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## Part I. Financial Information

Selected Financial Data (Unaudited)
The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Dollars in thousands,
except for per share amounts
Summary of Operations
Interest Income
Interest Expense
Net Interest Income
Provision for Loan Losses
Non-Interest Income
Non-Interest Expense
Net Income
Per Common Share Data
Basic Earnings per Share
Diluted Earnings per Share
Cash Dividends Declared
Book Value per Common Share
Tangible Book Value per Common
Share ${ }^{2}$
Market Value
Financial Ratios
Return on Average Equity ${ }^{1}$
Return on Average Tangible Common
Equity ${ }^{1,2}$
Return on Average Assets ${ }^{1}$
Average Equity to Average Assets
Average Tangible Equity to Average
Assets ${ }^{2}$
Net Interest Margin Tax-Equivalent ${ }^{1,2}$
Dividend Payout Ratio
Allowance for Loan Losses/Total Loans
Non-Performing Loans to Total Loans
Non-Performing Assets to Total Assets
Efficiency Ratio ${ }^{2}$
At Period End
Total Assets \$1,444,496
Total Loans
Total Investment Securities
Total Deposits
Total Shareholders' Equity ended June 30,

As of and for the six months
20132012

| $\$ 24,514$ | $\$ 26,239$ | $\$ 12,249$ | $\$ 13,133$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6,240 | 6,515 | 3,138 | 3,215 |
| 18,274 | 19,724 | 9,111 | 9,918 |
| 2,700 | 4,900 | 1,200 | 2,800 |
| 6,867 | 6,064 | 3,579 | 3,896 |
| 14,812 | 12,908 | 7,423 | 6,730 |
| 6,098 | 6,236 | 3,242 | 3,323 |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 0.56$ | $\$ 0.60$ | $\$ 0.29$ | $\$ 0.32$ |
| 0.56 | 0.60 | 0.29 | 0.32 |
| 0.390 | 0.390 | 0.195 | 0.195 |
| 13.69 | 14.32 | 13.69 | 14.32 |
| 10.82 | 11.51 | 10.82 | 11.51 |
| 17.48 | 17.00 | 17.48 | 17.00 |


| 8.18 | $\%$ | 8.84 | $\%$ | 8.38 | $\%$ | 9.38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 9.70 | $\%$ | 10.35 | $\%$ | 9.93 | $\%$ | 11.01 |
| 0.87 | $\%$ | 0.88 | $\%$ | 0.92 | $\%$ | 0.93 |
| 11.18 | $\%$ | 10.84 | $\%$ | 11.23 | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 9.01 | $\%$ | 8.89 | $\%$ | 9.06 | $\%$ | 8.81 |
| 3.04 | $\%$ | 3.19 | $\%$ | 3.02 | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| 69.64 | $\%$ | 65.00 | $\%$ | 67.24 | $\%$ | 60.94 |
| 1.46 | $\%$ | 1.63 | $\%$ | 1.46 | $\%$ | 1.63 |
| 2.25 | $\%$ | 2.49 | $\%$ | 2.25 | $\%$ | 2.49 |
| 1.75 | $\%$ | 1.91 | $\%$ | 1.75 | $\%$ | 1.91 |
| 57.26 | $\%$ | 50.74 | $\%$ | 57.90 | $\%$ | $\%$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\%$ |  |  |
| $\$ 1,444,496$ | $\$ 1,424,757$ |  | $\$ 1,444,496$ |  | $\% 1,424,757$ |  |
| 866,071 |  | 881,814 | 866,071 |  | 881,814 |  |
| 478,911 | 457,570 | 478,911 |  | 457,570 |  |  |
| $1,027,682$ | $1,005,274$ | $1,027,682$ |  | $1,005,274$ |  |  |
| 145,972 | 153,405 | 145,972 |  | 153,405 |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Annualized using a 365 -day basis in 2013 and 366-day basis in 2012
${ }^{2}$ These ratios use non-GAAP financial measures. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for additional disclosures and information.
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## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Shareholders
The First Bancorp, Inc.
We have reviewed the accompanying interim consolidated financial information of The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and for the three-month and six-month periods then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.
We conducted our reviews in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures to financial data and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is to express an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.
Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying interim consolidated financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.
/s/ Berry Dunn McNeil \& Parker, LLC
Portland, Maine
August 9, 2013
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Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)
The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

|  | June 30, 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | June 30, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$18,683,000 | \$14,958,000 | \$14,192,000 |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks | 334,000 | 1,638,000 |  |
| Securities available for sale | 287,735,000 | 291,614,000 | 307,347,000 |
| Securities to be held to maturity (fair value of \$174,790,000 at |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2013, \$150,247,000 at December 31, 2012 and $\$ 143,628,000$ at June 30, 2012) | 177,264,000 | 143,320,000 | 135,775,000 |
| Restricted equity securities, at cost | 13,912,000 | 14,448,000 | 14,448,000 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,047,000 | 1,035,000 | 378,000 |
| Loans | 866,071,000 | 869,284,000 | 881,814,000 |
| Less allowance for loan losses | 12,670,000 | 12,500,000 | 14,384,000 |
| Net loans | 853,401,000 | 856,784,000 | 867,430,000 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 6,443,000 | 4,912,000 | 6,024,000 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 23,913,000 | 22,988,000 | 18,500,000 |
| Other real estate owned | 5,826,000 | 7,593,000 | 5,188,000 |
| Goodwill | 29,805,000 | 29,805,000 | 27,684,000 |
| Other assets | 26,133,000 | 25,904,000 | 27,791,000 |
| Total assets | \$1,444,496,000 | \$ 1,414,999,000 | \$1,424,757,000 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$88,540,000 | \$90,252,000 | \$77,019,000 |
| NOW deposits | 139,022,000 | 147,309,000 | 123,897,000 |
| Money market deposits | 87,993,000 | 80,983,000 | 71,009,000 |
| Savings deposits | 142,718,000 | 135,250,000 | 119,471,000 |
| Certificates of deposit | 569,409,000 | 505,056,000 | 613,878,000 |
| Total deposits | 1,027,682,000 | 958,850,000 | 1,005,274,000 |
| Borrowed funds - short term | 116,956,000 | 142,750,000 | 118,767,000 |
| Borrowed funds - long term | 140,152,000 | 140,155,000 | 130,159,000 |
| Other liabilities | 13,734,000 | 16,921,000 | 17,152,000 |
| Total liabilities | 1,298,524,000 | 1,258,676,000 | 1,271,352,000 |
| Shareholders' equity |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, \$1,000 preference value per share | - | 12,402,000 | 12,352,000 |
| Common stock, one cent par value per share | 106,000 | 98,000 | 98,000 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 58,066,000 | 46,314,000 | 46,110,000 |
| Retained earnings | 91,348,000 | 89,692,000 | 87,396,000 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) |  |  |  |
| Net unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale | (3,433,000 | 7,940,000 | 7,526,000 |
| Net unrealized loss on postretirement benefit costs | (115,000 ) | (123,000 | ) $(77,000$ |
| Total shareholders' equity | 145,972,000 | 156,323,000 | 153,405,000 |
| Total liabilities \& shareholders' equity | \$1,444,496,000 | \$ 1,414,999,000 | \$1,424,757,000 |
| Common Stock |  |  |  |
| Number of shares authorized | 18,000,000 | 18,000,000 | 18,000,000 |
| Number of shares issued and outstanding | 10,659,764 | 9,859,914 | 9,847,159 |
| Book value per common share | \$13.69 | \$ 14.60 | \$14.32 |
| Tangible book value per common share | \$10.82 | \$11.47 | \$11.51 |

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income/(Loss) (Unaudited)
The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Interest income
Interest and fees on loans
Interest on deposits with other banks
Interest and dividends on investments
Total interest income
Interest expense
Interest on deposits
Interest on borrowed funds
Total interest expense
Net interest income
Provision for loan losses
Net interest income after provision for loan losses
Non-interest income
Investment management and fiduciary income
Service charges on deposit accounts
Net securities gains
Mortgage origination and servicing income, net of
amortization
Other operating income
Total non-interest income
Non-interest expense
Salaries and employee benefits
Occupancy expense
Furniture and equipment expense
FDIC insurance premiums
Amortization of identified intangibles
Other operating expense
Total non-interest expense
Income before income taxes
Income tax expense
NET INCOME
Basic earnings per common share
Diluted earnings per common share

| For the six months ended June | For the quarters ended June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30,  2013 |  |  |
| 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited)
The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary


Proceeds from sale of common stock
Balance at June 30, 2013 -
10,659,764 \$58,172,000 \$91,348,000 \$(3,548,000 ) \$145,972,000 See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)
The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Cash flows from operating activities
Net income
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities
Depreciation
Change in deferred taxes
Provision for loan losses
Loans originated for resale
Proceeds from sales and transfers of loans
Net gain on sales of loans
Net gain on sale or call of securities
Net amortization of premiums on investments
Net loss on sale of other real estate owned
Provision for losses on other real estate owned
Equity compensation expense
Net increase in other assets and accrued interest
Net increase in other liabilities
Net loss on disposal of premises and equipment
Amortization of investment in limited partnership
Net acquisition amortization
Net cash provided by operating activities
Cash flows from investing activities
Decrease in interest-bearing deposits in other banks
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale
Proceeds from maturities, payments and calls of securities available for sale
Proceeds from maturities, payments and calls of securities to be held to maturity
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned
Purchases of securities available for sale
Purchases of securities to be held to maturity
Redemption of restricted equity securities
Net (increase) decrease in loans
Capital expenditures
Net cash used in investing activities
Cash flows from financing activities
Net increase (decrease) in demand, savings, and money market accounts
Net increase in certificates of deposit
Net decrease in short-term borrowings
Repurchase of preferred stock
Proceeds from sale of common stock
Dividends paid
Net cash provided by financing activities
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period

| For the six months ended |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| June 30, | June 30, <br> 2013 |
| 2012 |  |
| $\$ 6,098,000$ | $\$ 6,236,000$ |


| 838,000 | 663,000 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(13,000$ | $)$ | $(688,000$ |
| $2,700,000$ | $4,900,000$ |  |
| $(34,725,000$ | $)$ | $(12,535,000$ |
| $35,549,000$ | $12,720,000$ | $)$ |
| $(836,000$ | $)$ | $(369,000$ |
| $(1,087,000$ | $)$ | $(1,967,000$ |
| $1,152,000$ | $1,482,000$ |  |
| 24,000 | 39,000 |  |
| 332,000 | 198,000 |  |
| 107,000 | 40,000 |  |
| $(125,000$ | $(1,831,000$ | $)$ |
| 900,000 | $2,243,000$ |  |
| 4,000 | - | 238,000 |
| 260,000 | 103,000 |  |
| 163,000 | $11,472,000$ |  |
| $11,341,000$ | - |  |
| $1,304,000$ | $25,137,000$ |  |
| $10,563,000$ | $26,024,000$ |  |
| $35,593,000$ | $21,871,000$ |  |
| $28,818,000$ | 667,000 |  |
| $2,062,000$ | $)$ |  |
| $(59,873,000$ | $(71,706,000$ | $)$ |

$(62,728,000)(35,101,000)$
536,000 995,000
32,000 (22,340,000
(1,767,000 ) (321,000 )
(45,460,000 ) (54,774,000 )

| $4,479,000$ | $(761,000$ | $)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $64,353,000$ | $64,734,000$ |  |
| $(25,797,000$ | $)$ | $(16,730,000$ |
| $(12,500,000$ | $)$ |  |
| $11,751,000$ | 290,000 |  |
| $(4,442,000$ | $)$ | $(4,154,000$ |
| $37,844,000$ | $43,379,000$ |  |
| $3,725,000$ | 77,000 |  |
| $14,958,000$ | $14,115,000$ |  |


| $\quad$ Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | $\$ 18,683,000$ | $\$ 14,192,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest paid | $\$ 6,368,000$ | $\$ 6,640,000$ |
| Income taxes paid | 950,000 | 869,000 |
| Non-cash transactions | $\$ 651,000$ | $\$ 1,998,000$ |

See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
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Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
Note 1 - Basis of Presentation
The First Bancorp, Inc. (the Company) is a financial holding company that owns all of the common stock of The First, N.A. (the Bank). The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of Management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All significant intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation. The income reported for the 2013 period is not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2013. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.
Subsequent Events
Events occurring subsequent to June 30, 2013, have been evaluated as to their potential impact to the financial statements.

Note 2 - Investment Securities
The following table summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at June 30, 2013:

|  | Amortized Cost | Unrealized <br> Gains | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value (Estimated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$157,023,000 | \$2,014,000 | \$(1,513,000 | ) | \$157,524,000 |
| State and political subdivisions | 134,376,000 | 1,955,000 | (7,792,000 | ) | 128,539,000 |
| Other equity securities | 1,617,000 | 63,000 | (8,000 | ) | 1,672,000 |
|  | \$293,016,000 | \$4,032,000 | \$(9,313,000 | ) | \$287,735,000 |
| Securities to be held to maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies | \$92,179,000 | \$10,000 | \$(5,944,000 | ) | \$86,245,000 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 42,389,000 | 1,883,000 | (625,000 | ) | 43,647,000 |
| State and political subdivisions | 42,396,000 | 2,268,000 | (66,000 | ) | 44,598,000 |
| Corporate securities | 300,000 | - | - |  | 300,000 |
|  | \$177,264,000 | \$4,161,000 | \$(6,635,000 | ) | \$174,790,000 |
| Restricted equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | \$ 12,875,000 | \$- | \$- |  | \$ 12,875,000 |
| Federal Reserve Bank Stock | 1,037,000 | - | - |  | 1,037,000 |
|  | \$13,912,000 | \$- | \$- |  | \$ 13,912,000 |
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The following table summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at December 31, 2012:

|  | Amortized Cost | Unrealized <br> Gains | Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Fair Value (Estimated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$164,752,000 | \$4,636,000 | \$ 295,000 | ) | \$169,093,000 |
| State and political subdivisions | 113,069,000 | 8,074,000 | (199,000 | ) | 120,944,000 |
| Other equity securities | 1,578,000 | 43,000 | (44,000 | ) | 1,577,000 |
|  | \$279,399,000 | \$12,753,000 | \$(538,000 | ) | \$291,614,000 |
| Securities to be held to maturity |  |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Government-sponsored agencies $\quad \$ 60,919,000$ \$242,000 $\$(182,000$ ) \$60,979,000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 39,193,000 | 2,850,000 | (19,000 | ) | 42,024,000 |
| State and political subdivisions | 42,908,000 | 4,036,000 | - |  | 46,944,000 |
| Corporate securities | 300,000 | - | - |  | 300,000 |
|  | \$143,320,000 | \$7,128,000 | \$ 201,000 | ) | \$150,247,000 |
| Restricted equity securities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | \$13,412,000 | \$- | \$- |  | \$ 13,412,000 |
| Federal Reserve Bank Stock | 1,036,000 | - | - |  | 1,036,000 |
|  | \$14,448,000 | \$- | \$- |  | \$ 14,448,000 |

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities at June 30, 2012:

Securities available for sale
Mortgage-backed securities
State and political subdivisions
Other equity securities
Securities to be held to maturity
U.S. Government-sponsored agencies

Mortgage-backed securities
State and political subdivisions
Corporate securities
Restricted equity securities
Federal Home Loan Bank Stock
Federal Reserve Bank Stock

| Amortized <br> Cost | Unrealized <br> Gains | Unrealized <br> Losses | Fair Value <br> (Estimated) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 201,552,000$ | $\$ 5,677,000$ | $\$(250,000$ | $)$ |
| $92,353,000$ | $6,291,000$ | $(51,000$ | $) 98,593,000$ |
| $1,863,000$ | 47,000 | $(135,000$ | $) 1,775,000$ |
| $\$ 295,768,000$ | $\$ 12,015,000$ | $\$(436,000$ | $) \$ 307,347,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 41,197,000$ | $\$ 282,000$ | $\$(33,000$ | $) \$ 41,446,000$ |
| $49,992,000$ | $3,524,000$ | $(8,000$ | $) 53,508,000$ |
| $44,286,000$ | $4,088,000$ | - | $48,374,000$ |
| 300,000 | - | - | 300,000 |
| $\$ 135,775,000$ | $\$ 7,894,000$ | $\$(41,000$ | $) \$ 143,628,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 13,412,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 13,412,000$ |
| $1,036,000$ | - | - | $1,036,000$ |
| $\$ 14,448,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 14,448,000$ |
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The following table summarizes the contractual maturities of investment securities at June 30, 2013:

| Securities available for sale | Securities to be held to maturity |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amortized | Fair Value | Amortized | Fair Value |
| Cost | (Estimated) | Cost | (Estimated) |
| $\$ 1,345,000$ | $\$ 1,368,000$ | $\$ 766,000$ | $\$ 773,000$ |
| 139,000 | 140,000 | $6,081,000$ | $6,462,000$ |
| $2,426,000$ | $2,563,000$ | $24,873,000$ | $26,299,000$ |
| $287,489,000$ | $281,992,000$ | $145,544,000$ | $141,256,000$ |
| $1,617,000$ | $1,672,000$ | - | - |
| $\$ 293,016,000$ | $\$ 287,735,000$ | $\$ 177,264,000$ | $\$ 174,790,000$ |

The following table summarizes the contractual maturities of investment securities at December 31, 2012:

| Securities available for sale  <br> Amortized Fair Value | Securities to be held to maturity |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amortized | Fair Value |  |  |
| Cost | (Estimated) | Cost | (Estimated) |
| $\$ 18,761,000$ | $\$ 18,926,000$ | $\$ 3,754,000$ | $\$ 3,785,000$ |
| $27,243,000$ | $27,816,000$ | $11,950,000$ | $12,701,000$ |
| $16,686,000$ | $17,666,000$ | $27,461,000$ | $29,986,000$ |
| $215,131,000$ | $225,629,000$ | $100,155,000$ | $103,775,000$ |
| $1,578,000$ | $1,577,000$ | - | - |
| $\$ 279,399,000$ | $\$ 291,614,000$ | $\$ 143,320,000$ | $\$ 150,247,000$ |

The following table summarizes the contractual maturities of investment securities at June 30, 2012:
Due in 1 year or less
Due in 1 to 5 years
Due in 5 to 10 years
Due after 10 years
Equity securities

Due in 1 year or less
Due in 1 to 5 years
Due in 5 to 10 years
Due after 10 years
Equity securities

| Securities available for sale |  | Securities to be held to maturity |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amortized | Fair Value | Amortized | Fair Value |
| Cost | (Estimated) | Cost | (Estimated) |
| $\$ 4,296,000$ | $\$ 4,328,000$ | $\$ 1,382,000$ | $\$ 1,399,000$ |
| $55,317,000$ | $56,358,000$ | $13,556,000$ | $14,134,000$ |
| $23,143,000$ | $24,034,000$ | $21,137,000$ | $22,958,000$ |
| $211,149,000$ | $220,852,000$ | $99,700,000$ | $105,137,000$ |
| $1,863,000$ | $1,775,000$ | - | - |
| $\$ 295,768,000$ | $\$ 307,347,000$ | $\$ 135,775,000$ | $\$ 143,628,000$ |

At June 30, 2013, securities with a fair value of $\$ 123,571,000$ were pledged to secure public deposits, repurchase agreements, and for other purposes as required by law. This compares to securities with a fair value of $\$ 154,817,000$ as of December 31, 2012 and $\$ 140,384,000$ at June 30, 2012, pledged for the same purposes.
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Gains and losses on the sale of securities available for sale are computed by subtracting the amortized cost at the time of sale from the security's selling price, net of accrued interest to be received. The following table shows securities gains and losses for the six months and quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

|  | For the six months ended <br> June 30, |  | For the quarters ended <br> June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Proceeds from sales of securities | $\$ 10,563,000$ | $\$ 25,137,000$ | $\$ 5,598,000$ | $\$ 14,194,000$ |
| Gross realized gains | $1,087,000$ | $2,256,000$ | 788,000 | $1,444,000$ |
| Gross realized losses | - | $(289,000$ | $)$ | - |
| Net gain | $\$ 1,087,000$ | $\$ 1,967,000$ | $\$ 788,000$ | $\$ 1,444,000$ |
| Related income taxes | $\$ 380,000$ | $\$ 688,000$ | $\$ 275,000$ | $\$ 505,000$ |

Management reviews securities with unrealized losses for other than temporary impairment. As of June 30, 2013, there were 280 securities with unrealized losses held in the Company's portfolio. These securities were temporarily impaired as a result of changes in interest rates reducing their fair value, of which six had been temporarily impaired for 12 months or more. At the present time, there have been no material changes in the credit quality of these securities resulting in other than temporary impairment, and in Management's opinion, no additional write-down for other-than-temporary impairment is warranted. Information regarding securities temporarily impaired as of June 30 , 2013 is summarized below:

| Less than 12 months | 12 months or more |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fair Value | Unrealized | Fair Value | Unrealized | Fair Value | Unrealized |
| (Estimated) | Losses | (Estimated) | Losses | (Estimated) | Losses |

U.S.

