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Trademarks and Trade Names
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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1.FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  |  | Nine Months Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | 2015 | 2014 |  | 2015 |  | 2014 |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$199,485 | \$ 196,435 |  | \$596,034 |  | \$520,981 |
| Other revenues | 710 | 1,171 |  | 2,358 |  | 3,596 |
| Total revenues | 200,195 | 197,606 |  | 598,392 |  | 524,577 |
| Cost of Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation shown below) | 83,411 | 85,861 |  | 249,432 |  | 228,424 |
| Gross profit | 116,784 | 111,745 |  | 348,960 |  | 296,153 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising and promotion | 29,935 | 30,144 |  | 84,250 |  | 74,284 |
| General and administrative | 18,135 | 19,454 |  | 52,186 |  | 63,588 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,071 | 5,154 |  | 17,478 |  | 11,967 |
| Total operating expenses | 54,141 | 54,752 |  | 153,914 |  | 149,839 |
| Operating income | 62,643 | 56,993 |  | 195,046 |  | 146,314 |
| Other (income) expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | (31 | ) (20 | ) | (91 | ) | (67 |
| Interest expense | 19,493 | 24,612 |  | 62,104 |  | 57,505 |
| Gain on sale of asset | - | (1,133 | ) | - |  | (1,133 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | - | - |  | 451 |  | - |
| Total other expense | 19,462 | 23,459 |  | 62,464 |  | 56,305 |
| Income before income taxes | 43,181 | 33,534 |  | 132,582 |  | 90,009 |
| Provision for income taxes | 15,186 | 12,241 |  | 46,611 |  | 35,521 |
| Net income | \$27,995 | \$21,293 |  | \$85,971 |  | \$54,488 |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$0.53 | \$0.41 |  | \$1.63 |  | \$1.05 |
| Diluted | \$0.53 | \$0.40 |  | \$1.62 |  | \$1.04 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 52,824 | 52,278 |  | 52,727 |  | 52,110 |
| Diluted | 53,203 | 52,730 |  | 53,106 |  | 52,622 |
| Comprehensive income, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currency translation adjustments | 4,922 | (8,779 | ) | (6,562 | ) | (16,883 |
| Total other comprehensive loss | 4,922 | (8,779 | ) | (6,562 | ) | (16,883 |
| Comprehensive income | \$32,917 | \$12,514 |  | \$79,409 |  | \$37,605 |

Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Unaudited)

| (In thousands) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$48,973 | \$21,318 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 85,085 | 87,858 |
| Inventories | 80,671 | 74,000 |
| Deferred income tax assets | 8,406 | 8,097 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 5,020 | 10,434 |
| Total current assets | 228,155 | 201,707 |
| Property and equipment, net | 12,302 | 13,744 |
| Goodwill | 282,679 | 290,651 |
| Intangible assets, net | 2,116,511 | 2,134,700 |
| Other long-term assets | 1,352 | 1,165 |
| Total Assets | \$2,640,999 | \$2,641,967 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$28,539 | \$46,115 |
| Accrued interest payable | 9,359 | 11,974 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 48,823 | 40,948 |
| Total current liabilities | 86,721 | 99,037 |
| Long-term debt |  |  |
| Principal amount | 1,477,500 | 1,593,600 |
| Less unamortized debt costs | (30,468 | ) $(32,327$ |
| Long-term debt, net | 1,447,032 | 1,561,273 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | 383,485 | 351,569 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 2,823 | 2,464 |
| Total Liabilities | 1,920,061 | 2,014,343 |
| Commitments and Contingencies - Note 16 |  |  |
| Stockholders' Equity |  |  |
| Preferred stock - \$0.01 par value |  |  |
| Authorized - 5,000 shares |  |  |
| Issued and outstanding - None | - | - |
| Common stock - \$0.01 par value |  |  |
| Authorized - 250,000 shares |  |  |
| Issued - 53,059 shares at December 31, 2015 and 52,562 shares at March 31, 2015 | 530 | 525 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 442,127 | 426,584 |
| Treasury stock, at cost - 306 shares at December 31, 2015 and 266 shares at March 2015 | (5,121 | ) $(3,478$ |

Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax

| $(29,974$ | $(23,412$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 313,376 | 227,405 |
| 720,938 | 627,624 |
| $\$ 2,640,999$ | $\$ 2,641,967$ |

Retained earnings
Total Stockholders' Equity 720,938 627,624
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity
\$2,640,999 \$2,641,967
See accompanying notes.
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| Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nine Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| (In thousands) | 2015 |  | 2014 |  |
| Operating Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$85,971 |  | \$54,488 |  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 17,478 |  | 11,967 |  |
| Gain on sale of asset | - |  | (1,133 |  |
| Deferred income taxes | 31,591 |  | 19,517 |  |
| Amortization of debt origination costs | 5,433 |  | 5,904 |  |
| Stock-based compensation costs | 7,098 |  | 4,919 |  |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 451 |  | - |  |
| Lease termination costs | - |  | 1,125 |  |
| (Gain) loss on sale or disposal of property and equipment | (36 | ) | 321 |  |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | 2,453 |  | 2,113 |  |
| Inventories | (7,114 | ) | 14,478 |  |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 5,472 |  | 7,598 |  |
| Accounts payable | (17,553 | ) | (25,452 | ) |
| Accrued liabilities | 5,207 |  | 8,297 |  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 136,451 |  | 104,142 |  |
| Investing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (2,540 | ) | (3,700 |  |
| Proceeds from the sale of property and equipment | 344 |  | - |  |
| Proceeds from sale of business | - |  | 18,500 |  |
| Proceeds from sale of asset | - |  | 10,000 |  |
| Proceeds from Insight Pharmaceuticals working capital arbitration settlement | 7,237 |  | - |  |
| Acquisition of Insight Pharmaceuticals, less cash acquired | - |  | (749,666 |  |
| Acquisition of the Hydralyte brand | - |  | (77,991 |  |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 5,041 |  | (802,857 |  |
| Financing Activities |  |  |  |  |
| Term loan borrowings | - |  | 720,000 |  |
| Term loan repayments | (50,000 | ) | (80,000 | ) |
| Borrowings under revolving credit agreement | 15,000 |  | 124,600 |  |
| Repayments under revolving credit agreement | (81,100 | ) | (58,500 | ) |
| Payments of debt origination costs | (4,211 | ) | (16,072 | ) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 6,600 |  | 3,654 |  |
| Proceeds from restricted stock exercises | 544 |  | 57 |  |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based awards | 1,850 |  | 1,030 |  |
| Fair value of shares surrendered as payment of tax withholding | (2,187 | ) | (1,688 | ) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (113,504 | ) | 693,081 |  |
| Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (333 | ) | (746 | ) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 27,655 |  | (6,380 | ) |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period | 21,318 |  | 28,331 |  |


| Cash and cash equivalents - end of period | $\$ 48,973$ | $\$ 21,951$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest paid | $\$ 58,867$ | $\$ 49,435$ |
| Income taxes paid | $\$ 9,014$ | $\$ 7,135$ |
| See accompanying notes. |  |  |
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Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

## 1.Business and Basis of Presentation

## Nature of Business

Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. (referred to herein as the "Company" or "we", which reference shall, unless the context requires otherwise, be deemed to refer to Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. and all of its direct and indirect $100 \%$ owned subsidiaries on a consolidated basis) is engaged in the marketing, sales and distribution of over-the-counter ("OTC") healthcare and household cleaning products to mass merchandisers, drug stores, supermarkets, and club, convenience, and dollar stores in North America (the United States and Canada) and in Australia and certain other international markets. Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. is a holding company with no operations and is also the parent guarantor of the senior credit facility and the senior notes described in Note 9 to these Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Basis of Presentation

The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements presented herein have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial reporting and the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in these Consolidated Financial Statements. In the opinion of management, these Consolidated Financial Statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, that are considered necessary for a fair statement of our consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. Our fiscal year ends on March $31^{\text {st }}$ of each year. References in these Consolidated Financial Statements or related notes to a year (e.g., "2016") mean our fiscal year ending or ended on March 3'lof that year. Operating results for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending March 31, 2016. These unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with our audited Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Although these estimates are based on our knowledge of current events and actions that we may undertake in the future, actual results could differ materially from those estimates. As discussed below, our most significant estimates include those made in connection with the valuation of intangible assets, stock-based compensation, fair value of debt, sales returns and allowances, trade promotional allowances, inventory obsolescence, and the recognition of income taxes using an estimated annual effective tax rate.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all short-term deposits and investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Substantially all of our cash is held by a large regional bank with headquarters in California. We do not believe that, as a result of this concentration, we are subject to any unusual financial risk beyond the normal risk associated with commercial banking relationships. The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and Securities Investor Protection Corporation ("SIPC") insure these balances up to $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 500,000$, with a $\$ 250,000$ limit for cash, respectively. Substantially all of the Company's cash balances at December 31, 2015 are uninsured.

Accounts Receivable

We extend non-interest-bearing trade credit to our customers in the ordinary course of business. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable based upon historical collection experience and expected collectability of the accounts receivable. In an effort to reduce credit risk, we (i) have established credit limits for all of our customer relationships, (ii) perform ongoing credit evaluations of customers' financial condition, (iii) monitor the payment history and aging of customers' receivables, and (iv) monitor open orders against an individual customer's outstanding receivable balance.

## Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value, with cost determined by using the first-in, first-out method. We reduce inventories for diminution of value resulting from product obsolescence, damage or other issues affecting marketability, equal to the difference between the cost of the inventory and its estimated market value. Factors utilized in the determination of estimated market value include: (i) current sales data and historical return rates, (ii) estimates of future demand, (iii) competitive pricing pressures, (iv) new product introductions, (v) product expiration dates, and (vi) component and packaging obsolescence.
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Property and Equipment
Property and equipment are stated at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method based on the following estimated useful lives:

|  | Years |
| :--- | :---: |
| Machinery | 5 |
| Computer equipment and software | 3 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 7 |
| Leasehold improvements | $*$ |
| * Leasehold improvements are amortized over the lesser of the term of the lease or the estimated useful life of the |  |
| related asset. |  |

Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. When an asset is sold or otherwise disposed of, we remove the cost and associated accumulated depreciation from the respective accounts and recognize the resulting gain or loss in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

Property and equipment are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value.

## Goodwill

The excess of the purchase price over the fair market value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business combinations is classified as goodwill. Goodwill is not amortized, although the carrying value is tested for impairment at least annually in the fourth fiscal quarter of each year, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. Goodwill is tested for impairment at the product group level, which is one level below the operating segment level.

## Intangible Assets

Intangible assets, which are comprised primarily of trademarks, are stated at cost less accumulated amortization. For intangible assets with finite lives, amortization is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives, typically ranging from 10 to 30 years.

Indefinite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment at least annually in the fourth fiscal quarter of each year, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. Intangible assets with finite lives are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may exceed their fair values and may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized if the carrying amount of the asset exceeds its fair value.

## Debt Origination Costs

We have incurred debt origination costs in connection with the issuance of long-term debt. Certain of these costs were recorded as deferred financing costs within long-term assets and others were recorded as a reduction to our long-term debt. These costs are amortized over the term of the related debt, using the effective interest method for our term loan facility and the straight-line method for our revolving credit facility. Effective April 1, 2015, in accordance with new accounting standards discussed below, we began reporting the costs related to our senior notes and the term loan facility as a reduction of debt. We continue to report the costs associated with our revolving credit facility as a long-term asset.

## Revenue Recognition

## Edgar Filing: Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Revenues are recognized when the following criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) the selling price is fixed or determinable, (iii) the product has been shipped and the customer takes ownership and assumes the risk of loss, and (iv) collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. We have determined that these criteria are met and the transfer of the risk of loss generally occurs when the product is received by the customer, and, accordingly, we recognize revenue at that time. Provisions are made for estimated discounts related to customer payment terms and estimated product returns at the time of sale based on our historical experience.

As is customary in the consumer products industry, we participate in the promotional programs of our customers to enhance the sale of our products. The cost of these promotional programs varies based on the actual number of units sold during a finite period of time. These promotional programs consist of direct-to-consumer incentives, such as coupons and temporary price reductions, as well as incentives to our customers, such as allowances for new distribution, including slotting fees, and cooperative advertising. Estimates of the costs of these promotional programs are based on (i) historical sales experience, (ii) the current promotional offering, (iii) forecasted data, (iv) current market conditions, and (v) communication with customer purchasing/
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marketing personnel. We recognize the cost of such sales incentives by recording an estimate of such cost as a reduction of revenue, at the later of (a) the date the related revenue is recognized, or (b) the date when a particular sales incentive is offered. At the completion of a promotional program, the estimated amounts are adjusted to actual results.

Due to the nature of the consumer products industry, we are required to estimate future product returns. Accordingly, we record an estimate of product returns concurrent with recording sales, which is made after analyzing (i) historical return rates, (ii) current economic trends, (iii) changes in customer demand, (iv) product acceptance, (v) seasonality of our product offerings, and (vi) the impact of changes in product formulation, packaging and advertising.

## Cost of Sales

Cost of sales includes product costs, warehousing costs, inbound and outbound shipping costs, and handling and storage costs. Shipping, warehousing and handling costs were $\$ 10.1$ million and $\$ 29.2$ million for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, respectively, and $\$ 9.2$ million and $\$ 26.3$ million for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014, respectively.

## Advertising and Promotion Costs

Advertising and promotion costs are expensed as incurred. Allowances for new distribution costs associated with products, including slotting fees, are recognized as a reduction of sales. Under these new distribution arrangements, the retailers allow our products to be placed on the stores' shelves in exchange for such fees.

## Stock-based Compensation

We recognize stock-based compensation by measuring the cost of services to be rendered based on the grant-date fair value of the equity award. Compensation expense is recognized over the period a grantee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, generally referred to as the requisite service period.

Income Taxes
Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when the differences are expected to reverse. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized.

The Income Taxes topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 740 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributes for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The guidance only allows the recognition of those tax benefits that have a greater than $50 \%$ likelihood of being sustained upon examination by the various taxing authorities. As a result, we have applied such guidance in determining our tax uncertainties.

We are subject to taxation in the United States and various state and foreign jurisdictions.

We classify penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense in the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income.

## Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is calculated based on income available to common stockholders and the weighted-average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated based on income available to common stockholders and the weighted-average number of common and potential common shares outstanding during the reporting period. Potential common shares, composed of the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding stock options, and unvested restricted stock units, are included in the
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earnings per share calculation to the extent that they are dilutive.
Recently Issued Accounting Standards
In January 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-01, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. For public business entities, the amendments in this update include the elimination of the requirement to disclose the method(s) and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet, the requirement to use the exit price notion when measuring fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes, the requirement to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments, the requirement for separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset (that is, securities or loans and receivables) on the balance sheet or accompanying notes to the financial statements, and the amendments clarify that an entity should evaluate the need for a valuation allowance on a deferred tax asset related to available-
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for-sale securities in combination with the entity's other deferred tax assets. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption of the amendments in this update is not permitted, except that early application by public business entities to financial statements of fiscal years or interim periods that have not yet been issued or, by all other entities, that have not yet been made available for issuance are permitted as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption for the following amendment: An entity should present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk if the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. An entity should apply the amendments to this update by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes. The amendments in this update require that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in a classified statement of financial position. The amendments in this update may be applied either prospectively to all deferred tax liabilities and assets or retrospectively to all periods presented. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early application is permitted for all entities as of the beginning of interim or annual reporting periods. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. The amendments in this update require that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. To simplify the accounting for adjustment made to provisional amounts recognized in a business combination, the amendments in this update eliminate the requirement to retrospectively account for those adjustments. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of ASU 2015-16 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory. The amendments in this update more closely align the measurement of inventory in GAAP with the measurement of inventory in International Financial Reporting Standards, under which an entity should measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. For public business entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs ("ASU 2015-03"). The amendments in this update require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15,2015 , and interim periods within those fiscal years. As permitted by the guidance, we have early adopted these provisions, as of the beginning of our first quarter of 2016. Given the absence of authoritative guidance within ASU 2015-03 for debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements, in August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements, stating that the SEC staff would not object to an entity deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequently amortizing the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement. As a result, we reclassified $\$ 27.4$ million of deferred financing costs as of March 31, 2015 from other long-term assets, and
such costs are now presented as a direct deduction from the long-term debt liability.
In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. Update 2015-02 amended the process that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2015-02 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-01, Income Statement - Extraordinary and Unusual Items. The amendments in this update eliminate the concept of extraordinary items in Subtopic 225-20, which required entities to consider whether an underlying event or transaction is extraordinary. However, the amendments retain the presentation and disclosure guidance for items that are unusual in nature or occur infrequently. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within
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those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2015-01 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This amendment states that in connection with preparing financial statements for each annual and interim reporting period, an entity's management should evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued (or within one year after the date that the financial statements are available to be issued, when applicable). The amendments in this update are effective for the annual reporting period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2014-15 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide that a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period, which requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. As such, the new guidance does not allow for a performance target that affects vesting to be reflected in estimating the fair value of the award at the grant date. The amendments to this update are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. Entities may apply the amendments in this update either prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date or retrospectively to all awards with performance targets that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements and to all new or modified awards thereafter. We currently do not have any outstanding share-based payments with a performance target. The adoption of ASU 2014-12 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Topic 606, which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in FASB ASC 605. The new guidance primarily states that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, which deferred the effective date of ASU 2014-09 from annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016 to annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity. Under the new guidance, only disposals representing a strategic shift in operations should be presented as discontinued operations. Those strategic shifts should have a major effect on the organization's operations and financial results. Examples include a disposal of a major geographic area, a major line of business, or a major equity method investment. In addition, the new guidance requires expanded disclosures about discontinued operations that will provide financial statement users with more information about the assets, liabilities, income, and expenses of discontinued operations. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The amendments in this update must be applied prospectively to all disposals (or classifications as held for sale) of components of an entity that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those years. The adoption of ASU 2014-08 did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Management has reviewed and continues to monitor the actions of the various financial and regulatory reporting agencies and is currently not aware of any other pronouncement that could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Edgar Filing: Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q
-9-

## 2. Acquisitions

Acquisition of Insight Pharmaceuticals
On September 3, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of Insight Pharmaceuticals Corporation ("Insight"), a marketer and distributor of feminine care and other OTC healthcare products, for $\$ 745.9$ million in cash after receiving a return of approximately $\$ 7.2$ million from escrow related to an arbitrator's ruling. The closing followed the Federal Trade Commission's ("FTC") approval of the acquisition and was finalized pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement announced on April 25, 2014. Pursuant to the Insight purchase agreement, the Company acquired 27 OTC brands sold in North America (including related trademarks, contracts and inventory), which extended the Company's portfolio of OTC brands to include a leading feminine care platform in the United States and Canada anchored by Monistat, the leading brand in OTC yeast infection treatment. The acquisition also added brands to the Company's cough \& cold, pain relief, ear care and dermatological platforms. In connection with the FTC's approval of the Insight acquisition, we sold one of the competing brands that we acquired from Insight on the same day as the Insight closing. The Insight brands are primarily included in our North American OTC Healthcare segment.

The Insight acquisition was accounted for in accordance with the Business Combinations topic of the FASB ASC 805, which requires that the total cost of an acquisition be allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values at the date of acquisition.

We prepared an analysis of the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition. During the quarter ended June 30, 2015, we adjusted the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed by increasing goodwill for certain immaterial items that came to our attention subsequent to the date of acquisition. Additionally, during the quarter ended December 31, 2015, we reduced goodwill, as we received $\$ 7.2$ million as a result of a finalized arbitration ruling relating to the disputed working capital calculation, as determined under GAAP, as of the date of the Insight acquisition, which is clearly and directly related to the purchase price. The following table summarizes our allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the September 3, 2014 acquisition date, after giving effect of the adjustments noted above.
(In thousands)
Cash acquired \$3,507
Accounts receivable 26,012
Inventories
Deferred income tax assets - current
Prepaids and other current assets
Property, plant and equipment
Goodwill
Intangible assets
Total assets acquired
Accounts payable
Accrued expenses
Deferred income tax liabilities - long term
Total liabilities assumed
Total purchase price

September 3, 2014

23,456
1,032
1,341
2,308
96,323
724,374
878,353
16,079
8,539
107,799
132,417
\$745,936

Based on this analysis, we allocated $\$ 599.6$ million to indefinite-lived intangible assets and $\$ 124.8$ million to amortizable intangible assets. We are amortizing the purchased amortizable intangible assets on a straight-line basis
over an estimated weighted average useful life of 16.2 years. The weighted average remaining life for amortizable intangible assets at December 31, 2015 was 14.8 years.

We also recorded goodwill of $\$ 96.3$ million based on the amount by which the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net assets acquired after the effect of the adjustments described above. Goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes.
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The operating results of Insight have been included in our Consolidated Financial Statements beginning September 3, 2014. On September 3, 2014, we sold one of the brands we acquired from the Insight acquisition for $\$ 18.5$ million, for which we had allocated $\$ 17.7$ million, $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.2$ million to intangible assets, inventory and property, plant and equipment, respectively.

