

Lifevantage Corp
Form 10-Q/A
February 16, 2010

Table of Contents

**U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
Form 10-Q/A
(Amendment No. 1)**

þ **QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

o **TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____

Commission file number 000-30489

LIFEVANTAGE CORPORATION.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

COLORADO

90-0224471

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

11545 W. Bernardo Court, Suite 301, San Diego, California 92127

(Address of principal executive offices)

(858) 312-8000

(Registrant's telephone number)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Edgar Filing: Lifevantage Corp - Form 10-Q/A

The number of shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, as of October 31, 2009 was 57,002,412.

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements (as such term is defined in section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). These statements, which involve risks and uncertainties, reflect our current expectations, intentions or strategies regarding our possible future results of operations, performance, and achievements. Forward-looking statements in this report include, without limitation: statements regarding future products or product development; statements regarding future selling, including our expectations regarding the success of our network marketing sales channel, general and administrative costs and research and development spending; statements regarding our product development strategy; statements regarding the legal complaint filed against the company; and statements regarding future financial performance, results of operation, capital expenditures and sufficiency of capital resources to fund our operating requirements. These forward-looking statements are made pursuant to the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and applicable common law and SEC rules.

These forward-looking statements may be identified in this report by using words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, plan, predict, project, should and similar terms and expressions, including to assumptions and strategies. These statements reflect our current beliefs and are based on information currently available to us. Accordingly, these statements are subject to certain risks, uncertainties, and contingencies, which could cause our actual results, performance, or achievements to differ materially from those expressed in, or implied by, such statements.

The following factors are among those that may cause actual results to differ materially from our forward-looking statements:

The deterioration of global economic conditions and the decline of consumer confidence and spending;

The potential failure or unintended negative consequences of the implementation of our network marketing sales channel;

Our lack of significant revenues from operations;

Our ability to successfully expand our operations and manage our future growth;

The effect of current and future government regulations of the network marketing and dietary supplement industries on our business;

The effect of unfavorable publicity on our business;

Competition in the dietary supplement market;

Our ability to retain independent distributors or to hire new independent distributors on an ongoing basis;

The potential for product liability claims against the Company;

Independent distributor activities that violate applicable laws or regulations and the potential for resulting government or third party actions against the Company;

The potential for third party and governmental actions involving our network marketing sales channel;

Our dependence on third party manufacturers to manufacture our product;

The ability to obtain raw material for our product;

Our dependence on a limited number of significant customers;

Our ability to protect our intellectual property rights and the value of our product;

Our ability to continue to innovate and provide products that are useful to consumers;

The significant control that our management and significant shareholders exercise over us;

2

Table of Contents

When considering these forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the cautionary statements in this report and the documents incorporated by reference. We have no obligation and do not undertake to update or revise any such forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report.

LIFEVANTAGE CORPORATION
INDEX

	PAGE
<u>PART I.</u> <u>Financial Information</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Financial Statements:</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) (Restated) September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) (Restated) For the Three Month Periods Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) (Restated) For the Three Month Periods Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited) (Restated)</u>	8
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 4T.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	31
<u>PART II.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	33
<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	33
<u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u>	33
<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	37
<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	37
<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	37
<u>Item 5.</u> <u>Other Information</u>	37
<u>Item 6.</u> <u>Exhibits</u>	37
<u>Signatures</u>	38

Certification pursuant to Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

EXHIBIT 31.1

EXHIBIT 31.2

EXHIBIT 32.1

EXHIBIT 32.2

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Lifevantage Corporation (the Company) is filing this amendment to its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended September 30, 2009, which was originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 12, 2009 (the Original Filing), to include restated financial statements as described in Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements to account for the revaluation of the Company's derivative securities to be consistent with accounting guidance that was effective July 1, 2009, due to the price-based anti-dilution features in certain of the Company's outstanding convertible notes which provide that the conversion prices of such notes can be adjusted based on subsequent issuances by the Company having lower exercise or issuance prices.

The revisions relate to non-operating and non-cash items for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009. The accounting guidance, which was effective July 1, 2009, did not impact the Company's financial statements for periods ending June 30, 2009 or earlier. The restatement does not result in a change in the Company's previously reported revenues or total cash and cash equivalents shown in its financial statements for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009.

The items of the Original Filing which are amended and restated by this Form 10-Q/A as a result of the foregoing are:

Part I Item 1 Financial Statements and Notes 1, 3 and 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Part I Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Part I Item 4T Controls and Procedures

Part II Item 6 Exhibits

For the convenience of the reader, this Form 10-Q/A sets forth the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q in its entirety. Other than as described above, none of the other disclosures in the Original Filing have been amended or updated. Among other things, forward-looking statements made in the Original Filing have not been revised to reflect events that occurred or facts that became known to the Company after the filing of the Original Filing, and such forward-looking statements should be read in their historical context. Accordingly, this Form 10-Q/A should be read in conjunction with the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission subsequent to the Original Filing.

Table of Contents**PART I Financial Information****Item 1. Financial Statements**

LIFEVANTAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (UNAUDITED)

	September 30, 2009 (Restated)	June 30, 2009
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 605,261	\$ 608,795
Restricted cash		259,937
Marketable securities, available for sale	480,000	520,000
Accounts receivable, net	61,352	648,116
Equity raise receivable		119,750
Inventory	748,649	740,014
Deposits	101,762	16,482
Prepaid expenses	27,447	72,738
Total current assets	2,024,471	2,985,832
Long-term assets		
Marketable securities, available for sale	120,000	130,000
Property and equipment, net	250,899	274,741
Intangible assets, net	2,158,729	2,175,281
Deferred debt offering costs, net	66,474	83,023
Deposits	58,613	66,795
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 4,679,186	\$ 5,715,672
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT		
Current liabilities		
Revolving line of credit and accrued interest	\$ 580,432	\$ 581,444
Accounts payable	1,872,237	2,029,290
Accrued expenses	840,269	822,024
Escrow for equity offering		259,937
Short-term notes payable – related party	703,822	
Short-term convertible debt, net of discount	326,040	
Short-term derivative liabilities	1,102,197	
Capital lease obligations, current portion	26,345	41,490
Total current liabilities	5,451,342	3,734,185
Long-term liabilities		
Deferred rent	23,677	23,677
Long-term derivative liabilities	4,567,030	8,429,710
Long-term convertible debt, net of discount	69,120	382,194

Edgar Filing: Lifevantage Corp - Form 10-Q/A

Total liabilities	10,111,169	12,569,766
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' deficit		
Preferred stock - par value \$.001, 50,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding		
Common stock - par value \$.001, 250,000,000 shares authorized; 56,804,520 and 53,968,628 issued and outstanding as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009, respectively	56,805	53,969
Additional paid-in capital	18,693,654	16,964,927
Accumulated deficit	(22,722,681)	(23,872,990)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	(1,461,528)	
Currency translation adjustment	1,767	
Total stockholders' deficit	(5,431,983)	(6,854,094)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT	\$ 4,679,186	\$ 5,715,672

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated statements.

Table of Contents

LIFEVANTAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
 (Unaudited)

	For the three months ended September 30,	
	2009 (Restated)	2008
Sales, net	\$ 1,857,997	\$ 1,273,502
Cost of sales	312,974	235,539
Gross profit	1,545,023	1,037,963
Operating expenses:		
Sales and marketing	2,012,166	484,804
General and administrative	2,381,156	513,995
Research and development	106,892	52,555
Depreciation and amortization	53,298	40,182
Total operating expenses	4,553,512	1,091,536
Operating loss	(3,008,489)	(53,573)
Other income and (expense):		
Interest expense	(153,701)	(77,562)
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	6,027,736	
Total other income/(expense)	5,874,035	(77,562)
Net income/(loss)	\$ 2,865,546	\$ (131,135)
Net income/(loss) per share, basic and diluted	\$ 0.05	\$ (0.01)
Weighted average shares, basic	55,634,601	24,766,117
Weighted average shares, diluted	61,841,866	24,766,117

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated statements.

Table of Contents

LIFEVANTAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	For the three months ended September 30,	
	2009 (Restated)	2008
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income (loss)	\$ 2,865,546	\$ (131,135)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	53,298	40,181
Stock based compensation to employees	438,867	88,000
Stock based compensation to non-employees	363,381	38,022
Non-cash interest expense from convertible debentures	103,453	36,551
Non-cash interest expense from amortization of deferred offering costs	16,549	21,537
Consulting fees paid in equity	24,900	
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	(6,027,736)	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Decrease in accounts receivable	706,514	13,618
(Increase) in inventory	(8,635)	(16,727)
Decrease in deposit from manufacturer		20,330
Decrease in prepaid expenses	45,291	111,506
(Increase) in deposits	(77,098)	(13,088)
(Decrease) in accounts payable	(157,053)	(878)
Increase in accrued expenses	18,245	(12,841)
(Decrease) in deferred revenue		(510,765)
Decrease in deferred expenses		72,049
Net Cash Used by Operating Activities	(1,634,478)	(243,640)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Redemption of marketable securities	50,000	50,000
Purchase of intangible assets	(12,904)	(1,896)
Purchase of equipment		
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	37,096	48,104
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		
Net payments on/proceeds from revolving line of credit and accrued interest	(1,012)	154,777
Principal payments under capital lease obligation	(15,145)	(631)
Issuance of common stock	904,416	
Proceeds from note payable	703,822	

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities	1,592,081	154,146
Foreign Currency Effect on Cash	1,767	
Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents:	(3,534)	(41,390)
Cash and Cash Equivalents beginning of period	608,795	196,883
Cash and Cash Equivalents end of period	\$ 605,261	\$ 155,493
Non Cash Investing and Financing Activities:		
Warrants issued for private placement fees	\$ 121,535	\$
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION		
Cash paid for interest expense	\$ 23,409	\$ 27,191
Cash paid for income taxes	\$	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated statements.