Government-sponsored agencies
$\$ 85,453,000 \quad \$(5,944,000) \$-\quad \$-\quad \$ 85,453,000 \quad \$(5,944,000)$

Mortgage-backed
securities
State and political subdivisions
Other equity securities
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}58,706,000 & (2,039,000 & ) & 1,585,000 & (99,000 & ) & 60,291,000 \\ (2,138,000) \\ 71,738,000 & (7,858,000 & ) & & - & 71,738,000 & (7,858,000\end{array}\right)$

As of December 31, 2012, there were 42 securities with unrealized losses held in the Company's portfolio. These securities were temporarily impaired as a result of changes in interest rates reducing their fair value, of which seven had been temporarily impaired for 12 months or more. Information regarding securities temporarily impaired as of December 31, 2012 is summarized below:

| Less than 12 months | 12 months or more |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fair Value | Unrealized | Fair Value | Unrealized | Fair Value | Unrealized |
| (Estimated) | Losses | (Estimated) | Losses | (Estimated) | Losses |

U.S.

Government-sponsored agencies

Mortgage-backed securities State and political subdivisions

| $9,982,000$ | $(231,000$ | $)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8,621,000$ | $(199,000$ | $)-$ | - |

$\left.\begin{array}{rl}\text { ) } 12,516,000 & (314,000 \quad) \\ 8,621,000 & (199,000\end{array}\right)$
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| Other equity securities | - | - | $\left.\begin{array}{ll}222,000 & (44,000\end{array}\right)$ | 222,000 | $(44,000$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 34,420,000$ | $\$(612,000$ | $)$ | $\$ 2,756,000$ | $\$(127,000$ | $)$ | $\$ 37,176,000$ | $\$(739,000 \quad)$ |
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As of June 30, 2012, there were 30 securities with unrealized losses held in the Company's portfolio. These securities were temporarily impaired as a result of changes in interest rates reducing their fair value, of which eight had been temporarily impaired for 12 months or more. Information regarding securities temporarily impaired as of June 30, 2012 is summarized below:

|  | Less than 12 months |  | 12 months or more |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value (Estimated) | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value (Estimated) | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value (Estimated) | Unrealized <br> Losses |
| U.S. <br> Government-sponsored agencies | \$7,942,000 | \$(33,000 | ) | \$- | \$- |  | \$7,942,000 | \$(33,000 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 9,822,000 | (110,000 | ) | 5,137,000 | (148,000 | ) | 14,959,000 | (258,000 |
| State and political subdivisions | 3,719,000 | (51,000 | ) | - | - |  | 3,719,000 | (51,000 |
| Other equity securities | - | - |  | 191,000 | (135,000 | ) | 191,000 | (135,000 |
|  | \$21,483,000 | \$ (194,000 | ) | \$5,328,000 | \$ 283,000 | ) | \$26,811,000 | \$(477,000 |

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Boston, a cooperatively owned wholesale bank for housing and finance in the six New England States. As a requirement of membership in the FHLB, the Bank must own a minimum required amount of FHLB stock, calculated periodically based primarily on its level of borrowings from the FHLB. The Bank uses the FHLB for much of its wholesale funding needs. As of June 30, 2013 and 2012, and December 31, 2012, the Bank's investment in FHLB stock totaled $\$ 12,875,000, \$ 13,412,000$ and $\$ 13,412,000$, respectively. FHLB stock is a non-marketable equity security and therefore is reported at cost, which equals par value.

Note 3 - Loans
The following table shows the composition of the Company's loan portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and at December 31, 2012:

June 30, 2013 December 31, $2012 \quad$ June 30, 2012
Commercial
Real estate
Construction
Other
Municipal

| $\$ 251,799,000$ | 29.1 | $\%$ | $\$ 251,335,000$ | 28.9 | $\%$ | $\$ 253,193,000$ | 28.7 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $18,641,000$ | 2.2 | $\%$ | $22,417,000$ | 2.6 | $\%$ | $33,072,000$ | 3.8 | $\%$ |
| $91,393,000$ | 10.6 | $\%$ | $81,183,000$ | 9.3 | $\%$ | $87,833,000$ | 10.0 | $\%$ |
| $14,885,000$ | 1.7 | $\%$ | $14,704,000$ | 1.7 | $\%$ | $16,089,000$ | 1.8 | $\%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $374,522,000$ | 43.2 | $\%$ | $379,447,000$ | 43.7 | $\%$ | $368,876,000$ | 41.8 | $\%$ |
| $4,759,000$ | 0.5 | $\%$ | $6,459,000$ | 0.7 | $\%$ | $6,449,000$ | 0.7 | $\%$ |
| $95,013,000$ | 11.0 | $\%$ | $99,082,000$ | 11.4 | $\%$ | $100,689,000$ | 11.4 | $\%$ |
| $15,059,000$ | 1.7 | $\%$ | $14,657,000$ | 1.7 | $\%$ | $15,613,000$ | 1.8 | $\%$ |
| $\$ 866,071,000$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 869,284,000$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 881,814,000$ | 100.0 | $\%$ |

Loan balances include net deferred loan costs of $\$ 2,001,000$ as of June 30, 2013, $\$ 1,783,000$ as of December 31, 2012, and $\$ 1,664,000$ as of June 30, 2012. Pursuant to collateral agreements, qualifying first mortgage loans, which totaled $\$ 254,417,000$ at June 30, 2013, $\$ 256,378,000$ at December 31, 2012, and $\$ 243,196,000$ at June 30, 2012, were used to collateralize borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston. In addition, commercial, construction and home equity loans totaling $\$ 221,953,000$ at June 30, 2013, $\$ 220,520,000$ at December 31, 2012, and $\$ 232,598,000$ at June 30, 2012, were used to collateralize a standby line of credit at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston that is currently unused.
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For all loan classes, loans over 30 days past due are considered delinquent. Information on the past-due status of loans by class of financing receivable as of June 30, 2013, is presented in the following table:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30-59 \text { Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | 60-89 Days <br> Past Due | $\begin{aligned} & 90+\text { Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | All <br> Past Due | Current | Total | 90+ Days \& Accruing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$717,000 | \$- | \$2,347,000 | \$3,064,000 | \$248,735,000 | \$251,799,000 | \$- |
| Construction | - | - | 456,000 | 456,000 | 18,185,000 | 18,641,000 | - |
| Other | 244,000 | 3,482,000 | 2,547,000 | 6,273,000 | 85,120,000 | 91,393,000 | 503,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | 14,885,000 | 14,885,000 | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 636,000 | 3,955,000 | 7,933,000 | 12,524,000 | 361,998,000 | 374,522,000 | 395,000 |
| Construction | 82,000 | - | - | 82,000 | 4,677,000 | 4,759,000 | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 652,000 | 62,000 | 816,000 | 1,530,000 | 93,483,000 | 95,013,000 | - |
| Consumer | 104,000 | 63,000 | 121,000 | 288,000 | 14,771,000 | 15,059,000 | 121,000 |
| Total | \$2,435,000 | \$7,562,000 | \$14,220,000 | \$24,217,000 | \$841,854,000 | \$866,071,000 | \$1,019,000 |

Information on the past-due status of loans by class of financing receivable as of December 31, 2012, is presented in the following table:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30-59 \text { Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90+ Days <br> Past Due | All <br> Past Due | Current | Total | $90+\text { Days }$ <br> \& Accruing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$2,172,000 | \$346,000 | \$2,380,000 | \$4,898,000 | \$246,437,000 | \$251,335,000 | \$ 102,000 |
| Construction | - | 29,000 | 35,000 | 64,000 | 22,353,000 | 22,417,000 | - |
| Other | 658,000 | 218,000 | 2,306,000 | 3,182,000 | 78,001,000 | 81,183,000 | 2,000 |
| Municipal | 136,000 | - | - | 136,000 | 14,568,000 | 14,704,000 | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 2,404,000 | 1,082,000 | 9,298,000 | 12,784,000 | 366,663,000 | 379,447,000 | 363,000 |
| Construction | 188,000 |  |  | 188,000 | 6,271,000 | 6,459,000 | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 430,000 | 133,000 | 1,136,000 | 1,699,000 | 97,383,000 | 99,082,000 | 539,000 |
| Consumer | 101,000 | 70,000 | 45,000 | 216,000 | 14,441,000 | 14,657,000 | 45,000 |
| Total | \$6,089,000 | \$1,878,000 | \$15,200,000 | \$23,167,000 | \$846,117,000 | \$869,284,000 | \$1,051,000 |

Information on the past-due status of loans by class of financing receivable as of June 30, 2012, is presented in the following table:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30-59 \text { Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | 60-89 Days Past Due | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 90+ Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | All <br> Past Due | Current | Total | $90+\text { Days }$ <br> \& Accruing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$- | \$13,000 | \$1,858,000 | \$1,871,000 | \$251,322,000 | \$253,193,000 | \$- |
| Construction | 119,000 | - | 34,000 | 153,000 | 32,919,000 | 33,072,000 | - |
| Other | 177,000 | 266,000 | 1,398,000 | 1,841,000 | 85,992,000 | 87,833,000 | - |
| Municipal | 1,560,000 | - | - | 1,560,000 | 14,529,000 | 16,089,000 | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 2,191,000 | 1,000,000 | 7,878,000 | 11,069,000 | 357,807,000 | 368,876,000 | - |
| Construction | - | - | 1,336,000 | 1,336,000 | 5,113,000 | 6,449,000 | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 530,000 | - | 1,311,000 | 1,841,000 | 98,848,000 | 100,689,000 | - |
| Consumer | 140,000 | 49,000 | 180,000 | 369,000 | 15,244,000 | 15,613,000 | 164,000 |
| Total | \$4,717,000 | 1,328,000 | \$13,995,000 | \$20,040,000 | \$861,774,000 | \$881,814,000 | \$ 164,000 |

For all classes, loans are placed on non-accrual status when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement or when principal and interest is 90 days or more past due unless the loan is both well secured and in the process of collection (in which case the loan may continue to accrue interest in spite of its past due status). A loan is "well secured" if it is secured (1) by collateral in the form of liens on or pledges of real or personal property, including securities, that have a realizable value sufficient to discharge the debt (including accrued interest) in full, or (2) by the guarantee of a financially responsible party. A loan is "in the process of collection" if collection of the loan is proceeding in due course either (1) through legal action, including judgment enforcement procedures, or, (2) in appropriate circumstances, through collection efforts not involving legal action which are reasonably expected to result in repayment of the debt or in its restoration to a current status in the near future.
Cash payments received on non-accrual loans, which are included in impaired loans, are applied to reduce the loan's principal balance until the remaining principal balance is deemed collectible, after which interest is recognized when collected. As a general rule, a loan may be restored to accrual status when payments are current for a substantial period of time, generally six months, and repayment of the remaining contractual amounts is expected or when it otherwise becomes well secured and in the process of collection. Information on nonaccrual loans as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and at December 31, 2012 is presented in the following table:

June 30, 2013 December 31, 2012 June 30, 2012
Commercial

| Real estate | $\$ 4,424,000$ | $\$ 4,603,000$ | $\$ 5,545,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construction | 519,000 | 101,000 | 521,000 |
| Other | $2,856,000$ | $3,459,000$ | $2,361,000$ |
| Municipal | - | - | - |
| Residential | $10,640,000$ | $10,333,000$ | $10,723,000$ |
| Term | - | - | $1,336,000$ |
| Construction | $1,046,000$ | 654,000 | $1,456,000$ |
| Home equity line of credit | - | - | 16,000 |
| Consumer | $\$ 19,485,000$ | $\$ 19,150,000$ | $\$ 21,958,000$ |

Impaired loans include troubled debt restructured and loans placed on non-accrual. These loans are measured at the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate or at the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. If the measure of an impaired loan is lower than the recorded investment in the loan and estimated selling costs, a specific reserve is established for the difference.

## Page 13

A breakdown of impaired loans by class of financing receivable as of and for the period ended June 30, 2013, is presented in the following table:

|  | Recorded Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance | Related <br> Allowance | For the six months ended June 30, 2013 |  | For the quarter ended June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Average | Recognized | Average | Recognized |
|  |  |  |  | Recorded | Interest | Recorded | Interest |
|  |  |  |  | Investment | Income | Investment | Income |
| With No Related Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$11,452,000 | \$11,851,000 | \$- | \$ 10,621,000 | \$200,000 | \$11,083,000 | \$99,000 |
| Construction | 517,000 | 1,065,000 | - | 210,000 | 28,000 | 376,000 | 27,000 |
| Other | 4,017,000 | 4,325,000 | - | 3,726,000 | 59,000 | 3,759,000 | 32,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 15,399,000 | 17,441,000 | - | 13,862,000 | 248,000 | 14,636,000 | 150,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Home equity | 1,655,000 | 1,892,000 | - | 1,579,000 | 15,000 | 1,665,000 | 7,000 |
| line of credit | 1,655,000 | 1,892,000 | - |  | 15,00 | 1,665,000 |  |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | \$33,040,000 | \$36,574,000 | \$- | \$29,998,000 | \$550,000 | \$31,519,000 | \$315,000 |
| With an Allowance Recorded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$5,880,000 | \$6,619,000 | \$1,510,000 | \$6,688,000 | \$110,000 | \$6,577,000 | \$48,000 |
| Construction | 1,302,000 | 1,302,000 | 266,000 | 2,297,000 | 19,000 | 1,626,000 | (7,000 |
| Other | 1,773,000 | 1,893,000 | 1,005,000 | 2,008,000 | 11,000 | 1,920,000 | 2,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 4,296,000 | 4,520,000 | 218,000 | 5,961,000 | 93,000 | 5,117,000 | 29,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity | 40,000 | 40,000 | 7,000 | 94,000 | 1,000 | 15,000 | 1,000 |
| line of credit | 40,000 | 40,000 | 7,000 | 94,000 | 1,000 | 15,000 | 1,000 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | \$13,291,000 | \$ 14,374,000 | \$3,006,000 | \$17,048,000 | \$234,000 | \$15,255,000 | \$73,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$17,332,000 | \$18,470,000 | \$1,510,000 | \$17,309,000 | \$310,000 | \$ 17,660,000 | \$147,000 |
| Construction | 1,819,000 | 2,367,000 | 266,000 | 2,507,000 | 47,000 | 2,002,000 | 20,000 |
| Other | 5,790,000 | 6,218,000 | 1,005,000 | 5,735,000 | 70,000 | 5,679,000 | 34,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 19,695,000 | 21,961,000 | 218,000 | 19,822,000 | 341,000 | 19,753,000 | 179,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity | 1,695,000 | 1,932,000 | 7,000 | 1,673,000 | 16,000 | 1,680,000 | 8,000 |
| line of credit | 1,695,000 | 1,932,000 | 7,000 | 1,673,000 | 16,000 | 1,680,000 | 8,000 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | \$46,331,000 | \$50,948,000 | \$3,006,000 | \$47,046,000 | \$784,000 | \$46,774,000 | \$388,000 |

Substantially all interest income recognized on impaired loans for all classes of financing receivables was recognized on a cash basis as received.
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A breakdown of impaired loans by class of financing receivable as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012, is presented in the following table:

|  | Recorded <br> Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance | Related <br> Allowance | Average Recorded Investment | Recognized <br> Interest <br> Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With No Related Allowance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$9,386,000 | \$9,963,000 | \$- | \$10,102,000 | \$199,000 |
| Construction | 101,000 | 115,000 | - | 2,533,000 | - |
| Other | 4,737,000 | 5,345,000 | - | 2,877,000 | 53,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 12,747,000 | 14,440,000 | - | 9,801,000 | 189,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | 560,000 | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 1,311,000 | 1,440,000 | - | 961,000 | 27,000 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | 3,000 | - |
|  | \$28,282,000 | \$31,303,000 | \$- | \$26,837,000 | \$468,000 |
| With an Allowance Recorded |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$6,388,000 | \$7,018,000 | \$1,523,000 | \$4,614,000 | \$211,000 |
| Construction | 3,253,000 | 3,253,000 | 969,000 | 1,816,000 | 85,000 |
| Other | 1,124,000 | 1,126,000 | 652,000 | 1,974,000 | 38,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 6,697,000 | 6,842,000 | 395,000 | 9,066,000 | 237,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | 261,000 | - |
| Home equity line of credit | - | - | - | 442,000 | - |
| Consumer | - | - | - | 9,000 | - |
|  | \$17,462,000 | \$ 18,239,000 | \$3,539,000 | \$18,182,000 | \$571,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$ 15,774,000 | \$ 16,981,000 | \$ 1,523,000 | \$14,716,000 | \$410,000 |
| Construction | 3,354,000 | 3,368,000 | 969,000 | 4,349,000 | 85,000 |
| Other | 5,861,000 | 6,471,000 | 652,000 | 4,851,000 | 91,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 19,444,000 | 21,282,000 | 395,000 | 18,867,000 | 426,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | 821,000 | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 1,311,000 | 1,440,000 | - | 1,403,000 | 27,000 |
| Consumer | - | - | - | 12,000 | - |
|  | \$45,744,000 | \$49,542,000 | \$3,539,000 | \$45,019,000 | \$ 1,039,000 |