The following table provides our unaudited pro forma revenues, net income and net income per basic and diluted common share had the results of Insight's operations been included in our operations commencing on April 1, 2013, based upon available information related to Insight's operations. This pro forma information is not necessarily indicative either of the combined results of operations that actually would have been realized by us had the Insight acquisition been consummated at the beginning of the period for which the pro forma information is presented, or of future results.
(In thousands, except per share data)
Revenues
Net income
Earnings per share:
Basic
\$ 1.20
Diluted \$ 1.19

Acquisition of the Hydralyte brand
On April 30, 2014, we completed the acquisition of the Hydralyte brand in Australia and New Zealand from The Hydration Pharmaceuticals Trust of Victoria, Australia, which was funded through a combination of cash on hand and our existing senior secured credit facility.

Hydralyte is the leading OTC brand in oral rehydration in Australia and is marketed and sold through our Care Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd. subsidiary ("Care Pharma"). Hydralyte is available in pharmacies in multiple forms and is indicated for oral rehydration following diarrhea, vomiting, fever, heat and other ailments. Hydralyte is included in our International OTC Healthcare segment.

The Hydralyte acquisition was accounted for in accordance with the Business Combinations topic of the FASB ASC 805, which requires that the total cost of an acquisition be allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values at the date of acquisition.

We prepared an analysis of the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition. The following table summarizes our allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the April 30, 2014 acquisition date.
(In thousands)
Inventories $\quad \$ 1,970$
Property, plant and equipment, net 1,267
Goodwill $\quad 1,224$
Intangible assets, net 73,580
Total assets acquired 78,041
Accrued expenses 38

Other long-term liabilities
Total liabilities assumed
Net assets acquired

12
50
\$77,991

Based on this analysis, we allocated $\$ 73.6$ million to non-amortizable intangible assets and no allocation was made to amortizable intangible assets.

We also recorded goodwill of $\$ 1.2$ million based on the amount by which the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes.
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The pro forma effect of this acquisition on revenues and earnings was not material.

## 3. Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 31, <br> 2015 | March 31, <br> 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Components of Accounts Receivable |  |  |
| Trade accounts receivable | $\$ 94,636$ | $\$ 95,411$ |
| Other receivables | 1,436 | 2,353 |
|  | 96,072 | 97,764 |
| Less allowances for discounts, returns and uncollectible accounts | $(10,987$ | $)(9,906$ |
| Accounts receivable, net | $\$ 85,085$ | $\$ 87,858$ |

## 4.Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 31, <br> 2015 | March 31, <br> 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Components of Inventories <br> Packaging and raw materials | $\$ 8,097$ |  |
| Finished goods | 72,574 | $\$ 7,588$ |
| Inventories | $\$ 80,671$ | 66,412 |

Inventories are carried and depicted above at the lower of cost or market value, which includes a reduction in inventory values of $\$ 2.6$ million and $\$ 4.1$ million at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively, related to obsolete and slow-moving inventory.

## 5.Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 31, <br> 2015 | March 31, <br> 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Components of Property and Equipment |  |  |
| Machinery | $\$ 4,085$ | $\$ 4,743$ |
| Computer equipment | 13,843 | 11,339 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 2,406 | 2,484 |
| Leasehold improvements | 7,371 | 7,134 |
|  | 27,705 | 25,700 |
| Accumulated depreciation | $(15,403$ | $)$ |
| Property and equipment, net | $\$ 12,302$ | $\$ 13,744$ |

We recorded depreciation expense of $\$ 1.2$ million and $\$ 3.7$ million for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, respectively, and $\$ 1.0$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014, respectively.

## 6.Goodwill

A reconciliation of the activity affecting goodwill by operating segment is as follows:

| (In thousands) | North American <br> OTC | International <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | Household <br> Cleaning | Consolidated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

As discussed in Note 2, we completed two acquisitions during the year ended March 31, 2015. On September 3, 2014, we completed the acquisition of Insight and recorded goodwill of $\$ 96.3$ million, reflecting the amount by which the purchase price exceeded the preliminary estimate of fair value of net assets acquired, after giving effect to the following adjustments. During the quarter ended June 30, 2015, we increased goodwill by $\$ 0.3$ million for certain immaterial items. During the quarter ended December 31, 2015, we decreased goodwill by $\$ 7.2$ million, as we received that amount from escrow pursuant to an arbitrator's ruling in December 2015 related to a disputed working capital calculation, as determined under GAAP, associated with the Insight acquisition, which is clearly and directly related to the purchase price. Additionally, on April 30, 2014, we completed the acquisition of the Hydralyte brand and recorded goodwill of $\$ 1.2$ million, reflecting the amount by which the purchase price exceeded the preliminary estimate of fair value of the net assets acquired.

As further discussed in Note 7, in December 2014, we completed a transaction to sell rights to use of the Comet brand in certain Eastern European countries to a third-party licensee. As a result, we recorded a gain on sale of $\$ 1.3$ million and reduced the carrying value of our intangible assets and goodwill.

Under accounting guidelines, goodwill is not amortized, but must be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below the carrying amount.

On an annual basis during the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, or more frequently if conditions indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable, management performs a review of the values assigned to goodwill and tests for impairment.

We utilize the discounted cash flow method to estimate the fair value of our reporting units as part of the goodwill impairment test and the excess earnings method to estimate the fair value of our individual indefinite-lived intangible assets. We also considered our market capitalization at February 28, 2015, which was the date of our annual review, as compared to the aggregate fair values of our reporting units, to assess the reasonableness of our estimates pursuant to the discounted cash flow methodology. The estimates and assumptions made in assessing the fair value of our reporting units and the valuation of the underlying assets and liabilities are inherently subject to significant uncertainties. Consequently, changing rates of interest and inflation, declining sales or margins, increases in competition, changing consumer preferences, technical advances, or reductions in advertising and promotion may require an impairment charge to be recorded in the future.

At February 28, 2015, during our annual test for goodwill impairment, there were no indicators of impairment under the analysis. Accordingly, no impairment charge was recorded in fiscal 2015. As of December 31, 2015, there have been no triggering events that would indicate potential impairment of goodwill.

## 7.Intangible Assets

A reconciliation of the activity affecting intangible assets is as follows:
(In thousands)
Gross Carrying Amounts
Balance - March 31, 2015
Effects of foreign currency exchange rates
Balance - December 31, 2015
Indefinite
Lived
Trademarks
\$1,873,404

1,869,021

Finite Lived
Trademarks
\$358,066
,231,470
) $(4,453$
2,227,017
Accumulated Amortization
Balance - March 31, 2015
Additions
Effects of foreign currency exchange rates
Balance - December 31, 2015
Intangible assets, net - December 31, 2015

Intangible Assets, net by Reportable Segment:
North American OTC Healthcare
International OTC Healthcare
Household Cleaning
Intangible assets, net - December 31, 2015


96,770
96,770

- 13,745
(9
110,506
\$1,869,021 \$247,490
\$223,272
\$1,900,263
\$1,676,991
1,104
23,114
110,272
\$1,869,021
\$247,490

82,862
133,386
\$2,116,511

As discussed in Note 2, we completed two acquisitions during the year ended March 31, 2015. On September 3, 2014, we completed the acquisition of Insight and allocated $\$ 724.4$ million to intangible assets based on our preliminary analysis. Additionally, on April 30, 2014, we completed the acquisition of the Hydralyte brand and allocated $\$ 73.6$ million to intangible assets based on our preliminary analysis. Furthermore, on September 3, 2014, we sold one of the brands that we acquired from Insight, for which we had allocated $\$ 17.7$ million to intangible assets.

Under accounting guidelines, indefinite-lived assets are not amortized, but must be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the asset below the carrying amount. Additionally, at each reporting period, an evaluation must be made to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives and are also tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable and exceeds its fair value.

On an annual basis during the fourth fiscal quarter, or more frequently if conditions indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recovered, management performs a review of both the values and, if applicable, useful lives assigned to intangible assets and tests for impairment.

We utilize the discounted cash flow method to estimate the fair value of our reporting units as part of the goodwill impairment test and the excess earnings method to estimate the fair value of our individual indefinite-lived intangible assets. We also considered our market capitalization at February 28, 2015, which was the date of our annual review, as compared to the aggregate fair values of our reporting units, to assess the reasonableness of our estimates pursuant to the discounted cash flow methodology. The estimates and assumptions made in assessing the fair value of our reporting units and the valuation of the underlying assets and liabilities are inherently subject to significant uncertainties. Consequently, changing rates of interest and inflation, declining sales or margins, increases in
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competition, changing consumer preferences, technical advances, or reductions in advertising and promotion may require an impairment charge to be recorded in the future.

Although we experienced declines in revenues in Pediacare and in certain other brands in the past, we continue to believe that the fair values of our brands exceed their carrying values. However, sustained or significant future declines in revenue, profitability, lost distribution, other adverse changes in expected operating results, and/or unfavorable changes in other economic factors used
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to estimate fair value of certain brands could indicate that the fair value no longer exceeds carrying value in which case a non-cash impairment charge may be recorded in future periods.

The weighted average remaining life for finite-lived intangible assets at December 31, 2015 was approximately 13.9 years, and the amortization expense for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 was $\$ 4.8$ million and $\$ 13.7$ million, respectively. At December 31, 2015, finite-lived intangible assets are being amortized over a period of 10 to 30 years, and the associated amortization expense is expected to be as follows:
(In thousands)
Year Ending March 31,
2016 (Remaining three months ending March 31, 2016)
Amount

2017
\$4,466

2018
17,863

2019
17,863

2020
17,863
Thereafter
17,863
171,572
\$247,490
Sale of asset
Historically, we received royalty income from the licensing of the name of certain of our brands in geographic areas or markets in which we do not directly compete. We have had a royalty agreement for our Comet brand for several years, which included an option on behalf of the licensee to purchase the rights in certain geographic areas and markets in perpetuity. In December 2014, we amended the agreement to allow the licensee to buy out a portion of the agreement early, but retaining the remaining stream of royalty payments. In December 2014, in connection with this amendment, we sold rights to use of the Comet brand in certain Eastern European countries to a third-party licensee and received $\$ 10.0$ million as a partial early buyout. As a result, we recorded a gain on sale of $\$ 1.3$ million, and reduced the carrying value of our intangible assets and goodwill. The licensee will continue to make quarterly payments at least through June 30, 2016 of approximately $\$ 1.0$ million. The licensee has the option to purchase the remaining territories and markets, as defined in the agreement, at any time after July 1, 2016.

## 8. Other Accrued Liabilities

Other accrued liabilities consist of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 31, <br> 2015 | March 31, <br> 2015 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Accrued marketing costs | $\$ 24,757$ | $\$ 16,903$ |
| Accrued compensation costs | 6,837 | 8,840 |
| Accrued broker commissions | 1,231 | 1,134 |
| Income taxes payable | 3,456 | 2,642 |
| Accrued professional fees | 2,229 | 2,769 |
| Deferred rent | 825 | 1,021 |
| Accrued production costs | 5,303 | 5,610 |
| Accrued lease termination costs | 544 | 669 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 3,641 | 1,360 |
|  | $\$ 48,823$ | $\$ 40,948$ |
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## 9. Long-Term Debt

## 2012 Senior Notes:

On January 31, 2012, Prestige Brands, Inc. (the "Borrower") issued $\$ 250.0$ million of senior unsecured notes at par value, with an interest rate of $8.125 \%$ and a maturity date of February 1, 2020 (the " 2012 Senior Notes"). The Borrower may earlier redeem some or all of the 2012 Senior Notes at redemption prices set forth in the indenture governing the 2012 Senior Notes. The 2012 Senior Notes are guaranteed by Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. and certain of its domestic $100 \%$ owned subsidiaries, other than the Borrower. Each of these guarantees is joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of any of the guarantors to obtain funds from their subsidiaries or to make payments to the Borrower or the Company. In connection with the 2012 Senior Notes offering, we incurred $\$ 12.6$ million of costs, which were capitalized as deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the term of the 2012 Senior Notes.

2012 Term Loan and 2012 ABL Revolver:
On January 31, 2012, the Borrower also entered into a new senior secured credit facility, which consists of (i) a $\$ 660.0$ million term loan facility (the "2012 Term Loan") with a 7 -year maturity and (ii) a $\$ 50.0$ million asset-based revolving credit facility (the " 2012 ABL Revolver") with a 5 -year maturity. In subsequent years, we have utilized portions of our accordion feature to increase the amount of our borrowing capacity under the 2012 ABL Revolver by $\$ 85.0$ million to $\$ 135.0$ million and reduced our borrowing rate on the 2012 ABL Revolver by $0.25 \%$. The 2012 Term Loan was issued with an original issue discount of $1.5 \%$ of the principal amount thereof, resulting in net proceeds to the Borrower of $\$ 650.1$ million. In connection with these loan facilities, we incurred $\$ 20.6$ million of costs, which were capitalized as deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the terms of the facilities. The 2012 Term Loan is unconditionally guaranteed by Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. and certain of its domestic $100 \%$ owned subsidiaries, other than the Borrower. Each of these guarantees is joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of any of the guarantors to obtain funds from their subsidiaries or to make payments to the Borrower or the Company.

On February 21, 2013, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 1 (the "Term Loan Amendment No. 1") to the 2012 Term Loan. Term Loan Amendment No. 1 provided for the refinancing of all of the Borrower's existing Term B Loans with new Term B-1 Loans (the "Term B-1 Loans"). The interest rate on the Term B-1 Loans under the Term Loan Amendment No. 1 was based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $2.75 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $1.00 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $2.00 \%$, plus a margin. The new Term B-1 Loans mature on the same date as the Term B Loans' original maturity date. In addition, Term Loan Amendment No. 1 provided the Borrower with certain additional capacity to prepay subordinated debt, the 2012 Senior Notes and certain other unsecured indebtedness permitted to be incurred under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and 2012 ABL Revolver. In connection with Term Loan Amendment No. 1, during the fourth quarter ended March 31, 2013, we recognized a $\$ 1.4$ million loss on the extinguishment of debt.
On September 3, 2014, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Term Loan Amendment No. 2") to the 2012 Term Loan. Term Loan Amendment No. 2 provided for (i) the creation of a new class of Term B-2 Loans under the 2012 Term Loan (the "Term B-2 Loans") in an aggregate principal amount of $\$ 720.0$ million, (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and 2012 ABL Revolver, including additional investment, restricted payment and debt incurrence flexibility and financial maintenance covenant relief, and (iii) an interest rate on (x) the Term B-1 Loans that was based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $3.125 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $1.00 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $2.00 \%$, plus a margin, and (y) the Term B-2 Loans that was based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $3.50 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $1.00 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $2.00 \%$, plus a margin (with a margin step-down to $3.25 \%$ per annum, based upon achievement of a specified secured net leverage ratio).
On May 8, 2015, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 3 (the "Term Loan Amendment No. 3") to the 2012 Term Loan. Term Loan Amendment No. 3 provides for (i) the creation of a new class of Term B-3 Loans under the 2012

Term Loan (the "Term B-3 Loans") in an aggregate principal amount of $\$ 852.5$ million, which combined the outstanding balances of the Term B-1 Loans of $\$ 207.5$ million and the Term B-2 Loans of $\$ 645.0$ million, (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan, including additional investment, restricted payment, and debt incurrence flexibility and financial maintenance covenant relief, and (iii) an interest rate on the Term B-3 Loans that is based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $2.75 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $0.75 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $1.75 \%$, plus a margin. The maturity date of the Term B-3 Loans remains the same as the Term B-2 Loans' original maturity date of September 3, 2021.
The 2012 Term Loan, as amended, bears interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin plus, at the Borrower's option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus $0.50 \%$, (b) the prime rate of Citibank, N.A., (c) the LIBOR rate determined by reference to the cost of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for an interest period of one month, adjusted for certain additional costs, plus $1.00 \%$ and (d) a floor of $1.75 \%$ or (ii) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs, with a floor of $0.75 \%$. For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the average interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan was 4.5\%.
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Under the 2012 Term Loan, we were originally required to make quarterly payments each equal to $0.25 \%$ of the original principal amount of the 2012 Term Loan, with the balance expected to be due on the seventh anniversary of the closing date. However, since we entered into Term Loan Amendment No. 3, we are required to make quarterly payments each equal to $0.25 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 852.5$ million. Since we have previously made optional payments that exceeded a significant portion of our required quarterly payments, we will not be required to make another payment until the fiscal year ending March 31, 2019.

On September 3, 2014, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 3 ("ABL Amendment No. 3") to the 2012 ABL Revolver. ABL Amendment No. 3 provided for (i) a $\$ 40.0$ million increase in revolving commitments under the 2012 ABL Revolver and (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and 2012 ABL Revolver, including additional investment, restricted payment and debt incurrence flexibility. Borrowings under the 2012 ABL Revolver, as amended, bear interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin plus, at the Borrower's option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus $0.50 \%$, (b) the prime rate of Citibank, N.A., or (c) the LIBOR rate determined by reference to the cost of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for an interest period of one month, adjusted for certain additional costs, plus $1.00 \%$ or (ii) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs. The initial applicable margin for borrowings under the 2012 ABL Revolver is $1.75 \%$ with respect to LIBOR borrowings and $0.75 \%$ with respect to base-rate borrowings. The applicable margin for borrowings under the 2012 ABL Revolver may be increased to $2.00 \%$ or $2.25 \%$ for LIBOR borrowings and $1.00 \%$ or $1.25 \%$ for base-rate borrowings, depending on average excess availability under the 2012 ABL Revolver during the prior fiscal quarter. In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the 2012 ABL Revolver, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the 2012 ABL Revolver in respect of the unutilized commitments thereunder. The initial commitment fee rate is $0.50 \%$ per annum. The commitment fee rate will be reduced to $0.375 \%$ per annum at any time when the average daily unused commitments for the prior quarter is less than a percentage of total commitments by an amount set forth in the credit agreement covering the 2012 ABL Revolver.

On June 9, 2015, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 4 ("ABL Amendment No. 4") to the 2012 ABL Revolver. ABL Amendment No. 4 provides for (i) a $\$ 35.0$ million increase in the accordion feature under the 2012 ABL Revolver and (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 ABL Revolver, including additional investment, restricted payment, and debt incurrence flexibility and financial maintenance covenant relief and (iii) extended the maturity date of the 2012 ABL Revolver to June 9, 2020, which is five years from the effective date. We may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under the 2012 ABL Revolver at any time without a premium or penalty. For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the average interest rate on the amounts borrowed under the 2012 ABL Revolver was 2.1\%.
2013 Senior Notes:
On December 17, 2013, the Borrower issued $\$ 400.0$ million of senior unsecured notes, with an interest rate of $5.375 \%$ and a maturity date of December 15, 2021 (the "2013 Senior Notes"). The Borrower may redeem some or all of the 2013 Senior Notes at redemption prices set forth in the indenture governing the 2013 Senior Notes. The 2013 Senior Notes are guaranteed by Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. and certain of its $100 \%$ domestic owned subsidiaries, other than the Borrower. Each of these guarantees is joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of any of the guarantors to obtain funds from their subsidiaries or to make payments to the Borrower or the Company. In connection with the 2013 Senior Notes offering, we incurred $\$ 7.2$ million of costs, which were capitalized as deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the term of the 2013 Senior Notes.
Redemptions and Restrictions:
At any time prior to February 1, 2016, we may redeem the 2012 Senior Notes in whole or in part at a redemption price equal to $100 \%$ of the principal amount of the notes redeemed, plus a "make-whole premium" calculated as set forth in the indenture governing the 2012 Senior Notes, together with accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption. On or after February 1, 2016, we may redeem the 2012 Senior Notes in whole or in part at redemption
prices set forth in the indenture governing the 2012 Senior Notes. In addition, at any time prior to February 1, 2015, we could have redeemed up to $35 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of the 2012 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to $108.125 \%$ of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings, provided that certain conditions were met. Subject to certain limitations, in the event of a change of control, as defined in the indenture governing the 2012 Senior Notes, the Borrower will be required to make an offer to purchase the 2012 Senior Notes at a price equal to $101 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of the 2012 Senior Notes repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

At any time prior to December 15, 2016, we may redeem the 2013 Senior Notes in whole or in part at a redemption price equal to $100 \%$ of the principal amount of notes redeemed, plus an applicable "make-whole premium" calculated as set forth in the indenture governing the 2013 Senior Notes, together with accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption. On or after December 15, 2016, we may redeem some or all of the 2013 Senior Notes at redemption prices set forth in the indenture
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governing the 2013 Senior Notes. In addition, at any time prior to December 15, 2016, we may redeem up to $35 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of the 2013 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to $105.375 \%$ of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the redemption date, with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings, provided that certain conditions are met. Subject to certain limitations, in the event of a change of control, as defined in the indenture governing the 2013 Senior Notes, the Borrower will be required to make an offer to purchase the 2013 Senior Notes at a price equal to $101 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of the 2013 Senior Notes repurchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of repurchase.

The indentures governing the 2012 Senior Notes and the 2013 Senior Notes contain provisions that restrict us from undertaking specified corporate actions, such as asset dispositions, acquisitions, dividend payments, repurchases of common shares outstanding, changes of control, incurrences of indebtedness, issuance of equity, creation of liens, making of loans and transactions with affiliates. Additionally, the credit agreement with respect to the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver and the indentures governing the 2012 Senior Notes and the 2013 Senior Notes contain cross-default provisions, whereby a default pursuant to the terms and conditions of certain indebtedness will cause a default on the remaining indebtedness under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver and the indentures governing the 2012 Senior Notes and the 2013 Senior Notes. At December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with the covenants under our long-term indebtedness.

