

FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC

Form 10-Q

November 09, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 001-16577

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter).

Michigan

38-3150651

(State or other jurisdiction of
Incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

5151 Corporate Drive, Troy, Michigan

48098-2639

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

(248) 312-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past ninety days.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).
Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

As of November 8, 2010, 269,278,468 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.01 par value, were issued and outstanding.

Table of Contents

FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended. Forward-looking statements, by their nature, involve estimates, projections, goals, forecasts, assumptions, risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results or outcomes to differ materially from those expressed in a forward-looking statement. Examples of forward-looking statements include statements regarding our expectations, beliefs, plans, goals, objectives and future financial or other performance. Words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates and variations of such words and similar expressions are used to identify such forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made. Except to fulfill our obligations under the U.S. securities laws, we undertake no obligation to update any such statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which it is made.

There are a number of important factors that could cause future results to differ materially from historical performance and these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such a difference include:

General business and economic conditions, including unemployment rates, movements in interest rates, the slope of the yield curve, any increase in mortgage fraud and other criminal activity and the potential decline of housing prices in certain geographic markets, may significantly affect our business activities, loan losses, reserves and earnings;

Volatile interest rates that impact, amongst other things, (i) the mortgage banking business, (ii) our ability to originate loans and sell assets at a profit, (iii) prepayment speeds and (iv) our cost of funds, could adversely affect earnings, growth opportunities and our ability to pay dividends to stockholders;

Our ability to raise additional capital;

Competitive factors for loans could negatively impact gain on loan sale margins;

Competition from banking and non-banking companies for deposits and loans can affect our growth opportunities, earnings, gain on sale margins and our market share;

Changes in the regulation of financial services companies and government-sponsored housing enterprises, and in particular, declines in the liquidity of the mortgage loan secondary market, could adversely affect business;

Changes in regulatory capital requirements or an inability to achieve desired capital ratios could adversely affect our growth and earnings opportunities and our ability to originate certain types of loans, as well as our ability to sell certain types of assets for fair market value;

Actions of mortgage loan purchasers, guarantors and insurers regarding repurchase and indemnity demands and uncertainty related to foreclosure procedures could adversely affect business activities and earnings;

Factors concerning the implementation of proposed enhancements could result in slower implementation times than we anticipate and negate any competitive advantage that we may enjoy; and

Financial services reform legislation recently enacted into law by the President will, among other things, eliminate the Office of Thrift Supervision, tighten capital standards, create a new Bureau of Consumer Financial Protection and result in new laws and regulations that are expected to increase our costs of operations.

All of the above factors are difficult to predict, contain uncertainties that may materially affect actual results, and may be beyond our control. New factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for our management to predict all such factors or to assess the effect of each such factor on our business.

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Please also refer to Item 1A. Risk Factors to Part II of this report, Item 1A to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and Item 1A to Part II of our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended June 30, 2010 and March 31, 2010, which are incorporated by reference herein, for further information on these and other factors affecting us.

Although we believe that the assumptions underlying the forward-looking statements contained herein are reasonable, any of the assumptions could be inaccurate, and therefore any of these statements included herein may prove to be inaccurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in the forward-looking statements included herein, the inclusion of such information should not be regarded as a representation by us or any other person that the results or conditions described in such statements or our objectives and plans will be achieved.

FLAGSTAR BANCORP, INC.
FORM 10-Q
FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2010
TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	4
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	43
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	77
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	79

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	80
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	80
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	87
<u>Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>	87
<u>Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)</u>	87
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	87
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	88

SIGNATURES 89

EX-31.1
EX-31.2
EX-32.1
EX-32.2

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements of the Company are as follows:

<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition</u> September 30, 2010 (unaudited) and December 31, 2009	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive (Loss)</u> For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)	8
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	10

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition
(In thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Unaudited)	
Assets		
Cash and cash items	\$ 50,422	\$ 73,019
Interest-bearing deposits	962,711	1,009,470
Cash and cash equivalents	1,013,133	1,082,489
Securities classified as trading	161,000	330,267
Securities classified as available for sale	503,568	605,621
Other investments restricted		15,601
Loans available for sale (\$1,780,486 and \$1,937,171 at fair value at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively)	1,943,096	1,970,104
Loans held for investment (\$35,994 and \$11,287 at fair value at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively)	7,312,226	7,714,308
Less: allowance for loan losses	(474,000)	(524,000)
Loans held for investment, net	6,838,226	7,190,308
Total interest-earning assets	10,408,601	11,121,371
Accrued interest receivable	37,898	44,941
Reposessed assets, net	198,585	176,968
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	373,443	373,443
Premises and equipment, net	233,235	239,318
Mortgage servicing rights at fair value	447,023	649,133
Mortgage servicing rights, net		3,241
Other assets	2,087,366	1,331,897
Total assets	\$ 13,836,573	\$ 14,013,331
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity		
Deposits	\$ 8,561,943	\$ 8,778,469
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	3,400,000	3,900,000
Security repurchase agreements		108,000
Long term debt	248,610	300,182
Total interest-bearing liabilities	12,210,553	13,086,651
Accrued interest payable	18,338	26,086
Secondary market reserve	77,500	66,000
Other liabilities	469,453	237,870
Total liabilities	12,775,844	13,416,607

Commitments and contingencies 21

Stockholders Equity

Preferred stock \$0.01 par value, liquidation value \$1,000 per share, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 266,657 issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	3	3
Common stock \$0.01 par value, 300,000,000 shares authorized; 153,512,990 and 46,877,067 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	1,535	469
Additional paid in capital preferred	247,837	243,778
Additional paid in capital common	1,079,042	447,449
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(19,484)	(48,263)
Accumulated deficit	(248,204)	(46,712)
Total stockholders equity	1,060,729	596,724
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 13,836,573	\$ 14,013,331

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except per share data)

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Unaudited)			
Interest Income				
Loans	\$ 111,744	\$ 136,849	\$ 330,745	\$ 452,233
Securities classified as available for sale or trading	10,968	29,738	47,069	85,873
Interest-earning deposits	504	517	1,628	1,799
Other	1	3	3	28
Total interest income	123,217	167,107	379,445	539,933
Interest Expense				
Deposits	40,270	58,352	123,677	192,248
FHLB advances	39,816	56,116	123,755	170,210
Security repurchase agreements		1,178	2,750	3,497
Long term debt and other	2,017	3,867	8,060	9,638
Total interest expense	82,103	119,513	258,242	375,593
Net interest income	41,114	47,594	121,203	164,340
Provision for loan losses	51,399	125,544	200,978	409,420
Net interest expense after provision for loan losses	(10,285)	(77,950)	(79,775)	(245,080)
Non-Interest Income				
Loan fees and charges	24,365	29,422	60,930	97,366
Deposit fees and charges	7,585	8,438	24,796	23,655
Loan administration	12,924	(30,293)	(15,590)	(20,240)
Gain on trading securities	10,354	21,714	76,702	6,377
Loss on residual and transferors' interest	(4,665)	(50,689)	(11,660)	(66,625)
Net gain on loan sales	103,211	104,416	220,034	404,773
Net loss on sales of mortgage servicing rights	(1,195)	(1,319)	(4,674)	(3,945)
Net gain on securities available for sale			6,689	
Total other-than-temporary impairment gain (loss)		34,100	35,200	(69,533)
Less: portion of other-than-temporary impairment gains (losses) recognized in other comprehensive income before taxes		36,975	38,877	(49,089)
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings		(2,875)	(3,677)	(20,444)
Other fees and charges	(7,691)	(12,582)	(36,333)	(29,189)

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Total non-interest income	144,888	66,232	317,217	391,728
Non-Interest Expense				
Compensation, commissions and benefits	59,817	68,611	171,944	232,038
Occupancy and equipment	15,757	17,175	47,670	53,553
Asset resolution	34,233	26,811	96,245	69,660
Federal insurance premiums	8,522	7,666	29,209	28,514
Other taxes	1,964	12,944	3,660	15,049
Warrant (income) expense	(1,405)	3,556	(3,664)	27,561
Loss on extinguishment of debt	11,855		20,826	
General and administrative	21,756	30,143	58,985	95,017
Total non-interest expense	152,499	166,906	424,875	521,392
Loss before federal income taxes	(17,896)	(178,624)	(187,433)	(374,744)
Provision for federal income taxes		114,965		55,008
Net Loss	(17,896)	(293,589)	(187,433)	(429,752)
Preferred stock dividend/accretion	(4,690)	(4,623)	(14,059)	(12,464)
Net loss applicable to common stock	\$ (22,586)	\$(298,212)	\$(201,492)	\$(442,216)
Loss per share				
Basic	\$ (0.15)	\$ (6.36)	\$ (1.57)	\$ (16.58)
Diluted	\$ (0.15)	\$ (6.36)	\$ (1.57)	\$ (16.58)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss)
(In thousands)

	Preferred Stock	Common Stock	Additional Paid in Capital - Preferred	Additional Paid in Capital - Common	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Total Stockholders Equity
Balance at December 31, 2008 (Unaudited)	\$	\$ 84	\$	\$ 119,776	\$ (81,742)	\$ 434,175	\$ 472,293
Net loss						(429,752)	(429,752)
Reclassification of loss on securities available for sale due to other-than- temporary impairment					13,289		13,289
Change in net unrealized loss on securities available for sale					53,682		53,682
Total comprehensive loss							(362,781)
Cumulative effect for adoption of new guidance for other-than-temporary impairments					(32,914)	32,914	
Issuance of preferred stock	6		507,488				507,494
Conversion of preferred stock	(3)	375	(268,574)	268,202			
Issuance of common stock to management		7		5,314			5,321
Reclassification of Treasury Warrants				49,673			49,673
Issuance of common stock for exercise of May Warrants		3		4,373			4,376
Restricted stock issued				(45)			(45)
Dividends on preferred stock						(8,927)	(8,927)
Accretion of preferred stock			3,537			(3,537)	
				658			658

Stock-based compensation							
Tax effect from stock-based compensation				(465)			(465)
Balance at September 30, 2009	\$ 3	\$ 469	\$ 242,451	\$ 447,486	\$(47,685)	\$ 24,873	\$ 667,597
Balance at December 31, 2009 (Unaudited)	\$	\$ 469	\$ 243,778	\$ 447,449	\$(48,263)	\$ (46,712)	\$ 596,724
Net loss						(187,433)	(187,433)
Reclassification of gain on sale of securities available for sale					(6,689)		(6,689)
Reclassification of loss on securities available for sale due to other-than-temporary impairment					3,677		3,677
Change in net unrealized loss on securities available for sale					31,791		31,791
Total comprehensive loss							(158,654)
Issuance of common stock		1,061		626,441			627,502
Restricted stock issued				(12)			(12)
Dividends on preferred stock						(10,000)	(10,000)
Accretion of preferred stock			4,059			(4,059)	
Stock-based compensation		5		5,164			5,169
Tax effect from stock-based compensation							
Balance at September 30, 2010	\$	\$ 1,535	\$ 247,837	\$ 1,079,042	\$(19,484)	\$(248,204)	\$ 1,060,729

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

	For the Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2010	2009
	(Unaudited)	
Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (187,433)	\$ (429,752)
Adjustments to net loss to net cash used in operating activities		
Provision for loan losses	200,978	409,420
Depreciation and amortization	13,748	17,075
Increase in valuation allowance in mortgage servicing rights	961	3,774
Loss on fair value of residential mortgage servicing rights net of hedging gains (losses)	231,923	91,078
Stock-based compensation expense	5,169	658
Gain on interest rate swap	(728)	(326)
Net loss on the sale of assets	5,908	1,241
Net gain on loan sales	(220,034)	(404,773)
Net loss on sales of mortgage servicing rights	4,674	3,945
Net gain on sale of securities classified as available for sale	(6,689)	
Other than temporary impairment losses on securities classified as available for sale	3,677	20,444
Net gain on trading securities	(76,702)	(6,377)
Net loss on residual and transferor interest	11,660	66,625
Proceeds from sales of loans available for sale	18,019,645	24,267,675
Origination and repurchase of mortgage loans available for sale, net of principal repayments	(18,101,403)	(25,236,411)
Purchase of trading securities	(899,011)	(744,946)
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	1,143,279	1,079,716
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	7,043	5,350
Increase in other assets	(757,727)	(533,774)
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(7,748)	(11,223)
Net tax effect of stock grants issued		465
Increase in liability for checks issued	7,547	9,701
Decrease in federal income taxes payable		(36,527)
Increase in other liabilities	145,914	62,936
Net cash used in operating activities	(455,349)	(1,364,006)
Investing Activities		
Net change in other investments	15,601	(6,987)
Proceeds from the sale of investment securities available for sale	418,178	
Net (purchase) repayment of investment securities available for sale	(124,815)	46,487
Proceeds from sales of portfolio loans	(65,077)	9,184
Origination of portfolio loans, net of principal repayments	25,545	437,396
Investment in unconsolidated subsidiary		1,547
Proceeds from the disposition of repossessed assets	169,063	178,539

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Acquisitions of premises and equipment, net of proceeds	(7,287)	(9,692)
Proceeds from the sale of mortgage servicing rights	124,729	119,815
Net cash provided by investing activities	555,937	776,289
Financing Activities		
Net (decrease) increase in deposit accounts	(216,526)	692,963
Net decrease in Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(500,000)	(400,000)
Payment on long-term debt	(25)	(25)
Net decrease in security repurchase agreements	(108,000)	
Net receipt of payments of loans serviced for others	80,417	24,345
Net receipt of escrow payments	6,688	6,032
Net tax benefit for stock grants issued		(465)
Dividends paid to preferred stockholders	(10,000)	(7,222)
Issuance of junior subordinated debt		50,000
Issuance of preferred stock		544,365
Issuance of common stock	577,502	6,696
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(169,944)	916,689
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(69,356)	328,972
Beginning cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,082,489	\$ 506,905
Ending cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,013,133	\$ 835,877

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Loans held for investment transferred to repossessed assets	\$ 447,445	\$ 492,798
Total interest payments made on deposits and other borrowings	\$ 265,990	\$ 386,816
Federal income taxes paid	\$ 541	\$ 1,510
Reclassification of mortgage loans originated for investment to mortgage loans available for sale	\$ 146,114	\$ 32,987
Reclassification of mortgage loans originated available for sale to held for investment loans	\$ 81,037	\$ 42,171
Recharacterization of mortgage loans available for sale to investment securities available for sale	\$ 159,422	\$ 314,625
Mortgage servicing rights resulting from sale or securitization of loans	\$ 157,177	\$ 267,960
Conversion of mandatory convertible participating voting preferred stock to common stock	\$	\$ 271,577
Conversion of convertible trust securities	\$ 50,000	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc.

Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 Nature of Business

Flagstar Bancorp, Inc. (Flagstar or the Company), is the holding company for its principal subsidiary, Flagstar Bank, FSB (the Bank), a federally chartered stock savings bank founded in 1987. With \$13.8 billion in assets at September 30, 2010, Flagstar is the largest insured depository institution headquartered in Michigan. Unless otherwise specified, references herein to the Company shall include the business operations of the Company and the Bank.

The Company's principal business is obtaining funds in the form of deposits and wholesale borrowings and investing those funds in single-family mortgages and other types of loans. The Company's primary lending activity is the acquisition or origination of single-family mortgage loans. The Company may also originate consumer loans, commercial real estate loans and non-real estate commercial loans. The Company services a significant volume of residential mortgage loans for others.

The Company sells or securitizes most of the mortgage loans that it originates and generally retains the right to service the mortgage loans that it sells. These mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) are occasionally sold by the Company in transactions separate from the sale of the underlying mortgages. The Company may also invest in a significant amount of its loan production to enhance the Company's leverage and to receive the interest spread between earning assets and paying liabilities.

The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Indianapolis and is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of Thrift Supervision (including any successors thereto OTS) and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF).

Note 2 Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The Company's 10 trust subsidiaries and four securitization trusts are considered variable interest entities and are not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements because the Company is not the primary beneficiary of those entities. Prior to January 1, 2010, the securitization trusts were not consolidated in the Company's consolidated financial statements because they were qualified special purpose entities under FASB ASC Topic 860, *Transfers and Servicing*. The concept of the special purpose entity was eliminated from ASC Topic 860 effective January 1, 2010. In addition, certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X as promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). Accordingly, they do not include all the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) for complete financial statements. The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited; however, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. The results of operations for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2010. For further information, reference should be made to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which are available on the Company's Investor Relations web page, at www.flagstar.com, and on the SEC website, at www.sec.gov.

Note 3 Recent Developments

Equity Offerings

On November 2, 2010, the Company completed a registered offering of 14,192,250 shares of its Mandatorily Convertible Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock Series D (the Convertible Preferred Stock), which included 692,250 shares issued pursuant to the underwriter's over-allotment option, and a registered offering of 115,655,000 shares of its common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (Common Stock), which included 5,655,000 shares issued

pursuant to the underwriter's over-allotment option. The public offering price of the Convertible Preferred Stock and the Common Stock was \$20.00 and \$1.00 per share, respectively. Upon stockholder approval of an amendment to increase the number of authorized shares of Common Stock from 300,000,000 shares to 700,000,000 shares, each share of Convertible Preferred Stock will be automatically converted into 20 shares of Common Stock, based on a conversion price of \$1.00 per share of Common Stock. MP Thrift Investments, L.P. (MP Thrift), participated in the registered offerings and

Table of Contents

purchased 8,884,637 shares of Convertible Preferred Stock and 72,307,263 shares of Common Stock at the offering price. The offerings resulted in aggregate net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$385.8 million, after deducting underwriting fees and offering expenses.

Asset Sale

On November 2, 2010, the Company announced that it had entered into an agreement to sell approximately \$474 million of non-insured non-performing residential first mortgage loans and will reclassify an additional \$86 million in residential non-performing loans as available for sale.

The Company expects to receive approximately \$209 million, or 44% of book value before allocated allowance for loan losses, for the \$474 million of non-performing loans. Approximately \$133 million of the allowance for loan losses is currently allocated to the \$474 million of non-performing loans. In aggregate, the Company expects a loss of approximately \$132 million on the transaction, which is expected to close in the fourth quarter 2010. The Company also expects that the carrying value of the remaining residential non-performing (excluding those insured by the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) or U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) loans will be reclassified to available for sale on the Company's balance sheet and reflect fair value based upon the value at which the above-mentioned transaction is completed.

Note 4 Supervisory Agreements

On January 27, 2010, the Company and the Bank each entered into respective supervisory agreements with the OTS (collectively, the Supervisory Agreements). The Company and the Bank have taken numerous steps to comply with, and intend to comply in the future with, all of the requirements of the Supervisory Agreements, and do not believe that the Supervisory Agreements will materially constrain management's ability to implement its business plan. The Supervisory Agreements will remain in effect until terminated, modified, or suspended in writing by the OTS, and the failure to comply with the Supervisory Agreements could result in the initiation of further enforcement action by the OTS, including the imposition of further operating restrictions and result in additional enforcement actions against the Company.

Note 5 Recent Accounting Developments

ASU No. 2010-20, Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosure about Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and Allowance For Credit Losses. This guidance requires an entity to provide disclosures that facilitate the evaluation of the nature of credit risk inherent in its portfolio of financing receivables; how that risk is analyzed and assessed in determining the allowance for credit losses; and the changes and reasons for those changes in the allowance for credit losses. To achieve those objectives, disclosures on a disaggregated basis must be provided on two defined levels: (1) portfolio segment; and (2) class of financing receivable. This guidance makes changes to existing disclosure requirements and includes additional disclosure requirements relating to financing receivables. Short-term accounts receivable, receivables measured at fair value or lower of cost or fair value and debt securities are exempt from this guidance. The disclosures related to period-end information are required to be provided in all interim and annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. Disclosures of activity that occurs during the reporting period are required in interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The provisions of this guidance are not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Note 6 Fair Value Accounting

The Company adheres to guidance related to fair value measurements and additional guidance for financial instruments. This guidance establishes a framework for measuring fair value and prescribes disclosures about fair value measurements. The guidance also establishes a uniform definition of fair value. The definition of fair value under this guidance is market-based as opposed to Company-specific and includes the following:

Defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability, in either case through an orderly transaction between market participants at a measurement date, and establishes a framework for measuring fair value;

Establishes a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date;

Table of Contents

Nullifies previous fair value guidance, which required the deferral of profit at inception of a transaction involving a derivative financial instrument in the absence of observable data supporting the valuation technique; and

Eliminates large position discounts for financial instruments quoted in active markets and requires consideration of the company's creditworthiness when valuing liabilities.

The accounting guidance for financial instruments provides an option to elect fair value as an alternative measurement for selected financial assets, financial liabilities, unrecognized Company commitments and written loan commitments not previously recorded at fair value. In accordance with the provisions of this guidance, the Company applied the fair value option to certain non-investment grade residual securities that arose from private-label securitizations. Accordingly, these residual securities are classified as trading securities. As of September 30, 2010, the Company's residuals interests were deemed to have no value.

The Company applies the fair value measurement method for residential MSR's under guidance related to servicing assets and liabilities. Management applies the fair value measurement method of accounting for residential MSR's to be consistent with the fair value accounting method required for its risk management strategy to economically hedge the fair value of these assets. Changes in the fair value of MSR's, as well as changes in fair value of the related derivative and other hedging instruments, are recognized each period within the combination of loan administration income (loss) on the consolidated statement of operations and in gain (loss) on trading securities, to the extent such instruments are held on the balance sheet.

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company elected the fair value option for the majority of its loans available for sale in accordance with the accounting guidance for financial instruments. Only loans available for sale originated subsequent to January 1, 2009 were affected. Prior to the Company's fair value election, loans available for sale were carried at the lower of aggregate cost or estimated fair value; therefore, any increase in fair value to such loans was not realized until such loans were sold. See Note 8, Loans Available for Sale, for further information.

Determination of Fair Value

The Company has an established process for determining fair values. Fair value is based upon quoted market prices, where available. If listed prices or quotes are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed models that use primarily market-based or independently-sourced market parameters, including interest rate yield curves and option volatilities. Valuation adjustments may be made to ensure that financial instruments are recorded at fair value. These adjustments include amounts to reflect counterparty credit quality, creditworthiness, liquidity and unobservable parameters that are applied consistently over time. Any changes to the valuation methodology are reviewed by management to determine appropriateness of the changes. As markets develop and the pricing for certain products becomes more transparent, the Company expects to continue to refine its valuation methodologies.

The methods described above may produce a fair value estimate that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. Furthermore, while the Company believes its valuation methods are appropriate and consistent with other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions by other market participants to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in different estimates of fair values of the same financial instruments as held by the Company at the reporting date.

Valuation Hierarchy

The accounting guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The valuation hierarchy favors the transparency of inputs to the valuation of an asset or liability as of the measurement date and thereby favors use of Level 1 if appropriate information is available, and otherwise Level 2 and finally Level 3 if Level 2 input is not available. The three levels are defined as follows.

Level 1 Fair value is based upon quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets in which the Company may participate.

Level 2 Fair value is based upon quoted prices for similar (i.e., not identical) assets and liabilities in active markets, and other inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument.

Level 3 Fair value is based upon financial models using primarily unobservable inputs.

12

Table of Contents

A financial instrument's categorization within the valuation hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input within the valuation hierarchy that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used by the Company for instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy.

Assets

Securities classified as trading. These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Department of the Treasury (U.S. Treasury) bonds and non-investment grade residual securities that arose from private-label securitizations of the Company. The U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities and U.S. Treasury bonds trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices and are therefore classified within the Level 1 valuation hierarchy. The non-investment grade residual securities do not trade in an active, open market with readily observable prices and are therefore classified within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy. Under Level 3, the fair value of residual securities is determined by discounting estimated net future cash flows using expected prepayment rates and discount rates that approximate current market rates. Estimated net future cash flows include assumptions related to expected credit losses on these securities. The Company maintains a model that evaluates the default rate and severity of loss on the residual securities' collateral, considering such factors as loss experience, delinquencies, loan-to-value ratios, borrower credit scores and property type. See Note 11, Private Label Securitization Activity, for the key assumptions used in the residual interest valuation process. At September 30, 2010, the Company's residual interests were deemed to have no value.

Securities classified as available for sale. These securities are comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations (CMOs). Where quoted prices for securities are available in an active market, those securities are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. If such quoted market prices are not available, then fair values are estimated using pricing models, quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, or discounted cash flows. Due to illiquidity in the markets, the Company determined the fair value of certain non-agency securities using internal valuation models and therefore classified them within the Level 3 valuation hierarchy as these models utilize significant inputs which are unobservable.

Other investments-restricted. Other investments are primarily comprised of various mutual fund holdings. These mutual funds trade in an active market and quoted prices are available. Other investments are classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. At September 30, 2010, no such investments were outstanding.

Loans available for sale. At September 30, 2010, the majority of the Company's loans originated and classified as available for sale were reported at fair value and classified as Level 2. The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Otherwise, the fair value of loans is estimated using discounted cash flows based upon management's best estimate of market interest rates for similar collateral. The Company generally estimated the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans. Where quoted market prices were available, such market prices were utilized as estimates for fair values. Otherwise, the fair values of loans were estimated by discounting estimated cash flows using management's best estimate of market interest rates, prepayment speeds and loss assumptions for similar collateral. At September 30, 2010, the Company continued to have a relatively small number of loans which were originated prior to the fair value election and accounted for at lower of cost or market. Loans as to which the Company has the unilateral right to repurchase from certain securitization transactions, but has not yet repurchased, are classified as available for sale and accounted for at historical cost, based on current unpaid principal balance.

Loans held for investment. The Company generally does not record these loans at fair value on a recurring basis. However, from time to time, a loan is considered impaired and an allowance for loan losses is established. Loans are considered impaired if it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Once a loan is identified as impaired, the fair value of the impaired loan is estimated using one of several methods, including collateral value, market value of similar debt, enterprise value and liquidation value or discounted cash flows. Impaired loans do not require an allowance if the fair value of the expected repayments or collateral exceed the recorded investments in such loans. At September 30, 2010, substantially all of the impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of the collateral rather than on discounted cash flows. If the fair value of collateral is used to establish an allowance, the underlying impaired loan must be assigned a classification in the fair value hierarchy. To the extent the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a

current appraised value, the Company records the impaired loan as a nonrecurring Level 2 valuation.

Table of Contents

Repossessed assets. Loans on which the underlying collateral has been repossessed are adjusted to fair value less costs to sell upon transfer to repossessed assets. Subsequently, repossessed assets are carried at the lower of carrying value or fair value, less anticipated marketing and selling costs. Fair value is based upon independent market prices, appraised values of the collateral or management's estimation of the value of the collateral. When the fair value of the collateral is based on an observable market price or a current appraised value, the Company records the repossessed asset as a nonrecurring Level 2 valuation.

Mortgage Servicing Rights. The Company has obligations to service residential first mortgage loans, and consumer loans (i.e., home equity lines of credit (HELOCs) and second mortgage loans). Residential MSR's are accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis, while servicing rights associated with consumer loans are carried at amortized cost and are periodically evaluated for impairment. At September 30, 2010, the Company no longer had any MSR's associated with consumer loans.

Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights. Management believes that the current market for residential mortgage servicing rights is not sufficiently liquid to provide participants with quoted market prices. Therefore, the Company uses an option-adjusted spread valuation approach to determine the fair value of residential MSR's. This approach consists of projecting servicing cash flows under multiple interest rate scenarios and discounting these cash flows using risk-adjusted discount rates. The key assumptions used in the valuation of residential MSR's include mortgage prepayment speeds and discount rates. Management periodically obtains third-party valuations of the residential MSR portfolio to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by its internal valuation model. Due to the nature of the valuation inputs, residential MSR's are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy. See Note 12, Mortgage Servicing Rights, for the key assumptions used in the residential MSR valuation process.

Consumer Loan Servicing Rights. Consumer servicing assets are subject to periodic impairment testing. A valuation model, which utilizes a discounted cash flow analysis using interest rates and prepayment speed assumptions currently quoted for comparable instruments and a discount rate determined by management, is used in the completion of impairment testing. If the valuation model reflects a value less than the carrying value, consumer servicing assets are adjusted to fair value through a valuation allowance as determined by the model. As such, the Company classifies consumer servicing assets subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments as Level 3 valuations.

Derivative Financial Instruments. Certain classes of derivative contracts are listed on an exchange and are actively traded, and they are therefore classified within Level 1 of the valuation hierarchy. These include U.S. Treasury futures, U.S. Treasury options and interest rate swaps. The Company's forward loan sale commitments may be valued based on quoted prices for similar assets in an active market with inputs that are observable and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Rate lock commitments are valued using internal models with significant unobservable market parameters and therefore are classified within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy.