[^0]A breakdown of impaired loans by class of financing receivable as of and for the period ended June 30, 2012, is presented in the following table:


Note 4. Allowance for Loan Losses

The Company provides for loan losses through the establishment of an allowance for loan losses which represents an estimated reserve for existing losses in the loan portfolio. A systematic methodology is used for determining the allowance that includes a quarterly review process, risk rating changes, and adjustments to the allowance. The loan portfolio is classified in eight classes and
credit risk is evaluated separately in each class. The appropriate level of the allowance is evaluated continually based on a review of significant loans, with a particular emphasis on nonaccruing, past due, and other loans that may require special attention. Other factors include general conditions in local and national economies; loan portfolio composition and asset quality indicators; and internal factors such as changes in underwriting policies, credit administration practices, experience, ability and depth of lending management, among others. The allowance consists of four elements: (1) specific reserves for loans evaluated individually for impairment; (2) general reserves for each portfolio segment based on historical loan loss experience, (3) qualitative reserves judgmentally adjusted for local and national economic conditions, concentrations, portfolio composition, volume and severity of delinquencies and nonaccrual loans, trends of criticized and classified loans, changes in credit policies and underwriting standards, credit administration practices, and other factors as applicable for each portfolio segment; and (4) unallocated reserves. All outstanding loans are considered in evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance. A breakdown of the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and June 30, 2012, by class of financing receivable and allowance element, is presented in the following tables:
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| Unallocated | - | - |  | 842,000 | 842,000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\$ 3,539,000$ | $\$ 4,304,000$ | $\$ 3,815,000$ | $\$ 842,000$ | $\$ 12,500,000$ |
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As of June 30, 2012

Commercial
Real estate
Construction
Other
Municipal
Residential
Term
Construction
Home equity line of credit
Consumer
Unallocated

| Specific <br> Reserves on <br> Loans <br> Evaluated <br> Individually | General <br> Reserves on <br> Loans Based <br> on Historical <br> Los | Reserves for <br> Qualitative <br> Factors | Unallocated <br> Reserves | Total <br> Reserves |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Impairment | Experience |  |  |  |
| $\$ 1,133,000$ | $\$ 2,685,000$ | $\$ 1,746,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 5,564,000$ |
| 787,000 | 355,000 | 231,000 | - | $1,373,000$ |
| 932,000 | 935,000 | 609,000 | - | $2,476,000$ |
| - | - | 19,000 | - | 19,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 966,000 | 165,000 | 456,000 | - | $1,587,000$ |
| 48,000 | 2,000 | 8,000 | - | 58,000 |
| 300,000 | 155,000 | 354,000 | - | 809,000 |
| 11,000 | 351,000 | 241,000 | - | 603,000 |
|  | $-34,177,000$ | $\$ 4,648,000$ | $\$ 3,664,000$ | $\$ 1,895,000$ |
| $\$ 14,384,000$ |  |  |  |  |

Qualitative adjustment factors are taken into consideration when determining reserve estimates. These adjustment factors are based upon our evaluation of various current conditions, including those listed below.
General economic conditions.
Credit quality trends with emphasis on loan delinquencies, nonaccrual levels and classified
loans.
Recent loss experience in particular segments of the portfolio.
Loan volumes and concentrations, including changes in mix.
Other factors, including changes in quality of the loan origination; loan policy changes; changes in credit risk management processes; Bank regulatory and external loan review examination results.

The qualitative portion of the allowance for loan losses was $0.50 \%$ of related loans as of June 30, 2013, compared to $0.46 \%$ of related loans as of December 31, 2012. The qualitative portion increased $\$ 287,000$ between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013 as a result of a higher level of pooled substandard commercial loans. Changes to qualitative adjustments for other major portfolio segments were not material in this period.

The unallocated portion of the allowance totaled \$1,275,000 at June 30, 2013, or $10 \%$ of the total reserve. This compares to $\$ 842,000$ as of December 31, 2012. The fluctuation in the unallocated component is supported by the following:
Losses in the commercial loan portfolio have been influenced by classified levels and exacerbated by declines in real estate values, reflected in appraisal updates on collateral that secure troubled loans. Certain valuation declines have been more than expected. The unallocated portion allows some coverage for unexpected and specifically unidentified losses in pooled portfolios.
An internal analysis completed on sales of other real estate owned found these properties sold, on average, approximately $20 \%$ below the appraised value of the property at the time of take in. Based on the analysis, Management applies a $20 \%$ additional discount factor to arrive at OREO take in amounts. This will impact the allowance as these potential additional write downs would be taken against the allowance, and the unallocated portion provides additional reserves for these adjustments.
Watch-rated commercial loans have remained elevated after bottoming out in the third quarter of 2009. Additional tosses may exist in this portfolio segment, yet are not identifiable at present. The unallocated portion provides some level of support for this.
The present view of the economic recovery is one moving at a slow to moderate pace; consequently, caution remains appropriate at the evaluation date regarding the direction of the economy and its impact on Bank loan portfolio
quality. The spike in interest rates during the second quarter increases uncertainty in the existing loan portfolio and warrants an increase in the unallocated reserve over the six months ended June 30, 2013. Until conditions show consistent improvement, particularly with employment levels, and until the real estate markets return to some form of normalcy, losses may be higher than normal.
The unallocated portion is also available to cover imprecision or uncertainties to incorporate the range of probable outcomes inherent in estimates used for the allowance, which may change from period to period.
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Commercial loans are comprised of three major classes, commercial real estate loans, commercial construction loans and other commercial loans. Commercial real estate is primarily comprised of loans to small businesses collateralized by owner-occupied real estate, while other commercial is primarily comprised of loans to small businesses collateralized by plant and equipment, commercial fishing vessels and gear, and limited inventory-based lending. Commercial real estate loans typically have a maximum loan-to-value of $75 \%$ based upon current appraisal information at the time the loan is made. Municipal loans are comprised of loans to municipalities in Maine for capitalized expenditures, construction projects or tax-anticipation notes. All municipal loans are considered general obligations of the municipality and as such are collateralized by the taxing ability of the municipality for repayment of debt.
Construction loans, both commercial and residential, comprise a very small portion of the portfolio, and at $18.4 \%$ of capital are well under the regulatory guidance of $100.0 \%$ of capital at June 30, 2013. Construction loans and non-owner-occupied commercial real estate loans are at $74.1 \%$ of total capital, well under regulatory guidance of $300.0 \%$ of capital at June 30, 2013.
The process of establishing the allowance with respect to the commercial loan portfolio begins when a loan officer initially assigns each loan a risk rating, using established credit criteria. Approximately $50 \%$ of the outstanding loans and commitments are subject to review and validation annually by an independent consulting firm, as well as periodically by the Company's internal credit review function. The methodology employs Management's judgment as to the level of losses on existing loans based on internal review of the loan portfolio, including an analysis of a borrower's current financial position, and the consideration of current and anticipated economic conditions and their potential effects on specific borrowers and or lines of business. In determining the Company's ability to collect certain loans, Management also considers the fair value of underlying collateral. The risk rating system has eight levels, defined as follows:
1 Strong
Credits rated "1" are characterized by borrowers fully responsible for the credit with excellent capacity to pay principal and interest. Loans rated "1" may be secured with acceptable forms of liquid collateral.
2 Above Average
Credits rated " 2 " are characterized by borrowers that have better than average liquidity, capitalization, earnings and/or cash flow with a consistent record of solid financial performance.
3 Satisfactory
Credits rated " 3 " are characterized by borrowers with favorable liquidity, profitability and financial condition with adequate cash flow to pay debt service.
4 Average
Credits rated " 4 " are characterized by borrowers that present risk more than 1,2 and 3 rated loans and merit an ordinary level of ongoing monitoring. Financial condition is on par or somewhat below industry averages while cash flow is generally adequate to meet debt service requirements.
5 Watch
Credits rated " 5 " are characterized by borrowers that warrant greater monitoring due to financial condition or unresolved and identified risk factors.
6 Other Assets Especially Mentioned (OAEM)
Loans in this category are currently protected but are potentially weak and constitute an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a classification of substandard. OAEM have potential weaknesses which may, if not checked or corrected, weaken the asset or inadequately protect the Bank's credit position at some future date.
7 Substandard
Loans in this category are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. Substandard loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank may sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

## 8 Doubtful

Loans classified "Doubtful" have the same weaknesses as those classified substandard with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, based on currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. The possibility of loss is high, but because of certain important and reasonably specific pending factors which may work to the advantage and strengthening of the asset, its classification as an estimated loss is deferred until its more exact status may be determined.
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The following table summarizes the risk ratings for the Company's commercial real estate, commercial construction, commercial other, and municipal loans as of June 30, 2013:
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { Real Estate }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { Construction }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Commercial }\end{array} & \text { Municipal } & \text { All Risk- } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array}\right)$ Rated Loans

The following table summarizes the risk ratings for the Company's commercial real estate, commercial construction, commercial other, and municipal loans as of December 31, 2012:

| 1 Strong | $\$ 19,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 271,000$ | $\$ 1,731,000$ | $\$ 2,021,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Above Average | $13,871,000$ | $1,274,000$ | $4,084,000$ | $7,061,000$ | $26,290,000$ |
| 3 Satisfactory | $34,454,000$ | $2,312,000$ | $14,578,000$ | $3,487,000$ | $54,831,000$ |
| 4 Average | $99,712,000$ | $12,322,000$ | $28,618,000$ | $2,425,000$ | $143,077,000$ |
| 5 Watch | $43,369,000$ | $1,721,000$ | $19,524,000$ | - | $64,614,000$ |
| 6 OAEM | $26,302,000$ | 79,000 | $5,300,000$ | - | $31,681,000$ |
| 7 Substandard | $33,153,000$ | $4,709,000$ | $8,806,000$ | - | $46,668,000$ |
| 8 Doubtful | 455,000 | - | 2,000 | - | 457,000 |
| Total | $\$ 251,335,000$ | $\$ 22,417,000$ | $\$ 81,183,000$ | $\$ 14,704,000$ | $\$ 369,639,000$ |

The following table summarizes the risk ratings for the Company's commercial real estate, commercial construction, commercial other, and municipal loans as of June 30, 2012:

| 1 Strong | $\$ 21,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 284,000$ | $\$ 1,822,000$ | $\$ 2,127,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Above Average | $19,319,000$ | 170,000 | $4,601,000$ | $7,933,000$ | $32,023,000$ |
| 3 Satisfactory | $36,207,000$ | $1,751,000$ | $13,541,000$ | $3,770,000$ | $55,269,000$ |
| 4 Average | $99,855,000$ | $20,932,000$ | $32,700,000$ | $2,564,000$ | $156,051,000$ |
| 5 Watch | $41,089,000$ | $1,969,000$ | $21,147,000$ | - | $64,205,000$ |
| 6 OAEM | $21,135,000$ | $1,649,000$ | $4,357,000$ | - | $27,141,000$ |
| 7 Substandard | $34,931,000$ | $6,601,000$ | $11,111,000$ | - | $52,643,000$ |
| 8 Doubtful | 636,000 | - | 92,000 | - | 728,000 |
| Total | $\$ 253,193,000$ | $\$ 33,072,000$ | $\$ 87,833,000$ | $\$ 16,089,000$ | $\$ 390,187,000$ |

Page 20

Commercial loans are generally charged off when all or a portion of the principal amount is determined to be uncollectible. This determination is based on circumstances specific to a borrower including repayment ability, analysis of collateral and other factors as applicable.
Residential loans are comprised of two classes: term loans, which include traditional amortizing home mortgages, and construction loans, which include loans for owner-occupied residential construction. Residential loans typically have a $75 \%$ to $80 \%$ loan to value based upon current appraisal information at the time the loan is made. Home equity loans and lines of credit are typically written to the same underwriting standards. Consumer loans are primarily amortizing loans to individuals collateralized by automobiles, pleasure craft and recreation vehicles, typically with a maximum loan to value of $80 \%$ to $90 \%$ of the purchase price of the collateral. Consumer loans also include a small amount of unsecured short-term time notes to individuals.
Residential loans, consumer loans and home equity lines of credit are segregated into homogeneous pools with similar risk characteristics. Trends and current conditions are analyzed and historical loss experience is adjusted accordingly. Quantitative and qualitative adjustment factors for these segments are consistent with those for the commercial and municipal classes. Certain loans in the residential, home equity lines of credit and consumer classes identified as having the potential for further deterioration are analyzed individually to confirm impairment status, and to determine the need for a specific reserve; however there is no formal rating system used for these classes. Consumer loans greater than 120 days past due are generally charged off. Residential loans 90 days or more past due are placed on non-accrual status unless the loans are both well secured and in the process of collection. One- to four-family residential real estate loans and home equity loans are written down or charged-off no later than 180 days past due, or for residential real estate secured loans having a borrower in bankruptcy, within 60 days of receipt of notification of filing from the bankruptcy court, whichever is sooner. This is subject to completion of a current assessment of the value of the collateral with any outstanding loan balance in excess of the fair value of the property, less costs to sell, written down or charged-off.
There were no changes to the Company's accounting policies or methodology used to estimate the allowance for loan losses during the six months ended June 30, 2013.
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The following table presents allowance for loan losses activity by class for the six-months and quarter ended June 30, 2013, and allowance for loan loss balances by class and related loan balances by class as of June 30, 2013:

Home Equity
Commercial Municipal Residential Line of Consumer Un

Credit
Real Estate Construction Other Term Construction
For the six months ended June 30, 2013

| Beginning | $\$ 5,865,000$ | $\$ 1,359,000$ | $\$ 2,050,000$ | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 1,109,000$ | $\$ 11,000$ | $\$ 654,000$ | $\$ 592,000$ | $\$ 8$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charge offs | 61,000 | 930,000 | 521,000 | - | 607,000 | - | 431,000 | 252,000 | - |
| Recoveries | - | - | 144,000 | - | 36,000 | - | 2,000 | 90,000 | - |
| Provision | 7,000 | 162,000 | 899,000 | - | 488,000 | $(2,000$ | $) 512,000$ | 201,000 | 43 |
| Ending |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| balance | $\$ 5,811,000$ | $\$ 591,000$ | $\$ 2,572,000$ | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 1,026,000$ | $\$ 9,000$ | $\$ 737,000$ | $\$ 631,000$ | $\$ 1$ |

For the three months ended June 30, 2013

| Beginning <br> balance | $\$ 5,879,000$ | $\$ 1,064,000$ | $\$ 2,115,000$ | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 1,113,000$ | $\$ 9,000$ | $\$ 859,000$ | $\$ 574,000$ | $\$ 1$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Charge offs 7,000 | 527,000 | 233,000 | - | 407,000 | - | 69,000 | 125,000 | - |  |
| Recoveries | - | - | 41,000 | - | 34,000 | - | 1,000 | 42,000 | - |
| Provision | $(61,000$ | $)$ | 54,000 | 649,000 | - | 286,000 | - | $(54,000$ | $) 140,000$ |
| Ending | $\$ 5,811,000$ | $\$ 591,000$ | $\$ 2,572,000$ | $\$ 18,000$ | $\$ 1,026,000$ | $\$ 9,000$ | $\$ 737,000$ | $\$ 631,000$ | $\$ 1$ |
| balance | $\$ 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2013
Ending
balance

| specifically <br> evaluated <br> for <br> impairment | $\$ 1,510,000$ | $\$ 266,000$ | $\$ 1,005,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 218,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 7,000$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ending <br> balance <br> collectively |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| evaluated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

evaluated
\$4,301,000
\$325,000 \$1,567,000 \$18,000
for
impairment
Related loan balances as of June 30, 2013
Ending
balance
Ending
balance
$\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l}\text { specifically } \\ \text { evaluated }\end{array} \\ & \$ 17,332,000 \\ & \$ 1,819,000\end{aligned} \$ 5,790,000 \quad \$-\quad \$ 19,695,000 \quad \$-\quad \$ 1,695,000 \quad \$-\quad \$-$
for
impairment
Ending $\quad \$ 234,467,000 \quad \$ 16,822,000 \$ 85,603,000 \$ 14,885,000 \$ 354,827,000 \$ 4,759,000 \quad \$ 93,318,000 \$ 15,059,000 \$-$
balance
collectively
evaluated
for
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impairment
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The following table presents allowance for loan losses activity by class for the year-ended December 31, 2012 and allowance for loan loss balances by class and related loan balances by class as of December 31, 2012:
Commercial Municipal Residential Equity Line Consumer Unal

Real Estate Construction Other Term Construction
For the year ended December 31, 2012

| Beginning balance | \$5,659,000 | \$658,000 | \$2,063,000 | \$19,000 | \$1,159,000 | \$255,000 | \$595,000 | \$584,000 | \$2,0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge offs | 1,394,000 | 928,000 | 3,215,000 | - | 1,911,000 | 389,000 | 688,000 | 555,000 | - |
| Recoveries | 13,000 | 246,000 | 113,000 | - | 110,000 | 54,000 | 1,000 | 208,000 |  |
| Provision | 1,587,000 | 1,383,000 | 3,089,000 | (1,000 | )1,751,000 | 91,000 | 746,000 | 355,000 | (1,1 |
| Ending balance | \$5,865,000 | \$1,359,000 | \$2,050,000 | \$18,000 | \$ 1,109,000 | \$11,000 | \$654,000 | \$592,000 | \$84 |
| Allowance <br> Ending <br> balance | for loan losse | as of Decemb | er 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| specifically <br> evaluated | \$1,523,000 | \$969,000 | \$652,000 | \$- | \$395,000 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- |

for
impairment
Ending
balance
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { collectively } \\ \text { evaluated }\end{array} \$ 4,342,000 \quad \$ 390,000 \quad \$ 1,398,000 \quad \$ 18,000 \quad \$ 714,000 \quad \$ 11,000 \quad \$ 654,000 \quad \$ 592,000 \quad \$ 842$
for
impairment
Related loan balances as of December 31, 2012
Ending
balance
Ending
balance
$\begin{aligned} & \text { specifically } \\ & \text { evaluated }\end{aligned} \$ 15,774,000 \quad \$ 3,354,000 \quad \$ 5,861,000 \quad \$-\quad \$ 19,444,000 \quad \$-\quad \$ 1,311,000 \quad \$-\quad \$-$
for
impairment
Ending
balance
$\begin{aligned} & \text { collectively } \\ & \text { evaluated }\end{aligned} \$ 235,561,000 \$ 19,063,000 \$ 75,322,000 \$ 14,704,000 \$ 360,003,000 \$ 6,459,000 \$ 97,771,000 \$ 14,657,000 \$-$
for
impairment
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The following table presents allowance for loan losses activity by class for the six-months and quarter ended June 30, 2012, and allowance for loan loss balances by class and related loan balances by class as of June 30, 2012:

| Commercial |  | Municipal | Residential |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Real Estate | Construction Other |  | Term |