Table of Contents

LIFEVANTAGE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AND 2008
(UNAUDITED, RESTATED)

These unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and notes of Lifevantage Corporation as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009 included in our annual report on Form 10-K.

Note 1 Restatement

During January 2010, the Company discovered material errors associated with the financial statements issued for the period July 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009.

The Company identified conversion features embedded within the convertible notes, which were issued in September and October 2007. These notes contain ratchet provisions whereby the conversion price can be adjusted based on subsequent issuances having lower exercise or issuance prices. Accounting guidance that was effective July 1, 2009 applies to these types of conversion adjustments. Under this guidance the Company determined that the embedded conversion features are not indexed to the Company's own stock and, therefore, are embedded derivative financial liabilities (the Embedded Derivatives). The Embedded Derivatives require bifurcation and separate accounting. The Company originally recorded a beneficial conversion feature related to these conversion features. In order to correct the accounting, the Company reclassified the amount originally recorded as a beneficial conversion feature from equity to a derivative liability and the difference between this amount and the fair value of the embedded derivatives at the original issuance dates were recorded as cumulative effect adjustments to beginning stockholders deficit. At each balance sheet date, the Company adjusts the embedded derivatives to fair value.

The Company adjusted previously issued consolidated financial statements for the three months ended September 30, 2009 to record the embedded derivatives as follows:

	As Previously Reported	Adjustment	As Restated
Short-term derivative liabilities	\$	\$ 1,102,197	\$ 1,102,197
Long-term derivative liabilities	\$ 4,134,962	\$ 432,068	\$ 4,567,030
Short-term convertible debt, net of discount	\$ 376,236	\$ (50,196)	\$ 326,040
Long-term convertible debt, net of discount	\$ 91,661	\$ (22,541)	\$ 69,120
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	\$	\$(1,461,528)	\$(1,461,528)
Total stockholders' deficit	\$(3,970,455)	\$(1,461,528)	\$(5,431,983)
Change in fair value of derivative liabilities	\$ 4,294,748	\$ 1,732,988	\$ 6,027,736
Interest expense	\$ (135,950)	\$ (17,751)	\$ (153,701)
Net income	\$ 1,150,309	\$ 1,715,237	\$ 2,865,546
Net income per share - Basic	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05
Net income per share - Diluted	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.05

Table of Contents

Note 2 Organization and Basis of Presentation:

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by us, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). In the opinion of the management of Lifevantage Corporation (Lifevantage or the Company), these interim Financial Statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, that are considered necessary for a fair presentation of the Company s financial position as of September 30, 2009, and the results of operations for the three month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 and the cash flows for the three month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year or for any future period. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to our current period presentation.

The condensed consolidated financial statements and notes included herein are presented as required by Form 10-Q, and do not contain certain information included in the Company s audited financial statements and notes for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. For further information, refer to the financial statements and notes thereto as of and for the year ended June 30, 2009, and included in the Annual report on Form 10-K on file with the SEC.

On August 5, 2009, the Company issued common stock in a private placement offering. The gross proceeds received by the Company from the offering of approximately \$904,000 are being used to develop and expand its network marketing sales channel and to increase the Company s working capital. The Company anticipates raising additional capital to continue to expand the network marketing sales channel. However, there can be no assurance that any additional funds can be raised or that revenue generated from this new sales channel will result in positive cash flow.

Effective September 15, 2009, the Company received a bridge loan in the amount of \$100,000 from each of Mr. Thompson and Mr. Mauro, members of the Company s board of directors. The terms of the notes are for one month with interest payable at a rate of 10% per annum. Accrued interest is payable in cash by the Company upon repayment of the note at the maturity date. All parties have agreed to an extension of the term of these notes. On September 24, 2009, the Company received an additional loan for \$500,000 from a shareholder with simple interest payable on the unpaid principal balance equal to 3% per calendar month through March 24, 2010.

Note 3 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies:

Consolidation

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiary Lifeline Nutraceuticals Corporation (LNC). All inter-company accounts and transactions between the entities have been eliminated in consolidation.

Table of Contents**Use of Estimates**

Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare these consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*, requires disclosures about the fair value for all financial instruments, whether or not recognized, for financial statement purposes. Disclosures about fair value of financial instruments are based on pertinent information available to management as of June 30, 2009 and 2008. Accordingly, the estimates presented in these statements are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized on disposition of the financial instruments.

Management has estimated the fair values of cash, marketable securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses to be approximately their respective carrying values reported in these financial statements because of their short maturities.

Fair Value Measurements- (Restated)

Fair value measurement requirements are embodied in certain accounting standards applied in the preparation of our financial statements. Significant fair value measurements include our common stock, warrant financing arrangements and certain share-based payment arrangements. See Note 5 - Convertible Debentures (Restated) for disclosures about convertible debt and Note 8 - Common Stock and Warrant Offerings for disclosures related to our common stock and warrant financing arrangements. The fair value hierarchy is defined below:

Fair value hierarchy:

- (1) Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities, or derived therefrom.
- (2) Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices that are observable.
- (3) Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs.

The summary of fair values of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis is as follows at September 30, 2009:

Instrument:	Fair value	Carrying Value	Level	Valuation Methodology
Short-term marketable securities	\$ 480,000	\$ 480,000	2	Market prices
Long-term marketable securities	\$ 120,000	\$ 120,000	2	Market prices
Short-term derivative liabilities	\$1,102,197	\$1,102,197	3	Black-Scholes or Lattice models
Long-term derivative liabilities	\$4,567,030	\$4,567,030	3	Black-Scholes or Lattice models

Table of Contents

The summary of fair values of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis is as follows at June 30, 2009:

Instrument:	Fair value	Carrying Value	Level	Valuation Methodology
Short-term marketable securities	\$ 520,000	\$ 520,000	2	Market prices
Long-term marketable securities	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000	2	Market prices
Derivative warrant liabilities	\$8,429,710	\$8,429,710	3	Black-Scholes

The following represents a reconciliation of the changes in fair value of financial instruments measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) during the three months ended September 30, 2009 and the year ended June 30, 2009:

	September 30, 2009	June 30,2009
Beginning balance short-term derivative liabilities	\$	\$
Total (gains) losses	628,708	
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	473,489	
Ending balance short-term derivative liabilities	\$ 1,102,197	\$

	September 30, 2009	June 30,2009
Beginning balance long-term derivative liabilities	\$ 8,429,710	\$
Total (gains) losses	(6,656,444)	777,687
Purchases, sales, issuances and settlements, net	2,793,764	7,652,023
Ending balance long-term derivative liabilities	\$ 4,567,030	\$ 8,429,710

Revenue Recognition

The Company ships the majority of its products sold through the network marketing or multi-level marketing sales channel directly to the consumer via United Parcel Service (UPS) and receives substantially all payment for these sales in the form of credit card charges. Revenue from direct product sales to customers and distributors is recognized upon passage of title and risk of loss to customers when product is shipped from the fulfillment facility. Sales revenue and estimated returns are recorded when product is shipped. The Company's standard return policy is to provide a 30-day money back guarantee on orders placed by customers. After 30 days, the Company does not issue refunds to direct sales customers for returned product. In the network marketing sales channel, the Company allows terminating distributors to return unopened unexpired product that they have previously purchased up to twelve months prior to termination, subject to certain consumption limitations. To date, returns from terminating distributors have been negligible and the Company recognizes all such revenue. The Company has experienced overall monthly returns of approximately 2% of sales. Our direct to consumer return rate, other than network marketing or multi-level marketing sales, and our retail sales return rate is approximately 1% of sales based on historical experience and our network marketing sales channel return rate is approximately 4% of sales based upon network marketing industry experience. As of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009, the Company's reserve balance for returns and allowances was approximately \$62,300 and \$68,500, respectively.

For its sales to retail distributors, the Company analyzed individual contracts to determine the appropriate accounting treatment for recognition of revenue on a customer by customer basis. As of September 30, 2009, the Company has one retail distributor.

Accounts Receivable

11

Table of Contents

The Company's accounts receivable primarily consists of its receivable from its retail distributor. Management reviews accounts receivable on a regular basis to determine if any receivables will potentially be uncollectible. The Company has one national retail distributor, GNC, as of September 30, 2009. Our national distributor comprises approximately 66% of the Company's customer accounts receivable balance as of September 30, 2009. Based on the current aging of its accounts receivable, the Company believes that it is not necessary to maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts.

For credit card sales to independent distributors and direct sales customers, the Company verifies the customer's credit card prior to shipment of product. Any payment not yet received from credit card sales is treated as a receivable on the accompanying balance sheet. As of June 30, 2009 the Company's credit card processor put a hold on approximately \$533,000 of credit card sales due to higher sales volumes and perceived credit card risks from the Company's change to a network marketing sales channel for distribution of its products. During the three months ended September 30, 2009 the Company changed its credit card processor and has subsequently received substantially all of the reserve deposit.

Based on the Company's verification process for customer credit cards and historical information available, management does not believe that there is justification for an allowance for doubtful accounts on credit card sales related to its direct and independent distributor sales as of September 30, 2009. For direct and independent distributor sales, there is no bad debt expense for the three month period ended September 30, 2009.

