsic value represents the difference between the exercise price and the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the last day of the respective fiscal period end. No stock options were exercised during the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Restricted Stock Awards

The Company has entered into restricted stock agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of common stock (Restricted Stock). Under accounting guidance these shares are considered to be non-vested shares. The Restricted Stock is released to the key employees if they are employed by the Company at the end of the vesting period. Compensation has been recognized for the estimated fair value of the common shares and is being charged to income over the vesting term. The stock-based compensation table above includes Restricted Stock expenses recognized related to these awards, which totaled less than \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million during the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Performance Share Awards

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

The Company has entered into performance share agreements with certain key employees, covering the issuance of common stock (Performance Shares). The Performance Shares vest upon the achievement of all or a portion of certain performance objectives, which must be achieved during the performance period. Performance objectives selected by the Organization and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the Committee) were cumulative earnings per share and cumulative revenue for the three-year performance periods for fiscal 2011 beginning on October 1, 2010 and ending on September 30, 2013 (68,533 shares), for fiscal 2012 beginning on October 1, 2011 and ending on September 30, 2014 (62,497 shares), and for fiscal 2013 beginning on October 1, 2012 and ending on September 30, 2015 (38,042 shares). Shares will be issued to participants as

Table of Contents

soon as practicable following the end of the performance periods subject to Committee approval and verification of results. The compensation cost related to the number of shares to be granted under each performance period is fixed on the grant date, which is the date the performance period begins. Compensation is recognized in each period based on management's best estimate of the achievement level of the grants' specified performance objectives. For the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized expenses of \$0.2 million in each period related to probable achievement of performance objectives for Performance Shares. The stock-based compensation table above includes the Performance Shares expenses.

1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("Stock Purchase Plan"), the Company is authorized to issue up to 400,000 shares of common stock. All full-time and part-time employees can choose to have up to 10% of their annual compensation withheld, with a limit of \$25,000, to purchase the Company's common stock at purchase prices defined within the provisions of the Stock Purchase Plan. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were less than \$0.1 million of employee contributions in each period included in accrued liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. Stock compensation expense recognized related to the Stock Purchase Plan for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 totaled less than \$0.1 million in each period. The stock-based compensation table above includes the Stock Purchase Plan expenses.

Restricted Stock Units

On December 12, 2012, the Company awarded 11,776 restricted stock units ("RSU") under the 2009 Equity Incentive Plan to directors. The RSU awards vest annually at a rate of 33%. RSU awards are not considered issued or outstanding common stock of the Company until they vest. The estimated fair value of the RSU awards was calculated based on the closing market price of SurModics' common stock on the date of grant. Compensation has been recognized for the estimated fair value of the common shares and is being charged to income over the vesting term. The stock-based compensation table above includes RSU expenses recognized related to these awards, which totaled less than \$0.1 million during the three months ended December 31, 2012.

11. Income Per Share Data

Basic income per common share is calculated based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted income per common share is computed by dividing income by the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. The Company's only potentially dilutive common shares are those that result from dilutive common stock options, non-vested stock relating to restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and performance shares.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the denominator for the computation of basic and diluted income per share (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------|
| | December 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic weighted average shares outstanding | 14,655 | 17,476 |
| Dilutive effect of outstanding stock options, non-vested restricted stock and performance shares | 208 | 52 |
| Diluted weighted average shares outstanding | 14,863 | 17,528 |

The calculation of weighted average diluted shares outstanding excludes outstanding stock options associated with the right to purchase 0.7 million and 1.2 million shares of common stock for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, as their inclusion would have had an antidilutive effect on diluted income per share.

12. Income Taxes

The Company recorded income tax provisions associated with income from continuing operations of \$1.9 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 30.6% and 36.0%, respectively. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35% and the Company's effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 reflects the impact of state income taxes, permanent tax items and discrete tax benefits. The three months ended December 31, 2012 also reflects the impact of a gain on sale of Vessix for which there is tax expense recognized which has been offset by the reversal of a valuation allowance.

The Company did not have any discontinued operations activity in the three months ended December 31, 2012. The Company recorded an income tax expense from discontinued operations of \$0.9 million and an income tax benefit of \$0.6 million from the sale of discontinued operations in the three months ended December 31, 2011. The effective tax rate applied to discontinued operations was 36.6% for the three months ended December 31, 2011.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits including interest and penalties that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate as of December 31, 2012 and September 30, 2012, respectively, are \$1.4 million for each period. Currently, the Company does not expect the liability for unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly in the next 12 months with the above balances classified on the condensed consolidated balance sheets in other long-term liabilities. Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are recorded in income tax expense.