Liabilities

Warrants. Warrant liabilities are valued using a binomial lattice model and are classified within Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy. Significant assumptions include expected volatility, a risk free rate and an expected life.

Table of Contents**Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis**

The following tables presents the financial instruments carried at fair value as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, by caption on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and by the valuation hierarchy (as described above):

September 30, 2010	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Carrying Value in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Securities classified as trading:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 161,000	\$	\$	\$ 161,000
Total securities classified as trading	161,000			161,000
Securities classified as available for sale	8,461		495,107	503,568
Loans available for sale		1,780,486		1,780,486
Loans held for investment		35,994		35,994
Residential mortgage servicing rights			447,023	447,023
Derivative assets:				
Rate lock commitments			50,540	50,540
Agency forwards	5,096			5,096
U.S. Treasury futures				
Total derivative assets	5,096		50,540	55,636
Total assets at fair value	174,557	1,816,480	992,670	2,983,707
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps	19			19
U.S. Treasury futures	2,649			2,649
Forward agency and loan sales		19,117		19,117
Total derivative liabilities	2,668	19,117		21,785
Warrant liabilities		1,447		1,447
Total liabilities at fair value	2,668	20,564		23,232
Net assets and liabilities at fair value	\$ 171,889	\$ 1,795,916	\$ 992,670	\$ 2,960,475

Table of Contents

December 31, 2009	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Carrying Value in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Securities classified as trading:				
Residual interests	\$	\$	\$ 2,057	\$ 2,057
Mortgage-backed securities	328,210			328,210
Total securities classified as trading	328,210		2,057	330,267
Securities classified as available for sale				
Loans available for sale	67,245		538,376	605,621
Loans held for investment		1,937,171		1,937,171
Residential mortgage servicing rights		11,287		11,287
Other investments-restricted	15,601		649,133	649,133
Other investments-restricted				15,601
Derivative assets:				
Rate lock commitments			10,061	10,061
Forward agency and loan sales		27,764		27,764
Total derivative assets		27,764	10,061	37,825
Total assets at fair value	411,056	1,976,222	1,199,627	3,586,905
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate swaps	747			747
Agency forwards	29,883			29,883
U.S. Treasury futures	19,345			19,345
Total derivative liabilities	49,975			49,975
Warrant liabilities		5,111		5,111
Total liabilities at fair value	49,975	5,111		55,086
Net assets and liabilities at fair value	\$361,081	\$1,971,111	\$1,199,627	\$ 3,531,819

Changes in Level 3 fair value measurements

A determination to classify a financial instrument within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy is based upon the significance of the unobservable factors to the overall fair value measurement. However, Level 3 financial instruments typically include, in addition to the unobservable or Level 3 components, observable components (that is, components that are actively quoted and can be validated to external sources). Accordingly, the gains and losses in the table below include changes in fair value due in part to observable factors that are included within the valuation methodology. Also, the Company manages the risk associated with the observable components of Level 3 financial instruments

using securities and derivative positions that are classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the valuation hierarchy; these Level 1 and Level 2 risk management instruments are not included below, and therefore the gains and losses in the tables herein do not reflect the effect of the Company's risk management activities related to such Level 3 instruments.

Table of Contents**Fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs**

The tables below include a roll forward of the consolidated statement of financial condition amounts for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 (including the change in fair value) for financial instruments classified by the Company within Level 3 of the valuation hierarchy:

For the Three Months Ended	Fair Value,	Total Realized/ Unrealized	Purchases, and Settlements, net	Transfers in and/or Out of Level 3	Fair Value September 30, 2010	Changes in Unrealized Gains and (Losses) Related to Financial Instruments
						Fair Value, July 1, 2010
September 30, 2010	July 1, 2010					
(Dollars in thousands)						
Securities classified as trading:						
Residual interests ⁽¹⁾	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities classified as available for sale ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	517,406	4,365	(26,664)		495,107	4,364
Residential mortgage servicing rights	473,724	34,774	(61,476)		447,022	
Derivative financial instruments:						
Rate lock commitments	46,160		4,380		50,540	
Totals	\$1,037,290	\$39,139	\$(83,760)	\$	\$992,669	\$4,364

For the Three Months Ended	Fair Value,	Total Realized/ Unrealized	Purchases, and Settlements, net	Transfers In and/or Out of Level 3	Fair Value, September 30, 2009	Changes in Unrealized Gains and (Losses) Related to Financial Instruments
						Fair Value, July 1, 2009
September 30, 2009	July 1, 2009					
(Dollars in thousands)						

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Securities classified as trading:						
Residual interests ⁽¹⁾	\$ 16,402	\$ (13,133)	\$	\$	\$ 3,269	\$
Securities classified as available for sale ^{(2) (3)}	554,055	71,651	23,296		649,002	74,525
Residential mortgage servicing rights	658,209	(170,879)	76,699		564,029	
Derivative financial instruments:						
Rate lock commitments	29,200		11,405		40,605	
Totals	\$1,257,866	\$(112,361)	\$111,400	\$	\$1,256,905	\$74,525

- (1) Residual interests are valued using internal inputs supplemented by independent third party inputs.
- (2) Realized gains (losses), including unrealized losses deemed other-than-temporary and related to credit issues, are reported in non-interest income. Unrealized gains (losses) are reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss.
- (3) U.S. government sponsored agency securities classified as available for sale are valued predominantly using quoted broker/dealer prices with adjustments to reflect for any assumptions a willing market participant would include in its valuation. Non-agency securities classified as available for sale are valued using internal valuation models and pricing information from third parties.

Table of Contents

						Changes in Unrealized Gains and (Losses) Related to Financial Instruments
	Fair Value,	Total Realized/ Unrealized	Purchases, Issuances and	Transfers in and/or Out of Level 3	Fair Value	Held at
For the Nine Months Ended	January 1,	Gains/ (Losses)	Settlements, net		September 30,	September 30,
September 30, 2010	2010		(Dollars in thousands)	3	2010	2010
Securities classified as trading:						
Residual interests ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,057	\$ (2,057)	\$	\$	\$	\$
Securities classified as available for sale ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	538,376	26,602	(69,871)		495,107	30,279
Residential mortgage servicing rights	649,133	(233,541)	31,431		447,023	
Derivative financial instruments:						
Rate lock commitments	10,061		40,479		50,540	
Totals	\$1,199,627	\$(208,996)	\$ 2,039	\$	\$992,670	\$ 30,279

						Changes in Unrealized Gains and (Losses) Related to Financial Instruments
	Fair Value,	Total Realized/ Unrealized	Purchases, Issuances	Transfers In and/or Out of Level 3	Fair Value,	Held at
For the Nine Months Ended	January 1,	Gains/ (Losses)	Settlements, net		September 30,	September 30,
September 30, 2009	2009		(Dollars in thousands)	3	2009	2009
Securities classified as trading:						
Residual interests ⁽¹⁾	\$ 24,808	\$ (21,539)	\$	\$	\$ 3,269	\$
Securities classified as available for sale ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	563,083	87,420	(1,501)		649,002	107,863
	511,294	(215,222)	267,957		564,029	

Residential mortgage servicing
rights

Derivative financial
instruments:

Rate lock commitments	78,613		(38,008)		40,605	
Totals	\$1,177,798	\$(149,341)	\$228,448	\$	\$1,256,905	\$107,863

- (1) Residual interests are valued using internal inputs supplemented by independent third party inputs.
- (2) Realized gains (losses), including unrealized losses deemed other-than-temporary and related to credit issues, are reported in non-interest income. Unrealized gains (losses) are reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss.
- (3) U.S. government sponsored agency securities classified as available for sale are valued predominantly using quoted broker/dealer prices with adjustments to reflect for any assumptions a willing market participant would include in its valuation. Non-agency securities classified as available for sale are valued using internal valuation models and pricing information from third parties.

Table of Contents

The Company also has assets that under certain conditions are subject to measurement at fair value on a non-recurring basis. These assets are measured at the lower of cost or market and had a fair value below cost at the end of the period as summarized below:

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

	Balance at September 30, 2010	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Loans held for investment	\$337,731	\$	\$337,731	\$
Reposessed assets	198,585		198,585	
Consumer loan servicing rights				
Totals	\$536,316	\$	\$536,316	\$

	Balance at December 31, 2009	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Loans held for investment	\$557,808	\$	\$557,808	\$
Reposessed assets	176,968		176,968	
Consumer loan servicing rights	3,241			3,241
Totals	\$738,017	\$	\$734,776	\$3,241

Table of Contents**Fair Value of Financial Instruments**

The accounting guidance for financial instruments requires disclosures of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate their fair values. Certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from the scope of this guidance. Accordingly, the fair value disclosures required by this guidance are only indicative of the value of individual financial instruments as of the dates indicated and should not be considered an indication of the fair value of the Company.

The following table presents the carrying amount and estimated fair value of certain financial instruments:

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Financial Instruments:				
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,013,133	\$ 1,013,133	\$ 1,082,489	\$ 1,082,489
Securities trading	161,000	161,000	330,267	330,267
Securities available for sale	503,568	503,568	605,621	605,621
Other investments restricted			15,601	15,601
Loans available for sale	1,943,096	1,959,348	1,970,104	1,975,819
Loans held for investment, net	6,838,226	6,824,347	7,190,308	7,120,802
Repossessed assets	198,585	198,585	176,968	176,968
FHLB stock	373,443	373,443	373,443	373,443
Mortgage servicing rights	447,023	447,023	652,374	652,656
Liabilities:				
Retail deposits:				
Demand deposits and savings accounts	(1,899,529)	(1,817,918)	(1,900,855)	(1,799,776)
Certificates of deposit	(3,494,141)	(3,588,690)	(3,546,616)	(3,643,218)
Government accounts	(770,404)	(774,507)	(557,495)	(549,990)
National certificates of deposit	(1,257,926)	(1,287,737)	(2,017,080)	(2,455,684)
Company controlled deposits	(1,139,943)	(1,138,705)	(756,423)	(756,423)
FHLB advances	(3,400,000)	(3,671,301)	(3,900,000)	(4,136,489)
Security repurchase agreements			(108,000)	(110,961)
Long term debt	(248,610)	(89,299)	(300,182)	(284,464)
Warrant liabilities	(1,447)	(1,447)	(5,111)	(5,111)
Derivative Financial Instruments:				
Forward delivery contracts	(19,117)	(19,117)	27,764	27,764
Commitments to extend credit	50,540	50,540	10,061	10,061
Interest rate swaps	(19)	(19)	(747)	(747)
U.S. Treasury and agency futures/forwards	(2,447)	(2,447)	(49,228)	(49,228)

The methods and assumptions that were used to estimate the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring or non-recurring basis are discussed above. The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of other financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate that value:

Cash and cash equivalents. Due to their short term nature, the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Loans held for investment. The fair value of loans is estimated by using internally developed discounted cash flow models using market interest rate inputs as well as management's best estimate of spreads for similar collateral.

FHLB stock. No secondary market exists for FHLB stock. The stock is bought and sold at par by the FHLB. Management believes that the recorded value is the fair value.

Deposit Accounts. The fair value of demand deposits and savings accounts approximates the carrying amount. The fair value of fixed-maturity certificates of deposit is estimated using the rates currently offered for certificates of deposits with similar remaining maturities.

FHLB Advances. Rates currently available to the Company for debt with similar terms and remaining maturities are used to estimate the fair value of the existing debt.

Table of Contents

Security Repurchase Agreements. Rates currently available for repurchase agreements with similar terms and maturities are used to estimate fair values for these agreements.

Long Term Debt. The fair value of the long-term debt is estimated based on a discounted cash flow model that incorporates the Company's current borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Note 7 Investment Securities

As of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, investment securities were comprised of the following:

	Current Maturities	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
		(Dollars in thousands)	
Securities trading			
U.S. government treasury bonds	2012	\$ 161,000	\$
U.S. government sponsored agencies	2038-2039		328,210
Non-investment grade residual interests			2,057
Total securities trading		\$ 161,000	\$ 330,267
Securities available-for-sale			
Non-agency securities	2035-2037	\$ 495,107	\$ 538,376
U.S. government sponsored agencies	2010-2040	8,461	67,245
Total securities available-for-sale		\$ 503,568	\$ 605,621
Other investments restricted			
Mutual funds		\$	\$ 15,601

Trading

Securities classified as trading are comprised of AAA-rated U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. Treasury bonds, and non-investment grade residual interests from private-label securitizations. U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities held in trading are distinguished from available-for-sale based upon the intent of the Company to use them as an economic offset against changes in the valuation of the MSR portfolio; however, these securities do not qualify as an accounting hedge.

For U.S. Treasury bonds and U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities held, we recorded a gain of \$76.7 million during the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, of which \$4.1 million was unrealized gain on securities held at September 30, 2010. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2009, we recorded a net gain of \$6.4 million, \$16.8 million of which was unrealized loss on agency mortgage-backed securities at September 30, 2009.

The non-investment grade residual interests resulting from the Company's private label securitizations were zero at September 30, 2010 versus \$2.1 million at December 31, 2009. The fair value of residual interests is determined by discounting estimated net future cash flows using discount rates that approximate current market rates and expected prepayment rates. Estimated net future cash flows include assumptions related to expected credit losses on these securities. The Company maintains a model that evaluates the default rate and severity of loss on the residual interests collateral, considering such factors as loss experience, delinquencies, loan-to-value ratios, borrower credit scores and property type. The fair value of non-investment grade residual securities classified as trading decreased as a result of the increase in the actual and expected losses in the second mortgages and HELOCs that underlie these assets.

Table of Contents**Available-for-Sale**

Securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of other comprehensive loss to the extent they are temporary in nature or other-than-temporary impairments (OTTI) as to non-credit related issues. If unrealized losses are, at any time, deemed to have arisen from OTTI, the credit related portion is reported as an expense for that period. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the Company had \$503.6 million and \$605.6 million, respectively, in securities classified as available-for-sale which were comprised of U.S. government sponsored agency and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations.