Inventory

Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or market value. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method. The Company has capitalized payments to its contract product manufacturer for the acquisition of raw materials and commencement of the manufacturing, bottling and labeling of the Company's product. As of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009, inventory consisted of:

	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Finished goods	\$ 531,234	\$ 522,599
Raw materials	217,415	217,415
Total inventory	\$ 748,649	\$ 740,014

Income/(Loss) per share

Basic income or loss per share is computed by dividing the net income or loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average common shares and potentially dilutive common share equivalents. The effects of approximately 37.4 million common shares issuable pursuant to the convertible debentures and warrants issued in the Company's private placement offerings, compensation based warrants issued by the Company and options granted through the Company's 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan are not included in computations when their effect is antidilutive. As the Company reported net income for the three month period ended September 30, 2009, earnings per share is computed using the weighted average common shares and potentially dilutive common share equivalents. Basic average outstanding shares are used in computing net loss per share for the three month period ended September 30, 2008 since including the additional potential common share equivalents would have an antidilutive effect on the loss per share calculation.

Research and Development Costs

Table of Contents

The Company expenses all costs related to research and development activities as incurred. Research and development expenses for the three month period ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 were \$106,892 and \$52,555, respectively.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers only its monetary liquid assets with original maturities of three months or less as cash and cash equivalents.

Marketable Securities

The Company has, from time to time, invested in marketable securities, including auction rate preferred securities of closed-end funds (ARPS) to maximize interest income. The Company has classified its investment in these instruments as marketable securities available for sale.

These marketable securities which historically have been liquid have been adversely affected by the broader national liquidity crisis. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, \$50,000 of the Company's ARPS were redeemed by the underlying fund. The Company entered into an agreement with its investment advisor, Stifel Nicolaus, to repurchase 100% of the remaining ARPS at par on or prior to June 30, 2012. The schedule for repurchase of remaining ARPS by Stifel Nicolaus over the next three years is as follows:

- (a) The greater of 10 percent or \$25,000 to be completed by June 30, 2010;
- (b) The greater of 10 percent or \$25,000 to be completed by June 30, 2011;
- (c) The balance of outstanding ARPS, if any, to be repurchased by June 30, 2012.

The Company established a line of credit to borrow against marketable securities so that sales of these securities would not have to occur in order to fund operating needs of the Company. The interest on amounts borrowed has been approximately the same as the interest being earned from the underlying securities.

The Company has entered into an agreement to expand the borrowing base of the line of credit with its investment advisor from 50% to 80% of the par value of the Company's marketable securities.

Based upon the agreement to expand the line of credit to 80%, management has access to 80% of its ARPS through borrowing in the current year. Accordingly, management classified 80% or \$480,000 of the Company's marketable securities as short term. The remaining 20% or \$120,000 of the Company's marketable securities that may not be available in the current year is classified as long-term.

As of September 30, 2009, in light of the plan for repurchase and the repurchases made during the year, management has determined that there has not been a change in the fair value of the securities owned. The Company has not recorded any impairment related to these investments, as management does not believe that the underlying credit quality of the assets has been impacted by the reduced liquidity of these investments. We consider the inputs to valuation of these securities as level 2 inputs in the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Investment in marketable securities are summarized as follows as of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009:

	Unrealized (Loss)	Estimated Fair Value
As of September 30, 2009:		
Available for sale securities current	\$	\$ 480,000
Available for sale securities long term		120,000
Total marketable securities	\$	\$ 600,000
As of June 30, 2009:		
Available for sale securities current	\$	\$ 520,000
Available for sale securities long term		130,000
Total marketable securities	\$	\$ 650,000

Shipping and Handling

Shipping and handling costs associated with inbound freight and freight out to customers, including independent distributors, are included in cost of sales. Shipping and handling fees charged to all customers are included in sales.

Intangible Assets

As of September 30, 2009 and June 30, 2009, intangible assets consisted of:

	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Patent costs	\$ 2,264,714	\$ 2,255,696
Trademark costs	136,598	132,712
Amortization of patents & trademarks	(242,583)	(213,127)
Intangible assets, net	\$ 2,158,729	\$ 2,175,281

Patents

The costs of applying for patents are capitalized and, once the patent is granted, are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the patent's economic or legal life. Capitalized costs will be expensed if patents are not granted or it is determined that the patent is impaired. The Company reviews the carrying value of its patent costs periodically to determine whether the patents have continuing value and such reviews could result in impairment of the recorded amounts. As of September 30, 2009, three U.S. patents have been granted. Amortization of these patents commenced upon the date of the grant and will continue over their remaining legal lives.

Stock-Based Compensation

Payments in equity instruments for goods or services are accounted for by the fair value method. The Company has estimated the forfeiture rate on options to be 20%.

The Company adopted and the shareholders approved the Company's 2007 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the Plan), effective November 21, 2006, to provide incentives to certain eligible employees who are expected to contribute significantly to the strategic and long-term performance objectives and growth of the Company. A maximum of 10,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock can be issued under the Plan in connection with the grant of

awards. Awards to purchase common stock have been granted pursuant to the Plan and are outstanding to various employees, officers, directors, independent distributors and Scientific Advisory Board (SAB) members at prices between \$0.11 and \$0.76 per share, vesting over one- to three-year periods. Awards expire in accordance with the terms of each award and the shares subject to the award are added back to the Plan upon expiration of the award. Awards outstanding as of September 30, 2009, net of awards expired, are for the purchase of 8,619,730 shares of the Company s common stock.

Table of Contents

In certain circumstances, the Company issued common stock for invoiced services to pay contractors and vendors, and in other similar situations. Payments in equity instruments to non-employees for goods or services are accounted for by the fair value method, which relies on the valuation of the service at the date of the transaction, or public stock sales price, whichever is more reliable as a measurement.

Compensation expense was calculated using the fair value method during the three month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Compensation based options totaling 756,000 were granted during the three month period ended September 30, 2009 and no compensation based options were granted during the three month period ended September 30, 2008. Warrants for the purchase of 280,000 shares were granted to consultants and SAB members during the three month period ended September 30, 2008 and none were granted during the three month period ended September 30, 2009. The following assumptions were used for options and warrants granted during the three month period ended September 30, 2009 and 2008:

1. risk-free interest rate of 2.42 and 3.52 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively;
2. dividend yield of -0- percent;
3. expected life of 3 to 6 years; and
4. a volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's common stock of 204 and 337 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2009, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instruments- (Restated)

We do not use derivative instruments to hedge exposures to cash flow, market, or foreign currency risks. However, we have entered into certain other financial instruments and contracts, such as freestanding warrants and embedded conversion features on convertible debt agreements that are not afforded equity classification. These instruments are required to be carried as derivative liabilities, at fair value, in our consolidated financial statements.

Derivative financial instruments consist of financial instruments or other contracts that contain a notional amount and one or more underlying variables (e.g. interest rate, security price or other variable), require no initial net investment and permit net settlement. Derivative financial instruments may be free-standing or embedded in other financial instruments. Further, derivative financial instruments are initially, and subsequently, measured at fair value and recorded as liabilities or, in rare instances, assets.

We estimate fair values of derivative financial instruments using various techniques that are considered to be consistent with the objective measurement of fair values. In selecting the appropriate technique, we consider, among other factors, the nature of the instrument, the market risks that it embodies and the expected means of settlement. For less complex derivative instruments, such as freestanding warrants, we generally use the Black Scholes Merton option valuation technique, adjusted for the effect of dilution, because it embodies all of the requisite assumptions (including trading volatility, estimated terms, and risk free rates) necessary to fair value these instruments. For embedded conversion features we generally use a lattice model technique as it contains all of the requisite assumptions to value these features. Estimating fair values of derivative financial instruments requires the development of significant and subjective estimates that may, and are likely to, change over the duration of the instrument with related changes in internal and external market factors. In addition, option-based techniques are highly volatile and sensitive to changes in the trading market price of our common stock. Since derivative financial instruments are initially and subsequently carried at fair values, our income will reflect the volatility in changes to these estimates and assumptions.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the effects on our income (expense) associated with changes in the fair values of our derivative financial instruments for the three months ended September 30, 2009:

	Three months ended September 30, 2009
Investor warrants issued March 16, 2009	\$ 986,745
Investor warrants issued March 26, 2009	2,294,245
Investor warrants issued April 6, 2009	1,013,758
Embedded derivative related to 2007 debentures	1,732,988
Total change in fair value of derivative liability	\$ 6,027,736

There were no derivative financial instruments outstanding and no changes to fair value of derivative liability for the three month period ended September 30, 2008.

Our derivative liabilities are significant to our financial statements for the three month period ended September 30, 2009. The magnitude of derivative income (expense) reflects the following:

The market price of our common stock, which significantly affects the fair value of our derivative financial instruments, experienced material price fluctuations. To illustrate, the closing price of our common stock decreased from \$0.67 on June 30, 2009 to \$0.38 on September 30, 2009. The lower stock price had the effect of significantly decreasing the fair value of our derivative liabilities and, accordingly, we were required to adjust the derivatives to these lower values with a credit to derivative income.

In accordance with accounting guidance effective July 1, 2009 we re-evaluated the anti-dilution provisions associated with convertible debentures we issued in 2007 and determined that we were required to book an embedded derivative liability related to the debt. Refer to Note 1- Restatement.