The Company files income tax returns, including returns for its subsidiaries, in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and in various state jurisdictions. Uncertain tax positions are related to tax years that remain subject to examination. The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) commenced an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax return for fiscal 2010 in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The IRS completed its examination in the third quarter of fiscal 2012 and a payment was made in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2012 associated with a timing adjustment. The IRS completed an examination of the Company's U.S. income tax return for fiscal 2009 and a payment was made in the third quarter of fiscal 2011 associated with timing adjustments. U.S. income tax returns for years prior to fiscal 2009 are no longer subject to examination by federal tax authorities. Tax returns for state and local jurisdictions for fiscal years 2003 through 2011 remain subject to examination by state and local tax authorities.

13. Operating Segments

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker who is the Company's Chief Executive Officer, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company is organized into two segments as follows: (1) the Medical Device unit, which is comprised of surface modification coating technologies to improve access, deliverability, and predictable deployment of medical devices, as well as drug delivery coating technologies to provide site-specific drug delivery from the surface of a medical device, with end markets that include coronary, peripheral, and neuro-vascular, and urology, among others, and (2) the In Vitro Diagnostics unit, which consists of component products and technologies for diagnostic test kits and biomedical research applications, with products that include protein stabilization reagents, substrates, antigens and surface coatings.

Table of Contents

The tables below present segment revenue, operating income from continuing operations and depreciation and amortization, as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended December 31, | |
|---|--|---------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue: | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 10,531 | \$ 8,867 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 3,320 | 3,049 |
| Total revenue | \$ 13,851 | \$ 11,916 |
| Operating income (loss): | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 5,840 | \$ 3,932 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 751 | 906 |
| Corporate | (1,714) | (1,616) |
| Total operating income from continuing operations | \$ 4,877 | \$ 3,222 |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 317 | \$ 364 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 215 | 195 |
| Corporate | 190 | 190 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$ 722 | \$ 749 |

The Corporate category includes expenses for administrative corporate functions, such as executive, corporate accounting, legal, human resources and Board related, that have not been fully allocated to the Medical Device and In Vitro Diagnostics segments. Corporate also includes expenses, such as litigation, which are not specific to a segment.

Asset information by segment is not presented because the Company does not provide its chief operating decision maker assets by segment, as the data is not readily available.

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation. From time to time, the Company has been, and may become, involved in various legal actions involving its operations, products and technologies, including intellectual property and employment disputes. The outcomes of these legal actions are not within the Company's complete control and may not be known for prolonged periods of time. In some actions, the claimants seek damages, as well as other relief, including injunctions barring the sale of products that are the subject of the lawsuit, which, if granted, could require significant expenditures or result in lost revenue. The Company records a liability in the consolidated financial statements for these actions when a loss is known or considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. If the reasonable estimate of a known or probable loss is a range, and no amount within the range is a better estimate, the minimum amount of the range is accrued. If a loss is possible but not known or probable, and can be reasonably estimated, the estimated loss or range of loss is disclosed. In most cases, significant judgment is required to estimate the amount and timing of a loss to be recorded.

Southern Research Institute (SRI) Litigation. On July 31, 2009, the Company's SurModics Pharmaceuticals subsidiary was named as a defendant in litigation pending in the circuit court of Jefferson County, Alabama, between SRI and two of SRI's former employees (the Plaintiffs). In the litigation, the Plaintiffs allege that they contributed to or invented certain intellectual property while they were employed at SRI, and pursuant to SRI's policies then in effect, they are entitled to, among other things, a portion of the purchase price consideration paid by the Company to SRI as part of the Company's acquisition of SurModics Pharmaceuticals pursuant to a stock purchase agreement made effective on July 31, 2007 (the Stock Purchase Agreement). The Plaintiffs have also alleged that they are entitled to a portion of the intellectual property income derived from license agreements with certain customers of SurModics Pharmaceuticals that make use of patents to which the Plaintiffs invented or contributed. A trial has not yet been scheduled. Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Company has certain rights of indemnification against losses (including without limitation, damages, expenses and costs) incurred as a result of the litigation. The Company

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

has recorded unreimbursed legal expenses related to this litigation within selling, general and administrative expenses from continuing operations in the condensed consolidated statements of income. However, the Company has not recorded an expense or any liabilities related to damages related to this litigation as the probability of the outcome is currently not determinable and any potential loss is not estimable. The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to the Plaintiff's claims and will vigorously defend and prosecute this matter. Following the Pharma Sale, the Company remains responsible for this litigation and has agreed to indemnify Evonik against certain losses, including those that may be incurred in connection with this litigation.