The following table summarizes the amortized cost and estimated fair value of U.S. government sponsored agency and non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations classified as available-for-sale:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Amortized cost	\$ 549,039	\$ 679,872
Gross unrealized holding gains	2,708	2,118
Gross unrealized holding losses	(48,179)	(76,369)
Estimated fair value	\$ 503,568	\$ 605,621

The following table summarizes by duration the unrealized loss positions, at September 30, 2010, on these securities:

Type of Security	Unrealized Loss Position with Duration 12 Months and Over			Unrealized Loss Position with Duration Under 12 Months		
	Principal	Number of Securities	Current Unrealized Loss (Dollars in thousands)	Principal	Number Of Securities	Current Unrealized Loss
U.S. government sponsored agency securities	\$		\$	\$ 7,866	22	\$
Collateralized mortgage obligations	578,499	12	(48,179)			
Totals	\$ 578,499	12	\$ (48,179)	\$ 7,866	22	\$

The unrealized loss on securities-available-for-sale amounted to \$48.2 million on \$586.4 million of principal of agency and non-agency securities at September 30, 2010. These CMOs consist of interests in investment vehicles backed by mortgage loans.

An investment impairment analysis of these securities is triggered when the estimated market value is less than amortized cost for an extended period of time, generally six months. Before an analysis is performed, the Company also reviews the general market conditions for the specific type of underlying collateral for each security; in this case, the mortgage market in general has suffered from significant losses in value. With the assistance of third party experts as deemed necessary, the Company models the expected cash flows of the underlying mortgage assets using historical factors such as default rates, current delinquency rates and estimated factors such as prepayment speed, default speed and severity speed. Next, the cash flows are modeled through the appropriate waterfall for each tranche owned; in the case of CMOs the level of credit support provided by subordinated tranches is included in the waterfall analysis. The resulting cash flow of principal and interest is then utilized by management to determine the amount of credit losses by security.

The credit losses on the portfolio reflect the economic conditions present in the U.S. over the course of the last several years. This includes high mortgage defaults, declines in collateral values and changes in homeowner behavior, such as intentionally defaulting on a note due to a home value worth less than the outstanding debt on the home (so-called strategic defaults.)

In the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, additional OTTI due to credit losses on eight investments with existing other-than-temporary impairment credit losses totaled \$3.7 million while additional OTTI due to credit loss was recognized on two securities that did not already have such losses; all OTTI due to credit losses was recognized in current operations.

At September 30, 2010, the Company had total other-than-temporary impairments of \$35.2 million on 12 securities in the available-for-sale portfolio with \$38.9 million in total credit losses recognized through operations. At December 31, 2009, the Company had total OTTI of \$111.6 million on 12 securities in the available-for-sale portfolio with \$35.3 million in total credit losses recognized through operations.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the activity for OTTI credit net loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2010:

	January 1, 2010 Balance	Additions on Securities with No Prior OTTI	Additions on Securities with Previous OTTI Recognized	Reduction For Sold Securities with OTTI	September 30, 2010 Balance
(Dollars in thousands)					
Collateralized mortgage obligations	\$(35,272)		\$ (3,677)		\$(38,949)

Gains (losses) on the sale of U.S. government sponsored agency mortgage-backed securities available for sale that are recently created with underlying mortgage products originated by the Company are reported within net gain on loan sale. Securities in this category have typically remained in the portfolio less than 90 days before sale. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, there was a \$0.1 million loss on sales of \$17.1 million of agency securities with underlying mortgage products recently originated by the Bank as compared with a \$0.1 million gain on \$190.5 million of sales during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, sales of agency securities with underlying mortgage products originated by the Bank were \$160.5 million resulting in \$0.1 million of net gain on loan sale as compared with a \$13.0 million gain on \$653.0 million of sales during the nine month period ended September 30, 2009.

Gain (loss) on sales for all other available for sale securities types are reported in net gain on sale of available for sales securities. During the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company sold no U.S. government sponsored agency and non-agency securities available for sale. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company sold \$251.0 million of agency and non-agency securities resulting in a net gain of \$6.7 million versus no sales for the period ended September 30, 2009.

As of September 30, 2010, the aggregate amount of available-for-sale securities from each of the following non-agency issuers was greater than 10% of the Company's stockholders' equity.

Name of Issuer	Amortized Cost	Fair Market Value
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Countrywide Home Loans	\$ 182,064	\$ 170,252
Flagstar Home Equity Loan Trust 2006-1	161,404	146,643
Total	\$ 343,468	\$ 316,895

Other Investments - Restricted

The Company has other investments in its insurance subsidiary which are restricted as to their use. These assets are held in trust and can only be used to pay insurance claims in that subsidiary arising from mortgage reinsurance agreements with certain mortgage insurance companies. These securities had a fair value that approximates their recorded amount for each period presented. During 2009, the Company executed commutation agreements with two of the four mortgage insurance companies with which it had reinsurance agreements and terminated its agreement with one of the four mortgage insurance companies. During the third quarter 2010, the Company terminated its last reinsurance agreement with the last of the four mortgage insurance companies. Under each commutation agreement, the respective mortgage insurance company took back the ceded risk (thus again assuming the entire insured risk) and received rights to all of the related future premiums. In addition, the mortgage insurance company received all the cash held in trust attributable to the related reinsurance arrangement. The Company had securities related to its

remaining reinsurance agreements of zero and \$15.6 million at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Note 8 Loans Available for Sale

The following table summarizes loans available for sale:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Mortgage loans	\$ 1,943,096	\$ 1,970,104
Total	\$ 1,943,096	\$ 1,970,104

Table of Contents

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company elected to record new originations of loans available for sale on the fair value method and as such no longer defers loan fees or expenses related to these loans. Because the fair value method was required to be adopted prospectively, only loans originated for sale on or after January 1, 2009 are affected. At September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, \$1.8 billion and \$1.9 billion of loans available for sale were recorded at fair value, respectively. The Company estimates the fair value of mortgage loans based on quoted market prices for securities backed by similar types of loans where quoted market prices are available. If such market prices are not available, the fair values of loans are estimated by discounting estimated cash flows using management's best estimate of market interest rates for similar collateral.

In addition, for certain loans sold to Ginnie Mae, the Company as the servicer, has the unilateral right to repurchase, without Ginnie Mae's prior authorization, any individual loan in a Ginnie Mae securitization pool if that loan meets certain criteria, including being delinquent greater than 90 days. Once the Company has the unilateral right to repurchase the delinquent loan, the Company has effectively regained control over the loan and must under U.S. GAAP, re-recognize the loan on its balance sheet, in loans available for sale, and establish a corresponding repurchase liability on its balance sheet regardless of the Company's intention to repurchase the loan. At September 30, 2010, the Company's re-recognized loans, included in loans available for sale, and corresponding liability, included in other liabilities, was \$135.6 million.

Note 9 Loans Held for Investment

Loans held for investment are summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Mortgage loans	\$ 4,479,814	\$ 4,990,994
Second mortgage loans	185,062	221,626
Commercial real estate loans	1,341,009	1,600,271
Construction loans	9,956	16,642
Warehouse lending	913,494	448,567
Consumer loans	373,086	423,842
Commercial loans	9,805	12,366
Total	7,312,226	7,714,308
Less allowance for loan losses	(474,000)	(524,000)
Total	\$ 6,838,226	\$ 7,190,308

For the three and nine month periods ended, September 30, 2010, the Company transferred \$7.5 million and \$76.2 million, respectively in loans available for sale to loans held for investment. The loans transferred were carried at fair value, and continue to be reported at fair value while classified as held for investment.

Activity in the allowance for loan losses is summarized as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010		For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009	
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 530,000	\$ 474,000	\$ 524,000	\$ 376,000
Provision charged to operations	51,399	125,544	200,978	409,420
Charge-offs	(109,838)	(73,540)	(257,486)	(262,565)

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Recoveries	2,439	1,996	6,508	5,145
Balance, end of period	\$ 474,000	\$ 528,000	\$ 474,000	\$ 528,000

There were loans totaling \$14.6 million and \$0.6 million greater than 90 days past due that were still accruing interest as of September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Company may modify certain loans to retain customers or to maximize collection of the loan balance. The Company has maintained several programs designed to assist borrowers by extending payment dates or reducing the borrower's contractual payments. All loan modifications are made on a case by case basis. Loan modification programs for borrowers

Table of Contents

implemented during 2009 and 2010 have resulted in a significant increase in restructured loans. These loans are classified as troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) and are included in non-accrual loans if the loan was non-accruing prior to the restructuring or if the payment amount increased significantly. At September 30, 2010, TDRs totaled \$748.8 million of which \$299.0 million were non-accruing.

Loans on which interest accruals have been discontinued totaled approximately \$0.9 billion at September 30, 2010 and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2009. Loans are placed on non-accrual status when any portion of principal or interest is 90 days delinquent or earlier when concerns exist as to the ultimate collection of principal or interest. When a loan is placed on non-accrual status, the accrued and unpaid interest is reversed and interest income is recorded only as collected. Loans return to accrual status when principal and interest become current and are anticipated to be fully collectible. Interest income is recognized on impaired loans using a cost recovery method unless the receipt of principal and interest as they become contractually due is not in doubt. TDRs of impaired loans that perform under the restructured terms will remain on non-accrual status until the borrower has established a willingness and ability to make the restructured payment for at least six months, after which they will begin to accrue interest, provided the loan continues to perform according to its restructured terms. Interest that would have been accrued on non-accrual loans totaled approximately \$26.8 million and \$25.7 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

A loan is impaired when it is probable that payment of interest and principal will not be made in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. The following table details impaired loans by loan loss allowance allocation and interest earned.

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Impaired loans with no allowance for loan losses allocated ⁽¹⁾	\$ 112,183	\$ 160,188
Impaired loans with allowance for loan losses allocated	859,621	891,022
Total impaired loans	\$ 971,804	\$ 1,051,210
Amount of the allowance allocated to impaired loans	\$ 155,588	\$ 172,741
Average investment in impaired loans	\$ 1,024,964	\$ 796,112
Cash-basis interest income recognized during impairment ⁽²⁾	\$ 23,892	\$ 26,602

(1) Includes loans for which the principal balance has been charged down to net realizable value. Those impaired loans not requiring an allowance represents loans for which expected discounted cash flows or the fair value of the collateral less estimated selling costs exceeded the recorded investments in such loans. At September 30, 2010, approximately 43.72% of the total impaired loans were evaluated based on the fair value of related collateral.

(2) Includes interest income recognized during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and year ended December 31, 2009, respectively.

The Company announced on November 2, 2010, that it had entered into an agreement to sell approximately \$474.0 million of non-insured non-performing residential first mortgage loans and will reclassify an additional \$86.0 million in residential non-performing loans as available for sale. See Note 3, Recent Developments, for further information.

Table of Contents**Note 10 Pledged Assets**

The Company has pledged certain securities and loans to collateralize security repurchase agreements, lines of credit and/or borrowings with the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago and the FHLB of Indianapolis and other potential future obligations. The following table details pledged asset by asset class, and the carrying value of pledged investments and the investments maturities.

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Carrying Value	Investment Maturities (Dollars in thousands)	Carrying Value	Investment Maturities
Securities classified as trading:				
U.S. government treasury bonds	\$ 158,945	2012	\$	
U.S. government sponsored agencies			328,210	2038-2039
Securities classified as available for sale:				
U.S. government sponsored agencies	743	2010-2040	47,213	2010-2040
Non-agency securities	146,643	2035-2037	538,376	2035-2037
Loans held for investment:				
Mortgage loans	5,446,622	Various	5,526,865	Various
Second mortgage loans	154,371	Various	194,319	Various
Consumer loans	262,499	Various	286,602	Various
Commercial real estate loans	582,411	Various	751,472	Various
Other assets:				
Government guaranteed repurchased Ginnie Mae assets	1,515,928	Various		
Totals	\$ 8,268,162		\$ 7,673,057	

Note 11 Private-label Securitization Activity

The Company has, in the past, securitized fixed and adjustable rate second mortgage loans and home equity line of credit loans for sale in the non-agency secondary market. The Company acted as the principal underwriter of the beneficial interests that were sold to investors. The financial assets were derecognized when they were transferred to the securitization trust, which then issued and sold mortgage-backed securities to third party investors. The Company relinquished control over the loans at the time the financial assets were transferred to the securitization trust. The Company typically recognized a gain on the sale on the transferred assets.

The Company retained interests in the securitized mortgage loans and trusts, in the form of residual interests, transferor's interests, and servicing assets. The residual interests represent the present value of future cash flows expected to be received by the Company. Residual interests are accounted for at fair value and are included as securities classified as trading in the consolidated statement of financial condition. Any gains or losses realized on the sale of such securities and any subsequent changes in unrealized gains and losses are reported in the consolidated statement of operations. Transferor's interests represent all of the remaining interest in the assets within the securitization trust, which will equal the excess of the loan pool balance over the note principal balance and are comprised of the overcollateralization amount and any additional balance increase amount. Transferor's interests are included in loans held for investment in the consolidated statement of financial condition. Servicing assets represent the present value of future servicing cash flows expected to be received by the Company. These servicing assets are accounted for on an amortization method, and have been included in mortgage servicing rights in the consolidated statement of financial condition.

The Company recorded \$26.1 million in residual interests as of December 31, 2005, as a result of its non-agency securitization of \$600 million in home equity line of credit loans (the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC

Securitization). In addition, until March 2008, draws on the home equity lines of credit in the trust established in the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization were purchased from the Company by the trust, resulting in additional residual interests to the Company. These residual interests are recorded as securities classified as trading and therefore recorded at fair value. Any gains or losses realized on the sale of such securities and any subsequent changes in unrealized gains and losses have been reported in the consolidated statement of operations.

The Company recorded \$11.2 million in residual interests as of December 31, 2006, as a result of its non-agency securitization of \$302 million in home equity line of credit loans (the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization). In addition, until November 2007, draws on the home equity lines of credit in the trust established in the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization were purchased from the Company by the trust, resulting in additional residual interests to the Company. These residual interests were recorded as securities classified as trading and therefore recorded at fair value. Any gains or losses realized on the sale of such securities and any subsequent changes in unrealized gains and losses have been reported in the consolidated statement of operations.

Table of Contents

During 2009 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the Company did not engage in any private-label securitization activity. At September 30, 2010, the Company had a zero balance of residuals as compared to \$2.1 million at December 31, 2009. Transferor's interests at September 30, 2010 were \$16.6 million as compared to \$19.1 million at December 31, 2009.