The following table summarizes the number of common shares indexed to the derivative financial instruments classified as liabilities as of June 30, 2009 and September 30, 2009:

Warrants issued March 16, 2009	3,925,000
Warrants issued March 26, 2009	9,115,000
Warrants issued April 6, 2009	4,460,000
Total warrants issued for the purchase of common stock	17,500,000

Convertible Debt Instruments (Restated)

We issued convertible debt in September and October 2007. We review the terms of convertible debt and equity instruments that we issue to determine whether there are embedded derivative instruments, including the embedded conversion options, that are required to be bifurcated and accounted for separately as derivative instrument liabilities. Also, in connection with the sale of

Table of Contents

convertible debt and equity instruments, we may issue freestanding options or warrants that may, depending on their terms, be accounted for as derivative instrument liabilities, rather than as equity. For option-based derivative financial instruments, we use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to value the derivative instruments. To value the embedded conversion feature we use a lattice model.

When the embedded conversion option in a convertible debt instrument is not required to be bifurcated and accounted for separately as a derivative instrument, we review the terms of the instrument to determine whether it is necessary to record a beneficial conversion feature. When the effective conversion rate of the instrument at the time it is issued is less than the fair value of the common stock into which it is convertible, we recognize a beneficial conversion feature, which is credited to equity and reduces the initial carrying value of the instrument.

As a result of accounting guidance effective July 1, 2009, we re-evaluated the anti-dilution provisions associated with the convertible debt issued in September and October 2007 and as a result we recorded a derivative liability as of September 30, 2009. This liability was recorded as a cumulative effect accounting adjustment to retained earnings as of July 1, 2009 and the liability was recorded at fair market value at July 1, 2009 and September 30, 2009. See Note 1 Restatement.

When convertible debt is initially recorded at less than its face value as a result of allocating some or all of the proceeds received to derivative instrument liabilities, to a beneficial conversion feature or to other instruments, the discount from the face amount, together with the stated interest on the convertible debt, is amortized over the life of the instrument through periodic charges to income, using the effective interest method.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using statutory tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities from a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the effective date of the change.

Concentration of Credit Risk

We disclose significant concentrations of credit risk regardless of the degree of such risk. Financial instruments with significant credit risk include cash and marketable securities. At September 30, 2009, the Company had approximately \$479,000 in cash accounts at one financial institution and approximately \$126,000 in an investment management account at another financial institution.

Effect of New Accounting Pronouncements

We have reviewed recently issued, but not yet effective, accounting pronouncements and do not believe any such pronouncements will have a material impact on our financial statements.

Note 4 Accounting for Intellectual Property

Long-lived assets of the Company are reviewed at least annually as to whether their carrying value has become impaired. The Company assesses impairment at least annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of a long-lived asset may not be recoverable.

Table of Contents

When assessing impairment of long-lived assets, long-lived assets to be disposed of, and certain identifiable intangibles related to those assets is performed, the Company is required to compare the net carrying value of long-lived assets on the lowest level at which cash flows can be determined on a consistent basis to the related estimates of future undiscounted net cash flows for such properties. If the net carrying value exceeds the net cash flows, then impairment is recognized to reduce the carrying value to the estimated fair value, generally equal to the future undiscounted net cash flow.

Note 5 Convertible Debentures- (Restated)

On September 26, 2007 and October 31, 2007, the Company issued convertible debentures in a private placement offering that bear interest at 8 percent per annum and have a term of three years. The convertible debentures are convertible into the Company's common stock at \$0.20 per share during their term and at maturity, at the Company's option, may be repaid in full or converted into common stock at the lower of \$0.20 per share or the average trading price for the 10 days immediately prior to the maturity date on September 26, 2010 and October 31, 2010.

Gross proceeds of \$1,490,000 were distributed to the Company pursuant to the issuance of convertible debentures in the private placement offering. The Company also issued warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.30 per share in the private placement offering.

Prior to conversion or repayment of the convertible debentures, if (i) the Company fails to remain subject to the reporting requirements under the Exchange Act for a period of at least 45 consecutive days, (ii) the Company fails to materially comply with the reporting requirements under the Exchange Act for a period of 45 consecutive days, (iii) the Company's common stock is no longer quoted on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board or listed or quoted on a securities exchange, or (iv) a Change of Control (as defined in the convertible debentures) is consummated, the Company will be required upon the election of the holder to redeem the convertible debentures in an amount equal to 150 percent of the principal amount of the convertible debenture plus any accrued or unpaid interest.

The Company identified conversion features embedded within the convertible notes, which were issued in September and October 2007. These notes contain ratchet provisions whereby the conversion price can be adjusted based on subsequent issuances having lower exercise or issuance prices. Accounting guidance that was effective July 1, 2009 applies to these types of conversion adjustments. Under this guidance the Company determined that the embedded conversion features are not indexed to the Company's own stock and, therefore, are embedded derivative financial liabilities (the Embedded Derivatives). The Embedded Derivatives require bifurcation and separate accounting. The Company originally recorded a beneficial conversion feature related to these conversion features. In order to correct the accounting, the Company reclassified the amount originally recorded as a beneficial conversion feature from equity to a derivative liability and the difference between this amount and the fair value of the embedded derivatives at the original issuance dates were recorded as cumulative effect adjustments to beginning stockholders deficit. At each balance sheet date, the Company adjusts the embedded derivatives to fair value.

In addition, the Company has reviewed the terms of the convertible debentures to determine whether there are any other embedded derivative instruments that may be required to be bifurcated and accounted for separately as derivative instrument liabilities. Certain events of default associated with the convertible debentures, including the holder's right to demand redemption in certain circumstances, have risks and rewards that are not clearly and closely associated with the risks and rewards of the debt instruments in which they are embedded. The Company has reviewed these embedded derivative instruments to determine whether they should be separated from the convertible debentures. However, at this time, the Company has determined that the value of these derivative instrument liabilities is not material.

Table of Contents

Upon subsequent evaluation the Company allocated the proceeds received in the private placement to the embedded conversion derivative liability based on the fair market value at the issuance date and the relative fair value of the warrants to purchase common stock. As a result, the Company allocated \$661,629 to the derivative liability. The impact of this allocation and the subsequent fair market valuation of the derivative liability at July 1, 2009 were treated as cumulative effect adjustment to accumulated deficit.

The following table reflects the fair values of the embedded conversion features at the date of issuance calculated using a lattice model:

	Common shares issuable upon conversion	Fair value
September 26, 2007	4,025,000	\$ 473,489
October 31, 2007	1,550,000	188,140
Total	5,575,000	\$ 661,629

Significant assumptions :

	September 26, 2007	October 31, 2007
Trading market values	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.23
Term (years)	3.00	3.00
Volatility	74%	74%
Risk-free rate	4.07%	3.94%
Dividends		
Estimated probability of issuing a down round	0.0%	0.0%

Note 6 Line of Credit and Notes Payable

The Company established a line of credit to borrow against its marketable securities and any cash received from redemption of its marketable securities. Under an agreement to extend the line of credit from 50% to 80% of the face value of its marketable securities, as of September 30, 2009, the Company can borrow up to \$580,000. The line is collateralized by the Company's marketable securities. The interest rate charged through September 30, 2009, 3.00 percent, is 0.25 percentage points below the published Wall Street Journal Prime Rate, which was 3.25 percent as of September 30, 2009. As of September 30, 2009, the Company has borrowed approximately \$580,000 including accrued interest from the line.

Effective September 15, 2009, the Company received a bridge loan in the amount of \$100,000 from each of Mr. Thompson and Mr. Mauro, members of the Company's board of directors. The terms of the notes are for one month with interest payable at a rate of 10% per annum. Accrued interest is payable in cash by the Company upon repayment of the note at the maturity date. All parties have agreed to an extension of the term of these notes. See Note 9 Subsequent Events for additional information. On September 24, 2009, the Company received an additional loan for \$500,000 from a shareholder with simple interest payable on the unpaid principal balance equal to 3% per calendar month through March 24, 2010.

Table of Contents**Note 7 Stockholders Equity**

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company issued common stock and warrants in a private offering, resulting in gross proceeds to the Company of approximately \$904,000. The Company sold an aggregate of 2,583,668 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 516,724 shares of common stock to participants in the offering. These warrants are exercisable for a period of three years from the date of issuance at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share.

Payments in equity instruments for goods or services are accounted under the guidance of share based payments, which require use of the fair value method. For the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, stock based compensation of \$802,248 and \$126,022, respectively, was reflected as an increase to additional paid in capital. Of the \$802,248 stock based compensation for the three months ended September 30, 2009, \$438,867 was employee related and \$363,381 was non-employee related. Of the \$126,022 stock based compensation for the three months ended September 30, 2008, \$88,000 was employee related and \$38,022 was non-employee related.

Compensation based warrants for the purchase of 280,000 shares of the Company's common stock were granted to consultants for services rendered during the three month period ended September 30, 2008. The value of these warrants was estimated at \$49,235, and was expensed over the service period. No compensation based warrants were granted during the three month period ended September 30, 2009.

The Company's Articles of Incorporation authorize the issuance of preferred shares. However, as of September 30, 2009, none have been issued nor have any rights or preferences been assigned to the preferred shares by the Company's Board of Directors.

Note 8 Common Stock and Warrant Offerings

In March and April of 2009 we issued and sold to accredited investors an aggregate of 17,500,000 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase the same number of shares of common stock. The offering occurred in three closings:

March 16, 2009: The issuance of 3,925,000 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$0.20 per share and warrants exercisable for 3,925,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. Gross proceeds received amounted to \$785,000. Total cash fees for this offering were \$78,500.