Effective April 1, 2015, the Company elected to change its method of presentation relating to debt issuance costs in accordance with ASU 2015-03. Prior to 2016, the Company's policy was to present these costs in other-long term assets on the balance sheet, net of accumulated amortization. Beginning in 2016, the Company has presented these fees as a direct deduction to the related long-term debt. As a result, we reclassified $\$ 27.4$ million of deferred financing costs as of March 31, 2015 from other long-term assets, and such costs are now presented as a direct deduction from the long-term debt liability.

At December 31, 2015, we had an aggregate of $\$ 30.5$ million of unamortized debt costs, the total of which is comprised of $\$ 7.6$ million related to the 2012 Senior Notes, $\$ 5.7$ million related to the 2013 Senior Notes and $\$ 17.2$ million related to the 2012 Term Loan.

As of December 31, 2015, there were no outstanding borrowings on the 2012 ABL Revolver and we had a borrowing capacity of $\$ 115.4$ million.

Long-term debt consists of the following, as of the dates indicated:
(In thousands, except percentages)
2013 Senior Notes bearing interest at $5.375 \%$, with interest payable on June 15 and December 15 of each year. The 2013 Senior Notes mature on December 15, 2021.

2012 Senior Notes bearing interest at $8.125 \%$, with interest payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year. The 2012 Senior Notes mature on February 1, 2020. 2012 Term B-3 Loans bearing interest at the Borrower's option at either a base rate with a floor of $1.75 \%$ plus applicable margin or LIBOR with a floor of $0.75 \% \quad 827,500$ plus applicable margin, due on September 3, 2021.
2012 ABL Revolver bearing interest at the Borrower's option at either a base rate plus applicable margin or LIBOR plus applicable margin. Any unpaid balance is due on June 9, 2020.
Total long-term debt (including current portion)
Current portion of long-term debt
Long-term debt

December 31, March 31, 20152015
$\$ 400,000 \quad \$ 400,000$

250,000 250,000 877,500

| - | 66,100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1,477,500$ | $1,593,600$ |
| - | - |
| $1,477,500$ | $1,593,600$ |
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$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { Less: unamortized debt costs } & (30,468 & (32,327 \\ \text { Long-term debt, net } & \$ 1,447,032 & \$ 1,561,273\end{array}\right)$
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As of December 31, 2015, aggregate future principal payments required in accordance with the terms of the 2012 Term Loan, 2012 ABL Revolver and the indentures governing the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes are as follows:
(In thousands)
Year Ending March 31,
Amount
2016 (remaining three months ending March 31, 2016)
2017
2018
2019
-
2020
6,969
Thereafter
258,525
1,212,006
\$1,477,500

## 10.Fair Value Measurements

For certain of our financial instruments, including cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and other current liabilities, the carrying amounts approximate their respective fair values due to the relatively short maturity of these amounts.

The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic of the FASB ASC 820 requires fair value to be determined based on the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market assuming an orderly transaction between market participants. The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures topic established market (observable inputs) as the preferred source of fair value, to be followed by the Company's assumptions of fair value based on hypothetical transactions (unobservable inputs) in the absence of observable market inputs. Based upon the above, the following fair value hierarchy was created:

Level 1 - Quoted market prices for identical instruments in active markets;
Level 2 - Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, as well as quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not considered active; and

Level 3 - Unobservable inputs developed by the Company using estimates and assumptions reflective of those that would be utilized by a market participant.

The market values have been determined based on market values for similar instruments adjusted for certain factors. As such, the 2013 Senior Notes, the 2012 Senior Notes, the Term B-3 Loans, and the 2012 ABL Revolver are measured in Level 2 of the above hierarchy. At December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, we did not have any assets or liabilities measured in Level 1 or 3. During the periods presented, there were no transfers of assets or liabilities between Levels 1, 2 and 3 .

At December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the carrying value of our 2013 Senior Notes was $\$ 400.0$ million. The fair value of our 2013 Senior Notes was $\$ 384.0$ million and $\$ 405.0$ million at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

At December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the carrying value of our 2012 Senior Notes was $\$ 250.0$ million. The fair value of our 2012 Senior Notes was $\$ 257.5$ million and $\$ 268.1$ million at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

At December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the carrying value of the Term B-3 Loans was $\$ 827.5$ million and $\$ 877.5$ million, respectively. The fair value of the Term B-3 Loans was $\$ 822.3$ million and $\$ 880.5$ million at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

At December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the carrying value of the 2012 ABL Revolver was $\$ 0.0$ million and $\$ 66.1$ million, respectively. The fair value of the 2012 ABL revolver was $\$ 0.0$ million and $\$ 65.7$ million at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively.

## 11. Stockholders' Equity

The Company is authorized to issue 250.0 million shares of common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value per share, and 5.0 million shares of preferred stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value per share. The Board of Directors may direct the issuance of the undesignated preferred stock in one or more series and determine preferences, privileges and restrictions thereof.
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Each share of common stock has the right to one vote on all matters submitted to a vote of stockholders. The holders of common stock are also entitled to receive dividends whenever funds are legally available and when declared by the Board of Directors, subject to prior rights of holders of all classes of outstanding stock having priority rights as to dividends. No dividends have been declared or paid on the Company's common stock through December 31, 2015.

During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, we repurchased 0 shares and 39,429 shares, respectively, of restricted common stock from our employees pursuant to the provisions of various employee restricted stock awards. During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014, we repurchased 781 shares and 48,445 shares, respectively, of restricted common stock from our employees pursuant to the provisions of various employee restricted stock awards. The repurchases for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were at an average price of $\$ 41.66$ and $\$ 33.66$, respectively. All of the repurchased shares have been recorded as treasury stock.

## 12. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The table below presents accumulated other comprehensive loss ("AOCI"), which affects equity and results from recognized transactions and other economic events, other than transactions with owners in their capacity as owners. AOCI consisted of the following at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015:
(In thousands)
Components of Accum
Cumulative translation
Accumulated other co
13. Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed based on the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding plus the effect of potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method, which includes stock options, and restricted stock units. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share:

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  | Nine Months Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Numerator |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$27,995 | \$21,293 | \$85,971 | \$54,488 |
| Denominator |  |  |  |  |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share - weighted average shares outstanding | 52,824 | 52,278 | 52,727 | 52,110 |
| Dilutive effect of unvested restricted stock units and options issued to employees and directors | 379 | 452 | 379 | 512 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share | 53,203 | 52,730 | 53,106 | 52,622 |
| Earnings per Common Share: |  |  |  |  |
| Basic net earnings per share | \$0.53 | \$0.41 | \$1.63 | \$ 1.05 |
| Diluted net earnings per share | \$0.53 | \$0.40 | \$1.62 | \$1.04 |

For the three months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were 0.2 million and 0.3 million shares, respectively, attributable to outstanding stock-based awards that were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were less than 0.1 million and 0.3 million shares, respectively, attributable to outstanding stock-based awards that were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

- 20-


## 14. Share-Based Compensation

In connection with our initial public offering, the Board of Directors adopted the 2005 Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan (the "Plan"), which provides for grants of up to a maximum of 5.0 million shares of restricted stock, stock options, restricted stock units and other equity-based awards. In June 2014, the Board of Directors approved, and in July 2014, the stockholders ratified, an increase of an additional 1.8 million shares of our common stock for issuance under the Plan, increased the maximum number of shares subject to stock options that may be awarded to any one participant under the Plan during any 12 -month period from 1.0 million to 2.5 million shares, and extended the term of the Plan by ten years to February 2025. Directors, officers and other employees of the Company and its subsidiaries, as well as others performing services for the Company, are eligible for grants under the Plan.

During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, pre-tax share-based compensation costs charged against income were $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 7.1$ million, respectively, and the related income tax benefit recognized was $\$ 0.7$ million and $\$ 2.5$ million, respectively. During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014, pre-tax share-based compensation costs charged against income were $\$ 1.5$ million and $\$ 4.9$ million, respectively, and the related income tax benefit recognized was $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 1.8$ million, respectively.

On April 22, 2015, we announced that Matthew M. Mannelly, our President and Chief Executive Officer and member of the Board of Directors, would retire effective June 1, 2015. In conjunction with his retirement, the Board of Directors accelerated the vesting of his previously unvested restricted stock units and stock options, and we recorded additional compensation expense of approximately $\$ 0.8$ million associated with this acceleration. Effective June 1, 2015, the Board of Directors appointed Ron M. Lombardi, our then current Chief Financial Officer, to succeed Mr. Mannelly as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the Board of Directors. In connection with his appointment, Mr. Lombardi was granted 57,924 restricted stock units on April 22, 2015.

On October 28, 2015, we announced that David S. Marberger has been appointed as Chief Financial Officer of the Company, effective November 10, 2015. In connection with Mr. Marberger's appointment as Chief Financial Officer, on October 28, 2015, the Company entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Marberger, which sets forth the terms of his compensation as approved by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. In accordance with the terms of his employment agreement, on October 28, 2015, the Company granted to Mr. Marberger, 6,612 shares of restricted stock units and stock options to acquire 8,079 shares of our common stock under the Plan. The restricted stock units vest in their entirety on the three-year anniversary of the date of grant. Upon vesting, the units will be settled in shares of our common stock. The stock options will vest $33.3 \%$ per year over three years and are exercisable for up to ten years from the date of grant. These stock options were granted at an exercise price of $\$ 50.42$ per share, which is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant.

On May 11, 2015, the Compensation Committee of our Board of Directors (the "Compensation Committee") granted 185,904 restricted stock units and stock options to acquire 186,302 shares of our common stock to certain executive officers and employees under the Plan. Of those grants, 163,404 restricted stock units vest in their entirety on the three-year anniversary of the date of grant and 22,500 restricted stock units vest $33.3 \%$ per year over three years. Upon vesting, the units will be settled in shares of our common stock. The stock options vest $33.3 \%$ per year over three years and are exercisable for up to ten years from the date of grant. These stock options were granted at an exercise price of $\$ 41.44$ per share, which is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. On July 1, 2015, the Compensation Committee granted 2,841 restricted stock units, which vest on the three-year anniversary of the date of grant, and stock options to acquire 13,861 shares of our common stock to certain employees under the Plan. The stock options vest $33.3 \%$ per year over three years and are exercisable for up to ten years from the date of grant. These stock options were granted at an exercise price of $\$ 46.58$ per share, which is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant.
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## Restricted Shares

Restricted shares granted to employees under the Plan generally vest in three to five years, primarily upon the attainment of certain time vesting thresholds, and may also be contingent on the attainment of certain performance goals of the Company, including revenue and earnings before income taxes, depreciation and amortization targets. The restricted share awards provide for accelerated vesting if there is a change of control, as defined in the Plan. The restricted stock units granted to employees generally vest in their entirety on the three-year anniversary of the date of the grant. Termination of employment prior to vesting will result in forfeiture of the restricted stock units, unless otherwise accelerated by the Compensation Committee. The restricted stock units granted to directors vest in their entirety one year after the date of grant so long as the membership on the Board of Directors continues through the vesting date, with the settlement in common stock to occur on the earliest of the director's death, disability or six-month anniversary of the date on which the director's Board membership ceases for reasons other than death or disability. Upon vesting, the units will be settled in shares of our common stock.

Each of our six independent members of the Board of Directors received a grant of 2,075 restricted stock units on August 4, 2015 under the Plan. Additionally, on May 11, 2015, the Compensation Committee granted 362 restricted stock units to a newly appointed Board member. The restricted stock units vest on the one year anniversary of the date of grant and will be settled by delivery to the director of one share of common stock of the Company for each vested restricted stock unit promptly following the earliest of the director's (i) death, (ii) disability or (iii) the six-month anniversary of the date on which the director's Board membership ceases for reasons other than death or disability.

The fair value of the restricted stock units is determined using the closing price of our common stock on the date of the grant. The weighted-average grant-date fair value during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was $\$ 42.41$ and $\$ 33.30$, respectively.

A summary of the Company's restricted shares granted under the Plan is presented below:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Shares } \\
\text { (in thousands) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Weighted- } \\
\text { Average } \\
\text { Grant-Date } \\
\text { Fair Value }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Restricted Shares \& \& <br>
Nine months ended December 31, 2014 \& 437.5 \& \$ 16.76 <br>
Vested and nonvested at March 31, 2014 \& 104.4 \& 33.30 <br>

Granted \& (122.8 \& (21.3\end{array}\right)\)| ) |
| :--- |

## Options

The Plan provides that the exercise price of options granted shall be no less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date the options are granted. Options granted have a term of no greater than ten
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years from the date of grant and vest in accordance with a schedule determined at the time the option is granted, generally three to five years. The option awards provide for accelerated vesting in the event of a change in control, as defined in the Plan. Termination of employment prior to vesting will result in forfeiture of the unvested stock options. Vested stock options will remain exercisable by the employee after termination of employment, subject to the terms in the Plan.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes Option Pricing Model that uses the assumptions noted in the table below. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of our common stock and other factors, including the historical volatilities of comparable companies. We use appropriate historical data, as well as current data,

- 22-
to estimate option exercise and employee termination behaviors. Employees that are expected to exhibit similar exercise or termination behaviors are grouped together for the purposes of valuation. The expected terms of the options granted are derived from our historical experience, management's estimates, and consideration of information derived from the public filings of companies similar to us, and represent the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The risk-free rate represents the yield on U.S. Treasury bonds with a maturity equal to the expected term of the granted options.

The weighted-average grant-date fair values of the options granted during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were $\$ 17.24$ and $\$ 15.93$, respectively.

|  | Nine Months Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015 | 2014 |  |
| Expected volatility | 40.2 | $\%$ | 47.3 |
| Expected dividends | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\%$ |
| Expected term in years | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Risk-free rate | 1.7 | $\%$ | 2.2 |

A summary of option activity under the Plan is as follows:

Options
Nine months ended December 31, 2014:
Outstanding at March 31, 2014
Granted
Exercised
Forfeited or expired
Outstanding at December 31, 2014
Exercisable at December 31, 2014
Nine months ended December 31, 2015:
Outstanding at March 31, 2015
871.2
\$23.40
Granted
208.2
42.13

Exercised
Forfeited or expired
Outstanding at December 31, 2015
Exercisable at December 31, 2015

|  | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price | Weighted- <br> Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual <br> Term (years) | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shares <br> (in thousands) <br> (in thousands) |  |  |  |
| 994.9 | $\$ 15.24$ |  |  |
| 307.5 | 33.50 |  |  |
| $(363.4$ | ) 10.05 |  | $\$ 10,368$ |
| $(47.5$ | ) 25.76 |  | 6,604 |
| 891.5 | 23.09 | 7.9 |  |
| 335.1 | 15.01 | 6.7 |  |

The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 was $\$ 8.4$ million.
At December 31, 2015, there were $\$ 11.6$ million of unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements under the Plan, based on management's estimate of the shares that will ultimately vest. We expect to recognize such costs over a weighted-average period of 1.1 years. The total fair value of options and restricted shares vested during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 was $\$ 6.6$ million and $\$ 4.3$ million, respectively. For the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, cash received from the exercise of stock options was $\$ 6.6$ million and $\$ 3.7$ million, respectively, and we realized $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 1.9$ million, respectively, in tax benefits from the tax deductions resulting from these option exercises. At December 31, 2015, there were 2.6 million shares available for issuance under the Plan.

## 15. Income Taxes

Income taxes are recorded in our quarterly financial statements based on our estimated annual effective income tax rate, subject to adjustments for discrete events, should they occur. The effective tax rates used in the calculation of income taxes were $35.2 \%$ and $36.5 \%$ for the three months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The effective tax rates used in the calculation of income taxes were $35.2 \%$ and $39.5 \%$ for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 was primarily due to the impact of certain non-deductible items related to acquisitions in the prior year period and to favorable tax deductions related to stock options, equity awards and to certain foreign tax credits realized in the current period.

At December 31, 2015, 100\% owned subsidiaries of the Company had net operating loss carryforwards of approximately $\$ 36.2$ million, which may be used to offset future taxable income of the consolidated group and which begin to expire in 2020. The net operating loss carryforwards are subject to an annual limitation as to usage of approximately $\$ 33.6$ million pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 382. The Company expects to utilize all of the net operating loss carryforwards before they expire.

We had a net increase of $\$ 0.7$ million in our uncertain tax liability during the nine months ended December 31, 2015. Therefore, the balance in our uncertain tax liability was $\$ 4.1$ million at December 31, 2015 and $\$ 3.4$ million March 31, 2015. We recognize interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as a component of income tax expense. We did not incur any material interest or penalties related to income taxes in any of the periods presented.

## 16. Commitments and Contingencies

We are involved from time to time in legal matters and other claims incidental to our business. We review outstanding claims and proceedings internally and with external counsel as necessary to assess the probability and amount of a potential loss. These assessments are re-evaluated at each reporting period and as new information becomes available to determine whether a reserve should be established or if any existing reserve should be adjusted. The actual cost of resolving a claim or proceeding ultimately may be substantially different than the amount of the recorded reserve. In addition, because it is not permissible under GAAP to establish a litigation reserve until the loss is both probable and estimable, in some cases there may be insufficient time to establish a reserve prior to the actual incurrence of the loss (upon verdict and judgment at trial, for example, or in the case of a quickly negotiated settlement). We believe the resolution of routine legal matters and other claims incidental to our business, taking our reserves into account, will not be material to our financial condition or results of operations.

## Lease Commitments

We have operating leases for office facilities and equipment in New York, Wyoming, and other locations, which expire at various dates through fiscal 2021. These amounts have been included in the table below.

The following summarizes future minimum lease payments for our operating leases as of December 31, 2015 (a): (In thousands)

| Year Ending March 31, | Facilities | Equipment | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016 (Remaining three months ending March 31, 2016) | $\$ 455$ | $\$ 47$ | $\$ 502$ |
| 2017 | 1,923 | 77 | 2,000 |
| 2018 | 1,934 | - | 1,934 |
| 2019 | 1,926 | - | 1,926 |
| 2020 | 1,757 | - | 1,757 |
| Thereafter | 817 | - | 817 |
|  | $\$ 8,812$ | $\$ 124$ | $\$ 8,936$ |

(a) Minimum lease payments have not been reduced by minimum sublease rentals of $\$ 1.2$ million due in the future under noncancelable subleases.
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The following schedule shows the composition of total minimum lease payments that have been reduced by minimum sublease rentals:
(In thousands)
Minimum lease payments
Less: Sublease rentals

| December 31, | March 31, 2015 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2015 | $\$ 9,957$ |
| $\$ 8,936$ | $(1,401$ |
| $(1,224$ | $\$ 8,556$ |

March 31, 2015
\$9,957
) $(1,401$
\$8,556

Rent expense for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 was $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million, respectively. Rent expense for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014 was $\$ 0.4$ million and $\$ 1.2$ million, respectively.
Purchase CommitmentsEffective November 1, 2009, we entered into a ten year supply agreement for the exclusive manufacture of a portionof one of our Household Cleaning products. Although we are committed under the supply agreement to pay theminimum amounts set forth in the table below, the total commitment is less than $10 \%$ of the estimated purchases thatwe expect to make during the course of the agreement.
(In thousands)
Year Ending March 31,
2016 (Remaining three months ending March 31, 2016)
2017
2018
2019
2020
Thereafter

## 17. Concentrations of Risk

Our revenues are concentrated in the areas of OTC Healthcare and Household Cleaning products. We sell our products to mass merchandisers, food and drug stores, and convenience, dollar and club stores. During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, approximately $41.0 \%$ and $42.2 \%$, respectively, of our total revenues were derived from our five top selling brands. During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014, approximately $44.3 \%$ and $41.9 \%$, respectively, of our total revenues were derived from our five top selling brands. One customer, Walmart, accounted for more than $10 \%$ of our gross revenues for each of the periods presented. Walmart accounted for approximately $20.2 \%$ and $19.9 \%$, respectively, of our gross revenues for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, and approximately $16.5 \%$ and $17.4 \%$, respectively, of our gross revenues for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014. Our next largest customer accounted for approximately $9.5 \%$ and $9.6 \%$, respectively, of gross revenues for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2015, approximately $20.9 \%$ of accounts receivable were owed by Walmart.

We manage product distribution in the continental United States through a third-party distribution center in St. Louis, Missouri. A serious disruption, such as a flood or fire, to the main distribution center could damage our inventories and could materially impair our ability to distribute our products to customers in a timely manner or at a reasonable cost. We could incur significantly higher costs and experience longer lead times associated with the distribution of our products to our customers during the time that it takes us to reopen or replace our distribution center and inventory levels. As a result, any such disruption could have a material adverse effect on our business, sales and profitability.
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At December 31, 2015, we had relationships with 101 third-party manufacturers. Of those, we had long-term contracts with 47 manufacturers that produced items that accounted for approximately $79.8 \%$ of gross sales for the nine months ended December 31, 2015. At December 31, 2014, we had relationships with 96 third-party manufacturers. Of those, we had long-term contracts with 44 manufacturers that produced items that accounted for approximately $80.1 \%$ of gross sales for the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The fact that we do not have long-term contracts with certain manufacturers means that they could cease manufacturing our products at any time and for any reason or initiate arbitrary and costly price increases, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results from operations. Although we are in the process of negotiating long-term
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contracts with certain key manufacturers, we may not be able to reach an agreement, which could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.