Home Equity Line of Credit

Consumer Una Term Construction
For the six months ended June 30, 2012

| Beginning |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| balance | $\$ 5,659,000$ | $\$ 658,000$ | $\$ 2,063,000$ | $\$ 19,000$ | $\$ 1,159,000$ | $\$ 255,000$ | $\$ 595,000$ | $\$ 584,000$ | $\$ 2$, |
| Charge offs | 915,000 | - | $2,162,000$ | - | 375,000 | 118,000 | 49,000 | 276,000 | - |
| Recoveries | 1,000 | 246,000 | 11,000 | - | 2,000 | - | - | 119,000 | - |
| Provision | 819,000 | 469,000 | $2,564,000$ | - | 801,000 | $(79,000$ | $)$ | 263,000 | 176,000 |
| Ending | $\$ 5,564,000$ | $\$ 1,373,000$ | $\$ 2,476,000$ | $\$ 19,000$ | $\$ 1,587,000$ | $\$ 58,000$ | $\$ 809,000$ | $\$ 603,000$ | $\$ 1,8$ |
| balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

For the three months ended June 30, 2012
Beginning
balance
Charge offs $915,000 \quad-\quad 160,000 \quad$ -
Recoveries 1,000 - $9,000 \quad-$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Provision } \\ \text { Ending }\end{array} \quad 616,000 \quad 669,000 \quad 502,000 \quad-$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Ending } \\ \text { balance } & \$ 5,564,000 & \$ 1,373,000 & \$ 2,476,000 \quad \$ 19,000\end{array}$
Allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2012
Ending
balance

| specifically |
| :--- |
| evaluated |$\$ 1,133,000 \quad \$ 787,000 \quad \$ 932,000 \quad \$-\quad \$ 966,000 \quad \$ 48,000 \quad \$ 300,000 \quad \$ 11,000 \quad \$-$

for
impairment
Ending
balance

| collectively |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| evaluated |$\$ 4,431,000 \quad \$ 586,000 \quad \$ 1,544,000 \quad \$ 19,000 \quad \$ 621,000 \quad \$ 10,000 \quad \$ 509,000 \quad \$ 592,000 \quad \$ 1,8$

for
impairment
Related loan balances as of June 30, 2012
Ending
balance
Ending
balance
$\begin{aligned} & \text { specifically }\end{aligned} \$ 13,795,000 \quad \$ 3,619,000 \quad \$ 4,100,000 \quad \$-\quad \$ 19,052,000 \quad \$ 1,336,000 \quad \$ 1,456,000 \quad \$ 16,000 \quad \$-$
for
impairment
Ending $\quad \$ 239,398,000 \$ 29,453,000 \$ 83,733,000 \$ 16,089,000 \$ 349,824,000 \$ 5,113,000 \quad \$ 99,233,000 \quad \$ 15,597,000 \$-$
balance
collectively
evaluated
for
impairment
Troubled Debt Restructured
A troubled debt restructured ("TDR") constitutes a restructuring of debt if the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. To determine whether or not a loan should be classified as a TDR, Management evaluates a loan based upon the following criteria:

- The borrower demonstrates financial difficulty; common indicators include past due status with bank obligations, substandard credit bureau reports, or an inability to refinance with another lender, and The Company has granted a concession; common concession types include maturity date extension, interest rate adjustments to below market pricing, and deferment of payments.
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As of June 30, 2013, the Company had 105 loans with a value of $\$ 30,874,000$ that have been classified as TDRs. This compares to 101 loans with a value of $\$ 29,955,000$ and 82 loans with a value of $\$ 24,980,000$ classified as TDRs as of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The impairment carried as a specific reserve in the allowance for loan losses is calculated by present valuing the expected cash flows on the loan at the original interest rate, or, for collateral-dependent loans, using the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell.

The following table shows TDRs by class and the specific reserve as of June 30, 2013:

|  | Number of Loans | Balance | Specific <br> Reserves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 20 | \$13,593,000 | \$868,000 |
| Construction | 3 | 1,789,000 | 267,000 |
| Other | 23 | 3,491,000 | 607,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |
| Term | 54 | 11,149,000 | 167,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 5 | 852,000 | 7,000 |
| Consumer | - | - | - |
|  | 105 | \$30,874,000 | \$ 1,916,000 |

The following table shows TDRs by class and the specific reserve as of December 31, 2012:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array} \quad$ Balance $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Specific } \\ \text { Reserves }\end{array}\right]$

Edgar Filing: First Bancorp, Inc /ME/ - Form 10-Q
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The following table shows TDRs by class and the specific reserve as of June 30, 2012:
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array} \quad$ Balance $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Specific } \\ \text { Reserves }\end{array}\right]$

As of June 30, 2013, 12 of the loans classified as TDRs with a total balance of $\$ 2,197,000$ were more than 30 days past due. Of these loans, two loans with an outstanding balance of $\$ 524,000$ had been placed on TDR status in the previous 12 months. The following table shows these TDRs by class and the associated specific reserves included in the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2013:

|  | Number of <br> Loans | Balance | Specific <br> Reserves |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial | 2 | $\$ 319,000$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| $\quad$ Real estate | 1 | 423,000 | - |
| Construction | 2 | 370,000 | 9,000 |
| $\quad$ Other | - | - | - |
| Municipal | 7 | $1,085,000$ | 6,000 |
| Residential | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Term | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Construction | - | - | - |
| Home equity line of credit | - | $\$ 2,197,000$ | $\$ 20,000$ |

As of June 30, 2012, 13 of the loans classified as TDRs with a total balance of $\$ 2,487,000$ were more than 30 days past due. Of these loans, six loans with an outstanding balance of $\$ 864,000$ had been placed on TDR status in the previous 12 months. The following table shows these TDRs by class and the associated specific reserves included in the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2012:

## Page 26

|  | Number of Loans | Balance | Specific <br> Reserves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 1 | \$269,000 | \$ 111,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - |
| Other | 3 | 180,000 | - |
| Municipal | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |
| Term | 9 | 2,038,000 | 92,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - |
| Home equity line of credit | - | - | - |
| Consumer | - | - | - |
|  | 13 | \$2,487,000 | \$203,000 |

For the six months ended June 30, 2013, ten loans were placed on TDR status with an outstanding balance of $\$ 3,890,000$. This compares to 29 loans placed on TDR status with an outstanding balance of $\$ 8,107,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2012. These were considered TDRs because concessions had been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. Concessions include reductions in interest rates, principal and/or interest forbearance, payment extensions, or combinations thereof.
The following tables show loans placed on TDR status in the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, by class of loan and the associated specific reserve included in the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2013 and 2012:

Pre-Modification Post-Modification

| For the six months ended June 30, 2013 | Number of Loans | Outstanding <br> Recorded <br> Investment | Outstanding <br> Recorded <br> Investment | Specific <br> Reserves |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 2 | \$ 1,897,000 | \$ 1,897,000 | \$- |
| Construction | - | - | - | - |
| Other | 5 | 1,164,000 | 1,150,000 | \$- |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 2 | 625,000 | 475,000 | - |
| Construction | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity line of credit | 1 | 204,000 | 202,000 | - |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - |
|  | 10 | \$ 3,890,000 | \$ 3,724,000 | - |

Page 27

| For the six months ended June 30, 2012 | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Post-Modification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Specific |
|  |  | Recorded | Recorded | Reserves |
|  |  | Investment | Investment |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 12 | \$ 4,032,000 | \$ 3,845,000 | \$152,000 |
| Construction | 1 | 1,951,000 | 1,951,000 | 696,000 |
| Other | 9 | 713,000 | 712,000 | 544,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 7 | 1,411,000 | 1,411,000 | 76,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity line of credit | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - |
|  | 29 | \$ 8,107,000 | \$ 7,919,000 | \$1,468,000 |

For the quarter ended June 30, 2013, three loans were placed on TDR status with an outstanding balance of $\$ 936,000$. This compares to 15 loans placed on TDR status with an outstanding balance of $\$ 5,105,000$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. These were considered TDRs because concessions had been granted to borrowers experiencing financial difficulties. Concessions include reductions in interest rates, principal and/or interest forbearance, payment extensions, or combinations thereof.
The following tables show loans placed on TDR status in the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, by class of loan and the associated specific reserve included in the allowance for loan losses as of June 30, 2013 and 2012:

Pre-Modification Post-Modification
For the quarter ended June 30, 2013

| Commercial |  |  | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ Real estate | - | $\$-$ | - | - |
| Construction | 2 | - | 623,000 | 620,000 |
| Other | - | - | - | - |
| Municipal | 1 | 313,000 | 163,000 | $\$-$ |
| Residential | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Term | - | - | - | - |
| Construction <br> Home equity line of credit <br> Consumer | - | - | - | - |
|  | 3 | $\$ 936,000$ | $\$ 783,000$ | $\$-$ |

Page 28

| For the quarter ended June 30, 2012 | Number of Loans | Pre-Modification Post-Modification |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Outstanding | Outstanding | Specific |
|  |  | Recorded | Recorded | Reserves |
|  |  | Investment | Investment |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 5 | \$ 1,598,000 | \$ 1,449,000 | \$152,000 |
| Construction | 1 | 1,951,000 | 1,951,000 | 696,000 |
| Other | 6 | 701,000 | 700,000 | 545,000 |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 3 | 855,000 | 855,000 | 61,000 |
| Construction | - | - | - | - |
| Home equity line of credit | - | - | - | - |
| Consumer | - | - | - | - |
|  | 15 | \$ 5,105,000 | \$ 4,955,000 | \$1,454,000 |

As of June 30, 2013, Management is aware of ten loans classified as TDRs that are involved in bankruptcy with an outstanding balance of $\$ 970,000$. There were also 23 loans with an outstanding balance of $\$ 4,027,000$ that were classified as TDRs and on non-accrual status. Five loans with an outstanding balance of $\$ 450,000$, that were classified as TDRs, were in the process of foreclosure.

## Note 5 - Stock Options and Stock-Based Compensation

At the 2010 Annual Meeting, shareholders approved the 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"). This reserves 400,000 shares of common stock for issuance in connection with stock options, restricted stock awards and other equity based awards to attract and retain the best available personnel, provide additional incentive to officers, employees and non-employee Directors and promote the success of our business. Such grants and awards will be structured in a manner that does not encourage the recipients to expose the Company to undue or inappropriate risk. Options issued under the 2010 Plan will qualify for treatment as incentive stock options for purposes of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code. Other compensation under the 2010 Plan will qualify as performance-based for purposes of Section $162(\mathrm{~m})$ of the Internal Revenue Code, and will satisfy NASDAQ guidelines relating to equity compensation.
As of June 30, 2013, 46,841 shares of restricted stock had been granted under the 2010 Plan, as detailed in the following table:

| Year <br> Granted | Vesting Term <br> (In Years) | Shares | Remaining Term <br> (In Years) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 | 4.0 | 1,500 | 1.6 |
| 2011 | 5.0 | 5,500 | 2.6 |
| 2012 | 3.0 | 2,027 | 1.7 |
| 2012 | 4.0 | 2,704 | 2.7 |
| 2012 | 5.0 | 7,996 | 3.7 |
| 2013 | 2.0 | 8,529 | 1.7 |
| 2013 | 3.0 | 8,886 | 2.7 |
| 2013 | 5.0 | 9,699 | 4.7 |
|  |  | 46,841 | 3.0 |

The compensation cost related to these restricted stock grants was $\$ 756,000$ and will be recognized over the vesting terms of each grant. In the six months ended June 30, 2013, $\$ 107,000$ of expense was recognized for these restricted shares, leaving $\$ 540,000$ in unrecognized expense as of June 30, 2013. In the six months ended June 30, 2012, $\$ 40,000$ of expense was recognized for restricted shares, leaving $\$ 240,000$ in unrecognized expense as of June 30 , 2012.

The Company established a shareholder-approved stock option plan in 1995 (the "1995 Plan"), under which the Company granted options to employees for 600,000 shares of common stock. Only incentive stock options were granted under the 1995 Plan. The option price of each option grant was determined by the Options Committee of the Board of Directors, and in no
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instance was less than the fair market value on the date of the grant. An option's maximum term was ten years from the date of grant, with $50 \%$ of the options granted vesting two years from the date of grant and the remaining $50 \%$ vesting five years from the date of grant. As of January 16, 2005, all options under the 1995 Plan had been granted. The Company applies the fair value recognition provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 718 "Compensation - Stock Compensation", to stock-based employee compensation. As of June 30, 2013, all outstanding options were fully vested and all compensation cost for options had been recognized. A summary of the status of outstanding stock options as of June 30, 2013 and changes during the six-month period then ended, is presented below.

Outstanding at December 31, 2012
Granted in 2013
Exercised in 2013
Forfeited in 2013
Outstanding at June 30, 2013
Exercisable at June 30, 2013

| Number of <br> Shares | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise Price | Average <br> Remaining <br> Contractual <br> Term (In <br> years) | Aggregate <br> Intrinsic Value <br> (In thousands) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 42,000 | $\$ 18.00$ |  |  |
| - | - |  | - |
| - | - |  | - |
| 42,000 | $\$ 18.00$ | 1.6 | - |
| 42,000 | $\$ 18.00$ | 1.6 | - |

## Note 6 - Preferred and Common Stock

Preferred Stock
On January 9, 2009, the Company issued $\$ 25,000,000$ in Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, having a liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share, to the U.S. Treasury ("Treasury') under the Capital Purchase Program ("the CPP Shares"). The CPP Shares called for cumulative dividends at a rate of $5.0 \%$ per year for the first five years, and at a rate of $9.0 \%$ per year in following years, payable quarterly in arrears on February 15, May 15, August 15 and November 15 of each year.
On August 24, 2011, the Company repurchased $\$ 12,500,000$ of the CPP Shares. Almost all of the repayment was made from retained earnings accumulated since the preferred stock was issued in 2009. On March 27, 2013, the Company repurchased $\$ 2,500,000$ of the CPP Shares with funds from it's operating account. On May 8, 2013, the Company repurchased the remaining $\$ 10,000,000$ CPP Shares using proceeds from the Company's common stock offering in the first quarter of 2013, All the repurchase transactions were approved by the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, the Company's primary regulator.
Incident to such issuance of the CPP shares, the Company issued to the Treasury warrants (the "Warrants") to purchase up to 225,904 shares of the Company's common stock at a price per share of $\$ 16.60$ (subject to adjustment). The Warrants (and any shares of common stock issuable pursuant to the Warrants) are freely transferable by Treasury to third parties and the Company has filed a registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission to allow for possible resale of such securities.
The Warrants have a term of ten years and could be exercised by Treasury or a subsequent holder at any time or from time to time during their term. To the extent they had not previously been exercised, the Warrants will expire after ten years. Treasury will not vote any shares of common stock it receives upon exercise of the Warrants, but that restriction would not apply to third parties to whom Treasury transferred the Warrants. The proceeds from the sale of the CPP Shares were allocated between the CPP Shares and Warrants based on their relative fair values on the issue date. The fair value of the Warrants was determined using the Black-Scholes model which includes the following assumptions: common stock price of $\$ 16.60$ per share, dividend yield of $4.70 \%$, stock price volatility of $24.43 \%$, and a risk-free interest rate of $2.01 \%$. The discount on the CPP Shares was based on the value that was allocated to the Warrants upon issuance, and is being accreted back to the value of the CPP Shares over a five-year period (the expected life of the
shares upon issuance) on a straight-line basis. The Warrants were unchanged as a result of the CPP Shares repurchase transactions and remain outstanding.

Common Stock
On March 28, 2013, the Company consummated a fully underwritten offering for 760,771 shares of the Company's common stock, with net proceeds of $\$ 11,649,000$. The Company used these proceeds to repurchase the remaining $\$ 10,000,000$ of CPP Shares on May 8, 2013.
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Note 7 - Earnings Per Share
The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

For the six months ended June 30, 2013

Net income as reported
Less dividends and amortization of premium on preferred stock Basic EPS: Income available to common shareholders
Effect of dilutive securities: warrants and restricted stock Diluted EPS: Income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions
For the six months ended June 30, 2012
Net income as reported
Less dividends and amortization of premium on preferred stock
Basic EPS: Income available to common shareholders
Effect of dilutive securities:
restricted stock
Diluted EPS: Income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions

| Income <br> (Numerator) | Shares <br> (Denominator) | Per-Share <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 6,098,000$ |  |  |
| 345,000 |  |  |
| $5,753,000$ | $10,316,177$ <br> 47,799 | $\$ 0.56$ |
| $\$ 5,753,000$ | $10,363,976$ | $\$ 0.56$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\$ 6,236,000$ |  | $\$ 0.60$ |
| 362,000 |  |  |
| $5,874,000$ | $9,822,437$ | 15,461 |
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The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted EPS for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

For the quarter ended June 30, 2013
Net income as reported
Less dividends and amortization of premium on preferred stock
Basic EPS: Income available to common shareholders
Effect of dilutive securities: warrants and restricted stock
Diluted EPS: Income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions
For the quarter ended June 30, 2012
Net income as reported
Less dividends and amortization of premium on preferred stock
Basic EPS: Income available to common shareholders
Effect of dilutive securities:
restricted stock
Diluted EPS: Income available to common shareholders plus assumed conversions

| Income <br> (Numerator) | Shares <br> (Denominator) | Per-Share <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 3,242,000$ |  |  |
| 164,000 |  |  |
| $3,078,000$ | $10,610,615$ <br> 47,249 | $\$ 0.29$ |
| $\$ 3,078,000$ | $10,657,864$ | $\$ 0.29$ |
| $\$ 3,323,000$ |  |  |
| 181,000 |  | $\$ 0.32$ |
| $3,142,000$ | $9,824,568$ | 19,727 | All earnings per share calculations have been made using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. The potentially dilutive securities are incentive stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock granted to certain key members of Management and the warrants. The number of dilutive shares is calculated using the treasury method, assuming that all options and warrants were exercisable at the end of each period. Options and warrants that are out-of-the-money are not considered in the calculation of dilutive earnings per share as the effect would be anti-dilutive.