March 26, 2009: The issuance of 9,115,000 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$0.20 per share and warrants exercisable for 9,115,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. Gross proceeds received amounted to \$1,823,000. Total cash fees for this offering were \$182,300.

April 6, 2009: The issuance of 4,460,000 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$0.20 per share and warrants exercisable for 4,460,000 shares of our common stock with an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. Gross proceeds received amounted to \$892,000. Total cash fees for this offering were \$39,200.

The investors in these offerings also received anti-dilution protection which provides that if, on or before March 6, 2011, the Company issues shares of common stock or certain securities giving rights to purchase common stock at a price below \$0.20, then such investors will be issued for no additional consideration a number of additional shares of common stock as is calculated based on a broad-based weighted average anti-dilution formula; provided, that in the case of an issuance for a price below \$0.10

Table of Contents

per share, the Company shall only be required to issue to such investors shares of common stock as if such additional shares were issued or sold at \$0.10 per share.

The Company has the option to redeem the warrants at its option at a redemption price of \$0.01 per share provided that (i) the market price of the Company's common stock has equaled or exceeded 200% of the exercise price for any 20 consecutive trading days and (ii) the average trading volume exceeds 100,000 shares per day.

The overall accounting for the warrants required consideration regarding the classification of the investor and placement agent warrants. In evaluating the warrants, there were no explicit conditions that required net cash settlement and the contract permitted us to settle in unregistered shares. At inception, the warrants met all the requirements for equity classification. The proceeds were allocated to the common stock and warrants based on their relative fair values.

The following table illustrates how the proceeds from the offerings were allocated on the relevant closing date:

	March 16, 2009 Allocation	March 26, 2009 Allocation	April 6, 2009 Allocation
Classification			
Common stock	\$ 479,536	\$ 1,228,291	\$
Paid-in Capital (Warrants)	305,464	594,709	3,510,466
Day-one derivative loss			(2,605,466)
Allocation	\$ 785,000	\$ 1,823,000	\$ 905,000

In connection with this offering, placement agents received warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. These warrants are exercisable for a period of three years from the date of issuance at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. We determined that these placement agent warrants met the conditions for equity classification. The following is a table of the placement agent warrants issued and their fair value on the date of issuance:

	Common shares indexed to the placement agent warrants	Fair value
March 16, 2009	392,500	\$ 100,009
March 26, 2009	911,500	378,819
April 6, 2009	196,000	154,272
Total	1,500,000	\$ 633,100

The placement agent warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes Merton valuation technique, adjusted for the effects of dilution using the following assumptions:

Significant assumptions (or ranges):

	March 16, 2009	March 26, 2009	April 6, 2009
Trading market values (1)	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50
Term (years) (3)	3.00	3.00	3.00
Volatility (1)	151%	151%	151%
Risk-free rate (2)	1.39%	1.39%	1.37%
Dividends			

On March 30, 2009, we entered into an Amended Unit Subscription Agreement which included liquidating damages in the event we do not file our current reports under the Securities and Exchange

Table of Contents

Act of 1934. This provision resulted in the investor warrants no longer meeting the applicable requirements for equity classification. As such, warrants issued pursuant to the offering valued at \$7,652,023, were reclassified to liabilities. The placement agent warrants continued to achieve equity classification.

We estimated the fair value of the warrants on the date they required reclassification as a liability and each subsequent reporting period, using the Black-Scholes Merton valuation technique, adjusted for the effect of dilution because that technique embodies all of the assumptions (including, volatility, expected terms, and risk free rates) that are necessary to fair value freestanding warrants.

The following table reflects the fair values of these derivative financial instruments:

	September 30, 2009	June 30, 2009
Common stock and warrant offering:		
March 16, 2009 offering (warrants)	\$ 922,768	\$ 1,909,513
March 26, 2009 offering (warrants)	2,152,052	4,446,297
April 6, 2009 offering (warrants)	1,060,142	2,073,900
	\$ 4,134,962	\$ 8,429,710
Significant assumptions (or ranges):		
Trading market values (1)	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.67
Term (years) (3)	2.5	2.7
Volatility (1)	153%	154%
Risk-free rate (2)	0.95-1.45%	1.64%
Dividends		

Under the fair value hierarchy, the fair value of these warrants was determined to be classified as level 3. Total cash fees for this offering were \$260,800.

On August 5, 2009 we entered into a Common Stock and Warrant Offering Agreement which involved the issuance of 2,583,668 shares of common stock of the Company at a purchase price of \$0.35 per share and the issuance of warrants exercisable for 516,724 shares of our common stock. These warrants are exercisable for a period of three years from the date of issuance at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. Total proceeds from this transaction were \$904,287. Total cash fees for this offering were \$46,013.

In our evaluation of the purchase transaction, we concluded that the Common Stock issued met equity classification. In evaluating these warrants, there were no explicit conditions that required net cash settlement and the contract permitted us to settle in unregistered shares and the warrants met all the requirements for equity classification. The proceeds were allocated to the common stock and warrants based on their relative fair values.

The following table illustrates how the proceeds from the offerings were allocated on the date of closing:

	August 5, 2009 Allocation
Classification	
Common stock	\$ 682,715
Paid-in Capital (Warrants)	221,572
Proceeds	\$ 904,287

Table of Contents

In connection with this offering, placement agents received warrants to purchase shares of our common stock. These warrants are exercisable for a period of three years from the date of issuance at an exercise price of \$0.50 per share. We determined that placement agent warrants met the conditions for equity classification. The following is a table of the placement agent warrants issued and their fair value on the date of issuance which was recorded in stockholder's equity:

	Common shares indexed to the placement agent warrants	Fair value
August 5, 2009	276,473	\$ 121,535

The placement agent warrants and the investor warrants were valued using the Black-Scholes Merton valuation technique, adjusted for the effects of dilution using the following assumptions:

Significant assumptions (or ranges):

	August 5, 2009
Trading market values (1)	\$ 0.60
Term (years) (3)	3.00
Volatility (1)	151%
Risk-free rate (2)	1.78%
Dividends	

Note 9 Contingencies and Litigation

On February 27, 2009, Zrii, LLC ("Zrii") filed a complaint against the Company and two former Zrii independent contractors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The complaint makes allegations of intentional interference with contractual relations with Zrii employees and distributors, intentional interference with Zrii's prospective economic advantage, racketeering, misappropriation of Zrii's proprietary information and trade secrets, violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, the Wiretap Act, the Stored Communications Act, and unfair competition, in addition to numerous other related claims. Zrii seeks injunctive relief enjoining the Company from using or disclosing Zrii's trade secrets and proprietary information and from interfering with Zrii's employees and distributors, general damages of at least \$75 million, lost profits, royalties, punitive damages, disgorgement of profits, and attorneys' fees and costs. We filed a motion to dismiss on March 17, 2009.

On May 1, 2009, Zrii filed a First Amended Complaint, mooted the Motion to Dismiss. The First Amended Complaint names, as a defendant, the Company and only one of the two individuals, Tyler Daniels, who were named defendants in the initial Complaint. In the First Amended Complaint, Zrii alleges that the Company actively conspired with Mr. Daniels, and others, to wrongfully solicit Zrii employees and business and schemed to take and use Zrii's proprietary and trade secret information. The claims against the Company include Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations, Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage, Misappropriation of Trade Secrets, Violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, Violation of the Wiretap Act, Violation of Stored Communications Act, Conversion, Unfair Competition, and Unjust Enrichment. One of the claims in the initial Complaint, namely a claim based upon alleged violations of 18 U.S.C. 1961 et. seq., a Civil Rico statute,

Table of Contents

is not present in this First Amended Complaint. In its prayer for relief Zrii is demanding equitable relief and damages. The Company responded to this new pleading by filing a Motion to Dismiss.

The Company has retained outside counsel to respond to the claims of Zrii and consider any potential counter claims by the Company. Management believes that the claims against the Company lack merit and intends to vigorously defend the action if it is not dismissed based on the pending motion. While the Company currently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. In the event that the action is not dismissed, there is a risk of a material adverse result.

Note 10 Subsequent Event

Two bridge loans in the amount of \$100,000 from each of Mr. Thompson and Mr. Mauro, members of the Company's board of directors and related parties of the Company, have been extended one month beyond the initial term to December 15, 2009. All other terms of the notes remain the same.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. We urge you to carefully review our description and examples of forward-looking statements included in the section entitled "Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of this report. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report and we undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements to reflect new information, events or circumstances after the date of this report. Actual events or results may differ materially from such statements. In evaluating such statements, we urge you to specifically consider various factors identified in this report, including the matters set forth below in Part II, Item 1A of this report, any of which could cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the accompanying financial statements and related notes, as well as the Financial Statements and related notes in our Annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009 and the risk factors discussed therein.

Table of Contents

Overview

This management's discussion and analysis discusses the financial condition and results of operations of Lifevantage Corporation (the Company, Lifevantage, or we, us or our) and its wholly-owned subsidiary, Lifeline Nutraceuticals Corporation (LNC). The Company experienced a significant change in fair value of its derivative liabilities of approximately \$6,028,000 which was recorded as other income during the three month period ended September 30, 2009. Absent the effect of the change in fair value of the derivative warrant liability, the Company would have reported a loss.