## 18. Business Segments

Segment information has been prepared in accordance with the Segment Reporting topic of the FASB ASC 280. Our current reportable segments consist of (i) North American OTC Healthcare, (ii) International OTC Healthcare and (iii) Household Cleaning. We evaluate the performance of our operating segments and allocate resources to these segments based primarily on contribution margin, which we define as gross profit less advertising and promotional expenses.

- 26-

The tables below summarize information about our reportable segments.

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, 2015 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | North American | International | Household |  |
| (In thousands) | OTC | OTC | Consolidated |  |
|  | Healthcare | Healthcare | Cleaning |  |
| Gross segment revenues* | $\$ 165,278$ | $\$ 13,812$ | $\$ 20,623$ | $\$ 199,713$ |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues | $(228$ | $)$ | - | $(228$ |
| Third-party segment revenues | 165,050 | 13,812 | 20,623 | 199,485 |
| Other revenues* | - | 9 | 701 | 710 |
| Total segment revenues | 165,050 | 13,821 | 21,324 | 200,195 |
| Cost of sales | 62,654 | 4,965 | 15,792 | 83,411 |
| Gross profit | 102,396 | 8,856 | 5,532 | 116,784 |
| Advertising and promotion | 26,472 | 2,838 | 625 | 29,935 |
| Contribution margin | $\$ 75,924$ | $\$ 6,018$ | $\$ 4,907$ | 86,849 |
| Other operating expenses |  |  |  | 24,206 |
| Operating income |  |  |  | 62,643 |
| Other expense |  |  | 19,462 |  |
| Income before income taxes |  |  | 43,181 |  |
| Provision for income taxes |  |  | 15,186 |  |
| Net income |  |  | $\$ 27,995$ |  |

Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015

| (In thousands) | OTC | OTC <br> Healthcare | Household <br> Healthcare | Cleaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross segment revenues* | $\$ 489,224$ | $\$ 43,254$ | $\$ 65,984$ | $\$ 598,462$ |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues | $(2,428$ | - | - | $(2,428$ |
| Third-party segment revenues | 486,796 | 43,254 | 65,984 | 596,034 |
| Other revenues* | 14 | 41 | 2,303 | 2,358 |
| Total segment revenues | 486,810 | 43,295 | 68,287 | 598,392 |
| Cost of sales | 182,279 | 16,347 | 50,806 | 249,432 |
| Gross profit | 304,531 | 26,948 | 17,481 | 348,960 |
| Advertising and promotion | 74,107 | 8,338 | 1,805 | 84,250 |
| Contribution margin | $\$ 230,424$ | $\$ 18,610$ | $\$ 15,676$ | 264,710 |
| Other operating expenses |  |  |  | 69,664 |
| Operating income |  |  | 195,046 |  |
| Other expense |  |  | 62,464 |  |
| Income before income taxes |  |  | 132,582 |  |
| Provision for income taxes |  |  | 46,611 |  |
| Net income |  |  | $\$ 85,971$ |  |
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| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> American <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | International <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | Household Cleaning | Consolidated |
| Gross segment revenues* | \$ 162,163 | \$ 15,563 | \$20,218 | \$ 197,944 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues ( | (1,509 | ) - | - | (1,509 |
| Third-party segment revenues | 160,654 | 15,563 | 20,218 | 196,435 |
| Other revenues | 151 | 4 | 1,016 | 1,171 |
| Total segment revenues | 160,805 | 15,567 | 21,234 | 197,606 |
| Cost of sales | 63,479 | 6,247 | 16,135 | 85,861 |
| Gross profit | 97,326 | 9,320 | 5,099 | 111,745 |
| Advertising and promotion | 26,779 | 2,776 | 589 | 30,144 |
| Contribution margin | \$70,547 | \$6,544 | \$4,510 | 81,601 |
| Other operating expenses |  |  |  | 24,608 |
| Operating income |  |  |  | 56,993 |
| Other expense |  |  |  | 23,459 |
| Income before income taxes |  |  |  | 33,534 |
| Provision for income taxes |  |  |  | 12,241 |
| Net income |  |  |  | \$ 21,293 |


| (In thousands) | Nine Months Ended December 31, 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> American <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | International OTC Healthcare | Household Cleaning | Consolidated |
| Gross segment revenues* | \$412,703 | \$45,157 | \$66,057 | \$523,917 |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues | (2,936 | ) - | - | (2,936 |
| Third-party segment revenues | 409,767 | 45,157 | 66,057 | 520,981 |
| Other revenues | 478 | 62 | 3,056 | 3,596 |
| Total segment revenues | 410,245 | 45,219 | 69,113 | 524,577 |
| Cost of sales | 158,005 | 17,926 | 52,493 | 228,424 |
| Gross profit | 252,240 | 27,293 | 16,620 | 296,153 |
| Advertising and promotion | 64,573 | 8,151 | 1,560 | 74,284 |
| Contribution margin | \$ 187,667 | \$ 19,142 | \$ 15,060 | 221,869 |
| Other operating expenses |  |  |  | 75,555 |
| Operating income |  |  |  | 146,314 |
| Other expense |  |  |  | 56,305 |
| Income before income taxes |  |  |  | 90,009 |
| Provision for income taxes |  |  |  | 35,521 |
| Net income |  |  |  | \$54,488 |

* Certain immaterial amounts relating to intersegment revenues and other revenues were reclassified between the International OTC Healthcare segment and the North American OTC Healthcare segment. There were no changes to the consolidated financial statements for any periods presented.

The tables below summarize information about our segment revenues from similar product groups.

| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> American <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | International <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | Household Cleaning | Consolidated |
| Analgesics | \$30,454 | \$450 | \$- | \$30,904 |
| Cough \& Cold | 30,466 | 3,696 | - | 34,162 |
| Women's Health | 33,521 | 877 | - | 34,398 |
| Gastrointestinal | 17,401 | 5,517 | - | 22,918 |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 21,927 | 2,622 | - | 24,549 |
| Dermatologicals | 19,734 | 524 | - | 20,258 |
| Oral Care | 9,996 | 126 | - | 10,122 |
| Other OTC | 1,551 | 9 | - | 1,560 |
| Household Cleaning | - | - | 21,324 | 21,324 |
| Total segment revenues | \$ 165,050 | \$ 13,821 | \$21,324 | \$ 200,195 |


| (In thousands) | Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> American OTC <br> Healthcare | International OTC Healthcare | Household Cleaning | Consolidated |
| Analgesics | \$86,996 | \$ 1,668 | \$- | \$88,664 |
| Cough \& Cold | 74,661 | 12,968 | - | 87,629 |
| Women's Health | 100,036 | 2,381 | - | 102,417 |
| Gastrointestinal | 56,782 | 14,667 | - | 71,449 |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 71,137 | 9,415 | - | 80,552 |
| Dermatologicals | 63,026 | 1,669 | - | 64,695 |
| Oral Care | 29,706 | 509 | - | 30,215 |
| Other OTC | 4,466 | 18 | - | 4,484 |
| Household Cleaning | - | - | 68,287 | 68,287 |
| Total segment revenues | \$486,810 | \$43,295 | \$68,287 | \$598,392 |


| (In thousands) | Three Months Ended December 31, 2014 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North <br> American <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | International <br> OTC <br> Healthcare | Household Cleaning | Consolidated |
| Analgesics | \$28,187 | \$657 | \$- | \$ 28,844 |
| Cough \& Cold | 31,927 | 3,831 | - | 35,758 |
| Women's Health | 31,364 | 589 | - | 31,953 |
| Gastrointestinal | 17,365 | 6,668 | - | 24,033 |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 20,528 | 3,069 | - | 23,597 |
| Dermatologicals | 17,663 | 570 | - | 18,233 |
| Oral Care | 12,300 | 172 | - | 12,472 |
| Other OTC | 1,471 | 11 | - | 1,482 |
| Household Cleaning | - | - | 21,234 | 21,234 |
| Total segment revenues | \$ 160,805 | \$ 15,567 | \$21,234 | \$ 197,606 |


|  | Nine Months Ended December 31, 2014 <br>  <br> North <br> American |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OTC | International |  |  |  |
| OTC | Household |  |  |  |
| (In thousands) | OTC | Consolidated |  |  |
|  | Healthcare | Healthcare | Cleaning |  |
| Analgesics | $\$ 82,290$ | $\$ 2,114$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 84,404$ |
| Cough \& Cold | 76,741 | 14,090 | - | 90,831 |
| Women's Health | 40,851 | 1,765 | - | 42,616 |
| Gastrointestinal | 58,899 | 14,764 | - | 73,663 |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 64,086 | 10,311 | - | 74,397 |
| Dermatologicals | 47,383 | 1,799 | - | 49,182 |
| Oral Care | 35,421 | 361 | - | 35,782 |
| Other OTC | 4,574 | 15 | - | 4,589 |
| Household Cleaning | - | - | 69,113 | 69,113 |
| Total segment revenues | $\$ 410,245$ | $\$ 45,219$ | $\$ 69,113$ | $\$ 524,577$ |

During the three months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, approximately $87.8 \%$ and $85.9 \%$, respectively, of our total segment revenues were from customers in the United States. During the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, approximately $86.9 \%$ and $84.4 \%$, respectively, of our total segment revenues were from customers in the United States. Other than the United States, no individual geographical area accounted for more than $10 \%$ of net sales in any of the periods presented. During the three months ended December 31, 2015, our Canada and Australia sales accounted for approximately $5.0 \%$ and $5.0 \%$, respectively, of our total segment revenues, while during the three months ended December 31, 2014, approximately $5.1 \%$ and $7.2 \%$, respectively, of our total segment revenues were attributable to sales to Canada and Australia. During the nine months ended December 31, 2015, our Canada and Australia sales accounted for approximately $5.2 \%$ and $5.9 \%$, respectively, of our total segment revenues, while during the nine months ended December 31, 2014, approximately $6.1 \%$ and $7.5 \%$, respectively, of our total segment revenues were attributable to sales to Canada and Australia.

At December 31, 2015, approximately $95.7 \%$ of our consolidated goodwill and intangible assets were located in the United States and approximately $4.3 \%$ were located in Australia. These consolidated goodwill and intangible assets have been allocated to the reportable segments as follows:

| (In thousands) | North American <br> OTC | International OTC <br> Healthcare | Household <br> Cleaning | Consolidated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goodwill | $\$ 256,479$ | $\$ 19,400$ | $\$ 6,800$ | $\$ 282,679$ |
| Intangible assets |  |  |  |  |
| Indefinite-lived | $1,676,991$ | 81,758 | 110,272 | $1,869,021$ |
| Finite-lived | 223,272 | 1,104 | 23,114 | 247,490 |
| Intangible assets, net | $1,900,263$ | 82,862 | 133,386 | $2,116,511$ |
| Total | $\$ 2,156,742$ | $\$ 102,262$ | $\$ 140,186$ | $\$ 2,399,190$ |
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## 19. Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements

As described in Note 9, Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc., together with certain of our $100 \%$ owned subsidiaries, has fully and unconditionally guaranteed, on a joint and several basis, the obligations of Prestige Brands, Inc. (a 100\% owned subsidiary of the Company) set forth in the indentures governing the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes, including the obligation to pay principal and interest with respect to the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes. The $100 \%$ owned subsidiaries of the Company that have guaranteed the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes are as follows: Prestige Services Corp., Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. (a Virginia corporation), Prestige Brands International, Inc., Medtech Holdings, Inc., Medtech Products Inc., The Cutex Company, The Spic and Span Company, Blacksmith Brands, Inc., Insight Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Insight Pharmaceuticals, LLC and Practical Health Products, Inc. (collectively, the "Subsidiary Guarantors"). A significant portion of our operating income and cash flow is generated by our subsidiaries. As a result, funds necessary to meet Prestige Brands, Inc.'s debt service obligations are provided in part by distributions or advances from our subsidiaries. Under certain circumstances, contractual and legal restrictions, as well as the financial condition and operating requirements of our subsidiaries, could limit Prestige Brands, Inc.'s ability to obtain cash from our subsidiaries for the purpose of meeting our debt service obligations, including the payment of principal and interest on the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes. Although holders of the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes will be direct creditors of the guarantors of the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes by virtue of the guarantees, we have indirect subsidiaries located primarily in the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and Australia (collectively, the "Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries") that have not guaranteed the 2013 Senior Notes or the 2012 Senior Notes, and such subsidiaries will not be obligated with respect to the 2013 Senior Notes or the 2012 Senior Notes. As a result, the claims of creditors of the Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries will effectively have priority with respect to the assets and earnings of such companies over the claims of the holders of the 2013 Senior Notes and the 2012 Senior Notes.

Presented below are supplemental Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, and Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. Such consolidating information includes separate columns for:
a) Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc., the parent,
b) Prestige Brands, Inc., the Issuer or the Borrower,
c) Combined Subsidiary Guarantors,
d) Combined Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries, and
e) Elimination entries necessary to consolidate the Company and all of its subsidiaries.

The Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements are presented using the equity method of accounting for investments in our $100 \%$ owned subsidiaries. Under the equity method, the investments in subsidiaries are recorded at cost and adjusted for our share of the subsidiaries' cumulative results of operations, capital contributions, distributions and other equity changes. The elimination entries principally eliminate investments in subsidiaries and intercompany balances and transactions. The financial information in this note should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements presented and other notes related thereto contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income Three Months Ended December 31, 2015

| (In thousands) | Prestige <br> Brands <br> Holdings, Inc. | Prestige Brands, Inc., the issuer | Combined <br> Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Combined <br> Non- <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$- | \$27,598 | \$159,783 | \$ 12,332 | \$ (228 | \$ 199,485 |
| Other revenues | - | 98 | 700 | 356 | (444 | 710 |
| Total revenues | - | 27,696 | 160,483 | 12,688 | (672 | 200,195 |

Cost of Sales
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation shown below)

Gross profit

| - | 11,796 | 68,148 | 4,448 | $(981$ | $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | 15,900 | 92,335 | 8,240 | 309 | 116,784 |

Operating Expenses

| Advertising and promotion | - | 1,881 | 25,251 | 2,803 | - | 29,935 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General and administrative | 1,370 | 1,789 | 13,463 | 1,513 | - | 18,135 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,013 | 151 | 4,794 | 113 | - | 6,071 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,383 | 3,821 | 43,508 | 4,429 | - | 54,141 |
| Operating income (loss) | $(2,383$ | $)$ | 12,079 | 48,827 | 3,811 | 309 |

Other (income) expense

| Interest income | $(12,141$ | $)(21,569$ | $)(1,124$ | $)(128$ | $)$ | 34,931 | $(31$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest expense | 8,602 | 19,443 | 25,255 | 1,124 | $(34,931$ | $)$ | 19,493 |
| Equity in (income) loss of | $(27,711$ | $)(15,898$ | $)(2,033$ | $)-$ | 45,642 | - |  |
| subsidiaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Total other (income) expense | $(31,250$ | $)(18,024$ | $)$ | 22,098 | 996 | 45,642 | 19,462 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 28,867 | 30,103 | 26,729 | 2,815 | $(45,333$ | $) 43,181$ |  |
| Provision for income taxes | 872 | 4,950 | 8,582 | 782 | - | 15,186 |  |
| Net income (loss) | $\$ 27,995$ | $\$ 25,153$ | $\$ 18,147$ | $\$ 2,033$ | $\$(45,333$ | $\$ 27,995$ |  |

Comprehensive income, net of tax:
Currency translation adjustments
Total other comprehensive income (loss)
Comprehensive income (loss)

| 4,922 | 4,922 | 4,922 | 4,922 | $(14,766$ | $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4,922 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4,922 | 4,922 | 4,922 | 4,922 | $(14,766$ | $)$ |
| $\$ 3,922$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 32,917$ | $\$ 30,075$ | $\$ 23,069$ | $\$ 6,955$ | $\$(60,099$ | $)$ |
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Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015

| (In thousands) | Prestige <br> Brands <br> Holdings, Inc. | Prestige Brands, Inc., the issuer | Combined <br> Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Combined <br> Non- <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$- | \$83,438 | \$477,079 | \$37,945 | \$ (2,428 | \$ 596,034 |
| Other revenues | - | 273 | 2,317 | 1,397 | (1,629 | 2,358 |
| Total revenues | - | 83,711 | 479,396 | 39,342 | (4,057 | 598,392 |

Cost of Sales
Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation shown below)

Gross profit

| - | 33,105 | 206,646 | 13,808 | $(4,127$ | $)$ | 249,432 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | 50,606 | 272,750 | 25,534 | 70 | 348,960 |  |

Operating Expenses

| Advertising and promotion | - | 7,602 | 68,412 | 8,236 | - | 84,250 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General and administrative | 3,884 | 5,644 | 38,326 | 4,332 | - | 52,186 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,032 | 444 | 13,686 | 316 | - | 17,478 |
| Total operating expenses | 6,916 | 13,690 | 120,424 | 12,884 | - | 153,914 |
| Operating income (loss) | $(6,916$ | $)$ | 36,916 | 152,326 | 12,650 | 70 |

Other (income) expense

| Interest income | (36,351 | ) | (64,584 | ) | (3,513 | ) | (366 | ) | 104,723 | (91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest expense | 26,056 |  | 61,654 |  | 75,604 |  | 3,513 |  | (104,723 ) | 62,104 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | - |  | 451 |  | - |  | - |  | - | 451 |
| Equity in (income) loss of subsidiaries | (84,458 | ) | (52,599 |  | (6,868 |  | - |  | 143,925 | - |
| Total other (income) expense | (94,753 | ) | (55,078 | ) | 65,223 |  | 3,147 |  | 143,925 | 62,464 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 87,837 |  | 91,994 |  | 87,103 |  | 9,503 |  | (143,855 ) | 132,582 |
| Provision for income taxes | 1,866 |  | 13,867 |  | 28,243 |  | 2,635 |  | - | 46,611 |
| Net income (loss) | \$85,971 |  | \$78,127 |  | \$58,860 |  | \$6,868 |  | \$ (143,855 ) | \$ 85,971 |
| Comprehensive income, net of tax: Currency translation adjustments | (6,562 | ) | (6,562 | ) | (6,562 |  | (6,562 | ) | 19,686 | (6,562 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (6,562 | ) | (6,562 |  | (6,562 |  | (6,562 | ) | 19,686 | (6,562 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$79,409 |  | \$71,565 |  | \$52,298 |  | \$306 |  | \$ (124,169 ) | \$ 79,409 |

Edgar Filing: Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- 33-

Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income Three Months Ended December 31, 2014


Cost of Sales
Cost of sales (exclusive of
depreciation shown below)
Gross profit
Operating Expenses

| Advertising and promotion | - | 2,175 | 25,202 | 2,767 | - | 30,144 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| General and administrative | 1,408 | 1,879 | 13,760 | 2,407 | - | 19,454 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 869 | 156 | 3,864 | 265 | - | 5,154 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,277 | 4,210 | 42,826 | 5,439 | - | 54,752 |
| Operating income (loss) | $(2,277$ | $)$ | 13,514 | 42,182 | 3,892 | $(318$ |

Other (income) expense
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Interest income } & (12,226 & )(21,602 & )(1,278 & )(15 & ) & 35,101 & (20 \\ \text { Interest expense } & 8,611 & 24,612 & 25,212 & 1,278 & (35,101 & ) & 24,612 \\ \text { Gain on sale of asset } & - & - & (1,133 & )- & - & (1,133\end{array}\right)$

Comprehensive income, net of tax:
Currency translation adjustments
Total other comprehensive income (loss)
Comprehensive income (loss)

| $(8,779$ | $)(8,779$ | $)(8,779$ | $)(8,779$ | $)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(8,779$ | $)(8,779$ | $)(8,779$ | $)(8,779$ | $) 26,337$ | $(8,779$ | $)$ |
| $\$ 12,514$ | $\$ 10,920$ | $\$ 5,279$ | $\$(7,125$ | $) \$(9,074$ | $) \$ 12,514$ |  |
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Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income Nine Months Ended December 31, 2014

| (In thousands) | Prestige <br> Brands <br> Holdings, Inc. | Prestige <br> Brands, Inc., the issuer | Combined <br> Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Combined <br> Non- <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | Eliminatio |  | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Revenues |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net sales | \$- | \$80,514 | \$402,967 | \$ 40,436 | \$ (2,936 | ) | \$ 520,981 |
| Other revenues | - | 308 | 3,506 | 1,104 | (1,322 | ) | 3,596 |
| Total revenues | - | 80,822 | 406,473 | 41,540 | (4,258 | ) | 524,577 |
| Cost of Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of sales (exclusive of depreciation shown below) | - | 30,170 | 187,218 | 14,998 | (3,962 | ) | 228,424 |
| Gross profit | - | 50,652 | 219,255 | 26,542 | (296 | ) | 296,153 |
| Operating Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advertising and promotion | - | 7,563 | 58,579 | 8,142 | - |  | 74,284 |
| General and administrative | 3,662 | 7,793 | 43,079 | 9,054 | - |  | 63,588 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,381 | 446 | 8,682 | 458 | - |  | 11,967 |
| Total operating expenses | 6,043 | 15,802 | 110,340 | 17,654 | - |  | 149,839 |
| Operating income (loss) | (6,043 | 34,850 | 108,915 | 8,888 | (296 | ) | 146,314 |
| Other (income) expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | (36,604 ) | (52,546 | ) $(3,800$ | (55 ) | 92,938 |  | (67 ) |
| Interest expense | 25,788 | 57,505 | 63,350 | 3,800 | (92,938 | ) | 57,505 |
| Gain on sale of asset | - | - | (1,133 | - | - |  | (1,133 ) |
| Equity in (income) loss of subsidiaries | (53,718 | (33,700 | ) $(2,565$ | - | 89,983 |  | - |
| Total other (income) expense | (64,534 ) | $(28,741$ | ) 55,852 | 3,745 | 89,983 |  | 56,305 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 58,491 | 63,591 | 53,063 | 5,143 | (90,279 | ) | 90,009 |
| Provision for income taxes | 4,003 | 10,761 | 18,179 | 2,578 | - |  | 35,521 |
| Net income (loss) | \$54,488 | \$52,830 | \$34,884 | \$2,565 | \$ 90,279 | ) | \$ 54,488 |
| Comprehensive income, net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Currency translation adjustments | (16,883 | (16,883 | ) $(16,883$ | $(16,883)$ | 50,649 |  | (16,883 ) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | (16,883 | (16,883 | ) $(16,883)$ | $(16,883)$ | 50,649 |  | (16,883 ) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$37,605 | \$35,947 | \$ 18,001 | \$(14,318 ) | \$ $(39,630$ | ) | \$ 37,605 |
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Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2015

|  | Prestige <br> Brands <br> Holdings, <br> Inc. | Prestige <br> Brands, <br> Inc., <br> the issuer | Combined <br> Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Combined <br> Non- <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | Eliminations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Consolidated