Summary of Securitization Activity

Certain cash flows received from the securitization trusts were as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Servicing fees received	\$509	\$1,322	\$2,777	\$4,233

The following table sets forth certain characteristics of each of the securitizations at their inception and the current characteristics as of and for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010:

HELOC Securitizations	2005-1		2006-2	
	At Inception	Current Levels	At Inception	Current Levels
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Number of loans	8,155	3,141	4,186	2,590
Aggregate principal balance	\$600,000	\$146,998	\$302,182	\$161,149
Average principal balance	\$55	\$47	\$72	\$62
Weighted average fully indexed interest rate	8.43%	5.90%	9.43%	6.92%
	120	120	120	120
Weighted average original term	months	months	months	months
	112		112	
Weighted average remaining term	months	57 months	months	71 months
Weighted average original credit score	722	720	715	721

Residual Interests*HELOC Securitizations*

FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization. With respect to this securitization during the three months ended September 30, 2010, the Company reduced to \$0, the remaining residual interest. At December 31, 2009, the Company carried residual interest of \$2.1 million. This transaction entered rapid amortization in the third quarter of 2008 as actual cumulative losses exceeded predetermined thresholds.

FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization. The fair value of the residual interest had been written down to \$0 since the third quarter of 2008. This transaction entered rapid amortization in the fourth quarter of 2007, with the same effect as noted above.

During the rapid amortization period, the Company will no longer be reimbursed by the trust for draws on the home equity lines of credit until after the bondholders are paid off and the monoline insurer has been reimbursed for amounts it is owed. Upon entering the rapid amortization period, the Company became obligated to fund the purchase of those additional balances as they arise in exchange for a beneficial interest in the trust (i.e., a transferor's interest). The Company must continue to fund the required purchase of additional draws as long as the securitization remains active.

Transferor's Interests

Under the terms of the HELOC securitizations, the trusts have purchased and were initially obligated to pay for any subsequent additional draws on the lines of credit transferred to the trusts. Upon entering a rapid amortization period, the Company becomes obligated to fund the purchase of those additional balances as they arise in exchange for a

beneficial interest in the trust (transferor's interest). The Company must continue to fund the required purchase of additional draws by the trust (i.e., the draw contributions) as long as the securitization remains active. The table below identifies the draw contributions for each of the HELOC securitization trusts as well as the fair value of the transferor's interests.

Table of Contents

Summary of Transferor's	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	FSTAR 2005-1	FSTAR 2006-2	FSTAR 2005-1	FSTAR 2006-2
Interest by Securitization				
	(Dollars in thousands)			
Total draw contribution	\$34,191	\$50,610	\$30,256	\$48,105
Additional balance increase amount (1)	\$27,860	\$35,141	\$27,183	\$38,571
Transferor's interest ownership percentage	18.03%	20.97%	15.03%	18.39%
Fair value of transferor's interests	\$16,621	\$	\$19,055	\$
Transferor's interest reserve	\$3,233	\$4,781	\$	\$7,287

(1) Additional draws on lines of credit for which the Company receives a beneficial interest in the Trust.

FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization. At September 30, 2010, outstanding claims due to the note insurer were \$7.8 million and based on the Company's internal model, the Company believed that because of the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitization, the fair value/carrying amount of the transferor's interest was \$16.6 million. Also, during the third quarter of 2010, the Company determined that the transferor's interests had deteriorated to the extent that a contingent liability was required to be recorded. During the period, the Company recorded a liability to reflect the expected liability arising from losses on future draws associated with this securitization, of which \$3.2 million remained at September 30, 2010. In determining this liability, the Company assumed (i) no further draws would be made with respect to those HELOCs as to which further draws were currently prohibited, (ii) the remaining HELOCs would continue to operate in the same manner as their historical draw behavior indicated, as measured on an individual loan basis and on a pool drawdown basis, and (iii) that any draws actually made and therefore recognized as transferor's interests by the Company would have a loss rate of 42.4%.

There are two distinct components to the assumptions underlying the loss rate on the transferor's interests. First, the structure of the securitization provides for losses in the transaction to be shared *pari passu*, i.e., equally, among the parties rather than being borne solely or primarily by the Company. Second, to the extent that underlying claims to the insurer increased concurrently with credit losses, the reimbursement owed to the insurer from the cash payout structure (i.e., the waterfall) in the securitization also increased. During the third quarter of 2010, the combination of the excess spread, which is the difference between the coupon rate of the underlying loans less the note rate paid to the bondholders, and the transferor's interests were insufficient to support the repayment of the insurer's claims, and the assumed loss rate increased to 42.4% giving rise to recording of the related liability at that time.

In order to estimate losses on future draws and the timing of such losses, a forecast for the draw reserve was established. The forecast was used as the basis for recording the liability. Historical observations and draw behavior formed the basis for establishing the key assumptions and forecasted draw reserve.

First, the forecast assumed a 42.4% loss on all future draws. Second, the forecast projected future obligations on a monthly basis using twelve-month rolling average of the actual draws as a percentage of the unfunded balance. For example, for the period ended September 30, 2010, the twelve-month rolling average draw rate was 2.18% of the unfunded commitments (i.e., those still active). This percentage was computed by dividing (i) the actual draw rate over the twelve month period ending on that date, by (ii) the balance of the unfunded commitments still active on that date. The draw rate was then used to project monthly draws through the remaining expected life of the securitization. In doing so, the 2.18% draw rate (as noted above) was applied against the expected declining level of unfunded commitments in future months caused by payoffs, credit terminations and line cancellations. This rate of decline was based on historical experience within the securitization pool of loans.

These calculations of future monthly draws comprise, in the aggregate, the total dollar amount of expected future draws from the securitization pool. Despite a significant reduction in the unfunded commitments, the Company has not observed a similar reduction in the actual draw rate. Even with a constant draw rate, such total dollar amount declines to the extent the level of unfunded commitments that are still active declines, as is the case in our forecast.

Because the expected loss on future draws on September 30, 2010 was 42.4%, the expected future draws equaled the potential future draw liability at that date.

As indicated above, the forecast uses a constant draw rate as a percentage of the current unfunded commitment that is based on historical observations and draw behavior. The forecast does not contemplate current inactive accounts becoming active and thereby becoming eligible for draw because the nature of the loans that do not currently generate transferor's interests have characteristics that suggest an extremely low likelihood of doing so in the future. Such loans are those in which the draw feature has been discontinued pursuant to the terms of the underlying loan agreement due to a credit-related deficiency of the borrower or due to a decline in the value of the related residential property serving as collateral.

The forecast also reflects the low or zero draw rates of certain of the unfunded commitments that are still active (i.e., \$18.2 million for FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization, at September 30, 2010). For instance, some loans are still active but have never been drawn upon, suggesting that the loan may have been acquired at the time of a related first mortgage origination

Table of Contents

solely for contingency purposes but without any actual intent to draw. Similarly, another group of active loans were fully drawn upon at the time of the related first mortgage origination and have been paid down over time, suggesting that the borrower intended the HELOC to serve more as a second mortgage rather than as a revolving line of credit.

FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization. At September 30, 2010, outstanding claims due to the note insurer were \$63.9 million and based on the Company's internal model, the Company believed that because of the claims due to the note insurer and continuing credit losses on the loans underlying the securitization, the carrying amount of the transferor's interest was zero. Also, during the fourth quarter 2009, the Company determined that the transferor's interests had deteriorated to the extent that a contingent liability was required to be recorded. The Company had recorded a liability to reflect the expected liability arising from losses on future draws associated with this securitization, of which \$4.8 million remained at September 30, 2010. In determining this liability, the Company (i) assumed no further draws would be made with respect to those HELOCs as to which further draws were currently prohibited, (ii) the remaining HELOCs would continue to operate in the same manner as their historical draw behavior indicated, as measured on an individual loan basis and on a pool drawdown basis, and (iii) that any draws actually made and therefore recognized as transferor's interests by the Company would have a loss rate of 100%.

There are two distinct components to the assumptions underlying the loss rate on the transferor's interests. First, the structure of the securitization provided for losses in the transaction to be shared *pari passu*, i.e., equally, among the parties rather than being borne solely or primarily by the Company. Second, to the extent that underlying claims to the insurer increased concurrently with credit losses, the reimbursement owed to the insurer from the waterfall also increased. During the fourth quarter 2009, the excess spread, the difference between the coupon rate of the underlying loans less the note rate paid to the bondholders and the transferor's interests were insufficient to support the repayment of the insurer's claims, and the assumed loss rate increased to 100%, giving rise to our recording of the related liability at that time.

In order to estimate losses on future draws and the timing of such losses, a forecast for the draw reserve was established. The forecast was used as the basis for recording the liability. Historical observations and draw behavior formed the basis for establishing the key assumptions and forecasted draw reserve.

First, the forecast assumed a 100% loss on all future draws. Second, the forecast projected future obligations on a monthly basis using a twelve-month rolling average of the actual draws as a percentage of the unfunded balance. For example, for the period ended September 30, 2010, the twelve month rolling average draw rate was 2.24% of the unfunded commitments (still active). This percentage was computed by dividing (i) the actual draw rate over the twelve month period ending on that date, by (ii) the balance of the unfunded commitments still active on that date. The draw rate was then used to project monthly draws through the remaining expected life of the securitization. In doing so, the 2.24% draw rate (as noted above) was applied against the expected declining level of unfunded commitments in future months caused by payoffs, credit terminations and line cancellations. This rate of decline was based on historical experience within the securitization pool of loans.

These calculations of future monthly draws comprise, in the aggregate, the total dollar amount of expected future draws from the securitization pool. Despite a significant reduction in the unfunded commitments, the Company has not observed a similar reduction in the actual draw rate. Even with a constant draw rate, such total dollar amount declines to the extent the level of unfunded commitments that are still active declines, as is the case in our forecast. Because the expected loss on future draws in September 2010 was 100%, the expected future draws equaled the potential future draw liability at that date.

As indicated above, the forecast uses a constant draw rate as a percentage of the current unfunded commitment that is based on historical observations and draw behavior. The forecast does not contemplate current inactive accounts becoming active and thereby becoming eligible for draw because the nature of the loans that do not currently generate transferor's interests have characteristics that suggest an extremely low likelihood of doing so in the future. Such loans are those in which the draw feature has been discontinued pursuant to the terms of the underlying loan agreement due to a credit-related deficiency of the borrower or due to a decline in the value of the related residential property serving as collateral.

The forecast also reflects the low or zero draw rates of certain of the unfunded commitments that are still active (i.e., \$12.1 million for FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization at September 30, 2010). For instance, some loans are

still active but have never been drawn upon, suggesting that the loan may have been acquired at the time of a related first mortgage origination solely for contingency purposes but without any actual intent to draw. Similarly, another group of active loans was fully drawn upon at the time of the related first mortgage origination and have been paid down over time, suggesting that the borrower intended the HELOC to serve more as a second mortgage rather than as a revolving line of credit.

Table of Contents

The following table outlines the Company's expected losses on future draws on loans in FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization and FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization at September 30, 2010.

	Unfunded Commitments (1)	Expected Future Draws as % of Unfunded Commitments (2)	Expected Future Draws (3)	Expected Loss (4)	Potential Future Liability (5)
	(Dollars in thousands)				
FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization	\$ 18,191	41.9%	\$ 7,615	42.4%	\$ 3,233
FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization	12,060	45.7%	5,515	100%	5,515
Total	\$ 30,251		\$ 13,130		\$ 8,748

- (1) Unfunded commitments represent the amounts currently fundable at the dates indicated because the underlying borrowers' lines of credit are still active.
- (2) Expected future draws on unfunded commitments represents the historical draw rate within the securitization.
- (3) Expected future draws reflects unfunded commitments multiplied by expected future draws percentage.
- (4) Expected losses represent an estimated reduction in carrying value of future draws.
- (5) Potential future liability reflects expected future draws multiplied by expected losses.

Unfunded Commitments

The table below identifies separately for each HELOC Securitization trust: (i) the notional amount of the total unfunded commitment under the Company's contractual arrangements, (ii) unfunded commitments that have been frozen or suspended because the borrowers do not currently meet the contractual requirements under their home equity line of credit with the Company, and (iii) the amount currently fundable because the underlying borrowers' lines of credit are still active:

	At September 30, 2010		
	FSTAR 2005-1	FSTAR 2006-2	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Notional amount of unfunded commitments (1)	\$40,969	\$ 36,503	\$77,472
Less: Frozen or suspended unfunded commitments	22,778	24,443	47,221
Unfunded commitments still active	\$18,191	\$ 12,060	\$30,251

- (1) The Company's total potential funding obligation is dependent on both (a) borrower behavior (e.g., the amount of additional draws requested) and (b) the contractual draw period (remaining term) available to the borrowers.

Because borrowers can make principal payments and restore the amounts available for draws and then borrow additional amounts as long as their lines of credit remain active, the funding obligation has no specific limitation and it is not possible to define the maximum funding obligation. However, we expect that the call provision of the FSTAR 2005-1 HELOC Securitization and the FSTAR 2006-2 HELOC Securitization pools will be reached in 2015 and 2014, respectively, and our exposure will be substantially mitigated at such times, based on prepayment speeds and losses in our cash flow forecast.

Credit Risk on Securitization

With respect to the issuance of private-label securitizations, the Company retained certain limited credit exposure in that it retained non-investment grade residual securities in addition to customary representations and warranties. The Company does not have credit exposure associated with non-performing loans in securitizations beyond its investment in retained interests in non-investment grade residuals and in draws (transferor's interests) on HELOCs that it funds and which are not reimbursed by the respective trust. The value of the Company's transferor's interests reflects the Company's credit loss assumptions as to the underlying collateral pool. To the extent that actual credit losses exceed the assumptions, the value of the Company's unreimbursed draws will be diminished.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's consumer servicing portfolio and the balance of retained assets with credit exposure, which includes residual interests at December 31, 2009 (zero at September 30, 2010) that are included as trading securities and unreimbursed HELOC draws that are included in loans held for investment:

	September 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Amount of Loans Serviced	Balance of Retained Assets With Credit Exposure (Dollars in thousands)	Amount of Loans Serviced	Balance of Retained Assets With Credit Exposure
Private-label securitizations	\$ 308,147	\$ 16,621	\$ 949,677	\$ 21,112

Loans that have been securitized in private-label securitizations that are serviced by Flagstar and are sixty days or more past due, all of which are consumer loans, and the credit losses incurred in the securitization trusts are presented below:

	Total Principal		Principal Amount		Credit Losses (Net of Recoveries) For the	
	Amount of Loans Outstanding		of Loans 60 Days or More Past Due		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009	September 30, 2010	September 30, 2009
Private-label securitized loans	\$ 308,147	\$ 949,677	\$ 21,760	\$ 74,844	\$ 88,294	\$ 111,080

Note 12 Mortgage Servicing Rights

The Company has obligations to service residential first mortgage loans and consumer loans (HELOC and second mortgage loans resulting from private-label securitization transactions). A description of these classes of servicing assets follows.