Table of Contents

InnoRx, Inc. In January 2005, the Company entered into a merger agreement whereby SurModics acquired all of the assets of InnoRx, Inc. (InnoRx), an early stage company developing drug delivery devices and therapies for the ophthalmology market. SurModics will be required to issue up to approximately 480,059 additional shares of its common stock to the stockholders of InnoRx upon the successful completion of the remaining development and commercial milestones involving InnoRx technology acquired in the transaction. The Company has not recorded any accrual for this contingency as of December 31, 2012 as the milestones have not been achieved and the probability of achievement is low.

PR Pharmaceuticals, Inc. In November 2008, the Company's SurModics Pharmaceuticals subsidiary acquired certain contracts and assets of PR Pharma to enhance its portfolio of drug delivery technologies for the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries. The sellers of PR Pharma are still eligible to receive up to an additional \$3.0 million in cash based on successful achievement of specified milestones for successful patent issuances and product development. The Company agreed to indemnify Evonik, for a period of five years, for certain contingent consideration obligations when it sold substantially all of the SurModics Pharmaceuticals assets to Evonik on November 17, 2011.

Alabama Jobs Commitment. In April 2008, the Company purchased a 286,000 square foot office and warehouse facility to support cGMP needs of customers and the anticipated growth of SurModics Pharmaceuticals. At the same time, SurModics Pharmaceuticals entered into an agreement with various governmental authorities to obtain financial incentives associated with creation of jobs in Alabama. Some of the governmental agencies have recapture rights in connection with the financial incentives if a specific number of full-time employees were not hired by June 2012, with an extension to June 2013 if circumstances or events occurred that were beyond the control of SurModics Pharmaceuticals or could not have been reasonably anticipated by SurModics Pharmaceuticals. This liability was retained by the Company and did not transfer to Evonik as part of the Pharma Sale in November 2011. As of December 31, 2012, SurModics Pharmaceuticals had received \$1.7 million in connection with the agreement, and the Company recorded the payments in current liabilities of discontinued operations on the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012, because the Company has not met the criteria to recognize the amounts received as income. On January 29, 2013, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with the city of Birmingham, Alabama to pay \$325,000 in settlement of \$1.5 million of the \$1.7 million retained liability. The Company expects to record a gain in discontinued operations of \$1.2 million before taxes in the second quarter of fiscal 2013 related to the settlement.

15. Subsequent Event

On January 28, 2013, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$10.0 million of the Company's outstanding common stock through open-market purchases, private transactions, block trades, accelerated share repurchase transactions, tender offers, or by any combination of such methods. This authorization is in addition to the \$0.3 million that remains under a previous share repurchase authorization. The repurchase authorizations do not have fixed expiration dates.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis provides information that we believe is useful in understanding our operating results, cash flows and financial condition. The discussion should be read in conjunction with both the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes included in this Form 10-Q, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. This discussion contains various Forward-Looking Statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We refer readers to the statement entitled Forward-Looking Statements located near the end of Part I of this report.

Overview

SurModics is a leading provider of surface modification and *in vitro* diagnostic technologies to the healthcare industry. In fiscal 2012 our business performance was driven by growth in our Medical Device hydrophilic coatings royalty revenue. The Medical Device segment overcame the termination of Cordis Corporation's exclusivity arrangements under one of its license agreements by increasing new license agreements and continued expansion of activities with other Medical Device customers. It is anticipated that we will continue to sign new license agreements in fiscal 2013 and broaden our hydrophilic coatings royalty stream.

Our In Vitro Diagnostics segment generated solid revenue growth in fiscal 2012 from existing products, new product launches and the addition of new diagnostic test kit manufacturer customers. We anticipate that these fiscal 2012 activities as well as additional product launches and the addition of new diagnostic test kit manufacturer customers in fiscal 2013 will result in continued product sales growth for our In Vitro Diagnostics segment.

On November 1, 2011, we entered into a Purchase Agreement to sell substantially all of the assets of SurModics Pharmaceuticals (the Pharmaceuticals segment) to Evonik Degussa Corporation (Evonik). Under the terms of the Purchase Agreement, the entire portfolio of products and services of SurModics Pharmaceuticals, including its cGMP development and manufacturing facility located in Birmingham, Alabama, were sold. The Company retained all accounts receivable and the majority of liabilities associated with the SurModics Pharmaceuticals business incurred prior to closing. The sale (the Pharma Sale) closed on November 17, 2011. The total consideration received from the Pharma Sale was \$30.0 million in cash.

We have reported the Pharmaceuticals segment as discontinued operations beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, as disclosed in Note 3 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Accordingly, all results of operations, cash flows, assets and liabilities of SurModics Pharmaceuticals for all periods presented are classified as discontinued operations. All information in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations includes only results from continuing operations (excluding the Pharmaceuticals segment) for all periods presented, unless otherwise noted.

Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker, or decision making group, in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company is organized into two segments as follows: (1) the Medical Device unit, which is comprised of surface modification coating technologies to improve access, deliverability, and predictable deployment of medical devices, as well as drug delivery coating technologies to provide site-specific drug delivery from the surface of a medical device, with end markets that include coronary, peripheral, and neuro-vascular, and urology, among others, and (2) the In Vitro Diagnostics unit, which consists of component products and technologies for diagnostic test kits and biomedical research applications, with products that include protein stabilization reagents, substrates, antigens and surface coatings.

We derive our revenue from three primary sources: (1) royalties and license fees from licensing our proprietary drug delivery and surface modification technologies and *in vitro* diagnostic formats to customers; the vast majority (typically in excess of 90%) of revenue in the royalties and license fees category is in the form of royalties; (2) the sale of reagent chemicals to licensees and the sale of stabilization products, antigens, substrates and surface coatings to the diagnostic and biomedical research markets; and (3) research and development fees generated on customer projects. Revenue fluctuates from quarter to quarter depending on, among other factors: our customers' success in selling products incorporating our technologies; the timing of introductions of licensed products by customers; the timing of introductions of products that compete with our customers' products; the number and activity level associated with customer development projects; the number and terms of new license agreements that are finalized; the value of reagent chemicals and other products sold to customers; and the timing of future acquisitions we complete, if any.

For financial accounting and reporting purposes, we report our results for the two reportable segments noted above. We made this determination based on how we manage our operations and the information provided to our chief operating decision maker who is our Chief Executive Officer.

Table of Contents**Overview of Research and Development Activities**

We manage our customer-sponsored research and development (R&D) programs based largely on the requirements of our customers. In this regard, our customers typically establish the various measures and metrics that are used to monitor a program s progress, including key deliverables, milestones, timelines, and an overall program budget. The customer is ultimately responsible for deciding whether to continue or terminate a program, and does so based on research results (relative to the above measures and metrics) and other factors, including their own strategic and/or business priorities. Following the Pharma Sale in the first quarter of fiscal 2012, customer R&D programs are mainly in our Medical Device segment.

Our R&D activities are engaged in the exploration, discovery and application of technologies that solve meaningful problems in the diagnosis and treatment of disease. Our key R&D activities include efforts that support and expand our core offerings. These efforts include completing development activities associated with our next generation (Gen 5) hydrophilic coating platform and completing activities that support the development of our coating technologies that enhance drug-coated balloons. Additional planned activities include initiation of surface modification experiments that improve medical device performance and developing chemistries to support molecular diagnostic applications.

For our internal R&D programs in our segments, we utilize R&D review committees to prioritize these programs based on a number of factors, including a program s strategic fit, commercial impact, potential competitive advantage, technical feasibility, and the amount of investment required. The measures and metrics used to monitor a program s progress vary based on the program, and typically include many of the same factors discussed above with respect to our customer R&D programs. We typically make decisions to continue or terminate a program based on research results (relative to the above measures and metrics) and other factors, including our own strategic and/or business priorities, and the amount of additional investment required.

With respect to cost components, R&D expenses consist of labor, materials and overhead costs (utilities, depreciation, indirect labor, etc.) for both customer R&D and internal R&D programs. We manage our R&D organization in a flexible manner, balancing workloads/resources between customer R&D and internal R&D programs primarily based on the level of customer program activity. Therefore, costs incurred for customer R&D and internal R&D can shift as customer activity increases or decreases.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those policies that require the application of management s most challenging subjective or complex judgment, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and may change in subsequent periods. Critical accounting policies involve judgments and uncertainties that are sufficiently likely to result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. For a detailed description of our critical accounting policies, see the notes to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

Results of Operations Three Months Ended December 31

Revenue. Revenue during the first quarter of fiscal 2013 was \$13.9 million, an increase of \$1.9 million, or 16%, compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The increase in revenue, as detailed in the table below, is further explained in the narrative below.

| <i>(Dollars in thousands)</i> | Three Months Ended | | Increase (Decrease) | Change |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------|
| | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | | |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 10,531 | \$ 8,867 | \$ 1,664 | 19% |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 3,320 | 3,049 | 271 | 9% |
| Total revenue | \$ 13,851 | \$ 11,916 | \$ 1,935 | 16% |

Medical Device. Revenue in Medical Device was \$10.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, an increase of 19% compared with \$8.9 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The increase in total revenue was attributable to higher royalty revenue, product sales and R&D revenue, partially offset by lower license fees. Continued growth in our hydrophilic coatings offerings as well as \$0.6 million from a royalty revenue catch-up payment led to the increased revenue result.