Accumulated other
comprehensive income (loss), net (29,974 ) (29,974 ) (29,974 ) (29,974 ) 89,922 (29,974 ) of tax
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)
Total Stockholders' Equity
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity
$\begin{array}{lllll}\$ 2,897,390 & \$ 4,083,444 & \$ 3,206,476 & \$ 145,024 & \$(7,691,335)\end{array} \$ 2,640,999$
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Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
March 31, 2015

| (In thousands) | Prestige <br> Brands <br> Holdings, Inc. | Prestige <br> Brands, Inc., the issuer | Combined <br> Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Combined <br> Non- <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$11,387 | \$- | \$- | \$9,931 | \$- | \$ 21,318 |
| Accounts receivable, net | - | 14,539 | 66,523 | 6,796 | - | 87,858 |
| Inventories | - | 8,667 | 60,297 | 6,182 | (1,146 ) | ) 74,000 |
| Deferred income tax assets | 452 | 674 | 6,497 | 474 | - | 8,097 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 5,731 | 141 | 3,804 | 758 | - | 10,434 |
| Total current assets | 17,570 | 24,021 | 137,121 | 24,141 | (1,146 ) | ) 201,707 |
| Property and equipment, net | 10,726 | 175 | 2,207 | 636 | - | 13,744 |
| Goodwill | - | 66,007 | 204,205 | 20,439 | - | 290,651 |
| Intangible assets, net | - | 192,325 | 1,854,798 | 87,577 | - | 2,134,700 |
| Other long-term assets | - | 1,165 | - | - | - | 1,165 |
| Intercompany receivables | 1,210,017 | 2,607,054 | 668,169 | 8,764 | (4,494,004 ) | ) - |
| Investment in subsidiary | 1,545,575 | 1,228,535 | 65,564 | - | (2,839,674 ) | ) |
| Total Assets | \$2,783,888 | \$4,119,282 | \$2,932,064 | \$ 141,557 | \$(7,334,824) | \$ 2,641,967 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$1,959 | \$6,829 | \$32,898 | \$4,429 | \$- | \$46,115 |
| Accrued interest payable | - | 11,974 | - | - | - | 11,974 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 10,378 | 1,153 | 25,795 | 3,622 | - | 40,948 |
| Total current liabilities | 12,337 | 19,956 | 58,693 | 8,051 | - | 99,037 |
| Long-term debt |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Principal amount | - | 1,593,600 | - | - | - | 1,593,600 |
| Less unamortized debt costs | - | (32,327 ) | ) | - | - | (32,327 |
| Long-term debt, net | - | 1,561,273 | - | - | - | 1,561,273 |
| Deferred income tax liabilities | - | 59,038 | 292,504 | 27 | - | 351,569 |
| Other long-term liabilities | - | - | 2,293 | 171 | - | 2,464 |
| Intercompany payables | 2,143,927 | 1,001,219 | 1,279,833 | 69,025 | (4,494,004 ) | ) |
| Total Liabilities | 2,156,264 | 2,641,486 | 1,633,323 | 77,274 | (4,494,004 ) | ) 2,014,343 |
| Stockholders' Equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock | 525 | - | - | - | - | 525 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 426,584 | 1,280,948 | 1,131,578 | 74,031 | (2,486,557 ) | ) 426,584 |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (3,478 | ) - | - | - | - | (3,478 |
| Accumulated other | (23,412 | ) $(23,412$ | ) $(23,412$ | ) $(23,412$ | 70,236 | (23,412 ) |
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Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015

|  | Prestige <br> Brands <br> Holdings, | Prestige <br> Brands, <br> Inc., <br> Inc. | Combined <br> Subsidiary <br> Guarantors | Combined <br> Non- <br> Guarantor <br> Subsidiaries | Eliminations |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Payments of debt origination costs } & - & (4,211 & ) & - & - & (4,211 & ) \\ \begin{array}{llllll}\text { Proceeds from exercise of stock options } & 6,600\end{array} & - & - & - & - & 6,600 \\ \text { Proceeds from restricted stock exercises } & 544 & - & - & - & - & 544 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Excess tax benefits from share-based } \\ \text { awards }\end{array} & 1,850 & - & - & - & - & 1,850 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Fair value of shares surrendered as } \\ \text { payment of tax withholding }\end{array} & (2,187 & )- & - & - & - & (2,187 & ) \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Intercompany activity, net }\end{array} & 2,127 & 92,847 & (96,756 & ) & 1,782 & - & - \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Net cash (used in) provided by } \\ \text { financing activities }\end{array} & 8,934 & (27,464 & ) & (96,756 & ) & 1,782 & - \\ (113,504 & ) \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Effect of exchange rate changes on cash } \\ \text { and cash equivalents }\end{array} & - & - & - & (333 & ) & & (333\end{array}\right)$
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Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
Nine Months Ended December 31, 2014

$\left.\begin{array}{llllllll}\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Borrowings under revolving credit } \\ \text { agreement }\end{array} & - & 124,600 & - & - & - & 124,600 \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Repayments under revolving credit } \\ \text { agreement }\end{array} & - & (58,500 & ) & - & - & - & (58,500 \\ \hline\end{array}\right)$
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## 20. Subsequent Events

Acquisition of DenTek Oral Care, Inc.
On November 23, 2015, we announced that we had entered into a definitive agreement to acquire DenTek Oral Care, Inc. ("DenTek"), a privately-held marketer and distributor of oral care products, for $\$ 225.0$ million in cash. As of the date of this filing, we have not yet completed the acquisition, although we have recently received clearance under the Hart-Scott Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 and anticipate closing on this transaction in the near future. The transaction will be financed from a combination of available cash on hand, the use of our existing credit facilities, and funds made available pursuant to a short-term bridge loan, which we anticipate finalizing prior to the DenTek closing. We anticipate refinancing the bridge loan on a long-term basis in the near future.

## Director Resignation

On February 1, 2016, Charles J. Hinkaty resigned as a Director of the Company. Mr. Hinkaty had served as a Director since May 2010. His resignation was not the result of a disagreement related to the Company's operations, policies or practices.
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## ITEM MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF 2. OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read together with the Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015. This discussion and analysis may contain forward-looking statements that involve certain risks, assumptions and uncertainties. Future results could differ materially from the discussion that follows for many reasons, including the factors described in Part I, Item 1A., "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, as well as those described in Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in future reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").
See also "Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" on page 66 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

## General

We are engaged in the marketing, sales and distribution of over-the-counter ("OTC") healthcare and household cleaning products to mass merchandisers, drug stores, supermarkets, and club, convenience, and dollar stores in North America (the United States and Canada) and in Australia and certain other international markets. We use the strength of our brands, our established retail distribution network, a low-cost operating model and our experienced management team to grow our presence in these categories and, as a result, grow our sales and profits.

We have grown our product portfolio both organically and through acquisitions. We develop our core brands organically by investing in new product lines, brand extensions and providing advertising support. Acquisitions of OTC brands have also been an important part of our growth strategy. We have acquired well-recognized brands from consumer products and pharmaceutical companies as well as from private equity investors. While many of these brands have long histories of brand development and investment, we believe that, at the time we acquired them, many were considered "non-core" by their previous owners. As a result, these acquired brands did not benefit from adequate management focus and marketing support during the period prior to their acquisition, which created significant opportunities for us to achieve our objective of reinvigorating these brands and improving their performance post-acquisition. After adding a brand to our portfolio, we seek to increase its sales, market share and distribution in both existing and new channels through our established retail distribution network. This is achieved often through increased spending on advertising and promotional support, new sales and marketing strategies, improved packaging and formulations and innovative development of brand extensions.

## Acquisitions

Acquisition of Insight Pharmaceuticals
On September 3, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of Insight Pharmaceuticals Corporation ("Insight"), a marketer and distributor of feminine care and other OTC healthcare products, for $\$ 745.9$ million in cash after receiving a return of approximately $\$ 7.2$ million from escrow related to an arbitrator's ruling. The closing followed the Federal Trade Commission's ("FTC") approval of the acquisition and was finalized pursuant to the terms of the purchase agreement announced on April 25, 2014. Pursuant to the Insight purchase agreement, the Company acquired 27 OTC brands sold in North America (including related trademarks, contracts and inventory), which extended the Company's portfolio of OTC brands to include a leading feminine care platform in the United States and Canada anchored by Monistat, the leading brand in OTC yeast infection treatment. The acquisition also added brands to the Company's cough \& cold, pain relief, ear care and dermatological platforms. In connection with the FTC's approval of the Insight acquisition, we sold one of the competing brands that we acquired from Insight on the same day as the Insight closing. The Insight brands are primarily included in our North American OTC Healthcare segment.

The Insight acquisition was accounted for in accordance with the Business Combinations topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 805, which requires that the total cost of an acquisition be allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values at the date of acquisition.
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We prepared an analysis of the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition. During the quarter ended June 30, 2015, we adjusted the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed by increasing goodwill for certain immaterial items that came to our attention subsequent to the date of acquisition. Additionally, during the quarter ended December 31, 2015, we reduced goodwill, as we received $\$ 7.2$ million as a result of an arbitration ruling relating to the disputed working capital calculation as of the date of the Insight acquisition, which is clearly and directly related to the purchase price. The following table summarizes our allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the September 3, 2014 acquisition date, after giving effect of the adjustments noted above.
(In thousands)

Cash acquired
Accounts receivable
Inventories
Deferred income tax assets - current
Prepaids and other current assets
Property, plant and equipment
Goodwill
Intangible assets
Total assets acquired

Accounts payable $\quad 16,079$
Accrued expenses 8,539
Deferred income tax liabilities - long term
Total liabilities assumed
Total purchase price

September 3, 2014
\$3,507
26,012
23,456
1,032
1,341
2,308
96,323
724,374
878,353

107,799
132,417
\$745,936

Based on this analysis, we allocated $\$ 599.6$ million to indefinite-lived intangible assets and $\$ 124.8$ million to amortizable intangible assets. We are amortizing the purchased amortizable intangible assets on a straight-line basis over an estimated weighted average useful life of 16.2 years. The weighted average remaining life for amortizable intangible assets at December 31, 2015 was 14.8 years.

We also recorded goodwill of $\$ 96.3$ million based on the amount by which the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net assets acquired after the effect of the adjustments described above. Goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes.

The operating results of Insight have been included in our Consolidated Financial Statements beginning September 3, 2014. On September 3, 2014, we sold one of the brands we acquired from the Insight acquisition for $\$ 18.5$ million, for which we had allocated $\$ 17.7$ million, $\$ 0.6$ million and $\$ 0.2$ million to intangible assets, inventory and property, plant and equipment, respectively.

The following table provides our unaudited pro forma revenues, net income and net income per basic and diluted common share had the results of Insight's operations been included in our operations commencing on April 1, 2013, based upon available information related to Insight's operations. This pro forma information is not necessarily indicative either of the combined results of operations that actually would have been realized by us had the Insight acquisition been consummated at the beginning of the period for which the pro forma information is presented, or of future results.
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(In thousands, except per share data)
Revenues
Net income
Earnings per share:
Basic
Diluted

Nine Months Ended

December 31, 2014
\$593,171
\$62,688

Acquisition of the Hydralyte brand
On April 30, 2014, we completed the acquisition of the Hydralyte brand in Australia and New Zealand from The Hydration Pharmaceuticals Trust of Victoria, Australia, which was funded through a combination of cash on hand and our existing senior secured credit facility.

Hydralyte is the leading OTC brand in oral rehydration in Australia and is marketed and sold through our Care Pharmaceuticals Pty Ltd. subsidiary ("Care Pharma"). Hydralyte is available in pharmacies in multiple forms and is indicated for oral rehydration following diarrhea, vomiting, fever, heat and other ailments. Hydralyte is included in our International OTC Healthcare segment.

The Hydralyte acquisition was accounted for in accordance with the Business Combinations topic of the FASB ASC 805 , which requires that the total cost of an acquisition be allocated to the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based upon their respective fair values at the date of acquisition.

We prepared an analysis of the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the date of acquisition. The following table summarizes our allocation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as of the April 30, 2014 acquisition date.
(In thousands) April 30, 2014
Inventories $\quad \$ 1,970$
Property, plant and equipment, net 1,267
Goodwill $\quad 1,224$
Intangible assets, net $\quad 73,580$
Total assets acquired $\quad 78,041$
Accrued expenses 38
Other long term liabilities $\quad 12$
Total liabilities assumed 50
Net assets acquired $\$ 77,991$
Based on this analysis, we allocated $\$ 73.6$ million to non-amortizable intangible assets and no allocation was made to amortizable intangible assets.

We also recorded goodwill of $\$ 1.2$ million based on the amount by which the purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is not deductible for income tax purposes.

The pro forma effect of this acquisition on revenues and earnings was not material.

## Results of Operations

Three Months Ended December 31, 2015 compared to the Three Months Ended December 31, 2014

## Total Segment Revenues

The following table represents total revenue by segment, including product groups, for the three months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Three Months Ended December 31,

|  |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (In thousands) | 2015 | $\%$ | 2014 | $\%$ |  | Amount | $\%$ |
| North American OTC | Healthcare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analgesics | $\$ 30,454$ | 15.2 | $\$ 28,187$ | 14.3 | $\$ 2,267$ | 8.0 |  |
| Cough \& Cold | 30,466 | 15.2 | 31,927 | 16.2 | $(1,461$ | $)(4.6$ |  |
| Women's Health | 33,521 | 16.7 | 31,364 | 15.9 | 2,157 | 6.9 |  |
| Gastrointestinal | 17,401 | 8.7 | 17,365 | 8.8 | 36 | 0.2 |  |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 21,927 | 10.9 | 20,528 | 10.4 | 1,399 | 6.8 |  |
| Dermatologicals | 19,734 | 9.9 | 17,663 | 8.9 | 2,071 | 11.7 |  |
| Oral Care | 9,996 | 5.0 | 12,300 | 6.2 | $(2,304$ | $)(18.7$ |  |
| Other OTC | 1,551 | 0.8 | 1,471 | 0.7 | 80 | 5.4 |  |
| Total North American | 165,050 | 82.4 | 160,805 | 81.4 | 4,245 | 2.6 |  |


| International OTC Healthcare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analgesics | 450 | 0.2 | 657 | 0.3 | (207 | )(31.5 |
| Cough \& Cold | 3,696 | 1.8 | 3,831 | 1.9 | (135 | )(3.5 |
| Women's Health | 877 | 0.4 | 589 | 0.3 | 288 | 48.9 |
| Gastrointestinal | 5,517 | 2.8 | 6,668 | 3.4 | (1,151 | )(17.3 |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 2,622 | 1.3 | 3,069 | 1.6 | (447 | )(14.6 |
| Dermatologicals | 524 | 0.3 | 570 | 0.3 | (46 | )(8.1 |
| Oral Care | 126 | 0.1 | 172 | 0.1 | (46 | )(26.7 |
| Other OTC | 9 | - | 11 | - | (2 | )(18.2 |
| Total International OTC Healthcare | 13,821 | 6.9 | 15,567 | 7.9 | (1,746 | )(11.2 |
| Total OTC Healthcare | 178,871 | 89.3 | 176,372 | 89.3 | 2,499 | 1.4 |
| Household Cleaning | 21,324 | 10.7 | 21,234 | 10.7 | 90 | 0.4 |
| Total Consolidated | \$ 200,195 | 100.0 | \$ 197,606 | 100.0 | \$2,589 | 1.3 |

Total segment revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2015 were $\$ 200.2$ million, an increase of $\$ 2.6$ million, or $1.3 \%$, versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was primarily related to the North American OTC Healthcare segment in the analgesics, women's health, dermatologicals, and eye \& ear care product groups. The increase was partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 1.7$ million in the International OTC Healthcare segment, primarily due to the lower revenues from certain brands in the gastrointestinal and eye \& ear care product groups.

North American OTC Healthcare Segment
Revenues for the North American OTC Healthcare segment increased $\$ 4.2$ million, or $2.6 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014.

This increase was primarily due to the increases of $\$ 2.3$ million in the analgesics product group, $\$ 2.2$ million in the women's health product group, $\$ 2.1$ million in the dermatologicals product group, and $\$ 1.4$ million in the eye $\&$ ear care product group during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. The increase was partially offset by
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decreases in the oral care and cough \& cold product groups of $\$ 2.3$ million and $\$ 1.5$ million, respectively. The decrease in the cough \& cold product group was partially due to Pediacare, which continues to experience declines in revenues and market share due to increasing competition in the cough \& cold market. Although we experienced declines in revenues in Pediacare, we continue to believe that the carrying value is recoverable. However, if we experience future declines in revenue or performance not in line with our expectations, the carrying value may no longer be recoverable, in which case a non-cash impairment charge may be recorded in future periods.

In the past, in our women's health and analgesics product groups, a third-party manufacturer had failed to keep up with demand, leading to product being temporarily out of stock. However, in the third quarter of calendar 2015, those out of stock issues were resolved as a result of increased manufacturing, and we therefore believe we will not have need for an alternative supplier as we had previously anticipated. If supply issues resurface in these or in other product groups that are not resolved timely, we may not have enough product to meet demand, which could adversely impact our business, result in a significant reduction of net sales and have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

International OTC Healthcare Segment
Revenues for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 1.7$ million, or $11.2 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease of $\$ 1.2$ million in the gastrointestinal product group and a decrease of $\$ 0.5$ million in the eye $\&$ ear care product group. The decrease was also related to the negative impact of foreign currency exchange rates during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014.

Household Cleaning Segment
Revenues for the Household Cleaning segment increased by $\$ 0.1$ million, or $0.4 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was primarily attributable to increased sales in certain distribution channels.

## Cost of Sales

The following table presents our cost of sales and cost of sales as a percentage of total segment revenues, by segment for each of the periods presented.

Three Months Ended December 31,

| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  | Increase |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of Sales | 2015 | \% | 2014 | \% | Amount |  | \% |
| North American OTC Healthcare | \$62,654 | 38.0 | \$63,479 | 39.5 | \$(825 |  | (1.3 |
| International OTC Healthcare | 4,965 | 35.9 | 6,247 | 40.1 | (1,282 |  | (20.5 |
| Household Cleaning | 15,792 | 74.1 | 16,135 | 76.0 | (343 |  |  |
|  | \$83,411 | 41.7 | \$85,861 | 43.5 | \$(2,450 |  | (2.9 |

Cost of sales decreased $\$ 2.5$ million, or $2.9 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease was largely due to decreases in the International OTC Healthcare segment and the North American OTC Healthcare segment. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of sales decreased to $41.7 \%$ in the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $43.5 \%$ in the three months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was a result of decreases in cost of sales in all segments.

## North American OTC Healthcare Segment

Cost of sales for the North American OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 0.8$ million, or $1.3 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of the North American OTC Healthcare revenues, cost of sales decreased to $38.0 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $39.5 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in costs of sales as a percentage of
revenues was primarily due to a favorable product mix in the North American OTC Healthcare segment.
International OTC Healthcare Segment
Cost of sales for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $20.5 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease was due to decreases in cost of sales in the gastrointestinal product group and the eye \& ear care product group, driven by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of the International
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OTC Healthcare revenues, cost of sales decreased to $35.9 \%$ in the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $40.1 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was due to the decreases in cost of sales in the gastrointestinal and eye \& ear care product groups.

Household Cleaning Segment
Cost of sales for the Household Cleaning segment decreased $\$ 0.3$ million, or $2.1 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of Household Cleaning revenues, cost of sales decreased to $74.1 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $76.0 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to a favorable product mix.

Gross Profit
The following table presents our gross profit and gross profit as a percentage of total segment revenues, by segment for each of the periods presented.