We are a publicly traded dietary supplement company which markets and sells its products through the network marketing or multi-level marketing industry and seeks to enhance life through anti-aging and wellness products while creating business opportunities for all people. We offer products backed by facts and by science in two principal categories: dietary supplements that combat oxidative stress and anti-aging skincare. Currently, we manufacture, market, distribute and sell two products, our centerpiece product, Protandim®, a dietary supplement, and our Lifevantage TrueScience Anti-Aging Cream. We primarily sell our products in the United States, and have recently begun sales into Mexico, through a network of independent distributors, preferred customers and direct customers. We also currently sell Protandim® through our direct to consumer sales channel and to one retail store, GNC.

Our revenue significantly depends upon the number and productivity of our independent distributors. As a result, it is vital to our business that we continuously leverage our research and development resources to develop and introduce innovative products. We have developed a distributor compensation plan and other incentives designed to motivate our independent distributors to market and sell our products and to build sales organizations. If we experience delays or difficulties in introducing compelling products or attractive initiatives to independent distributors, this can have a negative impact on our revenue and harm our business.

Our research efforts to date have been focused on investigating various aspects and consequences of the imbalance of oxidants and antioxidants. We intend to continue our research, development, and documentation of the efficacy of Protandim® to provide credibility to the market. We also anticipate undertaking research, development, testing, and licensing efforts to be able to introduce additional products in the future, although we cannot offer any assurance that we will be successful in this endeavor.

The primary manufacturing, fulfillment, and shipping components of our business are outsourced to companies we believe possess a high degree of expertise. Through outsourcing, we hope to achieve a more direct correlation between the costs we incur and our level of product sales, versus the relatively high fixed costs of building our own infrastructure to accomplish these same tasks. Outsourcing also helps to minimize our commitment of resources to the human capital required to manage these operational components successfully. Outsourcing also provides additional capacity without significant advance notice and often at an incremental price lower than the unit prices for the base service.

Our expenses have consisted primarily of marketing expenses, operating expenses, payroll and professional fees, customer service, research and development and product manufacturing for the marketing and sale of Protandim®.

We have taken steps that have caused us to incur significant costs which we believe will help increase sales, including the launch of our network marketing sales channel announced in October 2008. We believe that sales of our main product, Protandim®, are well-suited for and will benefit from the network marketing sales channel based upon numerous scientific studies behind Protandim® which are best communicated in a face to face environment.

Table of Contents

Net revenue from Protandim® sales totaled approximately \$1,858,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2009 and approximately \$1,274,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2008. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, the Company has recognized all deferred revenue and expenses from GNC and Vitamin Cottage (retail customers at the time which had unlimited right of return requirements), as the Company has determined it has sufficient history to reasonably estimate returns and meets the retail sales recognition requirements. Excluding the recognition of prior period deferred revenue of approximately \$511,000 from GNC and Vitamin Cottage, sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008 were approximately \$763,000.

Recent Developments

August 2009 Private Placement

The Company issued common stock and warrants in a private placement offering with aggregate gross proceeds to the Company of approximately \$904,000. The Company sold an aggregate of 2,583,668 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$0.35 per share and issued warrants exercisable for 516,724 shares of common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.50 per share and may be exercised at any time following issuance during the three year exercise period.

Effective September 15, 2009, the Company received a bridge loan in the amount of \$100,000 from each of Mr. Thompson and Mr. Mauro, members of the Company's board of directors and related parties of the Company. The terms of the notes are for one month with interest payable at a rate of 10% per annum. Accrued interest is payable in cash by the Company upon repayment of the note at the maturity date. All parties have agreed to an extension of the term of these two bridge loans.

Three Months Ended September 30, 2009 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2008

Sales We generated net sales from the sale of our products approximating \$1,858,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2009, and generated net sales of approximately \$763,000 and recognized approximately \$511,000 of previously deferred revenue, or a total of approximately \$1,274,000, during the three months ended September 30, 2008. Excluding the recognition of prior period deferred revenue of approximately \$511,000 from GNC and Vitamin Cottage, sales for the three months ended September 30, 2008 were approximately \$763,000.

The increase in revenue is due to sales through the network marketing or multi-level marketing sales channel. During the three month period ended September 30, 2009, most of our marketing effort was directed toward building this channel.

Gross Margin Our gross profit percentage for the three month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 was 83% and 82%, respectively. The higher gross margin in 2009 was primarily due to efficiencies and cost reductions obtained through our contract manufacturer.

Operating Expenses Total operating expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2009 were approximately \$4,554,000 as compared to operating expenses of approximately \$1,092,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2008. Operating expenses consist of marketing and customer service expenses, general and administrative expenses, research and development, and depreciation and amortization expenses. Operating expenses increased due to additional personnel related costs related to the additional staffing requirements for the Company's network marketing sales channel strategy, commissions for distributors, and higher accrued legal expenses.

Table of Contents

Sales and Marketing Expenses Sales and marketing expense increased from approximately \$485,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2008 to approximately \$2,012,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2009. This increase was due to additional sales and marketing personnel, commissions paid to distributors, website redevelopment and consulting fees.

General and Administrative Expenses Our general and administrative expense increased from approximately \$514,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2008 to approximately \$2,381,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2009. The increase is primarily due to higher compensation expense for additional personnel related to the rollout of our network marketing sales channel and higher accrued legal expenses during the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Research and Development Our research and development expenses increased from \$53,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2008 to approximately \$107,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2009 as a result of an increase in fees paid to scientific advisory board members related to the science behind and benefits of Protandim®.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense Depreciation and amortization expense increased from approximately \$40,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2008 to approximately \$53,000 in the three months ended September 30, 2009.

Net Other Income and Expense

During January 2010, the Company discovered material errors associated with the financial statements issued for the period July 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009. The Company identified conversion features embedded within the convertible notes which were issued in September and October 2007. These notes contain ratchet provisions related to conversion that require the Company to apply fair value accounting. The Company determined that the embedded conversion features (ratchet down of exercise price based upon lower exercise price in future offerings) are not indexed to the Company's own stock and, therefore, are embedded derivative financial liabilities (the Embedded Derivative). The Embedded Derivative which requires bifurcation and separate accounting. At issuance, the Company recorded the embedded conversion features at fair value as a derivative liability. Upon recording this liability the beneficial conversion feature previously recorded in equity became a liability and the cumulative effect of this change was recorded in other equity. At each balance sheet date, the Company marks the derivative to market value. As a result of this restatement the change in fair value of derivative liabilities which was recorded as other income and was originally recorded as approximately \$4,295,000 is now recorded as approximately \$6,028,000 a difference of approximately \$1,733,000.

We recognized net other income as restated of approximately \$5,874,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to net other expense of approximately \$78,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2008. This increase is primarily the result of the change in fair value of derivative liabilities during the three months ended September 30, 2009 of approximately \$6,028,000 as restated.

Net Income/Loss The Company's net income was approximately \$2,866,000 for the three month period ended September 30, 2009 compared to a net loss of approximately \$131,000 for the three month period ended September 30, 2008, primarily as a result of the change in fair value of the Company's derivative warrant liability of approximately \$6,028,000. Absent the effect of the change in fair value of the derivative warrant liability, the Company would have incurred a net loss of approximately \$3,162,000.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary liquidity and capital resource requirements are to finance the cost of additional personnel required for the rollout of our network marketing sales channel strategy including the compensation plan to distributors, the manufacture and sale of our products and to pay our general and administrative expenses. The Company's recent hiring of additional personnel for its network marketing sales channel has resulted in substantial additional costs and expenses. In order to meet these increased expense requirements, the Company's sales must continue to increase or the Company must raise sufficient amounts of additional capital, and there is no guarantee that either of these events will occur. To reduce cash outflow related to increased expenses, the Company has reviewed job functions and eliminated redundant positions.

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flow from the sales of our product and funds raised from our 2007 and 2009 private placements. As of September 30, 2009, our available liquidity was approximately \$605,000, including available cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. This represented a decrease of approximately \$4,000 from the approximately \$609,000 in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities as of June 30, 2009. During the three months ended September 30, 2009, our net cash used by operating activities was approximately \$1,634,000 as compared to net cash used by operating activities of approximately \$244,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2008. The Company's cash used by operating activities during the three month period ended September 30, 2009 increased primarily as a result of increased expenditures as previously discussed.

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, our net cash provided by investing activities was approximately \$37,000, due to the redemption of marketable securities. During the three months ended September 30, 2008, our net cash provided by investing activities was approximately \$48,000, primarily due to the redemption of marketable securities.

Cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2009 was approximately \$1,592,000, compared to approximately \$154,000 during the three months ended September 30, 2008. Cash provided from financing activities during the three month period ended September 30, 2009 was due to proceeds from the August 2009 private placement of approximately \$904,000 and notes from two directors and one shareholder totaling \$700,000. Cash provided from financing activities during the three months ended September 30, 2008 was due to proceeds from the revolving line of credit.

We maintain an investment portfolio of marketable securities that is managed by a professional financial institution. The portfolio includes ARPS of AA and AAA rated closed-end funds. These marketable securities which historically have been extremely liquid have been adversely affected by the broader national liquidity crisis.

Based upon an agreement to expand the Company's line of credit to approximately 80%, which the Company's marketable securities serve as collateral, management has classified 80% or \$480,000 of the Company's marketable securities as short term. The remaining 20% or \$120,000 of the Company's marketable securities that may not be available in the current year is classified as long-term. However, future economic events could change the portion of these classified as long term.

At September 30, 2009, we had negative working capital (current assets minus current liabilities) of approximately 3,427,000, compared to negative working capital of approximately \$748,000 at June 30, 2009. The decrease in working capital was primarily due to the rollout of our network marketing sales channel and accrued legal expenses related to the complaint filed against the Company by Zrii, LLC, offset by the capital raised in August 2009.