The following table presents the number of options and warrants outstanding as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the amount for which the market price at period end is above or below the strike price:

|  | Outstanding | In-the-Money | Out-of-the-Money |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| As of June 30, 2013 |  | - | 42,000 |
| Incentive stock options | 42,000 | 225,904 | - |
| Warrants issued to Treasury | 225,904 | 225,904 | 42,000 |
| Total dilutive securities | 267,904 | - | 42,000 |
| As of June 30, 2012 | 42,000 | - | 225,904 |
| Incentive stock options | 225,904 | - | 267,904 |

Note 8 - Employee Benefit Plans
401(k) Plan
The Bank has a defined contribution plan available to substantially all employees who have completed 3 months of service. Employees may contribute up to Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") determined limits and the Bank may match employee contributions not to exceed $3.0 \%$ of compensation depending on contribution level. Subject to a vote of the Board of Directors, the Bank may also make a profit-sharing contribution to the Plan. Such contribution equaled $2.0 \%$ of each eligible employee's compensation in 2012. The amount for 2013 has not been established. The expense related to the $401(\mathrm{k})$ plan was $\$ 199,000$ and $\$ 186,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

## Supplemental Retirement Benefits

The Bank also provides unfunded, non-qualified supplemental retirement benefits for certain officers, payable in installments over 20 years upon retirement or death. The agreements consist of individual contracts with differing characteristics that, when taken together, do not constitute a postretirement plan. The costs for these benefits are recognized over the service periods of
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the participating officers in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 712 "Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits". The expense of these supplemental retirement benefits was $\$ 155,000$ for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and $\$ 145,000$ for the same period in 2012. As of June 30, 2013, the associated accrued liability included in other liabilities in the balance sheet was $\$ 2,207,000$ compared to $\$ 2,080,000$ and $\$ 1,964,000$ at December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively.
Post-Retirement Benefit Plans
The Bank sponsors two post-retirement benefit plans. One plan currently provides a subsidy for health insurance premiums to certain retired employees and a future subsidy for seven active employees who were age 50 and over in 1996. These subsidies are based on years of service and range between $\$ 40$ and $\$ 1,200$ per month per person. The other plan provides life insurance coverage to certain retired employees and health insurance for retired directors. None of these plans are pre-funded. The Company utilizes FASB ASC Topic 712 "Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits" to recognize the overfunded or underfunded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan as an asset or liability in its balance sheet and to recognize changes in the funded status in the year in which the changes occur through comprehensive income. The following table sets forth the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation and funded status:

|  | At or for the six months ended <br> June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Change in benefit obligation | 2013 | 2012 |

The following table sets forth the net periodic pension cost:

| For the six months ended |  | For the quarters ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30, |  |
| 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| \$8,000 | \$34,000 | \$4,000 | \$ 17,000 |
| 52,000 | 56,000 | 26,000 | 28,000 |
| 5,000 | 14,000 | - | 7,000 |
| 4,000 | 6,000 | 4,000 | 3,000 |
| \$69,000 | \$ 110,000 | \$34,000 | \$55,000 |

Components of net periodic benefit cost
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Service cost } & \$ 8,000 & \$ 34,000 \\ \text { Interest cost } & 52,000 & 56,000 \\ \text { Amortization of unrecognized transition obligation } & 5,000 & 14,000 \\ \text { Amortization of accumulated losses } & 4,000 & 6,000 \\ \text { Net periodic benefit cost } & \$ 69,000 & \$ 110,000\end{array}$
Amounts not yet reflected in net periodic benefit cost and included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows:

Unamortized net actuarial loss
Unrecognized transition obligation
Deferred tax benefit at $35 \%$
Net unrecognized postretirement benefits included in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { June 30, } & \text { December 31, June 30, } & \\ 2013 & 2012 & 2012 & \\ \$(182,000 & ) & \$(186,000 & ) \\ - & (5,000 & ) & (200,000\end{array}\right)$

A weighted average discount rate of $4.5 \%$ was used in determining the accumulated benefit obligation and the net periodic benefit cost. The assumed health care cost trend rate is $7.0 \%$. The measurement date for benefit obligations was as of year-end for prior years presented. The expected benefit payments for the third quarter of 2013 are $\$ 26,000$ and the expected benefit payments for all of 2013 are $\$ 102,000$. Plan expense for 2013 is estimated to be $\$ 112,000$. A $1 \%$ change in trend assumptions
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would create an approximate change in the same direction of $\$ 100,000$ in the accumulated benefit obligation, $\$ 7,000$ in the interest cost and $\$ 1,000$ in the service cost.

Note 9 - Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
The following table summarizes activity in the unrealized gain or loss on available for sale securities included in other comprehensive income for the six-months and quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | For the six months ended June 30, |  |  |  | For the quarters ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$7,940,000 |  | \$7,401,000 |  | \$5,474,000 |  | \$7,088,00 |
| Unrealized gains (losses) arising during the period | (16,410,000 | ) | 2,159,000 |  | (12,915,000 | ) | 2,118,000 |
| Reclassification of realized gains during the period | (1,087,000 | ) | (1,967,000 | ) | (788,000 | ) | (1,444,000 |
| Related deferred taxes | 6,124,000 |  | (67,000 | ) | 4,796,000 |  | (236,000 |
| Net change | (11,373,000 | ) | 125,000 |  | (8,907,000 |  | 438,000 |
| Balance at end of period | \$(3,433,000 |  | \$7,526,000 |  | \$(3,433,000 |  | \$7,526,00 |

The reclassification of realized gains is included in the net securities gain line of the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income and the tax effect is included in the income tax expense line of the same statement. The following table summarizes activity in the unrealized gain or loss on postretirement benefits included in other comprehensive income for the six-months and quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | For the six months ended <br> June 30, |  | For the quarters ended <br> June 30, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |

The reclassification of unrecognized transition obligation is a component of net periodic benefit cost (see Note 8 ) and the income tax effect is included in the income tax expense line of the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income.

Note 10 - Acquisitions and Intangible Assets
On October 26, 2012, the Bank completed the purchase of a branch at 63 Union Street in Rockland, Maine, from Camden National Bank that was formerly operated by Bank of America. As part of the transaction, the Bank acquired approximately $\$ 32,300,000$ in deposits as well as a small volume of loans. On the same date, the Bank completed the purchase of a full-service bank building at 145 Exchange Street in Bangor, Maine, also from Camden National Bank, and opened a full-service branch in this building in the first quarter of 2013. The acquisition allows the Bank to expand its community banking franchise into eastern Maine and expand its presence in Rockland, Maine. The acquisition-date estimated fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in Rockland and Bangor were as
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| Assets | $\$ 25,297,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Cash | 224,000 |
| Loans | $3,776,000$ |
| Bank premises and equipment | 24,000 |
| Accrued interest receivable and other assets | 432,000 |
| Core deposit intangible | $2,121,000$ |
| Goodwill | $\$ 31,858,000$ |
| Liabilities | 16,000 |

The purchase premium of $\$ 2,600,000$ was allocated to assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on estimates of fair value at the date of acquisition. The fair value of the deposit accounts assumed was compared to the carrying amounts received and the difference of $\$ 432,000$ was recorded as core deposit intangible. The core deposit intangible is subject to amortization over the estimated ten-year average life of the acquired core deposit base and will be evaluated for impairment periodically. The amortization expense is included in noninterest expense in the consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income (loss) and is deductible for tax purposes. As of December 31, 2012, the amortization expense related to the core deposit intangible, absent any future impairment, is expected to be as follows:

| 2013 | $\$ 43,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 43,000 |
| 2015 | 43,000 |
| 2016 | 43,000 |
| 2017 | 43,000 |
| Thereafter | 217,000 |
| Total | $\$ 432,000$ |

The banking facilities were valued at the most recent tax assessed value, which approximates fair value. The loans acquired were recorded at fair value at the time of acquisition. The estimated fair value of the loans acquired is equal to the carrying value. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and the amount allocated for core deposit intangible totaled $\$ 2,121,000$ and was recorded as goodwill. The goodwill is not amortizable for GAAP but is amortizable for tax purposes. Management periodically assesses qualitative factors to determine whether goodwill is impaired. Management is not aware of any such events or circumstances that would cause it to conclude that the goodwill is impaired.
On January 14, 2005, the Company acquired FNB Bankshares ("FNB") of Bar Harbor, Maine, and its subsidiary, The First National Bank of Bar Harbor. The total value of the transaction was $\$ 47,955,000$, and all of the voting equity interest of FNB was acquired in the transaction. The transaction was accounted for as a purchase and the excess of purchase price over the fair value of net identifiable assets acquired equaled $\$ 27,559,000$ and was recorded as goodwill, none of which was deductible for tax purposes. The portion of the purchase price related to the core deposit intangible is being amortized over its expected economic life, and goodwill is evaluated annually for possible impairment under the provisions of FASB ASC Topic 350, "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other". As of December 31, 2012, in accordance with Topic 350, the Company completed its annual review of goodwill and determined there has been no impairment. The Bank also carries $\$ 125,000$ in goodwill for a de minimus transaction in 2001.

Note 11 - Mortgage Servicing Rights

FASB ASC Topic 940 "Financial Services - Mortgage Banking" requires all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities to be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. The Company's servicing assets and servicing liabilities are reported using the amortization method and carried at the lower of amortized cost or fair value by strata. In evaluating the carrying values of mortgage servicing rights, the Company obtains third party valuations based on loan level data including note rate, type and term of the underlying loans. The model utilizes several assumptions, the most significant of which is loan prepayments, calculated using a three-month moving average of weekly prepayment data published by the Public Securities
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Association (PSA) and modeled against the serviced loan portfolio, and the discount rate to discount future cash flows. As of June 30, 2013, the prepayment assumption using the PSA model was 268, which translates into an anticipated prepayment rate of $16.06 \%$. The discount rate is the quarterly average 10 year U.S. Treasury plus $5.09 \%$. Other assumptions include delinquency rates, foreclosure rates, servicing cost inflation, and annual unit loan cost. All assumptions are adjusted periodically to reflect current circumstances. Amortization of mortgage servicing rights, as well as write-offs due to prepayments of the related mortgage loans, are recorded as a charge against mortgage servicing fee income.
For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, servicing rights capitalized totaled $\$ 479,000$ and $\$ 81,000$, respectively. Servicing rights capitalized for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, were \$224,000 and $\$ 70,000$ respectively. Servicing rights amortized for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, were $\$ 265,000$ and $\$ 334,000$, respectively. The fair value of servicing rights was $\$ 1,757,000, \$ 1,228,000$ and $\$ 1,075,000$ at June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. The Bank serviced loans for others totaling $\$ 212,106,000, \$ 205,859,000$ and $\$ 213,035,000$ at June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and June 30, 2012, respectively. Mortgage servicing rights are included in other assets and detailed in the following table:

Mortgage servicing rights
$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\text { June 30, } & \text { December 31, } & \text { June 30, } \\ 2013 & 2012 & 2012 & \\ \$ 6,909,000 & \$ 6,430,000 & \$ 6,177,000 & \\ (5,738,000 & ) & (5,473,000 & (5,170,000\end{array}\right)$

## Note 12 - Income Taxes

FASB ASC Topic 740 "Income Taxes," defines the criteria that an individual tax position must satisfy for some or all of the benefits of that position to be recognized in a company's financial statements. Topic 740 prescribes a recognition threshold of more-likely-than-not, and a measurement attribute for all tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return, in order for those tax positions to be recognized in the financial statements. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the IRS for the years ended December 31, 2010 through 2012.

Note 13 - Certificates of Deposit
The following table represents the breakdown of certificates of deposit at June 30, 2013 and 2012, and at December 31, 2012:

| June 30, 2013 | December 31, 2012 | June 30, 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 197,888,000$ | $\$ 199,265,000$ | $\$ 239,635,000$ |
| $334,361,000$ | $277,571,000$ | $313,742,000$ |
| $37,160,000$ | $28,220,000$ | $60,501,000$ |
| $\$ 569,409,000$ | $\$ 505,056,000$ | $\$ 613,878,000$ |

Note 14 - Reclassifications
Certain items from the prior year were reclassified in the financial statements to conform with the current year presentation. These do not have a material impact on the consolidated balance sheet or statement of income and comprehensive income (loss) presentations.

## Note 15 - Fair Value

Certain assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value to provide additional insight into the Company's quality of earnings. Some of these assets and liabilities are measured on a recurring basis while others are measured on a nonrecurring basis, with the determination based upon applicable existing accounting pronouncements. For example, securities available for sale are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Other assets, such as, other real estate owned and impaired loans, are recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis using the lower of cost or market
methodology to determine impairment of individual assets. The Company groups assets and liabilities which are recorded at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value. A financial instrument's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement (with level 1 considered highest and level 3 considered lowest). A brief description of each level follows.
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Level 1 - Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
Level 2 - Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.
Level 3 - Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. Valuation includes use of discounted cash flow models and similar techniques.

The fair value methods and assumptions for the Company's financial instruments and other assets measured at fair value are set forth below.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Interest-Bearing Deposits in Other Banks
The carrying values of cash equivalents, due from banks and federal funds sold approximate their relative fair values. As such, the Company classifies these financial instruments as Level 1.

## Investment Securities

The fair values of investment securities are estimated by independent providers using a market approach with observable inputs. In obtaining such valuation information from third parties, the Company has evaluated their valuation methodologies used to develop the fair values in order to determine whether the valuations are representative of an exit price in the Company's principal markets. The Company's principal markets for its securities portfolios are the secondary institutional markets, with an exit price that is predominantly reflective of bid level pricing in those markets. Fair values are calculated based on the value of one unit without regard to any premium or discount that may result from concentrations of ownership of a financial instrument, possible tax ramifications, or estimated transaction costs. If these considerations had been incorporated into the fair value estimates, the aggregate fair value could have been changed. The carrying values of restricted equity securities approximate fair values. As such, the Company classifies investment securities as Level 2.

## Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are recorded at the lower of carrying value or market value. The fair value of mortgage loans held for sale is based on what secondary markets are currently offering for portfolios with similar characteristics. As such, the Company classifies mortgage loans held for sale as Level 2.

Loans
Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. The fair values of performing loans are calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit and interest risk inherent in the loan. The estimates of maturity are based on the Company's historical experience with repayments for each loan classification, modified, as required, by an estimate of the effect of current economic and lending conditions, and the effects of estimated prepayments. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows, and discount rates are judgmentally determined using available market information and specific borrower information. Management has made estimates of fair value using discount rates that it believes to be reasonable. However, because there is no market for many of these financial instruments, Management has no basis to determine whether the fair value presented above would be indicative of the value negotiated in an actual sale. As such, the Company classifies loans as Level 3, except for collateral dependent impaired loans. Fair values of impaired loans are based on estimated cash flows and are discounted using a rate commensurate with the risk associated with the estimated cash flows, or if collateral dependent, discounted to the appraised value of the collateral, less costs to sell. As such, the Company classifies collateral dependent impaired loans as Level 2 and all other impaired loans as level 3.

Other Real Estate Owned
Real estate acquired through foreclosure is initially recorded at fair value. The fair value of other real estate owned is based on property appraisals and an analysis of similar properties currently available. As such, the Company records other real estate owned as nonrecurring Level 2.

Mortgage Servicing Rights
Mortgage servicing rights represent the value associated with servicing residential mortgage loans. Servicing assets and servicing liabilities are reported using the amortization method and compared to fair value for impairment. In evaluating the fair values of mortgage servicing rights, the Company obtains third party valuations based on loan level data including note rate, type and term of the underlying loans. As such, the Company classifies mortgage servicing rights as Level 2.
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## Accrued Interest Receivable

The fair value estimate of this financial instrument approximates the carrying value as this financial instrument has a short maturity. It is the Company's policy to stop accruing interest on loans for which it is probable that the interest is not collectible. Therefore, this financial instrument has been adjusted for estimated credit loss. As such, the Company classifies accrued interest receivable as Level 2.

## Deposits

The fair value of deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. The fair value estimates do not include the benefit that results from the low-cost funding provided by the deposits compared to the cost of borrowing funds in the market. If that value were considered, the fair value of the Company's net assets could increase. As such, the Company classifies deposits as Level 2.

## Borrowed Funds

The fair value of borrowed funds is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently available for borrowings of similar remaining maturities. As such, the Company classifies borrowed funds as Level 2.

Accrued Interest Payable
The fair value estimate approximates the carrying amount as this financial instrument has a short maturity. As such, the Company classifies accrued interest payable as Level 2.

Off-Balance-Sheet Instruments
Off-balance-sheet instruments include loan commitments. Fair values for loan commitments have not been presented as the future revenue derived from such financial instruments is not significant.

## Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These values do not reflect any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a significant portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on Management's judgments regarding future expected loss experience, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimates are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates. Fair value estimates are based on existing on- and off-balance-sheet financial instruments without attempting to estimate the value of anticipated future business and the value of assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments. Other significant assets and liabilities that are not considered financial instruments include the deferred tax asset, premises and equipment, and other real estate owned. In addition, tax ramifications related to the realization of the unrealized gains and losses can have a significant effect on fair value estimates and have not been considered in any of the estimates.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis
The following tables present the balances of assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012.

|  | At June 30, 2013 <br> Level 1 |  | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Securities available for sale <br> Mortgage-backed securities | $\$-$ | $\$ 157,524,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 157,524,000$ |  |
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| State and political subdivisions | - | $128,539,000$ | - | $128,539,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other equity securities | - | $1,672,000$ | - | $1,672,000$ |
| Total assets | $\$-$ | $\$ 287,735,000$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 287,735,000$ |
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| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$- | \$169,093,000 | \$- | \$169,093,000 |
| State and political subdivisions | - | 120,944,000 | - | 120,944,000 |
| Other equity securities | - | 1,577,000 | - | 1,577,000 |
| Total assets | \$- | \$291,614,000 | \$- | \$291,614,000 |
|  | At June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$- | \$206,979,000 | \$- | \$206,979,000 |
| State and political subdivisions | - | 98,593,000 | - | 98,593,000 |
| Other equity securities | - | 1,775,000 | - | 1,775,000 |
| Total assets | \$- | \$307,347,000 | \$- | \$307,347,000 |

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Non-Recurring Basis
The following tables include assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis that have had a fair value adjustment since their initial recognition. Other real estate owned is presented net of an allowance of $\$ 547,000$, $\$ 373,000$ and $\$ 385,000$ at June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and June 30, 2012, respectively. Impaired loans measured at fair value only include impaired loans with a related specific allowance for loan losses and are presented net of specific allowances of $\$ 877,000, \$ 3,539,000$ and $\$ 4,177,000$ at June 30, 2013, December 31, 2012, and June 30, 2012, respectively. The December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012 non-recurring fair value table includes all impaired loans with a related allowance. The Company refined its process for identifying impaired loans for purposes of fair value disclosures; accordingly the June 30, 2013 fair value table only includes those impaired loans for which the related allowance results in a fair value measure, as described above.

|  | At June 30, 2013 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Other real estate owned | \$- | \$5,826,000 | \$- | \$5,826,000 |
| Impaired loans | - | 3,911,000 | - | 3,911,000 |
| Total assets | \$- | \$9,737,000 | \$- | \$9,737,000 |
|  | At December 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Other real estate owned | \$- | \$7,593,000 | \$- | \$7,593,000 |
| Impaired loans | - | 13,923,000 | - | 13,923,000 |
| Total assets | \$- | \$21,516,000 | \$- | \$21,516,000 |
|  | At June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
|  | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| Other real estate owned | \$- | \$5,188,000 | \$- | \$5,188,000 |
| Impaired loans | - | 14,734,000 | - | 14,734,000 |
| Total assets | \$- | \$ 19,922,000 | \$- | \$19,922,000 |
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## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

FASB ASC Topic 825 "Financial Instruments" requires disclosures of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the balance sheet, if the fair values can be reasonably determined. Fair value is best determined based upon quoted market prices. However, in many instances, there are no quoted market prices for the Company's various financial instruments. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair values are based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques using observable inputs when available. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. Accordingly, the fair value estimates may not be realized in an immediate settlement of the instrument. Topic 825 excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements.
Accordingly, the aggregate fair value amounts presented may not necessarily represent the underlying fair value of the Company.