Residential Mortgage Servicing Rights

Servicing of residential first mortgage loans is a significant business activity of the Company. The Company recognizes MSR assets on residential first mortgage loans when it retains the obligation to service these loans upon sale. MSRs are subject to changes in value from, among other things, changes in interest rates, prepayments of the underlying loans and changes in credit quality of the underlying portfolio. In the past, the Company treated this risk as a general counterbalance to the increased production and gain on loan sale margins that tend to occur in an environment with increased prepayments. However, in 2008, the Company elected the fair value option for its residential first mortgage servicing rights. As such, the Company currently specifically hedges the risk of fair value changes of MSRs using derivative instruments that are intended to change in value inversely to part or all of the changes in the components underlying the fair value of MSRs.

Table of Contents

Changes in the carrying value of residential first mortgage MSR, accounted for at fair value, were as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 649,133	\$ 511,294
Additions from loans sold with servicing retained	157,177	267,960
Reductions from bulk sales	(127,364)	(134,852)
Changes in fair value due to:		
Payoffs ⁽¹⁾	(54,048)	(101,551)
All other changes in valuation inputs or assumptions ⁽²⁾	(177,875)	21,178
Fair value of MSRs at end of period	\$ 447,023	\$ 564,029
Unpaid principal balance of residential mortgage loans serviced for others	\$ 51,979,057	\$ 52,156,449

(1) Represents decrease in MSR value associated with loans that were paid off during the period.

(2) Represents estimated MSR value change resulting primarily from market-driven changes in interest rates.

The fair value of residential MSRs is estimated using a valuation model that calculates the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs, and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. The Company periodically obtains third-party valuations of its residential MSRs to assess the reasonableness of the fair value calculated by the valuation model.

The key economic assumptions used in determining the fair value of MSRs capitalized during the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 periods were as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
Weighted-average life (in years)	5.0	5.6
Weighted-average constant prepayment rate	24.6%	23.1%
Weighted-average discount rate	7.6%	8.4%

The key economic assumptions reflected in the overall fair value of MSRs were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	Weighted-average life (in years)	4.6
Weighted-average constant prepayment rate	23.1%	13.8%
Weighted-average discount rate	7.9%	8.9%

Consumer Servicing Assets

Consumer servicing assets represent servicing rights related to HELOC and second mortgage loans that were created in the Company's private-label securitizations. These servicing assets are initially measured at fair value and subsequently accounted for using the amortization method. Under this method, the assets are amortized in proportion to and over the period of estimated servicing income and are evaluated for impairment on a periodic basis. When the

carrying value exceeds the fair value, a valuation allowance is established by a charge against loan administration income in the consolidated statement of operations.

The fair value of consumer servicing assets is estimated by using an internal valuation model. This method is based on calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration discount rates, actual and expected loan prepayment rates, servicing costs and other economic factors.

Table of Contents

Changes in the carrying value of the consumer servicing assets and the associated valuation allowance follow:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Consumer servicing assets		
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 7,049	\$ 9,469
Reduction from transfer of servicing (1)	(5,075)	
Amortization	(949)	(1,924)
Carrying value before valuation allowance at end of period	1,025	7,545
Valuation allowance		
Balance at beginning of period	(3,808)	
Impairment recoveries (charges)	(961)	(3,774)
Reduction from transfer of servicing (1)	3,744	
Balance at end of period	(1,025)	(3,774)
Net carrying value of servicing assets at end of period	\$	\$ 3,771
Unpaid principal balance of consumer loans serviced for others	\$ 308,147	\$ 1,003,436
Fair value of servicing assets:		
Beginning of period	\$ 3,241	\$ 12,284
End of period		\$ 4,196

(1) Reflects the transfer of mortgage servicing rights related to the Company's second mortgage securitizations initiated in June 2010 and completed in July 2010.

The key economic assumptions used to estimate the fair value of these servicing assets were as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Weighted-average life (in years)		2.9
Weighted-average discount rate		11.7%
Contractual Servicing Fees		

Contractual servicing fees, including late fees and ancillary income, for each type of loan serviced are presented below. Contractual servicing fees are included within loan administration income on the consolidated statements of operations.

For the Three Months Ended September 30,		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
2010	2009	2010	2009

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

	(Dollars in thousands)			
Residential real estate	\$ 37,645	\$ 36,620	\$ 111,407	\$ 114,314
Consumer	555	1,361	2,948	4,301
Total	\$ 38,200	\$ 37,981	\$ 114,355	\$ 118,615

33

Table of Contents**Note 13 Other Assets**

Other assets are comprised of the following:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Repurchased assets with government insurance ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1,515,928	\$ 826,349
Repurchased assets without government insurance	31,165	45,697
Derivative assets, including margin accounts	253,870	202,436
Escrow advances	80,170	102,372
Tax assets, net	78,439	77,442
Servicing sales ⁽²⁾	20,784	
Other	107,010	77,601
Total other assets	\$ 2,087,366	\$ 1,331,897

(1) Excludes \$135.6 million of Ginnie Mae loans as to which the Company has the unilateral right to repurchase and which are included in loans available for sale, see Note 8, Loans Available for Sale.

(2) Reflects receivables on prior MSR servicing sales, pending document delivery.

Note 14 FHLB Advances

The Company prepaid \$250 million higher cost FHLB advance at the end of each of the second and third quarters of 2010, decreasing the FHLB advance balance to \$3.4 billion at September 30, 2010 from \$3.9 billion at December 31, 2009. The Company incurred penalties to prepay these advances of \$11.9 million and \$19.8 million, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010.

The Company restructured \$1.9 billion in FHLB advances in October 2010. This restructuring resulted in the locking in of low term funding rates while eliminating the remaining put features associated with these advances and held by the FHLB. The average interest rate as to these restructured advances, was lowered to 3.23% from 4.34% and the average remaining term was changed to 5.5 years from 1.7 years. The result in the overall \$3.4 billion FHLB advance portfolio was an increase in the average remaining term to 4.6 years from 2.5 years and a decrease in the weighted average interest rate from 4.20% to 3.52%.

Note 15 Income Taxes

The Company's net deferred tax asset position has been entirely offset by a valuation allowance amounting to \$267.1 million and \$201.0 million, at September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. A valuation allowance is established when management determines that it is more likely than not that all or a portion of the Company's net deferred tax assets will not be realized in future periods.

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, our net benefit for federal income taxes as a percentage of pretax loss was 0% as compared to a provision of 64.4% for the comparable 2009 period. During the three months ended September 30, 2010, the variance to the statutory rate of 35% was attributable to a \$7.1 million addition to our valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets, certain non-deductible-corporate expenses of \$0.5 million and non-deductible warrant expense of \$(0.5) million. The variance for the three months ended September 30, 2009 reflects certain non-deductible corporate expenses of \$0.2 million and non-deductible warrant expense of \$1.2 million.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, our benefit for federal income taxes as a percentage of pretax loss was 0% as compared to a provision of 14.7% for the comparable 2009 period. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the variance to the statutory rate was attributable to a \$66.1 million addition to our valuation allowance for net deferred tax assets, certain non-deductible-corporate expenses of \$1.5 million and non-deductible warrant expense of \$(1.3) million. The variance for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 reflects certain

non-deductible corporate expenses of \$0.4 million and non-deductible warrant expense of \$9.6 million.

The Company's income tax returns are subject to examination by federal, state and local government authorities. On an ongoing basis, numerous federal, state and local examinations are in progress and cover multiple tax years. As of September 30, 2010, the Internal Revenue Service had completed its examination of the Company's income tax returns through the years ended December 31, 2005 and is in process of examining income tax returns for years ended December 31, 2006, 2007, and 2008. The years open to examination by state and local government authorities vary by jurisdiction.

Table of Contents**Note 16 Warrant Liabilities****May Investor Warrants**

In full satisfaction of the Company's obligations under anti-dilution provisions applicable to certain investors (the May Investors) in the Company's May 2008 private placement capital raise, the Company granted warrants (the May Investor Warrants) to the May Investors on January 30, 2009 for the purchase of 1,425,979 of the Company's Common Stock at \$6.20 per share. The holders of such warrants are entitled to acquire shares of Common Stock for a period of ten years. During 2009, May Investors exercised May Investor Warrants to purchase 314,839 shares of Common Stock were exercised. As a result of the Company's registered offering on March 31, 2010, of 57.5 million shares of Common Stock at a price per share of \$5.00, the number of shares of Common Stock issuable to the May Investors under the May Investor Warrants was increased by 266,674 and the exercise price was decreased to \$5.00 pursuant to the antidilution provisions of the May Investors Warrants. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2010, no shares of Common Stock were issued upon exercise of May Investor Warrants, and at September 30, 2010, the May Investors held warrants to purchase 1,377,814 shares.

Management believes the May Investor Warrants do not meet the definition of a contract that is indexed to the Company's own stock under U.S. GAAP. Therefore, the May Investor Warrants are classified as liabilities rather than as an equity instrument and are measured at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through operations.

On January 30, 2009, in conjunction with the capital investments, the Company recorded the May Investor Warrants at their fair value of \$6.1 million. From the issuance of the May Investor Warrants on January 30, 2009 through September 30, 2010, the Company marked these warrants to market which resulted in a decrease in the liability during the quarter ended September 30, 2010 of \$1.4 million. This decrease was recorded as warrant income and included in non-interest expense. The Company will mark the May Investor Warrants to market quarterly until exercised.

At September 30, 2010, the Company's liabilities to the holders of May Investor Warrants amounted to \$1.5 million. The warrant liabilities are included within other liabilities in the Company's consolidated statement of financial condition.

As a result of the Company's registered offering on November 2, 2010 of 115.7 million shares of Common Stock at a price per share of \$1.00, the number of shares of Common Stock issuable to the May Investors under the May Investor Warrants was increased to 6,889,069 and the exercise price was decreased to \$1.00 pursuant to the antidilution provisions of the May Investors Warrants.

Treasury Warrants

On January 30, 2009, the Company sold to the U. S. Treasury, 266,657 shares of the Company's fixed rate cumulative non-convertible perpetual preferred stock (the Treasury Preferred Stock) for \$266.7 million, and a warrant (the Treasury Warrant) to purchase up to approximately 6.5 million shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.20 per share, subject to certain anti-dilution and other adjustments. The issuance and the sale of the Treasury Preferred Stock and Treasury Warrant were exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Treasury Preferred Stock qualifies as Tier 1 capital and pays cumulative dividends quarterly at a rate of 5% per annum for the first five years, and 9% per annum thereafter. The Treasury Warrant became exercisable upon receipt of stockholder approval on May 26, 2009 and has a ten-year term.

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company recorded a Treasury Warrant liability that arose in conjunction with the Company's participation in the Troubled Asset Relief Program (TARP) because the Company did not have available an adequate number of authorized and unissued shares of Common Stock. As described in Note 17,

Stockholders' Equity and Loss Per Common Share, the Company initially recorded the Treasury Warrant on January 30, 2009 at its fair value of \$27.7 million. The Treasury warrant was marked to market on March 31, 2009 resulting in an increase to the warrant liability of \$9.1 million. Upon stockholder approval on May 26, 2009 to increase the number of authorized shares of Common Stock, the Company marked the liability to market at that date and reclassified the Treasury Warrant liability to additional paid in capital. The mark to market adjustment on May 26, 2009 resulted in an increase to the warrant liability of \$12.9 million during the second quarter 2009. This increase was recorded as warrant expense and included in non-interest expense.

Note 17 Stockholders' Equity and Loss Per Common Share

On May 27, 2010, the Company's stockholders approved an amendment to the Company's Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to effect a reverse stock split of Common Stock with the exact exchange ratio and timing of the reverse stock split to be determined at the discretion of the Company's board of directors. The board of directors approved a one-for-ten reverse stock split which became effective on May 27, 2010. In lieu of fractional shares, stockholders received cash payments based on the Common Stock's closing price on May 26, 2010 of \$5.00 per share, which reflects the reverse stock split. The Common Stock par value remained at \$0.01 per share. All Common Stock and related per share amounts in these consolidated financial statements and notes to the consolidated financial statements are reflected on an after-reverse-split basis for all periods presented.

Table of Contents

Preferred stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share and a liquidation value of \$1,000 per share and additional paid in capital attributable to preferred shares, at September 30, 2010 are summarized as follows:

	Rate	Earliest Redemption Date	Shares Outstanding (Dollars in thousands)	Preferred Shares	Additional Paid in Capital
Series C, TARP Capital Purchase Program	5%	January 31, 2012	266,657	\$ 3	\$ 247,837

On April 1, 2010, MP Thrift converted \$50 million of convertible trust preferred securities into 6,250,000 shares of Common Stock at the rate of \$8.00 per share. The number of shares of Common Stock issued for each convertible trust preferred security was equal to \$1,000 divided by the adjusted stock price. The adjusted stock price was equal to 90% of the volume-weighted average closing price of Common Stock from February 1, 2009 to April 1, 2010, subject to a floor of \$8.00 per share, a ceiling of \$20.00 per share and certain adjustments as provided for in the trust agreement.

On January 27, 2010, MP Thrift exercised its rights to purchase 42,253,521 shares of Common Stock for approximately \$300 million in a rights offering to purchase up to 70,423,418 shares of Common Stock which expired on February 8, 2010. Pursuant to the rights offering, each stockholder of record as of December 24, 2009 received 1.5023 non-transferable subscription rights for each share of Common Stock owned on the record date and entitled the holder to purchase one share of Common Stock at the subscription price of \$7.10. During the rights offering, the Company stockholders (other than MP Thrift) exercised their rights to purchase 80,695 shares of Common Stock. In the aggregate, the Company issued 42,334,216 shares of Common Stock in the rights offering for approximately \$300.6 million.

On March 31, 2010, the Company completed a registered offering of 57.5 million shares of Common Stock, which included 7.5 million shares issued pursuant to the underwriters' over-allotment option that was exercised in full on March 29, 2010 at \$5.00 per share. MP Thrift participated in this registered offering and purchased 20 million shares of Common Stock at \$5.00 per share. The offering resulted in aggregate net proceeds to the Company of approximately \$276.1 million, net of offering expenses.