Three Months Ended December 31,

| (In thousands) |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross Profit | 2015 | $\%$ | 2014 | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |
| North American OTC Healthcare | $\$ 102,396$ | 62.0 | $\$ 97,326$ | 60.5 | $\$ 5,070$ | 5.2 |
| International OTC Healthcare | 8,856 | 64.1 | 9,320 | 59.9 | $(464$ | $)(5.0$ |
| Household Cleaning | 5,532 | 25.9 | 5,099 | 24.0 | 433 | 8.5 |
|  | $\$ 116,784$ | 58.3 | $\$ 111,745$ | 56.5 | $\$ 5,039$ | 4.5 |

Gross profit for the three months ended December 31, 2015 increased $\$ 5.0$ million, or $4.5 \%$, when compared with the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of total revenues, gross profit increased to $58.3 \%$ in the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $56.5 \%$ in the three months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to the increases in gross margin in the North American OTC Healthcare segment.

## North American OTC Healthcare Segment

Gross profit for the North American OTC Healthcare segment increased $\$ 5.1$ million, or $5.2 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of North American OTC Healthcare revenues, gross profit increased to $62.0 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $60.5 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in gross profit and the increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to an increase in gross margin in the women's health and analgesics product groups.

International OTC Healthcare Segment
Gross profit for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 0.5$ million, or $5.0 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of International OTC Healthcare revenues, gross profit increased to $64.1 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from 59.9\% during the three months ended December 31, 2014. As discussed above, this increase was primarily due to decreased cost of sales as a percentage of revenues for the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014.

Household Cleaning Segment
Gross profit for the Household Cleaning segment increased $\$ 0.4$ million, or $8.5 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of Household Cleaning revenue, gross profit increased to $25.9 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $24.0 \%$ during the
three months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to higher sales through certain distribution channels that have higher gross margins.
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Contribution Margin
The following table presents our contribution margin and contribution margin as a percentage of total segment revenues, by segment for each of the periods presented.

| (In thousands) |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contribution Margin | 2015 | $\%$ | 2014 | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |  |
| North American OTC Healthcare | $\$ 75,924$ | 46.0 | $\$ 70,547$ | 43.9 | $\$ 5,377$ | 7.6 |  |
| International OTC Healthcare | 6,018 | 43.5 | 6,544 | 42.0 | $(526$ | $(8.0$ |  |
| Household Cleaning | 4,907 | 23.0 | 4,510 | 21.2 | 397 | 8.8 |  |
|  | $\$ 86,849$ | 43.4 | $\$ 81,601$ | 41.3 | $\$ 5,248$ | 6.4 |  |

Contribution margin is a non-GAAP financial measure that we use as a primary measure for evaluating segment performance. It is defined as gross profit less advertising and promotional expenses. Contribution margin increased $\$ 5.2$ million, or $6.4 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was primarily related to the increases in gross profit in the North American OTC Healthcare segment.

North American OTC Healthcare Segment
Contribution margin for the North American OTC Healthcare segment increased $\$ 5.4$ million, or $7.6 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. The contribution margin increase was primarily the result of an increase in gross profit in the analgesics and women's health product groups. As a percentage of North American OTC Healthcare revenues, contribution margin increased to $46.0 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $43.9 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014.

## International OTC Healthcare Segment

Contribution margin for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 0.5$ million, or $8.0 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of International OTC Healthcare revenues, contribution margin increased to $43.5 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $42.0 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014. This increase in contribution margin as a percentage of revenues was primarily the result of the decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues discussed above.

## Household Cleaning Segment

Contribution margin for the Household Cleaning segment increased $\$ 0.4$ million, or $8.8 \%$, during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of Household Cleaning revenues, contribution margin increased to $23.0 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2015 from $21.2 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The contribution margin increase as a percentage of revenues was primarily due to the gross profit increase as a percentage of revenues in the Household Cleaning segment discussed above.

General and Administrative
General and administrative expenses were $\$ 18.1$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus $\$ 19.5$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to a lease termination charge of $\$ 1.1$ million related to the remaining lease payments from the Insight office, incurred during the third quarter of fiscal 2015.

## Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense was $\$ 6.1$ million and $\$ 5.2$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was due to higher intangible asset
amortization in the three months ended December 31, 2015 related to Pediacare, as this trade name was reclassified to a finite-lived intangible asset as part of our annual impairment analysis conducted during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2015.

- 47-

Interest Expense
Net interest expense was $\$ 19.5$ million during the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus $\$ 24.6$ million during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in net interest expense was primarily the result of reduced borrowings under our term loan facility and revolving credit facility. The average indebtedness outstanding decreased from approximately $\$ 1.7$ billion during the three months ended December 31, 2014 to $\$ 1.5$ billion during the three months ended December 31, 2015. The decrease in average indebtedness outstanding is the result of the reduced borrowings discussed above. The average cost of borrowing decreased to $5.2 \%$ for the three months ended December 31, 2015 from 5.8\% for the three months ended December 31, 2014.

Income Taxes
The provision for income taxes during the three months ended December 31, 2015 was $\$ 15.2$ million versus $\$ 12.2$ million during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The effective tax rate during the three months ended December 31, 2015 was $35.2 \%$ versus $36.5 \%$ during the three months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the three months ended December 31, 2015 versus the three months ended December 31, 2014 was primarily due to the impact of certain non-deductible items related to acquisitions in the prior year period and to the favorable tax deductions related to stock options, equity awards and to certain foreign tax credits that were realized in the current year period. The estimated effective tax rate for the remaining quarters of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2016 is expected to be approximately $35.1 \%$, excluding the impact of acquisitions and discrete items that may occur.
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## Results of Operations

Nine Months Ended December 31, 2015 compared to the Nine Months Ended December 31, 2014

## Total Segment Revenues

The following table represents total revenue by segment, including product groups, for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

Nine Months Ended December 31,

|  |  |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (In thousands) | 2015 | $\%$ | 2014 | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |  |
| North American OTC | Healthcare |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analgesics | $\$ 86,996$ | 14.5 | $\$ 82,290$ | 15.7 | $\$ 4,706$ | 5.7 |  |
| Cough \& Cold | 74,661 | 12.5 | 76,741 | 14.6 | $(2,080$ | $)(2.7$ | $)$ |
| Women's Health | 100,036 | 16.7 | 40,851 | 7.8 | 59,185 | $(*)$ |  |
| Gastrointestinal | 56,782 | 9.5 | 58,899 | 11.2 | $(2,117$ | $)(3.6$ | $)$ |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 71,137 | 12.0 | 64,086 | 12.2 | 7,051 | 11.0 |  |
| Dermatologicals | 63,026 | 10.5 | 47,383 | 9.0 | 15,643 | 33.0 |  |
| Oral Care | 29,706 | 5.0 | 35,421 | 6.8 | $(5,715$ | $)(16.1$ | $)$ |
| Other OTC | 4,466 | 0.7 | 4,574 | 0.9 | $(108$ | $)(2.4$ | $)$ |
| Total North American | 486,810 | 81.4 | 410,245 | 78.2 | 76,565 | 18.7 |  |


| International OTC Healthcare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analgesics | 1,668 | 0.3 | 2,114 | 0.4 | $(446$ | $)(21.1$ | $)$ |
| Cough \& Cold | 12,968 | 2.2 | 14,090 | 2.7 | $(1,122$ | $)(8.0$ | $)$ |
| Women's Health | 2,381 | 0.4 | 1,765 | 0.3 | 616 | 34.9 |  |
| Gastrointestinal | 14,667 | 2.3 | 14,764 | 2.8 | $(97$ | $)(0.7$ | $)$ |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 9,415 | 1.6 | 10,311 | 2.0 | $(896$ | $)(8.7$ | $)$ |
| Dermatologicals | 1,669 | 0.3 | 1,799 | 0.3 | $(130$ | $)(7.2$ | $)$ |
| Oral Care | 509 | 0.1 | 361 | 0.1 | 148 | 41.0 | 20.0 |
| Other OTC | 18 | - | 15 | - | 3 | 20 |  |
| Total International OTC | 43,295 | 7.2 | 45,219 | 8.6 | $(1,924$ | $)(4.3$ | $)$ |
| Healthcare |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 86.8 | 74,641 | 16.4 |
| Total OTC Healthcare | 530,105 | 88.6 | 455,464 | 86.8 |  |  |  |
| Household Cleaning | 68,287 | 11.4 | 69,113 | 13.2 | $(826$ | $)(1.2$ | $)$ |
| Total Consolidated | $\$ 598,392$ | 100.0 | $\$ 524,577$ | 100.0 | $\$ 73,815$ | 14.1 |  |

(*) \% not meaningful
Total segment revenues for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 were $\$ 598.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 73.8$ million, or $14.1 \%$, versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was primarily related to an increase of $\$ 76.6$ million in the North American OTC Healthcare segment, largely due to the acquisition of Insight. The Insight brands accounted for approximately $\$ 74.1$ million of revenues in the North American OTC Healthcare segment that were not included in the comparable period in the prior year. The increase was partially offset by a decrease of $\$ 2.0$ million in the International OTC Healthcare segment.

North American OTC Healthcare Segment
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Revenues for the North American OTC Healthcare segment increased $\$ 76.6$ million, or $18.7 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014.

This increase was primarily due to the acquisition of Insight, which contributed $\$ 74.1$ million of revenues not included in the comparable period in the prior year, consisting of increases of $\$ 55.3$ million, $\$ 11.3$ million, $\$ 2.5$ million, $\$ 2.4$ million, and $\$ 1.7$ million in the women's health, dermatologicals, eye $\&$ ear care, cough \& cold, and analgesics product groups, respectively. In
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addition to the revenue increase contributed by Insight, there was an increase of $\$ 2.4$ million in revenue primarily consisting of increases in the eye \& ear care, dermatologicals, women's health and analgesics product groups, which was partially offset by decreases in oral care, gastrointestinal and cough \& cold product groups. The decrease in the cough \& cold product group was partially due to Pediacare, which continues to experience declines in revenues and market share due to increasing competition in the cough \& cold market. Although we experienced declines in revenues in Pediacare, we continue to believe that the carrying value is recoverable. However, if we experience future declines in revenue or performance not in line with our expectations, the carrying value may no longer be recoverable, in which case a non-cash impairment charge may be recorded in future periods.

In the past, in our women's health and analgesics product groups, a third-party manufacturer had failed to keep up with demand leading to product being temporarily out of stock. However, in the third quarter of calendar 2015, those out of stock issues were resolved as a result of increased manufacturing, and we therefore believe we will not have need for an alternative supplier as we had previously anticipated. If supply issues resurface in these or in other product groups that are not resolved timely, we may not have enough product to meet demand, which could adversely impact our business, result in a significant reduction of net sales and have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

International OTC Healthcare Segment
Revenues for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 1.9$ million, or $4.3 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily due to a decrease of $\$ 1.1$ million in the cough \& cold product group and a decrease of $\$ 0.9$ million in the eye \& ear care product group.

## Household Cleaning Segment

Revenues for the Household Cleaning segment decreased by $\$ 0.8$ million, or $1.2 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily due to decreased sales in certain distribution channels.

## Cost of Sales

The following table presents our cost of sales and cost of sales as a percentage of total segment revenues, by segment for each of the periods presented.

Nine Months Ended December 31,

| (In thousands) |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cost of Sales | 2015 | $\%$ | 2014 | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |  |
| North American OTC Healthcare | $\$ 182,279$ | 37.4 | $\$ 158,005$ | 38.5 | $\$ 24,274$ | 15.4 |  |
| International OTC Healthcare | 16,347 | 37.8 | 17,926 | 39.6 | $(1,579$ | $(8.8$ | () |
| Household Cleaning | 50,806 | 74.4 | 52,493 | 76.0 | $(1,687$ | $(3.2$ | ) |
|  | $\$ 249,432$ | 41.7 | $\$ 228,424$ | 43.5 | $\$ 21,008$ | 9.2 |  |

Cost of sales increased $\$ 21.0$ million, or $9.2 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was largely due to increased sales volume associated with the acquisitions of Insight and the Hydralyte brand. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of sales decreased to $41.7 \%$ in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $43.5 \%$ in the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was the result of decreases in cost of sales as a percentage of revenue in all segments.

## North American OTC Healthcare Segment

Cost of sales for the North American OTC Healthcare segment increased $\$ 24.3$ million, or $15.4 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was due to higher
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overall sales volume primarily from the acquisition of Insight and to higher manufacturing costs for certain of our products. As a percentage of North American OTC Healthcare revenues, cost of sales decreased to $37.4 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $38.5 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in costs of sales as a percentage of revenues was largely due to a favorable product mix in the North American OTC Healthcare segment, primarily the result of the acquired Insight brands.

International OTC Healthcare Segment
Cost of sales for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 1.6$ million, or $8.8 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease was due to decreases in cost of sales in the gastrointestinal, eye \& ear and cough \& cold product groups, driven by foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations year over year. As a percentage of the International OTC Healthcare revenues, cost of sales in the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased
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to $37.8 \%$ in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from 39.6\% during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to the product groups discussed above.

Household Cleaning Segment
Cost of sales for the Household Cleaning segment decreased $\$ 1.7$ million, or $3.2 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of Household Cleaning revenues, cost of sales decreased to $74.4 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $76.0 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This decrease in cost of sales as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to a favorable product mix.

## Gross Profit

The following table presents our gross profit and gross profit as a percentage of total segment revenues, by segment for each of the periods presented.

| (In thousands) |  |  | Increase (Decrease) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Gross Profit | 2015 | $\%$ | 2014 | $\%$ | Amount | $\%$ |
| North American OTC Healthcare | $\$ 304,531$ | 62.6 | $\$ 252,240$ | 61.5 | $\$ 52,291$ | 20.7 |
| International OTC Healthcare | 26,948 | 62.2 | 27,293 | 60.4 | $(345$ | $(1.3$ |
| Household Cleaning | 17,481 | 25.6 | 16,620 | 24.0 | 861 | 5.2 |
|  | $\$ 348,960$ | 58.3 | $\$ 296,153$ | 56.5 | $\$ 52,807$ | 17.8 |

Gross profit for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 increased $\$ 52.8$ million, or $17.8 \%$, when compared with the nine months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of total revenues, gross profit increased to $58.3 \%$ in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $56.5 \%$ in the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues was primarily the result of higher gross margins associated with the acquired Insight brands.

## North American OTC Healthcare Segment

Gross profit for the North American OTC Healthcare segment increased $\$ 52.3$ million, or $20.7 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was due to higher overall sales volume primarily from the acquisition of Insight, slightly offset by higher manufacturing costs for certain of our products. As a percentage of North American OTC Healthcare revenues, gross profit increased to $62.6 \%$ in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $61.5 \%$ in the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to the mix of the brands acquired from Insight that were in-line with certain of our higher gross margin OTC healthcare brands.

## International OTC Healthcare Segment

Gross profit for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 0.3$ million, or $1.3 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of International OTC Healthcare revenues, gross profit increased to $62.2 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $60.4 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to an increase in gross margin in the gastrointestinal product group.

Household Cleaning Segment
Gross profit for the Household Cleaning segment increased $\$ 0.9$ million, or $5.2 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of Household Cleaning revenue, gross profit increased to $25.6 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $24.0 \%$ during the
nine months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in gross profit as a percentage of revenues was primarily attributable to higher sales through certain distribution channels that have higher gross margins.
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Contribution Margin
The following table presents our contribution margin and contribution margin as a percentage of total segment revenues, by segment for each of the periods presented.
(In thousands)
Contribution Margin
North American OTC Healthcare
International OTC Healthcare
Household Cleaning

Nine Months Ended December 31,

Contribution margin is a non-GAAP financial measure that we use as a primary measure for evaluating segment performance. It is defined as gross profit less advertising and promotional expenses. Contribution margin increased $\$ 42.8$ million, or $19.3 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The contribution margin increase was primarily related to the increase in gross profit in the North American OTC Healthcare segment.

North American OTC Healthcare Segment
Contribution margin for the North American OTC Healthcare segment increased $\$ 42.8$ million, or $22.8 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The contribution margin increase was primarily the result of higher sales volumes and gross profit attributable to the Insight acquisition, partially offset by an increase in advertising and promotional expenses. As a percentage of North American OTC Healthcare revenues, contribution margin increased to $47.3 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $45.7 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2014.

## International OTC Healthcare Segment

Contribution margin for the International OTC Healthcare segment decreased $\$ 0.5$ million, or $2.8 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of International OTC Healthcare revenues, contribution margin increased to $43.0 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from $42.3 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2014.

## Household Cleaning Segment

Contribution margin for the Household Cleaning segment increased $\$ 0.6$ million, or $4.1 \%$, during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014. As a percentage of Household Cleaning revenues, contribution margin increased to $23.0 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 from 21.8\% during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. This increase was primarily attributable to a favorable product mix in certain distribution channels.

## General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses were $\$ 52.2$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus $\$ 63.6$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to the decrease in acquisition costs of $\$ 13.9$ million associated with the acquisition and integration of Insight in the prior year. This decrease was also attributable to a lease termination charge of $\$ 1.1$ million related to the remaining lease payments from the Insight office incurred during the third quarter of fiscal 2015. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in compensation, stock based compensation and information technology costs of $\$ 2.6$ million, $\$ 2.2$ million and $\$ 1.0$ million, respectively.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense was $\$ 17.5$ million and $\$ 12.0$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense was primarily due to higher intangible asset amortization in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 related to the intangible assets acquired as a result of the Insight acquisition. Additionally, the increase in depreciation and amortization is partially due to higher intangible asset amortization in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 related to Pediacare, as this trade name was reclassified to a finite-lived intangible asset as part of our annual impairment analysis conducted during the fourth fiscal quarter of 2015.
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## Interest Expense

Net interest expense was $\$ 62.1$ million during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus $\$ 57.5$ million during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The increase in net interest expense was primarily the result of a higher level of indebtedness, primarily related to the acquisition of Insight. The average indebtedness outstanding increased from $\$ 1.3$ billion during the nine months ended December 31, 2014 to $\$ 1.5$ billion during the nine months ended December 31, 2015. The increase in average indebtedness outstanding is the result of additional borrowings under our term loan facility and revolving credit facility to fund our acquisition of Insight. The average cost of borrowing decreased to $5.3 \%$ for the nine months ended December 31, 2015, from $5.9 \%$ for the nine months ended December 31, 2014.

Income Taxes
The provision for income taxes during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 was $\$ 46.6$ million versus $\$ 35.5$ million during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The effective tax rate during the nine months ended December 31, 2015 was $35.2 \%$ versus $39.5 \%$ during the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 versus the nine months ended December 31, 2014 was primarily due to the impact of certain non-deductible items related to acquisitions in the prior year and to the favorable tax deductions related to stock options, equity awards and to certain foreign tax credits realized in the current year period. The estimated effective tax rate for the remaining quarters of the fiscal year ending March 31, 2016 is expected to be approximately $35.1 \%$, excluding the impact of acquisitions and discrete items that may occur.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity
Our primary source of cash comes from our cash flow from operations. In the past, we have supplemented this source of cash with various debt facilities, primarily in connection with acquisitions. We have financed, and expect to continue to finance our operations over the next twelve months, with a combination of borrowings and funds generated from operations. Our principal uses of cash are for operating expenses, debt service, acquisitions, working capital and capital expenditures. Based on our current levels of operations and anticipated growth, excluding acquisitions, we believe that our cash generated from operations, and our existing credit facilities, will be adequate to finance our working capital and capital expenditures through the next twelve months, although no assurance can be given in this regard.

The following table summarizes our cash provided by (used in) operating activities, investing activities and financing activities as reported in our consolidated statements of cash flows in the accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements.
(In thousands)
Cash provided by (used in):
Operating Activities
Investing Activities
Financing Activities
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Nine Months ended } \\ \text { December 31, } \\ 2015\end{array} & 2014 \\ & \$ 104,142 \\ \$ 136,451 & (802,857 \\ 5,041 \\ (113,504 & 693,081\end{array}\right)$

Operating Activities
Net cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 136.5$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 compared to $\$ 104.1$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The $\$ 32.3$ million increase in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily due to an increase in net income of $\$ 31.5$ million and an increase in non-cash charges of $\$ 19.4$ million, partially offset by an increase in working capital of $\$ 18.6$ million.
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Working capital is defined as current assets (excluding cash and cash equivalents) minus current liabilities. Working capital increased in the nine months ended December 31, 2015 compared to the nine months ended December 31, 2014 as a result of an increase in the year-over-year change in inventory of $\$ 21.6$ million, a lower decrease in the year-over-year change in prepaid expenses and other current assets of $\$ 2.2$ million and a decrease in the year-over-year change in accrued liabilities of $\$ 3.1$ million, partially offset by a lower decrease in the year-over-year change in accounts payable of $\$ 8.0$ million and a decrease in the year-over-year change in accounts receivable of $\$ 0.3$ million. The year-over-year increase of $\$ 21.6$ million of inventory is primarily the result of an inventory build of $\$ 7.1$ million in the current year period primarily related to certain brands in anticipation of short-term requirements and a $\$ 14.5$ million inventory usage in the prior year period primarily associated with certain brands selling through and holding less stock.
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Non-cash charges increased $\$ 19.4$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 compared to the nine months ended December 31, 2014 primarily due to an increase in deferred income taxes of $\$ 12.1$ million, an increase in depreciation and amortization of $\$ 5.5$ million, and an increase in stock-based compensation of $\$ 2.2$ million.