The carrying amount and estimated fair values for financial instruments as of June 30, 2013 were as follows:

|  | Carrying value | Estimated fair value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$18,683,000 | \$ 18,683,000 | \$ 18,683,000 | \$- | \$- |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks | 334,000 | 334,000 | 334,000 | - | - |
| Securities available for sale | 287,735,000 | 287,735,000 | - | 287,735,000 | - |
| Securities to be held to maturity | 177,264,000 | 174,790,000 | - | 174,790,000 | - |
| Restricted equity securities | 13,912,000 | 13,912,000 | - | 13,912,000 | - |
| Loans held for sale | 1,047,000 | 1,047,000 | - | 1,047,000 | - |
| Loans (net of allowance for loan losses) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 245,338,000 | 243,846,000 | - | 2,334,000 | 241,512,000 |
| Construction | 17,984,000 | 17,875,000 | - | 0 | 17,875,000 |
| Other | 88,533,000 | 88,601,000 | - | 755,000 | 87,846,000 |
| Municipal | 14,865,000 | 15,599,000 | - | - | 15,599,000 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 373,381,000 | 379,161,000 | - | 789,000 | 378,372,000 |
| Construction | 4,749,000 | 4,736,000 | - | - | 4,736,000 |
| Home equity line of credit | 94,194,000 | 93,904,000 | - | 33,000 | 93,871,000 |
| Consumer | 14,357,000 | 14,714,000 | - | - | 14,714,000 |
| Total loans | 853,401,000 | 858,436,000 | - | 3,911,000 | 854,525,000 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 1,153,000 | 1,757,000 | - | 1,757,000 | - |
| Accrued interest receivable | 6,443,000 | 6,443,000 | - | 6,443,000 | - |
| Financial liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$88,540,000 | \$82,280,000 | \$- | \$82,280,000 | \$- |
| NOW deposits | 139,022,000 | 122,048,000 | - | 122,048,000 | - |
| Money market deposits | 87,993,000 | 71,120,000 | - | 71,120,000 | - |
| Savings deposits | 142,718,000 | 121,525,000 | - | 121,525,000 | - |
| Local certificates of deposit | 224,472,000 | 227,421,000 | - | 227,421,000 | - |
| National certificates of deposit | 344,937,000 | 347,693,000 | - | 347,693,000 | - |
| Total deposits | 1,027,682,000 | 972,087,000 | - | 972,087,000 | - |
| Repurchase agreements | 85,731,000 | 85,731,000 | - | 85,731,000 | - |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advance | 171,377,000 | 176,813,000 | - | 176,813,000 | - |
| Total borrowed funds | 257,108,000 | 262,544,000 | - | 262,544,000 | - |
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The carrying amounts and estimated fair values for financial instruments as of December 31, 2012 were as follows:

|  | Carrying value | Estimated fair value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$14,958,000 | \$14,958,000 | \$14,958,000 | \$- | \$- |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks | 1,638,000 | 1,638,000 | 1,638,000 | - | - |
| Securities available for sale | 291,614,000 | 291,614,000 | - | 291,614,000 | - |
| Securities to be held to maturity | 143,320,000 | 150,247,000 | - | 150,247,000 | - |
| Restricted equity securities | 14,448,000 | 14,448,000 | - | 14,448,000 | - |
| Loans held for sale | 1,035,000 | 1,035,000 | - | 1,035,000 | - |
| Loans (net of allowance for loan losses) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 245,046,000 | 244,365,000 | - | 4,865,000 | 239,500,000 |
| Construction | 20,960,000 | 20,902,000 | - | 2,284,000 | 18,618,000 |
| Other | 78,985,000 | 79,312,000 | - | 472,000 | 78,840,000 |
| Municipal | 14,685,000 | 16,058,000 | - | - | 16,058,000 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 378,258,000 | 390,223,000 | - | 6,302,000 | 383,921,000 |
| Construction | 6,447,000 | 6,430,000 | - | - | 6,430,000 |
| Home equity line of credit | 98,381,000 | 99,038,000 | - | - | 99,038,000 |
| Consumer | 14,022,000 | 14,392,000 | - | - | 14,392,000 |
| Total loans | 856,784,000 | 870,720,000 | - | 13,923,000 | 856,797,000 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 867,000 | 1,228,000 | - | 1,228,000 | - |
| Accrued interest receivable | 4,912,000 | 4,912,000 | - | 4,912,000 | - |
| Financial liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$90,252,000 | \$91,544,000 | \$- | \$91,544,000 | \$- |
| NOW deposits | 147,309,000 | 141,436,000 | - | 141,436,000 | - |
| Money market deposits | 80,983,000 | 71,799,000 | - | 71,799,000 | - |
| Savings deposits | 135,250,000 | 126,142,000 | - | 126,142,000 | - |
| Local certificates of deposit | 218,571,000 | 223,748,000 | - | 223,748,000 | - |
| National certificates of deposit | 286,485,000 | 290,457,000 | - | 290,457,000 | - |
| Total deposits | 958,850,000 | 945,126,000 | - | 945,126,000 | - |
| Repurchase agreements | 101,504,000 | 101,504,000 | - | 101,504,000 | - |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 181,401,000 | 189,321,000 | - | 189,321,000 | - |
| Total borrowed funds | 282,905,000 | 290,825,000 | - | 290,825,000 | - |
| Accrued interest payable | 619,000 | 619,000 | - | 619,000 | - |
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The carrying amount and estimated fair values for financial instruments as of June 30, 2012 were as follows:

|  | Carrying value | Estimated fair value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$14,192,000 | \$14,192,000 | \$ 14,192,000 | \$- | \$- |
| Interest bearing deposits in other banks | - | - | - | - | - |
| Securities available for sale | 307,347,000 | 307,347,000 | - | 307,347,000 | - |
| Securities to be held to maturity | 135,775,000 | 143,628,000 | - | 143,628,000 | - |
| Restricted equity securities | 14,448,000 | 14,448,000 | - | 14,448,000 | - |
| Loans held for sale | 378,000 | 378,000 | - | 378,000 | - |
| Loans (net of allowance for loan |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | 246,597,000 | 247,044,000 | - | 2,349,000 | 244,695,000 |
| Construction | 31,507,000 | 31,564,000 | - | 1,368,000 | 30,196,000 |
| Other | 84,952,000 | 85,322,000 | - | 919,000 | 84,403,000 |
| Municipal | 16,068,000 | 17,640,000 | - | - | 17,640,000 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 367,152,000 | 380,115,000 | - | 9,391,000 | 370,724,000 |
| Construction | 6,386,000 | 6,380,000 | - | 286,000 | 6,094,000 |
| Home equity line of credit | 99,810,000 | 100,546,000 | - | 416,000 | 100,130,000 |
| Consumer | 14,958,000 | 15,868,000 | - | 5,000 | 15,863,000 |
| Total loans | 867,430,000 | 884,479,000 | - | 14,734,000 | 869,745,000 |
| Mortgage servicing rights | 830,000 | 1,075,000 | - | 1,075,000 | - |
| Accrued interest receivable | 6,024,000 | 6,024,000 | - | 6,024,000 | - |
| Financial liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits | \$77,019,000 | \$77,250,000 | \$- | \$77,250,000 | \$- |
| NOW deposits | 123,897,000 | 117,571,000 | - | 117,571,000 | - |
| Money market deposits | 71,009,000 | 62,251,000 | - | 62,251,000 | - |
| Savings deposits | 119,471,000 | 110,169,000 | - | 110,169,000 | - |
| Local certificates of deposit | 212,845,000 | 218,300,000 | - | 218,300,000 | - |
| National certificates of deposit | 401,033,000 | 405,539,000 | - | 405,539,000 | - |
| Total deposits | 1,005,274,000 | 991,080,000 | - | 991,080,000 | - |
| Repurchase agreements | 90,537,000 | 90,537,000 | - | 90,537,000 | - |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 158,389,000 | 166,535,000 | - | 166,535,000 | - |
| Total borrowed funds | 248,926,000 | 257,072,000 | - | 257,072,000 | - |
| Accrued interest payable | 608,000 | 608,000 | - | 608,000 | - |

Note 16 - Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Standards
In February 2013, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-02, Reporting of Amounts Reclassified out of Accumulated Comprehensive Income. The ASU adds new disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) and is intended to help entities improve the transparency of changes in other comprehensive income and items reclassified out of AOCI in their financial statements. The guidance is effective prospectively for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012, with early adoption permitted. Adoption of this new guidance did not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
The First Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary
Forward-Looking Statements
This report contains statements that are "forward-looking statements." We may also make written or oral
forward-looking statements in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), in our annual reports to shareholders, in press releases and other written materials, and in oral statements made by our officers, directors or employees. You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of the words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "estimate," "assume," "outlook," "will," "should," and other expressions that predict or indicate future events and trends and which do not relate to historical matters. You should not rely on forward-looking statements, because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the control of the Company. These risks, uncertainties and other factors may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from the anticipated future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements.
Some of the factors that might cause these differences include the following: changes in general national, regional or international economic conditions or conditions affecting the banking or financial services industries or financial capital markets, volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets, government intervention in the U.S. financial system, reductions in net interest income resulting from interest rate volatility as well as changes in the balance and mix of loans and deposits, reductions in the market value of wealth management assets under administration, changes in the value of securities and other assets, reductions in loan demand, changes in loan collectability, default and charge-off rates, changes in the size and nature of the Company's competition, changes in legislation or regulation and accounting principles, policies and guidelines, and changes in the assumptions used in making such forward-looking statements. In addition, the factors described under "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC, may result in these differences. You should carefully review all of these factors, and you should be aware that there may be other factors that could cause these differences. These forward-looking statements were based on information, plans and estimates at the date of this quarterly report, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, new information, future events or other changes.
Although the Company believes that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, actual results may differ materially from the results discussed in these forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. The Company undertakes no obligation to republish revised forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures made by the Company, which attempt to advise interested parties of the facts that affect the Company's business.
Critical Accounting Policies
Management's discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition is based on the consolidated financial statements which are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of such financial statements requires Management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, Management evaluates its estimates, including those related to the allowance for loan losses, goodwill, the valuation of mortgage servicing rights, and other-than-temporary impairment on securities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis in making judgments about the carrying values of assets that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from the amount derived from Management's estimates and assumptions under different assumptions or conditions.
Allowance for Loan Losses. Management believes the allowance for loan losses requires the most significant estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. The allowance for loan losses is based on Management's evaluation of the level of the allowance required in relation to the estimated loss
exposure in the loan portfolio. Management believes the allowance for loan losses is a significant estimate and therefore regularly evaluates it to determine the appropriate level by taking into consideration factors such as prior loan loss experience, the character and size of the loan portfolio, business and economic conditions and Management's estimation of potential losses. The use of different estimates or assumptions could produce different provisions for loan losses.
Goodwill. Management utilizes numerous techniques to estimate the value of various assets held by the Company, including methods to determine the appropriate carrying value of goodwill as required under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 350 "Intangibles - Goodwill and Other." In addition, goodwill from a purchase acquisition is subject to ongoing periodic impairment tests, which include an evaluation of the ongoing assets, liabilities and revenues from the acquisition and an estimation of the impact of business conditions.
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Mortgage Servicing Rights. The valuation of mortgage servicing rights is a critical accounting policy which requires significant estimates and assumptions. The Bank often sells mortgage loans it originates and retains the ongoing servicing of such loans, receiving a fee for these services, generally $0.25 \%$ of the outstanding balance of the loan per annum. Mortgage servicing rights are recognized at fair value when they are acquired through the sale of loans, and are reported in other assets. They are amortized into non-interest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying financial assets. The rights are subsequently carried at the lower of amortized cost or fair value. Management uses an independent firm which specializes in the valuation of mortgage servicing rights to determine the fair value which is recorded on the balance sheet. The most important assumption is the anticipated loan prepayment rate, and increases in prepayment speed results in lower valuations of mortgage servicing rights. The valuation also includes an evaluation for impairment based upon the fair value of the rights, which can vary depending upon current interest rates and prepayment expectations, as compared to amortized cost. Impairment is determined by stratifying rights by predominant characteristics, such as interest rates and terms. The use of different assumptions could produce a different valuation. All of the assumptions are based on standards the Company believes would be utilized by market participants in valuing mortgage servicing rights and are consistently derived and/or benchmarked against independent public sources.
Other-Than-Temporary Impairment on Securities. One of the significant estimates related to investment securities is the evaluation of other-than-temporary impairments. The evaluation of securities for other-than-temporary impairments is a quantitative and qualitative process, which is subject to risks and uncertainties and is intended to determine whether declines in the fair value of investments should be recognized in current period earnings. The risks and uncertainties include changes in general economic conditions, the issuer's financial condition and/or future prospects, the effects of changes in interest rates or credit spreads and the expected recovery period of unrealized losses. Securities that are in an unrealized loss position are reviewed at least quarterly to determine if other-than-temporary impairment is present based on certain quantitative and qualitative factors and measures. The primary factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in value of securities is other-than-temporary include: (a) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost or amortized cost and the expected recovery period of the security, (b) the financial condition, credit rating and future prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the debtor is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments, (d) the volatility of the securities' market price, (e) the intent and ability of the Company to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for recovery, which may be at maturity and (f) any other information and observable data considered relevant in determining whether other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, including the expectation of receipt of all principal and interest when due.
Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures
Certain information in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this Report contains financial information determined by methods other than in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). Management uses these "non-GAAP" measures in its analysis of the Company's performance and believes that these non-GAAP financial measures provide a greater understanding of ongoing operations and enhance comparability of results with prior periods as well as demonstrating the effects of significant gains and charges in the current period. The Company believes that a meaningful analysis of its financial performance requires an understanding of the factors underlying that performance. Management believes that investors may use these non-GAAP financial measures to analyze financial performance without the impact of unusual items that may obscure trends in the Company's underlying performance. These disclosures should not be viewed as a substitute for operating results determined in accordance with GAAP, nor are they necessarily comparable to non-GAAP performance measures that may be presented by other companies.
In several places net interest income is presented on a fully taxable-equivalent basis. Specifically included in interest income was tax-exempt interest income from certain investment securities and loans. An amount equal to the tax benefit derived from this tax exempt income has been added back to the interest income total, which adjustments increased net interest income accordingly. Management believes the disclosure of tax-equivalent net interest income information improves the clarity of financial analysis, and is particularly useful to investors in understanding and
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evaluating the changes and trends in the Company's results of operations. Other financial institutions commonly present net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis. This adjustment is considered helpful in the comparison of one financial institution's net interest income to that of another, as each will have a different proportion of tax-exempt interest from its earning assets. Moreover, net interest income is a component of a second financial measure commonly used by financial institutions, net interest margin, which is the ratio of net interest income to average earning assets. For purposes of this measure as well, other financial institutions generally use tax-equivalent net interest income to provide a better basis of comparison from institution to institution. The Company follows these practices. The following table provides a reconciliation of tax-equivalent financial information to the Company's consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP. A $35.0 \%$ tax rate was used in both 2013 and 2012.
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Dollars in thousands
Net interest income as presented
Effect of tax-exempt income
Net interest income, tax equivalent

For the six months ended June 30,
$2013 \quad 2012$
\$18,274 \$19,724
1,726
\$20,000

1,527
\$21,251

For the quarters ended June 30, $2013 \quad 2012$ \$9,111 875 \$9,986
\$9,918 763
\$ 10,681

The Company presents its efficiency ratio using non-GAAP information. The GAAP-based efficiency ratio is noninterest expenses divided by net interest income plus noninterest income from the Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income (Loss). The non-GAAP efficiency ratio excludes securities losses and other-than-temporary impairment charges from noninterest expenses, excludes securities gains from noninterest income, and adds the tax-equivalent adjustment to net interest income. The following table provides a reconciliation between the GAAP and non-GAAP efficiency ratio:


Dollars in thousands
Non-interest expense, as presented
Net interest income, as presented
Effect of tax-exempt income
Non-interest income, as presented
Effect of non-interest tax-exempt income
Net securities gains
Adjusted net interest income plus non-interest income
$\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Non-GAAP efficiency ratio } & 57.26 & \% & 50.74 & \% & 57.90 & \% & 51.06 \\ \text { GAAP efficiency ratio } & 58.92 & \% & 50.05 & \% & 58.49 & \% & 48.72\end{array}$
The Company presents certain information based upon average tangible shareholders' common equity instead of total average shareholders' equity. The difference between these measures is the Company's intangible assets, specifically goodwill from prior acquisitions. Management, banking regulators and many stock analysts use the tangible common equity ratio and the tangible book value per common share in conjunction with more traditional bank capital ratios to compare the capital adequacy of banking organizations with significant amounts of goodwill or other intangible assets, typically stemming from the use of the purchase accounting method in accounting for mergers and acquisitions. The following table provides a reconciliation of average tangible shareholders' common equity to the Company's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles:

## Dollars in thousands

Average shareholders' equity as presented
Less preferred stock
Less intangible assets
Average tangible shareholders' common equity

| For the six months ended <br> June 30, For the quarters ended <br> June 30,  <br> 2013 2012 2013 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\left.\begin{array}{llll}\$ 158,472 & \$ 154,187 & 159,092 & 2012 \\ (8,106 & ) & (12,317 & ) \\ (30,746 & (28,522 & ) & (30,746\end{array}\right)(154,831$ |  |  |  |
| 119,620 | 113,348 | 124,352 | $(28,529$ |