Table of Contents

In the third and fourth quarters of our 2009 fiscal year, we assumed substantial overhead as we entered the network marketing sales channel and we subsequently instituted a comprehensive review of job functions to eliminate redundant positions. As a result, our management implemented cost reduction initiatives designed to reduce operating costs while increasing our efficiency and productivity. We believe these initiatives will allow us to retain the most qualified and essential personnel required for continued operations and growth of our network marketing distribution model.

Our ability to finance future operations will depend on our existing liquidity and, ultimately, on our ability to generate additional revenues and profits from operations. Management has projected that existing cash on hand will be sufficient to allow us to continue operations at current levels through December 31, 2009. We will need to raise additional capital to continue operations at current levels beyond that date. A shortfall from projected sales levels would also have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue operations at current levels. We are actively seeking to raise additional capital through debt, equity or equity-based financing (such as convertible debt); however financing may not be available on favorable terms or at all. If we raise additional funds by selling additional shares of our capital stock, or securities convertible into shares of our capital stock, the ownership interest of our existing shareholders may be diluted. The amount of dilution could be increased by the issuance of warrants or securities with other dilutive characteristics, such as anti-dilution clauses or price resets. If we are unable to raise additional financing in a timely manner, we would be forced to liquidate some or all of our assets, and/or to suspend or curtail certain or cease all of our operations.

Critical Accounting Policies

We prepare our financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. As such, we are required to make certain estimates, judgments, and assumptions that we believe are reasonable based upon the information available. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Our significant accounting policies are described in Note 2 to our financial statements. Certain of these significant accounting policies require us to make difficult, subjective, or complex judgments or estimates. We consider an accounting estimate to be critical if (1) the accounting estimate requires us to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made, and (2) changes in the estimate that are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, or use of different estimates that we reasonably could have used in the current period, would have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

There are other items within our financial statements that require estimation, but are not deemed critical as defined above. Changes in estimates used in these and other items could have a material impact on our financial statements. Management has discussed the development and selection of these critical accounting estimates with our board of directors, and the audit committee has reviewed the foregoing disclosure.

Allowances for Product Returns We record allowances for product returns at the time we ship the product based on estimated return rates of 1% to 4%. We base these accruals on the historical return rate since the inception of our selling activities, and the specific historical return patterns of the product.

We offer a 30-day, money back unconditional guarantee to all direct customers. As of September 30, 2009, our September 2009 direct and network marketing sales shipments of approximately \$658,000 were subject to the money back guarantee. We replace product returned due to damage during shipment wholly at our cost, the total of which historically has been negligible. In addition, we allow terminating distributors to return 30% of unopened unexpired product that they have previously purchased up to twelve months prior, subject to certain consumption limitations.

Table of Contents

We monitor our return estimate on an ongoing basis and may revise the allowances to reflect our experience. Our allowance for product returns was approximately \$62,300 on September 30, 2009, compared with approximately \$68,500 on June 30, 2009. To date, product expiration dates have not played any role in product returns, and we do not expect they will in the foreseeable future because it is unlikely that we will ship product with an expiration date earlier than the latest allowable product return date.

Inventory Valuation We state inventories at the lower of cost or market on a first-in first-out basis. From time to time we maintain a reserve for inventory obsolescence and we base this reserve on assumptions about current and future product demand, inventory whose shelf life has expired and market conditions. From time to time, we may be required to make additional reserves in the event there is a change in any of these variables. We recorded no reserves for obsolete inventory as of September 30, 2009 because our product and raw materials have a shelf life of at least three (3) years based upon testing performed quarterly in an accelerated aging chamber.

Revenue Recognition We ship the majority of our product directly to the consumer through the direct to consumer and network marketing sales channels via United Parcel Service, (UPS), and receive substantially all payment for these shipments in the form of credit card charges. We recognize revenue from direct product sales to customers upon passage of title and risk of loss to customers when product ships from the fulfillment facility. Sales revenue and estimated returns are recorded when product is shipped.

For retail customers, the Company analyzed its distributor contracts to determine the appropriate accounting treatment for its recognition of revenue on a customer by customer basis. Where the right of return existed beyond 30 days, revenue and the related cost of sales is deferred until sufficient sell-through data is received to reasonably estimate the amount of future returns. As of September 30, 2009, the Company had one retail distributor.

The Company recognized approximately \$511,000 of previously deferred retail revenue and its related costs during the three month period ended September 30, 2008, as it had sufficient information to reasonably estimate future returns. Prior to July 2008, the Company recognized retail revenue from its retail distributor on a sell-through basis as product was sold by that distributor to its customer.

Derivative Instruments In connection with the sale of debt or equity instruments, we may sell options or warrants to purchase our common stock. In certain circumstances, these options or warrants may be classified as derivative liabilities, rather than as equity. Additionally, the debt or equity instruments may contain embedded derivative instruments, such as conversion options, which in certain circumstances may be required to be bifurcated from the associated host instrument and accounted for separately as a derivative instrument liability.

The identification of, and accounting for, derivative instruments is complex. For options, warrants and any bifurcated conversion options that are accounted for as derivative instrument liabilities, we determine the fair value of these instruments using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. That model requires assumptions related to the remaining term of the instruments and risk-free rates of return, our current common stock price and expected dividend yield, and the expected volatility of our common stock price over the life of the instruments. Because of the limited trading history for our common stock, we have estimated the future volatility of our common stock price based on not only the history of our stock price but also the experience of other entities considered comparable to us. The identification of, and accounting for, derivative instruments and the assumptions used to value them can significantly affect our financial statements.

Table of Contents

In January 2010 the Company discovered material errors related to the accounting for derivative instruments embedded in convertible debentures issued in 2007 which resulted in a restatement of the financial statements issued for the first quarter of fiscal 2010.

Intangible Assets – Patent Costs We review the carrying value of our patent costs and compare to fair value at least annually to determine whether the patents have continuing value. In determining fair value, we consider undiscounted future cash flows and market capitalization.

Stock-Based Compensation We use the fair value approach to account for stock-based compensation in accordance with the modified version of prospective application.

Research and Development Costs We have expensed all of our payments related to research and development activities.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

We have reviewed recently issued, but not yet effective, accounting pronouncements and do not believe any such pronouncements will have a material impact on our financial statements.

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The SEC defines the term *disclosure controls and procedures* to mean a company's controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. The Company's management maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and evaluated by the Company's management to allow management to make timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

In connection with the restatement described in Note 1 to the Company's financial statements, members of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer, David Brown, and Chief Financial Officer, Carrie E. Carlander, re-evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as defined by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e), as of September 30, 2009, the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that re-evaluation, Mr. Brown and Ms. Carlander concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of September 30, 2009.

As a result of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures not being effective as of September 30, 2009, the Company restated its financial statements for the quarter ended September 30, 2009 to correct errors in the application of certain recently effective accounting guidance.

To address this matter, the Company has engaged and will continue to engage outside experts, as needed, to provide counsel and guidance in areas where the Company cannot economically maintain the required expertise internally.

Table of Contents

The Company may adopt additional remediation measures related to the identified control deficiency as necessary. The Company will continue to evaluate its internal control over financial reporting and its disclosure controls on an ongoing basis and to enhance them as needed.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2009 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. With the addition of new employees for the entry and rollout of the Company's network marketing sales strategy, internal controls are being analyzed and modified where necessary to maintain the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

On February 27, 2009, Zrii, LLC (Zrii) filed a complaint against the Company and two former Zrii independent contractors in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. The complaint makes allegations of intentional interference with contractual relations with Zrii employees and distributors, intentional interference with Zrii s prospective economic advantage, racketeering, misappropriation of Zrii s proprietary information and trade secrets, violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, the Wiretap Act, the Stored Communications Act, and unfair competition, in addition to numerous other related claims. Zrii seeks injunctive relief enjoining the Company from using or disclosing Zrii s trade secrets and proprietary information and from interfering with Zrii s employees and distributors, general damages of at least \$75 million, lost profits, royalties, punitive damages, disgorgement of profits, and attorneys fees and costs. We filed a motion to dismiss on March 17, 2009.

On May 1, 2009, Zrii filed a First Amended Complaint, mooted the Motion to Dismiss. The First Amended Complaint names, as a defendant, the Company and only one of the two individuals, Tyler Daniels, who were named defendants in the initial Complaint. In the First Amended Complaint, Zrii alleges that the Company actively conspired with Mr. Daniels, and others, to wrongfully solicit Zrii employees and business and schemed to take and use Zrii s proprietary and trade secret information. The claims against the Company include Intentional Interference with Contractual Relations, Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage, Misappropriation of Trade Secrets, Violation of the Computer Fraud and Abuse Act, Violation of the Wiretap Act, Violation of Stored Communications Act, Conversion, Unfair Competition, and Unjust Enrichment. One of the claims in the initial Complaint, namely a claim based upon alleged violations of 18 U.S.C. 1961 et. seq., a Civil Rico statute, is not present in this First Amended Complaint. In its prayer for relief Zrii is demanding equitable relief and damages. The Company responded to this new pleading by filing a Motion to Dismiss.

The Company has retained outside counsel to respond to the claims of Zrii and consider any potential counter claims by the Company. Management believes that the claims against the Company lack merit and intends to vigorously defend the action if it is not dismissed based on the pending motion. While the Company currently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings will not have a material adverse effect on the Company, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties. In the event that the action is not dismissed, there is a risk of a material adverse result.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in Part I. Item 1A Risk Factors in our Annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009. The risks and uncertainties described in such risk factors and elsewhere in this report have the potential to materially affect our business, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows, projected results and future prospects. As of the date of this report, we do not believe that there have been any material changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in our Annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2009, other than as set out below reflecting our recent entry on the network marketing or multi-level marketing sales channel.