## Investing Activities

Net cash provided by investing activities was $\$ 5.0$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 compared to net cash used in investing activities of $\$ 802.9$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The change was primarily due to the use of cash for the acquisition of Insight in September 2014 of $\$ 749.7$ million and the acquisition of the Hydralyte brand in April 2014 of $\$ 78.0$ million, and the proceeds received from the escrow following the arbitrator's ruling relating to the working capital dispute of the Insight acquisition of $\$ 7.2$ million in the current period, offset partially by $\$ 18.5$ million of proceeds from the sale of one brand we acquired from the Insight acquisition, and $\$ 10.0$ million received as proceeds from the sale of certain rights to sell our Comet brand in certain Eastern European countries to a licensee, both in the prior year period.

## Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was $\$ 113.5$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 compared to net cash provided by financing activities of $\$ 693.1$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2014. The change was primarily due to net borrowings under our credit facilities of $\$ 706.1$ million in the prior year period primarily to acquire Insight and net repayments under our existing credit facilities of $\$ 116.1$ million in the current year period.

## Capital Resources

## 2012 Senior Notes, 2012 Term Loan and 2012 ABL Revolver:

On January 31, 2012, Prestige Brands, Inc. (the "Borrower") (i) issued senior unsecured notes in an aggregate principal amount of $\$ 250.0$ million (the " 2012 Senior Notes"), (ii) entered into a $\$ 660.0$ million term loan facility (the "2012 Term Loan") with a 7-year maturity and a $\$ 50.0$ million asset-based revolving credit facility (the "2012 ABL Revolver") with a 5-year maturity, and (iii) repaid in full and canceled its then-existing credit facility. The 2012 Term Loan was issued with an original issue discount of $1.5 \%$ of the principal amount thereof, resulting in net proceeds to the Borrower of $\$ 650.1$ million. In addition to the discount, we incurred $\$ 33.3$ million in issuance costs related to the 2012 Senior Notes, the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver, which were capitalized as deferred financing costs and are being amortized over the terms of the related loans and notes. The Borrower may redeem some or all of the 2012 Senior Notes at redemption prices set forth in the indenture governing the 2012 Senior Notes. The 2012 Senior Notes are guaranteed by Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. and certain of its $100 \%$ domestic owned subsidiaries. Each of these guarantees is joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of any of the guarantors to obtain funds from their subsidiaries or to make payments to the Borrower or Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc.

On February 21, 2013, the Borrower entered into an amendment to the 2012 Term Loan ("Term Loan Amendment No. 1"). The Term Loan Amendment No. 1 provided for the refinancing of all of the Borrower's existing Term B Loans with new Term B-1 Loans (the "Term B-1 Loans"). The interest rate on the Term B-1 Loans under Term Loan Amendment No. 1 was based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $2.75 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $1.00 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $2.00 \%$, plus a margin. The new Term B-1 Loans mature on the same date as the Term B Loans' original maturity date. In addition, Term Loan Amendment No. 1 provided the Borrower with certain additional capacity to prepay subordinated debt, the 2012 Senior Notes and certain other unsecured indebtedness permitted to be incurred under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and 2012 ABL Revolver. In connection with Term Loan Amendment No. 1, during the fourth quarter ended March 31, 2013, we recognized a $\$ 1.4$ million loss on the extinguishment of debt.

On September 3, 2014, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 2 ("Term Loan Amendment No. 2") to the 2012 Term Loan. Term Loan Amendment No. 2 provided for (i) the creation of a new class of Term B-2 Loans in an aggregate principal amount of $\$ 720.0$ million (the "Term B-2 Loans"), (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver, including additional investment, restricted payment and debt incurrence flexibility and financial maintenance covenant relief, and (iii) an interest rate on (x) the Term B-1 Loans that was based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $3.125 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $1.00 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $2.00 \%$, plus a margin, and (y) the Term B-2 Loans that was based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $3.50 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $1.00 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $2.00 \%$, plus a margin (with a margin step-down to $3.25 \%$ per annum, based upon achievement of a specified secured net leverage ratio).
On May 8, 2015, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 3 (the "Term Loan Amendment No. 3") to the 2012 Term Loan. Term Loan Amendment No. 3 provides for (i) the creation of a new class of Term B-3 Loans under the 2012 Term Loan (the "Term B-3 Loans") in an aggregate principal amount of $\$ 852.5$ million, which combined the outstanding balances of the Term B-1 Loans of $\$ 207.5$ million and the Term B-2 Loans of $\$ 645.0$ million, (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan, including additional investment, restricted payment, and debt incurrence flexibility and financial maintenance covenant
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relief, and (iii) an interest rate on the Term B-3 Loans that is based, at the Borrower's option, on a LIBOR rate plus a margin of $2.75 \%$ per annum, with a LIBOR floor of $0.75 \%$, or an alternate base rate, with a floor of $1.75 \%$, plus a margin. The maturity date of the Term B-3 Loans remains the same as the Term B-2 Loans' original maturity date of September 3, 2021.
On September 3, 2014, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 3 ("ABL Amendment No. 3") to the 2012 ABL Revolver. ABL Amendment No. 3 provided for (i) a $\$ 40.0$ million increase in revolving commitments under the 2012 ABL Revolver and (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver, including additional investment, restricted payment and debt incurrence flexibility. Borrowings under the 2012 ABL Revolver, as amended, bear interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin, plus, at the Borrower's option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus $0.50 \%$, (b) the prime rate of Citibank, N.A., or (c) the LIBOR rate determined by reference to the cost of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for an interest period of one month, adjusted for certain additional costs, plus $1.00 \%$ or (ii) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs. The initial applicable margin for borrowings under the 2012 ABL Revolver is $1.75 \%$ with respect to LIBOR borrowings and $0.75 \%$ with respect to base-rate borrowings. The applicable margin for borrowings under the 2012 ABL Revolver may be increased to $2.00 \%$ or $2.25 \%$ for LIBOR borrowings and $1.00 \%$ or $1.25 \%$ for base-rate borrowings, depending on average excess availability under the 2012 ABL Revolver during the prior fiscal quarter. In addition to paying interest on outstanding principal under the 2012 ABL Revolver, we are required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders under the 2012 ABL Revolver in respect of the unutilized commitments thereunder. The initial commitment fee rate is $0.50 \%$ per annum. The commitment fee rate will be reduced to $0.375 \%$ per annum at any time when the average daily unused commitments for the prior quarter is less than a percentage of total commitments by an amount set forth in the credit agreement covering the 2012 ABL Revolver.
On June 9, 2015, the Borrower entered into Amendment No. 4 ("ABL Amendment No. 4") to the 2012 ABL Revolver. ABL Amendment No. 4 provides for (i) a $\$ 35.0$ million increase in the accordion feature under the 2012 ABL Revolver and (ii) increased flexibility under the credit agreement governing the 2012 ABL Revolver, including additional investment, restricted payment, and debt incurrence flexibility and financial maintenance covenant relief and (iii) extended the maturity date to five years from the effective date of the 2012 ABL Revolver to June 9, 2020. We may voluntarily repay outstanding loans under the 2012 ABL Revolver at any time without a premium or penalty. For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the average interest rate on the amounts borrowed under the 2012 ABL Revolver was 2.1\%.
2013 Senior Notes:
On December 17, 2013, the Borrower issued $\$ 400.0$ million of senior unsecured notes (the " 2013 Senior Notes"). The Borrower may redeem some or all of the 2013 Senior Notes at redemption prices set forth in the indenture governing the 2013 Senior Notes. The 2013 Senior Notes are guaranteed by Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. and certain of its $100 \%$ domestic owned subsidiaries. Each of these guarantees is joint and several. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of any of the guarantors to obtain funds from their subsidiaries or to make payments to the Borrower or Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. As a result of this issuance, in December 2013, we redeemed $\$ 201.7$ million of our $8.25 \%$ senior notes due 2018 and the balance of $\$ 48.3$ million in January 2014 and repaid approximately $\$ 120.0$ million toward our 2012 Term Loan.
As of December 31, 2015, we had an aggregate of $\$ 1,477.5$ million of outstanding indebtedness, which consisted of the following:
\$250.0 million of $8.125 \%$ 2012 Senior Notes due 2020;
$\$ 400.0$ million of $5.375 \% 2013$ Senior Notes due 2021; and
$\$ 827.5$ million of borrowings under the Term B-3 Loans; and
As of December 31, 2015, we had $\$ 115.4$ million of borrowing capacity under the 2012 ABL Revolver.

In connection with the closing of the DenTek acquisition, we anticipate that the transaction will be financed from a combination of available cash on hand, the use of our existing credit facilities, and funds available pursuant to a short-term bridge loan, which we anticipate finalizing prior to the DenTek closing. We anticipate refinancing the bridge loan on a long-term basis in the near future.

The 2012 Term Loan, as amended, bears interest at a rate per annum equal to an applicable margin plus, at our option, either (i) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (a) the Federal Funds rate plus $0.50 \%$, (b) the prime rate of Citibank, N.A., (c) the LIBOR rate determined by reference to the cost of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for an interest period of one month, adjusted for certain additional costs, plus $1.00 \%$ and (d) a floor of $1.75 \%$ or (ii) a LIBOR rate determined by reference to the costs of funds for U.S. dollar deposits for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs, with a floor of $0.75 \%$. For the nine months ended December 31, 2015, the average interest rate on the 2012 Term Loan was $4.5 \%$.
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As we deem appropriate, we may from time to time utilize derivative financial instruments to mitigate the impact of changing interest rates associated with our long-term debt obligations or other derivative financial instruments. While we have utilized derivative financial instruments in the past, we did not have any significant derivative financial instruments outstanding at either December 31, 2015 or March 31, 2015 or during any of the periods presented. We have not entered into derivative financial instruments for trading purposes; all of our derivatives were over-the-counter instruments with liquid markets.

Our debt facilities contain various financial covenants, including provisions that require us to maintain certain leverage, interest coverage and fixed charge ratios. The credit agreement governing the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver and the indentures governing the 2012 Senior Notes and 2013 Senior Notes contain provisions that accelerate our indebtedness on certain changes in control and restrict us from undertaking specified corporate actions, including asset dispositions, acquisitions, payment of dividends and other specified payments, repurchasing our equity securities in the public markets, incurrence of indebtedness, creation of liens, making loans and investments and transaction with affiliates. Specifically, we must:

Have a leverage ratio of less than 7.25 to 1.0 for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (defined as, with certain adjustments, the ratio of our consolidated total net debt as of the last day of the fiscal quarter to our trailing twelve month consolidated net income before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization, non-cash charges and certain other items ("EBITDA")). Our leverage ratio requirement decreases over time to 3.75 to 1.0 for the quarter ending March 31, 2019 and remains level thereafter;

Have an interest coverage ratio of greater than 2.50 to 1.0 for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (defined as, with certain adjustments, the ratio of our consolidated EBITDA to our trailing twelve month consolidated cash interest expense). Our interest coverage requirement increases over time to 3.50 to 1.0 for the quarter ending March 31, 2018 and remains level thereafter; and

Have a fixed charge ratio of greater than 1.0 to 1.0 for the quarter ended December 31, 2015 (defined as, with certain adjustments, the ratio of our consolidated EBITDA minus capital expenditures to our trailing twelve month consolidated interest paid, taxes paid and other specified payments). Our fixed charge requirement remains level throughout the term of the agreement.

At December 31, 2015, we were in compliance with the applicable financial and restrictive covenants under the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver and the indentures governing the 2012 Senior Notes and the 2013 Senior Notes. Additionally, management anticipates that in the normal course of operations, we will be in compliance with the financial and restrictive covenants during the remainder of 2016. During the years ended March 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, we made voluntary principal payments against outstanding indebtedness of $\$ 130.0$ million, $\$ 157.5$ million and $\$ 190.0$ million, respectively, under the 2012 Term Loan. Under the Term Loan Amendment No. 2, we were required to make quarterly payments each equal to $0.25 \%$ of the original principal amount of the Term B-2 Loans, with the balance expected to be due on the seventh anniversary of the closing date. However, since we entered into Term Loan Amendment No. 3, we are required to make quarterly payments each equal to $0.25 \%$ of the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 852.5$ million. Since we have previously made optional payments that exceeded a significant portion of our required quarterly payments, we will not be required to make another payment until the fiscal year ending March 31, 2019.

Effective April 1, 2015, the Company elected to change its method of presentation relating to debt issuance costs in accordance with Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2015-03. Prior to 2016, the Company's policy was to present these costs in other-long term assets on the balance sheet, net of accumulated amortization. Beginning in 2016, the Company has presented these fees as a direct deduction to the related long-term debt. As a result, we reclassified $\$ 27.4$ million of deferred financing costs as of March 31, 2015 from other long-term assets, and such costs are now
presented as a direct deduction from the long-term debt liability.
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements
We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements or financing activities with special-purpose entities.
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## Inflation

Inflationary factors such as increases in the costs of raw materials, packaging materials, purchased product and overhead may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition. Although we do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our financial condition or results from operations for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, a high rate of inflation in the future could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results from operations. More volatility in crude oil prices may have an adverse impact on transportation costs, as well as certain petroleum based raw materials and packaging material. Although we make efforts to minimize the impact of inflationary factors, including raising prices to our customers, a high rate of pricing volatility associated with crude oil supplies or other raw materials used in our products may have an adverse effect on our operating results.
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## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our significant accounting policies are described in the notes to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, as well as in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015. While all significant accounting policies are important to our Consolidated Financial Statements, certain of these policies may be viewed as being critical. Such policies are those that are both most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require our most difficult, subjective and complex estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses, or the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. These estimates are based on our historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ materially from these estimates. The most critical accounting policies are as follows:

## Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when the following revenue recognition criteria are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (ii) the selling price is fixed or determinable; (iii) the product has been shipped and the customer takes ownership and assumes the risk of loss; and (iv) collection of the resulting receivable is reasonably assured. We have determined that these criteria are met and the transfer of risk of loss generally occurs when product is received by the customer, and, accordingly we recognize revenue at that time. Provision is made for estimated discounts related to customer payment terms and estimated product returns at the time of sale based on our historical experience.

As is customary in the consumer products industry, we participate in the promotional programs of our customers to enhance the sale of our products. The cost of these promotional programs varies based on the actual number of units sold during a finite period of time. These promotional programs consist of direct-to-consumer incentives, such as coupons and temporary price reductions, as well as incentives to our customers, such as allowances for new distribution, including slotting fees, and cooperative advertising. Estimates of the costs of these promotional programs are based on (i) historical sales experience, (ii) the current promotional offering, (iii) forecasted data, (iv) current market conditions, and (v) communication with customer purchasing/marketing personnel. We recognize the cost of such sales incentives by recording an estimate of such cost as a reduction of revenue, at the later of (a) the date the related revenue is recognized, or (b) the date when a particular sales incentive is offered. At the completion of the promotional program, these estimated amounts are adjusted to actual amounts. Our related promotional expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 was $\$ 53.2$ million. For the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, our related promotional expense was $\$ 13.7$ million and $\$ 40.9$ million, respectively. We believe that the estimation methodologies employed, combined with the nature of the promotional campaigns, make the likelihood remote that our obligation would be misstated by a material amount. However, for illustrative purposes, had we underestimated the promotional program rate by $10 \%$ for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, our sales and operating income would have been reduced by approximately $\$ 5.3$ million. Net income would have been adversely affected by approximately $\$ 3.4$ million. Similarly, had we underestimated the promotional program rate by $10 \%$ for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, our sales and operating income would have been adversely affected by approximately $\$ 1.4$ million and $\$ 4.1$ million, respectively. Net income would have been adversely affected by approximately $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015.

We also periodically run coupon programs in Sunday newspaper inserts, on our product websites, or as on-package instant redeemable coupons. We utilize a national clearing house to process coupons redeemed by customers. At the time a coupon is distributed, a provision is made based upon historical redemption rates for that particular product, information provided as a result of the clearing house's experience with coupons of similar dollar value, the length of time the coupon is valid, and the seasonality of the coupon drop, among other factors. For the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, we had 341 coupon events. The amount recorded against revenues and accrued for these events during 2015 was $\$ 5.2$ million. Cash settlement of coupon redemptions during 2015 was $\$ 3.6$ million. During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, we had 81 and 321 coupon events, respectively. The amount recorded
against revenue and accrued for these events during the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 was $\$ 1.3$ million and $\$ 5.0$ million, respectively. Cash settlement of coupon redemptions during the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 was $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million, respectively.

Allowances for Product Returns
Due to the nature of the consumer products industry, we are required to estimate future product returns. Accordingly, we record an estimate of product returns concurrent with recording sales. Such estimates are made after analyzing (i) historical return rates, (ii) current economic trends, (iii) changes in customer demand, (iv) product acceptance, (v) seasonality of our product offerings, and (vi) the impact of changes in product formulation, packaging and advertising.

We construct our returns analysis by looking at the previous year's return history for each brand. Subsequently, each month, we estimate our current return rate based upon an average of the previous twelve months' return rate and review that calculated rate for reasonableness, giving consideration to the other factors described above. Our historical return rate has been relatively stable; for example, for the years ended March 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013, returns represented $4.2 \%, 2.2 \%$ and $2.9 \%$, respectively, of gross
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sales. For the three and nine months December 31, 2015, product returns represented $4.2 \%$ and $4.1 \%$ of gross sales, respectively. At December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, the allowance for sales returns and cash discounts was $\$ 10.3$ million and $\$ 8.6$ million, respectively.

While we utilize the methodology described above to estimate product returns, actual results may differ materially from our estimates, causing our future financial results to be adversely affected. Among the factors that could cause a material change in the estimated return rate would be significant unexpected returns with respect to a product or products that comprise a significant portion of our revenues. Based on the methodology described above and our actual returns experience, management believes the likelihood of such an event remains remote. As noted, over the last three years our actual product return rate has stayed within a range of $4.2 \%$ to $2.2 \%$ of gross sales. However, a hypothetical increase of $0.1 \%$ in our estimated return rate as a percentage of gross sales would have adversely affected our reported sales and operating income for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 by approximately $\$ 0.8$ million. Net income would have been reduced by approximately $\$ 0.5$ million. A hypothetical increase of $0.1 \%$ in our estimated return rate as a percentage of gross sales for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 would have reduced our reported sales and operating income by approximately $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 0.7$ million, respectively. Net income would have been reduced by approximately $\$ 0.2$ million and $\$ 0.5$ million, respectively.

## Lower of Cost or Market for Obsolete and Damaged Inventory

We value our inventory at the lower of cost or market value. Accordingly, we reduce our inventories for the diminution of value resulting from product obsolescence, damage or other issues affecting marketability, equal to the difference between the cost of the inventory and its estimated market value. Factors utilized in the determination of estimated market value include (i) current sales data and historical return rates, (ii) estimates of future demand, (iii) competitive pricing pressures, (iv) new product introductions, (v) product expiration dates, and (vi) component and packaging obsolescence.

Many of our products are subject to expiration dating. As a general rule, our customers will not accept goods with expiration dating of less than 12 months from the date of delivery. To monitor this risk, management utilizes a detailed compilation of inventory with expiration dating between zero and 15 months and reserves for $100 \%$ of the cost of any item with expiration dating of 12 months or less. Inventory obsolescence costs charged to operations were $\$ 2.9$ million for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015, while for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, we reduced obsolescence costs by $\$ 0.6$ million and recorded obsolescence costs of $\$ 2.0$ million, respectively. A hypothetical increase of $1.0 \%$ in our allowance for obsolescence at March 31, 2015 would have adversely affected our reported operating income and net income for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 by approximately $\$ 0.4$ million. Similarly, a hypothetical increase of $1.0 \%$ in our obsolescence allowance for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 would have adversely affected each of our reported operating income and net income by less than $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts
In the ordinary course of business, we grant non-interest bearing trade credit to our customers on normal credit terms. We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, which is based upon our historical collection experience and expected collectability of the accounts receivable. In an effort to reduce our credit risk, we (i) establish credit limits for all of our customer relationships, (ii) perform ongoing credit evaluations of our customers' financial condition, (iii) monitor the payment history and aging of our customers' receivables, and (iv) monitor open orders against an individual customer's outstanding receivable balance.

We establish specific reserves for those accounts which file for bankruptcy, have no payment activity for 180 days, or have reported major negative changes to their financial condition. The allowance for bad debts amounted to $0.6 \%$ and $1.3 \%$ of accounts receivable at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively. Bad debt expense for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 was approximately $\$ 0.1$ million, while during the three and nine months ended
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December 31, 2015, we recorded bad debt expense of less than $\$ 0.1$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively.
While management believes that it is diligent in its evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts, an unexpected event, such as the bankruptcy filing of a major customer, could have an adverse effect on our future financial results. A hypothetical increase of $0.1 \%$ in our bad debt expense as a percentage of net sales during the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 would have resulted in a decrease in each of reported operating income and reported net income of less than $\$ 0.1$ million. Similarly, a hypothetical increase of $0.1 \%$ in our bad debt expense as a percentage of sales for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 would have resulted in a decrease in each of reported operating income and reported net income of less than $\$ 0.1$ million $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively.