## Executive Summary

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was $\$ 6.1$ million, down $\$ 138,000$ or $2.2 \%$ from the same period in 2012. Earnings per common share on a fully diluted basis were $\$ 0.56$ for the six months ended June 30, 2013, down $\$ 0.04$ or $6.7 \%$ from the $\$ 0.60$ posted for the same period in 2012 . For the quarter ended June 30 , 2013, net income was $\$ 3.2$ million, down $\$ 81,000$ or $2.4 \%$ from the same period in 2012 . Earnings per common share on a fully diluted basis were $\$ 0.29$ for the quarter ended June 30,2013 , down $\$ 0.03$ or $9.4 \%$ from the $\$ 0.32$ posted in 2012. Compared to the previous quarter, net income was up $\$ 386,000$ or $13.5 \%$ and earnings per common share on a fully diluted basis
were up $\$ 0.02$ or $7.4 \%$.
Net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis was down $\$ 1.3$ million or $5.9 \%$ in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Margin compression, which is the result of the low interest rate environment, with a higher volume of assets continuing to reprice downward without the opportunity to reprice a comparable volume of liabilities,
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can be seen in our net interest margin, which dropped from $3.19 \%$ for the six months ended June 30,2012 to $3.04 \%$ for same period in 2013. Compression was responsible for $\$ 978,000$ of the decline in net interest income, while lower volumes of earning assets were responsible for $\$ 284,000$. This decline was offset by a lower provision for loan losses during the six months ended June 20, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.
For the quarter ended June 30, 2013, net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis declined $\$ 695,000$ or $6.5 \%$ compared to the same period in 2012. Compared to the previous quarter, net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis was down $\$ 27,000$ or $0.3 \%$. As stated above, this decline in net interest income was offset by lower provision for loan losses for the second quarter 2013 compared to the second quarter 2012.
Non-interest income in the six months ended June 30,2013 was $\$ 803,000$ or $13.2 \%$ higher than in the six months ended June 30, 2012. This was attributable primarily to an increase in origination income from the sale of refinanced mortgage loans into the secondary market. Non-interest expense was $\$ 1.9$ million or $14.8 \%$ higher than in the same period in 2012, due to higher operating costs related to the opening of the de novo Bangor office, as well as from the Union Street Branch in Rockland that we acquired in the fourth quarter 2012. The $\$ 1.9$ million increase in non-interest expense was offset by the lower provision for loan losses as well as the increase in non-interest income.
The lower provision for loan losses for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six months ended June 30,2012 is attributable to the improving trend in credit quality seen over the past several quarters. Net loan chargeoffs for the six months ended June 30, 2013, were $\$ 2.5$ million or $0.59 \%$ of average loans on an annualized basis. This was down $\$ 1.0$ million from net chargeoffs of $\$ 3.5$ million or $0.81 \%$ of average loans on an annualized basis for the first six months ended June 30, 2012. We provisioned $\$ 2.7$ million for loan losses in the six months ended June 30, 2013, down $\$ 2.2$ million from the amount provisioned in the six months ended June 30, 2012. The allowance for loan losses increased $\$ 170,000$ between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013, and is $1.46 \%$ of loans outstanding compared to $1.44 \%$ at year end and $1.63 \%$ a year ago. Total past-due loans were $2.80 \%$ of total loans as of June 30, 2013, compared to $2.67 \%$ of total loans as of December 31, 2012, and $2.27 \%$ of total loans as of June 30, 2012. Non-performing assets stood at $1.75 \%$ of total assets as of June 30, 2013, below $1.87 \%$ of total assets at December 31, 2012 and well below $1.91 \%$ a year ago.
Total assets have increased $\$ 29.5$ million or $2.1 \%$ year-to-date. The loan portfolio decreased $\$ 3.2$ million in the six months ended June 30, 2013 and decreased $\$ 15.7$ million from a year ago. The investment portfolio has increased $\$ 29.5$ million or $6.6 \%$ year-to-date and $\$ 21.3$ million or $4.7 \%$ from a year ago. On the liability side of the balance sheet, low-cost deposits have decreased $\$ 2.5$ million or $0.7 \%$ year-to-date, and increased $\$ 49.9$ million or $15.6 \%$ over the past year. This year-over-year increase is the result of healthy deposit inflows added in October 2012 with the purchase of the Union Street branch in Rockland. Local certificates of deposit (CDs) increased $\$ 11.6$ million and wholesale CDs decreased $\$ 56.0$ million year-to-date.
Remaining well capitalized remains a top priority for The First Bancorp, Inc. Since December 31, 2008, the Company's total risk-based capital ratio has increased from $11.13 \%$ to $16.34 \%$, well above the well-capitalized threshold of $10.0 \%$ set by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. In Management's view, participating in the U.S. Treasury Capital Purchase Program (the "CPP") was the right decision for The First Bancorp, Inc. The Company obtained additional capital at a relatively low cost and it provides us with greater ability to ride out the current economic storm and allows us more flexibility to work with individuals and businesses as they too struggle through these adverse economic conditions. As of June 30, 2013, the Company had fully repaid the $\$ 12.5$ million preferred stock issued by the Treasury under the CPP. When the Company received the $\$ 25.0$ million CPP investment in 2009, it was Management's intent to fully repay the Treasury before the annual rate on the investment increased from $5.0 \%$ to $9.0 \%$ in 2014.
The Company's operating ratios remain good, with a return on average tangible common equity of $9.70 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to $10.35 \%$ for the same period in 2012. Based upon March 31, 2013 data, our return on average tangible common equity was in the top $50 \%$ of all banks in the UBPR peer group, which had an average return on equity of $8.91 \%$. Our efficiency ratio continues to be an important component in our overall performance, and while up to $57.26 \%$ for the first six months of 2013 , compared to $50.74 \%$ for the same period in 2012, due to the previously noted increased operating costs, it remains well below the UBPR peer group average of $67.81 \%$ as of March 31, 2013.

On February 25, 2013, the Bank opened its sixteenth branch at 145 Exchange Street in Bangor, Maine in the building purchased in the fourth quarter of 2012. This Bangor location offers an excellent opportunity to enter the expanding Northern Maine market.

Net Interest Income
Total interest income of $\$ 24.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, was a decrease of $\$ 1.7$ million or $6.6 \%$ compared to total interest income of $\$ 26.2$ million for the same period of 2012. Total interest expense of $\$ 6.2$ million for the six months ended June 30 , 2013 is a $\$ 275,000$ or $4.2 \%$ decrease from total interest expense of $\$ 6.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. As a result, net interest income decreased $7.4 \%$ or $\$ 1.5$ million to $\$ 18.3$ million for the six months ended
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June 30, 2013, from the $\$ 19.7$ million reported for the same period in 2012. The Company's net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis decreased from $3.19 \%$ in the six months ended June 30, 2012 to $3.04 \%$ for the six months ended June 30,2013 . This is the result of the low interest rate environment with a higher volume of assets continuing to reprice downward without the opportunity to reprice a comparable volume of liabilities. Tax-exempt interest income amounted to $\$ 3.2$ million and $\$ 2.8$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Total interest income of $\$ 12.2$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 is a $6.7 \%$ decrease from total interest income of $\$ 13.1$ million in the comparable period of 2012 . Total interest expense of $\$ 3.1$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 is a $2.4 \%$ decrease from total interest expense of $\$ 3.2$ million for the comparable period of 2012. As a result, net interest income decreased $8.1 \%$ or $\$ 807,000$ to $\$ 9.1$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, from the $\$ 9.9$ million reported for the same period in 2012. The Company's net interest margin on a tax-equivalent basis decreased from $3.16 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to $3.02 \%$ for the quarter ended June 30, 2013. Tax-exempt interest income amounted to $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 1.4$ million for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.
The following tables present the amount of interest earned or paid, as well as the average yield or rate on an annualized basis, for each major category of assets or liabilities for the six months and quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Tax-exempt income is calculated on a tax-equivalent basis, using a $35.0 \%$ tax rate in 2013 and 2012.


[^1]Dollars in thousands

| Interest on earning assets |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest-bearing deposits | $\$ 2$ | 0.37 | $\%$ | $\$ 1$ | 0.68 |
| Investments | 4,315 | 3.79 | $\%$ | 4,444 | 3.74 |
| Loans held for sale | 5 | 3.27 | $\%$ | $\%$ | 0.97 |
| Loans | 8,802 | 4.08 | $\%$ | $\%, 447$ | 4.31 |
| $\quad$ Total interest-earning assets | 13,124 | 3.98 | $\% 13,896$ | 4.11 | $\%$ |
| Interest-bearing liabilities |  |  |  |  | $\%$ |
| Deposits | 2,025 | 0.90 | $\% 2,104$ | 0.90 | $\%$ |
| Other borrowings | 1,113 | 1.75 | $\% 1,111$ | 1.71 | $\%$ |
| $\quad$ Total interest-bearing liabilities | 3,138 | 1.08 | $\%$ | 3,215 | 1.08 |
| Net interest income | $\$ 9,986$ |  |  | $\$ 10,681$ |  |
| Interest rate spread |  | 2.90 | $\%$ | 3.03 | $\%$ |
| Net interest margin | 3.02 | $\%$ | 3.16 | $\%$ |  |

The following tables present changes in interest income and expense attributable to changes in interest rates and volume for interest-earning assets and liabilities for the six months and quarters ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012. Tax-exempt income is calculated on a tax-equivalent basis, using a $35.0 \%$ tax rate in 2013 and 2012.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Dollars in thousands } & \text { Volume } & \text { Rate } & \text { Rate/Volume }{ }^{1} & \text { Total } \\ \text { Interest on earning assets } & & & & \\ \text { Interest-bearing deposits } & \$ 2 & \$- & \$ 1 & \$ 3 \\ \text { Investment securities } & (155 & )(115 & ) & 2 & (268 \\ \text { Loans held for sale } & 8 & - & (1 & ) \\ \text { Loans } & (199 & )(1,080 & ) & 11 & (1,268 \\ \quad \text { Total interest income } & (344 & )(1,195 & ) & \$ 13 & (1,526\end{array}\right)$
${ }^{1}$ Represents the change attributable to a combination of change in rate and change in volume.
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For the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Dollars in thousands } & \text { Volume } & \text { Rate } & \text { Rate/Volume }^{1} & \text { Total } \\ \text { Interest on earning assets } & & & & & \\ \text { Interest-bearing deposits } & \$ 2 & \$- & \$(1 & ) & \$ 1 \\ \text { Investment securities } & (199 & ) & 73 & (3 & ) \\ \text { Loans held for sale } & 3 & (1 & )(129 & ) & 1 \\ \text { Loans } & (180 & )(475 & ) & 10 & (645 \\ \quad \text { Total interest income } & (374 & )(403 & ) & 5 & (772\end{array}\right)$

[^2]Average Daily Balance Sheets
The following table shows the Company's average daily balance sheets for the six-month periods and quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.
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## Non-Interest Income

Non-interest income of $\$ 6.9$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, is an increase of $\$ 803,000$ compared to the same period in 2012. This increase was primarily attributable to origination income from the sale of refinanced mortgage loans into the secondary market. Non-interest income was $\$ 3.6$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013, a decrease of $8.1 \%$ from the $\$ 3.9$ million reported for the quarter ended June 30, 2012. This decrease was attributable to a decrease in net securities gains.

## Non-Interest Expense

Non-interest expense of $\$ 14.8$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is an increase of $14.8 \%$ or $\$ 1.9$ million compared to non-interest expense of $\$ 12.9$ million for the same period in 2012. Much of this increase was attributable to higher operating costs due to the opening of the Company's sixteenth branch in Bangor, Maine on February 25, 2013. This increase was offset by the lower provision for loan losses as well as the increase in non-interest income. Non-interest expense of $\$ 7.4$ million million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 is an increase of $10.3 \%$ compared to non-interest expense of $\$ 6.7$ million for the same period in 2012. With higher operating expenses attributable to the new Bangor office and the aquired branch at Union Street in Rockland, the Company's efficiency ratio has increased to $57.26 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to $50.74 \%$ for the same period in 2012.

## Income Taxes

Income taxes on operating earnings were $\$ 1.5$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013, down $\$ 213,000$ from the same period in 2012. This is in line with the decrease in the Company's level of income before taxes and a higher level of tax-exempt income.
FASB ASC Topic 740 "Income Taxes" defines the criteria that an individual tax position must satisfy for some or all of the benefits of that position to be recognized in a company's financial statements. Topic 740 prescribes a recognition threshold of more-likely-than-not, and a measurement attribute for all tax positions taken or expected to be taken, in order for those tax positions to be recognized in the financial statements. The Company is currently open to audit under the statute of limitations by the IRS for the years ended December 31, 2010 through 2012.

## Investments

The Company's investment portfolio increased by $\$ 29.5$ million or $6.6 \%$ between December 31, 2012, and June 30, 2013. As of June 30, 2013, mortgage-backed securities had a carrying value of $\$ 199.9$ million and a fair value of $\$ 201.2$ million. Of this total, securities with a fair value of $\$ 157.3$ million or $78.2 \%$ of the mortgage-backed portfolio were issued by the Government National Mortgage Association and securities with a fair value of $\$ 43.9$ million or $21.8 \%$ of the mortgage-backed portfolio were issued by the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation and the Federal National Mortgage Association.
The Company's investment securities are classified into two categories: securities available for sale and securities to be held to maturity. Securities available for sale consist primarily of debt securities which Management intends to hold for indefinite periods of time. They may be used as part of the Company's funds management strategy, and may be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment risk and liquidity needs, to increase capital ratios, or for other similar reasons. Securities to be held to maturity consist primarily of debt securities that the Company has acquired solely for long-term investment purposes, rather than for trading or future sale. For securities to be categorized as held to maturity Management must have the intent and the Company must have the ability to hold such investments until their respective maturity dates. The Company does not hold trading account securities. All investment securities are managed in accordance with a written investment policy adopted by the Board of Directors. It is the Company's general policy that investments for either portfolio be limited to government debt obligations, time deposits, and corporate bonds or commercial paper with one of the three highest ratings given by a nationally recognized rating agency. The portfolio is currently invested primarily in U.S. Government agency securities and tax-exempt obligations of states and political subdivisions. The individual securities have been selected to enhance the portfolio's overall yield while not materially adding to the Company's level of interest rate risk.
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The following table sets forth the Company's investment securities at their carrying amounts as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012.

| Dollars in thousands | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2013 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities available for sale |  |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities | \$157,524 | \$ 169,093 | \$206,979 |
| State and political subdivisions | 128,539 | 120,944 | 98,593 |
| Other equity securities | 1,672 | 1,577 | 1,775 |
|  | \$287,735 | \$291,614 | \$307,347 |
| Securities to be held to maturity |  |  |  |
| U.S. government-sponsored agencies | \$92,179 | \$60,919 | \$41,197 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 42,389 | 39,193 | 49,992 |
| State and political subdivisions | 42,396 | 42,908 | 44,286 |
| Corporate securities | 300 | 300 | 300 |
|  | \$177,264 | \$143,320 | \$135,775 |
| Restricted equity securities |  |  |  |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock | \$12,875 | \$13,412 | \$13,412 |
| Federal Reserve Bank Stock | 1,037 | 1,036 | 1,036 |
|  | \$13,912 | \$14,448 | \$14,448 |
| Total securities | \$478,911 | \$449,382 | \$457,570 |
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The following table sets forth yields and expected maturities of the Company's investment securities as of June 30, 2013. Yields on tax-exempt securities have been computed on a tax-equivalent basis using a tax rate of $35 \%$.

Mortgage-backed securities are presented according to their final contractual maturity date, while the calculated yield takes into effect the intermediate cash flows from repayment of principal which results in a much shorter average life.

| Available For Sale |  | Held to Maturity |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fair | Yield to |  | Amortized | Yield to |  |
| Value | maturity |  | Cost | maturity |  |
| \$- | 0.00 | \% | \$- | 0.00 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | - | 0.00 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | - | 0.00 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | 92,179 | 3.32 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | 92,179 | 3.32 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | 16 | 2.44 | \% |
| 35 | 8.50 | \% | 154 | 4.78 | \% |
| 973 | 0.68 | \% | 767 | 6.60 | \% |
| 156,516 | 2.50 | \% | 41,452 | 3.81 | \% |
| 157,524 | 2.49 | \% | 42,389 | 3.87 | \% |
| 1,368 | 6.95 | \% | 750 | 5.95 | \% |
| 105 | 7.05 | \% | 5,627 | 6.62 | \% |
| 1,590 | 6.20 | \% | 24,106 | 6.25 | \% |
| 125,476 | 5.38 | \% | 11,913 | 6.20 | \% |
| 128,539 | 5.40 | \% | 42,396 | 6.28 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | - | 0.00 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | 300 | 1.00 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | - | 0.00 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | - | 0.00 | \% |
| - | 0.00 | \% | 300 | 1.00 | \% |
| 1,672 | 2.00 | \% | - | 0.00 | \% |
| \$287,735 | 3.79 | \% | \$177,264 | 4.16 | \% |

## Impaired Securities

The securities portfolio contains certain securities that the amortized cost of which exceeds fair value, which at June 30, 2013 amounted to $\$ 15.9$ million, or $3.45 \%$ of the amortized cost of the total securities portfolio. At December 31, 2012 this amount was $\$ 739,000$, or $0.17 \%$ of the total securities portfolio. As a part of the Company's ongoing security monitoring process, the Company identifies securities in an unrealized loss position that could potentially be other-than-temporarily impaired. If a decline in the fair value of a debt security is judged to be other-than-temporary, the decline related to credit loss is recorded in net realized securities losses while the decline attributable to other factors is recorded in other comprehensive income or loss.
The Company's evaluation of securities for impairment is a quantitative and qualitative process intended to determine whether declines in the fair value of investment securities should be recognized in current period earnings. The primary factors considered in evaluating whether a decline in the fair value of securities is other-than-temporary include: (a) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost or amortized cost and the expected recovery period of the security, (b) the financial condition, credit rating and future prospects of the issuer, (c) whether the debtor is current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments, (d) the volatility of the securities market price, (e) the intent and ability of the Company to retain the investment for a period of time
sufficient to allow for recovery, which may be at maturity, and (f) any other information and observable data considered relevant in determining whether other-than-temporary impairment has occurred.
The Company's best estimate of cash flows uses severe economic recession assumptions due to market uncertainty. The Company's assumptions include but are not limited to delinquencies, foreclosure levels and constant
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default rates on the underlying collateral, loss severity ratios, and constant prepayment rates. If the Company does not expect to receive $100 \%$ of future contractual principal and interest, an other-than-temporary impairment charge is recognized. Estimating future cash flows is a quantitative and qualitative process that incorporates information received from third party sources along with certain internal assumptions and judgments regarding the future performance of the underlying collateral.
As of June 30, 2013, the Company had temporarily impaired securities with a fair value of $\$ 217.6$ million and unrealized losses of $\$ 15.9$ million, as identified in the table below. This was up from December 31, 2012 as a result of a shift in the yield curve and a corresponding decrease in value of investment securities. Securities in a continuous unrealized loss position more than twelve-months amounted to $\$ 1.7$ million as of June 30, 2013, compared with $\$ 2.8$ million at December 31, 2012. The Company has concluded that these securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired. This conclusion was based on the issuer's continued satisfaction of the securities obligations in accordance with their contractual terms and the expectation that the issuer will continue to do so, Management's intent and ability to hold these securities for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value which may be at maturity, the expectation that the Company will receive $100 \%$ of future contractual cash flows, as well as the evaluation of the fundamentals of the issuer's financial condition and other objective evidence. The following table summarizes temporarily impaired securities and their approximate fair values at June 30, 2013:

| Dollars in thousands | Less than 12 months |  |  | 12 months or more |  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair | Unrealized |  | Fair | Unrealized |  | Fair | Unrealized |
|  | Value | Losses |  | Value | Losses |  | Value | Losses |
| U.S. government-sponsored agencies | \$85,453 | \$(5,944 | ) | \$- | \$- |  | \$85,453 | \$(5,944 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 58,706 | (2,039 | ) | 1,585 | (99 | ) | 60,291 | (2,138 |
| State and political subdivisions | 71,738 | (7,858 | ) | - | - |  | 71,738 | (7,858 |
| Other equity securities | - | - |  | 110 | (8) | ) | 110 | (8 |
|  | \$215,897 | \$(15,841 | ) | \$1,695 | \$(107 |  | \$217,592 | \$(15,948 |

For securities with unrealized losses, the following information was considered in determining that the securities were not other-than-temporarily impaired:
Securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored agencies and enterprises. As of June 30, 2013, there were $\$ 5.9$ million of unrealized losses on these securities compared to $\$ 182,000$ unrealized losses as of December 31, 2012. All of these securities were credit rated "AAA" or "AA+" by the major credit rating agencies. Management believes that securities issued by the U.S. Treasury bear no credit risk because they are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States and that securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored agencies and enterprises have minimal credit risk, as these agencies and enterprises play a vital role in the nation's financial markets.
Mortgage-backed securities issued by U.S. Government agencies and U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises. As of June 30, 2013, there were $\$ 2.1$ million of unrealized losses on these securities compared with $\$ 314,000$ at December 31, 2012. All of these securities were credit rated "AAA" or "AA+" by the major credit rating agencies. Management believes that securities issued by U.S. Government agencies bear no credit risk because they are backed by the full faith and credit of the United States and that securities issued by U.S. Government-sponsored enterprises have minimal credit risk, as these agencies and enterprises play a vital role in the nation's financial markets. Management believes that the unrealized losses at June 30, 2013 were attributable to changes in current market yields and spreads since the date the underlying securities were purchased, and does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013. The Company also has the ability and intent to hold these securities until a recovery of their amortized cost, which may be at maturity.
Obligations of state and political subdivisions. As of June 30, 2013, the total unrealized losses on municipal securities amounted to $\$ 7.9$ million, compared with $\$ 199,000$ at December 31, 2012. Municipal securities are supported by the general taxing authority of the municipality and, in the cases of school districts, are generally supported by state aid. At June 30, 2013, all municipal bond issuers were current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments. The Company attributes the unrealized losses at June 30, 2013 to changes in prevailing market yields and pricing
spreads since the date the underlying securities were purchased, combined with current market liquidity conditions and the disruption in the financial markets in general. Accordingly, the Company does not consider these municipal securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013. The Company also has the ability and intent to hold these securities until a recovery of their amortized cost, which may be at maturity.
Corporate securities. There were no unrealized losses on corporate securities as of June 30, 2013, or at December 31, 2012. Corporate securities are dependent on the operating performance of the issuers. At June 30, 2013, all corporate bond issuers were current on contractually obligated interest and principal payments.
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Other Equity Securities. As of June 30, 2013, the total unrealized losses on other equity securities amounted to $\$ 8,000$, compared with $\$ 44,000$ at December 31, 2012. Other equity securities is comprised of common and preferred stock holdings. The unrealized losses were the result of normal market fluctuations for equity securities. Accordingly, the Company does not consider other equity securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2013.