Table of Contents

Deteriorating economic conditions globally, including the current financial crisis and declining consumer confidence and spending could harm our business.

Global economic conditions have deteriorated significantly over the past several years. Consumer confidence and spending have declined drastically and the global credit crisis has limited access to capital for many companies. The economic downturn could adversely impact our business in the future by causing a decline in demand for our products, particularly if the economic conditions are prolonged or continue to worsen. In addition, such economic conditions may adversely impact access to capital for us and our suppliers, may decrease our independent distributors ability to obtain or maintain credit cards, and may otherwise adversely impact our operations and overall financial condition.

Our recently initiated network marketing sales channel may not be successful.

We have recently initiated a network marketing sales channel through which independent distributors will enter into agreements with us to sell Protandim® and other products that we may introduce in the market. In order to implement our new sales channel, we have recently hired approximately 50 additional personnel and enrolled several thousand independent distributors to date. Our recent additions of personnel and independent distributors will result in substantial additional costs and expenses. In order to meet these increased expense requirements, we must substantially increase sales of our product or we must raise significant amounts of additional capital, which we may be unable to accomplish. If our revenue does not increase correspondingly with these increased costs and expenses, we will be unable to meet the cost requirements of our network marketing sales channel. In addition, there is no guarantee that our independent distributors' efforts to sell Protandim® or other products will be successful. Should some of the risks related to the Company's network marketing distribution channel materialize, we have the option of changing the sales channel and continuing the business.

If we are unable to retain our existing independent distributors and recruit additional independent distributors, our revenue will not increase and may even decline.

We have recently initiated a network marketing sales channel and we depend on our independent distributors to generate a significant portion of our revenue through that sales channel. Our independent distributors may terminate their services at any time, and, like most network marketing companies, we are likely to experience high turnover among independent distributors from year to year. Independent distributors who join to purchase our products for personal consumption or for short-term income goals may only stay with us for a short time. Independent distributors have highly variable levels of training, skills and capabilities. As a result, in order to maintain sales and increase sales in the future, we need to continue to retain independent distributors and recruit additional independent distributors. To increase our revenue, we must increase the number of and/or the productivity of our independent distributors. The number of our independent distributors may not increase and could decline. While we take steps to help train, motivate, and retain independent distributors, we cannot accurately predict how the number and productivity of independent distributors may fluctuate because we rely primarily upon our independent distributor leaders to recruit, train, and motivate new independent distributors. Our operating results could be harmed if we and our independent distributor leaders do not generate sufficient interest in our business to retain existing independent distributors and attract new independent distributors.

The number and productivity of our independent distributors also depends on several additional factors, including:
any adverse publicity regarding us, our products, our distribution channel, or our competitors;

lack of interest in existing or new products;

Table of Contents

lack of a story that generates interest for potential new independent distributors and effectively draws them into the business;

the public's perception of our products and their ingredients;

the public's perception of our distributors and direct selling businesses in general;

our actions to enforce our policies and procedures;

any regulatory actions or charges against us or others in our industry;

general economic and business conditions; and

Because we compete with other network marketing companies in attracting independent distributors, our operating results could be adversely affected if our existing and new business opportunities and incentives, products, business tools and other initiatives do not generate sufficient enthusiasm and economic incentive to retain our existing independent distributors or to hire new independent distributors on a sustained basis. There can be no assurance that our initiatives will continue to generate excitement among our independent distributors in the long-term or that planned initiatives will be successful in maintaining independent distributor activity and productivity or in motivating independent distributor leaders to remain engaged in business building and developing new independent distributor leaders. In addition, some initiatives may have unanticipated negative impacts on our independent distributors, particularly any changes to our compensation plan. The introduction of a new product or key initiative can also negatively impact other product lines to the extent our independent distributor leaders focus their efforts on the new product or initiative.

Although our independent distributors are independent contractors, improper independent distributor actions that violate laws or regulations could harm our business.

Independent distributor activities in our existing markets that violate governmental laws or regulations could result in governmental actions against us in markets where we operate, which would harm our business. Our independent distributors are not employees and act independently of us. We implement strict policies and procedures to ensure our independent distributors will comply with legal requirements. However, given the size of our independent distributor force, we may experience problems with independent distributors from time to time.

Government inquiries, investigations, and actions regarding our network marketing system could harm our business.

The network marketing industry is subject to governmental regulation, including regulation by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC). Any determination by the FTC or other governmental agency that we or our distributors are not in compliance with existing laws or regulations regarding the network marketing industry could potentially harm our business. Even if governmental actions do not result in rulings or orders against us, they could create negative publicity that could detrimentally affect our efforts to recruit or motivate independent distributors and attract customers and, consequently, result in a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Challenges by private parties to the form of our network marketing system or other regulatory compliance issues could harm our business.

We may be subject to challenges by private parties, including our independent distributors, to the form of our network marketing system or elements of our network marketing sales channel. For example, lawsuits have recently been brought or threatened against some of our competitors that include allegations that the businesses involve unlawful pyramid schemes as well as other allegations. Adverse rulings in any of the cases that have been filed or that may be filed in the future could negatively impact our business if they create adverse publicity, modify current regulatory requirements in a manner that is

Table of Contents

inconsistent with our current business practices, or impose fines or other penalties. In the United States, the network marketing industry and regulatory authorities have generally relied on the implementation of distributor rules and policies designed to promote retail sales to protect consumers and to prevent inappropriate activities and to distinguish between legitimate network marketing distribution plans and unlawful pyramid schemes. We have adopted rules and policies based on case law, rulings of the FTC, discussions with regulatory authorities in several states and domestic and global industry standards. Legal and regulatory requirements concerning network marketing systems, however, involve a high level of subjectivity, are inherently fact-based and are subject to judicial interpretation. As a result, we can provide no assurance that we would not be harmed by the application or interpretation of statutes or regulations governing network marketing, particularly in any civil challenge by a current or former independent distributor.

Adverse publicity concerning our business, marketing plan or products could harm our business and reputation.

The size of our distribution force and the results of our operations can be particularly impacted by adverse publicity regarding us, the nature of our independent distributor network, our products or the actions of our independent distributors. Specifically, we are susceptible to adverse publicity concerning:

suspicious about the legality and ethics of network marketing;

the ingredients or safety of our or our competitors' products;

regulatory investigations of us, our competitors and our respective products;

the actions of our current or former distributors; and

public perceptions of network marketing generally.

The loss of key high-level distributors could negatively impact the growth of our network marketing sales channel.

Approximately 30 of our independent distributors occupy the highest distributor levels under our compensation plan. These independent distributors, together with their extensive networks of downline distributors, currently account for substantially all of our sales through our network marketing sales channel. As a result, the loss of a high-level independent distributor or a group of leading distributors in the independent distributor's network of downline distributors, whether by choice or through disciplinary actions for violations of our policies and procedures, could negatively impact the growth of our network marketing sales channel.

Laws and regulations may prohibit or severely restrict our network marketing efforts and regulators could adopt new regulations that harm our business.

Various government agencies throughout the world regulate network marketing practices. These laws and regulations are generally intended to prevent fraudulent or deceptive schemes, often referred to as "pyramid schemes," which compensate participants for recruiting additional participants irrespective of product sales, use high pressure recruiting methods and/or do not involve legitimate products. Complying with these rules and regulations can be difficult and requires the devotion of significant resources on our part. If we are unable to continue business in existing markets or commence operations in new markets because of these laws, this could result in a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. Markets in which we currently do business could change their laws or regulations to negatively affect or completely prohibit network marketing efforts.

Table of Contents

There is no assurance that we will be successful in expanding our operations and, if successful, managing our future growth.

Our ability to finance future operations will depend on our existing liquidity and, ultimately, on our ability to generate additional revenues and profits from operations. Management has projected that existing cash on hand will be sufficient to allow us to continue operations at current levels through December 31, 2009. We will need to raise additional capital to continue operations at current levels beyond that date. A shortfall from projected sales levels would also have a material adverse effect on our ability to continue operations at current levels. We are actively seeking to raise additional capital through debt, equity or equity-based financing (such as convertible debt); however financing may not be available on favorable terms or at all. If we raise additional funds by selling additional shares of our capital stock, or securities convertible into shares of our capital stock, the ownership interest of our existing shareholders may be diluted. The amount of dilution could be increased by the issuance of warrants or securities with other dilutive characteristics, such as anti-dilution clauses or price resets. If we are unable to raise additional financing in a timely manner, we would be forced to liquidate some or all of our assets, and/or to suspend or curtail certain or cease all of our operations.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, the Company sold 2,583,668 unregistered shares of common stock at a price of \$0.35 per share. In connection with that issuance, the Company also issued warrants exercisable for 516,724 shares of its common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$0.50 per share and may be exercised at any time following issuance during the three-year exercise period. The issuances described above were exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(2) thereof and/or Rule 506 promulgated thereunder. The transaction was not conducted in connection with a public offering, and no public solicitation or advertisement was made or relied upon by the investors in connection with the offering.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *

31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *

32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *

32.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 *

* Furnished
herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

LIFEVANTAGE CORPORATION

Date: February 16, 2010

/s/ David W. Brown
David W. Brown
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 16, 2010

/s/ Carrie E. Carlander
Carrie E. Carlander
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)