On November 2, 2010, the Company completed a registered offering of 14,192,250 shares of the Convertible Preferred Stock, which included 692,250 shares issued pursuant to the underwriter's over-allotment option, and a registered offering of 115,655,000 shares of Common Stock, which included 5,655,000 shares issued pursuant to the underwriter's over-allotment option. The public offering price of the Convertible Preferred Stock and the Common Stock was \$20.00 and \$1.00 per share, respectively. See Note 3, Recent Developments, for further information.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The following table sets forth the ending balance in accumulated other comprehensive loss for each component:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Net unrealized loss on securities available for sale	\$ (19,484)	\$ (48,263)

The following table sets forth the changes to other comprehensive (loss) income and the related tax effect for each component:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Gain (reclassified to earnings) on sales of securities available for sale	\$ (6,689)	\$
Loss (reclassified from retained earnings) for adoption of new accounting guidance for investments debt and equity securities other-than- temporary impairments (net of tax of \$17,724 for the 2009 period)		(32,914)
Loss (reclassified to earnings) for other-than-temporary impairment of securities available for sale (net of tax of \$7,155, for the 2009 period)	3,677	13,289
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale (net of tax of \$28,908, for the 2009 period)	31,791	53,682
Change in comprehensive income, net of tax	\$ 28,779	\$ 34,057

Table of Contents

The following table illustrates the computation of basic and diluted loss per share of Common Stock for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010			For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009		
	(In thousands, except per share data)					
	Loss	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Loss	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share Amount
Net loss	\$ (17,896)		\$	\$ (293,589)		\$
Less: Preferred stock dividend/accretion	(4,690)			(4,623)		
Basic Loss Per Share						
Net loss applicable to Common Stock	(22,586)	153,405	(0.15)	(298,212)	46,853	(6.36)
Effect of Dilutive Securities						
Warrants						
Stock-based awards						
Diluted Loss Per Share						
Net loss applicable to Common Stock	\$ (22,586)	153,405	\$ (0.15)	\$ (298,212)	46,853	\$ (6.36)

The following table illustrates the computation of basic and diluted loss per share of Common Stock for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010			For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009		
	(In thousands, except per share data)					
	Loss	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share Amount	Loss	Weighted Average Shares	Per Share Amount
Net loss	\$ (187,433)		\$	\$ (429,752)		\$
Less: Preferred stock dividend/accretion	(14,059)			(12,464)		
Basic Loss Per Share						
Net loss applicable to Common Stock	(201,492)	128,411	(1.57)	(442,216)	26,678	(16.58)
Effect of Dilutive Securities						
Warrants						
Stock-based awards						
Diluted Loss Per Share						
Net loss applicable to Common Stock	\$ (201,492)	128,411	\$ (1.57)	\$ (442,216)	26,678	\$ (16.58)

Table of Contents

Due to the loss attributable to common stockholders for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the diluted loss per share calculation excludes all common stock equivalents, including 7,829,193 shares and 7,562,519 shares, respectively, pertaining to warrants and 748,165 shares and 14,198 shares, respectively, pertaining to stock-based awards. The inclusion of these securities would be anti-dilutive.

Due to the loss attributable to common stockholders for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the diluted loss per share calculation excludes all common stock equivalents, including 7,742,255 shares and 7,016,723 shares, respectively, pertaining to warrants and 788,971 shares and 14,380 shares, respectively, pertaining to stock-based awards. The inclusion of these securities would be anti-dilutive.

Note 18 Derivative Financial Instruments

The following derivative financial instruments were identified and recorded at fair value as of September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009:

- Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, Ginnie Mae and other forward loan sale contracts;
- Rate lock commitments;
- Interest rate swap agreements; and
- U.S. Treasury futures and options.

The Company hedges the risk of overall changes in the fair value of loans held for sale and rate lock commitments generally by selling forward contracts on securities of Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. The forward contracts used to economically hedge the loan commitments are accounted for as non-designated hedges and naturally offset rate lock commitment mark-to-market gains and losses recognized as a component of gain on loan sale. The Company recognized a gain of \$36.0 million versus a loss of \$(25.1) million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 respectively, on its hedging activity relating to loan commitments and loans held for sale. The Company recognized a loss of \$(6.4) million and a loss of \$(9.8) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 respectively, on its hedging activity relating to loans held for sale. Additionally, the Company hedges the risk of overall changes in fair value of MSR's through the use of various derivatives including purchase forward contracts on securities of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the purchase/sale of U.S. Treasury futures contracts and options on U.S. Treasury futures contracts. These derivatives are accounted for as non-designated hedges against changes in the fair value of MSR's. The Company recognized a gain of \$54.1 million and a gain of \$11.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009 respectively, on MSR fair value hedging activities. In addition, the Company recognized a gain of \$103.9 million and a loss of \$(42.1) million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, on MSR fair value hedging activities.

The Company occasionally uses interest rate swap agreements to reduce its exposure to interest rate risk inherent in a portion of the current and anticipated borrowings and advances. A swap agreement is a contract between two parties to exchange cash flows based on specified underlying notional amounts and indices. Under U.S. GAAP, the swap agreements used to hedge the Company's anticipated borrowings and advances qualify as cash flow hedges. Derivative gains and losses reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income to current period operations are included in the line item in which the hedged cash flows are recorded. On January 1, 2008, the Company derecognized all cash flow hedges.

Table of Contents

The Company had the following derivative financial instruments:

	September 30, 2010		
	Notional Amounts	Fair Value	Expiration Dates
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Assets			
Mortgage banking derivatives:			
Rate lock commitments	\$ 3,656,294	\$ 50,540	2010
Mortgage servicing rights:			
U.S. Treasury futures and agency forwards	1,230,000	5,096	2010
Total Derivative Assets	\$ 4,886,294	\$ 55,636	
Liabilities			
Forward agency and loan sales	\$ 4,591,246	\$ 19,117	2010
Mortgage servicing rights:			
U.S. Treasury futures	2,050,000	2,649	
Borrowings and advances hedges:			
Interest rate swaps (LIBOR)	25,000	19	2010
Total Derivative Liabilities	\$ 6,666,246	\$ 21,785	2010
	December 31, 2009		
	Notional Amounts	Fair Value	Expiration Dates
	(Dollars in thousands)		
Assets			
Mortgage banking derivatives:			
Rate lock commitments	\$ 1,418,730	\$ 10,061	2010
Forward agency and loan sales	3,007,252	27,764	2010
Total Derivative Assets	\$ 4,425,982	\$ 37,825	
Liabilities			
Mortgage servicing rights:			
U.S. Treasury futures and agency forwards	\$ 4,900,000	\$ 49,228	2010
Borrowings and advances hedges:			
Interest rate swaps (LIBOR)	25,000	747	2010
Total Derivative Liabilities	\$ 4,925,000	\$ 49,975	

Derivative assets and derivative liabilities are contained on the Company's statement of financial condition under the other assets and other liabilities captions, respectively.

Counterparty Credit Risk

The Bank is exposed to credit loss in the event of non-performance by the counterparties to its various derivative financial instruments. The Company manages this risk by selecting only well-established, financially strong counterparties, spreading the credit risk among such counterparties, and by placing contractual limits on the amount of

unsecured credit risk from any single counterparty.

Table of Contents**Note 19 Segment Information**

The Company's operations are comprised of two business segments: banking and home lending. Each business operates under the same banking charter but is reported on a segmented basis for this report. Each of the business lines is complementary to each other. The banking operation includes the gathering of deposits and investing those deposits in duration-matched assets primarily originated by the home lending operation. The banking group holds these loans in the investment portfolio to earn income based on the difference or spread between the interest earned on loans and the interest paid for deposits and other borrowed funds. The home lending operation involves the origination, packaging, and sale of loans in the earning of transaction income. The lending operation also services mortgage loans for others and sells MSRs into the secondary market. Funding for the lending operation is provided by deposits and borrowings garnered by the banking group. All of the non-bank consolidated subsidiaries are included in the banking segment. No such subsidiary is material to the Company's overall operation.

Following is a presentation of financial information by business segment for the period indicated:

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Bank Operations	Home Lending Operations	Elimination	Combined
				(Dollars in thousands)
Net interest income	\$ (22,046)	\$ 63,160	\$	\$ 41,114
Gain on sale revenue		112,370		112,370
Other income	11,263	21,255		32,518
Total net interest income and non-interest income	(10,783)	196,785		186,002
(Loss) earnings before federal income taxes	(163,797)	145,901		(17,896)
Identifiable assets	11,749,572	4,207,001	(2,120,000)	13,836,573
Inter-segment income (expense)	15,900	(15,900)		

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2009

	Bank Operations	Home Lending Operations	Elimination	Combined
				(Dollars in thousands)
Net interest income	\$ (4,705)	\$ 52,299	\$	\$ 47,594
(Loss) gain on sale revenue	(2,875)	124,811		121,936
Other income (loss)	27,585	(83,289)		(55,704)
Total net interest income and non-interest income	20,005	93,821		113,826
(Loss) earnings before federal income taxes	(181,870)	3,246		(178,624)
Identifiable assets	13,301,510	4,554,305	(3,035,000)	14,820,815
Inter-segment income (expense)	22,763	(22,763)		

For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

	Bank Operations	Home Lending Operations	Elimination	Combined
				(Dollars in thousands)
Net interest income	\$ 35,384	\$ 85,819	\$	\$ 121,203

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Gain on sale revenue	6,689	292,062		298,751
Other income (loss)	34,351	(15,885)		18,466
Total net interest income and non-interest income	76,424	361,996		438,420
(Loss) earnings before federal income taxes	(364,816)	177,383		(187,433)
Identifiable assets	11,749,572	4,207,001	(2,120,000)	13,836,573
Inter-segment income (expense)	56,963	(56,963)		
	40			

Table of Contents**For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009**

	Bank Operations	Home Lending Operations	Elimination	Combined
		(Dollars in thousands)		
Net interest income	\$ 72,997	\$ 91,343	\$	\$ 164,340
(Loss) gain on sale revenue	(20,444)	407,205		386,761
Other income (loss)	53,742	(48,775)		4,967
 Total net interest income and non-interest income	 106,295	 449,773		 556,008
(Loss) earnings before federal income taxes	(547,228)	172,484		(374,744)
Identifiable assets	13,301,510	4,554,305	(3,035,000)	14,820,815
Inter-segment income (expense)	89,213	(89,213)		

Revenues are comprised of net interest income (before the provision for loan losses) and non-interest income. Non-interest expenses are fully allocated to each business segment. The intersegment income (expense) consists of interest expense incurred for intersegment borrowing.

Note 20 Compensation Plans**Stock-Based Compensation**

For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$1.4 million and \$0.4 million (\$0.3 million net of tax), respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, stock-based compensation totaled \$6.8 million and \$0.9 million (\$0.6 million net of tax), respectively.

Incentive Compensation Plan

Each year the compensation committee of the board of directors decides which employees of the Company, who are not executive officers, will be eligible to participate in the Incentive Compensation Plan and the size of the bonus pool. The Company incurred no expenses for each of the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009. The Company recorded a reversal of previously recorded expense of \$3.6 million and expense of \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Stock Purchase Plan

On September 29, 2009, the Company offered a share purchase plan to one of its key executives. The plan requires the key executive to purchase 198,750 shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$10.50 per share (the closing price of the common stock on September 28, 2009). For the three months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, 24,375 shares and no shares were purchased through this plan. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, 76,875 shares and no shares were purchased through this plan.

Note 21 Contingencies and Commitments

The Company is involved in certain lawsuits incidental to its operations. Management, after review with its legal counsel, is of the opinion that resolution of such litigation will not have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity.

A substantial part of the Company's business has involved the origination, purchase, and sale of mortgage loans. During the past several years, numerous individual claims and purported consumer class action claims were commenced against a number of financial institutions, their subsidiaries and other mortgage lending institutions generally seeking civil statutory and actual damages and rescission under the federal Truth in Lending Act, as well as remedies for alleged violations of various state and federal laws, restitution or unjust enrichment in connection with certain mortgage loan transactions.

The Company has a substantial mortgage loan-servicing portfolio and maintains escrow accounts in connection with this servicing. During the past several years, numerous individual claims and purported consumer class action claims were commenced against a number of financial institutions, their subsidiaries and other mortgage lending

institutions generally seeking declaratory relief that certain of the lenders escrow account servicing practices violate the Real Estate Settlement Practices Act and breach the lenders contracts with borrowers. Such claims also generally seek actual damages and legal fees.

Table of Contents

In addition to the foregoing, mortgage lending institutions have been subjected to an increasing number of other types of individual claims and purported consumer class action claims that relate to various aspects of the origination, pricing, closing, servicing, and collection of mortgage loans that allege inadequate disclosure, breach of contract, or violation of state laws. Claims have involved, among other things, interest rates and fees charged in connection with loans, interest rate adjustments on adjustable rate loans, timely release of liens upon payoffs, the disclosure and imposition of various fees and charges, and the placing of collateral protection insurance.

While the Company has had various claims similar to those discussed above asserted against it, management does not expect that the ultimate resolution of these claims will have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial condition, results of operations, or liquidity. A liability has been recognized in the relation to the Company's unilateral right to repurchase certain loans sold to Ginnie Mae. See Note 8, Loans Available for Sale, for further information.

A summary of the contractual amount of significant commitments is as follows:

	September 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commitments to extend credit:		
Mortgage loans	\$3,656,294	\$1,418,730
HELOC trust commitments	\$ 77,472	\$ 84,967
Standby and commercial letters of credit	\$ 40,949	\$ 47,998

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend. Since many of these commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash flow requirements. Certain lending commitments for mortgage loans to be sold in the secondary market are considered derivative instruments in accordance with current accounting guidance. Changes to the fair value of these commitments as a result of changes in interest rates are recorded on the statement of financial condition in either other assets or other liabilities, as applicable.

The Company enters into forward contracts for the future delivery or purchase of agency and loan sale contracts related to its origination of residential mortgage loans. These contracts are considered to be derivative instruments under current accounting guidance. Further discussion on derivative instruments is included in Note 18, Derivative Financial Instruments.

The Company has unfunded commitments under its contractual arrangement with the HELOC securitization trusts to fund future advances on the underlying home equity lines of credit. In addition, the Company retains certain limited credit exposure in relation to private-label securitizations. Refer to further discussion of these issues in Note 11, Private-label Securitization Activity.