## Federal Home Loan Bank Stock

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") of Boston, a cooperatively owned wholesale bank for housing and finance in the six New England States. As a requirement of membership in the FHLB, the Bank must own a minimum required amount of FHLB stock, calculated periodically based primarily on its level of borrowings from the FHLB. The Bank uses the FHLB for much of its wholesale funding needs. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Bank's investment in FHLB stock totaled $\$ 12.9$ million and $\$ 13.4$ million, respectively. FHLB stock is a non-marketable equity security and therefore is reported at cost, which equals par value.
Loans Held for Sale
Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. As of June 30, 2013, the Bank had \$1,047,000 in loans held for sale. This compares to $\$ 1,035,000$ at December 31, 2012 and $\$ 378,000$ at June 30, 2012. No recourse obligations have been incurred in connection with the sale of loans.

## Loans

The loan portfolio decreased during the first six months of 2013, with total loans at $\$ 866.1$ million at June 30, 2013, down $\$ 3.2$ million or $0.4 \%$ from total loans of $\$ 869.3$ million at December 31, 2012. Commercial loans increased $\$ 6.9$ million or $1.9 \%$ between December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013, municipal loans increased by $\$ 181,000$ or $1.2 \%$ and residential term loans decreased $\$ 4.9$ million or $1.3 \%$.
Commercial loans are comprised of three major classes, commercial real estate loans, commercial construction loans and other commercial loans. Commercial real estate is primarily comprised of loans to small businesses collateralized by owner-occupied real estate, while other commercial is primarily comprised of loans to small businesses collateralized by plant and equipment, commercial fishing vessels and gear, and limited inventory-based lending. Commercial real estate loans typically have a maximum loan-to-value of $75 \%$ based upon current appraisal information at the time the loan is made. Land and land development loans typically have a maximum loan-to-value of $65 \%$ to $75 \%$ based upon current appraisal information at the time the loan is made. Construction loans, both commercial and residential, comprise a very small portion of the portfolio, and at $18.4 \%$ of capital are well under the regulatory guidance of $100.0 \%$ of capital. Construction loans and non-owner-occupied commercial real estate loans are at $74.1 \%$ of total capital, well under the regulatory guidance of $300.0 \%$ of capital. Municipal loans are comprised of loans to municipalities in the State of Maine for capitalized expenditures, construction projects or tax-anticipation notes. All municipal loans are considered general obligations of the municipality and as such are collateralized by the taxing ability of the municipality for repayment of debt.
Residential loans are also comprised of two classes, term loans, which include traditional amortizing home mortgages, and construction loans, which include loans for owner-occupied residential construction. Residential loans typically have a $75 \%$ to $80 \%$ loan to value based upon current appraisal information at the time the loan is made. Consumer loans are primarily amortizing loans to individuals collateralized by automobiles, pleasure craft and recreation vehicles, typically with a maximum loan to value of $80 \%$ to $90 \%$ of the purchase price of the collateral. Consumer loans also include a small amount of unsecured short-term time notes to individuals.
The following table summarizes the loan portfolio, by class, at June 30, 2013 and 2012 and December 31, 2012.

| Dollars in thousands | June 30, 2013 |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$251,799 | 29.1 | \% | \$251,335 | 28.9 | \% | \$253,193 | 28.7 | \% |
| Construction | 18,641 | 2.2 | \% | 22,417 | 2.6 | \% | 33,072 | 3.8 | \% |
| Other | 91,393 | 10.6 | \% | 81,183 | 9.3 | \% | 87,833 | 10.0 | \% |
| Municipal | 14,885 | 1.7 | \% | 14,704 | 1.7 | \% | 16,089 | 1.8 | \% |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 374,522 | 43.2 | \% | 379,447 | 43.7 |  | 368,876 | 41.8 | \% |

Edgar Filing: First Bancorp, Inc /ME/ - Form 10-Q

| $\quad$ Construction | 4,759 | 0.5 | $\%$ | 6,459 | 0.7 | $\%$ | 6,449 | 0.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Home equity line of | 95,013 | 11.0 | $\%$ | 99,082 | 11.4 | $\%$ | 100,689 | 11.4 |
| credit | 15,059 | 1.7 | $\%$ | 14,657 | 1.7 | $\%$ | 15,613 | 1.8 |
| Consumer | $\$ 866,071$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 869,284$ | 100.0 | $\%$ | $\$ 881,814$ | 100.0 |
| Total loans | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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The following table sets forth certain information regarding the contractual maturities of the Bank's loan portfolio as of June 30, 2013.

| Dollars in thousands <br> Commercial | $<1$ Year | $1-5$ Years | $5-10$ Years | $>10$ Years | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ Real estate | $\$ 6,918$ | $\$ 23,716$ | $\$ 18,346$ | $\$ 202,819$ | $\$ 251,799$ |
| $\quad$ Construction | 1,948 | 2,028 | 748 | 13,917 | 18,641 |
| $\quad$ Other | 14,570 | 22,990 | 18,862 | 34,971 | 91,393 |
| Municipal | 599 | 3,874 | 4,690 | 5,722 | 14,885 |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Term | 2,448 | 11,438 | 18,723 | 341,913 | 374,522 |
| $\quad$ Construction | 922 | - | - | 3,837 | 4,759 |
| Home equity line of credit | 915 | 219 | 1,242 | 92,637 | 95,013 |
| Consumer | 7,159 | 4,566 | 855 | 2,479 | 15,059 |
| Total loans | $\$ 35,479$ | $\$ 68,831$ | $\$ 63,466$ | $\$ 698,295$ | $\$ 866,071$ |

The following table provides a listing of loans by class, between variable and fixed rates as of June 30, 2013.

| Dollars in thousands | Fixed-Rate |  | Adjustable-Rate |  |  | Total |  | \% of total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | \% of total |  | Amount | \% of total |  | Amount |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$35,264 | 4.1 | \% | \$216,535 | 25.0 | \% | \$251,799 | 29.1 | \% |
| Construction | 1,646 | 0.2 | \% | 16,995 | 2.0 | \% | 18,641 | 2.2 | \% |
| Other | 34,442 | 4.0 | \% | 56,951 | 6.6 | \% | 91,393 | 10.6 | \% |
| Municipal | 12,105 | 1.4 | \% | 2,780 | 0.3 | \% | 14,885 | 1.7 | \% |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 201,345 | 23.2 | \% | 173,177 | 20.0 | \% | 374,522 | 43.2 | \% |
| Construction | 4,646 | 0.5 | \% | 113 | 0.0 | \% | 4,759 | 0.5 | \% |
| Home equity line of credit | 1,391 | 0.2 | \% | 93,622 | 10.8 | \% | 95,013 | 11.0 | \% |
| Consumer | 11,184 | 1.3 | \% | 3,875 | 0.4 | \% | 15,059 | 1.7 | \% |
| Total loans | \$302,023 | 34.9 | \% | \$564,048 | 65.1 | \% | \$866,071 | 100.0 | \% |

Loan Concentrations
As of June 30, 2013, the Bank did not have any concentration of loans in one particular industry that exceeded $10 \%$ of its total loan portfolio.

Credit Risk Management and Allowance for Loan Losses
Credit risk is the risk of loss arising from the inability of a borrower to meet its obligations. We manage credit risk by evaluating the risk profile of the borrower, repayment sources, the nature of the underlying collateral, and other support given current events, conditions, and expectations. We attempt to manage the risk characteristics of our loan portfolio through various control processes, such as credit evaluation of borrowers, establishment of lending limits, and application of lending procedures, including the holding of adequate collateral and the maintenance of compensating balances. However, we seek to rely primarily on the cash flow of our borrowers as the principal source of repayment. Although credit policies and evaluation processes are designed to minimize our risk, Management recognizes that loan losses will occur and the amount of these losses will fluctuate depending on the risk characteristics of our loan portfolio, as well as general and regional economic conditions.
We provide for loan losses through the establishment of an allowance for loan losses which represents an estimated reserve for existing losses in the loan portfolio. We deploy a systematic methodology for determining our allowance that includes a quarterly review process, risk rating, and adjustment to our allowance. We classify our portfolios as either commercial or residential and consumer and monitor credit risk separately as discussed below. We evaluate the appropriateness of our allowance continually based on a review of all significant loans, with a particular emphasis on nonaccruing, past due, and other loans that we believe require special attention.

The allowance consists of four elements: (1) specific reserves for loans evaluated individually for impairment; (2) general reserves for types or portfolios of loans based on historical loan loss experience; (3) qualitative reserves judgmentally adjusted for local and national economic conditions, concentrations, portfolio composition, volume and severity of delinquencies and nonaccrual loans, trends of criticized and classified loans, changes in credit policies, and underwriting standards, credit administration practices, and other factors as applicable; and (4) unallocated reserves. All outstanding loans are considered in evaluating the appropriateness of the allowance.
Appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses is determined using a consistent, systematic methodology, which analyzes the risk inherent in the loan portfolio. In addition to evaluating the collectability of specific loans when determining the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses, Management also takes into consideration other factors such as changes in the mix and size of the loan portfolio, historic loss experience, the amount of delinquencies and loans adversely classified, economic trends, changes in credit policies, and experience, ability and depth of lending management. The appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses is assessed by an allocation process whereby specific reserve allocations are made against
certain adversely classified loans, and general reserve allocations are made against segments of the loan portfolio which have similar attributes. The Company's historical loss experience, industry trends, and the impact of the local and regional economy on the Company's borrowers, are considered by Management in determining the appropriateness of the allowance for loan losses.
The allowance for loan losses is increased by provisions charged against current earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when Management believes that the collectability of the loan principal is unlikely. Recoveries on loans previously charged off are credited to the allowance. While Management uses available information to assess possible losses on loans, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on increases in non-performing loans, changes in economic conditions, growth in loan portfolios, or for other reasons. Any future additions to the allowance would be recognized in the period in which they were determined to be necessary. In addition, various regulatory agencies periodically review the Company's allowance for loan losses as an integral part of their examination process. Such agencies may require the Company to record additions to the allowance based on judgments different from those of Management.

## Commercial

Our commercial portfolio includes all secured and unsecured loans to borrowers for commercial purposes, including commercial lines of credit and commercial real estate. Our process for evaluating commercial loans includes performing updates on loans that we have rated for risk. Our non-performing commercial loans are generally reviewed individually to determine impairment, accrual status, and the need for specific reserves. Our methodology incorporates a variety of risk considerations, both qualitative and quantitative. Quantitative factors include our historical loss experience by loan type, collateral values, financial condition of borrowers, and other factors. Qualitative factors include judgments concerning general economic conditions that may affect credit quality, credit concentrations, the pace of portfolio growth, and delinquency levels; these qualitative factors are also considered in connection with our unallocated portion of our allowance for loan losses.
The process of establishing the allowance with respect to our commercial loan portfolio begins when a loan officer initially assigns each loan a risk rating, using established credit criteria. Approximately $50 \%$ of our outstanding loans and commitments are subject to review and validation annually by an independent consulting firm, as well as periodically by our internal credit review function. Our methodology employs Management's judgment as to the level of losses on existing loans based on our internal review of the loan portfolio, including an analysis of the borrowers' current financial position, and the consideration of current and anticipated economic conditions and their potential effects on specific borrowers and or lines of business. In determining our ability to collect certain loans, we also consider the fair value of any underlying collateral. We also evaluate credit risk concentrations, including trends in large dollar exposures to related borrowers, industry and geographic concentrations, and economic and environmental factors.

Residential, Home Equity and Consumer

Consumer, home equity and residential mortgage loans are generally segregated into homogeneous pools with similar risk characteristics. Trends and current conditions in these pools are analyzed and historical loss experience is adjusted accordingly. Quantitative and qualitative adjustment factors for the consumer, home equity and residential mortgage portfolios are consistent with those for the commercial portfolios. Certain loans in the consumer and residential portfolios identified as having the potential for further deterioration are analyzed individually to confirm the appropriate risk status and accrual status, and to determine the need for a specific reserve. Consumer loans that are greater than 120 days past due are generally charged off. Residential loans and home equity lines of credit that are greater than 90 days past due are evaluated for collateral adequacy and if deficient are placed on non-accrual status.

## Unallocated

The unallocated portion of the allowance is intended to provide for losses that are not identified when establishing the specific and general portions of the allowance and is based upon Management's evaluation of various conditions that are not directly measured in the determination of the portfolio and loan specific allowances. Such conditions include general economic and
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business conditions affecting our lending area, credit quality trends (including trends in delinquencies and nonperforming loans expected to result from existing conditions), loan volumes and concentrations, duration of the current business cycle, bank regulatory examination results, findings of external loan review examiners, and Management's judgment with respect to various other conditions including loan administration and management and the quality of risk identification systems. Management reviews these conditions quarterly. We have risk management practices designed to ensure timely identification of changes in loan risk profiles; however, undetected losses may exist inherently within the loan portfolio. The judgmental aspects involved in applying the risk grading criteria, analyzing the quality of individual loans, and assessing collateral values can also contribute to undetected, but probable, losses.
The allowance for loan losses includes reserve amounts assigned to individual loans on the basis of loan impairment. Certain loans are evaluated individually and are judged to be impaired when Management believes it is probable that the Company will not collect all of the contractual interest and principal payments as scheduled in the loan agreement. Under this method, loans are selected for evaluation based on internal risk ratings or non-accrual status. A specific reserve is allocated to an individual loan when that loan has been deemed impaired and when the amount of a probable loss is estimable on the basis of its collateral value, the present value of anticipated future cash flows, or its net realizable value. At June 30, 2013, impaired loans with specific reserves totaled $\$ 13.3$ million and the amount of such reserves was $\$ 3.0$ million. This compares to impaired loans with specific reserves of $\$ 17.5$ million at December 31, 2012 and the amount of such reserves was $\$ 3.5$ million.
All of these analyses are reviewed and discussed by the Directors' Loan Committee, and recommendations from these processes provide Management and the Board of Directors with independent information on loan portfolio condition. Our total allowance at June 30, 2013 is considered by Management to be appropriate to address the credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio at that date. Management views the level of the allowance for loan losses as appropriate. However, our determination of the appropriate allowance level is based upon a number of assumptions we make about future events, which we believe are reasonable, but which may or may not prove valid. Thus, there can be no assurance that our charge-offs in future periods will not exceed our allowance for loan losses or that we will not need to make additional increases in our allowance for loan losses.
The following table summarizes our allocation of allowance by loan class as of June 30, 2013 and 2012 and
December 31, 2012. The percentages are the portion of each loan class to total loans.

| Dollars in thousands | June 30, 2013 |  | December 31, 2012 |  |  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate | \$5,811 | 29.1 | \% | \$5,865 | 28.9 | \% | \$5,564 | 28.7 | \% |
| Construction | 591 | 2.2 | \% | 1,359 | 2.6 | \% | 1,373 | 3.8 | \% |
| Other | 2,572 | 10.6 | \% | 2,050 | 9.3 | \% | 2,476 | 10.0 | \% |
| Municipal | 18 | 1.7 | \% | 18 | 1.7 | \% | 19 | 1.8 | \% |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Term | 1,026 | 43.2 | \% | 1,109 | 43.7 | \% | 1,587 | 41.8 | \% |
| Construction | 9 | 0.5 | \% | 11 | 0.7 | \% | 58 | 0.7 | \% |
| Home equity line of credit | 737 | 11.0 | \% | 654 | 11.4 | \% | 809 | 11.4 | \% |
| Consumer | 631 | 1.7 | \% | 592 | 1.7 | \% | 603 | 1.8 | \% |
| Unallocated | 1,275 | 0.0 | \% | 842 | 0.0 | \% | 1,895 | 0.0 | \% |
| Total | \$ 12,670 | 100.0 | \% | \$ 12,500 | 100.0 | \% | \$14,384 | 100.0 | \% |

The allowance for loan losses totaled $\$ 12.7$ million at June 30,2013 , compared to $\$ 12.5$ million and $\$ 14.4$ million as of December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2012, respectively. Management's ongoing application of methodologies to establish the allowance include an evaluation of impaired loans for specific reserves. These specific reserves decreased $\$ 533,000$ in the first six months of 2013 from $\$ 3.5$ million at December 31, 2012 to $\$ 3.0$ million at June 30, 2013. The specific loans that make up those categories change from period to period. Impairment on those loans, which would be reflected in the allowance for loan losses, might or might not exist, depending on the specific circumstances of each loan. The portion of the reserve based upon homogeneous pools of loans decreased by $\$ 17,000$
in the first six months of 2013. This small decline was due to lower loss averages for both the commercial classified loan and home equity line of credit pools. Outstandings in these pools declined in the recent quarter, which, in combination with the drop in related loss rates, helped lessen the effect of a rise in other pooled loss rates particularly in the retail portfolios. The portio
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