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

In Vitro Diagnostics. Revenue in In Vitro Diagnostics was \$3.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, an increase of 9% compared with \$3.0 million for the prior-year period. This increase was attributable to \$0.6 million of higher sales of antigen and stabilization products, partially offset by \$0.2 million of lower sales of other products.

Table of Contents

Product costs. Product costs were \$2.0 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, an increase of 23% compared with \$1.6 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2012. Overall product margins averaged 63% in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with 66% for the prior-year period. The decrease in product margins reflected the mix of products sold in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, as there were higher levels of lower margin diagnostic product sales compared with prior year results.

Research and development expenses. R&D expenses were \$3.4 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2013, a decrease of 8% compared with \$3.6 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The decrease was primarily a result of \$0.1 million of lower license fee expenses and \$0.1 million of lower compensation expenses in the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$3.7 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012, an increase of 5% compared with \$3.5 million for the prior-year period. The increase was primarily attributable to \$0.3 million of higher professional services and consulting expenses, offset partially by \$0.2 million of lower compensation expenses.

Other income, net. Other income was \$1.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with \$0.1 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The first quarter of fiscal 2013 includes the gain of \$1.2 million from the sale of our ownership interest in Vessix Vascular, Inc. (Vessix). Income from investments in fiscal 2013 decreased approximately \$0.1 million compared with the prior-year period primarily from lower yields on our investment balances.

Income tax provision. The income tax provision associated with continuing operations was \$1.9 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, representing effective tax rates of 30.6% and 36.0%, respectively. The lower income tax provision for the three months ended December 31, 2011 was a result of lower pre-tax income. The difference between the U.S. federal statutory tax rate of 35.0% and the Company's effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 reflects the impact of state income taxes, permanent tax items and discrete tax benefits. The three months ended December 31, 2012 also reflects the impact of a gain on sale of Vessix for which there is tax expense recognized which has been offset by the reversal of a valuation allowance. Discrete tax benefits were \$0.2 million in the three months ended December 31, 2012 resulting from lapses of the statute of limitations in various jurisdictions. Discrete tax benefits were less than \$0.1 million in the three months ended December 31, 2011.

Income from discontinued operations. There was no statement of income impact associated with discontinued operations for the three months ended December 31, 2012. Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax provision, for the three months ended December 31, 2011, was \$1.6 million. Revenue from the Pharmaceuticals segment was \$5.3 million for the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The Pharmaceuticals segment results for the first quarter of fiscal 2012 include the period from October 1, 2011 to November 17, 2011, the date of the Pharma Sale.

Loss on sale of discontinued operations. Loss on sale of discontinued operations recorded in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 related to the Pharma Sale was \$1.1 million (\$1.7 million on a pre-tax basis), which was principally related to transaction closing costs.

Segment Operating Results

Operating income for each of our reportable segments, which excludes the results from our Pharmaceuticals segment, was as follows (*in thousands*):

| | Three months ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating income (loss): | | |
| Medical Device | \$ 5,840 | \$ 3,932 |
| In Vitro Diagnostics | 751 | 906 |
| Corporate | (1,714) | (1,616) |
| Total operating income from continuing operations | \$ 4,877 | \$ 3,222 |

Medical Device. Operating income was \$5.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with \$3.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The increased operating income resulted from \$0.9 million of higher royalty and license fee revenue, of which \$0.6 million was associated with a royalty revenue catch-up payment, \$0.3 million of higher R&D revenue as well as the gross margin impact from \$0.5 million in higher reagent sales. Direct operating expenses were similar in each period. Allocated corporate costs decreased approximately \$0.3 million in the first

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

quarter of fiscal 2013 when compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

In Vitro Diagnostics. Operating income was \$0.8 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with \$0.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The revenue increase of \$0.3 million compared with the prior-year period did not offset the \$0.1 million of additional direct operating expenses incurred since the additional revenue did not generate a gross margin increase based on the product mix in the first quarter of fiscal 2013.