Standby and commercial letters of credit are conditional commitments issued to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Standby letters of credit generally are contingent upon the failure of the customer to perform according to the terms of the underlying contract with the third party, while commercial letters of credit are issued specifically to facilitate commerce and typically result in the commitment being drawn on when the underlying transaction is consummated between the customer and the third party.

The credit risk associated with loan commitments and standby and commercial letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in extending loans to customers and is subject to normal credit policies. Collateral may be obtained based on management's credit assessment of the customer. We maintain a reserve (also known as guarantee liability), for possible losses on these commitments, which totaled \$3.8 million at September 30, 2010 and \$4.5 million at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

Where we say we, us or our, we usually mean the Company. However, in some cases, a reference to we, us or our will include the Bank, and Flagstar Capital Markets Corporation (FCMC), its wholly-owned subsidiary, which we collectively refer to as the Bank.

General

We are a Michigan-based savings and loan holding company founded in 1993. Our business is primarily conducted through our principal subsidiary, the Bank, a federally chartered stock savings bank. At September 30, 2010, our total assets were \$13.8 billion, making us the largest publicly held savings bank in the Midwest and one of the top 15 largest savings banks in the United States. We are considered a controlled company for New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) purposes because MP Thrift Investments, L.P. (MP Thrift) held approximately 80% of our voting common stock as of December 31, 2009 and approximately 69.1% as of September 30, 2010.

As a savings and loan holding company, we are subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the Office of Thrift Supervision (the OTS). The Bank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Indianapolis and is subject to regulation, examination and supervision by the OTS and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Bank's deposits are insured by the FDIC through the Deposit Insurance Fund (DIF).

We operate 162 banking centers (of which 27 are located in retail stores), including 113 located in Michigan, 22 located in Indiana and 27 located in Georgia. Of these, 98 facilities are owned and 64 facilities are leased. Through our banking centers, we gather deposits and offer a line of consumer and commercial financial products and services to individuals and to small and middle market businesses. We also gather deposits on a nationwide basis through our website, www.FlagstarDirect.com, and provide deposit and cash management services to governmental units on a relationship basis throughout our markets. We leverage our banking centers and internet banking to cross sell other products to existing customers and increase our customer base. At September 30, 2010, we had a total of \$8.6 billion in deposits, including \$5.4 billion in retail deposits, \$0.8 billion in government funds, \$1.3 billion in wholesale deposits and \$1.1 billion in company-controlled deposits.

We also operate 16 stand-alone home loan centers located in 13 states, which originate one-to-four family residential mortgage loans as part of our retail home lending business. These offices employ approximately 153 loan officers. We also originate retail loans through referrals from our 162 banking centers, consumer direct call center and our website, www.flagstar.com. Additionally, we have wholesale relationships with approximately 2,400 mortgage brokers and nearly 1,100 correspondents, which are located in all 50 states and serviced by 132 account executives. The combination of our retail, broker and correspondent channels gives us broad access to customers across diverse geographies to originate, fulfill, sell and service our first mortgage loan products. Our servicing activities primarily include collecting cash for principal, interest and escrow payments from borrowers, and accounting for and remitting principal and interest payments to investors and escrow payments to third parties. With over \$17.4 billion in mortgage originations in the first nine months of 2010, we are ranked by industry sources as of September 30, 2010 as the 11th largest mortgage originator in the nation with a 1.6% market share.

Our earnings include net interest income from our retail banking activities, fee-based income from services we provide our customers, and non-interest income from sales of residential mortgage loans to the secondary market, the servicing of loans for others, and the sale of servicing rights related to mortgage loans serviced for others. Approximately 99.8% of our total loan production during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 represented mortgage loans that were collateralized by first or second mortgages on single-family residences and were eligible for sale through U.S. government-sponsored entities, or GSEs (a term generally used to refer collectively or singularly to Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae).

At September 30, 2010, we had 3,207 full-time equivalent salaried employees of which 286 were account executives and loan officers.

Critical Accounting Policies

Various elements of our accounting policies, by their nature, are inherently subject to estimation techniques, valuation assumptions and other subjective assessments. In particular, we have identified three policies that, due to the judgment, estimates and assumptions inherent in those policies, are critical to an understanding of our consolidated financial statements. These policies relate to: (a) fair value measurements; (b) the determination of our allowance for

loan losses; and (c) the determination of our secondary market reserve. We believe the judgment, estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements are appropriate given the factual circumstances at the time. However, given the sensitivity of our consolidated financial statements to these critical accounting policies, the use of other judgments, estimates

Table of Contents

and assumptions could result in material differences in our results of operations and/or financial condition. For further information on our critical accounting policies, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which is available on our website, www.flagstar.com, under the Investor Relations section, or on the website of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), at www.sec.gov.

Operating Segments

Our business is comprised of two operating segments — banking and home lending. Our banking operation currently offers a line of consumer and commercial financial products and services to individuals and to small and middle market businesses. Our strategy provides that we will significantly expand the offering of many of these products within our retail footprint, including consumer loans, business loans and deposits, and cash management services. This expansion is expected to occur through our network of bank branches and on-line services, as well as through teams of business and middle market bankers. Our home lending operation originates, acquires, sells and services mortgage loans on one-to-four family residences. Each operating segment supports and complements the operations of the other, with funding for the home lending operation primarily provided by deposits and borrowings obtained through the banking operation. Financial information regarding our two operating segments is set forth in Note 19 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in Item 1. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data. A discussion of our two operating segments is set forth below.

Bank Operations

Our banking operation is composed of three delivery channels: Branch Banking, Internet Banking and Government Banking.

Branch Banking consists of 162 banking centers located throughout Michigan and also in Indiana (principally in the Indianapolis metropolitan area) and Georgia (principally in the north Atlanta suburbs).

Internet Banking is engaged in deposit gathering (principally money market deposit accounts and certificates of deposits) on a nationwide basis, delivered primarily through www.FlagstarDirect.com.

Government Banking is engaged in providing deposit and cash management services to governmental units on a relationship basis throughout key markets, including Michigan and Indiana and, to a lesser degree, Georgia.

In addition to deposits, we may borrow funds by obtaining advances from the FHLB of Indianapolis or other federally backed institutions or by entering into repurchase agreements with correspondent banks using as collateral our mortgage-backed securities that we hold as investments. The banking operation invests these funds in duration-matched assets primarily originated by the home lending operations and a variety of consumer and commercial loan products.

Home Lending Operations

Our home lending operation originates, acquires, sells and services one-to-four family residential mortgage loans. The origination or acquisition of residential mortgage loans constitutes our most significant lending activity.

During 2009 and continuing into 2010, we were one of the country's leading mortgage loan originators. We utilize three production channels to originate or acquire mortgage loans — Retail, Broker and Correspondent. Each production channel produces similar mortgage loan products and applies, in most instances, the same underwriting standards. We expect to continue to leverage our technology to streamline the mortgage origination process and bring service and convenience to our brokers and correspondents. We maintain eight sales support offices that assist our brokers and correspondents nationwide. We also continue to make increasing use of the Internet as a tool to facilitate the mortgage loan origination process through our production channels. Our brokers, correspondents and home loan centers are able to register and lock loans, check the status of in-process inventory, deliver documents in electronic format, generate closing documents, and request funds through the Internet. Virtually all mortgage loans that closed in 2010 used the Internet in the completion of the mortgage origination or acquisition process.

Retail. In a retail transaction, we originate the loan through our nationwide network of stand-alone home loan centers, as well as referrals from our 162 banking centers located in Michigan, Indiana and Georgia and our

national call center located in Troy, Michigan. When we originate loans on a retail basis, we complete the origination documentation inclusive of customer disclosures and other aspects of the lending process and fund the transaction internally. At September 30, 2010, we maintained 27 home loan centers. In 2010, we expect to allocate additional, dedicated home lending resources towards developing lending capabilities within our 162 banking centers and our consumer direct channel. At the same time, we centralized our loan processing operations to gain efficiencies and allow our lending staff to focus on originations. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010 we closed \$1.4 billion of loans utilizing this origination channel, which equaled 8.3% of total originations as compared to \$3.2 billion or 12.0% of total originations for the same period in 2009.

Broker. In a broker transaction, an unaffiliated mortgage brokerage company completes the loan paperwork, but the loans are underwritten on a loan-level basis to our underwriting standards and we supply the funding for the loan at closing (also known as table funding) thereby becoming the lender of record. At closing, the broker may receive an origination fee from the borrower and we may also pay the broker a premium to acquire the loan. We currently have

Table of Contents

active broker relationships with over 2,800 mortgage brokerage companies located in all 50 states. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we closed \$6.0 billion utilizing this origination channel, which equaled 34.6% of total originations, as compared to \$11.0 billion or 43.6% for the same period in 2009.

Correspondent. In a correspondent transaction, an unaffiliated mortgage company completes the loan paperwork and also supplies the funding for the loan at closing. We acquire the loan after the mortgage company has funded the transaction, usually paying the mortgage company a market price for the loan. Unlike several of our competitors, we do not generally acquire loans in bulk amounts from correspondents but rather, we acquire each loan on a loan-level basis and require that each loan be originated to our underwriting guidelines. We have active correspondent relationships with over 1,100 companies, including banks and mortgage companies, located in all 50 states. Over the years, we have developed what we believe to be a competitive advantage as a warehouse lender, wherein we provide lines of credit to mortgage companies to fund their loans. Warehouse lending is not only a profitable, stand-alone business for us, but also provides valuable synergies with our correspondent channel. In today's marketplace, there is high demand for warehouse lending, but we believe that there are only a limited number of experienced providers. We believe that offering warehouse lines has provided us a competitive advantage in the small to midsize correspondent channel and has helped us grow and build out our correspondent business in a profitable manner. For example, in 2010, our warehouse lines funded over 77% of the loans in our correspondent channel. We plan to continue to leverage our warehouse lending for customer retention throughout the remainder of 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we closed \$9.9 billion utilizing the correspondent origination channel, which equaled 57.1% of total originations versus \$11.2 billion or 44.4% originated for the same period in 2009.

Underwriting. In past years, we originated a wide variety of residential mortgage loans, both for sale and for our own portfolio, including fixed rate first and second lien mortgage loans, adjustable rate mortgages (ARMs), interest only mortgage loans both ARM and fixed, and to a far lesser extent, potential negative amortization payment option ARMs (option power ARMs), subprime loans, and home equity lines of credit (HELOCs). We also originated commercial real estate loans for our own portfolio.

As a result of our increasing concerns about nationwide economic conditions, in 2007, we began to reduce the number and types of loans that we originated for our own portfolio in favor of sale into the secondary market. In 2008, we halted originations of virtually all types of loans for our held-for-investment portfolio and focused on the origination of residential mortgage loans for sale.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we primarily originated residential mortgage loans for sale that conformed to the respective underwriting guidelines established by the U.S. government sponsored agencies. Loans placed in the held-for-investment portfolio in the nine months ended September 30, 2010 would comprise either loans that were originated for Community Reinvestment Act purposes, repurchased and performing at time of repurchase or, on a very limited basis, loans that were originated to assist with the sale of our real estate owned (REO).

First Mortgage Loans

At September 30, 2010, most of our held-for-investment mortgage loans were originated in prior years with underwriting criteria that varied by product and with the standards in place at the time of origination.

Set forth below is a table describing the characteristics of the first mortgage loans in our held-for-investment portfolio at September 30, 2010, by year of origination.

Year of Origination	2007 and Prior	2008	2009	2010	Total
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Unpaid principal balance(1)	\$4,238,617	\$123,012	\$64,060	\$10,134	\$4,435,823
Average note rate	5.26%	5.91%	5.29%	5.32%	5.28%
Average original FICO score	716	676	706	719	715
Average original loan-to-value ratio	74.8%	85.1%	82.7%	73.0%	75.2%

Edgar Filing: FLAGSTAR BANCORP INC - Form 10-Q

Average original combined loan-to- value ratio	78.3%	85.9%	84.1%	75.9%	78.6%
Underwritten with low or stated income documentation	41%	14%	2%	10%	40%

(1) Unpaid principal balance does not include premiums or discounts.

45

Table of Contents

First mortgage loans are underwritten on a loan-by-loan basis rather than on a pool basis. Generally, mortgage loans produced through our production channels are reviewed by one of our in-house loan underwriters or by a contract underwriter employed by a mortgage insurance company. However, a limited number of our correspondents have been delegated underwriting authority but this has not comprised more than 12% of the loans originated in any year. In all cases, loans must be underwritten to our underwriting standards. Any loan not underwritten by our employees must be warranted by the underwriter's employer, which may be a mortgage insurance company or a correspondent mortgage company with delegated underwriting authority. For further information, please refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

The following table identifies our held-for-investment mortgages by major category, at September 30, 2010. The housing price index (HPI) loan-to-value (LTV) is updated from the original LTV based on Metropolitan Statistical Area-level Office of Federal Housing Enterprise Oversight data as of March, 2010. Within the first lien residential mortgage loan portfolio, high LTV loan originations, defined as loans with a 95% LTV or greater at origination, comprised only 5.2% of our held-for-investment loan portfolio. We believe our risk of loss on these loans is mitigated because private mortgage insurance was obtained on the vast majority of loans with LTVs exceeding 80% at the time of origination.

	Unpaid Principal Balance ⁽¹⁾	Average Note Rate	Average Original FICO Score (Dollars in thousands)	Average Original Loan-to-Value Ratio	Weighted Average Maturity (In Months)	Average Updated HPI-Based LTV Ratio
First mortgage loans:						
Amortizing:						
3/1 ARM (2)	\$ 216,054	4.40%	685	73.8%	273	85.6%
5/1 ARM (2)	536,622	4.75%	713	67.4%	288	77.8%
7/1 ARM (2)	66,287	5.45%	729	69.2%	295	86.4%
Other ARM	99,867	4.26%	673	75.3%	258	84.7%
Other amortizing	899,509	6.06%	706	70.7%	283	87.2%
Interest only:						
3/1 ARM (2)	335,316	4.71%	722	75.5%	262	89.3%
5/1 ARM (2)	1,448,392	5.04%	721	73.9%	288	88.9%
7/1 ARM (2)	112,696	6.00%	727	72.8%	296	95.6%
Other ARM	71,814	4.79%	721	76.4%	294	93.9%
Other interest only	413,410	6.03%	&nb			