Valuation of Intangible Assets and Goodwill
Goodwill and intangible assets amounted to $\$ 2,399.2$ million and $\$ 2,425.4$ million at December 31, 2015 and March 31, 2015, respectively. At December 31, 2015, goodwill and intangible assets were apportioned among our three operating segments as follows:

| (In thousands) | North American OTC <br> Healthcare | International OTC <br> Healthcare | Household Cleaning | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodwill | \$256,479 | \$ 19,400 | \$6,800 | \$282,679 |
| Intangible assets, net |  |  |  |  |
| Indefinite-lived: |  |  |  |  |
| Analgesics | 341,122 | 1,971 | - | 343,093 |
| Cough \& Cold | 138,946 | 18,322 | - | 157,268 |
| Women's Health | 532,300 | 1,606 | - | 533,906 |
| Gastrointestinal | 213,639 | 57,961 | - | 271,600 |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 172,319 | - | - | 172,319 |
| Dermatologicals | 217,227 | 1,898 | - | 219,125 |
| Oral Care | 61,438 | - | - | 61,438 |
| Household Cleaning | - | - | 110,272 | 110,272 |
| Total indefinite-lived intangible assets, net | 1,676,991 | 81,758 | 110,272 | 1,869,021 |
| Finite-lived: |  |  |  |  |
| Analgesics | 9,341 | - | - | 9,341 |
| Cough \& Cold | 74,536 | 626 | - | 75,162 |
| Women's Health | 36,549 | 274 | - | 36,823 |
| Gastrointestinal | 20,135 | 204 | - | 20,339 |
| Eye \& Ear Care | 28,940 | - | - | 28,940 |
| Dermatologicals | 24,000 | - | - | 24,000 |
| Oral Care | 14,830 | - | - | 14,830 |
| Other OTC | 14,941 | - | - | 14,941 |
| Household Cleaning | - | - | 23,114 | 23,114 |
| Total finite-lived intangible assets, net | 223,272 | 1,104 | 23,114 | 247,490 |
| Total intangible assets, net | 1,900,263 | 82,862 | 133,386 | 2,116,511 |
| Total goodwill and intangible assets, net | \$2,156,742 | \$ 102,262 | \$140,186 | \$2,399,190 |

At December 31, 2015, our highest valued brands were, Monistat, BC/Goody's, Clear Eyes, and Chloraseptic, comprising approximately $51.7 \%$ of the intangible assets within the OTC Healthcare segments. The Comet, Chore Boy, and Spic and Span brands comprise substantially all of the intangible assets value within the Household Cleaning segment.

Goodwill and intangible assets comprise substantially all of our assets. Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Intangible assets generally represent our trademarks, brand names and patents. When we acquire a brand, we are required to make judgments regarding the value assigned to the associated intangible assets, as well as their respective useful lives. Management considers many factors both prior to and after the acquisition of an intangible asset in determining the value, as well as the useful life, assigned to each intangible asset that we acquire or continue to own and promote.
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The most significant factors are:

## Brand History

A brand that has been in existence for a long period of time (e.g., 25,50 or 100 years) generally warrants a higher valuation and longer life (sometimes indefinite) than a brand that has been in existence for a very short period of time. A brand that has been in existence for an extended period of time generally has been the subject of considerable investment by its previous owner(s) to support product innovation and advertising and promotion.

## Market Position

Consumer products that rank number one or two in their respective market generally have greater name recognition and are known as quality product offerings, which warrant a higher valuation and longer life than products that lag in the marketplace.

## Recent and Projected Sales Growth

Recent sales results present a snapshot as to how the brand has performed in the most recent time periods and represent another factor in the determination of brand value. In addition, projected sales growth provides information about the strength and potential longevity of the brand. A brand that has both strong current and projected sales generally warrants a higher valuation and a longer life than a brand that has weak or declining sales. Similarly, consideration is given to the potential investment, in the form of advertising and promotion, that is required to reinvigorate a brand that has fallen from favor.

## History of and Potential for Product Extensions

Consideration is given to the product innovation that has occurred during the brand's history and the potential for continued product innovation that will determine the brand's future. Brands that can be continually enhanced by new product offerings generally warrant a higher valuation and longer life than a brand that has always "followed the leader".

After consideration of the factors described above, as well as current economic conditions and changing consumer behavior, management prepares a determination of an intangible asset's value and useful life based on its analysis. Under accounting guidelines, goodwill is not amortized, but must be tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below the carrying amount. In a similar manner, indefinite-lived assets are not amortized. They are also subject to an annual impairment test, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. Additionally, at each reporting period an evaluation must be made to determine whether events and circumstances continue to support an indefinite useful life. Intangible assets with finite lives are amortized over their respective estimated useful lives and must also be tested for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable and exceeds its fair value.

On an annual basis, during the fourth fiscal quarter, or more frequently if conditions indicate that the carrying value of the asset may not be recovered, management performs a review of both the values and, if applicable, useful lives assigned to goodwill and intangible assets and tests for impairment.

We report goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in three reportable segments: North American OTC Healthcare, International OTC Healthcare and Household Cleaning. We identify our reporting units in accordance with the FASB ASC Subtopic 280. The carrying value and fair value for intangible assets and goodwill for a reporting unit are calculated based on key assumptions and valuation methodologies previously discussed. As a result, any material changes to these assumptions could require us to record additional impairment in the future.

In the past, we have experienced declines in revenues and profitability of certain brands in the North American OTC Healthcare and Household Cleaning segments. Sustained or significant future declines in revenue, profitability, other
adverse changes in expected operating results, and/or unfavorable changes in other economic factors used to estimate fair values of certain brands could indicate that fair value no longer exceeds carrying value, in which case a non-cash impairment charge may be recorded in future periods.

## Goodwill

As of February 28 and March 31, 2015, we had 15 reporting units with goodwill. As part of our annual test for impairment of goodwill, management estimates the discounted cash flows of each reporting unit, to estimate their respective fair values. In performing this analysis, management considers current information and future events, such as competition, technological advances and reductions in advertising support for our trademarks and trade names, that could cause subsequent evaluations to utilize different assumptions. In the event that the carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, management would then be required to allocate the estimated fair value of the assets and liabilities of the reporting unit as if the unit was acquired in a
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business combination, thereby revaluing the carrying amount of goodwill. No impairment charge was recorded during the nine months ended December 31, 2015.

## Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets

At each reporting period, management analyzes current events and circumstances to determine whether the indefinite life classification for a trademark or trade name continues to be valid. If circumstances warrant a change to a finite life, the carrying value of the intangible asset would then be amortized prospectively over the estimated remaining useful life.

Management tests the indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment by comparing the carrying value of the intangible asset to its estimated fair value. Since quoted market prices are seldom available for trademarks and trade names such as ours, we utilize present value techniques to estimate fair value. Accordingly, management's projections are utilized to assimilate all of the facts, circumstances and expectations related to the trademark or trade name and estimate the cash flows over its useful life. In a manner similar to goodwill, future events, such as competition, technological advances and reductions in advertising support for our trademarks and trade names, could cause subsequent evaluations to utilize different assumptions. Once that analysis is completed, a discount rate is applied to the cash flows to estimate fair value. In connection with this analysis, management:

Reviews period-to-period sales and profitability by brand;
Analyzes industry trends and projects brand growth rates;
Prepares annual sales forecasts;
Evaluates advertising effectiveness;
Analyzes gross margins;
Reviews contractual benefits or limitations;
Monitors competitors' advertising spend and product innovation;
Prepares projections to measure brand viability over the estimated useful life of the intangible asset; and Considers the regulatory environment, as well as industry litigation.

## Finite-Lived Intangible Assets

When events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable, management performs a review similar to indefinite-lived intangible assets to ascertain the impact of events and circumstances on the estimated useful lives and carrying values of our trademarks and trade names.

If the analysis warrants a change in the estimated useful life of the intangible asset, management will reduce the estimated useful life and amortize the carrying value prospectively over the shorter remaining useful life. Management's projections are utilized to assimilate all of the facts, circumstances and expectations related to the trademark or trade name and estimate the cash flows over its useful life. Future events, such as competition, technological advances and reductions in advertising support for our trademarks and trade names, could cause subsequent evaluations to utilize different assumptions. In the event that the long-term projections indicate that the carrying value is in excess of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use of the intangible assets, management is required to record an impairment charge. Once that analysis is completed, a discount rate is applied to the cash flows to estimate fair value. The impairment charge is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the intangible asset over fair value, as calculated using the discounted cash flow analysis.

Although we experienced declines in revenues in Pediacare and in certain other brands in the past, we continue to believe that the fair values of our brands exceed their carrying values. However, sustained or significant future declines in revenue, profitability, lost distribution, other adverse changes in expected operating results, and/or unfavorable changes in other economic factors used to estimate fair value of certain brands could indicate that the fair value no longer exceeds carrying value in which case a non-cash impairment charge may be recorded in future
periods.
Impairment Analysis
During the fourth quarter of each fiscal year, we perform our annual impairment analysis. We utilized the discounted cash flow method to estimate the fair value of our reporting units as part of the goodwill impairment test and the excess earnings method to estimate the fair value of our individual indefinite-lived intangible assets. The discount rate utilized in the analyses, as well as future cash flows, may be influenced by such factors as changes in interest rates and rates of inflation. Additionally, should the related fair values of goodwill and intangible assets be adversely affected as a result of declining sales or margins caused by competition, changing consumer preferences, technological advances or reductions in advertising and promotional expenses, we may be required to record impairment charges in the future. However, no impairment charge was recorded during the nine months ended December 31, 2015.
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## Stock-Based Compensation

The Compensation and Equity topic of the FASB ASC 718 requires us to measure the cost of services to be rendered based on the grant-date fair value of an equity award. Compensation expense is to be recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award, generally referred to as the requisite service period. Information utilized in the determination of fair value includes the following:

Type of instrument (i.e., restricted shares, stock options, warrants or performance shares);
Strike price of the instrument;
Market price of our common stock on the date of grant;
Discount rates;
Duration of the instrument; and
Nolatility of our common stock in the public market.
Additionally, management must estimate the expected attrition rate of the recipients to enable it to estimate the amount of non-cash compensation expense to be recorded in our financial statements. While management prepares various analyses to estimate the respective variables, a change in assumptions or market conditions, as well as changes in the anticipated attrition rates, could have a significant impact on the future amounts recorded as non-cash compensation expense. We recorded non-cash compensation expense of $\$ 7.1$ million and $\$ 4.9$ million for the nine months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

## Loss Contingencies

Loss contingencies are recorded as liabilities when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of such loss is reasonably estimable. Contingent losses are often resolved over longer periods of time and involve many factors, including:

Rules and regulations promulgated by regulatory agencies;
Sufficiency of the evidence in support of our position;
Anticipated costs to support our position; and
Likelihood of a positive outcome.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In January 2016, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2016-01, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. For public business entities, the amendments in this update include the elimination of the requirement to disclose the method(s) and significant assumptions used to estimate fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet, the requirement to use the exit price notion when measuring fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes, the requirement to present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk when the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments, the requirement for separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset (that is, securities or loans and receivables) on the balance sheet or accompanying notes to the financial statements, and the amendments clarify that an entity should evaluate the need for a valuation allowance on a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale securities in combination with the entity's other deferred tax assets. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption of the amendments in this update is not permitted, except that early application by public business entities to financial statements of fiscal years or interim periods that have not yet been issued or, by all other entities, that have not yet been made available for issuance are permitted as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption for the following amendment: An entity should present separately in other comprehensive income the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific
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credit risk if the entity has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. An entity should apply the amendments to this update by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance sheet as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes. The amendments in this update require that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in a classified statement of financial position. The amendments in this update may be applied either prospectively to all deferred tax liabilities and assets or retrospectively to all periods presented. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early application is permitted for all entities as of the beginning of interim or annual reporting periods. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.
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In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. The amendments in this update require that an acquirer recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which the adjustment amounts are determined. To simplify the accounting for adjustment made to provisional amounts recognized in a business combination, the amendments in this update eliminate the requirement to retrospectively account for those adjustments. For public business entities, the amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15,2015 , including interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of ASU 2015-16 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory. The amendments in this update more closely align the measurement of inventory in GAAP with the measurement of inventory in International Financial Reporting Standards, under which an entity should measure inventory at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. For public business entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within those fiscal years. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs ("ASU 2015-03"). The amendments in this update require that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. The amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. As permitted by the guidance, we have early adopted these provisions, as of the beginning of our first quarter of 2016. Given the absence of authoritative guidance within ASU 2015-03 for debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements, in August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-15, Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements, stating that the SEC staff would not object to an entity deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an asset and subsequently amortizing the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement. As a result, we reclassified $\$ 27.4$ million of deferred financing costs as of March 31, 2015 from other long-term assets, and such costs are now presented as a direct deduction from the long-term debt liability.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis. Update 2015-02 amended the process that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. The amendments in this update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years, and for interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2015-02 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-01, Income Statement - Extraordinary and Unusual Items. The amendments in this update eliminate the concept of extraordinary items in Subtopic 225-20, which required entities to consider whether an underlying event or transaction is extraordinary. However, the amendments retain the presentation and disclosure guidance for items that are unusual in nature or occur infrequently. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of ASU 2015-01 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This amendment states that in connection with preparing financial statements for each annual and interim reporting period, an entity's management should evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the
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financial statements are issued (or within one year after the date that the financial statements are available to be issued, when applicable). The amendments in this update are effective for the annual reporting period ending after December 15,2016 , and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The adoption of ASU 2014-15 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide that a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period, which requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. As such, the new guidance does not allow for a performance target that affects vesting to be reflected in estimating the fair value of the award at the grant date. The amendments to this update are effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. Entities may apply the amendments in this update either prospectively to all awards granted or modified after the effective date or retrospectively to all awards with performance targets

- 64-
that are outstanding as of the beginning of the earliest annual period presented in the financial statements and to all new or modified awards thereafter. We currently do not have any outstanding share-based payments with a performance target. The adoption of ASU 2014-12 is not expected to have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers - Topic 606, which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in FASB ASC 605. The new guidance primarily states that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, which deferred the effective date of ASU 2014-09 from annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2016 to annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Earlier application is permitted only as of annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity. Under the new guidance, only disposals representing a strategic shift in operations should be presented as discontinued operations. Those strategic shifts should have a major effect on the organization's operations and financial results. Examples include a disposal of a major geographic area, a major line of business, or a major equity method investment. In addition, the new guidance requires expanded disclosures about discontinued operations that will provide financial statement users with more information about the assets, liabilities, income, and expenses of discontinued operations. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The amendments in this update must be applied prospectively to all disposals (or classifications as held for sale) of components of an entity that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those years. The adoption of ASU 2014-08 did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Management has reviewed and continues to monitor the actions of the various financial and regulatory reporting agencies and is currently not aware of any other pronouncement that could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.
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## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the "PSLRA"), including, without limitation, information within Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The following cautionary statements are being made pursuant to the provisions of the PSLRA and with the intention of obtaining the benefits of the "safe harbor" provisions of the PSLRA. Although we believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Except as required under federal securities laws and the rules and regulations of the SEC, we do not intend to update any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances arising after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. As a result of these risks and uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q or that may be made elsewhere from time to time by, or on behalf of, us. All forward-looking statements attributable to us are expressly qualified by these cautionary statements.

These forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of words or phrases such as "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "estimate," "project," "intend," "strategy," "goal," "future," "seek," "may," "should," "would," "will," "will be," or other similar words and phrases. Forward-looking statements are based on current expectations and assumptions that are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, including, without limitation:

The high level of competition in our industry and markets;
Our inability to increase organic growth via new product introductions, line extensions, increased spending on advertising and promotional support, and other new sales and marketing strategies;
Our inability to invest successfully in research and development;
Our dependence on a limited number of customers for a large portion of our sales;
Changes in inventory management practices by retailers;
Our inability to grow our international sales;
General economic conditions affecting sales of our products and their respective markets;
Business, regulatory and other conditions affecting retailers;
Changing consumer trends, additional store brand competition or other pricing pressures which may cause us to lower our prices;
Our dependence on third-party manufacturers to produce the products we sell;
Price increases for raw materials, labor, energy and transportation costs, and for other input costs;
Disruptions in our distribution center;
Acquisitions, dispositions or other strategic transactions diverting managerial resources, the incurrence of additional
liabilities or integration problems associated with such transactions;
Actions of government agencies in connection with our products or regulatory matters governing our industry;
Product liability claims, product recalls and related negative publicity;
Our ability to protect our intellectual property rights;
Our dependence on third parties for intellectual property relating to some of the products we sell;
Our assets being comprised virtually entirely of goodwill and intangibles and possible changes in their value based on adverse operating results;
Our dependence on key personnel and the transition to a new CEO and CFO;
Shortages of supply of sourced goods or interruptions in the manufacturing of our products;
The costs associated with any claims in litigation or arbitration and any adverse judgments rendered in such litigation or arbitration;

Our level of indebtedness, and possible inability to service our debt;
Our ability to obtain additional financing; and
The restrictions imposed by our financing agreements on our operations.
For more information, see "Risk Factors" contained in Part I, Item 1A., "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended March 31, 2015 and Part II, Item 1A of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
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## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Interest Rate Risk
We are exposed to changes in interest rates because our 2012 Term Loan and 2012 ABL Revolver are variable rate debt. Interest rate changes generally do not significantly affect the market value of the 2012 Term Loan and the 2012 ABL Revolver but do affect the amount of our interest payments and, therefore, our future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. At December 31, 2015, we had variable rate debt of approximately $\$ 827.5$ million under our 2012 Term Loan.

Holding other variables constant, including levels of indebtedness, a $1.0 \%$ increase in interest rates on our variable rate debt would have had an adverse impact on pre-tax earnings and cash flows for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015 of approximately $\$ 2.1$ million and $\$ 6.3$ million, respectively.

## Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, approximately $11.2 \%$ and $11.6 \%$, respectively, of our revenues were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. During the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014, approximately $11.4 \%$ and $13.6 \%$, respectively, of our revenues were denominated in currencies other than the U.S. Dollar. As such, we are exposed to transactions that are sensitive to foreign currency exchange rates, including insignificant foreign currency forward exchange agreements. These transactions are primarily with respect to the Canadian and Australian Dollar.

We performed a sensitivity analysis with respect to exchange rates for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015. Holding all other variables constant, and assuming a hypothetical $10.0 \%$ adverse change in foreign currency exchange rates, this analysis resulted in a less than $5.0 \%$ impact on pre-tax income of approximately $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2015, respectively. We performed a sensitivity analysis with respect to exchange rates for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014. Holding all other variables constant, and assuming a hypothetical $10.0 \%$ adverse change in foreign currency exchange rates, this analysis resulted in a less than $5.0 \%$ impact on pre-tax income of approximately $\$ 0.9$ million and $\$ 2.6$ million for the three and nine months ended December 31, 2014, respectively.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures
The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), as of December 31, 2015. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer has concluded that, as of December 31, 2015, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes during the quarter ended December 31, 2015 in the Company's internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act, that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

In addition to the risk factors set forth below and the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results of operations. The risks described below and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. The information below amends, updates and should be read in conjunction with the risk factors and information disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2015.
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Regulatory matters governing our industry could have a significant negative effect on our sales and operating costs.
In both the United States and in our foreign markets, our operations are affected by extensive laws, governmental regulations, administrative determinations, court decisions and similar constraints. Such laws, regulations and other constraints exist at the federal, state and local levels in the United States and at analogous levels of government in foreign jurisdictions.

The formulation, manufacturing, packaging, labeling, distribution, importation, marketing, sale and storage of our products are subject to extensive regulation by various U.S. federal agencies, including the FDA, the FTC, the CPSC, the EPA, and by various agencies of the states, localities and foreign countries in which our products are manufactured, distributed, stored and sold. The FDC Act and FDA regulations require that the manufacturing processes of our third-party manufacturers of U.S. products must also comply with the FDA's GMPs. The FDA inspects our facilities and those of our third-party manufacturers periodically to determine if we and our third-party manufacturers are complying with GMPs. A history of general compliance in the past is not a guarantee that future GMPs will not mandate other compliance steps and associated expense.

If we or our third-party manufacturers or distributors fail to comply with applicable regulations, we could become subject to enforcement actions, significant penalties or claims, which could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results from operations. In addition, we could be required to:

Suspend manufacturing operations;
Modify product formulations or processes;
Suspend the sale of products with non-complying specifications; or
Change product labeling, packaging, marketing, or advertising, recall non-compliant products, or take other corrective action.

The adoption of new regulations or changes in the interpretations of existing regulations may result in significant compliance costs or the cessation of product sales and may adversely affect the marketing of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results from operations.

In addition, we could be required for a variety of reasons to initiate product recalls, which we are currently conducting for two products and have done on several other occasions. Any product recalls could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results from operations.

In addition, our failure to comply with FDA, FTC, EPA or any other federal and state regulations, or with similar regulations in foreign markets, that cover our product registration, product claims and advertising, including direct claims and advertising by us, may result in enforcement actions and imposition of penalties, litigation by private parties, or otherwise materially adversely affect the distribution and sale of our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results from operations. We are currently engaged in early-stage discussions with regulators regarding a product registration matter.
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## ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

See Exhibit Index immediately following the signature page.
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## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PRESTIGE BRANDS HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: February 4, 2016
By: /s/ David S. Marberger
David S. Marberger
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer and Duly
Authorized Officer)
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| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 31.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer of Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Principal Financial Officer of Prestige Brands Holdings, Inc. pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code. |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

* XBRL information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Section 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and is not subject to liability under those sections, is not part of any registration statement, prospectus or other document to which it relates and is not incorporated or deemed to be incorporated by reference into any registration statement, prospectus or other document.
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