Table of Contents

Corporate. The Corporate category includes expenses for administrative corporate functions, such as executive, corporate accounting, legal, human resources and Board related, that have not been fully allocated to the Medical Device and In Vitro Diagnostics segments. Corporate also includes expenses, such as litigation, which are not specific to a segment. Operating loss was \$1.7 million and \$1.6 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 and 2012, respectively. Outside service costs increased \$0.2 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2012 and these expenses were partially offset by decreased compensation expenses in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 compared with the first quarter of fiscal 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Operating Activities. As of December 31, 2012, we had working capital of \$33.0 million, a decrease of \$0.1 million from September 30, 2012. Our cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities totaled \$64.0 million at December 31, 2012, an increase of \$5.9 million from \$58.1 million at September 30, 2012. The increase in cash resulted from cash generated by our first quarter operating results as well as \$1.3 million of proceeds that we received from the sale of our Vessix shares. Our investments consist principally of U.S. government and government agency obligations, mortgage-backed securities and investment grade, interest-bearing corporate and municipal debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. The Company's investment policy requires that no more than 5% of investments be held in any one credit or issue, excluding U.S. government and government agency obligations. The primary investment objective of the portfolio is to provide for the safety of principal and appropriate liquidity while meeting or exceeding a benchmark (Merrill Lynch 1-3 Year Government-Corporate Index) total rate of return. Management plans to continue to direct its investment advisors to manage the Company's securities investments primarily for the safety of principal for the foreseeable future as it assesses other investment opportunities and uses of its cash and securities investments, including those described below.

We had cash flows from operating activities from continuing operations of \$5.4 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with \$1.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. The increase compared with prior-year results reflects increased net income as well as stronger cash generated by accounts receivable and lower cash disbursements related to accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Investing Activities. We invested \$0.9 million in property and equipment in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, compared with \$0.2 million in the prior-year period. The property and equipment investment in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 is higher than our investment in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 as the Company increased spending principally on building improvements of \$0.3 million and computer equipment and software of \$0.3 million. We anticipate spending in the remaining quarters of fiscal 2013 to decline slightly. We received cash proceeds from the sale of our Vessix shares of \$1.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. In the first quarter of fiscal 2012 we received cash from our discontinued operations, associated with the Pharma Sale, which totaled \$24.5 million.

Financing Activities. In May 2012, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$50.0 million of the Company's outstanding common stock through open-market purchases, private transactions, block trades, accelerated share repurchase transactions, tender offers, or by any combination of such methods. On August 6, 2012, we commenced a modified Dutch auction tender offer which expired on September 5, 2012 and resulted in the repurchase of \$55.0 million in value of common stock, consisting of 2,894,253 shares at a price of \$19.00 per share. No shares were repurchased under this authorization during the three months ended December 31, 2012 and as of December 31, 2012, \$0.3 million remains available for future share repurchases. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date.

In January 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$10.0 million of the Company's outstanding common stock through open-market purchases, private transactions, block trades, accelerated share repurchase transactions, tender offers, or by any combination of such methods. The repurchase authorization does not have a fixed expiration date.

We do not have any credit agreements and believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents and available-for-sale securities, which totaled \$64.0 million as of December 31, 2012, together with cash flow from operations, will provide liquidity sufficient to meet the below stated needs and fund our operations for the remainder of fiscal 2013. There can be no assurance, however, that SurModics' business will continue to generate cash flows at current levels, and disruptions in financial markets may negatively impact our ability to access capital in a timely manner and on attractive terms. Our anticipated liquidity needs for the remainder of fiscal 2013 may include, but are not limited to, the following: general capital expenditures in the range of \$1.5 to \$2.5 million, obligations remaining after the Pharma Sale, including indemnification obligations to Evonik related to contingent consideration payments and payments in the range of \$0.3 to \$0.5 million related to our agreement with various governmental authorities associated with creation of jobs in Alabama.

Discontinued Operations. Our Pharmaceuticals discontinued operation generated operating cash of \$0.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 and used operating cash of \$2.3 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2012. Cash provided by investing activities of \$26.9 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 related principally to proceeds received from the Pharma Sale. Cash used in financing activities of \$0.1 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 and \$24.5 million in the first quarter of fiscal 2012 related to transfers of cash to the continuing operations of the Company and consisted of cash generated from payments related to a retained accounts receivable balance in fiscal 2013 and principally to the Pharma

Sale in fiscal 2012.

Table of Contents

Customer Concentrations. Our licensed technologies provide royalty revenue, which represents the largest revenue stream to the Company. We have licenses with a diverse base of customers and certain customers have multiple products using our technology. Medtronic, Inc. (Medtronic) was our largest customer comprising 19% of total revenue for fiscal 2012. Medtronic has several separately licensed products that generate royalty revenue for SurModics, none of which represented more than 6% of SurModics' total revenue. No other individual customer using licensed technology constitutes more than 10% of SurModics' total revenue.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of December 31, 2012, the Company did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements with any unconsolidated entities.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 2, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements include expectations concerning our growth strategy, including our ability to sign new license agreements and broaden our hydrophilic coatings royalty revenue, product development programs, future cash flow and sources of funding, short-term liquidity requirements, future property and equipment investment levels, the impact of potential lawsuits or claims, and the impact of Medtronic, as well as other significant customers, including new diagnostic kit customers. Without limiting the foregoing, words or phrases such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, forecast, intend, may, plan, possible, project, will and similar terminology, generally identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements may also represent challenging goals for us. These statements, which represent the Company's expectations or beliefs concerning various future events, are based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those of such forward-looking statements. We caution that undue reliance should not be placed on such forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Some of the factors which could cause results to differ from those expressed in any forward-looking statement are set forth under Risk Factors in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. We disclaim any intent or obligation to update publicly these forward-looking statements, whether because of new information, future events or otherwise.

Although it is not possible to create a comprehensive list of all factors that may cause actual results to differ from the Company's forward-looking statements, such factors include, among others:

the Company's reliance on a small number of significant customers, which causes our financial results and stock price to be subject to factors affecting those significant customers and their products, the timing of market introduction of their or competing products, product safety or efficacy concerns and intellectual property litigation could adversely affect our growth strategy and the royalty revenue we derive;

general economic conditions which are beyond our control, including the impact of recession, business investment and changes in consumer confidence;

a decrease in the Company's available cash or the value of its investment holdings could impact short-term liquidity requirements and expected capital expenditures;

the difficulties and uncertainties associated with the lengthy and costly new product development and foreign and domestic regulatory approval processes, such as delays, difficulties or failures in achieving acceptable clinical results or obtaining foreign or U.S. Food and Drug Administration marketing clearances or approvals, which may result in lost market opportunities or postpone or preclude product commercialization by licensees;

the development of new products or technologies by competitors, technological obsolescence and other changes in competitive factors;

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

the Company's ability to successfully internally perform certain product development activities and governmental and regulatory compliance activities which the Company has not previously undertaken in any significant manner;

possible adverse market conditions, possible adverse impacts on our cash flows and competing cash needs could impact the ability to complete and timing of repurchases under any remaining repurchase authorization under our share repurchase program; and

other factors described in "Risk Factors" and other sections of SurModics' Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, which you are encouraged to read carefully.

Table of Contents

Many of these factors are outside the control and knowledge of the Company, and could result in increased volatility in period-to-period results. Investors are advised not to place undue reliance upon the Company's forward-looking statements and to consult any further disclosures by the Company on this subject in its filings with the SEC.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Information.

In addition to disclosing financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, this report could include certain non-GAAP financial results, such as effective tax rate and segment operating results adjusted for one-time events. We believe these non-GAAP measures provide meaningful insight into our operating performance, excluding certain event-specific charges, and provide an alternative perspective of our results of operations. We use non-GAAP measures, including certain of those set forth in this report, to assess our operating performance and to determine payout under our executive compensation programs. We believe that presentation of certain non-GAAP measures allows investors to review our results of operations from the same perspective as management and our Board of Directors and facilitates comparisons of our current results of operations. The method we use to produce non-GAAP results is not in accordance with GAAP and may differ from the methods used by other companies. Non-GAAP results should not be regarded as a substitute for corresponding GAAP measures but instead should be utilized as a supplemental measure of operating performance in evaluating our business. Non-GAAP measures do have limitations in that they do not reflect certain items that may have a material impact upon our reported financial results. As such, these non-GAAP measures presented should be viewed in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company's investment policy requires investments with high credit quality issuers and limits the amount of credit exposure to any one issuer. The Company's investments consist principally of U.S. government and government agency obligations, mortgage-backed securities and investment-grade, interest-bearing corporate and municipal debt securities with varying maturity dates, the majority of which are five years or less. Because of the credit criteria of the Company's investment policies, the primary market risk associated with these investments is interest rate risk. SurModics does not use derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk or to speculate on future changes in interest rates. A one percentage point increase in interest rates would result in an approximate \$0.8 million decrease in the fair value of the Company's available-for-sale securities as of December 31, 2012, but no material impact on the results of operations or cash flows.

Management believes that a reasonable change in raw material prices would not have a material impact on future earnings or cash flows because the Company's inventory exposure is not material.

Although we conduct business in foreign countries, our international operations consist primarily of sales of reagent and stabilization chemicals. Additionally, all sales transactions are denominated in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, we do not expect to be subject to material foreign currency risk with respect to future costs or cash flows from our foreign sales. To date, we have not entered into any foreign currency forward exchange contracts or other derivative financial instruments to hedge the effects of adverse fluctuations in foreign currency exchange.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

SurModics, Inc. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the SEC rules and forms, and to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company conducted an evaluation under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer regarding the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act. Based upon that evaluation and because the material weakness previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on December 14, 2012 had not been remediated as of December 31, 2012, the Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

The Company has reviewed its internal control procedures related to the evaluation of non-routine events or transactions and has developed additional control procedures to address the material weakness. However, these additional control procedures have not operated for an appropriate amount of time to determine their operational effectiveness and, as such, the Company has determined that the material weakness has not been remediated as of December 31, 2012. The Company expects it will require multiple quarters to assess and conclude on the operational effectiveness of the additional control procedures. The Company anticipates remediation of this material weakness will be completed, at the earliest, when the Company finalizes and files its fiscal 2013 Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Controls

Other than efforts to remediate the material weakness noted above, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

As previously reported, including most recently under Item 9A Controls and Procedures in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2012, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective because the previously disclosed material weakness arising from a deficiency in controls with respect to the evaluation of non-routine events or transactions had not yet been remediated. Management continued to work on remediating this material weakness through the quarter ended December 31, 2012, and will continue to enhance the processes and controls related to evaluating non-routine events or transactions.

We have implemented the following changes in processes and controls within our accounting function:

The Company initiated quarterly meetings to discuss and identify unique events that occurred as an additional detection activity related to non-routine events or transactions;

The Company changed its internal control procedures related to the evaluation of non-routine events or transactions to require that the accounting evaluation and conclusion for such events be prepared and reviewed by individuals with an appropriate level of accounting expertise;

The Company assesses non-routine events or transactions and if necessary engages an independent accounting advisor to assist with management's evaluation and accounting conclusion; and

The Company initiated assessment and will continue to assess the continuing effects of significant historical non-routine events or transactions on its financial statements.

Table of Contents

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There have been no material developments in the legal proceedings previously disclosed in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In our report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012, filed with the SEC on December 14, 2012, we identify under Part 1, Item 1A. Risk Factors, important factors which could affect our financial performance and could cause our actual results for future periods to differ materially from our anticipated results or other expectations, including those expressed in any forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q.

There have been no material changes in our risk factors subsequent to the filing of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

There were no purchases of common stock of the Company made during the three months ended December 31, 2012, by the Company or on behalf of the Company or any affiliated purchaser of the Company, as defined in Rule 10b-18(a)(3) under the Exchange Act.

Under the Company's May 2012 authorization, the Company has \$0.3 million available for future share repurchases as of December 31, 2012. In addition, on January 28, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$10.0 million of our outstanding common stock. The repurchase authorizations do not have fixed expiration dates.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not Applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 3.2 | Restated Bylaws of SurModics, Inc., as amended November 30, 2009 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.1 | Offer Letter dated as of December 17, 2012 (in favor of Andrew D. C. LaFrence executed by SurModics, Inc.) incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 21, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837.** |
| 10.2 | |

Edgar Filing: SURMODICS INC - Form 10-Q

Change of Control Agreement by and between Andrew D. C. LaFrence and SurModics, Inc. dated as of December 17, 2012 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 21, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837.**

- 10.3* Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Non-Employee Director) for the SurModics, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.**
- 10.4* Form of Deferred Stock Unit Master Agreement (Quarterly Awards) for the SurModics, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.**
- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents

- 32.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101* Financial statements from the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for SurModics, Inc. for the quarterly period ended December 31, 2012, filed on February 8, 2013, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (v) Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

* Filed herewith

** Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

February 8, 2013

SurModics, Inc.

By: /s/ Timothy J. Arens
Timothy J. Arens
Vice President of Finance and
Interim Chief Financial Officer
(duly authorized signatory and

principal financial officer)

29

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
EXHIBIT INDEX TO FORM 10-Q
For the Quarter Ended December 31, 2012
SURMODICS, INC.

| Exhibit | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 3.1 | Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended December 31, 1999, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 3.2 | Restated Bylaws of SurModics, Inc., as amended November 30, 2009 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2009, SEC File No. 0-23837. |
| 10.1 | Offer Letter dated as of December 17, 2012 (in favor of Andrew D. C. LaFrence executed by SurModics, Inc.) incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 21, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837.** |
| 10.2 | Change of Control Agreement by and between Andrew D. C. LaFrence and SurModics, Inc. dated as of December 17, 2012 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on December 21, 2012, SEC File No. 0-23837.** |
| 10.3* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (Non-Employee Director) for the SurModics, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.** |
| 10.4* | Form of Deferred Stock Unit Master Agreement (Quarterly Awards) for the SurModics, Inc. 2009 Equity Incentive Plan.** |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2* | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document*** |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*** |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document*** |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document*** |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document*** |

* Filed herewith

** Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement

*** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.